Antigen specificity shapes antibody functions in tuberculosis.

Joshua R. Miles[1†], Pei Lu[2†], Shuangyi Bai[3], Genesis P. Aguillón-Durán[4], Javier E. Rodríguez-

Herrera[5], Bronwyn M. Gunn[3], Blanca I. Restrepo[4,6,7], and Lenette L. Lu[1,2,8]

[1]UT Southwestern Medical Center, Department of Immunology

[2]UT Southwestern Medical Center, Division of Infectious Diseases and Geographic Medicine,

Department of Internal Medicine

[3]Paul G. Allen School of Global Health, College of Veterinary Medicine, Washington State

University

[4]Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Science

Center at Houston, Brownsville campus, Brownsville, TX, USA

[5]Departamento Estatal de Micobacteriosis, Secretaría de Salud de Tamaulipas, Reynosa

88630, Matamoros 87370, Tamaulipas, México

[6]School of Medicine, South Texas Diabetes and Obesity Institute, University of Texas Rio

Grande Valley, Edinburg, TX, USA

[7]I.CARE and Population Health, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, TX, USA

[8]Parkland Health

[†]equal contribution

Abstract

Tuberculosis (TB) is the number one infectious disease cause of death worldwide due to

an incomplete understanding of immunity. Emerging data highlight antibody functions mediated

by the Fc domain as immune correlates. However, the mechanisms by which antibody functions

impact the causative agent *Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb)* are unclear. Here, we examine

how antigen specificity determined by the Fab domain shapes Fc effector functions against *Mtb.*

Using the critical structural and secreted virulence proteins *Mtb* cell wall and ESAT-6 & CFP-10,

we observe that antigen specificity alters subclass, antibody post-translational glycosylation,

and Fc effector functions in TB patients. Moreover, *Mtb* cell wall IgG3 enhances disease

through opsonophagocytosis of extracellular *Mtb*. In contrast, polyclonal and a human

monoclonal IgG1 we generated targeting ESAT-6 & CFP-10 inhibit intracellular *Mtb*. These data

show that antibodies have multiple roles in TB and antigen specificity is a critical determinant of

the protective and pathogenic capacity.

Introduction

While antibodies are leveraged for vaccines, diagnostics, and therapeutics in many

infectious diseases, their role in tuberculosis (TB) is unclear (*1-9*). Cellular immunity is thought

to be the cornerstone of protection (*3, 10*). However, enhanced T cell responses through

immune checkpoint inhibitors paradoxically worsen disease (*11, 12*). Moreover, the first large

phase IIB clinical trial of the TB vaccine MVA85A designed to boost Th1 and Th17 responses

showed no protection (*13*). Subanalyses showed that CD4 T cell responses associated with

increased whereas Ag85A IgG linked to decreased risk of disease. Thus, antibodies have the

potential to modulate TB and understanding the mechanisms by which antibody functions

impact *Mtb* pathogenesis would enable us to leverage this potential more effectively.

Antibodies use the Fab domain to recognize antigen and Fc domain to elicit immune cell

effector functions (*2, 5*). Fc domain diversity in subclass and post-translational glycosylation

regulate binding to activating and inhibitory Fc receptors (FcRs) that initiate downstream

signaling and immune cell effector functions (*14-17*). In mice, loss of activating FcR signaling

decreases survival after *Mtb* challenge (*18*). Conversely, loss of inhibitory FcR signaling leads to

decreased bacterial burden. In humans, the activating FcγRIIIa is associated with protection in

latent TB where bacterial burden is undetectable. This contrasts disease in active TB where *Mtb*

is identifiable, transmissible, and lethal if untreated (*19*). We have shown that IgG Fc properties

and effector functions diverge in latent and active TB (*20, 21*). Moreover, treatment with IgG

from latent compared to active TB leads to decreased *Mtb* burden and increased antimicrobial

activities in an *in vitro* macrophage model of *Mtb* infection (*20*). These findings suggest that

polyclonal antibodies in TB patients differ in the capacities to modulate *Mtb* infection but

whether they are protective or disease enhancing remains to be defined.

Antigen specificity as determined by the Fab domain impacts Fc effector functions.

Changes in the Fab domain alters the ability of the antibody Fc domain to bind to FcRs and

initiate effector functions. For influenza and Cryptococcus, this change is sufficient to alter mAb

mediated protection (*22-24*). For TB, mAbs that target different *Mtb* surface and cell wall

antigens (LAM, PstS1, and heparin-binding hemagglutinin are examples) utilize different FcRs

to impact *Mtb* (*25-28*) but what occurs in latent and active TB is not known. To understand how

the target of the antibody modulates the ability of the Fc domain to alter *Mtb* pathogenesis, here

we use unique *Mtb* antigens to dissect polyclonal antibody Fc effector functions in TB patients.

Results

Antigen specificity alters the capacity of antibodies to differentiate latent and active TB

We used patient samples from well characterized latent TB infection and active TB

disease because these states in the clinical TB spectrum link to protection and disease (Table)

(*29*). Groups were matched in age, sex, and Bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccination.

Individuals with co-morbid conditions such as HIV and type II diabetes were excluded. Endemic

TB negative controls were used to account for exposure to environmental non-tuberculous

mycobacteria and other geographical variations that impact immunity (*30-34*).

To systematically approach the >4000 *Mtb* protein antigens (*35*), we balanced breadth

and specificity with individual and fractions of mixed antigen preparations from bacteria in

culture. Since the dominant repertoire of antigens recognized by antibodies in TB is unclear

(*36*), many studies use purified protein derivative (PPD) which is mixture of proteins from

bacterial culture (*6, 37, 38*). In addition to PPD, we used protein fractions enriched in

components of *Mtb* cell wall, cytosol, and secretions into the culture (culture filtrate) (*39-41*) on

the premise that localization of the antigen could impact the sensing, processing, and

development of antibodies (*26, 27, 42-45*). For specific proteins, we chose the well-studied

secreted bacterial virulence factor complexes Ag85A & Ag85B (*46*) and ESAT-6 & CFP-10 (*47*).

As a non-*Mtb* control from a common pulmonary pathogen with high seroprevalence in adults,

we used respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) (*48*). Together these antigens enabled the evaluation

of humoral immunity targeting bacterial structural, metabolic, and immune modulatory functions

important for pathogenesis.

We first examined the impact of antigen specificity on how IgG titers relate to infection

and disease. Only *Mtb* cell wall IgG could distinguish between latent and active TB (Figure 1A),

primarily by IgG1 and IgG2 (Figure 1B). In contrast, IgG reactive to PPD, *Mtb* cytosolic proteins,

culture filtrate, and Ag85A & Ag85B and ESAT-6 & CFP-10 could not distinguish between

clinical TB states (Figure 1A and 1C). ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG was even worse than control RSV

IgG (Figure 1A, 1C and Supplemental Figure 1). These data are consistent with the poor ability

of *Mtb* reactive IgG in serodiagnostics to identify clinical TB (*49, 50*). We focused on *Mtb* cell

wall and ESAT-6 & CFP-10 to understand the antibody Fc effector functions linked to IgG

reactive to antigens with the most and least capacities to differentiate latent and active TB.

Antigen specificity drives differential antibody Fc domain glycosylation in latent and active TB

Antibodies function through diversity of the Fab domain via its antigenic repertoire and

the Fc domain from subclass distribution and post-translational N-glycosylation (*2, 14-17*). On a

single conserved residue on the IgG Fc domain (N297) is a core biantennary complex of

mannose and N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) (Supplemental Figure 2A). Addition and

subtraction of galactose, sialic acid, bisecting GlcNAc, and fucose to the core structure

generates heterogenous glycoforms. For every individual cohort sample, we measured the

glycoforms on IgG reactive to ESAT-6 & CFP-10, *Mtb* cell wall, control RSV, and total bulk IgG

Fc domains (Figure 2A, Supplemental Figure 2B) and summarized them (Figure 2B,

Supplemental Figure 2C and 2D). We observed that antigen specific differed from total bulk IgG

glycans with higher sialic acid, galactose, and bisecting GlcNAc (Figure 2B and Supplemental

Figure 2D). Between antigen specific IgG, *Mtb* antigens distinguished themselves from RSV

through even higher sialic acid, galactose, and bisecting GlcNAc and lower fucose (Figure 2B

and Supplemental Figure 2D). Between *Mtb* antigens, *Mtb* cell wall compared to ESAT-6 &

CFP-10 IgG had lower sialic acid and galactose and higher fucose, with the major changes

occurring in fucose without sialic acid. These data show that each antigen specificity has a

unique glycosylation pattern, consistent with B cell intrinsic rather than extrinsic mechanisms of

IgG glycosylation (*51, 52*).

We previously reported that IgG glycosylation diverges between latent and active TB

(*20, 21, 53-55*). While differences between these two clinical states were observed here (Figure

2C), antigen specificity had a greater impact (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure 2F) in

principal components analysis and hierarchical clustering. Thus, antibody glycosylation is

influenced by antigen specificity more than clinical TB state.

Antibody Fc effector functions diverge more by antigen specificity than latent and active TB

We hypothesized that differences in subclass distribution and Fc N-glycosylation

between *Mtb* cell wall and ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG indicated divergence in Fc receptor (FcR)

binding and immune cell effector functions. We tested this hypothesis by evaluating the ability of

antigen specific IgG in each individual TB patient to engage FcRs described to impact TB:

FcγRI (*25, 56, 57*), FcγRIIa (*25, 26, 43*), FcγRIIb (*18, 25, 26, 43*), FcγRIIIa (*19, 20, 26*), and

FcRn (*27, 58*) (Supplemental Figure 3A). To incorporate adaptor-mediated signaling and

feedback, we used the cell-based assays antibody dependent natural killer cell activation

(ADNKA) that induces cellular cytotoxicity and antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis

(ADCP) (Supplemental Figure 3B and C), both of which are differentially engaged in latent and

active TB (*19, 20, 25, 53*). We found that Fc effector functions were defined by antigen

specificity more than TB status (Figure 3A and 3B). ADNKA characterized ESAT-6 & CFP-10,

ADCP linked to *Mtb* cell wall IgG, and binding to individual FcRs minimally distinguished the

antigens by principal components analyses. These data demonstrate that *Mtb* cell wall and

ESAT-6 & CFP-10 elicit different IgG engagement of multiple FcRs to induce cell signaling and

activation. Moreover, unique subclass and glycosylation resulting in divergent Fc functions show

that antigen specificity has the potential to alter the impact of IgG on *Mtb* pathogenesis.

Latent and active TB IgG differentially impact Mtb in macrophage infection

To evaluate how antigen specificity alters antibody modulation of *Mtb*, we used *in vitro*

macrophage models of infection. This quintessential immune cell niche has the capacity to

restrict and also permit bacterial replication (*20, 25, 26, 55, 59-64*). Monoclonal and polyclonal

antibodies have been shown to mediate differential uptake of extracellular *Mtb* into the

macrophage (*25, 26, 61, 62, 65*). In this cohort, to measure the impact of polyclonal IgG on *Mtb*

burden resulting from opsonophagocytosis of extracellular bacteria into the macrophage, we

used a virulent *Mtb* H37Rv reporter strain (*Mtb*-276) where luminescence correlates with

bacterial burden (Supplemental Figure 4A) (*66, 67*). We used primary human monocyte derived

macrophages (pMDM) that express FcRs reported to be involved in TB (FcγRI, FcγRIIa,

FcγRIIb, FcγRIIIa, and FcRn). We incubated bacteria with IgG from each individual patient and

used the opsonized *Mtb* to infect pMDMs at an MOI=1 (Figure 4A). We used a low MOI to mimic

the high infectivity and paucibacillary nature of *Mtb* and limit the macrophage toxic effects of

non-physiological high loads of bacteria. We quantified *Mtb* growth after infection and burden

(Supplemental Figure 4B) and found lower bacterial burden when opsonized by IgG from

individuals with latent compared to active TB (Figure 4B). These data demonstrate that

polyclonal IgG from individuals across the spectrum of latent and active TB have properties that

alter uptake of extracellular *Mtb* into the macrophage and its subsequent growth.

While extracellular bacteria are present during initial stages of infection and in advanced

disease with active TB, the majority of *Mtb* is intracellular (*59, 60, 68, 69*). We have previously

shown that intracellular *Mtb* is differentially impacted by polyclonal IgG pooled from latent and

active TB patients (*20*). Here, we enhanced the resolution by evaluating IgG from each

individual latent and active TB patient. We infected macrophages first, washed away the

extracellular *Mtb*, then treated the *Mtb* infected macrophages with IgG (Figure 4C). Consistent

with prior studies, intracellular *Mtb* burden was lower after treatment with IgG from individual

latent compared to active TB patients (Figure 4D). Thus, polyclonal IgG from individuals across

latent and active TB have properties that impact intracellular *Mtb* replication after infection has

occurred. The data from these extracellular (Figure 4B) and intracellular (Figure 4D) assays

were then used to understand how antigen specificity affects IgG modulation of *Mtb*

macrophage infection.

Mtb cell wall IgG enhances Mtb burden in opsonophagocytosis of extracellular bacteria

To assess the impact of antigen specificity on opsonophagocytosis of extracellular *Mtb*,

we evaluated the relationships between antigen specific IgG properties and *Mtb* burden. Using

simple linear regression, we found that *Mtb* burden was positively dependent on *Mtb* cell wall

IgG3 and binding to high (FcRn and FcγRI) and low (FcγRIIa and FcγRIIb) affinity FcRs (Figure

5A). These relationships were not observed with control RSV (Supplemental Figure 5). There

were no relationships in the context of intracellular bacteria with antibody treatment after

macrophage infection (Figure 5B). To assess the impact of IgG glycosylation on *Mtb* burden, we

used multiple linear regression to incorporate the combination of glycosylation and subclass.

We found that extracellular and not intracellular *Mtb* burden was enhanced by the absence of

sialic acid and presence of fucose on IgG (Figure 5C and 5D, Supplemental Table 1 and 2), the

glycans that most significantly distinguished *Mtb* cell wall from ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG (Figure

2B). As has been reported by others (*25*), these relationships occurred only in latent and not

active TB and linked to higher potency of IgG Fc functions (Supplemental Figure 6). These data

show that highly potent *Mtb* cell wall IgG enhances bacterial burden by modulating uptake of

extracellular *Mtb* into the macrophage.

ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG inhibits intracellular Mtb

In contrast to *Mtb* cell wall, we observed that ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG inhibits *Mtb* (Figure

6A and 6B). More specifically, ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG1 negatively linked to intracellular bacterial

burden and growth (Figure 6C and 6D) while IgG glycosylation was not (Supplemental Figure 6

and Supplemental Tables 3 and 4). As with *Mtb* cell wall IgG, the observations for ESAT-6 &

CFP10 IgG were made in latent and not active TB but functional potency no different

(Supplemental Figure 7). These data suggest that ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG in latent TB have the

capacity to protect.

To test the ability of ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG1 to inhibit *Mtb*, we cloned a monoclonal

human IgG1 (ESAT-6 mAb) that recognizes ESAT-6, CFP-10, and the combination of antigens

(Supplemental Figure 8A-C). Treatment of *Mtb* infected macrophages with the ESAT-6 mAb as

compared to isotype control led to decreased intracellular *Mtb* burden in a dose-dependent

manner (Figure 6E and 6F and Supplemental Figure 8D and 8E). These data show that IgG

recognizing the secreted *Mtb* virulence factors ESAT-6 & CFP-10 function by inhibiting

intracellular bacteria after infection has been established.

<u>Discussion</u>

In this study, we use *Mtb* cell wall proteins and the secreted bacterial virulence factors

ESAT-6 & CFP-10 to show that antigen specificity alters how antibody Fc effector functions

impact *Mtb* pathogenesis. Previous studies using PPD show that different Fc effector functions

correlate with protection and disease in latent and active TB. Here, we show that unique

antigens within PPD elicit divergent IgG Fc properties in subclass distribution (Figure 1),

glycosylation (Figure 2) and Fc effector functions (Figure 3) that change the effect on *Mtb*

infection (Figure 4). *Mtb* cell wall IgG enhances disease through opsonophagocytosis of

extracellular *Mtb*. This is through IgG3, the subclass with the highest ability to induce Fc

receptor binding and effector functions (*70*), and links to FcγRI, FcγRIIa, and FcγRIIb that drive

antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis, as well as the high affinity FcRn (Figure 5A). In

addition, the presence of sialic acid and absence of fucose on *Mtb* cell wall IgG link to *Mtb*

burden (Figure 5C), indicating that glycan modulation of FcR binding as described in the

literature is also important (*17, 71-74*). In contrast, ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG1 inhibits the

intracellular *Mtb* population after infection has occurred (Figure 6B). Its protective capacity is

shown in both polyclonal and mAb studies where ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG1 alone is sufficient to

measurably inhibit bacterial burden (Figure 6E and 6F). These data show that in a single

individual TB patient, not all antibodies are equal, and antigen specificity shapes antibody

functions that impact *Mtb* pathogenesis.

One possible way that different antigens from the same bacteria could elicit divergent

antibody Fc properties could be immune priming. *Mtb* cell wall proteins cross-react with

environmental NTMs (*30-34, 42*). In comparison, ESAT-6 & CFP-10 are more specific for *Mtb*

such that it is used for current T-cell based diagnostics (*75*). As such, *Mtb* cell wall antibody

functions could reflect immunity from exposures to both NTM and *Mtb* whereas ESAT-6 & CFP-

10 could represent responses only to *Mtb* (*31*). Further studies that assess the effect of pre-

existing NTM immunity on avidity to antigen and Fc properties induced by *Mtb* infection would

help evaluate this possibility (*30*).

Antibodies targeting *Mtb* cell wall antigens have been described in the literature to

enhance and inhibit *Mtb*. Monoclonal IgG1 targeting the bacterial surface exposed heparin

binding hemagglutinin (HBHA) enhance bacterial entry into epithelial cells and subsequent *Mtb*

burden through FcRn (*27*). Monoclonal IgG targeting *Mtb* cell wall proteins (PstS1, HspX, and

LpqH) (*26, 76, 77*) and monoclonal and polyclonal IgG targeting the bacterial glycan

arabinomannan inhibit *Mtb* through Fc dependent and independent mechanisms (*25, 61, 62,*

65). Thus, while the overall mixture of *Mtb* cell wall proteins enhances disease in this study, it is

likely that within the cell wall fraction, some individual antigens induce protective and others

disease enhancing antibodies.

Antibody dependent enhancement of infection has been described in viral and bacterial

infections (*78-81*) including the intracellular pathogens Legionella (*82*) and Leishmania (*83, 84*)

in macrophages that involve cross-reactive and FcR mediated mechanisms. Because *Mtb* is

also an intracellular pathogen where macrophages represent an important niche, antibody

dependent enhancement of infection has been hypothesized to occur in TB. These data show

that *Mtb* cell wall polyclonal IgG engage macrophage FcRs to enhance disease.

IgG targeting the secreted virulence factors ESAT-6 & CFP-10 inhibit intracellular

bacteria, conferring protection after *Mtb* has established infection (Figure 6B – 6F). Consistent

with these findings, the absence and presence of ESAT-6 & CFP-10 in the bacteria is

associated with virulence (*85, 86*). Moreover, an anti-ESAT-6 nanobody blocks intracellular *Mtb*

replication (*87*). Because the large size of human IgG compared to a nanobody limits cellular

penetration, direct neutralization may not be the mechanism of IgG1 mediated bacterial

inhibition in an individual TB patient. ESAT-6 & CFP-10 are secreted from *Mtb* and found on the

surface of infected host cells (*47, 88, 89*). Thus, it is possible that the secreted nature of these

antigens enables immune complex formation, FcR engagement, and induction of effector

functions in macrophages to kill intracellular *Mtb*. Our data show that the ability to activate NK

cells through FcγRIIIa and induce cellular cytotoxicity highlights ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG (Figure

3). A similar process could be occurring with macrophages that express FcγRIIIa and carry out

cellular cytotoxicity in addition to FcRs facilitating phagocytosis. mAb Fc modifications to

enhance and inhibit cellular cytotoxicity could further evaluate these mechanisms of protection

(*90*).

Notably, only latent and not active TB IgG properties impacted *Mtb* burden (Figure 5 and

6). This phenomenon has been reported with arabinomannan specific IgG (*25*) and attributed to

higher functional potency. In this study, increased Fc effector functional potency may explain the

observations with *Mtb* cell wall, not ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG (Supplemental Figure 6). What could

explain the phenomenon for ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG would be a prozone-like effect from high

levels of other *Mtb* reactive IgG in active TB (*91-93*). Additionally, it is possible that differences

in antibody functions reflect the continuum of progression and regression in latent TB as

compared to the more limited spectrum represented by active TB without antibiotic treatment

(*54, 94-96*).

What *Mtb* antigens induce antibody functions that impact the clinical outcomes in TB is

unclear but essential to understand if we are to harness antibodies for diagnostics, therapeutics,

and vaccines. That both pathogenic and protective IgG exist could explain why some passive

serum transfer experiments show inhibition while others enhancement of bacterial burden (*6-9*).

Mtb cell wall and ESAT-6 & CFP-10 reflect a fraction of the *Mtb* antigen repertoire (*35, 36*). This

approach could identify the protective and pathogenic potential for additional bacterial antigens

in polyclonal antibody responses and enable us to skew humoral immunity towards beneficial

rather than harmful effects. This study represents a starting point with IgG from blood.

Extending evaluations to IgA and IgM in pulmonary and peripheral responses will highlight

isotype and compartment specific distinctions in mucosal (*30, 76, 97-100*) and systemic

immunity critical to understanding the many roles of antibodies in TB.

<u>Materials and Methods</u>

Study design

Adults were recruited from the Texas/Mexico border (2006-2010) (*101*). Latent TB

(n=18) was defined by a positive interferon γ release assay (IGRA) TSPOT or QuantiFERON

with no history of prior TB diagnosis or treatment, and no clinical signs and symptoms of active

TB disease. Active TB (n=19) was defined by sputum acid fast bacilli smear and culture in

combination with clinical signs and symptoms of disease. Endemic controls (n=8) were defined

by negative TSPOT or QuantiFERON with no history, clinical signs and symptoms, or exposure

to TB. To limit confounding variables, groups for latent and active TB were matched by age and

sex (*54, 102*), tested negative for HIV and type 2 diabetes as defined by WHO criteria (*103-*

109), and received <8 days TB treatment (*53, 110*). Written informed consent was obtained

from study participants and approved by institutional IRBs.

Sample Collection

Blood samples were collected by venipuncture in sodium heparin tubes, plasma isolated

by centrifugation, aliquoted, stored at −80°C, and heat-inactivated (30 min, 55C) prior to use.

IgG purification

Polyclonal IgG from patient samples was isolated by negative selection via Melon Gel

resin (Thermo Fisher), concentrated by ultra-centrifugal filtration (Millipore), and quantified by

ELISA (Mabtech) per manufacturers' instructions.

Monoclonal hIgG1 plasmid design and construction

An anti-ESAT-6 VH/k sequence (GenBank: LC189555.1 (*111*)) was synthesized and

cloned into a pUC19 vector with a human IgG1 Fc domain as previously described in detail (*90*).

Donor and destination plasmids were combined in a single digestion-ligation reaction to

generate an expression plasmid encoding the heavy and light chains with BsaI-HF (NEB) and

T4 ligase (NEB), transformed into Stellar competent cells (Clontech) and selected by

kanamycin.

Production of monoclonal hIgG1

As previously described (*90*), plasmids were transfected into 293F suspension cells

using Polyethylenimine (PEI) (Polysciences). Supernatants were collected 5 days after

transfection and IgG was isolated by protein G magnetic beads (16 hours, 4C), eluted using

Pierce IgG Elution Buffer (Thermo Fisher), and neutralized with Tris-HCl pH 8.0.

Cell lines

NK92 cells expressing human FcγRIIIa (CD16.NK-92) (ATCC) were maintained in α-

MEM without nucleosides (Thermo Fisher), 2mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher), 1.5g/L sodium

bicarbonate (Thermo Fisher), 0.02mM folic acid (Alfa Aesar), 0.2mM inositol (MP Biomedicals),

0.1mM β-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher), 100U/mL IL-2 (STEMCELL), 12.5% horse serum

(Cytiva), and 12.5% FBS (Gibco), 37C, 5% CO_2. THP-1 cells (ATCC) were cultured in RPMI-

1640 (Sigma-Aldrich), 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, and 10mM HEPES (Thermo Fisher), and

55μM beta-mercaptoethanol, 37C, 5% CO_2. Freestyle 293F cells (Thermo Fisher) were

maintained in a shaking incubator (125RPM, 37C, 8% CO_2) in Freestyle 293F expression

medium (Thermo Fisher).

Primary human monocyte derived macrophages

Monocytes were isolated from buffy coats obtained from healthy HIV negative adults by

CD14 positive selection (Miltenyi) per manufacturer's instructions and matured by adhesion in

RPMI-1640, 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, and 10mM HEPES.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv

A virulent H37Rv (*Mtb*-276) strain expressing luciferase under the P_{hsp60} promotor was

cultured using Middlebrook 7H9 (BD) with 0.05% Tween-80 (Millipore) and Zeocin (20μg/mL)

(Invivogen) to log-phase at 37C ([66, 67]), washed with PBS, and passed through a 5μm filter

(Millipore) to obtain a single cell suspension prior to infection (MOI=1). Enumeration by colony

forming units was performed using serial dilutions on 7H10 medium (BD).

Antigens

H37Rv purified protein derivative (PPD) (Statens Serum Institute), culture filtrate (BEI),

cytosolic proteins (BEI), and cell wall fractions (BEI), as well as ESAT-6 (BEI), CFP-10 (BEI),

Ag85A (BEI), Ag85B (BEI) were used as *Mtb* antigens. As controls, respiratory syncytial virus G

(BEI) and F (BEI) proteins were used.

Quantification of antigen specific IgG and subclasses

348   Customized Luminex assays were used to measure antigen specific IgG and subclass

levels as previously described (*73, 112, 113*). Carboxylated microspheres (Bio-Rad, MC100

series) were coupled to protein antigens using an NHS-ester reaction (Thermo Fisher,

cat#A32269) following manufacturer's instructions. Serial dilutions of IgG purified from each

individual patient sample (0.18, 0.06, 0.02 ug/mL) was added to antigen-coupled beads

(18hours, 4C) and washed. PE-conjugated antibodies detecting total IgG (JDC-10, Southern

Biotech), IgG1 (4E3, Southern Biotech), IgG2 (HP6002, Southern Biotech), IgG3 (HP60502,

Southern Biotech), and IgG4 (HP6025, Southern Biotech), were added (2 hours, room

temperature), washed with PBS 0.05% Tween-20, and re-suspended in PBS to acquire

fluorescence intensity on Magpix (Luminex). The relative level of antigen specific antibodies was

defined as the area under the curve (AUC) calculated from the serial dilutions for each individual

sample.

Quantification of antigen binding with ESAT-6 mAb

362   Customized Luminex assays were used to measure antigen binding (*73, 112, 113*).

Antigens were coupled to carboxylated microspheres as described above. Serial dilutions of

monoclonal hIgG1 (15, 1.5, 0.15 µg/mL) were added to antigen-coupled beads. PE-conjugated

anti-human IgG1 was used for detection and fluorescence intensity acquired on Magpix

(Luminex) as described above.

Isolation of antigen specific and total IgG Fc domains

Antigens were biotinylated using EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Thermo Fisher) per

manufacturer's instructions and coupled to streptavidin beads (NEB). Patient plasma (diluted

1:5 in 0.5M NaCl, 20mM Tris-HCl, 1mM EDTA, pH 7.5) was added to antigen-coupled beads for

immunoprecipitation of ESAT-6 & CFP-10 (18 hours, 4C), *Mtb* cell wall (2.5 hours, room

temperature), and RSV (18 hours, 4C) specific antibodies. For bulk total IgG, protein G beads

(Millipore) were used (2 hours, room temperature). IdeZ (NEB) (1.5 hours, 37C) was used to

cleave the Fc domain for subsequent glycan isolation.

N-linked glycan isolation and quantification

Isolation, labeling, and quantification of N-linked glycosylation are previously described

(*73, 113-115*). In brief, isolated Fc domains were denatured (10 minutes, 95C) prior to

enzymatic glycan release with PNGaseF (NEB) per manufacturer's instructions (18 hours, 37C).

For antigen specific IgG Fc domains, released glycans were isolated with Agencourt CleanSEQ

beads (Beckman Coulter). For total bulk IgG Fc domains, proteins were precipitated in ice-cold

ethanol. Glycan-containing supernatants were dried using a CentriVap, then labeled with 8-

aminoinopyrene-13,6-trisulfonic acid (APTS) (Thermo Fisher) in 1.2M citric acid and 1M

$NaBH_3CN$ in tetrahydrofuran (Thermo Fisher), and 0.5% NP-40 (NEB) (3 hours, 55C). Excess

APTS was removed using Bio-Gel P-2 size exclusion resin (Bio-Rad) (antigen specific glycans)

and Agencourt CleanSEQ beads (total bulk glycans). Labeled samples were run with a LIZ 600

DNA ladder (Thermo Fisher) in Hi-Di formamide (Thermo Fisher) on an ABI Gene Analyzer

3500XL and analyzed using GlycanAssure version 1.0 (Thermo Fisher).

Measurement of antigen specific IgG Fc receptor binding

Customized Luminex assay was used to measure antigen specific IgG FcR binding as

previously reported (*73, 112, 113, 116*). As described above, carboxylated microspheres were

coupled to protein antigens using an NHS-ester reaction and serial dilutions of IgG purified from

each individual patient sample (0.18, 0.06, 0.02 ug/mL) was added to antigen-coupled beads.

Recombinant FcRs (FcγRIIIa, FcγRIIa, FcγRIIb, and FcRn) (R&D) were conjugated with

phycoerythrin (PE) (Abcam) per manufacturer's instructions. PE-conjugated FcγRIIIa, FcγRIIa,

and FcγRIIb were added at pH 7.4; PE-conjugated FcRn at pH 6.0 (*117*) (2 hours, room

temperature). FcγRI recombinant protein (R&D) was added to IgG coated beads and then

incubated with mouse anti-human FcγRI (10.1, Santa Cruz) (1 hour, room temperature) followed

by PE-conjugated goat anti-mouse (Southern Biotech) (1 hour, room temperature) for detection.

Fluorescence intensity was acquired on a Magpix instrument (Luminex), and AUC calculated as

described above.

Antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis

The THP-1 phagocytosis assay of antigen-coated beads is previously described (*73,*

113, 118). *Mtb* cell wall, ESAT-6 & CFP-10, or RSV antigen mix were biotinylated with EZ-Link

Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin following manufacturer's instructions and coupled to FluoSpheres

NeutrAvidin beads (Molecular Probes) (16 hours, 4C). Antigen-coupled beads were incubated

with 100µg/mL polyclonal IgG purified from each patient and then added to THP-1 cells (1x10^5

per well) (37°C, 16 hours). After fixation with 4% PFA, bead uptake was measured by flow

cytometry on a BD-LSR Fortessa and analyzed by FlowJo v10. Phagocytic scores were

calculated as the integrated median fluorescence intensity (MFI) (% bead-positive frequency ×

MFI/10,000) (*119*).

Antibody dependent natural killer cell activation

Antibody dependent NK cell activation is previously described (*73, 113, 120*). ELISA

plates were coated with antigen (300ng/well) (18 hours, 4C), washed 3 times with PBS, blocked

with 5% BSA (18 hours, 4C), and then washed 3 times. Purified polyclonal IgG from each

patient (100µg/mL) was added (2 hours, 37C), followed by CD16a.NK-92 cells (5 × 10^4

cells/well) with brefeldin A (Biolegend), Golgi Stop (BD Biosciences) and anti-CD107a (H4A3,

Biolegend) (5 hours, 37C). Cells were stained with anti-CD56 (5.1H11, Biolegend) and anti-

CD16 (3G8, Biolegend) and fixed with 4% PFA. Intracellular cytokine staining to detect IFNγ

(B27, Biolegend) and TNFα (Mab11, BD Biosciences) was performed in permeabilization buffer

(Biolegend). Markers were measured using a BD LSR Fortessa and analyzed by FlowJo as

described above.

Macrophage Mtb infections

To test the impact of antibodies on the uptake of extracellular *Mtb* into the macrophage

and its subsequent replication, purified IgG from each individual patient was incubated with log-

phase *Mtb* (4 hours, 37C). The IgG (100ug/mL) opsonized *Mtb* were used to infect primary

human monocyte derived macrophages (5x10^4 cells/well) at an MOI=1.

To test the impact of antibodies on intracellular *Mtb* replication, macrophages were first

infected with *Mtb* (MOI=1) (14 hours, 37C). Extracellular *Mtb* was then washed off prior to the

addition of IgG from each individual patient (100µg/mL).

Luminescence was measured using a BioTek Synergy Neo2 Hybrid Multimode Reader

plate reader every 24 hours until *Mtb* growth reached stationary phase. Each patient sample

was tested in duplicate in three independent experiments using macrophage derived from three

different healthy HIV negative donors.

Statistics

Data are presented as median with 95% confidence intervals (Figure 1B-C, 4B,4D,

Supplemental Figure 1, 3A). Data was analyzed by Mann – Whitney test (Table), Chi-square

test (Table), logistic regression (Figure 1A), multiple linear regression to adjust for age and sex

(Figure 1B-C, 4B, 4D, Supplemental Figure 1, 3A, 7) and to incorporate multiple antibody

features (Figure 5C,5D, Supplemental Tables 1-4, Supplemental Figure 6), Friedman test with

adjustment for FDR using a two-stage step-up method of Benjamini, Krieger, and Yekutieli with

Q=1% (Figure 2B, and Supplemental Figure 2D), principal components analysis (Figure 2C, 2D,

3A-B, Supplemental Figure 2E), hierarchical clustering (Supplemental Figure 2F), simple linear

regression (Figure 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, Supplemental Figure 5A-B), Pearson correlation

(Supplemental Figure 4A), and unpaired t-test (Figure 6F) using STATA v16, Graphpad

Prism10, and JMP17.2.0. Figures were generated using Graphpad Prism10, R using the ggplot

package, Cytoscape v3.9.1, JMP17.2.0, and Biorender.

<u>Author contributions</u>

JM and PL designed and conducted experiments, acquired data, analyzed data, and wrote the

manuscript. SB conducted experiments and acquired data. GA and JR provided reagents. BG

conducted experiments, acquired data, analyzed data, provided reagents, and wrote the

manuscript. BR designed the research study, provided reagents, and wrote the manuscript. LL

designed the research study, conducted experiments, acquired data, analyzed data, and wrote

the manuscript.

<u>Conflicts of interest</u>

The authors have declared that no conflicts of interests exist.

<u>Acknowledgements</u>

We thank Gabrielle Lessen for her assistance with the in vitro *Mtb* macrophage infection

experiments and manuscript editing. We thank Michael Shiloh for helpful comments. We thank

study participants and clinical staff from the Secretaria de Salud de Tamaulipas and the Hidalgo

Department of State and Health Services for field logistics support. This work is supported by

UT Southwestern Disease Oriented Scholars Award (LLL), NIH NIAID 5R01AI158858 (LLL),

NIH NIAID R21AI144541 (BIR), and NIH NIAID 5T32AI005284-44 (JRM).

References

1.   M. Grobben, R. A. Stuart, M. J. van Gils, The potential of engineered antibodies for HIV-
1 therapy and cure. *Curr Opin Virol* **38**, 70-80 (2019).
2.   L. L. Lu, T. J. Suscovich, S. M. Fortune, G. Alter, Beyond binding: antibody effector
functions in infectious diseases. *Nat Rev Immunol* **18**, 46-61 (2018).
3.   C. Nunes-Alves *et al.*, In search of a new paradigm for protective immunity to TB. *Nat
Rev Microbiol* **12**, 289-299 (2014).
4.   S. M. Carpenter, L. L. Lu, Leveraging Antibody, B Cell and Fc Receptor Interactions to
Understand Heterogeneous Immune Responses in Tuberculosis. *Front Immunol* **13**,
830482 (2022).
5.   P. S. Grace, B. M. Gunn, L. L. Lu, Engineering the supernatural: monoclonal antibodies
for challenging infectious diseases. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* **78**, 102818 (2022).
6.   A. J. Jacobs, J. Mongkolsapaya, G. R. Screaton, H. McShane, R. J. Wilkinson,
Antibodies and tuberculosis. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)* **101**, 102-113 (2016).
7.   A. Forget, J. C. Benoit, R. Turcotte, N. Gusew-Chartrand, Enhancement activity of anti-
mycobacterial sera in experimental Mycobacterium bovis (BCG) infection in mice. *Infect
Immun* **13**, 1301-1306 (1976).
8.   THE RESULTS OBTAINED BY DR. VIQUERAT IN HIS TREATMENT OF
TUBERCULOSIS. *Lancet* **144**, 756-757 (1894).
9.   A. Glatman-Freedman, A. Casadevall, Serum therapy for tuberculosis revisited:
reappraisal of the role of antibody-mediated immunity against Mycobacterium
tuberculosis. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **11**, 514-532 (1998).
10.   J. A. Philips, J. D. Ernst, Tuberculosis pathogenesis and immunity. *Annu Rev Pathol* **7**,
353-384 (2012).
11.   K. D. Kauffman *et al.*, PD-1 blockade exacerbates Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection
in rhesus macaques. *Sci Immunol* **6**, (2021).
12.   M. Ahmed, L. B. Tezera, P. T. Elkington, A. J. Leslie, The paradox of immune checkpoint
inhibition re-activating tuberculosis. *Eur Respir J* **60**, (2022).
13.   H. A. Fletcher *et al.*, T-cell activation is an immune correlate of risk in BCG vaccinated
infants. *Nat Commun* **7**, 11290 (2016).
14.   F. Nimmerjahn, J. V. Ravetch, Fcgamma receptors as regulators of immune responses.
Nat Rev Immunol **8**, 34-47 (2008).
15.   G. Vidarsson, G. Dekkers, T. Rispens, IgG subclasses and allotypes: from structure to
effector functions. *Front Immunol* **5**, 520 (2014).
16.   P. Bruhns *et al.*, Specificity and affinity of human Fcgamma receptors and their
polymorphic variants for human IgG subclasses. *Blood* **113**, 3716-3725 (2009).
17.   T. T. Wang, IgG Fc Glycosylation in Human Immunity. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* **423**,
63-75 (2019).
18.   P. J. Maglione, J. Xu, A. Casadevall, J. Chan, Fc gamma receptors regulate immune
activation and susceptibility during Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. *J Immunol* **180**,
3329-3338 (2008).
19.   R. Roy Chowdhury *et al.*, A multi-cohort study of the immune factors associated with M.
tuberculosis infection outcomes. *Nature* **560**, 644-648 (2018).
20.   L. L. Lu *et al.*, A Functional Role for Antibodies in Tuberculosis. *Cell* **167**, 433-443 e414
(2016).
21.   L. L. Lu *et al.*, Antibody Fc Glycosylation Discriminates Between Latent and Active
Tuberculosis. *J Infect Dis* **222**, 2093-2102 (2020).
22.   J. Mukherjee, G. Nussbaum, M. D. Scharff, A. Casadevall, Protective and nonprotective
monoclonal antibodies to Cryptococcus neoformans originating from one B cell. *J Exp
Med* **181**, 405-409 (1995).

23.   D. J. DiLillo, G. S. Tan, P. Palese, J. V. Ravetch, Broadly neutralizing hemagglutinin
stalk-specific antibodies require FcgammaR interactions for protection against influenza
virus in vivo. *Nat Med* **20**, 143-151 (2014).

24.   M. Brinkhaus *et al.*, The Fab region of IgG impairs the internalization pathway of FcRn
upon Fc engagement. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6073 (2022).

25.   T. Chen *et al.*, Capsular glycan recognition provides antibody-mediated immunity against
tuberculosis. *J Clin Invest* **130**, 1808-1822 (2020).

26.   A. Watson *et al.*, Human antibodies targeting a Mycobacterium transporter protein
mediate protection against tuberculosis. *Nat Commun* **12**, 602 (2021).

27.   N. Zimmermann *et al.*, Human isotype-dependent inhibitory antibody responses against
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *EMBO Mol Med* **8**, 1325-1339 (2016).

28.   E. Ishida *et al.*, Monoclonal antibodies from humans with Mycobacterium tuberculosis
exposure or latent infection recognize distinct arabinomannan epitopes. *Commun Biol* **4**,
1181 (2021).

29.   J. R. Andrews *et al.*, Risk of progression to active tuberculosis following reinfection with
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Clin Infect Dis* **54**, 784-791 (2012).

30.   T. S. Dutt *et al.*, Mucosal exposure to non-tuberculous mycobacteria elicits B cell-
mediated immunity against pulmonary tuberculosis. *Cell Rep* **41**, 111783 (2022).

31.   L. Brandt *et al.*, Failure of the Mycobacterium bovis BCG vaccine: some species of
environmental mycobacteria block multiplication of BCG and induction of protective
immunity to tuberculosis. *Infect Immun* **70**, 672-678 (2002).

32.   J. A. Shah, C. S. Lindestam Arlehamn, D. J. Horne, A. Sette, T. R. Hawn,
Nontuberculous Mycobacteria and Heterologous Immunity to Tuberculosis. *J Infect Dis*
220, 1091-1098 (2019).

33.   M. van Dorst *et al.*, Immunological factors linked to geographical variation in vaccine
responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* **24**, 250-263 (2024).

34.   G. F. Black *et al.*, Patterns and implications of naturally acquired immune responses to
environmental and tuberculous mycobacterial antigens in northern Malawi. *J Infect Dis*
184, 322-329 (2001).

35.   S. T. Cole *et al.*, Deciphering the biology of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from the
complete genome sequence. *Nature* **393**, 537-544 (1998).

36.   C. Hermann, C. G. King, TB or not to be: what specificities and impact do antibodies
have during tuberculosis? *Oxf Open Immunol* **2**, iqab015 (2021).

37.   Y. S. Cho *et al.*, Deciphering the proteome of the in vivo diagnostic reagent "purified
protein derivative" from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Proteomics* **12**, 979-991 (2012).

38.   H. Yang, N. A. Kruh-Garcia, K. M. Dobos, Purified protein derivatives of tuberculin--past,
present, and future. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **66**, 273-280 (2012).

39.   M. Lucas *et al.*, Extraction and Separation of Mycobacterial Proteins. *Methods Mol Biol*
2314, 77-107 (2021).

40.   G. R. Hirschfield, M. McNeil, P. J. Brennan, Peptidoglycan-associated polypeptides of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *J Bacteriol* **172**, 1005-1013 (1990).

41.   M. G. Sonnenberg, J. T. Belisle, Definition of Mycobacterium tuberculosis culture filtrate
proteins by two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, N-terminal amino acid
sequencing, and electrospray mass spectrometry. *Infect Immun* **65**, 4515-4524 (1997).

42.   C. C. Perley *et al.*, The human antibody response to the surface of Mycobacterium
tuberculosis. *PLoS One* **9**, e98938 (2014).

43.   H. Li *et al.*, Latently and uninfected healthcare workers exposed to TB make protective
antibodies against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 5023-
5028 (2017).

44.   K. Weldingh, P. Andersen, Immunological evaluation of novel Mycobacterium
tuberculosis culture filtrate proteins. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **23**, 159-164 (1999).

45. M. Coppola, T. H. Ottenhoff, Genome wide approaches discover novel Mycobacterium
tuberculosis antigens as correlates of infection, disease, immunity and targets for
vaccination. *Semin Immunol* **39**, 88-101 (2018).
46. J. T. Belisle *et al.*, Role of the major antigen of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in cell wall
biogenesis. *Science* **276**, 1420-1422 (1997).
47. P. S. Renshaw *et al.*, Structure and function of the complex formed by the tuberculosis
virulence factors CFP-10 and ESAT-6. *EMBO J* **24**, 2491-2498 (2005).
48. J. S. McLellan, W. C. Ray, M. E. Peeples, Structure and function of respiratory syncytial
virus surface glycoproteins. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* **372**, 83-104 (2013).
49. O. World Health. (World Health Organization, Geneva, 2011), chap.
WHO/HTM/TB/2011.5
19 p.
50. K. R. Steingart *et al.*, Commercial serological tests for the diagnosis of active pulmonary
and extrapulmonary tuberculosis: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis.
PLoS Med **8**, e1001062 (2011).
51. M. B. Jones *et al.*, B-cell-independent sialylation of IgG. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**,
7207-7212 (2016).
52. A. Schaffert *et al.*, Minimal B Cell Extrinsic IgG Glycan Modifications of Pro- and Anti-
Inflammatory IgG Preparations in vivo. *Front Immunol* **10**, 3024 (2019).
53. P. S. Grace *et al.*, Antibody Subclass and Glycosylation Shift Following Effective TB
Treatment. *Front Immunol* **12**, 679973 (2021).
54. L. R. L. Davies *et al.*, Age and sex influence antibody profiles associated with
tuberculosis progression. *Nat Microbiol*, (2024).
55. L. L. Lu *et al.*, IFN-gamma-independent immune markers of Mycobacterium tuberculosis
exposure. *Nat Med* **25**, 977-987 (2019).
56. M. Ahmed *et al.*, Immune correlates of tuberculosis disease and risk translate across
species. *Sci Transl Med* **12**, (2020).
57. M. P. La Manna *et al.*, Quantitative and qualitative profiles of circulating monocytes may
help identifying tuberculosis infection and disease stages. *PLoS One* **12**, e0171358
(2017).
58. A. Vogelzang *et al.*, Neonatal Fc Receptor Regulation of Lung Immunoglobulin and
CD103+ Dendritic Cells Confers Transient Susceptibility to Tuberculosis. *Infect Immun*
84, 2914-2921 (2016).
59. M. S. Glickman, W. R. Jacobs, Jr., Microbial pathogenesis of Mycobacterium
tuberculosis: dawn of a discipline. *Cell* **104**, 477-485 (2001).
60. P. Chandra, S. J. Grigsby, J. A. Philips, Immune evasion and provocation by
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 750-766 (2022).
61. T. Chen *et al.*, Association of Human Antibodies to Arabinomannan With Enhanced
Mycobacterial Opsonophagocytosis and Intracellular Growth Reduction. *J Infect Dis* **214**,
300-310 (2016).
62. Y. Liu *et al.*, Features and protective efficacy of human mAbs targeting Mycobacterium
tuberculosis arabinomannan. *JCI Insight* **8**, (2023).
63. L. E. DesJardin *et al.*, Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected human macrophages exhibit
enhanced cellular adhesion with increased expression of LFA-1 and ICAM-1 and
reduced expression and/or function of complement receptors, FcgammaRII and the
mannose receptor. *Microbiology (Reading)* **148**, 3161-3171 (2002).
64. S. B. Cohen *et al.*, Alveolar Macrophages Provide an Early Mycobacterium tuberculosis
Niche and Initiate Dissemination. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 439-446 e434 (2018).
65. S. K. Kumar, P. Singh, S. Sinha, Naturally produced opsonizing antibodies restrict the
survival of Mycobacterium tuberculosis in human macrophages by augmenting
phagosome maturation. *Open Biol* **5**, 150171 (2015).

66. N. Andreu *et al.*, Optimisation of bioluminescent reporters for use with mycobacteria.
PLoS One **5**, e10777 (2010).

67. E. B. Irvine *et al.*, Fc-engineered antibodies leverage neutrophils to drive control of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2005.2001.490220 (2022).

68. D. R. Hoff *et al.*, Location of intra- and extracellular M. tuberculosis populations in lungs
of mice and guinea pigs during disease progression and after drug treatment. *PLoS One*
6, e17550 (2011).

69. R. Hernandez-Pando *et al.*, Persistence of DNA from Mycobacterium tuberculosis in
superficially normal lung tissue during latent infection. *Lancet* **356**, 2133-2138 (2000).

70. T. Damelang, S. J. Rogerson, S. J. Kent, A. W. Chung, Role of IgG3 in Infectious
Diseases. *Trends Immunol* **40**, 197-211 (2019).

71. R. L. Shields *et al.*, Lack of fucose on human IgG1 N-linked oligosaccharide improves
binding to human Fcgamma RIII and antibody-dependent cellular toxicity. *J Biol Chem*
277, 26733-26740 (2002).

72. C. Ferrara *et al.*, Unique carbohydrate-carbohydrate interactions are required for high
affinity binding between FcgammaRIII and antibodies lacking core fucose. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **108**, 12669-12674 (2011).

73. T. A. Bates *et al.*, BNT162b2-induced neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibody
functions against SARS-CoV-2 diminish with age. *Cell Rep* **41**, 111544 (2022).

74. R. Vattepu, S. L. Sneed, R. M. Anthony, Sialylation as an Important Regulator of
Antibody Function. *Front Immunol* **13**, 818736 (2022).

75. M. Pai *et al.*, Gamma interferon release assays for detection of Mycobacterium
tuberculosis infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **27**, 3-20 (2014).

76. A. C. Tran *et al.*, Mucosal Therapy of Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis With IgA and
Interferon-gamma. *Front Immunol* **11**, 582833 (2020).

77. S. Krishnananthasivam *et al.*, An anti-LpqH human monoclonal antibody from an
asymptomatic individual mediates protection against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *NPJ*
Vaccines **8**, 127 (2023).

78. S. Bournazos, A. Gupta, J. V. Ravetch, The role of IgG Fc receptors in antibody-
dependent enhancement. *Nat Rev Immunol* **20**, 633-643 (2020).

79. S. B. Halstead, S. Mahalingam, M. A. Marovich, S. Ubol, D. M. Mosser, Intrinsic
antibody-dependent enhancement of microbial infection in macrophages: disease
regulation by immune complexes. *Lancet Infect Dis* **10**, 712-722 (2010).

80. V. V. L. Torres, C. F. Coggon, T. J. Wells, Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of
Bacterial Disease: Prevalence, Mechanisms, and Treatment. *Infect Immun* **89**, (2021).

81. N. A. Kuzmina *et al.*, Antibody-Dependent Enhancement of Ebola Virus Infection by
Human Antibodies Isolated from Survivors. *Cell Rep* **24**, 1802-1815 e1805 (2018).

82. R. H. Widen, C. A. Newton, T. W. Klein, H. Friedman, Antibody-mediated enhancement
of Legionella pneumophila-induced interleukin 1 activity. *Infect Immun* **61**, 4027-4032
(1993).

83. S. A. Miles, S. M. Conrad, R. G. Alves, S. M. Jeronimo, D. M. Mosser, A role for IgG
immune complexes during infection with the intracellular pathogen Leishmania. *J Exp*
Med **201**, 747-754 (2005).

84. P. E. Kima *et al.*, Internalization of Leishmania mexicana complex amastigotes via the
Fc receptor is required to sustain infection in murine cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Exp*
Med **191**, 1063-1068 (2000).

85. P. Brodin *et al.*, Functional analysis of early secreted antigenic target-6, the dominant T-
cell antigen of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, reveals key residues involved in secretion,
complex formation, virulence, and immunogenicity. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 33953-33959
(2005).

86. S. A. Stanley, S. Raghavan, W. W. Hwang, J. S. Cox, Acute infection and macrophage
subversion by Mycobacterium tuberculosis require a specialized secretion system. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **100**, 13001-13006 (2003).
87. T. A. Bates *et al.*, ESAT-6 undergoes self-association at phagosomal pH and an ESAT-6
specific nanobody restricts M. tuberculosis growth in macrophages. *bioRxiv*, (2024).
88. C. Mehaffy, J. M. Ryan, N. A. Kruh-Garcia, K. M. Dobos, Extracellular Vesicles in
Mycobacteria and Tuberculosis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **12**, 912831 (2022).
89. C. Liu *et al.*, Quantification of circulating Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigen peptides
allows rapid diagnosis of active disease and treatment monitoring. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A **114**, 3969-3974 (2017).
90. B. M. Gunn *et al.*, A Fc engineering approach to define functional humoral correlates of
immunity against Ebola virus. *Immunity* **54**, 815-828 e815 (2021).
91. C. P. Taborda, J. Rivera, O. Zaragoza, A. Casadevall, More is not necessarily better:
prozone-like effects in passive immunization with IgG. *J Immunol* **170**, 3621-3630
(2003).
92. R. L. Jurado, J. Campbell, P. D. Martin, Prozone phenomenon in secondary syphilis.
Has its time arrived? *Arch Intern Med* **153**, 2496-2498 (1993).
93. K. Goodner, F. L. Horsfall, The Protective Action of Type I Antipneumococcus Serum in
Mice : Iv. The Prozone. *J Exp Med* **64**, 369-375 (1936).
94. C. E. Barry, 3rd *et al.*, The spectrum of latent tuberculosis: rethinking the biology and
intervention strategies. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **7**, 845-855 (2009).
95. M. Musvosvi *et al.*, T cell receptor repertoires associated with control and disease
progression following Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. *Nat Med* **29**, 258-269
(2023).
96. P. L. Lin, J. L. Flynn, Understanding latent tuberculosis: a moving target. *J Immunol* **185**,
15-22 (2010).
97. E. B. Irvine *et al.*, Robust IgM responses following intravenous vaccination with Bacille
Calmette-Guerin associate with prevention of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in
macaques. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 1515-1523 (2021).
98. S. Balu *et al.*, A novel human IgA monoclonal antibody protects against tuberculosis. *J*
Immunol **186**, 3113-3119 (2011).
99. E. Ishida *et al.*, Mucosal and systemic antigen-specific antibody responses correlate with
protection against active tuberculosis in nonhuman primates. *EBioMedicine* **99**, 104897
(2024).
100. K. Dijkman *et al.*, Pulmonary MTBVAC vaccination induces immune signatures
previously correlated with prevention of tuberculosis infection. *Cell Rep Med* **2**, 100187
(2021).
101. B. I. Restrepo *et al.*, Cross-sectional assessment reveals high diabetes prevalence
among newly-diagnosed tuberculosis cases. *Bull World Health Organ* **89**, 352-359
(2011).
102. E. P. Scully, Sex, gender and infectious disease. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 359-360 (2022).
103. T. Oni *et al.*, Trilateral overlap of tuberculosis, diabetes and HIV-1 in a high-burden
African setting: implications for TB control. *Eur Respir J* **50**, (2017).
104. E. van Woudenbergh *et al.*, HIV Is Associated with Modified Humoral Immune
Responses in the Setting of HIV/TB Coinfection. *mSphere* **5**, (2020).
105. B. I. Restrepo, Diabetes and Tuberculosis. *Microbiol Spectr* **4**, (2016).
106. K. Tanigaki *et al.*, Hyposialylated IgG activates endothelial IgG receptor FcgammaRIIB
to promote obesity-induced insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 309-322 (2018).
107. S. Fischinger *et al.*, A Mycobacterium tuberculosis Specific IgG3 Signature of Recurrent
Tuberculosis. *Front Immunol* **12**, 729186 (2021).

108. E. R. Haycroft *et al.*, Antibody glycosylation correlates with disease progression in SIV-
Mycobacterium tuberculosis coinfected cynomolgus macaques. *Clin Transl Immunology*
12, e1474 (2023).
109. K. Ronacher *et al.*, Acquired immunodeficiencies and tuberculosis: focus on HIV/AIDS
and diabetes mellitus. *Immunol Rev* **264**, 121-137 (2015).
110. J. G. Burel *et al.*, IgG glycosylation associates with risk of progression from latent to
active tuberculosis. *J Infect* **88**, 106115 (2024).
111. S. Ahangarzadeh, M. Bandehpour, B. Kazemi, Selection of single-chain variable
fragments specific for Mycobacterium tuberculosis ESAT-6 antigen using ribosome
display. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* **20**, 327-333 (2017).
112. E. P. Brown *et al.*, High-throughput, multiplexed IgG subclassing of antigen-specific
antibodies from clinical samples. *J Immunol Methods* **386**, 117-123 (2012).
113. E. H. Adhikari *et al.*, Diverging Maternal and Cord Antibody Functions From SARS-CoV-
2 Infection and Vaccination in Pregnancy. *J Infect Dis* **229**, 462-472 (2024).
114. A. E. Mahan *et al.*, A method for high-throughput, sensitive analysis of IgG Fc and Fab
glycosylation by capillary electrophoresis. *J Immunol Methods* **417**, 34-44 (2015).
115. C. Varadi, C. Lew, A. Guttman, Rapid magnetic bead based sample preparation for
automated and high throughput N-glycan analysis of therapeutic antibodies. *Anal Chem*
86, 5682-5687 (2014).
116. E. P. Brown *et al.*, Multiplexed Fc array for evaluation of antigen-specific antibody
effector profiles. *J Immunol Methods* **443**, 33-44 (2017).
117. T. Neuber *et al.*, Characterization and screening of IgG binding to the neonatal Fc
receptor. *MAbs* **6**, 928-942 (2014).
118. M. E. Ackerman *et al.*, A robust, high-throughput assay to determine the phagocytic
activity of clinical antibody samples. *J Immunol Methods* **366**, 8-19 (2011).
119. P. A. Darrah *et al.*, Multifunctional TH1 cells define a correlate of vaccine-mediated
protection against Leishmania major. *Nat Med* **13**, 843-850 (2007).
120. S. Jegaskanda *et al.*, Cross-reactive influenza-specific antibody-dependent cellular
cytotoxicity antibodies in the absence of neutralizing antibodies. *J Immunol* **190**, 1837-
1848 (2013).
755

757
758 **Figure 1. Antigen specificity changes how IgG associates with latent and active TB. (A)**
759 The bubble plot shows the capacity of each antigen specific IgG to predict active TB disease
760 and latent TB infection by relative risk ratios (RRR) and 95% CI (rings), with higher likelihood of
761 active TB >1 and latent TB <1. The median and 95% CI of IgG reactive to **(B)** *Mtb* cell wall and
762 **(C)** ESAT-6 & CFP-10 are shown with *P*-values adjusted for sex and age.
763

764
Figure 2. Antigen specificity separates antibody Fc domain glycosylation in latent and
active TB. (A) Representative chromatograms show the patterns of individual glycoform
isolated from the Fc domain of antigen specific and bulk total IgG in an individual TB patient
sample. **(B)** The radar plot shows the relative abundance of total glycans from antigen specific
and bulk total IgG with lines depicting the median of all TB patient samples. Comparisons
between ESAT-6 & CFP-10 and *Mtb* cell wall IgG glycans were made by Wilcoxon matched-
pairs signed-ranks tests and significance after adjustment for multiple comparisons is shown. **(C**
– D) Principal components analysis was performed. Each dot summarizes the individual
glycoforms for ESAT-6 & CFP-10, *Mtb* cell, RSV, or total bulk IgG for each individual TB patient.
Score plots show clustering of glycosylation patterns with respect to **(C)** latent and active TB
and **(D)** antigen specificity.
776
777

778
Figure 3. Antibody Fc effector functions diverge more by antigen specificity than latent
and active TB. Principal components analysis was performed. Each dot summarizes the linear
combination of the Fc receptor binding and Fc effector functions (antibody dependent natural
killer cell activation (ADNKA) and antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP)) for ESAT-
6 & CFP-10 and *Mtb* cell wall IgG in each individual TB patient. Biplots show the distribution of
individual TB patient profiles of Fc receptor binding and Fc effector functions to *Mtb* cell wall and
ESAT-6 & CFP-10 with respect to **(A)** latent and active TB and **(B)** antigen specificity. The
arrows in the loadings show the direction of the Fc features and functions that define the model.
787

788
Figure 4. Latent and active TB IgG differentially impact extracellular and intracellular *Mtb*
in macrophage infection. (A) To test the effect of antibodies on extracellular *Mtb*, IgG isolated
from each TB patient was used to opsonize an *Mtb* H37Rv luminescent reporter strain to infect
primary human monocyte derived macrophages (MOI=1). **(B)** Each dot represents *Mtb* burden
relative to control IgG for each individual TB patient averaged over three different human
macrophage donors in independent experiments. Median and 95% CI are shown. The dashed
line shows the median of endemic control samples. **(C)** To test the effect of antibodies on
intracellular *Mtb*, macrophages were first infected with the virulent *Mtb* H37Rv luminescent
reporter strain, extracellular bacteria washed away, *Mtb* infected macrophages treated with IgG,
and then **(D)** *Mtb* burden quantitated as in **(B)**. *P*-values are adjusted for sex and age.
799

800
Figure 5. *Mtb* cell wall IgG enhances opsonophagocytosis of extracellular *Mtb*. The
relationships between *Mtb* cell wall IgG features and *Mtb* were determined by simple linear
regression in latent (n = 18) and active (n = 19) TB with respect to IgG mediated
opsonophagocytosis of extracellular *Mtb* **(A)** and IgG targeting intracellular *Mtb* after
macrophage infection **(B)**. Line thickness is inversely proportional to the *P*-value with <0.05
represented by solid lines. The effect of glycans from *Mtb* cell wall IgG on extracellular **(C)** and
intracellular **(D)** *Mtb* was determined by multiple linear regression with IgG subclasses
(Supplemental Tables 1 and 2). Volcano plots show the strength and direction of the link
between *Mtb* cell wall IgG glycans and *Mtb* by standardized β.
810

811
Figure 6. ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG inhibits intracellular *Mtb*. The relationships between ESAT-6
& CFP-10 IgG features and *Mtb* were determined by simple linear regression in latent (n = 18)
and active (n = 19) TB with respect to IgG mediated opsonophagocytosis of extracellular *Mtb*
(A) and IgG targeting intracellular *Mtb* after macrophage infection **(B)**. Line thickness is
inversely proportional to the *P*-value with <0.05 represented by the solid line. Dot plots show the
dependence of intracellular *Mtb* **(C)** burden and **(D)** growth rate on ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG1 in
latent TB. **(E)** Intracellular *Mtb* burden after treatment with a monoclonal hIgG1 reactive to
ESAT-6 & CFP-10 (anti-ESAT-6 mAb) and isotype control are shown for each day post infection
by mean and SEM. **(F)** *Mtb* burden relative to control IgG is shown and unpaired t-test used to
evaluate significance.
822
823
824

825 **Table: Cohort characteristics**

	Latent TB	Active TB	Significance	Endemic Controls
Total number of individuals	18	19		8
Age in years (range [median])	23 – 79 (40)	18 – 82 (38)	$P = 0.5730^A$	30 – 65 (47)
Sex (no. [%])			$P = 0.6185^B$	
Female	10 (56%)	9 (47%)		5 (63%)
Male	8 (44%)	10 (53%)		3 (38%)
BCG vaccination	15 (83%)	16 (84%)	$P = 0.9423^C$	7 (88%)

827 ^{A}Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate ages and ^{B,C}Chi-square test for sex and BCG

828 vaccination.

831
832 **Supplemental Figure 1. No difference in RSV specific IgG between latent and active TB.**
833 Bar graphs show the median and 95% CI of IgG reactive to RSV. No *P*-values adjusted for sex
834 and age were ≤ 0.05.
835

836
Supplemental Figure 2. Antigen specificity impacts IgG Fc domain N-glycosylation. (A)
The conserved N297-linked complex biantennary glycan structure of the IgG Fc domain is
shown. **(B)** Individual glycoforms on polyclonal IgG are quantified by capillary electrophoresis
using standards from libraries. **(C)** Total glycans summarize individual glycoforms. **(D)** Heatmap
shows the relative abundance of total glycans by antigen specificity. Comparisons were made
by Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests and adjusted for multiple comparisons. **(E)** The
biplot shows the distribution of individual TB patient glycoform patterns for *Mtb* cell wall, ESAT-6
& CFP-10, RSV, and total bulk IgG. The arrows in the loadings show the direction of the
individual glycoforms that define the model. **(F)** The constellation plot shows the relationships
between the glycan patterns for ESAT6 & CFP10 and *Mtb* cell wall IgG in each individual TB
patient as determined by hierarchical clustering.
848

849
Supplemental Figure 3. Binding to Fc receptors, antibody dependent natural killer cell
activation (ADNKA), and antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP) was
determined for each individual TB patient sample for *Mtb* cell wall and ESAT-6 & CFP-10.
(A) Customized Luminex assays were used to measure antigen specific IgG-FcγR binding for
each TB patient sample across three dilutions. *Mtb* cell wall IgG binding to FcγIIa plots are
shown as examples. Area under the curve (AUC) of the MFI are determined for each individual
TB patient and depicted in bar graphs with the median and 95% CI and significance adjusted for
sex and age. **(B)** Antigen specific antibody dependent NK cell activation (ADNKA) was
determined for each individual patient sample using the NK92 expressing FcγRIIIa cell line and
antigen adsorbed ELISA plates. CD107a, IFNg, and TNFa on CD56+ cells were used to
measure activation. **(C)** Antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP) was quantified for
each individual patient sample using THP-1 monocytes and antigen adsorbed fluorescent
beads. The phagocytic score was calculated as the integrated MFI (%frequency x MFI/10,000).
863
864

865
866 **Supplemental Figure 4. The virulent *Mtb* H37Rv luminescent reporter strain captures**
867 **differences in bacterial growth and burden between treatment with latent and active TB**
868 **IgG. (A)** Pearson correlation was used to link luminescence and CFU. **(B)** Daily luminescence
869 measurements of *Mtb* infected macrophages enable the determination of bacterial burden and
870 growth rate by the exponential growth model.
871
872

873
874 **Supplemental Figure 5. RSV IgG3 and Fc receptor binding do not relate to extracellular**
875 ***Mtb*.** The absence of significant relationships between *Mtb* burden after IgG mediated
876 opsonophagocytosis of extracellular bacteria and **(A)** RSV IgG3 and **(B)** RSV IgG Fc receptor
877 binding was determined by linear regression.
878

879
880 **Supplemental Figure 6. ESAT-6 & CFP-10 glycans do not relate to *Mtb* burden.** The effect
881 of glycans from ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG on extracellular (A) and intracellular (B) Mtb was
882 determined by multiple linear regression with IgG subclasses (Supplemental Tables 3 and 4).
883 Volcano plots show the strength and direction of the link between ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG
884 glycans and *Mtb* by standardized β.
885

886
887 **Supplemental Figure 7. *Mtb* cell wall, not ESAT-6 & CFP-10, IgG Fc potency is higher in**
888 **latent compared to active TB.** The heatmap shows the amount of antigen specific Fc effector
889 functions relative to IgG titers with significance adjusted for sex and age. *$P \leq 0.05$ and **$P \leq$
890 0.01.
891

Supplemental Figure 8. A human IgG1 mAb binds ESAT-6 & CFP-10 and does not inhibit
intracellular *Mtb* at low doses. Relative binding of mAb to ESAT-6 and isotype control
CR3022 (anti-SARS-CoV-2 RBD hIgG1) to **(A)** ESAT-6 & CFP-10, **(B)** ESAT-6, and **(C)** CFP-10
are shown. Treatment of *Mtb* infected macrophages with **(D)** 1.5 or **(E)** 0.15µg/mL mAb did not
significantly impact *Mtb* burden compared to isotype control as determined by unpaired t-test.
Mean and SEM are shown.

899
900

Supplemental Table 1. Models evaluating the impact of *Mtb* cell wall IgG glycans and subclasses on extracellular *Mtb*

Latent TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	0.77 / 0.0184	0.64 / 0.0247	-0.32 / 0.1595	0.52 / 0.0082	0.81 / 0.0071	0.0085
Galactose	0.02 / 0.9423	0.16 / 0.5015	-0.21 / 0.4593	0.54 / 0.0276	0.47 / 0.1421	0.1074
Fucose	-0.21 / 0.4081	0.24 / 0.3317	-0.28 / 0.3255	0.53 / 0.0269	0.54 / 0.0804	0.0801
GlcNAc	-0.07 / 0.7791	0.15 / 0.5321	-0.23 / 0.4314	0.52 / 0.0387	0.49 / 0.1177	0.1041
Fucose w/ S	0.03 / 0.8859	0.15 / 0.5039	-0.20 / 0.4992	0.54 / 0.0265	0.46 / 0.1209	0.1067
Fucose w/o S	-0.72 / 0.0273	0.60 / 0.0350	-0.31 / 0.1786	0.54 / 0.0084	0.79 / 0.0097	0.0118

Active TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	0.42 / 0.1653	0.27 / 0.3103	0.17 / 0.6448	-0.07 / 0.8632	0.34 / 0.2314	0.5379
Galactose	0.58 / 0.0703	0.30 / 0.2434	0.08 / 0.8159	0.13 / 0.7475	0.41 / 0.1466	0.3426
Fucose	0.06 / 0.8568	0.20 / 0.5014	0.25 / 0.5244	-0.25 / 0.5229	0.20 / 0.4926	0.8653
GlcNAc	0.34 / 0.2528	0.16 / 0.5719	0.28 / 0.4431	-0.18 / 0.6257	0.18 / 0.4939	0.6441
Fucose w/ S	0.39 / 0.2324	0.15 / 0.5732	0.27 / 0.4592	-0.08 / 0.8360	0.27 / 0.3356	0.6232
Fucose w/o S	-0.47 / 0.1127	0.31 / 0.2503	0.21 / 0.5463	-0.09 / 0.8134	0.34 / 0.2165	0.4453

Std. β – Standardized Beta from multiple linear regression

911 **Supplemental Table 2. Models evaluating the impact of *Mtb* cell wall IgG glycans and**
912 **subclasses on intracellular *Mtb***
913
914 Latent TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	0.51 / 0.3237	0.48 / 0.2933	-0.17 / 0.6513	0.01 / 0.9808	0.22 / 0.6167	0.9124
Galactose	0.03 / 0.9234	0.16 / 0.6200	-0.10 / 0.7947	0.02 / 0.9590	0.01 / 0.9786	0.9962
Fucose	0.04 / 0.9149	0.14 / 0.6933	-0.08 / 0.8396	0.02 / 0.9437	-0.02 / 0.9642	0.9961
GlcNAc	0.07 / 0.8453	0.17 / 0.6096	-0.08 / 0.8539	0.04 / 0.9050	-0.03 / 0.9411	0.9953
Fucose w/ S	0.21 / 0.5005	0.16 / 0.6218	-0.03 / 0.9440	0.04 / 0.9009	-0.02 / 0.9646	0.9708
Fucose w/o S	-0.57 / 0.2627	0.51 / 0.2528	-0.18 / 0.6286	0.02 / 0.9544	0.25 / 0.5675	0.8713

915
916 Active TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	-0.48 / 0.2668	-0.28 / 0.3274	-0.28 / 0.8464	-0.06 / 0.9620	-0.01 / 0.0928	0.4236
Galactose	-0.24 / 0.3572	-0.26 / 0.3098	-0.31 / 0.9483	0.02 / 0.8013	0.07 / 0.4499	0.7991
Fucose	0.09 / 0.4065	-0.24 / 0.3544	-0.30 / 0.6194	0.16 / 0.5731	0.16 / 0.7775	0.8782
GlcNAc	-0.05 / 0.4609	-0.21 / 0.3204	-0.33 / 0.6755	0.13 / 0.5665	0.16 / 0.8595	0.8854
Fucose w/ S	-0.28 / 0.5320	-0.17 / 0.2391	-0.37 / 0.9574	0.02 / 0.6960	0.11 / 0.3861	0.7680
Fucose w/o S	0.51 / 0.2129	-0.32 / 0.2324	-0.33 / 0.9108	-0.03 / 0.9928	0.00 / 0.0681	0.3564

917
918 Std. β – Standardized Beta from multiple linear regression
919
920

Supplemental Table 3. Models evaluating the impact of ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG glycans and subclasses on extracellular *Mtb*

Latent TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	-0.06 / 0.8243	-0.28 / 0.4560	0.12 / 0.6978	0.21 / 0.5683	-0.11 / 0.7394	0.6554
Galactose	-0.13 / 0.6518	-0.27 / 0.4679	0.12 / 0.6804	0.23 / 0.5203	-0.09 / 0.7722	0.6277
Fucose	0.25 / 0.4371	-0.18 / 0.6237	0.19 / 0.5342	0.26 / 0.4699	-0.22 / 0.5219	0.5578
GlcNAc	0.52 / 0.1263	-0.12 / 0.7356	0.32 / 0.2837	0.27 / 0.3953	-0.33 / 0.3054	0.3088
Fucose w/ S	0.19 / 0.5876	-0.19 / 0.6347	0.17 / 0.5789	0.20 / 0.5730	-0.20 / 0.5746	0.6116
Fucose w/o S	0.06 / 0.8270	-0.28 / 0.4555	0.11 / 0.7011	0.20 / 0.5690	-0.11 / 0.7347	0.6556

Active TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	-0.01 / 0.9785	0.22 / 0.5553	-2.41 / 0.1041	1.95 / 0.1656	0.56 / 0.1603	0.4468
Galactose	0.08 / 0.7855	0.24 / 0.4999	-2.23 / 0.1436	1.79 / 0.2180	0.54 / 0.1707	0.4014
Fucose	0.49 / 0.1644	-0.14 / 0.7289	-2.85 / 0.0379	2.51 / 0.0636	0.42 / 0.2529	0.2195
GlcNAc	0.43 / 0.1998	-0.13 / 0.7563	-2.05 / 0.1185	2.01 / 0.1137	0.40 / 0.2949	0.2458
Fucose w/ S	0.37 / 0.1875	0.00 / 0.9981	-2.30 / 0.0779	2.10 / 0.1004	0.45 / 0.2165	0.2371
Fucose w/o S	0.02 / 0.9596	0.21 / 0.5565	-2.42 / 0.1004	1.95 / 0.1618	0.55 / 0.1611	0.4465

Std. β – Standardized Beta from multiple linear regression

930 **Supplemental Table 4. Models evaluating the impact of ESAT-6 & CFP-10 IgG glycans**
931 **and subclasses on intracellular *Mtb***

933 Latent TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	0.11 / 0.6710	-0.51 / 0.1236	-0.26 / 0.3071	-0.08 / 0.8015	-0.26 / 0.3521	0.1933
Galactose	0.05 / 0.8519	-0.53 / 0.1126	-0.27 / 0.3022	-0.06 / 0.8370	-0.25 / 0.3668	0.2049
Fucose	-0.07 / 0.7971	-0.55 / 0.1119	-0.29 / 0.2917	-0.07 / 0.8271	-0.22 / 0.4692	0.2024
GlcNAc	0.03 / 0.9208	-0.52 / 0.1323	-0.25 / 0.3753	-0.04 / 0.8884	-0.26 / 0.3989	0.2070
Fucose w/ S	0.04 / 0.8910	-0.51 / 0.1523	-0.25 / 0.3533	-0.05 / 0.8780	-0.26 / 0.3918	0.2062
Fucose w/o S	-0.11 / 0.6694	-0.51 / 0.1252	-0.26 / 0.3111	-0.08 / 0.8020	-0.26 / 0.3558	0.1932

935 Active TB

Model Glycan	Glycan Std. β / *P*-value	IgG1 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG2 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG3 Std. β / *P*-value	IgG4 Std. β / *P*-value	Model *P*-value
Sialic Acid	0.16 / 0.6853	-0.21 / 0.6004	0.73 / 0.6406	-0.48 / 0.7478	-0.12 / 0.7744	0.9601
Galactose	0.01 / 0.9879	-0.26 / 0.5207	0.53 / 0.7462	-0.34 / 0.8304	-0.13 / 0.7662	0.9745
Fucose	-0.23 / 0.5701	-0.09 / 0.8499	0.73 / 0.6292	-0.60 / 0.6939	-0.06 / 0.8827	0.9435
GlcNAc	-0.22 / 0.5759	-0.09 / 0.8613	0.34 / 0.8182	-0.37 / 0.7994	-0.05 / 0.9159	0.9446
Fucose w/ S	-0.04 / 0.9107	-0.24 / 0.5816	0.51 / 0.7306	-0.35 / 0.8132	-0.12 / 0.7886	0.9735
Fucose w/o S	-0.18 / 0.6371	-0.21 / 0.6033	0.74 / 0.6299	-0.49 / 0.7423	-0.12 / 0.7816	0.9543

937 Std. β – Standardized Beta from multiple linear regression