Elevated CO_2 alters soybean physiology and defense responses, and has disparate effects on susceptibility to diverse microbial pathogens

Melissa Bredow[1#], Ekkachai Khwanbua[1#], Aline Sartor Chicowski[1#], Matthew W. Breitzman[2], Yunhui Qi[3], Katerina L. Holan[1,4], Peng Liu[3], Michelle A. Graham[4] and Steven A. Whitham[1*]

[1] Department of Plant Pathology, Entomology & Microbiology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

[2] W. M. Keck Metabolomics Research Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

[3] Department of Statistics, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

[4] United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Research Service (ARS), Corn Insects and Crop Genetics Research Unit and Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

#Authors contributed equally to this work.
*Corresponding author: Steven Whitham (swhitham@iastate.edu)

ORCIDs:

Melissa Bredow	0000-0002-5564-9646
Ekkachai Khwanbua	0009-0007-4013-941X
Aline Sartor Chicowski	0000-0002-0949-2617
Matthew W. Breitzman	0000-0001-8673-1530
Yunhui Qi	0009-0009-6876-8888
Katerina L. Holan	0000-0002-3136-0805
Peng Liu	0000-0002-2093-8018
Michelle A. Graham	0000-0002-9842-7701
Steven A. Whitham	0000-0003-3542-3188

Keywords: *Glycine max*, carbon dioxide, plant immunity, *Pseudomonas syringae*, soybean mosaic virus, bean pod mottle virus, *Fusarium virguliforme*, *Pythium sylvaticum*, QuantSeq

Summary

•   Increasing atmospheric CO_2 levels have a variety of effects that can influence plant
responses to microbial pathogens. However, these responses are varied, and it is
challenging to predict how elevated CO_2 (eCO_2) will affect a particular plant-
pathogen interaction. We investigated how eCO_2 may influence disease
development and responses to diverse pathogens in the major oilseed crop,
soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.).

•   Soybeans grown in ambient CO_2 (aCO_2, 419 parts per million (ppm)) or in eCO_2
(550 ppm) were challenged with bacterial, viral, fungal, and oomycete pathogens,
and disease, pathogen growth, gene expression and molecular plant defense
responses were quantified.

•   In eCO_2, plants were less susceptible to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *glycinea* (*Psg*)
but more susceptible to bean pod mottle virus, soybean mosaic virus, and
Fusarium virguliforme. Susceptibility to *Pythium sylvaticum* was unchanged,
although a greater loss in biomass occurred in eCO_2. Reduced susceptibility to
Psg was associated with enhanced defense responses. Increased susceptibility to
the viruses was associated with reduced expression of antiviral defenses.

•   This work provides a foundation for understanding of how future eCO_2 levels may
impact molecular responses to pathogen challenge in soybean and demonstrates
that agents infecting both shoots and roots are of potential concern in future
climatic conditions.

Introduction

Atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) levels are steadily rising and by some estimates, are predicted to increase to 550 parts per million (ppm) or more by mid-century. Increasing CO_2 levels, in combination with more extreme and unpredictable weather events, are expected to have significant impacts on global food security. The majority of plants on Earth use C3 photosynthesis, with relative atmospheric $[O_2]$ and $[CO_2]$ favoring photorespiration or photosynthesis, respectively (Pinto *et al.*, 2014). As a result, elevated CO_2 (*e*CO_2) stimulates photosynthesis, generally increasing plant biomass and yield, providing a potential benefit to crop production (Dusenge *et al.*, 2019; Ainsworth & Long, 2021). However, this CO_2 "fertilization effect" depends on a number of factors, including water and nutrient availability and optimal growth temperatures (Ainsworth & Long, 2021). Moreover, suppression of photorespiration, once thought to be a wasteful process, has been associated with poor growth (Timm & Bauwe, 2013), lower nutrient and protein content (Taub *et al.*, 2008; Broberg *et al.*, 2017), and reduced abiotic stress tolerance (Voss *et al.*, 2013) under ambient atmospheric conditions (*a*CO_2).

Another possible impact of *e*CO_2 is changes in the occurrence and severity of plant diseases. Pests and diseases that affect plant quality and yield pose one of the greatest challenges to crop production and are responsible for 17-30% of total yield losses of major crops (Savary *et al.*, 2019). Climate change is expected to cause latitudinal shifts in disease pressure associated with the migration of important plant pathogens to new geographic regions (Bebber *et al.*, 2013; Chakraborty, 2013; Raza and Bebber, 2022) as well as changes in pathogen virulence or aggressiveness (Pangga *et al.*, 2007; Lake & Wade, 2009; Aguilar *et al.*, 2015; Singh *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, *e*CO_2 has been demonstrated to alter interactions between plants and their attackers through changes in plant architecture, physiology, and molecular defense responses (Velásquez *et al.*, 2018; Bazinet *et al.*, 2022).

Plant disease development relies on three factors: a susceptible plant host, a virulent pathogen, and environmental conditions conducive to infection (Grulke, 2011). Over the past decade, tremendous advances have been made in our understanding of plant-microbe interactions (Ngou *et al.*, 2022; Petre *et al.*, 2022), however, it is still not well understood how changes in environmental conditions shape these interactions. Several mechanisms by which *e*CO_2 affects defense responses have been reported, including changes in leaf nutrition (Ryalls *et al.*, 2015; Sun *et al.*, 2016), stomatal density (Li *et al.*, 2015; Zhou *et al.*, 2017), host metabolism (Matros *et al.*, 2006; Ode *et al.*, 2014), and redox homeostasis (Mhamdi & Noctor,

2016; Noctor & Mhamdi, 2017; Foyer & Noctor, 2020; Ahammed & Li, 2022). Altered levels of

defense-related phytohormones, salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and ethylene (ET), have

also been reported (Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Pan *et al.*, 2019; Zhou *et al.*, 2019). In general,

constitutive and/or pathogen-induced SA levels are higher in the foliar tissues of C3 plants grown

under eCO_2 (Bazinet *et al.*, 2022), suggesting increased resistance to viral and biotrophic

pathogens (Vlot *et al.*, 2009; Murphy *et al.*, 2020). However, the extent and directionality of these

responses are highly variable between studies (Bazinet *et al.*, 2022), which may reflect species,

cultivar or ecotype-specific CO_2 adaptations, as well as differences in additional environmental

growth conditions. For example, in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), eCO_2 increased SA

biosynthesis, and plants displayed higher resistance to leaf curl virus, tobacco mosaic virus, and

the hemibiotrophic bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pto*DC3000), but

were more susceptible to the necrotrophic fungal pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* (Huang *et al.*, 2012;

Zhang *et al.*, 2015). In contrast, eCO_2 increased JA-dependent responses in Arabidopsis

(*Arabidopsis thaliana*), enhancing resistance to *B. cinerea* and reducing resistance to

*Pto*DC3000 (Zhou *et al.*, 2019). These discrepancies highlight the need for direct investigations

of pathosystems involving important crop species rather than relying on generalized information

from model systems.

Soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.) is the most widely grown protein and oilseed crop,

accounting for approximately 329 million tons of global crop production in 2022

(http://www.worldagriculturalproduction.com/). The effect of eCO_2 on soybean physiology has

been investigated at various scales (Ainsworth & Long, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2021). In general, soybean

yield potential and root nodule mass increase under eCO_2 (Dermody *et al.*, 2008) with no

significant impact on plant nutrient or protein content (Myers *et al.*, 2014). eCO_2 has also been

associated with changes in leaf endophyte communities (Gonçalves *et al.*, 2021; Christian *et al.*,

2021), rhizospheric bacterial communities (Yu *et al.*, 2016), and responses to herbivore attack

(Casteel *et al.*, 2008; O'Neill *et al.*, 2010; Paulo *et al.*, 2020). However, only one study has

reported the effect of predicted future atmospheric conditions on soybean diseases by

monitoring the incidence and severity of some naturally occurring diseases at the Soybean Free

Air Concentration Enrichment (SoyFACE) facility (Eastburn *et al.*, 2010; Aspray *et al.*, 2023). As

soybean is susceptible to numerous economically important pathogens, including bacteria, fungi,

oomycetes, viruses, and nematodes (Whitham *et al.*, 2016), a formal investigation of how eCO_2

impacts susceptibility to diverse pathogens is needed. Moreover, the effect of eCO_2 on molecular

defense responses has not yet been investigated in this species.

In the present study, we compared the effects of CO_2 levels on soybean defense
response in plants grown under the current [$a$$CO_2$] of 419 parts per million (ppm) versus an
[$e$$CO_2$] of 550 ppm. Using the soybean-*P. syringae* pathosystem, we assessed innate immune
responses and disease susceptibility and conducted transcriptomic analyses to gain a global
understanding of how $a$$CO_2$ levels affect interactions in this pathosystem. We also conducted
infection experiments using two foliar viral pathogens, bean pod mottle virus (BPMV) and
soybean mosaic virus (SMV), and two filamentous root pathogens, *Fusarium virguliforme* and
Pythium sylvaticum, and demonstrate that $e$$CO_2$ exerts differential effects on interactions with
these diverse microbial pathogens. Our work provides a foundation for future studies
investigating the molecular interplay that regulates defense responses to $e$$CO_2$ and identifies
pathogens of potential concern in predicted future atmospheric conditions.

Materials and Methods

Plant growth and maintenance

Soybean (*G. max* cv. Williams 82) plants were grown in controlled environment chambers at the
Iowa State University Enviratron facility (Bao *et al.*, 2019) with lighting, humidity, and
temperature conditions as illustrated in Figure S1. [CO_2] maintained at 419 ppm represented
$a$$CO_2$ and 550 ppm represented future atmospheric conditions that may be reached by 2050
($e$$CO_2$) (Jaggard *et al.*, 2010). For each condition, three replicate growth chambers were used
to provide biological replicates. Plants were grown in LC-1 potting soil mix (Sungro) and fertilized
weekly with 15-5-15 Cal-Mag Special (Peters Excel, G99140).

Physiological Measurements

To assess changes in soybean physiology associated with $e$$CO_2$, shoot biomass, photosystem
II (PSII) activity (quantum yield of fluorescence; ΦPSII activity) and stomatal conductance (gas
exchange rate; g_{sw}) were measured for 15 plants per CO_2 treatment. ΦPSII and g_{sw} were
obtained using a LI-600 Portable System (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) during the
VC-V4 growth stages (Kumudini, 2010). ΦPSII measurements were conducted on the adaxial
surface of unifoliate leaves of 2-week-old plants and the newest fully expanded trifoliolate leaves
of 3- and 5-week-old plants. g_{sw} measurements were simultaneously collected from the abaxial
surface. At 21 days after planting (dap), leaves were collected from eight plants per treatment

and chamber, yielding three biological replicates per treatment for QuantSeq analyses (48 samples for QuantSeq dataset 1). Samples were collected between 09:00 and 10:00 am for all replicates. At 35 dap, shoots were collected to determine fresh weight and dry weight.

Stomatal density, aperture, and index measurements

Stomatal density and aperture measurements were assessed from 10 leaf samples, while stomatal index measurements were taken from five leaf samples for each CO_2 treatment at 21 dap. Impressions were made from the abaxial leaf surfaces using clear nail varnish (Ceulemans *et al.*, 1995). Stomata were imaged using light microscopy, and counted in three randomly selected fields of view per leaf sample. Stomatal aperture and stomatal index measurements were conducted as described previously (Zhu *et al.*, 2021; Sultana *et al.*, 2021).

RT-PCR and RT-qPCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated from approximately 50 mg of leaf tissue using TRIzol Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Subsequently, 2 µg of RNA was reverse transcribed using the Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was conducted using 2X PrimeTime Gene Expression Master Mix (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA) and multiplexed probes designed for *Pathogenesis-Related Protein 1* (*PR1*) (Glyma.13G251600), *Kunitz Trypsin Inhibitor 1* (*KTI1*) (Glyma.08G342000), BPMV, or SMV (Table S1). Expression of *Dicer-Like 2* (*DCL2*) (Glyma.09g025300) and *Argonaute 1* (*AGO1*) (Glyma.09g167100) was assessed using iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). To quantify *F. virguliforme* and *P. sylvaticum*, soybean roots were collected at 14 and 35 dap, respectively. DNA was extracted using the CTAB method (Rogers & Bendich, 1994), and RT-qPCR was performed using primers specific to each pathogen (Table S1). *S-phase kinase-associated protein 1* (*Skp1*) (Glyma.08G211200) was used as an internal reference for all experiments (Beyer *et al.*, 2021). Primers and probes were designed from cultivar Williams 82 reference sequence (version Wm82.a4.v1, (Schmutz *et al.*, 2010)) using PrimerQuest Tool (Integrated DNA Technologies).

Immune signaling assays

Oxidative species production was monitored in two-week-old soybean plants, as described previously (Bredow *et al.*, 2019) using leaf discs collected from 16 plants per CO_2 treatment. The

elicitor solution contained 100 µM luminol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 10 µg/mL horseradish

peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), with or without 100 nM flg22 (VWR International,

Radnor, PA). Chemiluminescence was quantified on a GloMax® plate reader (luminescence

module; Promega, Madison, WI) every 2 min for 30 min with a 1000 ms integration time.

Flg22-induced MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE (MAPK) activation was

assessed as described previously (Xu *et al.*, 2018) using leaf discs collected from six plants per

CO_2 treatment. Leaf discs were maintained in CO_2 chambers, treated with 100 µM of flg22

peptide and sampled between 0 and 250 min. Total protein was extracted and normalized as

described previously (Wang *et al.*, 2018) and MAPK activation was assessed by immunoblot

analysis using primary anti-Phospho-p44/42 MAPK antibody (Erk1/I 2; Thr-202/Tyr-204) (Cell

Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA) and secondary goat anti-rabbit-HRP antibody (Cell Signaling,

Danvers, MA, USA).

Bacterial infection assays

P. syringae pv. *glycinea* (*Psg*), *Pto*DC3000, and *Pto*DC3000 *hrcC-* (*hrcC-*), were grown at 30°C

overnight in Luria Bertani (LB) broth with appropriate antibiotics. The next day, cells were

harvested and resuspended in 10 mM $MgCl_2$ at OD_{600}=0.2 (1 x 10^8 colony forming units

(CFU)/mL). Immediately before inoculations, 0.04% of Silwet L-77 (ThermoFisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA) was added to the suspension, and the unifoliate leaves of 14-day-old soybeans

were spray-inoculated on adaxial and abaxial surfaces until fully wet. Leaf discs were extracted

from 24 plants per treatment, between 1- and 7-days post-infection (dpi). Leaf discs from three

plants were pooled per sample, and were used to quantify CFU/cm^2 as previously described (Liu

et al., 2015). For phytohormone analysis, eight plants per treatment were sampled at 6- and 24-

hours post-infection (hpi) and QuantSeq was performed using 6 hpi samples. g_{sw} in response to

Psg was measured at 1 hpi using 10 plants per treatment. For QuantSeq analyses, leaves were

collected from eight *Psg*-infected and mock-infected plants per CO_2 treatment and chamber,

yielding three biological replicates per treatment for QuantSeq analyses (96 samples, QuantSeq

dataset 2). Samples were collected between 09:00 and 10:00 h for all replicates.

Bacterial growth curves

*Pto*DC3000 cultures were inoculated to a starting concentration of 1 x 10^7 CFU/mL in 100 mL of LB broth containing appropriate antibiotics. Cultures were grown in temperature-controlled growth chambers maintained at 28°C (no light), ~20% relative humidity, and either 419 or 550 ppm of CO_2. Cultures were shaken at 100 rpm and OD_{600} was recorded every four hours over 32 hours.

QuantSeq

Total RNA was extracted from 50 mg of soybean leaf tissue using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus Kit with DNaseI treatment (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA). RNA samples were quantified using a Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and RNA integrity was assessed using the Fragment Analyzer Automated CE System. All RNA samples used for sequencing had an RQN (RNA Quality Number) equal to or greater than 7. Library preparation was performed using the QuantSeq 3' mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kits (Lexogen, Greenland, NH), and samples were sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 System (Iowa State University DNA Facility).

Bioinformatic and Statistical Analysis of QuantSeq data

For each of the QuantSeq datasets (48 and 96 libraries), libraries were each sequenced on two lanes, generating two sequence files per library. Files were inspected with FASTQC (de Sena Brandine & Smith, 2019) to confirm sequence quality and quantity. Trimmomatic was used to remove adaptor sequences, low quality bases and reads less than 50 bp in length (Bolger *et al.*, 2014). STAR version 2.7.10 (Dobin *et al.*, 2013) was used to align reads to the Williams 82 reference genome sequence (Wm82.a4, (Schmutz *et al.*, 2010)). Samtools version 1.17 (Li *et al.*, 2009) was used to merge read data from separate lanes and identify uniquely mapping reads within the combined mapping files (bam files). Mapping files were imported into RStudio version 4.2.3 (Dobin *et al.*, 2013) using Rsamtools (Morgan *et al.,* 2021). The gene feature file (GFF) corresponding to the reference genome was imported using rtracklayer (Lawrence *et al.*, 2009). The number of reads per sample aligning to each gene was counted using summarizeOverlaps and a count table for all expressed genes within a tissue was generated. Over 329 million and 659 million uniquely mapping reads were identified across QuantSeq datasets 1 and 2, respectively. Data were normalized using the Trimmed Mean of M values (Gupta *et al.*, 2021) in

the Bioconductor package edgeR (Robinson & Smyth, 2007; Robinson *et al.*, 2010; McCarthy
et al., 2012; Zhou *et al.*, 2014). Only genes with log2 counts per million (cpm) > 1 in at least
three replicates were used in the analysis. ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009) was used to generate
principal component, biological coefficient of variance, and MA plots to visually compare sample
replicates and ensure reproducibility (Dziuda, 2010). Two and eight libraries with less than 1
million uniquely mapping reads were removed from subsequent analyses from QuantSeq
datasets 1 and 2, respectively, leaving a minimum of six plant replicates per condition. Following
renormalization, edgeR was used to analyze differentially expressed genes (DEGs) among the
different datasets. For QuantSeq dataset 1, we identified DEGs whose expression changed in
response to CO_2 levels ($e$$CO_2$ levels/$a$$CO_2$) at 21 days using a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01.
For QuantSeq dataset 2, we identified DEGs responding to *Psg* treatment (*Psg*/mock) in control
and $e$$CO_2$ conditions and DEGs whose expression changed in response to CO_2 in *Psg*-treated
(*Psg* $e$$CO_2$/*Psg* control CO_2) or mock-treated samples (Mock $e$$CO_2$/$a$$CO_2$) using an FDR < 0.01.
Hierarchical clustering in RStudio using hclust (Murtagh, 1985) was used to group similarly
expressed genes from DEG lists of interest. Heatmaps were generated using Heatmap.2 in
ggplot2 (Wickham, 2009).

DEG Annotation and Analysis of Overrepresented Transcription Factors and Promoters

DEGs were annotated using the SoyBase Gene Annotation Lookup Tool for Wm82.a4
(https://www.soybase.org/genomeannotation/). For given DEGs of interest, the best BLASTP
Arabidopsis hits (TAIR version 10) from the annotation were used to query the STRING database
(Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2023). To identify transcription factors (TFs) within DEG lists of interest, we
used custom perl scripts to query *G. max* transcription factor information downloaded from the
Plant Transcriptional Regulatory Map/Plant Transcription Factor Database
(PlantRegMap/PlantTFDB v5.0, (Tian *et al.*, 2020), https://plantregmap.gao-lab.org). To identify
TF binding sites significantly overrepresented within promoters of DEGs of interest we used the
PlantRegMap TF enrichment tool (https://plantregmap.gao-lab.org/tf_enrichment.
php) for *G. max*, using all available methods and threshold p-value < 0.05.

Single Phase Extraction for JA-Targeted and SA (Non-Targeted) Metabolomic Analyses

Approximately 100 mg of each sample was ground and spiked with an internal standard containing 10 µg nonadecanoic acid (1 mg/mL in ethanol) and JA-d5 (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI) (JA-d5) (2.5 µg/mL in ethyl acetate). The extraction was initiated with the addition of 90% ice cold methanol using a modified version of the methanolic extraction protocol established previously (Jiye *et al.*, 2005).

GC-MS Acquisition and Analysis for SA

Six hundred microliters of the combined extracts were aliquoted and dried using a speed-vac concentrator for 10 hours and then derivatized according to established protocols (Koek *et al.*, 2006). Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis was performed with an Agilent 6890 gas chromatograph coupled to a model 5973 Mass Selective Detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) using a HP-5MSI 5% phenyl methyl silox with 30 m × 250 µM × 0.25 µm film thickness (Agilent Technologies). Identification and quantification were conducted using AMDIS (Automated Mass spectral Deconvolution and Identification System, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD) with a manually curated, retention indexed GC-MS library with additional identification performed using the NIST20 and Wiley 11 GC-MS spectral library (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Final quantification was calculated by integrating the corresponding peak areas relative to the area of the nonadecanoic acid internal standard. Raw data were normalized to the amount of tissue used.

LC-MS Acquisition for Targeted JA Analysis

An Oasis Hydrophilic-Lipophilic-Balanced (HLB) column (30 mg sorbent) (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA) was used for the purification of JA as established previously (Kojima *et al.*, 2009; Kojima & Sakakibara, 2012). Liquid chromatography (LC) separations were performed with an Agilent Technologies 1290 Infinity II UHPLC instrument equipped with an Agilent Technologies ZORBAX Eclipse C18 (1.8 µm 2.1 mm × 100 mm) analytical column that was coupled to a 6470 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospray ionization source (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). A volume of 20 µL of each sample was injected into the LC system with the mass spectrometer in negative mode. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) was

287   used for detection with transitions of m/z 209→59 (JA) and 214→62 (JA -d5), with fragmentation

288   conducted at 25 eV for both JA and JA-d5.

Viral infection assays

Lyophilized soybean leaves infected with BPMV or SMV were ground in 10 mL of 50 mM

potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) per 1 g of tissue. One unifoliate leaf of 14 dap soybean

was dusted with carborundum (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and rub-inoculated with 50 µL of

inoculum or buffer (mock). The second unifoliate leaf was inoculated two days later using the

same method. Systemic leaves were sampled from six plants per treatment, at 14 and 21 dpi to

assess viral titer. Fresh weight, dry weight, and gene expression were measured using 21 dpi

plants. Disease severity was evaluated between 0 and 21 dpi using a single-digit disease rating

scale ranging from 0 to 3 (0 = no disease, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe). Area under

disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated based on the equation below (Simko & Piepho,

2012).

300
$$AUDPC = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{D_{(i+1)} + D_i}{2} \right] [T_{i+1} - T_i]$$

301   Di = disease severity on ith date, Ti = ith date, and n = total number of observations

F. virguliforme and *P. sylvaticum* infection assays

Sterilized sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) seeds were inoculated with *F. virguliforme* (isolate

LL0036) and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 21 days and dried for 3-4 days.

Styrofoam cups (8 oz.) were filled with a mixture of 180 mL of sterile sand soil and 9 mL of *F.*

virguliforme-infested sorghum. Soybean seeds were placed in the cup and covered with 25 mL

of peat mix. At 35 dap, roots and shoots were sampled from six cups of each CO_2 treatment to

measure fresh weight and dry weight. Disease severity was assessed on leaves on a 0 to 7

rating scale as described (Roth *et al.*, 2019) (Table S2), and the AUDPC was calculated. For

plate growth assays, a starting culture of *F. virguliforme* was prepared on 1/3 strength potato

dextrose agar (PDA) and grown at room temperature for 21 days in the dark. A 7-mm plug was

punched out of the starting culture and placed into the center of a 90-mm-diameter Petri dish

containing 1/3 strength PDA. Ten plates per CO_2 treatment were maintained in the dark under

aCO_2 or eCO_2. Fungal growth was assessed every other day for 11 days.

Millet was inoculated with a 3-day-old culture of *P. sylvaticum* and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 7-14 days (Matthiesen *et al.*, 2016). A mixture of 100 mL of sterile sand soil and 5 mL of *P. sylvaticum*-infested millet was placed in a 237 mL (8 oz.) polystyrene cup and covered with 25 mL of peat mix. The seed was placed on top of the peat mix and then covered with another 25 ml layer of peat mix. At 14 dap, plants in six cups of each treatment were up-rooted, roots were washed, and disease severity was assessed using a 0 to 4 rating scale adapted from (Zhang & Yang, 2000) (Table S3). Root and shoot fresh weight and *P. sylvaticum* copy numbers were determined at 21 dap. For plate growth assays, a starting culture of *P. sylvaticum* (isolate Gr8) was grown on 1/2 strength PDA at room temperature for 5 days in the dark. A 7-mm plug was punched and transferred into the edge of a 90-mm-diameter Petri dish containing 1⁄2 strength PDA. Ten plates per treatment were incubated in the dark under $a\text{CO}_2$ or $e\text{CO}_2$. Oomycete growth was measured from the edge of the inoculum plug to the tip of the longest hypha and was assessed every 24 h for 96 h.

Statistical analysis

Linear mixed-effects models (LMM) were fit to each response following the experimental design. Taking the bacterial infection experiment measuring CFUs as an example, the whole-plot factor was CO_2 level and the whole-plot experimental units were growth chambers. Randomized complete block design was implemented at the whole-plot level, with each block comprising a pair of chambers at $a\text{CO}_2$ or $e\text{CO}_2$. At the split-plot level, 24 plants were assigned to each pathogen, with sets of three plants pooled for each CFU measurement, repeated over time. Following this split-plot design, the fixed effects of the LMM include the main effects and all interaction effects of the factors CO_2, bacterial species, and time, and random effects include block, chamber, split-plot, and sets of plants. Similar to this example, LMMs were fit for other responses following the corresponding experimental designs.

For response variables that exhibited unequal variances on the original scale, LMM analysis was done on power- or log- transformed data, and the Delta method was used to compute standard errors for the original scale. For all LMM analysis, model diagnostic checks were done to ensure that model assumptions were appropriate. Parameters of LMM were estimated using lmer() in the lme4 R package. The emmeans() function in the emmeans R package was used to compute the SE. Type III ANOVA tables with F tests were conducted by anova() and the lmerTest R package.

Results

Elevated CO_2 alters soybean physiology and gene expression

Prior to studying the effects of eCO_2 on soybean defense, we wanted to ensure that our growth conditions caused changes in physiological responses that were previously observed under higher atmospheric CO_2 (Ainsworth & Long, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2021). At 14 dap, soybean plants grown in eCO_2 displayed no difference in ΦPSII activity compared to plants grown at aCO_2 (Figure 1a). However, at 21 and 35 dap, ΦPSII activity was higher in eCO_2 (Figure 1a), indicating a higher photosynthetic rate. Stomatal conductance to water vapour (g_{sw}) was also affected, with plants grown at eCO_2 displaying lower g_{sw} at 21 and 35 dap (Figure 1b), which was consistent with the lower stomatal density (Figure 1c) and reduced stomatal aperture (Figure 1d) on the abaxial leaf surface. In line with the lower stomatal density, a reduction in stomatal index was observed at eCO_2, indicating that the decrease in stomatal density was due to reduced stomatal production and not an increase in leaf expansion (Figure S2). At 35 dap, soybeans grown in eCO_2 had greater shoot fresh weight (Figure 1e) and dry weight (Figure 1f) and were visibly larger than those grown in aCO_2 (Figure 1g). Together, these experiments demonstrate that a 31% increase in [CO_2] induced physiological responses under our conditions that were consistent with prior studies.

To investigate gene expression changes associated with physiological responses to eCO_2, we conducted QuantSeq analysis using RNA isolated from unifoliate leaves at 21 dap. We identified 388 DEGs (Table S4), which were used to construct a heat map that formed two distinct clusters associated with [CO_2] (Figure 2a). The 180 DEGs in cluster 1 were repressed in eCO_2, while the 208 DEGs in cluster 2 were induced in eCO_2 (Table S4). To identify gene networks responding to [CO_2], the 321 best BLASTP Arabidopsis homologs corresponding to our 388 soybean DEGs were were used as input for STRING (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2023) and gene ontology (GO) analyses (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2023) to identify biological processes (BP) that were overrepresented within each cluster (Table S5). In cluster 1, two major themes emerge related to i) responses to JA signaling (*e.g.* response to wounding, response to hormone, regulation of defense response, response to lipid, regulation of JA-mediated signaling) and ii) photosynthesis

377
378

Figure 1. Effects of eCO₂ on soybean physiology and growth. (a) Photosystem II (ΦPSII) activity and **(b)** stomatal conductance (g_{sw}) were measured at the indicated days after planting (dap) using a LI-600 portable system. **(c)** Stomatal density and **(d)** stomatal aperture measured at 21 dap using three randomly selected fields of view per leaf sample using a brightfield microscope. **(e)** Shoot fresh weight, **(f)** shoot dry weight, and **(g)** representative photos of soybean plants at 35 dap. All measurements and samples were taken from the newest fully expanded leaves of 10 plants at each time point, except ΦPSII and g_{sw}, which were measured in 15 plants per CO_2 treatment. The three experimental replicates were conducted simultaneously in independent CO_2 controlled chambers using a replicated completely randomized design. Data was graphed as the mean across the three replicates with standard error bars. Linear mixed effect model (LMM) analysis was applied to the fourth power of ΦPSII 35 dap and log-transformed stomatal aperture data due to unequal variance on the original scale. P-values were computed based on F-tests for the effect of CO_2 at each time point from the LMM analysis.

(a)

(b)

C1 C2 String GO ID
- Photosynthesis
- Defense
- Response to/regulation of JA
- Other

Figure 2. Transcriptomic analysis of soybean gene expression in leaves of plants grown under *a*CO$_2$ (419 ppm) or *e*CO$_2$ (550 ppm). (a) DEGs responding to *e*CO$_2$ were identified using a FDR < 0.01 (Table S3). Samples for QuantSeq analysis were taken from the newest fully expanded leaves of soybean plants at 21 days after planting. The three experimental replicates were conducted simultaneously in independent CO$_2$ controlled chambers using eight plants per CO$_2$ treatment. Row Z-scores were used for hierarchical clustering of DEGs, based on expression across samples and replicates. Two expression clusters were identified. DEGs in cluster 1 were expressed at higher levels in 419 ppm vs 550 ppm CO$_2$ and DEGs in cluster 2 were expressed at higher levels in 550 ppm vs 419 ppm CO$_2$. **(b)** STRING network for DEGs identified between *a*CO$_2$ and *e*CO$_2$ in leaves at 21 (dap). The 388 genes identified in soybean correspond to 321 genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* that were used to assign functional annotations. Lightly shaded or white circles indicate DEGs from cluster 1 (C1) and brightly shaded and black circles indicate DEGs from cluster 2 (C2).

(*e.g.* response to light intensity, response to high light intensity, photosynthesis, photosynthesis light reaction). The STRING association network produced from the genes in cluster 1 also highlights the effects on JA signaling with a node of highly connected genes that include *MYC2* transcription factor and *TIFY* (JAZ repressor) genes (Figure 2b, light blue). A node of highly connected genes in the lower right quadrant includes several genes related to photosynthetic processes, including soybean homologs of *AtRbcS1B, AtRAF1, AtLHCB8, AtLHCB1, AtCHLM*, and *AtPIF1* (Figure 2b, light orange).

Major themes emerging from cluster 2 are BP terms related to i) defense (*e.g.* defense, response to external stimulus, response to biotic stimulus, response to bacterium, response to other organism) and ii) protein modification (*e.g.* protein phosphorylation, protein modification process, phosphorus metabolic process) (Table S5). The STRING association network identifies interconnected genes associated with defense responses (Figure 2b). These nodes include soybean homologs of *AtBAK1*, *AtPAD4*, and *AtIOS1* (Table S4), all required for pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) responses (Yeh *et al.*, 2016; Pruitt *et al.*, 2021), and a number of resistance-like proteins, including *AtRPM1*, *AtRLP1*, and *AtRLP44*. While not highlighted in the STRING analyses, soybean homologs of genes associated with reduced g$_{sw}$ and stomatal aperture (*AtHT1*, *AtFER*, *AtZIFL1*, *AtPLDa1*, and *AtNRT1:2*) were upregulated in *e*CO$_2$ (Table S4). Together, our gene expression analyses indicate that *e*CO$_2$ causes changes in the transcriptome of unifoliate soybean leaves, repressing expression of photosynthetic genes, altering JA signaling, priming innate immunity against microbial pathogens and promoting expression of genes associated with stomatal closure.

Soybean resistance to *Pseudomonas spp.* is enhanced under eCO_2

In order to assess the impacts of eCO_2 on susceptibility to microbial pathogens, we first used the well characterized soybean-*P. syringae* pathosystem (Lindeberg *et al.*, 2009; Whitham *et al.*, 2016). Basal defense against bacterial pathogens is initiated by cell surface receptors that recognize microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs), such as the bacterial flagellin peptide flg22 (DeFalco & Zipfel, 2021). *Psg*, which causes bacterial blight in soybean, was chosen to model a compatible interaction where effectors effectively suppress immunity resulting in disease (Prom & Venette, 1997). At 1 dpi, the proliferation of *Psg* was 1.1-$\log_{10}$ fold lower in plants grown in eCO_2 compared to aCO_2 (Figure 3a), and it remained significantly reduced in eCO_2 over the 7-d time course (Figure 3a). In compatible interactions, *Psg* infection causes the development of necrotic spots that become surrounded by yellow halos (Budde & Ullrich, 2000). At 7 dpi, soybeans infected under [aCO_2] displayed necrotic lesions across the surface of leaves, consistent with bacterial blight symptoms (Figure 3b). In contrast and consistent with reduced *Psg* growth, few disease symptoms were observed on the leaves of plants grown at eCO_2 (Figure 3b).

We also inoculated plants with *Pto*DC3000, as an example of an incompatible interaction causing hypersensitive cell death resulting in disease resistance (Whalen *et al.*, 1991), and the *Pto*DC3000 type III secretion mutant, *hrcC-*, that cannot secrete bacterial effector proteins and therefore cannot multiply or induce hypersensitive cell death (Brooks *et al.*, 2004). Lower CFUs were consistently observed across the time course in soybean grown at eCO_2 when inoculated with either *Pto*DC3000 or *hrcC-*, although the p-values were greater than 0.05 (Figure 3a). At 7 dpi, *Pto*DC3000-infected plants grown at either [CO_2] began to show signs of a hypersensitive reaction while *hrcC-* infection did not result in obvious disease or defense phenotypes, regardless of the [CO_2] (Figure 3b).

To assess if atmospheric [CO_2] independently affects bacterial growth rate, we conducted a growth curve analysis on liquid cultures of *Pto*DC3000 in our controlled environment growth chambers. The growth curves of *PtoDC3000* cultures were similar between the two CO_2 levels (Figure S3), indicating that reduced growth of *P. syringae* in soybean is not due to direct effects of eCO_2 on bacterial multiplication. Together, these data suggest that eCO_2 enhances resistance to *P. syringae* infection in soybean during compatible interactions and, to a lesser extent, during an incompatible interaction.

Figure 3. Soybean plants growing in eCO_2 are less susceptible to *P. syringae*. **(a)** Colony forming units (CFU) of *P. syringae* pv. *gycinea* (*Psg*), *P. syringae* pv. *tomato* (*Pto*DC3000) and *Pto*DC3000 *hrcC⁻* were quantified in the unifoliate leaves of plants at 1, 3, 5, and 7 days post-inoculation (dpi). The unifoliate leaves of 24 plants were sampled for each treatment group, and three independent biological replicates were performed. Data points represent the mean CFU count of the three biological replicates with standard error bars. P-values were computed using t-tests for the contrast between two CO_2 levels of each pathogen averaged over dpi from the LMM analysis. **(b)** Representative images of unifoliate leaves photographed at 7 dpi. Mock plants were treated with 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.04% Silwet L-77 solution with no bacteria.

Elevated CO_2 alters basal immune responses

To determine if eCO_2 affects immunity to *Psg* on a molecular level, we assessed multiple hallmarks of plant immune signaling. In response to *Psg*, the change in stomatal conductance following *Psg* treatment did not differ between [CO_2] (Figure 4a). However, soybeans grown at eCO_2 exhibited greater overall production of reactive oxygen species when considering both mock- and flg22-treated leaf discs (Figure 4b) as well as higher and more sustained MAPK activation (Figure 4c). We also observed that a soybean *PR1* homolog, an SA-regulated defense gene, accumulated to higher levels in mock- and *Psg*-inoculated plants grown in eCO_2,

Figure 4. Bacterially-induced defense signaling is more strongly upregulated in soybean leaves grown under eCO2. (a) Stomatal conductance (g*sw*) at 1 hour post-inoculation (hpi) with *P. syringae* pv. *glycinea* (*Psg*). **(b)** Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in response to bacterial flagellin 22 (flg22) peptide was quantified using a chemiluminescence assay. Relative light units were determined using leaf discs from 12 plants per treatment, and **(c)** flg22-induced MAPK activation using protein extracted from 12 plants per time point (0 to 250 minutes after treatment) and visualized by immunoblot analysis. Expression of *PR1* (SA marker gene) **(d)** 6 hpi and **(e)** 24 hpi and expression of *KTI1* (JA marker gene) **(f)** 6 hpi and **(g)** 24 hpi with *Psg* or mock treatment was assessed by RT-qPCR. RNA was extracted from six plants per treatment and expression was measured relative to the *Skp1* housekeeping gene. All experiments were conducted using leaves or leaf discs collected from 14-day old plants grown under 419 ppm or 550 ppm CO2. Three independent replicates were conducted for each experiment. Data points represent average values across the three experimental replicates with standard error bars. P-values for ROS production and *PR1* expression were computed using F-tests for the main effect of CO2 , and g*sw* and *KTI1* expression using the interaction effect between CO2 and *Psg*, from the LMM analysis based on log-transformed data.

particularly in the 24 hpi sample (Figure 4d,e) and corresponded to a slightly greater SA accumulation in leaves sampled at 6 hpi (Figure S4a). At 24 hpi, expression of the JA marker gene *KTI1* was more down regulated in response to *Psg* (Figure 4f,g). However, JA accumulation was not affected by [CO2] in our metabolomics analysis (Figure S4b). Together,

505   these data suggest that bacterially-induced immune signaling is more robust in plants grown in

506   eCO_2, which correlates with the enhanced resistance to *Pseudomonas spp.* in these plants.

eCO_2 alters the soybean transcriptome in response to *Psg*

To gain further insight into the effects of eCO_2 on molecular responses to *Psg* treatment, we

conducted QuantSeq analysis on soybean leaves at 6 hpi with buffer alone (mock) or with *Psg*.

We identified DEGs in mock- versus *Psg*-treated plants grown at each of the two $[CO_2]$: 18,054

DEGs were identified in plants grown in aCO_2 and 19,443 DEGs were identified for plants grown

in eCO_2. We produced a combined list of all unique DEGs for a total of 21,822 *Psg*-responsive

DEGs, which was used to generate a heat map that formed four distinct clusters (Figure S5;

Table S6). Cluster 1 (1,661 DEGs) had mixed expression in response to *Psg* treatment and no

significantly (corrected p<0.05) overrepresented GO terms (Table S7). Cluster 2 (9,822 DEGs)

was induced by *Psg* treatment and had 81 significant GO terms associated with stress (response

to cadmium ion, heat, hypoxia, oxidative stress, salt, and UV-B), defense (response to chitin,

defense response to bacterium, fungus, and virus, cell death, regulation of SA biosynthesis,

response to SA, hypersensitive response, and systemic acquired resistance), and ER stress

(protein folding, response to unfolded protein, and response to ER stress). Clusters 3 (6,718

DEGs) and 4 (3,621 DEGs) were repressed by *Psg* treatment. While cluster 3 had 50 significant

GO terms associated with photosynthesis, response to light, and circadian rhythm, cluster 4 had

two significant GO terms: response to starvation and oxidation-reduction process. Among DEGs

repressed by *Psg* treatment in aCO_2 and eCO_2 conditions, 61.6% had greater negative fold-

changes in eCO_2 (7,419/12,053 DEGs). Similarly, for DEGs induced by *Psg* in aCO_2 and eCO_2

conditions, 60.1% had greater positive fold changes in soybeans grown in eCO_2 (5,671/9,357

DEGs).

To further understand how responses to *Psg* differ with changes in atmospheric $[CO_2]$,

we identified 622 and 1,919 DEGs associated with eCO_2 versus aCO_2 in mock- or *Psg*-

inoculated plants, respectively (Table S8). Using a union of these two lists, we generated a

heatmap of the 2,419 DEGs, which formed five distinct clusters (Figure 5). To assign potential

function to each of the clusters, we used the Arabidopsis best BLASTP homologs corresponding

to all DEGs within a cluster as input into STRING (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2023) and performed GO

BP enrichment for each cluster (Table S9). Cluster 1, which tended to be induced by eCO_2 in

536
Figure 5. Identification of soybean DEGs responding to eCO₂ (419 ppm versus 550 ppm) in either
mock or *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *gylcinea (Psg)* infected samples at 6 hpi. Samples for
QuantSeq analysis were taken from the unifoliate leaves of 14-day old plants at 6 h post-inoculation with
mock treatment or *Psg*. The three independent replicates were conducted using eight plants per CO₂
treatment. **(a)** Using an FDR < 0.01, we identified 2,419 DEGs responding to eCO2 in *Psg* and/or mock-
treated samples. Row Z-scores were used for hierarchical clustering of DEGs, based on expression
across samples and replicates. Purple indicates expression values below the row mean for a given DEG
and sample and teal indicates expression values above the row mean for a given DEG and sample. Rep
indicates the independent biological replicate. The five major expression clusters of DEGs are indicated
as 1 to 5, with clusters 2 and 5 containing the most genes. Cluster 2 contains 986 genes that are primarily
upregulated at 6 hpi with *Psg* but these genes are upregulated more in *e*CO₂. Cluster 5 contains 828
genes that are downregulated at 6 hpi with *Psg*, but these genes are more down regulated in *e*CO₂.
549
550
mock and *Psg* samples, was associated with 40 GO terms related to stress (response to
chemical, stress, and abiotic stimulus) and defense (regulation of JA signaling, response to JA,
response to bacterium, and defense response). DEGs in cluster 2 were induced by *Psg* with
greater induction observed in *e*CO₂ and corresponded to 139 significant GO terms related to
stress and defense responses. DEGs in cluster 3 were repressed by *e*CO₂ and corresponded to
37 significant GO terms, many associated with stress and regulation (regulation of transcription,
circadian rhythm, primary metabolism, development, and photoperiodism). DEGs in cluster 4
were induced by *Psg* with greater induction observed in *a*CO₂ and corresponded with 83

significant GO terms associated with stress, signaling, development, and defense. DEGs in cluster 5 were repressed by *Psg*, with greater repression at *e*CO2. The 37 GO terms in cluster 5 were largely associated with photosynthesis and response to light.

Across all 2,419 DEGs, 74.8% and 83.2% of DEGs responding to eCO$_2$ in *Psg* or mock were also significantly differentially expressed in response to *Psg* at aCO$_2$ and eCO$_2$, respectively. This confirms that eCO$_2$ impacts the expression of genes involved in pathogen defense responses. This is most obvious in clusters 2 (986 DEGs) and 5 (828 DEGs), where 71.1% and 94.3% of DEGs are also significantly differentially expressed in response to *Psg* at aCO$_2$ and 82.3% and 99.5% of DEGs are also significantly *Psg* responsive in eCO$_2$. In summary, the QuantSeq analyses indicate that eCO$_2$ does not cause a reprogramming of responses to *Psg*, but rather it enhances the up- or down-regulation of many genes associated with defense and stress responses and photosynthetic processes.

Identification of regulatory elements controlling response to eCO$_2$

We next sought to identify the TFs that were differentially expressed in the 2,419 DEGs responding to eCO$_2$ in Figure 5. Across all five clusters, we identified 144 TFs representing 31 transcription factor families. Clusters 1-5 contained 7, 43, 27, 19, and 48 unique TFs, respectively (Table S10). Given that many of the GO term descriptions significantly overrepresented in clusters 1 through 5 included the words "response to" or "regulation of", we were interested in predicting upstream TFs that could also be important in eCO$_2$ responses. Using the DEG list for each cluster as input into PlantRegMap (Tian *et al.*, 2020), we identified 1, 44, 18, 13, and 16 significantly overrepresented TF binding sites (TFBS) for clusters 1-5, respectively (Table S11). Interestingly, some predicted TFBS were significant in multiple clusters. In addition, five predicted TFBS were also among our DEGs of interest. We identified the DEG targets corresponding to each overrepresented TFBS (Table S12). In order to assign a possible function to a TF with an overrepresented TFBS, we used the SoyBase GO Term Enrichment Tool (https://www.soybase.org/goslimgraphic_v2/dashboard.php) to identify significantly overrepresented GO terms (corrected p<0.05) associated with the corresponding target DEGs (Table S13). Of the 92 significant TFBS predicted, 48 had significantly overrepresented GO terms. Identified GO terms were associated with stress (response to heat, H$_2$O$_2$, redox state, phosphate starvation, and light), regulation (cell aging, circadian rhythm, growth rate, proton transport, and transcription), photosynthesis (light reaction, electron

transport, and plastid organization), and defense (fatty acid elongation, biosynthesis of anthocyanins, carotenoids, and flavonoids, and negative regulation of defense response to bacterium). Sorting the data in Table S13 revealed multiple TFs were regulating DEGs corresponding to the same GO term. For example, we identified nine significant TFBS associated with photosynthetic electron transport in photosystem I.

Given these results, we wanted to examine the TF/TFBS data relative to our DEGs from mock and *Psg*-infected tissues. We plotted the number of target DEGs corresponding to each predicted TFBS (Figure 6a). For almost every predicted TFBS, there were more targets among DEGs from *Psg*-infected tissues than mock-infected tissues, suggesting *Psg* infection resulted in a more robust response to eCO_2. To confirm this pattern was not due to errors in predicting TFBS, we also plotted the number of DEGs associated with significantly overrepresented GO terms (Figure 6b; Table S8,S9). For almost every significant GO term, there were more GO terms associated with *Psg*-infected tissues than mock-infected tissues. To better visualize the interaction between TF and TFBS, we used the best Arabidopsis homolog of each TF and TFBS as input into STRING (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2023). In Figure 6c, TF and TFBS associated with *Psg*-infected tissues are colored shades of teal, while TF and TFBS associated with mock-infected tissues are colored shades of purple. As in 6a and 6b, the TF and TFBS from *Psg*-infected tissues are far more robust, confirming *Psg*-infection enhances responses to eCO_2.

eCO_2 suppresses viral immunity

To determine if eCO_2 affects virus susceptibility in soybean, we inoculated plants with two virus species, BPMV, a *Comovirus* in the family *Secoviridae* (Sanfaçon *et al.*, 2009), and SMV, a *Potyvirus* in the family *Potyviridae* (Hajimorad *et al.*, 2018). BPMV and SMV caused a greater reduction in soybean growth and shoot dry weight when compared to the mock plants in eCO_2 than in aCO_2 (Figure 7a, S6). Accumulation of BPMV and SMV was higher in the newest fully expanded leaves of infected plants grown at eCO_2 compared to those grown at aCO_2 at 14 dpi (Figure 7b,c) and to a lesser extent at 21 dpi (Figure S7). Accordingly, the AUDPC was greater for BPMV- and SMV-infected plants grown at eCO_2 (Figure 7d,e). We used RT-qPCR to assay expression of soybean homologs of four genes associated with defense responses to viruses: *PR1*, *KTI1*, *AGO1*, and *DCL2*. We found that the overall mean expression of the SA marker

620
621
622 **Figure 6. Significantly differentially expressed TFs and over-represented TFBS are more robust**
623 **in *Psg*-infected tissues responding to eCO₂ than mock infected tissues.** PlantRegMap/PlantTFDB
624 v5.0 (Tian *et al.*, 2020) was used to identify significantly differentially expressed TFs and significantly

overrepresented TFBS among the DEG clusters identified in Figure 5 (Supplemental Tables S9-S11). **(a)** Significant TFBS versus predicted number of DEG targets. Overrepresented TFBS and DEGs targets are plotted for every cluster (cluster information not shown), therefore if a TFBS was significant in multiple clusters, it was plotted multiple times but with different DEG targets. **(b)** Significantly overrepresented GO terms (minimum of 10 DEGs per GO term) versus DEG number (Supplemental Table S5). In both panels, DEGs in teal were significant in *Psg* samples and/or DEGs in purple were significant in mock treated samples. **(c)** Best Arabidopsis homologs of TFs and TFBS were input into STRING (Szklarczyk *et al.*, 2023). TFs differentially expressed in response to eCO_2 in *Psg*-treated samples, mock-treated samples or both are colored dark teal, dark purple or grey, respectively. TFBS are colored based on the origin of the target DEGs.

gene, *PR1*, was lower at eCO_2 when considering both mock and virus-infected plants (Figure 7f). In addition, expression of *PR1* was induced in both BPMV- and SMV-infected plants relative to mock-inoculation under aCO_2. However, *PR1* expression in the virus-infected plants was similar to the mock-inoculated plants in eCO_2. The expression of *KTI1* was similar under the two CO_2 levels (Figure 7g), and its induction in virus-infected versus mock plants was not significantly different between aCO_2 and eCO_2, suggesting that JA-mediated defenses against viruses were not affected. We also observed that *AGO1* and *DCL2* accumulated to lower levels in plants grown at eCO_2 (Figure 7h,i), suggesting that RNA silencing-based defenses could potentially be compromised (Leonetti *et al.*, 2021; Akbar *et al.*, 2022). These data suggest that the increased susceptibility of soybean to BPMV and SMV under eCO_2 may be partially mediated by suppression of SA-related signaling and RNA silencing mechanisms.

Susceptibility to soil-borne filamentous pathogens is altered under elevated CO_2

To determine if eCO_2 also impacts susceptibility to soil-borne pathogens, we inoculated soybean with *F. virguliforme*, a hemibiotrophic fungus causing sudden death syndrome (SDS) (O'Donnell *et al.*, 2010), or *P. sylvaticum*, a necrotrophic oomycete causing seed decay and seedling root rot (Rojas *et al.*, 2017). Root and shoot dry weight were decreased to a greater extent in *F. virguliforme*-infected plants grown in eCO_2 compared to plants grown under aCO_2 at 35 dap (Figure 8a,b, S8). Correspondingly, progression of the foliar symptoms of SDS was greater (Figure 8c) and more severe symptoms developed on the leaves under eCO_2 (Figure 8d). Consistent with the increased disease symptoms, *F. virguliforme* was more abundant in the tap roots of plants grown in eCO_2 at 35 dap (Figure 8e). To investigate if expression of SA- or JA-

Figure 7. Young soybean plants growing in eCO_2 are more susceptible to BPMV and SMV. (a) Representative photos of soybean growth in response to eCO_2 during infection with BPMV or SMV compared to mock treated control plants. Plants were photographed at 21 days post-inoculation (dpi) **(b)** BPMV and **(c)** SMV quantity at 14 dpi was assessed by RT-qPCR using *Skp1* as a housekeeping gene. Disease progression of **(d)** BPMV and **(e)** SMV calculated as the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) over a 35 day time course. Expression of **(f)** *PR1* (SA marker gene) **(g)** *KTI1* (JA marker gene), and RNA silencing-related genes **(h)** *AGO1* and **(i)** *DCL2* in response to BPMV, SMV, or mock treatment was assessed by RT-qPCR analysis relative to the expression of *Skp1*. The newest fully expanded leaves of eight plants were sampled at the indicated time point for each treatment. The three experimental replicates were conducted simultaneously in independent CO_2 controlled chambers using a replicated

complete randomized design. Data was graphed as the mean across the three replicates with standard
error bars. P-values were computed using F-tests for the main effect of CO_2 from the LMM analysis on
the log-transformed relative gene expression data. The following p-values were associated with the log-
transformed relative *PR1* expression of virus-infected plants compared to mock-treated plants at aCO_2
condition: $p(BPMV_{PR1,419})=0.1282$, $p(SMV_{PR1,419})=0.0178$ and at eCO_2 condition: $p(BPMV_{PR1,550})=0.7779$,
$p(SMV_{PR1,550})=0.3882$. All were calculated based on t-tests for the contrasts between each pathogen and
mock at the corresponding CO_2 conditions from the LMM analysis. The following p-values were computed
using t-tests from the LMM analysis for the interaction effect between CO_2 condition and pathogen
treatment (virus or mock) on log-transformed *KTI1* gene expression: $p(BPMV_{KTI1})=0.6156$ and
$p(SMV_{KTI1})=0.3444$.
680
681
related defense genes was affected in eCO_2, accumulation of *PR1* and *KTI1* mRNA transcripts,

respectively, were quantified in soybean roots using RT-qPCR. Expression of neither gene was

convincingly altered in response to *F. virguliforme* infection in eCO_2 relative to plants grown

under aCO_2 (Figure 8f, S9). To investigate if *F. virguliforme* growth is affected by [CO_2], we

measured the diameter of *F. virguliforme* colonies as they grew on plates for 11 days in aCO_2 or

eCO_2. Fungal growth was similar at the two CO_2 levels (Figure S10), indicating that the greater

fungal accumulation in roots and SDS progression observed in soybeans at eCO_2 is not caused

by increased pathogen replication rate. Together, our data suggest that eCO_2 increases

susceptibility to *F. virguliforme*, although the underlying molecular mechanisms mediating this

altered interaction are unclear.

Similar to *F. virguliforme*, *P. sylvaticum* caused root rot under both CO_2 treatments (Figure

9a), and the root and shoot dry weights of infected plants were more dramatically decreased

when compared to the mock treatment in eCO_2 relative to aCO_2 (Figure 9b, S11). Hyphal growth

assays indicated that *P. sylvaticum* grew more quickly in eCO_2 than in aCO_2 (Figure S12).

However, disease index ratings were similar between infected plants grown under both [CO_2]

(Figure 9c), which was consistent with the similar *P. sylvaticum* titers observed in roots at 14

dap (Figure 9d). Additionally, no difference was observed in *KTI1* expression (Figure 9e), and

while the p-value for *PR1* expression was below 0.05, we do not consider it to be significant

because of the similar levels of expression in *Pythium*-infected tissue (Figure S13) at 14 dap

between the two [CO_2]. Together, our results suggest that eCO_2 could have a minor effect on *in*

vitro growth of *P. sylvaticum*; however, we could not demonstrate that this led to the pathogen

being more aggressive in the roots. It is also interesting that while susceptibility to *P. sylvaticum*

appears to be unchanged there is a greater loss in root and shoot biomass in plants grown in

eCO_2.

Figure 8. Young soybean plants growing in eCO₂ develop more SDS symptoms and are more susceptible to *F. virguliforme*. (a) Representative photos of soybean plants at 35 days after planting (dap) that were mock treated or germinated in soil infested with *F. virguliforme* and **(b)** associated root dry weight. **(c)** SDS disease progression, assessed as area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC), and **(d)** close-up photos of SDS disease symptoms on unifoliate leaves at 35 dap. **(e)** *F. virguliforme* titer and **(f)** expression of *PR1* (SA marker gene) in soybean roots at 35 dap was assessed by RT-qPCR analysis relative to the *Skp1* housekeeping gene. The three experimental replicates were conducted simultaneously in independent CO₂ controlled chambers using a replicated complete randomized design. Data was graphed as the mean across the three replicates with standard error bars. P-values were computed using F-tests for the main effect of CO₂ on AUDPC and log-transformed titers, and interaction effect between CO₂ and *Fusarium* treatment on root dry weight and log-transformed *PR1* gene expression from the LMM analysis.

723
Figure 9. Effects of *eCO₂* on *P. sylvaticum* infection in soybean. (a) Representative photos of
soybean plants at 21 days after planting (dap) that were mock treated or germinated in soil infested with
P. sylvaticum and **(b)** associated root dry weight. **(c)** *P. sylvaticum* disease index measured 14 dap and
(d) *P. sylvaticum* copy number in roots determined by RT-qPCR analysis at 21 dap. **(e)** *KTI1* (JA marker
gene) expression in response to mock treatment or *P. sylvaticum* infection assessed by RT-qPCR
analysis. Gene expression was assessed relative to the *Skp1* housekeeping gene. The three
experimental replicates were conducted simultaneously in independent CO_2 controlled chambers using
a replicated complete randomized design. Data was graphed as the mean across the three replicates
with standard error bars. P-values were computed using F-tests for the main effect of CO_2 on AUDPC
and *Pythium* copy number, and interaction effect between CO_2 and *Pythium* treatment on root dry weight
and log-transformed *KTI1* expression from the LMM analysis.
735

736 Discussion

Climate change is impacting agriculture by affecting crop quality and yield (Pareek *et al.*, 2020;

Rezaei *et al.*, 2023), and CO_2 is considered to be the primary driver of climate change because

of its abundance and longevity in the atmosphere. Given the central role of CO_2 in plant biology,

there are many ways it can influence plant physiology that can potentially impact interactions

with pathogenic microbes (Bazinet *et al.*, 2022). While some themes are beginning to emerge,

it remains challenging to predict the response of a given plant to a given pathogen under eCO$_2$.

Here, we selected a diverse panel of phytopathogens to assess if and how soybean-microbe

interactions are impacted by eCO$_2$. Our results demonstrate that the CO$_2$ levels expected within

the next three decades (Jaggard *et al.*, 2010) have significant impacts on soybean immunity.

The effects of eCO$_2$ on disease varied across the different pathogen types with enhanced

resistance to bacterial blight caused by *Psg*, increased susceptibility to BPMV, SMV, and *F.*

virguliforme, and little apparent effect on susceptibility to *P. sylvaticum*.

Extensive research from SoyFACE experiments has demonstrated that under favorable

environmental conditions, eCO$_2$ (550 ppm CO$_2$) has the potential to benefit soybean growth and

yield (Long *et al.*, 2006; Ainsworth & Long, 2021). To determine if our eCO$_2$ experiments in

controlled environment chambers could approximate the effects on soybean growth and

physiology that have been observed in SoyFACE experiments, we conducted baseline

physiological and gene expression analyses. In line with field results, we observed that leaf

photosynthetic rate and plant biomass increased, whereas stomatal conductance, density, and

aperture decreased (Figure 1). Interestingly, we found that expression of some photosynthesis-

related genes, such as *Rubisco small subunit 1B* (*RbcS1B*), decreased under eCO$_2$, which

seems contradictory to the enhanced photosynthesis. However, others have shown that mRNA

expression of *RbcS* and other photosynthesis-related genes can be down-regulated as non-

structural sugars accumulate and plants begin to acclimate to eCO$_2$ (Cheng *et al.*, 1998;

Thompson *et al.*, 2017). The combined results from physiology and baseline gene expression

analyses indicated that the soybean plants were performing as expected in eCO$_2$ under our

growth conditions and support the idea that their responses to pathogens would be authentic.

Although the impacts of eCO$_2$ on soybean physiology are well-established, its effects on

disease are limited to one study that investigated the incidence and severity of three naturally

occurring diseases caused by the fungal pathogens *F. virguliforme* (SDS) and *Septoria glycines*

(brown spot) and the oomycete pathogen *Peronospora manshurica* (downy mildew) over three

years in SoyFACE (Eastburn *et al.*, 2010). eCO$_2$ did not affect SDS incidence or severity, but

the severity of brown spot disease increased, while the severity of downy mildew decreased.

The incidence of brown spot and downy mildew were not affected. The results from this study

are consistent with the idea that diseases caused by necrotrophic pathogens may be typically

enhanced under eCO$_2$, whereas diseases caused by biotrophic and hemibiotrophic pathogens

may be suppressed in C3 plants (Bazinet *et al.*, 2022). This study showed that future

atmospheric CO$_2$ levels have the potential to positively or negatively impact soybean diseases

that occur in the field, but it was limited by the pathogens that were present, variable
environmental conditions, and unknown levels of inoculum. Our goal was to extend these
findings under controlled environmental conditions to determine how eCO_2 differentially affects
responses to distinct types of pathogens and to establish a foundation to understand the
complex and dynamic signaling events that influence soybean-pathogen interactions in
response to eCO_2.

The effects of [CO_2] on bacterial infection have not been investigated previously in
soybean, however, several independent studies have indicated that for the hemibiotrophic
pathogen *Pto*DC3000, eCO_2 enhances resistance in tomato (Li *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015;
Hu *et al.*, 2021) and mostly increases susceptibility in Arabidopsis (Zhou *et al.*, 2017, 2019).
Arabidopsis does not become more susceptible to all pathogens, as it is more resistant to
infection with the necrotrophic pathogen *B. cinerea* at eCO_2 (Zhou *et al.*, 2019). In our work, we
observed enhanced resistance in compatible interactions between *Psg* and soybean under
eCO_2, and to a lesser extent, during incompatible interactions with *Pto*DC3000 and *Pto*DC3000
hrcC⁻ (Figure 3A). Taken together, it is evident that bacterial immunity is altered under eCO_2 in
C3 plants in a pathosystem-specific manner. Notably, changes in environmental conditions,
nutrient availability, and other growth conditions have been shown to alter the direction of these
responses (Bazinet *et al.*, 2022).

Phytohormones and stomatal immunity play pivotal roles in altering defense responses
to bacteria under eCO_2 in Arabidopsis and tomato. For example, in tomato, enhanced resistance
to *Pto*DC3000 was associated with eCO_2-induced stomatal closure (Li *et al.*, 2015) and higher
SA biosynthesis (Li *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015). In Arabidopsis, increased susceptibility to
*Pto*DC3000 was associated with reduced ABA levels, causing changes in stomatal dynamics
favoring bacterial infection (Zhou *et al.*, 2017), and shifts in SA/JA antagonism toward JA-
mediated immunity against necrotrophic pathogens (Zhou *et al.*, 2019). In response to *Psg*
infection, we observed a 1.7-fold increase in SA accumulation and no notable change in JA
levels (Figure S4). However, this slight increase in SA seems unlikely to provide the level of
resistance against *Psg* observed under eCO_2 based on previous work showing that free SA was
increased by 7-10 fold in soybean plants exhibiting SA-dependent, constitutive defense
responses (Liu *et al.*, 2011). We hypothesize that enhanced bacterial resistance is likely
conferred by a combination of physiological, metabolic, and molecular responses that together
limit bacterial infection under eCO_2. Indeed, we observed an upregulation of MAMP-triggered
immune responses in soybeans at eCO_2 including flg22-induced oxidative species production

(Figure 4b) and flg22-induced MAPK activation (Figure 4c). We additionally observed reduced

stomatal density (Figure 1c) and a constitutive decrease in stomatal aperture (Figure 1d), which

is likely to limit the entry of bacterial species.

811   Our transcriptomic analysis also indicates that eCO_2 has direct impacts on soybean

defense. eCO_2 caused an over-representation of DEGs associated with defense responses

(Figure 2, Table S5), even in the absence of pathogen challenge. Most notable was altered

expression of genes associated with JA signaling and response to JA, including decreased

expression of *Jasmonate Zim Domain* (*JAZ*)-encoding genes, which repress jasmonate

signaling, and *MYC2* orthologs, known as the master regulators of jasmonate signaling (Johnson

et al., 2023). Although we did not observe higher baseline JA levels under eCO_2 in our

metabolomics analysis (Figure S4), down-regulation of these genes suggests that JA-mediated

responses may be altered in soybean plants growing in eCO_2. This finding is consistent with

prior work demonstrating that various insects prefer to feed on and cause more damage to plants

grown in eCO_2 (DeLucia *et al.*, 2008; Johnson *et al.*, 2020), which has been attributed at least

in part to compromised JA-mediated defenses (Zavala *et al.*, 2008). We also found no evidence

for constitutive up-regulation of SA-mediated defenses under eCO_2, because there were no

pathogenesis-related genes among our DEGs and SA levels were not different from plants

grown in aCO_2. However, we did find that some genes that positively regulate basal defense

responses were upregulated, suggesting that some immune responses might be primed in

soybean under these conditions. The effects of eCO_2 on DEGs was even more pronounced

following *Psg* infection (Figure 5; Table S8). Similar DEGs were identified at aCO_2 and eCO_2 in

response to *Psg* infection, however the amplitude of these responses were significantly more

up- or down-regulated, depending on the gene, in response to eCO_2 (Table S8). This is in line

with the higher *Psg*- and flg22-elicited defense gene expression and basal defense responses

under eCO_2.

833   The effects of eCO_2 on virus susceptibility has been studied in various other C3 plants,

most of which have reported increased resistance to viral pathogens associated with a

constitutive overproduction of SA (Fu *et al.*, 2010; Trębicki *et al.*, 2016) or upregulation of RNA

silencing genes that are critical for viral defense (Guo *et al.*, 2020). As discussed above, we did

not observe a constitutive stimulation of SA levels or SA-based defenses in our experimental

system, and interestingly, we found that expression of two genes potentially involved in anti-viral

RNA silencing was decreased (Figure 7h,i). In line with our molecular analyses, soybean plants

were more susceptible to BPMV and SMV in eCO_2. Increased susceptibility could be partially

explained by reduced induction of SA-based defenses, as indicated by reduced *PR1* gene

expression in BPMV- and SMV-treated plants in eCO_2 (Figure 7f). It is also possible that changes

in RNA silencing components are responsible for increased susceptibility, as we observed a

reduction in both *AGO1* (Figure 7h) and *DCL2* (Figure 7i) expression in virus-infected soybean

at eCO_2. Our results suggest that both SA-mediated defense and RNA silencing are

compromised in response to BPMV and SMV infection in soybean. An interesting avenue to

pursue in the future would be to test if there is a link between the apparent down-regulation of

SA-mediated defenses and anti-viral RNA silencing.

Many viruses, including BPMV and SMV, are transmitted by an insect vector in nature.

Importantly, many of the studies indicating that plants are less susceptible to viruses under eCO_2

have used aphids as a vector for viral delivery. Thus, we do not know if the presence of bean

leaf beetle (BPMV) or aphid (SMV) may influence the outcome of the interaction. Furthermore,

the behavior of insect vectors or other factors may be influenced by eCO_2 in ways that could

affect dissemination of the viruses. For example, the larger canopy size associated with

increased photosynthetic rates in soybean are expected to cause a higher dispersion rate,

increasing disease incidence and severity in the field (Amari *et al.*, 2021), pointing to viruses as

potential pathogens of concern under predicted future climatic conditions. It will be interesting to

study the tri-trophic interactions in the future from mechanistic and epidemiological perspectives,

and perhaps including other climate change-associated gasses such as ozone, which retards

SMV infection in soybean (Bilgin *et al.*, 2008).

eCO_2 has been shown to have various effects on diseases caused by fungi and

oomycetes (filamentous pathogens). Fungal pathogens are of particular concern under predicted

future climatic conditions as it will allow their dispersal into more Northern latitudes as a

consequence of higher temperatures and predicted changes in annual precipitation and humidity

levels (Nnadi & Carter, 2021). While various studies have investigated the effect of eCO_2 on

filamentous pathogens (Smith & Luna, 2023), relatively few have examined soil-borne

pathogens. Elevated CO_2 (800 ppm) had no effect on infections caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*

or *F. oxysporum* in Arabidopsis plants (Zhou *et al.*, 2019). Tomato plants grown in 700 ppm CO_2

were more tolerant to root rot caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (Jwa & Walling, 2001). Growth

of *P. infestans* was not directly affected by [CO_2] and the increased resistance of tomato plants

could not be associated with changes in expression of marker genes associated with SA-, ABA,

or JA-based defenses. In FACE experiments involving natural infections, eCO_2 led to higher

incidence of sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia solani*) on rice (Kobayashi *et al.*, 2006), but SDS (*F.*

virguliforme) in soybean was not affected (Eastburn *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, the increased

incidence of rice sheath blight was only observed in plots that also received input of high nitrogen

fertilizer. These previous studies show that responses of plants to filamentous soil-borne

pathogens can be affected by eCO_2, but they can be highly influenced by environmental factors

and do not necessarily correlate with the expected defense-related signaling events.

Our data indicate that soybean plants are more susceptible to *F. virguliforme* under eCO_2

but had no notable change in *P. sylvaticum* infection. Surprisingly, we observed a greater loss

in root and shoot dry weight in response to *P. sylvaticum* even though visual disease scores

were similar, and similar quantities of *P. sylvaticum* DNA were observed in the roots of plants

grown at eCO_2 and aCO_2. We only observed a modest reduction in *PR1* expression following *F.*

virguliforme treatment under eCO_2, and there was no change in expression of the *KTI1* JA

marker gene. These gene expression results are similar to what has previously been observed

in tomato with increased susceptibility showing no correlation with concomitant changes in

expression of defense pathway marker genes (Jwa & Walling, 2001). Various other factors have

been linked to *F. virguliforme* resistance, which we did not assess in this study, including the

production of phytoalexins and changes in root exudates, both of which can be affected by eCO_2

(Vaughan *et al.*, 2014; Usyskin-Tonne *et al.*, 2020). Experiments at FACE facilities have

demonstrated dramatic changes in the soil microbial rhizosphere and endosphere (Rosado-

Porto *et al.*, 2022; Gao *et al.*, 2022), which could also impact the composition of phytopathogenic

fungi in the surrounding soil and cause changes in soybean-pathogen interactions. One could

also expect that changes in root architecture and growth could benefit or curtail infection by soil

pathogens. Our data indicate that disease losses due to soil-borne filamentous pathogens can

be exacerbated in soybean grown in eCO_2, and there is much more to learn about the underlying

mechanisms.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that soybean basal defense responses and gene

expression are altered under eCO_2, differentially regulating susceptibility to bacterial, viral,

fungal, and oomycete pathogens. Comprehensive analysis of bacterially-elicited responses has

given us a strong foundation to investigate which genes are regulating defense to bacteria under

eCO_2 and how the corresponding signaling pathways are regulated. In our experiments, we

assessed the effect of [CO_2] on pathogen growth, however, we did not investigate how pathogen

virulence or evolution affects soybean-pathogen interactions under these conditions. In our

study, we focused on the impact of one factor, eCO_2, on soybean physiology and disease

susceptibility. However, eCO_2 is not the only environmental factor to consider when predicting

the outcome of future climatic conditions on plant health. Increases in temperature, changes in
water and nutrient availability, soil pH, photoperiod, and severe weather events are also
expected to affect disease susceptibility (Saijo & Loo, 2020). For example, higher temperatures
have almost uniformly been associated with higher disease susceptibility to bacterial pathogens
in Arabidopsis (Cohen & Leach, 2020). Understanding how combined stresses, in the context of
future atmospheric CO_2 levels that are likely to occur, affect defense signaling and disease
development is a critical question that needs to be investigated further to develop new strategies
to mitigate crop losses due to threats imposed by climate change.
915

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the ISU DNA Facility, Office of Biotechnology, Iowa State University, Ames IA
for providing analytical instrumentation and technical expertise. We acknowledge the Iowa State
University W.M. Keck Metabolomics Research Laboratory (RRID:SCR_017911) for providing
analytical instrumentation. We thank Dr. Gwyn Beattie (ISU) for providing us with the *Pto*DC3000
hrcC- strain, Dr. Leanor Leandro and Vijitha K. Silva (ISU) for SDS inoculum and inoculation
protocols, and Dr. Alison E. Robertson and Clarice L. Schmidt (ISU) for *P. sylvaticum* inoculum
and guidance with infection experiments. This work was supported in part by the Iowa Soybean
Research Center, the ISU Plant Sciences Institute, USDA NIFA Hatch Project 4308, the ISU
College of Agriculture and Life Sciences and by USDA-ARS projects 5030-21220-007-000D
(Leveraging Crop Genetic Diversity and Genomics to Improve Biotic and Abiotic Stress
Tolerance in Soybean) and 0500-00093-001-00-D (SCINet). Ekkachai Khwanbua is supported
by an Anandamahidol fellowship from the government of Thailand. Mention of trade names or
commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information
and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the USDA. USDA-ARS is an equal
opportunity employer and provider.

Competing Interests

933 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author Contributions

EK, MB, ASC, and KH performed the experiments. MB, EK, and SAW conceived of the experiments. MWB performed the metabolomics analysis. YQ and PL performed statistical analysis using the linear mixed models. MAG performed the QuantSeq data analysis. MB, EK, MAG, and SAW wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

Data Availability

The RNA-seq reads for QuantSeq dataset 1 and dataset 2 were deposited in NCBI under BioProject PRJNA1017882 and PRJNA1017884, respectively. The authors declare that any additional supporting data for this work can be found in the manuscript, its supplementary materials, or obtained directly from the corresponding author upon request.

References

Aguilar E, Allende L, Del Toro FJ, Chung B-N, Canto T, Tenllado F. **2015**. Effects of Elevated CO_2 and Temperature on Pathogenicity Determinants and Virulence of Potato virus X/Potyvirus-Associated Synergism. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions: MPMI* **28**: 1364–1373.

Ahammed GJ, Li X. **2022**. Elevated carbon dioxide-induced regulation of ethylene in plants. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **202**: 105025.

Ainsworth EA, Long SP. **2021**. 30 years of free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE): What have we learned about future crop productivity and its potential for adaptation? *Global Change Biology* **27**: 27–49.

Akbar S, Wei Y, Zhang M-Q. **2022**. RNA Interference: Promising Approach to Combat Plant Viruses. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2323** (10): 5312**.**

Amari K, Huang C, Heinlein M. **2021**. Potential Impact of Global Warming on Virus Propagation in Infected Plants and Agricultural Productivity. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 649768.

Aspray EK, Mies TA, McGrath JA, Montes CM, Dalsing B, Puthuval KK, Whetten A, Herriott J, Li S, Bernacchi CJ, *et al.* 2023. Two decades of fumigation data from the Soybean Free Air Concentration Enrichment facility. *Scientific Data* **10**: 226.

Morgan M, Pagès H, Obenchain V, and Hayden N. 2021. Rsamtools: Binary alignment (BAM), FASTA, variant call (BCF), and tabix file import. Available at: https://bioconductor.org/packages/Rsamtools.

Bao Y, Zarecor S, Shah D, Tuel T, Campbell DA, Chapman AVE, Imberti D, Kiekhaefer D, Imberti H, Lübberstedt T, *et al.* 2019. Assessing plant performance in the Enviratron. *Plant Methods* **15**: 117.

Bazinet Q, Tang L, Bede JC. **2022**. Impact of Future Elevated Carbon Dioxide on C Plant Resistance to Biotic Stresses. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions: MPMI* **35**: 527–539.

Bebber DP, Ramotowski MAT, Gurr SJ. **2013**. Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. *Nature Climate Change* **3**: 985–988.

Beyer SF, Bel PS, Flors V, Schultheiss H, Conrath U, Langenbach CJG. **2021**. Disclosure of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid-responsive genes provides a molecular tool for deciphering stress responses in soybean. *Scientific Reports* **11**: 20600.

Bilgin DD, Aldea M, O'Neill BF, Benitez M, Li M, Clough SJ, DeLucia EH. **2008**. Elevated ozone alters soybean-virus interaction. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **21**: 1297–1308.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. **2014**. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

Bredow M, Sementchoukova I, Siegel K, Monaghan J. **2019**. Pattern-Triggered Oxidative Burst and Seedling Growth Inhibition Assays in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Journal of Visualized Experiments: JoVE* **147**: e59437.

Broberg M, Högy P, Pleijel H. **2017**. CO_2-Induced Changes in Wheat Grain Composition: Meta-Analysis and Response Functions. *Agronomy* **7**: 32.

Brooks DM, Hernández-Guzmán G, Kloek AP, Alarcón-Chaidez F, Sreedharan A, Rangaswamy V, Peñaloza-Vázquez A, Bender CL, Kunkel BN. **2004**. Identification and characterization of a well-defined series of coronatine biosynthetic mutants of Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato DC3000. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **17**: 162–174.

Budde IP, Ullrich MS. **2000**. Interactions of Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea with host and nonhost plants in relation to temperature and phytotoxin synthesis. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **13**: 951–961.

Casteel CL, O'Neill BF, Zavala JA, Bilgin DD, Berenbaum MR, Delucia EH. **2008**. Transcriptional profiling reveals elevated CO_2 and elevated O_3 alter resistance of soybean (Glycine max) to Japanese beetles (Popillia japonica). *Plant, Cell & Environment* **31**: 419–434.

Ceulemans R, VAN Praet L, Jiang XN. **1995**. Effects of CO_2 enrichment, leaf position and clone on stomatal index and epidermal cell density in poplar (Populus). *New Phytologist* **131**: 99–107.

Chakraborty S. **2013**. Migrate or evolve: options for plant pathogens under climate change. *Global Change Biology* **19**: 1985–2000.

Cheng SH, Moore B, Seemann JR. **1998**. Effects of short- and long-term elevated CO_2 on the expression of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase genes and carbohydrate accumulation in leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. *Plant Physiology* **116**: 715–723.

Christian N, Espino Basurto B, Toussaint A, Xu X, Ainsworth EA, Busby PE, Heath KD. **2021**. Elevated carbon dioxide reduces a common soybean leaf endophyte. *Global Change Biology* **27**: 4154–4168.

Cohen & Leach. **2020**. High temperature-induced plant disease susceptibility: more than the sum of its parts. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **56**: 235–241.

DeFalco TA, Zipfel C. **2021**. Molecular mechanisms of early plant pattern-triggered immune signaling. *Molecular Cell* **81**: 4346.

DeLucia EH, Casteel CL, Nabity PD, O'Neill BF. **2008**. Insects take a bigger bite out of plants in a warmer, higher carbon dioxide world. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**: 1781–1782.

Dermody O, O'Neill BF, Zangerl AR, Berenbaum MR, DeLucia EH. **2008**. Effects of elevated CO_2 and O_3 on leaf damage and insect abundance in a soybean agroecosystem. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions* **2**: 125–135.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. **2013**. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15–21.

Dusenge ME, Duarte AG, Way DA. **2019**. Plant carbon metabolism and climate change:
elevated CO_2 and temperature impacts on photosynthesis, photorespiration and respiration.
New Phytologist **221**: 32–49.

Dziuda DM. **2010**. *Data Mining for Genomics and Proteomics: Analysis of Gene and Protein*
Expression Data. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Eastburn DM, Degennaro MM, Delucia EH, Dermody O, Mcelrone AJ. **2010**. Elevated
atmospheric carbon dioxide and ozone alter soybean diseases at SoyFACE. *Global Change*
Biology **16**: 320–330.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. **2020**. Redox Homeostasis and Signaling in a Higher CO_2 World. *Annual*
Review of Plant Biology **71**: 157–182.

Fu X, Ye L, Kang LE, Ge F. **2010**. Elevated CO_2 shifts the focus of tobacco plant defences
from cucumber mosaic virus to the green peach aphid. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **33**: 2056–
2064.

Gao K, Mao Z, Meng E, Li J, Liu X, Zhang Y, Zhang L, Wang G, Liu Y. **2022**. Effects of
elevated CO_2 and warming on the root-associated microbiota in an agricultural ecosystem.
Environmental Microbiology **24**: 6252–6266.

Gonçalves HV, Oki Y, Bordignon L, Ferreira MC, Dos Santos JE Jr, Tameirão LBS,
Santos FR, Kalapothakis E, Fernandes GW. **2021**. Endophytic fungus diversity in soybean
plants submitted to conditions of elevated atmospheric CO_2 and temperature. *Canadian*
Journal of Microbiology **67**: 290–300.

Grulke NE. **2011**. The nexus of host and pathogen phenology: understanding the disease
triangle with climate change. *New Phytologist* **189**: 8–11.

Guo H, Ge P, Tong J, Zhang Y, Peng X, Zhao Z, Ge F, Sun Y. **2020**. Elevated Carbon
Dioxide Levels Decreases Cucumber Mosaic Virus Accumulation in Correlation with Greater
Accumulation of rgs-CaM, an Inhibitor of a Viral Suppressor of RNAi. *Plants* **10**(1): 59.

Gupta K, Lalit M, Biswas A, Sanada CD, Greene C, Hukari K, Maulik U, Bandyopadhyay
S, Ramalingam N, Ahuja G, *et al.* 2021. Modeling expression ranks for noise-tolerant
differential expression analysis of scRNA-seq data. *Genome Research* **31**: 689–697.

Hajimorad MR, Domier LL, Tolin SA, Whitham SA, Saghai Maroof MA. **2018**. Soybean
mosaic virus: a successful potyvirus with a wide distribution but restricted natural host range.
Molecular Plant Pathology **19**: 1563–1579.

Huang L, Ren Q, Sun Y, Ye L, Cao H, Ge F. **2012**. Lower incidence and severity of tomato
virus in elevated CO_2 is accompanied by modulated plant induced defence in tomato. *Plant*
Biology **14**: 905–913.

Hu Z, Ma Q, Foyer CH, Lei C, Choi HW, Zheng C, Li J, Zuo J, Mao Z, Mei Y, *et al.* 2021.
High CO_2- and pathogen-driven expression of the carbonic anhydrase βCA3 confers basal
immunity in tomato. *New Phytologist* **229**: 2827–2843.

Jaggard KW, Qi A, Ober ES. **2010**. Possible changes to arable crop yields by 2050.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences **365**: 2835–2851.

Jiye A, Trygg J, Gullberg J, Johansson AI, Jonsson P, Antti H, Marklund SL, Moritz T. **2005**. Extraction and GC/MS analysis of the human blood plasma metabolome. *Analytical Chemistry* **77**: 8086–8094.

Johnson LYD, Major IT, Chen Y, Yang C, Vanegas-Cano LJ, Howe GA. **2023**. Diversification of JAZ-MYC signaling function in immune metabolism. *New Phytologist* **239**: 2277–2291.

Johnson SN, Waterman JM, Hall CR. **2020**. Increased insect herbivore performance under elevated CO_2 is associated with lower plant defence signalling and minimal declines in nutritional quality. *Scientific Reports* **10**: 14553.

Jwa N-S, Walling LL. **2001**. Influence of elevated CO_2 concentration on disease development in tomato. *New Phytologist* **149**: 509–518.

Kobayashi T, Ishiguro K, Nakajima T, Kim HY, Okada M, Kobayashi K. **2006**. Effects of Elevated Atmospheric CO_2 Concentration on the Infection of Rice Blast and Sheath Blight. *Phytopathology* **96**: 425–431.

Koek MM, Muilwijk B, van der Werf MJ, Hankemeier T. **2006**. Microbial metabolomics with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry* **78**: 1272–1281.

Kojima M, Kamada-Nobusada T, Komatsu H, Takei K, Kuroha T, Mizutani M, Ashikari M, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Matsuoka M, Suzuki K, *et al.* 2009. Highly sensitive and high-throughput analysis of plant hormones using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry: an application for hormone profiling in Oryza sativa. *Plant & Cell Physiology* **50**: 1201–1214.

Kojima M, Sakakibara H. **2012**. Highly sensitive high-throughput profiling of six phytohormones using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **918**: 151–164.

Kumudini S. **2010**. Soybean growth and development. *The soybean: botany, production and uses*: 48–73. epublication: CABI.

Lake JA, Wade RN. **2009**. Plant-pathogen interactions and elevated CO_2: morphological changes in favour of pathogens. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**: 3123–3131.

Lawrence M, Gentleman R, Carey V. **2009**. rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing with genome browsers. *Bioinformatics* **25**(14): 1841-1842.

Leonetti P, Stuttmann J, Pantaleo V. **2021**. Regulation of plant antiviral defense genes via host RNA-silencing mechanisms. *Virology Journal* **18**: 194.

Li C, Gu X, Wu Z, Qin T, Guo L, Wang T, Zhang L, Jiang G. **2021**. Assessing the effects of elevated ozone on physiology, growth, yield and quality of soybean in the past 40 years: A meta-analysis. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **208**: 111644.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. **2009**. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.

Lindeberg M, Cunnac S, Collmer A. **2009**. The evolution of Pseudomonas syringae host specificity and type III effector repertoires. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **10**: 767–775.

Li X, Sun Z, Shao S, Zhang S, Ahammed GJ, Zhang G, Jiang Y, Zhou J, Xia X, Zhou Y, *et al.* 2015. Tomato-Pseudomonas syringae interactions under elevated CO_2 concentration: the role of stomata. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **66**: 307–316.

Liu J-Z, Horstman HD, Braun E, Graham MA, Zhang C, Navarre D, Qiu W-L, Lee Y, Nettleton D, Hill JH, *et al.* 2011. Soybean homologs of MPK4 negatively regulate defense responses and positively regulate growth and development. *Plant Physiology* **157**: 1363–1378.

Liu X, Sun Y, Kørner CJ, Du X, Vollmer ME, Pajerowska-Mukhtar KM. **2015**. Bacterial Leaf Infiltration Assay for Fine Characterization of Plant Defense Responses using the Arabidopsis thaliana-Pseudomonas syringae Pathosystem. *Journal of Visualized Experiments: JoVE* **104**: 53364.

Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey ADB, Nösberger J, Ort DR. **2006**. Food for thought: lower-than-expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO_2 concentrations. *Science* **312**: 1918–1921.

Matros A, Amme S, Kettig B, Buck-Sorlin GH, Sonnewald U, Mock H-P. **2006**. Growth at elevated CO_2 concentrations leads to modified profiles of secondary metabolites in tobacco cv. Samsun and to increased resistance against infection with potato virus Y. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **29**: 126–137.

Matthiesen RL, Ahmad AA, Robertson AE. **2016**. Temperature Affects Aggressiveness and Fungicide Sensitivity of Four Pythium spp. that Cause Soybean and Corn Damping Off in Iowa. *Plant Disease* **100**: 583–591.

McCarthy DJ, Chen Y, Smyth GK. **2012**. Differential expression analysis of multifactor RNA-Seq experiments with respect to biological variation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**: 4288–4297.

Mhamdi A, Noctor G. **2016**. High CO_2 Primes Plant Biotic Stress Defences through Redox-Linked Pathways. *Plant Physiology* **172**: 929–942.

Murphy AM, Zhou T, Carr JP. **2020**. An update on salicylic acid biosynthesis, its induction and potential exploitation by plant viruses. *Current Opinion in Virology* **42**: 8–17.

Murtagh F. **1985**. *Multidimensional Clustering Algorithms*. Heidelberg: Physica.

Myers SS, Zanobetti A, Kloog I, Huybers P, Leakey ADB, Bloom AJ, Carlisle E, Dietterich LH, Fitzgerald G, Hasegawa T, *et al.* 2014. Increasing CO_2 threatens human nutrition. *Nature* **510**: 139–142.

Ngou BPM, Ding P, Jones JDG. **2022**. Thirty years of resistance: Zig-zag through the plant immune system. *The Plant Cell* **34**: 1447–1478.

Nnadi NE, Carter DA. **2021**. Climate change and the emergence of fungal pathogens. *PLoS*

Pathogens **17**: e1009503.

Noctor G, Mhamdi A. **2017**. Climate Change, CO_2, and Defense: The Metabolic, Redox, and
Signaling Perspectives. *Trends in Plant Science* **22**: 857–870.

Ode PJ, Johnson SN, Moore BD. **2014**. Atmospheric change and induced plant secondary
metabolites - are we reshaping the building blocks of multi-trophic interactions? *Current*
Opinion in Insect Science **5**: 57–65.

O'Donnell K, Sink S, Scandiani MM, Luque A, Colletto A, Biasoli M, Lenzi L, Salas G,
González V, Ploper LD, *et al.* **2010**. Soybean sudden death syndrome species diversity within
North and South America revealed by multilocus genotyping. *Phytopathology* **100**: 58–71.

O'Neill BF, Zangerl AR, Dermody O, Bilgin DD, Casteel CL, Zavala JA, DeLucia EH,
Berenbaum MR. **2010**. Impact of elevated levels of atmospheric CO_2 and herbivory on
flavonoids of soybean (Glycine max Linnaeus). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **36**: 35–45.

Pangga IB, Chakraborty S, Yates D. **2007**. Canopy Size and Induced Resistance in
Stylosanthes scabra Determine Anthracnose Severity at High CO_2. *Phytopathology* **94**: 221-
227.

Pan C, Zhang H, Ma Q, Fan F, Fu R, Ahammed GJ, Yu J, Shi K. **2019**. Role of ethylene
biosynthesis and signaling in elevated CO_2-induced heat stress response in tomato. *Planta*
250: 563–572.

Pareek A, Dhankher OP, Foyer CH. **2020**. Mitigating the impact of climate change on plant
productivity and ecosystem sustainability. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **71**: 451–456.

Paulo PD, Pereira EJG, Oliveira EE, Fereres A, Garzo E. **2020.** CO_2 concentration on
Bemisia tabaci MEAM1 feeding on *Bt* soybean plants. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **144**:
941–951.

Petre B, Louet C, Lintz J, Duplessis S. **2022**. 2000-2019: Twenty Years of Highly Influential
Publications in Molecular Plant Immunity. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* **35**: 748–754.

Pinto H, Sharwood RE, Tissue DT, Ghannoum O. **2014**. Photosynthesis of C3, C3–C4, and
C4 grasses at glacial CO_2. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **65**: 3669–3681.

Prom LK, Venette JR. **1997**. Races of Pseudomonas syringae pv. glycinea on Commercial
Soybean in Eastern North Dakota. *Plant Disease* **81**: 541–544.

Pruitt RN, Locci F, Wanke F, Zhang L, Saile SC, Joe A, Karelina D, Hua C, Fröhlich K,
Wan W-L, *et al.* **2021**. The EDS1–PAD4–ADR1 node mediates Arabidopsis pattern-triggered
immunity. *Nature* **598**: 495–499.

Raza and Bebber. **2022**. Climate change and plant pathogens. *Current Opinion in*
Microbiology **70**: 102233.

Rezaei EE, Webber H, Asseng S, Boote K, Durand JL, Ewert F, Martre P, MacCarthy DS.
2023. Climate change impacts on crop yields. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* **4**: 831–
846.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. **2010**. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139–140.

Robinson MD, Smyth GK. **2007**. Moderated statistical tests for assessing differences in tag abundance. *Bioinformatics* **23**: 2881–2887.

Rogers SO, Bendich AJ. **1994**. Extraction of total cellular DNA from plants, algae and fungi. In: Gelvin SB, Schilperoort RA, eds. Plant Molecular Biology Manual. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 183–190.

Rojas JA, Jacobs JL, Napieralski S, Karaj B, Bradley CA, Chase T, Esker PD, Giesler LJ, Jardine DJ, Malvick DK, et al. 2017. Oomycete Species Associated with Soybean Seedlings in North America-Part II: Diversity and Ecology in Relation to Environmental and Edaphic Factors. *Phytopathology* **107**: 293–304.

Rosado-Porto D, Ratering S, Cardinale M, Maisinger C, Moser G, Deppe M, Müller C, Schnell S. **2022**. Elevated Atmospheric CO_2 Modifies Mostly the Metabolic Active Rhizosphere Soil Microbiome in the Giessen FACE Experiment. *Microbial Ecology* **83**: 619-634.

Roth MG, Noel ZA, Wang J, Warner F, Byrne AM, Chilvers MI. **2019**. Predicting Soybean Yield and Sudden Death Syndrome Development Using At-Planting Risk Factors. *Phytopathology* **109**: 1710–1719.

Ryalls JMW, Moore BD, Riegler M, Gherlenda AN, Johnson SN. **2015**. Amino acid-mediated impacts of elevated carbon dioxide and simulated root herbivory on aphids are neutralized by increased air temperatures. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **66**: 613–623.

Saijo Y, Loo EP-I. **2020**. Plant immunity in signal integration between biotic and abiotic stress responses. *New Phytologist* **225**: 87–104.

Sanfaçon H, Wellink J, Le Gall O, Karasev A, van der Vlugt R, Wetzel T. **2009**. Secoviridae: a proposed family of plant viruses within the order Picornavirales that combines the families Sequiviridae and Comoviridae, the unassigned genera Cheravirus and Sadwavirus, and the proposed genus Torradovirus. *Archives of Virology* **154**: 899–907.

Savary S, Willocquet L, Pethybridge SJ, Esker P, McRoberts N, Nelson A. **2019**. The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **3**: 430–439.

Schmutz J, Cannon SB, Schlueter J, Ma J, Mitros T, Nelson W, Hyten DL, Song Q, Thelen JJ, Cheng J, et al. 2010. Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. *Nature* **463**: 178–183.

de Sena Brandine G, Smith AD. **2019**. Falco: high-speed FastQC emulation for quality control of sequencing data. *F1000Research* **8**: 1874.

Simko I, Piepho H-P. **2012**. The area under the disease progress stairs: calculation, advantage, and application. *Phytopathology* **102**: 381–389.

Singh BK, Delgado-Baquerizo M, Egidi E, Guirado E, Leach JE, Liu H, Trivedi P. **2023**. Climate change impacts on plant pathogens, food security and paths forward. *Nature Reviews*

Microbiology **21**: 640–656.

Smith F, Luna E. **2023**. Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide and plant immunity to fungal pathogens: do the risks outweigh the benefits? *Biochemical Journal* **480**: 1791–1804.

Sultana SN, Park H, Choi SH, Jo H, Song JT, Lee J-D, Kang YJ. **2021**. Optimizing the Experimental Method for Stomata-Profiling Automation of Soybean Leaves Based on Deep Learning. *Plants* **10**: 2714.

Sun Y, Guo H, Ge F. **2016**. Plant-Aphid Interactions Under Elevated CO_2: Some Cues from Aphid Feeding Behavior. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **7**: 502.

Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, Nastou K, Mehryary F, Hachilif R, Gable AL, Fang T, Doncheva NT, Pyysalo S, *et al.* 2023. The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. *Nucleic Acids Research* **51**: D638-D646.

Taub DR, Miller B, Allen H. **2008**. Effects of elevated CO_2 on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* **14**: 565–575.

Thompson M, Gamage D, Hirotsu N, Martin A, Seneweera S. **2017**. Effects of Elevated Carbon Dioxide on Photosynthesis and Carbon Partitioning: A Perspective on Root Sugar Sensing and Hormonal Crosstalk. *Frontiers in Physiology* **8**: 578.

Tian F, Yang D-C, Meng Y-Q, Jin J, Gao G. **2020**. PlantRegMap: charting functional regulatory maps in plants. *Nucleic Acids Research* **48**: D1104–D1113.

Timm S, Bauwe H. **2013**. The variety of photorespiratory phenotypes - employing the current status for future research directions on photorespiration. *Plant Biology* **15**: 737–747.

Trębicki P, Vandegeer RK, Bosque-Pérez NA, Powell KS, Dader B, Freeman AJ, Yen AL, Fitzgerald GJ, Luck JE. **2016**. Virus infection mediates the effects of elevated CO_2 on plants and vectors. *Scientific Reports* **6**: 22785.

Usyskin-Tonne A, Hadar Y, Yermiyahu U, Minz D. **2020**. Elevated CO_2 and nitrate levels increase wheat root-associated bacterial abundance and impact rhizosphere microbial community composition and function. *The ISME Journal* **15**: 1073–1084.

Vaughan MM, Huffaker A, Schmelz EA, Dafoe NJ, Christensen S, Sims J, Martins VF, Swerbilow J, Romero M, Alborn HT, *et al.* 2014. Effects of elevated [CO_2] on maize defence against mycotoxigenic Fusarium verticillioides. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **37**: 2691–2706.

Velásquez AC, Castroverde CDM, He SY. **2018**. Plant-Pathogen Warfare under Changing Climate Conditions. *Current Biology* **28**: R619–R634.

Vlot AC, Dempsey DA, Klessig DF. **2009**. Salicylic Acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **47**: 177–206.

Voss I, Sunil B, Scheibe R, Raghavendra AS. **2013**. Emerging concept for the role of photorespiration as an important part of abiotic stress response. *Plant Biology* **15**: 713–722.

Wang J, Grubb LE, Wang J, Liang X, Li L, Gao C, Ma M, Feng F, Li M, Li L, *et al.* 2018. A
Regulatory Module Controlling Homeostasis of a Plant Immune Kinase. *Molecular Cell* **69**:
493–504.

Whalen MC, Innes RW, Bent AF, Staskawicz BJ. **1991**. Identification of Pseudomonas
syringae pathogens of Arabidopsis and a bacterial locus determining avirulence on both
Arabidopsis and soybean. *The Plant Cell* **3**: 49–59.

Whitham SA, Qi M, Innes RW, Ma W, Lopes-Caitar V, Hewezi T. **2016**. Molecular Soybean-
Pathogen Interactions. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **54**: 443–468.

Wickham H. **2009**. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York: Springer Science
& Business Media.

**Xu H-Y, Zhang C, Li Z-C, Wang Z-R, Jiang X-X, Shi Y-F, Tian S-N, Braun E, Mei Y, Qiu W-
L, *et al.* 2018**. The MAPK Kinase Kinase GmMEKK1 Regulates Cell Death and Defense
Responses. *Plant Physiology* **178**: 907–922.

**Yeh Y-H, Panzeri D, Kadota Y, Huang Y-C, Huang P-Y, Tao C-N, Roux M, Chien H-C, Chin
T-C, Chu P-W, *et al.* 2016**. The Arabidopsis Malectin-Like/LRR-RLK IOS1 Is Critical for BAK1-
Dependent and BAK1-Independent Pattern-Triggered Immunity. *The Plant Cell* **28**: 1701–
1721.

Yu Z, Li Y, Wang G, Liu J, Liu J, Liu X, Herbert SJ, Jin J. **2016**. Effectiveness of elevated
CO_2 mediating bacterial communities in the soybean rhizosphere depends on genotypes.
Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment **231**: 229–232.

Zavala JA, Casteel CL, Delucia EH, Berenbaum MR. **2008**. Anthropogenic increase in
carbon dioxide compromises plant defense against invasive insects. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **105**: 5129–5133.

Zhang S, Li X, Sun Z, Shao S, Hu L, Ye M, Zhou Y, Xia X, Yu J, Shi K. **2015**. Antagonism
between phytohormone signalling underlies the variation in disease susceptibility of tomato
plants under elevated CO_2. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **66**: 1951–1963.

Zhang BQ, Yang XB. **2000**. Pathogenicity of Pythium Populations from Corn-Soybean
Rotation Fields. *Plant Disease* **84**: 94–99.

Zhou X, Lindsay H, Robinson MD. **2014**. Robustly detecting differential expression in RNA
sequencing data using observation weights. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**: e91.

Zhou Y, Van Leeuwen SK, Pieterse CMJ, Bakker PAH, Van Wees SCM. **2019**. Effect of
atmospheric CO_2 on plant defense against leaf and root pathogens of Arabidopsis. *European
Journal of Plant Pathology* **154**: 31–42.

Zhou Y, Vroegop-Vos I, Schuurink RC, Pieterse CMJ, Van Wees SCM. **2017**. Atmospheric
CO2 Alters Resistance of Arabidopsis to Pseudomonas syringae by Affecting Abscisic Acid
Accumulation and Stomatal Responsiveness to Coronatine. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8:** 700.

Zhu C, Hu Y, Mao H, Li S, Li F, Zhao C, Luo L, Liu W, Yuan X. **2021**. A Deep Learning-
Based Method for Automatic Assessment of Stomatal Index in Wheat Microscopic Images of

Leaf Epidermis. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 716784.

1279

Supporting Information

1281
1282

1283
1284

Figure S1. Schematic representation of growth chamber conditions. Schematic representation of Enviratron growth chamber conditions. All chambers were set to the same conditions, except that CO_2 was 419 ppm in chambers set to ambient (aCO$_2$) conditions and CO_2 was 550 ppm in elevated (eCO$_2$) conditions. Lights were on at 5:38 am (05:38) and off at 8:52 pm (22:52) (sunrise and sunset times represent June 15 in central Iowa), minimum temperature was 15.6 °C at 6 am (06:00) with ramping to 27.6 °C maximum temperature from 3:00 – 4:00 pm (15:00 – 16:00). The relative humidity was ramped between 80% at 6:00 am (0:600) to the minimum of 50% at 3:00 – 4:00 pm (15:00-16:00).

1292

1294

1295

1296

Figure S2. Stomatal index on the abaxial leaf surface of soybean. Stomatal index measurements were performed as described in materials and methods. Three replicates were carried out simultaneously in independent CO_2 control chambers, using 5 plants per treatment for each CO_2 concentration. Stomata were counted in three randomly selected fields of view per leaf. Data points represent the mean value across the three replicates, and error bars represent the standard error. P-value was computed based on an F-test for the main effect of CO_2 in linear mixed effect model analysis.

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

Figure S3. Effect of elevated CO_2 on growth rate of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000
(*Pst*DC3000). Bacterial cultures were grown in LB broth without antibiotics for 32 h and OD_{600} was
measured every four hours using a portable spectrophotometer. Two experimental replicates were
conducted simultaneously, for each CO_2 concentration, in independent CO_2 chambers. Data points
represent the average OD_{600} between the two chambers. P-value was computed based on an F-test for
the interaction of CO_2 and time in linear mixed effect model analysis using data after 4 hours due to
unequal variance.

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

Figure S4. Accumulation of SA and JA at 6 h post-inoculation with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv.
***glycinea (Psg)* in *a*CO$_2$ and *e*CO$_2$. (a)** SA and **(b)** JA levels were quantified using non-targeted and
targeted metabolomics analysis, respectively, as described in methods and materials. Experiments were
conducted independently, three times, using 8 plants per treatment for each CO$_2$ concentration. Data
points represent the mean value across the three replicates and error bars represent the standard error.
P-value was computed based on an F-test for the interaction of CO$_2$ and *Psg* treatment in linear mixed
effect model analysis on log-transformed data.

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

Figure S5. Hierarchical clustering of 21,822 DEGs (FDR < 0.01) responding to *Pseudomonas*
***syringae* pv. *glycinea* (*Psg*) at 6 h post-inoculation in plants grown in *e*CO₂ (550 ppm) or *a*CO₂ (419**
ppm) conditions. The full list of DEGs is provided in Table S7. Samples for QuantSeq analysis were
taken from the unifoliate leaves of 14-day old plants at 6 h post-inoculation with mock treatment or *Psg*.
The three independent replicates were conducted using eight plants per CO₂ treatment. Rep indicates
independent biological replicates. Row Z-scores were used for hierarchical clustering of DEGs, based on
expression across samples and replicates. Purple indicates expression values below the row mean and
teal indicates expression values above the row mean. Four different expression clusters were identified.
These are indicated by differently shaded gray boxes to the left of the heat map.

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

Figure S6. Effect of elevated CO$_2$ on the shoot fresh weight and shoot dry weight of soybean
plants infected with bean pod mottle virus (BPMV) and soybean mosaic virus (SMV). (a) Fresh
weight and **(b)** dry weight of soybean plants at 21 days post-inoculation with BPMV, SMV, or mock
treatment. Three experiments were conducted simultaneously in independent CO$_2$ control chambers,
using 8 plants per treatment for each CO$_2$ concentration according to a replicated complete design. Data
points represent the mean value across the three replicates and error bars represent the standard error.
P-values were computed based on t-tests for comparing the difference between virus and Mock under
eCO$_2$ with that under aCO$_2$ in linear mixed effect model analysis.

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

Figure S7. Bean pod mottle virus (BPMV) and soybean mosaic virus (SMV) accumulation at 21
days post-inoculation in soybean plants growing in aCO_2 and eCO_2. (a) BPMV and **(b)** SMV quantity
was determined by RT-qPCR using multiplexed probes for BPMV or SMV and *Skp1* as an internal
reference control. Samples were taken from the newest fullest expanded leaf. Three experiments were
conducted simultaneously in independent CO_2 chambers, using 8 plants per infection treatment for each
CO_2 concentration according to a replicated complete block design. Data points and bars represent mean
group values and standard error across the three replicates. P-value for BPMV was computed based on
an F-test for the main effect of CO_2 in linear mixed effect model analysis. P-value for SMV was computed
based on an F-test for the main effect of CO_2 in linear mixed effect model analysis on log-transformed
data.

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

Figure S8. Effects of *e*CO₂ on root and shoot biomass of soybean plants infected with *Fusarium*
***virguliforme*. (a)** Shoot dry weight, **(b)** root fresh weight and **(c)** shoot fresh weight at 35 days after
germination in soil infested with *F. virguliforme* or mock infested. The three replicate experiments were
conducted simultaneously in independent CO_2 control chambers using 6 plants per treatment for each
CO_2 condition according to a replicated complete block design. Data points represent mean values with
standard error across the three replicates. P-values were computed based on F-tests for the interaction
effect between CO_2 and *Fusarium* treatment in linear mixed effect model analysis.
1381

1384

1385

Figure S9. Expression of the JA marker gene, *KTI1*, in roots of plants infected with *Fusarium virguliforme* in *a*CO₂ and *e*CO₂. RT-qPCR analysis was conducted on RNA extracted from root tissue using gene-specific primers for the JA marker gene *KTI1* with *Skp1* as an internal reference control. Experiments were conducted using 6 plants per treatment for each CO₂ concentration. Triplicate experiments were conducted simultaneously in independent CO₂ chambers. Data points and bars represent mean values and standard error across the three replicates. P-value was computed based on an F-test for the interaction effect between CO₂ and *Fusarium* treatment in linear mixed effect model analysis.

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399 **Figure S10. CO$_2$ concentration does not impact *in vitro* growth of *Fusarium virguliforme***. Hyphae
1400 diameter was measured every 2 days over 11 days. Three experiments were conducted simultaneously
1401 using 10 plates per experiment, for each CO$_2$ treatment. Data points represent mean values across three
1402 replicates conducted simultaneously in independent CO$_2$ control chambers. P-value was computed
1403 based on an F-test for the interaction effect between CO$_2$ and time in linear mixed effect model analysis.
1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

Figure S11. Soybean root and shoot biomass is impacted by *e*CO₂ in *Pythium sylvaticum* infected plants (a) Root fresh weight, **(b)** shoot dry weight and **(c)** shoot fresh weight in mock or *P. sylvaticum*-infected plants 21 days after inoculation. Experiments were conducted in triplicate using 6 plants per treatment, for each CO₂ condition. Triplicate experiments were conducted simultaneously in independent CO₂ controlled chambers. Data points represent mean values across the three replicates with standard error bars. P-values were computed based on F-tests for the interaction effect between of CO₂ and *Pythium* treatment in linear mixed effect model analysis. Fourth power and log transformation were applied for root fresh weight and shoot dry weight respectively due to unequal variance on the original scale.

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

Figure S12. *In vitro* growth of *Pythium sylvaticum* is accelerated under *e*CO$_2$. Experiments were
conducted using 10 plates per treatment for each CO$_2$ condition in triplicate. The three experiments were
done simultaneously in independent CO$_2$ controlled chambers. Hyphae radius was measured every 4 h
over 32 h. Data points and bars represent mean values and standard error across the three replicates.
P-value was computed based on an F-test for the interaction effect between CO$_2$ and time in linear mixed
effect model analysis.

1429

Figure S13. Expression of the SA marker gene, *PR1*, in roots of plants infected with *Pythium sylvaticum* in *a*CO$_2$ and *e*CO$_2$. RT-qPCR analysis was conducted using RNA extracted from soybean roots 14 days after inoculation using gene specific primers for the SA marker gene *PR1* and *Skp1* was an internal reference control. Experiments were conducted simultaneously in three independent CO$_2$ controlled chambers using 6 plants per treatment for each CO$_2$ concentration according to a replicated complete block design. Data points represent mean values across the three replicates with standard error. P-value was computed based on an F-test for the interaction effect between CO$_2$ and *Pythium* treatment in linear mixed effect model analysis on log-transformed data.