Evolutionary Dynamics of RuBisCO: Emergence of the Small Subunit and its Impact Through Time

Kaustubh Amritkar[1,2], Bruno Cuevas-Zuviría[1,3] and Betül Kaçar[1*]

[1] Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

[2] Biophysics Graduate Degree Program, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA

[3] Centro de Biotecnología y Genómica de Plantas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

* Corresponding author: E-mail: bkacar@wisc.edu

Short Title: Small subunit emergence and RuBisCO evolution

Abstract

Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) is an ancient protein critical for

CO_2-fixation and global biogeochemistry. Form-I RuBisCO complexes uniquely harbor small

subunits that form a hexadecameric complex together with their large subunits. The small subunit

protein is thought to have significantly contributed to RuBisCO's response to the atmospheric rise

of O_2 ~2.5 billion years ago, marking a pivotal point in the enzyme's evolutionary history. Here,

we performed a comprehensive evolutionary analysis of extant and ancestral RuBisCO sequences

and structures to explore the impact of the small subunit's earliest integration on the molecular

dynamics of the overall complex. Our simulations suggest that the small subunit restricted the

conformational flexibility of the large subunit early in its history, impacting the evolutionary

trajectory of the Form-I RuBisCO complex. Molecular dynamics investigations of CO_2 and O_2 gas

distribution around predicted ancient RuBisCO complexes suggest that a proposed "CO_2 reservoir"

role for the small subunit is not conserved throughout the enzyme's evolutionary history. The

evolutionary and biophysical response of RuBisCO to changing atmospheric conditions on ancient

Earth showcase multi-level and trackable responses of enzymes to environmental shifts over long

timescales.

Keywords:

RuBisCO, small subunit, ancestral sequence reconstruction, structural dynamics

Introduction

Life has been evolving on this planet for four billion years. Evolution allows for exploration of the vast sequence space comprised by polymers of the twenty standard amino acids – a space that exceeds the number of molecules in the universe (Wagner and Rosen 2014). From within this space, biology has discovered unique solutions to the challenges of growing and persisting in the ever-shifting diversity of Earth's environments. Undoubtedly, biogeochemically critical microbial enzymes are central to this dynamic, long-term interaction between life and the environment (Falkowski et al. 2008). One such key enzyme that evolved early in the history of life and persisted through planetary extremes is RuBisCO (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase).

RuBisCO is a globally critical, ancient enzyme with an intriguing history. It facilitates the rate-limiting step of the Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle for carbon fixation, catalyzing the addition of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO_2) with ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) (Andersson 2008). In the presence of oxygen (O_2), RuBisCO also catalyzes a competing oxygenation reaction in which RuBP combines with O_2, producing an autoinhibitory metabolite detrimental to the overall metabolic efficiency of carbon fixation (Fernie and Bauwe 2020). While the exact age of RuBisCO is not clearly known, paleobiological inferences (Ward and Shih 2019; Garcia et al. 2021; Kędzior et al. 2022) suggest that it emerged prior to the rise of atmospheric O_2 ~2.5 billion years ago, a period known as the Great Oxidation Event (GOE). Accordingly, despite being infamously sensitive to O_2, RuBisCO was maintained by organisms through this drastic atmospheric upheaval that impacted the ecosystem and its constituent biomolecules (Ashida et al. 2005; Raymond and Segrè 2006; Wang et al. 2011; Young et al. 2012; Caetano-Anollés 2017; Kacar et al. 2017; Erb and Zarzycki 2018; Garcia et al. 2021).

Extant RuBisCO forms (I to IV) exhibit different dimeric and poly-dimeric assemblies. All

forms have large subunits (RbcL), but Form-I RuBisCOs uniquely have an additional small subunit

(RbcS) (**Fig 1A**). Form-I RuBisCOs are also the most abundant (Tabita et al. 2008) and generally

exhibit higher specificities for CO_2 (Flamholz et al. 2019). These attributes make RbcS a promising

candidate to study how small accessory subunits can regulate the evolution of RuBisCO, though

their precise evolutionary role remains unclear. RbcS is thought to be crucial for the assembly of

the RbcL octameric complex made of RbcL homodimers (Liu et al. 2010; Esquivel et al. 2013;

Joshi et al. 2015) and significantly impacts RuBisCO's catalytic parameters (Gatenby 1988;

Spreitzer 2003; Genkov et al. 2010; Esquivel et al. 2013; Matsumura et al. 2020; Mao et al. 2022).

For example, incorporation of ancestral RbcS in RuBisCO was shown to increase the enzyme's

specificity for CO_2, indicating that emergence of RbcS played a critical role in the ancestor of

Form-I RuBisCO (Schulz et al. 2022). Previous computational work also suggested that RbcS can

act as a "CO_2-reservoir" to concentrate CO_2 molecules within the enzyme (Van Lun et al. 2014).

However, the extent to which the small subunit has influenced the structural dynamics and

evolutionary trajectory of the Form-I RuBisCO complex remains less understood.

Here we used phylogenetic reconstructions, structural predictions as well as molecular

dynamics (MD) simulations to track the evolution of the small subunit in the context of the

RuBisCO complex over geologic time. Our exploration focused on the hypothesis that the

molecular and structural innovations likely made Form-I RuBisCO selectively more advantageous

following the planetary rise of O_2. We specifically focused on three areas: 1) the sequence and

structural evolution of subunits within ancient RuBisCO complexes following integration of

ancient RbcS, 2) the impact of RbcS presence or absence on the structural dynamics of ancestral

and extant RuBisCO complexes, and 3) migration of CO_2 and O_2 gases in ancestral RuBisCO to

assess a proposed CO_2-reservoir role for ancient small subunits (Van Lun et al. 2014).

Results and Discussion

Resurrection of ancestral RuBisCOs

We built a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree from a concatenated alignment of RbcL

and RbcS amino acid sequences representing RuBisCO Forms I to IV, including the recently

described Form-I' (Banda et al. 2020) (**Fig. 1B**). The phylogeny contains 194 sets of RbcL-RbcS

homologs from Form-I and 135 RbcL homologs from all other forms (including Form-I', II, II/III,

III and IV). The tree contains sequences representative of known RuBisCO diversity and is rooted

by Form-IV RuBisCO-like proteins in accordance with previous studies (Tabita et al. 2007; Kacar

et al. 2017; Poudel et al. 2020). Form-I is categorized into four major subgroups: the "green-like"

Form-IA and IB, prevalent in proteobacteria, cyanobacteria, green algae and plants, and the "red-

like" Form-IC and ID, prevalent in proteobacteria and non-green algae (Spreitzer 2003; Tabita et

al. 2008). Our phylogeny also resolves a Form-I subclade, Form-IE, that diverges before the last

common ancestor of Form-IA and Form-IB (West-Roberts et al. 2021). Most sequences from the

Form-IE clade are from metagenomic studies and belong to unclassified members of the

Chloroflexota bacterial phylum. Form-I' RuBisCOs cluster within a monophyletic clade sister to

all other Form-I sequences. Form-I' RuBisCOs are notable because their RbcL subunits are similar

to those of Form-I in their multimeric assembly, but they lack the RbcS (Banda et al. 2020). Like

Form-IE, the Form-I' clade contains sequences from *Chloroflexota*. The topology of our

concatenated phylogeny is in agreement with previously reported RbcL-based phylogenetic trees

(Kacar et al. 2017; Banda et al. 2020).

Fig. 1: The evolutionary history and diversification of RuBisCO.

(A) Architecture of the L_8S_8 structure of the Form-I RuBisCO complex, with RbcL shown in green
and RbcS in orange (PDB:1BWV). (B) RuBisCO phylogenetic tree highlighting the emergence of
RbcS coinciding with the Great Oxidation Event (GOE) (Kacar et al. 2017; Banda et al. 2020).
Schematic on the right shows variation in the multimeric structure of the RuBisCO complex across
different forms. Form-II and III RuBisCOs exhibit multiple homooligomeric states of the RbcL-
dimer, such as RbcL-dimer, tetramer or hexamer (Liu et al. 2022), represented here as (RbcL-
RbcL)$_n$, where n is the number of RbcL-dimers. Bottom inset schematic shows the change in
atmospheric CO_2 and O_2 concentration through Earth's history (Rucker and Kaçar 2023).

We selected ancestral nodes situated along the evolutionary trajectory immediately before

and after RbcS incorporation into RuBisCO for ancestral sequence reconstruction (Methods).

Specifically, we inferred the common ancestor of both Form-I' and Form-I RuBisCOs, as well as

the ancestors of major Form-I clades as highlighted in **Fig. 2A**, inferring a total of seven ancestral

nodes along the phylogenetic tree.

Fig. 2: Phylogenetic analysis of RbcS structural diversity.
(A) Collapsed maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of concatenated RbcL-RbcS sequences. Ancestral nodes used in this study are highlighted. (B) Analysis of RbcS structural diversity, mapped to a clustered Form-I phylogeny, where each tip represents a cluster of RbcS sequences with >63% sequence identity. A heatmap for the number of sequences present in the RbcS cluster is represented in blue. Extant nodes are colored by microbial host taxonomic diversity. The presence and absence of different RbcS features in each extant sequence cluster is indicated by gray and white colors, and the color scheme for the presence of uncommon features is according to (C). (C) Multiple RbcS structures across Form-I subgroups aligned to highlight the different RbcS structural features. (D) Schematic representing the emergence of RbcS structural features across the Form-I RuBisCO phylogeny.

Sequence and structural diversity of modern and ancient RbcS proteins

We analyzed all extant Form-I RbcS sequences from our database representative of known host taxonomic diversity (**Fig. 2B**, Methods). Extant Form-I RbcS homologs show remarkably low mean pairwise sequence identity (29%) compared to Form-I RbcL sequences (66%) (**supplementary fig. 3**) as observed by others previously (Bracher et al. 2017; Mao et al. 2022; Bouvier et al. 2024).

We inferred sequences for 328 ancestral nodes within the phylogenetic tree, with 193 of these nodes belonging to the Form-I clade, including its common ancestor, Anc-I. Form-I RbcL ancestors are reconstructed with higher confidence than Form-I RbcS ancestors, with mean posterior probabilities of 0.98 and 0.90, respectively, across all positions (**supplementary fig. 4**). Anc-I subunit sequences have a mean pairwise identity of 71.5% (RbcL) and 42.2% (RbcS) compared across all extant RuBisCOs in the phylogeny, indicating a higher conservation of RbcL compared to RbcS. The mean posterior probabilities for Anc-I RbcL and RbcS are 0.95 and 0.85 respectively.

We further explored historical, evolutionary variation of RbcS by identifying known,

functionally significant sequence and structural features (Spreitzer 2003; Mao et al. 2022) (**Fig.**

2C) and mapping their presence across extant and reconstructed ancestral RbcS in our

phylogenetic tree (**Fig. 2B and 2D**). We find that the RbcS structure contains novel features unique

to different Form-I specific lineages. While all RuBisCO small subunits share a common core

structure consisting of a β-sheet with four antiparallel strands (βA to βD) and two α-helices (αA

& αB) (Knight et al. 1990), the Form-IB and Form-IC/D RbcS exhibit additional distinct

characteristics.

Within the Form-IB clade, we find that most green-like eukaryotic RbcS homologs contain

an additional N-terminal region as well as a βA-βB loop insertion. The N-terminal region is

specifically known to be a signal peptide necessary for the entry of RbcS into the chloroplast, prior

to assembly with RbcL (Schmidt and Mishkind 1986; Spreitzer 2003). The N-terminal extension

feature is first observed in the Form-IB ancestry after the separation of cyanobacteria in the

phylogeny (**supplementary fig. 5**). Absence of the N-terminal extension in Anc-IB, the common

ancestor of Form-IB, as well as older ancestors indicates that their ancient hosts did not translate

RbcS and RbcL in separate organelles. Rather, expression of subunits in different organelles

emerged as a trait following the bifurcation of cyanobacteria and Viridiplantae in Form-IB

RuBisCO.

We find that the βA-βB loop insertion is similarly exclusive to green-like eukaryotic RbcS

(**Fig. 2B**). Although the significance of the βA-βB loop in RbcS is not established, it plays an

important part in regulating the size of the central solvent channel in RuBisCO complex (Esquivel

et al. 2013). Like N-terminal extension, this loop first emerges after the splitting of cyanobacterial

and eukaryotic lineages in Form-IB (**supplementary fig. 5**). As observed with extant RbcS

(Spreitzer 2003; Mao et al. 2022), the βA-βB loop insertion length also varies across Form-IB

ancestors (10 to 28 aa). The loop has increased by ~11 residues in all eukaryotic ancestors after

the divergence from cyanobacterial ancestors and by ~18 residues in the ancestors from green

algae lineage within Form-IB (**supplementary fig. 5**).

We also tracked the evolution of the C-terminal βE and βF hairpin loop that is unique to

Form-ICD RbcS sequences (**Fig. 2B**). The common ancestor of Form-IC/D sequences, Anc-ICD,

and all subsequent Form-IC/D ancestors have the βE and βF hairpin (**Fig. 2D**). By contrast, Anc-

I RbcS does not have the βE and βF hairpin, suggesting this insertion happened after the divergence

of Form-IC/D within the Form-I clade. The C-terminal β-hairpin is functionally significant for

mediating the assembly of the oligomeric complex, allowing red-like RuBisCOs to assemble

without specialized assembly chaperones (Joshi et al. 2015). The incorporation of the hairpin loop

in Form-IC/D common ancestor, Anc-IC/D, suggests that independence from assembly

chaperones may have first emerged in a red-like RuBisCO ancestor. Our analysis thus reveals that

RbcS has undergone different clade-specific structural variations since its emergence in the Form-

I ancestor.

Global distribution of residues evolutionarily linked to RbcS

incorporation

RbcL from Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCOs exhibit the same L_8 oligomeric arrangement.

Because the presence or absence of the small subunit is the only major structural distinction

between the two forms (Banda et al. 2020), we performed comparative analyses to reveal the small

subunit's impact on RbcL sequence and structure. We focused on the RbcL extant sequences from

the Form-I and Form-I' clades. We identified residues that are conserved within each clade, but

differ between the two clades, which we refer to as "signature positions". These residues are more

likely to contribute to the functional distinctions between Form-I and Form-I', including

interactions (or lack thereof) with RbcS. The interface region between the large and small subunit

has been shown to be critical for the incorporation of RbcS into the Form-I RuBisCO complex

(Knight et al. 1990; Van Lun et al. 2011; Ryan et al. 2019; Schulz et al. 2022). We therefore

hypothesized that signature positions would cluster within the RbcL-RbcS interface.

Form-I and Form-I' sequence analyses revealed eleven, discontinuous signature positions

(**Fig. 3A**). These positions are clustered in three different regions on the RuBisCO structure. Two

residues (Val 70 and Asp 74; position index from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*) are located at the

RbcL intradimer interface (highlighted in blue), three (Phe 201, Leu 401 and Gln 402) are buried

in the RbcL monomer close to the active site (highlighted in purple) and six (Lys 260, Trp 284,

Arg 286, Asn 288, Gly 159 and Glu162) are found at the RbcL dimer-dimer interface (highlighted

in red) (**Fig. 3A**). Out of the six residues located at the RbcL dimer-dimer interface, Gly 159 and

Glu 162 are the only two signature positions that are also present at the RbcL-RbcS interface. A

similar comparison between the Form-IA/B/E and Form-IC/D RbcS sequences did not identify

any signature positions (Methods).

Fig. 3: Schematic representation of the structural distribution and evolution of the specialized protein "signature positions" between the RuBisCO Form-I and Form-I'.
(A) Signature residues are highlighted based on their structural proximity to each other along with the region it belongs to on the *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* L_4S_2 structure (PDB: 5NV3). Residues at the signature positions located at the RbcL intradimer interface, near active site and RbcL dimer-dimer interface are highlighted in blue, purple and red respectively. (B) Evolution of the signature residues corresponding to the divergence of Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCOs. Amino acids present in the sequence motif are highlighted on the respective ancestor's L_2 or L_2S_4 structures. Sequence logos showing amino acid frequencies at each signature position across the Form-I and Form-I' clades. Residue numbering according to the *R. sphaeroides*, L denotes RbcL and S denotes RbcS.

We examined how these signature positions evolved through the emergence of RbcS by tracking their ancestral amino acid composition through the divergence of Form-I and Form-I' clades. Residues at the signature positions in Anc-I and Anc-I' most closely resemble those of their respective descendants (**Fig. 3B**). By contrast, the common ancestor of all Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCOs, Anc-I/I', has approximately equal proportions of Form-I- and Form-I'-like signature positions. Among these, signature positions at the Anc-I/I' RbcL intradimer interface (labeled

70,74) are Form-I'-like, whereas those near the RbcL active site (labeled 201, 401, 402) are Form-

I-like. Notably, the Anc-I/I' RbcL dimer-dimer interface and RbcL-RbcS interface contains a

mixture of Form-I- and Form-I'-like signature positions. These results identify the interface

residues that are likely important for oligomerization of the RuBisCO complex and underwent

distinct functional specialization in the presence (in Form-I) or absence (in Form-I') of RbcS.

In sum, the signature positions are not restricted to the RbcL-RbcS interface but are

scattered across the RbcL structure (**Fig. 3**). We suggest that RbcS integration resulted in distant

mutations, which are known to influence protein function by altering intramolecular interactions

and the global dynamics of protein complexes (Miton et al. 2021). We therefore submit that these

positions reflect how the presence or absence of RbcS affected long-range motions and

conformational dynamics of the RuBisCO complex, and therefore its evolutionary dynamics.

Molecular dynamics simulations of modern and ancient RuBisCOs

We studied the structural motions of RuBisCO complexes to investigate the influence of

the presence or absence of RbcS. We built the ancestral RuBisCO large and small subunit

structures (Methods). Modeling of the multimeric structural assembly of ancestral RuBisCO

oligomers was guided by extant RuBisCO crystal structures (Methods). We simulated the

molecular dynamics of seven ancestral and six extant RuBisCO complexes representing a range

of host organisms and their associated environments (**Fig. 4A, Table 1**). We performed two

simulation replicates for each RuBisCO variant, and data from both replicates are included in the

analysis.

241
Fig. 4: Stability and fluctuation observed in the ancestral and extant RuBisCOs during MD
simulations.
(A) Ancestral and extant RuBisCO homologs utilized in the study. Violet circles: ancestral
RuBisCO, green circle: extant RuBisCO. (B) Average root mean square deviation (RMSD) of all
amino acids in the large and small subunits throughout the simulations. Outliers outside the quartile
range are represented by circles. Heatmap on the x-axis represents the pairwise sequence identity
for each sequence relative to the oldest RbcS ancestor, Anc-I. (C) Difference in residue-wise root
mean square fluctuation (RMSF) for RbcL between Anc-I/I' vs Anc-I' (top), and Anc-I/I' vs Anc-
I (bottom). Horizontal dashed red line represents the RMSF difference of 0.3 Å, used as threshold
to classify the residue fluctuation as considerable, and the horizontal black line represents the
baseline when there is a zero difference in RMSF between the residues from the two proteins.
Residues with RMSF over 0.3 Å are highlighted in red on the Anc-I/I' and Anc-I L_2 and L_2S_4
complex structures respectively on the right.

Table 1: Overview of extant RuBisCO structures selected for analysis.

Structure	Form	Source Organism	Environment*
1BWV (Sugawara et al. 1999)	I-CD	*Galdieria partita* (Red Algae)	High temperature, low pH
6FTL (Valegård et al. 2018)	I-CD	*Skeletonema marinoi* (Diatom)	Low temperature, arctic waters
7SNV (Blikstad et al. 2023)	I-A	*Halothiobacillus neapolitanus* (Gammaproteobacteria)	Aerobic, with alpha-carboxysome
8RUC (Andersson 1996)	I-B	*Spinacia Oleracea* (Spinach)	Mesophile, surface
3ZXW	I-B	*Thermosynechococcus vestitus* (Cyanobacteria)	Mesophile, tropics, with beta-carboxysome
6URA (Banda et al. 2020)	I'	*Candidatus Promineofilum breve* (Chloroflexi)	Anaerobic, marine sediments

* Environment specifies the known natural habitat or ecological niche and presence of CO_2-
concentrating mechanism of the source organism. All the selected structures for extant RuBisCOs
(except 7SNV) are complexed with 2-Carboxyarabinitol 1,5-Bisphosphate (CAP) intermediate,
Magnesium ion (Mg^{2+}) and the carbamylated lysine (KCX) at the active site (Methods). The 8RUC
structure does not include the N-terminal extension of the RbcS subunit.

We calculated the root mean square deviation (RMSD) of backbone atoms for all residues

in the RbcL and RbcS subunits relative to their average trajectory structure to evaluate

conformational differences in the complex during the simulation. The mean RMSD of all the

individual large and small subunits across all complexes is 0.928Å and 0.964Å, respectively. The

average RbcL RMSD ranges from 0.78Å to 1.13Å, while the RbcS RMSD ranges from 0.76 to

1.26Å (**Fig. 4B**). The outliers in Anc-IAB small subunit RMSD values (**Fig. 4B)** reflect the N-

terminal residues residue protrusion and unfolding observed across the simulation replicates. We

performed pairwise comparisons of mean RMSD values among the different RuBisCOs. Ten out

of all possible pairs show a significant pairwise RMSD difference for RbcL ($p < 0.01$, Tukey post-

hoc test). And eight of these include the Anc-I' or Anc-I/I' complex, with a significantly higher

RMSD in each case (**supplementary table 3**). A higher RMSD for the Anc-I/I' and Anc-I' RbcL

suggests a greater structural flexibility compared to the other complexes during the simulations.

We used the root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of the different residues to identify

region-specific differences in flexibility. Specifically, we studied the ancestral structures

immediately before and after divergence of RbcS-less Form-I' and RbcS-containing Form-I

RuBisCOs. These ancestors include Anc-I/I', Anc-I', and Anc-I. The alteration in residue-wise

fluctuations between the Anc-I' and Anc-I/I' complex during the MD simulation is close to zero

(**Fig. 4C, top**). In contrast, the Anc-I RbcL exhibits less fluctuation compared to Anc-I/I' during

the simulations, where four distinct sections from the large subunit sequence show considerably

higher fluctuations Anc-I/I' compared to Anc-I (**Fig. 4C, bottom**). The sections with higher

flexibility correspond to the top region of the large subunit that is positioned between the two small

subunits in the RuBisCO complex (**Fig. 4C, right)**. Out of the 45 RbcL residues present at the

RbcS interface in Anc-I, 23 residues (~51%) show a significant difference in RMSF. The

localization of RbcL residues near RbcS suggests that the presence of RbcS restricts the movement

of these residues. This observation potentially accounts for the higher stability of the Anc-I large

subunit compared to the RbcS-less Anc-I/I' and Anc-I'. The differences in RMSF lend support to

the hypothesis that RbcS plays a role in stabilizing the RbcL dimers in the octameric complex

(Spreitzer 2003).

The extant Form-I' RuBisCO (6URA) provides an additional basis for comparative

analysis. Along with Anc-I' and Anc-I/I', RbcS is also absent in 6URA. Unlike its ancestor,

however, the extant Form-I' complex does not display a significantly higher RMSD during the

pairwise comparison to the other RuBisCO systems (**supplementary table 3**). Similarly, 6URA

RbcL displays lower fluctuations per residue compared to the Anc-I/I' and Anc-I' RbcL

(**supplementary fig. 9**). This indicates that in the absence of RbcS, the modern Form-I' RbcL has

evolved a more stable L_8 without RbcS, potentially through other mutations. The L_8 assembly in

the extant Form-I' complex structure is maintained by a network of hydrogen bonds and salt

bridges (Banda et al. 2020). Some of the key residues Asp161, Trp165 and Tyr224 involved in

these interactions in the extant 6URA structure are not conserved in the analyzed RbcS-less

ancestors, Anc-I' and Anc-I/I' (Asn140, Arg144 and Phe203 respectively) (**supplementary fig.**

7). The absence of these key residue interactions may explain the higher fluctuations observed in

the Anc-I' and Anc-I/I' RbcLs during the simulation.

While RbcS is indispensable for the activity of extant Form-I RuBisCOs (Andrews 1988;

Lee and Tabita 1990), its present-day importance does not necessarily imply that it played an

essential role at its initial emergence. Protein subunits can become entrenched in complexes via

accumulations of neutral mutations that can be deleterious in monomers (Hochberg et al. 2020).

However, our analysis further indicates the early importance of RbcS for enhanced stability of the

RbcL octamer, along with prior experimental work demonstrating enhanced specificity towards

CO_2 (Schulz et al. 2022). These findings collectively suggest an early advantage of RbcS in

RuBisCO assembly at the time of its acquirement.

Impact of RbcS integration on the conformational variation of Form-I RbcL

The global distribution of RbcL signature positions (**Fig. 3**), inferred to be functionally linked to the presence of RbcS, suggests that RbcS integration likely affected the large subunit's overall dynamics and motion. We utilized MD simulations for RuBisCO complexes with and without RbcS to investigate the impact of RbcS on the enzyme's ability to explore conformational space. A protein's conformational space can be visualized as a set of different conformational states and the conformational variability of an enzyme is considered as an important factor for its functional diversity (Nobeli et al. 2009; Babtie et al. 2010).

To characterize the variation in conformations for the different RuBisCO simulations, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) of the trajectory of the RbcL Cα atoms (Hayward and de Groot 2008; David and Jacobs 2014). This analysis allows us to reduce the dimensionality of the simulation trajectories into a few relevant dimensions, referred to as principal components (PC). To compare different RbcLs, we extracted the coordinates of conserved residues across all RbcLs and projected the trajectories onto a shared set of PCs (Methods). The dimensionality reduction shows the changes along the top two PCs (PC1 and PC2) for the RbcL's MD trajectories, capturing ~27% of all RbcL motions during the simulations (**Fig. 5A**). We observe that RbcL from each RuBisCO variant clusters closely together (**Fig. 5B**) suggesting that the dynamics of each individual RbcL are similar to those of its counterparts within the RuBisCO complex.

We observe that Form-I RuBisCOs (with RbcS) and non-Form-I RuBisCOs (without RbcS) occupy distinct regions along PC1 (**Fig. 5C**) with statistical significance (U-statistic = 2.09×10^7; p = 0.0; Mann-Whitney U test). In contrast, PC2, does not show a specific trend between

RuBisCO variants. This suggests that the primary conformational variation (~19% of all RbcL

motions) corresponds to the distinction between Form-I and non-Form-I RuBisCOs.

Fig. 5: Principal Component Analysis for the conserved RbcL residues of MD-simulation trajectories across ancestral and extant Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCO variants.
(A) Variance explained by each eigenvector in the PCA, showing the contribution of the top 10 principal components to overall fluctuation across all simulations. (B) Pairwise representation of PC1 and PC2, highlighting the different Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCO variants. (C) Pairwise representation of PC1 and PC2, highlighting the RbcS presence and absence in the RuBisCO complex.

We simulated the dynamics of Anc-I, the common ancestor of Form-I RuBisCO, without

the RbcS subunit and projected its trajectory onto the previously defined PCs for Form-I and Form-

I'. The results show an intermediate positioning between Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCOs

(**supplementary figure 10A**). Removing RbcS from Form-I RuBisCO, shifts it dynamics closer

to Form-I', but does not fully replicate Form I' behavior. This indicates that RbcS plays a critical,

though not exclusive, role in driving the distinct conformational variations between Form-I and

Form-I'.

We assessed the impact of octameric oligomerization of RbcL on enzyme dynamics by

including MD simulations of a Form-II RuBisCO (9RUB) in our PCA decomposition.

Incorporating Form-II RbcL generates a distinct set of PCs (*PC'*). The first PC (PC1') separates

Form-II from Form-I and Form-I'; while the second PC (PC2') distinguishes Form-I and Form-I'

(**supplementary figure 10B**). This separation suggests that the octamerization in Form-I/Form-I'

induces significant conformational changes compared to the non-octameric Form-II, with the

Form-I and Form-I' distinction becoming apparent only in PC2'.

Our results suggest that the presence or absence of RbcS in the RuBisCO complex impacts

the major dynamics of RbcL. We hypothesize that the incorporation of RbcS induces a

conformational shift in RbcL, a modification that has remained consistent across diverse Form-I

and Form-I' RuBisCO variants over evolutionary time. The functional diversity (e.g., promiscuity)

of a protein is closely tied to its ability to explore diverse conformational states (Tokuriki and

Tawfik 2009; Zou et al. 2015; Petrović et al. 2018; Jackson et al. 2022). In this context, our analysis

shows that the RbcL explores distinct conformations in the presence of RbcS, suggesting that the

shift in RuBisCO's conformational variability may be directly associated with the enzyme's

increased CO_2 specificity following the emergence of RbcS (Schulz et al. 2022).

Heterogeneity of CO_2/O_2 diffusion patterns across ancestral and extant

RuBisCOs

Previous work suggested that RbcS acts as a CO_2-reservoir (Van Lun et al. 2014),

increasing the concentration of CO_2 molecules near the enzyme and making CO_2 more accessible

to the active site. This hypothesis also provides an additional functional justification for the

integration of RbcS, given the increase in Earth's atmospheric O_2 concentration ~2.5 billion years

ago, around the time of RbcS integration into the RuBisCO complex.

We performed MD simulations for the different RuBisCO complexes with CO_2 and O_2 gas

molecules present in the medium (**Fig. 6A**) to assess the interaction of RbcS residues towards both

gases. We estimated the relative contact-scores of the gas molecules by counting the number of

interactions between the gases and the protein throughout the simulation within a distance

threshold of 6Å. The MD simulations for O_2 and CO_2 gas molecules were performed separately

(**Fig. 6A**). Five independent replicates for MD simulations of one extant (8RUC) and one ancestral

(Anc-I) enzyme were conducted to assess the robustness and replicability of the gas dynamics

modeling approach. To assess the impact of gas concentrations, we performed simulations with

varying CO_2 and O_2 gas concentrations. The trends observed for affinity of the subunits across

different gas concentrations remains relatively consistent between the two subunits

(**supplementary fig. 11**).

Fig. 6: CO₂ and O₂ gas diffusion molecular dynamics across different RuBisCO systems.
(A) A snapshot of the MD simulation box with CO_2 (i) and O_2 (ii) gas molecules in the medium at a concentration of 400 mM with the protein complex. (B) Barplot representing the contact-score (number of gas contacts per residue) for RbcL and RbcS for each of RuBisCO for the two CO_2 and O_2 gas molecules. Error bars represent the standard deviation across the 8 subunits in the RuBisCO complex. Proteins with a significant difference between the CO_2 and O_2 affinity are marked with an asterisk (*). (C) Trend for the difference in contact-score for CO_2 and O_2 gas molecules for RbcS as observed in the barplot (B). Time is displayed along the y-axis.

An independent two sample t-test was performed to compare the mean CO_2 and O_2 gas

contacts across all simulations. For RbcL, we observe that only 1BWV has a significantly higher

contact-score for CO_2 over O_2 ($t = 5.92$; $p = 3.7\text{x}10^{-5}$, two-sided), whereas other complexes do not

show a statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) difference (**Fig. 6B**). Alternatively, six RbcS (1BWV,

3ZXW, 7SNV, Anc-I, Anc-IA, Anc-IAB) out of the ten exhibit a significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher

contact-score for CO_2 than for O_2 molecules in the surrounding medium (**Fig. 6B**, **supplementary**

table 4). RbcS that exhibit a higher affinity for CO_2 over O_2 are not confined to a specific clade in

the phylogenetic tree (**Fig. 6C**). We find that these results do not vary significantly over five

independent simulations (**supplementary fig. 12**).

407 Conclusions

The co-evolution of the large and small subunits of RuBisCO presents an opportunity to

analyze molecular evolutionary events within key macroevolutionary and geochemical transitions,

independently documented by Earth's geologic record. We examined the historical impact of

RuBisCO small subunit emergence on the structural motions and evolutionary trajectory of the

enzyme, with specific focus on a possible adaptive role in concentrating CO_2. Both extant and

ancestral Form-I RuBisCO complexes that contain RbcS show higher CO_2-specificity than other

forms of RuBisCO lacking RbcS (Flamholz et al. 2019; Schulz et al. 2022). These observations

have led to the hypothesis that the improved CO_2-specificity of RuBisCO after RbcS integration

would have been advantageous after the GOE, given the subsequent rise in atmospheric O_2 levels

and decrease in CO_2 concentrations. We illustrate that not all ancestral and extant RbcS proteins

act as a reservoir to concentrate CO_2 around the RuBisCO complex. It is possible that certain

RuBisCOs with RbcS might have adopted a CO_2-reservoir strategy based on other intercellular or

possibly environmental factors as we find that this feature appears multiple times in the enzyme's

history. Moreover, our analysis highlights additional consequences of RbcS integration: increased

stability of Form-I RbcL and decreased flexibility compared to Form I' RbcL. The small subunit

integration may have shaped the enzyme's major conformational and functional variations in

response to significant shifts in atmospheric composition.

The origin of the small subunit still remains unresolved, but these observations shed light

on the circumstances that surrounded and likely facilitated the emergence of RbcS. Certain

cyanobacteria have two other proteins that are part of the carbon fixation machinery: β-

carboxysome structural protein (CcmM) and a RuBisCO activase-like protein (ALC). Both these

proteins have one or multiple domains that are "RbcS-like" and are considered homologs to RbcS

(Ryan et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2019; Lechno-Yossef et al. 2020). The presence of these protein

domains is specific to cyanobacteria. Further studies should explore whether the RbcS-like protein

domains could have emerged alongside or following the RbcS in response to the ancient shifts in

atmospheric CO_2 and O_2 levels. In summary, we show that ancestral RuBisCO dynamically

responded to a global environmental shift. The integration of the small subunit resulted in

increased rigidity, allowing it to maintain substrate specificity despite decreased substrate

availability. Ironically, this natural solution to an ancient challenge may have led to modern

RuBisCO's notorious resistance to artificial improvements in CO_2 specificity.

Methods

Phylogenetic Reconstruction of RuBisCO

Homologous sequences for RbcS protein from the NCBI non-redundant database were identified using PSI-BLAST (Altschul et al. 1997; Altschul and Koonin 1998). The RbcS sequence from *Thermosynechococcus elongatus* (PDB id: 2YBV) (Gubernator et al. 2008) was used as the query sequence for 5 PSI-BLAST iterations with 50,000 sequences per iteration and an E-value cutoff of 0.005. The dataset was curated to remove partial sequences and sequences with incomplete annotations. The sequences in the dataset were dereplicated at 63% amino acid identity with CD-Hit (Li and Godzik 2006) and aligned by MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2002) (default parameters) with iteration refinement over 1000 cycles. Any poorly aligned sequences were subsequently replaced with a different representative of their CD-HIT cluster.

A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using IQ-Tree (Nguyen et al. 2015) with the LG+R6 evolutionary model (**supplementary fig. 1**). ModelFinder Plus (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) was used to select the best-fit evolutionary model. Branch support values were calculated using the Shimodaira–Hasegawa–like approximate likelihood-ratio test (SH-aLRT) with 1000 bootstrap replicates and 1000 ultrafast bootstrap (UFBoot) replicates optimized by nearest neighbor interchange (NNI).

RbcL sequences from the same taxa represented in our RbcS sequence dataset were identified using the NCBI Identical Protein Groups database (IPG) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ipg). For RbcS entries with missing IPG RbcL sequences, Taxonomy-restricted BLASTp was used to search for the corresponding RbcL sequence. Form-I

RbcL sequences were combined with RbcL sequences for Form-I', II, III and IV from Banda et al.

(Banda et al. 2020) to build an RbcL dataset. An RbcL phylogenetic tree was constructed using

IQ-Tree with the LG+R9 evolutionary model (all other parameters were the same as for the RbcS

tree) (**supplementary fig. 2**).

The sequences in the RbcL dataset were aligned by MAFFT, with the same parameters as

those mentioned above, and were concatenated with the RbcS alignment. A maximum-likelihood

phylogenetic tree was constructed for the concatenated alignment using IQ-Tree, with a partition

model (Chernomor et al. 2016) and ModelFinder plus (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) to search and

implement the best-fit evolutionary models corresponding to the RbcL (LG + R9) and RbcS (LG

+ R5) section of the concatenated alignment. In line with prior research, Form-IV "RuBisCO-like"

protein sequences were used to root the phylogenetic tree (Kacar et al. 2017; Banda et al. 2020;

Poudel et al. 2020; Camel and Zolla 2021; Schulz et al. 2022). Ancestral sequence inference for

the concatenated RbcL-RbcS tree was performed using PamL4.9 (LG model) (Yang 2007).

Reconstruction of the gaps in the ancestral sequences was performed using a binary likelihood

model as described by Aadland et al. (Aadland et al. 2019).

RbcS sequence and structural diversity analysis

Candidate RbcS structures (listed in **Table 1**) from Form-I subclades were used to identify

the different structural features in RbcS. The amino acid regions corresponding to these features

were identified in the multiple sequence alignment of extant RbcS. The binary heatmap indicating

the presence or absence of these features across all extant RbcS sequences in the phylogeny was

generated based on the presence of at least half of the residues in the respective region of the RbcS

alignment. Host taxonomy for the extant sequences was assigned using the REST API provided

by Ensembl (https://rest.ensembl.org/).

RbcL specialized signature position analysis

Sequence specialization between the Form-I and Form-I' extant RbcL sequences was

analyzed using TwinCons (Penev et al. 2021), with blosum62 as substitution matrix for score

calculation, and Zebra2 (Suplatov et al. 2020), using the webserver default parameters. Specialized

residues identified by Zebra2 and TwinCons score were then filtered based on conservation in the

multiple sequence alignment for Form-I and Form-I' sequences. Same steps as above were

followed to identify separately conserved amino acids between 131 RbcS sequences from Form-

IA/B/E and 59 from Form-IC/D. None of the RbcS positions had a TwinCons score < -1, resulting

in no signature positions.

Structural Modeling of RuBisCO

We predicted the structures of ancestral RuBisCOs using the deep-learning based

Colabfold software (Mirdita et al. 2022). We built our models by combining predictions and

structure alignments. We built the model for the RbcL-dimer with RbcS-dimer for each ancestral

protein with templates from PDB, structure refinement using Amber and 3 prediction recycles.

Low-confidence modeled terminal regions for the predicted structures were removed using a

pLDDT threshold of 50. The extant RuBisCO structure from *Thermosynechococcus elongatus*

(PDB: 2YBV) was used as a template to obtain a predicted hexadecameric complex using UCSF

Chimera (Pettersen et al. 2004). Anc-I' and Anc-I/I' did not have an RbcS sequence resulting in

an octameric complex.

RuBisCO MD simulations

We simulated the molecular dynamics of both extant and ancient RuBisCO complexes. We

selected seven ancestral structures (nodes highlighted in **Fig. 2A**) corresponding to the last

common ancestors of different Form-I and Form-I' clades and six experimentally determined

extant RuBisCO structures, representing the Form-IA, IB (two from this clade), IC/D (two from

this clade), and I' RuBisCO clades. PDB IDs for these entries are: 1BWV (red algae), 3ZXW

(green algae), 6FTL (diatom), 6URA (chloroflexi), 7SNV (gammaproteobacteria) and 8RUC

(plantae) (more details in **Table 1**). The 6URA entry represents the first case discovered of a Form-

I-like RuBisCO without a small subunit. This selection represents the biological diversity of the

RuBisCO enzyme while preserving the following attributes: high resolution (under 3.0 Å),

carbamylation of the lysine at the active site (except for 7SNV) (Stec 2012), and CABP and

magnesium at the active site. Sequence identity and alignments for ancestral and extant RuBisCOs

analyzed in the study are presented in **supplementary fig. 6 and 7**, respectively.

We obtained parameters for the CABP molecule through the standard Amber protocol for

ligands (Wang et al. 2004). RuBisCO proteins include different post-translational modifications

that required additional steps. We computed the CABP and the carbamylated lysine charges at

HF/6-31*G level, as specified in the Amber protocol. The post-translational modifications found

in 6FTL were modeled with semi-empirical charges. In the case of the modeled ancient structures,

we manually added both ligands (CABP), ions (Mg^{2+}), and the post-translation modification at the

carbamylated lysine (also for 7SNV).

We built the topology and parameters of the molecular dynamics systems using tleap

(Maier et al. 2015) and Amber14 (Salomon-Ferrer et al. 2013). Periodic solvation boxes were

constructed with 10 Å spacing and water molecules according to the TIP3P model (Jorgensen et

al. 1983). Sodium and chloride ions counterbalanced the charge of the system. The particle-mesh

Ewald summation method was used for long-range electrostatics and a 10 Å cutoff was set for

short-range non-bonded interactions. Initial geometries in all systems were minimized at 5,000

conjugate-gradient steps after which water was equilibrated at 298 K and 1 atm for 100 ps at 2 fs

time steps. Production runs were then performed for 250 ns in the NPT ensemble at $P = 1$ atm and

$T = 298$ K. We used the hydrogen mass partitioning method to ensure the stability of the simulation

under large integration steps, thereby increasing the simulation speed. Langevin dynamics for T

control and Nosé-Hoover Langevin piston method for P control were used. We carried out the MD

simulation on OpenMM 7.7 (Eastman et al. 2017) running in the Nvidia Tesla A100, L40 and

H100 GPU nodes of the Center for High Throughput Computation at the University of Wisconsin-

Madison. We performed two replicates of MD simulations. The equilibration of simulations across

different complexes was evaluated by tracking the RMSD of the complex structure over time for

both replicates (**supplementary fig. 8**). The RMSD across all RuBisCO complexes stabilizes at a

plateau, indicating that the complexes reached an equilibrated state.

Gas diffusion MD simulations

We obtained CO_2 and O_2 parameters through the standard Amber protocol. We computed

the charges of these molecules at the HF/6-31*G level through the RESP protocol (Woods and

Chappelle 2000). The embedding of proteins in solutions with gas molecules in dissolution

consisted of replacing water molecules from a previously solvated system to avoid clashes among

the gas molecules. We calculated the number of molecules corresponding to a given concentration

using the Amber-recommended method for determining ion concentrations in a solvent. All other

simulation aspects were consistent with those described in the previous section. These simulations

were run for 75 ns, with the final 50 ns used for analysis following a 25 ns equilibration period.

Analysis of MD simulations

We employed MDAnalysis (Michaud-Agrawal et al. 2011) and ProDy (Bakan et al. 2011)

to analyze and process the outcome of the MD simulations. All simulations were conducted under

identical temperature, pH, and solvent conditions. The initial 50 ns of the 250 ns simulations were

designated for equilibration, and only the final 200 ns were used for analysis. Data from both MD

simulation replicates were included, resulting in a combined simulation time exceeding 7 μs. The

average system size was approximately 300,000 atoms, including solvent molecules.

RMSD and RMSF calculations

We aligned all the simulation trajectories to their average structure and calculated the

RMSD across all Cα backbone atoms for each RbcL and RbcS subunit using MDAnalysis. The

boxplot in Figure 4B presents the average RMSD value for each large and small subunit across the

two simulation replicates. RMSF was calculated by aligning the MD trajectories to their reference

structure first. The Cα atomic positions of each residue were mapped onto the respective sequence,

and fluctuations were calculated as the average positional deviation from the mean structure over

the simulation period across the eight RbcL subunits using MDAnalysis. Simulation data from

replicates were included in the calculations of the RMSD and RMSF.

Principal component analysis

The principal component analysis helps reduce the complexity of MD simulation data by generating orthogonal eigenvectors (principal components, PCs) that represent the primary axes of motion. We conducted PC analyses using the scikit-learn implementation (Pedregosa et al. 2011). Specifically, we first extracted all conserved residues across select RbcL sequences shown in **Fig. 4A**. All the individual trajectories for each RbcLs were then aligned onto the reference extant RbcL structure (1BWV). The covariance matrix of positional fluctuations was constructed exclusively for the conserved RbcL Cα atoms. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors were derived from this covariance matrix to identify the PCs shared across all RbcL trajectories, including each simulation replicate. PCA, including the Anc-I without RbcS (**supplementary fig. 10A**), was conducted by extracting trajectories for conserved residues and projecting them onto the PC-space defined by Form-I and Form-I' RuBisCOs in **Fig. 5**. Additionally, a separate PCA was performed following the same procedure, incorporating RbcL simulation trajectories from Form-II alongside Form-I and Form-I', as shown in **supplementary fig. 10B**.

Data and resource availability

The data underlying this article along with the code for analysis can be found at https://github.com/kacarlab/RuBisCO_evolution. Molecular dynamics simulation files for the extant and ancestral RuBisCOs are available at Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/records/14187581).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Alessandro Senes, Phil Romero, Tina Wang and Srivatsan Raman for their feedback on the study, Aya Klos, Evrim Fer, Josh MacCready, Zach Adam and Amanda Garcia for critical reading and useful comments and suggestions on the manuscript. The Center for High Throughput Computing (CHTC) at the University of Wisconsin-Madison provided computing resources for this work. This work was supported by the Human Frontier Science Program (HFSP) [*RGY0072/2021*] with additional support from the NASA Exobiology [*NNH23ZDA001N*] and ICAR Programs [*80NSSC17K0296*]. B.C.Z acknowledges the Margarita Salas Postdoctoral Fellowship, founded by the Unión Europea - Next Generation EU (B.C.Z.; UP2021-035).

Author Contribution

Conceptualization: KA, BCZ and BK; Methodology: KA and BCZ; Data curation: KA and BCZ; Data analysis: KA, BCZ and BK; Figures: KA and BCZ; First draft: KA; Resources and supervision: BK. All authors edited and approved the final draft.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Aadland K, Pugh C, Kolaczkowski B. 2019. High-Throughput Reconstruction of Ancestral Protein Sequence, Structure, and Molecular Function. In: Sikosek T, editor. Computational Methods in Protein Evolution. New York, NY: Springer. p. 135–170. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8736-8_8

Altschul SF, Koonin EV. 1998. Iterated profile searches with PSI-BLAST—a tool for discovery in protein databases. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 23:444–447.

Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25:3389–3402.

Andersson I. 1996. Large Structures at High Resolution: The 1.6 Å Crystal Structure of Spinach Ribulose-1,5- Bisphosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase Complexed with 2-Carboxyarabinitol Bisphosphate. *J. Mol. Biol.* 259:160–174.

Andersson I. 2008. Catalysis and regulation in Rubisco. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59:1555–1568.

Andrews TJ. 1988. Catalysis by cyanobacterial ribulose-bisphosphate carboxylase large subunits in the complete absence of small subunits. *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:12213–12219.

Ashida H, Danchin A, Yokota A. 2005. Was photosynthetic RuBisCO recruited by acquisitive evolution from RuBisCO-like proteins involved in sulfur metabolism? *Res. Microbiol.* 156:611–618.

Babtie A, Tokuriki N, Hollfelder F. 2010. What makes an enzyme promiscuous? *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 14:200–207.

Bakan A, Meireles LM, Bahar I. 2011. ProDy: Protein Dynamics Inferred from Theory and Experiments. *Bioinformatics* 27:1575–1577.

Banda DM, Pereira JH, Liu AK, Orr DJ, Hammel M, He C, Parry MAJ, Carmo-Silva E, Adams PD, Banfield JF, et al. 2020. Novel bacterial clade reveals origin of form I Rubisco. *Nat. Plants* 6:1158–1166.

Blikstad C, Dugan EJ, Laughlin TG, Turnšek JB, Liu MD, Shoemaker SR, Vogiatzi N, Remis JP, Savage DF. 2023. Identification of a carbonic anhydrase–Rubisco complex within the alpha-carboxysome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 120:e2308600120.

Bouvier JW, Emms DM, Kelly S. 2024. Rubisco is evolving for improved catalytic efficiency and CO2 assimilation in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 121:e2321050121.

Bracher A, Whitney SM, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2017. Biogenesis and Metabolic Maintenance of Rubisco*. *Httpsdoiorg101146annurev-Arplant-043015-111633* 68:29–60.

Caetano-Anollés G. 2017. RubisCO and the Search for Biomolecular Culprits of Planetary
Change. *BioEssays* 39:1700174.

Camel V, Zolla G. 2021. An Insight of RuBisCO Evolution through a Multilevel Approach.
Biomolecules 11:1761–1761.

Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for
Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. *Syst. Biol.* 65:997–1008.

David CC, Jacobs DJ. 2014. Principal Component Analysis: A Method for Determining the
Essential Dynamics of Proteins. *Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ* 1084:193.

Eastman P, Swails J, Chodera JD, McGibbon RT, Zhao Y, Beauchamp KA, Wang LP,
Simmonett AC, Harrigan MP, Stern CD, et al. 2017. OpenMM 7: Rapid development of
high performance algorithms for molecular dynamics. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 13:e1005659–
e1005659.

Erb TJ, Zarzycki J. 2018. A short history of RubisCO: the rise and fall (?) of Nature's
predominant CO2 fixing enzyme. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 49:100–107.

Esquivel MG, Genkov T, Nogueira AS, Salvucci ME, Spreitzer RJ. 2013. Substitutions at the
opening of the Rubisco central solvent channel affect holoenzyme stability and CO2/O2
specificity but not activation by Rubisco activase. *Photosynth. Res.* 118:209–218.

Falkowski PG, Fenchel T, Delong EF. 2008. The Microbial Engines That Drive Earth's
Biogeochemical Cycles. *Science* 320:1034–1039.

Fernie AR, Bauwe H. 2020. Wasteful, essential, evolutionary stepping stone? The multiple
personalities of the photorespiratory pathway. *Plant J.* 102:666–677.

Flamholz AI, Prywes N, Moran U, Davidi D, Bar-On YM, Oltrogge LM, Alves R, Savage D,
Milo R. 2019. Revisiting Trade-offs between Rubisco Kinetic Parameters. *Biochemistry*
58:3365–3376.

Garcia AK, Cavanaugh CM, Kacar B. 2021. The curious consistency of carbon biosignatures
over billions of years of Earth-life coevolution. *ISME J.* 15:2183–2194.

Gatenby AA. 1988. Synthesis and assembly of bacterial and higher plant Rubisco subunits in
Escherichia coli. *Photosynth. Res.* 17:145–157.

Genkov T, Meyer M, Griffiths H, Spreitzer RJ. 2010. Functional Hybrid Rubisco Enzymes with
Plant Small Subunits and Algal Large Subunits: ENGINEERED rbcS cDNA FOR
EXPRESSION IN CHLAMYDOMONAS. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285:19833–19841.

Gubernator B, Bartoszewski R, Kroliczewski J, Wildner G, Szczepaniak A. 2008. Ribulose-1,5-
bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase from thermophilic cyanobacterium
Thermosynechococcus elongatus. *Photosynth. Res.* 95:101–109.

Hayward S, de Groot BL. 2008. Normal Modes and Essential Dynamics. In: Kukol A, editor.
Molecular Modeling of Proteins. Methods Molecular Biology™. Totowa, NJ: Humana
Press. p. 89–106. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-177-2_5

Hochberg GKA, Liu Y, Marklund EG, Metzger BPH, Laganowsky A, Thornton JW. 2020. A
hydrophobic ratchet entrenches molecular complexes. *Nature* 588:503–508.

Jackson C, Toth-Petroczy A, Kolodny R, Hollfelder F, Fuxreiter M, Kamerlin SCL, Tokuriki N.
2022. Adventures on the Routes of Protein Evolution—In Memoriam Dan Salah Tawfik
(1955–2021). *J. Mol. Biol.* 434:167462–167462.

Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. 1983. Comparison of
simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* 79:926–935.

Joshi J, Mueller-Cajar O, Tsai Y-CC, Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. 2015. Role of Small Subunit in
Mediating Assembly of Red-type Form I Rubisco. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290:1066–1074.

Kacar B, Hanson-Smith V, Adam ZR, Boekelheide N. 2017. Constraining the timing of the
Great Oxidation Event within the Rubisco phylogenetic tree. *Geobiology* 15:628–640.

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder:
fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods 2017 146* 14:587–
589.

Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma KI, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30:3059–3066.

Kędzior M, Garcia AK, Li M, Taton A, Adam ZR, Young JN, Kaçar B. 2022. Resurrected
Rubisco suggests uniform carbon isotope signatures over geologic time. *Cell Rep.*
39:110726.

Knight S, Andersson I, Br~ind~n C-I. 1990. Crystallographic Analysis of Ribulose 1,5-
Bisphosphate Carboxylase from Spinach at 2.4 A Resolution Subunit Interactions and
Active Site.

Lechno-Yossef S, Rohnke BA, Belza ACO, Melnicki MR, Montgomery BL, Kerfeld CA. 2020.
Cyanobacterial carboxysomes contain an unique rubisco-activase-like protein. *New Phytol.*
225:793–806.

Lee B, Tabita FR. 1990. Purification of recombinant ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
carboxylase/oxygenase large subunits suitable for reconstitution and assembly of active
L8S8 enzyme. *Biochemistry* 29:9352–9357.

Li W, Godzik A. 2006. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein
or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 22:1658–1659.

Liu AK, Pereira JH, Kehl AJ, Rosenberg DJ, Orr DJ, Chu SKS, Banda DM, Hammel M, Adams
PD, Siegel JB, et al. 2022. Structural plasticity enables evolution and innovation of

705   RuBisCO assemblies. *Sci. Adv.* [Internet] 8. Available from:
706   https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.adc9440

707 Liu C, Young AL, Starling-Windhof A, Bracher A, Saschenbrecker S, Rao BV, Rao KV,
708   Berninghausen O, Mielke T, Hartl FU, et al. 2010. Coupled chaperone action in folding and
709   assembly of hexadecameric Rubisco. *Nature* 463:197–202.

710 Maier JA, Martinez C, Kasavajhala K, Wickstrom L, Hauser KE, Simmerling C. 2015. ff14SB:
711   Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *J.*
712   *Chem. Theory Comput.* 11:3696–3713.

713 Mao Y, Catherall E, Díaz-Ramos A, Greiff GRL, Azinas S, Gunn L, McCormick AJ. 2022. The
714   small subunit of Rubisco and its potential as an engineering target.Sharwood R, editor. *J.*
715   *Exp. Bot.* [Internet]. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jxb/advance-
716   article/doi/10.1093/jxb/erac309/6645828

717 Matsumura H, Shiomi K, Yamamoto A, Taketani Y, Kobayashi N, Yoshizawa T, Tanaka S ichi,
718   Yoshikawa H, Endo M, Fukayama H. 2020. Hybrid Rubisco with Complete Replacement
719   of Rice Rubisco Small Subunits by Sorghum Counterparts Confers C4 Plant-like High
720   Catalytic Activity. *Mol. Plant* 13:1570–1581.

721 Michaud-Agrawal N, Denning EJ, Woolf TB, Beckstein O. 2011. MDAnalysis: A toolkit for the
722   analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 32:2319–2327.

723 Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. 2022. ColabFold:
724   making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* 19:679–682.

725 Miton CM, Buda K, Tokuriki N. 2021. Epistasis and intramolecular networks in protein
726   evolution. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 69:160–168.

727 Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective
728   Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
729   32:268–274.

730 Nobeli I, Favia AD, Thornton JM. 2009. Protein promiscuity and its implications for
731   biotechnology. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 27:157–167.

732 Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M, Prettenhofer
733   P, Weiss R, Dubourg V, et al. 2011. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *J. Mach.*
734   *Learn. Res.* 12:2825–2830.

735 Penev PI, Alvarez-Carreño C, Smith E, Petrov AS, Williams LD. 2021. TwinCons: Conservation
736   score for uncovering deep sequence similarity and divergence. *PLOS Comput. Biol.*
737   17:e1009541–e1009541.

738 Petrović D, Risso VA, Kamerlin SCL, Sanchez-Ruiz JM. 2018. Conformational dynamics and
739   enzyme evolution. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 15:20180330.

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. 2004.
UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput.*
Chem. 25:1605–1612.

Poudel S, Pike DH, Raanan H, Mancini JA, Nanda V, Rickaby C REM, Falkowski PG. 2020.
Biophysical analysis of the structural evolution of substrate specificity in RuBisCO. *PNAS*.

Raymond J, Segrè D. 2006. The effect of oxygen on biochemical networks and the evolution of
complex life. *Science* 311:1764–1767.

Rucker HR, Kaçar B. 2023. Enigmatic evolution of microbial nitrogen fixation: insights from
Earth's past. *Trends Microbiol.* [Internet] 0. Available from:
http://www.cell.com/article/S0966842X23000914/fulltext

Ryan P, Forrester TJB, Wroblewski C, Kenney TMG, Kitova EN, Klassen JS, Kimber MS. 2019.
The small RbcS-like domains of the β-carboxysome structural protein CcmM bind
RubisCO at a site distinct from that binding the RbcS subunit. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294:2593–
5195.

Salomon-Ferrer R, Case DA, Walker RC. 2013. An overview of the Amber biomolecular
simulation package. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci.* 3:198–210.

Schmidt GW, Mishkind ML. 1986. THE TRANSPORT OF PROTEINS INTO
CHLOROPLASTS. Available from: www.annualreviews.org

Schulz L, Guo Z, Zarzycki J, Steinchen W, Schuller JM, Heimerl T, Prinz S, Mueller-Cajar O,
Erb TJ, Hochberg GKA. 2022. Evolution of increased complexity and specificity at the
dawn of form I Rubiscos. *Science* 378:155–160.

Spreitzer RJ. 2003. Role of the small subunit in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
carboxylase/oxygenase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 414:141–149.

Stec B. 2012. Structural mechanism of RuBisCO activation by carbamylation of the active site
lysine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109:18785–18790.

Sugawara H, Yamamoto H, Shibata N, Inoue T, Okada S, Miyake C, Yokota A, Kai Y. 1999.
Crystal Structure of Carboxylase Reaction-oriented Ribulose 1,5-Bisphosphate
Carboxylase/Oxygenase from a Thermophilic Red Alga, *Galdieria partita* *. *J. Biol. Chem.*
274:15655–15661.

Suplatov D, Sharapova Y, Geraseva E, Švedas V. 2020. Zebra2: advanced and easy-to-use web-
server for bioinformatic analysis of subfamily-specific and conserved positions in diverse
protein superfamilies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48:W65–W71.

Tabita FR, Hanson TE, Li H, Satagopan S, Singh J, Chan S. 2007. Function, Structure, and
Evolution of the RubisCO-Like Proteins and Their RubisCO Homologs. *Microbiol. Mol.*
Biol. Rev. 71:576–599.

Tabita FR, Satagopan S, Hanson TE, Kreel NE, Scott SS. 2008. Distinct form I, II, III, and IV
Rubisco proteins from the three kingdoms of life provide clues about Rubisco evolution and
structure/function relationships. *J. Exp. Bot.* 59:1515–1524.

Tokuriki N, Tawfik DS. 2009. Protein Dynamism and Evolvability. *Science* 324:203–207.

Valegård K, Andralojc PJ, Haslam RP, Pearce FG, Eriksen GK, Madgwick PJ, Kristoffersen AK,
van Lun M, Klein U, Eilertsen HC, et al. 2018. Structural and functional analyses of
Rubisco from arctic diatom species reveal unusual posttranslational modifications. *J. Biol.*
Chem. 293:13033–13043.

Van Lun M, Hub JS, Van Der Spoel D, Andersson I. 2014. CO2 and O2 distribution in rubisco
suggests the small subunit functions as a CO2 reservoir. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 136:3165–3171.

Van Lun M, Van Der Spoel D, Andersson I. 2011. Subunit interface dynamics in hexadecameric
Rubisco. *J. Mol. Biol.* 411:1083–1098.

Wagner A, Rosen W. 2014. Spaces of the possible: universal Darwinism and the wall between
technological and biological innovation. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 11:20131190.

Wang H, Yan X, Aigner H, Bracher A, Nguyen ND, Hee WY, Long BM, Price GD, Hartl FU,
Hayer-Hartl M. 2019. Rubisco condensate formation by CcmM in β-carboxysome
biogenesis. *Nat. 2019 5667742* 566:131–135.

Wang J, Wolf RM, Caldwell JW, Kollman PA, Case DA. 2004. Development and testing of a
general amber force field. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25:1157–1174.

Wang M, Jiang YY, Kim KM, Qu G, Ji HF, Mittenthal JE, Zhang HY, Caetano-Anollés G. 2011.
A universal molecular clock of protein folds and its power in tracing the early history of
aerobic metabolism and planet oxygenation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28:567–582.

Ward LM, Shih PM. 2019. The evolution and productivity of carbon fixation pathways in
response to changes in oxygen concentration over geological time. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*
140:188–199.

West-Roberts JA, Matheus-Carnevali PB, Schoelmerich MC, Al-Shayeb B, Thomas AD, Sharrar
A, He C, Chen L-X, Lavy A, Keren R, et al. 2021. The Chloroflexi supergroup is
metabolically diverse and representatives have novel genes for non-photosynthesis based
CO2 fixation. *bioRxiv*:2021.08.23.457424-2021.08.23.457424.

Woods RJ, Chappelle R. 2000. Restrained electrostatic potential atomic partial charges for
condensed-phase simulations of carbohydrates. *J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM* 527:149–156.

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
24:1586–1591.

Young JN, Rickaby REM, Kapralov MV, Filatov DA. 2012. Adaptive signals in algal Rubisco
reveal a history of ancient atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*
367:483–492.

Zou T, Risso VA, Gavira JA, Sanchez-Ruiz JM, Ozkan SB. 2015. Evolution of Conformational
Dynamics Determines the Conversion of a Promiscuous Generalist into a Specialist
Enzyme. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32:132–143.