UNC-6/Netrin promotes both adhesion and directed growth within a single axon

Ev L. Nichols[1], Joo Lee[1,4], and Kang Shen[1,2,3,5*]

1. Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
2. Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
3. Wu Tsai Neurosciences Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
4. Present address: Department of Developmental Biology, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
5. Lead Contact

*Correspondence: kangshen@stanford.edu

Summary

During development axons undergo long-distance migrations as instructed by guidance molecules and their receptors, such as UNC-6/Netrin and UNC-40/DCC. Guidance cues act through long-range diffusive gradients (chemotaxis) or local adhesion (haptotaxis). However, how these discrete modes of action guide axons *in vivo* is poorly understood. Using time-lapse imaging of axon guidance in *C. elegans*, we demonstrate that UNC-6 and UNC-40 are required for local adhesion to an intermediate target and subsequent directional growth. Exogenous membrane-tethered UNC-6 is sufficient to mediate adhesion but not directional growth, demonstrating the separability of haptotaxis and chemotaxis. This conclusion is further supported by the endogenous UNC-6 distribution along the axon's route. The intermediate and final targets are enriched in UNC-6 and separated by a ventrodorsal UNC-6 gradient. Continuous growth through the gradient requires UNC-40, which recruits UNC-6 to the growth cone tip. Overall, these data suggest that UNC-6 stimulates stepwise haptotaxis and chemotaxis *in vivo*.

Keywords

Axon guidance, Netrin, DCC, chemotaxis, haptotaxis, Trim9, nervous system

development, cellular migration, time-lapse imaging

Introduction

Axon guidance is critical for establishing functional neural circuits. Given the

importance of axon growth in laying the morphological foundations for neural circuitry,

axon guidance has been of interest to neuroscientists since Ramón y Cajal first

observed growing axons (termed growth cones) in histological examinations of the

embryonic nervous system (Ramón y Cajal, S., 1911). Early cell ablation studies

established that growing axons rely on anatomical landmarks, or intermediate targets, to

properly navigate through their environment. A classic example of intermediate targets

is in the grasshopper hindlimb, where pioneering Ti1 sensory axons navigate from the

tip of the limb to the animal's central nervous system. Instead of following the shortest

route, Ti1 axons grow toward a series of guidepost cells whereby they sequentially

readjust their trajectory upon reaching a guidepost (Keshishian and Bentley, 1983). In

fact, these intermediate cells are necessary for proper sensory axon guidance as their

ablation results in Ti1 axon misrouting (Bentley and Caudy, 1983a, 1983b). Studies in

other model organisms have identified additional examples of intermediate targets

across phylogeny: the ventral nerve cord (VNC) in *C. elegans* (Hedgecock et al., 1990),

the *Drosophila* midline (Klämbt et al., 1991), and the floor plate (Bernhardt et al., 1992;

Kennedy et al., 1994; Serafini et al., 1994) and optic chiasm in vertebrates (Chung et

al., 2000). However, given the transient nature of a growth cone's interactions with its

intermediate targets, detailed *in vivo*, cell biological studies of these interactions remain

sparse.

The stereotyped axonal behaviors at the intermediate target require molecular

cues which confer directional information to growing axons. A critical advance of the

field was the identification of numerous ligands (Netrins, Slits, Semaphorins, and

Ephrins) responsible for axon guidance and their cognate receptors (Kolodkin and

Tessier-Lavigne, 2011). These signaling pathways are generally characterized as either

attractive or repulsive, depending on the receptor-ligand combination (Comer et al.,

2019). For example, Netrin attracts axons when signaling through a receptor

homodimer of Deleted in Colorectal Cancer (DCC) or Neogenin1 (Neo1) whereas it

repels axons when bound to a receptor dimer that includes UNC-5 (Kolodkin and

Tessier-Lavigne, 2011).

Netrin was initially proposed to attract axons as a chemotactic cue mediated by a

long-range action of diffusible Netrin present in a gradient (Comer et al., 2019). Initial

characterizations of Netrin in the vertebrate spinal cord identified it as a diffusive cue

produced by the floor plate through spinal explants (Kennedy et al., 1994; Serafini et al.,

1994). More recently, *in vivo* examinations have visualized a Netrin-1 gradient

emanating from the floor plate (Dominici et al., 2017; Kennedy et al., 2006). Together,

these data support a role for Netrin in stimulating chemotaxis. Together, these data

support a role for Netrin in stimulating chemotaxis.

Alternatively, Netrin can stimulate haptotactic migration through local, contact-

dependent interactions between the growth cone and an anchored Netrin ligand (Comer

et al., 2019). Haptotactic mechanisms of Netrin guidance are supported by experiments

in the *Drosophila* nervous system where Netrin is required for commissural axons to

cross the midline. Artificial tethering of Netrin to the cell membrane at the midline is

sufficient to drive commissural axon orientation and midline crossing (Brankatschk and

Dickson, 2006). Similarly, in the *Drosophila* visual system, R8 photoreceptors utilize

local Netrin and Frazzled (Fra)/DCC to stabilize their axons at the neuropil (Timofeev et

al., 2012). Mutants for *net*, *fra,* or *trim9* (a downstream regulator of Netrin signaling)

navigate normally to the neuropil but fail to stabilize, eventually retracting (Akin and

Zipursky, 2016). Notably, evidence of Netrin-induced haptotaxis is not limited to

Drosophila. Investigations in the vertebrate spinal cord and rhombic lip have identified

local roles for Netrin in promoting axon growth and confinement within the central

nervous system (Varadarajan et al., 2017; Yung et al., 2018).

In *C. elegans*, current understandings posit that the VNC produces the Netrin

homolog UNC-6 which forms a ventrodorsal gradient (Wadsworth et al., 1996). Axons

navigating ventrally are proposed to sense sense this UNC-6/Netrin gradient and

chemotax toward the UNC-6 source at the VNC. Here, we utilize time-lapse imaging in

C. elegans to visualize growth cone behaviors during ventral axon guidance in an intact

nervous system. These experiments identify the ventral sublateral region of the worm as

a common intermediate target where ventral growth cones stabilize before further

migration. Both stabilization and growth depend on UNC-6/Netrin and UNC-40/DCC.

Non-diffusible UNC-6 is sufficient to rescue axon stabilization but not growth. Last,

imaging of endogenous UNC-6 localization during axon outgrowth is consistent with a

model where the extending growth cone enriches UNC-6 around the cell membrane.

Together, these experiments support a model where haptotaxis and chemotaxis act

successfully in UNC-6-mediated axonal migration.

Results

The ventral sublateral region is an intermediate target for ventral axons

C. elegans is a canonical model for axon guidance given its conservation of axon

guidance genes and stereotyped neuronal identities and morphologies (Chisholm et al.,

2016). While the majority of *C. elegans* neurons are born embryonically, a subset is

born during larval development which enables direct observations of axon guidance by

time-lapse imaging experiments. Of these postembryonic neurons, 5 (AVM, HSN, PVM,

PDE, and PQR) initiate pioneering axons toward the ventral region of the animal where

they join the ventral nerve cord (VNC) (Figure 1A). (Another neuron, PVD, is born post-

embryonically but its axon follows along the axon of PDE which initiates first.) We

sought to leverage this common guidance trajectory and accessible visualization to

provide new insights into mechanisms of axon guidance. First, we developed a

paradigm that permits complete visualization of PDE axon's ventral growth in wild-type

animals. This strategy used a *Plin-32::mCherry-PH* integrated transgene to label the

PDE cell membrane and imaged PDE axon outgrowth every 3 min for 4 hr (Figure 1B,

Video S1). The mature axonal morphology of PDE is unbranched along the dorsoventral

axis before bifurcating at the VNC (Figure 1B). The continuous, unbranched morphology

of the mature PDE axon suggests continuous ventral growth after axon initiation.

Surprisingly, however, we observed that the PDE axon consistently halts its ventral

growth upon reaching the region near the ventral sublateral nerve cord (vSLNC), a

small axon tract that runs along the anteroposterior body axis of the animal. During its

pause, the axon establishes transient collateral branch from which additional dorsal and

ventral branches emerge. Eventually, one ventral branch stabilizes at the VNC,

bifurcates, and initiates fasciculated growth along VNC axons. Following VNC

stabilization, the transient collateral branch at the vSLNC is pruned, resulting in the

unbranched PDE axonal morphology observed in adulthood. The stereotyped PDE

axonal pause and consistent transient branching at vSLNC indicate that this is an

intermediate guidance target.

The vSLNC sits atop the ventral body wall muscles of the worm and adjacent to

the epidermis, thereby demarcating an anatomical region that separates two distinct

environments through which the axon grows (Figure 1C,D). In adult animals, the vSLNC

is ensheathed by the epidermis, but the ensheathment process begins during larval

stages and is not yet completed by PDE axonogenesis (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981).

Prior to reaching the vSLNC, the PDE axon grows along the epidermal basal lamina,

but additional ventral growth past the sublateral region requires that axons wedge

themselves between fibrous adhesive junctions between the body wall muscles and the

epidermis (Figure 1C,D) (Cox and Hardin, 2004). Given these distinct growth

environments, the sublateral region (either the vSLNC itself or the body wall muscles)

serves as an intermediate target during ventral axon navigation. This stark contrast in

growth environments correlates with alternate forms of morphological axon growth. Prior

to reaching the vSLNC, the growth cone of PDE is wide and reminiscent of a

lamellipodium. However, ventral axon branching from the transient collateral branch are

consistent with filopodia-based motility.

Given that the body wall muscles tile the anteroposterior axis of the entire animal,

other ventrally directed axons also must undergo a migration through these distinct

regions. We next hypothesized that the other ventrally growing axons would similarly

utilize the ventral sublateral region as an intermediate target. To test this, we imaged

axon emergence in AVM, HSN, PVM, and PQR neurons. While these neurons position

their somas more ventrally, we observe similar axonal trajectories as the PDE axon:

axons initially emerge ventrally as lamellipodia, then adhere upon reaching the vSLNC.

Multiple ventrally directed filopodia form and a ventral branch eventually stabilizes at the

axons' ultimate ventral target, the VNC (Figure 1E). To gauge the location of axon

pausing relative to the vSLNC, we imaged HSN and PVM during axon emergence with

a reporter construct expressing GFP under the control of the *mec-7* promoter region

(Figure 1F). This reporter construct labels mechanosensory neurons, including the PLM

neuron whose axon comprises the vSLNC. Taken together, these data reveal that

ventral axon guidance in *C. elegans* is a stepwise process facilitated by an intermediate

target near the vSLNC. Notably, dorsally migrating axons in *C. elegans* also stall their

growth cones at the borders of longitudinal nerve tracks and muscle borders, forming

anvil-shaped structures (Knobel et al., 1999). These results argue that the stepwise

migration and pausing of growth cone at specific tissue structures is a general

phenomenon in *C. elegans*, prompting us to understand the molecular bases of this

cellular behavior.

UNC-6/Netrin signaling is required for multiple steps of ventral axon guidance

Circumferential axon migration in *C. elegans* is primarily driven by signaling

through UNC-6/Netrin and its receptors (Hedgecock et al., 1990; Wadsworth et al.,

1996). Dorsal guidance requires UNC-6 to signal through both its receptors, UNC-5 and

UNC-40/DCC. Ventral guidance does not require UNC-5 signaling but is dependent on

UNC-40 (Hedgecock et al., 1990). Most evidence supporting this notion is based on

analyses of post-developmental axonal morphologies in mutants instead of visualizing

the developmental process. We used time-lapse imaging of PDE axon outgrowth in null

mutants of *unc-6* (*ev400)* and *unc-40* (*e1430)* to understand whether UNC-6/UNC-40

signaling is required for one or all of the events: 1. initial axon outgrowth direction, 2.

stabilization at the intermediate target, 3. directional branching from the sublateral

region or 4. ventral growth from intermediate target to VNC. Importantly, the guidance of

the vSLNC axons does not rely on UNC-6 or UNC-40, so the putative intermediate

target is intact in these mutants(Li et al., 2008). The resulting movies demonstrate that

each step of ventral growth is disrupted in *unc-6* and *unc-40* mutants (Figure 2A, Video

S1-2, Figure S1). First, in most wild-type animals, the axon emerges from the ventral

side of PDE, while axons emerge from non-ventral directions of PDE in *unc-6* and *unc-*

40 mutants (Figure S1A,B). To compare axon emergence between genotypes, we

calculated the sine of angle of axon emergence which indicates where along the dorsal-

ventral axis the axon is emerging. A sine value of 1 denotes dorsal emergence at 90°, 0

indicates emergence along the anterior-posterior axis, and -1 denotes 90° ventral

emergence. These comparisons further implicate UNC-6 and UNC-40 in determining

the direction of PDE axon emergence.

Second, over 80% of wild-type axons form a stable collateral branch immediately

upon reaching the vSLNC. In contrast, nearly half of the *unc-6* and *unc-40* mutant axons

form collateral branches at vSLNC but subsequently retract (Figure 2A,B). Typically,

these axons "probe" the vSLNC again after retraction and establish contacts with

vSLNC again during the imaging window. However, retraction upon initial sublateral

contact is rarely observed in wild-type animals, indicating that UNC-6 signaling

stabilizes the growth cone at the sublateral intermediate target.

To further understand how UNC-6 and UNC-40 regulate axon development, we

inserted a GFP tag at the C-terminus of the endogenous *unc-40* locus. The resulting

animals show UNC-40::GFP fluorescence in the nerve ring and major nerve cords of the

worm (VNC and dorsal nerve cord), consistent with a published endogenous UNC-

40::mNeonGreen strain (Figure S1C-E) (Jayadev et al., 2022). We next analyzed

movies of UNC-40::GFP during PDE axon outgrowth. These movies demonstrate that

the PDE growth cone contains low levels of UNC-40::GFP prior to reaching the

sublateral region. However almost immediately upon contacting the sublateral, UNC-

40::GFP fills the growth cone along the transient collateral branch (Figure 2C,D).

However, *unc-6(ev400)* mutants fail to increase growth cone UNC-40::GFP upon

reaching the sublateral region and instead demonstrate seemingly random fluctuations

in growth cone UNC-40::GFP intensity (Figure 2C,D). These results suggest that UNC-6

regulates UNC-40 levels in the growth cone.

Given similar morphological polarization PDE and HSN growth cones, we sought

to generalize these findings in HSN which develops more slowly than PDE and is

therefore more amenable to imaging axon engagement with the ventral sublateral

intermediate target. Indeed, endogenous UNC-40::GFP is enriched at the ventral

surface of HSN cell body where its axon emerges. However, this enrichment is absent

in *unc-6* mutants, consistent with previous studies using overexpressed UNC-40::GFP

constructs (Figure 2E) (Adler et al., 2006). To quantify UNC-40 polarity, we measured

the total GFP intensity along the periphery of the dorsal soma and sublateral contact

site of HSN. The overall UNC-40::GFP polarity was calculated by dividing the difference

between the two subcellular locations by the total GFP intensity along the cell periphery

(Figure 2F, S1F,G). The resulting polarity index ranges from -1 to 1 with negative

numbers indicating a ventral UNC-40::GFP bias and positive numbers indicating a

dorsal bias. A polarity value of 0 denotes an equal dorsoventral distribution of UNC-

40::GFP. We also calculated the polarity of UNC-40 in AVM, PVM, and PQR in wild-

type and *unc-6(ev400)* animals. Wild-type animals display negative polarity calculations,

but in *unc*-6 mutant animals, the polarity index is close to 0 indicating that UNC-40 is

evenly distributed between the dorsal and ventral neuronal domains (Figures 2E,G;

S1H-M). Together, these data indicate that upon vSLNC contact, UNC-6 signaling

enriches UNC-40 at the contact site and facilitates adhesion with the surrounding

environment.

Once the axon establishes a lateral branch at the vSLNC, it generates dorsally

and ventrally-oriented filopodia (Fig. 2A). These filopodia are dynamic and undergo

constant extension and retraction. Using time-lapse movies to capture the dynamics, we

quantified the directionality, length, growth speed and stability of these branches

(Fig.2H-K). Wild-type animals generate slightly more ventral branches than dorsal ones

(55.6% ventrally directed branches vs. 44.4% dorsal). However, *unc-6* and *unc-40*

mutants have a strong reversal of this bias where 61.4% and 71.9% of branching,

respectively, is directed dorsally (Figure 2H). These data suggest that UNC-6/UNC-40

signaling promotes ventral branching. To further test this, we analyzed the growth

trajectories of individual ventral branches. This analysis demonstrates that ventral

growth stalls in *unc-6* and *unc-40* mutants, manifesting as a decreased average growth

speed (Figure 2I,J). While *unc-6* and *unc-40* mutant PDE axonal filopodia reach the

VNC, very few stabilize within the imaging window. Instead, most branches retract,

indicating that UNC-6 and UNC-40 are also required for stabilizing filopodia once it

reaches the VNC (Figure 2K).

Taken together, these data indicate that UNC-6 and UNC-40 are required for

several steps of axon guidance including the direction of axonal initiation, axonal

adhesion at the intermediate target, directional growth toward the VNC and axonal

stabilization at the VNC. While the developmental process is dynamic with numerous

growth and retraction events, UNC-6 and UNC-40's impact on the stepwise events

compound to ultimately disrupt the adult morphology of ventrally directed axons. In *unc-*

6(ev400) mutants, 67.9% ± 5.1% of PDE axons and 66.9% ± 4.3% of HSN axons fail to

reach the VNC by adulthood, compared with only 0% of PDE axons and 0.91% ± 0.9%

of HSN axons in wild-type animals (Tables 1,2; Figure S1N).

SLT-1/Slit orients axon emergence in parallel to UNC-6

In addition to UNC-6, SLT-1/Slit also directs ventral trajectories of *C. elegans*

axons through its receptor SAX-3/Robo (Hao et al., 2001). SLT-1 is produced in dorsal

regions of the worm and functions as a repellant. Given this, we next sought to leverage

our dynamic imaging platform to analyze the precise growth cone behaviors that SLT-1

is responsible for. The resulting movies of *slt-1(eh15)* animals reveal that these PDE

growth cones emerge from more dorsal regions of the cell body (Figure 3A-C, Video

S3). Often, these misrouted axons corrected their trajectory by reorienting growth to the

ventral region of the worm, likely in response to UNC-6. Further, we did not observe any

defects in axon stabilization at the vSLNC or directional branching in *slt-1* mutants

(Figure 3D,E). Animals lacking SLT-1 were less likely than wild-type to stabilize at the

VNC within the 4h imaging window (Figure 3F). We posit that this stabilization defect is

transient because adult neuronal morphologies in these mutants present with only mild

phenotypes in comparison to *unc-6* mutants (Tables 1 and 2).

Given that loss of *slt-1* enhances axon guidance defects in *unc-6* mutants, we next

sought to characterize PDE axon guidance in this double mutant background. We found

that the angle of axon emergence in the *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* animals are

completely random with no ventral preference (Figure 3C, Video S4). The double

mutant also showed strong defects in vsLNC stabilization, axonal branching and

stabilization at VNC (Figure 3D-F). This is consistent with the strong axon trajectory

phenotypes found in the adult animals of this genotype (Tables 1 and 2).

MADD-2/Trim9/Trim67 promotes growth cone adhesion

Our data thus far demonstrate that PDE axon outgrowth is an excellent *in vivo*

model of Netrin-mediated guidance where precise axonal behaviors can be probed

across distinct change-of-function genotypes. Therefore, we next asked whether

regulators of UNC-6/Netrin signaling might only affect growth or adhesion. For this

analysis, we chose the E3 ubiquitin ligase MADD-2/Trim9/Trim67 because of its

conserved role in Netrin-dependent guidance and morphological polarization of the HSN

emerging axon (Akin and Zipursky, 2016; Hao et al., 2010; Plooster et al., 2017). We

captured movies of PDE axon guidance in *madd-2* null (*ok2226)* animals (Figure 4A,

Video S5). These analyses revealed that *madd-2* mutants showed normal growth cone

orientation at emergence (Figure S2A,B). However, the majority of the *madd-2* mutant

axons retracted upon vSLNC contact similar to *unc-6* mutants, suggesting that MADD-2

contributes to UNC-6 mediated adhesion to the intermediate target (Figures 4B).

Given UNC-40's requirement for adhesion and ventral polarization, we next

asked whether MADD-2 is required for the polarized subcellular localization of UNC-40.

To test this, we observed endogenous UNC-40::GFP polarization within HSN, AVM,

PVM, and PQR in *madd-2* mutants. These images reveal that UNC-40 loses its ventral

polarity in *madd-2* mutants (Figure 4C,D; S2E-N). We confirmed these results in PDE

by analyzing growth cone UNC-40 levels during axon guidance. Consistent with the

results in HSN, each of AVM, PVM, and PQR, the PDE growth cone showed reduced

UNC-40 polarization at the vSLNC (Figure S2C-H). Together, these data reveal a

requirement for MADD-2 to polarize UNC-40 at endogenous levels. Further, we

analyzed *madd-2; unc-6* double mutants for UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN. These

animals demonstrate enhanced mispolarization of UNC-40 (Figure 4C,D), suggesting

that UNC-6 and MADD-2 might promote the polarity of the UNC-40 receptor in parallel

pathways.

Existing literature suggests that Trim9 ubiquitinates DCC and that Netrin
antagonizes this activity (Plooster et al., 2017). To test whether UNC-40 ubiquitination is
required for its polarization at the vSLNC, we generated *unc-40(4KR)* animals with
lysine to arginine mutations at the 4 intracellular lysine residues adjacent to the UNC-40
transmembrane domain to hamper potential ubiquitination. These mutations were made
in the UNC-40::GFP background to allow visualization of endogenous levels of mutant
UNC-40. These four amino acid substitutions are sufficient to mispolarize UNC-40 in
HSN, comparable to polarity measurements in *madd-2(ok2226)* animals (Figure
4C,E,F). Critically, UNC-40 mispolarization is not enhanced in *unc-40(4KR); madd-
2(ok2226)* animals, suggesting that UNC-40 ubiquitination functions in the same
pathway as MADD-2. Given that MADD-2 directly regulates UNC-40 localization
through ubiquitination, these data suggest that MADD-2 ensures that UNC-40 is
polarized and enriched at the growth cone at the sublateral region to promote adhesion.

In the next stage of growth, the movies of *madd-2* mutant demonstrate that PDE
axons primarily initiate ventrally directed branches similar to wild-type animals,
indicating that the directional growth of PDE axon is independent of MADD-2 (Figure
4G). This is notable given that vertebrate mutants for the MADD-2 ortholog Trim9
display aberrant branching (Winkle et al., 2014). However, these ventral branches also
display decreased stabilization at the VNC similar to *unc-6* mutants (Figure 4H).
Together, these experiments reveal two important insights: axonal adhesion and
stabilization are dependent on MADD-2 but directional growth is not.

Diffusible UNC-6 is dispensable for axon stabilization

UNC-6/Netrin has been proposed to function via either chemotaxis or haptotaxis

(Comer et al., 2019; Varadarajan et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019). Chemotactic growth

occurs in response to a gradient where axons sense the Netrin concentration in their

immediate environment to determine their direction of travel toward or away from the

Netrin source. In contrast, haptotactic growth does not rely on a long-distance gradient

and instead uses local, minimally diffusive Netrin to anchor growth along a laminar

surface. In the vertebrate spinal cord, commissural axons utilize both mechanisms of

Netrin-mediated guidance to properly establish ventral tracts that avoid the developing

motor column (Varadarajan et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019).

Therefore, we sought to distinguish between these two UNC-6 growth

mechanisms during stepwise PDE axon guidance by expressing a non-diffusible form of

UNC-6 in the intermediate target region in the *unc-6* mutants. Specifically, we fused the

unc-6 coding sequence to the transmembrane domain sequence of *nlg-1/neuroligin* so

that the molecule is tethered to the plasma membrane (Teichmann and Shen, 2011).

We generated two membrane-tethered UNC-6 expression constructs: one under the

control of *mec-7* promoter elements for expression along the vSLNC and one under the

control of the *unc-4* promoter for expression at the VNC (Figure 5A). Importantly, UNC-4

expressing cholinergic motor neurons produce endogenous UNC-6 in larval stages and

MEC-7 expressing mechanosensory neurons do not (Basu et al., 2021). However,

membrane-tethered UNC-6 would be present on the axons in VNC but unable to diffuse

towards the PDE cell body.

Using these constructs, we generated three different transgenic strains in the

unc-6 mutant background, a strain expressing *Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}*, a strain expressing

Punc-4::unc-6^{TM} and a strain carrying both. We validated that these rescue constructs

were expressed in the proper cells by including a SNAP ligand-binding domain after the

transmembrane domain. SNAP labeling confirms that these constructs are expressed

along the vSLNC and VNC, consistent with the promoter fusions (Figure S3A). We also

did not detect SNAP signals outside of the nerve tracks, indicating that the membrane

tethered UNC-6 is not cleaved and shed at significant quantity. Importantly, these

transgenes do not disrupt wild-type axon guidance (Figure S3D, Tables 1,2). With these

transgenes, we aimed to determine whether the distinct axon behaviors at the vSLNC

intermediate target and at VNC are mediated by UNC-6's chemotactic or haptotactic

activity.

These transgenes are unable to restore ventral directionality of axon emergence

(Figure S3B,C). Interestingly, stabilization at vSLNC is restored when non-diffusible

UNC-6 is present at vSLNC (*Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}*) but not when expressed only at the VNC

(*Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*), consistent with stabilization being contact-mediated (Figure 5B,C,

Videos 6-8). Given the rapid and spatially specific accumulation of growth cone UNC-40

observed in the movies of PDE axon outgrowth, we next tested whether membrane-

tethered UNC-6 could rescue UNC-40::GFP polarization in HSN. Indeed, non-diffusible

UNC-6 along the vSLNC restored UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN (Figure 5D,E). In

contrast, non-diffusible UNC-6 expressed along the VNC could not restore ventral UNC-

40 polarization (Figure 5D,E, S3E,F), presumably due to the inability of HSN to contact

this UNC-6 population. These data indicate that axonal stabilization at the vSLNC

intermediate target requires local UNC-6, and membrane tethered UNC-6 is sufficient

for this function. Therefore, haptotaxis is sufficient to account for adhesion and UNC-40

polarization.

Next, we analyzed the directional filopodia growth in animals expressing

membrane tethered UNC-6. Interestingly, none of the transgenes were sufficient to

restore biased ventral branching (Figure 5F). This is consistent with a model where the

biased direction of axon growth after adhesion at the vSLNC intermediate target

requires diffusible UNC-6. Lastly, the frequency of axon stabilization at the VNC is

partially rescued only in animals with membrane tethered UNC-6 at both the vSLNC and

VNC (Figure 5G). This result suggests that VNC stabilization is contact-mediated, but its

efficiency requires UNC-6 at the intermediate target along earlier portions of the axon's

path of growth. Taken together, these data suggest that contact-mediated haptotaxis

drives axon stabilization at the vSLNC intermediate target and VNC, and that polarized

UNC-40 towards the ventral cell body and growth cone is required for adhesion.

Given the ability of non-diffusible UNC-6 constructs to restore contact-mediated

axon guidance behaviors, we considered their impact on the ultimate morphology of

HSN and PDE neurons. By assaying adult neuronal morphology, we found that only the

double transgene of membrane tethered UNC-6 was sufficient to partially restore adult

axonal morphology with $68.6\% \pm 5.0\%$ of PDE axons and $47.4\% \pm 4.6\%$ of HSN axons

reaching the VNC by adulthood (Tables 1 and 2). This is in comparison to 100% of PDE

axons and $99.1\% \pm 0.9\%$ of HSN axons doing so in wild-type animals and $32.1\% \pm$

5.1% of PDE axons and $33.1\% \pm 4.3\%$ of HSN axons in *unc-6* mutants. These data

indicate that membrane-tethered UNC-6 can partially rescue axon guidance when

present at both vSLNC and VNC. This implies that diffusible UNC-6, possibly in the form

of a gradient, is also required for axon guidance of PDE and HSN.

UNC-6 is present in a shallow gradient

To further understand UNC-6's distribution and activity, we used an endogenous

C-terminus mNeonGreen (mNG) tag of UNC-6 (UNC-6::mNG) (Naegeli et al., 2017) to

visualize its localization *in vivo*. Our genetic evidence suggests that UNC-6 functions not

only locally at vSLNC and VNC but also likely over the entire distance between VNC

and vSLNC. We closely examined the localization of UNC-6::mNG in this region. We

first imaged the emerging PDE growth cone interacting with UNC-6::mNG. In these

images, bright mNG puncta surround the growth cone and its ventral path (Figure 6A).

Notably, however, we are unable to detect an obvious gradient of UNC-6::mNG

between the VNC and dorsal region of the animal.

We next imaged the zone between VNC and vSLNC where numerous faint UNC-

6 puncta are present. Interestingly, bright UNC-6 puncta are also localized along the

axonal filopodia of HSN. A similar localization along the axonal filopodia is also

observed for PDE and AVM (Figure 6B). Such signal is completely absent in strains

without the endogenous UNC-6::mNG tag, validating that the signal represents

endogenous UNC-6 (Figure S4). We measured the intensity of UNC-6 through this

region along the ventrodorsal axis. The average intensity over this region is the highest

at the VNC. Moving dorsally, the average intensity steadily decreases but increases

again near the vSLNC (Figure 6C). These data are consistent with a model where UNC-

6 is enriched in both the vSLNC and VNC regions separated by two shallow yet

opposing gradients. Axon growth past the vSLNC intermediate target is likely due to

permissive growth in response to high local concentrations of UNC-6, as recently

proposed by Hooper and Lundquist (2024).

Given the striking enrichment of UNC-6 along axonal filopodia, we hypothesized

that UNC-40 is required for UNC-6 clustering along the filopodia. Animals carrying *unc-*

40 null mutations display a dorsoventral gradient similar to wild-type animals (Figure

6D,E). However, axonal filopodia that extend toward the VNC are not associated with

UNC-6 puncta (Figure 6D). Taken together, these data suggest that UNC-6 is present in

a gradient and decorates the periphery of the growth cone during growth.

UNC-6 dynamically assemble around the growth cone during extension

The filopodia between vSLNC and VNC during axon outgrowth are dynamic, with

constant growth and retraction. This implies that the UNC-6 puncta associated with the

filopodia membrane are also likely not static. To directly test this, we simultaneously

imaged 5 HSN growth cones and UNC-6::mNG puncta during its outgrowth in the region

between the VSLNC and VNC. For this analysis, we only imaged growth cones that had

extended into the space between the vSLNC and the VNC. Indeed, we observed

dynamic assembly and disassembly of mNG puncta near the growth cone and filopodia

on ~1 min time scales (Figure 7A, Video S9). In movies of *unc-40* null animals, these

foci assemble but quickly disperse and do not associate with the growth cone (Figure

7A, Video S10). To quantify this, we calculated the intensity of each UNC-6 cluster

adjacent to the cell membrane. These measurements reveal decreased UNC-6 labeling

around 5 HSN growth cones in *unc-40* mutants compared to wild-type animals (Figure

7B). Axonal growth was rarely observed in *unc-40* mutants within the 120s imaging

window (Figure 7A), consistent with the reduced growth speed (Figure 2I). Together,

these data suggest that there is a diffusive population of UNC-6 in the axonal path and

that the extending growth cone remodels the UNC-6 landscape by assembling transient,

highly concentrated foci around the cell to stimulate growth via UNC-40.

Discussion

Here, we use time-lapse imaging of *in vivo* growth cone behaviors to

demonstrate that UNC-6/Netrin and UNC-40/DCC mediate both axon adhesion and

directed growth. UNC-6 and UNC-40/DCC are required for stepwise axon adhesion and

growth. Axon stabilization requires contact with UNC-6 which cooperates with the E3

ubiquitin ligase MADD-2 to polarize UNC-40, providing adhesive traction upon reaching

an intermediate target. Biased growth requires UNC-6 and UNC-40 but cannot be

explained by contact-dependent UNC-6 signaling. Indeed, we the highest

concentrations of UNC-6 near the VNC which gradually decrease in the few microns

dorsal to the VNC. Growth through this region requires capturing of UNC-6 clusters by

UNC-40. These insights underscore the dynamic process of Netrin guidance as growth

cones sequentially utilize haptotaxis and chemotaxis (Figure 7C).

Complementary roles for haptotaxis and chemotaxis in UNC-6/Netrin guidance

Netrin has conserved functions in nervous system development across

phylogeny. Initially, Netrin was proposed to function as a chemotactic cue that

stimulates directional axon growth (Kennedy et al., 1994; Serafini et al., 1994). Indeed,

secreted Netrin from the vertebrate floor plate functions to attract axons toward the

ventral midline, avoiding the developing motor column (Wu et al., 2019). However,

additional investigations in the vertebrate spinal cord as well as in the *Drosophila* visual

system and midline have identified haptotactic roles for Netrin in axon guidance (Akin

and Zipursky, 2016; Brankatschk and Dickson, 2006; Varadarajan et al., 2017). Our

data clearly demonstrate roles for both chemotaxis and haptotaxis in Netrin-mediated

attraction. Specifically, haptotaxis (mediated by membrane-tethered UNC-6) is sufficient

to explain growth cone stabilization by providing adhesive force to maintain axonal

contact with an intermediate target. Conversely, chemotaxis likely provides the basis of

directional growth toward the VNC.

Importantly, the endogenous distribution of UNC-6/Netrin supports dual migration

mechanisms of haptotaxis and chemotaxis. In *C. elegans*, the ventral sublateral

intermediate target is separated from the VNC by a gradient of UNC-6 with the highest

concentration along the VNC. Yet, we also observe that this gradient is modified by an

accumulation of UNC-6 in the sublateral region, consistent with the location of the

axon's intermediate target. However, this poses an additional conundrum where axons

must extend past the vSLNC into a region with lower relative UNC-6 concentrations.

One possibility is that UNC-6 acts as a permissive cue to stimulate growth past the

vSLNC. Such permissive functions for UNC-6 have also been reported in repulsive axon

guidance in *C. elegans* (Hooper and Lundquist, 2024).

The precise mechanisms which produce elevated levels of UNC-6 in the

sublateral region remains unclear. As a laminin-related protein, Netrin is likely

interacting with extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, and the enrichment of an ECM-

binding partner in the sublateral could restrain UNC-6 in such a way as to promote

haptotaxis. One candidate class of molecules is heparin sulfate proteoglycans which

have high affinity to UNC-6 (Serafini et al., 1994). In fact, structural analysis of the

Netrin/DCC interaction has identified a putative binding site dependent on extracellular

sulfate (Finci et al., 2014).

A recent study in *C. elegans* supports these conclusions using a transmembrane-

tethered allele of *unc-6* (Hooper and Lundquist, 2024). This allele displays a partial loss-

of-function phenotype that can be restored through UNC-6 produced by an ectopic

source. These data suggest that the diffusibility of UNC-6 is more critical than the

location of its expression in *C. elegans*. Therefore, the gradient that we observe is likely

established using local interactions between UNC-6 and the surrounding ECM.

Additional studies combining cell biological and structural information may provide

further insight on UNC-6 interactions with the ECM and the dichotomous signaling

mechanisms that promote haptotactic and chemotactic responses.

Regulation and localization of UNC-40/DCC is crucial to haptotaxis

Haptotactic growth cone adhesion serves a central function in growth cone

migrations (Comer et al., 2019). Investigations of the molecular signaling mechanisms

that promote Netrin-driven haptotaxis have implicated an "adhesion-clutch" model"

where DCC generates force through connections to the actin cytoskeleton (Qiu et al.,

2024). Our data identify another molecular regulatory feature of haptotaxis: guidance

receptor localization. In ventrally migrating axons, endogenous levels of UNC-40

receptor are polarized toward the ventral side of the cell upon contact with the sublateral

intermediate target. The E3 ubiquitin ligase MADD-2/Trim9/Trim67 cooperates with

UNC-6 in this polarization. These results are consistent with cell invasion mechanisms

in the non-neuronal anchor cell in *C. elegans* (Wang et al., 2014). In our neuronal

system, genetic paradigms that disrupt UNC-40 polarization also exhibit decreased

adherence to the sublateral region (Figures 4 and 5). Therefore, we posit that

polarization of UNC-40 likely amplifies the cell's capacity to adhere to its intermediate

target.

Given our data suggesting that MADD-2 ubiquitinates UNC-40, we propose that

ubiquitination of UNC-40 by MADD-2 triggers UNC-40 endocytosis. Similar data in

vertebrate systems identify that Netrin antagonizes Trim9-induced ubiquitination of DCC

(Plooster et al., 2017). Together, these data suggest that in these neurons MADD-2

likely functions in areas where UNC-6 concentration is low (i.e. the dorsal region of the

cell/growth cone). In this model, UNC-40 would be preferentially endocytosed in dorsal

regions of the cell, thereby contributing to the overall polarity of UNC-40. In contrast,

UNC-6 likely stabilizes UNC-40 expression on the cell membrane and prevents

ubiquitination at the ventral side of the cell, further establishing UNC-40 polarity.

Consistently, experiments in vertebrate systems have long suggested that exposure to

Netrin causes DCC to be added to the cell membrane through exocytosis (Matsumoto

and Nagashima, 2010; Plooster et al., 2017).

Notably, *Drosophila* photoreceptors depend on Trim9 for axon stabilization but

not elongation in Netrin guidance, consistent with our movies of *madd-2* mutant axon

guidance (Akin and Zipursky, 2016). Additionally, studies of vertebrate neurons

demonstrate that Trim9 ubiquitinates DCC when the concentration of Netrin is low to

regulate DCC exocytic balance (Plooster et al., 2017). Given the requirement of four

juxtamembrane lysine residues in UNC-40 polarization, our data suggest that this

regulatory function of MADD-2/Trim9 is conserved and crucial for UNC-40 cell polarity

and growth cone adhesion. While the data here do not directly examine cytoskeletal

regulation in relation to haptotaxis, Trim9 and Trim 67 are known to regulate members

of the Ena/VASP family through ubiquitination to control filopodia density in response to

Netrin-1 (Boyer et al., 2019; McCormick et al., 2024; Menon et al., 2015). Additionally,

the lone Ena/VASP family member in *C. elegans* (UNC-34) is required for ventral axon

guidance downstream of UNC-40 (Gitai et al., 2003; Norris et al., 2009). Systematic

investigations of the interplay between ubiquitination, receptor localization, and

cytoskeletal dynamics are required to fully elaborate haptotactic signaling mechanisms

in growth cones.

Collectively, our data provide spatiotemporal access to Netrin-mediated attraction.

During growth, the axon alternates between haptotactic and chemotactic migration, and

we delineate the precise axonal behaviors controlled by each migration mechanism.

Overall, this study predicates future investigations of the precise molecular cascades

that govern discrete chemotactic and haptotactic responses to Netrin within the same

growth cone.

Acknowledgements

We thank the members of the Shen Lab for their feedback on the study and on the

manuscript. We particularly thank Richard Fetter, Callista Yee, Kelsie Eichel, and Dane

Kawano for their detailed input on the study and feedback on the manuscript. We also

thank Richard Fetter for Fig. 1D. This work was funded by the Howard Hughes Medical

Institute (where K.S. is an investigator) and the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship

Program (E.L.N.).

Author contributions

560   E.L.N. and K.S. conceived of the project. E.L.N. and J.L. generated all reagents and

561   carried out all experiments. E.L.N. performed all the analyses. E.L.N. wrote the

562   manuscript with editing from K.S. and J.L.

Declaration of interests

565   The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Figure legends

Figure 1. The ventral sublateral nerve cord (vSLNC) is an intermediate target for

ventrally growing axons. A. Diagram of *C. elegans* illustrating the anteroposterior

locations of the neurons which extend ventral axons in the larval stages: AVM, HSN,

PDE, PVM, and PQR. **B.** Confocal time-lapse images of PDE axon guidance. Images

were taken every 3 min for 4 hr in the L2 stage. The final image is a confocal still image

visualizing the mature PDE morphology in the L4 stage. Blue arrow denotes PDE soma.

White text denotes PVD neuron, PDE sheath glia (PDEsh), PDE socket glia (PDEso).

Green arrowhead denotes the axonal pause in the sublateral region. Orange arrowhead

indicates a dorsal branch, and blue arrowhead denotes a ventral branch. **C.** Cartoons

showing lateral and cross-sectional views of ventral axon navigation in *C. elegans.* Ventral axons travel along the epidermal edge until they reach the sublateral region. Further ventral growth requires navigation between the epidermis and ventral body wall muscles. **D.** Electron microscopic image of PDE axon navigation path (blue) in an adult animal. The dashed yellow box denotes the sublateral region where the axonal trajectory changes. This region is enlarged as an inset on the top left. Orange area denotes body wall muscle. Green circle denotes the vSLNC which is ensheathed by the epidermis in late larval stages. **E.** Confocal images of AVM, HSN, PVM, and PQR axon emergence. The top row visualizes axonal contact with the vSLNC. The bottom row visualizes branching toward the VNC. Dashed green line denotes the vSLNC and the dashed gray line denotes the VNC. White arrow denotes PQR dendrite. **F.** Confocal images of HSN and PVM axon emergence interacting with the vSLNC. Scale bar is 5 μm in **A, E, F** and 1 μm in **D.**

Figure 2. UNC-6 and UNC-40 promote stabilization and directed growth at the sublateral region. A. Confocal time-lapse images of PDE axons guidance in wild-type, *unc-6(ev400),* and *unc-40(e1430)* animals. Images were taken every 3m for 4hr in the L2 stage. Orange arrowhead indicates a dorsal branch, and blue arrowhead denotes a ventral branch. Dashed green line denotes the vSLNC and the dashed gray line denotes the VNC. **B.** Percentage of axons that stabilize in the sublateral region upon initial contact in wild-type (n=23), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=18)*,* and *unc-40(e1430)* (n=21) animals. SE is shown. **C.** Airyscan images of PDE axon navigation and endogenous UNC-40::GFP growth cone localization in wild-type and *unc-6(ev400)* animals. Dashed

white box denotes growth cone. **D.** Normalized intensity traces of growth cone UNC-

40::GFP during axon navigation normalized to sublateral contact (t=0). Black line

denotes wild-type animals (n=8), and red line denotes *unc-6(ev400)* animals (n=8).

SEM is shown. **E.** Airyscan images of HSN axon emergence and endogenous UNC-

40::GFP localization in wild-type and *unc-6(ev400)* animals. **F.** Cartoon schematic of

polarity measurements and calculation in **G. G.** UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN in wild-

type (n=20) and *unc-6(ev400)* (n=21) animals. Positive values denote dorsal

polarization, and negative values denote ventral polarization. SEM is shown **H.**

Proportion of branches formed dorsally (orange) and ventrally (cyan) from the sublateral

region in wild-type (n=90 branches, 24 animals), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=114 branches, 25

animals), and *unc-40(e1430)* (n=114 branches, 28 animals) animals. SE is shown. **I.**

Representative traces of ventral branch extension in wild-type (black), *unc-6(ev400)*

(magenta), and *unc-40(e1430)* (green) animals. **J.** Average ventral branch extension

speed in wild-type (n=50 branches, 24 animals), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=43 branches, 25

animals), and *unc-40(e1430)* (n=32 branches, 28 animals) animals. SEM is shown. **K.**

Percent of axons that stabilize that the VNC within the 4h imaging window in wild-type

(n=24), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=25), and *unc-40(e1430)* (n=28) animals. SE is shown. Scale

bars denote 5 μm in **A, C, E.** Fisher's exact test with Bonferonni's correction is used in

B, H, K. Unpaired Student's t-test is used in **G.** Ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple

comparisons is used in **J.** * denotes $p<0.05$, ** denotes $p<0.01$, **** denotes $p<0.0001$.

All comparisons to wildtype unless otherwise noted.

Figure 3. SLT-1 directs axon emergence trajectory. A. Confocal time-lapse images of PDE axons guidance in wild-type, *slt-1(eh15),* and *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* animals. Images were taken every 3m for 4hr in the L2 stage. Dashed green line denotes the vSLNC and the dashed gray line denotes the VNC. **B.** Rose plot histogram of PDE axon emergence in *slt-1(eh15)* (n=24) and *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* (n=26) animals. **C.** Graph comparing the sine function of axon emergence angle in wildtype (n=22), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=27)*, slt-1(eh15)* (n=24)*, and unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* (n=26) animals. 1 indicates 90° dorsal axon emergence and -1 indicates 90° ventral axon emergence. **D.** Percentage of axons that stabilize in the sublateral region upon initial contact in wild-type (n=23), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=18)*,* and *slt-1(eh15)* (n=8), and *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* (n=7) animals. SE is shown. **E.** Proportion of branches formed dorsally (orange) and ventrally (cyan) from the sublateral region in wild-type (n=90 branches, 24 animals), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=114 branches, 25 animals), and *slt-1(eh15)* (n=139 branches, 10 animals), and *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* (n=69 branches, 10 animals) animals. SE is shown. **F.** Percent of axons that stabilize that the VNC within the 4h imaging window in wild-type (n=24), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=25), and *slt-1(eh15)* (n=10), and *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* (n=10) animals. SE is shown. Scale bar denote 5 μm in **A.** Ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons is used in **C.** Fisher's exact test with Bonferonni's correction is used in **D-F.** Ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons is used in **C.** * denotes $p < 0.05$, *** denotes $p < 0.001$, **** denotes $p < 0.0001$. All comparisons to wildtype unless otherwise noted.

Figure 4. MADD-2 promotes axon stabilization but not growth. A. Confocal time-lapse images of PDE axons guidance in wild-type and *madd-2(ok2226)* animals. Images were taken every 3m for 4hr in the L2 stage. Dashed green line denotes the vSLNC and the dashed gray line denotes the VNC. **B.** Percentage of axons that stabilize in the sublateral region upon initial contact in wild-type (n=23), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=18)*,* and *madd-2(ok2226)* (n=11) animals. SE is shown. **C.** Airyscan images of HSN axon emergence and endogenous UNC-40::GFP localization in wild-type, *madd-2(ok2226), madd-2(ok2226); unc-6(ev400), unc-40(4KR);* and *unc-40(4KR); madd-2(ok2226)* animals. **D.** UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN in wild-type (n=20), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=21), *madd-2(ok2226)* (n=23), and *unc-6(ev400); madd-2(ok2226)* (n=19) animals. Positive values denote dorsal polarization, and negative values denote ventral polarization. SEM is shown. **E.** Cartoon schematic of the UNC-40 protein sequence. The dashed red box denotes the intracellular lysine residues that are mutated in *unc-40(4KR)* animals. **F.** UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN in wild-type (n=20), *madd-2(ok2226)* (n=23), *unc-40(4KR)* (n=21); *unc-40(4KR); madd-2(ok2226)* (n=20) animals. Positive values denote dorsal polarization, and negative values denote ventral polarization. SEM is shown. **G.** Proportion of branches formed dorsally (orange) and ventrally (cyan) from the sublateral region in wild-type (n=90 branches, 24 animals), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=114 branches, 25 animals), and *madd-2(ok2226)* (n=52 branches, 12 animals) animals. SE is shown. **H.** Percent of axons that stabilize that the VNC within the 4h imaging window in wild-type (n=24), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=25), and *madd-2(ok2226)* (n=12) animals. SE is shown. Scale bars denote 5 μm in **A,C.** Fisher's exact test with Bonferonni's correction is used in **B,G,H.** Ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons is used in **D,F.** *

denotes p<0.05, *** denotes p<0.001, **** denotes p<0.0001. All comparisons to

wildtype unless otherwise noted.

Figure 5. Diffusible UNC-6 is dispensable for axon stabilization. A. Cartoon

schematic of the non-diffusible rescue paradigms at the vSLNC (green, using *Pmec-7)*

and at the VNC (magenta, using *Punc-4*). **B.** Confocal time-lapse images of PDE axons

guidance in wild-type, *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}, unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*

and *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}* animals. Images were taken

every 3m for 4hr in the L2 stage. Green lines denote the vSLNC and gray lines denote

the VNC. Solid lines denote the location of membrane-tethered UNC-6. **C.** Percentage

of axons that stabilize in the sublateral region upon initial contact in wild-type (n=23),

unc-6(ev400) (n=18)*, unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}* (n=10)*, unc-6(ev400); Punc-*

4::unc-6^{TM} (n=8) and *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}* (n=7) animals.

SE is shown. **D.** Airyscan images of HSN axon emergence and endogenous UNC-

40::GFP localization in wild-type, *unc-6(ev400), unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM},* and

unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM} animals. **E.** UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN in wild-type

(n=20), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=21)*, unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}* (n=25)*,* and *unc-6(ev400);*

Punc-4::unc-6^{TM} (n=18) animals. Positive values denote dorsal polarization, and

negative values denote ventral polarization. SEM is shown. **F.** Proportion of branches

formed dorsally (orange) and ventrally (cyan) from the sublateral region in wild-type

(n=90 branches, 24 animals), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=114 branches, 25 animals), *unc-*

6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM} (n=105 branches, 14 animals)*, unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-*

6^{TM} (n=83 branches, 10 animals), and *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*

(n=108 branches, 15 animals) animals. SE is shown. **G.** Percent of axons that stabilize

that the VNC within the 4h imaging window in wild-type (n=24), *unc-6(ev400)* (n=25),

unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM} (n=14)*, unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}* (n=10), and *unc-*

6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM} (n=15) animals. SE is shown. Scale bars

denote 5 μm in **B,D.** Fisher's exact test with Bonferonni's correction is used in **C,F, G.**

Ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons is used in **E.** * denotes p<0.05, **

denotes p<0.01, **** denotes p<0.0001. All comparisons to wildtype unless otherwise

noted.

Figure 6. UNC-6 is present in a gradient. A. Airyscan images of axon emergence in

PDE and endogenous UNC-6::mNG distribution in wild-type animals. **B.** Airyscan

images of axon extension in HSN, PDE, and AVM and endogenous UNC-6::mNG

distribution in wild-type animals. White arrowheads denote UNC-6 clusters around

axonal filopodia. Dashed green line denotes vSLNC and dashed gray line denotes VNC.

C. Average intensity of UNC-6::mNG between the VNC (left) and vSLNC (right) in wild-

type animals (n=49 animals). **C** Airyscan images of axon extension in HSN, PDE, and

AVM and endogenous UNC-6::mNG distribution in *unc-40(e1430)* animals. Dashed

green line denotes vSLNC and dashed gray line denotes VNC. **D.** Average intensity of

UNC-6::mNG between the VNC (left) and vSLNC (right) in *unc-40(e1430)* animals

(n=37 animals). SEM is shown. Scale bars denote 5 μm in **A,C.**

Figure 7. UNC-6 clusters are dynamically assembled at the growth cone tip during

axon extension. A. Airyscan time-lapse images of HSN axon extension and

endogenous UNC-6::mNG in wild-type and *unc-40(e1430)* animals. Images were taken

every 30s for 30min. White arrowhead denotes the growth cone tip. Dashed white circle

denotes UNC-6 clusters on the lateral edges of the growth cone. **B.** Intensity of UNC-6

foci adjacent to the growth cone membrane in wild-type (n=861 foci, 5 animals) and

unc-40(e1430) (n=1306 foci, 5 animals) animals. **C.** Model of ventral axon migration via

alternating haptotaxis and chemotaxis. Scale bar denotes 1 μm in **A.** Unpaired

Student's t-test is used in **B.** **** denotes p<0.0001.

Tables

Table 1. Genetic analysis of PDE axon morphology in adulthood. "% of axons at

VNC" denotes percentage of PDE neurons with axons that extend to the ventral nerve

cord (VNC). "% of axons not at VNC" denotes percentage of PDE neurons with axons

that do not extend to the ventral nerve cord (VNC). N indicates number of animals

scored. P-values generated from Fisher's exact test.

Genotype	% of Axons at VNC	% of Axons not at VNC	Standard Error	n	Comparison with wildtype	Comparison with *unc-6(ev400)*
wildtype	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	118	■	p<0.0001
unc-6(ev400)	32.1%	67.9%	5.1%	84	p<0.0001	■
unc-40(e1430)	33.0%	67.0%	4.8%	97	p<0.0001	p>0.9999
unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}	31.6%	68.4%	4.8%	95	p<0.0001	p>0.9999
unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}	35.2%	64.8%	5.1%	88	p<0.0001	p=0.7475
unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; *Punc-4::unc-6*^{TM}	68.6%	31.4%	5.0%	86	p<0.0001	p<0.0001
Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	127	>0.9999	<0.0001
Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	102	>0.9999	<0.0001
slt-1(eh15)	93.3%	6.7%	2.2%	134	0.0039	<0.0001
unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)	22.3%	77.7%	3.7%	130	<0.0001	0.1148
madd-2(ok2226)	94.7%	5.3%	2.3%	95	p=0.0166	p<0.0001
unc-6(ev400); madd-2(ok2226)	43.6%	56.4%	4.9%	101	p<0.0001	p=0.1299

unc-40(4KR)	94.9%	5.1%	2.2%	99	p=0.0187	p<0.0001
unc-40(4KR); madd-2(ok2226)	94.7%	5.3%	1.9%	133	0.0155	<0.0001

Table 2. Genetic analysis of HSN axon morphology in adulthood. "% of axons at VNC" denotes percentage of HSN neurons with axons that extend to the ventral nerve cord (VNC). "% of axons not at VNC" denotes percentage of HSN neurons with axons that do not extend to the ventral nerve cord (VNC). N indicates number of animals scored. P-values generated from Fisher's exact test.

Genotype	% of Axons at VNC	% of Axons not at VNC	Standard Error	n	Comparison with wildtype	Comparison with *unc-6(ev400)*
wildtype	99.1%	0.91%	0.90%	110		p<0.0001
unc-6(ev400)	33.1%	66.9%	4.3%	121	p<0.0001	
unc-40(e1430)	30.6%	69.4%	4.1%	124	p<0.0001	p=0.7840
unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}	33.3%	66.7%	4.5%	108	p<0.0001	p>0.9999
unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}	29.5%	70.5%	4.5%	105	p<0.0001	p=0.6666
unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}	47.4%	52.6%	4.6%	116	p<0.0001	p=0.0252
Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}	99.1%	0.9%	0.9%	111	>0.9999	<0.0001
Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	104	>0.9999	<0.0001
slt-1(eh15)	93.6%	6.4%	2.3%	110	0.0142	<0.0001
unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)	8.1%	91.9%	2.4%	124	<0.0001	<0.0001
madd-2(ok2226)	92.2%	7.8%	2.5%	115	p=0.0191	p<0.0001
unc-6(ev400); madd-2(ok2226)	44.4%	55.6%	4.5%	124	p<0.0001	p=0.0881
unc-40(4KR)	91.9%	8.1%	2.4%	124	p=0.0114	p<0.0001
unc-40(4KR); madd-2(ok2226)	92.2%	7.8%	2.5%	116	0.0192	<0.0001

STAR Methods

Resource Availability

Lead contact. Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Kang Shen (kangshen@stanford.edu).

Materials availability. All materials used and generated in this study, including plasmids

and worm strains, are available for sharing by contacting Kang Shen

(kangshen@stanford.edu).

Data and code availability. The published article includes all generated or analyzed

during this study. Raw data generated for this study are available from Kang Shen

(kangshen@stanford.edu) upon request. Any additional information required to

reanalyze the data reported in this paper are available upon request. This paper does

not report original code.

Experimental model and subject details

C. elegans *methods.* All *C. elegans* animals used in this study were handled at 20°C on

NGM plates with OP50 *E. coli* as food, according to standard protocols (Brenner, 1974).

N2 Bristol was used as a wild-type reference strain. Transgenic strains were generated

via microinjection of 1-5 ng/µl of plasmid DNA and of 1 ng/µl of selection markers

plasmids (*Pmyo-2::mCherry*). Pharyngeal mCherry signal was used to identify

transgenic worms. All analyses were performed on *C. elegans* hermaphrodites. Imaging

of axon outgrowth used animals aged to the L2 stage. Imaging of mature neuronal

morphologies used animals aged to the L4 and young adult stages. Detailed description

of the strains used in this study are available in the Key Resources Table.

Method details

Confocal imaging. All images were taken at room temperature using live *C. elegans*. L2

animals were anesthetized using 6mM levamisole in M9 buffer and mounted on 4%

agarose pads. For still images, worms were imaged on an inverted Zeiss Axio Observer

Z1 microscope with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning-disk unit, a Hamamatsu EM-CCD

digital camera controlled by Metamorph (version 7.8.12.0). Images were acquired using

a Plan-Apochromat 100x 1.4 NA objective. For dynamic imaging of axon outgrowth,

worms were imaged on an inverted Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 microscope with a

Yokogawa CSU-W1 spinning-disk unit, a Prime 95B Scientific CMOS camera controlled

by 3i Slidebook (v6). Images were acquired using a C-Apochromat 63x 1.2 NA

objective. Images were acquired every 3min for 4hr. For long-term dynamic imaging, the

coverslip was sealed on all sides using valap to prevent sample dehydration.

Airyscan imaging. All images were taken at room temperature using live *C. elegans*. L2

animals were anesthetized using 6mM levamisole in M9 buffer and mounted on 4%

agarose pads. Worms were imaged on a Zeiss LSM 980 Airyscan 2 system controlled

by Zen (version 3.5 blue edition). Images were acquired using a Plan-Apochromat 63x

1.4 NA objective. For dynamic imaging of UNC-6::mNG, images were acquired every

30sec for 30min.

Constructs and cloning. All constructs used in this study are listed in the Key Resources

Table. Plasmid constructs generated for this study were created using isothermal

assembly with overlapping oligonucleotides (Gibson et al., 2009). Expression constructs

were generated in a pSM delta vector. Non-diffusible UNC-6 constructs were made by

fusing the *nlg-1* transmembrane domain sequence to the C-terminus of the *unc-6*

coding sequence. A SNAP tag was fused to the intracellular side of the *nlg-1*

transmembrane domain.

Germline editing using CRISPR/Cas9. Endogenous fluorophore insertions and base

pair changes were generated by gonadal microinjection of CRISPR/Cas9 protein

complexes. Genome editing was performed using standard protocols (Dokshin et al.,

2018). Cas9 protein, tracRNA, crRNAs were injected at a concentration of 1.525 μM.

crRNAs used in this study are available in the Key Resources Table. DNA repair

templates were created by PCR or ordered as ultramers and injected at 2 μM. pRF4

(*rol-6(su1006)*) was used as a co-injection marker and selected against in the F_2

generation. F_2 animals were screened for the desired edits using PCR and confirmed by

sequencing. Newly generated strains with the proper edits were outcrossed 5 times

before use in experiments.

Electron microscopy. N2 Bristol worms staged to L4 were prepared for conventional EM

by high pressure freezing/freeze-substitution. Worms were suspended in M9 containing

20% BSA and *E. coli* and frozen in 100 μm well carriers (Type A) across from a

hexadecane-coated flat carrier (Type B) with a BalTec HPM 01 high-pressure freezer. A

Leica AFS2 unit was used for freeze-substitution in 1% OsO_4, 0.1% uranyl acetate, 1%

methanol in acetone, and 3% water. After substitution, the samples were rinsed in

acetone, infiltrated and polymerized in Eponate 12 resin. A Leica UCT ultramicrotome

with a Diatome diamond knife was used to cut serial 50 nm sections. The sections were

picked up on Pioloform film coated slot grids and stained with uranyl acetate and Sato's

lead. An FEI Tecnai T12 TEM at 120 kV with a Gatan 4k x 4k U895 camera was used to

image the sections. Serial sections were aligned using TrakEM2 in Fiji.

UNC-40::GFP visualization. Images of UNC-40::GFP in single cells were generated by

masking using Fiji. In short, the image channel of the cell membrane marker was used

to generate a binary mask. This binary mask was applied to the UNC-40::GFP channel,

removing fluorescence not associated with the cell membrane mask and retaining the

original intensity values of UNC-40::GFP. This protocol was used to generate all of the

UNC-40::GFP images in this manuscript.

SNAP labeling. SNAP labeling was performed consistent with previous protocols in *C.*

elegans (Kurshan et al., 2018). Worms were collected from the plate by washing with

M9 buffer. The collected worms were then spun down and the supernatant was

removed. This washing process was repeated 5 times. Next, the worms were washed in

a total volume of 25 μL with 2mM SNAP-Cell Oregon Green dye (New England

Biosciences). The sample was rotated in this solution for 3 hr. Following this staining,

the sample was washed 5 times in 1 mL M9 buffer. The worms were then transferred to

a food-stocked agar plate overnight. Images were taken 16 hr later.

Quantification and statistical analysis

UNC-40::GFP polarity measurements. Fiji was used to measure the integrated

fluorescent density along the cell dorsal and ventral periphery in single z-planes as

visualized in Figure 2F. The ventral integrated density was subtracted from the dorsal

integrated density, and this value was divided by the total integrated density in the

ventral and dorsal regions. This calculated value is reported as the polarity of the

fluorescent marker. All measurements were taken in raw images prior to processing of

UNC-40::GFP for visualization as described above.

Analysis of dynamic imaging of axon growth. Fiji was used to analyze movies of axon

outgrowth. Growth cone and UNC-40::GFP tracings were completed using the MTrackJ

plugin on Fiji. Intensity values were normalized to the traced intensity in the growth cone

upon contact with the sublateral region. All other analyses were reported by manual

counting or length measurements. Dorsal and ventral branching were determined by

protrusions longer than 0.6 μm. Growth speed was calculated as the total displacement

of the branch divided by its duration in the movies.

Analysis of dynamic imaging of UNC-6::mNG. Fiji was used to analyze movies of UNC-

6::mNG dynamics. First, UNC-6::mNG foci overlapping with the cell membrane marker

were isolated via the masking method described above for UNC-40::GFP, retaining the

UNC-6::mNG intensity values. Next, z-projections were made of the movies showing

UNC-6::mNG contacts with the cell membrane. Last, the Analyze Particles analysis on

Fiji was run to generate the average intensity of each identified UNC-6::mNG cluster.

Data visualization and statistical analysis. Plotly (via Python) was used to generate the

roseplots. GraphPad Prism 10 was used for all other data visualization and statistical

analyses. SEM and SE are shown as indicated in figure legends. Statistical analyses

used are also available in figure legends. Data collection and analysis were not

performed blind to experimental conditions.

Supplemental information

Document S1. Figures S1-S4.

Table S1. Summary of *C. elegans* strains used in this study, related to STAR Methods.

Video S1. Comparison of PDE axon guidance in wildtype and *unc-6(ev400)* mutants,

related to Figure 2.

Video S2. PDE axon guidance in *unc-40(e1430)* mutants, related to Figure 2.

Video S3. PDE axon guidance in *slt-1(eh15)* mutants, related to Figure 3.

Video S4. PDE axon guidance in *unc-6(ev400); slt-1(eh15)* mutants, related to Figure 3.

Video S5. PDE axon guidance in *madd-2(ok2226)* mutants, related to Figure 4.

Video S6. PDE axon guidance in *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}* animals, related to

Figure 5.

Video S7. PDE axon guidance in *unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}* animals, related to

Figure 5.

Video S8. PDE axon guidance in *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*

animals, related to Figure 5.

Video S9. HSN growth cone interactions with UNC-6::mNG in wild-type animals, related

to Figure 7.

Video S10. HSN growth cone interactions with UNC-6::mNG in *unc-40(e1430)* animals,

related to Figure 7.

References

Adler, C.E., Fetter, R.D., Bargmann, C.I., 2006. UNC-6/Netrin induces neuronal
asymmetry and defines the site of axon formation. Nat. Neurosci. 9, 511–518.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1666
Akin, O., Zipursky, S.L., 2016. Frazzled promotes growth cone attachment at the source
of a Netrin gradient in the Drosophila visual system. eLife 5, e20762.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.20762
Basu, A., Behera, S., Bhardwaj, S., Dey, S., Ghosh-Roy, A., 2021. Regulation of UNC-
40/DCC and UNC-6/Netrin by DAF-16 promotes functional rewiring of the injured
axon. Development 148, dev198044. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.198044
Bentley, D., Caudy, M., 1983a. Pioneer axons lose directed growth after selective killing
of guidepost cells. Nature 304, 62–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/304062a0
Bentley, D., Caudy, M., 1983b. Navigational Substrates for Peripheral Pioneer Growth
Cones: Limb-axis Polarity Cues, Limb-segment Boundaries, and Guidepost
Neurons. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 48, 573–585.
https://doi.org/10.1101/SQB.1983.048.01.062
Bernhardt, R.R., Nguyen, N., Kuwada, J.Y., 1992. Growth cone guidance by floor plate
cells in the spinal cord of zebrafish embryos. Neuron 8, 869–882.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(92)90201-N
Boyer, N.P., McCormick, L.E., Menon, S., Urbina, F.L., Gupton, S.L., 2019. A pair of E3
ubiquitin ligases compete to regulate filopodial dynamics and axon guidance. J.
Cell Biol. 219, e201902088. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201902088
Brankatschk, M., Dickson, B.J., 2006. Netrins guide Drosophila commissural axons at
short range. Nat. Neurosci. 9, 188–194. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1625
Brenner, S., 1974. THE GENETICS OF CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS. Genetics 77,
71–94. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/77.1.71
Chalfie, M., Sulston, J., 1981. Developmental genetics of the mechanosensory neurons
of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev. Biol. 82, 358–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-
1606(81)90459-0
Chisholm, A.D., Hutter, H., Jin, Y., Wadsworth, W.G., 2016. The Genetics of Axon
Guidance and Axon Regeneration in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 204,
849–882. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.186262
Chung, K.Y., Taylor, J.S.H., Shum, D.K.Y., Chan, S.O., 2000. Axon routing at the optic
chiasm after enzymatic removal of chondroitin sulfate in mouse embryos.
Development 127, 2673–2683. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.12.2673
Comer, J.D., Alvarez, S., Butler, S.J., Kaltschmidt, J.A., 2019. Commissural axon
guidance in the developing spinal cord: from Cajal to the present day. Neural
Develop. 14, 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13064-019-0133-1
Cox, E.A., Hardin, J., 2004. Sticky worms: adhesion complexes in C. elegans. J. Cell
Sci. 117, 1885–1897. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01176
Dokshin, G.A., Ghanta, K.S., Piscopo, K.M., Mello, C.C., 2018. Robust Genome Editing
with Short Single-Stranded and Long, Partially Single-Stranded DNA Donors in

Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 210, 781–787.
https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301532

Dominici, C., Moreno-Bravo, J.A., Puiggros, S.R., Rappeneau, Q., Rama, N., Vieugue, P., Bernet, A., Mehlen, P., Chédotal, A., 2017. Floor-plate-derived netrin-1 is dispensable for commissural axon guidance. Nature 545, 350–354. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22331

Finci, L.I., Krüger, N., Sun, X., Zhang, J., Chegkazi, M., Wu, Y., Schenk, G., Mertens, H.D.T., Svergun, D.I., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Meijers, R., 2014. The Crystal Structure of Netrin-1 in Complex with DCC Reveals the Bifunctionality of Netrin-1 As a Guidance Cue. Neuron 83, 839–849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.07.010

Gibson, D.G., Young, L., Chuang, R.-Y., Venter, J.C., Hutchison, C.A., Smith, H.O., 2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat. Methods 6, 343–345. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1318

Gitai, Z., Yu, T.W., Lundquist, E.A., Tessier-Lavigne, M., Bargmann, C.I., 2003. The Netrin Receptor UNC-40/DCC Stimulates Axon Attraction and Outgrowth through Enabled and, in Parallel, Rac and UNC-115/AbLIM. Neuron 37, 53–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)01149-2

Hao, J.C., Adler, C.E., Mebane, L., Gertler, F.B., Bargmann, C.I., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2010. The Tripartite Motif Protein MADD-2 Functions with the Receptor UNC-40 (DCC) in Netrin-Mediated Axon Attraction and Branching. Dev. Cell 18, 950–960. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2010.02.019

Hao, J.C., Yu, T.W., Fujisawa, K., Culotti, J.G., Gengyo-Ando, K., Mitani, S., Moulder, G., Barstead, R., Tessier-Lavigne, M., Bargmann, C.I., 2001. *C. elegans* Slit Acts in Midline, Dorsal-Ventral, and Anterior-Posterior Guidance via the SAX-3/Robo Receptor. Neuron 32, 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(01)00448-2

Hedgecock, E.M., Culotti, J.G., Hall, D.H., 1990. The unc-5, unc-6, and unc-40 genes guide circumferential migrations of pioneer axons and mesodermal cells on the epidermis in C. elegans. Neuron 4, 61–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/0896-6273(90)90444-K

Hooper, K.M., Lundquist, E.A., 2024. Short- and long-range roles of UNC-6/Netrin in dorsal-ventral axon guidance in vivo in Caenorhabditis elegans. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.23.590737

Jayadev, R., Morais, M.R.P.T., Ellingford, J.M., Srinivasan, S., Naylor, R.W., Lawless, C., Li, A.S., Ingham, J.F., Hastie, E., Chi, Q., Fresquet, M., Koudis, N.-M., Thomas, H.B., O'Keefe, R.T., Williams, E., Adamson, A., Stuart, H.M., Banka, S., Smedley, D., Genomics England Research Consortium, Sherwood, D.R., Lennon, R., 2022. A basement membrane discovery pipeline uncovers network complexity, regulators, and human disease associations. Sci. Adv. 8, eabn2265. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn2265

Kennedy, T.E., Serafini, T., de la Torre, JoséR., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 1994. Netrins are diffusible chemotropic factors for commissural axons in the embryonic spinal cord. Cell 78, 425–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90421-9

Kennedy, T.E., Wang, H., Marshall, W., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2006. Axon Guidance by Diffusible Chemoattractants: A Gradient of Netrin Protein in the Developing

Spinal Cord. J. Neurosci. 26, 8866–8874.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5191-05.2006
Keshishian, H., Bentley, D., 1983. Embryogenesis of peripheral nerve pathways in
grasshopper legs: II. The major nerve routes. Dev. Biol. 96, 103–115.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(83)90315-9
Klämbt, C., Jacobs, J.R., Goodman, C.S., 1991. The midline of the drosophila central
nervous system: A model for the genetic analysis of cell fate, cell migration, and
growth cone guidance. Cell 64, 801–815. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-
8674(91)90509-W
Knobel, K.M., Jorgensen, E.M., Bastiani, M.J., 1999. Growth cones stall and collapse
during axon outgrowth in Caenorhabditis elegans. Development 126, 4489–4498.
https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.126.20.4489
Kolodkin, A.L., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2011. Mechanisms and Molecules of Neuronal
Wiring: A Primer. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 3, a001727.
https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001727
Kurshan, P.T., Merrill, S.A., Dong, Y., Ding, C., Hammarlund, M., Bai, J., Jorgensen,
E.M., Shen, K., 2018. γ-Neurexin and Frizzled Mediate Parallel Synapse
Assembly Pathways Antagonized by Receptor Endocytosis. Neuron 100, 150-
166.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.09.007
Lee, J., Magescas, J., Fetter, R.D., Feldman, J.L., Shen, K., 2021. Inherited apicobasal
polarity defines the key features of axon-dendrite polarity in a sensory neuron.
Curr. Biol. 31, 3768-3783.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.06.039
Li, H., Kulkarni, G., Wadsworth, W.G., 2008. RPM-1, a Caenorhabditis elegans Protein
That Functions in Presynaptic Differentiation, Negatively Regulates Axon
Outgrowth by Controlling SAX-3/robo and UNC-5/UNC5 Activity. J. Neurosci. 28,
3595–3603. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5536-07.2008
Matsumoto, H., Nagashima, M., 2010. Netrin-1 elevates the level and induces cluster
formation of its receptor DCC at the surface of cortical axon shafts in an
exocytosis-dependent manner. Neurosci. Res. 67, 99–107.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2010.02.004
McCormick, L.E., Suarez, C., Herring, L.E., Cannon, K.S., Kovar, D.R., Brown, N.G.,
Gupton, S.L., 2024. Multi-monoubiquitylation controls VASP-mediated actin
dynamics. J. Cell Sci. 137, jcs261527. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.261527
Menon, S., Boyer, N.P., Winkle, C.C., McClain, L.M., Hanlin, C.C., Pandey, D.,
Rothenfußer, S., Taylor, A.M., Gupton, S.L., 2015. The E3 ubiquitin ligase TRIM9
is a filopodia off switch required for netrin dependent axon guidance. Dev. Cell
35, 698–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.11.022
Naegeli, K.M., Hastie, E., Garde, A., Wang, Z., Keeley, D.P., Gordon, K.L., Pani, A.M.,
Kelley, L.C., Morrissey, M.A., Chi, Q., Goldstein, B., Sherwood, D.R., 2017. Cell
invasion in vivo via rapid exocytosis of a transient lysosome-derived membrane
domain. Dev. Cell 43, 403-417.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2017.10.024
Norris, A.D., Dyer, J.O., Lundquist, E.A., 2009. The Arp2/3 complex, UNC-115/abLIM,
and UNC-34/Enabled regulate axon guidance and growth cone filopodia
formation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Neural Develop. 4, 38.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-8104-4-38

Plooster, M., Menon, S., Winkle, C.C., Urbina, F.L., Monkiewicz, C., Phend, K.D.,
Weinberg, R.J., Gupton, S.L., 2017. TRIM9-dependent ubiquitination of DCC
constrains kinase signaling, exocytosis, and axon branching. Mol. Biol. Cell 28,
2374–2385. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E16-08-0594
Qiu, Z., Minegishi, T., Aoki, D., Abe, K., Baba, K., Inagaki, N., 2024. Adhesion-clutch
between DCC and netrin-1 mediates netrin-1–induced axonal haptotaxis. Front.
Mol. Neurosci. 17, 1307755. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2024.1307755
Ramón y Cajal, S., 1911. Histology of the Nervous System of Man and Vertebrates.
Oxford University Press, New York.
Serafini, T., Kennedy, T.E., Gaiko, M.J., Mirzayan, C., Jessell, T.M., Tessier-Lavigne,
M., 1994. The netrins define a family of axon outgrowth-promoting proteins
homologous to C. elegans UNC-6. Cell 78, 409–424.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90420-0
Teichmann, H.M., Shen, K., 2011. UNC-6 and UNC-40 promote dendritic growth
through PAR-4 in Caenorhabditis elegans neurons. Nat. Neurosci. 14, 165–172.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2717
Timofeev, K., Joly, W., Hadjieconomou, D., Salecker, I., 2012. Localized Netrins Act as
Positional Cues to Control Layer-Specific Targeting of Photoreceptor Axons in
Drosophila. Neuron 75, 80–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.04.037
Varadarajan, S.G., Kong, J.H., Phan, K.D., Kao, T.-J., Panaitof, S.C., Cardin, J.,
Eltzschig, H., Kania, A., Novitch, B.G., Butler, S.J., 2017. Netrin1 Produced by
Neural Progenitors, Not Floor Plate Cells, Is Required for Axon Guidance in the
Spinal Cord. Neuron 94, 790-799.e3.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.007
Wadsworth, W.G., Bhatt, H., Hedgecock, E.M., 1996. Neuroglia and Pioneer Neurons
Express UNC-6 to Provide Global and Local Netrin Cues for Guiding Migrations
in C. elegans. Neuron 16, 35–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80021-
5
Wang, Z., Linden, L.M., Naegeli, K.M., Ziel, J.W., Chi, Q., Hagedorn, E.J., Savage,
N.S., Sherwood, D.R., 2014. UNC-6 (netrin) stabilizes oscillatory clustering of the
UNC-40 (DCC) receptor to orient polarity. J. Cell Biol. 206, 619–633.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201405026
Winkle, C.C., McClain, L.M., Valtschanoff, J.G., Park, C.S., Maglione, C., Gupton, S.L.,
2014. A novel Netrin-1–sensitive mechanism promotes local SNARE-mediated
exocytosis during axon branching. J. Cell Biol. 205, 217–232.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201311003
Wu, Z., Makihara, S., Yam, P.T., Teo, S., Renier, N., Balekoglu, N., Moreno-Bravo, J.A.,
Olsen, O., Chédotal, A., Charron, F., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2019. Long-Range
Guidance of Spinal Commissural Axons by Netrin1 and Sonic Hedgehog from
Midline Floor Plate Cells. Neuron 101, 635-647.e4.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.12.025
Yung, A.R., Druckenbrod, N.R., Cloutier, J.-F., Wu, Z., Tessier-Lavigne, M., Goodrich,
L.V., 2018. Netrin-1 Confines Rhombic Lip-Derived Neurons to the CNS. Cell
Rep. 22, 1666–1680. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.068
1054

Figure 1

A

AVM HSN PDE PVM PQR

Dorsal — Anterior + Posterior — Ventral

B

PDEsh PDEso PVD PDE
5μm
0 min

Axon emergence
18 min

Axon pause near vSLNC
33 min

Branching from vSLNC
72 min

Stabilization at VNC
105 min

Adult morphology
5μm

C

Lateral view (shown in B)
emerging PDE axon
ventral sublateral nerve cord (vSLNC)
body wall muscle
ventral axon trajectory
ventral nerve cord (VNC)

Cross-section (shown in D)
epidermis
Dorsal — Left + Right — Ventral
emerging PDE axon
ventral sublateral nerve cords (vSLNCs)
body wall muscles
ventral axon trajectories
ventral nerve cords (VNCs)

D Ventral axon trajectory
1μm
1μm

E

AVM HSN PVM PQR

Pausing at sublateral
5μm

Growth to VNC
dendrite

F

vSLNC (PLM axon)
HSN PVM
5μm

Figure 2

A

wildtype — 0 min, 21 min, 60 min, 108 min

unc-6(ev400) — 0 min, 21 min, 45 min, 72 min

unc-40(e1430) — 0 min, 24 min, 66 min, 72 min

5μm

B Percent of axons that stabilize after initial vsLNC contact

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)* *, *unc-40(e1430)* **

D Normalized UNC-40::GFP intensity (au) in PDE growth cone

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

time (min) relative to vSLNC contact

C PDE UNC-40::GFP

pre-sublateral | at sublateral

wildtype

unc-6(ev400)

5μm

E HSN UNC-40::GFP | UNC-40::GFP

wildtype

unc-6(ev400)

5μm

F
dorsal cell body
HSN
vSLNC contact site

$$polarity = \frac{\left(\begin{array}{c}\text{sum intensity of} \\ \text{dorsal cell body}\end{array} - \begin{array}{c}\text{sum intensity of} \\ \text{vSLNC contact site}\end{array}\right)}{\begin{array}{c}\text{sum intensity of dorsal cell body and} \\ \text{vSLNC contact site}\end{array}}$$

G UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN

more dorsal / more ventral

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)* ****

H Percent of axon branching

Dorsal branch / Ventral branch

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)* *, *unc-40(e1430)* ***

I branch length (μm)

VNC / vSLNC

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*, *unc-40(e1430)*

time (min)

J Average ventral branch speed (μm/min)

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)* **, *unc-40(e1430)* **

K Percent of axons that stabilize at the VNC within 4h

wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)* ***, *unc-40(e1430)* ****

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

Dorsal | Ventral

Pmec-7 tethered UNC-6
Punc-4 tethered UNC-6

B

wildtype
0 min 24 min 45 min 72 min
5μm

unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}
0 min 27 min 54 min 87 min

unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}
0 min 30 min 57 min 87 min

unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM} Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}
0 min 30 min 84 min 114 min

C

Percent of axons that stabilize after initial vsLNC contact

wildtype, unc-6(ev400)*, Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*, Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}

unc-6(ev400)

D

HSN UNC-40::GFP   UNC-40::GFP

wildtype
5μm

unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}

unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}

E

UNC-40::GFP polarity in HSN

****
ns
****

more dorsal
more ventral

wildtype, unc-6(ev400), Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}

unc-6(ev400)

F

Percent of axon branching

Dorsal branch   Ventral branch

wildtype, unc-6(ev400)*, Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}**, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*, Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*

unc-6(ev400)

G

Percent of axons that stabilize at the VNC within 4h

*

wildtype, unc-6(ev400)**, Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}****, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*, Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}; Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*

unc-6(ev400)

Figure 6

Endogenous UNC-6::mNG
Low — High

A PDE

5µm

B wildtype
HSN | PDE | AVM

Fasciculation at sublateral
5µm

Branching to VNC

D *unc-40(e1430)*
HSN | PDE | AVM

C
UNC-6::mNG intensity (au)
VNC — vSLNC
Dorsoventral distance (µm)

E
UNC-6::mNG intensity (au)
VNC — vSLNC
Dorsoventral distance (µm)

Figure 7

Figure S1

A Angle of emergence — wildtype — *unc-6(ev400)* — *unc-40(e1430)*

B sin(emergence angle) — more dorsal / more ventral — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*, *unc-40(e1430)*

C Endogenous UNC-40::GFP in nerve ring — Maximum z-projection — Single z-slice — Endogenous UNC-40::GFP in ventral nerve cord — Endogenous UNC-40::GFP in dorsal nerve cord

D Endogenous UNC-40::GFP — High / Low — wildtype — *unc-6(ev400)*

E Normalized UNC-40::GFP intensity (au) in the nerve ring — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

F UNC-40::GFP density in HSN dorsal cell body (au/μm) — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

G UNC-40::GFP density at HSN vSLNC contact site (au/μm) — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

H PVM UNC-40::GFP — UNC-40::GFP — wildtype — *unc-6(ev400)*

I UNC-40::GFP polarity in PVM — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

J PQR UNC-40::GFP — UNC-40::GFP — wildtype — *unc-6(ev400)*

K UNC-40::GFP polarity in PQR — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

L AVM UNC-40::GFP — UNC-40::GFP — wildtype — *unc-6(ev400)*

M UNC-40::GFP polarity in AVM — wildtype, *unc-6(ev400)*

N *unc-6(ev400)* — Axon at VNC — Axon not at VNC

Figure S2

Figure S4

Figure S3

A — SNAP labeling / nerve cords

unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM::SNAP} Punc-4::unc-6^{TM::SNAP}

3 µm

B — *unc-6(ev400); Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}* | *unc-6(ev400); Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*

C — sin(emergence angle); more dorsal / more ventral; wildtype, unc-6(ev400), Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}; unc-6(ev400)

D — *Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}* / *Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}*; 5µm

E — UNC-40::GFP density in HSN dorsal cell body (au); wildtype, unc-6(ev400), Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}; unc-6(ev400)

F — UNC-40::GFP density at HSN vSLNC contact site (au); wildtype, unc-6(ev400), Pmec-7::unc-6^{TM}, Punc-4::unc-6^{TM}; unc-6(ev400)