Title

ERH Enables Early Embryonic Differentiation and Overlays H3K9me3 Heterochromatin on a Cryptic Pluripotency H3K9me3 Landscape in Somatic Cells

Author list and affiliations

Andrew Katznelson[1,*], Blake Hernandez[1,*], Holly Fahning[2], Kylea Tapia[2], Adam Burton[3], Jingchao Zhang[1], Maria-Elena Torres-Padilla[3,4], Nicolas Plachta[1], Kenneth S. Zaret[1**], Ryan L. McCarthy[2,5,6**]

*These authors contributed equally

[1]Institute for Regenerative Medicine and Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

[2]Center for Developmental Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA 98101, USA

[3]Institute of Epigenetics and Stem Cells (IES), Helmholtz Zentrum München D-81377 München, Germany

[4]Faculty of Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, München, Germany

[5]Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[6]Lead contact

**co-corresponding authors

R. McCarthy, Ryan.McCarthy@seattlechildrens.org

K. Zaret, zaret@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Key words: ERH, H3K9me3, heterochromatin, embryo, pre-implantation, differentiation, pluripotency, naive

Abstract

Enhancer of Rudimentary Homolog (ERH) is an evolutionarily conserved protein originally characterized in fission yeast[1] and recently shown to maintain H3K9me3 in human fibroblasts[2]. Here, we find that ERH depletion in fibroblasts reverts the H3K9me3 landscape to an embryonic stem cell (ESC) state and enables activation of naïve and pluripotency genes and transposable elements during induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) reprogramming. We find that ERH similarly represses totipotent and alternative lineage programs during mouse preimplantation development and is required for proper segregation of the inner cell mass and trophectoderm cell lineages. During human ESC differentiation into germ layer lineages, ERH silences naïve and pluripotency genes, transposable elements, and alternative lineage somatic genes. As in fission yeast, we find that mammalian ERH interacts with RNA-binding proteins to engage and repress its chromatin targets. Our findings reveal a fundamental role for ERH in cell fate specification via the initiation and maintenance of early developmental gene repression.

Main text

Heterochromatin marked by histone H3 lysine 9 trimethylation (H3K9me3) represses the expression of many protein-coding genes and DNA repeat elements[3–5]. Yet despite its stability, H3K9me3-heterochromatin is dynamically established and rearranged during embryogenesis[3,6]. Zygotic genome activation (ZGA), marked by initial transcription of key genes and transposable elements[7], occurs at the 1-2 cell stage in mice and the 4-8 cell stage in humans[7] and requires demethylation of maternal H3K9me3 marks in oocytes[8,9]. As the embryo loses totipotency and differentiates into the first two developmentally-specified lineages, the inner cell mass (ICM) and the trophectoderm of the blastocyst, ZGA-specific protein-coding genes and repeats gain H3K9me3 and are subsequently silenced [6,10,11]. As development proceeds, H3K9me3 is established on lineage-inappropriate genes and repeat elements [6,10,12], with the highest number of genes marked by H3K9me3 at the germ-layer stage[3]. Throughout germ layer specification to mature somatic lineages, H3K9me3 is removed from genes that become active and is deposited onto early developmental genes that become repressed[3]. The mechanisms operating upstream in the initial targeting of histone methyltransferases to deposit H3K9me3 and the maintenance of the initially established H3K9me3 domains remain poorly understood. In this study, we compared how *enhancer of rudimentary homolog* (ERH), a highly conserved heterochromatin protein distinct from histone methyltransferases, contributes to H3K9me3 dynamics and gene repression in differentiated somatic cells, pluripotent cell lines, and early mammalian development.

ERH is an evolutionarily conserved protein originally discovered in fission yeast to regulate sporulation, the primary form of yeast cell differentiation, via H3K9 methylation [1,13]. In *S. pombe,* Erh1 forms a complex with the RNA binding protein Mmi1, which is then recruited by binding of nascent meiotic transcripts through its YTH domain[1,15]. Erh1-Mmi1 then causes the degradation of its bound RNA transcripts, the establishment of H3K9 methylation, and consequent repression of meiotic and developmental genes, transposons, and rDNA[1,13]. We recently found that in terminally-differentiated primary human fibroblasts, ERH is highly enriched in H3K9me3-

heterochromatin, where it represses meiotic and germ cell genes, similar to that observed in

fission yeast[1,2,5]. We further found that ERH in mammals has evolutionarily expanded its function

to include the majority of regions that exhibit H3K9me3 heterochromatin in somatic cells, including

alternative-lineage protein coding genes and repeat elements[2]. While ERH is highly conserved

among eukaryotes, its fission yeast partner Mmi1 lacks a metazoan homolog[14]. Thus, how cell-

type specific cofactors recruit ERH to chromatin to regulate cell identity throughout mammalian

development is unknown.

Here, we find that upon ERH loss in fibroblasts, there is reversion to a pluripotency-like

H3K9me3 state which is permissive to binding of pluripotency transcription factors, which in turn

activate naive and alternative lineage genes and repeat elements. The activation of a cryptic naïve

state led us to investigate the role of ERH in the earliest developmental stages where repression

by H3K9me3 has been implicated: during trophectoderm and inner cell mass segregation in the

blastocyst[6,10,11] and the differentiation from pluripotency to the germ layer lineage[3]. By genetic

perturbation, chromatin and gene expression profiling, and imaging, we identify a role for ERH

across mouse and human systems in repressing early naïve pluripotency and alternative lineage

genes. We find that targeting of mammalian ERH to chromatin occurs through cell-type specific

interactions with RNA binding proteins, enabling context-dependent functions. Together, our

findings demonstrate a fundamental role for ERH during developmentally acquired H3K9me3-

mediated gene repression and maintenance of this repression in somatic cells.

Results

ERH depletion in primary human fibroblasts causes H3K9me3 to revert to an ESC-like state

We assessed how H3K9me3 is disrupted after ERH loss by CUT&RUN for H3K9me3 after siRNA

knockdown in human primary fibroblasts (Fig. 1a). Consistent with our previous study[2], we

confirmed that H3K9me3 is globally reduced in human fibroblasts after ERH knockdown (Fig. 1b).

Yet while large megabase-scale domains were diminished, 10,526 residual peaks were retained

in the ERH knockdown (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Table 1). Remarkably, the residual H3K9me3

signals resemble the H3K9me3 profile in H1 ESCs (Fig. 1c, see inset, 1d). Across the genome,

85% of the residual fibroblast siERH H3K9me3 sites overlap with H3K9me3 peaks in H1 hESCs

(Extended Data Fig. 1a). In contrast, only 30% of fibroblast siControl H3K9me3 sites overlap

hESC H3K9me3 (Extended Data Fig. 1a,1b). Pearson correlation of H3K9me3 peaks from

fibroblasts after siControl or siERH, as well as in naive hESCs, supports a shift in the H3K9me3

heterochromatin landscape to an embryonic state (Fig. 1e). We validated that the residual sites

are bona fide marked by H3K9me3 in ESCs, rather than another chromatin protein with antibody

cross-reactivity, by showing enrichment at these sites for ESC HP1 (Fig. 1d, f, and Extended Data

Fig.1c).

To identify the chromatin proteins that engage the residual peaks after ERH knockdown,

we performed de novo motif analysis. We find that the most highly enriched sequence motifs in

the residual peaks are for KRAB-ZNFs and SOX transcription factors (Extended Data Fig. 1d).

We next used the cistromeDB Toolkit to identify specific proteins enriched at residual peaks,

which compares these regions against published ChIP-seq data sets[16,17]. We find that in addition

to the core H3K9me3 machinery, including SETDB1 and HP1, most proteins enriched at the

residual peaks are KRAB-ZNFs (Fig. 1g). As KRAB-ZNFs establish H3K9me3 to repress

transposons, we hypothesized the residual peaks would be enriched over repeats. Repeat

enrichment analysis found that the residual peaks are enriched over canonical KRAB-ZNF

targets: LINEs, LTRs, and satellite repeats (Extended Data Fig. 1e). We conclude that ERH is

required to maintain the broad H3K9me3 domains established in development but does not

control H3K9me3 peaks that persist from pluripotency over constitutively repressed repeats.

ERH-dependent H3K9me3 suppresses SOX2 binding and activation of naïve-specific

genes and repeats during OSKM reprogramming

H3K9me3 impairs induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) reprogramming by blocking transcription

factor binding, and pluripotency genes marked by H3K9me3 show delayed activation compared

to genes in heterochromatin marked with H3K27me3 or neither mark (Extended Data Fig. 2a)[15].

We therefore assessed the impact of ERH depletion in the context of OSKM transcription factor

binding and gene activation during iPSC reprogramming. We focused on a 48 hour time point

after initiation of iPSC reprogramming (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Tables 2-4), as H3K9me3 is

inhibitory to transcription factor activity at this stage[15].

CUT&RUN of SOX2 after 48 hour OSKM reprogramming showed that while most binding

sites were shared between siControl and siERH fibroblasts, 2,538 binding sites were gained

(Extended Data Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 2). We observed that ERH knockdown enabled

SOX2 to target loci that lose H3K9me3, including within heterochromatin domains previously

annotated as resistant to reprogramming factor binding in fibroblasts[15] (Fig. 2a,b, and Extended

Data Fig. 2c,d). We asked if the siERH-gained SOX2 targets occurred at normal SOX2 targets by

assessing the genomic locations of siERH-gained SOX2 peaks in the cistromeDB toolkit[16,17]. We

found that the newly gained SOX2 sites had the most similarity to ChIP-seq peak sets of SOX2

and its pluripotency partners NANOG and OCT4 in embryonic stem cells (Extended Data Fig.

2e). Inspection of genome browser tracks identified specific loci where loss of ERH-controlled

H3K9me3 enabled SOX2 binding over normal SOX2 and NANOG targets in ES cells (Fig. 2b).

Protein coding genes embedded in H3K9me3 domains, normally refractory to transcription factor

activation, were activated after siERH (136 up, 13 down; Fig. 2c). Significantly, genes associated

with the human 8-cell like cell (8CLC) state[18] were activated, including *ZSCAN4, DUXA, DPPA4,*

ARGFX, TPRXL[19]*, KLF5, TRIM49*, and the histone variant *H3.Y*[20] (Fig. 2d and Extended Data

Fig. 2f). We compared the activation levels of these genes in siERH+OSKM with existing RNA-

seq datasets[21] and found that *H3.Y, DUXA* and *TPRXL* do not normally get activated at similar

levels to siERH+OSKM at any stage during iPSC reprogramming (Extended Data Fig. 2g). We

conclude that ERH-dependent H3K9me3 safeguards early totipotent and pluripotent genes from

transcription factor binding and activation.

We observed that *LTR7* and *HERVH* repeats, which are expressed in and required to

maintain pluripotency[22,23], lose H3K9me3 after ERH depletion and are activated in siERH+OSKM

(Fig. 2e). *LTR7Y* repeats, which promote naïve pluripotency[24], exhibited similar ERH-dependent

H3K9me3 and repression (Fig. 2f,g and Extended Data Fig. 2h). Notably, residual H3K9me3

peaks retained at *LTR7Y* repeats were insufficient to maintain repression (Fig. 2f,g). Furthermore,

we observed siERH+OSKM activated repeat elements that function specifically during the human

8 cell-like cell stage, and are later marked by H3K9me3 and silenced in the human blastocyst[6]

(Extended Data Fig. 2l). These results demonstrate that ERH maintains H3K9me3 repression of

a subset of 8-cell stage and pluripotency repeats in terminally differentiated human cells.

Despite increased activation of pluripotency and 8-cell genes at 48 hours after initiation of

OSKM-based iPSC reprogramming, by 7 days we observed substantial increased cell death

during siERH (Extended Data Fig. 2j). This is in contrast to our previous published observations

where ERH depletion without the addition of reprogramming factors and with the addition of

hepatic reprogramming factors did not cause marked cell death or block successful

reprogramming[2]. Lower ERH siRNA decreased cell death, but did not change the emerging iPSC

colony number (Extended Data Fig. 2k), indicating that ERH is necessary for later stages of iPSC

reprogramming.

ERH is necessary for trophectoderm development, the first embryonic differentiation

Our finding that ERH represses naïve genes, normally expressed in 2-8 cell embryos, in fully

differentiated fibroblasts led us to investigate if ERH establishes silencing of 2-8 cell genes during

pre-implantation development. We first analyzed existing RNA-seq data of mouse embryogenesis

timepoints from zygote to blastocyst[25] and found that ERH mRNA is present across pre-

implantation development, and is significantly increased from the 8-cell stage through the

blastocyst stage (Extended Data Fig. 3a). Immunostaining mouse embryos for ERH protein at

the zygote, 2-cell, 8-cell and blastocyst stages revealed that ERH is expressed and nuclear-

localized at all stages analyzed, with increasing abundance in the early and late blastocyst stages

(Fig. 3a,b), consistent with its mRNA levels (Extended Data Fig. 3a). At the blastocyst stage,

ERH levels are similar between ICM and trophectoderm cells (Extended Data Fig. 3b).

To investigate if ERH is involved in pre-implantation lineage specification, we depleted

ERH levels by siRNA micro-injection at the 1-cell stage of mouse embryos, compared to a

nontargeting siRNA control, and assessed impacts on the blastocysts (Extended Data Fig. 3c).

ERH depletion did not cause major morphological changes in blastocyst structure, as both

siControl and siERH blastocysts form a cavity and segregate ICM and trophectoderm cells

(Extended Data Fig. 3c,d). Staining blastocysts for key transcription factors involved in lineage

specification revealed similar levels of the pluripotency marker OCT4 in ICM and TE cells between

siControl and siERH blastocysts (Extended Data Fig. 3e). However, the levels of the

trophectoderm-determining transcription factor CDX2[26] (Fig. 3b,c) and the trophectoderm marker

Keratin 8[27] (Fig. 3d,e) were significantly decreased in the ERH depleted blastocysts. Thus, ERH

is required for proper trophectoderm differentiation.

The role of ERH in H3K9me heterochromatin regulation in *S. pombe*[1,13] and human

fibroblasts[2] suggests that defects in trophectoderm differentiation may arise from alterations in

gene silencing mechanisms. Indeed, we observed a 32% decrease (p=0.0025) in H3K9me3 in

blastocysts of ERH-depleted embryos (Fig. 3f,g and Supplementary Table 5). We then performed

RNA-seq on mouse blastocysts injected at the 1 cell stage with siControl or siERH

(Supplementary Table 6), which confirmed an ~80% knockdown of ERH (Extended Data Fig. 3f).

We classified genes upregulated in ERH depleted blastocysts into 4 groups, based upon their

expression during normal preimplantation development (Fig. 3h). Group 1 consists of transcripts

present at the zygote stage, including maternally inherited transcripts, which become silenced or

degraded as development progresses. Group 2 consists of transcripts highly expressed in the 2

to 8 cell stages and low or absent in the zygote and blastocyst stage. Group 3 consists of

transcripts absent or lowly expressed at all stages measured. Group 4 consists of transcripts with

highest expression in the blastocyst stage. Of the ERH-repressed genes (i.e., genes whose

expression goes up upon ERH knockdown), 25 normally have peak expression during the 2 to 8

cell stage (group 2) and 54 are normally not expressed during preimplantation development

(group 3). ERH-repressed group 2 genes included the 2-cell specific *Zscan4d*[28] and the 4-cell

specific *D1Pas1*[29] (Fig. 3i). ERH-repressed group 3 alternative lineage genes included the brain-

specific *Cyp46a1* neuroprotective enzyme for cholesterol degradation[30] and the liver and

intestine-specific carboxylesterase *Ces2g*[31] (Fig. 3i).

Comparing our RNA-seq data with existing mouse preimplantation H3K9me3 ChIP-seq

[10], we found that the genes repressed by ERH at the 2-cell stage and later, alternative lineage

genes were initially marked by H3K9me3 (Fig. 3i). We further found that upon ERH depletion key

markers of primitive endoderm, including *Gata4* and *Sox17*[32], were significantly repressed

(Extended Data Fig 3g), while epiblast genes were unchanged (Extended Data Fig. 3h). We

conclude that ERH is necessary to silence 2-8 cell stage genes and prevent the spontaneous

activation of later developmental genes during the blastocyst stage, and that failure to silence

results in improper lineage specification in the blastocyst.

ERH is necessary to silence naïve and pluripotency genes during human ESC

differentiation

Our finding that ERH is critical for blastocyst development led us to test its function in pluripotent

human ESCs (hESCs). ERH depletion in H1 hESCs cultured in pluripotency-maintaining

conditions caused no observable changes in morphology or abundance of pluripotency factors

(Fig. 4a). H3K9me3 (Fig. 4b) levels were not changed in siERH treated hESCs, consistent with

our observation that ERH-depleted fibroblasts retained hESC-like H3K9me3 (Fig. 1c-e). We also

observed no change in H3K27me3 (Extended Data Fig. 4a). Despite no apparent disruption of

the pluripotent state or global H3K9me3 levels, ERH-depleted hESCs show an increased nuclear

area and nuclear blebbing (Extended Data Fig. 4b), which are changes associated with chromatin

decompaction[33]. ERH depletion in self-renewing hESCs resulted in substantial differential gene

expression (Extended Data Fig. 4c and Supplementary Table 7) despite not disrupting

pluripotency per se (Fig. 4a). Analysis of genes upregulated in naïve vs. primed hESCs[34] showed

that ERH depletion in hESCs caused upregulation of genes associated with naïve pluripotency

(Extended Data Fig. 4d). Our results demonstrate that ERH represses naïve pluripotency genes

in primed H1 hESCs.

Next, we asked if ERH depletion impacted the ability of hESCs to differentiate. Activin A

and the GSK-3 Inhibitor CHIR99021 together differentiate hESCs to an endoderm identity[35]. As

expected, within 24 hours of treatment of undifferentiated H1 hESCs, we observed repression of

pluripotency factors and initial expression of endodermal markers in a subset of cells (Extended

Data Fig. 4e). Yet depletion of ERH in H1 hESCs followed by 24 hour endoderm differentiation

showed increased NANOG protein levels (Fig. 4c and Extended Data Fig. 4f) and a reduced

emergence of SOX17+ cells (Fig. 4c). To assess if this observation was specific to endoderm,

we also performed differentiation to ectoderm, with control and ERH depleted cells, for 2 days

with retinoic acid treatment. We observed sustained expression of the pluripotency factor OCT4

and decreased abundance of the ectodermal transcription factor PAX6 (Fig. 4d).

To investigate transcriptional changes caused by ERH depletion, we performed RNA-seq

of siRNA control and ERH depleted H1 hESCs (Extended Data Fig. 4g and Supplementary Table

7) after 1 day of endoderm or 2 days of ectoderm differentiation. Genes normally repressed in the

siControl endoderm and ectoderm differentiations were preferentially upregulated by ERH

depletion (endoderm, Extended Data Fig. 4h; ectoderm Extended Data Fig. 4i). During endoderm

differentiation we observed that ERH depletion preferentially upregulated genes identified as

higher in naïve vs primed hESCs[34] (Extended Data Fig. 4j), beyond what was observed in the

pluripotent state (Extended Data Fig. 4d). For example, the pluripotency factor NANOG,

previously demonstrated to be repressed by H3K9me3 during pluripotency exit[36], was significantly

upregulated by ERH depletion (Fig. 4e), consistent with our immunostaining (Fig. 4c and

Extended Data Fig. 4f). Additionally, genes found to be expressed in human pluripotent stem cells

with a high capacity for self-renewal[37] were upregulated during endoderm and ectoderm

differentiation after ERH depletion, including *DUSP5/6, NODAL, LEFTY1/2*, and *DACT1*

(Extended Data Fig. 4k and Supplementary Table 7). Genes associated with 2 cell stage and

naïve pluripotency including *ZSCAN4*[28]*, KLF5*[24]*, ESRRB*[38]*, NR5A2*[39] and *NANOGP1*[40] were

upregulated during siERH treated hESC differentiation (Fig. 4f and Extended Data Fig. 4l). We

conclude that ERH is required to repress pluripotency and maintain repression of naïve genes

during hESC pluripotency exit.

ERH regulates transposable elements with cis-regulatory function

Transposable elements regulate developmental gene networks by acting as enhancers for

transcription factor binding[24,41–44]. We therefore assessed transposable elements in our RNA-seq

data from siControl and siERH treated H1 hESCs during self-renewal and differentiation

(Supplementary Table 8). Transposable elements upregulated by ERH knockdown in H1,

definitive endoderm, and ectoderm included several classes of L1 elements and the hominid-

specific[45] SINE VNTR and Alu (SVA) elements (Fig. 4g). Satellite repeats were also derepressed

(Fig. 4g). Differentiation-specific ERH-repressed transposable element classes, shared between

definitive endoderm and ectoderm conditions, included human morula-blastocyst specific

LTR7Ys[24] and human 8 cell stage expressed *HERVKs*[46] (Fig. 4g). After stratifying L1 elements

by their evolutionary age[47], we found that ERH preferentially represses evolutionarily young L1

repeats in pluripotent and differentiating hESCs, but broadly repressed L1 elements in terminally

differentiated fibroblasts (Fig. 4h). Also upregulated by siERH were 8 cell stage *HERVK9-int* and

HERVK11 transposable elements and their LTR regulatory element *LTR5_Hs* (Extended Data

Fig. 5a,b) as well as *SVA* transposable elements (Extended Data Fig. 5c) which are specific to

the naïve state[41,48].

Next, we wished to identify instances of co-expression of genes and nearby repeat

elements. By filtering our data for genes expressed during pluripotency that exhibit ERH-

dependent repression during differentiation, we identified instances with proximal evolutionarily

young transposable elements (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 5d,e). Globally, the locus specific

expression changes in siERH endoderm and fibroblasts+OSKM of L1 repeats correlated with the

gene expression of the closest gene within 25 kb (Extended Data Fig. 5f). The ERH repressed

L1 elements gain H3K9me3 during pluripotency to definitive endoderm differentiation (Fig. 4i).

This contrasts with H3K9me3 levels at gene bodies of genes upregulated in siERH DE vs

siControl DE, which only gain a modest increase in H3K9me3 levels during pluripotency to

endoderm differentiation (Extended Data Fig. 5g); indicating the role of H3K9me3 and ERH at

this cell fate change occurs more at transposable element regions than gene bodies. We

conclude that ERH silences repeat elements that function as regulatory elements for promoting

pluripotency and naïve states.

ERH restricts lineage commitment during human ESC differentiation

During differentiation, a key function of H3K9me3 heterochromatin is to repress alternative

lineage genes[3,10]. Our finding that ERH depletion in blastocysts upregulates lineage-incompatible

genes (Fig. 3h,i) led us to investigate if a similar consequence occurs during hESC differentiation.

GO analysis of genes upregulated by ERH depletion during endoderm and ectoderm

differentiation confirmed activation of transcriptional networks typically not induced by the specific

differentiation treatment (Fig. 5a, Extended Data Fig. 6a and Supplementary Table 9). ERH

depletion upregulated meiotic and gametogenic genes in all tested developmental stages

(Extended Data Fig. 6b), consistent with previous findings in human fibroblasts[2]. To further assess

the cell lineages in which the upregulated genes function, we generated composite expression

profiles of the genes upregulated by ERH depletion during endoderm (Fig. 5b) and ectoderm

differentiation (Fig. 5c) from expression data downloaded from the BBI Descartes human single

cell atlas[49], and found that heart, muscle and liver genes are preferentially activated. Directed

differentiation of hESCs with Activin A and WNT activation, which typically induces definitive

endoderm at high efficiency[35], instead induced both early and late mesodermal genes[50] when

ERH was depleted (Fig. 5d,e). Notable precocious gene activation in siERH definitive endoderm

included *NXK2-5, NPPB* and *FLNC*, typically expressed in heart muscle, as well as *TAGLN,* a

canonical smooth muscle marker (Fig. 5e). Increased levels of BRACHYURY protein, a key

determinant of early mesoderm[51], was confirmed by immunofluorescence (Fig. 5f). Off target gene

activation during ectoderm differentiation included genes typically activated in liver development

(Extended Data Fig. 6c). Thus, while ERH appears dispensable to maintain hESC pluripotency,

it is essential to restrict cell identity for proper germ layer differentiation.

To assess the role of ERH in the repression of lineage-specific transposable elements

during differentiation, we used recent annotations of these elements for the early cell lineages[44]

(Fig. 5g). Notably, *MER11A* and *MER11C* elements, which are silenced by H3K9me3 during in

vitro endoderm differentiation[44], were significantly upregulated in siERH endoderm differentiation

(Fig. 5g and Extended Data 6d). Transposable elements activated by specific transcription

factors[42,44], such as *MER11C* by Brachyury and *LTR6B* by GATA6, demonstrated increased

activation in the siERH endoderm where the transcription factors are expressed, but were not

activated under pluripotent conditions or during ectoderm differentiation where the factors are

silent (Fig. 5g). Notably, we were able to map reads to specific repeat element sites and observed

instances where the repeat element and adjacent genes were upregulated following ERH

depletion in regions marked by H3K9me3 in hESC derived endoderm (Fig. 5h and Extended Data

6e; note different scales on subsets to right). ERH therefore represses evolutionarily young and

lineage-specific repeats, which in turn repress pluripotency and alternative lineage genes during

differentiation.

323 **ERH is recruited to chromatin by cell-specific cofactors**

324 Our observation that ERH is required for fibroblast H3K9me3 maintenance (Fig. 1b) but

325 dispensable for hESC H3K9me3 (Fig. 4b) led us to investigate potential ERH protein interactions

326 that may drive this difference in regulatory mechanism. In *S. pombe* Erh is recruited by the RNA

327 binding factor Mmi1[1]. Mmi1 is a YTH domain-containing RNA binding factor, so we investigated

328 potential interactions of ERH with human YTH domain proteins YTHDC1 and YTHDC2. YTHDC1

329 regulates H3K9me3 through SETDB1 recruitment and repression of repeat elements in mouse

330 ESCs[52,53] and YTHDC2 has been shown to positively regulate transposable elements and the

331 non-coding RNA LINC-ROR in human hESCs[54]. To specifically interrogate on chromatin ERH

332 interacting proteins, we employed a strategy of isolating soluble nucleoplasm (NPL) and insoluble

333 chromatin-associated fractions (CAF) and then performing immunoprecipitation of ERH (Fig. 6a).

334 In fibroblasts but not hESCs, we observed that ERH interacts with YTHDC1 in the chromatin

335 fraction (Fig. 6b,c). ERH did not interact with YTHDC2 in the chromatin fraction in either hESCs

336 or fibroblasts (Fig. 6b,c). We also probed for SAFB1, a known ERH interacting protein[55], and

337 observed consistent ERH-SAFB1 interactions in both fibroblasts and pluripotent hESCs (Fig.

338 6b,c), demonstrating that YTHDC1 but not SAFB1 interactions with ERH are cell type-dependent.

339 We next tested if chromatin association of ERH was RNA dependent and if either YTHDC1

340 or YTHDC2 facilitated this recruitment. We employed an immunostaining approach[56] in which

341 permeabilized nuclei were treated with RNase A, and then stained for ERH, and found that ERH

342 nuclear retention was significantly decreased (Fig. 6d). In contrast, RNase H treatment, which

343 digests DNA:RNA hybrids, did not release ERH from the nucleus (Fig. 6d). In an orthogonal

344 approach, RNase A treatment of fibroblasts during soluble nuclear protein isolation released a

345 portion of chromatin-bound ERH into the nucleoplasm, indicating that its chromatin retention is at

346 least partially RNA-dependent (Extended Data Fig. 7a). To determine if YTHDC1 or YTHDC2

347 facilitate ERH chromatin recruitment, we targeted each separately with siRNAs in human

fibroblasts and observed that YTHDC1 but not YTHDC2 depletion led to decreased levels of ERH

in the chromatin fraction and increased ERH in the soluble nuclear fraction (Fig. 7e). We

confirmed the role of YTHDC1 in ERH retention utilizing our cell permeabilization and

immunostaining assay (Extended Data Fig. 7b). From these experiments we concluded that ERH

associates with chromatin with YTHDC1 in fibroblasts, but not in hESCs, and that a subset of

ERH protein requires RNA and YTHDC1 for chromatin localization.

We next assessed for co-regulation of common targets by ERH, YTHDC1 and YTHDC2,

first by evaluating expression changes of published YTHDC1 and YTHDC2 targets, ERVK

elements repressed by YTHDC1[57], and HERVH elements activated by YTHDC2[54]. ERH

represses HERVK and HERVH elements in fibroblasts treated with OSKM factors but not in

pluripotent hESCs (Fig. 6f), matching the interaction pattern of ERH with YTHDC1 (Fig. 6b,c).

Major satellite repeats, which are regulated by SAFB1[58], are repressed by ERH in both fibroblasts

and pluripotent hESCs (Fig. 6f).

As we found that ERH interacts with YTHDC1 in differentiated cells but not pluripotent

cells, we investigated if YTHDC1 depletion recapitulates aspects of ERH depletion during hESC

differentiation. Depletion of YTHDC1 but not YTHDC2 during endoderm differentiation of hESCs

resulted in an increase of Brachyury staining (Fig. 6g), matching our findings from ERH depletion

during endoderm differentiation (Fig. 5f). We found that ERH repressed many genes not regulated

by its interacting partners YTHDC1 and SAFB1, which predominately repress different subsets of

ERH targets (Fig. 6h). Taken together, the results indicate that various RNA binding proteins

function non-redundantly to recruit ERH to different targets. Based upon these findings, we

propose that ERH interactions with RNA binding proteins, including YTHDC1 and SAFB1,

facilitate cell-specific repression of genes and repeat elements (Fig. 6i).

Discussion

We discovered that depletion of ERH reverts the H3K9me3 landscape in terminally differentiated cells to an hESC-like state, permissive to activation of early embryonic genes. This surprising result led us to investigate the role of ERH in establishing gene repression during the earliest stages of mammalian lineage segregation, in maintaining repression of pluripotency, and enabling germ layer specification. We found that ERH controls H3K9me3 for repression of naïve and alternative lineage genes during the cell fate commitment of totipotent cells to ICM and trophectoderm in mouse blastocysts as well as of hESCs to the endoderm and ectoderm cell lineages, to enforce cell fate and prevent anomalous gene activation. Between the three systems we employed, mouse preimplantation development, hESC differentiation, and fibroblast iPSC reprogramming, we observe that ERH commonly repressed a shared set of naïve genes such as *ZSCAN4* across all systems, indicating that ERH is involved in both the initial repression of this early transcriptional program and in maintaining repression in terminally differentiated cells. We find it remarkable that ERH, which is the sole apparent mechanism for repressing meiotic genes in *S. pombe*, is conserved in repressing germ cell genes in mammals[2] and has expanded to foundationally control diverse other types of developmental genes and stages.

In a developmental context, the failure to repress 2-cell, 8-cell and pluripotency genes after ERH knockdown results in delays of specific-lineage gene expression, including primitive endoderm genes in mouse blastocysts and various endoderm and ectoderm genes during hESC differentiation. Furthermore, we demonstrated that ERH is necessary to maintain repression of alternative lineage genes, as ERH depletion elicited precocious expression of genes typical of later cell differentiation in mouse blastocysts and human hESCs, as well as heterochromatized genes during initial iPSC reprogramming. ERH-dependent gene regulation likely occurs not only through changes in direct repression of genes but also through the regulation of transposable elements, which are canonically repressed by H3K9me3 and serve as developmental regulatory elements[41,42,44]. We observed that evolutionarily young transposable elements, including the

human specific *LTR7Ys*[24], are especially upregulated by ERH depletion during hESC

differentiation and iPSC reprogramming. Much of the lineage specific expression changes upon

ERH depletion during hESC differentiation may be attributed to increased accessibility of

transcription factor binding sites among de-repressed repeats[24,41,44].

A key finding of our analysis is that ERH depletion in human fibroblasts reverted the

H3K9me3 chromatin landscape to an hESC-like state. The cryptic hESC-like H3K9me3

landscape functionally permits binding of the SOX2 factor in fibroblasts to endogenous hESC

SOX2 targets, activating embryonic genes. That ERH is necessary for maintaining the broad

H3K9me3 domains observed in somatic cells, but is dispensable for maintaining hESC H3K9me3

peaks, is consistent with our observation that ERH depletion in hESCs did not change global

H3K9me3 levels. We suggest that the residual H3K9me3 peaks in pluripotent cells, enriched for

zinc finger motifs, may be H3K9me3 nucleation sites and that ERH is essential for the spread of

H3K9me3 from these sites to establish the typical broad domains observed in human somatic

cells. That many residual H3K9me3 peaks in ERH-depleted fibroblasts are seen in the pluripotent

state suggests that many somatic H3K9me3 domains may be nucleated early in development,

only to be expanded to form repressive domains at developmentally appropriate times.

Importantly, when ERH is knocked down in fibroblasts, we observe increased activation of many

genes and transposable elements that harbor a residual H3K9me3 peak, yet lose broad

H3K9me3, indicating that residual H3K9me3 peaks are not sufficient to block gene activation. Our

knowledge of H3K9 methylation nucleation and spread has been primarily elucidated through

studies in *S. pombe*[59–61], leaving further investigation needed into how H3K9me3 nucleation and

spread occurs in multicellular organisms.

We identify that ERH interacts with and is partially recruited to the chromatin by the RNA

binding protein YTHDC1 in somatic cells, but not pluripotent hESCs. We find that ERH and

YTHDC1 repress similar targets in fibroblasts and differentiating hESCs, but not in homeostatic

pluripotent hESCs. In contrast, we observe that ERH interacts with the RNA binding protein

SAFB1 in both hESCs and human fibroblasts and likewise both repress the satellite repeat

expression in hESCs and fibroblasts. Our knockdowns of YTHDC1 and gene expression analysis

of YTHDC1 and SAFB1 fail to fully explain how ERH is recruited to chromatin to repress

transcription. We thus speculate that ERH is recruited to cell-type specific genes and repeats

through a diverse network of chromatin-associated RNA binding factors that are yet to be fully

identified.

Comparing the role of ERH in H3K9me3 and cell fate regulation in *S. pombe* and

mammalian systems provides insight into how H3K9me3 heterochromatin has been adapted

through evolution to the purpose of cell fate regulation in complex multicellular mammals. We

observe in mouse development and hESC systems that ERH is functionally required in multiple

developmental contexts to repress meiotic and gametogenic genes, as it is in *S. pombe*[1], although

the gene members targeted by ERH has greatly evolved and expanded in mammals. Our findings

on meiotic gene repression are supported by the recent identification of ERH in a screen for

proteins silencing germline genes in mESCs[62]. Other aspects of *Erh1* function in *S. pombe,* such

as the targeting of RNAs for degradation by the RNA exosome[1], appear to be conserved as we

observed upregulation of developmental genes typically downregulated by RNA exosome

degradation[63]. ERH was recently found by mass spectrometry to associate with the

Microprocessor and NEXT complexes in mouse ESCs[64]. Evolutionarily divergent functions of

ERH may be driven by evolutionarily young transposable elements that retain RNA expression,

similar to how transposable elements have influenced the evolution of KRAB Zinc finger proteins

DNA dependent targeting of H3K9me3[65,66].

We found that ERH enacts gene repression at the earliest stages of development and that

it is essential for maintaining this repression in terminally differentiated cells. Our findings advance

the understanding of how heterochromatic repression is established and maintained during

development. We propose that understanding mechanisms by which ERH is employed to direct

H3K9me3 to specific loci in a developmentally coordinated fashion will be crucial to modulating

developmental H3K9me3 rearrangements to achieve greater control of cell identity.

References

1.   Sugiyama, T. *et al.* Enhancer of Rudimentary Cooperates with Conserved RNA-Processing Factors to Promote Meiotic mRNA Decay and Facultative Heterochromatin Assembly. *Mol Cell* **61**, 747–759 (2016).

2.   McCarthy, R. L. *et al.* Diverse heterochromatin-associated proteins repress distinct classes of genes and repetitive elements. *Nat Cell Biol* **23**, 905–914 (2021).

3.   Nicetto, D. *et al.* H3K9me3-heterochromatin loss at protein-coding genes enables developmental lineage specification. *Science* **363**, 294–297 (2019).

4.   Bilodeau, S., Kagey, M. H., Frampton, G. M., Rahl, P. B. & Young, R. A. SetDB1 contributes to repression of genes encoding developmental regulators and maintenance of ES cell state. *Genes & development* **23**, 2484–9 (2009).

5.   Becker, J. S. *et al.* Genomic and Proteomic Resolution of Heterochromatin and Its Restriction of Alternate Fate Genes. *Mol Cell* **68**, 1023-1037.e15 (2017).

6.   Xu, R. *et al.* Stage-specific H3K9me3 occupancy ensures retrotransposon silencing in human pre-implantation embryos. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**, 1051-1066.e8 (2022).

7.   Schulz, K. N. & Harrison, M. M. Mechanisms regulating zygotic genome activation. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 221–234 (2019).

8.   Xu, R. *et al.* Unreprogrammed H3K9me3 prevents minor zygotic genome activation and lineage commitment in SCNT embryos. *Nat Commun* **14**, 4807 (2023).

9.   Sankar, A. *et al.* KDM4A regulates the maternal-to-zygotic transition by protecting broad H3K4me3 domains from H3K9me3 invasion in oocytes. *Nat Cell Biol* **22**, 380–388 (2020).

10. Wang, C. *et al.* Reprogramming of H3K9me3-dependent heterochromatin during mammalian embryo development. *Nature cell biology* **20**, 620–631 (2018).

11. Yu, H. *et al.* Dynamic reprogramming of H3K9me3 at hominoid-specific retrotransposons during

human preimplantation development. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**, 1031-1050.e12 (2022).

12. Burton, A. *et al.* Heterochromatin establishment during early mammalian development is regulated

by pericentromeric RNA and characterized by non-repressive H3K9me3. *Nat Cell Biol* **22**, 767–778

(2020).

13. Xie, G. *et al.* A conserved dimer interface connects ERH and YTH family proteins to promote gene

silencing. *Nat Commun* **10**, 251 (2019).

14. Kuznetsov, D. *et al.* OrthoDB v11: annotation of orthologs in the widest sampling of organismal

diversity. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, D445–D451 (2023).

15. Soufi, A., Donahue, G. & Zaret, K. S. Facilitators and impediments of the pluripotency

reprogramming factors' initial engagement with the genome. *Cell* **151**, 994–1004 (2012).

16. Taing, L. *et al.* Cistrome Data Browser: integrated search, analysis and visualization of chromatin

data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **52**, D61–D66 (2024).

17. Zheng, R. *et al.* Cistrome Data Browser: expanded datasets and new tools for gene regulatory

analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D729–D735 (2019).

18. Yu, X. *et al.* Recapitulating early human development with 8C-like cells. *Cell Rep* **39**, 110994 (2022).

19. Zou, Z. *et al.* Translatome and transcriptome co-profiling reveals a role of TPRXs in human zygotic

genome activation. *Science* **378**, abo7923 (2022).

20. Taubenschmid-Stowers, J. *et al.* 8C-like cells capture the human zygotic genome activation program

in vitro. *Cell Stem Cell* **29**, 449-459.e6 (2022).

21. Cacchiarelli, D. *et al.* Integrative Analyses of Human Reprogramming Reveal Dynamic Nature of

Induced Pluripotency. *Cell* **162**, 412–424 (2015).

22. Wang, J. *et al.* Primate-specific endogenous retrovirus-driven transcription defines naive-like stem

cells. *Nature* **516**, 405–409 (2014).

23. Lu, X. *et al.* The retrovirus HERVH is a long noncoding RNA required for human embryonic stem cell

identity. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **21**, 423–425 (2014).

24. Ai, Z. *et al.* Krüppel-like factor 5 rewires NANOG regulatory network to activate human naive

pluripotency specific LTR7Ys and promote naive pluripotency. *Cell Rep* **40**, 111240 (2022).

25. Gao, Y. *et al.* Protein Expression Landscape of Mouse Embryos during Pre-implantation

Development. *Cell reports* **21**, 3957–3969 (2017).

26. Ralston, A. & Rossant, J. Cdx2 acts downstream of cell polarization to cell-autonomously promote

trophectoderm fate in the early mouse embryo. *Dev Biol* **313**, 614–629 (2008).

27. Lim, H. Y. G. *et al.* Keratins are asymmetrically inherited fate determinants in the mammalian

embryo. *Nature* **585**, 404–409 (2020).

28. Falco, G. *et al.* Zscan4: a novel gene expressed exclusively in late 2-cell embryos and embryonic

stem cells. *Dev Biol* **307**, 539–550 (2007).

29. Hamatani, T., Carter, M. G., Sharov, A. A. & Ko, M. S. H. Dynamics of global gene expression changes

during mouse preimplantation development. *Dev Cell* **6**, 117–131 (2004).

30. Boussicault, L. *et al.* CYP46A1, the rate-limiting enzyme for cholesterol degradation, is

neuroprotective in Huntington's disease. *Brain* **139**, 953–970 (2016).

31. Chalhoub, G. *et al.* Carboxylesterase 2 proteins are efficient diglyceride and monoglyceride lipases

possibly implicated in metabolic disease. *J Lipid Res* **62**, 100075 (2021).

32. Artus, J., Piliszek, A. & Hadjantonakis, A.-K. The primitive endoderm lineage of the mouse blastocyst:

sequential transcription factor activation and regulation of differentiation by Sox17. *Dev Biol* **350**,

393–404 (2011).

33. Stephens, A. D. *et al.* Chromatin histone modifications and rigidity affect nuclear morphology

independent of lamins. *Mol Biol Cell* **29**, 220–233 (2018).

34. Messmer, T. *et al.* Transcriptional Heterogeneity in Naive and Primed Human Pluripotent Stem Cells

at Single-Cell Resolution. *Cell Rep* **26**, 815-824.e4 (2019).

35. Teo, A. K. K., Valdez, I. A., Dirice, E. & Kulkarni, R. N. Comparable generation of activin-induced

definitive endoderm via additive Wnt or BMP signaling in absence of serum. *Stem Cell Reports* **3**, 5–

14 (2014).

36. Dubois, A. *et al.* H3K9 tri-methylation at Nanog times differentiation commitment and enables the

acquisition of primitive endoderm fate. *Development* **149**, dev201074 (2022).

37. Lau, K. X. *et al.* Unique properties of a subset of human pluripotent stem cells with high capacity for

self-renewal. *Nat Commun* **11**, 2420 (2020).

38. Martello, G. *et al.* Esrrb is a pivotal target of the Gsk3/Tcf3 axis regulating embryonic stem cell self-

renewal. *Cell Stem Cell* **11**, 491–504 (2012).

39. Gassler, J. *et al.* Zygotic genome activation by the totipotency pioneer factor Nr5a2. *Science* **378**,

1305–1315 (2022).

40. Maskalenka, K. *et al.* NANOGP1, a tandem duplicate of NANOG, exhibits partial functional

conservation in human naïve pluripotent stem cells. *Development* **150**, dev201155 (2023).

41. Pontis, J. *et al.* Hominoid-Specific Transposable Elements and KZFPs Facilitate Human Embryonic

Genome Activation and Control Transcription in Naive Human ESCs. *Cell Stem Cell* **24**, 724-735.e5

(2019).

42. Wu, F. *et al.* Species-specific rewiring of definitive endoderm developmental gene activation via

endogenous retroviruses through TET1-mediated demethylation. *Cell Rep* **41**, 111791 (2022).

43. Macfarlan, T. S. *et al.* Embryonic stem cell potency fluctuates with endogenous retrovirus activity.

Nature **487**, 57–63 (2012).

44. Pontis, J. *et al.* Primate-specific transposable elements shape transcriptional networks during

human development. *Nat Commun* **13**, 7178 (2022).

45. Wang, H. *et al.* SVA elements: a hominid-specific retroposon family. *J Mol Biol* **354**, 994–1007

(2005).

46. Grow, E. J. *et al.* Intrinsic retroviral reactivation in human preimplantation embryos and pluripotent

cells. *Nature* **522**, 221–5 (2015).

47. Khan, H., Smit, A. & Boissinot, S. Molecular evolution and tempo of amplification of human LINE-1

retrotransposons since the origin of primates. *Genome Res* **16**, 78–87 (2006).

48. Theunissen, T. W. *et al.* Molecular Criteria for Defining the Naive Human Pluripotent State. *Cell Stem*

Cell **19**, 502–515 (2016).

49. Domcke, S. *et al.* A human cell atlas of fetal chromatin accessibility. *Science* **370**, eaba7612 (2020).

50. Evseenko, D. *et al.* Mapping the first stages of mesoderm commitment during differentiation of

human embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 13742–13747 (2010).

51. Bernardo, A. S. *et al.* BRACHYURY and CDX2 mediate BMP-induced differentiation of human and

mouse pluripotent stem cells into embryonic and extraembryonic lineages. *Cell Stem Cell* **9**, 144–

155 (2011).

52. Liao, S., Sun, H. & Xu, C. YTH Domain: A Family of N6-methyladenosine (m6A) Readers. *Genomics*

Proteomics Bioinformatics **16**, 99–107 (2018).

53. Liu, J. *et al.* The RNA m(6)A reader YTHDC1 silences retrotransposons and guards ES cell identity.

Nature **591**, 322–326 (2021).

54. Sun, T. *et al.* Crosstalk between RNA m6A and DNA methylation regulates transposable element

chromatin activation and cell fate in human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Genet* **55**, 1324–1335 (2023).

55. Drakouli, S., Lyberopoulou, A., Papathanassiou, M., Mylonis, I. & Georgatsou, E. Enhancer of

rudimentary homologue interacts with scaffold attachment factor B at the nuclear matrix to

regulate SR protein phosphorylation. *FEBS J* **284**, 2482–2500 (2017).

56. Maison, C. *et al.* Higher-order structure in pericentric heterochromatin involves a distinct pattern of

histone modification and an RNA component. *Nature genetics* **30**, 329–34 (2002).

57. Xu, W. *et al.* METTL3 regulates heterochromatin in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nature* **591**, 317–

321 (2021).

58. Huo, X. *et al.* The Nuclear Matrix Protein SAFB Cooperates with Major Satellite RNAs to Stabilize

Heterochromatin Architecture Partially through Phase Separation. *Mol Cell* **77**, 368-383 e7 (2020).

59. Obersriebnig, M. J., Pallesen, E. M. H., Sneppen, K., Trusina, A. & Thon, G. Nucleation and spreading

of a heterochromatic domain in fission yeast. *Nat Commun* **7**, 11518 (2016).

60. Murawska, M. *et al.* The histone chaperone FACT facilitates heterochromatin spreading by

regulating histone turnover and H3K9 methylation states. *Cell Rep* **37**, 109944 (2021).

61. Greenstein, R. A. *et al.* Noncoding RNA-nucleated heterochromatin spreading is intrinsically labile

and requires accessory elements for epigenetic stability. *Elife* **7**, (2018).

62. Al Adhami, H. *et al.* Systematic identification of factors involved in the silencing of germline genes in

mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* gkad071 (2023) doi:10.1093/nar/gkad071.

63. Wu, D. & Dean, J. RNA exosome ribonuclease DIS3 degrades Pou6f1 to promote mouse pre-

implantation cell differentiation. *Cell Rep* **42**, 112047 (2023).

64. Imamura, K. *et al.* A functional connection between the Microprocessor and a variant NEXT

complex. *Mol Cell* **84**, 4158-4174.e6 (2024).

65. Yang, P., Wang, Y. & Macfarlan, T. S. The Role of KRAB-ZFPs in Transposable Element Repression and

Mammalian Evolution. *Trends Genet* **33**, 871–881 (2017).

66. Imbeault, M., Helleboid, P.-Y. & Trono, D. KRAB zinc-finger proteins contribute to the evolution of

gene regulatory networks. *Nature* **543**, 550–554 (2017).

67. Jin, Y., Tam, O. H., Paniagua, E. & Hammell, M. TEtranscripts: a package for including transposable

elements in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq datasets. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3593–3599

(2015).

68. Cao, J. *et al.* A human cell atlas of fetal gene expression. *Science* **370**, eaba7721 (2020).

69. Skene, P. J. & Henikoff, S. An efficient targeted nuclease strategy for high-resolution mapping of

DNA binding sites. *Elife* **6**, e21856 (2017).

70. Katznelson, A. & Zaret, K. A fluorescence-based protocol to quantitatively titrate CUT&RUN buffer

components. *STAR Protoc* **5**, 102866 (2024).

71. Liu, N. *et al.* Direct Promoter Repression by BCL11A Controls the Fetal to Adult Hemoglobin Switch.

Cell **173**, 430-442.e17 (2018).

72. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **9**, 357–359

(2012).

73. Jin, H. *et al.* ChIPseqSpikeInFree: a ChIP-seq normalization approach to reveal global changes in

histone modifications without spike-in. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 1270–1272 (2020).

74. Meers, M. P., Tenenbaum, D. & Henikoff, S. Peak calling by Sparse Enrichment Analysis for

CUT&RUN chromatin profiling. *Epigenetics Chromatin* **12**, 42 (2019).

75. Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-

regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Molecular cell* **38**, 576–89

(2010).

76. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis.

Nucleic Acids Res **44**, W160-165 (2016).

77. Khan, A. & Mathelier, A. Intervene: a tool for intersection and visualization of multiple gene or

genomic region sets. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**, 287 (2017).

78. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

615 79. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning

616   sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

617 80. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq

618   data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

619 81. Filippi-Chiela, E. C. *et al.* Nuclear morphometric analysis (NMA): screening of senescence, apoptosis

620   and nuclear irregularities. *PLoS One* **7**, e42522 (2012).

621 82. Thomas, P. D. *et al.* PANTHER: Making genome-scale phylogenetics accessible to all. *Protein Sci* **31**,

622   8–22 (2022).

Figure legends

Figure 1. ERH depletion reverts fibroblast H3K9me3 patterns to an hESC-like state

    a. Schematic of siRNA treatments and timing for H3K9me3 CUT&RUN experiments shown in figure 1 and SOX2 CUT&RUN and RNA-seq experiments shown in figure 2.

    b. Immunofluorescence of ERH (red), H3K9me3 (red) and DAPI (blue) in siControl and siERH human fibroblasts. Scale bars, 50µm.

    c. Browser track of H3K9me3 levels in siControl and siERH treated human fibroblasts and H1 hESCs (GSM1003585) at the megabase-domain level and gene level. Example H3K9me3 peaks common between siERH fibroblasts and H1 hESCs are indicated by red triangles.

    d. Heatmap of fibroblast siControl and siERH H3K9me3, H1 hESC H3K9me3 (GSM1003585) and H1 hESC HP1α (GSE123229) levels centered at siERH retained H3K9me3 peaks located within fibroblast H3K9me3 domains +/-10kb.

    e. Correlation of H3K9me3 from BJ fibroblasts after siControl or siERH with H1 hESC H3K9me3.

    f. Browser track comparing siControl and siERH fibroblast H3K9me3 with H1 hESC H3K9me3 (GSM1003585) and HP1a (GSM1701825) patterns. Peak locations called by SEACR analysis on siERH H3K9me3 data are shown.

    g. CistromeDB Toolkit enrichment analysis of fibroblast siERH H3K9me3 peaks. The top 20 proteins scored by the analysis are visualized. Proteins colored by characterization as H3K9me3-associated (orange), KRAB zinc-finger transcription factors (purple).

    See also Extended Data Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1.

646   Figure 2. ERH depletion enhances gene activation of pluripotency and alternative lineage genes

647   during iPSC reprogramming

648   **a.** Heatmap of H3K9me3 CUT&RUN from siControl and siERH human fibroblasts and SOX2

649   CUT&RUN from siControl and siERH fibroblasts + OSKM at siERH-gained SOX2 peaks.

650   **b.** Browser track of example hESC SOX2 and NANOG peak coincident with fibroblast siERH

651   H3K9me3 loss and SOX2 peak gain.

652   **c.** Volcano plot of expression changes (DESeq2) in siERH vs siControl fibroblasts+OSKM of

653   iPSC activated genes located in fibroblast H3K9me3 domains.

654   **d.** Expression of ERH and example naïve pluripotency genes activated in 48 hours OSKM

655   by ERH depletion, transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq replicates shown

656   (DESeq2 adjusted p-value).

657   **e.** Profile plots of H3K9me3 and RNA levels from LTR7 and HERVH repeats in siERH vs

658   siControl fibroblasts+OSKM.

659   **f.** Profile plots of H3K9me3 and RNA levels from LTR7Y repeats in siERH vs siControl

660   fibroblasts+OSKM.

661   **g.** Browser track showing H3K9me3 in siControl and siERH fibroblasts and RNA levels in

662   siControl+OSKM and siERH+OSKM for gene and adjacent LTR7Y naïve pluripotency

663   repeat.

See also Extended Data Fig. 2 and Supplementary Tables 2-4.

Figure 3. ERH is expressed during pre-implantation mouse development and is critical for normal

blastocyst development by repressing 2-cell and alternative lineage genes.

a. ERH (white) and DAPI (blue) staining during mouse pre-implantation development. Representative confocal z-sections are shown (zygote n=15, N=3, 2-cell stage n=18, N=3, 8-cell stage n=6, N=2, blastocyst n=10, N=3). Scale bars, 10μm.

b. Representative images of trophectoderm marker Cdx2 (green) in siControl and siERH blastocysts with Phalloidin (orange) marking cell boundaries. Scale bars, 10μm.

c. Quantification of CDX2 intensity in siControl vs siERH blastocysts (unpaired t-test, n=8 blastocysts for each siRNA).

d. Representative image of trophectoderm marker KRT8 (orange) in siControl and siERH blastocysts with DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 10μm.

e. Quantification of KRT8 intensity in siControl vs siERH blastocysts (unpaired t-test, n=9 blastocysts, n=8 blastocysts for each siRNA).

f. Representative images of H3K9me3 (magenta), DAPI (teal) in siControl vs siERH blastocysts. Scale bars, 10μm.

g. Quantification of H3K9me3 level in siControl vs siERH blastocysts. (unpaired t-test, n=8 for siControl and n=9 for siERH blastocysts).

h. ERH repressed blastocyst genes grouped based upon normal expression patterns exhibited during mouse pre-implantation development re-analyzed from [25].

i. Example gene tracks of genes significantly upregulated in siERH vs siControl blastocysts (adjusted p-value<=0.05, log2fc>=0.05) with H3K9me3 tracks for 2 cell, trophectoderm and ICM cells from[10].

See also Extended Data 3 and Supplementary Tables 5,6.

Figure 4. ERH is dispensable for pluripotency maintenance but is involved in silencing of pluripotency and naïve genes during differentiation

a. Representative images showing hESC morphology and SOX2 (green) pluripotency marker staining in siControl and siERH H1 hESCs under pluripotency maintaining conditions. Scale bars, 50µm.

b. Representative images of H3K9me3 (red) and DAPI (blue) in siControl and siERH H1 hESCs under pluripotency maintaining conditions. Scale bars, 50µm.

c. Representative images of NANOG (red), SOX17 (red) and DAPI (blue) during 1 day of endoderm differentiation of siControl and siERH H1 hESCs. Scale bars, 50µm.

d. Representative images of OCT4 (red), PAX6 (green) and DAPI (blue) during 2 days of ectoderm differentiation of siControl and siERH H1 hESCs. Scale bars, 50µm.

e. Plot of NANOG expression in transcripts per million (TPM) by RNA-seq in siControl and siERH treated H1 hESCs cultured in pluripotency (H1), 1 day endoderm differentiation (DE) and 2 day ectoderm differentiation (EC). (DESeq2 adjusted p-value reported).

f. Plot of KLF5 and ZSCAN4 expression in transcripts per million (TPM) by RNA-seq in siControl and siERH treated H1 hESCs cultured in pluripotency (H1), 1 day endoderm differentiation (DE) and 2 day ectoderm differentiation (EC). (DESeq2 adjusted p-value reported).

g. Venn diagram showing intersect of repeat classes upregulated (TETranscripts, padj<0.05, log2fc>0.5) by ERH depletion in H1 hESCs untreated (UT), 1 day endoderm (DE) or 2 day ectoderm (EC) conditions.

h. Expression change and significance, TEtranscripts[67] analysis, of L1 LTR transposable elements in ERH depletion in H1 hESCs untreated (UT), 1 day endoderm (DE) or 2 day ectoderm (EC) listed in order of evolutionary age as determined in[47].

i. H3K9me3 data from H1 hESCs (GSM1003585) and hESC derived endoderm (GSM916057) at 65,656 L1 element loci +/- 1kb upregulated (adjusted pvalue<=0.05; log2foldchange>=0.5) by ERH depletion during 1 day endoderm differentiation.

See also Extended Data Figs. 4,5 and Supplementary Tables 7,8.

Figure 5. ERH represses alternative lineage gene activation during hESC differentiation

a. GO analysis (PantherDB, statistical overrepresentation) of genes upregulated
(padj<=0.05, log2 fold change >= 0.5) in siERH vs siControl H1 hESCs 1 day endoderm
differentiation.

b. Radar plot showing cumulative expression profile across indicated human tissues, data
downloaded from BBI Descartes database[68], for genes upregulated (padj<=0.05, log2 fold
change >= 0.5) in siERH vs siControl H1 hESCs 1 day endoderm differentiation.

c. Radar plot showing cumulative expression profile across indicated human tissues, data
downloaded from BBI Descartes database[68], for genes upregulated (padj<=0.05, log2 fold
change >= 0.5) in siERH vs siControl H1 hESCs 2 day ectoderm differentiation.

d. Example early mesodermal genes activated during endoderm differentiation by ERH
depletion, transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq replicates shown (DESeq2
adjusted p-value).

e. Example late mesodermal genes activated during endoderm differentiation by ERH
depletion, transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq replicates shown DESeq2
adjusted p-value).

f. Representative image of DAPI (blue), BRACHYURY (red) of siControl and siERH treated
H1 hESCs after 1 day of endoderm differentiation. Scale bars, 50μm.

g. Expression change and significance, TEtranscripts[67] analysis, of transposable elements
by ERH depletion in H1 hESCs untreated (UT), 1 day endoderm (DE) or 2 day ectoderm
(EC) grouped by lineage/stage at which they are typically expressed as determined in[44].
Negative log2 fold changes are represented as unfilled circles.

h. Browser track showing RNA-seq of example repeat element and nearby genes in siControl
and siERH treated H1 hESCs after 1 day of endoderm differentiation and H3K9me3 tracks
from H1 hESCs (GSM1003585) and hESC derived endoderm (GSM916057).

See also Extended Data Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 9.

Figure 6. Cell type specific and independent recruitment of ERH to chromatin by RNA binding

proteins regulates gene and repeat repression

a. Strategy for identifying chromatin-bound ERH interaction partners by isolation of soluble

nucleoplasm and chromatin-associated protein fractions prior to immunoprecipitation.

b. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of ERH from the chromatin fraction of human fibroblasts.

Western blot analysis of YTHDC1, YTHDC2, SAFB1, and ERH in the input and IP

samples.

c. Immunoprecipitation (IP) of ERH from the chromatin fraction of human ESCs. Western

blot analysis of YTHDC1, YTHDC2, SAFB1, and ERH in the input and IP samples.

d. Immunofluorescence (IF) of ERH after RNase A and RNase H treatment.

e. Western blot of ERH, YTHDC1, and YTHDC2 in nucleoplasm and chromatin-associated

protein fractions of human fibroblasts after siYTHDC1 and siYTHDC2 treatment.

f. Expression change and significance, TEtranscripts[67] analysis, of HERVK HERVH and

satellite repeats in hESCs and human fibroblasts after siERH.

g. Immunofluorescence (IF) of BRACHYURY in siCTRL, siYTHDC1 and siYTHDC2 after 1

day endoderm differentiation.

h. Venn diagram of gene coregulation after siERH, siYTHDC1 and siSAFB1 in human

fibroblasts after addition of hepatic reprogramming factors, FOXA3, HNF1A, HNF4A.

i. Proposed model for cell-type specific, and cell-type agnostic gene and repeat regulation

by ERH, YTHDC1, and SAFB1.

See also Extended Data Fig. 7

Methods

Cell lines culture and condition

Human H1 ES cells were obtained from WiCell (WA01), grown on Matrigel coated wells and cultured in mTeSR1 (Stem Cell Tech, 85850) medium at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Medium was changed daily. Human BJ foreskin fibroblasts were obtained from ATCC (CRL-2522) and cultured in Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM, Sigma-Aldrich M2279) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone SH30071) and 2mM Glutamax (ThermoFisher 35050061) at 37°C and 5% CO_2.

Mouse embryo work

Mouse embryo experimentation was approved by the Biological Resource Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (IACUC Protocol 806983). C57BL/6 wild-type 3–4-week-old female mice were superovulated using 5 IU of pregnant mare serum (PMS, National Hormone and Peptide Program) gonadotropin given intraperitoneally and 5 IU of recombinant chorionic gonadotrophin (CG, National Hormone and Peptide Program) given 48 h after and immediately before mating, according to animal ethics guidelines of the University of Pennsylvania. Embryos were flushed from oviducts of plugged females using M2 medium (Merck) and cultured in KSOM+AA (Merck) covered by mineral oil (Sigma, M-5310), at 37 °C and 5% CO2.

Mouse embryo immunofluorescence and microscopy

Embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 15710) for 30 min at room temperature washed twice in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Millipore Sigma, T8787), permeabilized for 20 min in PBS with 0.5% Triton X-100, and blocked in 3% bovine serum albumin (Gemini Bio, 700-100P) for 30 min. Embryos were then incubated at 4 °C overnight in primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution at the following concentrations: ERH (Abcam,

ab166620) at 1:200, Keratin-8 (DSHB, THROMA-I) at 1:20, H3K9me3 (Abcam, ab8898) at

1:1000, and CDX2 (Invitrogen, ZC007) at 1:200. After primary antibody incubation, embryos

were washed 5 times for 20 min in PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 and incubated for 30 min in

Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen, A32731, A32723, A32733, A32728

and A11006) diluted in blocking solution to 1:500. Phalloidin-Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen,

A34055) diluted to 1:500 and DAPI (Sigma, 10236276001) diluted to 1:500 in blocking solution

were also used to label F-actin and DNA, respectively. Embryos were washed three times in

PBS with 0.1% Triton X-100 before mounting in PBS covered with mineral oil in an 8-well µ-

Slide (Ibidi, #80827). Embryos were imaged using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (Leica

SP8) with a water-immersion Apochromat 40× 1.1 NA objective and HyD detectors. Samples

were excited with standard laser lines (405-nm, 488-nm, 552-nm, 638-nm).

Mouse embryo siRNA injection experiments

Microinjections were performed using a FemtoJet (Eppendorf). For ERH knockdown

experiments, mouse embryos were injected with two siRNAs (Qiagen, S104403294 &

S104403287) against ERH at a total concentration of 400 nM. For knockdown experiments

AllStars negative control siRNA (Qiagen, 1027281) was injected in embryos at a concentration

of 400nM.

Differentiation of hESCs

Prior to differentiation, for samples to be analyzed by RNA-seq, cells grown to a density of 80%

were digested with Accutase (Stem Cell Technologies, 07920) for 7 min. Then, DMEM/F12 was

added and gently pipetted to generate a cell suspension. After centrifugation, cells were

resuspended in mTeSR1 medium with 5uM ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 (Cayman Chemical,

10005583) and seeded at $8X10^5$-$1X10^6$ cells per well in a 6 well plate coated with Matrigel

(Millipore Sigma, CLS354277) 24 hours prior to differentiation treatment. For definitive

endoderm differentiation of hESCs, mTeSR1 medium was removed and cells were cultured in

DMEM/F12 (Thermo Fisher, 11320033) supplemented with 10% (v/v) Fetal Bovine Serum

(Hyclone, SH30071) with 100ng/ml Activin A (Peprotech, 120-14P) and 3uM CHIR99021

(Cayman Chemical, 13122). For ectoderm differentiation of hESCs, mTeSR medium was

removed and cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 with 5uM Retinoic Acid (Cayman Chemical,

11017).

Cell culture siRNA transfection experiments

All ERH knockdown experiments were performed with two cycles of siRNA transfection

(Silencer Select siRNA, Thermo Fisher, s4815), three days apart. Transfections were performed

in fibroblasts using 5nM final concentration of siRNA and in hESCs using a 10nM final

concentration of siRNA and 2.5ul/ml final concentration of Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo

Fisher #31985070).

Reprogramming factor expression experiments

We utilized the CytoTune-iPS 2.0 Sendai reprogramming kit (ThermoFisher, A16517) to express

Oct4, SOX2, KLF4 and cMyc in BJ fibroblasts. Cells were treated according to the

manufacturer's instructions three days after the second of two cycles of siRNA transfection.

Cell culture immunofluorescence and microscopy

For immunofluorescence of human fibroblasts and hESCs cells were washed briefly with PBS,

and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 minutes. Fixed cells were washed 3 times with

PBS, permeabilized with ice-cold 0.1% Triton-X in PBS for 10 minutes, and washed twice with

PBS-T (PBS, 0.05% Tween-20). Samples were blocked with 3% donkey serum (Millipore

Sigma, D9663) in PBS for 2 hours at room temperature and incubated overnight at 4°C using

the following concentrations: anti-H3K9me3 (1:300, Abcam ab8898), anti-H3K27me3 (1:300,

Active Motif, 39155), anti-SOX2 (1:200, Cell Signaling, 23064), anti-OCT4 (1:200, Abcam,

ab19857), anti-PAX6 (1:200, BD Biosciences, 561462), anti-Brachyury (1:200, Cell Signaling,

81694) in 3% donkey serum in PBS. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS-T and then incubated

with AlexaFluor 488- or 594-conjugated secondary antibodies raised in donkey (Thermo Fisher,

See Methods) at a 1:500 dilution in 3% donkey serum in PBS.

Total RNA isolation and sequencing

BJ fibroblasts grown in a 6-well dish were washed twice with cold PBS, followed by addition of 1

mL TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher 15596026) and transferred to a chilled 1.5 mL tube using a

cell scraper. The cells were homogenized by pipetting through a 25G needle attached to a 2 mL

syringe 10 times. 200 μl of chloroform was added to the cells and vortexed for 15 seconds,

followed by a room temperature incubation of 3 minutes. Phase separation of nucleic acids and

protein was performed by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4C. The upper aqueous

phase containing RNA was then transferred to a fresh chilled tube. RNA was precipitated from

the aqueous phase by adding an equal volume of isopropyl alcohol, followed by a 10 minute

incubation at room temperature. The RNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 10

minutes at 4C. After removal of supernatant, the pellet was washed twice with 1 mL 75%

ethanol, and resuspended in nuclease free water. RNA quality was verified using a high-

sensitivity RNA screentape (Agilent 5067-5576). 1ug of total RNA was sent to Novogene, who

assembled and sequenced bulk RNA-seq libraries. Two to three biological replicates were

prepared for each condition.

CUT&RUN experiments

CUT&RUN was performed as described in[69], with digitonin and NaCl in wash buffers optimized

for permeabilization of BJ fibroblasts and retainment of SOX2 on chromatin as performed in[70].

Next, BJ fibroblasts were detached from cell culture plates with Accutase (STEMCELL

Technologies 07920), washed, bound to magnetic concanavalin A beads, and permeabilized with

a dig-wash buffer (0.1% digitonin, 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 75 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Spermidine,

EDTA-free protease inhibitor). Bead-bound cells were incubated with a SOX2 (CST, clone

D9B8N, 23064, 1:100) or H3K9me3 antibody (abcam ab8898, 1:100) at 4C overnight. The

following morning, the cells were washed twice with dig-wash buffer, incubated with pA/G-MNase

for an hour at 4C, and washed twice more. After chilling cells on an ice block for 5 minutes, MNase

was activated for 30 minutes by addition of 2mM $CaCl_2$. The reaction was stopped by adding an

equal volume of 2X STOP buffer (340 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 4 mM EGTA, 0.1% Digitonin, 0.05

mg/mL glycogen, 5 mg/mL RNase A). Digested chromatin was extracted from permeabilized cells

at 37C for 30 minutes, and DNA was purified by phenol-chloroform. Libraries were prepared as

previously described[71]. Two to three biological replicates were prepared for each condition.

CUT&RUN data processing

Paired-end CUT&RUN reads were mapped to the human genome build hg38 using bowtie2[72]

(v2.3.4.1) with run parameters --local --very-sensitive --no-unal --no-mixed --no-discordant -I 10 -

X 1000. A quality-filtered BAM file was generated with the command samtools (v1.16.1) view -q

5 -f 2 -bS. Global scaling factors (SF) for siERH and siControl H3K9me3 data sets were calculated

using the default parameters of ChIPSeqSpikeInFree[73] (R v3.6.3). Bigwig files for visualization

were generated from indexed BAM files with command bamCoverage --smoothLength 10 --

normalizeUsing CPM --scaleFactor 1/SF --ignoreForNormalization chrM (deeptools 3.5.0). BED

files were generated from BAMs using the bedtools command bamToBed (bedtools v2.27.1). BED

files were converted to bedgraph format using the bedtools command genomecov. Peaks were

called on the bedgraph files with SEACR[74] (v1.3), using the stringent setting and selecting the top

0.01% of regions by AUC. Peak lists for downstream analyses were derived from individual

replicates or the union of overlapping sites from biological triplicates, as indicated in the text and

figures. Enrichment analysis of known DNA binding motifs was performed using HOMER[75].

Heatmaps

Heatmaps were generated with deeptools[76] version 3.5.0. Counts matrices were generated with

command computeMatrix reference-point --missingDataAsZero --referencePoint center. Figures

were produced with the plotHeatmap command.

Correlation plots

To assess genome wide similarity between hBJ siERH H3K9me3, hBJ siControl H3K9me3, and

H1-hESC H3K9me3 data sets, the Pearson correlation of peak overlap was calculated using the

Intervene tool[77]. In brief, peak files for individual H3K9me3 replicates were processed with the

command intervene pairwise --I *H3K9me3.bed --compute fract --htype color. Visualization of

Pearson correlation of fractional overlap between peak sets were generated on

https://intervene.shinyapps.io/intervene.

RNA-seq data analysis

RNA-seq reads (paired-end) were mapped to the mm10 or hg38 genome for mouse and human

experiments respectively using STAR[78] (2.7.10b). The mapped reads to genes were counted

using featureCounts[79]. For counting repeat mapping reads the TEcount function from

TEtranscripts[67] was run on STAR aligned bam files using the hg38 repeatmasker gtf file

annotation. The output count files were analyzed by DESeq2[80] to detect differentially expressed

genes (Benjamini adjusted p-value < 0.05, log2 fold change > 1 or log2 fold change > 1.5).

Genes and repeats with fewer than 10 total mapped reads were excluded from DESeq2 and

TETranscripts analysis. TPM, FPKM and RPM were calculated using an in-house R script.

Mouse blastocyst image analysis

3D visualizations and analysis of embryos were performed using Imaris 8.2 or Imaris 9.7

software (Bitplane). The manual surface rendering module was used for cellular and nuclear

segmentation, using phalloidin and DAPI signals to define cellular and nuclear boundaries,

respectively. Quantifications of immunofluorescence intensity were performed by measuring the

mean fluorescence intensity of voxels within the segmented object, standardized DAPI to

account for light scattering.

Cell culture image analysis

Quantification of nuclear area and signal intensity was performed using the Nuclear

Morphometric Analysis (NMA) plugin for ImageJ[81]. In ImageJ saturated pixels was set to 0.35%

prior to processing. NMA plugin was run using the following settings: show ellipse and use

watershed options selected, minimum nuclei area=150, maximum nuclei area=6000, nuclei

intensity segmentation threshold=95, nuclei insertion intensity=93%.

Gene ontology enrichment analysis

Gene ontology enrichment analysis was performed using PANTHER[82] (v18.0) with settings,

statistical overrepresentation test: GO biological process complete, all genes in the database

were used as the background reference list, and statistical significance was determined using

Fisher's Exact test with false discovery rate.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism, the R software for statistical computing

and with DESeq2. Pairwise comparisons were analyzed using an unpaired, two-tailed Student's

t-test. Correlation was analyzed with a two-tailed Pearson's Correlation test. Significant overlap

between gene sets was analyzed with the hypergeometric test. Differential gene expression

analysis was performed using DESeq2 which calculates p-values by the Wald test and adjusted

p-values corrected for multiple testing using the Banjamini and Hochberg method. Reproducibility

was confirmed by independent experiments.

Acknowledgements

R.L.M. was supported by an NIH NIDDK K01 postdoctoral fellowship DK117970-01. N.P. was

supported by NIGMS (GM139970-01) and NICHD (HD102013-01A1). NIHGM36477 and

R35GM153180 supported work in the lab of K.S.Z.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, R.L.M. and K.Z.; Formal analysis, A.K., B.H., H.F., K.T. and R.L.M.; Funding

acquisition, K.Z; Investigation, A.K., B.H., H.F., K.T., J.Z., A.B. and R.L.M.; Methodology, A.K.,

B.H., R.L.M. and K.Z.; Project administration, R.L.M and K.Z.; Resources, K.Z,; Supervision, M.T-

P., N.P., R.L.M. and K.Z.; Writing – Original Draft, A.K., R.L.M. and K.Z.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Ryan McCarthy (ryan.mccarthy@seatttlechildrens.org) and Kenneth Zaret

(zaret@pennmedicine.upenn.edu).

Data availability

967   •   RNA-seq and CUT&RUN datasets collected in this study have been deposited in the

968   GEO of NCBI and are publicly available as of the date of publication. Accession

969   numbers are listed in the Methods. GEO reviewer tokens for accessing the data

970   while it remains in private status are for RNA-seq GSE268901 (wbsvkukqhzobpan),

971   and for CUT&RUN GSE268961 (qngpsiywdvyfjyj).

Code availability

• This paper does not report original code.

• Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is

available from the lead contact upon request.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. H3K9me3 peak coordinates in siERH human fibroblasts.

Supplementary Table 2. Fibroblast +OSKM 48 hours, SOX2 CUT&RUN peaks in siControl and

siERH.

Supplementary Table 3. Fibroblast +OSKM 48 hours, siERH/siControl RNA-seq DESeq2

differential gene expression analysis results.

Supplementary Table 4. Fibroblast +OSKM 48 hours, siERH/siControl TETranscripts differential

repeat expression analysis results.

Supplementary Table 5. Blastocyst immunofluorescence quantification values.

Supplementary Table 6. Blastocyst RNA-seq DESeq2 siERH/siControl differential gene

expression analysis results.

Supplementary Table 7. H1 hESC untreated, endoderm and ectoderm RNA-seq DESeq2

siERH/siControl differential gene expression analysis results.

Supplementary Table 8. H1 hESC untreated, endoderm, and ectoderm TETranscripts

siERH/siControl differential repeat expression analysis

Supplementary Table 9. H1 hESC untreated, endoderm and ectoderm GO analysis results.
995

Extended data

Extended Data Fig. 1. ERH depletion reverts fibroblast H3K9me3 patterns to an hESC-like state

a. Venn diagram of H3K9me3 peaks called using SEACR from fibroblast siControl and siERH H3K9me3 CUT&RUN and H1 hESC ChIP-seq (GSM1003585).

b. Browser track showing SEACR peaks called in siControl H3K9me3 domain.

c. Browser tracks showing H3K9me3 (GSM1003585) and HP1a (GSM1701825) in H1 hESCs and H3K9me3 in siControl and siERH human fibroblasts.

d. Top 10 statistically enriched transcription factor binding motifs at H3K9me3 peaks in siERH treated human fibroblasts (homer findMotifs).

e. Occurrence of repeats from RepEnrich database and repeat occurrence at sites of siERH fibroblasts H3K9me3 peaks.

a Venn diagram of overlap of H3K9me3 peaks called by SEACR in H1 hESCs, and siControl and siERH treated fibroblasts

b Browser track showing limitations of peak calling to capture domain architecture of H3K9me3

c Browser track of H3K9me3 in siControl and siERH compared to H3K9me3 and Hp1a in H1 hESC

d Top 10 statistically enriched transcription factor motifs at siERH fibroblast H3K9me3 peaks

	p-adj	Motif
ZNF136	<10⁻⁵	
SOX10	<10⁻⁵	
ZFX	<10⁻⁵	
SOX21	<10⁻⁵	
SOX3	<10⁻⁵	
SOX4	<10⁻⁵	
ZNF711	<10⁻⁵	
ZNF317	<10⁻⁵	
SOX15	<10⁻⁵	
SOX9	<10⁻⁵	

e Proportion of repeat class at siERH fibroblast H3K9me3 peaks vs background abundance

Extended Data Fig. 2. ERH depletion enhances gene activation of pluripotency

a. Expression of genes activated during fibroblasts to iPSC reprogramming timepoints[21] that
are located in unmarked, H3K27me3 or H3K9me3 marked heterochromatin as annotated
by[5].

b. Venn diagram showing overlap between SOX2 peak calls in siControl and siERH
fibroblasts+OSKM.

c. Browser track of example hESC SOX2 and NANOG peak coincident with fibroblast siERH
H3K9me3 loss and SOX2 peak gain.

d. SOX2 CUT&RUN average profile in siControl and siERH fibroblasts+OSKM for genome
regions identified as being differentially bound by reprogramming transcription factors [15].

e. Rank ordered GIGGLE enrichment scores. Each circle indicates similarity between siERH
SOX2-gained sites and a ChIP-seq peak set from cistromeDB. Blue circles are peak sets
profiled in hESCs, white circles are peaks from a non-embryonic origin.

f. Browser track of H3K9me3 in siControl and siERH fibroblasts and RNA in siControl and
siERH fibroblasts+OSKM at DPPA4.

g. Example 8 cell genes activated in siERH+OSKM but not activated during eight time points
of iPSC reprogramming time course[21].

h. Browser tracks of H3K9me3 in siControl and siERH fibroblasts and RNA in siControl and
siERH fibroblasts+OSKM, at a locus of an LTR7Y-HERHV transposable element and
nearby gene.

i. Volcano plot showing differential repeat expression in siERH vs siControl
fibroblast+OSKM for repeats annotated in[6] as repressed by H3K9me3 in human
blastocysts. (TETranscripts, DESeq2, padj<=0.05, log2fc>0.5).

j. Representative phase image showing cell confluency of siControl and siERH
fibroblasts+OSKM cells 2 weeks after initial OSKM infection with titrated siRNA
concentration. All treatments were plated at identical cell numbers 1 day prior to infection.

k. Plot of iPSC colony count from siControl and siERH fibroblasts+OSKM cells 3 weeks after
initial OSKM infection with titrated siRNA concentration. Each value represents the
number of colonies generated in 1 well of a 24 well plate.

a Gene expression of iPSC genes located in fibroblasts heterochromatin domains during reprogramming time course

Pluripotency genes in:
- Unmarked heterochromatin
- H3K27me3 heterochromatin
- H3K9me3 heterochromatin

b SOX2 shared and unique peaks siCTRL and siERH +OSKM
SOX2 peaks
siControl unique 5,649 | shared 85,323 | siERH unique 2,538

c chr3:109,308,070-109,329,272
21 kb
hESC: SOX2, NANOG
fibroblast: H3K9me3 siControl, siERH; +OSKM SOX2 siControl, siERH
DPPA2   DPPA4

d SOX2 binding in differentially bound regions, siControl and siERH
siControl, siERH
Differentially bound regions (Soufi et al., 2012)

e Similarity of siERH gained SOX2 peaks to hESC and non-hESC ChIP-seq
GIGGLE score
hESC site, non-hESC site
NANOG, SOX2, POU5F1, EP300, ATF4, TCF4, CTNNB1, KLF4, SMARCA4, LEF1, SMAD1, BCL11A, NIPBL, SMAD3, OTX2

f Browser track of H3K9me3 and expression change at example naïve pluripotency gene
chr3:109,042,563-109,069,014
26 kb
H3K9me3 siControl, siERH; fibroblast RNA-seq siControl, siERH
DPPA4

g Expression of naïve pluripotency genes during iPSC reprogramming and in siControl and siERH fibroblasts+OSKM
H3.Y, DUXA, TPRXL
Expression (FPKM)
iPSC time course all time points, siControl, siERH

h H3K9me3 and expression change at example gene and proximal repeat
chr8:90,052,801-90,107,223
54 kb
H3K9me3 siControl, siERH; RNA-seq fibroblast +OSKM siControl, siERH
CALB1   LTR7Y HERVH-int LTR7Y

i Expression changes of human blastocyst repressed repeats in siERH vs siControl fibroblasts+OSKM
Human blastocyst repressed repeats (Xu et al., 2022)
-log10(FDR)
LTR12C, HERV9-int, HERV4_I-int, LTR5_Hs, LTR12D, LTR2, MER11D, MER61D, LTR25-int
log 2 (siERH/siControl) RNA-seq expression

j Images 7 days post infection
siRNA concentration: 5nM, 1nM, 0.1nM
siControl, siERH

k iPSC colony number 14 days post infection
number iPSC colonies
siControl, siERH
5nm, 1nm, 0.1nm
siRNA concentration

1036   Extended Data Fig. 3. Characterization of ERH and the effects of ERH depletion in mouse
1037   blastocysts

1038   **a.** ERH RNA expression in reads per kilobase million (RPKM) during stages of mouse pre-
1039   implantation development, RNA-seq data from[25]. unpaired two tail t-test; n=6.

1040   **b.** Fluorescent imaging of ERH (magenta) and quantification in ICM and trophectoderm cells
1041   (unpaired t-test, ns=not significant).

1042   **c.** ERH (magenta) and DAPI (teal) staining in mouse blastocysts injected at the 1 cell stage
1043   with siControl and siERH siRNAs showing protein depletion. Scale bars, 10μm.

1044   **d.** Representative DIC images of mouse blastocysts injected at the 1 cell stage with siControl
1045   and siERH siRNAs, two examples for each shown.

1046   **e.** Fluorescent imaging of trophectoderm marker CDX2 (green), pluripotency marker OCT4
1047   (magenta), with Phalloidin (orange) to define cell boundary and quantification of OCT4
1048   levels in siControl siERH blastocysts with DAPI (blue). (t-test, ns=not significant).

1049   **f.** Expression of Erh in siControl and siERH treated mouse blastocysts by RNA-seq. (t-test,
1050   p>0.0001).

1051   **g.** TPM expression values from RNA-seq for primitive endoderm genes. (t-test)

1052   **h.** TPM expression values from RNA-seq for epiblast genes. (t-test, ns=not significant)

1053

a *Erh* expression during mouse preimplantation

b ERH immunofluorescence in mouse blastocyst comparing protein levels in trophectoderm and ICM cells

c ERH protein staining in mouse blastocysts injected with siRNA at the 1-cell stage

e Comparison of OCT4 protein levels between siControl and siERH mouse blastocysts

d Example brightfield images of mouse blastocysts injected at the 1 cell stage with siControl or siERH siRNAs

f *Erh* expression in siControl and siERH mouse blastocysts

p<0.0001 (unpaired t test)

g Expression of primitive endoderm genes in siControl and siERH mouse blastocysts

h Expression of epiblast genes in siControl and siERH mouse blastocysts

Extended Data Fig. 4. ERH is dispensable for pluripotency maintenance but is involved in
silencing of pluripotency and naïve genes during differentiation

a. Immunofluorescence for DAPI (blue) and H3K27me3 (red) in siControl and siERH H1
hESCs in pluripotent conditions. Scale bars, 50μm.

b. Nuclear area, white arrows indicate nuclear blebs. $p=5.6*10^{-16}$, welch's two sample t-test.
Scale bars, 50μm.

c. Volcano plot showing differential gene expression in siERH vs siControl H1 in pluripotency
conditions. Inset pie chart indicates the number of genes upregulated and down regulated
in siERH vs siControl H1 (DESeq2, padj<=0.05, log2fc>0.5).

d. Imaging of endoderm differentiation showing changes in colony morphology (phase),
DAPI (blue), silencing of SOX2 (green) and induction of endoderm marker SOX17 (red).
Scale bars, 100μm.

e. Quantification of nuclear NANOG immunofluorescence intensity in siControl vs siERH 1
day endoderm differentiated H1 hESCs. (unpaired t-test).

f. ERH transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq in siControl and siERH H1 hESCs
in untreated, endoderm (DE) and ectoderm (EC) differentiation conditions.

g. Volcano plot showing differential gene expression in siERH vs siControl 1 day endoderm
for genes down regulated in siControl DE vs siControl H1. Inset pie chart indicates the
number of genes upregulated and down regulated in siERH vs siControl DE (DESeq2,
padj<=0.05, log2fc>0.5).

h. Volcano plot showing differential gene expression in siERH vs siControl 2 day ectoderm
for genes down regulated in siControl EC vs siControl H1. Inset pie chart indicates the
number of genes upregulated and down regulated in siERH vs siControl EC (DESeq2,
padj<=0.05, log2fc>0.5).

i. Intersection of genes enriched in hESCs with high self-renewal[37] with genes repressed by
ERH during endoderm and ectoderm differentiation. (hypergeometric test)

j. Graphs of naïve gene RNA-seq, transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq
replicates shown (DESeq2 adjusted p-value).

a H3K27me3 immunofluorescence in siControl and siERH H1 hESCs

b Quantification of nuclear area in siControl and siERH H1 hESCs

p=5.6e-16

c H1 sERH vs siControl

DOWN 2289 UP 2004

d Genes upregulated in naïve vs primed hESCs

DOWN 163 UP 335

e Imaging of endoderm differentiation of H1 hESCs

f NANOG abundance in siControl and siERH endoderm

p<2.2*10⁻¹⁶
n= 1,804 1,369

g ERH expression in siRNA treated pluripotent and differentiated H1 hESCs

h Endoderm siERH vs siControl of genes down in siCTRL DE vs H1

DOWN 226 UP 315

i Ectoderm siERH vs siControl of genes down in siCTRL EC vs H1

DOWN 115 UP 316

j Genes upregulated in naïve vs primed hESCs

DOWN 139 UP 438

k Overlap of hESC self-renewal genes with ERH repressed genes

Genes enriched in hESCs with high self-renewal (Lau et al., 2020)

150
19 8
1670 43
977 472

Endoderm ERH repressed genes
p-value = 6.2e-12

Ectoderm ERH repressed genes
p-value = 2.2e-16

l Expression of naïve pluripotency genes in siRNA treated pluripotent and differentiated H1 hESCs

Extended Data Fig. 5. ERH represses transposable elements that act as gene regulatory regions

a. LTR7Y expression plot from RNA-seq data analyzed by TETranscripts in untreated (UT)
and endoderm (DE) differentiated H1 hESCs treated with siControl or siERH. (DESeq2
adjusted p-value).

b. LTR5_Hs and HERVK expression plots in reads per million (RPM) from RNA-seq data
analyzed by TETranscripts. (DESeq2 adjusted p-value).

c. SVA class element expression plots in reads per million (RPM) from RNA-seq data
analyzed by TETranscripts. (DESeq2 adjusted p-value).

d. Browser track showing RNA-seq of example repeat element and nearby gene that is
expressed in pluripotency, silenced in siControl DE and EC but expressed in siERH DE
and EC conditions.

e. Browser track showing RNA-seq of example repeat element and nearby gene that is
expressed in pluripotency, silenced in siControl DE and EC but expressed in siERH DE
condition.

f. Plot of expression change of specific L1 element loci vs expression change of
corresponding nearest gene within 25kb in 1 day endoderm. R, Pearson correlation.

g. H3K9me3 data from H1 hESCs (GSM1003585) and hESC derived endoderm
(GSM916057) at gene bodies +/- 1kb for upregulated (adjusted pvalue<=0.05;
log2foldchange>=0.5) by ERH depletion during 1 day endoderm differentiation.

1101

a Expression of LTR7Y repeats

b Expression of LTR5_Hs and HERVK repeats

c Expression of SVA repeats

d Browser tracks of example coordinated expression of gene and proximal repeat element

f Correlation analysis of gene and proximal repeat expression

e Browser track of example coordinated expression of gene and proximal L1PA3 element

g H3K9me3 levels in pluripotent H1 and after 2 days endoderm differentiation at endoderm differentiation ERH repressed genes

Extended Data Fig. 6. ERH represses alternative lineage gene activation during hESC
differentiation

a. GO analysis (PantherDB, statistical overrepresentation) of genes upregulated
(padj<=0.05, log2 fold change >= 0.5) in siERH vs siControl H1 hESCs 2 day ectoderm
differentiation.

b. Example meiotic and gametogenic genes activated during endoderm and ectoderm
differentiation by ERH depletion, transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq
replicates shown (DESeq2 adjusted p-value).

c. Example alternative lineage genes activated during ectoderm differentiation by ERH
depletion, transcripts per million (TPM) values from RNA-seq replicates shown (DESeq2
adjusted p-value).

d. MER11A and MER11C expression plots from RNA-seq data analyzed by TETranscripts.
(DESeq2 adjusted p-value).

e. Browser track showing RNA-seq of example repeat element and nearby gene in siControl
and siERH treated H1 hESCs after 1 day of endoderm differentiation and H3K9me3 tracks
from H1 hESCs (GSM1003585) and hESC derived endoderm (GSM916057).

a GO analysis for statisticaly enrichment of genes upregulated in siERH ectoderm

b Expression of example gametogenic genes

c Expression of example liver genes in siControl and siERH H1 cells during pluripotency and ectoderm differentiation

d Expression of MER11 repeats

e Browser track of example coordinated expression of gene and proximal repeat element with H3K9me3

Extended Data Fig. 7. ERH is recruited to the chromatin by RNA and RNA binding proteins.

a. Western blot after RNase A treatment during soluble nuclear protein isolation. Western for
ERH and YTHDC1 in nuclear and chromatin fractions with and without RNase. RBMX and
H3 included as known RNA-sensitive and RNA-insensitive, chromatin-associated
proteins.

b. Quantification of immunofluorescence (IF) of nuclear ERH signal after siYTHDC1 followed
by washout, in human fibroblasts.

a RNase A treatment of soluble
nuclear and chromatin fractions

b Quantification of ERH intensity
by immunofluorescence
after permeabilization and
washout for indicated siRNA