**Natural variation in protein kinase D modifies alcohol sensitivity in *Caenorhabditis***
***elegans***

Benjamin L. Clites[1], Brooke Frohock[1], Emily J. Koury[2], Oluwatoyin C. Ogunbi[1], Maya K.
Mastronardo[3], Bhuvan V. Kanna[1], Erik C. Andersen[2], Jonathan T. Pierce[1]

[1] Waggoner Center for Alcohol & Addiction Research, Center for Learning and Memory,
Department of Neuroscience, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX 78712, USA

[2] Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD 21218, USA

[3] Cell, Molecular, Developmental Biology and Biophysics Graduate Program, Johns Hopkins
University, Baltimore MD 21218, USA

Corresponding author: jonps@utexas.edu

**Running head:** Protein kinase D and alcohol sensitivity in worm

**Keywords:** GWAS, alcohol sensitivity, protein kinase D

**Abstract**

Differences in naïve alcohol sensitivity among individuals are a strong predictor of later-life

alcohol use disorders (AUD). However, the genetic bases of alcohol sensitivity (beyond

ethanol metabolism) and pharmacological approaches to modulate alcohol sensitivity

remain poorly understood. We used a high-throughput behavioral screen to measure acute

behavioral sensitivity to alcohol, a model of intoxication, in a genetically diverse set of over

150 wild strains of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. We performed a genome-wide

association study and identified five quantitative trait loci (QTL) that underlie natural

variation in alcohol sensitivity. We validated that a variant in the conserved ubiquitin-like

domain of a *C. elegans* ortholog of protein kinase D, *dkf-2*, likely underlies the chromosome

V QTL. Furthermore, lower alcohol sensitivity, *i.e.* resistance to intoxication, was conferred

by *dkf-2* loss-of-function mutations. Protein kinase D might represent a conserved,

druggable target to modify alcohol sensitivity with application towards AUD.

**Article summary**

We investigated the genetic basis of differences in alcohol sensitivity, a key predictor of

alcohol use disorder. We measured alcohol-induced behavioral changes in over 150

genetically diverse nematode strains. Using a genome-wide association study, which links

genetic differences to traits, we identified five genomic regions associated with alcohol

sensitivity. We then showed that variation in a gene encoding protein kinase D influences

resistance to intoxication. These findings identify a conserved molecular pathway that

affects alcohol sensitivity and highlight a potential target for intervention. They show how

natural genetic variation can reveal mechanisms underlying complex, human-relevant

traits.

**Introduction**

Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) is one of the most common psychiatric diseases in the USA,

affecting more than one in 10 American adults (Grant et al., 2015; 2017). Many more

people bear the burden of alcohol misuse through indirect effects, such as drunk driving,

lowered productivity, and a stressed medical system, which together cost the USA about a

quarter of a trillion dollars annually (Sacks et al., 2015). Despite the devastating effects of

this disease, relatively few treatment options are available (Franck & Jayaram-Lindstrom,

2013). It is critical that new and effective ways to reduce AUD by treatment and prevention

are developed.

Although research into the molecular mechanisms of alcohol has produced many impactful discoveries, a complementary approach has been to identify the specific genetic variants that contribute to population-wide differences in AUD risk (Schuckit, 2018). Identification of the genetic factors that predict AUD could help to target at-risk individuals with early interventions that curtail the development of alcohol-dependence and also provide actionable insights into the biological foundations of AUD. Major progress towards identifying over 100 genetic variants that are associated with problematic patterns of alcohol consumption has been achieved in recent years (*e.g.*, Walters et al., (2018), Linner et al., (2021), Saunders et al., (2022)). However, candidate variants and their associated genes need to be functionally validated.

One of the best predictors of future drinking problems is alcohol sensitivity (Schuckit, 1994; 1996; 1998). People with low naïve alcohol sensitivity need to drink more to feel intoxicated and are up to four times as likely to become alcoholics compared to people with normal or high alcohol sensitivity. Differences in alcohol sensitivity exist before AUDs develop and are highly heritable (Verhulst et al., 2015). Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for traits correlated with alcohol use disorder in human populations, including alcohol sensitivity, have advanced rapidly in recent years. Any validated causal variants would make ideal biomarkers for early intervention and AUD risk management. Further, although the complex phenotype of AUD is difficult to model, the relatively simple but highly predictive trait of alcohol sensitivity can be easily modeled in the nematode *C. elegans*.

*C. elegans* has played a key role in identifying molecules with conserved effects in mammalian alcohol responses. Genetic screens identified the BK-potassium channel (SLO-1) as the major target for ethanol in nematodes (Davies et al., 2003), and subsequent work used *C. elegans* to identify the role of the NPY (Davies et al., 2004) receptor and SWI/SNF chromatin remodelers in alcohol sensitivity (Mathies et al., 2015). Mutations in these genes, which were first identified using *C. elegans*, predictably alter alcohol sensitivity in mice and humans (Mathies et al., 2015; Du et al., 2005; Robinson & Thiele, 2017). Nearly all studies on alcohol response in *C. elegans* have relied on a single genetic background, the laboratory reference strain N2, except for one recent study that analyzed the alcohol responses of a recombinant inbred line panel derived from crosses of six wild strains (van Wijk et al., 2023). By leveraging the largely unexplored genetic diversity of hundreds of *C. elegans* wild strains from around the world, additional genes with important orthologous roles in human alcohol sensitivity with relation to AUD can be identified (Crombie et al., 2023).

We performed a GWAS with a collection of 152 *C. elegans* wild strains to identify five

quantitative trait loci (QTL) that underlie differences in alcohol sensitivity for egg laying

behavior. We next showed that the chromosome V QTL is likely explained by variation in

*dkf-2*, a highly conserved ortholog of human Protein Kinase D (PKD). Although PKD's

upstream activator, protein kinase C (PKC), has an established role in cross-species

alcohol response (Lee & Messing, 2008), our results are likely the first evidence that PKD

similarly affects alcohol sensitivity. These findings highlight the power of harnessing natural

genetic diversity in a tractable model organism to identify novel genetic effectors of

medically important traits such as alcohol sensitivity.

## Materials and Methods

### Animals

Animals were grown at room temperature (20°C) using nematode growth medium agar

plates seeded with OP50 *E. coli* bacterial lawns as previously described (Brenner, 1974).

Strains were propagated for at least three generations before behavioral testing, to

minimize effects of starvation on development and physiology of the animal or its recent

lineage. To prevent mutational drift in our test populations, strains were re-thawed from the

original stock every one to two months. Animals were synchronized to day-one adulthood

using timed-egg lays.

### Assay for alcohol intoxication sensitivity

Nematode growth medium (NGM) agar (12 mL) was dispensed into a 6-cm diameter Petri

dish and allowed to set for 48 hrs. Next, plates were seeded with ~0.6 mL of OP50 *E. coli* 48

hours prior to testing. At the start of each assay, 10 clonal, day-one adult worms were

picked to an untreated control plate, where they were allowed to lay eggs for one hour.

Animals were then transferred to an ethanol-treated plate, where they were again allowed

to lay eggs for one hour before removal. For each assay, alcohol intoxication sensitivity was

calculated by dividing the number or eggs laid on the ethanol plate by the number of eggs

laid on the untreated control plate subtracted from one (alcohol sensitivity = 1 - (# eggs laid

on ethanol / # eggs laid on control)). To minimize the influence of nuisance variables,

strains were tested in blocks of 18-22 variably interleaved across replicates. Genotype

averages were calculated using alcohol sensitivity scores from about 10 individual assays.

Ethanol plates were prepared by depositing 280 μL of 200 proof ethanol (Sigma) beneath

the agar pad 25 minutes before animals are transferred for behavioral testing (Davis et al.,

2014). After one hour, this exogenous dose results in an internal ethanol concentration of

~80 mM in day-one adult nematodes (Alaimo et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2017). To minimize

between-assay variation, all ethanol plates were massed to 17.5-18 g before application of
ethanol.

**Heritability calculation**

124 Broad-sense heritability ($H^2$) was calculated using $H^2 = V_G/(V_G+V_E)$, where $V_G$ is between
125 strain variance and $V_E$ is residual variance. $H^2$ was estimated using a linear mixed-effect
126 model (*lme4* (R 4.4.0)) where genotype was a random effect (Shaver et al., 2023)

**Determining internal ethanol concentrations**

Internal ethanol concentrations were measured as described previously (Alaimo et al.,
2012). In brief, we used a colorimetric assay that uses alcohol metabolic enzymes (ADH &
ALDH) to generate quantitatively sensitive shifts in absorbance at 340 nm (Megazyme).
Day-one adult animals from each strain were subjected to the same alcohol sensitivity
assay as described above. After one hour on ethanol treatment plates, 200 animals of each
strain were picked into 120 µL of distilled water. The resulting dilution factor was calculated
using estimates of day-one adult worm volumes by Andrews (2019). To prevent evaporation
of ethanol from samples, worms were homogenized on ice using a Pyrex grinder for about
two minutes per sample. Samples were centrifuged, and supernatant was extracted using
a micropipette. Average ethanol concentrations for each strain were determined against a
standard colorimetric absorbance curve.

**GWAS**

140 GWAS was performed using egg-laying behavioral sensitivity to alcohol phenotypes of 152
141 *C. elegans* wild strains and SNV markers from the publicly available CaeNDR *C. elegans*
142 release 20250625 and NemaScan (Widmayer et al., 2022). Fine mapping was performed by
143 analyzing genotype-phenotype relationships for all SNVs in the QTL identified by GWAS,
144 rather than only common SNVs (MAF greater than or equal to 5%).

**Strain selection for QTL validation**

NIC1 and PS2025 strains were chosen based first on the fact that they did not share many
variants in common in the defined QTL, and second that their alcohol sensitivity
phenotypes differed by about one standard deviation (SD). NIC1 (mean=0.463) was a little
less than one SD below the average phenotype for the ALT group (0.568; SD=0.176) and
PS2025 (mean=0.570) was likewise about one SD below the average phenotype for the REF
group (mean=0.692; SD=0.093).

**CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing**

Ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes for co-CRISPR were used to generate mutants

(Farboud & Meyer, 2015; Prior et al., 2017; Woo et al., 2013). Cas9 protein and sgRNA were

incubated together to form an RNP complex *in vitro*. The assembled RNP complex and

repair template were then directly injected into the gonad of a *C. elegans* hermaphrodite,

generating edits in the germline. For ease of screening for desired transformants, a gRNA

for a mutation into the locus *dpy-10* was co-injected that causes a dominant Roller

phenotype (Arribere et al., 2014; Prior et al., 2017). After confirmation of desired edits by

Sanger sequencing, the *dpy-10* marker allele was crossed out by selecting $F_2$ animals

lacking the Roller phenotype.

Target and repair sequences for *vx40* mutant:

*dkf-2* crRNA: 5' UGAGGCUCUACAGUUUAUCA 3'

*dkf-2* ssDNA repair oligo: 5'
GGCCATAAGTGTTTTTTAGTTAACGACAGCTTCCTTGACTTTAACGCGAAGCTCTG

GAAGCTTTACTCCGAGATGTCAAATAGTTCCTCCAGCATTCAAAAATAAGGTTTTTTAAGCTTTTTAA
ACTATCTTTAAACTTT 3'

*dpy-10* crRNA: 5' GCUACCAUAGGCACCACGAG 3'

*dpy-10* ssDNA repair oligo: 5'
CACTTGAACTTCAATACGGCAAGATGAGAATGACTGGAAACCGTACCGCAT

GCGGTGCCTATGGTAGCGGAGCTTCACATGGCTTCAGACCAACAGCCTAT 3'

**Strains**

CK600 – N2 strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function (*tm4076*)

RB14668 – N2 strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function (*tm1704*)

JPS1349 – N2 strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function (*vx40*)

ECA3728 – NIC1(ALT) strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function

ECA3729 – PS2025(REF) strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function

ECA3730 – PS2025(REF) strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function

ECA3731 – NIC1(ALT) strain *dkf-2* loss-of-function

**PKD inhibitor assays**

Alcohol sensitivity assays were conducted as described above. To ensure drug effects,

animals were treated with an exogenous concentration of CID 75563 (2.0 μM) that is high

relative to its IC50 for human PKDs (0.18-0.28 µM), but still far below the IC50 for non-
target protein kinases (*e.g.*, PKC = 10.0 µM; PLK1 = 20.3 µM) (Sharlow et al., 2008).
Exogenous treatment of *C. elegans* to drugs often requires orders of magnitude higher
concentrations than effective internal concentrations (Rand and Johnson, 1995; Burns et
al., 2010). Animals were pre-treated with CID 755673 (DMSO) for one hour prior to alcohol
sensitivity assays. During behavioral assays, both the baseline and alcohol egg-laying
plates contained CID 75563 (2.0 µM) and 0.01% DMSO. Treated animals were tested
alongside same-day controls whose plates were treated with DMSO to account for non-
specific solvent effects.

**Statistical comparisons**

193    Data were analyzed using SPSS  (v29.0.2.0, IBM) or Excel 2022 (365 MSO, Version 2510
194    Build 16.0.19328.20190, 64-bit) with standard planned two-sided t- or ANOVA tests and the
195    using the Holm-Bonferroni method to correct for a priori planned multiple comparisons
196    method and Bonferroni method for post hoc multiple comparisons test.

**Results**

**A genome-wide association study of alcohol sensitivity identifies five loci**

To calculate heritability for *C. elegans* alcohol sensitivity, we assayed a subset of 12
genetically diverse wild strains for acute behavioral sensitivity to alcohol using egg-laying
behavior (Fig. 1a). Egg laying, which relies on the coordinated action of neurons in a defined
circuit, has been exploited to discover and characterize the function of dozens of
conserved molecules (*e.g.*, Desai et al., 1988; Schafer, 2006; Medrano and Collins, 2023).
Alcohol-induced depression of egg-laying behavior has served as a model of intoxication in
*C. elegans* (Davies et al., 2003; Davis et al., 2014).  We found that alcohol sensitivity
differed in the population and was about 42% heritable, mirroring the heritability of alcohol
sensitivity observed in human populations ($H^2 \approx 0.3$-0.5; Verhulst et al., 2015). To confirm
that egg-laying behavior serves as a general readout for alcohol-induced depression in
neuromuscular function as in previous studies (Davies et al., 2003; Davis et al., 2014), we
measured alcohol-induced depression in locomotion for six strains and found a strong
correlation between the measures ($R^2 = 0.87$; Supplementary Fig. 1).

After establishing significant heritable trait variation in the diversity panel, we expanded our
assays and measured alcohol sensitivity of 152 wild strains from around the world (Fig. 1a;
right). We focused on behavioral sensitivity to alcohol using egg-laying because this trait is
easily quantified (number of eggs laid during one hour).  We conducted a GWAS and

identified five QTL significantly associated with differences in alcohol sensitivity (Fig. 1b;
Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 2).

We chose to pursue the QTL on chromosome V with a peak marker at 16,792,754. This QTL
encompassed an approximately 1 Mb interval, where the ALT allele predicted significantly
lower alcohol sensitivity, *i.e.*, resistance to intoxication (Fig. 1b; Fig. 2a). To identify
potential causal variants in the chromosome V QTL, all rare and common SNVs in the
interval were tested for association with alcohol sensitivity differences, with priority given
to alleles with high predicted effects on gene function (*e.g.*, nonsynonymous substitutions,
early stop codons, splice-site variants, etc.) (Fig. 2b). Fine mapping identified several high-
impact variants in the two nearest genes to the peak QTL marker, *dkf-2* (V:16,764,489 C->T)
and *immt-2* (V:16,790,476 G->A), that segregated with differences in sensitivity to ethanol
intoxication (Supplementary Fig. 3). The gene *dkf-2* encodes a *C. elegans* ortholog of
protein kinase D (PKD) (Feng et al., 2007). Interestingly, PKDs function downstream of PKC,
a known effector of alcohol response (Wallace et al., 2007), so we focused our efforts on
this candidate gene. Further, deletion of *dkf-2* was shown to restore movement in a TDP-43
model of neurodegeneration (Liachko et al., 2014), suggesting an ameliorative effect on
neuromuscular depression. These data together suggest that ALT variants in *dkf-2* might
underlie the QTL for alcohol sensitivity.

**Validating the role of Protein kinase D in alcohol sensitivity**

To test whether *dkf-2* represented a causal gene for alcohol sensitivity differences, we
assayed *dkf-2* loss-of-function mutants. We obtained two *dkf-2* deletion alleles in the N2
laboratory wild-type background: *ok1704*, a 716 bp deletion in exons 11 and 12, and
*tm4076*, a 1,646 bp deletion that interrupts an obligate exon of all six known isoforms (Fig.
3a; Supplementary Fig. 4). We found that strains harboring either of the two deletion alleles
had lower alcohol sensitivity relative to the N2 strain (Fig. 3b). We also generated a novel
deletion allele, *vx40*, that deletes two exonic bases causing a putative frame-shift loss-of-
function allele. This novel deletion also conferred decreased alcohol sensitivity.
Interestingly, *vx40* affects only four of the six predicted *dkf-2* isoforms (a, d, e, and f), one
fewer than the *ok1704* deletion (a, c, d, e, and f) and two fewer isoforms than the *tm4076*
deletion (Hillier et al., 2009) (Supplementary Fig. 4). These results provide evidence that
loss of *dkf-2* confers low alcohol sensitivity.

Because the loss-of-function mutants displayed lower alcohol sensitivity relative to strains
that harbor the *dkf-2* REF allele, we hypothesized that the candidate causal natural
variant(s) in *dkf-2* are hypomorphic, because the ALT allele at the marker likewise covaried
with lower alcohol sensitivity. To test whether *dkf-2* plays a role in the alcohol sensitivity of
the wild strains and to probe the nature of the natural candidate causal variants, we

generated two independent *dkf-2* deletion alleles in each of two representative wild strain
backgrounds: NIC1, which harbors the *dkf-2* ALT alleles, and PS2025, which harbors the
*dkf-2* REF alleles. We found that novel deletions in the ALT background did not change
alcohol sensitivity, but deletions in the REF background significantly reduced alcohol
sensitivity (Fig. 3c).

We next performed a hemizygosity test. We crossed PS2025 and NIC1 wild strains with an
N2 background strain carrying the *dkf-2* deletion allele *tm4076*. Interestingly, we found that
$F_1$ *dkf-2* hemizygotes resembled their respective REF or ALT allele parental phenotypes (Fig.
3d). These results provide evidence that the candidate ALT alleles represent likely causal
hypomorphic *dkf-2* natural variants. It is worth noting that, although NIC1 has the same
phenotype in the hemizygosity tests (mean = 0.504, Fig. 3d) as in GWAS (mean sensitivity =
0.463), it was more sensitive than previous experiments in the assays with deletion of *dkf-2*
(mean sensitivity = 0.623, Fig. 3b). This difference was likely caused by aberrantly high
untreated egg-laying measures for this set of assays (mean eggs/hour = 84.2) relative to
earlier tests (mean eggs/hour = 37) and relative to PS2025 in the same tests (mean
eggs/hour = 67.5). Thus, higher basal untreated-measurements of egg laying before
ethanol-treated measurements could have inflated the sensitivity of NIC1 in these assays
relative to other experiments reported here. We do not know the reasons for this notable
difference, but the results from this experiment demonstrate that deletion of the *dkf-2* gene
affects alcohol sensitivity in a background-dependent manner, suggesting that natural ALT
alleles in *dkf-2* drive differences in alcohol sensitivity.

To determine whether *dkf-2* alleles exert their effects on alcohol sensitivity by gross
differences in ethanol metabolism, we measured internal alcohol concentrations for the
lab strain (N2), a *dkf-2* wild strain with REF alleles (PS2025), a *dkf-2* wild strain with ALT
alleles (NIC1), as well as two *dkf-2* deletion mutants in each of the REF and ALT
backgrounds. We found no differences in internal alcohol concentrations after one hour of
ethanol exposure between any of the strains (Supplementary Fig. 5). Moreover, alcohol
concentrations were consistent with established measures (Alaimo et al., 2012). These
results suggest that phenotypic differences in alcohol sensitivity were not caused by
differences in ethanol metabolism or uptake. Taken together, we conclude that *dkf-2* is a
causal gene that contributes to alcohol sensitivity in *C. elegans*.

**An isoleucine-to-valine variant in DKF-2 contributes to differences in alcohol**
**sensitivity**

To investigate specific variants in *dkf-2* that could causally account for natural variation in
alcohol sensitivity, we considered two that are predicted to have impacts on gene function
Fig. 4a). First, we considered V:16,758,168 that is predicted to cause an ALT missense

variant (I263V) in DKF-2 isoforms a, e, and f, as well as a missense variant (I41V) in isoform

d. The variant is located three nucleotides from the start of a splice site for these isoforms.

Second, we considered V:16,764,489 that is predicted to cause a missense variant L78F in

isoform c of DKF-2.

To test if either of the natural ALT variants conferred lower alcohol sensitivity, we generated

knock-in alleles for I236V or L78F in N2 and PS2025 background strains. These strains

harbor REF variants at both loci and are more sensitive to alcohol compared to our

comparison strain NIC1 that has both ALT variants. To control for off-target genome-editing

effects, we generated two independent strains for each knock-in variant replacement,

resulting in eight CRISPR-engineered strains to compare with three wild strain controls (Fig.

4b). We plotted data for each unique strain and statistically compared each CRISPR-

engineered strain with its wild background correcting for multiple comparisons (Fig. 4b).

We found that for three out of four of the engineered strains the I263V ALT variant conferred

significantly decreased alcohol sensitivity compared to their corresponding genetic

background (two-sided t-tests: N2 vs. N2 I263V #1, $p = 0.0247$; N2 vs. N2 I263V #2, $p =$

$0.0850$; PS2025 vs. PS2025 I263V #1, $p = 0.0306$; PS2025 vs. PS2025 I263V #2, $p = 0.0497$;

Fig. 4b). By contrast, we found no significant change in alcohol sensitivity with allele

replacement of the L78F variant. These results functionally validate that the DKF-2 I263V

natural variant underlies the differences in alcohol sensitivity.

To infer how the I263V ALT variant could alter function of DKF-2 in alcohol sensitivity, we

considered conservation of this residue at primary and tertiary protein structure levels. At

the primary sequence level, I263 in DKF-2 is poorly conserved with human and mouse

protein kinase D2 and D3 (PRKD2 and 3) (Supplementary Fig. 6). However, predicted 3D

structures show that I263 is likely located at an analogous position across nematode,

mouse, and human orthologs. Specifically, I263 and its human equivalent, K96, both

represent the terminal residue in an alpha helix within the ubiquitin-like (ULD) domain (Fig.

4c). This predicted alpha helix structure is supported by the crystal structure (2.2 Å

resolution) of the ULD domain from the *C. elegans* PKD paralog DKF-1 (Fig. 4c, Reinhardt et

al., BioEssays 2020). The ULD helps PKD dimerize, which shifts it to a more active state.

Thus, the I263V natural variant could decrease DKF-2 activity by interfering with

dimerization.

**Pharmacological probing of *dkf-2* and alcohol sensitivity**

Because protein kinases represent druggable targets, we next asked whether DKF-2 affects

alcohol sensitivity using a selective protein kinase D inhibitor (CID 755673 – Tocris

Bioscience). We chose to assay the laboratory-adapted strain N2 and a deletion mutant

*dkf-2(ok1704)* in that genetic background to avoid the potential confounding effects of

variation in metabolism of wild strains. CID 755673 has been shown to disrupt multiple
cellular responses of all three human PKDs *in vitro* (Sharlow et al., 2008). Although CID
755673 has not been used in *C. elegans*, previous studies found remarkably conserved
functions and activation dynamics for *C. elegans* DKF-2 and human PKDs. For example,
DKF-2A exogenously expressed in human HEK293 cells is predictably activated by
pharmacological activation of endogenous upstream human PKCs (Feng et al., 2007).
Likewise, DKF-2A expressed in human cell culture shows robust phosphorylation activity
towards human PI4KIIIβ when treated with a PKC activator – a known native target of
human PRKD2 (Aicart-Ramos et al., 2016). We found that the *dkf-2(ok1704)* deletion
mutant showed no significant difference in alcohol response when treated with the
inhibitor (two-sided t-test, $p = 0.84$), whereas the  N2 strain displayed a non-significant
trend towards a decrease in alcohol sensitivity (Supplementary Fig. 7; two-sided t-test $p =$
$0.07$, Cohen's d: 0.584 suggesting a medium effect). Given the non-significant change in
alcohol sensitivity, future *C. elegans* studies might consider optimizing treatment or using a
different PKD inhibitor.

**Discussion**

By harnessing natural variation in wild strains of *C. elegans*, we identified five QTL
associated with variation in alcohol sensitivity. Further, we demonstrated a new role for
protein kinase D (*dkf-2*) in alcohol sensitivity. To our knowledge, protein kinase D has
eluded identification using classical forward-genetic screens for ethanol sensitivity in the
*C. elegans* laboratory strain N2 – nor has PKD attracted attention in the wider field of
alcohol research to date. Only a single lab has considered PKD, not in a role for behavioral
sensitivity to alcohol, but as a potential therapeutic target in experimental alcoholic
pancreatitis (Yuan et al., 2021; Yuan et al., 2022).

**Protein kinases and alcohol response**

Although other protein kinases are known effectors of behavioral responses to alcohol
(*e.g.*, PKCs (Harris et al., 1995; Wallace et al., 2007), ERKs (Lee & Messing, 2008), PKAs
(Pandey et al., 2005), and CAM kinases (Zhang et al., 2011)), this study is the first to
implicate protein kinase D (PKD). PKDs are serine/threonine protein kinases that affect a
wide range of cellular processes (Fu & Rubin, 2011; Ellwanger & Hauser, 2013). Most often,
PKDs are downstream effectors of protein kinase C (PKC) and diacylglycerol (DAG) via
phospholipase C (PLC) β and γ, which are in turn activated by a variety of
neurotransmitters, hormones, and other stressors (Fu & Rubin, 2011; Waldron & Rozengurt,
2003) (Supplementary Fig. 8). Recent studies, however, show that PKDs are sometimes
activated via PKC-independent autophosphorylation, but the precise mechanism and

functional consequences of this pathway remain obscure (Elsner et al., 2019; Reinhardt et
al., 2020).

Using CRISPR-engineered variant swapping, we found evidence that the I263V natural
variant contributed to differential alcohol sensitivity. Inspection of this residue on
predicted tertiary structure suggests that it resides in the alpha helix within the ULD. The
ULD domain mediates dimerization of PKD upon membrane recruitment (via
diacylglycerol), which in turn enables trans-autophosphorylation of the activation loop
thus enabling kinase activation (Reinhardt et al., 2020). This mechanism makes PKD
activation concentration- and localization-dependent, offering a regulatory checkpoint.
The ULD therefore is a key regulatory domain to switch PKD from an inactive (or low
activity) state to an active signaling state. We hypothesize that the I263V ALT variant
reduces the function of DKF-2 by interfering with this conserved domain and its associated
functions.

As integrators of signal inputs from PKC and DAG, PKDs affect a wide array of downstream
cellular processes. These include class II HDAC activity (Parra et al., 2005; Dequiedt et al.,
2005), neuronal function and development (Fu et al., 2009; Bisbal et al., 2008; Czondor et
al., 2009), and Golgi and membrane dynamics (Rozengurt, 2011), as well as a conserved
role in activation of immune and stress tolerance pathways (Kim et al., 2010; Feng et al.,
2007; Ren et al., 2009) (Supplementary Fig.8). PKD could plausibly modify alcohol
sensitivity through one or more of these pathways, but immune and stress tolerance
pathways represent especially promising candidate mechanisms. In *C. elegans, dkf-2* plays
a role in innate immune response, where it promotes PMK-1 (ortholog of p38 MAP kinase)
activity, and downregulates *daf-16*, a transcription factor that promotes stress tolerance
and lifespan through the Insulin/IGF-1-like signaling (IIS) pathway (Lin et al., 1997; Lin et al.,
2001). Thus, PKD sometimes interacts with the p38 MAPK cascade, a pathway containing
*nsy-1* (ortholog of human MAP3K), mutants of which are resistant to the toxic effects of
ethanol and other drugs of abuse (*e.g.*, methamphetamine, MDMA) (Gomez, 2013;
Schreiber & McIntire, 2011).

Given the size of the genomic locus and coding sequence of *dkf-2*, it is puzzling that this
gene was not identified in earlier forward genetic screens. In some of the *dkf-2* deletion
mutants, particularly the *tm4076* mutant where all isoforms of the gene were predicted to
be affected, we found a low penetrance sterility phenotype as well as poor gut health.
Spontaneous mutagenesis and natural selection in wild populations, on the other hand,
could have produced variants subtle enough to affect essential gene function without
causing lethality or sterility (Ossowski et al., 2010; Schroeder et al., 2017).

**Candidate genes in the remaining QTL**

Although we dissected only one of the five QTL identified in the GWAS, statistical fine mapping of the remaining QTL suggests several promising candidate genes underlying these loci (Fig. 1B). We considered genes with natural variants predicted to alter function and *C. elegans* orthologs of human genes that have been associated with alcohol sensitivity and alcohol use disorder across 20 GWA studies (Table 3). For chromosome II, the most significant variant predicted to affect genes expressed in adult stage *C. elegans* was one in the bidirectional promoter for *K02F6.5* and *K02F6.8* genes that encode potassium channel tetramerization domain (KCTD) proteins. This result is intriguing given that the most significant single gene of large effect conveying low alcohol sensitivity is the BK potassium channel SLO-1 (Davies et al., 2003), and two KCTD proteins (6 and 16) have been found by independent human GWAS to be associated with the following alcohol traits: drinks per week, Aspartate aminotransferase platelet ratio index in high alcohol intake, Alcohol use disorder (consumption score), and Fibrosis-4 index in high alcohol intake (Saunders et al., 2022; Linnér et al., 2019; Kranzler et al;., 2019; Innes et al., 2020). Although the BK channel does not appear to rely on KCTD proteins to tetramerize, KCTD affect other potassium channels and have additional roles such as interacting with Gβγ to alter cAMP signaling (Sloan et al., 2023). The chromosome II region also included *sup-9*, which is orthologous to human *KCNK3* potassium channel gene that has also been associated with an alcohol trait (Diastolic blood pressure x alcohol consumption (light vs heavy) interaction (2df test)) (Feitosa et al., 2018). Because alcohol sensitivity in *C. elegans* correlates with anesthetic sensitivity, the potassium channel *sup-9* is a strong candidate (Morgan et al., 2007). Loss of function confers resistance to halothane-induced paralysis, whereas gain of function in its channel component, *sup-10,* causes hypersensitivity (Singaram et al., 2011). For chromosome III, the QTL peak was in *pll-1,* which is an ortholog of human PLCL1 that was associated with alcohol consumption in a meta-analysis of human GWAS (Kapoor et al., 2013). The edge of this QTL contains the acetylcholine transporter *snf-6*, which was isolated in the original forward-genetic screen for mutants with low alcohol sensitivity (Davies et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2004).

The QTL on chromosomes IV and X are more difficult to parse. This result might be explained in part by the low recombination rate of the X chromosome leading to QTL with a larger number of linked genes. Due to local genomic hyper-divergence (Lee et al., 2021), the QTL on chromosomes II, III, and IV were difficult to dissect. In *C. elegans*, certain regions of the genome contain high levels of genetic variation from the laboratory strain N2 as well as other related haplotypes (Lee et al., 2021; Moya et al., 2025). In effect, hyper-divergence leads to difficulty in comparing genome sequences against a reference genome. Although hyper-divergent regions are difficult to dissect, they still could represent true effectors of *C. elegans* alcohol sensitivity.

## Conclusions

Here, we used a high-throughput behavioral screen to measure acute behavioral sensitivity to ethanol intoxication in a diverse set of over 150 wild strains of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Using GWAS, we identified five loci associated with alcohol sensitivity. Next, we causally validated the role of a highly conserved orthologue of PKD in *C. elegans* alcohol response. We further find that a PKD inhibitor trends towards affecting alcohol intoxication sensitivity in a *dkf-2*-dependent manner. Together, these data reveal a new role for PKD in natural variation to alcohol intoxication, a trait that significantly predicts later life alcohol abuse in human populations. If future studies demonstrate a conserved role for PKD in mammalian alcohol response, these findings represent an exciting potential avenue for novel AUD treatments. Already, work in mammals has shown that PKCs are druggable targets with substantial effects on AUD traits (Lee & Messing, 2008). However, relative to PKCs, PKDs were only recently discovered (Fu & Rubin, 2011), thus, far fewer studies and available reagents are available for researchers to exploit. Future work should aim to tease apart the mechanisms by which PKD affects sensitivity to ethanol intoxication.

## Data availability

The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables. Strains and plasmids are available upon request.

## Acknowledgments

For strains and public data, we thank the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, which is funded by NIH (P40 OD010440), WORMBASE, which is funded by NIH (U41 HG002223), and CaeNDR, which is funded by NIH (R50ES037948). We appreciate the Pierce and Andersen labs for advice, Nicole Liachko for the *dkf-2(ok1704)* strain, and Susan Rozmiarek and Cory Gentry for technical assistance.

## Funding

This work was funded in part by the NIH (R01AA020992, R01MH133243, RF1AG057355, R21OD032463, R56MH096881 - J.P.), Waggoner Fellowship for Alcohol Research (J.P.), F.M. Jones and H.L. Bruce Graduate Fellowship (B.C.), and generous support from Tom Calhoon (J.P.).

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no competing interest.

465 **Author contributions:** B.C., E.C.A., and J.T.P. designed research; B.C., T.C.O., B.V.K., and

466 J.T.P. performed research; M.K.M. performed mapping; S.J.K. contributed reagents; B.C.,

467 E.C.A., and J.T.P. analyzed data; J.T.P. and B.C. acquired funding; and B.C. wrote first draft,

468 B.C., E.C.A., and J.T.P. worked on final paper.

**Figure 1: GWAS of natural variation in alcohol sensitivity of 152 *C. elegans* wild strains.**

**(a)** Overview of high-throughput behavioral screen for naïve alcohol sensitivity in 152 *C. elegans* wild strains. In each assay, basal egg-laying rates were measured using ten clonal adults, and strain average phenotypes were calculated as the average phenotype score across approximately 10 replicates for each genotype. Distribution of alcohol intoxication sensitivity in the population is depicted in top right corner. **(b)** Manhattan plot of GWAS for alcohol sensitivity. Each panel represents a chromosome (I-V & X); each point represents an SNV marker. X-axis represents genomic position (Mb), and y-axis denotes significance (-$\log_{10}(p)$). Horizontal black lines denote genome-wide significance cutoff after correcting for the number of independent association tests: Eigen (dotted) and Bonferroni (solid). Highlighted with a vertical red box is the ChrV QTL that was pursued for fine mapping.

**Figure 2: Fine mapping the chromosome V QTL associated with decreased sensitivity.**

**(a)** Each point in boxplots represents the average alcohol sensitivity of a single strain. Y-axis denotes alcohol intoxication sensitivity, and genotype at SNV is shown along the X-axis (REF or ALT). Average phenotypes of all strains were segregated by their genotype at the denoted allele. Top graph shows strains segregated by genotype at the genome-wide significant SNV identified by GWAS. Middle and bottom graphs show phenotypes segregated by genotype at the two high impact mutation candidate variants identified by fine mapping. **(b)** Results from fine mapping. Each line represents an SNV in the significant interval (V:16,638,047-18,330,166) from GWAS. Y-axis denotes significance. Color fill of each SNV represents predicted variant effect, where red denotes high-impact variants, gray represents low-impact variants, and light gray represents intergenic/linker variants.

**Figure 3: Independent deletion alleles in the highly conserved *C. elegans* ortholog of PKD (*dkf-2*) predictably reduce alcohol sensitivity.**

**(a)** Protein kinase D is functionally conserved from humans to *C. elegans*. The human gene products (PKD1, PKD2, PKD3) are compared to one isoform of the *C. elegans* ortholog (DKF-2-A). Orthologous functional domains are labeled. **(b)** Mean alcohol sensitivities (SEM) of three *dkf-2* deletion alleles (*vx40*, *ok1704*, and *tm4076*) were significantly lower than intoxication sensitivity of *dkf-2* lab wild-type (N2) animals (mean & SEM; n=15; *p*=0.0001; p=0.0104; p=0.0167). **(c)** Deletion mutations in a wild strain (NIC1) harboring candidate hypomorphic allele(s) in *dkf-2* does not cause a reduction in alcohol sensitivity

(mean & SEM; n=18; p=0.35; p=0.1573), whereas deletions in a strain without the

candidate hypomorphic alleles cause significant reductions in alcohol sensitivity (mean &

SEM, n=18; p=0.0001; p=0.0052). **(d)** Strains that are hemizygous for ALT genotype at *dkf-2*

are resistant to alcohol, although strains that are hemizygous for the REF genotype at *dkf-2*

are sensitive to alcohol (mean & SEM; n=6; p=0.01). NIC1 (ALT) and PS2025 (REF) were

mated to a *dkf-2* deletion mutant (*tm4076*). $F_1$ progeny from these crosses were assayed for

their alcohol sensitivity. Alcohol sensitivity was higher in PS2025 (REF)x*dkf-2*(-/-) $F_1$'s

relative to NIC1(ALT)x*dkf-2*(-/-) $F_1$'s. Planned two-sided t-tests were used for comparisons.

**Figure 4: The DKF-2(I263V) variant contributes to alcohol sensitivity.** **(a)** Schematic diagram of the *dkf-2* gene locus and location of ALT variants I263V and L78F. **(b)** Alcohol sensitivity plotted for 11 strains that comprise three wild strains and four CRISPR/Cas12 engineered strains made in duplicate. Replacing the ALT variant I263V into PS2025 or N2 backgrounds conferred significant decrease in alcohol sensitivity for three out of four strains, *i.e.,* resistance to intoxication. Swapping the ALT variant L78F had no significant effect in either background. Horizontal colored dashed lines added to help compare to mean of corresponding REF-REF background strains. Planned two-sided t-tests were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm–Bonferroni method. Corrected p-values are shown color coded to compare with the corresponding background strain. **(c)** Predicted structure of *C. elegans* DKF-2 and human PRKD3 share a single alpha helix and beta sheets in the ubiquitin-like domain (ULD). The I263 residue is in an analogous position at one side of the alpha helix. Crystal structure of *C. elegans* protein kinase D paralog DKF-1 shown for comparison (modified from Reinhardt et al., 2020).

**Literature cited**

Aicart-Ramos, C., He, S.D.Q., Land, M. & Rubin, C.S. (2016) A novel conserved domain
mediates dimerization of protein kinase D (PKD) isoforms: Dimerization is essential for
PKD-dependent regulation of secretion and innate immunity. *J. Biol. Chem*.
291(45):23516-23531.

Alaimo, J.T., Davis, S.J., Song, S.S., Burnette, C.R., Grotewiel, M., Shelton, K.L., Pierce-
Shimomura, J.T., Davies, A.G. & Bettinger, J.C. (2012) Ethanol metabolism and
osmolarity modify behavioral responses to ethanol in *C. elegans*. Alcohol Clin Exp Res.
36(11):1840-1850.

Andrews, D.G.H. (2019) A new method for measuring the size of nematodes using image
processing. Biology Methods and Protocols. 4(1):bpz020.

Bettinger, J.C. & Davies, A.G. (2014) The role of the BK channel in ethanol response
behaviors: evidence from model organism and human studies. *Front. Physiol*. 9(5)346.

Bisbal, M., Conde, C., Donoso, M., Bollati, F., Sesma, J., Quiroga, S., Diaz Anel, A.,
Malhotra, V., Marzolo, M.P. & Caceres, A. (2008) Protein kinase D regulates trafficking of
dendritic membrane proteins in developing neurons. *J. Neurosci*. 28:9297-9308.

Brenner, S. (1974) The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*. 77(1):71-94.

Burns, A.R., Wallace, I.M., Wildenhain, J., Tyers, M., Giaever, G., Bader, G.D., Nisolow,
C., Cutler, S.R. & Roy, P.J. (2010) A predictive model for drug bioaccumulation and
bioactivity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Nat. Chem. Biol. 6(7):549-557.

Chang, C.C., Chow, C.C., Tellier, L.C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S.M. & Lee, J.J. (2015)
Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets.
*GigaScience*. 4(1):s13742-015-0047-8.

Cook, D.E., Zdraljevic, S., Roberts, J.P. & Andersen, E.C. (2016) CenDR, the
*Caenorhabditis elegans* natural diversity resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*.
45(D1):D650-D657.

Crombie, T.A., McKeown, R., Moya, N.D., Evans, K.S., Widmayer, S.J., LaGrassa, V.,
Roman, N., Tursunova, O., Zhang, G., Gibson, S.B., Buchanan, C.M., Roberto, N.M.,
Vieira, R., Tanny, R.E. & Andersen, E.C. (2023) CaeNDR, the *Caenorhabditis* Natural
Diversity Resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 52(D1):D850-D858.

Czondor, K., Ellwanger, K., Fuchs, Y.F., Lutz, S., Gulyas, M., et al. (2009) Protein kinase D
controls the integrity of Golgi apparatus and the maintenance of dendritic arborization
in hippocampal neurons. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 20, 2108-2120.

Davies, A.G., Pierce-Shimomura, J.T., Kim, H., VanHoven, M.K., Thiele, T.R., Bonci, A., Bargmann, C.I., and McIntire, S.L. (2003). A central role of the BK potassium channel in behavioral responses to ethanol in *C. elegans*. *Cell*. 115:656–666.

Davies, A.G., Bettinger, J.C., Thiele, T.R., Judy, M.E., and McIntire, S.L. (2004). Natural variation in the *npr-1* gene modifies ethanol responses of wild strains of *C. elegans*. *Neuron*. 42:731–743.

Davis, S.J., Scott, L.L., Hu, K., Pierce-Shimomura, J.T. (2014) Conserved single residue in the BK potassium channel required for activation and intoxication in *C. elegans*. *J. Neurosci*. 34(29):9562-9573.

Dequiedt, F., Van Lint, J., Lecomte, E., Van, D.V., Sufferlein T. et al. (2005) Phosphorylation of histone deacetylase 7 by protein kinase D mediates cell receptor-induced Nur77 expression and apoptosis. *J. Exp. Med*. 201,793-804.

Desai, C., Garriga, G., McIntire, S.L., Horvitz, H.R. (1988) A genetic pathway for the development of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* HSN motor neurons. Nature. 1988 Dec 15;336(6200):638-46. Dopico, A.M., Bukiya, A.N. & Martin, G.E. (2014) Ethanol modulation of mammalian BK Channels in excitable tissues: molecular targets and their possible contribution to alcohol-induced altered behavior. *Front. Physiol*. 5:466.

Du, W., Bautista, J.F., Yang, H., Diez-Sampedro, A., You, S-A, Wang, L., Kotagal, P., Luders, H.O., Shi, J., Cui, J., Richerson, G.B. & Wang, Q.K. (2005) Calcium-sensitive potassium channelopathy in human epilepsy and paroxysmal movement disorder. *Nat. Genet*. 37(7):733-738.

Ellwanger, K. & Hausser, A. (2013) Physiological functions of protein kinase D in vivo. IUBMB Life. 65(5):98-107.

Elsner, D.J., Siess, K.M., Gossenreiter, T., Hartl, M. & Leonard, T.A. (2019) A ubiquitin-like domain controls protein kinase D. dimerization and activation by trans-autophosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem*. 294.pp.14422-14441.

Endelman, J.B. (2011) Ridge regression and other kernels for genomic selection with R package rrBLUP. *The Plant Genome*. 4(3):250-255.

Farboud, B. & Meyer, B.J. (2015) Dramatic enhancement of genome editing by CRISPR/Cas9 through improved guide RNA design. *Genetics*. 199(4):959-971.

Feitosa, M.F., Kraja, A.T., Chasman, D.I., Sung, Y.J., Winkler, T.W., Ntalla, I., Guo, X., Franceschini, N., Cheng, C-Y., Sim, X., Vojinovic, D., Marten, J., Musani, S.K., Li, C., Bentley, A.R., Brown, M.R., Schwander, K., Richard, M.A., Noordman, ... Levy, D. (2018)

Novel genetic associations for blood pressure identified via gene-alcohol interaction in up to 570K individuals across multiple ancestries. *PLoS One*. 13(6):e0198166.

Feng, H., Ren, M., Chen, L. & Rubin, C.S. (2007) Properties, regulation, and in vivo functions of a novel protein kinase D: *Caenorhabditis elegans* protein kinase D. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 31273-31288.

Franck, J. & Jayaram-Lindstrom, N. (2013) Pharmacotherapy for alcohol dependence: status of current treatments. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 23(4):692-699.

Fu, Y., Ren, M., Feng, H., Chen, L., Altun, Z.F. & Rubin, C.S. (2009) Neuronal and intestinal protein kinase d isoforms mediate Na+ (salt taste)-induced learning. *Sci Signal*. 2:ra42.

Fu, Y. & Rubin, C.S. (2011) Protein kinase D: coupling extracellular stimuli to the regulation of cell physiology. EMBO Reports. 12(8):785-796

Gomez, L.A. (2013) Ethanol-induced toxicity and neurodegeneration in *C. elegans* [Master's dissertation, University of Texas at Austin]. University of Texas at Austin Texas ScholarWorks. http://hdl.handle.net/2152/22500

Grant, B.F., Goldstein, R.B., Saha, T.D., Jung, J., Zhang, H., Pickering, R.P., Ruan, W.J., Smith, S.M., Huang, B. & Hasin, D.S. (2015) Epidemiology of DSM-V Alcohol Use Disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions III. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 72:757-766.

Grant, B.F., Patricia Chou, S., Saha, T.D., Pickering, R.P., Kerridge, B.T., Ruan, W.J., Huang, B., Jung, J., Fan, A. & Hasin, D.S. 2017. Prevalence of 12-month alcohol use, high-risk drinking, and DSM-IV Alcohol Use Disorder in the United States, 2001-2002 to 2012-2013. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 74(9):911-923.

Harris, R.A., McQuilkin, S.J., Paylor, R., Abeliovich, A., Tonegawa, S. & Wehner, J.M. (1995) Mutant mice lacking the gamma isoform of protein kinase C show decreased behavioral actions of ethanol and altered function of gamma-aminobutyrate type A receptors. *PNAS*. 92:3658–3662.

Hillier, L.W., Reinke, V., Green, P, Hirst, M., Marra, M.A. & Waterston, R.H. (2009) Massively parallel sequencing of the polyadenylated transcriptome of *C. elegans*. *Genome Research*. 19:657-666.

Innes, H., Buch, S., Hutchinson, S., Guha, I.N., Morling, J.R., Barnes, E., Irving, W., Forrest, E., Pedergnana, V., Goldberg, D., Aspinall, E., Barclay, S., Hayes, P.C., Dillon, J., Nischalke, H.D., Lutz, P., Spengler, U., Fischer, J., Berg, T., Brosch, M., Eyer, F., Datz, C.,

Mueller, S., Peccerella, T., Deltenre, P., Marot, A., Soyka, M., McQuillin, A., Morgan, M.Y., Hampe, J., Stickel, F. (2020) Genome-Wide Association Study for Alcohol-Related Cirrhosis Identifies Risk Loci in MARC1 and HNRNPUL1. *Gastroenterology.* 159(4):1276-1289.e7.

Johnson, R.C., Nelson, G.W., Troyer, J.L., Lautenberger, J.A., Kessing, B.D., Winkler, C.A. & O'Brien, S.J. (2010) Accounting for multiple comparisons in a genome-wide association study (GWAS). *BMC Genomics*. 11:724.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S. A. A., Ballard, A. J., Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., … Hassabis, D. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature, 596(7873), 583–589.

Kapoor, M., Wang, J.C., Wetherill, L., Le, N., Bertelsen, S., Hinrichs, A.L., Budde, J., Agrawal, A., Bucholz, K., Dick, D., Harari, O., Hesselbrock, V., Kramer, J., Nurnberger, J.I. Jr, Rice, J., Saccone, N., Schuckit, M., Tischfield, J., Porjesz, B., Edenberg, H.J., Bierut, L., Foroud, T., Goate, A. (2013) A meta-analysis of two genome-wide association studies to identify novel loci for maximum number of alcoholic drinks. *Hum Genet.* 132(10):1141-51.

Kim H, Rogers MJ, Richmond JE, McIntire SL. (2004) SNF-6 is an acetylcholine transporter interacting with the dystrophin complex in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature.* 430(7002):891-6. doi: 10.1038/nature02798.

Kim, Y.I., Park, J.E., Brand, D.D., Fitzpatrick, E.A. & Yi, A.K. (2010) Protein kinase D1 is essential for the proinflammatory response induced by hypersensitivity pneumonitis-causing thermophilic actinomycetes Saccharopolyspora rectivirgula. J. Immunol. 184, 3145-3156.

Kranzler, H.R., Zhou, H., Kember, R.L., Vickers Smith, R., Justice, A.C., Damrauer, S., Tsao, P.S., Klarin, D., Baras, A., Reid, J., Overton, J., Rader, D.J., Cheng, Z., Tate, J.P., Becker, W.C., Concato, J., Xu, K., Polimanti, R., Zhao, H., Gelernter, J. (2019) Genome-wide association study of alcohol consumption and use disorder in 274,424 individuals from multiple populations. *Nat Commun.* 2019 Apr 2;10(1):1499.

Lee, A.M. & Messing, R.O. (2008) Protein kinases and addiction. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1141:22-57.

Lee, D., Zdraljevic, S., Stevens, L., Wang, Y., Tanny, R.E., Crombie, T.A., Cook, D.E., Webster, A.K., Chirakar, R., Baugh, L.R., Sterken, M.G., Braendle, C., Felix, M-A.,

Rockman, M.V. & Andersen, E.C. (2021) Balancing selection maintains hyper-divergent
haplotypes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 5(6):794-807.

Liachko, N.F., McMillan, P.J., Strovas, T.J., Loomis, E., Greenup, L., Murrell, J.R., Ghetti,
B., Raskind, M.A., Montine, T.J. Bird, T.D., Leverenz, J.B. & Kramer, B.C. (2014) The
tau/tubulin kinases TTBK 1/2 promote accumulation of pathological TDP-43. *PLoS*
*Genetics,* 10, e2004803.

Lin, K., Dorman, J.B., Rodan, A. & Kenyon, C. (1997) daf-16: An HNF-3/forkhead family
member that can function to double the life-span of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science*.
278:1319-1322.

Lin, K., Hsin, H., Libina, N. & Kenyon, C. (2001) Regulation of the *Caenorhabditis*
*elegans* longevity protein DAF-16 by insulin/IGF-1 and germline signaling. *Nat. Genet*.
28:139-145.

Linnér, K.R., Mallard, T.T., Barr, P.B., Sanchez-Roige, S., Madole, J.W., Driver, M.N., Poore,
H.E., de Vlaming, R., Grotzinger, A.D., Tielbeek, J.J., Johnson, E.C., Liu, M., Rosenthal,
S.B., Ideker, T., Zhou, H., Kember, R.L., Pasman, J.A., Verwij, K.J.H., Liu, D.J., Vrieze S.,
COGA Collaborators, Kranzler, H.R., Gelernter, J., Harris, K.M., Tuker-Drob, E.M.,
Waldman, I.D., Palmer, A.A., Harden, K.P., Koellinger, P.D. & Dick, D.M. (2021)
Multivariate analysis of 1.5 million people identifies genetic associations with traits
related to self-regulation and addiction. *Nature Neuroscience*. 24:1367-1376.

Linnér, K.R., Biroli, P., Kong, E., Meddens, S.F.W., Wedow, R., Fontana, M.A., Lebreton,
M., Tino, S.P., Abdellaoui, A., Hammerschlag, A.R., Nivard, M.G., Okbay, A., Rietveld,
C.A., Timshel, P.N., Trzaskowski, M., Vlaming, R., Zünd, C.L., Bao, Y., Buzdugan, L.,
Caplin, A.H., Chen, C.Y., Eibich, P., Fontanillas, P., Gonzalez, J.R., Joshi, P.K., Karhunen,
V., Kleinman, A., Levin, R.Z., Lill, C.M., Meddens, G.A., Muntané, G., Sanchez-Roige, S.,
Rooij, F.J.V., Taskesen, E., Wu, Y., Zhang, F; 23and Me Research Team; eQTLgen
Consortium; International Cannabis Consortium; Social Science Genetic Association
Consortium; Auton, A., Boardman, J.D., Clark, D.W., Conlin, A., Dolan, C.C.,
Fischbacher, U., Groenen, P.J.F., Harris, K.M., Hasler, G., Hofman, A., Ikram, M.A., Jain,
S., Karlsson, R., Kessler, R.C., Kooyman, M., MacKillop, J., Männikkö, M., Morcillo-
Suarez, C., McQueen, M.B., Schmidt, K.M., Smart, M.C., Sutter, M., Thurik, A.R.,
Uitterlinden, A.G., White, J., Wit, H., Yang, J., Bertram, L., Boomsma, D.I., Esko, T., Fehr.
E., Hinds, D.A., Johannesson, M., Kumari, M., Laibson, D., Magnusson, P.K.E., Meyer,
M.N., Navarro, A., Palmer, A.A., Pers, T.H., Posthuma, D., Schunk, D., Stein, M.B.,
Svento, R., Tiemeier, H., Timmers, P.R.H.J., Turley, P., Ursano, R.J., Wagner, G.G., Wilson,
J.F., Gratten, J., Lee, J.J., Cesarini, D., Benjamin, D.J., Koellinger, P.D., Beauchamp, J.P.

(2019) Genome-wide association analyses of risk tolerance and risky behaviors in over
1 million individuals identify hundreds of loci and shared genetic influences. *Nat Genet*.
51(2):245-257.

Mathies, L.D., Blackwell, G.G., Austin, M.K., Edwards, A.C., Riley, B.P., Davies, A.G. &
Bettinger, J.C. (2015) SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling regulates alcohol response
behaviors in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and is associated with alcohol dependence in
humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 112(10):3032-3037.

Medrano, E., Collins, K.M. (2023) Muscle-directed mechanosensory feedback activates
egg-laying circuit activity and behavior in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Curr Biol*.
33(11):2330-2339.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2023.05.008. Mueller, J.C. (2004) Linkage
disequilibrium for different scales and applications. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*.
5(4):355-364.

Moya, N.D., Yan, S.M., McCoy, R.C., Andersen, E.C. (2025) The long and short of
hyperdivergent regions. *Trends Genet*. 2025 Apr;41(4):303-314.

Morgan, P.G., Kayser, E.B., Sedensky, M.M. (2007) *C. elegans* and volatile anesthetics.
*WormBook*. 2007 May 3:1-11. doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.140.1.

Noble, W.S. (2009) How does multiple testing correction work? *Nature Biotechnology*.
27, 1135-1137.

Ossowski, S., Schneeberger, K., Lucas-Lledo, J.I., Warthmann, N., Clark, R.M., Shaw,
R.G., Weigel, D. & Lynch, M. (2010) The rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous
mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science*. 327(5961):92-94.

Pandey, S.C., Zhang, H., Roy, A. & Xu, T. (2005) Deficits in amygdaloid cAMP-responsive
element-binding protein signaling play a role in genetic predisposition to anxiety and
alcoholism. *J. Clin. Invest*. 115:2762–2773.

Parra, M., Kasler, H., McKinsey, T.A., Olson, E.N. & Verdin, E. (2005) Protein kinase D1
phosphorylates HDAC7 and induces its nuclear export after T-cell receptor activation. *J.*
*Biol. Chem*. 280, 13762-13770.

Purcell, S., Neal, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A.R., Bender, D., Maller, J.,
Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I.W., Daly, M.J. & Sham, P.C. (2007) PLINK: a tool set for whole-
genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*.
81(3):559-575.

Prior, H., Jawad, A.K., MacConnachie, L. & Beg, A.A. (2017) Highly efficient, rapid and
co-CRISPR-independent genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *G3.* 7(11):3693-
3698.

Rand, J.B. & Johnson, C.D. (1995) Genetic pharmacology: interactions between drugs
and gene products in *Caenorhabditis elegans.* Methods Cell Biol. 48:187-204.

Reinhardt, R., Truebestein, L., Schmidt, H.A. & Leonard, T.A. (2020) It takes two to
tango: Activation of protein kinase D by dimerization. *Bioessays*. 42, e1900222.

Robinson, S.L. & Thiele, T.E. (2017) The role of neuropeptide Y (NPY) in alcohol and drug
abuse disorders. *In Rev Neurobiol.* 136:177-197.

Rozengurt, E. (2011) Protein kinase D signaling: multiple biological functions in health
and disease. *Physiology*. 26(1):22-33.

Sacks, J.J., Gonzales, K.R., Bouchery, E.E., Tomedi, L.E. & Brewer. R.D. (2015) 2010
National and state costs of excessive alcohol consumption. *Am J Prev Med*. 45(5):73-
79.

Saunders, G.R.B., Wang, X., Chen, F., Jang, S.K., Liu, M., et al., (2022) Genetic diversity
fuels gene discovery for tobacco and alcohol use. *Nature*. 2022 Dec;612(7941):720-
724. Scott, L.L., Davis, S.J., Yen, R.C., Ordemann, G.J., Nordquist, S.K., Bannai, D.,
Pierce, J.T. (2017) Behavioral deficits following withdrawal from chronic ethanol are
influenced by SLO channel function in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*. 206(3):1445-
1458.

Scott, L.L., Iyer, S., Philpo, A., Avalos, M.N., Wu, N.S., Shi, T., Prakash, B.A., Nguyen, T-T.,
Mihic, S.J., Aldrich, R.W. & Pierce, J.T. (2018) A novel peptide restricts ethanol
modulation of the BK Channel in vitro and in vivo. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 367(2):282-
290.

Schafer, W.F. (2006) Genetics of egg-laying in worms. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2006;40:487-
509. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.40.110405.090527. Schreiber, M.A. & McIntire, S.L.
(2011) A *Caenorhabditis elegans* p38 MAP kinase pathway mutant protects from
dopamine, methamphetamine, and MDMA toxicity. *Neuroscience Letters*. 498(1):99-
103.

Schroeder, J.W., Yeesin, P., Simmons, L.A. & Wang, J.D. (2017) Sources of spontaneous
mutagenesis in bacteria. *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry & Molecular Biology*.
53(1):29-48.

Schuckit, M.A. (2018) A critical review of methods and results in the search for genetic
contributors to alcohol sensitivity. *Alcoholism Clinical & Experimental Research*.
45(5):822-835.

Schuckit, M.A. (1994) Low level of response to alcohol as a predictor of future
alcoholism. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 151(2):184-189.

Schuckit, M.A. (1998) Biological, psychological and environmental predictors of
alcoholism risk: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 59:485-494.

Schuckit, M.A., Smith, T.L. (1996) An 8-year follow-up of 450 sons of alcoholic control
subjects. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 53:202-210.

Sharlow, E.R., Giridhar, K.V., Lavalle, C.R., Chen, J., Leimgruber, S., Barrett, R., Bravo-
Altamirano, K., Wipf, P., Lazo, J.S. & Wang, Q.J. (2008) Potent and selective disruption of
protein kinase D functionality by a benzoxoloazepinolone. *Mechanisms of Signal
Transduction*. 283(48):33516-33526.

Sievers, F., Higgins, D. G. (2011) Clustal Omega, accurate alignment of very large
numbers of sequences. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 7, 539.

Singaram VK, Somerlot BH, Falk SA, Falk MJ, Sedensky MM, Morgan PG. (2011) Optical
reversal of halothane-induced immobility in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol*. 21(24):2070-6. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2011.10.042.

Shaver, A.O., Wit, J., Dilks, C.M., Crombie, T.A., Li, H., Aroian, R.V. & Andersen, E.C.
(2023) Variation in anthelmintic responses are driven by genetic differences among
diverse *C. elegans* wild strains. *PLOS Pathogens*. 19(4):e1011285.

Sloan, D.C., Cryan, C.E., Muntean, B.S. (2023) Multiple potassium channel
tetramerization domain (KCTD) family members interact with Gβγ, with effects on cAMP
signaling. *J Biol Chem*. 299(3):102924.

Van Wijk, M.H., Davies, A.G., Sterken, M.G., Mathies, L.D., Quamme, E.C., Blackwell,
G.G., Riksen, J.A.G., Kammenga, J.E. & Bettinger, J.C. (2023) Natural allelic variation
modifies acute ethanol response phenotypes in wild strains of *C. elegans*. *Alcohol
Clinical and Experimental Research*. 47(8):1505-1517.

Verhulst, B., Neale, M.C., & Kendler, K.S. (2015) The heritability of alcohol use disorders:
a meta-analysis of twin and adoption studies. *Psychol Med*. 45(5):1061-1072.

Waldron, R.T, & Rozengurt, E. (2003) Protein kinase C phosphorylates protein kinase D
activation loop Ser744 and Ser748 and releases autoinhibition by the pleckstrin
homology domain. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 278(1):154-163.

Wallace, M.J., Newton, P.M., Oyasu, M., McMahon, T., Chou, W-H., Connoly, J. &
Messing, R.O. (2007) Acute functional tolerance to ethanol mediated by protein kinase
C epsilon. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 32:127–136.

Walters, R.K., Polimanti, R., Johnson, E.C., et al. (2018) Transancestral GWAS of alcohol
dependence reveals common genetic underpinnings with psychiatric disorders. *Nat*
*Neurosci*. 21(12):1656-1669.

Widmayer, S.J., Evans, K.S., Zdraljevic, S. & Andersen, E.C. (2022) Evaluating the power
and limitations of genome-wide association studies in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. G3
(Bethesda). 2022 Jul 6;12(7):jkac114. doi: 10.1093/g3journal/jkac114.

Yuan, J., Chheda, C., Piplani, H., Geng, M., Tan, G., Thankur, R. & Pandal, S.J. (2021)
Pancreas-specific deletion of protein kinase D attenuates inflammation, necrosis, and
severity of acute pancreatitis. *BBA Molecular Basis of Disease*. 1867(1):165987.

Yuan J, Chheda C, Tan G, Elmadbouh O, Pandol SJ. (2022) Protein kinase D: A
therapeutic target in experimental alcoholic pancreatitis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol*
*Basis Dis*.1868(11):166486. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2022.166486.

Yu, J., Pressoir, G., Briggs, W.H., Vroh, Bi I., Yamasaki, M., Doebley, J.F., McMullen, M.D.,
Gaut, B.S., Nielsen, D.M., Holland, J.B., Kresovich, S., Buckler, E.S. (2006) A unified
mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of
relatedness. *Nature Genetics*. 38:203-208.

Zdraljevic, S., Strand, C., Seidel, H.S., Cook, D.E., Doench, J.G., Andersen, E.C. (2017)
Natural variation in a single amino acid substitution underlies physiological responses
to topoisomerase II poisons. *PLoS Genetics*. 13(7):e1006891.

Zhang, H., Sakharkar, A.J., Shi, G., Ugale, R., Prakash, A. & Pandey, S.C. (2011)
Neuropeptide Y signaling in the central nucleus of amygdala regulates alcohol-drinking
and anxiety-like behaviors of alcohol-preferring rats. Alcoholism: Clinical &
Experimental Research. 34(3)pp.451-461.