Endothelin B receptor inhibition rescues aging-dependent neuronal regenerative decline

Rui Feng[1], Sarah F. Rosen[1], Irshad Ansari[1], Sebastian John[1], Michael B. Thomsen[2], Cedric G. Geoffroy[3] and Valeria Cavalli[1,4,5*]

[1] Department of Neuroscience, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[2] CS27 LLC, Springboro, OH, USA.

[3] Department of Neuroscience & Experimental Therapeutics, Texas A&M Health Science Center, Bryan, TX, 77807, USA

[4] Center of Regenerative Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[5] Hope Center for Neurological Disorders, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA.

*Correspondence to: cavalli@wustl.edu

Valeria Cavalli, Department of Neuroscience, Washington University School of Medicine,

MSC: 8108-12-922, 660 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110-1093.

Phone: +1(314)362-3540, Fax: +1(314)362-3446.

One Sentence Summary:

Inhibition of endothelin signaling improves nerve regeneration after central and peripheral injuries and counters age-related regenerative decline.

Abstract:

Peripheral sensory neurons regenerate their axons after injury to regain function, but this ability declines with age. The mechanisms behind this decline are not fully understood. While excessive production of endothelin 1 (ET-1), a potent vasoconstrictor, is linked to many diseases that increase with age, the role of ET-1 and its receptors in axon regeneration is unknown. Using a single cell RNAseq approach, we reveal that in dorsal root ganglia (DRG), satellite glial cells (SGCs), which completely envelop the sensory neuron soma, express the endothelin B receptor (ETBR), while ET-1 is expressed by endothelial cells. Inhibition of ETBR *ex-vivo* in DRG explant cultures improves axon growth in both adult and aged conditions. *In vivo,* treatment with the FDA-approved compound, Bosentan, improves axon regeneration and reverses the age-dependent decrease in axonal regenerative capacity. Bosentan treatment also enhances the expression of connexin 43 in SGCs after injury in adult and aged mice. These results reveal that inhibiting ETBR function enhances axon regeneration and rescues the age-dependent decrease in axonal regenerative capacity, providing a potential avenue for future therapies.

Keywords:

INTRODUCTION

Peripheral nerve injuries, resulting from traumatic injuries, lesions, degenerative diseases, and neuropathies, have a major impact on patient's functioning and quality of life (Maita et al., 2023). While neurons in the peripheral nervous system regenerate their axons after nerve injury, functional recovery remains very limited because of the slow growth rate of axons and the often long distances that growing axons face to reconnect with their initial targets (Hoke, 2006). Unfortunately, the ability of injured neurons to regenerate their axons declines with age (Pestronk et al., 1980; Vaughan, 1992; Verdu et al., 2000), contributing to increases in healthcare costs and the risk of long-term disability (Pestronk et al., 1980; Vaughan, 1992; Verdu et al., 2000; Yun, 2015). Thus, discovery of therapeutics that promote axon regeneration and counter age-related decline in regenerative capacity is vital.

Primary sensory neurons, with their cell soma located in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG), convey sensory information from peripheral tissue to the brain via the spinal cord, and represent a useful model to identify the molecular and cellular mechanisms that promote axon regeneration. After injury, successful axonal regeneration of sensory neurons requires activation of neuronal-intrinsic epigenetic, transcriptional, and translational programs (He and Jin, 2016; Mahar and Cavalli, 2018; Rishal and Fainzilber, 2014; Tedeschi and Bradke, 2017). Additionally, non-neuronal cells at the site of injury and in the DRG play an important role in axon regeneration after injury. Satellite glial cells (SGCs) surrounding the cell soma (Avraham et al., 2020; Avraham et al., 2021; Jager et al., 2020) and Schwann cells surrounding the axon (Jessen and Mirsky, 2016), undergo changes in transcriptional states that support axon regeneration. Macrophages recruited to the nerve (Ydens et al., 2020; Zigmond and Echevarria, 2019), and macrophages proliferating in the DRG (Feng et al., 2023) also contribute to axon regeneration after injury.

During aging, decreases in the efficiency of the axonal transport system (Andrews et al., 2016; Black and Lasek, 1979), mitochondrial function (Sutherland et al., 2021), and epigenetic

and transcriptional mechanisms (Li et al., 2010) limit the regenerative capacity of neurons.

Increases in pro-inflammatory cytokines and macrophage infiltration into the peripheral nerve

(Buttner et al., 2018), as well as an increased numbers of T cells in the DRG, have been shown

to contribute to the limited regenerative capacity of neurons in aged mice (Zhou et al., 2022).

Additionally, age-related decline in the de-differentiation, activation, and senescence of Schwann

cells hampers axonal re-growth in damaged peripheral nerves (Fuentes-Flores et al., 2023; Kang

and Lichtman, 2013; Painter et al., 2014). While changes in SGCs morphology (Pannese et al.,

1996), as well as changes in SGCs-neuronal coupling have been reported with age (Huang et al.,

2006; Procacci et al., 2008), the contribution of SGCs to age-dependent decreases in axonal

regenerative capacity have not been investigated.

Connexin 43 (Cx43) is the most highly expressed member of the connexin family in SGCs

(Avraham et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2005) and its expression decreases during aging (Procacci

et al., 2008). Studies in astrocytes, which share many functional and molecular features with

SGCs (Avraham et al., 2022; Avraham et al., 2020; Hanani and Verkhratsky, 2021) have shown

that endothelin 1 (ET-1) signaling via the endothelin receptor B (ETBR) inhibits the expression of

Cx43 and reduces gap junction mediated coupling (Blomstrand et al., 2004; Rozyczka et al.,

2005). In cultured SGCs, ET-1 also reduces gap junction coupling (Feldman-Goriachnik and

Hanani, 2017). ET-1 is a potent vasoconstrictor secreted by endothelial cells and is the

predominant isoform of endothelin in the human cardiovascular system (Luscher and Barton,

2000; Yanagisawa et al., 1988). ET-1 production increases with age and is linked to many age-

associated diseases (Barton, 2014; Jankowich and Choudhary, 2020; Stauffer et al., 2008). ET-

1 signaling was also suggested to play a role in pain (Khodorova et al., 2009; Pomonis et al.,

2001). Whether endothelin signaling contributes to axon regeneration and age-dependent axon

regenerative decline has not been examined.

To determine the role of endothelin signaling in axon regeneration during aging, we

performed unbiased single-cell RNA-sequencing of the DRG cells from mice 3 months of age

(adult), 12 months of age (middle-aged) and 21 months of age (aged). Examination of endothelins

and their receptors revealed enrichment of *Edn1* in endothelial cells and *Ednrb* in SGCs. Inhibition

of ETBR using the selective antagonist BQ788 in DRG explant cultures enhanced axonal

outgrowth in adults and restored axonal regenerative capacity in aged conditions. Treatment of

adult and aged mice with Bosentan, an FDA-approved ETBR/ETAR antagonist (Clozel et al.,

1994), improved axon regeneration after peripheral nerve injury. Bosentan treatment also

increased expression of Cx43 in SGCs after injury in adult and age mice. These results suggest

that ETBR signaling inhibits axon regeneration after nerve injury and plays a role in age-related

decline in regenerative capacity. Thus, ETBR antagonism may be a potential therapeutic avenue

to enhance axon growth after nerve injury and to restore axon regenerative capacity that declines

with age.

RESULTS

***Ednrb* is highly expressed in SGCs.**

The dense vascularization of the DRG cell body area coupled with the high permeability

of these capillaries in rodents and human (Godel et al., 2016; Jimenez-Andrade et al., 2008)

suggests that neurons and their surrounding SGCs may be influenced by vascular-derived signals.

Whole mount preparation of DRG from Lycopersicon Esculentum Lectin (LEL) injected

Fabp7[CreER]::Ai14 mouse, which labels SGCs with tdTomato (Avraham et al., 2020) revealed the

dense vascularization in the neuronal soma rich area of the DRG, as previously reported

(Jimenez-Andrade et al., 2008) (Fig.1A). Additionally, immunofluorescent staining of neurons and

SGCs in the DRG from LEL injected adult mouse demonstrated that blood vessels are closely

juxtaposed to SGCs surrounding sensory neuron somas (Fig.1B).

To understand the role of endothelin intercellular signaling pathways in the DRG

microenvironment during aging, we performed single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on

lumbar DRGs from mice 3 months of age (adult), 12 months of age (middle-aged) and 21 months

of age (aged) using the Chromium Single Cell Gene Expression Solution (10 X Genomics), as

described previously (Avraham et al., 2022; Avraham et al., 2020; Avraham et al., 2021). We

sequenced a total of 18,098 cells (16,923 adult, 602 mid-aged, 573 old) from two biological

replicates per age with an average of 3,411 genes and 12,071 transcripts detected per cell (see

methods for filtering criteria). Following condition integration and unsupervised clustering, we

identified 8 major clusters containing cells from all age groups (Fig 1C). Examination of cluster-

specific marker genes revealed major cellular subtypes including endothelial cells (*Flt1, Pecam1*),

SGCs (*Fabp7, Ptprz1*), fibroblasts (*Col1a1, Dcn*), non-myelinating Schwann Cells (*Scn7a*, *Ntrk3*),

myelinating Schwann cells (*Mpz, Ncmap*), neurons (*Prph, Tac1*), mural cells (*Rgs5, Notch3*), and

macrophages (C1qa, *Aif1*) (Fig.1D, Supplementary Fig.1A, Supplementary File 1 related to Fig.1).

Ednrb was highly enriched in SGCs, with lower levels of expression in non-myelinating and

myelinating Schwann cells (Fig.1F), whereas *Ednra* was expressed mainly in Mural cells (Fig.1E).

Ednrb enrichment in SGCs is consistent with prior studies (Mapps et al., 2022; Pomonis et al.,

2001; Tasdemir-Yilmaz et al., 2021). Endothelin-1 (*Edn1*) and endothelin-3 (*Edn3)* were

expressed in endothelial cells and fibroblasts, respectively, whereas *Edn2* was not detected (Fig

1G, Supplementary Fig.1A). *Ednrb* levels decreased with age, whereas *Edn1* increased with age

(Supplementary Fig.1B). *Ednrb* mRNA in SGCs was validated by RNA *in situ* hybridization, which

showed *Ednrb* co-localized with the SGCs marker *Fabp7* (Avraham et al., 2020) (Fig.1H). Using

our previously generated scRNAseq data sets (Avraham et al., 2022), we also found that *Ednrb*

is highly expressed in SGCs in rat, and exclusively expressed in SGCs in humans (Supplementary

Fig.1C,D).

Endothelin B receptor inhibition increases axonal growth *in vitro* and *ex vivo*.

To investigate the role of ETBR signaling in axonal growth, we used different compounds

to inihibit or activate endothelin receptors in dissociated L4-L5 DRG mixed cultures. Adult DRG

mixed cultures, containing neuronal and non neuronal cells, were plated and treated with an

ETBR antagonist (BQ788), ETBR agonist (IRL1620), endothelin A receptor (ETAR) antagonist

(BQ123), or vehicle for 24 hours. Neurons were then labeled with antibodies against beta III

tubulin (TUJ1) and axonal radial length was quantified. Antagonism of ETBR with BQ788

significantly increased axonal radial length compared to vehicle (Fig.2A-C). However, agonism of

ETBR with IRL1620 or antagonism of ETAR with BQ123 had no significant effects on axonal

radial length compared to vehicle treatment (Fig.2A-C). These results indicated that ETBR

signaling inhibits axonal growth *in vitro*.

To further examine the role of ETBR antagonism on axonal growth, we used DRG explants

in which L3-L5 DRGs from adult mice were seeded in matrigel and cultured for 7 days (Feng et

al., 2023). Explants were then treated with vehicle or BQ788 at days in vitro (DIV) 1 and radial

axon growth was assessed at DIV7 by measuring the length of 50 or more axons per explant.

Radial axon growth was significantly increased in explants treated with BQ788 compared to

vehicle treatment (Fig.2D-F). To confirm that the *in vivo* morphology of SGCs enveloping neuronal

somas was intact, DRG explants were immunostained with TUJ1 for neurons and FABP7 for

SGCs at DIV 7 (Fig 2G). These results further confirmed that ETBR signaling inhibits axon growth

in an *ex-vivo* model.

Bosentan treatment improves axon regeneration after nerve injury.

To determine the potential role of ETBR in regulating nerve regeneration *in vivo*, we used

our established *in-vivo* and *ex-vivo* regeneration assays (Avraham et al., 2020; Cho and Cavalli,

2012; Cho et al., 2015; Cho et al., 2013) in combination with the FDA-approved compounds that

antagonize endothelin receptors, Bosentan and Ambrisentan. These compounds have been

utilized to treat pulmonary arterial hypertension, and their safety and efficacy have been

demonstrated through various clinical trials (Chen et al., 2018; Galie et al., 2008; Peacock et al.,

2015; Rubin et al., 2002). Bosentan is an antagonist of both ETAR and ETBR (Clozel et al., 1994),

while Ambrisentan is an antagonist selective for ETAR (Humbert et al., 2004; Newman et al.,

2007). Adult mice were treated orally with vehicle, Bosentan or Ambrisentan 2 hours prior to

receiving a sciatic nerve crush (SNC) injury. Axon regeneration was assessed 24 hours after

injury by measuring the intensity of SCG10 labeled axons, a marker for regenerating axons (Shin

et al., 2014) (Fig.3A-E). SCG10 intensity was measured distal to the crush site, which was

determined according to the highest SCG10 intensity along the nerve (Avraham et al., 2020; Cho

et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2023; Shin et al., 2014) and axon elongation was quantified by

measurement of the 10 longest axons, as previously described (Carlin et al., 2019) (Fig.3B). A

50% regeneration index was quantified by normalizing the average SCG10 intensity at distances

away from the crush site to the SCG10 intensity at the crush site, to account for both the length

and number of regenerating axons past the crush site and plotting the distance at which SCG10

intensity is half of that at the crush site (Cho et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2023) (Fig.3C). Mice that

received Bosentan had significantly longer axons and a higher 50% regeneration index 1 day

after SNC compared to mice that received vehicle, whereas mice that received Ambrisentan had

no significant differences in axon regeneration compared to vehicle (Fig.3B-D). Quantification of

the percentage of SCG10 intensity normalized to the crush site at several distances from the

injury was also greater in Bosentan treated mice compared to vehicle (Fig.3E). Similarly, at 3 days

post SNC, mice that received Bosentan 2 hours before SNC, and then every 24 hours, had

improved axon regeneration compared to vehicle, whereas mice that received Ambrisentan had

no significant differences in axon regeneration compared to vehicle (Supplementary Fig.2A-E).

Examination of *Edn1, Ednra, and Ednrb* mRNA by RT-qPCR and ETBR by western blot in non-

treated mice showed no significant differences in mRNA or protein levels at 3 days post SNC

compared to control (Supplementary Fig.2F-H). These results suggest that, although ETBR levels

do not change after injury, ETBR antagonism increases axon regeneration after peripheral nerve

injury at both 1 and 3 days.

We next tested if inhibition of ETBR influences the conditioning injury paradigm, in which

a prior nerve injury increases the growth capacity of neurons (Smith and Skene, 1997). DRG

neurons from mice that received Bosentan or Ambrisentan 2 hours before SNC, and then every

24 hours for three days, were cultured and axon growth capacity was quantified by measuring the

axon radial length and TUJ1 positive area (Fig.3G-I). In uninjured condition, neurons extend short

neurites, whereas a prior nerve injury leads to neurons growing longer neurites (Fig 3G,H), as

expected (Smith and Skene, 1997). Bosentan, but not Ambrisentan, enhanced the axon radial

length of DRG neurons cultured from uninjured adult mice, partially mimicking the conditioning

injury, and also increased the growth capacity of injured neurons (Fig.3G,H). Since the selective

ETAR antagonists, BQ123 and Ambrisentan, had no significant effects on axon growth

regeneration after nerve injury (Fig 2A-C, 3A-E), our results indicate that ETBR limits axon

regeneration capacity.

To determine the effects of Bosentan treatment on axon regeneration in a model with

lower regenerative capacity, axon growth after dorsal root crush (DRC) injury was assessed

(Supplementary Fig.3A). Following DRC injury, axon growth occurs at about half the rate of

peripheral axons (Oblinger and Lasek, 1984; Wujek and Lasek, 1983) and our previous work has

shown that manipulation of SGCs after DRC improves axon regeneration (Avraham et al., 2021).

Axon regeneration at 3 days post DRC was assessed by measuring SCG10 intensity, as

described above. Mice that received Bosentan had significantly more axon regeneration along

the dorsal root compared to vehicle (Supplementary Fig.3B-E). Together, these results indicate

that ETBR inhibition improves axon regeneration after both peripheral and central axon injury in

DRG neurons.

Inhibiting ETBR rescues aging-dependent axonal regenerative decline.

To determine the role of ETBR signaling on axon growth during aging, DRG explants from

aged (21-month-old) mice were treated with BQ788 or vehicle (Fig.4A). Aged DRG explants

treated with BQ788 had significantly increased radial axon length compared to vehicle (Fig.4B-

C). In fact, aged explants treated with BQ788 had significantly more radial axon growth than to

that of adult (3-month old) vehicle explants (Fig 4C). Axon regeneration after peripheral nerve

injury in aged mice was also assessed by measuring SCG10 intensity in adult and aged mice 3

days post SNC. As expected, aged vehicle-treated mice had significantly less axon regeneration

compared to adult vehicle-treated mice (Fig 4D-G) (Zhou et al., 2022). However, aged mice

treated with Bosentan had significantly increased axon regeneration compared to vehicle-treated

aged mice. Indeed, treatment with Bosentan increased axon regeneration in aged mice to levels

similar to vehicle-treated adult mice (Fig.4D-G). These results indicate that inhibition of ETBR

rescues the age-dependent decline in axon regeneration.

ETBR inhibition increases the expression of Cx43 in SGCs in adult and aged mice.

Cx43 is a member of the connexin family and can form hemichannels and gap junction

channels (Mazaud et al., 2021). Several studies suggest that both Cx43 gap junctions and

hemichannels operate in SGCs and have an important role in communication between SGCs and

sensory neurons (Hanani and Spray, 2020; Retamal et al., 2017). Past studies in mice have also

shown that Cx43 expression in SGCs decreases during aging (Procacci et al., 2008), while both

the number of gap junctions and the dye coupling between these cells increases (Huang et al.,

2006). Our scRNA-sequencing data revealed that *Gja1*, the gene for Cx43, is enriched in SGCs

in both mice and human (Fig.5A,B) (Avraham et al., 2022) and that *Gja1* in SGCs decreased with

age in mice (Fig.5C). In contrast, *Gjc1,* the gene for Cx45 displayed a trend of increased

expression with age (Fig.5C), suggesting that Cx45 may contribute to the previously observed

increase in gap junction (Huang et al., 2006). At the protein level, Cx43 is highly expressed in

SGCs in mice (Fig.5G and Movie S1). The level of Cx43 expression, measured by both the Cx43

expression area and the number of Cx43 puncta in Fabp7 positive SGCs surrounding neuron,

was significantly lower in aged mice compared to adult mice (Fig.5E-G), consistent with prior

studies (Ohara et al., 2008; Procacci et al., 2008).

In cultured SGCs and astrocytes, endothelin signaling reduces the expression Cx43

(Blomstrand et al., 2004; Feldman-Goriachnik and Hanani, 2017; Rozyczka et al., 2005), while

nerve injury increases SGCs gap junction coupling (Hanani et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2016; Pannese

et al., 2003). To elucidate a potential mechanism by which ETBR antagonism increases axonal

regeneration, the effect of Bosentan treatment on expression of Cx43 in SGCs was assessed.

Cx43 expression level on SGCs was increased 3 days after SNC in both adult and aged vehicle-

treated mice (Fig.5E-G). Bosentan treatment led to an increase in Cx43 expression in both adult

and aged mice (Fig.5E-G). Examination of Cx43 expression in SGCs after DRC in adult mice

showed no increase in Cx43 levels compared to uninjured mice, but Bosentan-treated mice

increased Cx43 levels compared to vehicle (Supplementary Fig.4A-C). These results

demonstrate that Bosentan treatment increases Cx43 expression in SGCs, providing a possible

mechanism by which ETBR inhibition promotes axon regeneration after injury.

Aging alters SGCs morphology and metabolism.

Changes in morphology of SGCs have been reported with age, with a decrease in SGCs

number and SGCs retracting and leaving the neuronal soma exposed to the extra cellular DRG

environment in aged rabbit (Pannese et al., 1997; Pannese et al., 1996). In the DRG of aged mice,

neurons are usually enveloped by their own sheath of SGCs (Huang et al., 2006). We thus

examined the impact of age on SGCs morphology by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

In adult mice, each sensory neuron was enveloped by its own SGCs sheath as described

previously (Pannese, 1981, 2010). In aged mice, SGCs appeared thinner compared to adult mice

(Fig 6A). Quantification of the average width of SGCs per neuron demonstrated a significant

decrease during aging (Fig.6B). Quantification of the total number of SGCs nuclei per neuron

soma in TEM images revealed that 21-month-old mice had a higher frequency of neurons with 0

or 1 SGC nuclei per neuron than 2-month-old mice (Fig.6C), suggesting a decrease in SGCs

number around neuron soma with age. These results suggest that SGCs may undergo atrophy

or senescence during aging, consistent with the hypothesis that a prominent decrease in Cx43 is

a marker of senescence (Procacci et al., 2008).

To further examine the gene expression changes during aging, we performed differential

gene expression analysis to identify common patterns of aging gene expression in mid-aged and

aged samples across all cell types. Due to fewer cells recovered from mid-aged and old DRG

samples, all cell types were pooled for DEG analysis. A considerable number of genes were

differentially expressed when comparing mid-aged to adult (1977 upregulated, 3968

downregulated), and old to adult (1768 upregulated, 4053 downregulated) samples, with

substantial overlap between the two aged groups (Fig.6D-F, Supplementary File 2 related to

Fig.6). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway and Gene Ontology (GO)

analysis for the DEGs overlapping in mid-aged or aged groups compared to adults revealed

upregulation of a limited number of pathways including Rap1 signaling and ribosome function (Fig

6G, Supplementary Fig.5B-C). Conversely, the pathways and terms identified from the set of

downregulated genes were numerous (Fig.6H, Supplementary File 3 related to Fig.6).

Interestingly, the strongest downregulated pathways in aged samples were related to fatty acid

and cholesterol metabolism, as well as PPARα (Fig.6H). Our previous studies have shown that

fatty acid metabolism upstream of PPARα in SGCs promotes axon regeneration (Avraham et al.,

2020; Avraham et al., 2021), suggesting that in addition to a reduction in Cx43, a decrease in fatty

acid metabolism and PPARα signaling in SGCs may contribute to age-dependent regenerative

decline.

DISCUSSION

Peripheral nerve injuries have a major impact on patient's functioning and quality of life (Maita et al., 2023). The ability of injured neurons to regenerate their axons declines with age (Geoffroy et al., 2016; Geoffroy et al., 2017; Pestronk et al., 1980; Vaughan, 1992; Verdu et al., 2000), contributing to increase the risk of long-term disability (Pestronk et al., 1980; Vaughan, 1992; Verdu et al., 2000; Yun, 2015). Our study showed that ETBR functions in part to limit axon regenerative capacity and that Bosentan, an FDA-approved ETBR/ETAR antagonist, increased axonal regeneration after peripheral and central axon nerve injury. Furthermore, Bosentan rescued the age-dependent decrease in axonal regenerative capacity. These results suggest that ETBR inhibition may be a beneficial therapeutic to promote axon regeneration after injury.

Our results demonstrate that *Ednrb* is highly enriched in SGCs in the DRG, and selective antagonism of ETBR in DRG explants increases axon outgrowth, suggesting that Bosentan treatment increases axon growth after nerve injury via inhibition of ETBR on SGCs. It is important to note that, in the nerve, ETBR is also expressed on Schwann cells and has been shown to play a role in Schwann cell generation (Brennan et al., 2000). Thus, we cannot rule out that Bosentan treatment *in vivo* may also promote axon regeneration through ETBR on Schwann cells. However, as DRG explants maintain the SGC-sensory neuron morphology and axon outgrowth in this model does not rely on repair Schwann cells, we can conclude that blocking ETBR signaling in SGCs significantly contributes to axon outgrowth. As our sequencing data showed that *Edn1/*ET-1, a ligand for ETBR, is enriched in endothelial cells, and there is a dense and permeable vascularization in the DRG, we hypothesize that ET-1 arises from endothelial cells lining blood vessels. However, other studies have suggested that ET-1 is also expressed by small diameter neurons (Giaid et al., 1989), thus it is possible that ET-1 from neurons is also acting on ETBR in SGCs. The observation that elevated tissue or plasma concentrations of ET-1 occurs with age

(Jankowich and Choudhary, 2020) supports our results that ETBR functions to limit axon

regenerative capacity in aged mice.

Cx43 is a transmembrane protein that performs canonical gap junction functions, such as

connecting adjacent cells and allowing the diffusion of ions and small molecules. Cx43 can also

form hemichannels that have an important role in communication between SGCs and sensory

neurons (Retamal et al., 2017). Published work from our lab and others, as well as the current

study, show that Cx43 is the most abundant member of the connexin family in SGCs and its

expression increases following nerve injury (Avraham et al., 2021; Hanani and Spray, 2020) and

decreases with age (Procacci et al., 2008). In the current study, Cx43 in SGCs from both adult

and aged injured mice were increased with Bosentan treatment compared to vehicle treatment.

Studies in cultured SGCs and astrocytes have demonstrated that endothelin signaling reduces

gap junctions coupling (Blomstrand et al., 2004; Feldman-Goriachnik and Hanani, 2017;

Rozyczka et al., 2005)(Blomstrand et al., 2004). Mechanistically, we thus hypothesize that ETBR

inhibition in SGCs contributes to axonal regeneration by increasing Cx43 levels, gap junction

coupling or hemichannels and facilitating SGC-neuron communication. In astrocytes, connexins

hemichannels also regulate astrocyte volume (Quist et al., 2000) and adhesive contacts (Cotrina

et al., 2008). The decrease in Cx43 expression in aged mice may thus contribute to the decrease

SGCs volume we observed, and is consistent with the hypothesis that a prominent decrease in

Cx43 is a marker of senescence (Procacci et al., 2008). Emerging evidence also suggest that

connexins can perform non-channel functions, such as protein interaction, cell adhesion, and

intracellular signaling (Mazaud et al., 2021). Future studies are aimed at determining the

mechanisms by which the ETBR and Cx43 in SGCs regulate axon regeneration.

In our previous studies, we showed that PPARα signaling downstream of fatty acid

synthase in SGCs promotes axon regeneration, in part via regulation of pro-regenerative genes

expression in neurons (Avraham et al., 2020; Avraham et al., 2021). In the current study, pathway

analysis of transcriptional changes in the DRG during aging revealed downregulation of pathways

related to lipid metabolism and fatty acid synthesis. This result suggests that reduction in lipid

metabolism in SGCs may contribute to age related decline in axon regeneration in addition to the

ETBR-Cx43 axis. Our data also indicate that the number of SGCs surrounding each soma

decreases with age, suggesting SGCs loss in addition to SGCs atrophy with age may be linked

to the neuronal loss observed with age (Nagashima and Oota, 1974). In addition to loss of support,

aged SGCs may also transition into a senescent phenotype that limit axon regeneration.

Senescence of Schwann cells in aged mice was shown to undermine axonal regeneration, and

systemic elimination of senescent cells with senolytic drugs improves axonal regeneration

(Fuentes-Flores et al., 2023), raising the interesting possibility that senescent SGCs also

contribute to limit axon regeneration in aged mice. Future studies are needed to determine if aged

SGCs, similarly to aged Schwann cells, secrete inhibitory factors that limit axon regeneration.

Taken together, our results suggest that ETBR signaling inhibits axon regeneration after

nerve injury and contributes to age-related decreases in neuronal regenerative capacity.

Bosentan, an FDA approved ETBR/ETAR antagonist, significantly increased axon regeneration

in both adult and aged mice, suggesting a potential therapeutic avenue that may be used to

enhance axonal regeneration after nerve injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The main goals of this study were to investigate the role of ETBR in axonal regeneration,

explore its impact on SGCs in the DRG, and evaluate the therapeutic potential of Bosentan, an

FDA-approved drug, on axon regeneration and age-related decline capacity for nerve repair. We

performed scRNA-seq analysis on DRG samples from adult, mid-age, and aged mice, along with

comparisons to previous datasets. To achieve these objectives, we conducted single-cell RNA

sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis on samples collected from 2-3-month-old (adult), 12-month-old

(mid-age), and 21-month-old (aged) mice. Two biological duplicates were utilized and compared

with our previous dataset (Avraham et al., 2021). Rat single-cell and Human single-nucleus RNA

sequencing (snRNA-seq) data were obtained from our previous dataset (Avraham et al., 2022)

Considering that the previous mouse datasets only involved female mice, we exclusively

employed female mice for scRNA-seq in the mouse samples. We analyzed samples from mice

subjected to various treatments and performed *in vitro* assays including immunofluorescence (IF),

Western blotting, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR), and RNA *in situ*

hybridization (RNAscope). For *in vitro* cell assays, data were collected from at least three

independent cultures, as indicated in figure legends. *In vivo* experiments were conducted using

biological replicates, as denoted by the 'n' values in the figure legends. The sample sizes were

determined based on previous experience for each experiment, and mice were randomly

assigned to the experimental groups whenever possible. No mice, outliers, or other data points

were excluded. Details on animal assignment, randomization, and blinding in different

experiments are found in the corresponding sections describing each experiment in Materials and

Methods.

Animals

Mice of different age groups were included in the study, specifically 2-3-month-old (adult,

female and male), 12-month-old (mid-age, female), and 21-month-old (aged, female). Wild-type

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Envigo (Envigo #027) and Jackson Laboratory (Stock No:

000664). Ai14 (B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm14(CAG-tdTomato)Hze/J, JAX Stock No: 007914) mice

was obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Madisen et al., 2010). The *Blbp*^{CreER} (*Fabp7*^{CreER})

mouse line was a generous gift from Dr. Toshihiko Hosoya (Maruoka et al., 2011). Ai14 mice were

crossed with *Fabp7*^{CreER} mice to obtain *Fabp7*^{CreER}::Ai14 mice. Mice were housed in the animal

facility at Washington University in St. Louis, where temperature (64-79°F) and humidity (30%-

70%) were carefully controlled. They were socially housed in individually ventilated cages, with

1-5 mice per cage, and subjected to a 12-hour light/dark cycle (6 am/6 pm). Mice had unrestricted

access to food and water throughout the study. All experimental procedures were conducted

following the approved protocol (21-0104) by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees

of Washington University in St. Louis. All experiments adhered to relevant guidelines and

regulations. The study obtained approval from the Washington University School of Medicine

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under protocol A-3381-01. The mice were

housed and cared for in the animal care facility at Washington University School of Medicine,

which is accredited by the Association for Assessment & Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care

(AALAC) and complies with the PHS guidelines for Animal Care. The facility has been accredited

since 7/18/97, and its USDA Accreditation Registration number is 43-R-008.

Primary adult DRG culture

L4 and L5 DRGs were collected from 12-week-old mice and placed in cold dissection

medium composed of HBSS (Thermo Fisher, Gibco; Catalog#: 14175-079) with 10% 1M HEPES

(Thermo Fisher, Gibco; Catalog#: 15630080). The DRGs were then transferred to freshly

prepared pre-warmed dissociation medium containing 15U/mL Papain suspension (Worthington

Biochemical; Catalog#: LS003126), 0.3mg/mL L-cysteine (Sigma; Catalog#: C7352), 0.1mg/mL

Deoxyribonuclease I (Worthington Biochemical; Catalog#: LS002139), and 10% 1M HEPES in

HBSS. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. After washing the samples twice with

pre-warmed HBSS, collagenase (150µg/mL; Sigma; Catalog#: C6885) was added, and the

samples were incubated at 37°C for another 20 minutes. Following two additional washes with

pre-warmed HBSS, the resulting single-cell suspension was gently triturated and resuspended in

complete medium consisting of Neurobasal™-A Medium (Thermo Fisher, Gibco; Catalog#:

12349015) supplemented with B-27™ Plus Supplement (Thermo Fisher, Gibco; Catalog#:

A3582801) and GlutaMAX™ Supplement (Thermo Fisher, Gibco; Catalog#: 35050061). The cell

suspension was then passed through 70-micron cell strainers. The single-cell suspension was

then centrifuged at 500 × rpm at 4°C for 5 minutes, and the cell pellet was resuspended in

complete Neurobasal medium. Cells were seeded on poly-D-lysine (PDL)-coated 18mm

coverslips at a density of 1.0 × 10^3 cells per coverslip. For drug treatment, BQ788 (Sigma;

Catalog #B157) 1μM, BQ123 (R&D system; Catalog #: 1188) 1mM, IRL620 (Sigma; Catalog #:

SCP0135) 100nM or DMSO as vehicle control were added directly to the complete medium just

before suspending the cells and seeding them into separate culture plates and incubated for the

entire 24-hour culture period. The animals were randomized into groups and the researcher was

not blinded during the analysis.

DRG Explant culture

L3-L5 DRGs from male and female 8-wk- or 21-wk- old mice were collected into cold

dissection medium [HBSS (Thermofisher, Gibco; Catalog#:14175-079)] with 10% 1M HEPES

(Thermofisher, Gibco; Catalog#15630080) and 18 mM D-Glucose (Sigma; Catalog# G7021).

DRG roots were trimmed to 2mm with a sharp scalpel, then seeded on PDL/ laminin-coated cell

culture plates. 24 hours after plating, the media was removed and replaced with vehicle (0.1%

DMSO) or BQ788 (1µM) in complete medium (Neurobasal™-A Medium, Thermofisher, Gibco;

Catalog# 12349015) with B-27™ Plus Supplement (Thermofisher, Gibco; Catalog# A3582801);

GlutaMAX™ Supplement (Thermofisher, Gibco; Catalog#35050061), and 2.5% Fetal Bovine

Serum (FBS) (Gibco, Catalog#A31604). 7 days after plating, explants were fixed and stained for

immunofluorescence.

Sciatic nerve and dorsal root injuries

Sciatic nerve crush injuries were performed following established protocols (Avraham et

al., 2021). Briefly, 12-week-old mice were anesthetized using 1.5% inhaled isoflurane. A small

skin incision was made to expose the sciatic nerve at mid-thigh level, approximately 1.2 cm from

the L4 dorsal root ganglion (DRG). The sciatic nerve was fully crushed for 10 seconds using

0.1mm forceps (#55). The wound was closed with wound clips, and the mice were placed on a

warming pad until fully awake. At the designated time points post-surgery, L4 and L5 dorsal root

ganglia were dissected for further analysis. The animals were randomized into groups.

Dorsal root crush injuries were performed as previously described (Avraham et al., 2021).

Surgery was conducted on 12-week-old mice under 1.5% inhaled isoflurane anesthesia. A small

midline skin incision (~1 cm) was made over the thoracic vertebrae at L2-L3, followed by

paraspinal muscle release and stabilization of the vertebral column with metal clamps under the

L2-L3 transverse processes. Dorsal laminectomy was performed using forceps at the L2-L3 level,

and the right L3-L5 dorsal roots were crushed simultaneously for 5 seconds. The proximity

between L3-L5 roots resulted in a crush distance of 1-2 mm to L3 DRG, 4-5 mm to L4 DRG, and

7-8 mm to L5 DRG. The crushing process exerted force on the roots, causing disruption of nerve

fibers without interrupting the endoneurial tube. Paraspinal muscles were sutured using 6-0

sutures (Ethicon, Catalog#: J212H), and the wound was closed with clips. Mice were placed on a

warming pad until fully awake, and L4 dorsal root ganglia and L4 dorsal roots were dissected at

3 days post injury. The animals were randomized into groups.

Drug Administration

To assess the *in vivo* functions of endothelin receptors, pharmacological testing was

conducted. For the analysis of nerve regeneration at one day post-injury, oral gavage

administration of Ambrisentan (Tocris, Catalog# 5828, 10 mg/kg body weight) (Kappes et al.,

2020) and Bosentan (Sigma, Catalog#PHR2708, 10 mg/kg body weight) (Pinho-Ribeiro et al.,

2014) was performed 2 hours before the injury, with sample collection taking place 24 hours after

the injury. For the analysis of nerve regeneration after 3 days post-injury, Ambrisentan (10 mg/kg

body weight) and Bosentan (10 mg/kg body weight) were administered via oral gavage 2 hours

before the injury and once daily after the injury. The treatments were randomized within the

surgery mice group.

Western Blotting

L4-L5 DRGs were collected and lysed in RIPA Buffer (Cell Signaling, catalog #9806) and heated at 99°C for 10 min. The protein lysates were then loaded onto an 10% Ready Gel® Tris-HCl Precast Gels (BioRad, catalog#1611119) in running Buffer (BioRad, Catlog#1610744) and transferred to 0.2 μm PVDF membranes (BioRad, Catalog#1620216). The membranes were blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk (BioRad, Catalog#1706404XTU) Tris-Buffered Saline (TBS) containing 0.1% Tween-20 at pH 7.6 for 1 h. Following blocking, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with Rabbit-anti-ETBR (Abcam, Catalog# ab117529, 1:1000) in blocking buffer. Afterward, the membranes were washed three times with TBST (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20), and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit (Invitrogen, Catalog# 31460,1:5000) or anti-mouse (Invitrogen, Catalog # 31430,1:5000) antibodies in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the membranes were washed three times with TBST and developed using SuperSignal™ West Dura (Thermo Scientific, Catalog# 34075). The ChemiDoc System (Bio-Rad) was used to image the membranes. For subsequent analysis, the membranes were stripped using a mild stripping buffer composed of (1.5% glycine, 0.1% SDS, and 1% Tween -20, pH2.2 in ddH$_2$O). Following stripping, the protocol was repeated for GADPH staining (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Catalog #sc-51907, 1:8000) as the loading control. The resulting images were analyzed using Fiji software. To quantify the protein blots, the intensity of ETBR was normalized to the intensity of GADPH. The researcher was not blinded during the analysis.

Quantitative real time PCR

For qRT-PCR, L4 and L5 DRGs of each mouse were collected and total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Cat# 74104). For cDNA synthesis, 500 ng of RNA was converted into cDNA with the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (ThermoFisher, Catalog# 4368814) according to manufacturer's specifications. Quantitative PCR was completed

using the PowerUp™ SYBR™ Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher, Cat# A25780) using gene-

specific primers (resource table) from Primerbank (https://pga.mgh.harvard.edu/primerbank/).

qRT-PCR was performed on a QuantStudio 6 Flex System. Expression fold change for each gene

of interest was calculated using the ΔCq method and normalized to the expression fold change

of *Gadph* expression compared to controls. The researcher was not blinded during the analysis.

The detail for each primer was listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Immunohistochemistry

Mice were euthanized with CO_2 asphyxiation and transcardially perfused with PBS

followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). PFA-fixed tissues were incubated in 30% sucrose in

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 4 °C, specimens were embedded in optimal cutting

temperature compound (OCT) (Tissue-Tek), stored at −80 °C until further processing. Transverse

sections of DRG (L4) and longitudinal sections of sciatic nerve were cut on a cryostat at 10μm,

and stored at -20 °C until processed. Before staining, sections were warmed to room temperature

and dried on a 60 °C slide warmer for 5 mins. Sections were treated with a blocking solution

containing 4% normal donkey serum (NDS) (LAMPIRE; Catalog#7332100) with 0.5% Triton X-

100 in PBS for 1h at room temperature. Then samples were incubated in the primary antibodies,

which were diluted in 1% NDS with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS overnight at 4°C. After three PBS

rinses, samples were incubated with Alexa Fluor–conjugated secondary antibodies in PBS with

0.3% Triton X-100 in 1h, followed by incubation in 300nM 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI,

Sigma-Aldrich, Catalog# D9542) at room temperature for 10 mins. Samples were rinsed before

mounting with ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen, Catalog#:P36930). For co-staining

of Cx43 and Fabp7, both of which are rabbit antibodies, the tissue sections were first blocked with

10% NDS in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 1h. Subsequently, the

sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-Cx43 antibody diluted in 0.1% Triton X-

100 in PBS. After three rinses with PBS, the samples were incubated with an excess of conjugated

Fab Fragment secondary antibody in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 for one hour. Following three

washes with PBS, the sections were incubated with rabbit anti-Fabp7 antibody, diluted in 0.1%

Triton X-100 in PBS, at room temperature for 2h. After three PBS washes, the samples were

incubated with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies in PBS with 0.3% Triton X-100 for

one hour. Finally, the sections were incubated with DAPI at room temperature for 10 minutes,

followed by rinsing and mounting with ProLong™ Gold Antifade Mountant. DRG sections were

imaged with a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM880). Figures showing large

longitudinal sciatic nerve sections were produced using EVOS™ M7000 Imaging System with

image stitching and/or stack software. For adult DRG culture staining, neurons were fixed in pre-

warmed 1%PFA in 7.5% sucrose at 37 °C for 15 mins. Then post-fixed in prewarmed 2%PFA in

15% sucrose in 30 mins. Rise with PBS 3 times, the fixed neurons were directly for

immunostaining following same staining protocol described above. Cultured neurons were

imaged with acquired using the ECLIPSE Ti2 inverted microscope.

Whole-mount DRG immunostaining was performed by injecting 0.1 µL of Lycopersicon

Esculentum Lectin (Vector, Catalog# DL-1178-1) through the tail vein to label the blood vessels

(Robertson et al., 2015). L4 DRGs were dissected after 20 minutes and fixed overnight in 4% PFA

at 4°C. Following a previously established protocol (Yang et al., 2017), DRGs underwent a series

of washing and permeabilization steps with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS, repeated every hour for 5

hours. Subsequently, the tissues were incubated with primary antibodies in a blocking solution

consisting of 75% 0.3% PBST, 20% DMSO, and 5% Donkey Serum for 4 days, followed by further

washing with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS every hour for 5 hours. The tissues were then incubated

with secondary antibodies in the blocking solution for 2 days, with subsequent washing using 0.3%

Triton X-100 in PBS every hour for 5 hours. All of these washing and incubation procedures were

carried out on a rocking platform at room temperature. The tissues were dehydrated in methanol

for 1 hour and cleared using a 1:2 mixture of Benzyl Alcohol to Benzyl Benzoate. Imaging was

carried out using an LSM880 confocal microscope, and blood vessel reconstruction was

performed with Imaris 9.7.

Primary antibodies included rabbit anti-FABP7 (Invitrogen, Catalog#:PA5-24949, 1:1000);

rabbit anti-STMN2(SCG10) (Novus a bio-techine, Catalog#:NBP1-49461, 1:1000); rabbit anti-

Connexin-43 (Cell Signaling, Catalog#: 3512s, 1:200); mouse anti TUJ1 (β-III tubulin) (Biolegend,

Catalog#:801202, 1:1000). Secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, Alexa Fluor 594

and Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen), conjugated Fab Fragment Donkey anti Rabbit Alexa Fluor

(Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs) were diluted 1:500.

RNAscope® in situ hybridization

The RNAscope® fluorescent multiplex reagent kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, ACD) was

used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Slides were retrieved from a -80°C freezer,

washed with PBS to remove OCT, and dried on a 60°C slide warmer for 5 minutes. Post-fixation

was performed by immersing the slides in cold (4°C) 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15 minutes.

Tissues were dehydrated using a series of ethanol washes (50% ethanol for 5 minutes, 70%

ethanol for 5 minutes, and 100% ethanol for 10 minutes) at room temperature. Slides were air

dried briefly and then incubated in RNAscope® Target Retrieval buffer (ACD; Catalog# 322000)

at 98-100°C for 5 minutes. After rinsing in 100% ethanol, hydrophobic boundaries were drawn

around each section using a hydrophobic pen (ImmEdge PAP pen; Vector Labs). Once the

boundaries were dry, protease III reagent was added to each section and incubated for 15 minutes.

Slides were briefly washed in PBS at room temperature. Each slide was placed in a prewarmed

humidity control tray (ACD) with dampened filter paper, and a mixture of probes was pipetted onto

each section until fully submerged. The slides were then incubated in a HybEZ oven (ACD) at

40°C for 2 hours. Following the probe incubation, the slides were washed twice with 1x RNAscope

wash buffer and returned to the oven for 30 minutes after submersion in AMP-1 reagent. This

washing and amplification process was repeated using AMP-2, AMP-3, and AMP-4 reagents with

incubation periods of 15 minutes, 30 minutes, and 15 minutes, respectively. For all mouse

experiments, AMP-4 ALT A (Channel 1 = Atto 488, Channel 2 = Alexa 550, Channel 3 = Atto 647)

was used. Slides were then washed twice with 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). Subsequently,

the slides were processed with 300nM DAPI at room temperature for 10 minutes and cover-

slipped using Prolong Gold Antifade mounting medium. DRG sections were imaged using a

confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM880).

Transmission electron microscopy

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM), mice were perfused PBS and then with the

fixative (2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M Cacodylate buffer), followed by

post-fixation in same fixation 24hrs at 4C. For secondary post fixation, the samples were rinsed

in 0.15 M cacodylate buffer containing 2mM calcium chloride 3 times for 10 minutes each followed

by a secondary fixation in 1% osmium tetroxide and 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide in 0.15 M

cacodylate buffer containing 2 mM calcium chloride for 1 hour in the dark. The samples were then

rinsed 3 times for 10 minutes each in ultrapure water and en bloc stained with 2% aqueous uranyl

acetate overnight at 4 °C in the dark. After 4 washes for 10 minutes each in ultrapure water, the

samples were dehydrated in a graded acetone series (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 100% x3) for

10 minutes each step, infiltrated with Spurr's resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and embedded

and polymerized at 60 °C for 72 hours. The samples from adult mice were processed as above

except they were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 100% x3)

for 10 minutes each step, infiltrated with Epon resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and

embedded and polymerized at 60 °C for 48 hours. Post curing, 70 nm thin sections were cut,

post-stained with 2% aqueous uranyl acetate and Sato's lead and imaged on a TEM (Jeol JEM-

1400 Plus) at 120 kV.

Single Cell RNA sequencing

L4 and L5 DRGs from mice were collected and placed into cold dissection medium

consisting of Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS; ThermoFisher, Gibco; Catalog#: 14175-079)

supplemented with 10% 1M HEPES (ThermoFisher, Gibco; Catalog#: 15630080). Subsequently,

the ganglia were transferred to freshly prepared pre-warmed dissociation medium composed of

15 U/mL Papain suspension (Worthington Biochemical; Catalog#: LS003126), 0.3 mg/mL L-

cysteine (Sigma; Catalog#: C7352), 0.1 mg/mL Deoxyribonuclease I (Worthington Biochemical;

Catalog#: LS002139), and 10% 1M HEPES in HBSS. The samples were then incubated at 37°C

for 20 minutes. After two washes with pre-warmed HBSS, the ganglia were incubated with

collagenase (150 µg/mL; Sigma; Catalog#: C6885) at 37°C for 20 minutes. Following an additional

two washes with pre-warmed HBSS, the resulting single cell suspension was resuspended by

gently triturating in complete medium consisting of Neurobasal™-A Medium (ThermoFisher,

Gibco; Catalog#: 12349015) supplemented with B-27™ Plus Supplement (ThermoFisher, Gibco;

Catalog#: A3582801) and GlutaMAX™ Supplement (ThermoFisher, Gibco; Catalog#: 35050061).

The cell suspension was then passed through 70-micron cell strainers. The single cell suspension

was further resuspended in HBSS containing 10% 1M HEPES and 0.1% Fetal Bovine Serum

(FBS; ThermoFisher; Catalog#: A3160401). The cells were stained with the LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable

Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (ThermoFisher; Catalog#: L34965) to identify live cells. Live single cells

were sorted using the MoFlo cell sorter (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN). The sorted cells were

washed with a PBS+0.04% BSA solution and manually counted using a hemocytometer. The cell

solution was adjusted to a concentration of 700-1000 cells/µL and loaded onto the 10X Chromium

system.

Single-cell RNA-Seq libraries were prepared using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell

3' (v3.1 Kit) from 10X Genomics. Read alignment and transcript counting were performed with

the CellRanger (v7.1.0) package from 10X Genomics and 20,584 cells with >300 genes were

retained for downstream analysis. Sample QC, integration, clustering, DGE, quantification, and

statistical analysis were performed using the Seurat package (v4.3.0). Following normalization

with SCTransform, anchor-based integration by sample age, and graph-based clustering, three

low quality and/or doublet clusters were identified and removed based on the following

characteristics: statistical enrichment (DGE $p < 0.05$) of mixed major cell type marker genes or

mitochondria-specific transcripts, low average genes per cell, and/or low average UMIs per cell.

After removal of low-quality samples, the remaining clusters were visualized using UMAP

(Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection). Differential gene expression (DGE) analyses

were performed in the Seurat package with a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test, and genes with Bonferroni-

adjusted p-values < 0.05 were considered for downstream analysis and visualization. All cell types

were pooled for DEG analyses. KEGG pathway and GO enrichment were performed using the

clusterProfiler package in R. The researcher was not blinded during analysis.

Images acquisition and quantification

Confocal images were captured under the LSM880 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss)

unless otherwise specified. The images were captured under following parameters: 1 × optical

zoom, scan speed 6, averaged 2 times, a pinhole of 1 AU, 1024 × 1024 pixel size. The z-stack

images were projected into overlay images using the "Maximum Intensity Projection" function in

Zen Black software (Carl Zeiss). Sciatic nerve longitudinal images, the spinal cord and brain

images were captured under the EVOS™ M7000 Imaging System (Invitrogen). The images were

captured under 10x objective in 1980 × 1080 pixel size. The stitched nerve images generated by

the EVOS imaging system. The neuronal culture images were captured under the ECLIPSE Ti2

inverted microscope (Nikon). The images were captured under 10x objective in 2304 × 2304 pixel

size. Three tissue sections were analyzed on average for each independent mouse. The

researcher performing this analysis was not blinded during analysis.

For quantifying Cx43 expression area in the DRG, the CX43$^+$ and Fabp7$^+$ area was

measured using "Analysis Particles" function in Fiji. To quantify CX43, confocal imaging with Z-

stacks (10 µm) was performed using an LSM880 microscope. The acquired images were

subsequently used for 3D reconstruction using Imaris 9.7 software. The staining area of FABP7

underwent initial surface reconstruction, while the CX43 puncta were isolated through spot

reconstruction at a resolution of 0.1 µm x 0.2 µm. The average number of CX43 puncta was

determined for each FABP7$^+$ cell (Supplementary Video S1). For quantifying the regeneration

index in the injured sciatic nerve section, the highest SCG10 intensity along the nerve was defined

as the crush site, as described (Cho et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2023). The average SCG10 intensity

at distances away from the crush site was normalized to the SCG10 intensity at the crush site

using "measure" function in Fiji. For quantifying the neuron regenerative capacity in cultured DRG

neurons, TUJ1 stained neurons were segmented by thresholding and subjected to neurite tracing

using "Simple Neurite Tracer" plug-in in Fiji. The researcher was not blinded during analysis.

DRG explant analysis was performed by creation of an ROI around each explant. The ROI

was removed for organoid contrast and the radial length was measured from the outer peripheral

section of the ROI. The 35 longest axons in each image were measured (µm) and averaged.

For TEM, SGCs width around the neuron was measured by averaging 4 individual points

that did not contain an SGCs nucleus around the neuron using Fiji/ImageJ software. Frequency

of neurons with 0,1,2, or 3 SGCs nuclei per neuron was quantified by counting each image by

eye.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses and graphs were conducted, organized and generated in GraphPad

Prism 9. Numerical data were presented as mean ± SD from at least three independent animals.

Group mean difference was analyzed by either two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test or one-way

ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test. For experiments including groups and multiple time-point

measurements, data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-hoc test. P values

below 0.05 were considered as significant difference. The number of animals in each group is

presented in figure legends. No a priori exclusion criteria or statistical power calculations were

used.

Data and materials availability:

642 All data associated with this study are presented in the paper or the Supplementary

643 Materials. scRNA sequencing data have been deposited at NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus

644 under accession number GSE223910 and will be publicly available upon publication.

List of Supplementary Materials

646 Supplementary Figures S1 to S5
647 Supplementary Data File S1 to S3
648 Supplementary Movie S1
649

Acknowledgments:

We would like to thank members of the Cavalli lab and the Mokalled lab for valuable

discussions and suggestions. We gratefully acknowledge Michael Savio from The Alvin J.

Siteman Cancer Center at Barnes-Jewish Hospital and Washington University School of Medicine

for assistance with single cell sorting. We also acknowledge the assistance of John Wulf II,

Gregory Strout and Dr. Sanja Sviben at the Washington University Center for Cellular Imaging

(WUCCI) in electron microscopy studies, which is supported by Washington University School of

Medicine, The Children's Discovery Institute of Washington University and St. Louis Children's

Hospital (CDI-CORE-2015-505 and CDI-CORE-2019-813) and the Foundation for Barnes-Jewish

Hospital (3770 and 4642). TEM images were acquired using an AMT Nanosprint15-MkII sCMOS

camera, which was purchased with support from the Office of Research Infrastructure Programs

(ORIP), a part of the NIH Office of the Director under grant (OD032186). The authors show their

gratitude and respect to all animals sacrificed in this study.

Funding:

This work was funded in part by NIH grants R35 NS122260, R01 NS111719 and R21

NS115492 to V.C.

Author contributions:

R.F. and V.C. conceptualized the study, and V.C. provided study supervision. R.F., S.J.

and I.A. performed experiments and analyzed data. R.F., S.F.R, S.J. and I.A. generated figures

and visualizations. M.B.T performed bioinformatics analyses. C.G and V.C. provided study

supervision. R.F., S.F.R and V.C. wrote the manuscript and all authors edited the manuscript.

Competing interests:

Washington University in St Louis has filed a provisional patent application with the US Patent

and Trademark Office on this work.

FIGURES LEGENDS

Fig. 1 *Ednrb* is highly expressed in satellite glial cells.
A. Representative whole-mount stained images of DRG from Lycopersicon Esculentum Lectin (LEL) injected Fabp7CreER::Ai14 mice, labeled for TUJ1 (green), tdTomato (magenta), and LEL (grey). 3D reconstruction of blood vessels via LEL labeling (scale bars, 200 µm).
B. Representative z-stack images of sectioned DRG from Lycopersicon Esculentum Lectin (LEL) injected C57BL/6 mice, labeled for TUJ1 (green), Fabp7 (red), and LEL (cyan) (Scale bars, 100 µm).
C. UMAP analysis identified 7 cell clusters based on known marker genes.
D. Differential gene expression analysis revealed up- and down-regulated genes across cell clusters. Red or green indicates adjusted $p < 0.01$, while gray indicates $p \geq 0.01$.
E-F. UMAP overlay for expression of *Ednra* (**E**) and *Ednrb* (**F**).
G. Dot plot analysis showing the average gene expression (color coded) and number of expressing cells (dot size) for the marker genes.
H. Representative RNAScope *in situ* hybridization images showing *Ednrb* (red), *Fabp7* (cyan) and DAPI (blue) of L4 DRGs from 3-month-old mice (scale bars, 50 µm).

Fig. 2 Endothelin B receptor inhibition increases axonal growth *in vitro* and *ex vivo*.
A. Representative images showing TUJ1 (black) immunostaining of neurons in DRG cultures (scale bars, 100 µm).
B-C. Quantification of axonal radial length (B) and TUJ1$^+$ area (C) per neuron. Different colors indicate biological replicates. N = 246 (Veh; 8 replicates), 318 (BQ788; 8 replicates), 320 (IRL1620; 8 replicates), and 244 (BQ123; 8 replicates). Data presented as mean ± SD.
D. Scheme of drug treatment and DRG explant model.
E. Representative images of DRG explants 7 days after drug treatment, immunostained for TUJ1 (black) (scale bars, 1000 µm).
F. Quantification of radial length of the 35 longest axons from DRG explants from indicated groups N=36 (BQ788; 18 replicates, Veh; 18 replicates).
G. Representative images of DRG explants immunostained for TUJ1 (green), FABP7 (magenta), and merged (scale bars, 50 µm).

Fig. 3 Bosentan treatment improves axonal regeneration after peripheral nerve injury in adult mice.
A. Scheme of drug treatment and peripheral nerve injury model.
B. Quantification of the length of the 10 longest axons in indicated conditions.
C. Quantification of the regeneration index, calculated as the distance along the nerve where the SGC10 intensity is 50% of the SCG10 intensity at crush site.
D. Representative longitudinal sections of sciatic nerves 24h after SNC, immunostained for SCG10, from mice with the indicated treatment. Dotted line indicates the crush site, determined as the maximal SGC10 intensity (scale bars, 200 µm).
E. Quantificaiton of SCG10 intensity at the indicated distance normalized to the intensity at the crush site for each condition. N = 5 mice/condition.
F. Scheme of adult DRG neuronal culture and treatments.
G. Representative images showing TUJ1 (black) immunostaining of neurons in DRG cultures (scale bars, 100 µm).
H-I. Quantification of axonal radial length (K) and total TUJ1$^+$ area (L). Different colors represent different biological replicates. N (neuron number) = 177 (vehicle; three biological replicates, naïve mice), 168 (Ambrisentan, three biological replicates, naïve mice), 183 (Bosentan; three biological replicates, naïve mice), 186 (vehicle; three biological replicates, injured mice),

725 204(Ambrisentan, three biological replicates, injured mice) and 210 (Bosentan; three biological
726 replicates, injured mice), respectively. The data are presented as mean ± SD.
727
Fig. 4 Bosentan treatment rescues aging-dependent neuronal regenerative decline.
A. Scheme of drug treatment and DRG explant model.
B. Representative images of DRG explants 7 days after drug treatment (scale bars, 1000 µm).
C. Quantification of radial length of the 50 longest axons from DRG explants. N=36 (BQ788; 18
replicates, Veh; 18 replicates).
D. Representative longitudinal sections of sciatic nerves 3 d after SNC immunostained for
SCG10 from mice with the indicated treatment. Dotted line indicates the crush site, determined
as the maximal SCG10 intensity (scale bars, 200 µm).
E-F. Quantification of the 10 longest axons in indicated groups (**E**). Quantificaiton of 50%
regenerative index, calculated as the disatnce along the nerve where the SCG10 intensity is
50% of the SCG10 intensity at crush site (**F**).
G. Quantification of the SCG10 intensity at the indicated distance normalized to the intensity at
the crush site for each condition. N (mouse number) = 4(adult, vehicle), 3 (Aged, vehicle) and 3
(Bosentan+Age), respectively. The data are presented as mean ± SD.
742
Fig. 5 ETBR inhibition increases the expression of Cx43 in SGCs in adult and aged mice.
A. UMAP overlay for expression of *Gja1* in mouse DRG.
B. Box and whisker plot of *Gja1* gene expression in 5 donors, each dot represents a single cell.
C. Plot showing the average gene expression of *Gja1, Gjb2, Gjb6 and Gjc1* genes in SGCs in
adult (2M), mid-aged (12M), and aged (21M) mice.
D. Scheme of drug treatment and peripheral nerve injury model.
E. Quantification of the percentage of the Cx43/FABP7 expression area.
F. Quantification of the average number of Connexin 43 (Cx43) puncta per FABP7+ cell. The
ratio of total Cx43 puncta to the number of FABP7+ cells surrounding a TUJ1+ neuron was
measured. N(cell number) = 60(adult, uninjured), 62(aged, uninjured), 96(vehicle, adult, SNC),
117(bosentan, adult, SNC), 74(vehicle, aged, SNC) and 74(bosentan, aged, SNC), respectively.
G. Representative immunostaining images showing Connexin 43 (Cx43), FABP7 and TUJ1 in
L4 DRGs from the indicated condition (scale bars, 50 µm).
756
Fig. 6 Aging alters SGCs morphology and metabolism.
A. Representative TEM images of DRG sections from adult (2M), middle aged (12M) and aged
(21M) mice showing neuronal cell bodies and the enveloping SGCs (SGCs are pseudo-colored
in red) (scale bars, 5 µm).
B. Quantification of the average width of SGCs per neuron in µms.
C. Frequency of neurons with 0, 1, 2, or 3 SGC nuclei per neuron in TEM images for 2M, 12M,
and 21M old mice.
D. UMAP analysis of 6430 SGCs from mice of different age.
E-F. Venn diagrams were constructed to compare the sets of differentially expressed genes
(DEGs) that were upregulated (**E**) and downregulated (**F**) in the mid-aged vs. adult comparison
and in aged vs. adult. (FDR $\leqslant$ 0.05, fold-change $\geqslant$ 2).
G-H. KEGG analysis was performed on the common upregulated DEGs (**G**) and common
downregulated DEGs (**H**). The dots in the diagram represent the count of genes associated with
each pathway.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supp Fig. 1
A. UMAP plots of genes used for cell cluster annotations.
B. Plot of the average gene expression changes of *Edn1*, *Edn3*, and *Ednrb* in adult, mid-age and aged mice.
C. Box and whisker plot of *Ednrb* expression in the indicated cell types in rat DRG.
D. Box and whisker plot of *Ednrb* gene expression in 5 human donors, each dot represents a single cell.

Supp Fig. 2
A. Scheme of drug treatment and peripheral nerve injury model.
B. Quantification of the 10 longest axons in indicated groups.
C. Quantificaiton of 50% regenerative index, calculated as the disatnce along the nerve where the SCG10 intensity is 50% of the SCG10 intensity at crush site.
D. Representative longitudinal sections of sciatic nerves 3 d after SNC immunostained for SCG10 from mice with the indicated treatment. Dotted line indicates the crush site, determined as the maximal SCG10 intensity (scale bars, 200 µm).
E. Quantificaiton of SCG10 intensity at the indicated distance normalized to the intensity at the crush site for each condition. N = 5 mice/condition. The data are presented as mean ± SD.
F. RT-qPCR of *Atf3, Aif1, Fabp7, Edn1, Ednra* and *Ednrb* gene in contralateral (CON) and ipsilateral DRGs at 3days post injury(SNC). N (mouse number) = 4/each group.
G. Western blot analysis and quantification of ETBR protein expression in DRGs from the mice with/without injury.
H. Quantification of ETBR expression normalized to GAPDH expression (folder of control mice). N (mouse number) = 8/group. The data are presented as mean ± SD.

Supp Fig. 3
A. Scheme of drug treatment and dorsal root crush injury model.
B. Representative longitudinal sections of sciatic nerves 3 d after DRC immunostained for SCG10 from mice with the indicated treatment. Dotted line indicates the crush site, determined as the maximal SCG10 intensity (scale bars, 200 µm).
C. Quantification of the 10 longest axons in indicated groups.
D. Quantificaiton of 50% regenerative index, calculated as the disatnce along the nerve where the SCG10 intensity is 50% of the SCG10 intensity at crush site.
E. Quantificaiton of SCG10 intensity at the indicated distance normalized to the intensity at the crush site for each condition. N = 5 mice/condition. The data are presented as mean ± SD.

Supp Fig. 4
A. Representative immunostaining images of connexin 43 (red), Fabp7 (gray), and TUJ1 (cyan) in L4 DRGs from mice of the indicated ages and treatments 3 d after dorsal root crush injury (scale bars, 50 µm).
B. Quantification of the percentage of the Cx43/FABP7 expression area in each condition.
C. Quantification of the average number of Connexin 43 (Cx43) puncta per FABP7+ cell. The ratio of total Cx43 puncta to the number of FABP7+ cells surrounding a TUJ1-positive neuron was measured.Different colors were used to indicate distinct biological replicates (mouse). N(cell number) = 93 (uninjured), 97 (Vehicle, DRC), and 124 (Bosentan, DRC), respectively. The data are presented as mean ± SD.

827 **Supp Fig. 5**
828 **A.** Volcano plot of upregulated and downregulated genes in mid-aged vs. adult, aged vs. adult,
829 and aged vs. mid-aged.
830 **B.** Gene ontology analysis of upregulated and downregulated genes from all cells overlapping in
831 mid-aged or aged groups compared to adults.
832
833
834
835

REFERENCES

Andrews, M.R., Soleman, S., Cheah, M., Tumbarello, D.A., Mason, M.R., Moloney, E., Verhaagen,
J., Bensadoun, J.C., Schneider, B., Aebischer, P*., et al.* (2016). Axonal Localization of Integrins
in the CNS Is Neuronal Type and Age Dependent. eNeuro *3*.

Avraham, O., Chamessian, A., Feng, R., Yang, L., Halevi, A.E., Moore, A.M., Gereau, R.W.t., and
Cavalli, V. (2022). Profiling the molecular signature of satellite glial cells at the single cell level
reveals high similarities between rodents and humans. Pain *163*, 2348-2364.

Avraham, O., Deng, P.Y., Jones, S., Kuruvilla, R., Semenkovich, C.F., Klyachko, V.A., and Cavalli,
V. (2020). Satellite glial cells promote regenerative growth in sensory neurons. Nat Commun *11*,
4891.

Avraham, O., Feng, R., Ewan, E.E., Rustenhoven, J., Zhao, G., and Cavalli, V. (2021). Profiling
sensory neuron microenvironment after peripheral and central axon injury reveals key pathways
for neural repair. Elife *10*.

Barton, M. (2014). Aging and endothelin: determinants of disease. Life Sci *118*, 97-109.

Black, M.M., and Lasek, R.J. (1979). Slowing of the rate of axonal regeneration during growth
and maturation. Experimental neurology *63*, 108-119.

Blomstrand, F., Venance, L., Siren, A.L., Ezan, P., Hanse, E., Glowinski, J., Ehrenreich, H., and
Giaume, C. (2004). Endothelins regulate astrocyte gap junctions in rat hippocampal slices. The
European journal of neuroscience *19*, 1005-1015.

Brennan, A., Dean, C.H., Zhang, A.L., Cass, D.T., Mirsky, R., and Jessen, K.R. (2000).
Endothelins control the timing of Schwann cell generation in vitro and in vivo. Developmental
biology *227*, 545-557.

Buttner, R., Schulz, A., Reuter, M., Akula, A.K., Mindos, T., Carlstedt, A., Riecken, L.B., Baader,
S.L., Bauer, R., and Morrison, H. (2018). Inflammaging impairs peripheral nerve maintenance and
regeneration. Aging Cell *17*, e12833.

Carlin, D., Halevi, A.E., Ewan, E.E., Moore, A.M., and Cavalli, V. (2019). Nociceptor Deletion of
Tsc2 Enhances Axon Regeneration by Inducing a Conditioning Injury Response in Dorsal Root
Ganglia. eNeuro *6*.

Chen, X., Zhai, Z., Huang, K., Xie, W., Wan, J., and Wang, C. (2018). Bosentan therapy for
pulmonary arterial hypertension and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: A
systemic review and meta-analysis. Clin Respir J *12*, 2065-2074.

Cho, Y., and Cavalli, V. (2012). HDAC5 is a novel injury-regulated tubulin deacetylase controlling
axon regeneration. EMBO J *31*, 3063-3078.

Cho, Y., Shin, J.E., Ewan, E.E., Oh, Y.M., Pita-Thomas, W., and Cavalli, V. (2015). Activating Injury-Responsive Genes with Hypoxia Enhances Axon Regeneration through Neuronal HIF-1alpha. Neuron *88*, 720-734.

Cho, Y., Sloutsky, R., Naegle, K.M., and Cavalli, V. (2013). Injury-induced HDAC5 nuclear export is essential for axon regeneration. Cell *155*, 894-908.

Clozel, M., Breu, V., Gray, G.A., Kalina, B., Loffler, B.M., Burri, K., Cassal, J.M., Hirth, G., Muller, M., Neidhart, W.*, et al.* (1994). Pharmacological characterization of bosentan, a new potent orally active nonpeptide endothelin receptor antagonist. J Pharmacol Exp Ther *270*, 228-235.

Cotrina, M.L., Lin, J.H., and Nedergaard, M. (2008). Adhesive properties of connexin hemichannels. Glia *56*, 1791-1798.

Feldman-Goriachnik, R., and Hanani, M. (2017). The effects of endothelin-1 on satellite glial cells in peripheral ganglia. Neuropeptides *63*, 37-42.

Feng, R., Muraleedharan Saraswathy, V., Mokalled, M.H., and Cavalli, V. (2023). Self-renewing macrophages in dorsal root ganglia contribute to promote nerve regeneration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *120*, e2215906120.

Fuentes-Flores, A., Geronimo-Olvera, C., Girardi, K., Necunir-Ibarra, D., Patel, S.K., Bons, J., Wright, M.C., Geschwind, D., Hoke, A., Gomez-Sanchez, J.A.*, et al.* (2023). Senescent Schwann cells induced by aging and chronic denervation impair axonal regeneration following peripheral nerve injury. EMBO Mol Med *15*, e17907.

Galie, N., Olschewski, H., Oudiz, R.J., Torres, F., Frost, A., Ghofrani, H.A., Badesch, D.B., McGoon, M.D., McLaughlin, V.V., Roecker, E.B.*, et al.* (2008). Ambrisentan for the treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension: results of the ambrisentan in pulmonary arterial hypertension, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, efficacy (ARIES) study 1 and 2. Circulation *117*, 3010-3019.

Geoffroy, C.G., Hilton, B.J., Tetzlaff, W., and Zheng, B. (2016). Evidence for an Age-Dependent Decline in Axon Regeneration in the Adult Mammalian Central Nervous System. Cell reports *15*, 238-246.

Geoffroy, C.G., Meves, J.M., and Zheng, B. (2017). The age factor in axonal repair after spinal cord injury: A focus on neuron-intrinsic mechanisms. Neuroscience letters *652*, 41-49.

Giaid, A., Gibson, S.J., Ibrahim, B.N., Legon, S., Bloom, S.R., Yanagisawa, M., Masaki, T., Varndell, I.M., and Polak, J.M. (1989). Endothelin 1, an endothelium-derived peptide, is expressed in neurons of the human spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *86*, 7634-7638.

Godel, T., Pham, M., Heiland, S., Bendszus, M., and Baumer, P. (2016). Human dorsal-root-ganglion perfusion measured in-vivo by MRI. Neuroimage *141*, 81-87.

Hanani, M., Huang, T.Y., Cherkas, P.S., Ledda, M., and Pannese, E. (2002). Glial cell plasticity in sensory ganglia induced by nerve damage. Neuroscience *114*, 279-283.

Hanani, M., and Spray, D.C. (2020). Emerging importance of satellite glia in nervous system function and dysfunction. Nat Rev Neurosci *21*, 485-498.

Hanani, M., and Verkhratsky, A. (2021). Satellite Glial Cells and Astrocytes, a Comparative Review. Neurochem Res.

He, Z., and Jin, Y. (2016). Intrinsic Control of Axon Regeneration. Neuron *90*, 437-451.

Hoke, A. (2006). Mechanisms of Disease: what factors limit the success of peripheral nerve regeneration in humans? Nat Clin Pract Neurol *2*, 448-454.

Huang, T.Y., Cherkas, P.S., Rosenthal, D.W., and Hanani, M. (2005). Dye coupling among satellite glial cells in mammalian dorsal root ganglia. Brain research *1036*, 42-49.

Huang, T.Y., Hanani, M., Ledda, M., De Palo, S., and Pannese, E. (2006). Aging is associated with an increase in dye coupling and in gap junction number in satellite glial cells of murine dorsal root ganglia. Neuroscience *137*, 1185-1192.

Humbert, M., Sitbon, O., and Simonneau, G. (2004). Treatment of pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med *351*, 1425-1436.

Jager, S.E., Pallesen, L.T., Richner, M., Harley, P., Hore, Z., McMahon, S., Denk, F., and Vaegter, C.B. (2020). Changes in the transcriptional fingerprint of satellite glial cells following peripheral nerve injury. Glia.

Jankowich, M., and Choudhary, G. (2020). Endothelin-1 levels and cardiovascular events. Trends Cardiovasc Med *30*, 1-8.

Jessen, K.R., and Mirsky, R. (2016). The repair Schwann cell and its function in regenerating nerves. J Physiol *594*, 3521-3531.

Jimenez-Andrade, J.M., Herrera, M.B., Ghilardi, J.R., Vardanyan, M., Melemedjian, O.K., and Mantyh, P.W. (2008). Vascularization of the dorsal root ganglia and peripheral nerve of the mouse: implications for chemical-induced peripheral sensory neuropathies. Molecular pain *4*, 10.

Kang, H., and Lichtman, J.W. (2013). Motor axon regeneration and muscle reinnervation in young adult and aged animals. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *33*, 19480-19491.

Kappes, L., Amer, R.L., Sommerlatte, S., Bashir, G., Plattfaut, C., Gieseler, F., Gemoll, T., Busch, H., Altahrawi, A., Al-Sbiei, A.*, et al.* (2020). Ambrisentan, an endothelin receptor type A-selective antagonist, inhibits cancer cell migration, invasion, and metastasis. Scientific reports *10*, 15931.

Khodorova, A., Montmayeur, J.P., and Strichartz, G. (2009). Endothelin receptors and pain. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society *10*, 4-28.

Kim, Y.S., Anderson, M., Park, K., Zheng, Q., Agarwal, A., Gong, C., Saijilafu, Young, L., He, S., LaVinka, P.C.*, et al.* (2016). Coupled Activation of Primary Sensory Neurons Contributes to Chronic Pain. Neuron *91*, 1085-1096.

Li, S., Overman, J.J., Katsman, D., Kozlov, S.V., Donnelly, C.J., Twiss, J.L., Giger, R.J., Coppola, G., Geschwind, D.H., and Carmichael, S.T. (2010). An age-related sprouting transcriptome provides molecular control of axonal sprouting after stroke. Nature neuroscience *13*, 1496-1504.

Luscher, T.F., and Barton, M. (2000). Endothelins and endothelin receptor antagonists: therapeutic considerations for a novel class of cardiovascular drugs. Circulation *102*, 2434-2440.

Madisen, L., Zwingman, T.A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Zariwala, H.A., Gu, H., Ng, L.L., Palmiter, R.D., Hawrylycz, M.J., Jones, A.R.*, et al.* (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nature neuroscience *13*, 133-140.

Mahar, M., and Cavalli, V. (2018). Intrinsic mechanisms of neuronal axon regeneration. Nat Rev Neurosci *19*, 323-337.

Maita, K.C., Garcia, J.P., Avila, F.R., Torres-Guzman, R.A., Ho, O., Chini, C.C.S., Chini, E.N., and Forte, A.J. (2023). Evaluation of the Aging Effect on Peripheral Nerve Regeneration: A Systematic Review. J Surg Res *288*, 329-340.

Mapps, A.A., Thomsen, M.B., Boehm, E., Zhao, H., Hattar, S., and Kuruvilla, R. (2022). Diversity
of satellite glia in sympathetic and sensory ganglia. Cell reports *38*, 110328.

Maruoka, H., Kubota, K., Kurokawa, R., Tsuruno, S., and Hosoya, T. (2011). Periodic organization
of a major subtype of pyramidal neurons in neocortical layer V. The Journal of neuroscience : the
official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *31*, 18522-18542.

Mazaud, D., Capano, A., and Rouach, N. (2021). The many ways astroglial connexins regulate
neurotransmission and behavior. Glia *69*, 2527-2545.

Nagashima, K., and Oota, K. (1974). A histopathological study of the human spinal ganglia. 1.
Normal variations in aging. Acta Pathol Jpn *24*, 333-344.

Newman, J.H., Kar, S., and Kirkpatrick, P. (2007). Ambrisentan. Nat Rev Drug Discov *6*, 697-698.

Oblinger, M.M., and Lasek, R.J. (1984). A conditioning lesion of the peripheral axons of dorsal
root ganglion cells accelerates regeneration of only their peripheral axons. The Journal of
neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *4*, 1736-1744.

Ohara, P.T., Vit, J.P., Bhargava, A., and Jasmin, L. (2008). Evidence for a role of connexin 43 in
trigeminal pain using RNA interference in vivo. J Neurophysiol *100*, 3064-3073.

Painter, M.W., Brosius Lutz, A., Cheng, Y.C., Latremoliere, A., Duong, K., Miller, C.M., Posada,
S., Cobos, E.J., Zhang, A.X., Wagers, A.J.*, et al.* (2014). Diminished Schwann cell repair
responses underlie age-associated impaired axonal regeneration. Neuron *83*, 331-343.

Pannese, E. (1981). The satellite cells of the sensory ganglia. Advances in anatomy, embryology,
and cell biology *65*, 1-111.

Pannese, E. (2010). The structure of the perineuronal sheath of satellite glial cells (SGCs) in
sensory ganglia. Neuron glia biology *6*, 3-10.

Pannese, E., Ledda, M., Cherkas, P.S., Huang, T.Y., and Hanani, M. (2003). Satellite cell
reactions to axon injury of sensory ganglion neurons: increase in number of gap junctions and
formation of bridges connecting previously separate perineuronal sheaths. Anatomy and
embryology *206*, 337-347.

Pannese, E., Ledda, M., Martinelli, C., and Sartori, P. (1997). Age-related decrease of the
perineuronal satellite cell number in the rabbit spinal ganglia. J Peripher Nerv Syst *2*, 77-81.

Pannese, E., Procacci, P., Ledda, M., and Conte, V. (1996). Age-related reduction of the satellite
cell sheath around spinal ganglion neurons in the rabbit. J Neurocytol *25*, 137-146.

Peacock, A.J., Zamboni, W., and Vizza, C.D. (2015). Ambrisentan for the treatment of adults with
pulmonary arterial hypertension: a review. Curr Med Res Opin *31*, 1793-1807.

Pestronk, A., Drachman, D.B., and Griffin, J.W. (1980). Effects of aging on nerve sprouting and
regeneration. Experimental neurology *70*, 65-82.

Pinho-Ribeiro, F.A., Borghi, S.M., Staurengo-Ferrari, L., Filgueiras, G.B., Estanislau, C., and Verri,
W.A., Jr. (2014). Bosentan, a mixed endothelin receptor antagonist, induces antidepressant-like
activity in mice. Neuroscience letters *560*, 57-61.

Pomonis, J.D., Rogers, S.D., Peters, C.M., Ghilardi, J.R., and Mantyh, P.W. (2001). Expression
and localization of endothelin receptors: implications for the involvement of peripheral glia in
nociception. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *21*,
999-1006.

Procacci, P., Magnaghi, V., and Pannese, E. (2008). Perineuronal satellite cells in mouse spinal
ganglia express the gap junction protein connexin43 throughout life with decline in old age. Brain
research bulletin *75*, 562-569.

Quist, A.P., Rhee, S.K., Lin, H., and Lal, R. (2000). Physiological role of gap-junctional
hemichannels. Extracellular calcium-dependent isosmotic volume regulation. The Journal of cell
biology *148*, 1063-1074.

Retamal, M.A., Riquelme, M.A., Stehberg, J., and Alcayaga, J. (2017). Connexin43 Hemichannels
in Satellite Glial Cells, Can They Influence Sensory Neuron Activity? Front Mol Neurosci *10*, 374.

Rishal, I., and Fainzilber, M. (2014). Axon-soma communication in neuronal injury. Nat Rev
Neurosci *15*, 32-42.

Robertson, R.T., Levine, S.T., Haynes, S.M., Gutierrez, P., Baratta, J.L., Tan, Z., and Longmuir,
K.J. (2015). Use of labeled tomato lectin for imaging vasculature structures. Histochem Cell Biol
143, 225-234.

Rozyczka, J., Figiel, M., and Engele, J. (2005). Chronic endothelin exposure inhibits connexin43
expression in cultured cortical astroglia. J Neurosci Res *79*, 303-309.

Rubin, L.J., Badesch, D.B., Barst, R.J., Galie, N., Black, C.M., Keogh, A., Pulido, T., Frost, A.,
Roux, S., Leconte, I.*, et al.* (2002). Bosentan therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl
J Med *346*, 896-903.

Shin, J.E., Geisler, S., and DiAntonio, A. (2014). Dynamic regulation of SCG10 in regenerating
axons after injury. Experimental neurology *252*, 1-11.

Smith, D.S., and Skene, J.H. (1997). A transcription-dependent switch controls competence of
adult neurons for distinct modes of axon growth. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal
of the Society for Neuroscience *17*, 646-658.

Stauffer, B.L., Westby, C.M., and DeSouza, C.A. (2008). Endothelin-1, aging and hypertension.
Curr Opin Cardiol *23*, 350-355.

Sutherland, T.C., Sefiani, A., Horvat, D., Huntington, T.E., Lei, Y., West, A.P., and Geoffroy, C.G.
(2021). Age-Dependent Decline in Neuron Growth Potential and Mitochondria Functions in
Cortical Neurons. Cells *10*.

Tasdemir-Yilmaz, O.E., Druckenbrod, N.R., Olukoya, O.O., Dong, W., Yung, A.R., Bastille, I.,
Pazyra-Murphy, M.F., Sitko, A.A., Hale, E.B., Vigneau, S.*, et al.* (2021). Diversity of developing
peripheral glia revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. Dev Cell *56*, 2516-2535 e2518.

Tedeschi, A., and Bradke, F. (2017). Spatial and temporal arrangement of neuronal intrinsic and
extrinsic mechanisms controlling axon regeneration. Current opinion in neurobiology *42*, 118-127.

Vaughan, D.W. (1992). Effects of advancing age on peripheral nerve regeneration. The Journal
of comparative neurology *323*, 219-237.

Verdu, E., Ceballos, D., Vilches, J.J., and Navarro, X. (2000). Influence of aging on peripheral
nerve function and regeneration. J Peripher Nerv Syst *5*, 191-208.

Wujek, J.R., and Lasek, R.J. (1983). Correlation of axonal regeneration and slow component B in
two branches of a single axon. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for
Neuroscience *3*, 243-251.

Yanagisawa, M., Kurihara, H., Kimura, S., Tomobe, Y., Kobayashi, M., Mitsui, Y., Yazaki, Y., Goto,
K., and Masaki, T. (1988). A novel potent vasoconstrictor peptide produced by vascular
endothelial cells. Nature *332*, 411-415.

Yang, L., Dong, F., Yang, Q., Yang, P.F., Wu, R., Wu, Q.F., Wu, D., Li, C.L., Zhong, Y.Q., Lu,
Y.J.*, et al.* (2017). FGF13 Selectively Regulates Heat Nociception by Interacting with Na(v)1.7.
Neuron *93*, 806-821 e809.

Ydens, E., Amann, L., Asselbergh, B., Scott, C.L., Martens, L., Sichien, D., Mossad, O., Blank, T.,
De Prijck, S., Low, D.*, et al.* (2020). Profiling peripheral nerve macrophages reveals two
macrophage subsets with distinct localization, transcriptome and response to injury. Nature
neuroscience *23*, 676-689.

Yun, M.H. (2015). Changes in Regenerative Capacity through Lifespan. Int J Mol Sci *16*, 25392-
25432.

Zhou, L., Kong, G., Palmisano, I., Cencioni, M.T., Danzi, M., De Virgiliis, F., Chadwick, J.S.,
Crawford, G., Yu, Z., De Winter, F.*, et al.* (2022). Reversible CD8 T cell-neuron cross-talk causes
aging-dependent neuronal regenerative decline. Science *376*, eabd5926.

Zigmond, R.E., and Echevarria, F.D. (2019). Macrophage biology in the peripheral nervous
system after injury. Prog Neurobiol *173*, 102-121.

1052

Fig.1

A

LEL/TUJ1/tdTomato | TUJ1 | tdTomato | LEL | 3D reconstruction
200 μm

B

LEL/TUJ1/FABP7 | TUJ1 | FABP7 | LEL
100 μm

C

18,098 samples**

Classification
- Satellite Glial Cells
- Non-myelinating Schwann Cells
- Myelinating Schwann Cells
- Neurons
- Fibroblasts
- Mural Cells
- Macrophages
- Vascular Endothelial Cells

D

Log2 Fold Change

Ednrb, *Fabp7*, *Ptprz1*, *Scn7a*, *Ntrk3*, *Mpz*, *Ncmap*, *Prph*, *Tac1*, *Dcn*, *Col1a1*, *Rgs5*, *Notch3*, *Ednra*, *C1qa*, *Aif1*, *Cx3cr1*, *Flt1*, *Pecam1*

significance
- down
- NS
- up

Satellite Glial Cells, Non-myelinating Schwann Cells, Myelinating Schwann Cells, Neurons, Fibroblasts, Mural Cells, Macrophages, Vascular Endothelial Cells

E *Ednra*

F *Ednrb*

G

Satellite Glial Cells, Non-myelinating Schwann Cells, Myelinating Schwann Cells, Neurons, Fibroblasts, Mural Cells, Macrophages, Vascular Endothelial Cells

Fabp7, *Scn7a*, *Ncmap*, *Prph*, *Col1a1*, *Rgs5*, *C1qa*, *Pecam1*, *Edn1*, *Edn3*, *Ednra*, *Ednrb*

% Exp.
- 25
- 50
- 75

Avg. Exp.

H

Ednrb(RNA scope)/*Fabp7*(RNAscope)/DAPI

Ednrb | *Fabp7* | Merge
50 μm

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

A Oral Gavage: Vehicle or Ambrisentan or Bosentan

12 weeks-old (C57BL/6)

2 hrs, SNC, 24 hrs, Sacrifice

B SNC 1 d.p.i.

10 longest axons (µm) — Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan
>0.9999, <0.0001

C SNC 1 d.p.i.

50% regeneration index — Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan
>0.9999, 0.0003

D SNC 1 d.p.i. — SCG10

Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan — 200 µm

E SNC 1 d.p.i.

SCG10 intensity normalized to crush site (%) vs Distance from injury site (µm)

Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan

0.1450, 0.0028, 0.0065, <0.0001, <0.0001, 0.0006, 0.0017, 0.0002, 0.0120, 0.1441, 0.2088, 0.8508

F *in vivo* treatment — Oral Gavage Vehicle or Ambrisentan or Bosentan

in vitro purified neuronal culture

12 weeks-old (C57BL/6)
2 hrs, 24 hrs, 24 hrs, 24 hrs, 24 hrs
SNC, Sacrifice, Fix

G No injury

Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan — 100 µm

Injury

Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan — 100 µm

H No injury | Injury

Axon radial length (µm)

No injury: >0.9999, < 0.0001, < 0.0001
Injury: 0.2481, < 0.0001, < 0.0001

Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan

I No injury | Injury

TUJ1⁺ area (µm²)

No injury: 0.2577, 0.0274, < 0.0001
Injury: >0.9999, < 0.0001, < 0.0001

Vehicle, Ambrisentan, Bosentan

Fig. 4

A Harvest DRG
24 hrs · Vehicle or BQ788 · 7 days
21 Mo old (C57BL/6) NGF · Fix and Stain

B Vehicle · BQ788 · 1000 μm

C Explant
Radial length (μm)
0.0033
0.0893
<0.0001
Veh/Adult · Veh/Aged · Bosentan/Aged

D SCG10
Adult/Vehicle · Aged/Vehicle · Aged/Bosentan · 500 μm

E SNC 3 d.p.i
10 longest axons (μm)
>0.9999
<0.0001
<0.0001
Veh/Adult · Veh/Aged · Bosentan/Aged

F SNC 3 d.p.i
50% regeneration index
>0.9999
0.0441
0.0166
Veh/Adult · Veh/Aged · Bosentan/Aged

G SNC 3 d.p.i
SCG10 intensity normalized to crush site (%)
Distance from injury site (μm)
Adult+Vehicle · Aged+Vehicle · Aged+Bosentan · n.s.
<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0147 0.0023
<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 0.0119 0.0008 0.0146

Fig. 5

A Mouse DRG (scRNA seq)

Gja1

UMAP_2
UMAP_1

B Human DRG (snRNA seq)

Gja1(Cx43) expression

Donor

Classifications
- Connective tissue
- Endothelial
- Macrophages
- Mesenchymal
- mySC
- nmSC
- SGC
- Smooth muscle
- T-cells
- N/A

Summary
- Donor 1
- Donor 2
- Donor 3
- Donor 4
- Donor 5

C Average Gene Expression

	2 Mo	12 Mo	21 Mo
Gja1	0.595	0.216	0.283
Gjb2	0.029	0	0.003
Gjb6	0.029	0	0
Gjc1	0.253	0.309	0.377

D

Oral Gavage
Vehicle
or Bosentan

2 hrs | 24 hrs | 24 hrs | 24 hrs

3 months-old (Adult)
21 months-old (Aged)

SNC
Sacrifice

E

CX43/FABP7 expression area (%)

>0.9999
0.0335
>0.9999   0.0379   0.1172

Adult	Aged	Veh	Bosentan	Veh	Bosentan
Uninjured		Adult		Aged	
		SNC (injured)			

F

Cx43/FABP7⁺ Cell

<0.0001
<0.0001
<0.0001   <0.0001   <0.0001

Adult	Aged	Veh	Bosentan	Veh	Bosentan
Uninjured		Adult		Aged	
		SNC (injured)			

G

	Uninjured		SNC (injured)			
	Adult	Aged	Adult+Vehicle	Adult+Bosentan	Aged+Vehicle	Aged + Bosentan

FABP7/Connexin 43

Connexin 43

50 µm

Fig. 6

A

| Adult (2M) | Mid-Age (12M) | Aged (21M) |

5 μm

B Avg Width of SGC per Neuron (μm)

<0.0001
0.0138
0.0002

2 Mo   12 Mo   21 Mo

C % of Total Neurons

2 Mo   12 Mo   21 Mo

SGC Nucei per Neuron
0
1
2
3

D

UMAP_2

UMAP_1

3M (16923)   12M (602)   21M (573)

E Upregulated Genes

12M vs. 3M   812   *21M vs. 3M*
1165   956

F Downregulated Genes

12M vs. 3M   3174   *21M vs. 3M*
879   794

G *Count*

Upregulated KEGG Pathways

Ribosome	48
Coronavirus disease	51
Rap1 signaling pathway	22
Leukocyte transendothelial migration	14
Salivary secretion	10

-Log10(p-value)
0   5   10   15   20   25   30   35

H *Count*

Downregulated KEGG Pathways

Peroxisome	39
Fatty acid metabolism	30
Fatty acid degradation	23
Steroid biosynthesis	12
Fatty acid elongation	14
Protein export	14
Cholesterol metabolism	19
Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	23
Nucleotide excision repair	20
Sphingolipid signaling pathway	34
Fluid shear stress and atherosclerosis	37
ECM-receptor interaction	25
Focal adhesion	47
Axon guidance	42
PPAR signaling pathway	24

-Log10(p-value)
0   2   4   6   8   10   12