**Intracellular pH dynamics respond to microenvironment stiffening and mediate**

**vasculogenic mimicry through β-catenin**

**Leah M. Lund[1,2], Angelina N. Marchi[1,2], Laura Alderfer[3,5], Eva Hall[3], Jacob**

**Hammer[1,2], Keelan J. Trull[1,2],  Donny Hanjaya-Putra[2,3,4] and Katharine A. White[1,2]**

[1]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame
251 Nieuwland Science Hall
Notre Dame, IN 46556 USA
10
11 [2]Harper Cancer Research Institute, University of Notre Dame
12 1234 N. Notre Dame Avenue
13 South Bend, IN 46617 USA
14
15 [3]Bioengineering Graduate Program, Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University
16 of Notre Dame
17 153 Multidisciplinary Engineering Research Building
18 Notre Dame, IN 46556 USA
19
20 [4]Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Notre Dame
21 250 Nieuwland Hall
22 Notre Dame, IN 46556 USA
23
24 [5]Current: Vivodyne
25 Suite 775 601 Walnut Street
26 Philadelphia PA 19106 USA
27

**Abstract**

Dysregulated intracellular pH (pHi) dynamics and an altered tumor microenvironment

have emerged as drivers of cancer cell phenotypes. However, the molecular integration

between the physical properties of the microenvironment and dynamic intracellular

signaling responses remains unclear. Here, we use two metastatic cell models, one

breast and one lung, to assess pHi response to varying extracellular matrix (ECM)

stiffness. To experimentally model ECM stiffening, we use two tunable-stiffness

hydrogel systems: Matrigel and hyaluronic acid (HA) gels, which mimic the increased

protein secretion and crosslinking associated with ECM stiffening. We find that single-

cell pHi decreases with increased ECM stiffness in both hydrogel systems and both

metastatic cell types. We also observed that stiff ECM promotes vasculogenic mimicry

(VM), a phenotype associated with metastasis and resistance. Importantly, we show

that decreased pHi is both a necessary and sufficient mediator of VM, as raising pHi on

stiff ECM reduces VM phenotypes and lowering pHi on soft ECM drives VM. We

characterize β-catenin as a pH-dependent molecular mediator of pH-dependent VM,

where stiffness-driven changes in β-catenin abundance can be overridden by increased

pHi. We uncover a dynamic relationship between matrix stiffness and pHi, thus

suggesting pHi dynamics can override mechanosensitive cell responses to the

extracellular microenvironment.

**Introduction**

The extracellular matrix (ECM) is a protein-rich structure that becomes

dysregulated in cancer, driving cancer cell adaptation and promotion of cancer cell

phenotypes[1]. This increasingly rigid and dense tumor ECM has been shown to promote

cancer cell invasion and vasculogenic mimicry, an adaptive cancer phenotype [2,3]. In

addition to the dysregulated extracellular environment, cancer cells also experience

dysregulated pH dynamics[4], with increased intracellular pH (pHi) (>7.4) and decreased

extracellular pH (pHe) (<7.2) compared to normal epithelial cells (pHi 7.0-7.3; pHe 7.4)[5].

This reversal of the pH gradient is an early event in cellular transformation[6] and has

been directly linked to adaptive changes in cancer cell signaling, metabolism,

proliferation, and evasion of apoptosis[5].

Increased ECM stiffness promotes various cancer cell phenotypes including

increased hypoxia[7], vasculogenic mimicry[8], cell durotaxis[9], and selection for tumor

initiating cell (TIC) or cancer stem-cell phenotypes[4,10–13]. Importantly, many equivalent

or similar processes are also linked to dysregulated pHi dynamics including hypoxia[11],

cell invasion[4], and maintenance of a stem-like phenotype in adult and embryonic stem

cell models[14]. However, the molecular mechanisms that integrate the physical

properties of the microenvironment with intracellular cancer cell signaling response are

largely unknown.

While prior work has shown pHi dynamics can directly regulate normal

mechanosensitive behaviors including focal adhesion remodeling[15] and epithelial cell-

cell contacts[16,17], there are significant gaps in knowledge of the molecular crosstalk

between ECM stiffening and pHi dynamics in cancer cells. One limitation is technical: it

is challenging to develop mechanically tunable model systems that mimic physiological

ECM dysregulation with suitable mechanical control. Previous studies have used

synthetic ECM models, including Matrigel/Geltrex[18] and Hyaluronan[19] based systems.

However, these studies have lacked the ability to decouple the contributions of ECM

protein abundance and ECM crosslinking density as independent drivers of

mechanosensitive cell responses.

Another limiting factor in characterizing molecular links between ECM stiffness

and pHi is that most mechanistic studies of how pHi dynamics regulate cell behaviors

are performed under non-physiological culture conditions and lack single-cell resolution.

These limitations apply to most studies of pHi dynamics in biology, but are compounded

when exploring effects of physical forces on cellular pHi dynamics and in the context of

phenotypically heterogeneous cancer cells.

Here, we pair synthetic tunable-stiffness ECM models with live-cell pHi

measurements and non-invasive pHi manipulation to elucidate how pHi dynamics

respond to ECM stiffening. We further explore a mechanistic role of pHi in regulating a

cancer-associated mechanosensitive phenotype called vasculogenic mimicry (VM). We

use two unique synthetic matrix models to mimic ECM stiffening through increasing

protein abundance (Matrigel/Geltrex) and crosslinking density (hyaluronic acid gels),

and measure single-cell pHi in metastatic breast and lung cancer cells. We show that

pHi decreases with increased stiffness using both matrix models. We also show that

cells plated on stiff ECM acquire distinct VM phenotypes that can be modulated by

dynamically altering pHi. Importantly, raising pHi in cells plated on stiff matrix reduces

VM phenotypes while lowering pHi in cells plated on soft matrix induces acquisition of a

stiffness-independent VM phenotype. We also investigate the pH dependence of two

molecular regulators of VM that have been previously shown to be regulated by ECM

stiffness (β-catenin and FOXC2). We show that β-catenin is a pH-dependent mediator

of VM phenotype while FOXC2 activity is pHi insensitive in this system. This suggests

β-catenin as a novel necessary regulator of pH-dependent vasculogenic mimicry.

Overall, our work reveals a previously unidentified link between mechanosensing and

pHi dynamics in cancer and further suggests low pHi as a necessary and sufficient

mediator of VM, a phenotype associated with aggressive cancers.

**Results**

**Stiffening extracellular matrix lowers pHi in metastatic human lung carcinoma**

Increased tumor microenvironment (TME) stiffness can be caused by increased ECM  protein deposition and increased crosslinking[20] (Figure 1A). To investigate the central hypothesis of how a stiffening extracellular environment alters pHi, we used two tunable-stiffness hydrogel models to control ECM stiffness with high specificity and using two unique modes of mechanical modulation. To mimic the effects of ECM stiffness changes resulting from altered ECM protein crosslinking, we used a hyaluronic-acid (HA) gel system where variable crosslinking density tunes ECM stiffness independent of protein concentration and composition[21,22]. HA is a non-sulfated linear polysaccharide of (1-β-4)d-glucuronic acid and (1-β-3)N-acetyl-d-glucosamine, and is a ubiquitous component of the ECM[19]. HA is particularly abundant in the extracellular environment of the lung and brain[19], and increased HA secretion is associated with cancers[19] as well as fibrotic diseases of the liver and lung[23].

Recent work has shown that HA can be functionalized to contain thiol-reactive cross-linkable regions, with increased crosslinking adding rigidity to the ECM allowing tunable stiffness[24]. Our HA gel tunable-stiffness model consists of a uniform mixture of gelatin and thiol-modified hyaluronan across stiffnesses, while stiffness is controlled by modulating amounts (%) of thiol-reactive PEGDA crosslinker (see methods for details). The HA gel model consists of four levels of crosslinking agent mimicking ECM stiffness changes induced by increased protein crosslinking and has a previously reported tunable stiffness range from ~100-1500 Pa[25] (Figure 1B). This system allows us to modulate matrix stiffness by adjusting the extent of ECM protein crosslinking while

126  maintaining a consistent concentration of matrix components (hyaluronan and gelatin)

127  across all stiffness conditions. This ability to model ECM stiffness independent of matrix

128  concentration is a unique feature which provides advantage over model systems which

129  use natural hydrogels in decoupling individual drivers of ECM stiffening.

**Figure 1: Stiffening extracellular matrix lowers pHi in metastatic human lung**
**carcinoma (H1299). a)** Schematic of increased pHi and ECM stiffening (via increased
protein secretion and increased protein crosslinking) associated with tumorigenesis. **b)**
Schematic of synthetic ECM models with tunable-stiffness (~50 Pa-1,500 Pa). The
Matrigel (or Geltrex) model mimics increased ECM protein secretion while hyaluronic
acid (HA) gel system mimics increased ECM protein crosslinking. **c)** Representative
images of H1299 cells stably expressing mCherry-pHluorin pH biosensor plated on
varying HA gel stiffnesses. Images show ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry
fluorescence. Scale bars: 50 µm. **d)** Quantification of single-cell pHi data collected as

shown in (c). (n=3 biological replicates; n=91 0.5% PEGDA, n=90 1% PEGDA, n=102

2% PEGDA, n=89 4% PEGDA. Red lines show medians ± IQR). **e)** Representative

images of H1299 cells stably expressing mCherry-pHluorin pH biosensor plated on

varying Matrigel stiffnesses. Images show ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry

fluorescence. Scale bars: 50 μm. **f)** Quantification of single-cell pHi data collected as

shown in (e). (n=3 biological replicates; n=93 4mg/mL, n=92 6mg/mL, n=102 8mg/mL,

n=97 12mg/mL. Red lines show medians ± IQR). For (d) and (f), significance was

determined by a Kruskal-Wallis test (****P<0.0001).

To mimic the effects of stiffness changes due to increased ECM protein

secretion, we used a Matrigel- or Geltrex-based tunable-stiffness gel system. Matrigel

and Geltrex are naturally-derived matrices that mimic the tumor microenvironment of

stromal-rich tissues, such as breast, lung, and prostate[26]. The Matrigel and Geltrex

commercial matrix mixtures are rich in laminin and collagen; EMC proteins that directly

promote integrin signaling[27]. Varying the concentration of Matrigel and Geltrex

effectively titrates ECM protein concentrations[28], mimicking the increased secretion of

ECM proteins associated with stiffening tumor microenvironment[29]. We used tunable-

stiffness Matrigel/Geltrex models that consist of four Matrigel/Geltrex concentrations (4

mg/mL-12 mg/mL) with stiffness ranges of ~50-1,500 Pa[30–32] (Figure 1B). For these

stiffness determinations, the manufacturer reports an elastic modulus (G′) that can be

converted to Young's modulus (matrix stiffness) using the following equation E= 2G'(1 +

v). Prior work has indicated that hydrogels can be assumed to be incompressible, such

that their Poisson's ratio (v) approaches 0.5[33], simplifying the equation to E=3G'

Importantly, in the Matrigel/Geltrex tunable-stiffness gel systems, as the ECM protein

concentrations increase, so does the available ligand concentration for integrin-

mediated interactions. This gel model allows us to assess effects of ECM stiffening on

pHi when intracellular integrin signaling is also titrating.

With the two tunable-stiffness hydrogel systems established, we next selected

cancer cell lines that originated from tissues with a relatively soft ECM, such as lung

and breast, where tumorigenic ECM stiffening has been associated with both increased

metastasis and invasion[26]. We have previously established and characterized single-cell

pHi heterogeneity in a clonal metastatic lung cancer cell line (H1299) and a clonal

breast cancer cell line (MDA-MB-231), all plated and imaged on glass[34]. We have

engineered these cell lines to stably express a genetically-encoded ratiometric pH

biosensor mCherry-pHluorin (mCh-pHl)[34]. This biosensor is a fusion of the fluorescent

protein pHluorin (pKa 7.1) that is pH-sensitive in the physiological range, and the

fluorescent protein mCherry, that is pH-insensitive in the physiological range[35]. For

accurate pHi measurements in single cells, ratiometric imaging of pHluorin and mCherry

fluorescence can be performed followed by single-cell standardization using isotonic

buffers with a known pHi containing the protonophore Nigericin to equilibrate

intracellular and extracellular (buffer) pH[36]. Single-cell standard curves are then

generated, enabling back-calculation of pHi from pHluorin and mCherry fluorescence

intensity ratios (Supplemental Figure 1, see methods for details). This biosensor has

successfully been used in prior studies to measure single-cell spatiotemporal pHi

dynamics in clonal cancer and normal epithelial cell populations without affecting cell

morphology or behavior[15,34,35].

To determine effects of altered ECM stiffness on pHi, we cultured H1299 cells

expressing the mCh-pHl biosensor on matrix-coated imaging dishes for 48 hours. This

incubation allowed for cells to adhere and respond to the varied stiffness of each matrix

system. In cells plated on HA gels, single-cell pHi decreased with increasing stiffness

(Figure 1D). Cells plated on the stiffest matrix (4% PEGDA) had a significantly

decreased pHi (Figure 1D; 7.10±0.07; median±interquartile range (IQR)) compared to

cells on the softest matrix (0.5% PEGDA) (Figure 1D; 7.32±0.10; median±IQR). We also

observed that intermediate ECM stiffnesses (1% PEGDA and 2% PEGDA) produced

intermediate effects on pHi, with a stepwise trend of decreasing pHi with increasing

stiffness (Figure 1F; 2% PEGDA 7.20±0.10; 1% PEGDA 7.17±0.19; medians±IQR). The

overall decrease in pHi of ~0.2 pH units between soft and stiff ECM is within the range

of physiological pHi dynamics that have been shown to regulate normal cell behaviors

including cell cycle progression[34], differentiation[13,37], and migration[38]. This result shows

that stiffening of the ECM through changes in protein crosslinking drives significant

decreases in single-cell pHi of clonal metastatic lung cancer cells. These data suggest

that progressive changes in ECM stiffness within the physiological range of normal to

metastatic mechanical stiffness environments can alter pHi in metastatic cancer cells,

suggesting a potential role for pHi in mechanosensitive cancer cell signaling and

behaviors.

We next determined whether the stiff ECM decreased pHi using the Matrigel

tunable-stiffness models, where ECM protein concentration is the predominant driver of

altered stiffness. In cells plated on varied Matrigel stiffnesses, single-cell pHi decreased

with increasing stiffness (Figure 1E). Cells plated on the stiffest matrix (12 mg/mL) had

a significantly decreased pHi (7.18±0.15; median±IQR) compared to the softest matrix

(4 mg/mL; 7.52±0.49; median±IQR) (Figure 1F). The decrease in pHi of ~0.35 units

between stiffest (~1,500 Pa) and softest (~50 Pa) ECM in this system is also consistent

with the pHi changes we measured between stiffest and softest HA gel models.

However, in the Matrigel tunable-stiffness model system, the pHi measured on

intermediate stiffnesses (6 mg/mL Matrigel, 7.19±0.13; 8 mg/mL Matrigel, 7.13±0.14;

medians±IQR) was not significantly different from the pHi of cells plated on a stiff matrix

(Figure 1F). This result shows that ECM stiffening decreases pHi in metastatic cells via

both increased ECM protein abundance and crosslinking, showing mechanism

independent ECM stiffness driven pHi dynamics in metastatic cells.

We next confirmed that ECM stiffness leads to decreased pHi using metastatic

breast epithelial cell model (MDA-MB-231) as another metastatic cell model derived

from a stromal-rich environment. The pHi of MDA-MB-231 cells was decreased by ~0.2

units in cells plated on a stiff matrix compared to soft matrix in both the Matrigel (soft

7.40±0.14; stiff 7.20±0.13; median±IQR) and HA gel (soft 7.43±0.14; stiff 7.28±0.10;

median±IQR) models (Supplemental Figure 1). Taken together, these data show that

increases in ECM stiffness mediated by either increased crosslinking (HA gel model) or

by increased ECM protein secretion (Matrigel model) both decrease pHi at the single-

cell level. Our data also show that the stiffness-dependent decreases in pHi are not

tissue specific as both breast and lung metastatic models exhibited a 0.2-0.35 decrease

in pHi on stiff compared to soft matrices. In summary, these data show that there is an

inverse relationship between ECM stiffening and pHi in these metastatic cancer cell

models, and further suggests a role for pHi in regulating pH-sensitive molecular

pathways to drive or reinforce stiffness-associated phenotypes.

**Stiffness dependent vasculogenic mimicry is reduced in high pHi conditions in**

**metastatic lung carcinoma**

When performing the single-cell pHi measurements on tunable-stiffness ECM

models, we also observed a distinct change in overall cancer cell morphology that

correlated with increased ECM stiffness. Metastatic cancer cells plated on soft matrix

grew in flat lawns of large rounded (H1299) or spindle-shaped cells (MDA-MB-231),

forming a near-confluent sheet. However, on stiff matrix, the metastatic cancer cells

grew in compact clusters of irregularly shaped cells, frequently exhibited 3D growth

phenotypes, and formed connected bridges of significantly elongated spindle shaped

cells between 3D "nodes" (Supplemental Figure 3). This change in cell morphology we

observed on stiff matrices has been previously described as a vasculogenic mimicry

(VM) phenotype. VM is an aggressive cancer phenotype observed both *in vivo* and *in*

*vitro*, where tumor cells organize into vessel-like structures, allowing nutrients and

oxygen access independent of traditional angiogenesis[39]. Previous studies have shown

increased ECM stiffness can drive VM[40] phenotypes and have also characterized 2D

VM phenotypes as a pronounced growth pattern where cells form distinct networks of

tightly packed cells with surrounding open space devoid of cell growth[41].

Our data showing single-cell pHi decreases in H1299 cells on stiff ECM led to the

hypothesis that low pHi is a necessary mediator of VM and that raising pHi in H1299

cells plated on stiff matrix would reduce the VM phenotype (Figure 2A). To directly test

this hypothesis, we established protocols to experimentally raise pHi in H1299 cells

plated on stiff ECM. Prior work showed that 50 mM Sodium Bicarbonate supplemented

into the media for 24 hours was sufficient to raise pHi in H1299 cells plated on glass[34].

We imaged single-cell pHi in H1299 cells plated on soft ECM, stiff ECM, and stiff ECM

with bicarbonate supplementation (Figure 2B). We found that bicarbonate significantly

increased pHi of cells plated on stiff ECM compared to untreated cells on stiff matrix

(stiff 7.27±0.08; stiff + Bicarbonate 7.43±0.08; median±IQR) (Figure 2C). While the

absolute pHi achieved with bicarbonate treatment on stiff HA gel matrix was lower than

the matched pHi of control cells plated on soft ECM (Figure 2C), the bicarbonate

treatment increased the pHi of cells plated on the stiffest ECM by approximately 0.2 pH

units (Figure 2C), which is similar to the magnitude of pHi changes we observed

between soft and stiff ECM across the various cell lines and gel systems.

We next tested the effects of increased pHi on the stiffness-dependent

vasculogenic mimicry phenotype. We found that H1299 cells acquired a vasculogenic

mimicry phenotype on stiff matrix, and this VM phenotype was abrogated when pHi was

increased on stiff matrix (Figure 2D). Cells plated on stiff matrix with bicarbonate-

induced increases in pHi grew in a 2D cobblestone-like morphology similar to the

morphology of cells grown on the soft ECM (Figure 2D, additional representative

images in Supplemental Figure 4). To confirm that the observed pH-dependent change

in cell morphology was not due to pH-dependent or stiffness-dependent differences in

cell proliferation, we assayed proliferation rates in H1299 cells plated on soft and stiff

ECM with and without increased pHi. Importantly, we did not observe any significant

differences in proliferation rates across our experimental conditions (Figure 2E). Our

data showing loss of VM networks when pHi is increased in cells plated on stiff ECM

demonstrate that low pHi is necessary to maintain VM phenotypes on stiff ECM.

Furthermore, these data show that high pHi can override stiffness-dependent

vasculogenic mimicry in a metastatic cancer model.

**Figure 2: Stiffness-dependent vasculogenic mimicry is reduced when pHi is**
**increased on stiff ECM. a)** Schematic of vasculogenic mimicry (VM) in 2D on stiffening
matrix. **b)** Representative images of H1299 cells stably expressing mCherry-pHluorin
pH biosensor plated on soft (0.5% PEGDA) and stiff (4% PEGDA) HA gels and stiff (4%
PEGDA) with raised pHi. Images show ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry
fluorescence ratios. Scale bars: 50 µm. **c)** Quantification of single-cell pHi data collected
as shown in (b) (n=3 biological replicates; n=201 soft, n=237 stiff, n=239 stiff high pHi.
Red lines show medians ± IQR). **d)** Representative images of H1299 cells plated on soft

(0.5% PEGDA) and stiff (4% PEGDA) HA gels. Images show differential interference
contrast (DIC) and Hoechst stain (DNA, cyan). Scale bars: 100 µm. **e)** Quantification of
cell proliferation across manipulation conditions. (n=3 biological replicates, n=9 per
condition. Red lines show means ± SEM).
295

To quantify the observed stiffness- and pHi-dependent changes in cell

morphology, we used a cell membrane marker and quantitative image analysis (see

methods for details) pipeline to assess cell area (Figure 3A). Notably, single-cell area of

H1299 cells was significantly lower in cells plated on stiff ECM compared to soft ECM

(Figure 3B; stiff 338.6 µm$^2$±189; soft 406.5 µm$^2$±224.6; median±IQR). This result

demonstrates that cell area is a robust quantitative morphology indicator that decreases

with acquisition of VM phenotype on stiff ECM. This allows us to quantitatively

distinguish cell morphologies corresponding to low VM and high VM conditions.

Importantly, we found that cell area significantly increased (Figure 3C; 374.3

µm$^2$±210.6; median±IQR) when pHi was raised in H1299 cells plated on stiff ECM

compared to control H1299 on stiff ECM (Figure 3B). This indicates that increased pHi

attenuates the observed stiffness-dependent VM phenotype. The loss of VM networks

and increased cell area when pHi is raised on stiff ECM demonstrates that low pHi is

required for cells to acquire VM on stiff matrices. Together, our findings confirm

previous literature characterizing vasculogenic mimicry as an ECM stiffness-mediated

phenotype and further identifies decreased pHi as a previously unrecognized necessary

regulator of VM.

**Figure 3: Vasculogenic mimicry phenotype decreases cell area on stiff ECM, which is rescued by increasing pHi in metastatic lung carcinoma. a)** Representative images of H1299 cells plated on soft (0.5% PEGDA) and stiff (4% PEGDA) HA gels and stiff (4% PEGDA) with raised pHi. Images show differential interference contrast (DIC), Hoechst 33342 (DNA, cyan) and CellMask Deep Red membrane stain (Cy5, magenta). Scale bars: 50 μm. **b)** Quantification of single-cell area collected as shown in (a) (n=3 biological replicates, n=1061 soft, n=954 stiff, n=1078 stiff high pHi. Red lines show medians ± IQR).

**β-catenin abundance is stiffness-dependent, pHi-dependent, and necessary for stiffness-dependent vasculogenic mimicry**

We next investigated potential molecular drivers of pH-dependent regulation of VM. In epithelial cells, VM is regulated by several characterized mechanisms, including the activity and abundance of β-catenin[42,43]. β-catenin is a multifunctional protein involved in cell-cell adhesion and transcription. Previous work has shown that both whole cell abundance and transcriptional activity of β-catenin directly regulate VM[42]. A recent study using malignant melanoma cells showed that knockdown of β-catenin or silencing of its co-transcriptional activator transcription factor 4 (TCF4) disables VM phenotypes[42]. Additionally, previous work has shown that increased nuclear localization of β-catenin correlates with VM formation in colon cancer cells[43] and is associated with a stiffening ECM in liver cancer cells[44]. Importantly, ECM stiffening has also been shown to increase whole-cell β-catenin abundance in some cell lines, including human mesenchymal stem cells[45,46]. While previous studies have demonstrated the role of β-catenin in regulating VM, these studies have not characterized the cellular cues by which a stiff ECM increases β-catenin abundance or nuclear localization.

Our prior work has shown that high pHi reduces stability of β-catenin in normal canine kidney (MDCK) epithelial cells, leading to loss of β-catenin from adherens junctions[47]. More recently, we have shown that low pHi stabilizes β-catenin and increases the transcriptional activity in MDCK epithelial cells[17]. Further, this study showed that β-catenin abundance and nuclear localization ~~were~~ decreased when pHi was raised, suggesting pHi acts as a rheostat to modulate β-catenin abundance and adhesion and signaling functions[17]. However, our prior work did not assess pH-

dependent β-catenin stability in non-epithelial models and did not characterize the

functional consequences of pH-dependent β-catenin stability on cell behaviors. Thus,

we next tested the hypothesis that stiffness-associated pHi dynamics modulate VM

through regulation of β-catenin abundance in metastatic cancer cell lines.

To determine the effect of ECM stiffening on β-catenin abundance in metastatic

cancer cells, we performed immunofluorescent staining of β-catenin in H1299 cells

plated on soft ECM and stiff ECM both with and without pHi manipulation (Figure 4A). In

agreement with prior work[46], we found that whole-cell abundance of β-catenin was

significantly increased in cells plated on stiff ECM compared to cells plated on soft ECM

(Figure 4B). Furthermore, we found that when we raised pHi in cells plated on stiff ECM,

β-catenin abundance was significantly reduced compared to control cells plated on stiff

ECM (Figure 4B). We also determined the effects of ECM stiffening and pHi modulation

on nuclear localization of β-catenin. We quantified the intensity of β-catenin within single

cell nuclei and found that β-catenin nuclear abundance was significantly increased on

stiff compared to soft ECM (Figure 4C). When pHi was raised in cells plated on a stiff

matrix, β-catenin nuclear intensity was significantly decreased compared to cells plated

on a stiff matrix in the absence of pHi manipulation (Figure 4C). These data show that

increased β-catenin abundance is correlated with low pHi in a human clonal metastatic

cancer cell line and confirm our hypothesis that β-catenin is a pH-dependent regulator

of stiffness-dependent vasculogenic mimicry. These data show that high pHi can

override mechanosensing by decreasing β-catenin abundance, suggesting that low pHi

functions as a necessary mediator of VM in cancer cells via stabilization of β-catenin

abundance.

**Figure 4: Increased pHi reduced β-catenin abundance and nuclear localization in stiff matrix conditions. a)** Representative images of H1299 cells plated on soft (0.5% PEGDA), stiff (4% PEGDA) and stiff with raised pHi (4% PEGDA) HA gels fixed and stained for β-catenin. β-catenin is pseudocolored according to scale. Scale bars: 50 μm. **b)** Quantification of whole cell β-catenin intensity collected as shown in (a). (n=3 biological replicates, n=452 soft, n=486 stiff, n=415 stiff high pHi. Red lines show medians ± IQR). **c)** Quantification of nuclear β-catenin intensity collected as described in (a). (n=3 biological replicates, n=1043 soft, n=975 stiff, n=1157 stiff high. Red lines show medians ± IQR). For (b) and (c), significance was determined by a Kruskal-Wallis test (*$P<0.05$; **$P<0.01$; ***$P<0.001$; ****$P<0.0001$).

**FOXC2 activity is stiffness-dependent, pHi-independent, and not sufficient for vasculogenic mimicry**

It is possible that other molecular regulators of VM also exhibit pHi-sensitive activity and contribute to the abrogation of stiffness-associated VM phenotypes at high pHi. Increased expression and activity of the transcription factor FOXC2 has previously been shown to promote VM in ovarian cancer[41] and breast cancer cells[48] by upregulating expression of VM associated genes. However, while FOXC2 has been shown to be required for VM[48], and sufficient to drive endothelial cell vascularization[49], it is unclear whether FOXC2 abundance or activity is a sufficient driver of VM phenotypes. Furthermore, existing literature is conflicting as to whether FOXC2 and β-catenin are independent drivers of VM. For example, significant prior data suggests that β-catenin functions upstream of FOXC2 in VM, with β-catenin being shown to directly control

expression of FOX transcription factors[49]. However, other data suggests that FOXC2

can directly induce Wnt signaling[50,51] and rescues acquisition of vasculogenic mimicry

when β-catenin levels are reduced[49]. Our data showing that β-catenin is a pH-

dependent molecular mediator of VM allows us to explore both independence and

crosstalk between FOXC2 and β-catenin in regulating stiffness- and pH-dependent VM

phenotypes.

We first measured FOXC2 abundance and activity in our model of metastatic

lung cancer cells that form VM phenotypes. We performed immunofluorescent staining

of FOXC2 in H1299 cells plated on soft ECM and stiff ECM and found that whole-cell

abundance of FOXC2 was the same in H1299 cells plated on soft vs. stiff matrix

(Supplemental Figure 5A,B). This suggests that whole cell abundance of FOXC2 is not

regulated by ECM stiffness in these cells. We next measured FOXC2 transcriptional

activity in single cells. We performed single-cell analysis of FOXC2 transcriptional

activity using a highly specific FOXC2-TAG-Puro reporter plasmid with FOXC2 specific

tandem repeats flanking a core DNA binding element upstream of GFP (LipExoGen,

see methods). Increased FOXC2 DNA binding and transcription drives increased GFP

fluorescence (Supplemental Figure 5C). We performed these single-cell transcriptional

assays in H1299 cells plated on soft ECM and on stiff ECM with and without increased

pHi. We found that FOXC2 activity was significantly increased in cells plated on stiff

ECM compared to cells plated on soft ECM, suggesting that ECM stiffening is sufficient

to increase FOXC2 transcriptional activity (Supplemental Figure 3D, E). However, we

found that FOXC2 transcriptional activity was not altered when pHi was increased on a

stiff matrix (Supplemental Figure 3D,E). This result demonstrates that high pHi does not

decrease FOXC2 activity in cells on a stiff matrix, suggesting that pHi dynamics do not

override stiffness-driven increases in FOXC2 activity. Furthermore, our data show that

high FOXC2 transcriptional activation is not a sufficient driver of VM, as high pHi

abrogates VM phenotypes without altering FOXC2 transcriptional activity. Our data also

suggest that β-catenin loss at high pHi overrides VM independently of FOXC2 activity,

reducing VM phenotypes even while FOXC2 transcription remains high.

The prior results suggest that increased pHi can override stiffness-associated VM

phenotypes. We next hypothesized that low pHi is a sufficient mediator of VM and that

lowering pHi in H1299 cells plated on soft matrix would induce stiffness-independent

acquisition of VM phenotypes. To directly test this hypothesis, we used an H1299 cell

line that is deficient in the sodium proton exchanger (H1299-NHE1 K.O., see methods).

This H1299-NHE1 K.O. cell line has significantly decreased pHi (7.35±0.04) compared

to parental H1299 (7.60±0.03) (Figure 5A). Importantly, incubating the H1299-NHE1

K.O. cell line with bicarbonate raised pHi to the pHi of parental H1299 (7.62±0.04)

Figure 5A). We performed an acid load recovery assay to confirm that the H1299-NHE1

K.O. cell line had no measurable NHE1 activity (Figure S6A).  Using this experimental

system, we tested the effects of decreased pHi on modulating VM phenotypes. We

found that the H1299-NHE1 K.O. cells acquired a VM phenotype on soft matrix,

suggesting low pHi is indeed a sufficient driver of VM in the absence of stiff ECM

mechanical cues (Figure 5B). Importantly, the stiffness-independent VM phenotype

observed in H1299-NHE1 K.O. cells on soft ECM was abrogated when pHi was

increased in these cells on the soft matrix (Figure 5B). We again used cell area to

quantify extent of VM phenotype and found that when pHi is lowered (H1299-NHE1

K.O.) in cells plated on soft ECM, single-cell area is significantly decreased compared to

when the same cells plated on soft ECM but were manipulated to have a high pHi

(Figure 5C). Our findings demonstrate that decreased pHi is sufficient to drive VM

phenotype in the absence of stiffening ECM mechanical cues. Again, we confirm that

increasing pHi is sufficient to override VM phenotypes, even when VM is aberrantly

generated on soft ECM.

**Figure 5: Low pHi is sufficient to induce vasculogenic mimicry on a soft ECM. a)**
Quantification of pHi data from parental H1299 cells and H1299 cells where NHE1
knockout via CRISPR (H1299-NHE1 K.O.). with and without treatment with sodium
bicarbonate (Bicarb.) (see methods) (n=3 biological replicates. n=9 parental, n=18
NHE1 K.O., n=18 NHE1 K.O. Bicarb. Red lines show means ± SEM). **b)** Representative
images of H1299 cells plated on soft HA gels (0.5% PEGDA) with and without lowered
pHi (H1299-NHE1 K.O.) and with or without increased pHi (H1299-NHE1 K.O. Bicarb.).
Images show differential interference contrast (DIC) and Hoechst 33342 (DNA, cyan).
Scale bars: 100 μm. **c)** Representative images of H1299 cells plated on soft HA gels

(0.5% PEGDA) with and without lowered pHi (H1299-NHE1 K.O.) and with or without
increased pHi (H1299-NHE1 K.O. Bicarb.). Images show differential interference
contrast (DIC) and CellMask Deep Red membrane stain (Cy5, magenta). Scale bars: 50
μm. **d)** Quantification of single-cell area collected as shown in (a) (n=3 biological
replicates, n=383 parental, n=267 NHE1 K.O., n=315 NHE1 K.O. Bicarb. Red lines
show medians ± IQR).

**Discussion**

Our work identifies pHi dynamics as a previously unrecognized regulator of

stiffness-dependent VM in metastatic cancer cell models. We combined physiologically

relevant tunable-stiffness hydrogel systems with single-cell pHi imaging and quantitative

microscopy approaches to reveal novel molecular integration of the extracellular

mechanical environment and pHi. We show that increasing ECM stiffness, driven by

either increased protein concentration or crosslinking, lowers the single-cell pHi of both

lung and breast metastatic cell lines. Most previously described tumorigenic behaviors

such as hyperplasia[52], metastatic progression[34,53], and drug resistance[53] are

associated with increased pHi. However, recent work suggests a potential role for

comparatively low cancer cell pHi in regulating hypoxia response[54] and modulating

tumor initiating cell (or tumor stem cell) phenotypes[14]. Adding to these recent data, our

new findings show that low pHi in cancer cells is both a necessary and sufficient driver

of VM. When we raise pHi in cells plated on stiff ECM, we attenuate VM phenotypes,

overriding mechanosensitive regulation of VM. More surprisingly, low pHi was sufficient

to drive formation of VM phenotypes on soft ECM (in the absence of mechanical

stiffening).

Our work characterizing pH-dependent molecular drivers of VM identified β-

catenin as a pH-sensitive regulator of vasculogenic mimicry. We also show that another

VM regulator, FOXC2 has stiffness-dependent, but pHi-*independent*, activity. Our

molecular characterization of VM regulators using tunable-stiffness hydrogels in

combination with pHi manipulation approaches also resolved conflicting data in the

literature on the interdependence of β-catenin and FOXC2 in VM regulation.

Importantly, our data suggest that β-catenin is a necessary regulator of stiffness-

dependent VM and that FOXC2 transcriptional activation is not sufficient to drive VM in

the absence of stabilized β-catenin.

Our data reveal that pHi is a master regulator of VM and can override

mechanosensitive phenotypes in 2D, revealing an improved understanding of molecular

mechanisms driving cancer cell adaptive behaviors in the context of a stiffening ECM. In

this work, we limit our characterization to 2D ECM models and a few metastatic cell

models and focus on just one tumorigenic mechanosensitive phenotype (VM). This

approach enables us to combine single-cell pHi measurements and pHi manipulation

with tunable-stiffness hydrogel systems that enable differentiation of contributions of

stiffness and pHi in these complex cell morphology phenotypes.  Our findings provide

the groundwork for future experiments investigating pHi-dependent mechanosensitive

behaviors in more complex 3D tumor spheroid models or even co-culture models with

cancer associated fibroblasts or immune cells. Prior work has already independently

shown that more complex 3D environments produce increased vasculogenic mimicry

and phenotypic heterogeneity[55] and pronounced pHi gradients[56]. Our current findings

motivate expanding these studies to more complex mechanical and cellular

environments to explore mechanistic roles for pHi dynamics in regulating other

mechanosensitive tumorigenic behaviors such as durotaxis, invasion, and phenotypic

plasticity (or dedifferentiation).

**Methods**

*Cell Culture*

H1299 (parental ATCC CRL-5803) or H1299-NHE1 K.O. (CRISPRed cell line was a gift

from Dr. Diane Barber at the University of California, San Francisco) were grown in

RPMI 1640 (Corning, 10-040-CV) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS,

Peak Serum, PS-FB2).

MDA-MB-231 (ATCC HTB-26) cells were grown in DMEM (Corning, MT10013CVV)

supplemented with 10% FBS. All cells were maintained at 5% $CO_2$ and 37°C in a

humidified incubator. To increase pHi, cells were cultured under normal conditions for

24 hours before being treated for 24 hours with culture media supplemented to a final

concentration of 50mM Sodium Bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich; S6297-250G).

*Transient Expression and Stable Cell Line Generation*

H1299 and MDA-MB-231 mCherry-pHluorin expressing cells were generated as

previously described[34]. FOXC2-TAG-Puro (LipExoGen Biotech, SKU:LTV-0061)

positive H1299 cells were generated using lentiviral article transduction. Briefly, H1299

cells were plated at 50% confluency in a 6 well tissue culture treated plate. After 24

hours, media was replaced with fresh media containing 10ug/mL of polybrene and

50uL/well of FOXC2-TAG-Puro lentiviral particles. Cells were incubated for 72 hours

prior to selection with 0.8 mg/mL blasticidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, BP264725). After

4 weeks of selection, GFP positive cells were sorted on a BD FACS ARIA III cell sorter

using 488nm excitation with 515nm-545nm emission filter. These cells were collected

into 1mL 1XPBS using high purity sort settings. Cells were then centrifuged and plated

in complete RPMI media with 0.8 mg/mL blasticidin.

*Preparation of tunable-stiffness hydrogels*

*Matrigel or Geltrex gel systems*

Matrigel (Corning 356231, Lot 9035003) or Geltrex (Gibco, A14132-02, add LOT)

coated plates were made in 35 mm diameter, 4-well (9.5 mm/well) glass bottom dishes

(Matsunami, D141400). Stock Matrigel or Geltrex (12 or 16 mg/mL respectively) were

diluted in cold complete media to concentrations of 4 mg/mL, 6 mg/mL, and 8 mg/mL

which cover a range of stiffness from 50 Pa to ~1000 Pa[30–32]. Each well was coated with

2.6 µL matrix per mm of well surface area (25 µL/well for 9.5 mm 4-well plate). Matrix

was allowed to solidify at 37°C for 20 minutes prior to cell plating. Cells were plated at

5,000 cells per well in 100 µL solution volume.

*HA gel system*

HyStem-C (Advanced BioMatrix GS313) gels are composed of thiol-modified hyaluronic

acid (Glycosil, GS222F), thiol-modified gelatin (Gelin-S, GS231F), polyethylene glycol

diacrylate (PEGDA, Extralink, GS3007F), and degassed, deionized water (DG

Water)[22,30]. Basement matrix solution was made of 1:1 Glycosil and Gelin-S and varying

final PEGDA percentages (0.5, 1, 2, and 4%) were prepared in degassed, deionized

water. The basement matrix solution and respective percentage PEGDA were mixed in

a 4:1 parts ratio immediately before plating. Each well was coated with 1.4 µL matrix per

mm of well surface area (13.5 μL/well). Cells were plated on the pre-prepared synthetic

ECM plates 48 hours prior to imaging at 5,000 (single-cell pHi measurements) or 75,000

(VM imaging/staining) cells/well in 100 μL solution volume. HA gels were pre-prepared a

maximum of 3 days prior to plating of cells, and stored with Dulbecco's phosphate

buffered saline (DPBS) (Quality Biological, 114-057-101) in each well to maintain

hydration at 4°C.

*Microscope System*

Confocal images were collected on a Nikon Ti-2 spinning disk confocal with a 10x

(PLAN APO NA0.45) air objective, 40x (CFI PLAN FLUOR NA1.3) oil immersion

objective, and 60x (PLAN APO NA1.4) oil immersion objective. The microscope is

equipped with a stage-top incubator (Tokai Hit), a Yokogawa spinning disk confocal

head (CSU-X1), four laser lines (405 nm (100 mW), 488 nm (100 mW), 561 nm (100

mW), 640 nm (75 mW)), a Ti2-S-SE motorized stage, multi-point perfect focus system,

and an Orca Flash 4.0 CMOS camera. Images were acquired under the following

settings: pHluorin (GFP) (488 laser excitation, 525/36 emission), mCherry (561 laser

excitation, 630/75 emission), Cy5 (647 nm laser excitation, 705/72 nm emission),

Hoechst 33342 Dye (405 nm laser excitation, 455/50 emission) and differential

interference contrast (DIC) were used. Acquisition times for each fluorescence channel

ranged from 50-600 milliseconds.

*Single-cell pHi measurements*

Prior to imaging, stage top incubator and microscope objectives were pre heated to

37°C and kept at 5% $CO_2$/95% air. Single-cell pHi measurements were performed as

previously described[34]. Briefly, initial fields of view (FOV) were collected on the cells in

their respective media. Two isotonic buffers (25 mM HEPES, 105 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$)

were prepared and supplemented with 10 µM nigericin (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

N1495). For standardization, isotonic buffers were pre-warmed to 37°C and pH of the

"Nigericin buffers" was adjusted to ~6.7 and ~7.7 (with 1M KOH) (recorded for each

biological replicate to the hundredths place). For each standardization point, cells were

washed three times consecutively with no waiting time with appropriate Nigericin buffer

followed by a 5-7 minute equilibration prior to image acquisition. All required buffer

exchanges were carried out on the stage incubator to preserve XY positioning. Multiple

Z-planes were collected with the center focal plane maintained using the Perfect Focus

System (PFS).

*pHi Image Quantification*

NIS Analysis Software was used to quantify pHi. All images were background

subtracted using a region of interest (ROI) drawn on glass coverslip (determined by

DIC). Individual ROIs were drawn for each cell in each condition (initial, high pH

nigericin, and low pH nigericin). For each cell ROI, mean pHluorin and mCherry pixel

intensities were quantified and pHluorin/mCherry ratios calculated in Microsoft Excel.

For each cell, the nigericin standard fluorescence intensity values were used to

generate single-cell standard curves where single-cell pHi was back-calculated based

on nigericin buffer pH values reported to the hundredths.

*Proliferation Assay*

H1299 cells were plated at 1,000 cells/well in a 24 well tissue-culture treated plate on

pre-prepared matrix (65 µL/well) (see *Preparation of tunable-stiffness hydrogels*). After

24 and 48 hours of culture, cells were lifted via trypsinization (0.25%, Corning, 25-

0530Cl) for 20 minutes and counted by hemocytometer.

*Immunofluorescence Staining*

*Fixed Cell Staining*

H1299 cells were plated at 75,000 cells/well in 100 µL solution volume on the pre-

prepared synthetic ECM plates. After 48 hours, the media was removed and a 3.7%

Formaldehyde (Alfa Aesar, 50-000) solution in DPBS was added to each well and

allowed to fix at room temperature for 10 minutes. Cells were washed 3x2 minutes with

DPBS before a permeabilization solution (0.1% Triton-X (Fisher Scientific, 9002-93-1) in

DPBS) was added to each well for ten minutes at room temperature (RT). The Triton-X

permeabilization solution was removed and cells were washed 3x2 minutes with DPBS

at RT before a blocking solution (1% BSA (Fisher Scientific, BP1600-100) was added to

cells for one hour at RT with rocking. The blocking solution was removed and cells were

washed 3x2 minutes with DPBS before primary antibody solutions were added to each

well and incubated with rocking at 4°C overnight. Primary antibodies were prepared in

1% BSA with 0.1% Triton-X at 1:50 dilutions. Primary antibodies used were: β-catenin

mouse (BD Biosciences, BDB610154) and FOXC2 rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology,

12974S). The following day, primary antibody solutions were removed and cells were

washed 3x2 minutes with DPBS before secondary antibodies (Goat anti-mouse IgG

(H+L) Cross-Absorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488; Invitrogen; A-11001, Goat

anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488; Invitrogen; A-11008) were

added at 1:1,000 in solution of 1% BSA, 0.1% Triton-X, and Hoechst 33342 (DAPI;

Thermo Scientific, cat: 62249 were added to each well (1:20,000) in DPBS and

incubated with rocking at RT for one hour. Cells were washed 3x2 minutes with DPBS

just prior to imaging on the Nikon Ti-2 spinning disk confocal with a 40x oil immersion

objective. Images were captured with multiple Z planes to allow visualization of labeled

protein colocalization. After acquisition, IMARIS Software (Bitplane, Oxford Instruments,

version 9.5.1) and Nikon Elements Analysis software were used to quantify stained

proteins. Nuclear pools of proteins were identified using IMARIS software by generating

surfaces based on the DAPI channel that represent individual cell nuclei. Mean

intensities for all channels within each nuclear surface were exported and analyzed for

statistical significance using GraphPad Prism software. Whole cell protein abundance

was determined by drawing regions of interest in Nikon Elements Analysis software of

single-cells. Mean intensities for all channels were exported and analyzed for statistical

significance using GraphPad Prism software.

*Live Cell Staining*

H1299 cells were plated on the pre-prepared synthetic ECM plates 48 hours prior to

imaging at 75,000 cells/well in 100 µL solution volume. Images were acquired as

outlined in the above sections. Cell nuclei and cell membranes were visualized via

Hoechst dye (DAPI; Thermo Scientific, cat: 62249; 1:10,000) and CellMask Deep Red

(Thermo Fisher, C10046; 1:20,000), respectively, incubated for 15 minutes at 37º C in

complete media. Fields of view were selected by visualizing nuclei (DAPI) and images

were collected in the DAPI (30% laser power, 600 ms), GFP (30% laser power, 600

ms), Cy5 (30% laser power, 600 ms), and DIC (32.6 DIA, 50 ms) channels. Individual

cells were analyzed by IMARIS software by generating cells based on the CellMask

channel that represents cell membranes. Cell areas were exported and analyzed for

statistical significance using GraphPad Prism software.

*Single-cell FOXC2 transcriptional activity assay using live-cell microscopy*

FOXC2-TAG-Puro expressing H1299 cells were plated on the pre-prepared synthetic

ECM plates 48 hours prior to imaging at 75,000 cells/well in 100 µL solution volume.

Images were acquired as outlined in the above sections. Cell nuclei and cell

membranes were visualized via Hoechst dye (DAPI; Thermo Scientific, cat: 62249;

1:10,000) and CellMask Deep Red (Thermo Fisher, C10046; 1:20,000), respectively,

incubated for 15 minutes at 37º C in complete media. Fields of view were selected by

visualizing nuclei (DAPI) and images were collected in the DAPI (30% laser power, 600

ms), GFP (30% laser power, 600 ms), Cy5 (30% laser power, 600 ms), and DIC (32.6

DIA, 50 ms) channels. Whole-cell regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn within individual

cells using cell mask as a membrane marker and the average GFP intensity for

individual cells were exported to Excel. Single-cell intensities were imported to

GraphPad Prism for statistical analysis and visualization.

*BCECF plate reader assays*

Cells were plated at 4.0×105–8.0×105 cells/well in a 24-well plate and incubated

overnight. Cells were treated with 2 µM 2′,7′-bis-(2-carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-

carboxyfluorescein, acetoxymethyl ester (BCECF-AM; VWR, 89139-244) for 20 min at

37°C and 5% $CO_2$. H1299 parental and NHE1 K.O. cells were washed three times for 5

min each time with a pre-warmed (37°C) HEPES-based wash buffer (30 mM HEPES pH

7.4, 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM $KHPO_4$, 2 mM

$CaCl_2$, pH 7.4) to match their low bicarbonate medium (RPMI) and NHE1 K.O. Bicarb.

cells were washed three times for 5 min each time with a pre-warmed (37°C) HEPES-

based wash buffer (30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 95 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1

mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM $KHPO_4$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, pH 7.4) to match sodium bicarbonate

treatment. For standardization, three calibration buffers (25 mM HEPES, 105 mM KCl, 1

mM $MgCl_2$) were supplemented with 10 µM nigericin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, N1495),

pH was adjusted to $\sim$6.7, $\sim$7.0, and $\sim$7.7, and were pre-warmed to 37°C. Fluorescence

was read (excitation of 440 and 490 nm, both with emission at 535 nm) on a Cytation 5

(BioTek) plate reader incubated at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$. Kinetic reads were taken at 15-s

intervals for 5 min, using a protocol established within BioTek Gen5 software. After the

initial pHi read, the HEPES/bicarbonate wash was aspirated and replaced with one of

the nigericin buffer standards, and cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$ for 7 min.

BCECF fluorescence was read by the plate reader as above. This process was

repeated with the second nigericin standard. As it takes significant time to equilibrate

$CO_2$ in the plate reader, we did not measure nigericin standardizations without $CO_2$. The

mean intensity ratio (490/440 values) was derived from each read. Measurements were

calculated from a nigericin linear regression using exact nigericin buffer pH to two

decimal places (Grillo-Hill et al., 2014).

*NHE1 Recovery Assay*

40,000 cells were plated in the first two rows of a 24-well plate two days prior to

transfection (one row of H1299 parental, the other H1299 NHE1 K.O.). Cells were

loaded with 10uM SNARF in serum free media and incubated in the dark at 37° C for

30min. Each well was washed three times at 37°C for 5 minutes with a HEPES buffer

(30mM HEPES pH-7.4, 115mM NaCl, 5mM KCl, 10mM glucose, 1mM $MgSO_4$, 1mM

$KHPO_4$, 2mM $CaCl_2$). The cells were imaged using a BioTek Cytation5 in imager mode.

The SNARF was imaged with SNARF cube (531x/586m) and TexasRed cube

(586x/647m). Images were taken approximately every two minutes which was the

shortest interval allowed by the imager mode software for two rows of a 24-well plate.

Initial baseline images were taken in the HEPES buffer at pH 7.4 at 3 time points

(approx. 6 mins total). Next, cells were loaded with ammonium chloride using a HEPES-

based ammonium chloride buffer (30mM HEPES pH-7.4, 30mM $NH_4Cl$, 115mM NaCl,

5mM KCl, 10mM glucose, 1mM $MgSO_4$, 1mM $KHPO_4$, 2mM $CaCl_2$) and cells were

imaged for 3 time points (approx. 6min). An acid load was induced by removing the

ammonium chloride buffer and replacing it with the HEPES buffer (no $NH_4Cl$) with or

without NHE1 inhibitor (10 μM EIPA (5-(*N*-ethyl-*N*-isopropyl) amiloride), Chemscene,

CS-7935). Cells were imaged while they recovered (7 time points, approx. 14 minutes).

A calibration curve was then obtained by imaging the cells in nigericin containing buffers

at the various pH's, around 7.5 (6 time points, approx. 12min), 7.0 (4 time points,

approx. 8min), and 6.5 (4 time points, approx. 8min). The standard curve was then used

to back calculate the pHi of the cells during the experiment. The data was normalized to

the initial point of the recovery period to look at the recovery rate.

*Statistical analysis*

GraphPad Prism was used to prepare graphs and perform statistical analyses. All data

sets were subject to normality tests (D'Angostino & Pearson, Anderson-Darling,

Shapiro-Wilk, and Kolmogorov-Smirnov) and outlier analyses using the ROUT method

(Q=1%). For non-normally distributed data, a Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's multiple

comparisons correction was used (Figures 1-5) For fold increase in cell number and

population pHi data, one-way ANOVA was used (Figure 2E, 5D). All significance was

indicated in figures by the following: *$P<0.05$; **$P<0.01$; ***$P<0.001$; ****$P<0.0001$.

**Online Supplementary Materials:** Online supplementary materials include Figure S1-

S6.

**Acknowledgements:** We like to thank members of the White lab for their helpful

conversations and feedback during figure and manuscript preparation. We also thank

Dr. Diane Barber for her generous gift of the H1299-NHE1 K.O. cell line. The spinning

disk confocal microscope used in this work is a part of the Notre Dame Integrated

Imaging Facility (NDIIF).

**Author contributions:** Conceptualization: LML, KAW, DH-P; Methodology: LML, KAW, LA, KJT DH-P; Validation: LML, KAW, DH-P; Formal analysis:, LML, LA, LNM, EH, JH, KJT, KAW, DH-P; Investigation: LML, LA, LNM, EH, JH; Resources: KAW, DH-P.; Data collection: LML, LA, LNM, EH, JH, KJT; Data curation: LML, LA, LNM, EH, JH, KJT, KAW, DH-P; Writing – original draft: LML, KAW; Writing - review & editing: LML, LA, LNM, EH, JH, KJT, DH-P; Visualization: LML, LNM, KAW, DH-P; Supervision: KAW, DH-P; Project administration: KAW, DH-P; Funding acquisition: LML, KAW, DH-P.

**Competing Interests:** Authors declare no competing interests.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Walther Cancer Foundation Interdisciplinary Interface Training Project (to LML), the Henry Luce Foundation (to KAW), Harper Cancer Research Institute (to KAW & DH-P), an NIH Director's New Innovator Award (1DP2CA260416-01) (to KAW), American Cancer Society Institutional Research Grant (IRG-17-182-04 to DH-P), American Heart Association Career Development Award (19-CDA-34630012 to DH-P), National Institutes of Health (1R35-GM-143055 to DH-P).

**References**

(1) Walker, C.; Mojares, E.; del Río Hernández, A. Role of Extracellular Matrix in Development and Cancer Progression. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19* (10), 3028. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19103028.
(2) Carey, S. P.; Martin, K. E.; Reinhart-King, C. A. Three-Dimensional Collagen Matrix Induces a Mechanosensitive Invasive Epithelial Phenotype. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 42088. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42088.

(3) Wechman, S. L.; Emdad, L.; Sarkar, D.; Das, S. K.; Fisher, P. B. Vascular Mimicry:
Triggers, Molecular Interactions and in Vivo Models. *Adv. Cancer Res.* **2020**, *148*, 27–67.
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acr.2020.06.001.
(4) Webb, B. A.; Chimenti, M.; Jacobson, M. P.; Barber, D. L. Dysregulated pH: A Perfect
Storm for Cancer Progression. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2011**, *11* (9), 671–677.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3110.
(5) White, K. A.; Grillo-Hill, B. K.; Barber, D. L. Cancer Cell Behaviors Mediated by
Dysregulated pH Dynamics at a Glance. *J. Cell Sci.* **2017**, *130* (4), 663–669.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.195297.
(6) Reshkin, S. J.; Greco, M. R.; Cardone, R. A. Role of pHi, and Proton Transporters in
Oncogene-Driven Neoplastic Transformation. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **2014**, *369*
(1638), 20130100. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0100.
(7) Gilkes, D. M.; Semenza, G. L.; Wirtz, D. Hypoxia and the Extracellular Matrix: Drivers of
Tumour Metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2014**, *14* (6), 430–439.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3726.
(8) Bordeleau, F.; Mason, B. N.; Lollis, E. M.; Mazzola, M.; Zanotelli, M. R.; Somasegar, S.;
Califano, J. P.; Montague, C.; LaValley, D. J.; Huynh, J.; Mencia-Trinchant, N.; Negrón
Abril, Y. L.; Hassane, D. C.; Bonassar, L. J.; Butcher, J. T.; Weiss, R. S.; Reinhart-King, C.
A. Matrix Stiffening Promotes a Tumor Vasculature Phenotype. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
*A.* **2017**, *114* (3), 492–497. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1613855114.
(9) DuChez, B. J.; Doyle, A. D.; Dimitriadis, E. K.; Yamada, K. M. Durotaxis by Human Cancer
Cells. *Biophys. J.* **2019**, *116* (4), 670–683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2019.01.009.
(10)    Nallanthighal, S.; Heiserman, J. P.; Cheon, D.-J. The Role of the Extracellular Matrix in
Cancer Stemness. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2019**, *7*, 86.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00086.
(11)    Bevensee, M. O.; Boron, W. F. EFFECTS OF ACUTE HYPOXIA ON
INTRACELLULAR-pH REGULATION IN ASTROCYTES CULTURED FROM RAT
HIPPOCAMPUS. *Brain Res.* **2008**, *1193*, 143–152.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.12.002.
(12)    Burbridge, M. F.; West, D. C.; Atassi, G.; Tucker, G. C. The Effect of Extracellular pH
on Angiogenesis in Vitro. *Angiogenesis* **1999**, *3* (3), 281–288.
https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1009092511894.
(13)    Ulmschneider, B.; Grillo-Hill, B. K.; Benitez, M.; Azimova, D. R.; Barber, D. L.; Nystul,
T. G. Increased Intracellular pH Is Necessary for Adult Epithelial and Embryonic Stem Cell
Differentiation. *J. Cell Biol.* **2016**, *215* (3), 345–355. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201606042.
(14)    Liu, Y.; White, K. A.; Barber, D. L. Intracellular pH Regulates Cancer and Stem Cell
Behaviors: A Protein Dynamics Perspective. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 1401.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.01401.
(15)    Choi, C.-H.; Webb, B. A.; Chimenti, M. S.; Jacobson, M. P.; Barber, D. L. pH Sensing
by FAK-His58 Regulates Focal Adhesion Remodeling. *J. Cell Biol.* **2013**, *202* (6), 849–859.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201302131.
(16)    Czowski, B. J.; Romero-Moreno, R.; Trull, K. J.; White, K. A. Cancer and pH Dynamics:
Transcriptional Regulation, Proteostasis, and the Need for New Molecular Tools. *Cancers*
**2020**, *12* (10), 2760. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12102760.

(17)　Czowski, B. J.; White, K. A. Intracellular pH Regulates β-Catenin with Low pHi
Increasing Adhesion and Signaling Functions. March 27, 2024.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.22.586349.
(18)　Benton, G.; Arnaoutova, I.; George, J.; Kleinman, H. K.; Koblinski, J. Matrigel: From
Discovery and ECM Mimicry to Assays and Models for Cancer Research. *Adv. Drug Deliv.*
*Rev.* **2014**, *79–80*, 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2014.06.005.
(19)　Kim, P. K.; Halbrook, C. J.; Kerk, S. A.; Radyk, M.; Wisner, S.; Kremer, D. M.;
Sajjakulnukit, P.; Andren, A.; Hou, S. W.; Trivedi, A.; Thurston, G.; Anand, A.; Yan, L.;
Salamanca-Cardona, L.; Welling, S. D.; Zhang, L.; Pratt, M. R.; Keshari, K. R.; Ying, H.;
Lyssiotis, C. A. Hyaluronic Acid Fuels Pancreatic Cancer Cell Growth. *eLife 10*, e62645.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62645.
(20)　Deng, B.; Zhao, Z.; Kong, W.; Han, C.; Shen, X.; Zhou, C. Biological Role of Matrix
Stiffness in Tumor Growth and Treatment. *J. Transl. Med.* **2022**, *20* (1), 540.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-022-03768-y.
(21)　Fan, F.; Su, B.; Kolodychak, A.; Ekwueme, E.; Alderfer, L.; Saha, S.; Webber, M. J.;
Hanjaya-Putra, D. Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels with Phototunable Supramolecular Cross-
Linking for Spatially Controlled Lymphatic Tube Formation. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*
**2023**, *15* (50), 58181–58195. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.3c12514.
(22)　Hanjaya-Putra, D.; Yee, J.; Ceci, D.; Truitt, R.; Yee, D.; Gerecht, S. Vascular Endothelial
Growth Factor and Substrate Mechanics Regulate in Vitro Tubulogenesis of Endothelial
Progenitor Cells. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2010**, *14* (10), 2436–2447.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00981.x.
(23)　Albeiroti, S.; Soroosh, A.; de la Motte, C. A. Hyaluronan's Role in Fibrosis: A
Pathogenic Factor or a Passive Player? *BioMed Res. Int.* **2015**, *2015*, e790203.
https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/790203.
(24)　Nemec, S.; Ganda, S.; Al Taief, K.; Kopecky, C.; Kuchel, R.; Lebhar, H.; Marquis, C. P.;
Thordarson, P.; Kilian, K. A. A Tunable Tumor Microenvironment through Recombinant
Bacterial Collagen-Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* **2022**.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.2c00186.
(25)　Alderfer, L.; Russo, E.; Archilla, A.; Coe, B.; Hanjaya-Putra, D. Matrix Stiffness Primes
Lymphatic Tube Formation Directed by Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor-C. *FASEB J.*
*Off. Publ. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol.* **2021**, *35* (5), e21498.
https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.202002426RR.
(26)　Piersma, B.; Hayward, M.-K.; Weaver, V. M. Fibrosis and Cancer: A Strained
Relationship. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* **2020**, *1873* (2), 188356.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2020.188356.
(27)　Cooper, J.; Giancotti, F. G. Integrin Signaling in Cancer: Mechanotransduction,
Stemness, Epithelial Plasticity, and Therapeutic Resistance. *Cancer Cell* **2019**, *35* (3), 347–
367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.01.007.
(28)　Gan, Z.; Qin, X.; Liu, H.; Liu, J.; Qin, J. Recent Advances in Defined Hydrogels in
Organoid Research. *Bioact. Mater.* **2023**, *28*, 386–401.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2023.06.004.
(29)　Pickup, M. W.; Mouw, J. K.; Weaver, V. M. The Extracellular Matrix Modulates the
Hallmarks of Cancer. *EMBO Rep.* **2014**, *15* (12), 1243–1253.
https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439246.

(30)    Vanderhooft, J. L.; Alcoutlabi, M.; Magda, J. J.; Prestwich, G. D. Rheological Properties
of Cross-Linked Hyaluronan-Gelatin Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering. *Macromol. Biosci.*
**2009**, *9* (1), 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/mabi.200800141.
(31)    Mammoto, A.; Connor, K. M.; Mammoto, T.; Yung, C. W.; Huh, D.; Aderman, C. M.;
Mostoslavsky, G.; Smith, L. E. H.; Ingber, D. E. A Mechanosensitive Transcriptional
Mechanism That Controls Angiogenesis. *Nature* **2009**, *457* (7233), 1103–1108.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07765.
(32)    Slater, K.; Partridge, J.; Nandivada, H.; Usa, M. Tuning the Elastic Moduli of Corning®
Matrigel® and Collagen I 3D Matrices by Varying the Protein Concentration.
(33)    Soofi, S. S.; Last, J. A.; Liliensiek, S. J.; Nealey, P. F.; Murphy, C. J. The Elastic
Modulus of Matrigel$^{TM}$ as Determined by Atomic Force Microscopy. *J. Struct. Biol.* **2009**,
*167* (3), 216–219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2009.05.005.
(34)    Spear, J. S.; White, K. A. Single-Cell Intracellular pH Dynamics Regulate the Cell Cycle
by Timing the G1 Exit and G2 Transition. *J. Cell Sci.* **2023**, *136* (10), jcs260458.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.260458.
(35)    Koivusalo, M.; Welch, C.; Hayashi, H.; Scott, C. C.; Kim, M.; Alexander, T.; Touret, N.;
Hahn, K. M.; Grinstein, S. Amiloride Inhibits Macropinocytosis by Lowering
Submembranous pH and Preventing Rac1 and Cdc42 Signaling. *J. Cell Biol.* **2010**, *188* (4),
547–563. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200908086.
(36)    Grillo-Hill, B. K.; Webb, B. A.; Barber, D. L. Ratiometric Imaging of pH Probes.
*Methods Cell Biol.* **2014**, *123*, 429–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420138-5.00023-
9.
(37)    Liu, Y.; Reyes, E.; Castillo-Azofeifa, D.; Klein, O. D.; Nystul, T.; Barber, D. L.
Intracellular pH Dynamics Regulates Intestinal Stem Cell Lineage Specification. *Nat.*
*Commun.* **2023**, *14* (1), 3745. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39312-9.
(38)    Martin, C.; Pedersen, S. F.; Schwab, A.; Stock, C. Intracellular pH Gradients in
Migrating Cells. *Am. J. Physiol.-Cell Physiol.* **2011**, *300* (3), C490–C495.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00280.2010.
(39)    Williamson, S. C.; Metcalf, R. L.; Trapani, F.; Mohan, S.; Antonello, J.; Abbott, B.;
Leong, H. S.; Chester, C. P. E.; Simms, N.; Polanski, R.; Nonaka, D.; Priest, L.; Fusi, A.;
Carlsson, F.; Carlsson, A.; Hendrix, M. J. C.; Seftor, R. E. B.; Seftor, E. A.; Rothwell, D. G.;
Hughes, A.; Hicks, J.; Miller, C.; Kuhn, P.; Brady, G.; Simpson, K. L.; Blackhall, F. H.;
Dive, C. Vasculogenic Mimicry in Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 13322.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13322.
(40)    Racordon, D.; Valdivia, A.; Mingo, G.; Erices, R.; Aravena, R.; Santoro, F.; Bravo, M.
L.; Ramirez, C.; Gonzalez, P.; Sandoval, A.; González, A.; Retamal, C.; Kogan, M. J.; Kato,
S.; Cuello, M. A.; Osorio, G.; Nualart, F.; Alvares, P.; Gago-Arias, A.; Fabri, D.; Espinoza,
I.; Sanchez, B.; Corvalán, A. H.; Pinto, M. P.; Owen, G. I. Structural and Functional
Identification of Vasculogenic Mimicry in Vitro. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 6985.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07622-w.
(41)    Schnellmann, R.; Ntekoumes, D.; Choudhury, M. I.; Sun, S.; Wei, Z.; Gerecht, S.
Stiffening Matrix Induces Age-Mediated Microvascular Phenotype Through Increased Cell
Contractility and Destabilization of Adherens Junctions. *Adv. Sci.* **2022**, *9* (22), 2201483.
https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202201483.
(42)    Delgado-Bellido, D.; Zamudio-Martínez, E.; Fernández-Cortés, M.; Herrera-Campos, A.
B.; Olmedo-Pelayo, J.; Perez, C. J.; Expósito, J.; de Álava, E.; Amaral, A. T.; Valle, F. O.;

Diaz, A. G.; Oliver, F. J. VE-Cadherin Modulates β-Catenin/TCF-4 to Enhance
Vasculogenic Mimicry. *Cell Death Dis.* **2023**, *14* (2), 135. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-
023-05666-7.

(43)    Qi, L.; Song, W.; Liu, Z.; Zhao, X.; Cao, W.; Sun, B. Wnt3a Promotes the Vasculogenic
Mimicry Formation of Colon Cancer via Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2015**, *16*
(8), 18564–18579. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160818564.

(44)    Xu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; Li, S.; Tang, L. Substrate Stiffness Drives Epithelial to
Mesenchymal Transition and Proliferation through the NEAT1-Wnt/β-Catenin Pathway in
Liver Cancer. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22* (21), 12066. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222112066.

(45)    Astudillo, P. Extracellular Matrix Stiffness and Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling in Physiology
and Disease. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **2020**, *48* (3), 1187–1198.
https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20200026.

(46)    Sun, M.; Chi, G.; Xu, J.; Tan, Y.; Xu, J.; Lv, S.; Xu, Z.; Xia, Y.; Li, L.; Li, Y.
Extracellular Matrix Stiffness Controls Osteogenic Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem
Cells Mediated by Integrin A5. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* **2018**, *9* (1), 52.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-018-0798-0.

(47)    White, K. A.; Grillo-Hill, B. K.; Esquivel, M.; Peralta, J.; Bui, V. N.; Chire, I.; Barber, D.
L. β-Catenin Is a pH Sensor with Decreased Stability at Higher Intracellular pH. *J. Cell Biol.*
**2018**, *217* (11), 3965–3976. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201712041.

(48)    Cannell, I. G.; Sawicka, K.; Pearsall, I.; Wild, S. A.; Deighton, L.; Pearsall, S. M.; Lerda,
G.; Joud, F.; Khan, S.; Bruna, A.; Simpson, K. L.; Mulvey, C. M.; Nugent, F.; Qosaj, F.;
Bressan, D.; Dive, C.; Caldas, C.; Hannon, G. J. FOXC2 Promotes Vasculogenic Mimicry
and Resistance to Anti-Angiogenic Therapy. *Cell Rep.* **2023**, *42* (8).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112791.

(49)    Cha, B.; Geng, X.; Mahamud, M. R.; Fu, J.; Mukherjee, A.; Kim, Y.; Jho, E.-H.; Kim, T.
H.; Kahn, M. L.; Xia, L.; Dixon, J. B.; Chen, H.; Srinivasan, R. S. Mechanotransduction
Activates Canonical Wnt/β-Catenin Signaling to Promote Lymphatic Vascular Patterning
and the Development of Lymphatic and Lymphovenous Valves. *Genes Dev.* **2016**, *30* (12),
1454–1469. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.282400.116.

(50)    Koch, S. Regulation of Wnt Signaling by FOX Transcription Factors in Cancer. *Cancers*
**2021**, *13* (14), 3446. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13143446.

(51)    Gozo, M. C.; Aspuria, P.-J.; Cheon, D.-J.; Walts, A. E.; Berel, D.; Miura, N.; Karlan, B.
Y.; Orsulic, S. Foxc2 Induces Wnt4 and Bmp4 Expression during Muscle Regeneration and
Osteogenesis. *Cell Death Differ.* **2013**, *20* (8), 1031–1042.
https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2013.34.

(52)    Grillo-Hill, B. K.; Choi, C.; Jimenez-Vidal, M.; Barber, D. L. Increased H+ Efflux Is
Sufficient to Induce Dysplasia and Necessary for Viability with Oncogene Expression. *eLife*
**2015**, *4*, e03270. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03270.

(53)    Amith, S. R.; Wilkinson, J. M.; Fliegel, L. Assessing Na+/H+ Exchange and Cell
Effector Functionality in Metastatic Breast Cancer. *Biochim. Open* **2016**, *2*, 16–23.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopen.2016.01.001.

(54)    Hulikova, A.; Harris, A. L.; Vaughan-Jones, R. D.; Swietach, P. Regulation of
Intracellular pH in Cancer Cell Lines under Normoxia and Hypoxia. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2013**,
*228* (4), 743–752. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.24221.

(55)    Konen, J.; Summerbell, E.; Dwivedi, B.; Galior, K.; Hou, Y.; Rusnak, L.; Chen, A.;
Saltz, J.; Zhou, W.; Boise, L. H.; Vertino, P.; Cooper, L.; Salaita, K.; Kowalski, J.; Marcus,

A. I. Image-Guided Genomics of Phenotypically Heterogeneous Populations Reveals
Vascular Signalling during Symbiotic Collective Cancer Invasion. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*,
15078. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15078.
(56)    Andersen, A. P.; Flinck, M.; Oernbo, E. K.; Pedersen, N. B.; Viuff, B. M.; Pedersen, S. F.
Roles of Acid-Extruding Ion Transporters in Regulation of Breast Cancer Cell Growth in a
3-Dimensional Microenvironment. *Mol. Cancer* **2016**, *15* (1), 45.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-016-0528-0.
949