1 **Genome-wide mapping of native co-localized G4s and R-loops in living cells**
2

3 Ting Liu[1,#], Xing Shen[1,#], Yijia Ren[1,#], Hongyu Lu[1], Yu Liu[2], Chong Chen[2], Lin Yu[1,*],
4 Zhihong Xue[1,†,*]
5

[1] Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Disease of Women and Children of

MOE, Department of Pediatrics, West China Second University Hospital, State Key

Laboratory of Biotherapy and Collaborative Innovation Center of Biotherapy, Sichuan

University, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610041, China.

[2] Department of Hematology and Institute of Hematology, State Key Laboratory of

Biotherapy and Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu,

Sichuan, China.

[†] Lead Contact

[#] Contributed equally

* Correspondence: xuez@scu.edu.cn (Z.X.), yu_scu@scu.edu.cn (L.Y.)
16

17 Key words: G-quadruplex, G4, R-loop, Hemin, HepG4-seq, HBD-seq, Dhx9
18
19
20

Abstract

The non-B DNA structures can act as dynamic functional genomic elements regulating gene expression. Among them, G4s and R-loops are two of the best studied. The interplay between R-loops and G4s are emerging in regulating DNA repair, replication and transcription. A comprehensive picture of native co-localized G4s and R-loops in living cells is currently lacking. Here, we describe the development of HepG4-seq and an optimized HBD-seq methods, which robustly capture native G4s and R-loops, respectively, in living cells. We successfully employed these methods to establish comprehensive maps of native co-localized G4s and R-loops in human HEK293 cells and mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs). We discovered that co-localized G4s and R-loops are dynamically altered in a cell type-dependent manner and are largely localized at active promoters and enhancers of transcriptional active genes. We further demonstrated the helicase Dhx9 as a direct and major regulator that modulates the formation and resolution of co-localized G4s and R-loops. Depletion of Dhx9 impaired the self-renewal and differentiation capacities of mESCs by altering the transcription of co-localized G4s and R-loops - associated genes. Taken together, our work established that the endogenous co-localized G4s and R-loops are prevalently persisted in the regulatory regions of active genes and are involved in the transcriptional regulation of their linked genes, opening the door for exploring broader roles of co-localized G4s and R-loops in development and disease.

Introduction

Genomic DNA can form various types of non-B secondary structures, including G-quadruplexes (G4s), R-loops, Z-DNA, i-motifs, Cruciform and others (Matos-Rodrigues et al., 2023). Among them, G4s and R-loops are two of the best studied. G4s are built by stacked guanine tetrads connected via Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds and can be formed by intra- or inter-molecular folding of the tetramers (Panyutin et al., 1989; Sen and Gilbert, 1988; Sundquist and Klug, 1989; Williamson et al., 1989). R-loops are three-stranded structures containing a DNA-RNA hybrid and a displaced

single-stranded DNA (Aguilera and Garcia-Muse, 2012; Xu and Clayton, 1996). Both

G4s and R-loops are involved in key biological processes, including transcription,

replication, genomic instability, class switch recombination in B cells, DNA damage

and repair, and telomere maintenance (Garcia-Muse and Aguilera, 2019; Robert

Hänsel-Hertsch, 2017; Varshney et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023).

R-loops appear to have a strong sequence preference with high G/C ratios

(Ginno et al., 2013; Ginno et al., 2012). Reports about the interplay between R-loops

and G4s are emerging. Specific G4 ligands stabilized G4s and simultaneously

increase R-loop levels within minutes in human cancer cells, which finally induced

DNA damage (De Magis et al., 2019). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been

reported to induce G4 and R-loop formation at transcriptionally active sites, and their

inter-regulation is essential for the DNA repair (Tan et al., 2020). In a reconstituted

eukaryotic DNA replication system, the interplay of R-loops and G4s was shown to

impact replication fork progression by inducing fork stalling (Kumar et al., 2021).

Single-molecule fluorescence studies showed the existence of a positive feedback

mechanism of G4 and R-loop formation during transcription, where the transcription-

induced R-loop precedes and facilitates G4 formation in the non-template strand, and

in turn G4 promotes the R-loop formation in the following rounds of transcription (Lee

et al., 2020; Lim and Hohng, 2020). Wulfridge et al. reported that the architectural

protein CCCTC binding factor (CTCF)-bound sites are enriched for R-loops and G4s

which facilitate CTCF binding to promote chromatin looping interactions (Wulfridge et

al., 2023).

Detection of G4s and R-loops have been largely based on the use of a single-

chain variable fragment (scFv) BG4 for G4s and a monoclonal antibody S9.6 for R-

loops. In recent years, these two affinity probe have been coupled with deep

sequencing to genome-widely detect G4s and R-loops (Galli et al., 2022; Ginno et al.,

2012; Hänsel-Hertsch et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2023; Lyu et al., 2021). Using BG4

and S9.6 -based CUT & Tag, G4s and R-loops showed high degree of co-occurrence

in mESCs (Lyu et al., 2021). However, given that a group of helicases, RNA-binding

factors, endonucleases and DNA topoisomerases cooperate to actively dissolve G4s

and R-loops restoring B-formed DNA duplexes (Robert Hänsel-Hertsch, 2017;

Varshney et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023), a steady state equilibrium is generally set at

low levels in living cells under physiological conditions (Miglietta et al., 2020) and

thus addition of high affinity antibodies may pull the equilibrium towards folded states.

Additionally, the specificity of the S9.6 antibody on R-loops has been questioned

recently for accurate quantification and mapping of R-loops (Hartono et al., 2018;

Konig et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2013).

To understand the co-localized G4s and R-loops in living cells under

physiological conditions, we sought to develop an *in vivo* strategy for G4 profiling

based on the G4-hemin complex-induced proximal labeling and R-loop profiling

based on the N-terminal hybrid-binding domain (HBD) of RNase H1. Recent studies

showed that G4s could tightly form a complex with the cellular cofactor hemin both *in*

vitro and in living cells, where hemin binds by end-stacking on the terminal G-

quartets of G4s without affecting the folding of G4s (Gray et al., 2019; Stadlbauer et

al., 2021). The G4-hemin complex has been shown to act as a peroxidase to

catalyze oxidation reactions in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (Cheng et

al., 2009; Lat et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2011). The H_2O_2-activated G4-hemin complex

oxidizes the biotin tyramide to phenoxyl redicals that covalently conjugate biotin to

G4 itself and its proximal DNA within 10 nm (equivalent to approximately 31 bp) *in*

vitro and *in vivo* (Einarson and Sen, 2017; Lat et al., 2020). Here, we have utilized

the G4-hemin-mediated proximal biotinylation rection to develop a new method

HepG4-seq (for high throughput **seq**uencing of **he**min-induced **p**roximal labelled **G4**s)

to map the genomic native G4s under physiological conditions. The HBD domain of

RNase H1 mediates the specific recognition of DNA/RNA hybrid in a sequence-

independent manner, which is a gold standard for R-loop recognition in the cell

(Nowotny et al., 2008). The catalytically inactive RNase H1 or its HBD domain -based

methods have been successfully used to identify genome-wide native R-loops (Chen

et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2021). We have adapted the "GST-His6-2xHBD"-mediated

CUT&Tag protocol (Wang et al., 2021) to develop the HBD-seq protocol in this study.

We have combined the HepG4-seq and HBD-seq to profile the genome-wide

native co-localized G4s and R-loops with high signal-to-noise ratios in HEK293 cells

and mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs). We observed that the co-localized G4s

and R-loops exhibit cell type-dependent distributions and are largely localized at

active promoters and enhancers of transcriptionally active genes. We further showed

that ~70% of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in mESCs were directly bound by the

helicase Dhx9 and that depletion of Dhx9 significantly altered the levels of ~6200 co-

localized G4s and R-loops bound by Dhx9. Furthermore, depletion of Dhx9 was

shown to impair the self-renewal and differentiation capacities of mESCs by altering

the transcription of co-localized G4s and R-loops -associated genes.

Results

Mapping of the native DNA G4 through the G4-hemin-mediated proximal

biotinylation

The DNA G4-hemin complex could act as a mimic peroxidase to oxidize the

biotin tyramide to phenoxyl radicals that can covalently conjugate biotin to G4 itself

and its proximal DNA within ~30bp in the presence of H_2O_2 (Cheng et al., 2009;

Einarson and Sen, 2017; Lat et al., 2020). However, the efficiency of peroxidase-

mediated biotinylation on DNA is limited using the substrate biotin tyramide (Zhou et

al., 2019). Recently, biotin aniline (Bio-An) has been shown to have superior labeling

efficiency on DNA than biotin tyramide, when catalyzed by the engineered

peroxidase APEX2 (Zhou et al., 2019). The free heme concentration in normal

human erythrocytes is 21 ± 2 µM (Aich et al., 2015). To explore the G4-hemin-

mediated biotinylation in the living cells, we treated HEK293 cells with 25 µM hemin

and 500 µM Bio-An for 2 hours prior to activation with 1mM H_2O_2 for 1 minute, and

then quenched the labeling reaction and performed the immunofluorescence staining

using Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated recombinant streptavidin (Strep-647) that

specifically recognizes biotin. As shown in Fig. 1A, cells treated with hemin and Bio-

An exhibited a robust fluorescence signal, while the absence of either hemin or Bio-

An almost completely abolished the biotinylation signals, suggesting a specific and

active biotinylation activity. To understand whether addition of hemin disturbs the

formation of G4s, we performed the BG4 CUT&Tag-seq using the recombinant BG4

and Tn5 on HEK293 cells treated with and without 25 µM hemin (Supplementary Fig.

1A, B). The heatmap and profile plot analysis showed similar BG4 CUT&Tag signals

between the hemin-treated and control samples (Supplementary Fig. 1B). There

were only 174 BG4 CUT&Tag peaks with significantly differential signals between the

hemin-treated and control samples (Supplementary Fig. 1C). These data suggest

that the hemin treatment condition we used does not significantly affect G4 folding.

Therefore, hemin-induced proximal biotinylation of G4s could be utilized to mark the

native G4s in living cells.

The recombinant streptavidin monomer (mSA) combines the streptavidin and

rhizavidin sequences to achieve specific monovalent detection of biotin or

biotinylated molecules with a high affinity (Kd= 2.8 nM) (Lim et al., 2013). The Moon-

tag system consists of a 15-amino acid peptide GP41-tag and a 123-amino acid anti-

GP41-tag nanobody with an affinity of ~30 nM *in vitro* (Boersma et al., 2019). We

expressed and purified the recombinant mSA fused with the anti-GP41 nanobody

(mSA-scFv), and the recombinant Tn5 fused with the GP41-tag and protein G

(GP41-pG-Tn5) from *E. coli* (Supplementary Fig. 1A). To map the hemin-induced

biotinylated G4s, we developed a new method HepG4-seq (Fig. 1B), where mSA-

scFv recognizes the biotinylated G4s and recruits the transposase Tn5 to achieve

"Cleavage Under Targets and Tagmentation (CUT&Tag)". Deep sequencing analysis

of the biotinylated G4s fragments identified 6,799 consensus peaks from two

independent biological repeats in HEK293 cells, where the signals were dramatically

diminished in HEK293 cells without treatment with hemin and Bio-An, suggesting the

specificity of HepG4-seq (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Table 1). Several representative

HepG4s-seq-identified G4 peaks were shown in Fig. 1D. Genomic distribution

analysis showed that G4s are mainly localized in promoters (38.7%) and gene bodies

(47.1%) (Fig. 1E).

We also evaluated the HepG4-seq-identified peaks using a G4-froming

sequences (PQS) predication tool pqsfinder which has been shown to have 96%

accuracy on ~400 known and experimentally observed G4 structures (Hon et al.,

2017). The peaks identified by HepG4-seq overlap quite well with the center of

pqsfinder maxScores that report the PQS quality (Fig. 1C-D). The motif enrichment

analysis by HOMER (Heinz et al., 2010) revealed a high prevalence of G-rich

sequences in HepG4-seq peaks (Fig. 1F). All above further validated the specificity of

HepG4-seq in capturing G4s.

Induction of DNA G4s by inhibiting G4 resolving helicase

The RecQ-like helicases Bloom syndrome protein (BLM) and Werner syndrome

ATP-dependent helicase (WRN) are the first recognized and the best characterized

DNA G4-resolving mammalian helicases (Fry and Loeb, 1999; Mendoza et al., 2016;

Mohaghegh et al., 2001). The small molecules ML216 and NSC617145 are selective

and cell permeable inhibitors of BLM and WRN, respectively, by inhibiting their

ATPase activity (Aggarwal et al., 2013a; Aggarwal et al., 2013b; Nguyen et al., 2013).

To investigate the effect of BLM or WRN inhibition on native G4s , we treated

HEK293 cells with ML216 or NSC617145 for 16 hours and then labeled cellular G4s

by hemin-G4-induced biotinylation in living cells. Immunofluorescence staining of the

treated cells using Strep-647 showed that the treatment of ML216 or NSC617145

remarkably elevated signals of native G4s (Fig. 1G). Furthermore, we performed

HepG4-seq on HEK293 cells treated with ML216 or NSC617145. Notably, HepG4-

seq identified 77,003 peaks from ML216- or NSC617145- treated HEK293 cells, and

~ 70,000 new G4 peaks were induced by inhibition of BLM or WRN (Supplementary

Table 1). The signals of G4s detected by HepG4-seq were significantly increased

after inhibiting BLM or WRN (Fig. 1H-I). Representative G4s peaks are shown in Fig.

1J. Taken together, these data suggest that HepG4-seq is able to efficiently detect

dynamic native G4s.

Mapping of native co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells

The HBD domain of RNase H1 has been demonstrated as a DNA/RNA hybrid

recognition sensor and applied to identify genome-wide native DNA/RNA hybrids

using the recombinant GST-His6-2xHBD coupling with Tn5-based CUT&Tag

(Nowotny et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2021). Given that the GST-fusion proteins are

prone to form variable high molecular-weight aggregates and these aggregates often

undermine the reliability of the fusion proteins (Deceglie et al., 2014; Ki and Pack,

2020), we produced the recombinant two copies of HBDs fused with EGFP and V5-

tag (HBD-V5) (Supplementary Fig. 1A) and used the anti-V5 tag antibody instead of

the anti-His tag antibody for the CUT&Tag-seq. We call this modified protocol as

HBD-seq for mapping the native R-loops in cells (Fig. 2A). We performed the HBD-

seq on HEK293 cells, and revealed 42,488 consensus native R-loops peaks with a

high signal-to-noise ratio while the HBD-seq signals were dramatically diminished in

HEK293 cells treated with the RNases prior to HBD-seq (Fig. 2B), suggesting the

specificity of HBD-seq in detecting native R-loops.

We then analyzed the regions co-occupied by both HepG4-seq-identified G4s

and HBD-seq-identified R-loops, and revealed 5030 native co-localized peaks in

HEK293 cells, ranging in size from 100 bp to ~1.5 kb (Fig. 2C-D, Supplementary Fig.

1D). 73.8% of these co-localized peaks are localized at promoters, 5'UTR, exon1 and

intron 1 (Fig. 2E). When we performed a metagene analysis of these co-localized

peaks, a distinct peak was detected around the transcription start site (TSS) (Fig. 2F).

Representative co-localized peaks are shown in the Fig. 2G. The motifs enrichment

analysis by HOMER (Heinz et al., 2010) showed that G-rich sequences are highly

enriched in the co-localized peaks (Fig. 2H).

The co-localized G4s and R-loops-mediated transcriptional regulation in
HEK293 cells

The predominant distribution of co-localized peaks around TSS implies that they

may participate in transcriptional regulation of their associated genes. RNA-seq

analysis revealed that the RNA levels of co-localized G4s and R-loops-associated

genes are significantly higher (Fig. 3A). Different from G4s and R-loops, the co-

localized G4s and R-loops are mainly localized within 1 kb of the TSS of

transcriptionally active genes (~60% peaks with FPKM >=5) (Fig. 3B). To investigate

the transcriptional regulation of co-localized G4s and R-loops in living cells, we

performed the RNA-seq on HEK293 cells treated with and without ML216 or

NSC617145 and then analyzed the differential gene expression using DESeq2 (Love

et al., 2014). As a result, hundreds of genes were linked to co-localized G4s and R-

loops with increased G4 signals (at least 1.5 foldchange) and at the same time

exhibited significant changes in expression levels upon inhibition of BLM or WRN in

HEK293 cells (Fig. 3C), suggesting that co-localized G4s and R-loops could regulate

the transcription of their associated genes. Distribution analysis showed that these

differential co-localized G4s and R-loops are mainly localized in the promoter-TSS

(Fig. 3D). Among the differential genes, 125 genes were co-regulated by both ML216

and NSC617145 (Fig. 3E), suggesting that BLM and WRN could co-regulate the

transcription of genes by resolving G4s. Gene ontology (GO) analysis showed that

co-localized G4s & R-loops-regulated genes in HEK293 cells are mainly involved in

cell cycle regulation, DNA/mRNA metabolic regulation, DNA damage response,

chromatin binding, kinase binding, cell-substrate junction, et al (Fig. 3F).

Mapping of native co-localized G4s and R-loops in mESCs

Mouse embryonic stem cells (mESCs) are pluripotent stem cells that could

differentiate into various types of cells of three germ lineages (Murry and Keller, 2008;

Young, 2011). To understand the regulatory roles of co-localized G4s and R-loops in

mESCs, we performed the HepG4-seq and HBD-seq on mESCs and finally

uncovered 68,482 native overlapping peaks in mESCs, ranging in size from 100 bp

to ~2 kb (Fig. 4A-D, Supplementary Fig. 2A, Supplementary Table 2). Notably, unlike

HEK293 cells, large number of native G4s (95,128) were identified by HepG4-seq in

mESCs (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Table 2), which well overlap with the PQS predicted

by pqsfinder (Fig. 4B), suggesting that native G4s exhibit obvious cell type-specific

distribution.

For the genomic distribution of co-localized G4s and R-loops, unlike HEK293

cells, only 34.2% peaks are localized in promoters, exon1 and intron1 while 25.9%

peaks in intergenic regions (Fig. 4E). The distinct number and localization feature of

co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells and mESCs shows the cell type-

specific distribution. The metagene analysis of overlapping peaks exhibited a distinct

peak around TSS (Fig. 4F), suggesting the potential of transcriptional regulation. The

motifs enrichment analysis found that G-rich sequences are highly enriched in these

overlapping peaks, similar to those in HEK293 cells (Fig. 4G). Representative peaks

were found in several key regulatory genes of mESCs (Fig. 4H).

Characterization of native co-localized G4s and R-loops in mESCs

Similar to HEK293 cells, the RNA levels of co-localized G4s and R-loops-

associated genes were seen to be significantly higher in mESCs (Fig. 5A). However,

unlike in HEK293 cells (Fig. 3B), the overlapping peaks in mESCs are mainly

localized in the proximal promoters (1kb from TSS, 9063 peaks with FPKM >=5) and

the region 5-50 kb from the TSS of transcriptionally active genes (32690 peaks with

FPKM >=5) (Fig. 5B), suggesting that co-localized G4s and R-loops are possibly

distributed in active promoters or enhancers. To test this idea, we analyzed the co-

localization of G4, R-loop, multiple chromatin markers and RNA polymerase II with

the phosphorylated serine 5 at its CTD domain (RNAP) that marks the

transcriptionally initiated RNA Polymerase II (Hsin and Manley, 2012). As a result, co-

localized G4s and R-loops were observed to well overlap with active chromatin

markers (H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me1) and RNAP but not the

repressed chromatin marker H3K27me3 (Fig. 5C).

Extensive studies define promoters into active, bivalent and repressed states

based on patterns of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 (Fig. 5D); enhancers are defined as

active, poised and unmarked states based on patterns of H3K27ac and H3K4me1

(Fig. 5D) (Atlasi and Stunnenberg, 2017; Bernstein et al., 2006; Bibikova et al., 2008;

Calo and Wysocka, 2013; Heintzman et al., 2007). Notably, 20,741 and 19,726 co-

localized G4s and R-loops are found in promoters and enhancers, respectively;

18,496 peaks are seen in active promoters; 15,787 peaks are seen in active

enhancers (Fig. 5D, Supplementary Table 2). The co-localized G4s and R-loops in

active promoters show high and almost equal signals of G4s and R-loops, and enrich

H3K4me3, H3K27ac and RNAP (Fig. 5E). The co-localization of G4s, R-loops and

RNAP at active promoters suggests that co-localized G4s and R-loops are likely

linked to promoter-associated nascent RNAs (Core et al., 2008; Li and Fu, 2019;

Preker et al., 2008; Seila et al., 2008). Interestingly, a medium level of H3K4me1 is

present in a bimodal pattern beside co-localized G4s and R-loops at active promoters

(Fig. 5E). The co-localized G4s and R-loops in bivalent promoters exhibit low signals

of both G4s and R-loops, and overlap with a high level of H3K27me3, a medium level

of H3K4me1, and a low level of H3K4m3 (Fig. 5E). The co-localized G4s and R-loops

in active enhancers exhibit sharp peaks and well overlap with H3K27ac, H3K4me1,

H3K4me3 and RNAP; the co-localized G4s and R-loops in poised enhancers enrich

the active histone marks H3K4me1 and H3K4me3, and the repressive mark

H3K27me3 while the signal of RNAP is very low (Fig. 5F). The unmarked enhancers-

associated co-localized G4s and R-loops show low levels of all marks tested. Given

that enhancer RNAs (eRNAs) have been widely identified as non-coding RNAs in

enhancers and are functionally important for enhancer activity (Andersson et al.,

2014; Kim et al., 2010; Sigova et al., 2015), the co-occupacy of eRNAs are likely

involved in the formation of co-localized G4s and R-loops in enhancers and shed

light on the new regulatory mechanism of eRNA action.

Modulation of co-localized G4s and R-loops by the helicase Dhx9

Dhx9 (also known as RNA Helicase A) is a versatile helicase capable of directly

resolving R-loops and G4s or promoting R-loop formation by unwinding secondary

structures in the nascent RNA strand (Chakraborty and Grosse, 2011; Chakraborty et

al., 2018; Cristini et al., 2018; Matsui et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2022; Yuan et al., 2021),

and has been reported to play roles in DNA replication, transcription, translation, RNA

processing and transport and maintenance of genomic stability (Aktas et al., 2017;

Aratani et al., 2001; Chellini et al., 2022; Jain et al., 2013; Tang et al., 2022). Thus,

Dhx9 is a promising regulator of co-localized G4s and R-loops.

To investigate the role of Dhx9 in modulating co-localized G4s and R-loops, we

generated the Dhx9 knockout mESCs ($dhx9^{KO}$) by CRISPR/Cas9-medidated gene

editing (Ran et al., 2013). The depletion of Dhx9 in the *dhx9^{KO}* mESC clone was

confirmed by western blot assay (Fig. 6A) and immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 6B).

We next examined the G4 an R-loop levels in the *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs by performing

HepG4-seq and HBD-seq. Notably, compared to the wildtype mESCs, a large

amount of G4s or R-loops within co-localized G4s and R-loops exhibited significantly

up-regulated or down-regulated signals in the *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs (Fig. 6C,

Supplementary Fig. 3A, Supplementary Table 2), suggesting that Dhx9 could unwind

or promote the formation of co-localized G4s and R-loops in mESCs. Interestingly,

only small proportion of co-localized G4s and R-loops displayed differential G4s and

R-loops at the same time in the *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs (Fig. 6D, Supplementary Fig. 3B),

suggesting that Dhx9 cannot simultaneously unwind or promote G4s and R-loops

within co-localized G4 and R-loop regions and that multiple helicases or regulators

are required for modulating these regions.

Given that co-localized G4s and R-loops have been shown to be enriched in

active promoters and enhancers (Fig. 5D-F), the loss-of-Dhx9-induced differential co-

localized G4s and R-loops preferentially localize in the active and bivalent promoters

and all three types of enhancers (Fig. 6E). To explore the effect of Dhx9 on the

transcription of co-localized G4s and R-loops -associated genes, we performed the

RNA-seq on wild-type and *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs. Differential gene expression analysis

revealed 1647 significantly up-regulated genes and 1916 significantly down-regulated

genes in absence of Dhx9 (Supplementary Fig. 3C-D). Importantly, loss of Dhx9

resulted in hundreds of G4-, R-loop-, and G4&R-loop-associated genes with

significantly differential expression (Fig. 6F), suggesting that Dhx9 could regulate

transcription by modulating G4s, R-loops and co-localized G4s and R-loops.

Representative Dhx9-regulated locus are shown in Fig. 6G. GO analysis showed that

co-localized G4s and R-loops-associated genes that showed differential expression

after knocking out Dhx9 are mainly involved in negative regulation of cell

differentiation, head development, positive regulation of cell motility, DNA-binding

transcription activator activity, pattern specification process, embryonic organ

morphogenesis, et al., suggesting that Dhx9 may regulate the cell fate of mESCs by

modulating co-localized G4s and R-loops (Fig. 6H). Coinciding with GO analysis,

Dhx9 knockout in the mouse causes embryonic lethality and Dhx9 knockdown leads

to large structural changes in chromatin and eventually cell death (He et al., 2008;

Zhang et al., 2004). Heterozygous loss-of-function variants of DHX9 are associated

with neurodevelopmental disorders in human (Yamada et al., 2023).

Characterization of co-localized G4s and R-loops directly bound by Dhx9

Tens of helicases or regulators have been reported to directly resolve or stabilize

G4s or R-loops (Mendoza et al., 2016; Varshney et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2023).

Interestingly, loss of Dhx9 caused 30 of these helicases/regulators to be significantly

differentially expressed (Fig. 7A) and Dhx9 physically interacts with at least ten of

them based on the STRING protein-protein interaction network database (Szklarczyk

et al., 2023) (Supplementary Fig. 3E). These data suggest that Dhx9 could also

indirectly modulate G4s and R-loops by affecting other helicases or regulators. Thus,

to explore the direct target co-localized G4s and R-loops of Dhx9, we performed the

CUT&Tag-seq using the Dhx9 antibody (Kaya-Okur et al., 2019) and revealed 54,982

Dhx9 binding peaks in wild-type mESCs (Fig. 7B). Notably, 65.5% Dhx9 binding

peaks well overlapped with 69.9% co-localized G4s and R-loops in mESCs (Fig. 7C-

D), suggesting that Dhx9 is a direct and major regulator of co-localized G4s and R-

loops in mESCs. Motif analysis showed that G-rich sequences are highly enriched in

the Dhx9 binding peaks overlapping with co-localized G4s and R-loops in mESCs

(Fig. 7E), further demonstrating that Dhx9 directly bind to co-localized G4s and R-

loops that harbor G-rich sequences as shown in Fig. 4G.

We next compared the Dhx9-bound co-localized G4s and R-loops in wild-type

and $dhx9^{KO}$ mESCs, and identified 1,382 significantly up-regulated peaks (823 with

increased G4s and 559 with increased R-loops) and 4,789 significantly down-

regulated peaks (2,278 with decreased G4s and 2,511 with decreased R-loops) (Fig.

7F, Supplementary Fig. 3F), accounting for ~50-75% of differential co-localized G4s

and R-loops in absence of Dhx9 (Fig. 6C). Analysis of the genomic distribution of

Dhx9-bound differential co-localized G4s and R-loops found that these peaks are

mainly localize in the active and bivalent promoters and all three types of enhancers

(Fig. 7G). The Dhx9-bound differential co-localized G4s and R-loops were linked to

852 genes with significantly differential expression (Supplementary Fig. 3G,

Supplementary table 2), which are enriched in GO terms related to pattern

specification, cell junction organization, brain development, negative regulation of cell

differentiation, mesenchyme/mesoderm development, embryonic morphogenesis, et

al. (Fig. 7H). Several key regulators of mouse embryonic stem cell and embryonic

development, such as Nanog, Lin28a, Bmp4, Wnt8a, Gata2, and Lef1, were shown

to be transcriptionally regulated by Dhx9 through direct modulation of their

associated G4s and R-loops (Fig. 7I). These data suggest that Dhx9 significantly

contributes to transcriptional regulation of co-localized G4s and R-loops -associated

genes.

Dhx9 regulates the cell fate of mESCs

To understand the role of Dhx9 in regulating the cell fate of mESCs, we first

examined the RNA levels of several key genes that maintain the pluripotency of

mESCs by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) and found that the RNA levels of Lin28a

and Oct4 were significantly decreased and the RNA level of Nanog was significantly

increased when Dhx9 was knocked out (Fig. 8A). Western blot assay showed that

dhx9^{KO} mESCs produce obviously lower level of Lin28a protein than wild-type

mESCs, consistent with its RNA level, whereas in contrast to the RNA level, the

protein level of Nanog was significantly decreased in *dhx9*^{KO} mESCs, suggesting that

Dhx9 directly or indirectly modulates the translation of Nanog (Fig. 8B). In line with

the western blot assay, immunofluorescence staining of WT and *dhx9*^{KO} mESCs

showed that the loss of Dhx9 leads to reduced protein level of Nanog, but not Oct4,

while *dhx9*^{KO} mESCs exhibited normal morphology (Fig. 8C). The mESCs can be

maintained in a proliferative state for prolonged periods, which was known as "self-

renewal" (Liang and Zhang, 2013; Murry and Keller, 2008). Nanog and Lin28a have

been reported to promote embryonic stem self-renewal (Chambers et al., 2003;

Mitsui et al., 2003; Xu et al., 2009). Coinciding with reduced levels of Nanog and

Lin28a proteins, *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs was shown to modestly arrest at S phase of the cell-

cycle (Fig. 8D) and exhibited significantly attenuated proliferation capacity (Fig. 8E),

suggesting that Dhx9 regulates the self-renewal of mESCs.

mESCs are pluripotent stem cells which are able to differentiate into three germ

lineages (Murry and Keller, 2008; Young, 2011). In the absence of differentiation

inhibitor LIF, mESCs cultured in suspension spontaneously form three-dimensional

aggregates called embryoid bodies (EBs), which could recapitulate many aspects of

early embryogenesis, including the induction of three early germ lineages (Simunovic

and Brivanlou, 2017). To understand role of Dhx9 in regulating the pluripotency of

mESCs, we performed the EB assay using the wild-type and *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs. As the

EB differentiation progressed, loss of Dhx9 resulted in apparently smaller and fewer

EBs than wild-type cells (Fig. 8F). At the same time, we collected EBs at different

days of EB differentiation and examined the RNA levels of well-known markers of

three germ lineages by qRT-PCR. As shown in Fig. 8G, all maker genes tested

displayed significantly differential expression, suggesting that Dhx9 regulates the

pluripotency of mESCs, which is in line with the GO enrichment results of Dhx9-

regulated co-localized G4s and R-loops-associated genes in Fig. 7H. Taken together,

Dhx9 regulates the self-renewal and differentiation capacities of mESCs.

Discussion

In this study, we developed the new method "HepG4-seq" and optimized the

RNase H1 HBD domain-based HBD-seq to robustly map endogenous G4s and R-

loops, respectively, in living cells with high specificity. Using the HepG4-seq and

HBD-seq, we systematically characterized the native co-localized G4s and R-loops in

HEK293 cells and mESCs, and revealed that co-localized G4s and R-loops are

dynamically altered in a cell type-dependent way and largely localized at active

promoters and enhancers of transcriptional active genes. Small molecules-induced

inhibition of helicases BLM or WRN resulted in significant accumulation of G4s within

co-localized G4s and R-loops and at the same time leaded to genes with significantly

differential expression in HEK293 cells that are enriched in the processes related to

cell cycle, DNA metabolic, DNA damage response, chromatin binding, et al.

Furthermore, we characterized the helicase Dhx9 as a key regulator of co-localized

G4s and R-loops which efficiently unwinds or promotes co-localized G4s and R-loops,

and illustrated that depletion of Dhx9 significantly altered the transcription of co-

localized G4s and R-loops-associated genes that are enriched in embryonic

development, cell differentiation and germ lineage development, et al. Therefore, loss

of Dhx9 apparently impaired the self-renewal and pluripotency of mESCs.

In this study, we utilized a low dosage of hemin, similar to the physiological

concentration in normal human erythrocytes, to spark the peroxidase activity of

endogenous G4s without significantly altering the levels of native G4s

(Supplementary Fig. 1B-C) and further robustly biotinylated G4s themselves by G4-

hermin complex-mediated proximity labeling in just one minute in living cells (Cheng

et al., 2009; Einarson and Sen, 2017; Lat et al., 2020; Li et al., 2016; Stadlbauer et

al., 2021; Yang et al., 2011). In consideration of the high affinity and specificity, the

recombinant streptavidin monomer (Lim et al., 2013) is able to recognize the

biotinylated G4s with high sensitivity and specificity and thereby yield robust

CUT&Tag signals with the help of Moon-tag system (Boersma et al., 2019). Therefore,

our HepG4-seq strategy is able to robustly and specifically capture native G4s. In

HEK293 cells, HepG4-seq uncovered 6,799 consensus G4 peaks under wild-type

status and 77,003 G4 peaks in the present of BLM/WRN inhibitors, suggesting that

HepG4-seq is capable of detecting endogenous G4s with high sensitivity.

Notably, we also discovered that the native co-localized G4s and R-loops

landscape is altered in a cell-dependent manner and that approximately 10 folds

more peaks were observed in mESCs than in HEK293 cells, which reflects that co-

localized G4s and R-loops have the potential to regulate the complex pluripotency

network in mESCs. CTCF is a key regulator of genome organization and gene

expression (Ong and Corces, 2014). Recently, Wulfridge et al. reported that CTCF-

bound regions are enriched for both R-loops and G4s and G4s associated with R-

loops promote CTCF binding (Wulfridge et al., 2023). Interestingly, the enriched motif

with the most significant p-value in mESCs co-localized G4s and R-loops (Fig. 4G)

well matches the motif of CTCF ChIP-seq, suggesting that co-localized G4s and R-

loops may be able to modulate the CTCF binding.

Furthermore, while 47,857 co-localized G4s and R-loops are directly bound by

Dhx9 in the wild-type mESCs (Supplementary Table 2), only 4,060 of them display

significantly differential signals in absence of Dhx9, suggesting that redundant

regulators exist. It is worth noting that depletion of Dhx9 significantly altered the

transcription of 30 known G4s and/or R-loops helicases/regulators (Fig. 7A) and that

half of these helicases/regulators are able to establish physical interaction network

(Supplementary Fig. 3E). The multi-faceted pathways of modulating co-localized G4s

and R-loops formation and resolution and the pivot of the complex regulatory network

remain to be elucidated.

Taken together, our study provides new insights into exploring regulatory roles of

co-localized G4s and R-loops in development and disease.

Data availability

The HepG4-seq, HBD-seq, BG4-seq, Dhx9 CUT&Tag and RNA-seq data have

been deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession code GSE254764 and

GSE254763). The ChIP-seq data of histone markers and RNAP are openly available

in GNomEx database (accession number 44R) (Wamstad et al., 2012).

Acknowledgements

Z.X. is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of

China, Stem Cell and Translational Research (2018YFA0109200) and National

Natural Science Foundation of China (General Program No. 31970600).

Author information

T.L.: study design, experimental work, data interpretation, and writing of the

manuscript. X.S.: study design, experimental work, data interpretation, and writing of

the manuscript. Y.R.: bioinformatic analysis, data interpretation, and manuscript

review. H.L.: experimental work, data interpretation. Y.L.: data review, manuscript

review. C.C.: data review, manuscript review. L.Y.: study design, experimental work, data interpretation, writing and review of the manuscript. Z.X.: study design, data interpretation, bioinformatic evaluation, writing and review of the manuscript, supervision of the work.

References

Aggarwal, M., Banerjee, T., Sommers, J.A., and Brosh, R.M., Jr. (2013a). Targeting an Achilles' heel of cancer with a WRN helicase inhibitor. Cell Cycle *12*, 3329-3335.

Aggarwal, M., Banerjee, T., Sommers, J.A., Iannascoli, C., Pichierri, P., Shoemaker, R.H., and Brosh, R.M., Jr. (2013b). Werner syndrome helicase has a critical role in DNA damage responses in the absence of a functional fanconi anemia pathway. Cancer Res *73*, 5497-5507.

Aguilera, A., and Garcia-Muse, T. (2012). R loops: from transcription byproducts to threats to genome stability. Mol Cell *46*, 115-124.

Aich, A., Freundlich, M., and Vekilov, P.G. (2015). The free heme concentration in healthy human erythrocytes. Blood Cells Mol Dis *55*, 402-409.

Aktas, T., Avsar Ilik, I., Maticzka, D., Bhardwaj, V., Pessoa Rodrigues, C., Mittler, G., Manke, T., Backofen, R., and Akhtar, A. (2017). DHX9 suppresses RNA processing defects originating from the Alu invasion of the human genome. Nature *544*, 115-119.

Andersson, R., Gebhard, C., Miguel-Escalada, I., Hoof, I., Bornholdt, J., Boyd, M., Chen, Y., Zhao, X., Schmidl, C., Suzuki, T.*, et al.* (2014). An atlas of active enhancers across human cell types and tissues. Nature *507*, 455-461.

Aratani, S., Fujii, R., Oishi, T., Fujita, H., Amano, T., Ohshima, T., Hagiwara, M., Fukamizu, A., and Nakajima, T. (2001). Dual roles of RNA helicase A in CREB-dependent transcription. Mol Cell Biol *21*, 4460-4469.

Atlasi, Y., and Stunnenberg, H.G. (2017). The interplay of epigenetic marks during stem cell differentiation and development. Nat Rev Genet *18*, 643-658.

Bernstein, B.E., Mikkelsen, T.S., Xie, X., Kamal, M., Huebert, D.J., Cuff, J., Fry, B., Meissner, A., Wernig, M., Plath, K.*, et al.* (2006). A bivalent chromatin structure marks key developmental genes in embryonic stem cells. Cell *125*, 315-326.

Bibikova, M., Laurent, L.C., Ren, B., Loring, J.F., and Fan, J.B. (2008). Unraveling epigenetic regulation in embryonic stem cells. Cell Stem Cell *2*, 123-134.

Boersma, S., Khuperkar, D., Verhagen, B.M.P., Sonneveld, S., Grimm, J.B., Lavis, L.D., and Tanenbaum, M.E. (2019). Multi-Color Single-Molecule Imaging Uncovers Extensive Heterogeneity in mRNA Decoding. Cell *178*, 458-472.e419.

Calo, E., and Wysocka, J. (2013). Modification of enhancer chromatin: what, how,

and why? Mol Cell *49*, 825-837.

Chakraborty, P., and Grosse, F. (2011). Human DHX9 helicase preferentially unwinds RNA-containing displacement loops (R-loops) and G-quadruplexes. DNA Repair (Amst) *10*, 654-665.

Chakraborty, P., Huang, J.T.J., and Hiom, K. (2018). DHX9 helicase promotes R-loop formation in cells with impaired RNA splicing. Nat Commun *9*, 4346.

Chambers, I., Colby, D., Robertson, M., Nichols, J., Lee, S., Tweedie, S., and Smith, A. (2003). Functional expression cloning of Nanog, a pluripotency sustaining factor in embryonic stem cells. Cell *113*, 643-655.

Chellini, L., Pieraccioli, M., Sette, C., and Paronetto, M.P. (2022). The DNA/RNA helicase DHX9 contributes to the transcriptional program of the androgen receptor in prostate cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res *41*, 178.

Chen, L., Chen, J.Y., Zhang, X., Gu, Y., Xiao, R., Shao, C., Tang, P., Qian, H., Luo, D., Li, H.*, et al.* (2017). R-ChIP Using Inactive RNase H Reveals Dynamic Coupling of R-loops with Transcriptional Pausing at Gene Promoters. Mol Cell *68*, 745-757.e745.

Cheng, X., Liu, X., Bing, T., Cao, Z., and Shangguan, D. (2009). General peroxidase activity of G-quadruplex-hemin complexes and its application in ligand screening. Biochemistry *48*, 7817-7823.

Core, L.J., Waterfall, J.J., and Lis, J.T. (2008). Nascent RNA sequencing reveals widespread pausing and divergent initiation at human promoters. Science *322*, 1845-1848.

Cristini, A., Groh, M., Kristiansen, M.S., and Gromak, N. (2018). RNA/DNA Hybrid Interactome Identifies DXH9 as a Molecular Player in Transcriptional Termination and R-Loop-Associated DNA Damage. Cell reports *23*, 1891-1905.

De Magis, A., Manzo, S.G., Russo, M., Marinello, J., Morigi, R., Sordet, O., and Capranico, G. (2019). DNA damage and genome instability by G-quadruplex ligands are mediated by R loops in human cancer cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *116*, 816-825.

Deceglie, S., Lionetti, C., Roberti, M., Cantatore, P., and Polosa, P.L. (2014). Expression and Purification of Large Active GST Fusion Enzymes. In Protein Downstream Processing: Design, Development and Application of High and Low-Resolution Methods, N.E. Labrou, ed. (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press), pp. 169-180.

Einarson, O.J., and Sen, D. (2017). Self-biotinylation of DNA G-quadruplexes via intrinsic peroxidase activity. Nucleic Acids Research *45*, 9813-9822.

Fry, M., and Loeb, L.A. (1999). Human werner syndrome DNA helicase unwinds tetrahelical structures of the fragile X syndrome repeat sequence d(CGG)n. J Biol Chem *274*, 12797-12802.

Galli, S., Melidis, L., Flynn, S.M., Varshney, D., Simeone, A., Spiegel, J., Madden, S.K., Tannahill, D., and Balasubramanian, S. (2022). DNA G-Quadruplex Recognition

In Vitro and in Live Cells by a Structure-Specific Nanobody. J Am Chem Soc *144*,
23096-23103.

Garcia-Muse, T., and Aguilera, A. (2019). R Loops: From Physiological to
Pathological Roles. Cell *179*, 604-618.

Ginno, P.A., Lim, Y.W., Lott, P.L., Korf, I., and Chedin, F. (2013). GC skew at the 5'
and 3' ends of human genes links R-loop formation to epigenetic regulation and
transcription termination. Genome Res *23*, 1590-1600.

Ginno, P.A., Lott, P.L., Christensen, H.C., Korf, I., and Chedin, F. (2012). R-Loop
Formation Is a Distinctive Characteristic of Unmethylated Human CpG Island
Promoters. Molecular Cell *45*, 814-825.

Gray, L.T., Puig Lombardi, E., Verga, D., Nicolas, A., Teulade-Fichou, M.-P., Londoño-
Vallejo, A., and Maizels, N. (2019). G-quadruplexes Sequester Free Heme in Living
Cells. Cell chemical biology *26*, 1681-1691.e1685.

Hänsel-Hertsch, R., Beraldi, D., Lensing, S.V., Marsico, G., Zyner, K., Parry, A., Di
Antonio, M., Pike, J., Kimura, H., Narita, M.*, et al.* (2016). G-quadruplex structures
mark human regulatory chromatin. Nature genetics *48*, 1267-1272.

Hartono, S.R., Malapert, A., Legros, P., Bernard, P., Chedin, F., and Vanoosthuyse, V.
(2018). The Affinity of the S9.6 Antibody for Double-Stranded RNAs Impacts the
Accurate Mapping of R-Loops in Fission Yeast. J Mol Biol *430*, 272-284.

He, Q.S., Tang, H., Zhang, J., Truong, K., Wong-Staal, F., and Zhou, D. (2008).
Comparisons of RNAi approaches for validation of human RNA helicase A as an
essential factor in hepatitis C virus replication. J Virol Methods *154*, 216-219.

Heintzman, N.D., Stuart, R.K., Hon, G., Fu, Y., Ching, C.W., Hawkins, R.D., Barrera,
L.O., Van Calcar, S., Qu, C., Ching, K.A.*, et al.* (2007). Distinct and predictive
chromatin signatures of transcriptional promoters and enhancers in the human
genome. Nature genetics *39*, 311-318.

Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X.,
Murre, C., Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple combinations of lineage-
determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for
macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell *38*, 576-589.

Hon, J., Martínek, T., Zendulka, J., and Lexa, M. (2017). pqsfinder: an exhaustive
and imperfection-tolerant search tool for potential quadruplex-forming sequences in
R. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *33*, 3373-3379.

Hsin, J.P., and Manley, J.L. (2012). The RNA polymerase II CTD coordinates
transcription and RNA processing. Genes Dev *26*, 2119-2137.

Jain, A., Bacolla, A., Del Mundo, I.M., Zhao, J., Wang, G., and Vasquez, K.M. (2013).
DHX9 helicase is involved in preventing genomic instability induced by alternatively
structured DNA in human cells. Nucleic Acids Res *41*, 10345-10357.

Jiang, Y., Huang, F., Chen, L., Gu, J.H., Wu, Y.W., Jia, M.Y., Lin, Z., Zhou, Y., Li, Y.C.,

Yu, C*., et al.* (2023). Genome-wide map of R-loops reveals its interplay with
transcription and genome integrity during germ cell meiosis. J Adv Res *51*, 45-57.
Kaya-Okur, H.S., Wu, S.J., Codomo, C.A., Pledger, E.S., Bryson, T.D., Henikoff, J.G.,
Ahmad, K., and Henikoff, S. (2019). CUT&Tag for efficient epigenomic profiling of
small samples and single cells. Nature Communications *10*.
Ki, M.-R., and Pack, S.P. (2020). Fusion tags to enhance heterologous protein
expression. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology *104*, 2411-2425.
Kim, T.K., Hemberg, M., Gray, J.M., Costa, A.M., Bear, D.M., Wu, J., Harmin, D.A.,
Laptewicz, M., Barbara-Haley, K., Kuersten, S*., et al.* (2010). Widespread
transcription at neuronal activity-regulated enhancers. Nature *465*, 182-187.
Konig, F., Schubert, T., and Langst, G. (2017). The monoclonal S9.6 antibody
exhibits highly variable binding affinities towards different R-loop sequences. PLoS
One *12*, e0178875.
Kumar, C., Batra, S., Griffith, J.D., and Remus, D. (2021). The interplay of RNA:DNA
hybrid structure and G-quadruplexes determines the outcome of R-loop-replisome
collisions. eLife *10*.
Lat, P.K., Liu, K., Kumar, D.N., Wong, K.K.L., Verheyen, E.M., and Sen, D. (2020).
High specificity and tight spatial restriction of self-biotinylation by DNA and RNA G-
Quadruplexes complexed in vitro and in vivo with Heme. Nucleic Acids Res *48*, 5254-
5267.
Lee, C.Y., McNerney, C., Ma, K., Zhao, W., Wang, A., and Myong, S. (2020). R-loop
induced G-quadruplex in non-template promotes transcription by successive R-loop
formation. Nat Commun *11*, 3392.
Li, W., Li, Y., Liu, Z., Lin, B., Yi, H., Xu, F., Nie, Z., and Yao, S. (2016). Insight into G-
quadruplex-hemin DNAzyme/RNAzyme: adjacent adenine as the intramolecular
species for remarkable enhancement of enzymatic activity. Nucleic Acids Research
44, 7373-7384.
Li, X., and Fu, X.D. (2019). Chromatin-associated RNAs as facilitators of functional
genomic interactions. Nat Rev Genet *20*, 503-519.
Liang, G., and Zhang, Y. (2013). Embryonic stem cell and induced pluripotent stem
cell: an epigenetic perspective. Cell Res *23*, 49-69.
Lim, G., and Hohng, S. (2020). Single-molecule fluorescence studies on
cotranscriptional G-quadruplex formation coupled with R-loop formation. Nucleic
Acids Res *48*, 9195-9203.
Lim, K.H., Huang, H., Pralle, A., and Park, S. (2013). Stable, high-affinity streptavidin
monomer for protein labeling and monovalent biotin detection. Biotechnol Bioeng *110*,
57-67.
Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change
and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol *15*, 550.

Lyu, J., Shao, R., Kwong Yung, P.Y., and Elsasser, S.J. (2021). Genome-wide
mapping of G-quadruplex structures with CUT&Tag. Nucleic Acids Res.

Matos-Rodrigues, G., Hisey, J.A., Nussenzweig, A., and Mirkin, S.M. (2023).
Detection of alternative DNA structures and its implications for human disease. Mol
Cell *83*, 3622-3641.

Matsui, M., Sakasai, R., Abe, M., Kimura, Y., Kajita, S., Torii, W., Katsuki, Y., Ishiai, M.,
Iwabuchi, K., Takata, M*., et al.* (2020). USP42 enhances homologous recombination
repair by promoting R-loop resolution with a DNA-RNA helicase DHX9. Oncogenesis
9, 60.

Mendoza, O., Bourdoncle, A., Boule, J.B., Brosh, R.M., Jr., and Mergny, J.L. (2016).
G-quadruplexes and helicases. Nucleic Acids Res *44*, 1989-2006.

Miglietta, G., Russo, M., and Capranico, G. (2020). G-quadruplex-R-loop interactions
and the mechanism of anticancer G-quadruplex binders. Nucleic Acids Res *48*,
11942-11957.

Mitsui, K., Tokuzawa, Y., Itoh, H., Segawa, K., Murakami, M., Takahashi, K.,
Maruyama, M., Maeda, M., and Yamanaka, S. (2003). The homeoprotein Nanog is
required for maintenance of pluripotency in mouse epiblast and ES cells. Cell *113*,
631-642.

Mohaghegh, P., Karow, J.K., Brosh, R.M., Jr., Bohr, V.A., and Hickson, I.D. (2001).
The Bloom's and Werner's syndrome proteins are DNA structure-specific helicases.
Nucleic Acids Res *29*, 2843-2849.

Murry, C.E., and Keller, G. (2008). Differentiation of embryonic stem cells to clinically
relevant populations: lessons from embryonic development. Cell *132*, 661-680.

Nguyen, G.H., Dexheimer, T.S., Rosenthal, A.S., Chu, W.K., Singh, D.K., Mosedale,
G., Bachrati, C.Z., Schultz, L., Sakurai, M., Savitsky, P*., et al.* (2013). A small
molecule inhibitor of the BLM helicase modulates chromosome stability in human
cells. Chem Biol *20*, 55-62.

Nowotny, M., Cerritelli, S.M., Ghirlando, R., Gaidamakov, S.A., Crouch, R.J., and
Yang, W. (2008). Specific recognition of RNA/DNA hybrid and enhancement of
human RNase H1 activity by HBD. EMBO J *27*, 1172-1181.

Ong, C.T., and Corces, V.G. (2014). CTCF: an architectural protein bridging genome
topology and function. Nat Rev Genet *15*, 234-246.

Panyutin, I.G., Kovalsky, O.I., and Budowsky, E.I. (1989). Magnesium-dependent
supercoiling-induced transition in (dG)n.(dC)n stretches and formation of a new G-
structure by (dG)n strand. Nucleic Acids Res *17*, 8257-8271.

Phillips, D.D., Garboczi, D.N., Singh, K., Hu, Z., Leppla, S.H., and Leysath, C.E.
(2013). The sub-nanomolar binding of DNA-RNA hybrids by the single-chain Fv
fragment of antibody S9.6. J Mol Recognit *26*, 376-381.

Preker, P., Nielsen, J., Kammler, S., Lykke-Andersen, S., Christensen, M.S.,

Mapendano, C.K., Schierup, M.H., and Jensen, T.H. (2008). RNA exosome depletion
reveals transcription upstream of active human promoters. Science *322*, 1851-1854.

Ran, F.A., Hsu, P.D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D.A., and Zhang, F. (2013).
Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc *8*, 2281-2308.

Robert Hänsel-Hertsch, M.D.A., Shankar Balasubramanian (2017). DNA G-
quadruplexes in the human genome: detection, functions and therapeutic potential.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *18*, 5.

Seila, A.C., Calabrese, J.M., Levine, S.S., Yeo, G.W., Rahl, P.B., Flynn, R.A., Young,
R.A., and Sharp, P.A. (2008). Divergent transcription from active promoters. Science
322, 1849-1851.

Sen, D., and Gilbert, W. (1988). Formation of parallel four-stranded complexes by
guanine-rich motifs in DNA and its implications for meiosis. Nature *334*, 364-366.

Sigova, A.A., Abraham, B.J., Ji, X., Molinie, B., Hannett, N.M., Guo, Y.E., Jangi, M.,
Giallourakis, C.C., Sharp, P.A., and Young, R.A. (2015). Transcription factor trapping
by RNA in gene regulatory elements. Science *350*, 978-981.

Simunovic, M., and Brivanlou, A.H. (2017). Embryoids, organoids and gastruloids:
new approaches to understanding embryogenesis. Development *144*, 976-985.

Stadlbauer, P., Islam, B., Otyepka, M., Chen, J., Monchaud, D., Zhou, J., Mergny, J.-
L., and Šponer, J. (2021). Insights into G-Quadruplex–Hemin Dynamics Using
Atomistic Simulations: Implications for Reactivity and Folding. Journal of Chemical
Theory and Computation *17*, 1883-1899.

Sundquist, W.I., and Klug, A. (1989). Telomeric DNA dimerizes by formation of
guanine tetrads between hairpin loops. Nature *342*, 825-829.

Szklarczyk, D., Kirsch, R., Koutrouli, M., Nastou, K., Mehryary, F., Hachilif, R., Gable,
A.L., Fang, T., Doncheva, N.T., Pyysalo, S.*, et al.* (2023). The STRING database in
2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for
any sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Res *51*, D638-D646.

Tan, J., Wang, X., Phoon, L., Yang, H., and Lan, L. (2020). Resolution of ROS-
induced G-quadruplexes and R-loops at transcriptionally active sites is dependent on
BLM helicase. FEBS Lett *594*, 1359-1367.

Tang, F., Wang, Y., Gao, Z., Guo, S., and Wang, Y. (2022). Polymerase eta Recruits
DHX9 Helicase to Promote Replication across Guanine Quadruplex Structures. J Am
Chem Soc *144*, 14016-14020.

Varshney, D., Spiegel, J., Zyner, K., Tannahill, D., and Balasubramanian, S. (2020).
The regulation and functions of DNA and RNA G-quadruplexes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol
21, 459-474.

Wamstad, Joseph A., Alexander, Jeffrey M., Truty, Rebecca M., Shrikumar, A., Li, F.,
Eilertson, Kirsten E., Ding, H., Wylie, John N., Pico, Alexander R., Capra, John A.*, et*
al. (2012). Dynamic and Coordinated Epigenetic Regulation of Developmental

Transitions in the Cardiac Lineage. Cell *151*, 206-220.

Wang, K., Wang, H., Li, C., Yin, Z., Xiao, R., Li, Q., Xiang, Y., Wang, W., Huang, J.,
Chen, L.*, et al.* (2021). Genomic profiling of native R loops with a DNA-RNA hybrid
recognition sensor. Sci Adv *7*.

Williamson, J.R., Raghuraman, M.K., and Cech, T.R. (1989). Monovalent cation-
induced structure of telomeric DNA: the G-quartet model. Cell *59*, 871-880.

Wulfridge, P., Yan, Q., Rell, N., Doherty, J., Jacobson, S., Offley, S., Deliard, S., Feng,
K., Phillips-Cremins, J.E., Gardini, A.*, et al.* (2023). G-quadruplexes associated with
R-loops promote CTCF binding. Mol Cell.

Xu, B., and Clayton, D.A. (1996). RNA-DNA hybrid formation at the human
mitochondrial heavy-strand origin ceases at replication start sites: an implication for
RNA-DNA hybrids serving as primers. EMBO J *15*, 3135-3143.

Xu, B., Zhang, K., and Huang, Y. (2009). Lin28 modulates cell growth and associates
with a subset of cell cycle regulator mRNAs in mouse embryonic stem cells. RNA *15,*
357-361.

Yamada, M., Nitta, Y., Uehara, T., Suzuki, H., Miya, F., Takenouchi, T., Tamura, M.,
Ayabe, S., Yoshiki, A., Maeno, A.*, et al.* (2023). Heterozygous loss-of-function DHX9
variants are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders: Human genetic and
experimental evidences. Eur J Med Genet *66*, 104804.

Yang, S., Winstone, L., Mondal, S., and Wu, Y. (2023). Helicases in R-loop Formation
and Resolution. J Biol Chem *299*, 105307.

Yang, X., Fang, C., Mei, H., Chang, T., Cao, Z., and Shangguan, D. (2011).
Characterization of G-quadruplex/hemin peroxidase: substrate specificity and
inactivation kinetics. Chemistry *17*, 14475-14484.

Young, R.A. (2011). Control of the embryonic stem cell state. Cell *144*, 940-954.

Yuan, W., Al-Hadid, Q., Wang, Z., Shen, L., Cho, H., Wu, X., and Yang, Y. (2021).
TDRD3 promotes DHX9 chromatin recruitment and R-loop resolution. Nucleic Acids
Res *49*, 8573-8591.

Zhang, S., Schlott, B., Gorlach, M., and Grosse, F. (2004). DNA-dependent protein
kinase (DNA-PK) phosphorylates nuclear DNA helicase II/RNA helicase A and
hnRNP proteins in an RNA-dependent manner. Nucleic Acids Res *32*, 1-10.

Zhou, Y., Wang, G., Wang, P., Li, Z., Yue, T., Wang, J., and Zou, P. (2019). Expanding
APEX2 Substrates for Proximity-Dependent Labeling of Nucleic Acids and Proteins in
Living Cells. Angewandte Chemie *58*, 11763-11767.

Fig.1 Mapping of the native DNA G4 through the G4-hemin-mediated proximal biotinylation

A, Immunofluorescence staining of the HEK293 cells treated with indicated conditions using the Alexa Fluor 647 labelled recombinant streptavidin (Strep-647). Nuclei were stained with the Hoechst33342. Scale bar, 50

μm. Bio-An, Biotin-aniline; H$_2$O$_2$, Hydrogen peroxide.

B, Schematic of the HepG4-seq procedure. SA-scFv, the recombinant fusion protein of mSA and anti-GP41 Single Chain Fragment Variable (scFv); GP41-pG-Tn5, the recombinant fusion protein of the GP41 tag, protein G and Tn5.

C, Top: Heatmap showing the signal of BG4-seq, HepG4-seq and maxScores of PQS ±1.5kb around the center of peaks identified by HepG4-seq in HEK293 cells. Color scales represent the density of the signals. Bottom: Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of peaks and the average maxScores of PQS calculated by pqsfinder at the same positions. HepG4 rep1/rep2, two biologically independent HepG4-seq replicates in HEK293 cells; Non rep1/rep2, two biologically independent non-label negative control replicates in HEK293 cells.

D, Representative genome browser tracks showing HepG4-seq (red), non-label negative control of HepG4-seq (yellow), and PQS (black) signals in HEK293 cells along the indicated genomic loci.

E, Distribution of HepG4-seq signals in HEK293 cells in different gene features.

F, The top enriched motifs on the HepG4-seq peaks in HEK293 cells.

G, Immunofluorescence staining of the HEK293 cells treated with DMSO, ML216 (25 μM), or NSC617145 (3 μM) using the Alexa Fluor 647 labelled recombinant streptavidin. Nuclei were stained with the Hoechst33342. Scale bar, 50 μm.

H, Heatmap showing the HepG4-seq signals ±1.5kb around the center of peaks identified in HEK293 cells treated with DMSO, ML216 (25 μM), or NSC617145 (3 μM). Color scales represent the density of the signals.

I, Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of peaks identified in HEK293 cells treated with DMSO, ML216 (25 μM), or NSC617145 (3 μM). The p values (ML216 v.s. DMSO; NSC617145 v.s. DMSO) were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test.

J, Representative genome browser tracks showing the HepG4-seq signals in HEK293 cells treated with DMSO, ML216 (25 μM), or NSC617145 (3 μM) along the indicated genomic loci.

Fig.2 Mapping of the co-localized G4 and R-loop in the HEK 293 cells by combining the HepG4-seq and HBD-seq

A, Schematic of the HBD-seq procedure. HBD-V5, the recombinant fusion protein of the N-terminal hybrid-binding domain (HBD) of RNase H1 and V5 tag; GP41-pG-Tn5, the recombinant fusion protein of the GP41 tag, protein G and Tn5.

B, Heatmap showing the signal of HBD-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of peaks in HEK293 cells. Two

biologically independent replicates are shown. "+ RNase" represents the treatment of RNase A and RNase H

prior to the HBD-seq. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

C, Heatmap showing the signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of co-localized G4 and R-loop peaks in HEK293 cells. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

D, Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of

co-localized G4 and R-loop peaks in HEK293 cells. The plot is visualized using the heatmap. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

E, Distribution of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells in different gene features.

F, Top: Heatmap showing the signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells along the gene body, 3kb upstream of transcription start site (TSS) and 2kb downstream of transcription end site (TES). Bottom: Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells along the indicated gene features. The plot is visualized using the heatmap. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

G, Representative genome browser tracks showing the HepG4-seq and HBD-seq signals of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells along the indicated genomic loci.

H, The top enriched motifs of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in HEK293 cells.

Fig 3 The co-localized G4s and R-loops-mediated transcriptional regulation in HEK293 cells

A, Cumulative distribution plot showing comparisons of FPKMs of G4, R-loop, co-localized G4 & R-loop associated genes and all genes in HEK293 cells.

B, Scatter plot showing the distributions of FPKMs of G4, R-loop, co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes versus the distances of G4, R-loop, co-localized G4 & R-loop to nearest TSS. The distance is in bp. Color scales represent the density of dots.

C, Left: Scatter plot showing the distributions of foldchanges (FCs) of RNA levels of co-localized G4 & R-loop -associated genes (p value < 0.05) versus FCs of G4 signals of co-localized G4s & R-loops (FC >=1.5) after

treatment with indicated inhibitors of G4 resolving helicases BLM or WRN. The number of genes were labelled on the plot. Right: Heatmap showing differential expression levels of co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes after treatment with indicated G4 inhibitors. RNA-seq data are from three biologically independent repeats. Color scales represent the normalized expression levels.

D, Distributions of co-localized G4 s& R-loops expressions of which-associated genes were significantly up- or down-regulated after treatment with indicated G4 inhibitors across different gene features.

E, Circos plot showing the overlap co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes differentially expressed after the treatment of ML216 or NSC617145 in HEK293 cells. Purple lines link the same gene that are shared by multiple groups. Blue lines link the genes, although different, fall under the same ontology term. Dark orange color of the inside arc represents the genes that are shared by multiple groups and light orange color of the inside arc represents genes that are unique to that group.

F, Heatmap showing the GO-based enrichment terms of co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes differentially expressed after the treatment of ML216 or NSC617145 in HEK293 cells. The heatmap cells are colored by their p-values.

Fig.4 Mapping of the co-localized G4s and R-loops in the mouse embryonic stem cells

A, Heatmap showing the signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq ±1.5kb around the center of peaks in mESCs. Two biologically independent replicates are shown. Two biologically independent non-label replicates were the negative controls for HepG4-seq. Two biologically independent replicates with treatment of RNase A and RNase H prior to HBD-seq were the negative controls for HBD-seq. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

B, Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of peaks and the average maxScores of PQS calculated by pqsfinder at the same positions. HepG4 rep1/rep2, two biologically independent HepG4-seq replicates in mESCs; Non rep1/rep2, two biologically independent non-label negative

control replicates in mESCs.

C, Venn diagram comparing the DNA G4 and R-loop in mESCs.

D, Top: Heatmap showing the signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq ±1.5kb around the center of co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs. Two biologically independent replicates are shown. Color scales represent the density of the signals. Bottom: Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads ±1.5kb around the center of co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs.

E, Distribution of G4s, R-loops, and co-localized G4s & R-loops signals in mESCs in different gene features.

F, Left: Heatmap showing the signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads of the co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs along the gene body, 2kb upstream of TSS and 2kb downstream of TES. Right: Profile plot showing the average signal of HepG4-seq and HBD-seq reads of the co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs along the indicated gene features. The plot is visualized using the heatmap. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

G, The top enriched motifs of the co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs.

H, Representative genome browser tracks showing the HepG4-seq and HBD-seq signals of the co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs along the indicated genomic loci.

Fig.5 The co-localized G4s & R-loops are mainly localized in active promoters and enhancers.

A, Cumulative distribution plot showing comparisons of FPKMs of G4-, R-loop-, and co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes and all genes in mESCs.

B, Scatter plot showing the distributions of FPKMs of G4-, R-loop-, and co-localized G4 & R-loop -associated

genes versus the distances of these peaks to nearest TSS. The distance is in bp. Color scales represent the density of dots.

C, Heatmap showing the signal of representative HepG4-seq (G4), HBD-seq (R-loop), RNA polymerase II Ser5P, H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, and H3K27me3 ±2kb around the center of the co-localized G4s & R-loops in mESCs. Color scales represent the density of the signals. The average signal is plotted at the top of each heatmap panel.

D, Schematic of different types of promoters and enhancers. Pie chart showing the proportion of different types of promoters or enhancers that harbor the co-localized G4s & R-loops.

E-F, Heatmap showing the signal of representative HepG4-seq (G4), HBD-seq (R-loop), RNA polymerase II Ser5P, H3K4me3, H3K27ac, H3K36me3, H3K4me1, and H3K27me3 ±2kb around the center of the co-localized G4s & R-loops in the different types of promoters (**E**) or enhancers (**F**) in mESCs. Color scales represent the density of the signals. The average signal is plotted at the top of each heatmap panel.

Fig.6 Modulation of the co-localized G4s & R-loops by the helicase Dhx9

A, Western blot showing the protein levels of Dhx9 and Gapdh in the wildtype (WT) and *dhx9*^*KO*^ mESCs. The

non-specific band is labeled with a star.

B, Immunofluorescence staining of Dhx9 in the WT and *dhx9*[KO] mESCs cultured without MEFs feeder. Nuclei were stained with the Hoechst33342. The immunofluorescence signal of contaminated MEFs is labeled with a a star. Scale bar, 100 μm.

C, Heatmap showing the signal of DNA G4 (HepG4-seq) and R-loop (HBD-seq) ±1.5kb around the center of significantly differential peaks (p-value < 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.5) in WT and *dhx9*[KO] mESCs. Two biologically independent replicates are shown. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

D, Scatter plot showing distributions of foldchanges of differential G4s versus foldchanges of differential R-loop in WT and *dhx9*[KO] mESCs. "G4&R-loop Up", both G4 and R-loop up-regulated; "G4&R-loop Down", both G4 and R-loop down-regulated; "G4/R-loop Up", G4 or R-loop up-regulated; "G4/R-loop Down", G4 or R-loop down-regulated; "G4, R-loop Opposite", G4 up-regulated and R-loop down-regulated, or, G4 down-regulated and R-loop up-regulated.

E, Top: pie chart showing proportions of differential G4s, R-loops and co-localized G4s & R-loops in *dhx9*[KO] mESCs in promoters, enhancers and other regions; Bottom: bar chart showing numbers of differential G4, R-loops and co-localized G4s & R-loops in *dhx9*[KO] mESCs in different types of promoters or enhancers.

F, Volcano plot showing distributions of G4-, R-loop-, or co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes differentially expressed in WT and *dhx9*[KO] mESCs. Significantly up-regulated (p-value < 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.5) and down-regulated (p-value < 0.05, fold change ≤ 0.67) genes in the *dhx9*[KO] mESCs are labeled with red and blue dots respectively. The numbers of up- or down-regulated genes are labeled on the plot.

G, Representative genome browser tracks showing the G4 (HepG4), R-loop (HBD-seq), and RNA-seq signals in WT and *dhx9*[KO] mESCs along the indicated genomic loci.

H, GO-based enrichment terms of G4-, R-loop-, or co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes differentially expressed in WT and *dhx9*[KO] mESCs were hierarchically clustered into a tree based on Kappa-statistical similarities among their gene memberships. The heatmap cells are colored by their p-values.

Fig.7 Characterization of the co-localized G4s & R-loops directly bound by Dhx9

A, Heatmap showing expression levels of resolving helicases or regulators of G4s and/or R-loops differentially expressed in WT and *dhx9^KO* mESCs. Color scales represent the normalized expression levels.

B, Heatmap showing the signal of Dhx9 CUT&Tag reads ±1.5kb around the center of peaks in WT mESCs.

Two biologically independent replicates are shown. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

C, Pie chart showing proportions of the overlapping peaks between Dhx9 binding peaks and the co-localized G4 & R-loops peaks.

D, Heatmap showing the signal of Dhx9 CUT&Tag, HepG4-seq and HBD-seq ±1.5kb around the center of Dhx9-bound Co-localized G4 & R-loop peaks in mESCs. Two biologically independent replicates are shown. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

E, The top enriched motifs of Dhx9 binding peaks overlapping with the co-localized G4 & R-loops in mESCs.

F, Heatmap showing the signal of Dhx9 CUT&Tag, HepG4-seq and HBD-seq ±1.5kb around the center of Dhx9-bound significantly differential Co-localized G4 & R-loop peaks (p-value < 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.5) in WT and *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs. Two biologically independent replicates are shown. Color scales represent the density of the signals.

G, Pie chart showing proportions of differential Dhx9-bound co-localized G4 & R-loops in *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs in different types of promoters or enhancers.

H, Top enriched GO terms in Dhx9-bound co-localized G4 & R-loop-associated genes that are differentially expressed in WT and *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs. The bubble size represents the number of genes in each indicated term. The color scale represents the p-value.

I, Representative genome browser tracks showing the Dhx9 CUT&Tag, G4 (HepG4), R-loop (HBD-seq), and RNA-seq signals in WT and *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs along the indicated genomic loci. The dashed box highlights Dhx9-bound significantly differential co-localized G4 & R-loop peaks in *dhx9^{KO}* mESCs.

Fig.8 Dhx9 regulates the cell fate of mouse embryonic stem cells

A, Relative RNA levels of indicated genes in the WT and *dhx9^KO* mESCs that were measured by quantitative

RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Data are means ± SD; n=3, significance was determined using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

B, Western blot showing the protein levels of indicated genes in the WT and *dhx9*^{*KO*} mESCs. The normalized relative protein levels were labeled below each panel, where gel images were quantified by ImageJ and the level of Gapdh was used for normalization.

C, Immunofluorescence staining of Oct4 and Nanog in the WT and *dhx9*^{*KO*} mESCs cultured on MEF feeder. Nuclei were stained with the Hoechst33342. BF, bright field. Scale bar, 100 μm.

D, Cell cycle profiles determined by flow cytometry of DAPI staining in the WT and *dhx9*^{*KO*} mESCs. The proportions of different phases of cell cycle were analyzed by ModFit. Data are means ± SD; n=3, significance was determined using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

E, Cell proliferation rate of WT and *dhx9*^{*KO*} mESCs were measured by CCK-8 cell proliferation assay. The number of cells seeded at the beginning was labeled at the x-axis. Absorbance at 450 nm was determined after 2 days of culture. Data are means ± SD; n=6, significance was determined using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test.

F, Pictures of embryoid bodies at indicated days of *in vitro* differentiation of WT and *dhx9*^{*KO*} mESCs. Scale bar, 200 μm.

G, Relative RNA levels of indicated genes in the WT and *dhx9*^{*KO*} embryoid bodies at indicate days of *in vitro* differentiation that were measured by qRT-PCR. Data are means ± SD; n=3, significance was determined using the two-tailed Student's *t*-test.