1 **A humoral immune response to parasitoid wasps in *Drosophila* is regulated**
2 **by JAK/STAT, NF-κB and GATA**
3
4

5 Shuyu Olivia Zhou[1*], Jonathan P. Day[1], Bart Deplancke[2], Alexandre B. Leitão[3*], Francis M.
6 Jiggins[1*]
7
8 [1] Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Pl, Cambridge CB2 3EH, UK
9 [2] Laboratory of Systems Biology and Genetics, Institute of Bio-Engineering & Global Health
10 Institute, School of Life Sciences, EPFL, CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland
11 [3]Champalimaud Foundation, Champalimaud Centre of the Unknown, Avenida Brasília, 1400-
12 038 Lisbon, Portugal
13
14
15
16 *** Corresponding Authors:** Shuyu Olivia Zhou (sz397@cam.ac.uk), Alexandre B. Leitão
17 (alexandre.leitao@research.fchampalimaud.org), Francis M. Jiggins (fmj1001@cam.ac.uk)
18
19
20 Address for correspondence: Francis M. Jiggins, Department of Genetics, University of
21 Cambridge, Downing Pl, Cambridge, CB2 3EH, UK
22
23 Phone number for correspondence: +44 (0)1223 333175

24
25
26

Abstract

The two arms of innate immunity consist of the cell-mediated cellular defenses and the systemic humoral immune responses. *Drosophila* humoral immune defenses in the context of antimicrobial immunity, particularly the regulation and activation of antimicrobial peptide secretion from the fat body, have been studied extensively. How *Drosophila* regulates humoral immunity against another major natural enemy, the parasitoid wasp, is less well-characterized. In this study, we focused on a gene crucial in anti-parasitoid immunity, *lectin-24A*, which is specifically induced following parasitization. We found that a fluorescent reporter driven by the region upstream of *lectin-24A* showed localized posterior expression in the larval fat body, the *Drosophila* tissue mediating humoral immunity. Furthermore, with RNA sequencing of the anterior and posterior fat body sections, we found that components of JAK/STAT, GATA, and Toll pathways were regulated differentially in the anterior-posterior axis of the fat body and/or by infection. Predicted binding motifs for transcription factors in all three of these pathways were identified in the 444bp upstream region of the *lectin-24A* gene, where scrambling these motifs leads to reduced basal or induced expression of the fluorescent reporter. Investigating each of these pathways, we found that JAK/STAT, the GATA factor Pannier, and the NF-κB factor dorsal all modulate the expression of *lectin-24A*. The binding motifs associated with these transcription factors were also enriched in the upstream sequences of parasitism-induced genes in the fat body. Taken together, these results indicate that JAK/STAT, Pannier, and NF-κB signaling are involved in the regulation of *lectin-24A* and, more generally, *Drosophila* humoral anti-parasitoid immunity after infection.

Significance Statement

Research on the antimicrobial immune defenses in fruit flies has led to key insights of innate immunity, particularly through studies of how the immune response is regulated in the insect humoral immune tissue, the fat body. However, there is a gap in our knowledge in understanding immunity against another major natural enemy of fruit flies, the parasitic wasps. Here we have focused on the regulation of humoral immune effectors in this response, where we found that three signaling pathways, JAK/STAT, NF-κB, and GATA, combine in the regulation of this immune response in the fat body. This gene regulation mechanism represents a novel system, underlying how parasites can elicit an immune response distinct from antimicrobial defenses.

Introduction

Parasitoid wasps are major natural parasites of insects. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the most common parasitoids attack larvae. Females of species such as *Leptopilina boulardi* insert an egg via their ovipositor inside the *Drosophila* larva, where the parasitoid larva, once emerged, feeds on host tissue during development. Without a successful immune response, the *Drosophila* host is eventually killed at the pupal stage. However, parasitization induces an anti-parasitoid defense in the larva termed melanotic encapsulation that involves both cellular and humoral immune responses [1–3]. *Drosophila* immune cells (hemocytes) are recruited to the parasitoid egg and surround it in a multi-layered cellular capsule [4–6]. This capsule then becomes melanized, killing the parasitoid [7].

Several humoral immune effectors have been identified in the context of humoral anti-parasitoid immunity in *Drosophila*. Humoral immunity is mediated by the *Drosophila* fat body, an insect-specific organ functionally similar to the mammalian liver, the metabolic hub of the organism. The invertebrate counterparts of the mammalian complement factors, called thioester-containing proteins (TEPs) [8], a group of serine proteases [9,10], and the fat body-derived peptide edin [11], are some of the secreted immune effectors shown to be involved in this response. We have previously also shown that a C-type lectin, lectin-24A, secreted by the larval fat body, plays an important role in this immune response [12]. The induction of *lectin-24A* expression following parasitism, controlled by the *cis*-regulatory upstream region of the gene, is associated with higher resistance to parasitoid infections [12]. Lectin-24A localizes to the surface of the parasitoid egg before hemocytes attach to it, suggesting that it may play a role in the recognition of the egg and subsequent recruitment of hemocytes.

Drosophila humoral immunity against bacterial and fungal infections has been investigated

intensively in the past and is well characterized. Following recognition of pathogens, humoral

defenses are induced via the activation of the two hallmark NF-κB immune signaling

pathways, Toll and Imd (reviewed in [13] and [14]). These two pathways are known for their

roles in regulating the secretion of many immune-related genes by the fat body, most

famously antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Dif and Dorsal are the two NF-κB family

transcription factors (TFs) in the Toll pathway, which is mostly responsible for defense

against Gram-positive bacteria, fungi and other pathogens. Relish is the transcription factor

involved in Imd signaling, which mostly controls the defense against gram-negative bacteria.

In some cases, a combination of the two pathways is responsible for the expression of

immune effectors in the fat body [15,16]. Other than its role in the induction of AMP

expression, the Toll pathway is also involved in regulating the proliferation of hemocytes in

Drosophila larvae [17,18]. Loss-of-function mutations in the Toll pathway components result

in reduced capacity to melanize parasitoids [13,17], whereas overactivation of the Toll

pathway leads to a spontaneous melanization phenotype reminiscent of the melanotic

encapsulation response against parasitoid wasps [13,17,19].

The Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) pathway has

been shown to be involved in the cellular immune response through the regulation of

hemocyte differentiation following parasitoid infection [18]. Downregulation of JAK/STAT

signaling in the anterior lobes of the larval lymph gland plays an important role in regulating

the differentiation of lamellocytes, a specialist type of hemocyte for the encapsulation

response [20,21]. It was also found that the activation of JAK/STAT signaling in somatic

muscles by hemocyte-derived cytokines Upd2 and Upd3 releases energy stored in the

muscles as glycogen for use in the immune response and is key to the immune response

against wasp infection [22,23]. Similar to the Toll pathway, overactivation of JAK/STAT

signaling in the whole larva also results in an over-proliferation of hemocytes and

spontaneous melanization [18,24,25].

A third group of transcription factors mediating *Drosophila* immunity is the GATA family of

transcription factors, a conserved group of TFs with zinc finger domains that recognize

variations of the GATA motif ((A/T) GATA (A/G)) (reviewed in [26]). *Drosophila* has a total

of five GATA factors: Pannier, Serpent, Grain, dGATAd, and dGATAe. Serpent is essential

for the differentiation of fat body and hemocytes [27,28], and Pannier has been found to play

an important role in hemocyte differentiation and maturation [29]. Strikingly, many immunity

genes expressed by the fat body exhibit Rel-Serpent synergy, where closely linked NF-κB

and GATA binding sites are found in the upstream regulatory region [30,31]. While Serpent is

the principal GATA factor regulating the antimicrobial response in the *Drosophila* fat body,

other GATA factors were reported to regulate immune responses in other *Drosophila* tissues

[31].

The anti-parasitoid humoral response is mainly driven by wasp parasite-associated molecular

patterns (PAMPs) [32], but the signaling pathways involved in the response remain unknown.

Previous studies of the transcriptional response to parasitoid attack in *Drosophila* larvae have

identified a series of genes that are differentially expressed in response to wasp oviposition

[33–36]. These studies have implicated the three pathways outlined above in the response

[33,35]. In particular, Wertheim et al. found that transcription factor binding motifs (TFBMs)

for NF-κB, STAT, and GATA significantly associate with genes differentially expressed after

parasitism [33]. However, these observations were not followed up experimentally. Previous

investigations have also focused on transcriptional changes in the whole larvae, where

differential expression in different tissues can confound the interpretation of the results, or in

hemocytes, where transcriptional changes reflect mainly hemocyte differentiation [37–40].

Based on these studies, here we investigated the regulation of *Drosophila* humoral anti-

parasitoid immunity mediated by the larval fat body. We focused on the *lectin-24A* gene, a

key humoral immune effector in this response [12], and studied its induction with

parasitization to understand the regulation of anti-parasitoid humoral immune mechanisms

more broadly.

Results

The upstream cis-regulatory region of the *lectin-24A* gene underlies a specific response to parasitoid wasp infection

We have previously found that the expression of *lectin-24A* increases resistance to parasitoids, and the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP) line 437 carries a resistant allele of the gene whereas the DGRP-892 line carries a susceptible allele [12]. These two alleles differed in their expression levels, where the resistant allele is expressed at a higher level than the susceptible one in homeostasis and when the *lectin-24A* is upregulated after infection [12]. To investigate if the proximal upstream region of the *lectin-24A* gene responds specifically to parasitoid wasp infection, we infected *D. melanogaster* larvae expressing a *lectin-24A* reporter driven by 444 bp of sequence upstream from the transcription start site (TSS) of the resistant DGRP-437 allele (*Venus^LP437*) [12]. This upstream region was chosen because it is the entire intergenic sequence between *lectin-24A* and its adjacent gene *Shaw*. We infected larvae with virus, bacteria, or parasitoid wasps, and found that only parasitoid wasp infection significantly induces the expression of the reporter (Figure 1A).

Consistent with previous reports of *lectin-24A* expression [41], when we observed infected larvae under a fluorescent dissecting microscope the *lectin-24A* reporter *Venus^LP437* construct was expressed in the larval fat body. We also confirmed the expression by removing the larval fat body through dissection (Figure 1B). Microscopy imaging of the dissected larval fat body shows localization of expression after infection with parasitoid wasps, where the reporter is consistently expressed at higher levels in the posterior ends (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Expression of the *lectin-24A* reporter *Venus^{LP437}*. (A) Expression of *Venus^{LP437}* 24 hours post-infection (hpi) with different pathogens, left to right: *Leptopilina boulardi* parasitoid wasps, *Escherichia coli* bacteria, and *Drosophila* C virus. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with total protein quantity of each sample. Fluorescence was measured in homogenized larvae with a plate reader in a 96-well plate. Each point represents a pool of 15 larvae. (B) Expression pattern of the reporter *Venus^{LP437}* in the *Drosophila* larval fat body. Microscopy imaging of larval fat bodies dissected from uninfected and infected larvae, at 0, 12, 24, and 48 hpi. Fat bodies are shown with posterior pointing up unless indicated by the direction of white arrows. Green represents Venus expression, and blue represents Hoescht nuclear staining. Scale bars represent 500μm.

RNA sequencing reveals humoral immune response is localized to the posterior larval

fat body

As we observed localized expression of *lectin-24A* in the posterior ends of the larval fat body,

we investigated whether this localized response is seen in other immune-responsive genes.

We conducted fat body RNA sequencing comparing gene expression changes in the anterior

and posterior of the fat body in response to parasitoid infection. The fat bodies were separated

into anterior and posterior sections according to the red line indicated in Figure 2A. After

filtering out lowly expressed genes, we detected a total of 10499 genes expressed in the fat

body. Under infected condition, there is a total of 213 significantly differentially expressed

genes with absolute $\log_2$FC of greater than 1, between the anterior and posterior sections. The

expression of homeobox (Hox)-containing transcription factor genes, *abd-A* and *Abd-B*, are

known for determining the anteroposterior body axis in *Drosophila*, including the fat body,

and we see both genes differentially expressed between the anterior and posterior, indicating

we have successfully separated the sections by dissection (Figure 2B) [42,43]. The results

confirm our observation of localized *Venus^{LP437}* expression in the posterior ends of the fat

body upon wasp infection, as *lectin-24A* is among the top upregulated genes in the posterior

with infection (Figure 2B).

Moreover, it seems that the posterior of the fat body showed generally higher immune

responsiveness than the anterior to parasitoid infection. Following infection, 72 genes were

significantly differentially expressed with an absolute $\log_2$FC of greater than 1 in the anterior,

whereas in the posterior the number is 244 genes (Supplementary Figure 2). Most genes

differentially expressed in the anterior after infection were also differentially expressed in the

posterior, while there were 179 genes that were significantly differentially expressed in the

posterior but not in the anterior after parasitization (Figure 2C). We also see that differentially

expressed genes in the posterior are enriched for "extracellular" in Cellular Components

Gene Ontology (GO) terms, suggesting that the posterior fat body is enriched for secreted

proteins (Supplementary Figure 3). In particular, putative immune effectors, including the

thioester-containing protein genes *Tep1* and *Tep2*, as well as a number of serine proteases

(*CG34436*, *CG33460*, *CG43124*, *CG43125*, and *CG43175*), appear to be specifically

upregulated in the posterior of the fat body with parasitization (Figure 2B).

Significantly downregulated genes in the larval fat body after parasitism suggest parasitoid

infection is causing shifts in metabolism and other aspects of immunity. *sug* and *daw*, genes

involved in sugar metabolism [44,45], are downregulated in the fat body after parasitoid

infec

inclu

[46,4   d

resp

Figure 2. RNA sequencing of larval fat body sections. (A) Dissection of male larval fat
bodies along the representative red lines into anterior and posterior sections, where the
developing gonads were removed, for RNA sequencing. (B) Differentially expressed genes,
with absolute log$_2$FC above 1 and False Discovery Rate (FDR) below 0.05, between anterior
and posterior larval fat body sections under infected condition. (C) Venn diagram showing
overlap of significantly differentially expressed genes with absolute log$_2$FC above 1 after
infection in anterior and posterior fat body sections.

Key immune signaling pathway components are differentially expressed in the fat body

after parasitism

There are also interesting patterns of localized immune signaling gene expressions: key

components of the NF-κB and JAK/STAT pathways show up as top differentially expressed

genes between posterior and anterior sections in both control and infected conditions (Figure

2B and Supplementary Figure 2). GO enrichment of significantly upregulated genes in the

posterior of the fat body also showed enrichment of terms related to "receptor signaling

pathway via JAK-STAT", "immune system process", and "defense response" (Supplementary

Figure 3).

Selecting specific components from major immune signaling pathways including

transcription factors, we analyzed their expression patterns in anterior/posterior and

uninfected/infected conditions (Figure 3). It is striking to see that different transcription

factors show differential patterns of expression. The NF-κB transcription factor *dl* (*dorsal*) is

induced specifically in the posterior of the fat body in response to infection, with *Rel* (*Relish*)

showing a non-significant trend in the same direction. *Dif* shows similar levels of expression

across the four conditions (Figure 3). The gene encoding the Toll receptor *Tl* is also

upregulated with infection specifically in the posterior (Figure 3). While the Toll ligand, *spz*,

is more highly expressed in the posterior regardless of infection status (Figure 3).

The five known GATA transcription factors are encoded by *pnr* (*pannier*), *srp* (*serpent*), *grn*

(grain), GATAd, and *GATAe*. While the other four GATA transcription factors showed similar

expression between the fat body sections and infection statuses, *pnr* is upregulated with

infection in both the anterior and posterior (Figure 3).

The JAK/STAT pathway transcription factor *Stat92E* shows an entirely spatial differential

expression not affected by infection state, where it is constitutively upregulated in the

posterior (Figure 3). However, some other JAK/STAT pathway components have different

patterns of expression: *hop* and *dome* are induced specifically in the posterior with infection,

while the negative regulator *et* shows the same pattern of posterior induction (Figure 3).

Another negative regulator, *Socs36E*, shows induction with infection in both anterior and

posterior, but is more strongly induced in the posterior (Figure 3). Overall, we see patterns of

localized differential expression of immune pathway component genes in the *Drosophila*

larval fat body between the anterior and posterior sections in response to wasp parasitization.

The expression patterns of these genes in relation to the localized expression of *lectin-24A*

suggest potential roles of these pathways in the regulation of *lectin-24A* and the wider

humoral immunity against parasitoid infections.

Figure 3. Gene expression patterns of components from major immune pathways in anterior and posterior sections with and without infection. Expression shown as Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (RPKM). Labels denote significant

273 (genome-wide false discovery rate < 0.05) differential expression with absolute $\log_2$FC of at

274 least 1 between treatment groups. Only significant differences are shown.

Truncation of the upstream promoter sequence of *lectin-24A* defines the minimal region

to maintain fat body specific induction of the gene

We have limited understanding of the regulation of the anti-parasitoid immune response in *D.*

melanogaster, particularly the early signaling that activates humoral immunity in the larval

fat body. We investigated the upstream region of the *lectin-24A* gene to further define the

specific sequences that underlie its induction with parasitization, and to understand the

transcription factors involved in the regulation of its expression. We created truncated

versions of the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter construct where we deleted the sequence from the 5' end

(Figure 4A). While we saw little effect from the first two deletions to 420 bp and 314 bp

upstream of the gene, we found that, when we truncated the sequence to be 146 bp upstream

of the TSS, there were significant changes to the expression of the reporter where it becomes

expressed in other tissues such as the gut with and without parasitization (Supplementary

Figure 4). Therefore, the region 314 bp upstream of the gene is required for an inducible

response of the *lectin-24A* gene that is restricted to the fat body tissue.

NF-κB, GATA, and STAT binding motifs are all involved in the regulation of *lectin-24A*

Our RNAseq results suggest that the NF-κB, GATA, and JAK/STAT pathways may be

involved in the regulation of the *lectin-24A* gene and humoral anti-parasitoid immunity in

general. We also screened for transcription factors binding to the upstream region of both the

resistant and susceptible alleles of *lectin-24A* in a Yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) screen. In

particular, we found that dorsal from the Toll pathway binds to both the resistant allele from

DGRP-437 and the susceptible allele from DGRP-892 (Figure 4B). We then predicted

TFBMs in the 444 bp upstream region according to the consensus binding motifs for

transcription factors in each of the immune pathways. One consensus motif was used for the

transcription factors Dif/dl, two motifs were used for STAT92E, and four motifs were used

for the different GATA transcription factors [49–52] (Figure 4C & Supplementary Table 1).

We predicted TFBMs for each of these transcription factors in this region (Figure 4C).

Furthermore, the GATA motif is predicted to bind all four of the GATA transcription factors

(Supplementary Table 1).

(B)

Allele	Gene	Expressed in larval fat body
Both	Rfx	No
	sd	Yes
	dl	Yes
DGRP-437	CTCF	No
	CG2202	Yes
	CG14965	No
DGRP-892	CG11902	No
	Dif ¦ dl	Yes

(C)

Pathway	Transcription factor	Motif consensus sequence
NF–κB	Dif/dl	GGGHHNNDVH
GATA	Pnr/Srp/GATAd/GATAe	WGATAAR
STAT	STAT92E	TT(N)$_5$AA/TT(N)$_6$AA

Figure 4. Transcription factor binding motifs (TFBMs) upstream of *lectin-24A*. (A) Truncated *lectin-24A* reporter Venus expression compared to the full-length reporter constructs with the susceptible DGRP-892 (LP892; blue) and resistant DGRP-437 (LP437; red) alleles. (B) Yeast one-hybrid screen results showing proteins that bind to the sequence 444 bp upstream of the resistant DGRP-437 *lectin-24A* allele and/or the susceptible DGRP-892 *lectin-24A* allele. Dif ¦ dl represents a heterodimer. (C) Predicted TFBMs of transcription factors from the JAK/STAT, NF-κB, and GATA pathways upstream of *lectin-24A*. The STAT consensus sequence shown corresponds to the conserved STAT binding site "TT(n)AA", with 5-6 variable n sites in the middle. (D) Expression of the reporter constructs with the 314 bp upstream sequence of *lectin-24A* where predicted NF-κB, GATA, and three of the STAT TFBMs are scrambled. The scrambled STAT sites are indicated with asterisks in (C). Each point represents a pool of 10 larvae. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with total protein quantity of each sample.

To further study the role of TFBMs in the regulation of *lectin-24A*, we created promoter

reporter constructs where motifs have been scrambled to abolish transcription factor binding.

Since the truncated version with 314 bp upstream of the TSS showed similar expression as

the full-length LP437 construct, we scrambled the TFBMs with this version of the reporter

(Figure 4A, LP437^{314bp}). The universally conserved STAT binding site is defined as

"TT(n)AA", with variable 4-6 n sites in the middle [53,54]. The *Drosophila* STAT binds

"TTC(N)$_3$GAA" sites with high affinity [50,51]. However, gene regulation can rely on STAT

binding motifs that have lower affinity [50]. This includes *Dome,* which is upregulated by

STAT binding "TTC(N)$_4$GAA" sites [50], and which we found to be upregulated in the

posterior fat body after infection (Figure 3). Here we scrambled three sites with the motif

"TTC(N)$_5$AA" in the *lectin-24A* upstream region, which are half-way between the universal

STAT motif and the 4n *Drosophila* STAT motif (Figure 4C, sites with asterisks &

Supplementary Table 2). We also scrambled motifs predicted to bind Dif/dl and GATA.

We found that the predicted TFBMs associated with Dif/dl, STAT92E, and GATA are all

involved in the regulation of the gene. Scrambling of the TFBM for Dif/dl resulted in the

abolishment of the upregulation of the reporter and reduction in the basal expression, whereas

scrambling of the TFBMs for the GATA and STAT transcription factors resulted only in the

reduction of the basal expression while the induction with infection is still present (Figure

4D). These results suggest that all three of these pathways may bind to the upstream region of

lectin-24A and be involved in the regulation of expression.

We previously found that the *cis*-regulatory sequences of the resistant and susceptible alleles

of *lectin-24A* differed by three insertion-deletion polymorphisms (indels) of 7 bp, 8 bp, and

21 bp in length, and six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; Figure 4A, top two rows)

[12]. The 21 bp indel was found to be primarily responsible for the differential regulation of

lectin-24A expression between the two alleles [12]. Based on the positions of the TFBMs in

the region, we found that the NF-κB binding site is disrupted by the 21 bp deletion in the

susceptible DGRP-892 *lectin-24A* allele (Figure 4C). As scrambling this NF-κB binding site

abolishes the basal expression and induction of the reporter, we conclude that the loss of this

NF-κB binding site explains the differential expression we see from natural polymorphisms

in the *lectin-24A* upstream region. This in turn controls susceptibility to infection in natural

populations [12].

The JAK/STAT pathway plays a crucial role in the regulation of *lectin-24A*

The JAK/STAT signaling pathway is an evolutionarily conserved pathway with a variety of

functions in hematopoiesis, immunity, and development [55]. The canonical JAK/STAT

signaling starts with ligand binding, resulting in receptor dimerization bringing two non-

receptor tyrosine kinases (JAKs) together to trans-phosphorylate one another [56,57].

Activated JAKs then phosphorylate the receptor which in turn phosphorylates the STAT

transcription factors. This leads to the normally cytosolic STAT molecule translocating to the

nucleus to activate gene expression. The *Drosophila* JAK/STAT pathway only has one JAK

(*hop*) [58], one active type I cytokine receptor (*dome*) [59], and one STAT (*Stat92E*) [51,60].

To better characterize the role of the JAK/STAT pathway in the regulation of *lectin-24A*, we

knocked down the expression of the transcription factor Stat92E in larvae expressing the

lectin-24A reporter using the ubiquitously expressed *da-GAL4* to drive the expression of a

hairpin RNAi construct. At 24 hpi, *Stat92E* knockdown abolished the induction of the

reporter, suggesting that the JAK/STAT pathway is involved in the regulation of the gene

(Figure 5A).

In our RNAseq analysis, we also found that the JAK/STAT pathway negative regulator *et*

showed specific induction in the posterior of the fat body. et is structurally similar to the

JAK/STAT receptor dome, and it can function as a negative regulator by forming inactive

heterodimers with dome [21,61]. It was previously found that et is required for the

downregulation of JAK/STAT activity in hematopoietic progenitors for lamellocyte

differentiation after wasp infection [21]. To further understand the role of JAK/STAT

signaling in *lectin-24A* regulation, we analyzed the expression of the reporter in a genetic

background where *et* is mutated [21]. We found that with a non-functional mutant *et*, the

reporter shows constitutively upregulated expression in the larval fat body (Figure 5B). In

addition, to confirm this result, we used a dominant negative allele of Domeless

(DomeΔCYT, cytoplasmic domain deleted), driven in the fat body by *Cg-GAL4* or

ubiquitously by *da-GAL4*, to decrease JAK/STAT activity. We observed a general lowering of

reporter expression both for basal and infected conditions (Figure 5C).

The *Drosophila* JAK, *hop*, showed a similar expression pattern as *et* in our RNAseq analysis,

being upregulated after infection in the posterior fat body. We therefore studied the

expression of the reporter in a genetic background with a constitutively active form of *hop*

(*hop^{Tum}*). As predicted, the reporter showed elevated basal expression in the *hop^{Tum}*

background (Figure 5D). When we then examined *lectin-24A* reporter expression in a

background where we abolished *hop* in somatic cells using CRISPR/Cas9 and a guide RNA

targeting the gene (somatic knock out), we found a reduction in the upregulation of the

reporter after wasp infection (Figure 5E). Taken together, our results indicate clearly that the

JAK/STAT pathway has a critical role in the induction of *lectin-24A* following parasitization.

397
398
Figure 5. The role of the JAK/STAT pathway in the regulation of *lectin-24A*. In all panels
the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter expression was measured. (A) Larvae with knockdown of *Stat92E*
using RNAi, compared to the knockdown of the *white* gene as a control. (B) Larvae carrying
a mutant or wildtype (WT) form of the JAK/STAT negative regulator *et*. (C) Larvae
expressing a dominant negative form of the JAK/STAT receptor Dome (DomeΔCYT) in the
fat body and hemocytes under the control of the *Cg-GAL4* driver, with the ubiquitous *da-*
GAL4 driver, and with no driver. As the parental stock expressing *UAS-DomeΔCYT* also
expresses the JAK/STAT negative regulator *et* under UAS control on a balanced chromosome
II, a portion of the larvae also expresses *UAS-et*. Larvae expressing GAL4 drivers also
expressed *tub-GAL80^{ts}*. (D) Larvae expressing the constitutively active *hop* (*hop^{Tum}*)
compared to larvae expressing the wild type (WT) *hop*. (E) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and
guide RNA targeting *hop* compared to control larvae. Each point represents a pool of 10
larvae. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized
with total protein quantity of each sample. All infected larvae were collected at 24 hpi and
uninfected age-matched larvae were collected for the controls. Tukey's test for each panel, *P*
< 0.05 between groups.
415
416
417

GATA transcription factors modulate *lectin-24A* expression in the fat body

GATA transcription factors comprise a family of DNA binding proteins named after the consensus sequence (WGATAAR) they recognize. GATA factors are highly conserved across invertebrates and vertebrates, where they play major roles in development. *Drosophila* and mammalian GATA factors share functional similarities in the regulation of developmental processes, such as hematopoietic development [26], blood cell differentiation [28,62], and liver/fat body development [28,63,64]. *Drosophila* has a total of five GATA factors, of which only three display the canonical two zinc finger domains found in all mammalian GATA factors: Pannier (Pnr), Serpent (Srp) and Grain [65–67].

The DNA-binding activity of GATA factors is mainly mediated by the C-terminal zinc finger domain, whereas the N-terminal zinc finger domain is required for interactions between the GATA factor and its cofactor Friend of GATA (FOG) proteins [68]. It has previously been shown that the FOG protein u-shaped (Ush) interacts with the N-terminal zinc finger of both Pnr and Srp [65,68,69]. In particular, Pnr and Ush form heterodimers, where Ush antagonizes the activator function of the Pnr transcription factor [65,69,70].

As we observed significant differential expression of *pnr* in our RNAseq analysis following parasitization (Figure 3), we studied the effect of Pnr on *lectin-24A* expression. We utilized the *pnr^D4^* mutant, which belongs to a class of *pnr* mutants with point mutations in the N-terminal zinc finger domain, resulting in very weak interactions with Ush [65,69]. Using the fat body *Cg-GAL4* driver, we expressed the dominant *pnr^D4^* in the fat body with the *UAS-pnr^D4^* construct (Figure 6A). As *pnr^D4^* interacts poorly with the negative Pnr regulator Ush, it functions as a dominant positive mutant. Strikingly, *Venus^LP437^* reporter showed constitutive

high expression, even in the absence of parasitization (Figure 6A). We then analyzed the

expression of *lectin-24A* Venus reporter in a genetic background where we knocked out *pnr*

expression using somatic mutagenesis with CRISPR/Cas9. However, knock out of *pnr*

expression in the whole larvae did not result in significant changes to the *Venus*^{LP437} reporter

expression, which might reflect GATA factors may have redundant roles in regulating *lectin-*

24A (Figure 6B). Thus, our results indicate that Pnr is involved in the induction of *lectin-24A*

following parasitoid infection, but it may not be the only or the main GATA factor playing

this role.

Figure 6. The role of the GATA transcription factor, Pannier, in the regulation of *lectin-*
***24A*.** The *Venus*^{LP437} reporter expression was measured. (A) Larvae expressing the dominant
positive form of Pnr (Pnr^{D4}) under UAS control driven by the fat body and hemocyte-specific
Cg-GAL4 driver or no driver. (B) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and guide RNA targeting *pnr*
compared to control larvae. Each point represents a pool of 10 larvae. The relative
fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with the total protein
quantity of each sample. Tukey's test for each panel, $P < 0.05$ between groups.

NF-κB transcription factors dl regulates humoral antiparasitoid immunity

NF-κB transcription factors of the Toll and Imd pathways have major roles in the regulation

of antimicrobial humoral immunity for *Drosophila*. Our scrambling of TFBMs in the *lectin-*

24A upstream region suggests that the Toll pathway might also participate in regulating the

anti-parasitoid response in the larval fat body (Figure 4D). Of the three *Drosophila* NF-κB

transcription factors, Dif, dl, and Rel, we found that only dl becomes significantly

upregulated with parasitism specifically in the posterior of the larval fat body (Figure 3). To

further understand the role of NF-κB transcription factors in the regulation of *lectin-24A*, we

created somatic mutants of the NF-κB transcription factors Dif and dl. The effects of somatic

mutagenesis of these genes suggest that knocking out *dl* reduces the expression of *Venus*[LP437]

in the uninfected state (Figure7A), while removing *Dif* expression seems to give the opposite

effect (Figure 7B). We then overexpressed dl and Rel in the larval fat body using the driver

Cg-GAL4, where we show that dl overexpression leads to a constitutively high expression of

the *Venus*[LP437] reporter with and without infection (Figure 7C). Overexpression of Rel did not

show significant effects on the reporter expression (Figure 7C). Altogether, we conclude that

dl is the NF-κB transcription factor regulating *lectin-24A* expression.

Figure 7. The role of NF-κB transcription factors in the regulation of *lectin-24A*. The *Venus^{LP437}* reporter expression was measured. (A) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and guide RNA targeting *dl* compared to control larvae. The same WT control samples were used here as in Figure 6B. (B) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and guide RNA targeting *Dif* compared to control larvae. (C) Larvae expressing *dl* or *Rel* under UAS control driven by the fat body and hemocyte-specific *Cg-GAL4* driver or no driver. F$_1$ heterozygote larvae were collected. As the parental line expressing *UAS-dl* carried a balancer chromosome that could not be identified at the larval stage in F$_1$ progeny, larvae collected from the *UAS-dl* crosses were a mix of *Cg-GAL4/UAS-dl* and *Cg-GAL4/+*. Each point represents a pool of 10 larvae. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with the total protein quantity of each sample. Tukey's test for each panel, *P* < 0.05 between groups.

Transcription factor binding motifs found in the upstream region of *lectin-24A* are

generally enriched in the upstream sequences of parasitism-induced genes

As we have found the TFBMs of GATA, NF-κB, and STAT are important in the regulation of

the *lectin-24A* gene, we were interested to find out if this generalizes to the other genes

upregulated with infection. We searched for TFBMs in the 300 bp upstream region of all the

genes detected in the larval fat body RNAseq (Figure 2). Then we assessed if a TFBM is

enriched in the upstream sequence of genes upregulated with infection in the posterior of the

fat body, relative to the full set of genes detected. We found that GATA binding motifs are

generally enriched around two-fold in the sequences upstream of upregulated genes. For

instance, the Pannier binding motif (Supplementary Table 1) is found an average of 0.60

times per kilobase (kb) of sequence 5' of TSSs for upregulated genes, whereas it is only

found an average of 0.33 times per kb of upstream sequences in the full set of genes (Welch's

t-test, t = 3.49, d.f. = 277.9, p-value = 5.65×10^{-4}). A similar case is observed in the genes

specifically upregulated in the posterior of the fat body after infection (Figure 2B), showing

even higher enrichment with a mean of 0.66 times per kb in these genes (Welch's t-test, t =

3.51, d.f. = 186.1, p-value = 5.72×10^{-4}).

When we searched for STAT motifs in the upstream sequences, we found significant

enrichment of the "TTC(N)$_4$AA" motif in the posterior-specific upregulated genes with a

mean of 8.46 instances per kb compared to 7.77 in the background (Welch's t-test, t = 2.10,

d.f. = 188.84, p-value = 0.037). Although the same motif also appears to be enriched in the

parasitism-induced gene set, with 8.27 occurrences per kb, it falls just below the significance

threshold (Welch's t-test, t = 1.91, d.f. = 284.98, p-value = 0.058). On the other hand, the

"TTC(N)$_5$AA" motif only shows significant enrichment in the parasitism-induced gene set,

with 6.29 occurrences per kb compared to 5.83 in the background set (Welch's t-test, t = 1.98,

d.f. = 284.47, p-value = 0.048).

Moreover, previous studies have described a specific organization of TFBMs in the upstream

region of fat body-specific immune genes, where NF-κB and GATA binding sites have been

found to be in close association, with less than 50 bp in-between them and within 200-300 bp

upstream of the TSSs [30,31]. We specifically searched for NF-κB sites within 50 bp of a

GATA site and checked for enrichment. Although, we see that NF-κB motifs linked to GATA

motifs are also more highly enriched in the upstream region of parasitism-induced genes and

posterior-specific anti-parasitoid genes, with a mean of 0.52 and 0.53 instances per kb,

respectively, compared to 0.39 in the background set, the differences were not significant.

Overall, the TFBMs of the GATA, NF-κB, and STAT transcription factors all appear to be

enriched in the 5' sequences of parasitism-induced genes in the *Drosophila* larval fat body,

suggesting that these transcription factors and the signaling pathways they belong to are

generally involved in the regulation of genes in the humoral anti-parasitoid response.

Discussion

The *Drosophila* anti-parasitoid immune response involves the concerted action of hemocytes and the fat body to encapsulate and melanize the wasp [4,63,64]. This complex and multifaceted response is distinct from responses to microbial or viral infection, and is specifically induced by a wasp PAMP [32]. Here we have demonstrated that the regulation of *Drosophila* anti-parasitoid humoral immunity, mediated by the larval fat body, involves complex inputs from multiple immune pathways (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Schematic overview of *Drosophila* humoral defenses to microbial pathogens and parasitoid. Antimicrobial responses in the fat body are regulated by the NF-κB pathways, Toll and Imd. The Imd pathway is mostly activated by Gram-negative bacteria through the PGRP-LC receptor, whereas the Toll pathway is largely activated by fungi and Gram-positive bacteria through the Toll receptor. Activation of the Imd pathway leads to the NF-κB transcription factor Relish translocating into the nucleus after its cleavage and activation, and the transcription factors Dif and Dorsal are activated through Toll pathway signaling. These NF-κB transcription factors along with the GATA transcription factor Serpent induce the expression of genes encoding antimicrobial effectors in the fat body via

closely linked GATA and κB binding sites in the upstream promoter region. The *Drosophila*
humoral anti-parasitoid response is regulated by a combination of inputs from JAK/STAT,
GATA and NF-κB pathways. After recognition of parasitism, hemocytes produce the
cytokines, Upd2 and Upd3, which bind to the receptor Dome to activate JAK/STAT pathway
signaling in the fat body. Dome dimerization after ligand binding leads to trans-
phosphorylation of Hop, which then activates the transcription factor STAT92E. STAT92E
binds the upstream region of anti-parasitoid genes including *lectin-24A*. ET and SOCS36E
are negative regulators of the JAK/STAT pathway that suppress this signaling. STAT, GATA,
and NF-κB TFBMs are found to be enriched in the upstream sequences of parasitism-induced
genes. The GATA transcription factor Pannier and the NF-κB transcription factor Dorsal also
participate in the regulation of anti-parasitoid genes in the larval fat body by binding to their
respective binding sites in the promoter region.
562
563

The transcriptional response induced by a parasitoid attack differs from that activated by

microbial infections [33]. We found that *lectin-24A* is a gene specifically induced by

parasitoid infection, providing a tool to understand broadly how wasp-responsive genes are

regulated. Based on our current results, it seems that this specificity is potentially conferred

by the coordinated inputs from different immune-signaling pathways: NF-κB, the GATA

factor Pannier, and JAK/STAT. This regulation differs from the well-characterized

antimicrobial defense, which mainly requires the two NF-κB pathways, Toll and Imd, with

additional inputs from the GATA transcription factor Serpent [30,74–78].

It is likely that our results reflect mechanisms of regulation in the wider humoral anti-

parasitoid response, not just limited to *lectin-24A*. Our analysis of upstream regulatory motifs

found enrichment of NF-κB, GATA, and STAT binding sites in the upstream region of

differentially expressed genes in the larval fat body in response to wasp infection. This result

is consistent with a previous report of TFBMs significantly associated with anti-parasitoid

genes in the whole larvae [33]. In that study, Wertheim et al. defined clusters of differentially

expressed genes based on patterns of co-expression over nine time points in the 72 hours after

parasitization [33]. *lectin-24A* as well as the genes encoding three thioester-containing

proteins (TEPs), which are structurally similar to mammalian complement proteins, were

clustered together [33]. Genes in this cluster showed significant over-representation of NF-

κB, GATA, and STAT regulatory motifs [33], supporting out conclusion that the anti-

parasitoid humoral immune response mediated by the fat body is regulated by the concerted

action of these pathways. Further support comes from analyses of TEP expression in flies

where signaling pathways have been perturbed. TEPs are expressed mainly by the *Drosophila*

fat body and induced in response to various immune challenges [79]. The loss of the four

secreted TEPs, TEP1-4, results in reduced capacity to successfully encapsulate wasps [8].

Tep1 shows constitutive expression in the absence of infection in the constitutively active

hop^{Tum} mutant [79]. Similarly, in a *Toll* gain-of-function mutant background, *Tep1* also

displayed constitutive activation [79]. However, in this case, the Toll pathway effects were

recognized to be indirect, and secreted TEPs were found to function upstream of Toll and

promote Toll activation [8,79].

It is still unknown how parasitoids are recognized by the *Drosophila* host. As hemocytes are

responsible for the secretion of Upd cytokines that activate the JAK/STAT pathway in other

tissues, they are likely to play a key role in the recognition of parasitoid attacks [22]. Our

study shows a significant role of the JAK/STAT pathway in *lectin-24A* regulation.

Interestingly, we see that JAK/STAT pathway negative regulators are strongly induced

alongside the activation of the pathway itself (Figure 3) [21,61,80,81]. As we only

investigated one time point (24 hpi) in our experiments, this result suggests potential negative

feedback loops in the shutdown of the pathway that were not captured. In mammals, negative

regulators of the pathway are known to be induced after infection and JAK/STAT activation,

playing a key role in regulating the cytokine response and preventing damaging inflammation

[82,83]. This is also the case in *Drosophila*, where expression of the negative regulator

SOCS36E is activated by JAK/STAT signaling, creating feedback inhibition [81,84,85].

In the *lectin-24A* upstream region, we predicted a GATA transcription factor binding site that

contains the consensus binding motifs from four of the *Drosophila* GATA transcription

factors (Figure 4 & Supplementary Table 1). We specifically focused on Pannier because it is

the only GATA transcription factor showing differential expression in the larval fat body

following parasitoid attack (Figure 3). Our results indicate that Pannier is involved in

regulating *lectin-24A* and likely the broader humoral anti-parasitoid response. However, we

have yet to investigate whether upregulation of Pannier expression is a primary signal of

infection or a consequence of activating other pathways such as JAK/STAT. Furthermore,

whether the other *Drosophila* GATA transcription factors also contribute to regulation

remains to be further studied. In particular, Serpent, which is known to be involved in the

regulation of immune genes in the larval fat body, is also predicted to bind upstream of *lectin-*

24A and might also have a role in the regulation of immunity against parasitoids [30,31,86].

Based on our RNAseq data, components of the Toll pathway showed significant differential

expression in the larval fat body following parasitism (Figure 3). Particularly, of the NF-κB

transcription factors, *dl* showed upregulation specifically in the posterior fat body with

infection (Figure 3). We also found that a Dif/dl binding motif in the *lectin-24A* upstream

region had a crucial role in the expression of the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter (Figure 4D). Dif and

dorsal, the two transcription factors in the *Drosophila* NF-κB Toll pathway, are known to

regulate the defense to Gram-positive bacteria and fungi. In the antimicrobial response, Dif

and dl have different roles depending on developmental stage. In adults, Dif alone is required

for the humoral immune response, whereas in larvae, it shows some redundancy with dl

[76,87]. While there is evidence that in Dif and dl double mutants, expression of either

transcription factors is sufficient to rescue the expression of AMPs such as *Drosomycin* [87],

some other reports show that induction of certain AMPs specifically require Dif and cannot

be rescued with only dl [77]. Furthermore, Dif and dl, as well as Rel, form homodimers and

heterodimers during DNA binding, meaning that permutations of different dimers expand the

regulatory possibilities from these NF-κB proteins [88,89]. Since NF-kB transcription factors

activate transcription by translocating into the cell nucleus, our observation that the

expression of *Dif* does not increase after infection does not preclude it playing a role in

regulating the anti-parasitoid humoral response. Nevertheless, as *dl* but not *Dif* mutants

reduced expression of the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter, dl is likely playing the key role in regulation of

this immune response.

In this study, we investigated individually how each of these pathways contributed to the

regulation of *lectin-24A* expression, but it remains unclear how they work together. It was

previously found that NF-κB and GATA regulatory synergy plays a key role in many

immunity-related fat body-specific genes that are activated in response to microbial

infections [30,31]. In particular, a fixed organization of NF-κB and GATA binding sites in the

5' regulatory region within 1 kb of predicted transcription start sites (TSSs) was defined,

where both sites are located in the proximal region within 200 or 300 bp from the TSS [30].

The sites were found to be closely linked, within 50 bp from each other and in the same

relative orientation [30]. The NF-κB and GATA binding sites we identified in the *lectin-24A*

upstream region fit this criterion exactly (Figure 4C). According to the previous study, each

individual NF-κB and GATA site has an essential role in the optimal expression of the genes

[30]. Furthermore, their results suggest that the GATA site is responsible for localizing the

expression to the fat body, and the NF-κB site is responsible for the induction of the gene in

response to infection [30]. Our analysis based on scrambling the binding sites in the *lectin-*

24A reporter construct are also in line with this model, with the addition that STAT binding is

also involved in regulation in a similar way as GATA (Figure 4D). However, notably, Pannier

is strongly upregulated by parasitoid infection and this upregulation does not differ between

anterior and posterior of the larval fat body (Figure 3). This result suggests that Pannier is not

just playing a role to localize *lectin-24A* expression to the fat body, but is also involved in the

induction of its expression. Nonetheless, when we manipulated specific genes in each of

these pathways the effects on *lectin-24A* expression become less straightforward, suggesting

more complex models of coordinated regulation and potential indirect effects from

knockdown or knockout in the whole larva.

Immune systems evolve rapidly as hosts adapt to an ever-changing array of parasites and

pathogens. We have previously shown that a polymorphic deletion upstream of Lectin-24A

has led to reduced parasitoid resistance in wild populations [12], and here we provide an

explanation of this by showing that it abolishes an NF-κB binding motif. Furthermore, a

group of four single nucleotide polymorphisms cause a smaller reduction in Lectin-24A

expression [12]. Notably, one of these is within a STAT binding motif, with the low

expression allele predicted to bind STAT92E with lower affinity ($TTC(N)_4AA$ in the high

expression DGRP-437 line becomes $TTA(N)_4AA$ in the low expression DGRP-892 line).

Therefore, the cis-regulatory elements that respond to immune signaling pathways may be

hotspots of host-parasite coevolution.

Funding

This work was funded by the following grants awarded to Francis M. Jiggins: Natural

Environment Research Council grant (NE/P00184X/1) and Biological Sciences Research

Council (BBSRC) grant (BB/V000667/1). Shuyu O. Zhou was supported by the Gates

Cambridge Trust. Alexandre B. Leitão was supported by the European Molecular Biology

Organization fellowship (ALT-1556).

Data availability

The RNAseq data has been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the

BioProject number PRJNA1021619, with BioSample accessions from SAMN37565424 to

SAMN37565439. Processed data files and scripts to analyze data are available on Apollo, the

University of Cambridge repository (https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.108488).

References

1. Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J. The Host Defense of Drosophila melanogaster. Annu Rev Immunol. 2007 Mar;25(1):697–743.

2. Buchon N, Silverman N, Cherry S. Immunity in Drosophila melanogaster — from microbial recognition to whole- organism physiology. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014 Dec;14(12):796–810.

3. Yu S, Luo F, Xu Y, Zhang Y, Jin LH. Drosophila Innate Immunity Involves Multiple Signaling Pathways and Coordinated Communication Between Different Tissues. Front Immunol. 2022 Jul 7;13:905370.

4. Kim-Jo C, Sendi JJ, Poirié M, Gatti JL. Drosophila Cellular Immunity Against Parasitoid Wasps: A Complex and Time-Dependent Process. Front Physiol Wwwfrontiersinorg. 2019;1.

5. Anderl I, Vesala L, Ihalainen TO, Vanha-aho LM, Andó I, Rämet M, et al. Transdifferentiation and Proliferation in Two Distinct Hemocyte Lineages in Drosophila melanogaster Larvae after Wasp Infection. 2016;

6. Eleftherianos I, Heryanto C, Bassal T, Zhang W, Tettamanti G, Mohamed A. Haemocyte-mediated immunity in insects: Cells, processes and associated components in the fight against pathogens and parasites. Immunology. 2021;

7. Dudzic JP, Kondo S, Ueda R, Bergman CM, Lemaitre B. Drosophila innate immunity: regional and functional specialization of prophenoloxidases. BMC Biol. 2015 Oct 1;13(1):81.

8. Dostálová A, Rommelaere S, Poidevin M, Lemaitre B. Thioester-containing proteins regulate the Toll pathway and play a role in Drosophila defence against microbial pathogens and parasitoid wasps. BMC Biol. 2017;15(1):79–79.

9. Tang H, Kambris Z, Lemaitre B, Hashimoto C. Two proteases defining a melanization cascade in the immune system of Drosophila. J Biol Chem. 2006 Sep;281(38):28097–104.

10. Dudzic JP, Hanson MA, Iatsenko I, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. More Than Black or White: Melanization and Toll Share Regulatory Serine Proteases in Drosophila. Cell Rep. 2019 Apr 23;27(4):1050-1061.e3.

11. Vanha-Aho LM, Anderl I, Vesala L, Hultmark D, Valanne S, Rämet M. Edin Expression in the Fat Body Is Required in the Defense Against Parasitic Wasps in Drosophila melanogaster. 2015;

12. Arunkumar R, Zhou SO, Day JP, Bakare S, Pitton S, Zhang Y, et al. Natural selection has driven the recurrent loss of an immunity gene that protects Drosophila against a major natural parasite. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2023 Aug 15;120(33):e2211019120.

13. Valanne S, Wang JH, Rämet M. The Drosophila Toll Signaling Pathway. Artic J Immunol.
2011;

14. Myllymäki H, Valanne S, Rämet M. The Drosophila Imd Signaling Pathway. J Immunol.
2014;192(8):3455–62.

15. Hori A, Kurata S, Kuraishi T. Unexpected role of the IMD pathway in *Drosophila* gut
defense against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2018 Jan
1;495(1):395–400.

16. Tanji T, Hu X, Weber ANR, Ip YT. Toll and IMD Pathways Synergistically Activate an Innate
Immune Response in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Cell Biol. 2007 Jun;27(12):4578–88.

17. Qiu P, Pan PC, Govind S. A role for the Drosophila Toll/Cactus pathway in larval
hematopoiesis [Internet]. 1998 p. 1909–20. Available from:
http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/

18. Sorrentino RP, Melk JP, Govind S. Genetic Analysis of Contributions of Dorsal Group and
JAK-Stat92E Pathway Genes to Larval Hemocyte Concentration and the Egg
Encapsulation Response in Drosophila. Genetics. 2004;

19. Lemaitre B, Meister M, Govind S, Georgel P, Steward R, Reichhart JM, et al. Functional
analysis and regulation of nuclear import of dorsal during the immune response in
Drosophila. EMBO J. 1995 Feb 1;14(3):536–45.

20. Rodrigues D, Renaud Y, VijayRaghavan K, Waltzer L, Inamdar MS. Differential activation of
JAK-STAT signaling reveals functional compartmentalization in Drosophila blood
progenitors. Shim J, Banerjee U, Shim J, editors. eLife. 2021 Feb 17;10:e61409.

21. Makki R, Meister M, Pennetier D, Ubeda JM, Braun A, Daburon V, et al. A Short Receptor
Downregulates JAK/STAT Signalling to Control the Drosophila Cellular Immune Response.
PLOS Biol. 2010 Aug 3;8(8):e1000441.

22. Yang H, Kronhamn J, Ekström JO, Korkut GG, Hultmark D. JAK/STAT signaling in
Drosophila muscles controls the cellular immune response against parasitoid infection.
EMBO Rep. 2015 Dec;16(12):1664–72.

23. Yang H, Hultmark D. Tissue communication in a systemic immune response of
Drosophila. 2016; Available from:
https://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=kfly20

24. Harrison D a., Binari R, Nahreini T s., Gilman M, Perrimon N. Activation of a Drosophila
Janus kinase (JAK) causes hematopoietic neoplasia and developmental defects. EMBO J.
1995 Jun;14(12):2857–65.

25. Luo H, Hanratty WP, Dearolf CR. An amino acid substitution in the Drosophila hopTum-l
Jak kinase causes leukemia-like hematopoietic defects. EMBO J. 1995 Apr 3;14(7):1412–
20.

26. Patient RK, McGhee JD. The GATA family (vertebrates and invertebrates). Curr Opin
Genet Dev. 2002 Aug 1;12(4):416–22.

27. Sam S, Leise W, Keiko Hoshizaki D. The *serpent* gene is necessary for progression through
the early stages of fat-body development. Mech Dev. 1996 Dec 1;60(2):197–205.

28. Rehorn KP, Thelen H, Michelson AM, Reuter R. A molecular aspect of hematopoiesis and
endoderm development common to vertebrates and Drosophila. Development. 1996
Dec 1;122(12):4023–31.

29. Minakhina S, Tan W, Steward R. JAK/STAT and the GATA factor Pannier control hemocyte
maturation and differentiation in Drosophila. Dev Biol. 2011 Apr 15;352(2):308–16.

30. Senger K, Armstrong GW, Rowell WJ, Kwan JM, Markstein M, Levine M. Immunity
Regulatory DNAs Share Common Organizational Features in Drosophila. Mol Cell. 2004
Jan;13(1):19–32.

31. Senger K, Harris K, Levine M. GATA factors participate in tissue-specific immune
responses in Drosophila larvae. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006 Oct 24;103(43):15957–62.

32. Leitão AB, Arunkumar R, Day JP, Hanna N, Devi A, Hayes MP, et al. Recognition of nonself
is necessary to activate Drosophila's immune response against an insect parasite. BMC
Biol. 2024 Apr 22;22(1):89.

33. Wertheim B, Kraaijeveld AR, Schuster E, Blanc E, Hopkins M, Pletcher SD, et al. Genome-
wide gene expression in response to parasitoid attack in Drosophila. Genome Biol.
2005;6(11):R94–R94.

34. Wertheim B, Kraaijeveld AR, Hopkins MG, Walther Boer M, Godfray HCJ. Functional
genomics of the evolution of increased resistance to parasitism in Drosophila. Mol Ecol.
2011;20(5):932–49.

35. Schlenke TA, Morales J, Govind S, Clark AG. Contrasting Infection Strategies in Generalist
and Specialist Wasp Parasitoids of Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Pathog. 2007
Oct;3(10):1486–501.

36. Salazar-Jaramillo L, Jalvingh KM, de Haan A, Kraaijeveld K, Buermans H, Wertheim B.
Inter- and intra-species variation in genome-wide gene expression of Drosophila in
response to parasitoid wasp attack. BMC Genomics. 2017 Apr 27;18(1):331.

37. Cho B, Yoon SH, Lee D, Koranteng F, Tattikota SG, Cha N, et al. Single-cell transcriptome
maps of myeloid blood cell lineages in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2020 Sep 8;11(1):4483.

38. Leitão AB, Arunkumar R, Day JP, Geldman EM, Morin-Poulard I, Crozatier M, et al.
Constitutive activation of cellular immunity underlies the evolution of resistance to
infection in Drosophila. eLife. 2020 Dec;9:e59095.

39. Tattikota SG, Cho B, Liu Y, Hu Y, Barrera V, Steinbaugh MJ, et al. A single-cell survey of
Drosophila blood. eLife. 2020;9:e54818.

40. Cattenoz et al. Temporal specificity and heterogeneity of Drosophila immune cells.
EMBO J. 2020 Jun 17;39(12):e104486.

41. Keebaugh ES, Schlenke TA. Adaptive evolution of a novel Drosophila lectin induced by
parasitic wasp attack. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29(2):565–77.

42. Duboule D, Morata G. Colinearity and functional hierarchy among genes of the homeotic
complexes. Trends Genet. 1994 Oct 1;10(10):358–64.

43. Marchetti M, Fanti L, Berloco M, Pimpinelli S. Differential expression of the Drosophila
BX-C in polytene chromosomes in cells of larval fat bodies: A cytological approach to
identifying in vivo targets of the homeotic Ubx, Abd-A and Abd-B proteins. Development.
2003;130(16):3683–9.

44. Varghese J, Lim SF, Cohen SM. Drosophila miR-14 regulates insulin production and
metabolism through its target, sugarbabe. Genes Dev. 2010 Dec 15;24(24):2748–53.

45. Song W, Cheng D, Hong S, Sappe B, Hu Y, Wei N, et al. Midgut-Derived Activin Regulates
Glucagon-like Action in the Fat Body and Glycemic Control. Cell Metab. 2017 Feb
7;25(2):386–99.

46. Tanji T, Ohashi-Kobayashi A, Natori S. Participation of a galactose-specific C-type lectin in
Drosophila immunity. Biochem J. 2006 May;396(1):127–38.

47. Martins NE, Faria VG, Nolte V, Schlötterer C, Teixeira L, Sucena É, et al. Host adaptation
to viruses relies on few genes with different cross-resistance properties. Proc Natl Acad
Sci. 2014 Apr 22;111(16):5938–43.

48. Howell L, Sampson CJ, Xavier MJ, Bolukbasi E, Heck MMS, Williams MJ. A directed
miniscreen for genes involved in the Drosophila anti-parasitoid immune response.
Immunogenetics. 2012 Feb;64(2):155–61.

49. Busse MS, Arnold CP, Towb P, Katrivesis J, Wasserman SA. A κB sequence code for
pathway-specific innate immune responses. EMBO J. 2007 Aug 22;26(16):3826–35.

50. Rivas ML, Cobreros L, Zeidler MP, Hombría JC. Plasticity of Drosophila Stat DNA binding
shows an evolutionary basis for Stat transcription factor preferences. EMBO Rep. 2008
Oct;9(11):1114–20.

51. Yan R, Small S, Desplan C, Dearolf CR, Darnell JE. Identification of a Stat Gene That
Functions in Drosophila Development. Cell. 1996 Feb 9;84(3):421–30.

52. Merika M, Orkin SH. DNA-binding specificity of GATA family transcription factors. Mol
Cell Biol. 1993 Jul;13(7):3999–4010.

53. Aaronson DS, Horvath CM. A Road Map for Those Who Don't Know JAK-STAT. Science.
2002 May 31;296(5573):1653–5.

54. Seidel HM, Milocco LH, Lamb P, Darnell JE, Stein RB, Rosen J. Spacing of palindromic half
sites as a determinant of selective STAT (signal transducers and activators of

transcription) DNA binding and transcriptional activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995
Mar 28;92(7):3041–5.

55. Morin-Poulard I, Vincent A, Crozatier M. The Drosophila JAK-STAT pathway in blood cell
formation and immunity. JAK-STAT. 2013 Jul 15;2(3):e25700.

56. Karsten P, Plischke I, Perrimon N, Zeidler MP. Mutational analysis reveals separable DNA
binding and trans-activation of Drosophila STAT92E. Cell Signal. 2006 Jun;18(6):819–29.

57. Arbouzova NI, Zeidler MP. JAK/STAT signalling in Drosophila: insights into conserved
regulatory and cellular functions. Dev Camb Engl. 2006 Jul;133(14):2605–16.

58. Binari R, Perrimon N. Stripe-specific regulation of pair-rule genes by hopscotch, a
putative Jak family tyrosine kinase in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 1994 Feb 1;8(3):300–12.

59. Brown S, Hu N, Hombría JCG. Identification of the first invertebrate interleukin JAK/STAT
receptor, the Drosophila gene domeless. Curr Biol. 2001 Oct 30;11(21):1700–5.

60. Hou XS, Melnick MB, Perrimon N. Marelle acts downstream of the Drosophila HOP/JAK
kinase and encodes a protein similar to the mammalian STATs. Cell. 1996 Feb
9;84(3):411–9.

61. Kallio J, Myllymäki H, Grönholm J, Armstrong M, Vanha-Aho LM, Mäkinen L, et al. Eye
transformer is a negative regulator of Drosophila JAK/STAT signaling. FASEB J.
2010;24(11):4467–79.

62. Takahashi S, Onodera K, Motohashi H, Suwabe N, Hayashi N, Yanai N, et al. Arrest in
Primitive Erythroid Cell Development Caused by Promoter-specific Disruption of the
GATA-1 Gene*. J Biol Chem. 1997 May 9;272(19):12611–5.

63. Zhao R, Watt AJ, Li J, Luebke-Wheeler J, Morrisey EE, Duncan SA. GATA6 Is Essential for
Embryonic Development of the Liver but Dispensable for Early Heart Formation. Mol Cell
Biol. 2005 Apr;25(7):2622–31.

64. Watt AJ, Zhao R, Li J, Duncan SA. Development of the mammalian liver and ventral
pancreas is dependent on GATA4. BMC Dev Biol. 2007 Apr 23;7(1):37.

65. Ramain P, Heitzler P, Haenlin M, Simpson P. pannier, a negative regulator of achaete and
scute in Drosophila, encodes a zinc finger protein with homology to the vertebrate
transcription factor GATA-1. Development. 1993 Dec 1;119(4):1277–91.

66. Lin WH, Huang LH, Yeh JY, Hoheisel J, Lehrach H, Sun YH, et al. Expression of a Drosophila
GATA transcription factor in multiple tissues in the developing embryos. Identification of
homozygous lethal mutants with P-element insertion at the promoter region. J Biol
Chem. 1995 Oct 20;270(42):25150–8.

67. Waltzer L, Bataillé L, Peyrefitte S, Haenlin M. Two isoforms of Serpent containing either
one or two GATA zinc fingers have different roles in Drosophila haematopoiesis. EMBO J.
2002 Oct 15;21(20):5477–86.

68. Fossett N, Hyman K, Gajewski K, Orkin SH, Schulz RA. Combinatorial interactions of
Serpent, Lozenge, and U-shaped regulate crystal cell lineage commitment during
Drosophila hematopoiesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2003 Sep 30;100(20):11451–6.

69. Haenlin M, Cubadda Y, Blondeau F, Heitzler P, Lutz Y, Simpson P, et al. Transcriptional
activity of Pannier is regulated negatively by heterodimerization of the GATA DNA-
binding domain with a cofactor encoded by the u-shaped gene of Drosophila. Genes
Dev. 1997 Nov 15;11(22):3096–108.

70. Fossett N, Zhang Q, Gajewski K, Choi CY, Kim Y, Schulz RA. The multitype zinc-finger
protein U-shaped functions in heart cell specification in the Drosophila embryo. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000 Jun 20;97(13):7348–53.

71. Leitão AB, Arunkumar R, Day JP, Hanna N, Devi A, Hayes MP, et al. Recognition of non-
self is necessary to activate Drosophila's immune response against an insect parasite
[Internet]. bioRxiv; 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 1]. p. 2022.06.28.497890. Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.06.28.497890v1

72. Wertheim B. Adaptations and counter-adaptations in Drosophila host–parasitoid
interactions: advances in the molecular mechanisms. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2022 Jun
1;51:100896.

73. Yang L, Qiu LM, Fang Q, Stanley DW, Ye GY. Cellular and humoral immune interactions
between Drosophila and its parasitoids. Insect Sci. 2021;28(5):1208–27.

74. Hetru C, Hoffmann JA. NF-κB in the Immune Response of Drosophila. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol. 2009 Dec;1(6):a000232.

75. Ip Y. Dif, a dorsal-related gene that mediates an immune response in Drosophila. Cell.
1993 Nov 19;75(4):753–63.

76. Rutschmann S, Jung AC, Hetru C, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA, Ferrandon D. The Rel
protein DIF mediates the antifungal but not the antibacterial host defense in Drosophila.
Immunity. 2000 May;12(5):569–80.

77. Meng X, Khanuja BS, Ip YT. Toll receptor-mediated Drosophila immune response requires
Dif, an NF-kappaB factor. Genes Dev. 1999 Apr 1;13(7):792–7.

78. Engström Y, Kadalayil L, Sun SC, Samakovlis C, Hultmark D, Faye I. kappa B-like motifs
regulate the induction of immune genes in Drosophila. J Mol Biol. 1993 Jul
20;232(2):327–33.

79. Lagueux M, Perrodou E, Levashina EA, Capovilla M, Hoffmann JA. Constitutive expression
of a complement-like protein in Toll and JAK gain-of-function mutants of Drosophila. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(21):11427–32.

80. Arbouzova NI, Bach EA, Zeidler MP. Ken & Barbie Selectively Regulates the Expression of
a Subset of JAK/STAT Pathway Target Genes. Curr Biol. 2006 Jan 10;16(1):80–8.

81. Callus BA, Mathey-Prevot B. SOCS36E, a novel Drosophila SOCS protein, suppresses
JAK/STAT and EGF-R signalling in the imaginal wing disc. Oncogene. 2002 Jul
18;21(31):4812–21.

82. Morris R, Kershaw NJ, Babon JJ. The molecular details of cytokine signaling via the
JAK/STAT pathway. Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc. 2018 Dec;27(12):1984–2009.

83. Hu X, Li J, Fu M, Zhao X, Wang W. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway: from bench to clinic.
Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021 Nov 26;6(1):1–33.

84. Zeidler MP, Bausek N. The Drosophila JAK-STAT pathway. JAK-STAT. 2013 Jul
1;2(3):e25353.

85. Monahan AJ, Starz-Gaiano M. Socs36E attenuates STAT signaling to optimize motile cell
specification in the Drosophila ovary. Dev Biol. 2013 Jul 15;379(2):152–66.

86. Petersen U, Kadalayil L, Rehorn K, Hoshizaki DK, Reuter R, Engström Y. Serpent regulates
Drosophila immunity genes in the larval fat body through an essential GATA motif. EMBO
J. 1999 Jul 15;18(14):4013–22.

87. Manfruelli P, Reichhart JM, Steward R, Hoffmann JA, Lemaitre B. A mosaic analysis in
Drosophila fat body cells of the control of antimicrobial peptide genes by the Rel
proteins Dorsal and DIF. EMBO J. 1999 Jun 15;18(12):3380–91.

88. Gross I, Georgel P, Kappler C, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA. Drosophila immunity: a
comparative analysis of the Rel proteins dorsal and Dif in the induction of the genes
encoding diptericin and cecropin. Nucleic Acids Res. 1996 Apr 1;24(7):1238–45.

89. Han ZS, Ip YT. Interaction and specificity of Rel-related proteins in regulating Drosophila
immunity gene expression. J Biol Chem. 1999 Jul 23;274(30):21355–61.