A nanobody-enzyme fusion protein targeting PD-L1 and sialic acid

exerts anti-tumor effects by affecting tumor associated macrophages

Yongliang Tong[1, a], Runqiu Chen[2, 3, 4, a], Xinrong Lu[1, a], Cuiying Chen[5], Guiqin Sun[6],

Xiaolu Yu[4], Shaoxian Lyu[1], Meiqing Feng[2, 3, *], Yiru Long[4, **], Likun Gong[4, ***], Li

Chen[1, 7 ****]

1. Department. of Medical Microbiology, Key Laboratory of Medical Molecular

Virology of Ministries of Education and Health, School of Basic Medical Sciences,

Fudan University, Shanghai, China

2. Shanghai Institute of Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Fudan University,

Shanghai, China

3. Department of Microbiological and Biochemical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy,

Fudan University, Shanghai, China

4. State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China

5. Department of Research and Development, Sysdiagno (Nanjing) Biotech Co., Ltd,

Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China

6. School of Medical Technology and Information Engineering, Zhejiang Chinese

Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang Province, China

7. Translational glycomics research center, Fudan Zhangjiang Institute, Shanghai,

China

* Corresponding author. fmq@fudan.edu.cn

** Corresponding author. s18-longyiru@simm.ac.cn

*** Corresponding author. lkgong@simm.ac.cn

**** Corresponding author. lichen_bk@fudan.edu.cn

a. These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Cancer cells employ various mechanisms to evade immune surveillance. Their surface

features, including a protective "sugar coat" and immune checkpoints like PD-L1

(programmed death ligand 1), can impede immune cell recognition. Sialic acids,

which carry negative charges, may hinder cell contact through electrostatic repulsion,

while PD-L1 transmits immunosuppressive signals to T cells. Furthermore, cancer

cells manipulate macrophages within the tumor microenvironment to facilitate

immune escape. Prior research has demonstrated the effectiveness of separately

blocking the PD-L1 and sialic acid pathways in eliciting anti-tumor effects. In this

study, we investigated the relationship between PD-L1 expression and genes

associated with sialic acid in clinical databases. Subsequently, we developed a novel

nanobody enzyme fusion protein termed Nb16-Sia to simultaneously target both

PD-L1 and sialic acid pathways. In vivo experiments confirmed the anti-tumor

activity of Nb16-Sia and highlighted its dependence on macrophages. Further

investigations revealed that Nb16-Sia could polarize macrophages towards the M1

phenotype through the C-type lectin pathway in vitro and eliminate tumor-associated

macrophages in vivo. In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that the fusion of

PD-L1 nanobody with sialidase effectively targets tumor-associated macrophages,

resulting in significant anti-tumor effects. This approach holds promise for drug

development aimed at enhancing immune responses against cancer.

Introduction

Antibody fusion proteins represent a category of antibody-based constructs that tether

diverse payloads, including cytokines, toxins, enzymes, neuroprotectants, and soluble

cytokines, to various antibody components such as full-length antibodies, Fc domains,

single-chain variable fragments (scFvs), single-domain antibodies (nanobodies), and

antigen-binding fragments (Fabs)[1, 2]. Several antibody fusion proteins have

received regulatory approval as therapeutic agents, underscoring their significant

clinical utility[3, 4]. Leveraging antibodies for targeting can markedly augment

payload potency while mitigating adverse effects[5-7]. Additionally, protein payloads

fused with antibodies demonstrate decreased renal clearance and prolonged in vivo

half-life, thereby reducing the necessity for frequent dosing[8].

PD-L1, initially cloned in 1999, emerged subsequently as the principal ligand for

PD-1 (programmed cell death 1) on T cell surfaces, modulating immunosuppressive

effects[9, 10]. Elevated expression levels of PD-L1 have been observed in tumor cells

and macrophages within tumor tissues, facilitating immune evasion[11, 12].

Antibodies targeting PD-L1 have proven instrumental in bolstering anti-tumor

immune responses[13]. Nevertheless, notwithstanding the widespread clinical

deployment of PD-L1 antibodies, their response rates remain approximately 20%,

prompting investigations into alternative immunosuppressive pathways that may be

implicated upon PD-L1/PD-1 axis inhibition[14, 15].

Sialic acids, comprising a class of negatively charged 9-carbon glycans linked to the

termini of glycan chains via α-glycosidic bonds, serve as self-recognition signals on

cell surfaces[16, 17]. Under normal physiological conditions, these signals transmit

inhibitory cues to immune cells via Siglecs (Sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like

lectins), establishing a state of self-tolerance and immune homeostasis[18]. However,

pathogens or tumor cells exploit this mechanism, precipitating immune evasion and

impeding effective clearance of cancer cells and pathogens by the immune

system[19-21].

Our investigation unveiled a significant positive correlation between PD-L1

expression and genes associated with sialic acid in colorectal cancer. Treatment with a

PD-L1 nanobody culminated in elevated cellular sialic acid levels, while sialidase

treatment led to heightened cellular PD-L1 levels. These findings suggest that PD-L1

and sialic acid may represent two complementary immunosuppressive pathways.

Consequently, we engineered a novel dual-function molecule by combining PD-L1

nanobody with sialidase protein (Nb16-Sia), which elicited potent in vivo anti-tumor

effects. Subsequent immune deletion experiments underscored that Nb16-Sia

primarily exerted anti-tumor effects through macrophages. Tumor-associated

macrophages (TAMs), prevalent in various tumor types, are typically associated with

a poor prognosis[22]. TAMs facilitate tumor growth and metastasis by suppressing

immune responses and fostering a pro-tumor microenvironment[23, 24]. Our data

revealed that Nb16-Sia could polarize macrophages to the M1 phenotype and reduce

TAMs in tumor tissue.

Materials and Methods

Clinical data analysis

Clinical gene expression data of Colon adenocarcinoma (COAD) were obtained from

the TCGA data sets (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/). The correlation between PD-L1

and other gene were analyzed by TIMER2.0 online tool and the gene expression

difference in PD-L1 high and PD-L1 low group was analyzed by R software v4.0.3.

Cell lines

CT26, MC38 and Raw264.7 cells were purchased from Procell. CT26 were cultured

in RPMI-1640 medium (MA0215, Meilunbio) containing 1% P/S and 10% FBS.

Raw264.7 cells were cultured in minimum essential medium (MEM; MA0217,

Meilunbio) supplemented with 1% P/S and 10% FBS. HEK 293F cells were

purchased from Thermo Fisher and grown in FreeStyle™ 293 expression medium

(12338026, Thermo Fisher). All cells were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 humidified

atmosphere.

Expression and purification of proteins

Genes encoding Nb16, Sialidase, and Nb16-Sia were cloned by GenScript

Corporation into the pET28a vector for expression using BL21(DE3) cells. Above

proteins were purified using Ni Sepharose™ 6 Fast Flow (175318, Cytiva).

Endotoxins were removed using a high-capacity endotoxin removal spin kit (88275,

Thermo Fisher). Gene encoding human Siglec9 (amino acid residues 18–347) was

cloned into the pFUSE-hIgG1-Fc2 vector (InvivoGen) for expression using HEK293F

cells. Siglec9-Fc protein was purified by Protein A Sepharose (17127901, Cytiva).

Elisa assay for antibody binding activity

Elisa plates were coated with 100 μL of mouse-PD-L1 antibody (2 μg/mL) per well and incubated overnight at 4°C. Each well was blocked with 200 μL BSA (3%) for 1h at 37□. After washing, 100 μL of Nb16 and Nb16-SiaT antibodies were added to each well and incubated for 1 hour at 37□. Anti-His-HRP (dilution 1:10000, 30403, Yeasen) was added and incubated at 37□ for 1 hour. After washing, 100 μl of TMB solution was added to each well and incubated at 37□ for 10 minutes, followed by the addition of 50 μl of 2M H_2SO_4 to stop the reaction. The absorption value was measured at a 450 nm within 5 minutes.

Flowcytometric and Immunophenotype Analysis

Raw264.7 cells were treated with Sialidase (75 μg/mL) or Nb16-Sia (100 μg/mL) for 24h. And then collected cells were incubated with Siglec9-Fc (2 μg/mL) in 100 μL volume. After washed with PBS, 1 μL of Anti-Human IgG FITC antibody was added and then the ready samples were detected by ACEA NovoCyte.

For immunotyping of intra-tumoral cells, tumor tissues were digested by collagenase IV (40510ES60, Yeasen) and hyaluronidase (20426ES60, Yeasen) and were filtered through 75-μm nylon mesh (7061011, Dakewe) into single-cell suspensions and then were subjected to cell extraction using lymphocyte separation medium (7211011, Dakewe) for sorting tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) or were subjected to erythrocyte removal by red blood cell lysis buffer (40401ES60, Yeasen) for nonlymphocyte staining. Then, the cells were blocked with 4% FBS and anti-CD16/CD32 (553141, BD Biosciences), incubated with surface marker antibodies for 20 minutes at 4°C and then permeabilized with BD cytofix/cytoperm buffer (554714) before intracellular labeling antibodies were added for 30 minutes at 4°C. Then transcription factors were labeled for 45 minutes at 4°C by antibodies after being permeabilized with BD TF Fix/Perm buffer (562574). Flow cytometry analysis was performed using ACEA NovoCyte, and data processing was done through NovoExpress software (version 1.4.0). Antibody staining was performed following

141 the manufacturer's recommendations.

Animal study

Female 6- to 8-week-old BALB/c and C57/B6 mice were purchased from the

Shanghai Slack. Balb/c nu/nu mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratory. All

mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility of

the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Sciences (SIMM).

Animal care and experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved

by the Institutional Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

For in vivo anti-tumor assay, CT26 or MC38 cells (1×10^6) were injected

subcutaneously into the right flank of Balb/c mice, C57/B6 or Balb/c-nu/nu mice.

Mice were treated intraperitoneally or peri-tumor subcutaneously with Nb16 (25 μg),

Sia (75 μg), or Nb16-Sia (100 μg) on day 5, day 7, day 9, day 11.

For macrophage deletion assay, macrophages were deleted using

chlorophosphate-liposomes (200 μL/each/ i.p. 40337ES08, Yeasen) on days –2, 4, and

8. Endpoint dissected tumor tissues were made into paraffin sections and

characterized by immunohistochemical staining for CD206 expression.

RNA-Seq

Raw264.7 was treated with 100 μg/mL Sia or heated Sia for 24h, and then total RNA

was extracted using Column Total RNA Purification Kit (B511361, Sangon). RNA

transcriptome libraries construction, sequencing, and basic data analysis were

conducted by Majorbio. Based on the RNA-seq raw data, differential expression was

evaluated with DESeq. A fold change of 2:1 or greater and a false discovery rate

(FDR)-corrected P value of 0.05 or less were set as the threshold for differential genes.

GSEA was performed using GSEA software (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea).

Western blot and Lectin blot

Proteins were detected using western blot analysis. Cells were washed with PBS and

lysed in RIPA lysis buffer. Cell lysates were quantified for protein content using the

BCA method. Subsequently, 10 μg protein/lane was resolved on SDS-PAGE (10% gel)

and transferred onto a PVDF membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% bovine

serum album for 1h at room temperature. Subsequently, membranes were probed with

primary antibodies against SYK (dilution 1:1000, 14858-1-AP; Proteintech), GAPDH

(dilution 1:1000, A19056; Abclonal), iNOS (dilution 1:1000, A3774; Abclonal)

Phospho-JNK (dilution 1:1000, AP1337; Abclonal) and JNK (dilution 1:1000, A0288;

Abclonal) at 4°C overnight. Subsequent to washing, the membranes were incubated

with secondary antibodies (dilution 1:5000, AS014; Abclonal) for 1 h at room

temperature and visualized using the ECL Basic kit (RM00020, Abclonal). Glycans

were determined by lectin blot. Different from the Western blot, biotinylated MAL-II

lectin (10 μg/mL, B-1265-1; Vectorlab) was used for the primary antibody and

Streptavidin-HRP (dilution 1:2000, A0303; Beyotime) for the secondary antibody.

Statistical analysis

The in vivo experiments were randomized but the researchers were not blinded to

allocation during experiments and outcome analysis. Statistical analysis was

performed using GraphPad Prism 8 Software. A Student t test was used for

comparison between the two groups. Multiple comparisons were performed using

one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey multiple comparisons test or two-way ANOVA

followed by the Tukey multiple comparisons test. Detailed statistical methods and

sample sizes in the experiments are described in each figure legend. No statistical

methods were used to predetermine the sample size. All statistical tests were

two-sided, and P values < 0.05 were considered to be significant. Ns, not significant;

*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

Results

Clinical database analysis revealed that PD-L1 and Sialic acid are co-related

Numerous enzymatic processes govern the transport of sialic acid within mammalian

cells. Specifically, hydrolase and transferase enzymes play pivotal roles in modulating

the abundance of sialic acid molecules residing on the cellular membrane[25]. Sialic

acid transferase mediates the attachment of sialic acid moieties onto the cell surface,

while sialic acid hydrolase facilitates their removal. Thus, the transcriptional

regulation of these enzymatic components holds potential for reflecting the sialic acid

profile at the cellular periphery. In this investigation, we elucidate a negative

correlation between the expression levels of sialic acid hydrolases (NEU1, NEU4) and

PD-L1, alongside a positive correlation between the expression levels of sialic acid

transferases (ST3GAL1, ST3GAL5, ST3GAL6, ST6GALNAC5, ST8SIA1, ST8SIA4)

and PD-L1 within colorectal cancer specimens sourced from the TCGA database (Fig.

1A). Furthermore, stratifying patients based on PD-L1 expression levels revealed

significantly augmented sialic acid transferase expression and concomitantly

diminished sialic acid hydrolase expression in individuals exhibiting heightened

PD-L1 levels (Fig. 1B). To evaluate the interplay between sialic acid and PD-L1

expression, cellular models were subjected to PD-L1 antibody treatment, resulting in

heightened sialic acid levels (Fig. 1C). Conversely, treatment with sialidase induced

upregulated PD-L1 expression (Fig. 1D). These observations suggest a potential

reciprocal modulation between the PD-L1 and sialic acid pathways. Consequently, we

engineered nanobody fusion proteins possessing dual functionalities, namely PD-L1

blocking and sialidase activities, with the aim of concurrently targeting these

immunosuppressive pathways.

215 Construction and validation of nanobody enzyme fusion protein

After analyzing the results above, a novel compound was synthesized by integrating

PD-L1 nanobodies with sialidase. Prior investigations have evidenced the potent

binding capability of PD-L1 nanobody within this composite, effectively inhibiting its

functionality[26]. The sialidase component, sourced from the genome of *Actinomyces*

sp. oral taxon, underwent cloning and subsequent validation of its enzymatic efficacy.

A comprehensive series of assays revealed the remarkable thermal tolerance and

heightened enzymatic performance of this sialidase (Fig. S1). Next, we conjugated

PD-L1 nanobody and sialidase utilizing (G4S)3 linkers, followed by insertion into the

pET28a vector (Fig. 2A). The obtained fusion protein was named as Nb16-Sia and

successfully expressed, achieving a protein purity more than 90% (Fig. 2B).

Subsequent assessment affirmed binding activity (EC_{50}) towards PD-L1 of Nb16-Sia

measured at 2.73 nM, consistent with the Nb16's binding activity (Fig. 2C). Moreover,

the enzymatic performance of Nb16-Sia mirrored that of Sia, as demonstrated in the

pNP substrate assay (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, evidence was established confirming the

enzymatic proficiency of Nb16-Sia in cleaving sialic acid residues on cellular surfaces,

as indicated by Siglec-9 labeling (Fig. 2E-F). These collective findings mark the

successful development of Nb16-Sia, a nanobody enzyme fusion protein endowed

with dual functionalities, including PD-L1 binding and sialic acid hydrolysis.

Nb16-Sia exerted anti-tumor effect in vivo and exhibited good safety

To evaluate the in vivo antitumor efficacy of Nb16-Sia, experiments were conducted

using the CT26 tumor model. The treatment groups received four doses administered

every two days, and therapeutic activity was compared across cohorts treated with

PBS, Nb16, Sia, Combo, and Nb16-Sia. The results demonstrated a significant

inhibition of tumor growth in mice treated with Nb16-Sia compared to those

administered Nb16, Sia, and Combo (Fig. 3A-B). Notably, administration at a dosage

of 5 mg/kg elicited a more pronounced and sustained antitumor response compared to

the 2.5 mg/kg dosage, indicating a dose-dependent effect of Nb16-Sia on tumor

suppression (Fig. 3C). To further validate Nb16-Sia's antitumor effect, the in vivo

model was expanded using MC38 tumor cells. As shown in Figure 3D, Nb16-Sia

reduced tumor growth by 56%. Additionally, a comparison between peritumoral

injection (PT) and intraperitoneal injection (IP) revealed that Sia (PT) more

effectively suppressed tumor growth than Sia (IP), underscoring the necessity of the

fusion of Nb16 with Sia. Collectively, these findings underscore the efficacious

antitumor properties of antibody fusion proteins in vivo. Importantly,

post-administration analysis of peripheral blood parameters revealed no significant

deviations in body weight and liver and kidney function indicators across the five

treatment groups (Fig. 3D-J), thereby reinforcing the safety profile of the drug

candidate. Further assessment of the in vivo pharmacokinetic profile revealed that the

half-life of Nb16-Sia is 6.32 ± 1.00 hours (Fig. 4A-B). The main PK parameters were

shown in Table 1.

The effect of Nb16-Sia mainly depended on macrophage

To further deepen our understanding of the immunological mechanisms underlying

the action of Nb16-Sia, we conducted an analysis of the immune microenvironment

within tumor tissues using flow cytometry. Our findings revealed a significant

enhancement in the secretion of key cytokines such as IFN-γ, Perforin, Granzyme B,

and TNF-α by T cells in response to Nb16-Sia (Fig. 5A), along with a mitigation of T

cell exhaustion (Fig. 5B). Additionally, we observed a significant increase in NK cell

infiltration within tumor tissues (Fig. 5C). In the Nb16-Sia group, there was an

improvement in the expression of PD-L1 in macrophages, which corresponded to our

data in Fig. 1D and could enhance the targeting of Nb16-Sia. Furthermore, the

expression of MHC-II in macrophages was elevated, indicating an enhanced

antigen-presenting capability (Fig. 5D). Collectively, these immunophenotyping

results revealed an improved tumor immune microenvironment. Subsequent

experimentation in T-cell-deficient murine models unveiled a diminished anti-tumor

efficacy of Nb16-Sia, albeit with a persistent impediment on tumor growth (Fig. 5E),

suggesting a partial reliance on T cells for its therapeutic activity. Within the tumor

milieu, macrophages represent a substantial fraction of the infiltrating immune cell

population alongside T cells[27]. Typically, macrophages undergo phenotypic

alterations to assume a tumor-associated macrophage phenotype within the tumor

microenvironment, thereby facilitating immune evasion[28]. We posited that

macrophages might also exert a pivotal influence on the efficacy of Nb16-Sia. Indeed,

experiments involving macrophage depletion resulted in a complete abrogation of the

anti-tumor effects conferred by Nb16-Sia (Fig. 5F). Furthermore,

immunohistochemical staining revealed a conspicuous reduction in the infiltration of

CD206+ macrophages subsequent to Nb16-Sia treatment (Fig. 5G-H). In summation,

our investigations identify Nb16-Sia as a potent inhibitor of tumor progression,

achieved through the suppression of tumor-associated macrophage infiltration and

augmentation of T cell functionality within the tumor microenvironment.

Nb16-Sia could promote M1 polarization by C-type lectin pathway

The aforementioned data demonstrates that Nb16-Sia exerts its anti-tumor effects

primarily through modulation of macrophage activity. Despite extensive research

elucidating the impact of the PD-L1 antibody on macrophages, our understanding of

the influence of sialidase on macrophages remains incomplete. Thus, we conducted a

transcriptomic analysis of macrophages post-sialidase treatment. The results unveiled

a marked upregulation in the glycan-related C-type lectin pathway (Fig. 6A-B),

accompanied by a notable elevation in SYK (spleen tyrosine kinase) expression

within this pathway (Fig. 6C). Validation through Western blot analysis confirmed the

enhancement of SYK levels by Nb16-Sia treatment, as well as activation of the

downstream JNK (c-Jun N-terminal kinase 1) kinase pathway (Fig. 6D-E).

Discussion

In this investigation, a novel fusion protein comprised of PD-L1 nanobody and

sialidase was developed and designated as Nb16-Sia. In vivo assessments revealed

that Nb16-Sia elicited anti-tumor effects by modulating macrophage activity. In vitro

experiments showed that Nb16-Sia could induce activation of the C-type lectin

pathway in macrophages, thereby promoting polarization toward the M1 phenotype.

Antibody fusion proteins have garnered increasing attention as a promising avenue for

bispecific antibody development. When formulating the molecular structure of

antibody fusion proteins, it is imperative to consider both the intended target and the

architectural configuration of the antibody, alongside the rationality of its fusion with

the coupling agent[29]. PD-L1 exhibits high expression levels in both tumor cells and

TAMs[30, 31], with a notable correlation observed between sialic acid levels and

PD-L1 expression in tumor tissues (Fig. 1A). Consequently, we elected to merge

PD-L1 with sialidase and deliver the resultant fusion protein to tumor tissues utilizing

PD-L1 antibodies. In the realm of cancer therapy, nanobodies present significant

advantages in terms of tumor targeting and rapid clearance, thereby facilitating

optimal delivery to tumor cells while minimizing adverse effects on healthy

tissues[32]. Furthermore, nanobodies offer facile modification, hence PD-L1

nanobodies were selected as the focal targets in this investigation. Given that sialidase

from mammalian cells typically localizes within lysosomes[33, 34], its enzymatic

activity is primarily exerted under acidic conditions[35]. Accordingly, sialidase was

sourced from oral commensal bacteria due to its superior pH tolerance range. This

study represents the inaugural endeavor in the field of PD-L1/sialic acid

dual-targeting nanobody enzyme fusion proteins.

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) represent a distinct subset of macrophages

that infiltrate neoplastic tissues, primarily originating from circulating monocytes[36,

37]. The recruitment of monocytes from the circulation into the tumor

microenvironment (TME) is facilitated by chemotactic factors such as CSF1 and

CCL2 secreted by tumor cells[38-40]. Upon reaching the TME, monocytes undergo

differentiation into macrophages. Initially perceived to possess anti-tumorigenic

properties, TAMs are now acknowledged to exhibit pro-tumorigenic and

immunosuppressive characteristics[41]. TAMs contribute to the progression of tumors

through various mechanisms, including the promotion of tumor cell proliferation,

facilitation of invasion and metastasis, facilitation of immune evasion, and induction

of angiogenesis[42-45]. Furthermore, TAMs play a regulatory role in tumor cell

metabolism, notably by fostering aerobic glycolysis and malignant progression[46].

Notably, studies have indicated that TAMs constitute approximately 20% of tumor

tissue and manifest heightened expression of PD-L1. This suggests a potential

mechanism underlying the efficacy of PD-L1 antibody therapies in tumor patients,

possibly through the targeting of macrophages. Henceforth, we hold that TAMs play

the role of "umbrella" for tumor cells, and targeting TAMs can be a promising

approach for anti-tumor therapy[47-49].

However, it is imperative to acknowledge the limitations inherent in our study, necessitating further investigation. Firstly, there is a crucial need to explore the potential systemic toxicity of sialidase on circulating monocytes subsequent to intravenous administration. Secondly, the immunogenicity of sialidase warrants thorough consideration[50]. Given that the production of anti-drug antibodies could impact the long-term safety profile of the drug, further efforts towards its humanization are warranted. Thirdly, the necessity for fusion of the Fc segment remains under deliberation due to concerns regarding the short in vivo half-life of Nb16-Sia, which could potentially compromise its efficacy[51]. Lastly, comprehensive studies are warranted to elucidate the precise role of Nb16-Sia in tumor progression and to identify adjunctive therapeutic agents capable of augmenting its antitumor efficacy.

Reference

[1] A.B. Silver, E.K. Leonard, J.R. Gould, J.B. Spangler, Engineered antibody fusion proteins for targeted disease therapy, Trends Pharmacol Sci 42(12) (2021) 1064-1081.

[2] G.J. Weiner, Building better monoclonal antibody-based therapeutics, Nat Rev Cancer 15(6) (2015) 361-70.

[3] B. Haraoui, V. Bykerk, Etanercept in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, Ther Clin Risk Manag 3(1) (2007) 99-105.

[4] W.R. Strohl, Current progress in innovative engineered antibodies, Protein Cell 9(1) (2018) 86-120.

[5] D.R. Goulet, W.M. Atkins, Considerations for the Design of Antibody-Based Therapeutics, J Pharm Sci 109(1) (2020) 74-103.

[6] S. Shen, G. Sckisel, A. Sahoo, A. Lalani, D.D. Otter, J. Pearson, J. DeVoss, J. Cheng, S.C. Casey, R. Case, M. Yang, R. Low, M. Daris, B. Fan, N.J. Agrawal, K. Ali, Engineered IL-21 Cytokine Muteins Fused to Anti-PD-1 Antibodies Can Improve CD8+ T Cell Function and Anti-tumor Immunity, Front Immunol 11 (2020) 832.

[7] A. Cauwels, S. Van Lint, G. Garcin, J. Bultinck, F. Paul, S. Gerlo, J. Van der Heyden,

Y. Bordat, D. Catteeuw, L. De Cauwer, E. Rogge, A. Verhee, G. Uzé, J. Tavernier, A

safe and highly efficient tumor-targeted type I interferon immunotherapy depends on

the tumor microenvironment, Oncoimmunology 7(3) (2018) e1398876.

[8] P. Murer, D. Neri, Antibody-cytokine fusion proteins: A novel class of

biopharmaceuticals for the therapy of cancer and of chronic inflammation, N

Biotechnol 52 (2019) 42-53.

[9] H. Dong, G. Zhu, K. Tamada, L. Chen, B7-H1, a third member of the B7 family,

co-stimulates T-cell proliferation and interleukin-10 secretion, Nat Med 5(12) (1999)

1365-9.

[10] Y. Ishida, Y. Agata, K. Shibahara, T. Honjo, Induced expression of PD-1, a novel

member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily, upon programmed cell death, Embo

j 11(11) (1992) 3887-95.

[11] Y. Iwai, M. Ishida, Y. Tanaka, T. Okazaki, T. Honjo, N. Minato, Involvement of

PD-L1 on tumor cells in the escape from host immune system and tumor

immunotherapy by PD-L1 blockade, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99(19) (2002) 12293-7.

[12] S. Kurt Alex, C.-H. Daniel, M. Joseph, V. Vamsidhar, C. Lieping, S. Miguel, S.H.

Roy, L.R. David, Clinical significance of PD-L1 protein expression on

tumor-associated macrophages in lung cancer, Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer

3(Suppl 2) (2015) P415.

[13] S. Upadhaya, S.T. Neftelinov, J. Hodge, J. Campbell, Challenges and opportunities

in the PD1/PDL1 inhibitor clinical trial landscape, Nat Rev Drug Discov 21(7) (2022)

482-483.

[14] Z.Y. Xu-Monette, M. Zhang, J. Li, K.H. Young, PD-1/PD-L1 Blockade: Have We

Found the Key to Unleash the Antitumor Immune Response?, Front Immunol 8 (2017)

1597.

[15] M. Yi, X. Zheng, M. Niu, S. Zhu, H. Ge, K. Wu, Combination strategies with

PD-1/PD-L1 blockade: current advances and future directions, Molecular Cancer 21(1)

(2022) 28.

[16] H. Läubli, A. Varki, Sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-like lectins (Siglecs)

detect self-associated molecular patterns to regulate immune responses, Cell Mol Life

Sci 77(4) (2020) 593-605.

[17] L. Deng, X. Chen, A. Varki, Exploration of sialic acid diversity and biology using

sialoglycan microarrays, Biopolymers 99(10) (2013) 650-65.

[18] L. Schaefer, Complexity of danger: the diverse nature of damage-associated

molecular patterns, J Biol Chem 289(51) (2014) 35237-45.

[19] J. Bröker-Lai, A. Kollewe, B. Schindeldecker, J. Pohle, V. Nguyen Chi, I. Mathar,

R. Guzman, Y. Schwarz, A. Lai, P. Weißgerber, H. Schwegler, A. Dietrich, M. Both, R.

Sprengel, A. Draguhn, G. Köhr, B. Fakler, V. Flockerzi, D. Bruns, M. Freichel,

Heteromeric channels formed by TRPC1, TRPC4 and TRPC5 define hippocampal

synaptic transmission and working memory, Embo j 36(18) (2017) 2770-2789.

[20] S.R. Ali, J.J. Fong, A.F. Carlin, T.D. Busch, R. Linden, T. Angata, T. Areschoug,

M. Parast, N. Varki, J. Murray, V. Nizet, A. Varki, Siglec-5 and Siglec-14 are

polymorphic paired receptors that modulate neutrophil and amnion signaling responses

to group B Streptococcus, J Exp Med 211(6) (2014) 1231-42.

[21] C.S. Landig, A. Hazel, B.P. Kellman, J.J. Fong, F. Schwarz, S. Agarwal, N. Varki,

P. Massari, N.E. Lewis, S. Ram, A. Varki, Evolution of the exclusively human pathogen

Neisseria gonorrhoeae: Human-specific engagement of immunoregulatory Siglecs,

Evol Appl 12(2) (2019) 337-349.

[22] Y. Pan, Y. Yu, X. Wang, T. Zhang, Tumor-Associated Macrophages in Tumor

Immunity, Front Immunol 11 (2020) 583084.

[23] U. Basak, T. Sarkar, S. Mukherjee, S. Chakraborty, A. Dutta, S. Dutta, D. Nayak, S.

Kaushik, T. Das, G. Sa, Tumor-associated macrophages: an effective player of the

tumor microenvironment, Front Immunol 14 (2023) 1295257.

[24] R.Y. Ma, H. Zhang, X.F. Li, C.B. Zhang, C. Selli, G. Tagliavini, A.D. Lam, S.

Prost, A.H. Sims, H.Y. Hu, T. Ying, Z. Wang, Z. Ye, J.W. Pollard, B.Z. Qian,

Monocyte-derived macrophages promote breast cancer bone metastasis outgrowth, J

Exp Med 217(11) (2020).

[25] B. Byrne, G.G. Donohoe, R. O'Kennedy, Sialic acids: carbohydrate moieties that

influence the biological and physical properties of biopharmaceutical proteins and

living cells, Drug Discov Today 12(7-8) (2007) 319-26.

[26] X. Yu, Y. Long, B. Chen, Y. Tong, M. Shan, X. Jia, C. Hu, M. Liu, J. Zhou, F. Tang,

H. Lu, R. Chen, P. Xu, W. Huang, J. Ren, Y. Wan, J. Sun, J. Li, G. Jin, L. Gong,

PD-L1/TLR7 dual-targeting nanobody-drug conjugate mediates potent tumor

regression via elevating tumor immunogenicity in a host-expressed PD-L1

bias-dependent way, J Immunother Cancer 10(10) (2022).

[27] Y. Ino, R. Yamazaki-Itoh, K. Shimada, M. Iwasaki, T. Kosuge, Y. Kanai, N.

Hiraoka, Immune cell infiltration as an indicator of the immune microenvironment of

pancreatic cancer, British Journal of Cancer 108(4) (2013) 914-923.

[28] V. De Paolis, F. Maiullari, M. Chirivì, M. Milan, C. Cordiglieri, F. Pagano, A.R. La

Manna, E. De Falco, C. Bearzi, R. Rizzi, C. Parisi, Unusual Association of NF-κB

Components in Tumor-Associated Macrophages (TAMs) Promotes HSPG2-Mediated

Immune-Escaping Mechanism in Breast Cancer, Int J Mol Sci 23(14) (2022).

[29] M. Surowka, W. Schaefer, C. Klein, Ten years in the making: application of

CrossMab technology for the development of therapeutic bispecific antibodies and

antibody fusion proteins, MAbs 13(1) (2021) 1967714.

[30] W. Li, F. Wu, S. Zhao, P. Shi, S. Wang, D. Cui, Correlation between PD-1/PD-L1

expression and polarization in tumor-associated macrophages: A key player in tumor

immunotherapy, Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 67 (2022) 49-57.

[31] J. Cai, Q. Qi, X. Qian, J. Han, X. Zhu, Q. Zhang, R. Xia, The role of PD-1/PD-L1

axis and macrophage in the progression and treatment of cancer, J Cancer Res Clin

Oncol 145(6) (2019) 1377-1385.

[32] P. Bannas, J. Hambach, F. Koch-Nolte, Nanobodies and Nanobody-Based Human

Heavy Chain Antibodies As Antitumor Therapeutics, Front Immunol 8 (2017) 1603.

[33] E. Bonten, A. van der Spoel, M. Fornerod, G. Grosveld, A. d'Azzo,

Characterization of human lysosomal neuraminidase defines the molecular basis of the metabolic storage disorder sialidosis, Genes Dev 10(24) (1996) 3156-69.

[34] A.V. Pshezhetsky, C. Richard, L. Michaud, S. Igdoura, S. Wang, M.A. Elsliger, J. Qu, D. Leclerc, R. Gravel, L. Dallaire, M. Potier, Cloning, expression and chromosomal mapping of human lysosomal sialidase and characterization of mutations in sialidosis, Nat Genet 15(3) (1997) 316-20.

[35] E. Monti, M.T. Bassi, R. Bresciani, S. Civini, G.L. Croci, N. Papini, M. Riboni, G. Zanchetti, A. Ballabio, A. Preti, G. Tettamanti, B. Venerando, G. Borsani, Molecular cloning and characterization of NEU4, the fourth member of the human sialidase gene family, Genomics 83(3) (2004) 445-53.

[36] K. Wu, K. Lin, X. Li, X. Yuan, P. Xu, P. Ni, D. Xu, Redefining Tumor-Associated Macrophage Subpopulations and Functions in the Tumor Microenvironment, Front Immunol 11 (2020) 1731.

[37] Y. Liu, X. Cao, The origin and function of tumor-associated macrophages, Cellular & Molecular Immunology 12(1) (2015) 1-4.

[38] X.Y. Guo, J.Y. Zhang, X.Z. Shi, Q. Wang, W.L. Shen, W.W. Zhu, L.K. Liu, Upregulation of CSF-1 is correlated with elevated TAM infiltration and poor prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma, Am J Transl Res 12(10) (2020) 6235-6249.

[39] Y. Zhu, B.L. Knolhoff, M.A. Meyer, T.M. Nywening, B.L. West, J. Luo, A. Wang-Gillam, S.P. Goedegebuure, D.C. Linehan, D.G. DeNardo, CSF1/CSF1R blockade reprograms tumor-infiltrating macrophages and improves response to T-cell checkpoint immunotherapy in pancreatic cancer models, Cancer Res 74(18) (2014) 5057-69.

[40] M.A. Avila, C. Berasain, Targeting CCL2/CCR2 in Tumor-Infiltrating Macrophages: A Tool Emerging Out of the Box Against Hepatocellular Carcinoma, Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 7(2) (2019) 293-294.

[41] E. Nasrollahzadeh, S. Razi, M. Keshavarz-Fathi, M. Mazzone, N. Rezaei, Pro-tumorigenic functions of macrophages at the primary, invasive and metastatic

tumor site, Cancer Immunol Immunother 69(9) (2020) 1673-1697.

[42] J. Wyckoff, W. Wang, E.Y. Lin, Y. Wang, F. Pixley, E.R. Stanley, T. Graf, J.W.

Pollard, J. Segall, J. Condeelis, A paracrine loop between tumor cells and macrophages

is required for tumor cell migration in mammary tumors, Cancer Res 64(19) (2004)

7022-9.

[43] V. Gocheva, H.W. Wang, B.B. Gadea, T. Shree, K.E. Hunter, A.L. Garfall, T.

Berman, J.A. Joyce, IL-4 induces cathepsin protease activity in tumor-associated

macrophages to promote cancer growth and invasion, Genes Dev 24(3) (2010) 241-55.

[44] Y. Pu, Q. Ji, Tumor-Associated Macrophages Regulate PD-1/PD-L1

Immunosuppression, Front Immunol 13 (2022) 874589.

[45] Q. Guo, Z. Jin, Y. Yuan, R. Liu, T. Xu, H. Wei, X. Xu, S. He, S. Chen, Z. Shi, W.

Hou, B. Hua, New Mechanisms of Tumor-Associated Macrophages on Promoting

Tumor Progression: Recent Research Advances and Potential Targets for Tumor

Immunotherapy, Journal of Immunology Research 2016 (2016) 9720912.

[46] P. Sadhukhan, T.Y. Seiwert, The role of macrophages in the tumor

microenvironment and tumor metabolism, Seminars in Immunopathology 45(2) (2023)

187-201.

[47] Z. Li, T. Wu, B. Zheng, L. Chen, Individualized precision treatment: Targeting

TAM in HCC, Cancer Lett 458 (2019) 86-91.

[48] C. Ngambenjawong, H.H. Gustafson, S.H. Pun, Progress in tumor-associated

macrophage (TAM)-targeted therapeutics, Adv Drug Deliv Rev 114 (2017) 206-221.

[49] J. Wu, X. Wang, Y. Huang, Y. Zhang, S. Su, H. Shou, H. Wang, J. Zhang, B. Wang,

Targeted glycan degradation potentiates cellular immunotherapy for solid tumors, Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A 120(38) (2023) e2300366120.

[50] L. Hsu, A.W. Armstrong, Anti-drug antibodies in psoriasis: a critical evaluation of

clinical significance and impact on treatment response, Expert Review of Clinical

Immunology 9(10) (2013) 949-958.

[51] A. Saxena, D. Wu, Advances in Therapeutic Fc Engineering - Modulation of

IgG-Associated Effector Functions and Serum Half-life, Front Immunol 7 (2016) 580.

Figure1 Correlation between PD-L1 level and sialic acid level in colorectal cancer tumor tissues. (A) Analysis of the correlation between key genes for sialic acid synthesis mRNA expression and CD274 (PD-L1) in tumors using the TIMER 2.0 tool. (B) Analysis of the fold change in key genes for sialic acid synthesis mRNA expression in the PD-L1 high group compared to the PD-L1 low group, compiled from TCGA data. (C) Lectin blot analysis of the sialic acid level in RAW264.7 cells after treated by PD-L1 nanobody (Nb16). (D) Quantitative PCR analysis of *Cd274* mRNA expression in RAW264.7 cells after treated by sialidase (Sia).

Figure2. Construction and activity validation of the of Nb16-Sia. (A) The structure basis of the nanobody enzyme fusion protein Nb16-Sia predicted by AlphaFold2. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of the obtained protein purified from *E. coli* using Caucasian blue stain. (C) Comparison of Nb16-Sia with Nb16 in PD-L1 binding affinity detected by ELISA. (D) Comparison of the sialidase cleavage activities of Sia and Nb16-Sia using pNP-α-sialic acid. (E) Flow cytometry detection of RAW264.7 cell surface sialic acid levels using Siglec9 labeling after enzymatic digestion of Nb16-Sia and Sia. (F) Quantitative analysis of median fluorescence intensity (MFI) in Fig. 2E.

Figure3 Anti-tumor effect and safety profile of Nb16-Sia in vivo. (A) Tumor volume growth curve in CT26 subcutaneous tumor model after receiving PBS, Nb16, Sia, Nb16-Sia or combination of Nb16 and Sia (n=7). (B) Picture of the subcutaneous tumor dissociated from sacrificed mice at the endpoint of the experiment. (C) Tumor volume growth curve in CT26 subcutaneous tumor model after administration of 2.5 mg/kg and 5 mg/kg dosages of Nb16-Sia. (D) Tumor volume growth curve in MC38 subcutaneous tumor model after receiving Sia (peritumor), Sia (intraperitoneal) and Nb16-Sia (n=8). (E-J) Liver (ALT, AST, ALP), kidney (CREA, UREA) and cardio (CK) function index among 5 groups after administration (n=4).

Figure4 Concentration-time curve after intraperitoneal injection of a single dose of Nb16-Sia (5 mg/kg) in mice. (A) Schematic of detection assay in testing the blood concentration of Nb16-Sia. (B) Concentration of Nb16-Sia in mice plasma at 0.5h, 1h, 2h, 4h, 8h and 24h after injection. Each color represents an individual mouse.

Figure5 The reliance of Nb16-Sia anti-tumor effect on macrophages. (A-D) Immune effector cells in CT26 tumors from mice treated with 5 mg/kg of Nb16-Sia were quantified by flow cytometry (n = 6). (E) BALB/c-nu/nu mice bearing CT26 tumors were treated with Nb16-Sia (5 mg/kg). Tumor growth are shown (n=10). (F) Mice bearing CT26 tumors pre-treated with clodronate liposomes were injected with Nb16-Sia. Tumor growth is shown (n=9). (G) Representative images of IHC stain with CD206 in CT26 tumor tissues (40×). The quantitative result is shown (H).

Figure6 Nb16-Sia polarized macrophage to M1 phenotype through C-type lectin pathway. (A) KEGG analysis of the differential genes between Sia-treated group and negative control. (B) GSEA enrichment analysis of C-type lectin pathway. (C) Heatmap of the differential genes in C-type lectin pathway. (D) Representative image

of western blot in detecting SYK, JNK and NOS2 expression at protein level. The quantitative results are analyzed by gray value and shown (E).

Table1 Pharmacokinetic parameters of Nb16-Sia after intraperitoneal injection of a single dose of Nb16-Sia (5 mg/kg) in mice.

animal	Tmax (h)	Cmax (ug/ml)	AUC$_{all}$ (h*ug/ml)	AUC$_{0-\infty}$ (h*ug/ml)	Vd_F (ml/kg)	Cl_F (ml/h/kg)	MRTlast (h)	T1/2 (h)
1	2	24.5	208	217	181	23.1	6.14	5.45
2	1	22.3	134	142	281	35.2	5.33	5.54
3	2	21.4	119	129	379	38.8	5.56	6.77
4	2	21.4	109	120	452	41.6	5.57	7.52
Mean	1.75	22.40	142.50	152.00	323.25	34.68	5.65	6.32
SD	0.50	1.46	44.86	44.26	117.90	8.15	0.34	1.00