A humoral immune response to parasitoid wasps in *Drosophila* is regulated by JAK/STAT, NF-κB and GATA

Shuyu Olivia Zhou[1*], Jonathan P. Day[1], Bart Deplancke[2], Alexandre B. Leitão[3*], Francis M. Jiggins[1*]

[1] Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Pl, Cambridge CB2 3EH, UK
[2] Laboratory of Systems Biology and Genetics, Institute of Bio-Engineering & Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, EPFL, CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland
[3]Champalimaud Foundation, Champalimaud Centre of the Unknown, Avenida Brasília, 1400-038 Lisbon, Portugal

* Corresponding Authors: Shuyu Olivia Zhou (sz397@cam.ac.uk), Alexandre B. Leitão (alexandre.leitao@research.fchampalimaud.org), Francis M. Jiggins (fmj1001@cam.ac.uk)

Address for correspondence: Francis M. Jiggins, Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Pl, Cambridge, CB2 3EH, UK

Phone number for correspondence: +44 (0)1223 333175

Abstract

The two arms of innate immunity consist of the cell-mediated cellular defenses and the systemic humoral immune responses. *Drosophila* humoral immune defenses in the context of antimicrobial immunity, particularly the regulation and activation of antimicrobial peptide secretion from the fat body, have been studied extensively. How *Drosophila* regulates humoral immunity against another major natural enemy, the parasitoid wasp, is less well-characterized. In this study, we focused on a gene crucial in anti-parasitoid immunity, *lectin-24A*, which is specifically induced following parasitization. We found that a fluorescent reporter driven by the region upstream of *lectin-24A* showed localized posterior expression in the larval fat body, the *Drosophila* tissue mediating humoral immunity. Furthermore, with RNA sequencing of the anterior and posterior fat body sections, we found that components of JAK/STAT, GATA, and Toll pathways were regulated differentially in the anterior-posterior axis of the fat body and/or by infection. Predicted binding motifs for transcription factors in all three of these pathways were identified in the 444bp upstream region of the *lectin-24A* gene, where scrambling these motifs leads to reduced basal or induced expression of the fluorescent reporter. Investigating each of these pathways, we found that JAK/STAT, the GATA factor Pannier, and the NF-κB factor dorsal all modulate the expression of *lectin-24A*. The binding motifs associated with these transcription factors were also enriched in the upstream sequences of parasitism-induced genes in the fat body. Taken together, these results indicate that JAK/STAT, Pannier, and NF-κB signaling are involved in the regulation of *lectin-24A* and, more generally, *Drosophila* humoral anti-parasitoid immunity after infection.

Introduction

Parasitoid wasps are major natural parasites of insects. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the most common parasitoids attack larvae. Females of species such as *Leptopilina boulardi* insert an egg via their ovipositor inside the *Drosophila* larva, where the parasitoid larva, once emerged, feeds on host tissue during development. Without a successful immune response, the *Drosophila* host is eventually killed at the pupal stage. However, parasitization induces an anti-parasitoid defense in the larva termed melanotic encapsulation that involves both cellular and humoral immune responses [1–3]. *Drosophila* immune cells (hemocytes) are recruited to the parasitoid egg and surround it in a multi-layered cellular capsule [4–6]. This capsule then becomes melanized, killing the parasitoid [7].

Several humoral immune effectors have been identified in the context of humoral anti-parasitoid immunity in *Drosophila*. Humoral immunity is mediated by the *Drosophila* fat body, an insect-specific organ functionally similar to the mammalian liver, the metabolic hub of the organism. The invertebrate counterparts of the mammalian complement factors, called thioester-containing proteins (TEPs) [8], a group of serine proteases [9,10], and the fat body-derived peptide edin [11], are some of the secreted immune effectors shown to be involved in this response. We have previously also shown that a C-type lectin, lectin-24A, secreted by the larval fat body, plays an important role in this immune response [12]. The induction of *lectin-24A* expression following parasitism, controlled by the *cis*-regulatory upstream region of the gene, is associated with higher resistance to parasitoid infections [12]. Lectin-24A localizes to the surface of the parasitoid egg before hemocytes attach to it, suggesting that it may play a role in the recognition of the egg and subsequent recruitment of hemocytes.

Drosophila humoral immunity against bacterial and fungal infections has been investigated

intensively in the past and is well characterized. Following recognition of pathogens, humoral

defenses are induced via the activation of the two hallmark NF-κB immune signaling

pathways, Toll and Imd (reviewed in [13] and [14]). These two pathways are known for their

roles in regulating the secretion of many immune-related genes by the fat body, most

famously antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Dif and Dorsal are the two NF-κB family

transcription factors (TFs) in the Toll pathway, which is mostly responsible for defense

against Gram-positive bacteria, fungi and other pathogens. Relish is the transcription factor

involved in Imd signaling, which mostly controls the defense against gram-negative bacteria.

In some cases, a combination of the two pathways is responsible for the expression of

immune effectors in the fat body [15,16]. Other than its role in the induction of AMP

expression, the Toll pathway is also involved in regulating the proliferation of hemocytes in

Drosophila larvae [17,18]. Loss-of-function mutations in the Toll pathway components result

in reduced capacity to melanize parasitoids [13,17], whereas overactivation of the Toll

pathway leads to a spontaneous melanization phenotype reminiscent of the melanotic

encapsulation response against parasitoid wasps [13,17,19].

The Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK/STAT) pathway has

been shown to be involved in the cellular immune response through the regulation of

hemocyte differentiation following parasitoid infection [18]. Downregulation of JAK/STAT

signaling in the anterior lobes of the larval lymph gland plays an important role in regulating

the differentiation of lamellocytes, a specialist type of hemocyte for the encapsulation

response [20,21]. It was also found that the activation of JAK/STAT signaling in somatic

muscles by hemocyte-derived cytokines Upd2 and Upd3 releases energy stored in the

muscles as glycogen for use in the immune response and is key to the immune response

against wasp infection [22,23]. Similar to the Toll pathway, overactivation of JAK/STAT

signaling in the whole larva also results in an over-proliferation of hemocytes and

spontaneous melanization [18,24,25].

A third group of transcription factors mediating *Drosophila* immunity is the GATA family of

transcription factors, a conserved group of TFs with zinc finger domains that recognize

variations of the GATA motif ((A/T) GATA (A/G)) (reviewed in [26]). *Drosophila* has a total

of five GATA factors: Pannier, Serpent, Grain, dGATAd, and dGATAe. Serpent is essential

for the differentiation of fat body and hemocytes [27,28], and Pannier has been found to play

an important role in hemocyte differentiation and maturation [29]. Strikingly, many immunity

genes expressed by the fat body exhibit Rel-Serpent synergy, where closely linked NF-κB

and GATA binding sites are found in the upstream regulatory region [30,31]. While Serpent is

the principal GATA factor regulating the antimicrobial response in the *Drosophila* fat body,

other GATA factors were reported to regulate immune responses in other *Drosophila* tissues

[31].

The anti-parasitoid humoral response is mainly driven by wasp parasite-associated molecular

patterns (PAMPs) [32], but the signaling pathways involved in the response remain unknown.

Previous studies of the transcriptional response to parasitoid attack in *Drosophila* larvae have

identified a series of genes that are differentially expressed in response to wasp oviposition

[33–36]. These studies have implicated the three pathways outlined above in the response

[33,35]. In particular, Wertheim et al. found that transcription factor binding motifs (TFBMs)

for NF-κB, STAT, and GATA significantly associate with genes differentially expressed after

parasitism [33]. However, these observations were not followed up experimentally. Previous

investigations have also focused on transcriptional changes in the whole larvae, where

differential expression in different tissues can confound the interpretation of the results, or in

hemocytes, where transcriptional changes reflect mainly hemocyte differentiation [37–40].

Based on these studies, here we investigated the regulation of *Drosophila* humoral anti-

parasitoid immunity mediated by the larval fat body. We focused on the *lectin-24A* gene, a

key humoral immune effector in this response [12], and studied its induction with

parasitization to understand the regulation of anti-parasitoid humoral immune mechanisms

more broadly.

Results

The upstream cis-regulatory region of the *lectin-24A* gene underlies a specific response to parasitoid wasp infection

We have previously found that the expression of *lectin-24A* increases resistance to parasitoids, and the Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP) line 437 carries a resistant allele of the gene whereas the DGRP-892 line carries a susceptible allele [12]. These two alleles differed in their expression levels, where the resistant allele is expressed at a higher level than the susceptible one in homeostasis and when the *lectin-24A* is upregulated after infection [12]. To investigate if the proximal upstream region of the *lectin-24A* gene responds specifically to parasitoid wasp infection, we infected *D. melanogaster* larvae expressing a *lectin-24A* reporter driven by 444 bp of sequence upstream from the transcription start site (TSS) of the resistant DGRP-437 allele (*Venus^{LP437}*) [12]. This upstream region was chosen because it is the entire intergenic sequence between *lectin-24A* and its adjacent gene *Shaw*. We infected larvae with virus, bacteria, or parasitoid wasps, and found that only parasitoid wasp infection significantly induces the expression of the reporter (Figure 1A).

Consistent with previous reports of *lectin-24A* expression [41], when we observed infected larvae under a fluorescent dissecting microscope the *lectin-24A* reporter *Venus^{LP437}* construct was expressed in the larval fat body. We also confirmed the expression by removing the larval fat body through dissection (Figure 1B). Microscopy imaging of the dissected larval fat body shows localization of expression after infection with parasitoid wasps, where the reporter is consistently expressed at higher levels in the posterior ends (Figure 1B).

Figure 1. Expression of the *lectin-24A* reporter *Venus^{LP437}*. (A) Expression of *Venus^{LP437}* 24 hours post-infection (hpi) with different pathogens, left to right: *Leptopilina boulardi* parasitoid wasps, *Escherichia coli* bacteria, and *Drosophila* C virus. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with total protein quantity of each sample. Fluorescence was measured in homogenized larvae with a plate reader in a 96-well plate. Each point represents a pool of 15 larvae. (B) Expression pattern of the reporter *Venus^{LP437}* in the *Drosophila* larval fat body. Microscopy imaging of larval fat bodies dissected from uninfected and infected larvae, at 0, 12, 24, and 48 hpi. Fat bodies are shown with posterior pointing up unless indicated by the direction of white arrows. Green represents Venus expression, and blue represents Hoescht nuclear staining. Scale bars represent 500μm.

RNA sequencing reveals humoral immune response is localized to the posterior larval fat body

As we observed localized expression of *lectin-24A* in the posterior ends of the larval fat body, we investigated whether this localized response is seen in other immune-responsive genes. We conducted fat body RNA sequencing comparing gene expression changes in the anterior and posterior of the fat body in response to parasitoid infection. The fat bodies were separated into anterior and posterior sections according to the red line indicated in Figure 2A. After

filtering out lowly expressed genes, we detected a total of 10499 genes expressed in the fat

body. Under infected condition, there is a total of 213 significantly differentially expressed

genes with absolute $\log_2$FC of greater than 1, between the anterior and posterior sections. The

expression of homeobox (Hox)-containing transcription factor genes, *abd-A* and *Abd-B*, are

known for determining the anteroposterior body axis in *Drosophila*, including the fat body,

and we see both genes differentially expressed between the anterior and posterior, indicating

we have successfully separated the sections by dissection (Figure 2B) [42,43]. The results

confirm our observation of localized *Venus*^{LP437} expression in the posterior ends of the fat

body upon wasp infection, as *lectin-24A* is among the top upregulated genes in the posterior

with infection (Figure 2B).

Moreover, it seems that the posterior of the fat body showed generally higher immune

responsiveness than the anterior to parasitoid infection. Following infection, 72 genes were

significantly differentially expressed with an absolute $\log_2$FC of greater than 1 in the anterior,

whereas in the posterior the number is 244 genes (Supplementary Figure 2). Most genes

differentially expressed in the anterior after infection were also differentially expressed in the

posterior, while there were 179 genes that were significantly differentially expressed in the

posterior but not in the anterior after parasitization (Figure 2C). We also see that differentially

expressed genes in the posterior are enriched for "extracellular" in Cellular Components

Gene Ontology (GO) terms, suggesting that the posterior fat body is enriched for secreted

proteins (Supplementary Figure 3). In particular, putative immune effectors, including the

thioester-containing protein genes *Tep1* and *Tep2*, as well as a number of serine proteases

(*CG34436*, *CG33460*, *CG43124*, *CG43125*, and *CG43175*), appear to be specifically

upregulated in the posterior of the fat body with parasitization (Figure 2B).

Significantly downregulated genes in the larval fat body after parasitism suggest parasitoid

infection is causing shifts in metabolism and other aspects of immunity. *sug* and *daw*, genes

involved in sugar metabolism [44,45], are downregulated in the fat body after parasitoid

infec

inclu

[46,4

resp

Figure 2. RNA sequencing of larval fat body sections. (A) Dissection of male larval fat
bodies along the representative red lines into anterior and posterior sections, where the
developing gonads were removed, for RNA sequencing. (B) Differentially expressed genes,
with absolute log$_2$FC above 1 and False Discovery Rate (FDR) below 0.05, between anterior
and posterior larval fat body sections under infected condition. (C) Venn diagram showing
overlap of significantly differentially expressed genes with absolute log$_2$FC above 1 after
infection in anterior and posterior fat body sections.

Key immune signaling pathway components are differentially expressed in the fat body

after parasitism

There are also interesting patterns of localized immune signaling gene expressions: key

components of the NF-κB and JAK/STAT pathways show up as top differentially expressed

genes between posterior and anterior sections in both control and infected conditions (Figure

2B and Supplementary Figure 2). GO enrichment of significantly upregulated genes in the

posterior of the fat body also showed enrichment of terms related to "receptor signaling

pathway via JAK-STAT", "immune system process", and "defense response" (Supplementary

Figure 3).

Selecting specific components from major immune signaling pathways including

transcription factors, we analyzed their expression patterns in anterior/posterior and

uninfected/infected conditions (Figure 3). It is striking to see that different transcription

factors show differential patterns of expression. The NF-κB transcription factor *dl* (*dorsal*) is

induced specifically in the posterior of the fat body in response to infection, with *Rel* (*Relish*)

showing a non-significant trend in the same direction. *Dif* shows similar levels of expression

across the four conditions (Figure 3). The gene encoding the Toll receptor *Tl* is also

upregulated with infection specifically in the posterior (Figure 3). While the Toll ligand, *spz*,

is more highly expressed in the posterior regardless of infection status (Figure 3).

The five known GATA transcription factors are encoded by *pnr* (*pannier*), *srp* (*serpent*), *grn*

(grain), GATAd, and *GATAe*. While the other four GATA transcription factors showed similar

expression between the fat body sections and infection statuses, *pnr* is upregulated with

infection in both the anterior and posterior (Figure 3).

The JAK/STAT pathway transcription factor *Stat92E* shows an entirely spatial differential

expression not affected by infection state, where it is constitutively upregulated in the

posterior (Figure 3). However, some other JAK/STAT pathway components have different

patterns of expression: *hop* and *dome* are induced specifically in the posterior with infection,

while the negative regulator *et* shows the same pattern of posterior induction (Figure 3).

Another negative regulator, *Socs36E*, shows induction with infection in both anterior and

posterior, but is more strongly induced in the posterior (Figure 3). Overall, we see patterns of

localized differential expression of immune pathway component genes in the *Drosophila*

larval fat body between the anterior and posterior sections in response to wasp parasitization.

The expression patterns of these genes in relation to the localized expression of *lectin-24A*

suggest potential roles of these pathways in the regulation of *lectin-24A* and the wider

humoral immunity against parasitoid infections.

Figure 3. Gene expression patterns of components from major immune pathways in anterior and posterior sections with and without infection. Expression shown as Reads Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (RPKM). Labels denote significant

261 (genome-wide false discovery rate < 0.05) differential expression with absolute $\log_2FC$ of at

262 least 1 between treatment groups. Only significant differences are shown.

Truncation of the upstream promoter sequence of *lectin-24A* defines the minimal region

to maintain fat body specific induction of the gene

We have limited understanding of the regulation of the anti-parasitoid immune response in *D.*

melanogaster, particularly the early signaling that activates humoral immunity in the larval

fat body. We investigated the upstream region of the *lectin-24A* gene to further define the

specific sequences that underlie its induction with parasitization, and to understand the

transcription factors involved in the regulation of its expression. We created truncated

versions of the *Venus^LP437* reporter construct where we deleted the sequence from the 5' end

(Figure 4A). While we saw little effect from the first two deletions to 420 bp and 314 bp

upstream of the gene, we found that, when we truncated the sequence to be 146 bp upstream

of the TSS, there were significant changes to the expression of the reporter where it becomes

expressed in other tissues such as the gut with and without parasitization (Supplementary

Figure 4). Therefore, the region 314 bp upstream of the gene is required for an inducible

response of the *lectin-24A* gene that is restricted to the fat body tissue.

NF-κB, GATA, and STAT binding motifs are all involved in the regulation of *lectin-24A*

Our RNAseq results suggest that the NF-κB, GATA, and JAK/STAT pathways may be

involved in the regulation of the *lectin-24A* gene and humoral anti-parasitoid immunity in

general. We also screened for transcription factors binding to the upstream region of both the

resistant and susceptible alleles of *lectin-24A* in a Yeast one-hybrid (Y1H) screen. In

particular, we found that dorsal from the Toll pathway binds to both the resistant allele from

DGRP-437 and the susceptible allele from DGRP-892 (Figure 4B). We then predicted

TFBMs in the 444 bp upstream region according to the consensus binding motifs for

transcription factors in each of the immune pathways. One consensus motif was used for the

transcription factors Dif/dl, two motifs were used for STAT92E, and four motifs were used

for the different GATA transcription factors [49–52] (Figure 4C & Supplementary Table 1).

We predicted TFBMs for each of these transcription factors in this region (Figure 4C).

Furthermore, the GATA motif is predicted to bind all four of the GATA transcription factors

(Supplementary Table 1).

(B)

Allele	Gene	Expressed in larval fat body
Both	Rfx	No
	sd	Yes
	dl	Yes
DGRP-437	CTCF	No
	CG2202	Yes
	CG14965	No
DGRP-892	CG11902	No
	Dif ¦ dl	Yes

Pathway	Transcription factor	Motif consensus sequence
NF-κB	Dif/dl	GGGHHNNDVH
GATA	Pnr/Srp/GATAd/GATAe	WGATAAR
STAT	STAT92E	TT(N)₅AA/TT(N)₆AA

Figure 4. Transcription factor binding motifs (TFBMs) upstream of *lectin-24A*. (A)
Truncated *lectin-24A* reporter Venus expression compared to the full-length reporter
constructs with the susceptible DGRP-892 (LP892; blue) and resistant DGRP-437 (LP437;
red) alleles. (B) Yeast one-hybrid screen results showing proteins that bind to the sequence
444 bp upstream of the resistant DGRP-437 *lectin-24A* allele and/or the susceptible DGRP-
892 *lectin-24A* allele. Dif ¦ dl represents a heterodimer. (C) Predicted TFBMs of transcription
factors from the JAK/STAT, NF-κB, and GATA pathways upstream of *lectin-24A*. The STAT
consensus sequence shown corresponds to the conserved STAT binding site "TT(n)AA", with
5-6 variable n sites in the middle. (D) Expression of the reporter constructs with the 314 bp
upstream sequence of *lectin-24A* where predicted NF-κB, GATA, and three of the STAT
TFBMs are scrambled. The scrambled STAT sites are indicated with asterisks in (C). Each
point represents a pool of 10 larvae. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the
fluorescence intensity normalized with total protein quantity of each sample.

To further study the role of TFBMs in the regulation of *lectin-24A*, we created promoter

reporter constructs where motifs have been scrambled to abolish transcription factor binding.

Since the truncated version with 314 bp upstream of the TSS showed similar expression as

the full-length LP437 construct, we scrambled the TFBMs with this version of the reporter

(Figure 4A, LP437[314bp]). The universally conserved STAT binding site is defined as

"TT(n)AA", with variable 4-6 n sites in the middle [53,54]. The *Drosophila* STAT binds

"TTC(N)$_3$GAA" sites with high affinity [50,51]. However, gene regulation can rely on STAT

binding motifs that have lower affinity [50]. This includes *Dome,* which is upregulated by

STAT binding "TTC(N)$_4$GAA" sites [50], and which we found to be upregulated in the

posterior fat body after infection (Figure 3). Here we scrambled three sites with the motif

"TTC(N)$_5$AA" in the *lectin-24A* upstream region, which are half-way between the universal

STAT motif and the 4n *Drosophila* STAT motif (Figure 4C, sites with asterisks &

Supplementary Table 2). We also scrambled motifs predicted to bind Dif/dl and GATA.

We found that the predicted TFBMs associated with Dif/dl, STAT92E, and GATA are all

involved in the regulation of the gene. Scrambling of the TFBM for Dif/dl resulted in the

abolishment of the upregulation of the reporter and reduction in the basal expression, whereas

scrambling of the TFBMs for the GATA and STAT transcription factors resulted only in the

reduction of the basal expression while the induction with infection is still present (Figure

4D). These results suggest that all three of these pathways may bind to the upstream region of

lectin-24A and be involved in the regulation of expression.

We previously found that the *cis*-regulatory sequences of the resistant and susceptible alleles

of *lectin-24A* differed by three insertion-deletion polymorphisms (indels) of 7 bp, 8 bp, and

21 bp in length, and six single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs; Figure 4A, top two rows)

[12]. The 21 bp indel was found to be primarily responsible for the differential regulation of

lectin-24A expression between the two alleles [12]. Based on the positions of the TFBMs in

the region, we found that the NF-κB binding site is disrupted by the 21 bp deletion in the

susceptible DGRP-892 *lectin-24A* allele (Figure 4C). As scrambling this NF-κB binding site

abolishes the basal expression and induction of the reporter, we conclude that the loss of this

NF-κB binding site explains the differential expression we see from natural polymorphisms

in the *lectin-24A* upstream region. This in turn controls susceptibility to infection in natural

populations [12].

The JAK/STAT pathway plays a crucial role in the regulation of *lectin-24A*

The JAK/STAT signaling pathway is an evolutionarily conserved pathway with a variety of

functions in hematopoiesis, immunity, and development [55]. The canonical JAK/STAT

signaling starts with ligand binding, resulting in receptor dimerization bringing two non-

receptor tyrosine kinases (JAKs) together to trans-phosphorylate one another [56,57].

Activated JAKs then phosphorylate the receptor which in turn phosphorylates the STAT

transcription factors. This leads to the normally cytosolic STAT molecule translocating to the

nucleus to activate gene expression. The *Drosophila* JAK/STAT pathway only has one JAK

(*hop*) [58], one active type I cytokine receptor (*dome*) [59], and one STAT (*Stat92E*) [51,60].

To better characterize the role of the JAK/STAT pathway in the regulation of *lectin-24A*, we

knocked down the expression of the transcription factor Stat92E in larvae expressing the

lectin-24A reporter using the ubiquitously expressed *da-GAL4* to drive the expression of a

hairpin RNAi construct. At 24 hpi, *Stat92E* knockdown abolished the induction of the

reporter, suggesting that the JAK/STAT pathway is involved in the regulation of the gene

(Figure 5A).

In our RNAseq analysis, we also found that the JAK/STAT pathway negative regulator *et*

showed specific induction in the posterior of the fat body. et is structurally similar to the

JAK/STAT receptor dome, and it can function as a negative regulator by forming inactive

heterodimers with dome [21,61]. It was previously found that et is required for the

downregulation of JAK/STAT activity in hematopoietic progenitors for lamellocyte

differentiation after wasp infection [21]. To further understand the role of JAK/STAT

signaling in *lectin-24A* regulation, we analyzed the expression of the reporter in a genetic

background where *et* is mutated [21]. We found that with a non-functional mutant *et*, the

reporter shows constitutively upregulated expression in the larval fat body (Figure 5B). In

addition, to confirm this result, we used a dominant negative allele of Domeless

(DomeΔCYT, cytoplasmic domain deleted), driven in the fat body by *Cg-GAL4* or

ubiquitously by *da-GAL4*, to decrease JAK/STAT activity. We observed a general lowering of

reporter expression both for basal and infected conditions (Figure 5C).

The *Drosophila* JAK, *hop*, showed a similar expression pattern as *et* in our RNAseq analysis,

being upregulated after infection in the posterior fat body. We therefore studied the

expression of the reporter in a genetic background with a constitutively active form of *hop*

(*hop^Tum*). As predicted, the reporter showed elevated basal expression in the *hop^Tum*

background (Figure 5D). When we then examined *lectin-24A* reporter expression in a

background where we abolished *hop* in somatic cells using CRISPR/Cas9 and a guide RNA

targeting the gene (somatic knock out), we found a reduction in the upregulation of the

reporter after wasp infection (Figure 5E). Taken together, our results indicate clearly that the

JAK/STAT pathway has a critical role in the induction of *lectin-24A* following parasitization.

385
386
Figure 5. The role of the JAK/STAT pathway in the regulation of *lectin-24A*. In all panels
the *Venus^LP437* reporter expression was measured. (A) Larvae with knockdown of *Stat92E*
using RNAi, compared to the knockdown of the *white* gene as a control. (B) Larvae carrying
a mutant or wildtype (WT) form of the JAK/STAT negative regulator *et*. (C) Larvae
expressing a dominant negative form of the JAK/STAT receptor Dome (DomeΔCYT) in the
fat body and hemocytes under the control of the *Cg-GAL4* driver, with the ubiquitous *da-*
GAL4 driver, and with no driver. As the parental stock expressing *UAS-DomeΔCYT* also
expresses the JAK/STAT negative regulator *et* under UAS control on a balanced chromosome
II, a portion of the larvae also expresses *UAS-et*. Larvae expressing GAL4 drivers also
expressed *tub-GAL80^ts*. (D) Larvae expressing the constitutively active *hop* (*hop^Tum*)
compared to larvae expressing the wild type (WT) *hop*. (E) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and
guide RNA targeting *hop* compared to control larvae. Each point represents a pool of 10
larvae. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized
with total protein quantity of each sample. All infected larvae were collected at 24 hpi and
uninfected age-matched larvae were collected for the controls. Tukey's test for each panel, *P*
< 0.05 between groups.

403
404
405

GATA transcription factors modulate *lectin-24A* expression in the fat body

GATA transcription factors comprise a family of DNA binding proteins named after the

consensus sequence (WGATAAR) they recognize. GATA factors are highly conserved across

invertebrates and vertebrates, where they play major roles in development. *Drosophila* and

mammalian GATA factors share functional similarities in the regulation of developmental

processes, such as hematopoietic development [26], blood cell differentiation [28,62], and

liver/fat body development [28,63,64]. *Drosophila* has a total of five GATA factors, of which

only three display the canonical two zinc finger domains found in all mammalian GATA

factors: Pannier (Pnr), Serpent (Srp) and Grain [65–67].

The DNA-binding activity of GATA factors is mainly mediated by the C-terminal zinc finger

domain, whereas the N-terminal zinc finger domain is required for interactions between the

GATA factor and its cofactor Friend of GATA (FOG) proteins [68]. It has previously been

shown that the FOG protein u-shaped (Ush) interacts with the N-terminal zinc finger of both

Pnr and Srp [65,68,69]. In particular, Pnr and Ush form heterodimers, where Ush antagonizes

the activator function of the Pnr transcription factor [65,69,70].

As we observed significant differential expression of *pnr* in our RNAseq analysis following

parasitization (Figure 3), we studied the effect of Pnr on *lectin-24A* expression. We utilized

the *pnr^{D4}* mutant, which belongs to a class of *pnr* mutants with point mutations in the N-

terminal zinc finger domain, resulting in very weak interactions with Ush [65,69]. Using the

fat body *Cg-GAL4* driver, we expressed the dominant *pnr^{D4}* in the fat body with the *UAS-*

pnr^{D4} construct (Figure 6A). As *pnr^{D4}* interacts poorly with the negative Pnr regulator Ush, it

functions as a dominant positive mutant. Strikingly, *Venus^{LP437}* reporter showed constitutive

high expression, even in the absence of parasitization (Figure 6A). We then analyzed the

expression of *lectin-24A* Venus reporter in a genetic background where we knocked out *pnr*

expression using somatic mutagenesis with CRISPR/Cas9. However, knock out of *pnr*

expression in the whole larvae did not result in significant changes to the $Venus^{LP437}$ reporter

expression, which might reflect GATA factors may have redundant roles in regulating *lectin-*

24A (Figure 6B). Thus, our results indicate that Pnr is involved in the induction of *lectin-24A*

following parasitoid infection, but it may not be the only or the main GATA factor playing

this role.

Figure 6. The role of the GATA transcription factor, Pannier, in the regulation of *lectin-
***24A*.** The $Venus^{LP437}$ reporter expression was measured. (A) Larvae expressing the dominant
positive form of Pnr (Pnr^{D4}) under UAS control driven by the fat body and hemocyte-specific
Cg-GAL4 driver or no driver. (B) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and guide RNA targeting *pnr*
compared to control larvae. Each point represents a pool of 10 larvae. The relative
fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with the total protein
quantity of each sample. Tukey's test for each panel, $P < 0.05$ between groups.

NF-κB transcription factors dl regulates humoral antiparasitoid immunity

NF-κB transcription factors of the Toll and Imd pathways have major roles in the regulation

of antimicrobial humoral immunity for *Drosophila*. Our scrambling of TFBMs in the *lectin-*

24A upstream region suggests that the Toll pathway might also participate in regulating the

anti-parasitoid response in the larval fat body (Figure 4D). Of the three *Drosophila* NF-κB

transcription factors, Dif, dl, and Rel, we found that only dl becomes significantly

upregulated with parasitism specifically in the posterior of the larval fat body (Figure 3). To

further understand the role of NF-κB transcription factors in the regulation of *lectin-24A*, we

created somatic mutants of the NF-κB transcription factors Dif and dl. The effects of somatic

mutagenesis of these genes suggest that knocking out *dl* reduces the expression of *Venus^{LP437}*

in the uninfected state (Figure7A), while removing *Dif* expression seems to give the opposite

effect (Figure 7B). We then overexpressed dl and Rel in the larval fat body using the driver

Cg-GAL4, where we show that dl overexpression leads to a constitutively high expression of

the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter with and without infection (Figure 7C). Overexpression of Rel did not

show significant effects on the reporter expression (Figure 7C). Altogether, we conclude that

dl is the NF-κB transcription factor regulating *lectin-24A* expression.

Figure 7. The role of NF-κB transcription factors in the regulation of *lectin-24A*. The *Venus^{LP437}* reporter expression was measured. (A) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and guide RNA targeting *dl* compared to control larvae. The same WT control samples were used here as in Figure 6B. (B) Larvae expressing *Act-Cas9* and guide RNA targeting *Dif* compared to control larvae. (C) Larvae expressing *dl* or *Rel* under UAS control driven by the fat body and hemocyte-specific *Cg-GAL4* driver or no driver. F_1 heterozygote larvae were collected. As the parental line expressing *UAS-dl* carried a balancer chromosome that could not be identified at the larval stage in F_1 progeny, larvae collected from the *UAS-dl* crosses were a mix of *Cg-GAL4/UAS-dl* and *Cg-GAL4/+*. Each point represents a pool of 10 larvae. The relative fluorescence intensity represents the fluorescence intensity normalized with the total protein quantity of each sample. Tukey's test for each panel, $P < 0.05$ between groups.

Transcription factor binding motifs found in the upstream region of *lectin-24A* are

generally enriched in the upstream sequences of parasitism-induced genes

As we have found the TFBMs of GATA, NF-κB, and STAT are important in the regulation of

the *lectin-24A* gene, we were interested to find out if this generalizes to the other genes

upregulated with infection. We searched for TFBMs in the 300 bp upstream region of all the

genes detected in the larval fat body RNAseq (Figure 2). Then we assessed if a TFBM is

enriched in the upstream sequence of genes upregulated with infection in the posterior of the

fat body, relative to the full set of genes detected. We found that GATA binding motifs are

generally enriched around two-fold in the sequences upstream of upregulated genes. For

instance, the Pannier binding motif (Supplementary Table 1) is found an average of 0.60

times per kilobase (kb) of sequence 5' of TSSs for upregulated genes, whereas it is only

found an average of 0.33 times per kb of upstream sequences in the full set of genes (Welch's

t-test, t = 3.49, d.f. = 277.9, p-value = 5.65×10^{-4}). A similar case is observed in the genes

specifically upregulated in the posterior of the fat body after infection (Figure 2B), showing

even higher enrichment with a mean of 0.66 times per kb in these genes (Welch's t-test, t =

3.51, d.f. = 186.1, p-value = 5.72×10^{-4}).

When we searched for STAT motifs in the upstream sequences, we found significant

enrichment of the "TTC(N)$_4$AA" motif in the posterior-specific upregulated genes with a

mean of 8.46 instances per kb compared to 7.77 in the background (Welch's t-test, t = 2.10,

d.f. = 188.84, p-value = 0.037). Although the same motif also appears to be enriched in the

parasitism-induced gene set, with 8.27 occurrences per kb, it falls just below the significance

threshold (Welch's t-test, t = 1.91, d.f. = 284.98, p-value = 0.058). On the other hand, the

"TTC(N)$_5$AA" motif only shows significant enrichment in the parasitism-induced gene set,

with 6.29 occurrences per kb compared to 5.83 in the background set (Welch's t-test, t = 1.98,

d.f. = 284.47, p-value = 0.048).

Moreover, previous studies have described a specific organization of TFBMs in the upstream

region of fat body-specific immune genes, where NF-κB and GATA binding sites have been

found to be in close association, with less than 50 bp in-between them and within 200-300 bp

upstream of the TSSs [30,31]. We specifically searched for NF-κB sites within 50 bp of a

GATA site and checked for enrichment. Although, we see that NF-κB motifs linked to GATA

motifs are also more highly enriched in the upstream region of parasitism-induced genes and

posterior-specific anti-parasitoid genes, with a mean of 0.52 and 0.53 instances per kb,

respectively, compared to 0.39 in the background set, the differences were not significant.

Overall, the TFBMs of the GATA, NF-κB, and STAT transcription factors all appear to be

enriched in the 5' sequences of parasitism-induced genes in the *Drosophila* larval fat body,

suggesting that these transcription factors and the signaling pathways they belong to are

generally involved in the regulation of genes in the humoral anti-parasitoid response.

Discussion

The *Drosophila* anti-parasitoid immune response involves the concerted action of hemocytes

and the fat body to encapsulate and melanize the wasp [4,63,64]. This complex and

multifaceted response is distinct from responses to microbial or viral infection, and is

specifically induced by a wasp PAMP [32]. Here we have demonstrated that the regulation of

Drosophila anti-parasitoid humoral immunity, mediated by the larval fat body, involves

complex inputs from multiple immune pathways (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Schematic overview of *Drosophila* humoral defenses to microbial pathogens and parasitoid. Antimicrobial responses in the fat body are regulated by the NF-κB pathways, Toll and Imd. The Imd pathway is mostly activated by Gram-negative bacteria through the PGRP-LC receptor, whereas the Toll pathway is largely activated by fungi and Gram-positive bacteria through the Toll receptor. Activation of the Imd pathway leads to the NF-κB transcription factor Relish translocating into the nucleus after its cleavage and activation, and the transcription factors Dif and Dorsal are activated through Toll pathway signaling. These NF-κB transcription factors along with the GATA transcription factor Serpent induce the expression of genes encoding antimicrobial effectors in the fat body via

closely linked GATA and κB binding sites in the upstream promoter region. The *Drosophila* humoral anti-parasitoid response is regulated by a combination of inputs from JAK/STAT, GATA and NF-κB pathways. After recognition of parasitism, hemocytes produce the cytokines, Upd2 and Upd3, which bind to the receptor Dome to activate JAK/STAT pathway signaling in the fat body. Dome dimerization after ligand binding leads to trans-phosphorylation of Hop, which then activates the transcription factor STAT92E. STAT92E binds the upstream region of anti-parasitoid genes including *lectin-24A*. ET and SOCS36E are negative regulators of the JAK/STAT pathway that suppress this signaling. STAT, GATA, and NF-κB TFBMs are found to be enriched in the upstream sequences of parasitism-induced genes. The GATA transcription factor Pannier and the NF-κB transcription factor Dorsal also participate in the regulation of anti-parasitoid genes in the larval fat body by binding to their respective binding sites in the promoter region.

The transcriptional response induced by a parasitoid attack differs from that activated by microbial infections [33]. We found that *lectin-24A* is a gene specifically induced by parasitoid infection, providing a tool to understand broadly how wasp-responsive genes are regulated. Based on our current results, it seems that this specificity is potentially conferred by the coordinated inputs from different immune-signaling pathways: NF-κB, the GATA factor Pannier, and JAK/STAT. This regulation differs from the well-characterized antimicrobial defense, which mainly requires the two NF-κB pathways, Toll and Imd, with additional inputs from the GATA transcription factor Serpent [30,74–78].

It is likely that our results reflect mechanisms of regulation in the wider humoral anti-parasitoid response, not just limited to *lectin-24A*. Our analysis of upstream regulatory motifs found enrichment of NF-κB, GATA, and STAT binding sites in the upstream region of differentially expressed genes in the larval fat body in response to wasp infection. This result is consistent with a previous report of TFBMs significantly associated with anti-parasitoid genes in the whole larvae [33]. In that study, Wertheim et al. defined clusters of differentially expressed genes based on patterns of co-expression over nine time points in the 72 hours after parasitization [33]. *lectin-24A* as well as the genes encoding three thioester-containing proteins (TEPs), which are structurally similar to mammalian complement proteins, were

clustered together [33]. Genes in this cluster showed significant over-representation of NF-

κB, GATA, and STAT regulatory motifs [33], supporting out conclusion that the anti-

parasitoid humoral immune response mediated by the fat body is regulated by the concerted

action of these pathways. Further support comes from analyses of TEP expression in flies

where signaling pathways have been perturbed. TEPs are expressed mainly by the *Drosophila*

fat body and induced in response to various immune challenges [79]. The loss of the four

secreted TEPs, TEP1-4, results in reduced capacity to successfully encapsulate wasps [8].

Tep1 shows constitutive expression in the absence of infection in the constitutively active

hop^{Tum} mutant [79]. Similarly, in a *Toll* gain-of-function mutant background, *Tep1* also

displayed constitutive activation [79]. However, in this case, the Toll pathway effects were

recognized to be indirect, and secreted TEPs were found to function upstream of Toll and

promote Toll activation [8,79].

It is still unknown how parasitoids are recognized by the *Drosophila* host. As hemocytes are

responsible for the secretion of Upd cytokines that activate the JAK/STAT pathway in other

tissues, they are likely to play a key role in the recognition of parasitoid attacks [22]. Our

study shows a significant role of the JAK/STAT pathway in *lectin-24A* regulation.

Interestingly, we see that JAK/STAT pathway negative regulators are strongly induced

alongside the activation of the pathway itself (Figure 3) [21,61,80,81]. As we only

investigated one time point (24 hpi) in our experiments, this result suggests potential negative

feedback loops in the shutdown of the pathway that were not captured. In mammals, negative

regulators of the pathway are known to be induced after infection and JAK/STAT activation,

playing a key role in regulating the cytokine response and preventing damaging inflammation

[82,83]. This is also the case in *Drosophila*, where expression of the negative regulator

SOCS36E is activated by JAK/STAT signaling, creating feedback inhibition [81,84,85].

In the *lectin-24A* upstream region, we predicted a GATA transcription factor binding site that

contains the consensus binding motifs from four of the *Drosophila* GATA transcription

factors (Figure 4 & Supplementary Table 1). We specifically focused on Pannier because it is

the only GATA transcription factor showing differential expression in the larval fat body

following parasitoid attack (Figure 3). Our results indicate that Pannier is involved in

regulating *lectin-24A* and likely the broader humoral anti-parasitoid response. However, we

have yet to investigate whether upregulation of Pannier expression is a primary signal of

infection or a consequence of activating other pathways such as JAK/STAT. Furthermore,

whether the other *Drosophila* GATA transcription factors also contribute to regulation

remains to be further studied. In particular, Serpent, which is known to be involved in the

regulation of immune genes in the larval fat body, is also predicted to bind upstream of *lectin-*

24A and might also have a role in the regulation of immunity against parasitoids [30,31,86].

Based on our RNAseq data, components of the Toll pathway showed significant differential

expression in the larval fat body following parasitism (Figure 3). Particularly, of the NF-κB

transcription factors, *dl* showed upregulation specifically in the posterior fat body with

infection (Figure 3). We also found that a Dif/dl binding motif in the *lectin-24A* upstream

region had a crucial role in the expression of the *Venus*LP437 reporter (Figure 4D). Dif and

dorsal, the two transcription factors in the *Drosophila* NF-κB Toll pathway, are known to

regulate the defense to Gram-positive bacteria and fungi. In the antimicrobial response, Dif

and dl have different roles depending on developmental stage. In adults, Dif alone is required

for the humoral immune response, whereas in larvae, it shows some redundancy with dl

[76,87]. While there is evidence that in Dif and dl double mutants, expression of either

transcription factors is sufficient to rescue the expression of AMPs such as *Drosomycin* [87],

some other reports show that induction of certain AMPs specifically require Dif and cannot

be rescued with only dl [77]. Furthermore, Dif and dl, as well as Rel, form homodimers and

heterodimers during DNA binding, meaning that permutations of different dimers expand the

regulatory possibilities from these NF-κB proteins [88,89]. Since NF-kB transcription factors

activate transcription by translocating into the cell nucleus, our observation that the

expression of *Dif* does not increase after infection does not preclude it playing a role in

regulating the anti-parasitoid humoral response. Nevertheless, as *dl* but not *Dif* mutants

reduced expression of the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter, dl is likely playing the key role in regulation of

this immune response.

In this study, we investigated individually how each of these pathways contributed to the

regulation of *lectin-24A* expression, but it remains unclear how they work together. It was

previously found that NF-κB and GATA regulatory synergy plays a key role in many

immunity-related fat body-specific genes that are activated in response to microbial

infections [30,31]. In particular, a fixed organization of NF-κB and GATA binding sites in the

5' regulatory region within 1 kb of predicted transcription start sites (TSSs) was defined,

where both sites are located in the proximal region within 200 or 300 bp from the TSS [30].

The sites were found to be closely linked, within 50 bp from each other and in the same

relative orientation [30]. The NF-κB and GATA binding sites we identified in the *lectin-24A*

upstream region fit this criterion exactly (Figure 4C). According to the previous study, each

individual NF-κB and GATA site has an essential role in the optimal expression of the genes

[30]. Furthermore, their results suggest that the GATA site is responsible for localizing the

expression to the fat body, and the NF-κB site is responsible for the induction of the gene in

response to infection [30]. Our analysis based on scrambling the binding sites in the *lectin-*

24A reporter construct are also in line with this model, with the addition that STAT binding is

also involved in regulation in a similar way as GATA (Figure 4D). However, notably, Pannier is strongly upregulated by parasitoid infection and this upregulation does not differ between anterior and posterior of the larval fat body (Figure 3). This result suggests that Pannier is not just playing a role to localize *lectin-24A* expression to the fat body, but is also involved in the induction of its expression. Nonetheless, when we manipulated specific genes in each of these pathways the effects on *lectin-24A* expression become less straightforward, suggesting more complex models of coordinated regulation and potential indirect effects from knockdown or knockout in the whole larva.

Immune systems evolve rapidly as hosts adapt to an ever-changing array of parasites and pathogens. We have previously shown that a polymorphic deletion upstream of Lectin-24A has led to reduced parasitoid resistance in wild populations [12], and here we provide an explanation of this by showing that it abolishes an NF-κB binding motif. Furthermore, a group of four single nucleotide polymorphisms cause a smaller reduction in Lectin-24A expression [12]. Notably, one of these is within a STAT binding motif, with the low expression allele predicted to bind STAT92E with lower affinity ($TTC(N)_4AA$ in the high expression DGRP-437 line becomes $TTA(N)_4AA$ in the low expression DGRP-892 line). Therefore, the cis-regulatory elements that respond to immune signaling pathways may be hotspots of host-parasite coevolution.

Methods

Lectin promoter reporter assay with bacterial, viral, or wasp infection

Six vials of cornmeal fly food (per 1200 ml water: 13g agar, 105g dextrose, 105g maize, 23g yeast, 35ml Nipagin 10% w/v) were prepared for overnight egg lay with the LP437 line, where three vials were used for wasp infection and three vials used as uninfected controls. Three hundred flies of the LP437 line, with approximately even distribution of males and females, were prepared in a cage for egg lay onto a 90mm agar plate coated with a thin layer of yeast paste. After an overnight egg lay in the cage onto an agar plate, the eggs were transferred onto 50mm plates containing cornmeal fly food and some yeast. The eggs were removed from the agar plate by washing them down with a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (prepared from tablets Oxoid# BR0024G) and dislodging the eggs with a clean plastic brush. The egg solution was transferred into a 15ml Falcon tube and fly eggs allowed to sink to the bottom, where 500µl were then transferred using a cut pipette tip into an Eppendorf tube. Using a cut 200µl pipette tip, 15µl of fly eggs were transferred onto each 50mm plate. Four plates were prepared. The vials and plates were all incubated at 25°C and 70% humidity, and on the fourth day infections were carried out: three female parasitoid wasps were added to each of the three vials for infection for three hours; bacterial and viral infections were carried out according to the protocol below. At 24 hours post-infection (hpi), larvae were collected from the fly food by adding 15% sugar solution to allow the larvae to float to the top of the vial. Groups of fifteen larvae were collected and transferred to a piece of filter paper to dry. They were then transferred to a PCR tube containing 5-10 1.0mm zirconia beads (BioSpec Products # 11079110zx) with 100µl PBS (Gibco# 10010-015). This procedure was repeated until we have four samples for each infected and uninfected conditions with each pathogen.

Bacterial and viral cultures for infection

A LB-agar plate with no antibiotic added was prepared under sterile conditions, *E.coli* of the

OP50 strain was transferred to the plate using a sterile inoculation loop. The loop was then

wiped around the plate to produce single colonies. One colony was picked from the plate

following an overnight incubation at 37ºC. The colony was then transferred to 5ml of sterile

LB with no antibiotic. The culture was shaken overnight at 37ºC. We then recorded the initial

concentration of the *E. coli* culture at OD600 (600nm) by measuring absorbance with a

NanoDrop^{TM}. 1ml of the bacterial culture was transferred to a 1.5ml Eppendorf tube and spun

down at 10,000xg for 5 minutes to concentrate the culture. It was then diluted according to

the initial concentration to be slightly above 0.5 at OD600. This culture was then read again

on the nanodrop and diluted further to be exactly 0.5 at OD600. The DCV viral cultures were

prepared according to Bruner-Montero et al., and aliquots were thawed immediately before

use [90].

Drosophila **larval infection with virus and bacteria using tungsten wires**

We cut a short section of about 1-2 cm of Tungsten wire using scissors or a wire cutter. The

wires were mounted into nickel plated holders and adjusted to an appropriate length. The tips

of the Tungsten wires were sharpened by connecting them to a circuit with Sodium

Hydroxide (NaOH) solution and a battery pack. While sharpening, we checked the tips of the

Tungsten wires continuously to see if it needs to be sharpened further.

We transferred the prepared bacterial or viral culture to the caps cut off from 0.5ml Eppendorf

tubes and the caps were filled as much as possible. Control cultures were also prepared for

either bacteria or virus infections, which consisted of LB for bacteria and cell culture medium

for virus. *Drosophila* larvae were removed at around late second instar/early third instar from

the 50mm cornmeal plates, and washed in PBS. Twenty larvae were transferred at a time to a

piece of filter paper. The prepared Tungsten needle was dipped into the prepared culture and

used to prick the larva. This was repeated for each larva. We then gently brushed water

through the line of 20 larvae for easier removal from the filter paper and transferred the

larvae onto the fly food in a glass vial.

RNA extraction, cDNA preparation, and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)

Fifteen *Drosophila* larvae were used for RNA extraction for each treatment using the total

RNA extraction protocol using the chloroform isopropanol TRIzol method following the

manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen #15596026).

DiptericinB and *CecropinA* were targeted with qPCR to confirm the infection with *E.coli*.

DptB primers: fwd-"TTCTCGAGTGCCTGGGCTTA" and rev-

"ATTGGGAGCATATGCCAGTG", and *CecA*1 primers: fwd-

" TTGGCAAGAAAAATCGAACGC" and rev-"GCTTGTTGAGCGATTCCCAG". DCV

infection was detected using primers specifically targeting a region of the DCV genome: fwd-

"GACACTGCCTTTGATTAG" and rev- "CCCTCTGGGAACTAAATG".

Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)

One μl of RNA per sample was reverse-transcribed with Promega GoScript reverse

transcription system (Promega #A5001), using random hexamer primers. The complementary

DNA (cDNA) samples were then diluted 10-fold with nuclease free water for qPCR. qPCR

was performed on Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus system (ThermoFisher Scientific

#4376600) or using Applied Biosystems QuantStudio5 system (ThermoFisher Scientific

#A34322), with SensiFast SYBR Hi-ROX mix (Bioline #BIO-92020).

Localized *lectin-24A* expression microscopy

Larval fat bodies were dissected from 3rd instar *D. melanogaster* larvae. The whole fat body was obtained by first removing the head of the larva then pulling back the cuticle to expose the fat body and other inner tissues. The gut, salivary gland, and other tissues were then removed and discarded. Each isolated fat body is transferred into an Eppendorf tube containing ice-cold PBS. The fat bodies were incubated in 3.7% formaldehyde diluted in PBS for 20 minutes with gentle agitation on an orbital shaker, with the tubes covered in foil. The formaldehyde was then removed by pipetting and washed with ice-cold PBS for three times. Then we again washed the fat bodies two times for 30 minutes each with 0.3% ice-cold PBT with gentle agitation on an orbital shaker, with the tubes covered in foil. The fat bodies were then washed for three times again with ice-cold PBS by pipetting. We then stained the nuclei with 1μg/ml Hoescht staining solution diluted in PBS for 5 minutes, while making sure the staining solution sufficiently cover the whole fat body. The fat bodies were washed again with PBS for three times, then each transferred individually to a clean Eppendorf tube. Immediately cover the fat body tissue with cold Vectashield mounting media (Vector Laboratories # H-1000-10). Pipette tips with the ends cut were used to transfer each fat body with minimal volume of mounting media on to a microscope slide. The fat body was then spread out on the microscope slide using two nickel plated pin holders holding 0.1mm diameter pins. We then slowly added a cover slip to the slide, making sure there were no bubbles. The fat bodies were then imaged using a Leica upright fluorescence microscope (Leica # DM6000M).

Larval fat body posterior vs. anterior RNAseq dissection

Larval fat bodies were dissected according to the protocol in the previous section "**Localized *lectin-24A* expression microscopy protocol**". The anterior and posterior of the fat body were

separated along the representative line as shown in Figure 2A.

Library preparation for RNA sequencing

At 24 hpi, groups of 10 male larvae from the stock expressing the *lectin-24A* promoter

reporter were dissected, with the anterior and posterior larval fat body sections separated.

Age-matched uninfected fat bodies were also dissected and separated into anterior and

posterior sections. RNA was isolated from each sample of pooled fat body sections, where for

each sample the PBS supernatant was removed and 250μl of Tri-reagent (Ambion

#10296010) was added. The fat bodies were homogenized using TissueLyzer II (Qiagen

#85300) for 2 minutes at 30Hz. 62.5μl of chloroform was added to each sample and the tubes

were shaken for 15 seconds followed by 3 minutes of incubation at room temperature. The

samples were then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 12,000xg at 4°C. 66μl of the upper aqueous

phase was transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube and 156μl of propan-2-ol was added. The

tubes were then inverted 5 times to mix thoroughly. Following a 10-minute incubation at

room temperature, the samples were centrifuged at 12,000xg at 4°C for 10 minutes. The

supernatant was removed by pipetting, watching out for small white pellets at the bottom of

the tubes, and 250μl of ice-cold 70% ethanol was added. The samples were centrifuged again

at 4°C at 12,000xg for 2 minutes and the ethanol was removed. The RNA pellets at the

bottom of the tubes were allowed to air-dry very briefly and 20μl of nuclease-free water was

added. The RNA pellets were dissolved by incubating the tubes on a heat block adjusted to

45°C for 5 minutes. RNA was quantified using a Qubit RNA HS assay kit (Thermofisher

#Q32852). RNAseq libraries were then prepared using a NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA

Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs #E7760S) with NEBNext Multiplex

Oligos for Illumina (96 Unique Dual Index Primer Pairs) (New England Biolabs #E64460S)

and polyA enrichment module (NEB #E7490S). Up to 1μg of total RNA was used to make

each RNAseq library, where mRNA was fragmented at 94°C for 15 minutes following polyA

enrichment, according to the protocol recommendations. The adaptor concentrations and

number of amplification cycles used were adjusted based on the amount of starting RNA. The

quantity in each prepared library sample was measured using Qubit DNA HS assay kit

(Thermofisher #Q32851). The library quality was assessed using a Bioanalyzer high

sensitivity kit on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent #5067-4626). The libraries were

sequenced at Source BioScience using Illumina NovaSeq 150bp paired-end reads.

Statistical analyses of RNAseq data

We used Trim Galore (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) for

trimming raw RNAseq reads, setting a Phred score of 20 for quality control. Reads with

fewer than 50 bases after trimming were removed. The reads were then mapped and counted

using STAR v2.6.0 [91] to *D. melanogaster* reference genome Dmel-r6.40. The R package

edgeR (RRID:SCR_012802) was used for differential expression analyses. Genes that had a

count per million (CPM) above ten in at least four libraries were kept, serving as a threshold

for identifying genes with detectable expression in our dataset. Dispersions were estimated

using the Cox-Reid profile-adjusted likelihood (CR) method in edgeR, and the expression

data were fitted with a negative binomial generalized linear model (GLM). Differential

expression of genes was then determined with a quasi-likelihood (QL) *F*-test, where a false

discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05 was set as the significance threshold.

Gene Ontology enrichment analysis

A Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was conducted using the R package goseq [92].

The list of genes detected in RNAseq analysis was used as a background set. Sets of

significantly upregulated and significantly downregulated genes with an FDR < 0.05 and up

or down-regulation of at least one logFC were then analyzed separately to determine GO

enrichment. The length bias inherent to RNAseq data were accounted for by calculating a

Probability Weighting Function (PWF) using goseq, that gives a probability that a gene will

be differentially expressed based on its length alone. A null distribution for GO category

membership was approximated with Wallenius distribution, and each GO category is then

tested for over and under representation amongst the set of differentially expressed genes.

Where there are more than 5 GO terms in any of the three major Gene Ontology branches

(Biological Processes, Cellular Components, and Molecular Functions), the list of GO terms

was summarized using REVIGO [93] (RRID:SCR_005825).

Yeast-1-hybrid (Y1H)

The Y1H analysis of the *lectin-24A* upstream region was carried out following the system

developed in [94].

RNAi knockdown assay

We used fly stocks from the Transgenic RNAi Project (TRiP), which consisted of flies that

utilize the GAL4/UAS driven system to silence a specific gene using short hairpin RNA and

RNA interference (RNAi). To perform the experiment, a fly stock that expresses a

daughterless driven GAL4 (*da*-Gal4), a temperature-sensitive tubulin driven GAL80 (*tub*-

Gal80^{ts}), and the *lectin-24A* promoter Venus reporter (LP437) was crossed to the RNAi-

expressing line targeting *Stat92E* (BDSC#33637). The cross generated F_1 progeny with the

genotype LP437/+; tub-Gal80ts/+; da-Gal4/UAS-TRiP. Egg lay was carried out at 25°C

overnight, then the developing larvae were reared at 29°C. On the 4th day, larvae were

infected for 3 hours with 3 female wasps in each vial, and larvae were collected 24 hpi for

protein extraction to analyze fluorescence expression of *Venus*[LP437], as stated in "**Lectin**

promoter reporter assay".

Truncated *lectin-24A* promoter fluorescence reporter

We created three truncated versions of the *lectin-24A* promoter fluorescence reporter, starting

20bp 5' of each of the three indels in the *lectin-24A* upstream region. The constructs were

made in the same way as the original *lectin-24A* fluorescence reporter construct [12]. We

digested pWallium20 backbone plasmid with the restriction enzymes HpaI and PstI (NEB #

R0105S and R0140T) followed by gel purification. The forward primers used to amplify each

promoter sequence are listed in the following table. The reverse primer used to amplify each

sequence was 5'-

ATTATAAGCTGCAATAAACAAGTTTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGCC-3'. The insert

sequence is amplified from the full-length *lectin-24A* promoter fluorescence reporter, and it

includes both the truncated promoter sequence as well as the Venus open reading frame. The

digested pWallium20 backbone and the amplified PCR fragment were assembled using a

HiFi assembly reaction (NEB #E5520), with an incubation time of 1 hour at 50 °C. The

transformation plasmids were then purified by miniprep (Qiagen # 27104). Plasmid

sequences were then verified by Sanger sequencing using the primers M13 Reverse 5'-

CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3' and the reverse primer to amplify the insert sequence. All

constructs were injected into *D.melanogaster* line attP2Ar5, harboring an attP landing site on

the X chromosome (BDSC#24480) by Bestgene Inc, USA, and transformants were selected

by eye color.

Primer name	Primer sequence
truncated LP7bp Fwd	GCTATACGAAGTTATCTGCAACCGATGAAATTTTCATGC
truncated LP8bp Fwd	GCTATACGAAGTTATCTGCATCTTTTGCTCTGACATTCAC
truncated LP21bp Fwd	GCTATACGAAGTTATCTGCAGCAACTATTTTTTA CTGAATAAATAAGG

Scrambling TFBMs with site-directed mutagenesis (SDM)

To generate *lectin-24A* promoter fluorescence reporter with scrambled transcription factor

binding sites, we used Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit (NEB# E0554S). Primers were

designed according to the sites to be scrambled as shown in the table below, where the

lowercase letters represented changes made to the original promoter sequence. The truncated

LP8 plasmid was used for scrambling instead of the full-length *lectin-24A* fluorescence

promoter reporter.

Primer name	Primer sequence
Dif_dl_fwd	AAGGCTATCTtttATCAAGTTTTTTAAGTAG
Dif_dl_rev	ATTTATTCAGTAAAAAATAGTTGC
GATA_fwd	ATCAAGTAATccccccGCGCCTATAGAAAC
GATA_rev	TGCTTTATTTTCTTCAGTCTTG
Stat_1_fwd	ATTTAATAAAggaCTTTTAATTCGTTTTGATAATATTTAAATATTTC
Stat_1_rev	AATTCTTTAAATATGAAATTTGAAAAGG
Stat_2_fwd	ATTTTTTACTtccTAAATAAGGCTATCTGGG
Stat_2_rev	AGTTGCTATGTATACAATATTG
Stat_3_fwd	TTTTTAAGTAtccACACAAGACTGAAGAAAATAAAG
Stat_3_rev	ACTTGATCCCAGATAGCC

JAK/STAT pathway *et* mutant and *lectin-24A* reporter recombination

The *et* mutant line is a kind gift from Michèle Crozatier [21]. The *lectin-24A* promoter

fluorescence reporter was recombined with the *et* mutant, as both the construct and the gene

are on the X chromosome. A line with attached-X chromosomes (genotype: C(1)DX, y w f/y

cv v shi[ts1] f mal/y[+]Y) from the Genetics Department at the University of Cambridge was

used to follow chromosomes with recombination events. Females from the *lectin-24A*

promoter fluorescence reporter line was first crossed with *et* mutant line males, then the

female offspring were crossed to *lectin-24A* promoter fluorescence reporter males. Individual

male offspring of this cross, which might carry a recombined X chromosome are crossed to

females of the attached-X line. As the X chromosomes of males are always inherited through

the male offspring when crossed to an attached-X female, any recombined X chromosomes in

the males are kept in males.

Male offspring from each of the cross above were first screened for fluorescence using an

epifluorescence microscope. Stocks that show Venus fluorescence were then screened for *et*

mutation by PCR. Genomic DNA was extracted from males of each stock. Two pairs of

primers from [21] were used to check *et* mutation. Fwd-1 & Rev-1 give an amplicon of

1186bp, and Fwd-2 & Rev-2 give an amplicon of 526bp. Stocks carrying the *et* mutation

would not give a PCR product from either of these primer pairs. Male larvae from stocks that

have successfully recombined were used in subsequent assays for *lectin-24A* promoter

fluorescence.

Primer name	Primer sequence
Fwd-1	TGGAGTTCAATGGAGCCGA
Fwd-2	CAGCATGCTCGCGATCTTCA
Rev-1	GGAGCAGCAATGTCGTCGGT
Rev-2	AAATGGCGGACATCCGGGTT

***DomeΔCYT* assay**

The stock expressing *DomeΔCYT* under UAS control is a kind gift from Michèle Crozatier.

We crossed females expressing the larval fat body driver *Cg-GAL4* or the ubiquitous *da-*

GAL4 driver, as well as *tub-GAL80^{ts}*, with males expressing *UAS-DomeΔCYT*. The stock also

carried *UAS-et* with a balancer chromosome that could not be identified in larvae, so a

portion of the F$_1$ progeny also expressed *UAS-et*. The control cross was with females

expressing only the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter with no driver. We carried out egg lay and allowed the

larvae to develop at 18°C, until the 7th day after egg lay, when we moved the larvae to 29°C 3

hours prior to infection with parasitoids. Sample collection was performed at 24 hpi. The

assays were then carried out as stated in "**Lectin promoter reporter assay**".

hop^{Tum} **assay**

Females expressing the *Venus^{LP437}* reporter were crossed with males of the *hop^{Tum}* genotype.

Larvae were allowed to develop at 25°C. Since both the reporter and *hop* are located on the X

chromosome, only female F_1 heterozygotes were selected during sample collection at 24 hpi.

The assays were then carried out as stated in "**Lectin promoter reporter assay**".

Dominant positive *Pnr* mutant expression in the *Drosophila* fat body

We expressed the dominant positive mutant *Pnr^{D4}* under the control of UAS (BDSC#36546)

in the *Drosophila* larval fat body using the driver *Cg-GAL4*. The larvae were allowed to

develop at 25°C until 3 hours prior to infection with parasitoids, then they were moved to

29°C until sample collection at 24 hpi, as stated in "**Lectin promoter reporter assay**".

Somatic mutagenesis of key immune pathway genes

In order to create somatic knockouts of immune pathway genes, we created a line that

expresses the *lectin-24A* promoter reporter and *Act5c-Cas9*. We crossed virgins of this line to

males expressing guide RNAs (gRNAs) targeting each gene. The gRNA-expressing lines

used are listed below. Primers used for PCR and sequencing to confirm the somatic

mutagenesis are also listed. The assays were carried out as stated in "**Lectin promoter**

reporter assay".

gRNA target gene	BDSC line #	Fwd primer for sequencing	Rev primer for sequencing
Dif	84190	TGTTATTGCTCTGGGCCTGG	GCTGCTCTTGCCGTAAATCG
Tl	83044	TGTGTTGCCACTAGGTGTCG	TACACCGTCGCATCTGAACC
dl	81730	TTGGCCACGTAATGTTTCCG	AAGGCCTCCCAAACACTCTG
Rel	84191	CCGAACATCGGGGAAGTACC	CGACCAGCTGATGGGAATGT
hop	79787	AGGAGCATTCGCAGTAAGCC	CAATTCGGGCACACGGAAAC
pnr	81993	AAAATGGCTGCCAAGCAAGG	GGGGTCTACTCACTGCACTC

Overexpression of NF-κB transcription factors dl and Rel

The stocks for expressing *dl* (FlyORF Stock# F000638) and *Rel* (FlyORF Stock# F001780)

under UAS control were obtained from the Zurich ORFeome Project [95]. We crossed

females expressing the larval fat body driver *Cg-GAL4* and *tub-GAL80^{ts}*, with males

expressing *UAS-dl* or *UAS-Rel*. The control cross was with females expressing *Venus^{LP437}*

with no GAL4 driver. Egg lay and larval development were carried out at 25°C until 3 hours

prior to wasp infection on the 4th day after egg lay, when they were moved to 29°C, and

sample collection was done at 24 hpi, following "**Lectin promoter reporter assay**". The

UAS-dl stock carried a balancer that could not be identified at the sample collection stage in

larvae, so the larvae collected from the UAS-dl crosses were with a mix of *Cg-GAL4/UAS-dl*

and *Cg-GAL4/+* genotypes.

Enrichment of TFBMs in the upstream region of parasitism-induced genes

FIMO from the MEME suite version 5.5.5 was used to scan sequences for occurrences of

TFBMs [96,97]. Default parameters were used for GATA TFBMs, and a significance

threshold of 0.005 was used for NF-κB and STAT92E TFBMs. MEME format position

weight matrices (PWMs) were generated from binding sites collected in flyfactorsurvey [98]

for GATA sites, and from IUPAC motifs for NF-κB and STAT sites (Figure 4C).

Funding

This work was funded by the following grants awarded to Francis M. Jiggins: Natural

Environment Research Council grant (NE/P00184X/1) and Biological Sciences Research

Council (BBSRC) grant (BB/V000667/1). Shuyu O. Zhou was supported by the Gates

Cambridge Trust. Alexandre B. Leitão was supported by the European Molecular Biology

Organization fellowship (ALT-1556).

Data availability

The RNAseq data has been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the

BioProject number PRJNA1021619, with BioSample accessions from SAMN37565424 to

SAMN37565439. Processed data files and scripts to analyze data are available on Apollo, the

University of Cambridge repository (https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.108488).

References

1.   Lemaitre B, Hoffmann J. The Host Defense of Drosophila melanogaster. Annu Rev
Immunol. 2007 Mar;25(1):697–743.

2.   Buchon N, Silverman N, Cherry S. Immunity in Drosophila melanogaster — from
microbial recognition to whole- organism physiology. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014
Dec;14(12):796–810.

3.   Yu S, Luo F, Xu Y, Zhang Y, Jin LH. Drosophila Innate Immunity Involves Multiple Signaling
Pathways and Coordinated Communication Between Different Tissues. Front Immunol.
2022 Jul 7;13:905370.

4.   Kim-Jo C, Sendi JJ, Poirié M, Gatti JL. Drosophila Cellular Immunity Against Parasitoid
Wasps: A Complex and Time-Dependent Process. Front Physiol Wwwfrontiersinorg.
2019;1.

5.   Anderl I, Vesala L, Ihalainen TO, Vanha-aho LM, Andó I, Rämet M, et al.
Transdifferentiation and Proliferation in Two Distinct Hemocyte Lineages in Drosophila
melanogaster Larvae after Wasp Infection. 2016;

6.   Eleftherianos I, Heryanto C, Bassal T, Zhang W, Tettamanti G, Mohamed A. Haemocyte-
mediated immunity in insects: Cells, processes and associated components in the fight
against pathogens and parasites. Immunology. 2021;

7.   Dudzic JP, Kondo S, Ueda R, Bergman CM, Lemaitre B. Drosophila innate immunity:
regional and functional specialization of prophenoloxidases. BMC Biol. 2015 Oct
1;13(1):81.

8.   Dostálová A, Rommelaere S, Poidevin M, Lemaitre B. Thioester-containing proteins
regulate the Toll pathway and play a role in Drosophila defence against microbial
pathogens and parasitoid wasps. BMC Biol. 2017;15(1):79–79.

9.   Tang H, Kambris Z, Lemaitre B, Hashimoto C. Two proteases defining a melanization
cascade in the immune system of Drosophila. J Biol Chem. 2006 Sep;281(38):28097–104.

10.   Dudzic JP, Hanson MA, Iatsenko I, Kondo S, Lemaitre B. More Than Black or White:
Melanization and Toll Share Regulatory Serine Proteases in Drosophila. Cell Rep. 2019
Apr 23;27(4):1050-1061.e3.

11.   Vanha-Aho LM, Anderl I, Vesala L, Hultmark D, Valanne S, Rämet M. Edin Expression in
the Fat Body Is Required in the Defense Against Parasitic Wasps in Drosophila
melanogaster. 2015;

12.   Arunkumar R, Zhou SO, Day JP, Bakare S, Pitton S, Zhang Y, et al. Natural selection has
driven the recurrent loss of an immunity gene that protects Drosophila against a major
natural parasite. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2023 Aug 15;120(33):e2211019120.

13. Valanne S, Wang JH, Rämet M. The Drosophila Toll Signaling Pathway. Artic J Immunol.
2011;

14. Myllymäki H, Valanne S, Rämet M. The Drosophila Imd Signaling Pathway. J Immunol.
2014;192(8):3455–62.

15. Hori A, Kurata S, Kuraishi T. Unexpected role of the IMD pathway in *Drosophila* gut
defense against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2018 Jan
1;495(1):395–400.

16. Tanji T, Hu X, Weber ANR, Ip YT. Toll and IMD Pathways Synergistically Activate an Innate
Immune Response in Drosophila melanogaster. Mol Cell Biol. 2007 Jun;27(12):4578–88.

17. Qiu P, Pan PC, Govind S. A role for the Drosophila Toll/Cactus pathway in larval
hematopoiesis [Internet]. 1998 p. 1909–20. Available from:
http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/

18. Sorrentino RP, Melk JP, Govind S. Genetic Analysis of Contributions of Dorsal Group and
JAK-Stat92E Pathway Genes to Larval Hemocyte Concentration and the Egg
Encapsulation Response in Drosophila. Genetics. 2004;

19. Lemaitre B, Meister M, Govind S, Georgel P, Steward R, Reichhart JM, et al. Functional
analysis and regulation of nuclear import of dorsal during the immune response in
Drosophila. EMBO J. 1995 Feb 1;14(3):536–45.

20. Rodrigues D, Renaud Y, VijayRaghavan K, Waltzer L, Inamdar MS. Differential activation of
JAK-STAT signaling reveals functional compartmentalization in Drosophila blood
progenitors. Shim J, Banerjee U, Shim J, editors. eLife. 2021 Feb 17;10:e61409.

21. Makki R, Meister M, Pennetier D, Ubeda JM, Braun A, Daburon V, et al. A Short Receptor
Downregulates JAK/STAT Signalling to Control the Drosophila Cellular Immune Response.
PLOS Biol. 2010 Aug 3;8(8):e1000441.

22. Yang H, Kronhamn J, Ekström JO, Korkut GG, Hultmark D. JAK/STAT signaling in
Drosophila muscles controls the cellular immune response against parasitoid infection.
EMBO Rep. 2015 Dec;16(12):1664–72.

23. Yang H, Hultmark D. Tissue communication in a systemic immune response of
Drosophila. 2016; Available from:
https://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=kfly20

24. Harrison D a., Binari R, Nahreini T s., Gilman M, Perrimon N. Activation of a Drosophila
Janus kinase (JAK) causes hematopoietic neoplasia and developmental defects. EMBO J.
1995 Jun;14(12):2857–65.

25. Luo H, Hanratty WP, Dearolf CR. An amino acid substitution in the Drosophila hopTum-l
Jak kinase causes leukemia-like hematopoietic defects. EMBO J. 1995 Apr 3;14(7):1412–
20.

26. Patient RK, McGhee JD. The GATA family (vertebrates and invertebrates). Curr Opin
Genet Dev. 2002 Aug 1;12(4):416–22.

27. Sam S, Leise W, Keiko Hoshizaki D. The *serpent* gene is necessary for progression through
the early stages of fat-body development. Mech Dev. 1996 Dec 1;60(2):197–205.

28. Rehorn KP, Thelen H, Michelson AM, Reuter R. A molecular aspect of hematopoiesis and
endoderm development common to vertebrates and Drosophila. Development. 1996
Dec 1;122(12):4023–31.

29. Minakhina S, Tan W, Steward R. JAK/STAT and the GATA factor Pannier control hemocyte
maturation and differentiation in Drosophila. Dev Biol. 2011 Apr 15;352(2):308–16.

30. Senger K, Armstrong GW, Rowell WJ, Kwan JM, Markstein M, Levine M. Immunity
Regulatory DNAs Share Common Organizational Features in Drosophila. Mol Cell. 2004
Jan;13(1):19–32.

31. Senger K, Harris K, Levine M. GATA factors participate in tissue-specific immune
responses in Drosophila larvae. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006 Oct 24;103(43):15957–62.

32. Leitão AB, Arunkumar R, Day JP, Hanna N, Devi A, Hayes MP, et al. Recognition of nonself
is necessary to activate Drosophila's immune response against an insect parasite. BMC
Biol. 2024 Apr 22;22(1):89.

33. Wertheim B, Kraaijeveld AR, Schuster E, Blanc E, Hopkins M, Pletcher SD, et al. Genome-
wide gene expression in response to parasitoid attack in Drosophila. Genome Biol.
2005;6(11):R94–R94.

34. Wertheim B, Kraaijeveld AR, Hopkins MG, Walther Boer M, Godfray HCJ. Functional
genomics of the evolution of increased resistance to parasitism in Drosophila. Mol Ecol.
2011;20(5):932–49.

35. Schlenke TA, Morales J, Govind S, Clark AG. Contrasting Infection Strategies in Generalist
and Specialist Wasp Parasitoids of Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Pathog. 2007
Oct;3(10):1486–501.

36. Salazar-Jaramillo L, Jalvingh KM, de Haan A, Kraaijeveld K, Buermans H, Wertheim B.
Inter- and intra-species variation in genome-wide gene expression of Drosophila in
response to parasitoid wasp attack. BMC Genomics. 2017 Apr 27;18(1):331.

37. Cho B, Yoon SH, Lee D, Koranteng F, Tattikota SG, Cha N, et al. Single-cell transcriptome
maps of myeloid blood cell lineages in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2020 Sep 8;11(1):4483.

38. Leitão AB, Arunkumar R, Day JP, Geldman EM, Morin-Poulard I, Crozatier M, et al.
Constitutive activation of cellular immunity underlies the evolution of resistance to
infection in Drosophila. eLife. 2020 Dec;9:e59095.

39. Tattikota SG, Cho B, Liu Y, Hu Y, Barrera V, Steinbaugh MJ, et al. A single-cell survey of
Drosophila blood. eLife. 2020;9:e54818.

40. Cattenoz et al. Temporal specificity and heterogeneity of Drosophila immune cells.
EMBO J. 2020 Jun 17;39(12):e104486.

41. Keebaugh ES, Schlenke TA. Adaptive evolution of a novel Drosophila lectin induced by
parasitic wasp attack. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29(2):565–77.

42. Duboule D, Morata G. Colinearity and functional hierarchy among genes of the homeotic
complexes. Trends Genet. 1994 Oct 1;10(10):358–64.

43. Marchetti M, Fanti L, Berloco M, Pimpinelli S. Differential expression of the Drosophila
BX-C in polytene chromosomes in cells of larval fat bodies: A cytological approach to
identifying in vivo targets of the homeotic Ubx, Abd-A and Abd-B proteins. Development.
2003;130(16):3683–9.

44. Varghese J, Lim SF, Cohen SM. Drosophila miR-14 regulates insulin production and
metabolism through its target, sugarbabe. Genes Dev. 2010 Dec 15;24(24):2748–53.

45. Song W, Cheng D, Hong S, Sappe B, Hu Y, Wei N, et al. Midgut-Derived Activin Regulates
Glucagon-like Action in the Fat Body and Glycemic Control. Cell Metab. 2017 Feb
7;25(2):386–99.

46. Tanji T, Ohashi-Kobayashi A, Natori S. Participation of a galactose-specific C-type lectin in
Drosophila immunity. Biochem J. 2006 May;396(1):127–38.

47. Martins NE, Faria VG, Nolte V, Schlötterer C, Teixeira L, Sucena É, et al. Host adaptation
to viruses relies on few genes with different cross-resistance properties. Proc Natl Acad
Sci. 2014 Apr 22;111(16):5938–43.

48. Howell L, Sampson CJ, Xavier MJ, Bolukbasi E, Heck MMS, Williams MJ. A directed
miniscreen for genes involved in the Drosophila anti-parasitoid immune response.
Immunogenetics. 2012 Feb;64(2):155–61.

49. Busse MS, Arnold CP, Towb P, Katrivesis J, Wasserman SA. A κB sequence code for
pathway-specific innate immune responses. EMBO J. 2007 Aug 22;26(16):3826–35.

50. Rivas ML, Cobreros L, Zeidler MP, Hombría JC. Plasticity of Drosophila Stat DNA binding
shows an evolutionary basis for Stat transcription factor preferences. EMBO Rep. 2008
Oct;9(11):1114–20.

51. Yan R, Small S, Desplan C, Dearolf CR, Darnell JE. Identification of a Stat Gene That
Functions in Drosophila Development. Cell. 1996 Feb 9;84(3):421–30.

52. Merika M, Orkin SH. DNA-binding specificity of GATA family transcription factors. Mol
Cell Biol. 1993 Jul;13(7):3999–4010.

53. Aaronson DS, Horvath CM. A Road Map for Those Who Don't Know JAK-STAT. Science.
2002 May 31;296(5573):1653–5.

54. Seidel HM, Milocco LH, Lamb P, Darnell JE, Stein RB, Rosen J. Spacing of palindromic half
sites as a determinant of selective STAT (signal transducers and activators of

transcription) DNA binding and transcriptional activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995
Mar 28;92(7):3041–5.

55. Morin-Poulard I, Vincent A, Crozatier M. The Drosophila JAK-STAT pathway in blood cell
formation and immunity. JAK-STAT. 2013 Jul 15;2(3):e25700.

56. Karsten P, Plischke I, Perrimon N, Zeidler MP. Mutational analysis reveals separable DNA
binding and trans-activation of Drosophila STAT92E. Cell Signal. 2006 Jun;18(6):819–29.

57. Arbouzova NI, Zeidler MP. JAK/STAT signalling in Drosophila: insights into conserved
regulatory and cellular functions. Dev Camb Engl. 2006 Jul;133(14):2605–16.

58. Binari R, Perrimon N. Stripe-specific regulation of pair-rule genes by hopscotch, a
putative Jak family tyrosine kinase in Drosophila. Genes Dev. 1994 Feb 1;8(3):300–12.

59. Brown S, Hu N, Hombría JCG. Identification of the first invertebrate interleukin JAK/STAT
receptor, the Drosophila gene domeless. Curr Biol. 2001 Oct 30;11(21):1700–5.

60. Hou XS, Melnick MB, Perrimon N. Marelle acts downstream of the Drosophila HOP/JAK
kinase and encodes a protein similar to the mammalian STATs. Cell. 1996 Feb
9;84(3):411–9.

61. Kallio J, Myllymäki H, Grönholm J, Armstrong M, Vanha-Aho LM, Mäkinen L, et al. Eye
transformer is a negative regulator of Drosophila JAK/STAT signaling. FASEB J.
2010;24(11):4467–79.

62. Takahashi S, Onodera K, Motohashi H, Suwabe N, Hayashi N, Yanai N, et al. Arrest in
Primitive Erythroid Cell Development Caused by Promoter-specific Disruption of the
GATA-1 Gene*. J Biol Chem. 1997 May 9;272(19):12611–5.

63. Zhao R, Watt AJ, Li J, Luebke-Wheeler J, Morrisey EE, Duncan SA. GATA6 Is Essential for
Embryonic Development of the Liver but Dispensable for Early Heart Formation. Mol Cell
Biol. 2005 Apr;25(7):2622–31.

64. Watt AJ, Zhao R, Li J, Duncan SA. Development of the mammalian liver and ventral
pancreas is dependent on GATA4. BMC Dev Biol. 2007 Apr 23;7(1):37.

65. Ramain P, Heitzler P, Haenlin M, Simpson P. pannier, a negative regulator of achaete and
scute in Drosophila, encodes a zinc finger protein with homology to the vertebrate
transcription factor GATA-1. Development. 1993 Dec 1;119(4):1277–91.

66. Lin WH, Huang LH, Yeh JY, Hoheisel J, Lehrach H, Sun YH, et al. Expression of a Drosophila
GATA transcription factor in multiple tissues in the developing embryos. Identification of
homozygous lethal mutants with P-element insertion at the promoter region. J Biol
Chem. 1995 Oct 20;270(42):25150–8.

67. Waltzer L, Bataillé L, Peyrefitte S, Haenlin M. Two isoforms of Serpent containing either
one or two GATA zinc fingers have different roles in Drosophila haematopoiesis. EMBO J.
2002 Oct 15;21(20):5477–86.

68. Fossett N, Hyman K, Gajewski K, Orkin SH, Schulz RA. Combinatorial interactions of
Serpent, Lozenge, and U-shaped regulate crystal cell lineage commitment during
Drosophila hematopoiesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2003 Sep 30;100(20):11451–6.

69. Haenlin M, Cubadda Y, Blondeau F, Heitzler P, Lutz Y, Simpson P, et al. Transcriptional
activity of Pannier is regulated negatively by heterodimerization of the GATA DNA-
binding domain with a cofactor encoded by the u-shaped gene of Drosophila. Genes
Dev. 1997 Nov 15;11(22):3096–108.

70. Fossett N, Zhang Q, Gajewski K, Choi CY, Kim Y, Schulz RA. The multitype zinc-finger
protein U-shaped functions in heart cell specification in the Drosophila embryo. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000 Jun 20;97(13):7348–53.

71. Leitão AB, Arunkumar R, Day JP, Hanna N, Devi A, Hayes MP, et al. Recognition of non-
self is necessary to activate Drosophila's immune response against an insect parasite
[Internet]. bioRxiv; 2022 [cited 2023 Aug 1]. p. 2022.06.28.497890. Available from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.06.28.497890v1

72. Wertheim B. Adaptations and counter-adaptations in Drosophila host–parasitoid
interactions: advances in the molecular mechanisms. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2022 Jun
1;51:100896.

73. Yang L, Qiu LM, Fang Q, Stanley DW, Ye GY. Cellular and humoral immune interactions
between Drosophila and its parasitoids. Insect Sci. 2021;28(5):1208–27.

74. Hetru C, Hoffmann JA. NF-κB in the Immune Response of Drosophila. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol. 2009 Dec;1(6):a000232.

75. Ip Y. Dif, a dorsal-related gene that mediates an immune response in Drosophila. Cell.
1993 Nov 19;75(4):753–63.

76. Rutschmann S, Jung AC, Hetru C, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA, Ferrandon D. The Rel
protein DIF mediates the antifungal but not the antibacterial host defense in Drosophila.
Immunity. 2000 May;12(5):569–80.

77. Meng X, Khanuja BS, Ip YT. Toll receptor-mediated Drosophila immune response requires
Dif, an NF-kappaB factor. Genes Dev. 1999 Apr 1;13(7):792–7.

78. Engström Y, Kadalayil L, Sun SC, Samakovlis C, Hultmark D, Faye I. kappa B-like motifs
regulate the induction of immune genes in Drosophila. J Mol Biol. 1993 Jul
20;232(2):327–33.

79. Lagueux M, Perrodou E, Levashina EA, Capovilla M, Hoffmann JA. Constitutive expression
of a complement-like protein in Toll and JAK gain-of-function mutants of Drosophila. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(21):11427–32.

80. Arbouzova NI, Bach EA, Zeidler MP. Ken & Barbie Selectively Regulates the Expression of
a Subset of JAK/STAT Pathway Target Genes. Curr Biol. 2006 Jan 10;16(1):80–8.

81. Callus BA, Mathey-Prevot B. SOCS36E, a novel Drosophila SOCS protein, suppresses
JAK/STAT and EGF-R signalling in the imaginal wing disc. Oncogene. 2002 Jul
18;21(31):4812–21.

82. Morris R, Kershaw NJ, Babon JJ. The molecular details of cytokine signaling via the
JAK/STAT pathway. Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc. 2018 Dec;27(12):1984–2009.

83. Hu X, Li J, Fu M, Zhao X, Wang W. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway: from bench to clinic.
Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021 Nov 26;6(1):1–33.

84. Zeidler MP, Bausek N. The Drosophila JAK-STAT pathway. JAK-STAT. 2013 Jul
1;2(3):e25353.

85. Monahan AJ, Starz-Gaiano M. Socs36E attenuates STAT signaling to optimize motile cell
specification in the Drosophila ovary. Dev Biol. 2013 Jul 15;379(2):152–66.

86. Petersen U, Kadalayil L, Rehorn K, Hoshizaki DK, Reuter R, Engström Y. Serpent regulates
Drosophila immunity genes in the larval fat body through an essential GATA motif. EMBO
J. 1999 Jul 15;18(14):4013–22.

87. Manfruelli P, Reichhart JM, Steward R, Hoffmann JA, Lemaitre B. A mosaic analysis in
Drosophila fat body cells of the control of antimicrobial peptide genes by the Rel
proteins Dorsal and DIF. EMBO J. 1999 Jun 15;18(12):3380–91.

88. Gross I, Georgel P, Kappler C, Reichhart JM, Hoffmann JA. Drosophila immunity: a
comparative analysis of the Rel proteins dorsal and Dif in the induction of the genes
encoding diptericin and cecropin. Nucleic Acids Res. 1996 Apr 1;24(7):1238–45.

89. Han ZS, Ip YT. Interaction and specificity of Rel-related proteins in regulating Drosophila
immunity gene expression. J Biol Chem. 1999 Jul 23;274(30):21355–61.

90. Bruner-Montero G, Luque CM, Cesar CS, Ding SD, Day JP, Jiggins FM. Hunting Drosophila
viruses from wild populations: A novel isolation approach and characterisation of
viruses. PLOS Pathog. 2023 Mar 30;19(3):e1010883.

91. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast
universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013 Jan;29(1):15–21.

92. Young MD, Wakefield MJ, Smyth GK, Oshlack A. Gene ontology analysis for RNA-seq:
accounting for selection bias. Genome Biol. 2010 Feb 4;11(2):R14.

93. Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists of
Gene Ontology Terms. PLOS ONE. 2011 Jul 18;6(7):e21800.

94. Hens K, Feuz JD, Isakova A, Iagovitina A, Massouras A, Bryois J, et al. Automated protein-
DNA interaction screening of Drosophila regulatory elements. Nat Methods. 2011
Dec;8(12):1065–70.

95. Bischof J, Björklund M, Furger E, Schertel C, Taipale J, Basler K. A versatile platform for
creating a comprehensive UAS-ORFeome library in Drosophila. Dev Camb Engl. 2013
Jun;140(11):2434–42.

96. Grant CE, Bailey TL, Noble WS. FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif.
Bioinformatics. 2011 Apr 1;27(7):1017–8.

97. Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, et al. MEME Suite: tools for
motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009 Jul 1;37(suppl_2):W202–8.

98. Zhu LJ, Christensen RG, Kazemian M, Hull CJ, Enuameh MS, Basciotta MD, et al.
FlyFactorSurvey: a database of Drosophila transcription factor binding specificities
determined using the bacterial one-hybrid system. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011
Jan;39(Database issue):D111–7.

1219

1220