1   **DnaA modulates gene expression and morphology**

2   **of the Lyme Disease spirochete**

Andrew C. Krusenstjerna[1], Nerina Jusufovic[1], Timothy C. Saylor[1], Brian Stevenson[1,2]

1. Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of

Kentucky College of Medicine, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

2. Department of Entomology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA

Address for correspondence:

Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics

University of Kentucky, College of Medicine

Lexington, Ky. 40536-0278

Email: brian.stevenson@uky.edu

Phone: 1-859-257-9358

ABSTRACT.

All bacteria encode a multifunctional DNA-binding protein, DnaA, which initiates

chromosomal replication. Despite having the most complex, segmented bacterial genome, little is

known about *Borrelia burgdorferi* DnaA and its role in maintaining the spirochete's physiology.

We utilized inducible CRISPR-interference and overexpression to modulate cellular levels of

DnaA to better understand this essential protein. Dysregulation of DnaA, either up or down,

significantly slowed replication and increased or decreased cell lengths. Using fluorescent

microscopy, we found the DnaA CRISPRi mutants had increased numbers of chromosomes with

irregular spacing patterns. The DnaA-depleted spirochetes also exhibited a significant defect in

helical morphology. RNA-seq of the conditional mutants showed significant changes in the levels

of transcripts involved with flagellar synthesis, elongation, cell division, virulence, and other

functions. These findings demonstrate that the DnaA plays a commanding role in maintaining

borrelial growth dynamics and protein expression, which are essential for the survival of the Lyme

disease spirochete.

32   **Introduction.**

33   Replication plays a significant role in the enzootic lifecycle of *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the

34   Lyme disease spirochete. An obligate extracellular bacterial parasite, *B. burgdorferi* exclusively

35   colonizes Ixodid ticks and assorted vertebrates (1, 2). Naïve tick larvae acquire the Lyme bacteria

36   when they feed on an infected host. After this single blood meal, the larvae drop off, overwinter,

37   and molt to their nymphal stage. During this time, *B. burgdorferi* persists within the nutrient-

38   deplete tick midgut. Once the Ixodid nymph emerges and takes its blood meal, the spirochetes

39   obtain the requisite materials to replicate, divide, and disseminate from the tick to the vertebrate

40   host (3, 4).

41   The highly conserved AAA+ family protein DnaA initiates the replication of bacterial

42   chromosomes (5-7). DnaA monomers recognize the *oriC* and cooperatively multimerize to form a

43   helical structure. The DnaA filament promotes the separation of AT-rich DNA elements, which

44   recruits helicase and replication machinery. DnaA not only initiates chromosomal replication, but

45   it binds elsewhere in the genome to regulate gene expression (8, 9). We recently found the DnaA

46   of *B. burgdorferi* directly regulates the *dnaX-ebfC* operon, which codes for the Tau subunit of

47   DNA polymerase III holoenzyme, DnaX, and a regulatory nucleoid-associated protein, EbfC (10).

48   These genes are highly expressed during periods of rapid spirochete replication (10-12). At the

49   tick-vertebrate interface, we hypothesize that the *B. burgdorferi* DnaA not only commits to its

50   replication initiation function but coordinates the expression of genes needed for vertebrate

51   infection (10, 13, 14).

52   Replication of the *B. burgdorferi* linear chromosome proceeds bidirectionally from the

53   centrally-located *oriC* (15). Outside of this, little is known about borrelial DNA replication, its

54   regulation, or coordination with other cellular processes. Many of the genes involved in those

pathways are essential, which has hampered their investigation. Historically, studying essential

loci required the development or isolation of conditional mutants. The first studies into DnaA

utilized temperature-sensitive mutants (16). In the era of molecular genetics, many tools have been

developed to produce conditional phenotypes more readily, such as inducible promoters.

Overexpression has been a reliable means of elucidating a protein's function in *B. burgdorferi* and

other bacteria (14, 17, 18). Recently, inducible CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) systems have been

developed and added to the repertoire of tools for studying borrelial biology (19, 20). This work

will describe the consequence of CRISPRi-mediated knockdown of the essential replication

initiator protein DnaA in *B. burgdorferi*. Using this approach, coupled with overexpression, we

observed profound consequences of DnaA-dysregulation on spirochete morphology, chromosome

partitioning, and gene expression.

RESULTS.

Construction and validation of plasmids to dysregulate levels of DnaA in *B.*

***burgdorferi*.** DnaA is essential for initiating chromosomal replication, so deleting DnaA is lethal

to bacteria. Thus, to gain insight into the impacts of DnaA on borrelial physiology, we produced

IPTG-inducible constructs to reduce or elevate cellular levels of DnaA. Knockdown was carried

out using the newly refined all-in-one CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) shuttle vector, which

places the expression of both the sgRNA and a borrelial codon-optimized dCas9 gene under the

control of the Lac repressor (20). We designed two CRISPRi constructs with sgRNAs targeting

either the template (*dnaA*$_{T1}$) or non-template (*dnaA*$_{NT1}$) DNA strands directly 5' or within the *dnaA*

gene (**Fig. 1A**). Overexpression was achieved using plasmid pACK121, which contains an

inducible *dnaA* with an N-terminal 3xFLAG tag. All constructs were transformed into *B.*

burgdorferi strain B31-e2. The spirochetes tolerated each construct well, replicating at rates

comparable to the parental strain without the inducer (**Fig. 1B**), with one exception. The *dnaA*$_{T1}$

CRISPRi strain replicated slightly slower than the others, although it reached the same final density

as the others. This may suggest leakiness of the hybrid *lac* promoter that controls the sgRNA and

dCas9 gene (B. Murphy & W. Zückert, personal communication).

To assess the efficacy of these inducible constructs, spirochetes of each strain were grown

to mid-exponential growth phase ($3\text{-}5\times10^{7}$ cells/ml), then incubated overnight with 0.5 mM IPTG.

The *dnaA*-targeting CRISPRi strains decreased the expression of DnaA, with the construct

targeting the template strand, *dnaA*$_{T1}$, consistently yielding the most effective gene silencing (**Fig.**

1C and **D**). The potency of the *dnaA*$_{T1}$ construct likely explains the slowed spirochete growth seen

in the absence of IPTG (**Fig. 1B**). Overexpression resulted in elevated quantities of DnaA protein

and transcript (**Fig. 1C** and **D**). Neither the CRISPRi empty vector (EV) nor the parental e2 control

strains yielded changes in DnaA levels when IPTG was added, demonstrating that we could

specifically and effectively alter cellular DnaA concentrations.

Proper DnaA expression is essential for borrelial growth. Given its essential nature for

chromosomal replication, we first sought to evaluate the consequence of DnaA dysregulation on

B. burgdorferi growth and cell division. To achieve this, all strains were grown to mid-exponential

phase and passaged into fresh media with 0.5 mM of IPTG at a density of $1x10^5$ cells/ml. Cultures

were counted every day for seven days to generate growth curves.

CRISPRi knockdown of DnaA levels substantially reduced the generation time and

carrying capacity of *B. burgdorferi* (**Fig. 2A** and **B**). The severity of these phenotypes was

consistent with the knockdown efficacy of the two constructs, with $dnaA_{NT1}$ cultures reaching a

maximum density that was one log lower and $dnaA_{T1}$ cultures maxing out two logs lower than the

controls. These data suggest that reducing the cellular concentration of DnaA directly limits the

number of division cycles, which is consistent with DnaA's role as the chromosomal replication

initiator.

Overproducing DnaA slowed growth during the first four days post-inoculation (dpi),

growing at a rate similar to the $dnaA_{T1}$ culture (**Fig. 2A** and **B,** early). After that time, however,

cultures consistently resumed logarithmic growth, yielding generation times that were

indistinguishable from the parental strain (**Fig. 2B**, late). We hypothesized this abrupt resumption

of growth was due to mutations in the inducible *dnaA* plasmid that eliminated overexpression. To

test this, we passaged spirochetes that had resumed growth into fresh media with IPTG to see if

growth would still be perturbed. Consistent with our hypothesis, these "conditioned" bacteria grew

at rates that were similar to the background strain (**Fig. 2C**), and induction of the 3xFLAG tagged

DnaA did not occur (**Fig. 2D**). To further test our hypothesis, we extracted the DnaA

overexpression plasmid from the *B. burgdorferi* cultures and transformed them into *E. coli*. We

sequenced purified plasmids from two colonies derived from the same induced borrelial culture.

Each clone had unique mutations within the *dnaA* ORF that truncated the full-length protein (**Fig.**

2E). Notably, both mutations resulted in the complete loss of domain IV, the DNA-binding domain

of DnaA. Plasmid isolated from *B. burgdorferi,* that had never been exposed to IPTG, did not have

any mutations within the inducible *dnaA*. These escape mutants, taken with the growth dynamics,

highlight the stressful nature of *dnaA* overexpression, which has consistently been observed in

other bacterial organisms (21-23).

Unlike the overexpression strain, we never observed a resumption of growth in the induced

dnaA CRISPRi strains. Furthermore, normal growth was attainable from knocked-down cultures

after passing spirochetes into fresh media without IPTG. These collective data demonstrate that

precisely controlled levels of DnaA are required for optimal borrelial growth *in vitro.*

DnaA affects spirochete cell division/elongation. Chromosomal replication is intimately

tied to cellular elongation and division (24-26). Having found that the conditional DnaA mutants

had replication defects, we also sought to assess the impact of DnaA dysregulation on *B.*

burgdorferi cell length. Over seven days, we imaged and measured spirochetes. In the parental e2

cultures, we observed a consistent pattern wherein median cell length peaked during early

exponential phase, followed by a steady decrease, then stabilization once the cultures reached

stationary phase (**Fig. 3A**, **3E,** and **3I**).

These findings sharply contrast with what we observed in the CRISPRi knockdown strains,

where the spirochetes steadily maintained median lengths of 30-40 µm (**Fig. 3B-C**, **3F**, **3H**, and

3I); the parental strain had median lengths that spanned from 24-32 µm. The ranges (maximum-

minimum) of the cell lengths within these knockdown strains (147 μm, *dnaA*T1; 134 μm, *dnaA*NT1)

were greater than that of the overexpression (77 μm) and parental (101 μm) bacteria.

When DnaA was overexpressed, the median cell length decreased after 2 dpi but gradually

increased to its peak at 5 dpi (**Fig. 3D**, **3H**, and **3J**). As the overexpressed cultures approached

peak densities, the cell lengths decreased. This oscillation in spirochete length aligned with the

observed growth pattern of this mutant, where slowed replication corresponds to cells of shorter

size and rapid replication to longer. This suggests that high levels of DnaA can diminish borrelial

cell length. Overall, these results demonstrate that DnaA plays a role in maintaining *B. burgdorferi*

cell elongation and/or division and that maintenance of proper DnaA levels is critical to these

processes.

Impact of DnaA on ploidy and partitioning. *B. burgdorferi* is polyploid during

exponential growth *in vitro* (27). As DnaA is the master initiator of chromosomal replication and

affects *B. burgdorferi* morphology, we hypothesized the ploidy and partitioning of the

chromosomes could be altered when DnaA levels are depleted. To test this, we transformed the

parental e2 and *dnaA*T1 strains with a construct expressing the chromosomal ParB protein fused to

mCherry (pBSV2G_P$_{0826}$-mCherry$_{Bb}$-ParB), which has been demonstrated to bind near the *oriC*

(27). We first grew the two strains to mid-exponential phase without IPTG and measured the length

and ParB-*oriC* puncta per cell. The parental bacteria were smaller than those of the uninduced

*dnaA*T1, consistent with our prior observations. Yet, both strains had similar numbers of ParB-*oriC*

(**Fig. 4**). After taking these measurements, the *dnaA*T1 culture was split in half, and IPTG was

added to one of the cultures. The parental, uninduced, and induced strains were then incubated and

measured for three more days.

On the first day post-induction, as the cultures entered stationary phase ($\geq 1\times 10^8$ cells/ml),

all strains experienced a dramatic decline in the total ParB-*oriC* puncta per cell, consistent with

prior observations (27). Over the next two days, the number of these continued to decrease in the

parental and uninduced cultures, whereas the induced culture plateaued and ended with

significantly more ParB-*oriC* puncta. Furthermore, the induced cell lengths, while longer than the

parental, were the same as the uninduced cells, indicating the difference in puncta wasn't simply

due to differences in cell length. With this, we concluded that depleting DnaA impairs the

completion of borrelial chromosomal replication and cell division.

In addition to differences in the number of ParB-*oriC* puncta in the *dnaA*-conditional

mutant, we also noted an apparent difference in their spacing (**Fig. 5A-B**) relative to the parental

strain (**Fig. 5C**). Some cells had wide gaps between the foci, while others had foci that were close

together. We assessed the cultures two- and three-days post-induction and found about 23.7% of

parental e2 cells had irregular puncta spacing. The *dnaA*T1 CRISPRi strains, in contrast, had

significantly greater proportions of 53.8% and 55.3% of spirochetes with irregular spacing without

and with added IPTG, respectively (**Fig. 5D**). Thus, knocking down DnaA also perturbs

chromosomal partitioning.

Dysregulation of DnaA disrupts flagellar homeostasis. Depletion of DnaA also resulted

in *B. burgdorferi* cells with aberrant helicity. Specifically, we observed spirochetes with a

complete or partial loss of their characteristic corkscrew shape (**Fig. 6A** and **B**, respectively). Some

of these tube-shaped cells were substantially elongated and showed evidence of incomplete

division (**Fig. 6C** and **Supplemental Video**). About 13% of the spirochetes in the *dnaA*T1-induced

cultures and 8.7% of the uninduced exhibited these abnormal morphologies (**Fig. 6D**). The parental

and empty vector strains, independent of IPTG, did not exhibit such elevated proportions of these

cells, indicating that the observed helical abnormalities were due to targeted silencing of the *dnaA*

gene. The high level of abnormal cells in the uninduced *dnaA*$_{T1}$ cultures, relative to the controls,

can again be explained by leaky expression of the *dnaA* sgRNA encoded in the CRISPRi construct.

The characteristic corkscrew appearance of *B. burgdorferi* is due to the 7-11 flagella found

in the periplasm (28). The motors that direct the movement of the endoflagella are normally

localized to the bacterium's poles (29). The observed impacts on growth and partitioning suggest

coordination between replication and flagellar assembly at the sites of division. A connection

between DnaA and flagellar regulation has been observed in *E. coli* (30, 31).

DnaA is a global regulator of borrelial gene expression. DnaA is well known to be a

transcription factor in other bacteria, with regulons driving specific phenotypes (9). We have

previously demonstrated that the *B. burgdorferi* DnaA can control the expression of the *dnaX-ebfC*

operon (10). Considering this and the dramatic impacts of DnaA dysregulation on the Lyme

spirochete, we queried whether the myriad phenotypes of the conditional mutants are due to DnaA-

dependent transcriptional effects. To address this question, we performed RNA-seq on three strains

with different levels of DnaA enrichment: wild-type (e2), DnaA-up (e2 + pACK121), and DnaA-

down (e2 + *dnaA*$_{T1}$). All the strains had the plasmids cp26, lp17, lp54, cp32-1, cp32-3, cp32-4,

and cp32-7, as assessed by PCR and whole genome sequencing. The bacteria for RNA-seq analysis

were grown to mid-exponential phase, induced with 0.5 mM IPTG, and incubated overnight. We

chose this strategy to mitigate the chance of escape-mutant development from confounding the

results.

For the analyses of the RNA-seq data, comparisons were made between the three groups.

We considered a gene to be differentially expressed if the false discovery rate (FDR) was less than

or equal to 0.05 and had a log$_2$FC (fold change) of ≥ 1 or ≤ -1. With these thresholds, 245 genes

were noted as being differentially expressed between the wild-type and DnaA-down cultures (79

down, 166 up; **Fig. 7A**), 88 genes between WT and DnaA-up (40 down, 48 up; **Fig. 7B**), and 283

between DnaA-down and DnaA-up (108 down, 175 up; **Fig. 7C**). Principle component analysis

showed that the replicates of each strain clustered together (**Fig. 7D**). Most of the genes that were

differentially expressed are encoded on the chromosome: DnaA-down/e2: 61.6%; DnaA-up/e2:

68.2% (**Fig. 7E**). Of the plasmids, the circular cp32-7 replicon had the most impacted genes for

the DnaA-down/e2 and DnaA-up/e2 comparisons, 12.2% and 6.8%, respectively (**Fig. 7E**).

As expected, compared to the e2 parent, *dnaA* transcript was about 2.8-fold less abundant

in the CRISPRi strain and 15-fold more abundant in the overexpression strain, qRT-PCR validated

this pattern. Using *ftsK* for normalization, about 17-fold less *dnaA* transcript was detected in the

knockdown strain vs WT, and there was about 33-fold more in the overexpression (**Fig. 7E**). Since

ftsK was also impacted by *dnaA* dysregulation (discussed below), we normalized to *rpoB*, which

did not change under these conditions. Using this approach, *dnaA* transcript was 80-fold less

abundant in the knockdown vs. the WT and 16-fold more abundant in the overexpression vs the

WT (**Fig. 7E**). DnaA protein levels were about half as abundant in the knockdown and 13 times

more in overexpression than the WT (**Fig. 10B**).

The transcripts of many genes were most affected when *dnaA* levels were reduced. We

initially hypothesized that transcriptomic profiles between the conditional mutants relative to the

parental strain would reciprocally mirror each other. Only two genes fit this pattern: *dnaA*, which

was expected, and BB_0413 (2.2-fold increase for *dnaA*$_{T1}$/e2; 2.4-fold decrease for 121/e2).

Indeed, in many cases, transcript levels for a gene would experience the same degree of change

regardless of whether DnaA was increased or decreased. Such instances suggested that some of

the observed changes in gene expression were not due to DnaA itself but rather a shared cellular

response to the change in WT DnaA levels. Despite some of these complications, the RNA-seq

data set offered interesting insights into the phenotypes observed in the conditional *dnaA*-mutant

strains.

The earliest interrogations into borrelial DnaA focused on its function as a transcription

factor (10, 14). Consistent with our prior *in vitro* studies, knocking down *dnaA* significantly

decreased transcription of the *dnaX-ebfC* operon (10). This operon codes for the τ-subunit of the

DNA polymerase III holoenzyme (DnaX) and the nucleoid-associated protein, EbfC. Other

impacted replication genes included the DNA polymerase III β-clamp (*dnaN*), DNA polymerase I

(*polA*), DNA topoisomerase IV (*parEC*), and telomere resolvase (*resT*). Except for *dnaN*, these

genes were downregulated when *dnaA* levels were decreased. The upregulation of *dnaN*, seen

when comparing DnaA-Up to DnaA-down conditions, suggests that DnaA represses the

expression of this gene. This possibility is unsurprising given that the *oriC* is directly 5' of the

dnaN ORF, and we have shown that DnaA binds this region (10).

In the *dnaA*-knockdown *B. burgdorferi*, we observed cells that were considerably

elongated. In our evaluation of the borrelial genome, we identified 22 homologs of genes that

encode components of either the bacterial elongasome or divisome (**Table 1**). Of these, 39.1%

were differentially expressed in the *dnaA*$_{T1}$ CRISPRi strain (**Fig. 8A**). Among these were *mreB*,

mreC, *mreD,* and *mrdA*, which constitute an apparent operon and encode core components of the

bacterial elongasome (**Fig. 8B**). MreB is an actin-like cytoskeleton protein that polymerizes across

the bacterial inner membrane to regulate the spatiotemporal peptidoglycan synthesis of growing

cells (32-34). Knockdown of MreB in *B. burgdorferi* results in bulging and cell widening at the

division sites (19). MreC and MreD are membrane-embedded proteins that interact and regulate

the peptidoglycan crosslinking activity of PBP2 (penicillin-binding protein 2; MrdA) (35). The

peptidoglycan polymerase RodA and PBP1a interact with PBP2, with the former stimulating its

activity. Except for *mreD*, the genes in the apparent *mre* operon were downregulated in *dnaA*-

deficient *B. burgdorferi*. A DNA sequence that is the same as those found in the *oriC*, which may

constitute the borrelial DnaA-box, is located upstream of the *mreB* ORF, suggesting DnaA may

directly regulate the expression of these genes. The decreased transcript levels for *mreB*, *mreC*,

and *mrdA* would suggest decreased elongation, which is the opposite of what we observed (**Fig.**

3). This apparent contradiction suggests that filamentation may be due to defects in cell division.

The transcripts of four important divisome proteins were impacted when *dnaA* was

knocked down: FtsA, FtsK, FtsE, and FtsX (**Fig. 8A** and **B**). FtsA is the membrane anchor protein

for the septation marker protein FtsZ (36, 37), and its transcript increased about 2-fold in the

conditional *dnaA* mutant. Interestingly, DnaA-overexpressed *B. burgdorferi* also had 2-fold

increased levels of *ftsA* transcript. Transcripts for FtsK, the DNA translocase, declined 2-fold. FtsE

and FtsX, encoded next to each other, had their transcripts decreased 2-fold and increased almost

3-fold, respectively. Interestingly, a second copy of *ftsX*, which is truncated, is located directly

upstream of *ftsEX,* and transcript levels of this gene were substantially affected, with a 187-fold

increase. Like the *mre* locus, DnaA could regulate these genes directly as there are potential DnaA-

boxes adjacent to these loci.

In the conditional *dnaA*-knockdown *B. burgdorferi,* we observed spirochetes that had lost

their helical structure and hypothesized these cells had disrupted flagella. The *B. burgdorferi*

genome encodes nearly three dozen flagellar genes (**Fig. 9A**). When *dnaA* was knocked down,

five of these genes were impacted: *fliQ*, *fliR*, *flgD*, *flgV*, and *flgA* (**Fig. 9B***)*. All these genes except

flgA are encoded in the *flgB* superoperon. FliQ and FliR are components of the flagellar export

apparatus (38). FlgD is a scaffolding protein that is required for flagellar hook formation (39, 40).

FlgV is a protein that was first annotated as an Hfq homolog, but recent work has demonstrated

the protein localizes with the flagellar motor and modulates its assembly (41, 42). FlgA is a

chaperone involved in the formation of the P-ring of the flagellar motor (43). The transcripts for

fliR and *flgD* increased the most of these genes, about 15 and 4-fold, respectively, when DnaA

levels were reduced. The changes in expression of these genes could perhaps alter flagellar

assembly homeostasis and explain the observed abnormalities in helicity in the *dnaA*-deficient

spirochetes. It is also possible that septation defects disrupted the localization and assembly of

flagella.

In addition to affecting genes for essential cellular processes, DnaA appears to regulate the

expression of outer surface proteins involved in Lyme pathogenesis, such as ErpA, ErpM, decorin

binding protein DbpA, and OspC (**Fig. 7A-C** and **Fig. 10E-F**). The Erps are multifunctional

adhesins that bind vertebrate host factors laminin, plasminogen, and complement proteins (44-49).

Interestingly, *erpA* and *erpM* transcripts increased in the *dnaA* knockdown and overexpression

conditions (**Fig. 10E-F**). While the increase in transcript didn't correspond to significantly

increased ErpA protein levels, ErpM increased 2-fold in the DnaA-knockdown condition (**Fig.**

10B-D)

The *erp* genes are encoded on the cp32 plasmids and have conserved operators that allow

for uniform regulation (50). The Erps are turned on when the tick begins to feed, a time of rapid

borrelial replication, and remain on during vertebrate infection (51). Three proteins bind the *erp*

operators and regulate their expression: BpaB (Borrelial cp32 ParB analog), BpuR, and EbfC.

BpaB represses *erp* transcription along with the co-repressor BpuR (**Fig. 10A**) (52-54). EbfC is

the antirepressor that antagonizes BpaB to allow for *erp* transcription (53). DnaA is thought to

regulate the Erps by activating EbfC and repressing BpuR (10, 13, 14). EbfC transcript and protein

levels decreased when *dnaA* was knocked down, consistent with that model (**Fig. 10B** and **E**).

BpuR transcript, however, did not significantly change, but its protein levels appeared to decline

with a decrease in cellular DnaA concentrations (**Fig. 10B** and **E**). BpuR alone does not repress

erp expression, so this cannot account for the increase in ErpA and ErpM. Thus, we decided to

look at levels of the *erp* repressor. Despite the lack of a change in the transcript, immunoblots

showed a decrease in BpaB protein levels in the *dnaA* CRISPRi *B. burgdorferi*, which can explain

the increase in Erp expression (**Fig. 10B, C,** and **E**). These results demonstrate that DnaA not only

affects the expression of genes involved in basic cellular processes but also those involved in

maintaining the Lyme spirochete's infection cycle.

METHODS AND MATERIALS.

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and genetic manipulations. Studies were conducted using

the readily transformable *B. burgdorferi* clonal strain B31-e2 (55). Spirochetes were grown in

liquid Barbour-Stoener-Kelly II (BSK-II) medium supplemented with 6% rabbit serum (v/v) at 35

ºC. For all experiments, *B. burgdorferi* was passed from mid-exponential phase ($3\text{-}5 \times 10^7$ cells/ml)

into fresh BSK-II medium. Cultures were only used if they were at least two passages away and

no more than three from the initial stock. Borrelial culture densities were enumerated using a

Petroff-Hauser counting chamber and dark-field microscopy.

The *dnaA* overexpression (pACK121) and CRISPRi plasmids (pACK136, *dnaA*$_{T1}$;

pACK138, *dnaA*$_{NT1}$) were generated by GenScript. The pACK121 plasmid is a derivative of

pJSB268 (17). The plasmid was designed to replace the *luc* gene with the *B. burgdorferi dnaA*

gene containing an N-terminal 3xFLAG epitope. The pACK136 (*dnaA*$_{T1}$) and pACK137

(*dnaA*$_{NT1}$) plasmids are derivatives of pJJW101 (20). These plasmids were created by inserting the

desired sgRNA sequence between the BsaI sequences. The sgRNA targets used in this study were

chosen using CRISPy-web (https://crispy.secondarymetabolites.org) and B31 chromosome contig

(NC_001318). All plasmids were sequenced before use.

Growth curve analysis. Growth curves for each strain were generated from three

independent experiments. Generation times were calculated in Microsoft Excel by fitting an

exponential curve to the data points denoting the beginning and end of logarithmic growth.

Statistically significant differences were determined by one-way ANOVA.

Immunoblot analyses. Polyclonal DnaA antibodies were generated by Thermo Scientific

in rabbits using recombinant GST-DnaA (10). The serum from the final bleed was purified by

affinity purification using MBP-DnaA on an amylose column. Murine monoclonal anti-FlaB

antibodies were used to assess the even loading of SDS-PAGE gels (56). Cells for immunoblot

were pelleted and washed three times with 1x PBS, pH 7.4. Approximately 10^7 spirochetes were

loaded per lane for SDS-PAGE. Goat anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Scientific) and Goat

anti-Mouse IRDye800 (Licor) were used as secondary antibodies. Densitometric analyses were

performed using ImageLab software (BioRad). The intensities of the bands of interest were

normalized to the corresponding loading control.

Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Bacteria were washed three times

with PBS (pH 7.4) before RNA extraction using the Qiagen Mini RNA kit. Genomic DNA was

cleared by on-column DNase treatment. RNA was reverse transcribed using iScript gDNA Clear

cDNA synthesis kits (Bio-Rad). Quantitative PCR was conducted using iTaq Universal SYBR

Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and Bio-Rad CFX96 Touch Real-time PCR thermocycler. The primer

sets used for this study were designed using the IDT Primer Quest Tool

(https://www.idtdna.com/PrimerQuest/Home/Index). Cq values were normalized to *ftsK* or *rpoB*

(ΔCq) and then to the parental strain or uninduced control (ΔΔCq). Fold expression was

determined using the function $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$. If ΔΔCq > 0 then the function $-2^{\Delta\Delta Cq}$ was used.

Cell length analysis. Wet mounts of live bacteria were visualized by dark-field with an

Olympus Bx51 microscope. Micrographs were taken using a C-mounted Accu-scope Excelis HD

camera. Cell lengths were determined using Captavision+ software. A conversion factor of 13.80

pixels/μm was used for all measurements. For each replicate and time point, approximately 50

spirochetes were measured.

Fluorescence microscopy and image analysis. Spirochetes were pelleted by

centrifugation, washed twice with PBS (pH 7.4), and diluted to a final concentration of 1 x 10^5

cells/ml. Ten microliters of cells were mounted on a glass slide. Cells were visualized using an

Olympus Bx51 microscope with a Cool LED p-E300 illumination system. Micrographs of dark-

field and red fluorescence were captured for each field of view using a C-mounted Accu-scope

Excelis HD camera. Micrographs were merged in ImageJ, and the background was inverted using

EZreverse with the HSL color space option (57).

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and analysis. The parental *B. burgdorferi* e2, *dnaA*$_{T1}$

CRISPRi, and *dnaA*-overexpression strains were used for RNA-seq. All strains were grown in

duplicate to mid-exponential growth phase (3-5 x 10^7 cells/ml) and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG

overnight at 35 ºC. The cells were pelleted and washed two times with PBS (pH 7.4) and frozen at

-80 ºC. The cells were sent to SeqCenter for RNA extraction and sequencing using their

intermediate RNA analysis with replicates (Prokaryotic) service. Briefly, their method consisted

of quality control and adapter trimming by bclconvert (version 4.1.5) and mapping reads using

HISAT2 (version 2.2.0). The read counts were uploaded into R (version 4.0.2) and normalized

using the edgeR Trimmed Mean of M values (TMM) algorithm (1.14.5).

We further filtered the data set by setting the thresholds for differential expression at

log$_2$FC $\geq$ 1 or $\leq$ -1 and an FDR < 0.5. Volcano plots were made using VolcaNoseR (58). The

heatmap was generated using SRPlot and the normalized read counts for genes with significant

differential expression (59).

To identify flagellar, cell division, and elongation homologs, the genome was directly

searched for annotations, or the sequences of characterized proteins from other bacteria were

aligned by BLAST against the *B. burgdorferi* genome.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we sought to understand the roles of DnaA in controlling the physiology of

B. burgdorferi. To do this, we utilized inducible CRISPRi and overexpression vectors.

Overexpressing DnaA was toxic to the bacteria and was consistently overcome by mutations in

the inducible *dnaA*. This, along with the difference in DnaA levels from overexpression vs.

knockdown, 13-fold DnaA increase vs. 2-fold decrease, likely explains some of the confounding

results we encountered. Nevertheless, increasing DnaA levels yielded some interesting

phenotypes, such as decreased spirochete size. CRISPRi was the more reliable and effective means

of producing conditional *dnaA* mutants in *B. burgdorferi*. This approach targeting *dnaA* has been

successfully described in *E. coli*, *Lactobacillus plantarum,* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (60-62).

An attempt was made in *Pseudomonas putida,* but clones couldn't be recovered (63).

We found that lowering the cellular levels of DnaA profoundly reduced growth, consistent

with the protein's function as the chromosomal replication initiator. The reduction of DnaA also

coincided with decreased expression of many essential replication genes. It is known that one of

these genes, *dnaX*, is directly regulated by DnaA (10). This suggests that DnaA may directly

regulate those other genes, or *B. burgdorferi* may have mechanisms to sense replication initiation

and coordinate gene expression accordingly.

DnaA-deficient spirochetes also increased their length. The bacterial cell cycle is typically

divided into three phases: B, C, and D (64). The B and D phases correspond to the <u>b</u>irth and

<u>d</u>ivision of the bacteria, respectively. The C phase corresponds to everything in between, namely,

<u>c</u>hromosomal replication, but elongation/growth as well. Replication is initiated by DnaA and then

carried out by the replisome. Elongation is facilitated by the elongasome, which synthesizes

peptidoglycan to allow for cell growth. The DnaA-depleted spirochetes, although considerably

longer than the parental strain, had overall decreased expression of elongasome genes, the *mre*

locus in particular. This suggested a division issue, but we cannot rule out the possibility that

DnaA is required for cross-talk between replication and cell growth. Indeed, it is likely that

replication, elongation, and division are all interconnected. Lyme and relapsing *Borreliae* elongate

at three distinct zones along their length: 1/4, 1/2, and 3/4 (65). This localization pattern at the

mid-cell suggests an interplay between elongation and division machinery. How or if DnaA

directly plays into this delicate balance remains to be assessed.

Many bacterial cell division proteins are denoted "Fts" for their filamentous phenotypes

caused by temperature-sensitive mutations (66). Knocking down DnaA affected the expression of

ftsA, *ftsEX*, and *ftsK*. The dysregulation of these genes potentially explains both the elongated

phenotype and the irregular spacing of the ParB-*oriC* puncta.

FtsA localizes to the inner leaflet of the inner membrane and binds FtsZ. Increased FtsA,

seen when DnaA was knocked down, could decrease Z-ring assembly and, thus, cytokinesis. In *E.*

coli, overexpression of FtsA causes cells to filament (67). Overexpressed DnaA spirochetes had

elevated *ftsA* transcript, but no changes were observed in *ftsEX*. The FtsEX complex regulates the

activity of peptidoglycan hydrolases and FtsA (68-71). Disruption of FtsE and FtsX levels could,

therefore, prevent the breakdown of peptidoglycan and Z-ring formation at the site of division.

The combined perturbation of *ftsA* and *ftsEX* expression in the *dnaA* CRISPRi strain potentially

explains the observed elongated phenotype.

In addition to changes in spirochete length in the DnaA-depleted *B. burgdorferi*, we

observed abnormal spacing of ParB-*oriC* puncta. These bacteria had decreased transcripts of the

membrane-embedded DNA translocase FtsK, a core component of the divisome (72-74). FtsK,

through its C-terminal αβγ-domain, resolves chromosome dimers and translocates DNA from the

septum to allow for cytokinesis (75-78). A decrease in FtsK could thus result in the failure to

separate sister chromosomes, leading to cells with abnormal ploidy. This could also explain why

some *oriC* sites were located close together in the *dnaA* knockdown strain (**Fig. 5**). FtsK also

recruits downstream divisome proteins through its N-terminal transmembrane domain (73, 79-81).

Therefore, reducing FtsK may limit the recruitment of divisome proteins to the septa.

Motility is perhaps the most important "virulence" factor that *B. burgdorferi* employs to

infect and colonize its vertebrate hosts. By knocking down DnaA in the Lyme spirochete, we

observed a substantial impact on the bacteria's helicity. This corkscrew morphology is due to

periplasmic flagella (28). Key genes, such as FlgD and FliR, were overexpressed when levels of

DnaA were lowered. FliR is a component of the flagellar motor's export apparatus, which

transports flagellar filament substrates from the cytoplasm to the periplasm (38). Disrupting the

balance of this subunit would very likely impair the overall function and structure of the flagellar

motor and filament. By increasing FliR, it is possible that the export of the flagellar cargo to the

periplasmic space would be affected, which in turn would prevent flagella formation. The flagellar

motors are mostly localized to the poles of *B. burgdorferi*. This suggests that replication,

elongation, or division processes may coordinate the formation of flagellar motors at the dividing

mid-cell. In *E. coli*, DnaA regulates genes involved in flagellar assembly (30, 31, 82). Whether

DnaA in *B. burgdorferi* acts through a similar mechanism is unknown.

In addition to the many morphological changes incurred by dysregulation of DnaA, there

were also significant impacts on the expression of vertebrate infection-related outer surface

proteins. Many of these antigenic proteins, such as the Erps, decorin binding proteins DbpA, and

OspC, are turned on during the tick blood meal, a period defined by rapid replication. Relative to

these genes, levels of transcript for the alternative sigma factor RpoS were not significant by

manipulation of DnaA levels. We previously demonstrated that DnaA regulates the *erp*

antirepressor EbfC and may regulate the *erp* co-repressor BpuR (10, 14). With our CRISPRi

approach, we were able to validate our prior data on *dnaX-ebfC* regulation. While we could not

detect any substantial differences in BpuR with DnaA manipulation, this is likely a consequence

of assessing mid-exponential spirochetes grown at 34 ºC; BpuR expression is highest when grown

at 23 ºC (14, 54). When DnaA levels were reduced, expression of ErpA and ErpM increased. We

suggest this could be due to decreased levels of *erp* repressor BpaB. While this was unexpected, it

is logical that a partitioning protein be decreased when *dnaA* transcription is knocked down. This

suggests that these extrachromosomal DNAs can sense changes in chromosomal replication. How

this is mediated remains to be investigated.

Organisms have evolved complex and varied molecular circuits to regulate cellular

homeostasis in ever-changing environments. Bacterial pathogens, especially, have developed such

networks in their tussle against host defenses. During the tick blood meal, *B. burgdorferi* must take

advantage of the nutrients to propagate its numbers, reprogram its transcriptome, and disseminate

to the vertebrate. Failure to do so means death, as *B. burgdorferi* cannot be transmitted vertically

to tick offspring (83). In *B. burgdorferi,* DnaA, the master regulator of replication initiation,

appears to form a complex regulatory network to coordinate these essential processes. Future

studies need to be conducted to determine which of the DnaA-dysregulated effects described here

are due to DnaA itself or a consequence of a lack of initiation of chromosomal replication.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by NIH grant R21 AI147139. We thank Dr. Wolfram Zückert and Bryan

Murphy for their help designing and troubleshooting the CRISPRi shuttle vectors and Dr. Jon

Blevins for providing the pJSB268 shuttle vector. BioRender was used to make the schematics in

Figures 1, 2, 8, 9, and 10.

Works Cited.

1.   Radolf JD, Caimano MJ, Stevenson B, Hu LT. 2012. Of ticks, mice and men: understanding the dual-host lifestyle of Lyme disease spirochaetes. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:87-99.

2.   Steere AC, Strle F, Wormser GP, Hu LT, Branda JA, Hovius JW, Li X, Mead PS. 2016. Lyme borreliosis. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2:16090.

3.   De Silva AM, Fikrig E. 1995. Growth and migration of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in *Ixodes* ticks during blood feeding. Am J Trop Med Hyg 53:397-404.

4.   Piesman J, Oliver JR, Sinsky RJ. 1990. Growth kinetics of the Lyme disease spirochete (*Borrelia burgdorferi*) in vector ticks (*Ixodes dammini*). Am J Trop Med Hyg 42:352-7.

5.   Speck C, Messer W. 2001. Mechanism of origin unwinding: sequential binding of DnaA to double- and single-stranded DNA. EMBO J 20:1469-76.

6.   Kaur G, Vora MP, Czerwonka CA, Rozgaja TA, Grimwade JE, Leonard AC. 2014. Building the bacterial orisome: high-affinity DnaA recognition plays a role in setting the conformation of *oriC* DNA. Mol Microbiol 91:1148-63.

7.   Ozaki S, Katayama T. 2012. Highly organized DnaA-*oriC* complexes recruit the single-stranded DNA for replication initiation. Nucleic Acids Res 40:1648-65.

8.   Messer W, Weigel C. 1997. DnaA initiator--also a transcription factor. Mol Microbiol 24:1-6.

9.   Menikpurage IP, Woo K, Mera PE. 2021. Transcriptional Activity of the Bacterial Replication Initiator DnaA. Front Microbiol 12:662317.

484   10.   Krusenstjerna AC, Saylor TC, Arnold WK, Tucker JS, Stevenson B. 2023. *Borrelia*

485   *burgdorferi* DnaA and the Nucleoid-Associated Protein EbfC Coordinate Expression of

486   the *dnaX-ebfC* Operon. J Bacteriol 205:e0039622.

487   11.   Medrano MS, Ding Y, Wang X-G, Lu P, Coburn J, Hu LT. 2007. Regulators of

488   expression of the oligopeptide permease A proteins of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Journal of

489   bacteriology 189:2653-2659.

490   12.   Jutras BL, Bowman A, Brissette CA, Adams CA, Verma A, Chenail AM, Stevenson B.

491   2012. EbfC (YbaB) is a new type of bacterial nucleoid-associated protein and a global

492   regulator of gene expression in the Lyme disease spirochete. J Bacteriol 194:3395-406.

493   13.   Stevenson B, Krusenstjerna AC, Castro-Padovani TN, Savage CR, Jutras BL, Saylor TC.

494   2022. The Consistent Tick-Vertebrate Infectious Cycle of the Lyme Disease Spirochete

495   Enables Borrelia burgdorferi To Control Protein Expression by Monitoring Its

496   Physiological Status. J Bacteriol 204:e0060621.

497   14.   Jutras BL, Savage CR, Arnold WK, Lethbridge KG, Carroll DW, Tilly K, Bestor A, Zhu

498   H, Seshu J, Zuckert WR, Stewart PE, Rosa PA, Brissette CA, Stevenson B. 2019. The

499   Lyme disease spirochete's BpuR DNA/RNA-binding protein is differentially expressed

500   during the mammal-tick infectious cycle, which affects translation of the SodA

501   superoxide dismutase. Mol Microbiol 112:973-991.

502   15.   Picardeau M, Lobry JR, Hinnebusch BJ. 1999. Physical mapping of an origin of

503   bidirectional replication at the centre of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* linear chromosome. Mol

504   Microbiol 32:437-45.

16.   Hirota Y, Ryter A, Jacob F. 1968. Thermosensitive mutants of *E. coli* affected in the

processes of DNA synthesis and cellular division. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol

33:677-93.

17.   Blevins JS, Revel AT, Smith AH, Bachlani GN, Norgard MV. 2007. Adaptation of a

luciferase gene reporter and *lac* expression system to *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Appl Environ

Microbiol 73:1501-13.

18.   Groshong AM, Gibbons NE, Yang XF, Blevins JS. 2012. Rrp2, a prokaryotic enhancer-

like binding protein, is essential for viability of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Bacteriol

194:3336-42.

19.   Takacs CN, Scott M, Chang Y, Kloos ZA, Irnov I, Rosa PA, Liu J, Jacobs-Wagner C.

2020. A CRISPR interference platform for selective downregulation of gene expression

in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Appl Environ Microbiol doi:10.1128/AEM.02519-20.

20.   Murphy BT, Wiepen JJ, He H, Pramanik AS, Peters JM, Stevenson B, Zuckert WR.

2023. Inducible CRISPRi-Based Operon Silencing and Selective in Trans Gene

Complementation in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. J Bacteriol 205:e0046822.

21.   Zheng W, Li Z, Skarstad K, Crooke E. 2001. Mutations in DnaA protein suppress the

growth arrest of acidic phospholipid-deficient *Escherichia coli* cells. EMBO J 20:1164-

72.

22.   Jonas K, Chen YE, Laub MT. 2011. Modularity of the bacterial cell cycle enables

independent spatial and temporal control of DNA replication. Curr Biol 21:1092-101.

23.   Anderson ME, Smith JL, Grossman AD. 2022. Multiple mechanisms for overcoming

lethal over-initiation of DNA replication. Mol Microbiol 118:426-442.

24.   Cooper S, Helmstetter CE. 1968. Chromosome replication and the division cycle of

528   *Escherichia coli* Br. Journal of Molecular Biology 31:519-540.

529   25.   Donachie WD. 1968. Relationship between Cell Size and Time of Initiation of DNA

530   Replication. Nature 219:1077-1079.

531   26.   Wallden M, Fange D, Lundius EG, Baltekin Ö, Elf J. 2016. The Synchronization of

532   Replication and Division Cycles in Individual *E. coli* Cells. Cell 166:729-739.

533   27.   Takacs CN, Wachter J, Xiang Y, Ren Z, Karaboja X, Scott M, Stoner MR, Irnov I,

534   Jannetty N, Rosa PA, Wang X, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2022. Polyploidy, regular patterning of

535   genome copies, and unusual control of DNA partitioning in the Lyme disease spirochete.

536   Nat Commun 13:7173.

537   28.   Motaleb MA, Corum L, Bono JL, Elias AF, Rosa P, Samuels DS, Charon NW. 2000.

538   *Borrelia burgdorferi* periplasmic flagella have both skeletal and motility functions. Proc

539   Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:10899-904.

540   29.   Li C, Bakker RG, Motaleb MA, Sartakova ML, Cabello FC, Charon NW. 2002.

541   Asymmetrical flagellar rotation in *Borrelia burgdorferi* nonchemotactic mutants. Proc

542   Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:6169-74.

543   30.   Wu D, Baigalmaa L, Yao Y, Li G, Su M, Fan L, Morigen. 2021. The *Escherichia coli*

544   QseB/QseC signaling is required for correct timing of replication initiation and cell

545   motility. Gene 773:145374.

546   31.   Mizushima T, Tomura A, Shinpuku T, Miki T, Sekimizu K. 1994. Loss of flagellation in

547   *dnaA* mutants of *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 176:5544-6.

548   32.   Jones LJ, Carballido-Lopez R, Errington J. 2001. Control of cell shape in bacteria:

549   helical, actin-like filaments in *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell 104:913-22.

33. Kruse T, Bork-Jensen J, Gerdes K. 2005. The morphogenetic MreBCD proteins of

Escherichia coli form an essential membrane-bound complex. Mol Microbiol 55:78-89.

34. Figge RM, Divakaruni AV, Gober JW. 2004. MreB, the cell shape-determining bacterial

actin homologue, co-ordinates cell wall morphogenesis in *Caulobacter crescentus*. Mol

Microbiol 51:1321-32.

35. Liu X, Biboy J, Consoli E, Vollmer W, den Blaauwen T. 2021. MreC and MreD balance

the interaction between the elongasome proteins PBP2 and RodA. PLOS Genetics

16:e1009276.

36. Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. 2005. Tethering the Z ring to the membrane through a conserved

membrane targeting sequence in FtsA. Mol Microbiol 55:1722-34.

37. Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. 2002. Unique and overlapping roles for ZipA and FtsA in septal

ring assembly in *Escherichia coli*. Embo j 21:685-93.

38. Minamino T, Macnab RM. 1999. Components of the *Salmonella* flagellar export

apparatus and classification of export substrates. J Bacteriol 181:1388-94.

39. Kutsukake K, Doi H. 1994. Nucleotide sequence of the *flgD* gene of *Salmonella*

typhimurium which is essential for flagellar hook formation. Biochim Biophys Acta

1218:443-6.

40. Ohnishi K, Ohto Y, Aizawa S, Macnab RM, Iino T. 1994. FlgD is a scaffolding protein

needed for flagellar hook assembly in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J Bacteriol 176:2272-81.

41. Lybecker MC, Abel CA, Feig AL, Samuels DS. 2010. Identification and function of the

RNA chaperone Hfq in the Lyme disease spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Mol Microbiol

78:622-35.

42.   Zamba-Campero M, Soliman D, Yu H, Lasseter AG, Chang Y-Y, Liu J, Aravind L,

573   Jewett MW, Storz G, Adams PP. 2024. Broadly conserved FlgV controls flagellar

574   assembly and *Borrelia burgdorferi* dissemination in mice. bioRxiv

575   doi:10.1101/2024.01.09.574855:2024.01.09.574855.

576   43.   Juhas M, Ajioka JW. 2015. Identification and validation of novel chromosomal

577   integration and expression loci in *Escherichia coli* flagellar region 1. PLoS One

578   10:e0123007.

579   44.   Stevenson B, Brissette CA. 2023. Erp and Rev Adhesins of the Lyme Disease

580   Spirochete's Ubiquitous cp32 Prophages Assist the Bacterium during Vertebrate

581   Infection. Infect Immun 91:e0025022.

582   45.   Stevenson B, El-Hage N, Hines MA, Miller JC, Babb K. 2002. Differential binding of

583   host complement inhibitor factor H by *Borrelia burgdorferi* Erp surface proteins: a

584   possible mechanism underlying the expansive host range of Lyme disease spirochetes.

585   Infect Immun 70:491-7.

586   46.   Brissette CA, Haupt K, Barthel D, Cooley AE, Bowman A, Skerka C, Wallich R, Zipfel

587   PF, Kraiczy P, Stevenson B. 2009. *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection-associated surface

588   proteins ErpP, ErpA, and ErpC bind human plasminogen. Infect Immun 77:300-6.

589   47.   Brissette CA, Verma A, Bowman A, Cooley AE, Stevenson B. 2009. The *Borrelia*

590   *burgdorferi* outer-surface protein ErpX binds mammalian laminin. Microbiology

591   (Reading) 155:863-872.

592   48.   Garrigues RJ, Thomas S, Leong JM, Garcia BL. 2022. Outer surface lipoproteins from

593   the Lyme disease spirochete exploit the molecular switch mechanism of the complement

594   protease C1s. J Biol Chem 298:102557.

595   49.   Pereira MJ, Wager B, Garrigues RJ, Gerlach E, Quinn JD, Dowdell AS, Osburne MS,

596   Zückert WR, Kraiczy P, Garcia BL, Leong JM. 2022. Lipoproteome screening of the

597   Lyme disease agent identifies inhibitors of antibody-mediated complement killing. Proc

598   Natl Acad Sci U S A 119:e2117770119.

599   50.   Babb K, McAlister JD, Miller JC, Stevenson B. 2004. Molecular characterization of

600   *Borrelia burgdorferi erp* promoter/operator elements. J Bacteriol 186:2745-56.

601   51.   Miller JC, von Lackum K, Babb K, McAlister JD, Stevenson B. 2003. Temporal analysis

602   of *Borrelia burgdorferi* Erp protein expression throughout the mammal-tick infectious

603   cycle. Infect Immun 71:6943-52.

604   52.   Burns LH, Adams CA, Riley SP, Jutras BL, Bowman A, Chenail AM, Cooley AE,

605   Haselhorst LA, Moore AM, Babb K, Fried MG, Stevenson B. 2010. BpaB, a novel

606   protein encoded by the Lyme disease spirochete's cp32 prophages, binds to *erp* Operator

607   2 DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 38:5443-55.

608   53.   Jutras BL, Verma A, Adams CA, Brissette CA, Burns LH, Whetstine CR, Bowman A,

609   Chenail AM, Zuckert WR, Stevenson B. 2012. BpaB and EbfC DNA-binding proteins

610   regulate production of the Lyme disease spirochete's infection-associated Erp surface

611   proteins. J Bacteriol 194:778-86.

612   54.   Jutras BL, Chenail AM, Carroll DW, Miller MC, Zhu H, Bowman A, Stevenson B. 2013.

613   Bpur, the Lyme disease spirochete's PUR domain protein: identification as a

614   transcriptional modulator and characterization of nucleic acid interactions. J Biol Chem

615   288:26220-34.

616   55.   Casjens S, van Vugt R, Tilly K, Rosa PA, Stevenson B. 1997. Homology throughout the

617   multiple 32-kilobase circular plasmids present in Lyme disease spirochetes. J Bacteriol

618   179:217-27.

619   56.   Barbour AG, Hayes SF, Heiland RA, Schrumpf ME, Tessier SL. 1986. A *Borrelia*-

620   specific monoclonal antibody binds to a flagellar epitope. Infect Immun 52:549-54.

621   57.   Song X, Goedhart J. 2024. EzReverse – a Web Application for Background Adjustment

622   of Color Images. bioRxiv doi:10.1101/2024.05.27.594095:2024.05.27.594095.

623   58.   Goedhart J, Luijsterburg MS. 2020. VolcaNoseR is a web app for creating, exploring,

624   labeling and sharing volcano plots. Scientific Reports 10.

625   59.   Tang D, Chen M, Huang X, Zhang G, Zeng L, Zhang G, Wu S, Wang Y. 2023. SRplot:

626   A free online platform for data visualization and graphing. PLoS One 18:e0294236.

627   60.   Si F, Li D, Cox SE, Sauls JT, Azizi O, Sou C, Schwartz AB, Erickstad MJ, Jun Y, Li X,

628   Jun S. 2017. Invariance of Initiation Mass and Predictability of Cell Size in *Escherichia*

629   *coli*. Curr Biol 27:1278-1287.

630   61.   Myrbraten IS, Wiull K, Salehian Z, Havarstein LS, Straume D, Mathiesen G, Kjos M.

631   2019. CRISPR Interference for Rapid Knockdown of Essential Cell Cycle Genes in

632   *Lactobacillus plantarum*. mSphere 4.

633   62.   Bjånes E, Stream A, Gibson PS, Bravo AM, Dahesh S, Baker JL, Varble A, Nizet V,

634   Veening J-W. 2024. An efficient *in vivo*-inducible CRISPR interference system for group

635   A *Streptococcus* genetic analysis and pathogenesis studies. bioRxiv

636   doi:10.1101/2024.02.22.581527:2024.02.22.581527.

637   63.   Fenster JA, Werner AZ, Tay JW, Gillen M, Schirokauer L, Hill NC, Watson A, Ramirez

638   KJ, Johnson CW, Beckham GT, Cameron JC, Eckert CA. 2022. Dynamic and single cell

characterization of a CRISPR-interference toolset in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 for β-

ketoadipate production from p-coumarate. Metab Eng Commun 15:e00204.

64.   Meunier A, Cornet F, Campos M. 2021. Bacterial cell proliferation: from molecules to

cells. FEMS Microbiol Rev 45.

65.   Jutras BL, Scott M, Parry B, Biboy J, Gray J, Vollmer W, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2016. Lyme

disease and relapsing fever *Borrelia* elongate through zones of peptidoglycan synthesis

that mark division sites of daughter cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:9162-70.

66.   Van De Putte P, Van D, Roersch A. 1964. The selection of mutants of *Escherichia coli*

with impaired cells division at elevated temperature. Mutat Res 106:121-8.

67.   Wang H, Henk MC, Gayda RC. 1993. Overexpression of *ftsA* induces large bulges at the

septal regions in *Escherichia coli*. Current Microbiology 26:175-181.

68.   Meisner J, Montero Llopis P, Sham LT, Garner E, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2013.

FtsEX is required for CwlO peptidoglycan hydrolase activity during cell wall elongation

in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 89:1069-83.

69.   Li J, Xu X, Shi J, Hermoso JA, Sham L-T, Luo M. 2023. Regulation of the cell division

hydrolase RipC by the FtsEX system in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Nature

Communications 14:7999.

70.   Xu X, Li J, Chua W-Z, Pages MA, Shi J, Hermoso JA, Bernhardt T, Sham L-T, Luo M.

2023. Mechanistic insights into the regulation of cell wall hydrolysis by FtsEX and EnvC

at the bacterial division site. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

120:e2301897120.

71.   Du S, Pichoff S, Lutkenhaus J. 2016. FtsEX acts on FtsA to regulate divisome assembly

and activity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113:E5052-E5061.

72. Diez AA, Farewell A, Nannmark U, Nyström T. 1997. A mutation in the *ftsK* gene of
Escherichia coli affects cell-cell separation, stationary-phase survival, stress adaptation,
and expression of the gene encoding the stress protein UspA. J Bacteriol 179:5878-83.

73. Liu G, Draper GC, Donachie WD. 1998. FtsK is a bifunctional protein involved in cell
division and chromosome localization in *Escherichia coli*. Mol Microbiol 29:893-903.

74. Steiner W, Liu G, Donachie WD, Kuempel P. 1999. The cytoplasmic domain of FtsK
protein is required for resolution of chromosome dimers. Mol Microbiol 31:579-83.

75. Crozat E, Rousseau P, Fournes F, Cornet F. 2014. The FtsK family of DNA translocases
finds the ends of circles. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 24:396-408.

76. Yu XC, Weihe EK, Margolin W. 1998. Role of the C terminus of FtsK in *Escherichia
coli* chromosome segregation. J Bacteriol 180:6424-8.

77. Capiaux H, Lesterlin C, Pérals K, Louarn JM, Cornet F. 2002. A dual role for the FtsK
protein in *Escherichia coli* chromosome segregation. EMBO Rep 3:532-6.

78. Aussel L, Barre FX, Aroyo M, Stasiak A, Stasiak AZ, Sherratt D. 2002. FtsK Is a DNA
motor protein that activates chromosome dimer resolution by switching the catalytic state
of the XerC and XerD recombinases. Cell 108:195-205.

79. Begg KJ, Dewar SJ, Donachie WD. 1995. A new *Escherichia coli* cell division gene,
ftsK. J Bacteriol 177:6211-22.

80. Grenga L, Luzi G, Paolozzi L, Ghelardini P. 2008. The *Escherichia coli* FtsK functional
domains involved in its interaction with its divisome protein partners. FEMS
microbiology letters 287:163-167.

81.   Chen JC, Beckwith J. 2001. FtsQ, FtsL and FtsI require FtsK, but not FtsN, for co-

localization with FtsZ during *Escherichia coli* cell division. Molecular microbiology

42:395-413.

82.   Sperandio V, Torres AG, Kaper JB. 2002. Quorum sensing *Escherichia coli* regulators B

and C (QseBC): a novel two-component regulatory system involved in the regulation of

flagella and motility by quorum sensing in *E. coli*. Mol Microbiol 43:809-21.

83.   Rollend L, Fish D, Childs JE. 2013. Transovarial transmission of *Borrelia* spirochetes by

Ixodes scapularis: a summary of the literature and recent observations. Ticks Tick Borne

Dis 4:46-51.

84.   Zhao X, Zhang K, Boquoi T, Hu B, Motaleb MA, Miller KA, James ME, Charon NW,

Manson MD, Norris SJ, Li C, Liu J. 2013. Cryoelectron tomography reveals the

sequential assembly of bacterial flagella in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

A 110:14390-5.

85.   Qin Z, Tu J, Lin T, Norris SJ, Li C, Motaleb MA, Liu J. 2018. Cryo-electron tomography

of periplasmic flagella in *Borrelia burgdorferi* reveals a distinct cytoplasmic ATPase

complex. PLoS Biol 16:e3000050.

86.   Chang Y, Moon KH, Zhao X, Norris SJ, Motaleb MA, Liu J. 2019. Structural insights

into flagellar stator–rotor interactions. eLife 8:e48979.

Figure Legends.

Figure 1. Validation of conditional dysregulation of DnaA. (A) Two sgRNAs were designed to

knock down borrelial *dnaA* transcription by CRISPRi. One sgRNA targeted the template strand

directly upstream of the ORF (*dnaA*T1), while the other targeted the template strand within the

ORF (*dnaA*NT1). **(B)** The *dnaA* CRISPRi bacteria, CRISPRi empty vector bacteria, and the strain

with the *dnaA* overexpression plasmid grew at the same rate as the parental e2. Adding IPTG to

these strains resulted in appropriate knockdown or overexpression of DnaA protein **(C)** and

transcript **(D)**, as assessed by immunoblot and qRT-PCR. The overexpression shuttle vector

encodes a DnaA with an N-terminal 3xFLAG moiety and thus migrates above the native protein.

Figure 2. Appropriate expression of DnaA is required for *B. burgdorferi* replication. (A) Growth curve studies were conducted on different *B. burgdorferi* e2 strains incubated with 0.5 mM IPTG. **(B)** The generation time was determined from three individual cultures of each strain. Three independent cultures of each strain were measured for growth curve analysis. For the overexpression strain, the growth rate was determined before (early; 1-4 dpi) and after the resumption of growth (late; 4-7 dpi). The resumption of replication in that strain was evidently due to mutation of the overexpressed *dnaA* gene. **(C)** IPTG-treated DnaA overexpression spirochetes that resumed growth (conditioned) lost sensitivity to IPTG when passaged to fresh media and did not produce 3xFLAG-DnaA as assessed by immunoblot **(D)**, supporting the conclusion that accumulated mutations in the overexpression *dnaA* permitted replication. **(E)** Sequencing plasmid from these conditioned spirochetes showed unique mutations (S = substitution; D = deletion) in the 3xFLAG-*dnaA* ORF that caused a frameshift and truncation of the full-length protein.

Figure 3. DnaA dysregulation affects spirochete cell length. (A-D) Cell lengths of the 0.5 mM

IPTG-treated *B. burgdorferi* e2 strains measured over seven days (gray = e2; red = *dnaA*T1;

*dnaA*NT1 = blue; P*lac*::3xFLAG-*dnaA* = purple). The line represents the median cell length. **(E-H)**

The median cell length with the 95% CI (filled-in circle) superimposed against the corresponding

growth curve (empty circle) from Fig. 2. **(I-K)** Representative images of observed phenotypes. **(I)**

The e2 cell has a median length of 2 dpi. **(J)** DnaA-depleted cell with the characteristic elongation

phenotype. **(K)** Median size of a DnaA overexpression spirochete after 2 dpi.

Figure 4. Knockdown of DnaA increases the number of chromosomes per spirochete. The *B.*

burgdorferi CRISPRi *dnaA*$_{T1}$ and parent e2 strains were transformed with a construct encoding a

mCherry-tagged ParB protein, which binds near the *oriC*, to identify the locations of the

chromosomes. The two strains were grown without inducer until mid-log (*t* = 0), and then the

dnaA$_{T1}$ strain was divided into two cultures, and one of those was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG.

Cultures were tracked for three more days. **(A)** Representative merged micrographs (blue = dark

field; red = mCherry-ParB) of spirochetes with the approximate median numbers of ParB-*oriC*

puncta **(B)** and cell length **(C)**. Significant differences in puncta and cell length at day 0 were

determined by the Mann-Whitney test. Differences between the three groups (e2, - IPTG, and +

IPTG) on days 1-3 were tested for by the Kruskal-Wallis test and then Dunn's test for multiple

comparisons. Multiplicity-adjusted p-values are reported. **, $p \leq 0.01$; ***, $p \leq 0.001$; ****, $p \leq$

0.0001; ns, not significant, $p > 0.05$. Approximately 50 spirochetes were imaged for each strain on

each day. Data were gathered from two independent experiments.

Figure 5. Irregular spacing of *oriC* in *dnaA* knockdown *B. burgdorferi*. (A-B) Representative images of spirochetes containing the *dnaA*T1 CRISPRi plasmid with irregular spacing of ParB-*oriC* puncta (red). DnaA-deplete cells had large regions where not foci were observed (white brackets). Some cells also had foci that were close together (arrows). **(C)** Parental e2 spirochetes with approximately the same number of ParB-*oriC* puncta as the mutant but exhibit regular oriC spacing. **(D)** The number of spirochetes with irregular ParB-*oriC* was quantified (e2, $n = 184$; uninduced *dnaA*T1, $n = 198$ cells; induced *dnaA*T1 $n = 206$). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test was done to determine the significance and make comparisons. The multiplicity-adjusted p-values are reported **, $p \leq 0.01$; ns, not significant, $p > 0.05$.

Figure 6. DnaA-depletion affects borrelial helicity. Representative micrographs of observed phenotypes. When *dnaA* was knocked down, spirochetes with no **(A)** or asymmetrical helicity **(B)** were observed. **(C)** Some of the abnormal cells were elongated and showed division defects. **(D)** Spirochetes exhibiting similar defects were observed at a low level in the e2 strain (0.7%, *n* = 1514). The empty vector (EV) control cultures had a similar proportion, independent of the addition of IPTG (uninduced: 2.1%, *n* = 1439; induced: 1.6%, *n* = 1608). The bacteria with the *dnaA*$_{T1}$ CRISPRi plasmid had significantly more cells with perturbed helicity that was dependent on induction (uninduced: 8.7%, *n* = 1437; induced: 13%, *n* = 1472). Spirochetes were counted from three independent cultures. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA with a Holm-Šídák's post-hac test, and multiplicity-adjusted p-values are reported. ***, p ≤ 0.001, ****, p ≤ 0.0001; ns, not significant, p > 0.05.

Figure 7. RNA-seq of DnaA-dysregulated *B. burgdorferi*. The RNA from three *B. burgdorferi* strains with different cellular levels of DnaA were sequenced: e2 (parent), CRISPRi *dnaA*T1 (DnaA-down), and overexpression pACK121 (DnaA-up). **(A-C)** Volcano plots plotting the fold change (log₂FC) against each gene's false discovery rate (-log(FDR)). The threshold for significance was set at a log₂FC ≥ 1 or ≤ - 1 (≥ 2-fold change) and an FDR of ≤ 0.05. **(D)** PCA plot showing the clustering of the replicate samples that were sequenced. **(E)** Donut charts showing the replicon on which the significantly affected genes are encoded. **(F)** qRT-PCR results of *dnaA* transcripts in the sequenced samples. Cq values were normalized to *ftsK* or *rpoB* (ΔCq) and then to the parental strain (ΔΔCq).

Figure 8. Impacts of DnaA on *B. burgdorferi* elongation and division genes. (A) Heat map of the division and elongation genes that were significantly affected when DnaA was knocked down. **(B)** Top: Locus maps of the select elongation and division genes to scale. The sites of potential DnaA-boxes are denoted as purple boxes below the genes. Bottom: Simplified schema of the hypothesized borrelial elongasome and divisome containing the highlighted genes of interest. Informed by the work of Liu et al. and Hu et al. (35, 71) **(C)** Bar graph showing the fold change detected by RNA-seq of the elongation and division genes. The dashed lines indicate the cut-off for significance.

Figure 9. Impacts of DnaA on *B. burgdorferi* flagellar genes. (A) The borrelial flagellar motor

is a complex molecular machine (bottom right) that is comprised of nearly three dozen proteins

encoded in four separate loci on the chromosome (top). The schematic of the *B. burgdorferi*

flagellar motor was informed from data by Zhao et al., Qin et al., and Chang et al. (84-86). **(B)**

RNA-seq showed the transcripts for five flagellar genes increased when DnaA was knocked down.

Two of these genes, FliQ and FliR (purple), are constituents of the flagellar export apparatus. The

dashed line indicates the cut-off for significance.

Fig. 10. Impacts of DnaA on the Erp regulatory network. (A) Solid black lines indicate

established interactions. Dashed lines indicate hypothesized interactions. Activation is denoted by

lines with arrowheads, and inhibition by lines without arrowheads. Note that co-repressive or anti-

repressive activities, i.e., BpuR and EbfC, respectively, are indicated by arrows directed at lines.

(B) Representative immunoblots from samples of *B. burgdorferi* that were analyzed by RNA-seq.

Quantitation of target proteins when DnaA was knocked down **(C)** or overexpressed **(D)**. Band

intensities for each replicate immunoblot were normalized to FlaB and then the parental e2 strain.

(C-D) The dotted lines at 1 represent where the protein abundance is the same as e2. Bar graphs

showing the fold change detected by RNA-seq in the DnaA-down/e2 **(E)** and DnaA-up

comparisons **(F). (E-F)** The dotted lines indicate the 2-fold threshold for significance.

823   **Table 1.** Homologous elongation and division genes of *B. burgdorferi*.

Protein	Locus Tag	Elongasome	Divisome	RNA-seq Differential Expression		
				DnaA-down vs e2	DnaA-up vs e2	DnaA-down vs DnaA-up
FtsE	BB_0080	-	+	Down	NA	Down
FtsX	BB_0081	-	+	Up	NA	Up
FtsI	BB_0136	+	+	NA	NA	NA
MurE	BB_0201	+	+	NA	NA	NA
FtsK	BB_0257	-	+	NA	NA	Down
FtsZ	BB_0299	-	+	NA	NA	NA
FtsA	BB_0300	-	+	Up	Up	NA
FtsQ/DivIB	BB_0301	-	+	NA	NA	NA
FtsW	BB_0302	-	+	NA	NA	NA
MraY	BB_0303	+	+	NA	NA	NA
MurF	BB_0304	+	+	NA	NA	NA
MurB	BB_0585	+	+	NA	NA	NA
MurD	BB_0585	+	+	NA	NA	NA
Ami	BB_0666	-	+	NA	NA	NA
MreB	BB_0715	+	-	Down	NA	Down
MreC	BB_0716	+	-	Down	NA	Down
MreD	BB_0717	+	-	Up	NA	Down
PBP2	BB_0718	+	-	Down	NA	Down
RodA	BB_0719	+	-	NA	NA	NA
PBP1a	BB_0732	+	-	Down	NA	Down
MurG	BB_0767	+	+	NA	NA	NA
MurJ	BB_0810	+	+	NA	Down	Up
MurC	BB_0817	+	+	NA	NA	NA

824   * Plus sign (+) indicates the protein is part of the complex, minus sign (-) indicates it is not
825   * NA = Not affected
826

827 **Table 2.** Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Target	Name	Sequence	Purpose	Source
dnaA ORF	qPCR *dnaA* F	CATGTGACCGATCTCCTTCTG	qPCR	(10)
	qPCR *dnaA* R	CGACAATAGCTGCTCTGAGTT		
ftsK ORF	qPCR *ftsK* F	GACCTTCTGATGAGCCAATGT	qPCR	(10)
	qPCR *ftsk* R	GCTGCTCTGTTGTAACCTATCT		
rpoB ORF	qPCR *rpoB* F	GTTCATCTGGGACAAGGAAGAG	qPCR	This study
	qPCR *rpoB* R	CAGACTCAAGGCCCTCATTAAG		

828