The genetic architecture of floral trait divergence between hummingbird- and self-pollinated monkeyflower (*Mimulus*) species

Hongfei Chen[1,5], Colette S. Berg[2], Matthew Samuli[2], V. Alex Sotola[3,4], Andrea L. Sweigart[3], Yao-Wu Yuan[1*], Lila Fishman[2*]

[1] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269 USA

[2] Division of Biological Sciences, University of Montana, Missoula MT 59812 USA

[3] Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA.

[4]Current address: Biology Department, State University of New York at Oneonta, Oneonta, NY 13820 USA

[5] Current address: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520 USA

*For correspondence

SUMMARY

1) Pollination syndromes are a key component of flowering plant diversification, prompting questions

about the architecture of single traits and genetic coordination among traits. Here, we investigate the

genomic basis of extreme floral divergence between naturally hybridizing monkeyflowers *Mimulus*

parishii (self-pollinated) and *M. cardinalis* (hummingbird-pollinated).

(2) We mapped quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for 18 (nine fully shared) pigment, pollinator reward and

handling, dimensional, and flowering time traits in two F_2 hybrid growouts and recombinant inbred lines.

We independently generated nearly isogenic lines (NILs) to dissect QTLs for two dimensional traits, pistil

length and corolla size.

(3) Our multi-population approach revealed a highly polygenic basis (n = 190 QTLs total) for pollination

syndrome divergence. For the set of nine fully shared traits, 39% (55/140) were unique to a single

population, but we also identified several QTL hotspots within and across trait categories. The

complementary NIL approach refined two pistil length QTLs but selected a corolla size QTL resistant to

genetic dissection.

(4) Divergence between hummingbird- and self-pollinated sister species has a highly polygenic and

largely uncoordinated genetic architecture. Our results extend understanding of speciation in a classic

floral radiation and provide a robust framework for further molecular dissection and ecological genomics.

KEYWORDS – genetic architecture, QTL mapping, modularity, pollination syndrome, polygenic trait

INTRODUCTION

Across flowering plants, distantly related taxa often show similarities in a suite of floral phenotypes that can be recognized as pollination syndromes (Fenster *et al.*, 2004; Dellinger, 2020), while switches between pollination syndromes are common even among closely related species. For example, the evolution from bee- to hummingbird-pollination, which is characterized by red color, copious nectar, and stigma and anthers exerted beyond a large bill-accommodating corolla, has happened more than 10 times independently in *Penstemon* alone (Wilson *et al.*, 2007; Wessinger & Hileman, 2016). Similarly, routine self-pollination, which is associated with inconspicuous coloration, reduced nectar rewards, and reduced anther-stigma separation (Sicard & Lenhard, 2011), has evolved countless times within animal-pollinated lineages (Stebbins, 1970; Barrett, 2002; Goodwillie *et al.*, 2005). Both convergence and divergence in pollination syndromes requires the correlated evolution of multiple traits to maintain floral phenotypic integration and reproductive fitness throughout the entire evolutionary path. Natural selection on floral traits may be strong enough to restrict successful plants to a few discrete adaptive peaks (Bleiweiss, 2001), building distinct syndromes from independent and continuous genetic variation. However, fundamental genetic correlations (pleiotropy) among traits (Troth *et al.*, 2018) may facilitate coordinated evolution during pollination syndrome divergence (Smith, 2016; Wessinger & Hileman, 2016). Finally, genome architectures (e.g. inversions) that suppress recombination in heterozygotes can package genes for functionally distinct traits into adaptive supergenes (Lowry & Willis, 2010; Hermann *et al.*, 2013; Edwards *et al.*, 2021; Liang *et al.*, 2023). These three (non-exclusive) explanations for multi-trait syndromes in extant taxa imply very different barriers to traversing the phenotypic landscape between alternative peaks. Thus, understanding the genetic mechanisms that underlie suites of floral traits illuminates their individual evolutionary histories but also reveals the roles of genomic architecture as flowers evolve coordinately from one multi-phenotypic optimum to another.

Over the past three decades, quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping has revealed the genetic architecture of pollination syndrome divergence between numerous closely-related pairs of plant species, including three sections of *Mimulus* monkeyflowers (Bradshaw *et al.*, 1998; Fishman *et al.*, 2002, 2013, 2015; Stankowski *et al.*, 2023), *Petunia* (Stuurman *et al.*, 2004), *Ipomoea* (Rifkin *et al.*, 2021; Liao *et al.*, 2021) and many others. Across angiosperm diversity from monocots to diverse eudicots, these genome-wide approaches reveal two broad patterns. First, divergence in pollinator-attraction and reward traits such as flower color, scent, or nectar volume is often controlled by few loci, each of moderate to large effect, whereas divergence in dimensional traits (corolla or reproductive organ size

and shape) often involves more loci, each of small effect. This pattern may in part reflect focus on

attraction/reward in stark transitions among distinct animal pollination syndromes and a primary focus on

floral dimensions in shifts to selfing. However, it may also reflect consistent differences in the underlying

genetic variation (e.g., standing variation vs. new mutations) and selective landscape (e.g. rugged vs.

smooth) for different traits. Second, co-localization of QTLs for at least some floral traits suggests floral

integration through pleiotropy and/or the adaptive evolution of supergene architecture to facilitate trait

packaging in the face of gene flow (Yeaman & Whitlock, 2011). Understanding both the build-up and the

breakdown of trait correlations to generate complex floral strategies requires a locus- and gene-scale

understanding of the genomic bases of pollination syndromes.

Although QTL mapping has robustly advanced understanding of the genetic architecture of divergence

in multi-factorial trait syndromes, connecting their effects to the underlying genes remains a challenge.

First, especially when traits are polygenic and the underlying loci have small effects, scans of single

experimental hybrid mapping populations capture the overall architecture of genetic variation, but not

individual loci and their effects. Thus, replication of mapping experiments tests QTL robustness to

environmental variation and increases confidence in shared QTLs. Second, even major QTLs often

contain 10s to 100s of genes, confounding pleiotropy and linkage as causes of genetic correlation and

QTL coincidence. Similarly, fine-scale identification of causal variants benefits from isolation from

segregating background effects. Construction of near-isogenic lines (NILs) allows both detection and

isolation, as in the identification of major flower color and scent loci in *Petunia* (e.g. (Klahre *et al.*, 2011;

Berardi *et al.*, 2021) and *Mimulus* (Bradshaw & Schemske, 2003; Yuan *et al.*, 2013b; Byers *et al.*, 2014;

Yuan *et al.*, 2016; Liang *et al.*, 2023). However, because NIL construction generally involves strong

selection for resemblance to the introgressing parent for a focal trait combined with opposing selection

on other traits, it may not capture the evolutionary contributions of more complex genetic architectures

to trait (co-)variation. Thus, a combination of replicated genome-wide QTL characterization plus targeted

NIL construction is a powerful approach to understand both the genome-wide architecture and gene-

scale causes of divergence in complex pollination syndromes.

Here, we employ an integrated approach to characterize the genetic architecture of pollination

syndrome divergence and the detailed genetics of key traits between closely related monkeyflowers

Mimulus cardinalis and *M. parishii* (Phrymaceae, section *Erythranthe*). These taxa, which are

hummingbird-pollinated and self-pollinated, respectively (Fig. 1), are the most florally divergent

members of a recent adaptive radiation (Nelson *et al.*, 2021b). Nevertheless, they hybridize in areas of

range overlap, producing genomic signatures of recent introgression (Nelson *et al.*, 2021b). Along with

bee-pollinated *M. lewisii*, which likely resembles the common ancestor of all three taxa, these closely

related species are a model system for understanding the genetics of floral divergence and speciation

(Yuan, 2019). Work in this system has provided key insights into the evolution and molecular biology of

divergent pollination syndromes and their roles in local adaptation and speciation (Hiesey *et al.*, 1971;

Bradshaw *et al.*, 1995; Schemske & Bradshaw, 1999; Ramsey *et al.*, 2003; Fishman *et al.*, 2013; Yuan *et*

al., 2013b, 2014; Stathos & Fishman, 2014; Fishman *et al.*, 2015; Yuan *et al.*, 2016; Peng *et al.*, 2017;

Nelson *et al.*, 2021b,a; Liang *et al.*, 2023). New resources, including chromosome-scale reference

genomes (www.Mimubase.org), dense linkage maps (Sotola *et al.*, 2023), and stable transformation

protocols (Yuan, 2019), now enable genome-wide mapping, gene-scale dissection, and molecular

characterization of the loci underlying *Erythranthe* floral diversity. Importantly, because *Mimulus parishii*

x *M. cardinalis* hybrids segregate more freely than other crosses in the group (Fishman *et al.*, 2013, 2015;

Sotola *et al.*, 2023), they were key to the recent genetic and molecular dissection of a novel speciation

supergene (Liang *et al.*, 2023). With the current study, we take a major step toward a similarly detailed

understanding of the full suite of floral traits contributing to pollination syndrome divergence.

To robustly characterize the genetic architecture of extreme floral divergence, we examine patterns of

floral trait (co)inheritance and map QTLs in one extensively phenotyped focal F_2 population, then map

QTLs for a subset of traits in independent F_2 and RIL growouts. We assess QTL coincidence within and

across trait categories and ask whether genomic architectures and individual loci are shared with

previous *M. parishii* x *M. lewisii* (Fishman *et al.*, 2015) and *M. lewisii* x *M. cardinalis* hybrids (Bradshaw *et*

al., 1995, 1998; Fishman *et al.*, 2013). We then construct and characterize NILs for two key dimensional

traits, flower size and pistil length, which are among the most challenging traits for genetic analysis due

to their generally polygenic nature. In addition to illuminating the genetics of flower size evolution, these

NILs provide inspiring proof of concept (and sound some useful cautionary notes) on the dissection of

dimensional QTLs. Our multi-trait and multi-generation approaches provides a broad and deep

characterization of the genetics of floral divergence and opens paths toward understanding both its

molecular bases and effects on patterns of mating and introgression in wild populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study system, mapping populations, and phenotyping

Hummingbird-pollinated *M. cardinalis* (Phrymaceae) is a perennial herb of low-elevation seeps and river

banks from northern Baja California to southern Oregon (Angert & Schemske, 2005; Angert, 2009). It has

red flowers with long tubular corolla, copious nectar, and exserted stigma and anthers (Fig. 1a). *M.*

parishii is an annual self-pollinating herb generally found along ephemeral streams in southern California.

M. parishii has small pale pink flowers, little stigma–anther separation, and no nectar (Fig. 1a). All hybrids

in this study were generated from two highly inbred parental lines: Sierran CE10 for *M. cardinalis* (Yuan

et al., 2013b) and PAR for *M. parishii* (Fishman *et al.*, 2015; Nelson *et al.*, 2021b; Liang *et al.*, 2023). F_1

hybrids were generated with PAR as the seed parent and selfed to generate F_2 seeds, while recombinant

inbred lines (RILs) were generated by single-seed-descent from F_2 individuals through 3-6 generations of

self-fertilization (Sotola *et al.*, 2023). F_2 hybrids were grown in two separate greenhouse common

gardens at the University of Connecticut (UC_F_2) and the University of Montana (UM_F_2), and the RILs

were grown at the University of Georgia (UGA), as detailed in Supplementary Methods S1.

In the UC_F_2 growout, we measured three pigment, two pollinator reward/handling and nine

dimensional floral traits, plus flowering time, on F_2s (n = 253) plus parental lines and F_1 hybrids (n =8

each) (Fig. 1). We scanned the ventral petal of each flower to quantify petal lobe anthocyanin (PLA) and

carotenoid (PLC) pigment intensity. The proportion of red (R), green (G), and blue (B) pixels in a square

area of the same size of the adaxial surface of the petal were estimated from scanned images using

Image J (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) and the relative petal lobe anthocyanin and carotenoid

concentrations estimated by [(R + B)/2] − G and [(R + G)/2] − B, respectively. Nectar guide anthocyanin

(NGA) and nectar guide trichome length (NGT), were visually scored in F_1 and F_2 hybrids on semi-

quantitative scales defined by the parental extremes (CARD = 9 and 7, respectively, PAR = 1 for both).

Nectar volume (NEV) was measured for two flowers per individual on their first day of opening, using a

pipette accurate to 1.5µL. To reduce environmental effects, nectar was measured at 4:00 PM-7:00 PM

after watering at 12:00-1:00PM each day. Floral dimensions (Fig. 1c) were measured on one of the

second pair of open flowers using a digital caliper, and stigma-anther distance calculated as pistil length

– stamen length. We then calculated phenotypic means for all four genotypic classes, mid-parent

values, environmental standard deviations, and broad-sense heritability for each trait following (Fishman

et al., 2002). F_2 distributions were tested for normality with a Shapiro–Wilk test implemented in R v.

3.6.0. Because some traits were non-normally distributed, we calculated pairwise Spearman's correlation

coefficients (r) for phenotypic correlations using the *psych::corr.test* function in R v. 3.6.0. ; we calculated

genotypic correlations following (Fishman *et al.*, 2002).

Fig. 1 Floral phenotypes. (a) The parental line *M. cardinalis* CE10, *M. parishii* PAR, and their F$_1$ hybrid. (b) Representative F$_2$ progeny. (c) The floral dimensions traits measured in this study, shown on *M. parishii*. CTL: corolla tube length; KTL: calyx tube length; KTW: calyx tube width; STL: stamen length; PIL: pistil length; CTW: corolla tube width; VPL: ventral petal length; VPW: ventral petal width; CLL: corolla limb length; CLW: corolla limb width. Scale bars, 3 mm.

Overlapping subsets of key floral traits were measured using parallel methods in the UM_F$_2$ (n = 278)

and UGA_RIL growouts (n = 145) (Supplementary Methods S2). Traits with a shared abbreviation

represent the same floral dimension, with the exception of pistil length (PIL) and stigma-anther

separation (SAS), which included the stigma lobes in the UC_F$_2$ and UGA_RILs (Fig. 1c) but not the

UM_F$_2$s. In the UM_F$_2$ growout, we characterized an additional pollinator handling trait associated with

pollination syndrome divergence in *Mimulus*, touch-sensitive stigma closure (Friedman *et al.*, 2017). The

bilobed stigmas of *M. cardinalis* close rapidly (<5s; like a tiny venus flytrap) when touched, while *M.*

parishii stigmas are insensitive and/or non-closing (Fishman *et al.*, 2024). Prior to the other floral

measurements, a single tester touched each stigma head-on with a pencil eraser to mimic pollinator

contact and scored stigma closure speed on a 4-point scale (0 = no closure = PAR-like, 3 = fast closure =

CE10-like, ~3 seconds, 1 and 2 = slower [>6 seconds] and faster [3-6 seconds] intermediates,

respectively).

Genetic context and QTL mapping

We constructed a joint linkage map of the two F_2 populations and a separate map of the RILs using

windowed genotypes from ddRAD sequences aligned to the CE10 *M. cardinalis* reference genome

(Sotola *et al.*, 2023). The dense F_2 and RIL linkage maps are generally highly collinear with each other

and the physical genome assemblies (www.Mimubase.org). However, an *M. cardinalis*-specific reciprocal

translocation causes complex linkage, excess heterozygosity, and underdominant hybrid sterility on Chr6

and Chr7 (LG6&7), while a gametophytic Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibility involving Chr4 (~7-8 Mb)

and Chr8 (~12-40 Mb) eliminates three genotypic classes in F_2 and later hybrids (Sotola *et al.*, 2023).

We conducted QTL mapping in QTL Cartographer (Wang *et al.*, 2005) in parallel on the three

populations using composite interval mapping (model 6, with forward-backward regression to choose 10

cofactors, window size 10 cM). LOD significance thresholds for QTL detection for each trait were set with

1000 permutations. Due to substantial retained heterozygosity in the RILs (Sotola *et al.*, 2023), we used

F_2 rather than RIL population settings to allow full estimation of QTL effects using all individuals. To

evaluate QTL coincidence across populations and traits, as well as define physical bounds, we defined a

1.5 LOD-drop confidence interval (CI) around each peak. For the two F_2 populations, which share a

linkage map, overlap was directly determined. For comparing F_2s and RILs, we translated QTL peaks and

intervals to the physical positions of boundary markers. We assigned QTL numbers within traits across

populations on the basis of CI overlap (Table S1). For floral dimensions, where there are apparent QTL

hotspots that span populations and traits, we further attempted to bin QTLs into a smaller number of

"size QTLs". However, although there are some regions of tight coincidence (e.g. at ~ 40cM on Chr2),

sets of serially overlapping QTLs (or one broad one) often defined whole chromosome arms and are

unlikely to represent shared underlying loci. Therefore, we do not formally designate shared bins here.

Construction and characterization of nearly isogenic lines (NILs)

NILs were constructed via phenotypic selection prior to QTL mapping, and thus provide an independent

approach to dissecting the genetics of dimensional traits. To construct pistil length (PIL) NILs in the *M.*

parishii genetic background, we chose an F_2 individual with overall similarity to *M. parishii* in both floral

and vegetative traits, but with conspicuously longer pistil, for serial backcrossing (with NIL as pollen

donor) to *M. parishii*. From each backcross growout of ~95 plants, we selected one individual that

closely resembled *M. parishii* but with longer pistil for the next round. Genotyping a BC_3S_1 population

revealed two chromosome fragments (Chr 4: 0-4.1 Mb; Chr 6: 42-52 Mb) introgressed from *M. cardinalis*

co-segregating with pistil length. Selfing a BC_3S_1 individual that is heterozygous for both fragments

generated nine genotypes across the two loci, which also allowed us to decompose the BC_3 NIL into two

NILs. A similar crossing approach was used to generate a corolla limb length (CLL) NIL representing

flower size (Supplementary Methods S3).

Table 1. Floral trait variation (means +/- SE for *M. cardinalis* (CE10), *M. parishii* (PAR), and their F_1 and F_2 hybrids
in UC_F_2 growout. Broad-sense heritability (H^2) was calculated following Fishman *et al.* (2002). Traits marked **
were also measured in both UM_F_2 and RIL mapping populations, while nectar volume (NEV; *) was also
characterized in RILs. See Fig. S1 for trait distributions in all populations.

Trait	*M. cardinalis* CE10 (n=8)	*M. parishii* PAR (n=8)	F_1 hybrid (n=8)	F_2 hybrids (n=253)	H^2
Pigment					
Petal lobe carotenoid (PLC)**	99.38 ± 0.44	2.29 ± 0.50	13.89 ± 0.48	48.01 ± 2.12	1.00
Petal lobe anthocyanin (PLA)**	74.39 ± 1.11	21.46 ± 1.93	64.15 ± 1.02	52.38 ± 1.23	0.96
Nectar guide anthocyanin (NGA)	9	1	5	5.20 ± 0.12	NA
Pollinator reward/handling					
Nectar volume (NEV)*	45.95 ± 3.83	0 ± 0	3.28 ± 0.17	5.30± 0.29	-0.35
Nectar guide trichome (NGT)	7	1	4	4.40 ± 0.10	NA
Dimension					
Corolla limb length (CLL)	36.45 ± 0.69	8.18 ± 0.09	19.97 ± 0.49	20.21 ± 0.26	0.89
Corolla limb width (CLW) **	20.23 ± 1.62	8.94 ± 0.09	18.61 ± 0.43	18.30 ± 0.22	0.49
Ventral petal width (VPW)	12.46 ± 0.39	3.28 ± 0.07	7.27 ± 0.12	7.52 ± 0.09	0.82
Ventral petal length (VPL)	10.43 ± 0.21	2.77 ± 0.06	5.51 ± 0.10	6.11 ± 0.07	0.91
Corolla tube width (CTW)**	8.29 ± 0.27	4.43 ± 0.27	7.69 ± 0.15	7.26 ± 0.08	0.84
Calyx tube length (KTL)	22.30 ± 0.17	9.82 ± 0.28	16.17 ± 0.29	16.90 ± 0.14	0.90
Calyx tube width (KTW)	7.68 ± 0.13	2.98 ± 0.08	5.06 ± 0.08	5.34 ± 0.06	0.91
Corolla tube length (CTL)**	32.85 ± 0.35	11.74 ± 0.31	21.68 ± 0.23	22.46 ± 0.20	0.93
Pistil length (PIL)**	45.88 ± 0.43	11.76 ± 0.21	25.89 ± 0.29	27.20 ± 0.28	0.96
Stamen length (STL)**	42.93 ± 0.28	13.31 ± 0.47	24.91 ± 0.27	25.30 ± 0.24	0.94
Stigma-anther separation (SAS)**	2.95 ± 0.28	-1.55 ± 0.34	0.99 ±0.16	1.90 ± 0.09	0.71
Flowering time (FLT)**	79.38 ± 0.84	53.5 ± 1.07	55.13 ± 0.30	66.68 ± 0.51	0.94

211
212

Results

Phenotypic divergence, distributions, and correlations in F₂ hybrids

In the focal UC_F₂ growout, the CE10 *M. cardinalis* and PAR *M. parishii* parental lines were highly

differentiated for all traits, with F₁ and F₂ means always intermediate (Table 1). Floral dimensions were

normally distributed in F₂s, but petal carotenoid values (PLC) were bimodally distributed while petal lobe

anthocyanins (PLA) were skewed toward CE10 and nectar volume (NEV) toward PAR (Fig. S1). NEV had

negative H^2 estimates due to its extreme skew toward low values, but other quantitative traits showed

high broad-sense heritability ($H^2 > 0.49$). The UM_F₂ and RILs showed similar distributions for each

shared trait, but nectar volume was much higher in the RILs (Fig. S1). In the UC_F₂s, all floral dimensions

other than stigma-anther separation (SAS) were positively correlated both phenotypically (r_P) and

genetically (r_G) (Fig. 2). Stigma-anther separation (SAS) was most highly correlated with pistil length (r_G =

0.57), less with the other length metrics ($r_G = 0.25 - 0.31$), and uncorrelated with width metrics. Floral

dimensional traits were only moderately correlated with flowering time (FLT) but flower length traits (KTL,

CTL, STL, PIL) were correlated with nectar volume (r_P= 0.58-0.70, r_G not calculable for NEV due to

negative H^2) and petal lobe carotenoids were strongly correlated with pistil length and stamen length

(both $r_G > 0.6$).

Fig. 2. Phenotypic (r_P; below diagonal) and genetic (r_G; above diagonal) correlations among traits in the *M. parishii* x *M. cardinalis* UC_F₂. PLC: petal lobe carotenoid; PLA: petal lobe anthocyanin; NGA: nectar guide anthocyanin; NEV: nectar volume; NGT: nectar guide trichome; CLL: corolla limb length; CLW: corolla limb width; VPW: ventral petal width; VPL: ventral petal length; CTW: corolla tube width; KTW: calyx tube width; KTL: calyx tube length; CTL: corolla tube length; STL: stamen length; PIL: pistil length; SAS: stigma-anther separation; FLT: flowering time.

QTL mapping – genetic architecture across traits and populations

We identified 190 floral QTLs, which define 144 QTL locations if collapsed (within traits) across the three

mapping populations (Fig. 3, Table S1).

Pigment traits -- As expected from previous work, petal lobe carotenoids (PLC) were primarily affected

by a fully shared *M. cardinalis*-recessive major QTL on LG4 (coincident with YUP; PLC4.1 in Table S1). We

also detected two additional smaller carotenoid loci in the F_2s, and two more in the RILs. For petal lobe

anthocyanins (PLA), four loci were detected: PLA4.1 was detected in all three growouts and coincident

with the *YUP-SOLAR-PELAN* supergene, PLA4.2 and PLA3 were found in both F_2s but not the RILs and

PLA6&7 was found in the RILs and UC_F_2. Nectar guide anthocyanins (NGA) were under the control of

two major loci, NGA3 (r^2 = 0.21) and NGA4 (r^2 = 0.31), and two additional small QTLs. Except for

PLA6&7, at which *M. parishii* alleles increased anthocyanins in both UC_F_2 and RILs, all pigment QTLs

had effects consistent with the direction of parental divergence.

Reward and handling traits –   We detected four nectar volume QTLs in the UC_F_2 and two completely

non-overlapping ones in the RILs. RIL QTLs NEV5 and NEV8 had absolutely ~4x larger effects than the

largest F_2 one (NEV6&7; r^2 = 0.20), which explained only ~1/7 of the parental difference in NEV. The four

largest NEV QTLs (RIL pair, plus NEV2 and NEV6&7) were each coincident with dense clusters of floral

dimension QTLs (see below). Nectar guide trichomes (NGT) and touch-sensitive stigma closure speed

(SCS) QTLs, which scored on semi-quantitative scales, had relatively low explanatory power in the

segregating F_2 populations (all r^2: 0.04-0.11). However, QTLs for these traits explained from 20% (each of

the two *M. parishii*-recessive stigma closure QTLs: SCS5 and SCS6&7) to 40% of the parental difference

(additive NGT8). Thus, they provide key targets for understanding the genetic underpinning of these

important but understudied non-pigment components of floral syndrome evolution.

Dimensional traits – In the UC_F_2, floral size was highly polygenic; excluding the composite trait of

stigma-anther separation, we detected a total of 71 dimensional QTLs. QTL sizes were correspondingly

small, with the leading QTL for each trait explaining 11% (CLL, CTL, KTW) to ~20% (VPL, VPW) of the F_2

variance. All size QTLs in this F_2 population moved trait values in the direction expected by the parental

difference; however, 2 of the 6 QTLs for stigma-anther separation, which depends on differences in the

relative length of highly correlated male and female reproductive organs, had opposite effects from

expectation; this is consistent with the transgressive segregation for this trait in the F_2s (Fig. S1). QTLs

for different size traits were often coincident; for example, the first 5 Mb of Chr2 and Chr8 each housed

overlapping UC_F$_2$ QTLs for 8 of the 11 floral dimensions. This co-incidence may not represent

pleiotropic effects of single variants, as *Mimulus* chromosome ends are gene-dense and highly

recombining (Nelson *et al.*, 2021a), but these regions are promising targets for dissection of multiple

traits.

For the shared six core dimensional traits, we mapped 43, 39 and 29 QTLs in the UC_F2, UM_F2 and

RILs, respectively, and ~1/3 (35/111) were shared across two or more populations. Repeatability across

experiments suggests that even the smallest shared QTLs reflect robust association between a given

genomic region and dimensional variation, but it is also clear that each mapping population adds novel

(small) loci for these polygenic traits. Overall, >95% (139/145) of dimensional QTL allele substitutions

moved hybrid trait values in the direction expected from the parental difference. Four of the exceptions

were stigma-anther separation QTLs, and the other two were corolla tube length and width QTLs

(CTL5.1 and CTW5.1) at a shared position in the UM_F$_2$. Although QTLs within this trait group are not

independent (Fig. 3), this overwhelming consistency suggests directional natural selection in one or both

parental lineages (Orr, 1998).

Flowering time departed from the pattern of repeatability. FLT4.1 (all three mapping populations) and

FLT8.1 (UC_F$_2$ and RILs) were shared, but the other 7 QTLs were each found in only a single population.

Flowering time QTLs are not particularly small (all r^2 > 0.07, 2a > 6 days), so this likely reflects true

genotype x environment interactions for phenology. FLT4.1, at which *M. parishii* alleles confer much

earlier flowering (2a = 7.8 to 18.6 days), shares a 0.5-1Mb region on Chr4 with a partially overlapping set

of 13 floral-size QTLs (across all populations). This QTL co-localization may indicate linkage among

functionally distinct genes in a gene-dense chromosomal region, but it could reflect a flowering time

locus that pleiotropically mediates tradeoffs between speed and size (i.e., fast flowering = small flowers),

as in *M. guttatus* (Troth *et al.*, 2018).

Fig. 3. Quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for floral traits associated with pollination syndrome divergence between selfer *Mimulus parishii* and hummingbird pollinated *M. cardinalis*, as detected in three mapping populations. Bars show QTL 1.5 LOD drop confidence intervals and arrows indicate QTL peak position (up = QTL effect is in direction expected from parental divergence, down = opposite). The UCF2 QTL bars (all traits other than stigma closure) are unbordered, UM_F2 QTL bars are bordered in gray, and RIL QTL bars are bordered in black. The x-axis is position in centiMorgans (cM) on each of the seven linkage groups, which correspond to eight chromosomes due to a reciprocal translocation between Chr 6 and Chr 7 in *M. cardinalis* vs. *M. parishii*.

Dissection of two floral dimension QTLs with NILs

The long-pistil (PIL) and flower size (CLL) NILs isolated *M. cardinalis* alleles in the *M. parishii* background

using repeated rounds of backcrossing and selfing with phenotypic selection (Supplemental Methods

S3). The long-pistil NIL contained two unlinked regions introgressed from *M. cardinalis*, corresponding

to QTLs *PIL4.1* (0-4.1 Mb on Chr4) and *PIL6&7.2* (42.85 Mb-51.76 Mb on Chr6; Fig. 4b). In a BC$_3$S$_1$

population segregating for all genotypic combinations at these two loci, both QTLs exhibited primarily

additive effects on pistil length, consistent with the estimated QTL effects. The two NIL-isolated QTLs

both had absolute effects smaller than in the F$_2$ population but maintained their relative sizes: *PIL4.1* (2*a*

= 5.68mm in F2s, 3mm in NIL) vs. *PIL6&7.2* (2*a* = 4.24mm in F2s, 1mm in NIL). There was no evidence of

an epistatic interaction between these two loci, as the double NIL was 4mm larger than *M. parishii* (Table

S2). The CE10-homozygous *PIL4.1* NIL also increased stamen and corolla tube lengths, but not our

measure of overall flower size (CLL), relative to *M. parishii* (Table 2). In contrast, the *PIL6&7.2* NIL had

little effect on stamen or corolla tube length (Table S2), suggesting that *PIL6&7.2* contains a gene that

specifically regulates pistil length.

Fig. 4. Pistil NILs. (a) Phenotypes of 9 genotypic combinations of the *PIL4.1* and *PIL6&7.2* loci. Genotypic designations: C, homozygous for *M. cardinalis*; H, heterozygous; P, homozygous for *M. parishii*. Under each image, the *PIL4.1* genotype is followed by the *PIL6&7.2* genotype. The colored dashed lines indicate the positions of the stigmas and long stamens, respectively. Scale bar, 3 mm. (b) Graphical genotypes of the *PIL4.1* NIL and the *PIL6&7.2* NIL. The colored bars represent the 8 chromosomes

After selfing and phenotypic selection (Supplemental Methods S3), the final BC_3S_1 NIL for corolla limb

length (CLL) captured a large region including *CLL6&7.2* (Fig. 5). This NIL was heterozygous across much

of Chr6 (43.54Mb-60.3Mb) and Chr7 (0-29.34Mb), but *M. cardinalis* homozygous near the LG6&7

translocation breakpoint (60.32Mb-61.12Mb on Chr6; 29.34Mb-29.82Mb on Chr7). Unlike the more

tightly localized *PIL6&7.2* introgression (which it includes in heterozygous state), the *CLL6&7.2* NIL has

increased pistil, stamen, and corolla tube length, as well as greater CLL, relative to *M. parishii* (Fig. 5a,

Table S3). Because the *CLL6&7.2* NIL includes a recombination-suppressed translocation breakpoint

associated with underdominant pollen sterility (Sotola *et al.*, 2023), its multiple phenotypic effects may

reflect *PIL6&7.2*, additional linked genes, and pleiotropic effects of sterility per se (Fishman et al. 2015).

Fig. 5. A flower size NIL. (a) Flowers of the *M. parishii* and *CLL6&7.2* NIL. Scale bar, 4mm. (b) Graphical genotype of the *CLL6&7.2* NIL. The colored bars represent the 8 chromosomes. Violet indicates regions homozygous for *M. parishii*, while pink indicates heterozygous regions, and blue represents regions homozygous for *M. cardinalis*.

Discussion

We used QTL mapping in three hybrid growouts, as well as NIL construction, to investigate the genetic

architecture of pollination syndrome divergence between hummingbird-pollinated *Mimulus cardinalis*

and self-pollinated *M. parishii.* Despite post-mating barriers (Sotola *et al.* 2023), these taxa are good

models for understanding the early stages of speciation: hybrids between *M. parishii* and *M. cardinalis*

are florally diverse (Fig. 1), more fit than their respective hybrids with bee-pollinated *M. lewisii* (Fishman

et al., 2013, 2015; Stathos & Fishman, 2014), and a source of ongoing introgression in areas of range

overlap (Nelson *et al.*, 2021b). Along with chromosome-scale assemblies for all three taxa and new

molecular tools, they provide a foundation to understand the molecular genetic basis, evolutionary

history, and speciation consequences of complex pollination syndromes. Below, we first interpret

patterns of genetic architecture (the size, number, directionality of QTLs) for pigment and pollinator

reward/handling traits, as case studies for connecting QTLs to genes. We then explore how multi-trait

dimensional QTLs contribute to the maintenance of floral integration across extremely diverse hybrids

(Fig 1). Finally, we discuss insights from transferring a NIL dissection approach from pigment traits to

more polygenic dimensional traits.

Genetics of extreme floral divergence – pigment and pollinator reward/handling traits

As expected from previous work in *Erythranthe* monkeyflowers and other systems, the carotenoid (PLC)

and anthocyanin (PLA, NGA) pigment traits were oligogenic (Fig. 3; Table S1). Each had a leading major

QTL (r^2 > 0.19) in the core UC_F_2 population, and two of these (PLC4.1 and NGA4.1) co-occur with the

secondary PLA4.1 (r^2 = 0.14). Although QTL co-localization among different floral traits has often been

interpreted as evidence of pleiotropy, this pigment QTL hotspot illustrates the challenges of dissecting

linked QTLs down to distinct molecular elements. The *PLC4.1* QTL and *PLA4.1* QTL are caused by

variation in the small RNA locus *YELLOW UPPER* (*YUP*) and the *R2R3-MYB* gene *PETAL LOBE*

ANTHOCYANIN (*PELAN*), respectively. *YUP* and *PELAN* are separated by a single gene known as

SISTER OF LIGHT AREAS1 (*SOLAR*), which is also a regulator of anthocyanin pigmentation (Liang *et al.*,

2022, 2023), and together they form a pigment supergene novel to *Mimulus* section *Erythranthe* (Liang

et al., 2023). While it is unknown whether SOLAR, PELAN or another linked gene underlies the

coincident nectar guide anthocyanin QTL (*NGA4.1*), QTL co-localization here is clearly due to remarkably

tight linkage of multiple pigment genes instead of pleiotropic effects of a single gene.

In addition to re-capturing known major genes, however, our multi-trait, multi-population QTL approach

also reveals a more complex genetic architecture for pigment traits. We identified four additional QTLs

each for petal carotenoids (PLC) and anthocyanins (PLA) and nectar guide anthocyanins (NGA), with

widely ranging effects (Table S1). NGA3/PLA3 contains *RED TONGUE* (*RTO*), an R3-MYB gene known to

repress anthocyanin biosynthesis in both petal lobes and nectar guides of *M. lewisii* flowers (Ding *et al.*,

2020). No QTL includes ROSE INTENSITY (ROI; (Yuan *et al.*, 2013b) which controls the reduced

anthocyanin of pale pink Sierran *M. lewisii* relative to *M. cardinalis.* However, PLA6&7, a rare wrong-way

QTL at which *M. parishii* alleles confer darker anthocyanin pigmentation, contains several R3-MYBs

related to ROI and RTO. Thus, there are many ways to generate and modulate complex floral pigment

patterns in *Mimulus* flowers, paralleling the pigment supergenes (Hermann *et al.*, 2013) and layers of

complexity (Berardi *et al.*, 2021) of similar pollination syndrome shifts in *Petunia*. Overall, our pigment

QTL data provide an unusually nuanced picture of the divergence of floral attraction traits, a roadmap

for molecular characterization of the underlying genes, and the opportunity to study their effects on

pollination ecology in natural and artificial hybrids.

The pollinator reward and handling traits (nectar volume, stigma closure speed, nectar guide trichomes)

each are also essentially lost in selfer *M. parishii*. High nectar volume (NEV) maintains high hummingbird

visitation rates to *M. cardinalis* (Schemske & Bradshaw, 1999), while touch-sensitive stigma closure (SCS)

enhances pollen export in outcrossers (Fetscher, 2001) and degenerates in selfers (Friedman *et al.*,

2017). *Mimulus* nectar guide trichomes (NGT) likely facilitate efficient flower-handling by bees, like the

snapdragon conical cells with which they share a developmental pathway (Glover *et al.*, 1998). Thus,

NEV and SCS may be actively maintained at high values in *M. cardinalis*, while NGT may be under

relaxed selection in both *M. cardinalis* and *M. parishii* relative to bee-pollinated *M. lewisii* (Chen & Yuan,

2024) and the common ancestor of these taxa. For each, in addition to assessing their individual

architectures, we can assess developmental integration with other components of the floral selfing

syndrome (Sicard & Lenhard, 2011; Liao *et al.*, 2021) and compare with parallel transitions in other

systems.

Two QTLs of moderate effect (SCS5 and SCS6&7) contribute to stigma closure variation in the UM_F_2

population, but together explain only ~1/3 of the parental difference. In contrast, five QTLs fully

explained a similar shift between selfer/noncloser *Mimulus nasutus* and fast-closer *M. guttatus* (Fishman

et al., 2024). SCS5, which is in a highly recombining region at the end of Chr5 (<900kb, ~115 genes) is

ideal for further genetic dissection of mechanosensitive stigma movement. SCS6&7 which spans ~3.5

Mb of *M. cardinalis-M. parishii* Chr6, will be more difficult to fine-map, but contains a Mechanosensitive

Channel of Small Conductance-like 10 (MSL10) gene homologous to a highly stigma-expressed

mechanosensor in the *M. guttatus* complex (Fishman *et al.*, 2024). Stigma closure QTLs appear

independent of other floral traits (Fig. 3), and neither SCS QTL identified here is in a region syntenic to

M. nasutus x *M. guttatus* QTLs, suggesting abundant genomic targets for independent losses of this

complex and novel trait.

Nectar volume, with six small-to-moderate sized QTLs in the UC_F_2s and RILs together, has a genetic

architecture suggesting even greater complexity, including polygenicity, epistasis, pleiotropy, and gene

x environment interactions. This contrasts with the simple genetic architecture invoked for this trait in *M.

lewisii* x *M. cardinalis* hybrids, which identified a leading QTL explaining 30-50% of the F_2 variance

(Bradshaw *et al.*, 1998). Our largest F_2 QTLs, NEV2 and NEV6&7, were much smaller (r^2 = 0.12- 0.17) and

all four QTL effects sum to only ~35% of the 46μL parental difference. Moreover, a model including all 2-

way QTL interactions found significant (P < 0.005) interactions of NEV6&7 with NEV4 and NEV2; along

with the strong skew of NEV phenotypes (Fig. S1), this suggests that epistatic interactions may

contribute to parental divergence. Nectar volume was correlated with length measures (Fig. 2) and both

major NEV QTLs in F_2s are coincident with multi-trait size QTLs (see below). This result intriguingly

contrasts with a recent study of floral integration in *Ipomaea* (Liao *et al.*, 2021), where nectar traits

formed an evolutionary module only weakly correlated with flower size and few QTL positions were

shared. The degree of genetic integration may depend on the specifics of floral development. Some

components of nectar volume variation (e.g., post-development sugar or water provisioning) may be

independent of flower size genes, whereas others (e.g., nectary size) may be directly downstream of

developmental shifts causing reduced flowers in *M. parishii*. Nectar volume was also context-dependent,

with no overlap between the UC_F_2 and RIL QTLs. The RIL QTLs NEV5 and NEV8 were both much larger

(10.9-14.3 µLs each) than any in UC_F_2s. Differences between greenhouse conditions, as well as major

non-Mendelian shifts in allele frequency between the populations (Sotola *et al.*, 2023) may contribute to

this mismatch.

Mapping of nectar guide trichomes (NGT) revealed similar increases in complexity and novel genomic

factors over previous work, even within the single UC_F_2 population. Large differences in nectar guide

trichome length between *M. parishii* and *M. lewisii* were recently mapped to a major-effect MIXTA-like

MYB transcription factor GUIDELESS (1.13 Mb on CE10 Chr6 (Chen & Yuan, 2024), which was also

identified as a key determinant of nectar guide formation (both pigment and cell shape) in *M. lewisii* via

mutagenesis (Yuan *et al.*, 2013a). Here, none of the four NGT QTLs includes GUIDELESS, suggesting

both a much more complex genetic basis in this wide cross and possibly epistatic interactions masking

the effects of nonsynonymous mutations previously inferred to be causal of NGT loss in *M. parishii*

relative to bee-pollinated *M. lewisii* (Chen & Yuan, 2024). Overall, even for the nectar trait with a

relatively simple genetic architecture in classic studies of pollinator adaptation (Hiesey *et al.*, 1971;

Bradshaw *et al.*, 1995, 1998; Schemske & Bradshaw, 1999; Bradshaw & Schemske, 2003), stretching the

F_2 variation in this phenotypically wider cross reveals greater genetic complexity and many additional

candidate loci for functional and ecological genomic investigations.

Genetic architecture of extreme floral divergence – coordination of dimensional traits

Although floral whorls can evolve on highly divergent paths to produce the remarkable diversity of floral

forms across all angiosperms, developmental co-ordination facilitates correlated evolution of floral

dimensions within lineages. Standing variation that affects one floral dimension may often have

pleiotropic effects on others, allowing for rapid evolutionary divergence along a multi-trait "genetic line

of least resistance" (Lande & Arnold, 1983; Schluter, 1996). From this perspective, genetic variants that

affect multiple traits have outsized evolutionary influence relative to their single-trait effects, accelerating

evolution when selection is parallel but potentially generating tradeoffs when it is not. On the other

hand, the key evolutionary steps in pollination syndrome shifts may often require breaking the genetic

correlations among dimensional traits within populations, and thus involve rare or novel variation. Both

the exertion of sexual parts beyond the corolla in hummingbird pollination and loss of stigma-anther

distance in autogamous selfers entail changes in the *relative* lengths of different floral whorls. Similarly,

the evolution of hummingbird pollination in tubular flowers often entails shifts in corolla allometry (length

vs. width) and, as in *M. cardinalis*, the length vs. width of the corolla limb (Fig. 1). Thus, although many

loci may influence overall flower size differences accompanying the evolution of pollination syndromes,

key evolutionary shifts in the relative size and position of floral organs must be achieved via specific loci

with isolated effects on single traits or via disproportionate shifts in size at many loci.

In *M. parishii* x *M. cardinalis* hybrids, we capture both major changes in flower size and more subtle, but

important, shifts in shape and stigma-anther separation important for mating system shifts. Strong

genetic correlations (Fig. 2) and abundant coincidence of QTLs for different dimensional traits (Fig. 3),

while not necessarily due to pleiotropy at every underlying locus, is consistent with a general "flower

size" developmental module (Krizek & Anderson, 2013) coordinating both corolla elongation associated

with hummingbird pollination and reductions associated with selfing. Co-ordination of dimensions by

many QTLs with pleiotropic effects is consistent both with studies of parallel interspecific transitions

(Fishman *et al.*, 2002; Goodwillie *et al.*, 2006; Kostyun *et al.*, 2019; Liao *et al.*, 2021) and with a highly

pleiotropic and polygenic basis to standing variation for corolla size traits within *M. guttatus* (Troth *et al.*,

2018). However, we also identified QTLs with disproportionate effects on different whorls and this subset

may be particularly important for divergence in pollination syndromes. For example, the *M. parishii*

corolla tube and pistil are the same length, with stamens that are slightly exerted past both (Table 1, Fig.

1), whereas the *M. cardinalis* style extends 13mm past the corolla tube and 3mm past the stamens. This

dramatic exertion of the style implies the action of several PIL-only loci or many multi-trait size loci with

slightly greater effects on pistil length (PIL) than stamen (STL) or corolla tube length (CTL). In the QTL

data, we see all possible combinations, including joint PIL/STL length QTLs without effects on SAS (e.g.

PIL8.1/STL8.1 in UC_F2), regions affecting all three traits (e.g. STL4.1/PIL4.1/SAS4.1 in UM_F_2), and

QTLs that only affect stigma-anther separation (e.g. SAS3.1). The former are candidate for overall flower

size while the latter are promising targets for dissecting the genetics of mating system evolution.

Dissecting the genes underlying polygenic flower size variation in hybrids

To understand the molecular mechanisms of floral evolution and trace the history of such loci across

species divergence, we must get our hands on the causal variants. However, while even loci with small

effects can be mapped to the nucleotide-level in highly recombining wild populations (Troth *et al.*, 2018;

Wessinger *et al.*, 2023), the largest F_2 or RIL QTLs for highly polygenic traits in interspecific hybrids may

simply fall in regions of high gene density. Here, as a starting point for genetically dissecting the

abundant dimensional loci in these hybrids, we independently used nearly isogenic lines (NILs) to isolate

QTLs for pistil length and corolla limb length, which capture flower size variation important for mating

system and pollinator divergence. NIL generation with phenotypic selection is a common tool for fine-

mapping of focal mutants in *Arabidopsis*, and has been used in *Mimulus* to dissect major loci controlling

pigment divergence (Yuan *et al.*, 2013b, 2016; Liang *et al.*, 2022, 2023) and hybrid infertility (Sweigart *et*

al., 2006; Barr & Fishman, 2010). In conjunction with QTL mapping, it can provide crucial validation and

refinement of coincident QTLs and a path toward molecular characterization.

Pistil length often determines the key mating system trait of stigma-anther separation, but its molecular

basis in natural populations remains poorly understood. Although chemically induced mutations and

inhibition of polar auxin transport can alter pistil length dramatically and independently of other floral

dimensions in *Mimulus* (Ding *et al.*, 2021), natural species differences appear highly polygenic and

potentially pleiotropic (Tables S1-S2). Our pistil length NIL captured two of the largest PIL QTLs, *PIL4.1*

and *PIL6&7.2*. These explain only ~9% (3 mm) and ~3% (1 mm) of the 34mm parental difference in pistil

length, respectively, but robustly paralleled the corresponding F_2 QTLs in their effects and additivity

across loci. The NIL intervals (4 Mb for PIL4.1; 9Mb for PIL6&7) do not yet have sufficient resolution to

distinguish between pleiotropy and linkage disequilibrium for joint effects; the PIL4.1 NIL segregates for

stamen and corolla tube length as well as pistil length, also consistent with co-incidence of STL, CTL, PIL

and SAS QTL peaks around 3Mb on Chrom4 in the UM_F_2. However, given high recombination on

chromosome ends in these hybrids (Liang *et al.* 2022; 2023; Sotola *et al.* 2023), we expect that further

dissection of these QTLs by fine-scale recombination mapping will allow us to identify the causal gene(s)

affecting all four traits.

Mutations in many genes can affect petal size by altering cell size and/or the timing of cell growth that
result in changes in cell number and organ size/shape (Sicard *et al.*, 2011; Krizek & Anderson, 2013). In
Mimulus, the corolla developmental trajectory of small-flowered *M. parishii* is truncated but parallel to
similar-shaped but bigger *M. lewisii* (Gurung *et al.*, 2021), while hummingbird-pollinated *M. verbenaceus*
develops more slowly over twice as long as *M. parishii*, leading to *M. cardinalis*-like flowers (Chen *et al.*,
2022). Similar ontogenetic shifts likely account for the strong genetic correlations and QTL co-incidence
of floral size metrics in our *M. parishii* x *M. cardinalis* hybrids, making genes that affect developmental
timing the strongest functional candidates within flower size QTLs and NILs. Unfortunately, our flower
size NIL CLL6&7, which increases the length of corolla limb, corolla tube, stamen, and pistil, corresponds
to a reciprocal translocation (Fishman *et al.*, 2013; Stathos & Fishman, 2014; Sotola *et al.*, 2023). Even
with the additional generations of crossing in RILs, this region remains associated with severe hybrid
sterility and is resistant to genetic dissection. Thus, complementary approaches will be necessary to
narrow down functional candidates within this region. Across all QTLs and traits, additional targeted fine-
mapping and analyses of gene expression networks conducted on segregating NILs with distinct
phenotypes (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008), promises a detailed understanding of the many contributors
to floral trait divergence in monkeyflowers.

Conclusions – Overall, our mapping approaches (F2s, RILs, and NILs) are complementary, with the two
F_2 populations providing an overview of floral QTLs and derived isogenic lines providing confirmation
and refinement. This multi-pronged approach allowed the description of a polygenic genetic
architecture even for pollinator attraction (pigment) and reward traits with major leading QTLs, as well as
hotspots for dimensional traits controlled by many QTLs of individually small effects. Independent NIL
confirmation of two small pistil length QTLs too weak to detect in every population bolster this
inference. These complementary approaches lay a strong foundation for both further molecular genetic
characterization and investigations of the evolutionary genomics of species barriers in this classic model
system.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to T. Nelson and K. Baesen for assistance with the genotyping and phenotyping at the

University of Montana (UM) and to logistical support from the UM ECOR Plant Growth Facility and

Genomics Core. We thank C. Liu, M. Opel, and M. Moriarty for plant care in the UConn Botanical

Conservatory. We are thankful to S. McCann and P. Beardsley for help in generating the RILs. This work

was supported by NSF grants DEB-0846089, DEB-1457763 and OIA-1736249 (to LF), IOS-1827645 (to

ALS and YWY), DEB-1350935 (to ALS), and IOS-2319721 (to YWY).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

HC – data collection, analysis, and visualization, drafting of manuscript; CSB – data collection, analysis,

and visualization; MS – data collection; VAS – data collection, analysis, and visualization, drafting of

initial manuscript; ALS – conceptualization, data collection and interpretation, editing of manuscript;

YWY – conceptualization, data collection and interpretation, drafting and editing of manuscript; LF –

conceptualization, data collection, analysis, visualization and interpretation, drafting and editing of

manuscript. All authors read and are accountable for the submitted manuscript.

Data availability

The raw sequence data (PRJNA1003462, PRJNA948041) and individual genotypes

(doi:10.5061/dryad.v6wwpzh1m) used for generating linkage maps are publicly available. The phenotype

matrices used for quantitative genetics and QTL mapping are archived at Dryad

https://datadryad.org/stash/share/lDhHN-mqGkS5zdMy9QIcCWuhDNzqm1npxaDtyjAhO6k and will be

fully released upon publication. The raw sequencing data used to identify the potential DNA fragments

responsible for the long pistils of the PIL NIL and the large flowers of the CLL NIL have been deposited

to NCBI under the accession numbers PRJNA1116746 and PRJNA1117077, respectively, and will be

released upon publication.

REFERENCES

Angert AL. 2009. The niche, limits to species' distributions, and spatiotemporal variation in demography across the elevation ranges of two monkeyflowers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106: 19693–19698.

Angert AL, Schemske DW. 2005. The evolution of species' distributions: reciprocal transplants across the elevation ranges of *Mimulus cardinalis* and *M. lewisii. Evolution* 59: 1671–1684.

Barr CM, Fishman L. 2010. The nuclear component of a cytonuclear hybrid incompatibility in *Mimulus* maps to a cluster of pentatricopeptide repeat genes. *Genetics* 184: 455–465.

Barrett SCH. 2002. The evolution of plant sexual diversity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 3: 274–284.

Berardi AE, Esfeld K, Jäggi L, Mandel T, Cannarozzi GM, Kuhlemeier C. 2021. Complex evolution of novel red floral color in *Petunia. The Plant Cell* 33: 2273–2295.

Bleiweiss R. 2001. Mimicry on the QT(L): genetics of speciation in *Mimulus. Evolution* 55: 1706–1709.

Bradshaw HD, Otto KG, Frewen BE, McKay JK, Schemske DW. 1998. Quantitative Trait Loci Affecting Differences in Floral Morphology Between Two Species of Monkeyflower (*Mimulus*). *Genetics* 149: 367–382.

Bradshaw HD, Schemske DW. 2003. Allele substitution at a flower colour locus produces a pollinator shift in monkeyflowers. *Nature* 426: 176–178.

Bradshaw HD, Wilbert SM, Otto KG, Schemske DW. 1995. Genetic mapping of floral traits associated with reproductive isolation in monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*). *Nature* 376: 762–765.

Byers KJRP, Vela JP, Peng F, Riffell JA, Bradshaw HD. 2014. Floral volatile alleles can contribute to pollinator-mediated reproductive isolation in monkeyflowers (Mimulus). *The Plant Journal* 80: 1031–1042.

Chen H, Xiao Z, Ding B, Diggle PK, Yuan Y-W. 2022. Modular regulation of floral traits by a PRE1 homolog in *Mimulus verbenaceus*: implications for the role of pleiotropy in floral integration. *Horticulture Research* 9: uhac168.

Chen H, Yuan Y-W. 2024. Genetic basis of nectar guide trichome variation between bumblebee- and self-pollinated monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*): role of the MIXTA-like gene GUIDELESS. *BMC Plant Biology* 24: 62.

Dellinger AS. 2020. Pollination syndromes in the 21st century: where do we stand and where may we go? *New Phytologist* 228: 1193–1213.

Ding B, Li J, Gurung V, Lin Q, Sun X, Yuan Y. 2021. The leaf polarity factors SGS3 and YABBYs regulate
style elongation through auxin signaling in *Mimulus lewisii. New Phytologist* **232**: 2191–2206.

**Ding B, Patterson EL, Holalu SV, Li J, Johnson GA, Stanley LE, Greenlee AB, Peng F, Bradshaw HD,
Blinov ML, *et al.* 2020**. Two MYB Proteins in a Self-Organizing Activator-Inhibitor System Produce
Spotted Pigmentation Patterns. *Current Biology* **30**: 802–814.

**Edwards MB, Choi GPT, Derieg NJ, Min Y, Diana AC, Hodges SA, Mahadevan L, Kramer EM,
Ballerini ES. 2021**. Genetic architecture of floral traits in bee- and hummingbird-pollinated sister species
of *Aquilegia* (columbine). *Evolution* **75**: 2197–2216.

Fenster CB, Armbruster WS, Wilson P, Dudash MR, Thomson JD. 2004. Pollination syndromes and
floral specialization. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **35**: 375–403.

Fetscher AE. 2001. Resolution of male-female conflict in an hermaphroditic flower. *Proceedings of the
Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **268**: 525–529.

Fishman L, Beardsley P, Stathos A, Williams CF, Hill JP. 2015. The genetic architecture of traits
associated with the evolution of self-pollination in *Mimulus. New Phytologist* **205**: 907–917.

Fishman L, Kelly AJ, Willis JH. 2002. Minor quantitative trait loci underlie floral traits associated with
mating system divergence in *Mimulus. Evolution* **56**: 2138–2155.

Fishman L, McIntosh M, Nelson TC, Baesen K, Finseth FR, Stark-dykema E. 2024. Undoing the 'nasty:
dissecting touch-sensitive stigma movement (thigmonasty) and its loss in self-pollinating monkeyflowers.
Biorxiv.

Fishman L, Stathos A, Beardsley P, Williams CF, Hill JP. 2013. Chromosomal rearrangements and the
genetics of reproductive barriers in Mimulus (monkeyflowers). *Evolution* **67**: 2547–2560.

Friedman J, Hart KS, Bakker MC den. 2017. Losing one's touch: Evolution of the touch-sensitive stigma
in the Mimulus guttatus species complex. *American Journal of Botany* **104**: 335–341.

Glover BJ, Perez-Rodriguez M, Martin C. 1998. Development of several epidermal cell types can be
specified by the same MYB-related plant transcription factor. *Development* **125**: 3497–3508.

Goodwillie C, Kalisz S, Eckert CG. 2005. The evolutionary enigma of mixed mating systems in plants:
occurrence, theoretical explanations, and empirical evidence. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and
Systematics* **36**: 47–79.

Goodwillie C, Ritland C, Ritland K. 2006. The genetic basis of floral traits associated with mating system
evolution in Leptosiphon (Polemoniaceae): an analysis of quantitative trait loci. *Evolution* **60**: 491–504.

Gurung V, Yuan Y-W, Diggle PK. 2021. Comparative analysis of corolla tube development across three
closely related Mimulus species with different pollination syndromes. *Evolution & Development* **23**: 244–
255.

Hermann K, Klahre U, Moser M, Sheehan H, Mandel T, Kuhlemeier C. 2013. Tight genetic linkage of
prezygotic barrier loci creates a multifunctional speciation island in Petunia. *Current Biology* 23: 873–
877.

Hiesey W, Nobs M, Bjorkman O. 1971. *Experimental studies on the nature of species: 5.*
Biosystematics, genetics and physiological ecology of the Erythranthe section of Mimulus. Carnegie
Institution.

Klahre U, Gurba A, Hermann K, Saxenhofer M, Bossolini E, Guerin PM, Kuhlemeier C. 2011. Pollinator
choice in Petunia depends on two major genetic Loci for floral scent production. *Current Biology* 21:
730–739.

Kostyun JL, Gibson MJS, King CM, Moyle LC. 2019. A simple genetic architecture and low constraint
allow rapid floral evolution in a diverse and recently radiating plant genus. *New Phytologist* 223: 1009–
1022.

Krizek BA, Anderson JT. 2013. Control of flower size. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64: 1427–1437.

Lande R, Arnold SJ. 1983. The measurement of selection on correlated characters. *Evolution* 37: 1210–
1226.

Langfelder P, Horvath S. 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC*
Bioinformatics 9: 559.

Liang M, Chen W, LaFountain AM, Liu Y, Peng F, Xia R, Bradshaw HD, Yuan Y-W. 2023. Taxon-
specific, phased siRNAs underlie a speciation locus in monkeyflowers. *Science* 379: 576–582.

Liang M, Foster CE, Yuan Y-W. 2022. Lost in translation: Molecular basis of reduced flower coloration in
a self-pollinated monkeyflower (*Mimulus*) species. *Science Advances* 8: eabo1113.

Liao IT, Rifkin JL, Cao G, Rausher MD. 2021. Modularity and selection of nectar traits in the evolution of
the selfing syndrome in *Ipomoea lacunosa* (Convolvulaceae). *New Phytologist* 233: 1505–1519.

Lowry DB, Willis JH. 2010. A widespread chromosomal inversion polymorphism contributes to a major
life-history transition, local adaptation, and reproductive isolation. *PLoS Biology* 8: e1000500.

Nelson TC, Muir CD, Stathos AM, Vanderpool DD, Anderson K, Angert AL, Fishman L. 2021a.
Quantitative trait locus mapping reveals an independent genetic basis for joint divergence in leaf
function, life-history, and floral traits between scarlet monkeyflower (*Mimulus cardinalis*) populations.
American Journal of Botany 108: 844–856.

Nelson TC, Stathos AM, Vanderpool DD, Finseth FR, Yuan Y-W, Fishman L. 2021b. Ancient and recent
introgression shape the evolutionary history of pollinator adaptation and speciation in a model
monkeyflower radiation (*Mimulus* section *Erythranthe*). *PLoS Genetics* 17: e1009095.

Orr HA. 1998. Testing natural selection vs. genetic drift in phenotypic evolution using quantitative trait
locus data. *Genetics* 149: 2099–2104.

Peng F, Byers KJRP, Bradshaw HD. 2017. Less is more: Independent loss-of-function OCIMENE
SYNTHASE alleles parallel pollination syndrome diversification in monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*). *American*
Journal of Botany **104**: 1055–1059.

Ramsey J, Bradshaw HD, Schemske DW. 2003. Components of reproductive isolation between the
monkeyflowers Mimulus lewisii and M. cardinalis (Phrymaceae). *Evolution* **57**: 1520–1534.

Rifkin JL, Cao G, Rausher MD. 2021. Genetic architecture of divergence: the selfing syndrome in
Ipomoea lacunosa. *American Journal of Botany* **108**: 2038–2054.

Schemske DW, Bradshaw HD. 1999. Pollinator preference and the evolution of floral traits in
monkeyflowers (Mimulus). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America **96**: 11910–11915.

Schluter D. 1996. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution* **50**: 1766–1774.

Sicard A, Lenhard M. 2011. The selfing syndrome: a model for studying the genetic and evolutionary
basis of morphological adaptation in plants. *Annals of Botany* **107**: 1433–1443.

Sicard A, Stacey N, Hermann K, Dessoly J, Neuffer B, Bäurle I, Lenhard M. 2011. Genetics, evolution,
and adaptive significance of the selfing syndrome in the genus Capsella. *The Plant Cell* **23**: 3156–3171.

Smith SD. 2016. Pleiotropy and the evolution of floral integration. *New Phytologist* **209**: 80–85.

Sotola VA, Berg CS, Samuli M, Chen H, Mantel SJ, Beardsley PA, Yuan Y-W, Sweigart AL, Fishman L.
2023. Genomic mechanisms and consequences of diverse postzygotic barriers between monkeyflower
species. *Genetics* **225**: iyad156.

Stankowski S, Chase MA, McIntosh H, Streisfeld MA. 2023. Integrating top-down and bottom-up
approaches to understand the genetic architecture of speciation across a monkeyflower hybrid zone.
Molecular Ecology **32**: 2041–2054.

Stathos A, Fishman L. 2014. Chromosomal rearrangements directly cause underdominant F_1 pollen
sterility in *Mimulus lewisii–Mimulus cardinalis* hybrids. *Evolution* **68**: 3109–3119.

Stebbins GL. 1970. Adaptive radiation of reproductive characteristics in angiosperms I: Pollination
mechanisms. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **1**: 307–326.

Stuurman J, Hoballah ME, Broger L, Moore J, Basten C, Kuhlemeier C. 2004. Dissection of Floral
Pollination Syndromes in Petunia. *Genetics* **168**: 1585–1599.

Sweigart AL, Fishman L, Willis JH. 2006. A simple genetic incompatibility causes hybrid male sterility in
Mimulus. Genetics **172**: 2465–2479.

Troth A, Puzey JR, Kim RS, Willis JH, Kelly JK. 2018. Selective trade-offs maintain alleles underpinning
complex trait variation in plants. *Science* **361**: 475–478.

Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng Z-B. 2005. *Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5*. Dept. of Statistics, North Carolina
State Univ.

Wessinger CA, Hileman LC. 2016. Accessibility, constraint, and repetition in adaptive floral evolution.
Developmental Biology **419**: 175–183.

Wessinger CA, Katzer AM, Hime PM, Rausher MD, Kelly JK, Hileman LC. 2023. A few essential
genetic loci distinguish *Penstemon* species with flowers adapted to pollination by bees or
hummingbirds. *PLOS Biology* **21**: e3002294.

Wilson P, Wolfe AD, Armbruster WS, Thomson JD. 2007. Constrained lability in floral evolution:
counting convergent origins of hummingbird pollination in *Penstemon* and *Keckiella*. *New Phytologist*
176: 883–890.

Yeaman S, Whitlock MC. 2011. The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-selection
balance. *Evolution* **65**: 1897–1911.

Yuan Y-W. 2019. Monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*): new model for plant developmental genetics and evo-devo.
New Phytologist **222**: 694–700.

Yuan Y-W, Rebocho AB, Sagawa JM, Stanley LE, Bradshaw HD. 2016. Competition between
anthocyanin and flavonol biosynthesis produces spatial pattern variation of floral pigments between
Mimulus species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**:
2448–2453.

Yuan Y-W, Sagawa JM, Frost L, Vela JP, Bradshaw HD. 2014. Transcriptional control of floral
anthocyanin pigmentation in monkeyflowers (*Mimulus*). *New Phytologist* **204**: 1013–1027.

Yuan Y-W, Sagawa JM, Stilio VSD, Bradshaw HD. 2013a. Bulk segregant analysis of an induced floral
mutant identifies a MIXTA-like R2R3 MYB controlling nectar guide formation in *Mimulus lewisii*. *Genetics*
194: 523–528.

Yuan Y-W, Sagawa JM, Young RC, Christensen BJ, Bradshaw HD. 2013b. Genetic dissection of a
major anthocyanin QTL contributing to pollinator-mediated reproductive isolation between sister species
of *Mimulus*. *Genetics* **194**: 255–263.

683