15-Lipoxygenase-Mediated Lipid Peroxidation Regulates LRRK2 Kinase Activity

Authors:

Matthew T. Keeney[1,2,3], Eric K. Hoffman[1,2], Julie Weir[1,2], Weston G. Wagner[1,2], Emily M. Rocha[1,2], Sandra Castro[1,2], Kyle Farmer[1,2], Marco Fazzari[3], Roberto Di Maio[1,2] Andrei Konradi[4], Teresa G. Hastings[1,2], Sean A. Pintchovski[4], William D. Shrader[4], J. Timothy Greenamyre[1,2]*

Affiliations:

[1]Pittsburgh Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

[2]Department of Neurology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

[3]Department of Pharmacology and Chemical Biology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

[4]Acurex Biosciences, San Carlos, California.

*Corresponding author: J. Timothy Greenamyre
University of Pittsburgh
3501 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7039
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Tel: 412-648-9793
Fax: 412-648-9766
Email: jgreena@pitt.edu

One Sentence Summary: *15-Lipoxygenase-derived 4-HNE stimulates LRRK2 kinase activity*

ABSTRACT: Mutations in *leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)* that increase its kinase activity are strongly linked to genetic forms of Parkinson's disease (PD). However, the regulation of endogenous wild-type (WT) LRRK2 kinase activity remains poorly understood, despite its frequent elevation in idiopathic PD (iPD) patients. Various stressors such as mitochondrial dysfunction, lysosomal dyshomeostasis, or vesicle trafficking deficits can activate WT LRRK2 kinase, but the specific molecular mechanisms are not fully understood. We found that the production of 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE), a lipid hydroperoxidation end-product, is a common biochemical response to these diverse stimuli. 4-HNE forms post-translational adducts with Cys2024 and Cys2025 in the kinase activation loop of WT LRRK2, significantly increasing its kinase activity. Additionally, we discovered that the 4-HNE responsible for regulating LRRK2 is generated by the action of 15-lipoxygenase (15-LO), making 15-LO an upstream regulator of the pathogenic hyperactivation of LRRK2 kinase activity. Pharmacological inhibition or genetic ablation of 15-LO prevents 4-HNE post-translational modification of LRRK2 kinase and its subsequent pathogenic hyperactivation. Therefore, 15-LO inhibitors, or methods to lower 4-HNE levels, or the targeting of Cys2024/2025 could provide new therapeutic strategies to modulate LRRK2 kinase activity and treat PD.

Main Text:

INTRODUCTION

Missense mutations in *leucine-rich repeat kinase 2* (LRRK2) are a common cause of familial Parkinson's disease (PD) (*1, 2*) . Both coding and non-coding variants in *LRRK2* have been shown to increase the risk of developing PD (*3, 4*). Most of these mutations are located in the Roc-Cor GTPase or kinase domain of LRRK2 and often result in elevated kinase activity, leading to a toxic gain-of-function (*1, 2, 5, 6*). Evidence also suggests wild-type (WT) LRRK2 kinase activity is enhanced in idiopathic PD (iPD) (*7-11*). Thus, LRRK2 might be a link between genetic and idiopathic forms of PD. However, the upstream signaling mechanisms that promote WT LRRK2 kinase activation are not well understood.

LRRK2 uses a classical kinase switch activation mechanism (*12*), where the dynamics of the kinase domain drive its activation (*13*). Upon activation, LRRK2 phosphorylates its substrates, including a subset of Rab GTPases (e.g., Rab10 and Rab12) (*14, 15*), which regulate endolysosomal function (*8*). Excessive LRRK2 kinase activity can also cause endolysosomal dysfunction, creating a complex feedback loop.

The kinase domain of LRRK2 is similar to the redox-sensitive MAP kinase, ASK1 (*16*), which undergoes redox-induced functional changes. Redox stress, long implicated in PD pathogenesis, can induce WT LRRK2 kinase activity (*5, 9, 17, 18*), potentially to the same extent as the kinase activating G2019S mutation (*9, 18*). Interestingly, LRRK2 can be activated by various stimuli that induce oxidative damage, lysosomal damage, membrane rupture or remodeling, vesicular trafficking deficits, and signaling through Stimulator of Interferon Genes (STING) (*19-24*).

A potential common factor among these stimuli is their association with membrane damage and

lipid peroxidation, leading to the accumulation of 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE), a reactive aldehyde

that plays a role in activating other kinases (*25-28*). The formation of 4-HNE occurs either

nonenzymatically when lipids react with reactive oxygen species (ROS), or enzymatically through

the hydroperoxidation of membrane phospholipids by lipoxygenase enzymes, primarily 15-

lipoxygenase (15-LO) (*29, 30*). 4-HNE can form irreversible Michael-adducts with cysteine

residues, and the kinase activation loop of LRRK2 contains highly conserved, solvent-exposed,

vicinal cysteine residues, Cys2024 and Cys2025, which may be important for redox sensing (*12*).

Here, we provide evidence that oxidative stimulation of endogenous LRRK2 kinase activity by

H_2O_2, rotenone, chloroquine, or monensin depends on cysteine residues, Cys2024 and Cys2025,

and involves the formation of adducts between 4-HNE and LRRK2. Importantly, blocking 4-HNE

production with a 15-LO inhibitor, or through genetic ablation of 15-LO, prevents pathologically

elevated LRRK2 kinase activation without affecting basal LRRK2 kinase activity.

RESULTS

Stimulated endogenous LRRK2 activity is redox dependent

The regulation of WT LRRK2 kinase activity remains poorly understood, but evidence suggests it

is sensitive to redox stress (*8*). Several reports indicate that LRRK2 kinase activity increases with

H_2O_2 and decreases with the antioxidant curcumin (*9, 18, 31, 32*). WT endogenous LRRK2 kinase

activity can be elevated dose-dependently by physiological concentrations of H_2O_2, and this can

be blocked by α-tocopherol (*9*), which is both an antioxidant and a 15-LO inhibitor (*33*). To further

investigate this regulation, we examined the *in situ* kinetics of H_2O_2-induced endogenous WT

LRRK2 activation in human embryonic kidney 293 cells (HEK293). Cells treated with 5μM H_2O_2

for 5, 10, and 15 minutes showed a robust increase in LRRK2 kinase activity, as detected by

proximity ligation (PL) assay for the phosphorylation status of the autophosphorylation site

Ser1292 (PL pS1292–LRRK2) (*9, 34*). This signal was maximal at 10 minutes and sustained for

at least 30 minutes (***Fig. 1A, B***). Pretreatment of cells with a thiol antioxidant, N-acetylcysteine

(NAC), prevented the H_2O_2-induced increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal (p<0.0001; two-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey correction) (***Fig, 1C, D***), confirming the redox

sensitivity of LRRK2 kinase activation.

118
Figure 1. H_2O_2 elicits rapid LRRK2 autophosphorylation. (**A**) Endogenous LRRK2 kinase activity
was assessed by proximity ligation (PL) assay between pS1292 and total LRRK2 (PL pS1292–LRRK2)
in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ HEK293 cells treated with 5µM H_2O_2 for 5, 10, 15, or 30 minutes. A robust increase in
PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal (red) was observed within 10 minutes of treatment and remained sustained.
(**B**) Quantification of the time course of LRRK2 activity in response to H_2O_2. Each symbol represents
the average PL pS1292-LRRK2 fluorescence intensity from 4 independent experiments obtained from
100-150 cells per treatment group for each independent experiment. (**C**) H_2O_2 (5µM) induced LRRK2
kinase activity was assessed by PL pS1292-LRRK2 in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ and LRRK2$^{-/-}$ HEK293 cells. H_2O_2
caused a significant increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ compared to vehicle.
Pretreatment with NAC prevented the H_2O_2-induced increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal, and no
signal was detected in LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells. (**D**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL fluorescence signal.
Each symbol represents the average PL pS1292–LRRK2 fluorescence intensity obtained from 100-
150 cells per treatment group in three independent experiments. Statistical testing by two-way ANOVA
with Tukey correction; ****denotes $p<0.0001$; ns denotes not significant.
133

Activation of WT LRRK2 occurs in response to various cellular stressors, including mitochondrial

dysfunction, lysosomal dyshomeostasis, and vesicular trafficking deficits (*9, 20-22, 35*). We

explored whether known LRRK2 activators such as rotenone, chloroquine, and monensin activate

LRRK2 via a redox mechanism. Rotenone, a mitochondrial complex I inhibitor, increases ROS

production, redox stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction in cells (*36*). In WT HEK293 cells,

sublethal rotenone treatment elicited an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal compared to vehicle

(p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***Fig. 2A, B***), and this increase was prevented

by co-treatment with NAC (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***Fig. 2A, B***).

Congruent results were obtained by western blotting for the phosphorylation state of the LRRK2

substrate, Rab10 (*14*) (***fig. S1A, B***). Rotenone treatment increased pT73-Rab10 levels compared

to vehicle (p<0.05; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction), and this increase was prevented by

NAC co-treatment (p<0.05; one-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig. S1A, B***). We confirmed

these findings using a third method, a PL assay to amplify the specific signal of pT73-Rab10: PL

pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 (***fig. S2***). Using this assay, there was a baseline pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 signal

in WT HEK293 cells that was reduced in the presence of the LRRK2 kinase inhibitor, PF360

(p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig S2A, B***). Importantly, the baseline

pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 signal in WT HEK293 cells was higher than that in LRRK2$^{-/-}$ HEK293 cells

(p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig S2A, B***). Similar to the results obtained

with PL pS1292-LRRK2 and pT73-Rab10 western blotting, rotenone elicited an increase in PL

pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 signal (p<0.0005; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction), that was

prevented by co-treatment with NAC (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig.***

S1D, E).

Given the high expression of LRRK2 in immune cells and its role in inflammatory responses in

PD, we assessed whether rotenone-induced kinase activation was also redox-dependent in

RAW264.7 macrophages. Consistent with the results in HEK293 cells, rotenone increased

endogenous LRRK2 activity as measured by PL pS1292-LRRK2 in WT RAW264.7 macrophages

(*Fig. 2A, C)*. Co-treatment with NAC prevented the rotenone-induced increase in PL pS1292–

LRRK2 signal ($p<0.005$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (*Fig. 2A, C)*. Similar results

were obtained using the orthogonal assays of pT73-Rab10 detection by western blot ($p<0.05$; two-

way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (*fig. S1A, C)* and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 ($p<0.0001$; two-

way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (*fig. S1D, F*). Treatment with NAC effectively blocked the

rotenone-induced increase in pT73-Rab10 western blot signal ($p<0.05$; two-way ANOVA with

Tukey correction) (*fig. S1A, C)* and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 signal ($p<0.0001$; two-way ANOVA

with Tukey correction) (*fig. S1D, F*). Thus, using three separate assays (PL pS1292-LRRK2,

pT73-Rab10 western blot, and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10) and two different cell lines (HEK293 and

RAW264.7 macrophages), we found that rotenone stimulates endogenous LRRK2 kinase activity

in a redox-dependent manner.

172
As noted, disruption of endolysosomal function or trafficking using chloroquine or monensin

activates WT LRRK2 kinase (*21, 22, 35*). However, the mechanisms by which these disturbances

in the endolysosomal system regulate LRRK2 kinase activity remain unclear. Given our findings

with rotenone, we examined whether chloroquine or monensin activates LRRK2 kinase in a redox-

dependent fashion. First, we assessed if chloroquine or monensin causes ROS production or

oxidative damage. Using parameters known to elicit endogenous LRRK2 activity (chloroquine:

100 μM for 3 hours (*35*); monensin: 10 μM for 4 hours (*22*)) we measured cytoplasmic superoxide

production using dihydroethidium (DHE). Under these conditions, both chloroquine and monensin

elicited a significant increase in DHE signal compared to vehicle ($p<0.005$; one-way ANOVA with

Tukey correction) (*fig. S3A, B*) in WT HEK293 cells. Thus, these stressors cause ROS production

at concentrations and time points associated with elevated LRRK2 kinase activity.

As an orthogonal approach to assess if chloroquine and monensin lead to oxidative damage, we

examined if they lead to the accumulation of the omega-6 PUFA-derived lipid hydroperoxidation

end-product, 4-HNE. Consistent with the ROS production it induced, chloroquine caused an

increase in 4-HNE at 1 hour ($p<0.05$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) and 3 hours

($p<0.001$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction), which was prevented by co-treatment with

NAC (*fig. S3E, F*). Similarly, monensin caused an increase in 4-HNE at 4 hours ($p<0.001$; two-

way ANOVA with Tukey correction), which was prevented by co-treatment with NAC (*fig. S3G,*

H). Given that these LRRK2 kinase activators cause ROS production and lipid peroxidation, both

of which are prevented by NAC, we investigated whether NAC could prevent chloroquine- or

monensin-induced LRRK2 kinase activity. Indeed, both chloroquine (*Fig. 2D, E*) and monensin

(*Fig. 2F, G)* treatment of WT HEK293 cells led to an increase in PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal

($p<0.0001$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) that was prevented by NAC co-treatment.

Together, these results indicate that known LRRK2 kinase activators, which result in either

mitochondrial dysfunction (rotenone), lysosomal dyshomeostasis (chloroquine), or vesicular

trafficking deficits (monensin), may activate LRRK2 through a common redox mechanism.

Figure 2. Stimulated LRRK2 activity is ROS dependent. (**A**) Rotenone (50nM) induced LRRK2 kinase activity was assessed by PL pS1292-LRRK2 in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ and LRRK2$^{-/-}$ HEK293 cells and RAW264.7 macrophages. Rotenone treatment led to an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 (red dots) in both LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ HEK293 and RAW264.7 macrophages compared to the vehicle. NAC co-treatment prevented rotenone-induced PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal and no signal was detected in LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells. (**B**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292–LRRK2 Objects/DAPI in HEK293 cells. (**C**) Quantification of PL pS1292–LRRK2 Objects/DAPI in RAW264.7 macrophages. (**D**) Chloroquine (100μM) and (**F**) Monensin (10μM) elicited an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ HEK293 cells. NAC co-treatment prevented both (**D**) chloroquine and (**F**) monensin-induced PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal. No signal was observed in LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells. Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292–LRRK2 in the presence of (**E**) chloroquine or (**G**) monensin. For each graph (**B, C, E, G**), each symbol represents the average number of PL pS1292-LRRK2 objects/DAPI obtained from 100-150 cells per treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Statistical testing by two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey correction. **denotes $p<0.005$; ****denotes $p<0.0001$; ns denotes not significant.

220   ***Kinase activation loop cysteines 2024 and 2025 are important for redox-dependent***

221   ***activation of LRRK2***

222   The dynamics of the kinase activation loop plays a critical role in driving LRRK2 kinase activity

223   (*12, 13*). The activation loop contains two cysteine residues, Cys2024 and Cys2025 (*37*), that are

224   highly conserved across many species (*38*) but not present in LRRK1 (*39*), suggesting a specific

225   role in LRRK2 function. Cysteine residues on proteins can undergo modifications affecting

226   function (*25-28*). We hypothesized that Cys2024 and Cys2025 are important for sensing the redox

227   state of the local subcellular environment (*12*) and thereby for regulating LRRK2 kinase activation.

228   Using CRISPR/Cas9, we generated HEK293 cell lines with each of these cysteines mutated

229   individually to an alanine (LRRK2^{C2024A} and LRRK2^{C2025A}) or together in a double mutant

230   (LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$). To ensure that we did not create "kinase-dead" mutants, baseline LRRK2

231   kinase activity was assessed using two assays: PL pS1292–LRRK2 and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10

232   (***fig S4A-D***). Under basal conditions, LRRK2^{C2024A}, LRRK2^{C2025A}, and LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$ cells

233   had PL pS1292-LRRK2 and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 signals equivalent to LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ (***fig S4A-***

234   ***D***). Importantly, PF360 treatment decreased basal PL pS1292-LRRK2 and PL pT73(Rab10)-

235   Rab10 signals (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig S4A-D***) in all cell lines.

236

237   Having demonstrated that that baseline kinase activity of endogenous LRRK2 was not reduced in

238   any of the cysteine mutant cell lines, we examined their responses to redox challenges. We found

239   that while H_2O_2 exposure elevated PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal in WT HEK293 cells (p<0.0001;

240   two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction), there was no increase in PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal in

241   any of the cysteine mutant cell lines (***Fig. 3A, B)***. Next we explored if rotenone, chloroquine, or

242   monensin   were   able   to   enhance   kinase   activity   in   LRRK2^{C2024A},   LRRK2^{C2025A},   and

LRRK2$^{C20284A+C2025A}$ HEK293 cells. In WT HEK293 cells, rotenone, chloroquine, and monensin

treatment each led to an increase in PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal compared to vehicle ($p<0.0001$;

two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (**Fig. 3C-H).** Strikingly, in LRRK2^{C2024A}, LRRK2^{C2025A},

and LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$ cells there was no increase in PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal in response to

rotenone, chloroquine, or monensin (**Fig. 3C-H**).

It was previously shown that endogenous LRRK2 activity regulates rotenone-induced ROS

production (*40, 41*). To assess whether mutating these cysteine residues (thereby blocking the

ability of rotenone to stimulate LRRK2 kinase activity) had downstream effects, we measured

rotenone-induced ROS production in each of the cell lines (***fig S5***). Rotenone treatment led to an

increase in DHE signal in WT HEK293 cells ($p<0.0001$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction)

but not in LRRK2^{C2024A}, LRRK2^{C2025A} or LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$ cells (***fig S5***). Together, these results

indicate that Cys2024 and Cys2025 are important residues that regulate kinase activation in a

redox-responsive fashion.

257
Figure 3. Cys2024 and Cys2025 are important for stimulating LRRK2 kinase activity.
Endogenous LRRK2 kinase activity was assessed by PL pS1292-LRRK2 in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$,
LRRK2^{C2024A}, LRRK2^{C2025A}, and LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$ (denoted as C2024A, C2025A, C2024A/C2025A
respectively) HEK293 cells. (**A**) H_2O_2 (5µM) (**C**) rotenone (50nM) (**E**) chloroquine (100µM) and (**G**)
monensin (10µM) elicited an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$, which was
prevented in LRRK2^{C2024A}, LRRK2^{C2025A}, and LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$ HEK293 cells. Note that the PL signal
is green for H_2O_2 and rotenone and red for chloroquine and monensin. No signal was observed in
LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells (**A, C, E, G**). Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292–LRRK2 fluorescence signal
in presence of (**B**) H_2O_2, (**D**) rotenone, (**F**) chloroquine, and (**H**) monensin. For all graphs (**B, D, F, H**),
each symbol represents the average PL pS1292–LRRK2 fluorescence intensity obtained from 100-
150 cells per treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Statistical testing by two-
way ANOVA with Tukey correction; ****denotes p<0.0001; ns denotes not significant.
270
271
272

4-HNE is a critical signaling mediator of LRRK2 kinase activity

LRRK2 kinase activity is provoked by membrane-damaging agents (*19, 20*) that cause lipid hydroperoxidation and accumulation of 4-HNE, a reactive aldehyde known to activate several kinases, including ERK, JNK, Src, and p38 MAPK (*25-28*). We examined whether exogenous 4-HNE could interact with and activate endogenous WT LRRK2 kinase activity. For this purpose, we devised a new PL assay, PL LRRK2-4-HNE, using antibodies that recognize 4-HNE and total LRRK2 to detect the formation of 4-HNE-LRRK2 adducts. When WT HEK293 cells were treated with a pathophysiological concentration of 4-HNE (100 μM for 1 hour), there was an increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal, which was prevented by pre-treatment with NAC ($p<0.0001$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig. S6***). This correlated with increased endogenous WT LRRK2 kinase activity as measured by PL pS1292-LRRK2 ($p<0.005$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig. S7A, B***), and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 ($p<0.005$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction (***fig. S7C, D***). NAC pre-treatment prevented the 4-HNE-induced increase in both PL pS1292-LRRK2 (***fig. S7A, B***), and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 (***fig S7C, D***). These results demonstrate that exogenous 4-HNE can form adducts with and activate endogenous WT LRRK2.

To further examine the relationship between LRRK2–4-HNE adducts and LRRK2 kinase activity, WT HEK293 cells were treated with varying concentrations of 4-HNE (10 – 100 μM for 1 hour) and LRRK2–4-HNE adducts and LRRK2 activity were measured by PL. Increasing concentrations of 4-HNE caused parallel, dose-dependent increases in PL LRRK2–4-HNE and PL pS1292-LRRK2 signals (***Fig. 4A-D***) in WT HEK293 cells. In fact, within this concentration range, there was a highly significant linear relationship between the degree of LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation and LRRK2 kinase activity ($p<0.005$; $R^2=0.99$) (***fig. S8***). Given that 4-HNE has a high

affinity for forming irreversible Michael adducts with cysteine residues, we also investigated

whether the cysteine mutants influence LRRK2-4-HNE adduct formation and 4-HNE-induced

kinase activity. Surprisingly, in LRRK2$^{C2024A+C2025A}$ HEK293 cells, 4-HNE treatment did not

increase PL LRRK2-4-HNE or PL pS1292-LRRK2 signals (*Fig 4A-D*). This suggests that, within

this concentration range, 4-HNE binds preferentially to the Cys2024 and Cys2025 residues of

LRRK2 in a cellular context.

To explore if LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation occurs with endogenous generation of 4-HNE in

response to stimuli that activate LRRK2 kinase activity, we examined the effects of rotenone,

chloroquine, and monensin. Compared to vehicle, rotenone elicited an increase in PL LRRK2–4-

HNE signal in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ HEK293 (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) and

RAW267.4 macrophages (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (*fig. S9)*. Co-

treatment with α-tocopherol, a compound that can protect against lipid hydroperoxidation (*42, 43*),

prevented the rotenone-induced increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal and no signal was observed

in LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells (*fig. S9)*. Similar to rotenone, both chloroquine and monensin treatment led to

an increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal compared to vehicle (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with

Tukey correction) (*fig. S10*). Co-treatment with α-tocopherol prevented the chloroquine- and

monensin-induced increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE and no signal was observed in LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells

(*fig. S10*).

We previously showed there is 4-HNE accumulation and elevated LRRK2 kinase activity in

dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra (SN) in the rotenone rat model of PD (*9, 44, 45*).

Therefore, we investigated whether LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation also occurred in this model.

As described (*45*), middle-aged rats were treated with rotenone for 7-10 days until they reached

behavioral endpoint, at which time they were euthanized for analysis. Rotenone treatment led to a

marked increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal in SN dopamine neurons compared to vehicle *(Fig.*

4E, G)) ($p < 0.01$; two tailed unpaired *t*-test with Welch's correction), thereby providing *in vivo*

relevance of LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation. Together, these data demonstrate that the lipid

hydroperoxidation end-product, 4-HNE, may be a key signaling mediator in LRRK2 kinase

activation that links mitochondrial and endolysosomal stress to LRRK2 kinase activation.

327
Figure 4. The lipid hydroperoxidation product 4-HNE interacts with LRRK2 and induces kinase
activation. (**A**) PL between LRRK2 and 4-HNE (PL LRRK2–4-HNE) was used as an index of LRRK2-
4-HNE adduct formation in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$, C2024A/C2025A, and LRRK2$^{-/-}$ HEK293 cells. Exogenous 4-
HNE treatment (10μM, 30μM, 100μM) led to a dose-dependent increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal
(red dots) in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ cells. 4-HNE treatment did not increase PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal in
C2024A/C2025A and LRRK2$^{-/-}$ HEK293 cells. (**B**) Quantification of PL LRRK2-4-HNE. (**C**) 4-HNE-
induced LRRK2 kinase activity was assessed by PL pS1292-LRRK2. Baseline kinase activity in
LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ and C2024A/C2025A cells was inhibited by the LRRK2 kinase inhibitor PF360 (1μM),
and 4-HNE treatment dose-dependently increased PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal (red dots) in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$
cells, but not in C2024A/C2025A cells. (**D**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292–LRRK2
Objects/DAPI in HEK293 cells. For graphs **(B** and **D)**, each symbol represents the average signal from

100-150 cells per treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Statistical testing by
two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey correction. (**E**) LRRK2–4-HNE interaction was assessed via PL
LRRK2–4-HNE (red dots) in TH^{+} dopaminergic neurons (blue) in in the substantia nigra of rats treated
with vehicle or rotenone. Rotenone treatment caused an increase in PL LRRK2–4-HNE in TH[+]
dopaminergic neurons compared to vehicle treated rats. (**F**) Quantification of the PL LRRK2–4-HNE
signal in dopamine neurons. Each symbol represents the average number of PL LRRK2–4-HNE
objects/ TH[+] neuron from an individual animal with two sections of brain tissue stained per animal;
N=4 animals per treatment group. Statistical testing by two-tailed unpaired t-test with Welch's
correction. **denotes $p<0.01$; ***denotes $p<0.0005$; ****denotes $p<0.0001$; ns denotes not significant.

15-Lipoxygenase regulates LRRK2 kinase activity

Since 4-HNE forms adducts with LRRK2 and regulates its kinase activation, we explored the

possible upstream sources of this reactive aldehyde. 4-HNE can be produced nonenzymatically

when membrane lipids react directly with ROS; alternatively, it can be produced enzymatically as

the end-product of 15-LO activity (*29, 30*). Our data demonstrate that α-tocopherol can prevent

stimulus-induced PL LRRK2–4-HNE in an endogenous context, but it is unclear whether this is

due to its ROS scavenging properties or its ability to inhibit 15-LO (*33*). We therefore used both

genetic and pharmacological approaches to assess the role of 15-LO in producing the pool of 4-

HNE that activates LRRK2. We utilized CRISPR/Cas9 gene-edited 15-LO knockout (15-LO^{-/-})

HAP1 cells and a novel, potent, and selective small molecule 15-LO inhibitor, CU-12991, that has

an IC$_{50}$ of 2.5 nM for inhibition of rotenone-induced 4-HNE production (***fig. S11***). In WT cells,

rotenone elicited an increase in cellular 4-HNE, which was prevented by co-treatment with 20nM

CU-12991 ($p < 0.0001$; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) (***fig. S12A, B***). There was no

rotenone-induced 4-HNE accumulation in 15-LO^{-/-} cells, and CU-12991 had no effect in these cells

(***fig. S12A, B***). Thus, in the absence of 15-LO activity, there was no rotenone-induced 4-HNE

production.

We next explored whether 15-LO-derived 4-HNE mediates rotenone-induced LRRK2 activity.

First, we assessed LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation via PL LRRK2–4-HNE. Consistent with our

previous observations (*Fig. 4 and Fig. S9),* rotenone increased the PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal

compared to the vehicle (p<0.0005; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction), and this was

prevented by co-treatment with α-tocopherol (*Fig. 5A, B*). Strikingly, there was no rotenone-

induced PL LRRK2–4-HNE signal in 15-LO$^{-/-}$ cells (*Fig. 5A, B*). Next, we assessed kinase

activation by both autophosphorylation (*Fig. 5C, D*) and substrate phosphorylation (*fig. S12C, D).*

In WT cells, rotenone increased PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with

Tukey correction) (*Fig. 5C, D*) and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 signal (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA

with Tukey correction) (*fig. S12C, D*). Interestingly, 15-LO inhibition by CU-12991 mitigated the

rotenone-induced increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 (*Fig. 5C, D*) and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 (*fig.*

S12C, D), but did not reduce LRRK2 kinase activity below basal levels (*Fig. 5C, D*). In contrast,

PF360 treatment completely abolished both basal and rotenone-induced kinase activity (PL

pS1292-LRRK2, *Fig. 5C, D*) (PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10, *fig. S12C, D*).

Consistent with our results using CU-12991, in 15-LO$^{-/-}$ cells there was no rotenone-induced PL

pS1292-LRRK2 (*Fig. 5C, D*) or PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10 (*fig. S12C, D*), although basal kinase

activity was preserved. Importantly, CU-12991 did not impact LRRK2 activity in 15-LO$^{-/-}$ cells

(Fig 5C, D and *fig. S12C, D*). Furthermore, to ensure CU-12991 was not directly interfering with

4-HNE, we treated cells with exogenous 4-HNE and found that induced kinase activity was

unaffected by CU-12991 (*fig. S13).*

To ensure that the stimulatory effect of 15-LO on LRRK2 kinase activity was due to its production

of 4-HNE, we treated cells with exogenous 4-HNE (*Fig. 5E, F*). In both WT and 15-LO$^{-/-}$ cells, 4-

HNE elicited an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal (p<0.005; two-way ANOVA with Tukey

correction), which was prevented by treatment with NAC (*Fig 5E, F*). Thus, the effects of

pharmacological inhibition or genetic deletion of 15-LO on LRRK2 kinase activity can be

overcome by exogenous 4-HNE. Collectively, these results suggest that 4-HNE generated by 15-

LO forms adducts with LRRK2, leading to its hyperactivation. Therefore, LRRK2 kinase

activation is specifically regulated by the 4-HNE produced by 15-LO as an end-product of lipid

hydroperoxidation.

Accumulation of 4-HNE in the SN of PD post-mortem human brain tissue has been recognized for

30 years (*46*); however, the functional role of 15-LO-derived 4-HNE in PD has yet to be explored.

Therefore, we assessed 4-HNE levels in patient-derived lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) derived

from healthy control subjects, G2019S-LRRK2 PD patients, and iPD patients. Relative to healthy

controls, basal 4-HNE was elevated in LCLs derived from subjects with iPD as well as subjects

harboring a G2019S mutation ($p < 0.0001$ for both iPD and G2019S compared to healthy controls;

two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) *(Fig. 5H)*. The elevated 4-HNE signal in the iPD and

G2019S PD subjects was reduced to healthy control levels by treatment with CU-12991 ($p <$

0.0001 for both iPD and G2019S; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction two-way ANOVA with

Tukey correction) *(Fig. 5H)*. This suggests that 15-LO is responsible for the pathological

accumulation of 4-HNE observed in G2019S and iPD patient samples.

Figure 5. 15-LO-derived 4-HNE is a key mediator of LRRK2 hyperactivation. (**A**) Rotenone-induced endogenous LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation was assessed by PL LRRK2-4-HNE in 15-LO[WT/WT] and 15-LO^{-/-} HAP1 cells. Rotenone treatment promoted an increase in PL LRRK2-4-HNE signal that was prevented by α-tocopherol in 15-LO[WT/WT], and not observed in 15-LO^{-/-} cells. (**B**) Quantification of PL LRRK2-4-HNE. (**C**) Rotenone-induced LRRK2 kinase activity was assessed by

PL pS1292-LRRK2. Rotenone increased PL pS1292-LRRK2, an effect that was that was mitigated by
cotreatment with the 15-LO inhibitor, CU-12991, and completely prevented by PF360. 15-LO$^{-/-}$ cells
had a normal basal PL pS1292 – LRRK2 signal, which was not further increased by rotenone or
affected by CU-12991. (**D**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292-LRRK2. (**E**) 4-HNE (100μM)
treatment led to an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal in both 15-LO$^{WT/WT}$ and 15-LO$^{-/-}$ cells. This
was prevented by pretreatment with 250μM NAC. (**F**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292-
LRRK2. For graphs (**B, D,** and **F**), each symbol represents the average signal from 100-150 cells per
treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Statistical testing by two-way ANOVA with
post-hoc Tukey correction. (**G**) Cellular 4-HNE signal in LCLs derived from healthy control subjects,
G2019S mutation carriers, and iPD patients. The increased 4-HNE signal in G2019S and iPD LCLs
was reduced to healthy control levels by CU-12991. (**H**) Quantification of 4-HNE. Each symbol
represents an individual donor line. 4-HNE signal was taken from 90-120 cells/line; 4 donor lines per
group. Statistical testing by two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey correction. **denotes $p<0.005$; ***
denotes $p<0.0005$; ****denotes $p<0.0001$; ns denotes not significant. For panel D, red symbols
indicate additional statistical testing by one-way ANOVA, examining each genotype (15-LO$^{WT/WT}$ and
15-LO$^{-/-}$) separately. ns# denotes not significant compared to vehicle-treated cells; # denotes $p< 0.05$
compared to vehicle-treated or CU-12991-treated cells.

Next we investigated whether 15-LO-derived 4-HNE was responsible for LRRK2–4-HNE adduct

formation elicited by other known LRRK2 activators: chloroquine, monensin, or rotenone.

Consistent with our results in HEK cells *(fig. S9, fig. S10)*, in WT RAW264.7 macrophages

chloroquine, monensin, and rotenone each elicited an increase in PL LRRK2-4-HNE signal (***Fig.***

6A, B). As observed in 15-LO$^{-/-}$ HAP1 cells (***Fig. 5A, B)***, 15-LO inhibition with CU-12991

prevented the formation of rotenone-induced LRRK2–4-HNE adducts (***Fig. 6A, B)*** in WT

RAW264.7 macrophages. Similarly, CU-12991 co-treatment prevented chloroquine- and

monensin-induced PL LRRK2-4-HNE signal in WT RAW264.7 macrophages (***Fig. 6A, B)***. Under

the same conditions, chloroquine, monensin, and rotenone each significantly increased PL pS1292-

LRRK2 signal in WT RAW264.7 macrophages (***Fig. 6C, D***). Strikingly, CU-12991 co-treatment

completely prevented rotenone-, chloroquine-, and monensin-induced PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal

(***Fig. 6C, D).*** As an orthogonal approach to measure LRRK2 kinase activity, we assessed substrate

phosphorylation via pT73-Rab10 western blot. Chloroquine, monensin, and rotenone each led to

an increase in pT73-Rab10, which was prevented by co-treatment with CU-12991 (***fig. S14***). Thus,

using genetic and pharmacological approaches and three independent LRRK2 activity assays (PL

pS1292–LRRK2, pThr-73-Rab10 western blot, and PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10), our results indicate

that endogenous stimulated LRRK2 activity is regulated by 15-LO.

452
Although both 15-LO and 12-lipoxygenase (12-LO) can participate in lipid peroxidation, 15-LO

activity is the predominant source of 4-HNE formation. The initial step in this enzymatic pathway

(for both 15-LO and 12-LO) produces their respective hydroperoxy lipid metabolites: 15(S)-

HpETE for 15-LO and 12(S)-HpETE for 12-LO (*29, 30*). A subsequent intramolecular

rearrangement of 15(S)-HpETE, but not 12(S)-HpETE, produces 4-HNE (*47*). To further validate

the 15-LO pathway and subsequent 4-HNE formation as a regulator of LRRK2 kinase activity, we

treated WT RAW264.7 macrophages with either 15(S)-HpETE or 12(S)-HpETE and assessed

endogenous LRRK2 kinase activation by PL pS1292-LRRK2. 12(S)-HpETE treatment (0.25 – 25

µM) did not increase PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal (***Fig. 6E, F***); however, 15(S)-HpETE dose-

dependently increased PL pS1292–LRRK2 signal (p<0.0001; two-way ANOVA with Tukey

correction) (***Fig. 6E, F***)**.** NAC pre-treatment prevented 15(S)-HpETE-induced PL pS1292–

LRRK2 signal (***Fig. 6E, F***). Together, these results indicate that LRRK2 kinase activation was

driven by 15(S)-HpETE rearrangement to 4-HNE.

466
To determine the PD relevance of 15-LO-regulated LRRK2 activity, we next investigated if

LRRK2 activity was elevated in G2019S PD and iPD patient-derived LCLs. Relative to healthy

controls, there was elevated PL pS1292-LRRK2 in both G2019S (p < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA

with Tukey correction) and iPD LCLs (p < 0.005; two-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) that

was completely abolished by PF360 (***Fig. 6G, H***). Strikingly, CU-12991 reduced pathologically

hyperactive LRRK2 kinase activity in both G2019S and iPD LCLs back to basal healthy control

levels but did not completely inhibit LRRK2 activity (*Fig. 6G, H*). Collectively, these data provide

strong evidence that 15-LO activity regulates pathologically elevated endogenous LRRK2 kinase

activity through the enzymatic production of 4-HNE and its subsequent adduct formation with

LRRK2.

Figure 6. 15-LO activity regulates stimulated LRRK2 kinase activity via 4-HNE. (**A**) LRRK2-4-HNE adduct formation was assessed by PL LRRK2-4-HNE in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ RAW264.7 macrophages. Chloroquine, monensin, and rotenone led to an increase PL LRRK2-4-HNE signal (red dots). The

increase in PL LRRK2-4-HNE signal was prevented by cotreatment with CU-12991. (**B**) Quantification
of PL LRRK2-4-HNE. (**C**) Chloroquine, monensin, and rotenone-induced kinase activity was assessed
by PL pS1292-LRRK2 in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ RAW264.7 macrophages. Chloroquine, monensin and rotenone
caused increased PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal (red dots) which was prevented by cotreatment with the
15-LO inhibitor, CU-12991. (**D**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292-LRRK2. (**E**)
LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ RAW264.7 macrophages were treated with either 12(S)-HpETE (top panel, black outline)
or 15(S)-HpETE (bottom panel, red outline) and kinase activity was measured by PL pS1292-LRRK2.
12(S)-HpETE did not increase PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal above baseline (red dots). 2.5µM and 25µM
15(S)-HpETE led to an increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal relative to vehicle. Cotreatment with NAC
prevented the increase in PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal elicited by 25µM 15(S)-HpETE. (**F**) Quantification
of LRRK2 activity by PL pS1292–LRRK2. For each graph (**B**, **D**, and **F**), each symbol represents the
average number of PL LRRK2-4-HNE Objects/DAPI or PL pS1292-LRRK2 Objects/DAPI obtained
from 100-150 cells per treatment group for each of 3-4 independent experiments. Statistical testing by
two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey correction. (**G**) LRRK2 activity measured by PL pS1292-LRRK2
in LCLs derived from healthy control subjects, G2019S mutation carriers, and iPD patients. The
increased PL pS1292-LRRK2 signal in G2019S and iPD LCLs was reduced to healthy control basal
levels by CU-12991 and completely blocked by PF360. (**H**) Quantification of LRRK2 activity by PL
pS1292-LRRK2 Objects/DAPI was taken from 90-120 cells/line; 4 donor lines per group. Statistical
testing by two-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey correction. * denotes $p<0.05$; **denotes $p<0.005$; ***
denotes $p \leq 0.0005$; **** denotes $p<0.0001$; ns denotes not significant.
502

The ability of 15-LO to hydroperoxidate membrane phospholipids depends on its association with

the small scaffolding protein, phosphatidylethanolamine-binding protein (PEBP1) (*48*). Typically,

PEBP1 is in complex with RAF1 kinase; however, upon phosphorylation, it is liberated and

interacts with new proteins (*49*), including 15-LO. In the unbound state, 15-LO prefers as

substrates free fatty acids, not those incorporated into membranes. However, when complexed with

PEBP1, its substrate preference switches to omega-6 PUFAs incorporated into membrane

phosphatidylethanolamines (*48, 50*), and omega-6 PUFA hydroperoxidation results in the

production of 4-HNE. We therefore explored the interaction between PEBP1 and 15-LO in a

system (rotenone-treated RAW264.7 macrophages) where endogenous 4-HNE production is

stimulated with resultant LRRK2 kinase activation. Quantitative confocal immunofluorescence

staining revealed that rotenone treatment markedly increased the colocalization of the PEBP1 and

15-LO ($p < 0.005$; two tailed unpaired *t*-test with Welch's correction) (***Fig. 7A, B***). Using a

complementary approach, we developed a PL assay that detects the interaction between PEBP1

and 15-LO (PL PEBP1–15-LO). Similar to our colocalization results, rotenone-induced a strong

PL PEBP1–15-LO signal compared to vehicle (***Fig. 7C, D)***.

We also examined if this occurred *in vivo* in the rotenone rat model of PD (***Fig. 7E, F***). Analogous

to our findings in macrophages, there was an increase in PL PEBP1–15-LO signal in dopaminergic

neurons in rotenone-treated rats compared to vehicle ($p < 0.0005$; two-tailed unpaired *t*-test with

Welch's correction) (***Fig. 7E, F***). To investigate if this was relevant in the human disease, we

sought to determine if PL PEBP1-15-LO was increased in LCLs derived from G2019S PD and

iPD patients compared to healthy controls. Indeed, there was an elevated PL PEBP1–15-LO signal

in LCLs derived from G2019S ($p < 0.05$; one-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) and iPD

($p=0.005$; one-way ANOVA with Tukey correction) patients compared to healthy controls (***Fig.***

7G, H). Thus, using complementary approaches in multiple model systems and PD patient

samples, the data demonstrate that under conditions generating endogenous 4-HNE and

stimulating LRRK2 kinase activity, there is an enhanced association between 15-LO and PEBP1.

533
Figure 7. PEBP1 and 15-LO interaction is increased in the rotenone model and patient derived
samples. (**A**) Immunofluorescent staining for 15-LO (green), PEBP1 (red), and their colocalization
(yellow) in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ RAW264.7 macrophages. Rotenone led to an increase in overlap between 15-
LO and PEPB1 relative to vehicle. Inset is 200X zoom. (**B**) Quantification of the % overlap of 15-LO
and PEBP1 objects/Total Number of 15-LO Objects. Each symbol represents the average % overlap
of 15-LO and PEBP1 objects/Total Number of 15-LO Objects obtained from 100-150 cells per
treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Statistical testing by two tailed unpaired
t-test with Welch's correction. *** denotes p<0.001 (**C**) PL between PEBP1 and 15-LO was used as

an index for PEPB1–15-LO interaction in LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ RAW264.7 macrophages. Rotenone treatment
led to an increase in PL PEBP1–5-LO signal (red dots) compared to vehicle. (**D**) Quantification of PL
PEBP1–15-LO . Each symbol represents the average number of PL PEBP1–15-LO Objects/DAPI
obtained from 100-150 cells per treatment group for each of three independent experiments. Statistical
testing by two tailed unpaired *t*-test with Welch's correction. ** denotes p<0.01 (**E**) PEBP1–15-LO
interaction was assessed via PL PEBP1–15-LO (red dots) in TH$^+$ dopaminergic neurons (blue) in the
substantia nigra of rats treated with vehicle or rotenone. Rotenone treatment caused an increase in
PL PEBP1–15-LO in TH$^+$ dopaminergic neurons compared to vehicle-treated rats. (**F**) Quantification
of the PL PEBP1–15-LO signal in dopamine neurons. Each symbol represents the average number of
PL PEBP1–15-LO HNE objects/ TH$^+$ neuron from an individual animal with two sections of brain tissue
stained per animal; N=4 animals per treatment group. Statistical testing by two-tailed unpaired *t*-test
with Welch's correction.***denotes p<0.0005. (**G**) PL PEBP1–15-LO was assessed in LCLs derived
from healthy control subjects, G2019S mutation carriers, and iPD patients. There was an increase in
PL PEBP1–15-LO signal in G2019S and iPD derived LCLs relative to healthy control. (**H**)
Quantification of PL PEBP1–15-LO Objects/DAPI. Each symbol represents the average number of PL
PEBP1–15-LO Objects/DAPI taken from 90-120 cells/line; 4 donor lines per group. Statistical testing
by one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey correction * denotes p<0.05; ** denotes p≤0.005.
559

DISCUSSION

Pathogenic mutations in *LRRK2* that cause elevated kinase activity are predominantly driven by altered kinetics, altered GTP hydrolysis, or enhanced substrate specificity (*8, 12, 13, 51*). Although each pathogenic mutation can increase LRRK2 kinase activity, they do so in distinct ways, highlighting the complexity of LRRK2 activation. When assessing kinase activity, cellular *in situ* assays have advantages over *in vitro* kinase assays, as the cellular context is important for LRRK2 activation (*6*). Upstream signaling events may be critical for understanding how and where endogenous WT LRRK2 activation occurs and what substrates are involved. In this work, we aimed to elucidate what regulates pathologically elevated LRRK2 kinase activity. To strengthen our conclusions, kinase activity was assessed using three complementary approaches: (i) PL pS1292-LRRK2, (ii) pT73-Rab10 western blot and (iii) PL pT73(Rab10)-Rab10, each of which yielded similar results.

In our studies, treating cells with a physiological concentration of H_2O_2 led to a rapid increase in LRRK2 activation within 5-10 minutes, which was prevented by quenching with NAC. This suggests that LRRK2 itself may be redox-sensitive. Similarly, various cellular perturbations that promote LRRK2 activation, such as mitochondrial stress (rotenone), lysosomal dyshomeostasis (chloroquine), and vesicular trafficking deficits (monensin) have also been shown to result in redox damage (*21, 22, 35, 36, 52-54*). Here we demonstrated that these perturbations can stimulate 4-HNE accumulation and subsequent adduct formation with LRRK2 (*fig. S15*).

The dynamics of the kinase domain have a strong influence on the kinase activation state of LRRK2 (*12, 13*). We found that Cys2024/2025 in the kinase activation loop are important residues

for stimulated LRRK2 activation. Recent work by others has also suggested that these cysteine

residues may be important for kinase activation (*37*); however, they used *in vitro* kinase assays and

experiments (*37*), whereas we maintained an endogenous cellular context and used multiple

complementary assays to support our conclusions. We found that while the cysteine-to-alanine

mutations preserved basal kinase activity, stimulated LRRK2 activity was completely prevented.

The fact that LRRK2 bearing these cysteine-to-alanine mutations had little, if any, propensity to

form adducts with 4-HNE suggests that 4-HNE preferentially targets these solvent-exposed

Cys2024/2025 residues. It is important to note that the Cys2024/2025 to Ala mutants are not

"kinase-dead"; they retain normal basal activity but simply cannot be further activated. A previous

study postulated that the development of LRRK2 kinase inhibitors directed towards Cys2024 and

Cys2025 may hold therapeutic benefit (*55*). Our study supports this hypothesis and indicates these

residues are critical for LRRK2 hyperactivation. It is unlikely that redox stress causes

Cys2024/2025 to form an intramolecular disulfide bridge in monomeric LRRK2, as disulfide

bridge formation between vicinal cysteine residues is an extremely rare biological phenomenon

(*56*). Whether intermolecular disulfide bonds involving these cysteine residues might occur in

higher-order oligomeric species of LRRK2 (*57*) is unknown.

LRRK2 activation has been shown to be associated with damaged membranes, especially in the

endolysosomal system (*20-23, 35*). As noted, endolysosomal impairment and mitochondrial stress

lead to the formation of the lipid peroxidation product 4-HNE. This raises the possibility that this

reactive aldehyde may be important in LRRK2 activation and might provide a link between

membrane damage and LRRK2 activation. Given that 4-HNE is known to activate other kinases

(*25-28*), there is precedent for this idea. Indeed, we found that treatment of cells with exogenous

4-HNE led to dose-dependent increases in LRRK2-4-HNE adduct formation and LRRK2 kinase

activation – with a strong linear correlation between the two. Similarly, other LRRK2 activating

stimuli (rotenone, chloroquine, monensin, and H_2O_2), which lead to the endogenous accumulation

of 4-HNE, also resulted in LRRK2-4-HNE adduct formation. Interestingly, Rab GTPases, which

have been shown to activate LRRK2 (*58, 59*), may also be modified by 4-HNE (*60*). Furthermore,

STING pathway activation, which leads to lipid hydroperoxidation (*61*), plays a role in the

activation of LRRK2 at damaged lysosomes (*23*). As such, 4-HNE formation appears to be a

convergent event shared by these seemingly disparate LRRK2 activating stimuli. Thus, 4-HNE

may be a final common regulator of LRRK2 kinase activation.

Levels of 4-HNE and 4-HNE protein adducts are elevated in PD patients compared to healthy

controls (*46, 62*). 4-HNE can be formed nonenzymatically or enzymatically through the 15-LO

pathway (*29, 30*). Therefore, we explored whether 15-LO activity regulates 4-HNE production,

LRRK2–4-HNE adduct formation, and LRRK2 kinase activation. Remarkably, 15-LO knockout

or 15-LO inhibition with CU-12991 potently blocked rotenone-stimulated 4-HNE production,

LRRK2-4-HNE adducts, and LRRK2 kinase hyperactivation but did not inhibit basal kinase

activity. Moreover, the 15-LO specific metabolite 15(S)-HpETE, which undergoes subsequent

intramolecular rearrangement to form 4-HNE, dose-dependently increased LRRK2 activity.

Importantly, we demonstrated in patient-derived iPD and G2019S PD LCLs that there are elevated

basal 4-HNE levels and LRRK2 kinase activity that could be reduced to healthy control levels by

inhibiting 15-LO with CU-12991. Thus, we conclude that the specific end-product of 15-LO

activity, 4-HNE, is sufficient for endogenous pathologic LRRK2 activity (***fig. S15)***.

Normally, 15-LO prefers to hydroperoxidate free fatty acids, not those incorporated into

membranes. However, its substrate specificity is regulated by interaction with PEBP1 (*48*). When

15-LO is in complex with PEBP1, it acquires the ability to oxygenate membrane phospholipids

(*48*), which ultimately results in the formation of 4-HNE. In this regard, we found that rotenone

led to an increase in the association of 15-LO with PEBP1 *in vitro* and *in vivo*. We found that

stimuli that increase endogenous WT LRRK2 kinase activity also (i) enhance the PEBP1–15-LO

interaction, (ii) increase 4-HNE production, and (iii) cause LRRK2-4-HNE adducts to form (***fig.***

S15). Strikingly, this association was observed in both G2019S PD and iPD patient-derived

samples, confirming the relevance of this mechanism to human disease. These data suggest that

the increase in 15-LO–PEBP1 interaction leads to elevated 4-HNE levels at specific membranes,

which in turn promotes the hyperactivation of LRRK2. The fact that this sequence of events is

demonstratable in G2019S PD and iPD patient-derived samples provides strong evidence for the

15-LO pathway as a key contributor to PD pathogenesis through the regulation of LRRK2 activity.

Our study has some limitations. We acknowledge that while our data strongly implicates cysteine

residues 2024 and 2025 in the redox-dependent activation of LRRK2, we did not show directly

that they undergo a specific 4-HNE post-translational modification. Additionally, we have not

determined the subcellular localization of LRRK2 activation in response to these stimuli. It will

be important to determine if LRRK2 activation and LRRK2–4-HNE interaction occur in one

specific cellular compartment, or if it is dependent on the location of the inciting insult (*e.g.*,

lysosomes, mitochondria).

In summary, we have defined a new mechanism of cellular perturbation-induced LRRK2 kinase

activation. Our data demonstrate that LRRK2 is a redox-sensitive kinase and Cys2024/2025 are

critical for stress-induced stimulation of kinase activity. Moreover, we found that seemingly

disparate LRRK2 activating stimuli (chloroquine, H_2O_2, monensin, and rotenone), each with a

different proximal mechanism of action, activate LRRK2 through a convergent redox-dependent

mechanism that is mediated by the lipid hydroperoxidation end-product, 4-HNE, which forms

adducts with LRRK2. Further, 15-LO, the enzymatic source of 4-HNE, was identified as the

upstream mediator of stimulated LRRK2 kinase activation (*fig. S15)*. These results suggest that

15-LO inhibitors or drugs that otherwise reduce lipid hydroperoxidation may provide a new

therapeutic avenue to target the LRRK2 pathway in PD. Moreover, the fact that inhibition of 15-

LO prevented stimulus-induced LRRK2 kinase hyperactivation in cell lines, and disease-

associated hyperactivity in LCLs, without impacting basal kinase activity, may allow therapeutic

modulation of LRRK2 while avoiding on-target liabilities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study was designed to explore the mechanism(s) that drive endogenous WT LRRK2 kinase activation. To this end we used CRISPR/Cas9 gene-edited HEK293 cells, CRISPR/Cas9 gene-edited HAP1 cells, as well as RAW264.7 macrophages, patient-derived LCLs, and an *in vivo* rotenone rat model of PD to explore what physiological players regulate LRRK2 activation. To enhance scientific rigor, we generally used orthogonal approaches to support each conclusion. Furthermore, as target validation of 15-LO, specifically, 15-LO derived 4-HNE, being upstream LRRK2 activation, we employed a specific and highly potent pharmacological inhibitor and genetic knockout approaches to support key findings. To ensure clinical relevance and translational potential, key results were confirmed in patient-derived LCLs and in an *in vivo* model of PD. All *in vitro* experiments were replicated independently at least three times and performed by multiple experimenters. *In vivo* experiments were conducted using a single cohort of rats that were assigned randomly to treatment group.

Cell Culture

HEK293 cells and RAW264.7 macrophages were cultured in DMEM/F12 (cat:11320082, Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and penicillin-streptomycin (pen-strep). 15-LO$^{WT/WT}$ and CRISPR/Cas9 gene-edited 15-LO$^{-/-}$ HAP1 cells were purchased from Horizon (HZGHC007334c00) and cultured in IMDM (cat: 30-2005, ATCC) supplemented with 10% FBS and pen-strep. Cells were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_2. CRISPR/Cas9 gene-edited HEK293 cells were generated as previously described (*9*). LRRK2$^{-/-}$ (sc-6004) and LRRK2$^{WT/WT}$ (parental) (sc-6003) RAW264.7 macrophages were obtained from ATCC. Cell passage number did not

exceed 20 for HEK293 or RAW264.7 macrophages. Cells were plated on poly-d-lysine coated

coverslips in a 24-well plate or 16-well Nunc Lab Tek chamber slides (cat: 178599, Thermo

Fisher). Details for reagents used for cell treatments can be found in Table S1. For H_2O_2, 4-HNE,

12(S)-HpETE, and 15(S)-HpETE treatments, DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5% fetal bovine

serum (FBS) and penicillin-streptomycin (pen-strep) was used.

Patient-derived lymphoblastoid cell lines were obtained from the NINDS Coriell Biorepository

through an MTA and sample ID numbers may be found in Table S2. Cells were maintained in

RPMI medium GlutaMAX supplement (cat: 61870127, Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 15% FBS

and pen-strep. Cells were plated on poly-d-lysine coated coverslips in a 24-well plate or 16-well

Nunc Lab Tek chamber slides (cat: 178599, Thermo Fisher) and attached by light centrifugation

at 690 x g at room temperature. Cells were passaged every 3 days and did not exceed 20 passages.

CRISPR/Cas9 gene edited HEK293 cells (C2024A, C2025A, C2024A/C2025A)

LRRK2$^{C2024A/C2024A}$, LRRK2$^{C2025A/C2025A}$ and double cysteine mutants were created in-house. A

guide RNA targeting exon 41 of the LRRK2 gene (5'- CTCAGTACTGCTGTAGAATG-3') was

commercially synthesized (ThermoFisher) and used to form ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes

when combined with recombinant Cas9 protein (New England Biolabs). Ribonucleoprotein

complexes were formed using the method of Kouranova[49]. RNP complexes were formed by

incubating the recombinant Cas9 protein and guide RNA at 1:1 mass ratio (1: 4.6 molar ratio of

the Cas9 protein to sgRNA) at 37°C for 5 min. RNPs were delivered to HEK293 cells using

Transit-X2 (Mirius) transfection reagent as described by the manufacturer. Single stranded repair

templates specific to the C2024 or C2025 residues were included in the transfection reactions to

facilitate the cysteine to alanine conversion by homologous recombination. The double mutant

C2024A/C2025A was generated in a similar fashion using the repair template specific to the

double mutation. Transfected cells were collected and enriched by FACS sorting. Sorted cells

were grown and expanded for PCR and DNA sequencing analyses. All clones generated by these

methods were sequenced on both strands to confirm their identity. Protein expression – or lack

thereof – was confirmed by western blotting. Using the same technology, LRRK2$^{G2019S/G2019S}$,

LRRK2$^{R1441G/R1441G}$, LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells were created. Details for CRISPR/Cas9 reagents can be found

in Table S3.

Dihydroethidium (DHE) Staining

DHE staining was performed as described previously (*44*). A 3 mM stock solution of DHE (cat:

D11347, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was reconstituted in DMSO and stored at -20°C in single use

aliquots. Prior to use, the DHE stock solution was thawed, protected from light, and diluted in cell

culture media to reach a final concentration of 3μM DHE. Old media was aspirated and replaced

with the fresh media containing 3μM DHE. Cells were incubated for 20 minutes at 37°C and 5%

CO_2, protected from light. Cells were then gently washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) at

room temperature for 5 minutes and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) (cat:15710-S,

Electron Microscopy Science) for 20 minutes. Following fixation, cells underwent three 10-minute

washes in PBS. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides (cat: 22-037-246, Fisher Scientific) using

gelvatol mounting medium (see (*34*) for recipe).

Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for 20 minutes at room temperature, followed by three 10-minute washes in PBS on a shaker. Cells were blocked and permeabilized in 10% normal donkey serum (NDS) + 0.02% triton-x in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature on a shaker. After blocking/permeabilization, cells underwent three washes in PBS and then were incubated in primary antibody (Table S4, for antibody details) in PBS containing 1% NDS overnight at 4ºC on a shaker. The following day, cells underwent three 10-minute washes in PBS and were incubated in secondary antibody in PBS containing 1% NDS for one hour at room temperature on a shaker protected from light. Cells underwent three 10-minute washes in PBS and stained with DAPI for two minutes, followed by two quick washes with PBS. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides (cat: 22-037-246, Fisher Scientific) using gelvatol mounting medium.

Proximity Ligation Assay

Cells (Figures 1 and 3): Proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed on an individual coverslip in 24 well plates using Duolink In Situ PLA reagents (DUO92002, DUO920024, DUO92007 (orange) or DUO92014 (green), Sigma Aldrich). Cells were fixed, blocked/permeabilized, and incubated in primary antibody solution as above and as previously described (*34*). Following overnight primary antibody incubation (Table S4, for antibody details), cells underwent three 10-minute washes in PBS. After washes, PLA was performed as described in (*34*). PLA incubation steps took place in a humidified incubator at 37ºC with gentle agitation taking care that samples did not dry out. For details of other products used see (*34*).

Cells: Proximity ligation assay (PLA) was performed in 16-well Nunc Lab Tek chamber slides

(cat: 178599, Thermo Fisher) using a NaveniFlex MR Kit (cat: NF.MR.100, Navinci). Cells were

fixed, blocked/permeabilized, and incubated in primary antibody solution as above and as

previously described (*34*). Following overnight primary antibody incubation (Table S4, for

antibody details), cells underwent three 10-minute washes in PBS. After washes, PLA was

performed according to manufacturer's protocol. PLA incubation steps took place in a humidified

incubator at 37ºC with gentle agitation taking care that samples did not dry out. For details of other

products used see (*34*).

Rat brain tissue: PLA was performed in blocked and permeabilized brain tissue as previously

described (*34*) using NaveniFlex Tissue MR Red (NT.MR.100.RED). Free floating tissue sections

were blocked and permeabilized in 1% triton-x (in PBS) containing 10% NDS for one hour at

room temperature on a shaker. Sections were then washed three times (10 minutes per wash) and

incubated in primary antibody solution (1% NDS in PBS) to label tyrosine hydroxylase (Table S4,

for antibody details) overnight at 4ºC on a shaker. The following day the tissue sections underwent

three 10-minute washes in PBS and were incubated in secondary antibody in PBS containing 1%

NDS for one hour at room temperature on a shaker protected from light. After secondary antibody

labeling, tissue sections were incubated overnight 4ºC on a shaker in primary antibody solution

containing the antibodies for PLA (Table S4, for antibody details). Next day, tissue sections

underwent three 10-minute washes in PBS and then were mounted on glass slides (cat: 22-037-

246, Fisher Scientific). See (*34*) for detailed description and video for mounting of tissue prior to

PLA. PLA was performed following the manufacturer's protocol. PLA incubation steps took place

at 37ºC ensuring that samples did not dry out. After PLA was completed, sections were covered

with a glass coverslip with gelvatol mounting medium.

Animals

All experiments utilizing animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee of the University of Pittsburgh. Male Lewis rats (8-9 months old) received a single

daily interperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 2.8 mg/kg of rotenone resuspended in 2% DMSO, 98%

miglyol 812 N as previously described (*45, 63*) until they reached behavioral endpoint (7-10

injections). Animals were euthanized using 0.3 mg/kg pentobarbital, followed by transcardial

perfusion. Brains were removed and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24-h before placing

them 30% sucrose. Free-floating sections (35μm) were collected using a microtome and stored in

cyroprotectant at −20 °C until use.

Cell lysis and western blot

Cells were collected on ice in 1X Cell Signaling lysis buffer (cat:9803, Cell Signaling) containing

1:33 Halt Phosphatase Inhibitors (cat:78427, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1:100 Halt Protease

and Phosphatase Inhibitors (cat:78446, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were lysed using a freeze-

thaw lysis method where cells were incubated in pulverized dry ice with ethanol for three minutes

followed by a warm water bath for three minutes and was repeated three times. Lysates were

clarified by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes 4°C. Supernatant of the cell lysates were

collected, and protein quantified by Pierce BCA kit. 25-45μg of samples (dependent on target) was

mixed with 4X NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer (supplemented with 5% β-mercaptoethanol and 10%

glycerol). Samples were run in duplicates on 4-12% NuPAGE or 10% NuPAGE Bis-Tris midi gels

with MOPS Running Buffer at 120 Volts for eight minutes followed by 200 Volts for 45 minutes.

At the end of electrophoresis, samples were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (0.2μm) at

100 Volts for 60 minutes on ice in prechilled transfer buffer (25mM Tris-Base, 198mM Glycine,

20% methanol v/v). Transferred membranes were blocked in Fish Serum Blocking Buffer

(cat:37527, Thermo Scientific) diluted in PBS (1:1 ratio) for one hour at room temperature on a

shaker. Membranes were incubated in primary antibodies (Table S4, for antibody details) diluted

in Fish Serum Blocking Buffer/PBS overnight at 4ºC on a shaker. The following day, membranes

were washed in PBS three times 10 minutes each and incubated in LiCor secondary antibodies

(Table S4, for antibody details). diluted in Fish Serum Blocking Buffer/PBS for 2 hours at room

temperature on a shaker. Membranes were washed three times in PBS for a duration of 10 minutes

each and imaged on an Odyssey LiCor CLx imaging system. Analysis was performed using Image

Studio software.

819   Confocal microscopy and analysis

Images were acquired on a Nikon Eclipse Ti2 Resonance Scan Spectral Confocal Microscope at

60X magnification using Nyquist criterion and resonant scanning. Laser parameters were set up

on positive and negative controls (e.g. primary antibody delete) to ensure there was no pixel

saturation. For quantitative comparisons all imaging parameters (e.g. laser power, gain, pinhole)

were held constant across an experiment. Confocal images were analyzed using Nikon NIS-

Elements Advanced Research software. For DHE fluorescence intensity analysis, regions of

interest were drawn around the signal on a per cell basis to obtain the fluorescence intensity. For

all other analyses, a binary layer was created using threshold parameters for each experiment and

used to identify each puncta or 'object'.

For Figures 1 and 3 images were acquired on Olympus BX61 confocal microscope at 60X

magnification (UPlansApo 60X) and Fluoview 1000 software (Melville, NY). Imaging parameters

were monitored to ensure that images were above background level and below pixel saturation.

For quantitative comparisons between groups, all imaging parameters (e.g. laser power, exposure,

and pinhole) were held constant across. For PL pS1292-LRRK2 (Figures 1 and 3, Sigma) intensity

analysis, regions of interest were drawn around the signal on a per cell basis to obtain the

fluorescence intensity. LRRK2$^{-/-}$ cells were used as assay controls.

Colocalization Analysis: Our protocol is similar to that of the University of Pittsburgh Center for

Biologic Imaging (*64*). Images are acquired on a Nikon A1R HD25 High-Definition Resonant

Scanning Confocal System with a 60X objective (1.4 NA) using Nyquist criterion. Exposure time

of each channel is kept consistent throughout sampling. Images were analyzed using NIS Elements

5.2 software. Separate binary layers are created for the 15-LO protein immunoreactivity and

PEBP1 signal. The intersection tool is then used to identify the third binary layer, the

"colocalization" mask, which corresponds to the overlapping immunoreactivity signals. The

threshold for each channel is kept consistent throughout the analysis per experiment. In this way,

the percent of 15-LO immunoreactivity (based on the binary mask) that colocalizes with PEBP1

signal is derived.

Statistical Analysis

Results are presented as mean ± SEM and are derived from 3 - 5 independent experiments. For

simple comparisons of two experimental conditions, two-tailed unpaired *t*-tests were used. Where

variances were unequal Welch's correction was used. For comparisons of multiple experimental

conditions, one-way or two-way ANOVA was used, and if significant overall, post hoc corrections

(with Tukey tests) for multiple comparisons were made. P-values less than 0.05 were considered

significant.

855
856 **References and Notes**
857

1. A. Zimprich *et al.*, Mutations in LRRK2 cause autosomal-dominant parkinsonism with
pleomorphic pathology. *Neuron* **44**, 601-607 (2004).

2. C. Paisan-Ruiz *et al.*, Cloning of the gene containing mutations that cause PARK8-linked
Parkinson's disease. *Neuron* **44**, 595-600 (2004).

3. J. Simon-Sanchez *et al.*, Genome-wide association study reveals genetic risk underlying
Parkinson's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1308-1312 (2009).

4. J. H. Kluss, A. Mamais, M. R. Cookson, LRRK2 links genetic and sporadic Parkinson's
disease. *Biochem Soc Trans* **47**, 651-661 (2019).

5. E. Greggio *et al.*, Kinase activity is required for the toxic effects of mutant LRRK2/dardarin.
Neurobiol Dis **23**, 329-341 (2006).

6. A. F. Kalogeropulou *et al.*, Impact of 100 LRRK2 variants linked to Parkinson's disease on
kinase activity and microtubule binding. *Biochem J* **479**, 1759-1783 (2022).

7. L. Petropoulou-Vathi *et al.*, Distinct profiles of LRRK2 activation and Rab GTPase
phosphorylation in clinical samples from different PD cohorts. *NPJ Parkinsons Dis* **8**, 73
(2022).

8. E. M. Rocha, M. T. Keeney, R. Di Maio, B. R. De Miranda, J. T. Greenamyre, LRRK2 and
idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Trends Neurosci* **45**, 224-236 (2022).

9. R. Di Maio *et al.*, LRRK2 activation in idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *Sci Transl Med* **10**,
(2018).

10. K. B. Fraser *et al.*, Ser(P)-1292 LRRK2 in urinary exosomes is elevated in idiopathic
Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* **31**, 1543-1550 (2016).

11. D. Jennings *et al.*, Preclinical and clinical evaluation of the LRRK2 inhibitor DNL201 for
Parkinson's disease. *Sci Transl Med* **14**, eabj2658 (2022).

12. S. H. Schmidt *et al.*, The dynamic switch mechanism that leads to activation of LRRK2 is
embedded in the DFGpsi motif in the kinase domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 14979-
14988 (2019).

13. S. H. Schmidt *et al.*, Conformation and dynamics of the kinase domain drive subcellular
location and activation of LRRK2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**, (2021).

14. M. Steger *et al.*, Phosphoproteomics reveals that Parkinson's disease kinase LRRK2 regulates
a subset of Rab GTPases. *Elife* **5**, (2016).

15. J. H. Kluss *et al.*, Preclinical modeling of chronic inhibition of the Parkinson's disease
associated kinase LRRK2 reveals altered function of the endolysosomal system in vivo. *Mol*
Neurodegener **16**, 17 (2021).

16. W. M. Johnson, A. L. Wilson-Delfosse, S. G. Chen, J. J. Mieyal, The roles of redox enzymes
in Parkinson's disease: Focus on glutaredoxin. *Ther Targets Neurol Dis* **2**, (2015).

17. A. Mamais *et al.*, Arsenite stress down-regulates phosphorylation and 14-3-3 binding of
leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), promoting self-association and cellular redistribution.
J Biol Chem **289**, 21386-21400 (2014).

18. X. Li, D. J. Moore, Y. Xiong, T. M. Dawson, V. L. Dawson, Reevaluation of phosphorylation
sites in the Parkinson disease-associated leucine-rich repeat kinase 2. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 29569-
29576 (2010).

19. S. Herbst *et al.*, LRRK2 activation controls the repair of damaged endomembranes in
macrophages. *EMBO J* **39**, e104494 (2020).

20. L. Bonet-Ponce *et al.*, LRRK2 mediates tubulation and vesicle sorting from lysosomes. *Sci*
Adv **6**, (2020).

21. T. Eguchi *et al.*, LRRK2 and its substrate Rab GTPases are sequentially targeted onto stressed
lysosomes and maintain their homeostasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, E9115-E9124
(2018).

22. A. F. Kalogeropulou *et al.*, Endogenous Rab29 does not impact basal or stimulated LRRK2
pathway activity. *Biochem J* **477**, 4397-4423 (2020).

23. A. Bentley-DeSousa, S. M. Ferguson, A STING-CASM-GABARAP Pathway Activates
LRRK2 at Lysosomes. *bioRxiv*, 2023.2010.2031.564602 (2023).

24. X. Wang *et al.*, Membrane remodeling properties of the Parkinson's disease protein LRRK2.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **120**, e2309698120 (2023).

25. S. J. Chapple, X. Cheng, G. E. Mann, Effects of 4-hydroxynonenal on vascular endothelial
and smooth muscle cell redox signaling and function in health and disease. *Redox Biol* **1**, 319-
331 (2013).

26. E. J. Jang *et al.*, Src Tyrosine Kinase Activation by 4-Hydroxynonenal Upregulates p38,
ERK/AP-1 Signaling and COX-2 Expression in YPEN-1 Cells. *PLoS One* **10**, e0129244
(2015).

27. R. Vatsyayan, H. Kothari, U. R. Pendurthi, L. V. Rao, 4-Hydroxy-2-nonenal enhances tissue
factor activity in human monocytic cells via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation-
dependent phosphatidylserine exposure. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **33**, 1601-1611 (2013).

28. G. Leonarduzzi, F. Robbesyn, G. Poli, Signaling kinases modulated by 4-hydroxynonenal.
Free Radic Biol Med **37**, 1694-1702 (2004).

29. G. Cohen *et al.*, Signaling properties of 4-hydroxyalkenals formed by lipid peroxidation in
diabetes. *Free Radic Biol Med* **65**, 978-987 (2013).

30. Y. Riahi, G. Cohen, O. Shamni, S. Sasson, Signaling and cytotoxic functions of 4-
hydroxyalkenals. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* **299**, E879-886 (2010).

31. D. Yang *et al.*, LRRK2 kinase activity mediates toxic interactions between genetic mutation
and oxidative stress in a Drosophila model: suppression by curcumin. *Neurobiol Dis* **47**, 385-
392 (2012).

32. J. Zhang *et al.*, Curcumin Reduced H2O2- and G2385R-LRRK2-Induced Neurodegeneration.
Front Aging Neurosci **13**, 754956 (2021).

33. A. Hinman *et al.*, Vitamin E hydroquinone is an endogenous regulator of ferroptosis via redox
control of 15-lipoxygenase. *PLoS One* **13**, e0201369 (2018).

34. M. T. Keeney, E. K. Hoffman, T. J. Greenamyre, R. Di Maio, Measurement of LRRK2 Kinase
Activity by Proximity Ligation Assay. *Bio Protoc* **11**, e4140 (2021).

35. T. Kuwahara *et al.*, Roles of lysosomotropic agents on LRRK2 activation and Rab10
phosphorylation. *Neurobiol Dis* **145**, 105081 (2020).

36. J. T. Greenamyre, T. B. Sherer, R. Betarbet, A. V. Panov, Complex I and Parkinson's disease.
IUBMB Life **52**, 135-141 (2001).

37. C. R. Trilling *et al.*, RedOx regulation of LRRK2 kinase activity by active site cysteines. *NPJ*
Parkinsons Dis **10**, 75 (2024).

38. T. S. Fan *et al.*, Clinical heterogeneity of LRRK2 p.I2012T mutation. *Parkinsonism Relat*
Disord **33**, 36-43 (2016).

39. A. U. Malik *et al.*, Deciphering the LRRK code: LRRK1 and LRRK2 phosphorylate distinct
Rab proteins and are regulated by diverse mechanisms. *Biochem J* **478**, 553-578 (2021).

40. N. M. Ilieva, E. K. Hoffman, M. A. Ghalib, J. T. Greenamyre, B. R. De Miranda, LRRK2
kinase inhibition protects against Parkinson's disease-associated environmental toxicants.
Neurobiol Dis **196**, 106522 (2024).

41. D. A. Quintero-Espinosa, S. Sanchez-Hernandez, C. Velez-Pardo, F. Martin, M. Jimenez-Del-
Rio, LRRK2 Knockout Confers Resistance in HEK-293 Cells to Rotenone-Induced Oxidative
Stress, Mitochondrial Damage, and Apoptosis. *Int J Mol Sci* **24**, (2023).

42. M. M. Gaschler, B. R. Stockwell, Lipid peroxidation in cell death. *Biochem Biophys Res*
Commun **482**, 419-425 (2017).

43. F. Martinez-Cruz, C. Osuna, J. M. Guerrero, Mitochondrial damage induced by fetal
hyperphenylalaninemia in the rat brain and liver: its prevention by melatonin, Vitamin E, and
Vitamin C. *Neurosci Lett* **392**, 1-4 (2006).

44. M. T. Keeney *et al.*, NADPH oxidase 2 activity in Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **170**,
105754 (2022).

45. E. M. Rocha *et al.*, LRRK2 inhibition prevents endolysosomal deficits seen in human
Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* **134**, 104626 (2020).

46. A. Yoritaka *et al.*, Immunohistochemical detection of 4-hydroxynonenal protein adducts in
Parkinson disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**, 2696-2701 (1996).

47. A. Negre-Salvayre *et al.*, Pathological aspects of lipid peroxidation. *Free Radic Res* **44**, 1125-
1171 (2010).

48. S. E. Wenzel *et al.*, PEBP1 Wardens Ferroptosis by Enabling Lipoxygenase Generation of
Lipid Death Signals. *Cell* **171**, 628-641 e626 (2017).

49. K. Yeung *et al.*, Suppression of Raf-1 kinase activity and MAP kinase signalling by RKIP.
Nature **401**, 173-177 (1999).

50. L. F. Ye, B. R. Stockwell, Transforming Lipoxygenases: PE-Specific Enzymes in Disguise.
Cell **171**, 501-502 (2017).

51. C. X. Wu *et al.*, Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in the GTPase domain of LRRK2
impair its nucleotide-dependent conformational dynamics. *J Biol Chem* **294**, 5907-5913
(2019).

52. J. Lee, S. Giordano, J. Zhang, Autophagy, mitochondria and oxidative stress: cross-talk and
redox signalling. *Biochem J* **441**, 523-540 (2012).

53. M. Ghosh, F. Carlsson, A. Laskar, X. M. Yuan, W. Li, Lysosomal membrane permeabilization
causes oxidative stress and ferritin induction in macrophages. *FEBS Lett* **585**, 623-629 (2011).

54. C. Kubota *et al.*, Constitutive reactive oxygen species generation from
autophagosome/lysosome in neuronal oxidative toxicity. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 667-674 (2010).

55. S. Ray *et al.*, The Parkinson disease-linked LRRK2 protein mutation I2020T stabilizes an
active state conformation leading to increased kinase activity. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 13042-13053
(2014).

56. O. Carugo *et al.*, Vicinal disulfide turns. *Protein Eng* **16**, 637-639 (2003).

57. H. Zhu, F. Tonelli, D. R. Alessi, J. Sun, Structural basis of human LRRK2 membrane
recruitment and activation. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2004.2026.489605 (2022).

58. H. S. Dhekne *et al.*, Genome-wide screen reveals Rab12 GTPase as a critical activator of
Parkinson's disease-linked LRRK2 kinase. *Elife* **12**, (2023).

59. X. Wang *et al.*, Rab12 is a regulator of LRRK2 and its activation by damaged lysosomes. *Elife*
12, (2023).

60. B. K. Chacko *et al.*, Pleiotropic effects of 4-hydroxynonenal on oxidative burst and
phagocytosis in neutrophils. *Redox Biol* **9**, 57-66 (2016).

61. J. Wu *et al.*, STING-dependent induction of lipid peroxidation mediates intestinal ischemia-
reperfusion injury. *Free Radic Biol Med* **163**, 135-140 (2021).

62. M. L. Selley, (E)-4-hydroxy-2-nonenal may be involved in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's
disease. *Free Radic Biol Med* **25**, 169-174 (1998).

63. J. R. Cannon *et al.*, A highly reproducible rotenone model of Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol*
Dis **34**, 279-290 (2009).

64. N. Kumar *et al.*, Global and transcription-coupled repair of 8-oxoG is initiated by nucleotide
excision repair proteins. *Nat Commun* **13**, 974 (2022).
1000

1001   **Acknowledgments**

1002   Figure S15 was made using Biorender (Agreement #: FU26XHYZDS)
1003

Funding:
National Institutes of Health grant R56 NS131137-01 (JTG)
The friends and family of Sean Logan (JTG)
The Blechman Foundation for Parkinson's Research (JTG)
The American Parkinson Disease Association Center for Advanced Research at the University of
Pittsburgh (JTG)
The Michael J Fox Foundation Pritzker Prize (JTG)
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Grant #601457
1012

Author contributions:
Conceptualization: MTK, TGH, SAP, WDS, JTG
Methodology: MTK, SAP, WDS, TGH, JTG
Investigation: MTK, EKH, JW, WGW, SC, KF, MF, RDM, AK
Visualization: MTK, SAP, WDS, JTG
Funding acquisition: JTG
Project administration: MTK, JTG
Supervision: JTG
Writing – original draft: MTK, JTG
Writing – review & editing: MTK, EMR, TGH, SAP, WDS, JTG
1023

1024   **Competing interests:** WDS and SAP are full-time employees of Acurex Biosciences and also own
1025   stock in the company. AK is a paid consultant of Acurex Biosciences.
1026
1027   **Data and materials availability:** All data are available in the main text or the supplementary
1028   materials.