Patterns and drivers of diatom diversity and abundance in the global ocean

Juan J. Pierella Karlusich[1,2,3,4]*, Karen Cosnier[1,2], Lucie Zinger[1,2+], Nicolas Henry[2,6], Charlotte Nef[1,2], Guillaume Bernard[1,2], Eleonora Scalco[7], Etienne Dvorak[1,2], *Tara* Oceans Coordinators, Fabio Rocha Jimenez Vieira[1,2], Erwan Delage[8], Samuel Chaffron[2,8], Sergey Ovchinnikov[4,9], Adriana Zingone[7], Chris Bowler[1,2]*

[1] Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure (IBENS), Ecole Normale Supérieure, CNRS, INSERM, Université PSL, 75005 Paris, France
[2] CNRS Research Federation for the study of Global Ocean Systems Ecology and Evolution, FR2022/Tara Oceans GOSEE, Paris, France
[3] FAS Division of Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
[4] Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139
[5] Centre de Recherche sur la Biodiversité et l'Environnement (CRBE), CNRS, Université Toulouse III, IRD, INP, Toulouse, France
[6] CNRS, FR2424, ABiMS, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Sorbonne Université, Roscoff, France
[7] Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, Naples, Italy
[8] Nantes Université, CNRS UMR 6004, LS2N, F-44000, Nantes, France
[9] John Harvard Distinguished Science Fellowship Program, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
+Present address: Centre de Recherche sur la Biodiversité et l'Environnement (CRBE), CNRS, Université Toulouse III, IRD, INP, Toulouse, France

*corresponding authors: Juan J. Pierella Karlusich (pierella@mit.edu) and Chris Bowler (cbowler@bio.ens.psl.eu)

ORCID ids:
Juan J. Pierella Karlusich, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1739-4424
Karen Cosnier,
Lucie Zinger, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3400-5825
Nicolas Henry, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7702-1382
Charlotte Nef, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9446-6367
Guillaume Bernard, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6251-9500
Eleonora Scalco, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8561-0614
Etienne Dvorak, http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7347-4544
Fabio Rocha Jimenez Vieira, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9872-0337
Erwan Delage, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7653-5111
Samuel Chaffron, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5903-617X
Sergey Ovchinnikov, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2774-2744
Adriana Zingone, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5946-6532
Chris Bowler, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3835-6187

Abstract

Diatoms constitute one of the most diverse and ecologically important phytoplankton groups, yet their large-scale diversity patterns and drivers of abundance are unclear due to limited observations. Here, we utilize *Tara* Oceans molecular and morphological data, spanning pole to pole, to describe marine diatom diversity, abundance, and environmental adaptation and acclimation strategies. The dominance of diatoms among phytoplankton in terms of relative abundance and diversity was confirmed, and the most prevalent genera were *Chaetoceros*, *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus* and *Pseudo-nitzschia*. We defined 25 distinct diatom communities with varying environmental preferences illustrative of different life strategies. The Arctic Ocean stands out as a diatom hotspot with 6 of the diatom communities being exclusive to it. Light harvesting and photoprotection were among the cellular functions in which natural diatom populations invested the bulk of their transcriptional efforts. The biogeography of diatom lineages was consistent with their copy number for genes related to photoacclimation. This comprehensive study sheds light on marine diatom distributions, offering insights amid global change and oceanic anthropogenic impacts.

Introduction

Diatoms are the most abundant and diverse group of eukaryotic phytoplankton, represented by tens of thousands of species[1-3]. Diatoms cover a broad size spectrum, which ranges over more than nine orders of magnitude in cell volume and is further expanded by many chain-forming species[4]. They are believed to be responsible for around 20% of the total primary production on the planet, thus constituting the base of aquatic food webs and contributing to the export of carbon from the surface ocean to depth in many marine environments[5-7]. Their cells possess a rigid silica cell wall denoted frustule, which makes them the world's largest contributors to biosilicification[8]. They are also important players in the nitrogen cycle because they have efficient strategies for nitrogen capture and utilization[9,10]. Furthermore, some species even harbor nitrogen-fixing symbionts[11-14]. Given their important role in biogeochemical cycles, food webs and carbon export, understanding what drives diatom diversity and spatial distribution is an important topic, in order to better predict the responses and resilience of marine ecosystems to natural and human-induced perturbations.

Field observations and modeling efforts have shown that marine diatoms are especially common in well-mixed coastal and upwelling regions and at high latitudes[2,15,16]. However, how different diatom populations (defined here broadly in terms of species, genera, size classes, or morphotypes) diverge in their biogeography and niches at global ocean scale is still hindered by limited observations and methodological limitations. For example, while satellite remote sensing now allows to estimate the distribution of diatoms and other phytoplankton coarse groups based on optical properties at the sea surface, it fails to discriminate their taxonomy, to detect subsurface blooms or to determine variable cellular pigment quotas[17-19]. The Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) survey has been collecting plankton records

since the 1930s, but is mainly useful for quantifying larger-celled species[20]. The first

global ocean database for diatoms was compiled for the MARine Ecosystem DATa

(MAREDAT) project, mainly using classical microscopy counts from bottle samples

collected in regional studies[21]. Over the last years, genetic surveys have been

producing an impressive amount of new data about diatom distributions. These

investigations are typically based on PCR amplification and sequencing of a fragment

of the small subunit of the rRNA gene from the DNA isolated from an environmental

sample (a method hereafter referred to as metabarcoding[22]. rRNA gene-based

metabarcoding has a comparatively deeper taxonomic resolution (including for cryptic

species) as well as higher detection power (e.g., for rare species) than routine optical

methods[23–25]. Currently, the only available large-scale and standardized molecular

survey of marine planktonic diatoms was carried out during the first leg of the *Tara*

Oceans expedition (46 sampling sites) by targeting the V9 fragment of the 18S rRNA

gene[2]. This study was able to improve diatom diversity estimates, e.g., 43% of the

observed genera were not represented in the MAREDAT database. However, there

was a poor representation of areas expected to have high diatom abundances, such

as polar regions.

These taxonomic surveys can be complemented by metagenonomic and

metatranscriptomic approaches to infer diatom functional diversity, as well as their

adaptation and acclimation mechanisms (i.e., variations in gene and transcript copy

numbers, respectively) across environmental gradients. The ecological success of

diatoms depends on a range of adaptive attributes in addition to the frustule, such as

prominent vacuoles for nutrient storage, ice-binding proteins to withstand harsh polar

environments, proton pumping rhodopsins to convert light energy into chemical energy

in low-light environments, and a metazoan-like urea cycle for cellular management of

nitrogen[9,26–28]. However, between 30 and 60% of diatom genes still have unknown or

poorly described functions[29]. In addition, functional studies of diatom populations have

been restricted to specific genera in local and regional studies[30–35]. Therefore, many

gaps still remain in understanding the molecular mechanisms underpinning diatom

success and their distribution in the contemporary ocean.

In the current study, we aimed to provide a pole-to-pole view of the diversity,

adaptation and acclimation features in natural populations of diatoms. This study

expands the previous survey by Malviya et al.[2] from *Tara* Oceans not only by covering

a much higher number of sites (from 43 to 144 stations) in all ocean regions but also

by the significant incorporation of two new regions: the North Pacific Ocean (NPO)

and the Arctic Ocean (AO). We also provide a robust picture of diatom taxonomic

diversity and distribution through the comparative analysis of DNA data using two DNA

markers (i.e., the V9 and V4 variable regions of the 18S rRNA gene; only V9 had been

used in our previous study) together with observations obtained through microcopy.

Finally, we mine the metagenomes and metatranscriptomes of the same samples to

explore the adaptation and acclimation processes that may underlie diatom distribution

patterns.

132 Results and Discussion

133 Prevalence of diatoms among eukaryotic phytoplankton and
134 silicifiers

To capture the whole size spectrum of plankton, a combination of filter membranes

with different pore sizes were used to serially size-fractionate organisms by cell

diameter and aggregation forms (specifically from 0.8-5 μm or 0.8-2000 μm, 3-20 or

5-20 μm, 20-180 μm, and 180-2000 μm)[36]. V4 and V9 amplicons were sequenced

from these size-fractionated samples collected in epipelagic waters from 144 stations

(**Figures S1 and S2**). Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were inferred using

denoising methods (DADA2)[37] and taxonomically assigned using IDTAXA[38] with the

PR2 database version 4.14[39] (see Materials and Methods). We retrieved ASVs

assigned to eukaryotic phytoplankton, and normalized their read abundance by the

total eukaryotic read abundance of the corresponding sample.

As expected, diatoms are overall the most abundant and the second most occurring

group (**Figures 1a-b and S3a-b**). In addition, we found that diatoms exhibited the

largest variation in relative abundance, from 0.0002% to 97%, while other

phytoplankton groups had abundance variations of up to 42% for photosynthetic

dinoflagellates (hereafter dinoflagellates), 39% for haptophytes, 25% for

pelagophytes, 13% for dictyochophytes (another silicifying group), and 11% for

prasinophytes (**Figures 1a and S3a**). Therefore, diatoms constitute an important

group due to their dominance and their higher sensitivity to environmental variations,

which likely has important consequences for the ecosystem in a context of climate

change. Given that diatoms have been used to assess freshwater quality for

decades[40], this result also suggests a similar use as health indicators for the ocean.

Diatom and dinoflagellate relative abundance values based on 18S rRNA gene

metabarcoding were higher compared to those inferred from the single-copy marker

gene *psbO* found in metagenomes[41]. This difference could be attributed at least partly

to the larger cell size of these organisms. Although cell size is not the only factor

influencing cell rRNA copy number[42], larger cells generally require a higher number of

copies of the 18S rRNA gene to maintain cell activity[43,44]. Therefore, the prevalence

of diatoms among the 18S rRNA gene barcodes could reflect to some extent a higher

relative biovolume proportion.

Further, diatoms were also found to be the leading group among silicifiers[45], rivaled

only by Nassellaria, an order of polycystine rhizarians with silica-based skeletal

structures (**Figure 1d-e and S3c-d**). All in all, this survey reaffirms the dominance of

diatoms within both phytoplankton and silicifier communities, highlighting their integral

role in marine biogeochemistry and their potential as ecological indicators in a

changing global climate.

170 Diatom ASV diversity

We focused on diatoms by selecting only those V9 and V4 ASVs assigned to this

group. From 969 samples and almost 385 million V4 reads in total, we obtained a total

of >20 million reads and 3,429 ASVs for diatom V4, while from 932 samples and

>1,554 million V9 reads, we obtained >112 million reads and 3,425 ASVs for diatom

V9. In comparison, the 293 samples of the initial segment of the *Tara* Oceans

expedition analysed in Malviya et al.[2] produced less than half the number (1,510) of

diatom V9 ASVs.

Despite the ~5.5 times higher sequencing depth for diatom V9, we obtained an almost

identical number of diatom ASVs compared to V4, and accumulation curves and

species richness estimators indicated that both V4 and V9 ASV diversity approached

saturation at a global scale (**Figure S4 and Table S1**). Similar results despite the

difference in sequencing depth can be attributed to the fact that the V4 marker is

almost three times longer (385 bp *vs* 130 bp for V9), which inherently increases not

only the amount of possible genuine variation of the marker, but also the likelihood of

including PCR/sequencing errors that may not be detected by the DADA2 algorithm,

as reported by previous studies[46,47].

The diatom ASVs represented 33% and 41% of the total V4 and V9 ASVs attributed

to phytoplankton, respectively (**Figure 1c**). This highlights diatoms as the most diverse

group within the global phytoplankton community, followed by dinoflagellates and

haptophytes. However, photosynthetic dinoflagellate diversity might have been

underestimated because a great number of ASVs cannot be classified as either

photosynthetic or non-photosynthetic (e.g., those categorized as 'unknown

dinoflagellate' or 'unknown Gymnodiniales').

Patterns of diatom abundance and diversity

When examining diatom reads relative abundance across marine biomes and oceanic

regions, the highest values were observed in polar biomes (**Figure S5c-d**).

Accordingly, a relative abundance latitudinal gradient towards the poles is clearly

observed in all size classes (**Figures 2a-b and S6a-b**). This is consistent with the

larger thermal breadths and lower minimal thermal growth of diatoms when compared

with cyanobacteria and dinoflagellates[48]. In contrast, diatom diversity follows a

latitudinal gradient with a decrease in species richness towards the poles (**Figures 2c**

and S6c), where diatom communities are likely hyper-dominated by a lower number

of species, as observed in previous studies[49].

We employed Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis to explore environmental factors

affecting diatom relative abundance and the Shannon diversity index (**Figure 3a**).

Diatom Shannon diversity and relative abundance generally correlate with the same

environmental variables, but in opposite directions, e.g., for temperature. The positive

correlation between diatom relative abundance and chlorophyll *a* suggests that

diatoms are usually the dominant group in high-biomass phytoplankton communities.

We also observed a significant positive association between diatom abundance and

annual maximum nitrate concentration (AM NO_3^-), underscoring nitrate availability as

a key factor for diatom growth. Conversely, diatom abundance tends to decrease

relative to the ratios of various nutrients (ammonia, iron, or silica) to total dissolved

inorganic nitrogen (DIN; e.g. sum of ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate). The role of biotic

interactions is also significant. Diatoms show a negative association with

picocyanobacteria, supporting findings that diatoms can be outcompeted in nitrogen-

limited environments where cyanobacteria efficiently utilize ammonia[50,51], often

leading to low chlorophyll *a* levels. In contrast, grazing organisms such as copepods

exhibit a substantial positive relationship with diatoms, suggesting grazing top-down

control of diatom populations. Lastly, the presence of abundant silicified radiolarians

(Nassellaria, Spumellaria, and Phaeodarea) negatively correlates with diatom

abundance, likely reflecting competitive interactions over silica[45].

Diatom size patterns

As a reflection of their wide size range, diatoms were relatively abundant in the

nanoplankton (3/5-20 μm; mean relative abundance of ~9% of eukaryotic reads), but

also in the piconanoplankton (0.8-5/2000 μm; ~5% mean abundance) and in the

micro- and mesoplankton (20-180 μm and 180-2000 μm; ~5% and 3% mean

abundances, respectively) (**Figures 1a, S3a and S5a**). Historically, the abundance of

smaller diatoms has been underestimated due to detection challenges using

microscopy, but recent morphological and molecular studies support our observations

of their prevalence in various marine regions[52–55]. The broad size spectrum of diatoms

is further evidenced by previous research on their cell volumes, spanning from 3 μm^3

(*Thalassiosira* sp.) to $4.71×10^9$ μm^3 [4,21]. It is worth noting that we detected in many

cases the same diatom species across all size fractions. Although we cannot discard

the possibility that extracellular DNA adsorbed on particles of varying sizes, this can

also be attributed to life-cycle variations (e.g., asexually dividing diatoms decreasing

in cell size, rare gamete formation), and the physical characteristics of certain species

(e.g., long needle-like cells and broken colonies passing through small mesh sizes)[56].

There is a clear environmental partition between diatom sizes, with larger diatoms

associated with polar regions rich in nitrate, whereas small diatoms are prevalent in

oligotrophic and temperate waters with high ratios of ammonia to DIN (**Figure 3b**).

This is in line with Bergmann's rule of reduced organism body size in warmer

environments[57]. Thus, the energy transfer from diatoms to higher trophic levels may

be reduced in temperate environments due to their smaller sizes[58].

Environmental distribution of diatom classes

Following Medlin and Desdevises 2020[59], diatoms are classified into three

monophyletic classes: Coscinodiscophyceae (basal radial centric diatoms),

Mediophyceae (polar centric diatoms and radial centric Thalassiosirales), and

Bacillariophyceae (pennate diatoms). Pennate diatoms are further divided into raphid

(Bacillariophycidae) and araphid genera (i.e., subclasses Urneidiophycida and

Fragilariophycidae).

For both V4 and V9, Mediophyceae were the most prevalent, followed by raphid

pennates and Coscinodiscophyceae (**Figures 4a and S8a)**. Araphid pennates were

considerably less abundant and only prevalent in an ice edge station in the AO

(TARA_188) (**Figure S9**). Our observation of low abundance of araphid pennates is

consistent with their typical presence in benthic rather than planktonic

environments[1,60].

Mediophyceae were highly abundant in regions characterized by high chlorophyll *a*

concentrations and high latitudes **(Figures 4b-c, S7, S8b, and S9)**. Similarly,

Coscinodiscophyceae were also notably abundant in high latitude regions. In contrast,

raphid pennates were predominantly found in temperate and stable environments,

although they were also present in significant numbers at some high latitude stations.

There was also a compositional shift among the different size fractions of plankton.

The relative abundance of Mediophyceae was highest among the piconano-, nano-,
and microplankton **(Figures 4a and S7a)**. Although their relative abundance
decreased among mesoplankton, Mediophyceae continued to be the dominant diatom
class in this size range **(Figure S8a)**. In contrast, the relative abundance of raphid
pennate diatoms demonstrated a continuous decline as the size fractions increased.
Coscinodiscophyceae exhibited a predominant presence particularly within the nano-
and to a lesser extent, microplankton size fractions, with their numbers decreasing in
the piconano- and, specially, the mesoplankton. All these biogeographical and size
patterns are driven by the patterns of the main genera within each class (see below).

Diatom genera composition

We found a total of **76** genera for V4 barcodes, and **63** genera for V9 barcodes (**Figure**
5). The higher number of genera generated by V4 barcodes is probably related to its
higher taxonomic resolution and better representation in the reference database (PR2
v4.14[39]).

High variations across genera were observed in terms of abundance and richness.
Chaetoceros was the most abundant genus, followed by *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus*,
and *Pseudo-nitzschia* (**Figure 5**; see also next section). Intragenus richness ranged
from **502** V9 ASVs and **687** V4 ASVs for *Chaetoceros* to as low as 1 ASV for some
other genera (**Figure 5**). This result reflects the differences in known species for each
genus (e.g., >100 for *Chaetoceros*, a few for *Arcocellulus* and *Lauderia*, and only 1 for
Tenuicylindrus and *Pseudohimantidium*). Additionally, this result parallels read
abundance, indicating a greater potential of capturing intraspecific and intragenomic
variations of these multicopy markers, as well as a higher likelihood of
PCR/sequencing errors.

We found ~65% of cumulative read relative abundances in surface samples (**Figure 5**), which reflects the sampling bias (513 samples from surface and 267 from DCM; **Figure S5b**). When only focusing on locations with both SRF and DCM samples, we observed that most genera were more abundant in surface waters (e.g, *Eucampia*, *Thalassiothrix*, *Rhizosolenia*, *Proboscia*) or equally abundant in surface and DCM. The only genus enriched in DCM was the centric diatom *Attheya*, which is restricted to AO waters (**Figure S10**).

A wide range of genera were identified among the piconanoplankton communities. Besides the expected genera such as *Minidiscus*, *Minutocellus*, *Arcocellulus*, *Brockmanniella*, *Cyclotella*, and *Cocconeis* (**Figure 5**), we observed several typically larger genera traditionally classified as microplankton (20-180 µm). These included the centric genera *Hemiaulus*, *Dactyliosolen*, *Detonula*, as well as the pennate genera *Pseudo-nitzschia*, *Surirella*, *Thalassionema*, and *Triceratium*, which often form chains and are generally larger. Their presence in smaller plankton samples could be due to broken cells, cells passing through the mesh by their shorter dimension, or possibly being gametes.

Patterns for the most abundant diatom genera

We focused on the top 20 most abundant genera (**Figure 6**) since they accounted for the majority of the signal from known taxa, with 92% of assigned reads in the entire V9 dataset and 90% using V4. Genera that were exclusively abundant in one large size fraction (20-180 µm or 180-2000 µm; **Figure S11**) were excluded from the analysis as they tend to be less abundant overall as there is a logarithmic negative relationship between size and abundance[36]. Some of the most abundant genera, such as *Porosira*, *Fragilaria*, *Trieres*/*Odontella*, and *Attheya*, were regionally constrained, i.e., highly abundant in a few specific stations (**Figure S12**; further details below).

Among the top 20 most abundant diatom genera, 15 were consistently found in both V4 and V9 datasets, including *Chaetoceros*, *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus*, *Pseudo-nitzschia*, *Porosira*, *Proboscia*, *Guinardia*, *Corethron*, *Leptocylindrus*, *Eucampia*, *Skeletonema*, *Bacteriastrum*, *Nitzschia*, *Fragilariopsis*, and *Minidiscus* (**Figure 6b**). Six genera appear only in one dataset but still rank high in the other (between positions 21 and 28: *Rhizosolenia*, *Planktoniella*, *Navicula*, *Attheya*, *Hemiaulus*, and *Minutocellus*), indicating the robustness of our results. Nonetheless, a few differences were observed, with *Fragilaria*, *Asteromphalus* and *Brockmanniella* found only in V4, and *Trieres*/*Odontella* mainly detected in V9 (**Figure 6b**). These discrepancies could be due to differences in the coverage and quality of reference databases, the resolving power of the DNA barcode, and variations in PCR amplification efficiency among different taxa.

We found 6 new genera among the V9 top 20 in comparison with the previous *Tara* Oceans V9 survey (see Figure 6A in Malviya et al.[2]), which did not include AO, NPO and most of NAO (**Figure S1b**). As expected, the list contains genera prevalent in these new regions: *Bacteriastrum* was abundant in NPO, SPO and IO; *Porosira*, *Skeletonema* and *Nitzschia* were abundant in AO (despite *Skeletonema* being previously reported as absent from polar waters[61]) (**Figures 6b and 7**). However, the remaining two genera were more abundant in SO: *Hemiaulus* and *Trieres*/*Odontella* (**Figures 6b and 7**). Although SO was already included in Malviya et al.[2], the higher regional coverage of the present work explains this result for *Trieres*/*Odontella* (6 current stations *vs* 2 stations in the previous survey), and the updated reference database for *Hemiaulus*.

Given that the 20-180 µm size fraction was also quantified using optical microscopy[2,62,63], we compared the most abundant genera between methods in this

size fraction (**Figure S13**). The comparison resulted in a high degree of similarity: 13

of the top 20 genera based on microscopy are also in the top 20 in both molecular

datasets, plus three top 20 genera in the microscopy data that are only in one

molecular dataset. Some of the differences are explained by the scarcity or lack of

references for some genera (e.g., *Cylindrotheca* and *Thalassionema)* or the difficulty

of detecting and/or identifying some genera in microscopy *(*e.g., *Arcocellulus,*

Minidiscus and *Haslea).*

We observed a high variability in the biogeography of the 10 most abundant diatom

genera (**Figures 7 and S14 and Supplementary File 1**). There was a group of

cosmopolitan genera whose abundances were much higher in polar regions:

Chaetoceros, *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus* and *Fragilariopsis* (this latter case is

detected in fewer stations with V4 barcodes). *Pseudo-nitzschia*, another cosmopolitan

genus, was more homogeneously distributed in the different ocean regions. Other

genera were mainly detected in polar regions: *Porosira* and *Proboscia* were abundant

in both AO and SO, *Fragilaria* in the AO (only detected by V4), *Corethron*,

Trieres/*Odontella*, and *Hemialus* in the SO (the last two cases mainly detected by V9).

Finally, two genera were prevalent in a few stations from tropical and subtropical

regions: *Guinardia* and *Leptocylindrus*. Overall, these results confirm the importance

of the higher geographical coverage of the present work, especially with the inclusion

of AO.

Communities of co-occurring diatom lineages and their
association to environmental gradients

We applied a weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA)[64] to detect co-

occurring diatom sub-communities. For both V4 and V9 datasets, we found a total of

25 modules (and a set of unclustered ASVs grouped into the grey module) with similar

environmental variables associated to them (**Figures 8 and S15**). The modules were

clustered according to their co-occurrences into 4 main groups: i) one formed by 6

modules prevalent in the AO and dominated by Mediophyceae ASVs, ii) another

formed by 4-5 modules mainly detected in the SPO (and to a lesser extent in the NPO)

and dominated by Mediophyceae and raphid pennate ASVs, iii) another group formed

by 2-4 modules abundant in the SO/SPO and with Mediophyceae and raphid pennate

diatoms, and iv) a group of different basin-specific modules detected in tropical and

subtropical regions, including 2 modules prevalent in the IO, 1-2 in the MS, 2 in the

NAO (only in V4), and 2 in the SAO (only V9). Therefore, we found diatom sub-

communities with a significant association to each oceanic basin or to basins that are

physically connected (e.g., the SPO and IO via the Indonesian Passage). The AO

stands out from the rest as it contains a relatively high-number of AO-specific sub-

communities. The reasons why the AO is rich in endemic diatom communities might

be related to its particular physical oceanography (the influx from large rivers, and the

Atlantic and Pacific Ocean waters), long residence times, and the extreme seasonality

in day length and sea ice cover, as well as low temperatures and variable salinity[65].

Overall, *Chaetoceros*, *Pseudo-nitzschia* and *Thalassiosira*, previously identified as the

most prevalent diatom genera, were found within almost all modules, together with

unidentified Bacillariophyta, Mediophyceae and raphid pennates (**Figure S15**).

For both V4 and V9, the turquoise and grey modules gathered the most ASVs, with

the grey modules containing a set of unclustered ASVs that were the most enriched in

different taxa. Both grey modules were negatively correlated with iron, oxygen and

latitude while positively correlated with temperature (**Figure 8**). Together with the fact

that they harbored diatoms from a diverse range of basins and sizes, the results

suggest that the grey modules represent a wide group of rather temperate diatoms;

while the absence of other significant correlations of these modules with environmental

parameters indicates potential generalist species, or that the wide biological diversity

of these modules precludes the identification of a general pattern.

Exploring the association patterns of diatom sub-communities with environmental

parameters revealed 3 modules positively correlated with nutrient (nitrate, silica,

phosphate) availability in both datasets. The V4 turquoise module, enriched in

Thalassiosira, *Porosira* and *Chaetoceros* (~10% each), was positively correlated with

nitrate, silica, phosphate and chlorophyll *a*. Conversely, both V4 modules midnightblue

– enriched in *Pseudo-nitzschia* (16%) *Actinocyclus* (14%) and *Corethron* (12%) – and

purple – enriched in *Actinocyclus* (10%) and *Chaetoceros* (9%) – displayed a similar

positive correlation with nitrate and phosphate while the latter was negatively

correlated with iron and latitude. This potentially indicates that these diatom sub-

communities are the most responsive to nutrient availability while being associated

with different oceanic regions – either SO (midnightblue, turquoise) or SPO/NPO

(purple).

On the other hand, all V9 pink, brown and turquoise modules, which all harboured

species representative of SO basins and clustered together, were significantly

correlated with the three nutrients but were enriched in a different set of species. While

both V9 pink (SO/IO) and brown (SO/NAO) modules contained larger diatoms and

showed a high proportion of *Chaetoceros* ASVs (49% and 13% of ASVs, respectively,

ranked 2nd and 3rd), the latter also displayed a significant contribution of *Odontella*

ASVs (7%) and was positively correlated with chlorophyll *a* and ammonium. In

contrast, the V9 turquoise (SO/SPO) module was enriched in *Hemiaulus* and

Thalassiosira ASVs (~10% and 7%). This therefore suggests the existence of 3 distinct

SO-associated diatom sub-communities similarly responding to the availability of

major nutrients but differing by their size, taxonomic composition and response to

other environmental factors.

Both V4 and V9 blue modules were enriched in *Chaetoceros* and *Thalassiosira* genera

from the AO, corroborating their negative correlation with temperature, and showed

positive correlations with dissolved inorganic carbon and chlorophyll *a*. The V9 blue

module was also positively correlated with net primary productivity, as was the V4

royalblue module (~15% *Bacteriastrum*), illustrating the diatom taxa associated with

the most productive regions. These could correspond to either species outcompeted

in conditions of nutrient scarcity, and/or to taxa highly contributing to net primary

productivity.

Finally, the V4 lightcyan module, which gathered very few ASVs (47) and notably from

the genera *Chaetoceros* and *Guinardia*, was the most associated with iron but showed

no other significant pattern, which could either indicate taxa specialized in iron uptake

or species with elevated iron quotas. Altogether, these analyses provide novel insights

into factors regulating the assemblage of diatom communities and highlight modules

harboring species indicative of different environmental gradients and, therefore,

showing different life strategies. These results could be considered for future studies

exploring potential indicator species among plankton.

Unassigned sequences

Unassigned ASVs, which could not be classified at genus or species level, constituted

41.5% and 57.9% of the total diatom V4 and V9 ASVs, and 25.7% and 19.2% of total

diatom V4 and V9 reads (**Figure S16a**). These include ASVs identified only at the

phylum level (e.g., 'unknown' diatoms), which consist of 229 V4 and 903 V9 ASVs.

Additionally, ASVs classified only at the class level included 1,129 V4 and 1,080 V9

ASVs. Notably, the number of unclassified ASVs from pennate diatoms exceeded

those assigned to specific pennate genera (578 vs 414 ASVs for V4, and 554 vs 200

V9 ASVs). Given that pennate diatoms are primarily benthic and only occasionally

found in plankton—with exceptions such as *Thalassionema, Fragilariopsis* and

Pseudo-nitzschia [60]— this distribution aligns with the limited studies from sediments[56].

The proportion of unassigned reads was higher in the 3/5-20 µm size fraction and less

prevalent in the larger size classes (20-180 µm and 180-2000 µm) (**Figure S16b**). This

is an expected result because diatoms belonging to the largest size fractions are those

more intensively studied and are hence better represented in genetic reference

databases. In addition, there were variations across oceanic regions and sampling

sites, with SPO, SAO, AO, and in particular SO, showing higher relative abundances

of unassigned ASVs (**Figure S16c**), probably because the southern hemisphere and

the poles are the least explored areas. While the V4 and V9 datasets showed similar

trends for unassigned sequences overall, a few stations (TARA_085 and TARA_086

in the SO, TARA_188 in the AO, and TARA_006 in the MS) had a high abundance of

unassigned V9 ASVs compared to V4 ASVs, while the opposite was found in

TARA_158 in AO (**Figure S16d**).

The biogeography of diatoms is consistent with their genetic and transcriptional features

Beyond taxonomic insights from 18S V4 and V9 sequences, complementary functional

information can be derived from metatranscriptomics, which provides quantifiable

information about mRNA levels. Therefore, we mined polyA RNA sequencing data

from the same plankton samples used for metabarcoding. We focused on the top 100

nuclear-encoded Pfam families with the highest transcript abundances, which covered

56% of the total sum of diatom metatranscriptomic relative abundances in the global

ocean, thus representing the cellular functions and processes in which natural diatom

populations invest the bulk of their energy and resources, at least as viewed at the level of mRNA abundance (**Figure 9**). To reduce redundancy, Pfams for similar functions were merged (e.g., 137 Pfams coding for different diatom ribosomal subunits were merged into "Ribosomal proteins", and 47 Pfams coding for different ubiquitin domains were merged into "Ubiquitin domains").

Many photosynthetic proteins are in the list. Indeed, the most abundant single Pfam family is found in the light-harvesting complex (LHC) proteins (PF00504), the group of pigment-binding proteins proposed to be the most abundant membrane proteins on Earth. LHCs comprise almost 3% of the total sum of diatom metatranscriptomic relative abundances, in comparison to 9.2% of the 137 Pfam families for ribosomal proteins, and 4.2% of the 47 ubiquitin Pfam domains. Additionally, our top 100 Pfams include ATP synthase subunit C (PF00137), which contributes to carbon-concentrating mechanisms[66], and flavodoxin (PF00258), with 0.24% and 0.20% of the total metatranscriptomic normalized abundances. Beyond photosynthesis, the maintenance of the frustule also appeared to require a high transcriptional effort, reflected by the observation that the silicon transporters (PF03842)[67] were the 40th most transcriptionally abundant family (0.36% of the total sum of metatranscriptomic relative abundances), and are mainly found in diatoms and dictyochophytes (another silicifying group).

Among the top 100 Pfams, only one domain of unknown function (DUF) was present: DUF285 (PF03382). This enigmatic tandem repeat protein is distantly related to leucine rich repeats[68] (**Figure 10a)** and has multiple gene copies in some bacteria, giant viruses and in eukaryotic phytoplankton (**Figure S17**). Genes and transcripts coding for DUF285 are notably abundant at high latitudes (**Figure 10b-c**), and this trend extends to phytoplankton beyond diatoms (**Figure S18**). Interestingly, DUF285

exhibits a solenoid conformation (**Figure 10a**) similar to proteins with antifreeze

activity[69].

Other Pfam families displayed specific transcript abundance patterns based on ocean

regions, such 'Cold-shock' DNA-binding domains (PF00313) being predominant in AO

and SO, and the opposite trends for Heat Shock Factor-type DNA binding domains

(PF00447) and Heat Shock Proteins 20, 70 and 90 (HSP20, HSP70 and HSP90;

PF00011, PF00012 and PF00183, respectively) **(Figures 9 and and S18)**. Other

Pfams more abundant in temperate waters include many of the diatom prevalent

families such as dioxygenase (PF00775), retinal pigment epitherial membrane protein

(PF03055), subtilase family (PF00082), YHYH protein (PF14240), Zinc finger C2H2

type (PF00096), and zinc-binding domain (PF12907).

Given the dominance of LHCs, we conducted a detailed analysis of this family. In

addition to the canonical members involved in light-harvesting (LHCf, LHCq, and

LHCr), this superfamily includes the diatom-specific LHCx and LHCz subfamilies,

engaged in photoprotection[70] **(Figure 10d)**. After annotating over 60,000 diatom LHC

sequences into these subfamilies, distinct expression patterns emerged. The ratio of

transcripts for light-harvesting subfamilies to those for photoprotection subfamilies was

higher in larger size fractions (**Figure 10e-g**). This increase likely serves as a

compensatory response to counteract intracellular light attenuation: as cell volume

increases, the path length of light within the cell also increases, reducing the light

intensity per unit volume. We also observed spatial distinctions in expression related

to depth and latitude. Among the pool of LHC transcripts, photoprotective subfamilies

were prevalent at the surface, whereas light-harvesting subfamilies were predominant

in the DCM (**Figure 10f**). In addition, photoprotection subfamilies were slightly more

prevalent at higher latitudes, which are areas characterized by photo-physiological

stresses such as low temperatures and elongated photoperiods (long days in the summer, and long nights in the winter) (**Figure 10e**).

To infer adaptation patterns (e.g., gene copy number variations) in different diatom species, we explored the metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) recently reconstructed from the same DNA samples used for amplifying the 18S rRNA gene amplicons[71]. Due to assembly and binning challenges, these MAGs do not contain the 18S rRNA gene, so their taxonomy was inferred using protein-coding marker genes[71]. A total of 52 MAGs were assigned to diatoms, with variable ranges of completeness (3-87% BUSCO score[72]). Focusing on the 18 MAGs with over 70% BUSCO completeness, we observed a tendency towards higher gene copy numbers for LHCx, LHCz and DUF285 in MAGs common in high latitudes **(Figure 10h)**. Therefore, the biogeography of the MAGs aligns with their genetic characteristics, further underlining the intricate relationship between genetic adaptation and environmental factors in diatom populations.

Conclusions

This study utilizes the *Tara* Oceans datasets to provide an extensive analysis of diatom diversity and distributions across the world's ocean, enhanced by functional profiling. We employed parallel metabarcoding analyses of the V9 and V4 hypervariable regions, offering a wide range of taxonomic resolution ideal for genus-level diversity research. Despite differences in taxonomic resolution and reference databases, our results revealed significant similarities between V4 and V9 molecular patterns, with 15 genera common among the top 20 in both datasets. Additionally, these molecular methods were corroborated with light microscopy data. Our findings reinforce the role of diatoms as a key photosynthetic group in marine ecosystems,

highlighting prevalent genera such as *Chaetoceros*, *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus*, and

Pseudo-nitzschia. We defined 25 distinct communities of co-occurring diatom lineages

with varying environmental preferences, illustrating diverse ecological adaptations.

The AO stands out as a hotspot of diatom abundance and diversity with 6 of the diatom

communities exclusive to it. Our results provide insights into diatom life strategies from

a wide range of ecosystems and illustrate the ecological convergence of diatom

communities from distinct oceanic regions regarding the availability of major nutrients.

We envision that these results will help to identify indicator species for ocean

monitoring, especially since many diatom lineages were predicted to be vulnerable to

climate change scenarios[73].

In addition to taxonomic insights from metabarcoding, we obtained functional

information from metatranscriptomics by analyzing changes in mRNA abundance in

environmental samples. Our data indicate distinct gene expression patterns

correlating with biogeographical distribution. For instance, diatoms in higher latitudes

exhibited increased transcript levels coding for 'Cold-shock' DNA-binding proteins as

well as for the enigmatic DUF285, suggesting a link between metabarcoding patterns

and gene expression profiles. Globally, the most expressed gene family was LHC, with

varying expression patterns among subfamilies related to light harvesting versus

photoprotection, reflecting changes in depth, latitude and cell size. To understand

adaptation strategies, such as gene copy number variations among diatom species,

we analyzed MAGs derived from the same DNA samples used for 18S rRNA gene

amplification. The biogeographical distribution of diatom MAGs aligned with their gene

copy numbers related to photoacclimation.

In conclusion, our study offers a thorough pole-to-pole examination of marine diatom

populations, encompassing the entire plankton size spectrum. This research provides

critical insights into the impact of global changes and human-induced disturbances on

marine ecosystems.

Material and Methods

Tara Oceans sampling

Tara Oceans expeditions between 2009 and 2013 performed a worldwide sampling of

plankton in the upper layers of the ocean, including surface (SRF; 5 m depth) and

deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM; 17–188 m). To capture the whole size spectrum of

plankton, a combination of filter membranes with different pore sizes (size-

fractionation) was used[36]. Four major eukaryotic-enriched size fractions were

collected: pico-nanoplankton (0.8 to 5 µm or 0.8 to 2000 µm; named here 0.8-5/2000

µm), nanoplankton (5 to 20 µm or 3 to 20 µm; named here 3/5-20 µm), microplankton

(20 to 180 µm), and mesoplankton (180 to 2000 µm)[3,36]. Given the inverse relationship

between plankton size and abundance[36], the seawater volumes filtered was increased

for larger size fractions (from 10^2 to 10^5 L; see Table 1 and Fig. 5 in Pesant et al.[36]).

These plankton samples were leveraged to generate different molecular and optical

datasets analysed in the current work (**Figure S2**). Specific details about them and

their analyses are described below.

Metabarcoding datasets

Nucleic acid extraction methods were applied to obtain DNA from the different size-

fractionated samples. In order to target eukaryotic plankton diversity and relative

abundance, a metabarcoding approach was performed using the 18S rRNA gene as

molecular marker. Detailed information about DNA extraction, PCR amplification and

Illumina sequencing of metabarcodes are described by[74,75]. Briefly, DNA samples

were amplified by PCR targeting the hypervariable region V9 (130 ± 4 base pairs

length; primer pair: 1389F 5'-TTGTACACACCGCCC-3' and 1510R 5'-

CCTTCYGCAGGTTCACCTAC-3')[76] or V4 (385 ± 4 base pairs length; primer pair

TAReuk454FWD1 5'-CCAGCASCYGCGGTAATTCC-3' and TAReukREV3 5'-

ACTTTCGTTCTTGATYRA-3')[77] of the 18S rRNA marker gene followed by the high-

throughput sequencing of the amplicons[74]. The details of PCR mixes, thermocycling

and sequencing conditions are provided in Alberti et al.[75]

Resulting paired-end reads were mixed-oriented meaning that both R1 and R2 files

are composed by a mix of forward and reverse reads. Paired-end reads were trimmed

to remove PCR primer sequences using Cutadapt v2.7[78] and dispatched into four files,

2 files for the classical orientation (forward reads in R1 and reverse reads in R2) and

2 others for the other orientation (reverse reads in R1 and forward reads in R2). Paired-

end reads without both primers were filtered out using the option --discard-untrimmed.

Forward and reverse reads were trimmed at position 80 for V9 and at position 215 for

V4 and reads with ambiguous nucleotides or with a maximum number of expected

errors (maxEE) superior to 2 were filtered out using the function *filterAndTrim*() from

the R package *dada2* [37]. For each run and read orientation, error rates were defined

using the function *learnErrors*() and denoised using the *dada*() function with pool =

TRUE before being merged using *mergePairs*() with default parameters. Mixed

orientated reads from the same sample and sequencing replicates were summed

together. Remaining chimeras were removed using the function

removeBimeraDenovo(). Scripts producing the ASV tables are publicly available here:

https://gitlab.univ-nantes.fr/combi-ls2n/taradada. ASVs were taxonomically assigned

using IDTAXA (50% confidence threshold, no sequence similarity threshold) [38] with

the PR2 database version 4.14[39]. The scripts for the taxonomic assignment are

publicly available here: https://gitlab.sb-roscoff.fr/nhenry/abims-metabarcoding-

taxonomic-assignment/-/tree/v1.0.1. To facilitate a comparison between diatoms and

other photosynthetic groups, we annotated the ASVs as phytoplankton—including

photosynthetic dinoflagellates and chrysophytes—whenever their taxonomic

resolution was adequate for alignment with known phytoplanktonic lineages. This

classification was informed by a literature review and expert insights compiled in the

trait reference database accessible at

https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.3768950. To reduce the influence of PCR and

sequencing errors not detected by the dada2 algorithm, only sequences seen in at

least two different samples with at least three copies were retained.

Metatranscriptomic and metagenomic datasets

We mined the *Tara* Oceans catalog of eukaryotic expressed genes (Marine Atlas of

Tara Oceans Unigenes version 2 (MATOU.v2[79,80]). Briefly, the catalog was built in the

following steps. Nucleic acid extraction methods were applied to obtain eukaryotic

polyA RNA from the different size-fractionated samples and subsequent Illumina

sequencing was carried out[75,79]. The corresponding metatranscriptomic reads were

assembled and clustered at 95% identity[79,80]. The metatranscriptomic reads were

mapped onto the sequence catalogue to provide the abundance of each transcript

sequence in every sample[79]. Similarly, metagenomic reads from the same seawater

samples were mapped onto the sequence catalog to ascertain the abundance of each

gene in every sample[79]. The taxonomic assignation of the unigene catalog was carried

out by sequence similarity against a custom reference database and functional

assignation was performed using the Pfam database[79].

For our analysis, we focused on sequences assigned to diatoms and other eukaryotic

phytoplankton groups for comparative purposes. It is important to note that we could

not exclude heterotrophic dinoflagellate species due to the limited number of reference

sequences available for this group. We then retrieved the metatranscriptomic read

abundances (rpkm values) of the selected sequences and normalized them to the total

read abundance for transcripts of the corresponding phytoplankton taxon in each

sample. Additionally, for specific cases of interest such as LHC, DUF285, and HSP90,

we also retrieved the metagenomic read abundances and performed the equivalent

abundance normalization.

Metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) dataset

MAGs were reconstructed and manually curated from *Tara* Oceans metagenomic

reads by Delmont et al.[71] (available at https://www.genoscope.cns.fr/tara/#SMAGs).

The MAGs received a geographical assignment based on their read recruitment in the

Tara Oceans sampling stations after mapping each MAG onto the *Tara* Oceans

metagenomic dataset[71]. The estimation of genome completion was performed by

retrieving the Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO)[72]. The

taxonomic annotation was carried out by phylogenetic analyses of eukaryotic core

gene markers[71]. For the current analysis, we selected the 18 MAGs assigned to

diatoms and with over 70% BUSCO completeness, and we determined their gene

copy number for LHCs and DUF285 as described below.

Optical microscopy datasets

654 For light microscopy, three ml of each sample (from 20-180 µm size fractions) were

655 placed in an Utermöhl chamber with a drop of calcofluor dye (1:100,000) which stains

656 cellulose, thus allowing to better detect and identify dinoflagellates. Cells falling in 2 or

657 4 transects of the chamber were identified and enumerated using an inverted light

658 microscope (Carl Zeiss Axiophot200) at 400x magnification.

WGCNA of diatom metabarcoding data

We used the R package WGCNA (Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis) [64] to infer the ASVs co-occurence networks for both V4 and V9 metabarcoding data. To remove redundancy, for every station we pooled the reads for the four size fractions into a single aggregated sample. We discarded the samples without all the four size fractions. A Pearson correlation matrix was computed using the ASVs abundances. We raised the Pearson correlation to a power of 12 and 20, for V4 and V9 respectively, to compute the adjacency matrices and we used these matrices to generate a topological overlap measure (TOM). A hierarchical clustering was finally performed on the TOM matrices to identify 25 modules and a set of unclustered ASVs (grey module) (**Figure 8**). The module eigengenes were also computed for each module identified (grey module included) and then used to generate correlations (and associated *p*-values) between each module and 48 environmental variables retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.875582 (**Figure S19**) (see also below).

Analysis of LHC and DUF285 in metagenomes and metatranscriptomes

We analyzed the gene and transcript abundances of LHCs and DUF285 according to environmental variables by mining the metatranscriptomes and metagenomes generated by *Tara* Oceans. In particular, we searched the MATOU-v2[79,80] catalog for sequences coding for LHCs (PF00504) and DUF285 (PF03382) by running HMMer (version 3.2.1 with the gathering threshold option; http://hmmer.org/) over the translated sequences. We retained only sequences assigned to diatoms, and retrieved the corresponding metagenomic and metatranscriptomic read abundances (rpkm values) and normalized them to the total read abundance for diatom transcripts in each

metatranscriptomic sample. We did a similar HMMer search among the gene catalog

from diatom MAGs.

For the functional classification of LHCs into the main subfamilies (LHCf, LHCq, LHCr,

LHCx and LHCz), we performed a phylogenetic placement of the translated

sequences on the reference phylogeny described in Kumazawa et al[70]. Protein

sequences were aligned with mafft version 6 using the G-INS-I strategy[81]. The output

alignment was trimmed in both N- and C-terminal regions to maintain the reference

alignment limits. The phylogenetic inference was made using approximate maximum

likelihood with FastTree[82]. The sequences were classified according to their grouping

in monophyletic branches with statistical support of >0.7 with reference sequences of

the same functional group.

Plotting and statistical analysis

Analyses were carried out in R language (http://www.r-project.org/). Exponentiated

Shannon Diversity Index (expH), a diversity index that accounts for both species

richness and evenness, was calculated using R library *vegan* [83]. Estimates of total

ASV richness were done with the *specpool()* function from the *vegan* library. Graphical

analyses were carried out using R library *ggplot2*[84] and treemaps were generated with

treemap [85]. Maps were generated with *borders()* function in *ggplot2*[84] and

geom_point() function for bubbles or *scatterpie* package [86] for pie charts. Loess

smooth plots with 95% confidence windows were plotted with *geom_smooth*() function

in *ggplot2*. Spearman's rho correlation coefficients and *p*-values were calculated using

the *cor.test()* function of the *stats* package. Metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS)

analysis to visualize Bray–Curtis distances was carried out with the *metaMDS()*

command in the R package *vegan*[87], and the influence of environmental variables on

sample ordination was evaluated with the function *envfit*() in the same R package,

whereas the pie charts were plotted with *scatterpie* package. Partial least square

analyses were implemented with the R package *plsdepot* [88].

Physico-chemical and biotic parameters

Physicochemical parameters were retrieved from

https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.875582 and a full description of the performed

measurements is described in Pesant et al[36]. Measurements of temperature,

conductivity, salinity, depth, pressure, and oxygen were carried out with a vertical

profile sampling system (CTD-rosette) and Niskin bottles. Chlorophyll *a*

concentrations, a proxy for total phytoplankton biomass, were measured using high-

performance liquid chromatography. Dissolved nutrients (NO_3^-, PO_4^{3-}, Si) were

analyzed according to previous methods[89,90]. We complemented the *in situ*

measurements with (i) the average intra-annual variation of sea surface temperature

(IAV SST) as indicator of environmental instability, which we obtained from the

Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature v5 for years 1997-2017[91], (ii) the

annual maximum of nitrate concentration (AM NO_3^-) retrieved from the World Ocean

Atlas 2009[92], (iii) silica, nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, and iron levels derived from the

ECCO2-DARWIN ocean model[93].

For biological predictors, we included the abundances of picocyanobacteria

(*Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus*), copepods (grazers), and silicifiers. Copepod

counts were collected with the WP2 net (mesh size of 200 μm) towed vertically from

500 m to the surface (ecotaxa.obs-vlfr.fr/prj/377, ecotaxa.obs-vlfr.fr/prj/378).

Picocyanobacteria counts were determined by flow cytometry[12]

(data.mendeley.com/datasets/p9r9wttjkm/2). The relative abundances of silicifiers

were based on the percentage of reads among V4 and V9 barcode datasets as

described previously.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all colleagues from the *Tara* Oceans consortium as well as the Tara Ocean Foundation for their inspirational vision. We are grateful to Shruti Malviya and Federico Ibarbalz for providing initial details about the metabarcoding data. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of IDRIS, Jean Zay supercomputer, under the allocation 2020–DARI A0080111497 made by GENCI, and has been supported by the FFEM - French Facility for Global Environment, French Government 'Investissements d'Avenir' programs OCEANOMICS (ANR-11-BTBR-0008), FRANCE GENOMIQUE (ANR-10-INBS-09-08), MEMO LIFE (ANR-10-LABX-54), and PSL Research University (ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02). C.B. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Diatomic; grant agreement No. 835067), the Horizon Europe projects Marco-Bolo (grant agreement no. 101082021) and BlueRemediomics (grant agreement no. 101082304), Agence Nationale de la Recherche "Phytomet" (ANR-16-CE01-0008) and BrownCut (ANR-19-CE20-0020), and the BNP Paribas Foundation Climate & Biodiversity Initiative. J.J.P.K. acknowledges postdoctoral funding from the Fonds Français pour l'Environnement Mondial, and by Moore–Simons Project on the Origin of the Eukaryotic Cell, Simons Foundation (735929LPI). This article is contribution number xxx of *Tara* Oceans.

Contributions

CB and JJPK designed the study and supervised the project. JJPK and CB wrote the paper with substantial input from LZ, AZ, KC and all co-authors. LZ and AZ helped with new ideas and result interpretation. AZ and ES assisted with the optical microscopy data and interpretation. SC and Erwan Delage built the ASV tables, and

757 NH performed the taxonomic annotation. KC runned initial exploratory molecular

758 analyses. GB runned the WGCNA calculations, JJPK plotted the results, and CN and

759 JJPK performed manual analysis and provided interpretations. FRJV and Etienne

760 Dvorak runned initial exploratory analysis of metatranscriptomics, and SO helped with

761 result interpretation. JJPK performed the formal analysis and visualization.

762 Competing interests

763 The authors declare no competing interests.

764 Data availability

The authors declare that all the data supporting the findings of this study are publicly

available in the following repositories and in the supplementary information files of this

paper. The contextual data are available in Pangaea (www.pangaea.de) with the

identifier https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.875582. rDNA 18S metabarcoding data

are deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under accession numbers

PRJEB6610 and PRJEB9737, and metagenomic and metatranscriptomic data under

PRJEB402, PRJEB9738 and PRJEB9739. The ASV table and the taxonomic

annotation for the V4 marker are available in https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7551644

and for the V9 marker in https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7548227. The manually

curated MAGs by Delmont et al.[71] are available at

https://www.genoscope.cns.fr/tara/#SMAGs. Flow cytometry data are available at

https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/p9r9wttjkm/2.

Main Figures

Figure 1: Contribution of diatoms to eukaryotic phytoplankton (a-c) and silicifiers (d-e) in
epipelagic waters of the global ocean sampled by *Tara* Oceans using 18S rDNA
metabarcoding data obtained from different size-fractions. a-c) Phytoplankton. We focused
exclusively on the phytoplankton signal of these data sets based on a functional database
(https://zenodo.org/record/3768951#.YM4odnUzbuE), including dinoflagellates and
chrysophytes though we acknowledge there are uncertainties in assigning photosynthesis
capacity in these groups. a) Relative abundances for V9 marker (log scale). Each point is a
size-fractionated sample. b) Sum of normalized reads for V9 marker in the overall dataset.
The equivalent panels a and b for the V4 marker are displayed in Fig S3. c) ASV richness for
both V4 and V9 marker regions in the overall dataset. d-e) Silificiers. a) Relative abundances
for V9 marker (log scale). Each point is a size-fractionated sample. b) Sum of normalized
reads for V9 marker in the overall dataset. The equivalent panels a and b for the V4 marker
are displayed in Fig S3.
795
796

799 **Figure 2:** Latitudinal gradients of diatom relative abundance and diversity in surface waters
800 using V9 metabarcoding data obtained from different size-fractions. a) Distribution maps. b)
801 Latitudinal gradient for relative abundance. c) Latitudinal gradient for the exponentiated
802 Shannon Diversity Index. The blue lines correspond to Loess smoothings. The equivalent plots
803 for the V4 marker are displayed in Fig S6.

807
Figure 3: Diatom abundance and diversity in relation to environmental parameters. a)
Correlation circle based on the partial least square analysis of V4 and V9 diatom relative
abundance and Shannon diversity as responses and a diverse set of abiotic (left) and biotic
(right) variables as predictors: annual maximum nitrate concentration (AM NO_3^-), ratios of
specific nutrients (ammonia, phosphate, iron, silica) to total dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN),
temperature, intra-annual variability in sea surface temperature (IAV SST), chlorophyll a
concentration, grazers (copepods), picocyanobacteria (*Synechococcus* and
Prochlorococcus), and silicifiers (the radiolarians of the orders *Nassellaria*, *Spumellaria*, and
Phaeodarea, the ochrophytes *Chrysophyceae* and *Dictyochophyceae*, choanoflagellates, and
centroheliozoa). b) Pattern of the contribution of diatom reads across size fractions in relation
with physico-chemical parameters. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analysis of
stations according to Bray–Curtis distance between size assemblages, with fitted statistically
significant physico-chemical parameters (black, adjusted p-value < 0.05; grey, 0.05 < adjusted
p-value < 0.10). Each pie chart is the V4 or V9 data from a single station. NMDS stress value:
0.1055151.
823

Figure 4: Abundance patterns of diatom classes. a) Relative abundances for V9 marker (log scale). Each point is a size-fractionated sample. b) Biogeography. Each circle is a size-fractionated sample. c) NMDS analysis of stations according to Bray–Curtis distance. Fitted statistically significant physico-chemical parameters (adjusted P value < 0.05) are displayed: ratio of phosphate to total dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), temperature, intra-annual variability in sea surface temperature (IAV SST), and chlorophyll a concentration. Each pie chart is a size-fractionated sample. NMDS stress value: 0.13359. Comparison between V4 and V9 patterns are displayed in **Figure S7**. The maps separated by diatom classes are shown in **Figure S9**.

835
Figure 5: Summary of diatom genera detected in the V9 and V4 metabarcoding datasets. A
total of 76 genera were defined for V4 barcodes and 63 for V9 barcodes. (Column a) Richness

838   expressed as number of ASVs (log scale). (Column b) Abundances expressed as the sum
839   across samples of the relative abundances of rDNA reads (log scale). (Column c) Percentage
840   distribution of rDNA read relative abundances per size fraction. (Column d) Percentage
841   distribution of rDNA read relative abundances per depth. (Column e) Occupancy expressed
842   as the number of stations in which the genus was observed.
843

Figure 6: Abundance, diversity and distribution of the top 20 most abundant diatom genera in V4 and V9 datasets. These genera accounted for 92% of assigned reads in the entire V9 dataset and 90% in the V4 dataset when pooling together all size fractions and depths. A) Read taxonomic distribution. B) Diversity and spatial distribution. Genus names are color coded according to diatom class. *a*. Abundance rank of each genus within each dataset (in black when ranking as top 20, otherwise in gray). *b*. Sum of the percentage of reads across all samples (log scale). *c*. Number of stations in which the genera are detected. *d*. Sum of the percentage of reads across oceans. Bars are colour-coded by ocean. *e*. Spearman correlations against absolute latitude. Crosses indicate absence of statistical significance (p>0.05).

Figure 7: Global distribution of the 10 most abundant genera in each V9 and V4 datasets. These genera account for 88.2% and 82.4% of the assigned reads in the entire V9 and V4 datasets, respectively. Bubble areas are scaled to the % reads for the genus among eukaryotic reads at each station location, whereas crosses indicate absence of detection. The maps correspond to surface samples from the size fraction where the genus was most prevalent: 20-180 μm for *Chaetoceros*, *Fragilaria*, *Porosira*, *Proboscia*, *Corethron*, and *Trieres*/*Odontella*; 3/5-20 μm for *Thalassiosira*, *Actinocyclus*, *Pseudo-nitzschia*, *Guinardia*, *Leptocylindrus*, *Fragilariopsis*, and *Hemiaulus*. The maps include the circles for both V4 and V9 datasets, while separated maps by marker region are displayed in Figure S14. The maps including all size fractions and covering all detected genera can be found in Supplementary File 1.

Figure 8. Weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) of diatom communities for the V4 (left) and V9 datasets (right). *a*. Module clusteringd according to their co-occurrences. *b*. Pearson correlation between module eigenvalues and physico-chemical parameters. Crosses indicate adjusted p-value>0.05. *c*. Total number of ASVs. *d*. Percentage of ASVs for each diatom class. *e*. Sum of the percentage of reads across size fractions. *f*. Sum of the percentage of reads across oceans. *g*. Sum of the percentage of reads across water layers.

Figure 9: Top 100 most expressed Pfam families among planktonic diatom communities of the global ocean. To reduce redundancy, Pfams for similar functions were merged (e.g., 137 Pfams coding for different diatom ribosomal subunits were merged into "Ribosomal proteins", and 47 Pfams coding for ubiquitin domains were merged into "Ubiquitin domains"). (Column a) Abundance ordered by rank. (Column b) Abundance distribution across size fractions. (Column c) Abundance distribution among ocean regions (AO, Arctic Ocean; NAO, North Atlantic Ocean; NPO, North Pacific Ocean; SPO, South Pacific Ocean; SO, Southern

Ocean; SAO, South Atlantic Ocean; IO, Indian Ocean; MS, Mediterranean Sea). (Column d)
Taxonomic distribution across eukaryotic phytoplankton. Note that we were not able to discard
heterotrophic species from dinoflagellates due to the small number of reference gene
sequences for this group. For each taxon, the relative metatranscriptomic read abundance of
each Pfam was summed across all samples. For each sample, the abundance of a given Pfam
was calculated as the percentage of total metatranscriptomic reads assigned to diatoms in the
corresponding sample.

Figure 10: Gene and transcript patterns for the Pfam families DUF285 and LHC among
diatom populations. a-c) DUF285 (PF03382). a) Structural prediction (Uniprot id B7FV04).
b) PLS analysis for metagenomic (orange) and metatranscriptomic (red) abundances. c)
Metatranscriptomic abundance latitudinal gradient. d-g) LHC (PF00504). d) Structural
prediction (Uniprot id B7G6Y1). e) PLS analysis for metagenomic (orange) and
metatranscriptomic (red) abundances. f) Depth partition between surface (SRF) and deep
chlorophyll maximum (DCM). g) Partition across size fractions. h) Gene copy patterns for LHC
and DUF285 in metagenomic-assembled genomes (MAGs) assigned to diatoms. The
columns correspond to: i) MAG identifier. ii) Class and genus. iii) Latitudinal distribution.
Bubbles area is proportional to vertical read coverage. iv) Completeness based on BUSCO[72].
Only MAGs with >70% completeness are displayed. v) Gene copies for LHC subfamilies and
for DUF285.
903

References

1. Mann, D. G. & Vanormelingen, P. An inordinate fondness? The number, distributions, and origins of diatom species. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* **60**, 414–420 (2013).

2. Malviya, S. *et al.* Insights into global diatom distribution and diversity in the world's ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, E1516–25 (2016).

3. Pierella Karlusich, J. J., Ibarbalz, F. M. & Bowler, C. Phytoplankton in the Ocean. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **12**, 233–265 (2020).

4. Litchman, E., Klausmeier, C. A. & Yoshiyama, K. Contrasting size evolution in marine and freshwater diatoms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **106**, 2665–2670 (2009).

5. Falkowski, P. G., Barber, R. T. & Smetacek, V., V. Biogeochemical Controls and Feedbacks on Ocean Primary Production. *Science* **281**, 200–207 (1998).

6. Rousseaux, C. & Gregg, W. Interannual variation in phytoplankton primary production at A global scale. *Remote Sens. (Basel)* **6**, 1–19 (2013).

7. Tréguer, P. *et al.* Influence of diatom diversity on the ocean biological carbon pump. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 27–37 (2018).

8. Tréguer, P. J. *et al.* Reviews and syntheses: The biogeochemical cycle of silicon in the modern ocean. *Biogeosciences* **18**, 1269–1289 (2021).

9. Allen, A. E. *et al.* Evolution and metabolic significance of the urea cycle in photosynthetic diatoms. *Nature* **473**, 203–207 (2011).

10. Smith, S. R. & Allen, A. E. Comparative and functional genomics of macronutrient utilization in marine diatoms. in *The Molecular Life of Diatoms* 529–566 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2022).

11. Caputo, A., Nylander, J. A. A. & Foster, R. A. The genetic diversity and evolution

of diatom-diazotroph associations highlights traits favoring symbiont integration.

FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **366**, (2019).

12. Pierella Karlusich, J. J. *et al.* Global distribution patterns of marine nitrogen-

fixers by imaging and molecular methods. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4160 (2021).

13. Schvarcz, C. R. *et al.* Overlooked and widespread pennate diatom-diazotroph

symbioses in the sea. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 799 (2022).

14. Tschitschko, B. *et al.* Rhizobia-diatom symbiosis fixes missing nitrogen in the

ocean. *Nature* (2024) doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07495-w.

15. Bowler, C., Vardi, A. & Allen, A. E. Oceanographic and biogeochemical insights

from diatom genomes. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **2**, 333–365 (2010).

16. Brun, P. *et al.* Ecological niches of open ocean phytoplankton taxa. *Limnol.*

Oceanogr. **60**, 1020–1038 (2015).

17. Sathyendranath, S. *et al.* Discrimination of diatoms from other phytoplankton

using ocean-colour data. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **272**, 59–68 (2004).

18. Alvain, S., Moulin, C., Dandonneau, Y. & Loisel, H. Seasonal distribution and

succession of dominant phytoplankton groups in the global ocean: A satellite

view. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **22**, (2008).

19. El Hourany, R. *et al.* Linking satellites to genes with machine learning to

estimate phytoplankton community structure from space. *Ocean Sci.* **20**, 217–

239 (2024).

20. Hinder, S. L. *et al.* Changes in marine dinoflagellate and diatom abundance

under climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **2***,* 271–275 (2012).

21. Leblanc, K. *et al.* A global diatom database – abundance, biovolume and

biomass in the world ocean. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **4**, 149–165 (2012).

22. Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Brochmann, C. & Willerslev, E.

Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding.

Mol. Ecol. **21**, 2045–2050 (2012).

23. Canesi, K. L. & Rynearson, T. A. Temporal variation of Skeletonema community

composition from a long-term time series in Narragansett Bay identified using

high-throughput DNA sequencing. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **556**, 1–16 (2016).

24. Ruggiero, M. V. *et al.* Temporal changes of genetic structure and diversity in a

marine diatom genus discovered via metabarcoding. *Environ. DNA* **4**, 763–775

(2022).

25. Pierella Karlusich, J. J., Lombard, F., Irisson, J.-O., Bowler, C. & Foster, R. A.

Coupling imaging and omics in plankton surveys: State-of-the-art, challenges,

and future directions. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **9**, (2022).

26. Bowler, C. *et al.* The Phaeodactylum genome reveals the evolutionary history of

diatom genomes. *Nature* **456**, 239–244 (2008).

27. Smith, S. R. *et al.* Evolution and regulation of nitrogen flux through

compartmentalized metabolic networks in a marine diatom. *Nat. Commun.* **10**,

4552 (2019).

28. Falciatore, A., Jaubert, M., Bouly, J.-P., Bailleul, B. & Mock, T. Diatom Molecular

Research Comes of Age: Model Species for Studying Phytoplankton Biology

and Diversity. *Plant Cell* **32**, 547–572 (2020).

29. Grigoriev, I. V. *et al.* PhycoCosm, a comparative algal genomics resource.

Nucleic Acids Res. **49**, D1004–D1011 (2021).

30. Durkin, C. A. *et al.* Frustule-related gene transcription and the influence of

diatom community composition on silica precipitation in an iron-limited

environment. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **57**, 1619–1633 (2012).

31. Alexander, H. *et al.* Functional group-specific traits drive phytoplankton

dynamics in the oligotrophic ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **112**, E5972–9

(2015).

32. Pearson, G. A. *et al.* Metatranscriptomes reveal functional variation in diatom

communities from the Antarctic Peninsula. *ISME J.* **9**, 2275–2289 (2015).

33. Cohen, N. R. *et al.* Diatom transcriptional and physiological responses to

changes in iron bioavailability across ocean provinces. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **4**,

(2017).

34. Lampe, R. H. *et al.* Divergent gene expression among phytoplankton taxa in

response to upwelling. *Environ. Microbiol.* **20**, 3069–3082 (2018).

35. Kolody, B. C. *et al.* Nitrogen and Iron Availability Drive Metabolic Remodeling

and Natural Selection of Diverse Phytoplankton during Experimental Upwelling.

mSystems **7**, e0072922 (2022).

36. Pesant, S. *et al.* Open science resources for the discovery and analysis of Tara

Oceans data. *Sci Data* **2**, 150023 (2015).

37. Callahan, B. J. *et al.* DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina

amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 581–583 (2016).

38. Murali, A., Bhargava, A. & Wright, E. S. IDTAXA: a novel approach for accurate

taxonomic classification of microbiome sequences. *Microbiome* **6**, 140 (2018).

39. Guillou, L. *et al.* The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2): a catalog of

unicellular eukaryote small sub-unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy.

Nucleic Acids Res. **41**, D597–604 (2013).

40. Morin, S., Gómez, N., Tornés, E., Licursi, M. & Rosebery, J. Benthic diatom

monitoring and assessment of freshwater environments: Standard methods and

future challenges. in *Aquatic Biofilms: Ecology, Water Quality and Wastewater*

Treatment 111–124 (Caister Academic Press, 2016).

41. Pierella Karlusich, J. J. *et al.* A robust approach to estimate relative

phytoplankton cell abundances from metagenomes. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **23**, 16–

40 (2023).

42. Lavrinienko, A., Jernfors, T., Koskimäki, J. J., Pirttilä, A. M. & Watts, P. C. Does

Intraspecific Variation in rDNA Copy Number Affect Analysis of Microbial

Communities? *Trends Microbiol.* **29**, 19–27 (2021).

43. Fu, R. & Gong, J. Single Cell Analysis Linking Ribosomal (r)DNA and rRNA

Copy Numbers to Cell Size and Growth Rate Provides Insights into Molecular

Protistan Ecology. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* **64**, 885–896 (2017).

44. Pérez-Ortín, J. E. *et al.* Cell volume homeostatically controls the rDNA repeat

copy number and rRNA synthesis rate in yeast. *PLoS Genet.* **17**, e1009520

(2021).

45. Hendry, K. R. *et al.* Competition between silicifiers and non-silicifiers in the past

and present ocean and its evolutionary impacts. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **5**, (2018).

46. Piredda, R. *et al.* Diversity and temporal patterns of planktonic protist

assemblages at a Mediterranean Long Term Ecological Research site. *FEMS*

Microbiol. Ecol. **93**, (2017).

47. Qin, Y. *et al.* Effects of error, chimera, bias, and GC content on the accuracy of

amplicon sequencing. *mSystems* **8**, e0102523 (2023).

48. Chen, B. Patterns of thermal limits of phytoplankton. *J. Plankton Res.* **37**, 285–

292 (2015).

49. Olguín Salinas, H. F., Alder, V. A., Puig, A. & Boltovskoy, D. Latitudinal diversity

patterns of diatoms in the Southwestern Atlantic and Antarctic waters. *J.*

Plankton Res. **37**, 659–665 (2015).

50. Litchman, E. Resource competition and the ecological success of

phytoplankton. in *Evolution of Primary Producers in the Sea* 351–375 (Elsevier,

2007).

51. Buchanan, P. J. *et al.* Enrichment of ammonium in the future ocean threatens

diatom productivity. (2024) doi:10.22541/essoar.171224451.18675433/v1.

52. Percopo, I., Siano, R., Cerino, F., Sarno, D. & Zingone, A. Phytoplankton

diversity during the spring bloom in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea.

Botanica Marina **54**, (2011).

53. Zingone, A., Sarno, D., Siano, R. & Marino, D. The importance and

distinctiveness of small-sized phytoplankton in the Magellan Straits. *Polar Biol.*

34, 1269–1284 (2011).

54. Leblanc, K. *et al.* Nanoplanktonic diatoms are globally overlooked but play a role

in spring blooms and carbon export. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 953 (2018).

55. Arsenieff, L. *et al.* Diversity and dynamics of relevant nanoplanktonic diatoms in

the Western English Channel. *ISME J.* **14**, 1966–1981 (2020).

56. Piredda, R. *et al.* Diatom diversity through HTS-metabarcoding in coastal

European seas. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 18059 (2018).

57. Sommer, U., Peter, K. H., Genitsaris, S. & Moustaka-Gouni, M. Do marine

phytoplankton follow Bergmann's rule sensu lato? *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.*

92, 1011–1026 (2017).

58. Beaugrand, G., Edwards, M., Brander, K., Luczak, C. & Ibanez, F. Causes and

projections of abrupt climate-driven ecosystem shifts in the North Atlantic. *Ecol.*

Lett. **11**, 1157–1168 (2008).

59. Medlin, L. K. & Desdevises, Y. Phylogenetic reconstruction of diatoms using a

seven-gene dataset, multiple outgroups, and morphological data for a total

1054  evidence approach. *Phycologia* **59**, 422–436 (2020).

1055 60. Kooistra, W. H. C. F., Forlani, G. & De Stefano, M. Adaptations of araphid

1056  pennate diatoms to a planktonic existence. *Mar. Ecol.* **30**, 1–15 (2009).

1057 61. Kooistra, W. H. C. F. *et al.* Global diversity and biogeography of Skeletonema

1058  species (bacillariophyta). *Protist* **159**, 177–193 (2008).

1059 62. Busseni, G. *et al.* Large scale patterns of marine diatom richness: Drivers and

1060  trends in a changing ocean. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* (2020)

1061  doi:10.1111/geb.13161.

1062 63. Veselá-Strejcová, J. *et al.* Diverse eukaryotic phytoplankton from around the

1063  Marquesas Islands documented by combined microscopy and molecular

1064  techniques. *Protist* **174**, 125965 (2023).

1065 64. Langfelder, P. & Horvath, S. Fast R functions for robust correlations and

1066  hierarchical clustering. *J. Stat. Softw.* **46**, (2012).

1067 65. Wassmann, P. *et al.* The contiguous domains of Arctic Ocean advection: Trails

1068  of life and death. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **139**, 42–65 (2015).

1069 66. Yee, D. P. *et al.* The V-type ATPase enhances photosynthesis in marine

1070  phytoplankton and further links phagocytosis to symbiogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* **33**,

1071  2541–2547.e5 (2023).

1072 67. Durkin, C. A., Koester, J. A., Bender, S. J. & Armbrust, E. V. The evolution of

1073  silicon transporters in diatoms. *J. Phycol.* **52**, 716–731 (2016).

1074 68. Röske, K. *et al.* A versatile palindromic amphipathic repeat coding sequence

1075  horizontally distributed among diverse bacterial and eucaryotic microbes. *BMC*

1076  *Genomics* **11**, 430 (2010).

1077 69. Banach, M., Konieczny, L. & Roterman, I. Why do antifreeze proteins require a

1078  solenoid? *Biochimie* **144**, 74–84 (2018).

70. Kumazawa, M. *et al.* Molecular phylogeny of fucoxanthin-chlorophyll a/c proteins

from Chaetoceros gracilis and Lhcq/Lhcf diversity. *Physiol. Plant.* **174**, e13598

(2022).

71. Delmont, T. O. *et al.* Functional repertoire convergence of distantly related

eukaryotic plankton lineages abundant in the sunlit ocean. *Cell Genom* **2**,

100123 (2022).

72. Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V. & Zdobnov, E.

M. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with

single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3210–3212 (2015).

73. Chaffron, S. *et al.* Environmental vulnerability of the global ocean epipelagic

plankton community interactome. *Sci Adv* **7**, (2021).

74. de Vargas, C. *et al.* Ocean plankton. Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit

ocean. *Science* **348**, 1261605 (2015).

75. Alberti, A. *et al.* Viral to metazoan marine plankton nucleotide sequences from

the Tara Oceans expedition. *Sci Data* **4**, 170093 (2017).

76. Amaral-Zettler, L. A., McCliment, E. A., Ducklow, H. W. & Huse, S. M. A method

for studying protistan diversity using massively parallel sequencing of V9

hypervariable regions of small-subunit ribosomal RNA genes. *PLoS One* **4**,

e6372 (2009).

77. Stoeck, T. *et al.* Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing

reveals a highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Mol.*

Ecol. **19 Suppl 1**, 21–31 (2010).

78. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

sequencing reads. *EMBnet J.* **17**, 10 (2011).

79. Carradec, Q. *et al.* A global ocean atlas of eukaryotic genes. *Nat. Commun.* **9**,

373 (2018).

80. Zayed, A. A. *et al.* Cryptic and abundant marine viruses at the evolutionary origins of Earth's RNA virome. *Science* **376**, 156–162 (2022).

81. Katoh, K. & Toh, H. Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. *Brief. Bioinform.* **9**, 286–298 (2008).

82. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS One* **5**, e9490 (2010).

83. Community Ecology Package [R package vegan version 2.6-6.1]. (2024).

84. Wickham, H. Data Analysis. in *Use R!* 189–201 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016).

85. Tennekes, M. Treemap Visualization [R package treemap version 2.4-4]. (2023).

86. Yu, G. Scatter Pie Plot [R package scatterpie version 0.2.3]. (2024).

87. Oksanen J, Simpson G, Blanchet F, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Solymos P, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. (2022).

88. Sanchez, G. https://github.com/gastonstat/plsdepot (2015).

89. Bendschneider, K. & Robinson, R. J. *Journal of Marine Research* 11, 87–96 (1952).

90. Murphy, J. & Riley, J. P. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 27, 31–36 (1962).

91. Huang, B. *et al.* Extended Reconstructed Sea Surface Temperature, version 5 (ERSSTv5): Upgrades, validations, and intercomparisons. *J. Clim.* **30**, 8179–8205 (2017).

92. Garcia, H.E., Locarnini, R.A., Boyer, T.P., Antonov, J.I., Zweng, M.M., Bara-nova, O.K., and Johnson, D.R. In World Ocean Atlas, S. Levitus, ed. (U.S. Government Printing Office), p. 398 (2010).

1129   93. Menemenlis, B. D., Campin, J., Heimbach, P., Hill, C., & Lee, T. Mercator

1130   Ocean Quarterly Newsletter, 31(October), 13–21 (2008).

1131