Membrane binding properties of the cytoskeletal protein bactofilin

Ying Liu[1,#], Rajani Karmakar[2], Wieland Steinchen[3,4], Saumyak Mukherjee[2], Gert Bange[3,4,5], Lars V. Schäfer[2], Martin Thanbichler[1,4,6*]

[1] Department of Biology, University of Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany
[2] Theoretical Chemistry, Ruhr University Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany
[3] Department of Chemistry, University of Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany
[4] Center for Synthetic Microbiology (SYNMIKRO), 35032 Marburg, Germany
[5] Max Max Planck Fellow Group Molecular Physiology of Microbes, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, 35043 Marburg, Germany
[6] Max Planck Fellow Group Bacterial Cell Biology, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, 35043 Marburg, Germany

[#] Present address: Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, 24105 Kiel, Germany

*Address correspondence to
Martin Thanbichler (thanbichler@uni-marburg.de)

Keywords
Cytoskeletal protein, bactofilin, CcmA, membrane-targeting sequence, membrane binding

Abstract

Bactofilins are a widespread family of cytoskeletal proteins with important roles in bacterial morpho-
genesis, chromosome organization and motility. They polymerize in a nucleotide-independent manner,
forming non-polar filaments that are typically associated with the cytoplasmic membrane. Membrane
binding was suggested to be mediated by a short N-terminal peptide, but the underlying mechanism and
the conservation of this interaction determinant among bacteria remain unclear. Here, we use the bacto-
filin homolog BacA of the stalked bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus* as a model to analyze the membrane-
binding behavior of bactofilins. Based on site-directed mutagenesis of the N-terminal region, we identify
the full membrane-targeting sequence of BacA (MFSKQAKS) and identify amino acid residues that are
critical for its function *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Molecular dynamics simulations then provide detailed insight
into the molecular mechanism underlying the membrane affinity of this peptide. Collectively these anal-
yses reveal a delicate interplay between the water exclusion of hydrophobic N-terminal residues, the
arrangement of the peptide within the membrane and the electrostatic attraction between positively
charged groups in the peptide and negative charges in the phospholipid molecules. A comprehensive
bioinformatic analysis shows that the composition and properties of the membrane-targeting sequence
of BacA are conserved in numerous bactofilin homologs from diverse bacterial phyla. Notably, our findings
reveal a mutual interdependence between the membrane binding and polymerization activities of BacA.
Moreover, we demonstrate that both of these activities have a pivotal role in the recruitment of the BacA
client protein PbpC, a membrane-bound cell wall synthase involved in stalk formation whose N-terminal
region turns out to associate with the core polymerization domain of BacA. Together, these results unravel
the mechanistic underpinnings of membrane binding by bactofilin homologs, thereby illuminating a previ-
ously obscure but important aspect in the biology of this cytoskeletal protein family.

Introduction

Cytoskeletal proteins mediate a variety of fundamental cellular processes in bacteria, including cell growth, cell division and DNA segregation (Cabeen and Jacobs-Wagner, 2010; Wagstaff and Löwe, 2018). Many important components of the bacterial cytoskeleton have eukaryotic homologs. The conserved cell division protein FtsZ, for instance, is a member of the tubulin superfamily (Löwe and Amos, 1998; Mukherjee et al., 1993), forming dynamic polymers at the cell division site that control the assembly and function of the cell division apparatus (McQuillen and Xiao, 2020). The morphogenetic protein MreB, by contrast, which governs cell growth and morphology in most rod-shaped bacteria (Jones et al., 2001; van den Ent et al., 2001), is homologous to actin (Rohs and Bernhardt, 2021). In addition, bacteria also contain intermediate-filament-like proteins, such as the coiled-coil-rich protein crescentin, which promotes cell curvature in the crescent-shaped alphaproteobacterium *Caulobacter crescentus* (Ausmees et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2024). Apart from these universally conserved cytoskeletal protein families, bacteria contain a variety of polymer-forming proteins that are typically absent from eukaryotes (Wagstaff and Löwe, 2018). A prominent member of this group of proteins is bactofilin (Kühn et al., 2010).

Bactofilin homologs are widespread among bacteria and involved in diverse cellular functions. In many species, they have important roles in cell shape determination, including the establishment or adjustment of cell curvature in *Helicobacter pylori* (Sycuro et al., 2010), *Campylobacter jejuni* (Frirdich et al., 2023), *Leptospira biplexa* (Jackson et al., 2018) and *Rhodospirillum rubrum* (Pöhl et al., 2024), the modulation of cell size in *Chlamydia trachomatis* (Brockett et al., 2021), the formation of buds in *Hyphomonas neptunium* (Pöhl et al., 2024), the stabilization of rod shape in *Myxococcus xanthus* (Koch et al., 2011) and *Proteus mirabilis* (Hay et al., 1999) and the synthesis of stalk-like cellular extensions in *C. crescentus* (Kühn et al., 2010), *Asticcacaulis biprosthecum* (Caccamo et al., 2020) and *Rhodomicrobium vannielii* (Richter et al., 2023). Other functions include DNA organization in *M. xanthus* (Anand et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2017) as well as cell motility in *Bacillus subtilis* (El Andari et al., 2015). Bactofilins are relatively small proteins characterized by a central Bactofilin A/B domain (InterPro ID: IPR007607) that is typically flanked by unstructured terminal regions of varying lengths. The central domain is ~110 amino acids long and folds into a compact right-handed β-helix with a triangular geometry, measuring roughly 3 nm along its longitudinal axis (Kassem et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2015; Vasa et al., 2015; Zuckerman et al., 2015). This basic unit polymerizes into extended non-polar filaments through alternating head-to-head and tail-to-tail interactions between individual subunits (Deng et al., 2019). The resulting protofilaments can further assemble into higher-order structures such as bundles, lattices and 2D crystalline sheets *in vitro* (Holtrup et al., 2019; Kühn et

al., 2010; Pöhl et al., 2024; Sichel et al., 2022; Vasa et al., 2015; Zuckerman et al., 2015) and, potentially, also *in vivo* (Kühn et al., 2010). Previous studies have indicated that bactofilins are typically associated with the cytoplasmic membrane (Deng et al., 2019; Hay et al., 1999; Koch et al., 2011; Kühn et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2023). However, only a small subset of them, including certain gammaproteobacterial represen-tatives (Hay et al., 1999), contain predicted transmembrane helices, suggesting that canonical bactofilins use an unconventional membrane-targeting mechanism that may have specifically evolved in this cyto-skeletal protein family. Consistent with this notion, the membrane-binding activity of bactofilin homologs from *Thermus thermophilus* (Deng et al., 2019) and *C. trachomatis* (Lee et al., 2023) has recently been shown to depend on a short peptide in the N-terminal unstructured region of the proteins. However, the conservation of this peptide, the precise mechanism underlying its affinity for the lipid bilayer, and the interplay between membrane attachment and bactofilin polymerization still remain to be investigated.

In this study, we comprehensively analyze the membrane-binding behavior of bactofilins, using BacA from *C. crescentus* as a model protein. Previous work has shown that BacA forms membrane-associated poly-meric sheets at the old pole of the *C. crescentus* cell, which recruit the cell wall synthase PbpC to stimulate stalk formation at this subcellular location (Billini et al., 2019; Hughes et al., 2013; Kühn et al., 2010). By systematically exchanging residues in the N-terminal region of BacA, we identify a short N-terminal pep-tide (MFSKQAKS) that acts as the membrane-targeting sequence (MTS) of BacA and pinpoint residues critical for its membrane-binding activity *in vivo* and *in vitro*. We then clarify the molecular mechanism underlying the membrane affinity of this sequence using molecular dynamics simulations. A compre-hensive bioinformatic analysis suggests that the mode of action revealed for the MTS of BacA is conserved in a large number of bactofilin homologs from various different phyla. Importantly, our findings indicate a mutual stimulatory effect of BacA membrane association and polymerization as well as a key role of BacA assembly in the interaction with its client protein PbpC. Together, these findings reveal the mecha-nistic basis of membrane binding by bactofilin homologs and highlight the implications of this process for bactofilin function, thereby shedding light on a thus-far poorly understood aspect in the biology of this widespread cytoskeletal protein.

Results

The membrane-binding activity of BacA depends on an N-terminal amphipathic peptide

Previous work has proposed a small N-terminal peptide as the membrane-targeting sequence (MTS) of canonical bactofilin homologs (Deng et al., 2019). In the case of *C. crescentus* BacA, the suggested region comprises the sequence MFSKQAKS at the N-terminal end of the protein (**Figure 1A**). To verify the importance of this peptide for BacA function, we compared the localization pattern of wild-type BacA with that of a mutant derivative lacking the predicted membrane-targeting sequence (MTS). For this purpose, the two proteins were fused to the monomeric yellow fluorescent protein mVenus and produced in a strain carrying an in-frame deletion in the endogenous *bacA* and *bacB* genes (**Figure 1B**). As expected, the wild-type protein was fully functional and formed distinct fluorescent foci at the stalked pole of the cells, which have been previously shown to reflect the formation of small patches of membrane-associated BacA polymers (Kühn et al., 2010). The mutant protein (Δ2-8), by contrast, was evenly distributed in the cytoplasm, supporting a role of the N-terminal region of BacA in membrane binding. The diffuse localization also suggests a defect in polymerization, which however may be a secondary effect resulting from the loss of membrane association, since previous work has shown that the unstructured terminal regions are not essential for bactofilin assembly (Sichel et al., 2022; Vasa et al., 2015). Notably, an amino acid exchange that disrupted the C-terminal polymerization interface of the Bactofilin A/B domain (F130R) (Deng et al., 2019; Vasa et al., 2015; Zuckerman et al., 2015) also led to an even distribution of BacA-mVenus within the cell (**Figure 1B**), supporting the idea that polymerization and membrane binding are mutually interdependent.

Apart from transmembrane segments, proteins commonly employ amphiphilic helices to associate with the inner face of the cytoplasmic membrane, as exemplified by the bacterial actin homologs FtsA (Pichoff and Lutkenhaus, 2005) and MreB (Salje et al., 2011). As a first step to clarify the mechanism underlying the membrane-binding activity of the MTS, we therefore determined whether it was able to adopt an amphiphilic helical structure. However, neither machine-learning-based pattern recognition, as employed by AmphipaSeek (Sapay et al., 2006) (**Figure 1A**), nor helical wheel analysis (**Figure 1C**) provided any support for this possibility, suggesting a different mode of action.

To obtain more insight into the function of the MTS, we went on to systematically exchange single or multiple amino acid residues in the N-terminal eight amino acids of BacA-mVenus (**Figure S1**) and analyze the localization patterns of the mutant proteins. Among the single exchanges, substitution of the hydrophobic phenylalanine residue at position 2 (F2Y and F2E) led to a disperse or, occasionally, patchy distribu-

tion of the fusion protein (**Figure 1B**). Other single substitutions, by contrast, had relatively mild effects,

including the formation of multiple and/or mispositioned bactofilin complexes (**Figure S2**). Notably, how-

ever, a double exchange replacing the two positively charged lysine residues in the MTS with polar serine

residues (K4S-K7S) strongly increased the fraction of delocalized protein (**Figure 1B**), although distinct

fluorescent foci or patches were still detectable, indicating residual membrane-binding activity. An even

stronger effect, as reflected by a complete dispersion of the fluorescence signal, was observed when nega-

tively charged glutamate residues were introduced at these positions (K4E-K7E). Collectively, these results

point to a central role of F2 and K4/K7 in the association of BacA with the cytoplasmic membrane.

To further characterize the mutant BacA-mVenus variants, we analyzed their mobility within the cell using

single-particle tracking (**Figure 2A**). As expected by its ability to interact with the membrane and poly-

merize, the wild-type protein exhibited a very low diffusional mobility. Mutant variants that were partially

delocalized (F2Y and K4S-K7S) were significantly more mobile, and variants with an entirely diffuse localiz-

ation (Δ2-8, F2E, K4E-K7E and F2E-K4E-K7E) displayed the highest diffusion rates. These results support

the notion that the MTS is required for the formation of stable membrane-associated BacA assemblies.

As a direct means to analyze the membrane-binding activity of the fusion proteins, we next performed

cell fractionation studies. To this end, cells producing different BacA-mVenus variants were lysed and

membranes were separated from soluble components by ultracentrifugation. Western blot analysis re-

vealed that a large part of the wild-type protein was detected in the membrane fraction, whereas the

variant lacking the MTS (Δ2-8) was completely soluble (**Figure 2B**). A severe reduction in membrane-

binding activity was also observed for all fusion proteins lacking residues F2 and K4/K7 (**Figure 2C**), con-

firming the importance of these residues in the function of the MTS.

After having identified a role of the MTS in the association of BacA with membranes *in vivo*, we aimed to

verify the membrane-targeting activity of this peptide in a defined system *in vitro*. For this purpose, we

purified wild-type BacA and various mutant derivatives, using a cleavable N-terminal His_6-SUMO affinity

tag (Marblestone et al., 2006), which allowed us to obtain proteins without any non-native extensions

that could potentially interfere with the analysis. This approach also made it possible to obtain two addi-

tional BacA variants, one lacking the N-terminal methionine of BacA (ΔM) and another one lacking the N-

terminal methionine and containing a glutamate instead of the phenylalanine normally located at the

second position of the MTS (xE). We then incubated the different proteins with small unilamellar vesicles

(liposomes) made of phosphatidylglycerol, which has been identified as the most abundant membrane

lipid in *C. crescentus* under standard growth conditions (Contreras et al., 1978; Stankeviciute et al., 2019).

Subsequently, the liposomes were collected by ultracentrifugation and analyzed for the amount of bound

protein (**Figure 3**). Consistent with the *in vivo* data, a large proportion (~80%) of the wild-type protein was

associated with the liposome pellet, whereas only a small fraction sedimented in control reactions lacking

liposomes, likely reflecting large, poorly soluble polymer bundles. Variants lacking the entire MTS (Δ2-8)

or carrying the F2E or K4E/K7E exchanges, by contrast, showed severe defects in membrane binding, with

sedimentation efficiencies similar to those obtained in the liposome-free control reactions. Residual bind-

ing was still observed for the F2Y and K4S/K7S variants, consistent with the milder defects observed for

these proteins in the localization and single-particle tracking studies (compare **Figures 1B** and **2A**). Simi-

larly, the absence of residue M1 (ΔM) only partially abolished the membrane association of BacA, indicat-

ing that the N-terminal methionine plays an important but not decisive role in the function of the MTS

(**Figure 3**). Together, these findings verify the existence of an N-terminal MTS in BacA. Moreover, they

identify the hydrophobic residues M1 and F2 and the two positively charged lysine residues K4 and K7 as

key determinants of its membrane-binding affinity.

BacA polymerization and membrane binding are mutually interdependent

Our results showed that the disruption of the polymerization interface (F130R) resulted in a diffuse, cyto-

plasmic localization of BacA, suggesting that polymerization might be a prerequisite for efficient mem-

brane binding (**Figure 1C**). To investigate this possibility, we clarified the membrane-binding activity of the

polymerization-deficient F130R variant of BacA-mVenus. Cell fractionation experiments showed that the

fusion protein was barely detectable in the membrane fraction (**Figure 4A**). In line with this finding, only

marginal membrane-binding activity was observed for the untagged BacA-F130 variant in liposome-bind-

ing assays (**Figure 4B**). These results suggest that the MTS has a relatively low affinity for the membrane,

so that a stable interaction can only be achieved by cooperative binding of multiple MTS-containing N-

terminal tails, arrayed on the surface of bactofilin polymers. Further support for this idea came from do-

main swapping experiments that showed that the MTS of BacA was able to mediate stable membrane

attachment when fused to a derivative of the polymer-forming protein crescentin from *C. crescentus* lack-

ing its native membrane-targeting sequence (**Figure S3**).

Interestingly, the diffuse localization and high diffusional mobility of BacA variants containing a defective

MTS (**Figures 1C and 2A**) indicate that there may also be a converse stimulatory effect of membrane bind-

ing on BacA polymerization. To further assess this possibility, we analyzed whether an MTS-defective BacA

variant could regain the ability to form membrane-associated polymeric complexes if equipped with a

heterologous membrane-targeting sequence. To this end, two copies of the N-terminal amphiphilic helix

of MreB from *E. coli* (Salje et al., 2011) were fused to a BacA-mVenus variant lacking the native MTS-containing peptide (Δ2-8). Microscopic analysis revealed that the fusion protein condensed into distinct foci at the old pole or the cell center (**Figure 4C**), reminiscent of the aberrant localization patterns observed for mutant proteins with exchanges in the MTS that did not completely abolish membrane binding (compare **Figure S2**). The restoration of fluorescent foci was accompanied by a strong decrease in diffusional mobility, as determined by single-molecule tracking (**Figure 4D**). In addition, cell fractionation experiments showed that the fusion protein was highly enriched in the membrane pellet (**Figure 4E**). Importantly, when its polymerization interface was disrupted by introduction of the F130R exchange, focus formation was abolished, membrane binding was strongly reduced, and the diffusional mobility of the fusion protein increased to a value similar to that of an F130R variant containing the native MTS of BacA (**Figure 4C-E**). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that the polymerization and membrane-binding activities of BacA strongly stimulate each other.

Molecular dynamics simulations identify M1, K4 and K7 as key residues of the BacA MTS

To investigate the molecular underpinnings of the interaction between the MTS of BacA and the membrane, we turned to all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. For this purpose, peptides comprising the ten N-terminal bactofilin residues were simulated in the presence of a multi-component lipid bilayer that was composed of approximately 50% monoglucosyldiglyceride (GLY), 33% phosphatidylglycerol (PG) and 16% diacylglycerol (DAG) lipids (see **Table S1** for details), approximating the native composition of *C. crescentus* cell membranes under standard growth conditions (Chow and Schmidt, 1974; Contreras et al., 1978; Stankeviciute et al., 2019). In addition to the wild-type peptide MFSKQAKSNN, our analysis also included the mutant F2Y and K4S-K7S variants (each in a separate simulation).

The starting structures of the peptides were modeled in an extended conformation without any secondary structure. At the beginning, a single peptide (wild-type, F2Y or K4S-K7S) was placed in the aqueous phase at a distance of ca. 3 nm from the lipid headgroups. During the 500-ns simulations, all three peptides rapidly bound to the membrane and established multiple contacts with the lipids at the membrane/water interface (**Figure 5A**). In doing so, the peptides did not adopt any persistent secondary structures but remained disordered, with a preference for somewhat extended conformations (**Figure 5B,C**). Notably, in control simulations in which the peptides were initially modeled as α-helices, the peptides unfolded rapidly, either in the aqueous phase or after binding to the membrane/water interface, eventually yielding the same results (within the statistical uncertainties) as the simulations starting from an extended conformation.

Excluding the initial phase of the simulations in which the peptides were not yet bound to the membrane,
we then determined the density profiles of the three different peptides along the membrane normal
(**Figures 5D and S4**). The results show that the N-terminal residues 1 and 2 insert deeply into the lipid
bilayer, assuming positions below the phosphate headgroup region, while the positions of the remaining
residues (3 to 10) are gradually shifted towards the aqueous phase. The peptides thus bind to the mem-
brane in a tilted orientation, with their N-terminal region protruding more deeply into the hydrophobic
parts of the lipid headgroup region (**Figure 5B**). Interestingly, in case of the F2Y variant, the presence of
tyrosine at position 2 pulls residue M1 and Y2 further towards the headgroup/water interface (**Figure S4B**).
This result can be explained by the preferential hydration of tyrosine compared to phenylalanine, as is
also reflected in the difference of the solvation free energies (ΔG_{solv}) of the corresponding side-chain
analogs p-cresol (-25.6 kJ mol^{-1}) and toluene (-3.2 kJ mol^{-1}) (Wolfenden et al., 1981).

To characterize the interactions between the peptides and the membrane in more detail, the contacts
between the individual peptide residues and the different lipid species in the bilayers were counted. The
results confirm the above finding that residues 1 and 2 show the strongest association with lipids and that
lipid interactions gradually decrease for residues further down the peptide chain (**Figure 6A**). Notably,
among these interactions, contacts with anionic PG lipids dominate, even though the percentage of PG
lipids (33%) in the simulated bilayer is smaller than that of GLY lipids (50%) (see above and **Table S1**),
which can largely be attributed to the lysine residues at positions 4 and 7. In line with this result, the K4S-
K7S variant shows a strong reduction in the number of PG contacts at these positions, which is partially
compensated by a higher prevalence of GLY contacts, particularly for residues 1 to 5 (**Figure 6A**). The F2Y
variant, by contrast, did not show a marked decrease in the number of total lipid contacts for position 2,
with fewer PG contacts (of residues 1 and 2) again compensated by more GLY lipid contacts (**Figure 6A**).
Taken together, the lipid contact analysis shows that the N-terminal residues M1 and F2 establish the
closest contacts with the lipid bilayer, and that the lysine residues K4 and K7 strongly interact with PG
lipids.

The contact analysis described above only provides insight into the spatial proximity of the peptides and
the lipids. To additionally determine the strength of the interactions, we analyzed the MD simulations in
terms of the interaction energies between the different peptide residues and the lipid molecules (**Figure
6B**). For both the wild-type peptide and its F2Y variant, the strongest (most favorable) peptide-lipid inter-
action energy is seen for residues 1, 4 and 7, which can be attributed to strong electrostatic attraction
between the PG lipids and the charged NH_3^+ group at the N-terminus (residue M1) as well as the side
chains of residues K4 and K7, respectively. Notably, out of all residues, M1 interacts most strongly with

the membrane. Nevertheless, its removal (ΔM) had only a moderate effect on the membrane-binding

activity of BacA *in vitro* (**Figure 3**), corroborating the notion that the largest part of the interaction energy

is provided by the N-terminal NH_3^+ group of M1 rather than its hydrophobic side chain. However, taken

together, the two lysines K4 and K7 make an even larger contribution to the interaction, consistent with

the significant reduction in membrane association observed for the K4S-K7S variants of BacA (**Figures 1C,**

2C and 3). It is interesting to note that in terms of the interaction energy, residue F2 does not seem to

play a prominent role in the peptide-membrane association (**Figure 6B**), although the F2Y exchange

strongly reduces the membrane-binding affinity of BacA (**Figures 1C, 2C and 3**). The deleterious effect of

this exchange may be explained by the preferential hydration of tyrosine compared to phenylalanine,

which is not reflected in the peptide-membrane interaction energy but in the difference in hydration free-

energy (see above). Furthermore, residues M1 and Y2 in the F2Y peptide are located in closer proximity

to the aqueous phase (see **Figure S4B**), which leads to a substantial decrease in the favorable interaction

energy of the N-terminal NH_3^+-group with PG lipids that is only incompletely compensated by slightly

more favorable interactions with GLY lipids (**Figure 6B**). Together, these results provide a rational basis

for the experimental finding that the F2Y and K4S-K7S variants of BacA have a considerably lower

membrane-binding affinity than the wild-type protein.

The N-terminal membrane-targeting sequence is conserved among bactofilin homologs

The results of the MD simulations confirmed that the short peptide (MFSKQAKS) at the N-terminus of

BacA acts as an MTS. To assess whether this mode of interaction was more widespread among bactofilins,

we set up a bioinformatic pipeline to analyze the conservation of the N-terminal region of bactofilin homo-

logs from a broad range of bacterial lineages (**Figure S5**). To this end, all proteins containing an annotated

bactofilin domain were retrieved from the UniProt database (UniProt Consortium, 2023). After the exclu-

sion of entries from non-bacterial origin, we eliminated all bactofilin homologs containing predicted N-

terminal transmembrane helices as a membrane anchor. These proteins were relatively rare (~3.2%) but

widely distributed across the bacterial phylogeny, with the majority found in the gammaproteobacteria

(**Figure S5**). To account for biases in the coverage of bacterial species, we next filtered out homologs with

highly similar amino acid sequences. For each of the remaining proteins, we then determined the N-

terminal region preceding the bactofilin domain. Based on the length of the MTS identified for BacA, we

eliminated proteins whose predicted N-terminal tails were shorter than this minimal length, potentially

due to misannotation of the translational start sites. The remaining proteins (14337) were then used to

search for conserved N-terminal amino acid motifs.

A global analysis of the entire protein set yielded a conserved motif with the consensus sequence
MFSKKKK, which resembled the MTS identified for *C. crescentus* BacA and contained both the two hydro-
phobic N-terminal residues that insert into the core of the lipid bilayer as well as the two lysin residues
that make electrostatic interactions with the headgroups of PG lipids (**Figure 7**). This result suggests that
the presence of an N-terminal MTS is a common feature of bacterial bactofilin homologs. However, this
global approach might obscure lineage-specific adaptations of the MTS to evolutionary differences in
membrane lipid composition. To address this issue, we conducted an additional analysis in which we sort-
ed the proteins according to their phylogenetic origin before performing a motif search. In doing so, we
focused on the phyla Pseudomonadota, Bacteroidota, Bacillota, Spirochaetota and Thermodesulfobac-
teriota, which contribute the majority of the bactofilin homologs in the UniProt database. This refined
analysis confirmed the presence of conserved N-terminal motifs in each of the five phyla, although there
is variability in the consensus sequences of these motifs both within and between phyla (**Figure S6**). A
comparison of the most abundant motifs (**Figure 7**) revealed that bactofilin homologs from Pseudomona-
dota and Bacillota share highly similar N-terminal sequences, which largely correspond to the global motif
described above. A similar N-terminal motif is also found in most bactofilin homologs from Bacteroidota,
although these proteins often display a negatively rather than a positively charged residue at position 4.
The motifs identified for Spirochaetota and Thermodesulfobacteriota, by contrast, have fundamentally
different consensus sequences, likely reflecting a different mode of membrane binding (**Figures 7 and S6**).
Together, these results strongly suggest that the majority of bactofilin homologs known to date feature
an N-terminal MTS whose composition and mode of action are similar to that of *C. crescentus* BacA.

BacA polymerization promotes client-protein binding

The only client protein of BacA known to date is the cell wall synthase PbpC, a bitopic membrane protein
with a long N-terminal cytoplasmic tail, which was shown to contain the determinants mediating its bacto-
filin-dependent recruitment to the stalked cell pole of *C. crescentus* (Hughes et al., 2013; Kühn et al., 2010)
(**Figure S7A**). To clarify whether the interaction with this membrane-integral client protein contributes to
the association of BacA with the membrane, we compared the localization pattern of BacA-Venus in wild-
type and Δ*pbpC* cells. Importantly, the behavior of the fusion protein was unchanged in the mutant
background, suggesting that PbpC does not have a significant role in BacA polymerization or membrane
binding (**Figure S7B**).

To further clarify the interactions that promote the formation of bactofilin-client complexes at the cyto-
plasmic membrane, we aimed to pinpoint the parts of PbpC that mediate its recruitment to BacA. For this

purpose, we retrieved the amino acid sequences of PbpC homologs and aligned their predicted cytoplas-
mic tails (**Figure S8**). This analysis identified four distinct segments, including a highly conserved peptide
at the N-terminal end of PbpC (region C1), a proline-rich region, another highly conserved peptide (Region
C2) and a region rich in positively charged amino acids immediately adjacent to the transmembrane helix.
To assess the importance of these segments, we generated mVenus-tagged variants of PbpC whose
cytoplasmic tail either lacked region C1 (Δ2-13) or only comprised region C1, with the remaining parts
being replaced by an unstructured region taken from the periplasmic protein DipM of *C. crescentus*
(Izquierdo-Martinez et al., 2023) (**Figure S9**). Microscopic analysis showed that the removal of region C1
resulted in the loss of polar localization, whereas the chimeric variant showed the same localization
pattern as the wild-type fusion protein (**Figure 8A**). Region C1 thus appears to be necessary and sufficient
for the recruitment of PbpC to the cell pole-associated BacA assembly. To verify the ability of region C1 to
directly associate with BacA, we generated a synthetic peptide comprising the N-terminal 13 residues of
PbpC and analyzed its interaction with purified BacA polymers using biolayer interferometry. When
biosensors carrying the immobilized peptide were titrated with increasing concentrations of BacA, we
observed specific binding with an apparent equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of 4.9 μM (**Figures 8B
and S10**). A similar assay with the polymerization-deficient F130R variant, by contrast, only yielded
residual binding with very fast dissociation rates (**Figure 8C**). These results indicate that BacA assembly is
a prerequisite for efficient and stable PbpC binding, likely because the high local accumulation of BacA
within polymers leads to an increase in the avidity for its interaction partner.

Having identified a direct association of BacA with the N-terminal peptide of PbpC, we set out to map the
PbpC-binding site of BacA using hydrogen-deuterium-exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry, a method that
allows the detection of local shifts in the accessibility of backbone amide hydrogens induced by conform-
ational changes and/or ligand binding (Konermann et al., 2011). When analyzed alone, the HDX profile of
BacA showed very fast HDX in the N- and C-terminal regions flanking the bactofilin domain, which indi-
cates a high degree of disorder and thus corroborates the predicted domain structure of BacA (**Figure S11**).
Upon incubation with the PbpC peptide, several peptides in the C-terminal half of the central bactofilin
exhibited a small, yet significant decrease in the HDX rate (**Figure 8C**). The most prominent changes were
observed in a region close to the C-terminal polymerization interface (**Figure 8D**), opening the possibility
that inter-subunit interactions in the bactofilin polymer could potentially induce slight conformational
changes in BacA that promote PbpC binding. Together, these findings show that polymerization is not only
critical for the association of BacA with the cytoplasmic membrane but also for its interaction with the
client protein PbpC.

Discussion

Bactofilins are widespread among bacteria and mediate the spatial organization of diverse cellular pro-
cesses. Although the polymers they form are typically associated with the inner face of the cytoplasmic
membrane, the vast majority of bactofilin homologs lack transmembrane helices, suggesting the existence
of an alternative membrane-targeting mechanism. Consistent with this notion, the membrane-binding
activity of the bactofilin *Th*Bac from *T. thermophilus* has recently been localized to the unstructured N-
terminal tail of the protein, and a sequence alignment based on several well-characterized bactofilin hom-
ologs suggested the existence of a conserved N-terminal motif in this protein region (Deng et al., 2019).
Our work considerably extends these initial findings by determining the precise composition of the N-
terminal MTS, unraveling its mode of action and clarifying its conservation among bacteria. Moreover, we
reveal a mutual interdependence of membrane-binding and bactofilin polymerization and provide first
insights into mode of interaction between the bactofilin scaffold and membrane-associated client proteins.

Mutational analysis of *C. crescentus* BacA identified residues F2 and K4/K7 as key components of the BacA
MTS (**Figure 1B**) . This finding is explained by the results of our MD analysis, which show that the insertion
of F2 into the hydrophobic core of the lipid bilayer (**Figure 5**) is critical to ensure the proper positioning of
the MTS in the membrane, thereby promoting electrostatic interactions of the N-terminal NH_3^+ group with
the negatively charged lipid headgroups of phosphatidylglycerol. The positively charged ε-amino groups
of K4 and K7, by contrast, directly interact with these lipid headgroups, making the largest contribution
to the overall interaction energy (**Figure 6**). Notably, the N-terminal methionine residue of proteins is
usually removed after translation when followed by an amino acid with a short side chain (Hirel et al.,
1989). In the case of BacA, however, the bulky phenylalanine residue at position 2 presumably inhibits
this process, so that residue M1 may be a genuine, conserved part of the MTS. Although M1 interacts
most extensively with the lipid bilayer (**Figure 6**), its absence has only a moderate effect on the mem-
brane-binding activity of BacA *in vitro* (**Figure 3**). This observation supports the idea that most of its
interaction energy is contributed by the N-terminal NH_3^+ group, whereas the insertion of the M1 side chain
into the hydrophobic core of the membrane only serves to tune the positioning of the N-terminus at the
lipid-water interface. Consistent with the results of the MD simulations, the loss of either F2 or K4/K7
drastically impairs the membrane-binding activity of BacA (**Figures 2C and 3**). Moreover, it prevents the
assembly of BacA into distinct higher-order complexes (**Figure 1B**), suggesting a link between BacA mem-
brane association and polymerization. Indeed, a soluble BacA variant lacking the native MTS (Δ2-8) regains
the ability to form polymeric complexes when fused to a heterologous MTS from *E. coli* MreB (**Figure 4C**),

indicating that membrane binding is a prerequisite for efficient BacA polymerization. Conversely, poly-

merization appears to promote membrane binding, because the disruption of the BacA polymerization

interface (F130R) strongly increases the fraction of soluble protein (**Figure 4**). These results point to a

mutually cooperative binding process, whereby membrane association leads to a local increase in the

concentration of BacA that stimulates its self-assembly into polymers and polymerization leads to a juxta-

position of MTS-containing N-terminal tails that increases the avidity of BacA for the membrane, thus

shifting the equilibrium to the membrane-bound state.

Interestingly, substitutions in the MTS that did not completely abolish BacA assembly often led to the

formation of multiple and/or mislocalized complexes (**Figure S2**). The mechanism determining the polar

localization of BacA is still unclear. However, it is conceivable that bactofilin polymers are intrinsically

curved and thus preferentially associate with membrane regions of positive or negative Gaussian curva-

ture (Kühn et al., 2010; Pöhl et al., 2024). Alternatively, the MTS may preferentially bind certain lipid

species that are enriched in the polar regions of the cell, with its modification leading to a change in the

lipid specificity that interferes with this recruitment pathway. Exchanges at less critical positions of the

MTS may affect these recruitment mechanisms by reducing the membrane-binding affinity and thus, in-

directly, also the dimensions of BacA polymers or by altering their lipid specificity.

To assess the conservation of mode of membrane association established for BacA, we conducted a com-

prehensive comparison of the N-terminal regions of all bactofilin homologs known to date. This analysis

revealed that amino acid sequences similar to the MTS of BacA are highly prevalent in a large number of

bactofilins from the phyla Pseudomonadota, Bacteroidota and Bacillota, strongly suggesting that MTS-

mediated membrane binding is a common theme among this group of proteins (**Figure 7**). Notably, how-

ever, the N-terminal motifs identified for bactofilin homologs from Spirochaetota and Thermodesulfo-

bacteriota are fundamentally different from those of the other phyla investigated (**Figures 7 and S6**), sug-

gesting a different mode of membrane binding or an adaptation of the MTS to distinct membrane com-

positions.

Notably, a small subset of bacterial bactofilin homologs contain an N-terminal transmembrane domain,

which mediates their stable insertion into the cytoplasmic membrane. While found in a diversity of bac-

terial phyla, these proteins are particularly abundant in the Pseudomonadota, especially in their gamma-

proteobacterial and alphaproteobacterial lineages (**Figure S5**). One of them, the bactofilin CcmA of *P. mir-*

abilis, has been previously investigated (Hay et al., 1999). It was shown to undergoes proteolytic process-

ing and exist in two forms, a full-length form that is an integral membrane protein and a shorter form that

lacks the N-terminal transmembrane segments. Intriguingly, the shorter form has an N-terminal sequence

(MFSRKTE) that corresponds to the predicted consensus sequence of the MTS in Pseudomonadota and,

indeed, behaves like a typical peripheral membrane protein. The specific functional properties conferred

by these two distinct modes of membrane association are still unclear. However, it is tempting to spec-

ulate that the assembly of MTS-containing bactofilins could be dynamically regulated by cell cycle-

dependent changes in membrane curvature or composition, whereas homologs with transmembrane do-

mains may form static polymeric assemblies. Consistent with this idea, *C. crescentus* BacA only assembles

at the old cell pole once its cell envelope bulges outward to initiate stalk formation, thereby establishing

a region of positive Gaussian curvature, although its cytoplasmic levels remain constant throughout the

cell cycle (Kühn et al., 2010). Similarly, the BacA homolog of the stalked budding bacterium *H. neptunium*

consistently assembles in regions of positive Gaussian curvature, first localizing to the stalked pole and

then, once the terminal segment of the stalk starts to expand into a bud, to the bud neck (Pöhl et al.,

2024).

Instead of using an MTS or a transmembrane domain, some bactofilins may also interact with the mem-

brane indirectly by binding to other membrane-associated proteins, as recently suggested for the bacto-

filin homolog CcmA from *H. pylori* (Sichel et al., 2022). It was therefore conceivable that the recruitment

of its client protein PbpC could contribute to the membrane-binding activity of *C. crescentus* BacA. How-

ever, we did not observe any obvious localization defects in a Δ*pbpC* mutant (**Figure S7A**), suggesting that

client binding is not critical for its proper assembly and positioning.

Notably, the determinants mediating the interaction of membrane-associated bactofilin scaffolds with

their client proteins are still poorly understood. For *M. xanthus* BacP, the binding site for its soluble inter-

actor PadC was shown to be located in the exceptionally long unstructured C-terminal tail, which contains

a conserved KKKVVVKK motif potentially involved in the interaction (Anand et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2017).

However, most bactofilin homologs have much shorter C-terminal tails, which are typically poorly con-

served in sequence and, at least in certain cases, dispensable for function *in vivo* (Sichel et al., 2022),

suggesting a direct interaction of client proteins with the bactofilin core domain. Our results indeed

suggest that the N-terminal unstructured region of PbpC associates with a C-terminal region of the bacto-

filin domain, close to the polymerization interface. Importantly, BacA assembly appears to be critical for

PbpC binding *in vitro* (**Figure 8C**), suggesting that inter-subunit contacts could potentially change the

conformation of BacA such as to increase its affinity for its client protein. Alternatively, polymers may

have a higher avidity for PbpC than BacA monomers. Polymerization thus acts as a trigger for both mem-

brane association and the recruitment of client proteins, ensuring the tight regulation of bactofilin

function.

Collectively, our findings provide important new insights into the function of bactofilins and the nucleo-

tide-independent dynamics of their assembly. It will be interesting to analyze the effect of cell cycle- or

stress-induced changes in membrane composition on the membrane-binding and polymerization be-

havior of MTS-containing bactofilin homologs. Moreover, it will be critical to investigate the mode of

membrane binding for bactofilins with conserved N-terminal sequences that are clearly distinct from the

global consensus motif.

Material and methods

Media and growth conditions

C. crescentus CB15N and its derivates were cultivated aerobically at 28 ºC in PYE rich medium (Poindexter,

1964) or M2G minimal medium (Ely, 1991). When required, media were supplemented with antibiotics at

the following concentrations (mg ml^{-1} in liquid/solid media): kanamycin (5/25 µg/ml), streptomycin (5/5

µg/ml) or gentamicin (0.5/5 µg/ml). *E. coli* strains are grown aerobically at 37 ºC in LB medium containing

antibiotics at the following concentrations (mg ml^{-1} in liquid/solid media): ampicillin (200/200), chloram-

phenicol (20/30), or kanamycin (30/50). To induce gene expression, media were supplemented with 0.005%

or 0.03% D-xylose, 0.001% L-arabinose or 1 mM IPTG when necessary.

Construction of plasmids and strains

436 The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work are described in **Tables S2** and **S3**. The oligonucleo-

437 tides used for their construction are listed in **Table S4**. All plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing. *C.*

438 *crescentus* was transformed by electroporation (Ely, 1991). Non-replicating plasmids were integrated into

439 the chromosome by single homologous recombination at the *xylX* locus (Thanbichler et al., 2007). Proper

440 chromosomal integration was verified by colony PCR.

Live-cell imaging

To prepare samples for microscopy, overnight cultures were diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1 and cultivated for

1 h prior to the addition of 0.005% D-xylose. After a further 1 h (BacA variants) or 2 h (PbpC variants) of

incubation, the cultures were diluted tenfold and samples (1.5 µl) of the suspensions were spotted on 1%

agarose pads prepared with $_{dd}$H$_2$O. Images were taken with an Axio Observer.Z1 microscope (Zeiss,

Germany) equipped with a Plan Apochromat 100x/1.45 Oil DIC, a Plan Apochromat 100x/1.4 Oil Ph3 M27

objective and a pco.edge 4.2 sCMOS camera (PCO, Germany). An X-Cite® 120PC metal halide light source

(EXFO, Canada) and appropriate filter cubes (ET-CFP, ET-YFP or ET-TaxasRed; Chroma, USA) were used for

fluorescence detection. Images were recorded with VisiView 3.3.0.6 (Visitron Systems, Germany) and

processed with Fiji 2.14.0/1.54f (Schindelin et al., 2012) and Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems, USA).

The subcellular distribution of fluorescence signals was analyzed with BacStalk (Hartmann et al., 2020).

Single-particle tracking

For single-particle tracking, cells were cultivated in M2G minimal media at 28 ºC. In the early exponential

growth phase, the expression of genes placed under the control of the *xylX* (P$_{xyl}$) promoter was induced

by the addition of 0.005% D-xylose. After another 3 h of incubation, cells were spotted on coverslips (25

mm diameter; Menzel Gläser, Germany) and covered with 1% agarose pads prepared with $_{dd}H_2O$. All

coverslips were cleaned before use by sonication in 2% (v/v) Hellmanex II solution (Hellma, Germany) for

30 min at 37 ºC, followed by rinsing in distilled water and a second round of sonication in double-distilled

water. Images were taken at 30-ms intervals by slimfield microscopy (Plank et al., 2009), using an Olympus

IX-71 microscope equipped with a UAPON 100x/ NA 1.49 TIRF objective, a back-illuminated electron-

multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) iXon Ultra camera (Andor Solis, USA) in stream acquisition

mode, and a LuxX 457-100 (457 nm, 100 mW) light-emitting diode laser (Omicron-Laserage Laserprodukte

GmbH, Germany) as an excitation light source. The laser beam was focused onto the back focal plane and

operated during image acquisition with up to 2 mW (60 W cm^{-2} at the image plane). Andor Solis 4.21 soft-

ware was used for camera control and stream acquisition. During the first ~500 frames, most fluorescent

proteins in the cell were bleached to reach the single-molecule level. Subsequently, remaining and newly

synthesized molecules were tracked over ~2,500 frames. Prior to analysis, the frames recorded before

reaching the single-molecule level were removed from the streams, using the photobleaching curves as a

reference, and the proper pixel size (100 nm) and time increment were adjusted in the imaging metadata

using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Subsequently, cell meshes were determined using Oufti (Paintdakhi et

al., 2016) and single particles were tracked with u-track 2.2.0 (Jaqaman et al., 2008). After the removal of

all trajectories that were shorter than five steps, the diffusional behavior of the tracked particles was

analyzed using SMTracker 2.0 (Oviedo-Bocanegra et al., 2021).

Cell fractionation

Overnight cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.1 and grown for 2 h prior to the addition of D-xylose to a

final concentration of 0.03%. The cells were further cultivated for 1 h (*bacA-mVenus* alleles) or 2 h (*creS-*

mNeonGreen) to allow the expression of the genes of interest. Subsequently, cells from 5 ml culture were

harvested by centrifugation, washed with 0.2 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and stored at -80 ºC. For further pro-

cessing, the pelleted cells were resuspended in a buffer containing 60 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.2 M sucrose,

0.2 M EDTA, 100 µg ml^{-1} phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and 10 µg ml^{-1} DNase I and lysed by soni-

cation at 30% amplitude for 7.5 min with alternating 10-s on and off phases (Model 120 Sonic Dis-

membrator; Fisher Scientific, USA). The lysates were centrifuged at for 10 min at 4,000 ×g (4 ºC) to remove

incompletely lysed cells, followed by ultracentrifugation for 1 h at 133,000 ×g (4 ºC) to separate the

soluble and membrane fractions. The supernatants were carefully removed, and the pellets were resus-

pended in an equal volume of 0.2 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Both fractions were then subjected to immunoblot

analysis with a polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (Sigma, Germany; Cat. #: G1544; RRID: AB_439690), a

monoclonal anti-mNeonGreen antibody (Chromotek, Germany; Cat. #: 32f6; RRID: AB_2827566), anti-

MipZ antiserum (Thanbichler and Shapiro, 2006), or anti-FlgH antiserum (Mohr et al., 1996) at dilutions of

1:10,000, 1:1,000, 1:10,000 and 1:10,000 respectively. Goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated with

with horseradish peroxidase (Perkin Elmer, USA) or goat anti-mouse immuneglobulin G conjugated with

horseradish peroxidase (Sigma, Germany) were used as secondary antibodies. Immunocomplexes were

detected with the Western Lightning Plus-ECL chemiluminescence reagent (Perkin Elmer, USA). The sig-

nals were recorded with a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad, Germany) and analyzed using Image

Lab software (BioRad, Germany).

Protein purification

To overproduce His_6-SUMO-BacA or mutant variants thereof, *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)/pLysS cells transformed

with the appropriate plasmids were grown overnight at 37 ºC, diluted 100-fold into 3 l of LB medium

containing antibiotics and cultivated to an OD_{600} of ~0.6. Subsequently, the media were supplemented

with IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM, and the cells were incubated for another 4 h before they were

harvested by centrifugation and stored at -80 ºC until further use. To purify the fusion proteins, the

pelleted cells were resuspended in buffer B (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5%

glycerol and 20 mM imidazole) supplemented with 10 µg/ml DNase I and 100 µg/ml PMSF and lysed by

three passages through a French press at 16,000 psi to break cells. The crude cell extract was cleared by

centrifugation for 30 min at 16,000 ×rpm (4 ºC) and loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap™ HP affinity column (GE

Healthcare, USA) equilibrated with buffer B. After washing of the column with 50 ml of buffer B, protein

was eluted with a 50-ml linear gradient of imidazole (20-250 mM imidazole in buffer B). Fractions that

were highly enriched in the protein of interest were supplemented with 200 µg Ulp1-His_6 protease

(Marblestone et al., 2006) and dialyzed overnight at 4 ºC against buffer C (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM

NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 1 mM DTT) to cleave off the His_6-SUMO tag and remove the imidazole.

Subsequently, the protein solution was again applied to a 5 ml HisTrap™ HP affinity column to separate

the untagged BacA protein from His_6-SUMO and Ulp1-His_6. The flow-through fractions were collected and

analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Fractions containing the desired protein in high amount and purity were dialyzed

against buffer D (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol) ($BacA_{\Delta2-8}$) or buffer E

(50 mM MOPS-NaOH pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol) (all other BacA variants). The

protein solutions were then concentrated using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter (10 kDa MWCO) ultra-

filtration devices (Millipore, Germany) and stored in small aliquots at -80 °C.

Preparation of liposomes

Liposomes were generated from 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (16:0-18:1 PG) (10 mg/mL in chloroform; Avanti Polar Lipids, USA). Chloroform was evaporated in a rotatory eva-porator, resulting in a lipid film that was left to dry overnight. Subsequently, the lipids were resuspended in liposome buffer (50 mM MOPS-NaOH pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl), and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h with periodic vigorous agitation. To prepare small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) with an average diameter of 100 nm, the final lipid solution (20 mg ml^{-1}) was extruded at least ten times through a Mini Extruder (Avanti Polar Lipids, USA) equipped with polycarbonate membranes of 0.1 μm pore size, until the solution was clear.

Co-sedimentation assay

Protein (20 μM) was incubated for 20 min at room temperature with or without liposomes (0.4 mg ml^{-1}) in binding buffer (50 mM MOPS-NaOH pH 7.0, 300 mM NaCl) in a total reaction volume of 100 μl. The mixtures were then centrifuged for 20 min at 100,000 ×g (20 °C) in a TLA-55 rotor (Beckman Coulter, Germany). After transfer of the supernatant to a reaction tube and resuspension of the pellet in 100 μl of liposome buffer (50 mM MOPS-NaOH pH 7.0, 200 mM NaCl), samples of the two fractions were mixed with SDS-PAGE sample buffer, heated at 95 °C for 10 min and loaded onto a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. After electrophoresis, protein was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250. The gels were imaged in a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad, Germany) using Image Lab software (BioRad, Germany), and the intensity of protein bands was quantified using Fiji 2.14.0/1.54f (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Bio-layer interferometry

Bio-layer interferometry experiments were conducted using a BLItz system equipped with Octet® High Precision Streptavidin 2.0 (SAX2) Biosensors (Satorius, Germany). In the initial step, an N-terminally bio-tinylated PbpC$_{aa1-13}$ peptide (Biotin-Ahx-MNDWTLPPYKFDD; GenScript, USA) was immobilized on the sensor. After the establishment of a stable baseline, association reactions were monitored with BacA at various concentrations or with different BacA variants of the same concentration. At the end of each bind-ing step, the sensor was transferred into an analyte-free buffer to measure the dissociation kinetics. The extent of non-specific binding was assessed by monitoring the interaction of the analyte with unmodified sensors. All analyses were performed in BLItz binding buffer (50 mM MOPS/NaOH pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 10 μM BSA, 0.01 % Tween)

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry

Samples were prepared using a two-arm robotic autosampler (LEAP technologies, Denmark). 7.5 µl of BacA (25 µM) or a mixture of BacA (25 µM) and PbpC$_{aa1-13}$ (100 µM) were mixed with 67.5 µl of D$_2$O-containing buffer (20 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl) to start the exchange reaction. After 10, 100, 1,000 and 10,000 sec of incubation at 25 °C, 55 µl samples were taken from the reaction and mixed with an equal volume of quench buffer (400 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$/H$_3$PO$_4$, 2 M guanidine-HCl, pH 2.2) kept at 1 °C. 95 µl of the resulting mixture were immediately injected into an ACQUITY UPLC M-class system with HDX technology (Waters™, USA) (Wales et al., 2008). Undeuterated samples of BacA and a mixture of BacA and PbpC$_{aa1-13}$ were prepared similarly by 10-fold dilution into H$_2$O-containing buffer. Proteins were digested online on an Enzymate BEH Pepsin column (300 Å, 5 µm, 2.1 mm × 30 mm; Waters™, USA) at 12 °C with a constant flow (100 µl min^{-1}) of 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in water, and the resulting peptic peptides were collected on a trap column (2 mm × 2 cm) that was filled with POROS 20 R2 material (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and kept at 0.5 °C. After 3 min, the trap column was placed in line with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7 µm 1.0 × 100 mm column (Waters™, USA), and the peptides were eluted at 0.5 °C using a gradient of 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in water (A) and 0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile (B) at a flow rate of 30 µl/min generated as follows: 0-7 min/95-65 % A, 7-8 min/65-15 % A, 8-10 min/15 % A, 10-11 min/5 % A, 11-16 min/95 % A. Peptides were ionized with an electrospray ionization source operated at 250 °C capillary temperature and a spray voltage of 3.0 kV. Mass spectra were acquired over a range of 50 to 2,000 m/z on a G2-Si HDMS mass spectrometer with ion mobility separation (Waters™, USA) in Enhanced High Definition MS (HDMS^E) or High Definition MS (HDMS) mode for undeuterated and deuterated samples, respectively. A [Glu1]-Fibrinopeptide B standard (Waters™, USA) was employed for lock mass correction. After each run, the pepsin column was washed three times with 80 µl of 4 % (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.5 M guanidine hydrochloride, and blanks were performed between each sample. All measurements were carried out in triplicate.

Peptides from the non-deuterated samples (acquired with HDMS^E) were identified with the ProteinLynx Global SERVER (PLGS, Waters™, USA), employing low energy, elevated energy and intensity thresholds of 300, 100 and 1000 counts, respectively. Peptides were matched using a database containing the amino acid sequences of the proteins of interest, pepsin and their reversed sequences. The search parameters were as follows: peptide tolerance = automatic; fragment tolerance = automatic; min fragment ion matches per peptide = 1; min fragment ion matches per protein = 7; min peptide matches per protein = 3; maximum hits to return = 20; maximum protein mass = 250,000; primary digest reagent = non-specific; missed cleavages = 0; false discovery rate = 100. Deuterium incorporation was quantified with DynamX

3.0 (Waters™, USA), using peptides that fulfilled the following criteria: minimum intensity = 5,000 counts;

maximum length = 40 amino acids; minimum number of products = 2; maximum mass error = 25 ppm;

retention time tolerance = 0.5 min. After automated data processing with DynamX, all spectra were manu-

ally inspected and, if necessary, peptides were omitted (e.g. in case of a low signal-to-noise ratio or the

presence of overlapping peptides).

Bioinformatic analysis

Nucleotide and protein sequences were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information

(NCBI) or UniProt (UniProt Consortium, 2023) databases, respectively. Sequences were compared and

analyzed using the blastn, blastp or PSI-blast algorithm as implemented on the NCBI website. The presence

of putative amphipathic helices was assessed using AMPHIPASEEK (Sapay et al., 2006). Multiple sequence

alignments were generated with MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar, 2004) and viewed and edited with Jalview

(Waterhouse et al., 2009).

To analyze bactofilin homologues obtained from UniProt, a Python-based pre-processing procedure was

used, involving the exclusion of non-bacterial entries, the removal of sequences with predicted trans-

membrane helices and the elimination of sequences lacking detailed phylogenetic information. Addition-

ally, highly similar sequences (with over 90% similarity) from the same species were filtered out to correct

biases due to over-sequencing. The N-terminal region of each protein was determined using hmmscan

(HMMER 3.3.2) (Eddy, 2011) with the hidden Markov profile constructed from the seed alignment of the

bactofilin domain downloaded from the Pfam database (Paysan-Lafosse et al., 2022). Subsequently,

sequences with N-terminal regions shorter than 8 amino acids were eliminated. The resulting set of

sequences was categorized by phylum, and MEME (Bailey and Elkan, 1994) was used to detect the 10

most probable motifs. The analysis employed the following settings: classical objective function, with zero

or one occurrence per sequence, motif width ranging from 5 to 50 amino acids, and a 0th-order back-

ground Markov model.

Molecular dynamics simulations

All molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were carried out with Gromacs (version 2021.1) (Abraham et al.,

2015). The simulation systems were set up and prepared for MD simulation with the Charmm-GUI (Jo et

al., 2008; Jo et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2014),using the Charmm36m force field (Huang et al.,

2017) for the peptide and lipids together with the Charmm-specific TIP3P water model. The lipid composi-

tion of the simulated bilayer (**Table S1**) was chosen such that it mimics as closely as possible the *C. cres-*

centus membrane (Chow and Schmidt, 1974; De Siervo and Homola, 1980). Symmetric bilayers with 128

lipids in each monolayer were constructed, that is, the same number and type of lipids was present in the

two leaflets. At the beginning of the simulations, a single bactofilin 10mer peptide (either the wild-type

sequence MFSKQAKSNN, or the K4SK7S or F2Y mutants) were modeled as extended conformations and

placed in the bulk water phase, located at least 3 nm away from the lipid headgroups. The net charge of

the simulation box was neutralized with 150 mM KCl. After energy minimization (with steepest descent),

MD simulations were carried out with periodic boundary conditions in the NpT ensemble at a constant

temperature of 310 K, maintained via the Bussi velocity-rescaling thermostat (Bussi et al., 2007), and con-

stant 1 bar pressure, maintained by semi-isotropic pressure coupling of the lateral (x,y) and normal (z)

dimensions of the simulation box to a weak coupling barostat(Berendsen et al., 1984). Short-range

Coulomb and Lennard-Jones 6,12 interactions were described with a buffered pair list (Páll and Hess, 2013)

with potentials smoothly shifted to zero at a 1.2 nm distance, with forces switched to zero between 1.0

and 1.2 nm. The long-range electrostatic interactions were described with the particle mesh Ewald (PME)

method with 0.12 nm grid spacing (Darden et al., 1993). The LINCS and SETTLE algorithms were used to

constrain all protein bonds involving H-atoms and to keep the water molecules rigid, respectively, allowing

to integrate the equations of motion with 2 fs time steps using the leap-frog integrator. The final produc-

tion MD simulations were 500 ns long.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed in Excel 2019 (Microsoft) or Python 3.10.12 and mainly visualized using the Python

Matplotlib v3.6.2 (Hunter, 2007) and Seaborn v0.12.2 (Waskom, 2021) libraries. The plots obtained were

edited with Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems) to generate the final figures.

Statistics and reproducibility

All experiments were performed at least twice independently with similar results. No data were excluded

from the analyses. To quantify imaging data, multiple images were analyzed per condition. The analyses

included all cells in the images or, in the case of high cell densities, all cells in a square portion of the

images. The selection of the images and fields of cells analyzed was performed randomly.

Availability of biological material

The plasmids and strains used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Data availability

All data generated in this study are included in the manuscript and the supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Rosum for excellent technical assistance and Rogelio Hernandez-Tamayo for initial support

with the single-particle tracking analysis. This work was supported by the University of Marburg (core

funding to M.T.), the Max Planck Society (Max Planck Fellowship to M.T.), the German Research

Foundation (project 450420164 – TH 885/3-1 to M.T. and EXC 2033 – 390677874 – RESOLV to L.V.S.), and

the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant

agreement No 801459 – FP-RESOMUS).

Author contributions

644   Y.L. and M.T. conceived the study. Y.L. performed the cell biological, biochemical and bioinformatic anal-

645   yses. R.K. conducted the MD simulations and analyzed them together with S.M. and L.V.S. W.S. performed

646   the HDX mass spectrometry analysis. Y.L., W.S and M.T. analyzed the experimental data. G.B., L.V.S. and

647   M.T. secured funding and supervised the study. Y.L. and M.T. wrote the paper, with input from all other

648   authors.

Competing interests

649   The author declare no competing interests.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Identification of residues critical for the membrane-binding activity of BacA *in vivo*. (A) Schematic representation of the BacA-mVenus fusion protein used in this study. The proposed membrane-targeting sequence is highlighted in red. The sequence at the botton shows that result of an amphipathic helix prediction for BacA using the AMPHIPASEEK software (Sapay et al., 2006). Residues predicted to be located in an unstructured, randomly coiled region are labeled with "c". **(B)** Localization patterns of mutant BacA-mVenus variants. Δ*bacAB* cells producing BacA-mVenus or mutant variants thereof (LY84, LY89, LY90, LY97, LY111, LY112, LY113, LY119) were analyzed by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. The outlines of the cells are shown in the fluorescence images. Demographs summarizing the single-cell fluorescence profiles obtained from random subpopulations of cells are given next to the respective fluorescence images. The numbers of cells analyzed are: WT (130), Δ2-8 (292), F130R (156), F2Y (138), F2E (194), K4S-K7S (151), K4E-K7E (382), F2E-K4E-K7E (130). The vertical red line indicates the junction between cell body and the stalk. Scale bar: 1 μm. **(C)** Helical wheel diagram of the first eight amino acids of BacA. Residues are colored by properties: hydrophobic (gray), basic (blue), uncharged (yellow).

Figure 2. Verification of residues M1, F2 and K4/K7 as critical components of the BacA MTS. (A) Mobility of the indicated BacA-mVenus fusion proteins. Shown is the average mean-squared displacement (MSD) (± SD) as a function of time, based on single-particle tracking analysis. **(B)** Cell fractionation experiment investigating the membrane-binding activity of BacA-mVenus (WT) or a mutant variant lacking the predicted MTS (Δ2-8). Whole-cell lysates (W) as well as the soluble (S) and pellet (P) fractions of cells producing the indicated proteins were subjected to immunoblot analysis with an anti-GFP antibody, detecting the BacA-mVenus fusion protein. As controls, the same samples were probed with antibodies raised against the soluble cell division regulator MipZ (Thanbichler and Shapiro, 2006) or the membrane-bound flagellar L-ring subunit FlgH (Mohr et al., 1996) from *C. crescentus*. **(C)** As in panel C, but for cells producing mutant BacA-mVenus variants with single or multiple amino-acid exchanges in the predicted MTS. Shown are representative images (n=3 independent replicates). The strains used are given in the legend to Figure 1B.

Figure 3. Co-sedimentation analysis of the association of various BacA variants with liposomes. The indicated proteins (20 μM) were incubated without (-) or with (+) liposomes (0.4 mg/mL) prior to ultra-centrifugation. The supernatant (S) and pellet (P) fractions of each mixture were analyzed by SDS gel electrophoresis. Shown are scans of representative gels and a quantification of the average amount of protein (± SD) contained in the different fractions (n=3 independent replicates).

Figure 4. Interdependence of BacA polymerization and membrane binding. (A) Cell fractionation experiment investigating the membrane-binding activity of the polymerization-deficient F130R variant of BacA-mVenus *in vivo* (LY119). The analysis was performed as described for **Figure 2B**. **(B)** Co-sedimentation analysis of the association of BacA-F130R with liposomes *in vitro*, performed as described for **Figure 3**. **(C)** Role of polymerization in the membrane association of a BacA-mVenus variant carrying the membrane-targeting sequence of *E. coli* MreB. Shown are phase contrast and fluorescence images of Δ*bacAB* mutants (LY103, LY123) producing either a BacA-mVenus variant in which the MTS is replaced by two tandem copies of the N-terminal amphiphilic helix of *E. coli* MreB (^{MreB}WT) or a polymerization-deficient variant thereof (^{MreB}F130A). Demographs summarizing the single-cell fluorescence profiles obtained from random subpopulations of cells are given next to the respective fluorescence images. The numbers of cells analyzed are: ^{MreB}WT (126), ^{MreB}F130A (169). The vertical red line indicates the junction between cell body and the stalk. Scale bar: 1 µm. **(D)** Mobility of the indicated BacA-mVenus fusion proteins. Shown is the average mean-squared displacement (MSD) (± SD) as a function of time, based on single-particle tracking analysis. **(E)** Cell fractionation experiment investigating the membrane-binding activity of ^{MreB}BacA-mVenus (^{MreB}WT) and its polymerization-deficient F130R variant (^{MreB}F130R) *in vivo* (LY103, LY123). The analysis was performed as described for **Figure 2B.**

Figure 5. Molecular dynamics simulation of the interaction between the BacA MTS and a model membrane. (A) Snapshot of the molecular dynamics (MD) simulation system showing the 10-mer peptide MFSKQAKSNN (BacA$_{1-10}$; red) after binding to the lipid bilayer. The water is shown in surface representation. K$^+$ and Cl$^-$ counterions are not shown. **(B)** Close-up view of a representative snapshot from the MD simulation visualizing the binding mode of the peptide on the membrane surface. **(C)** Structural overlay of 40 snapshots from the MD simulation, taken after constant time intervals from the trajectory. **(D)** Density profiles of individual residues in the wild-type peptide along the membrane normal, i.e. the z-component of the distance vector from the center-of-mass (COM) of the bilayer, with the membrane midplane located at zero. The vertical dashed black line indicates the maximum of the density distribution of the lipid headgroup phosphates.

Figure 6. Contact numbers and interaction energies for different peptide-lipid bilayer interactions. (A) The graph shows the total number of contacts between individual residues in the wild-type, K4S-K7S and F2Y peptides and the lipid bilayer as well as the number of contacts with PG lipids and GLY lipids. A contact between a peptide residue and a lipid was defined to exist if any two non-hydrogen atoms of the residue and a lipid molecule were within a distance of 0.5 nm to each other. Contacts were counted for each

frame of the MD trajectories and averaged. Multiple contacts between a peptide and a lipid molecule were treated as a single contact, so that the number of contacts counted was either 1 or 0. The statistical errors plotted were obtained from the difference between the two different sets of 500-ns simulations, starting with peptides in an unfolded or α-helical conformation, respectively. **(B)** Energies of the inter-actions between individual residues in the wild-type, K4S-K7S and F2Y peptides and the lipid bilayer. The interaction energies plotted are the combined interaction energies of all Coulomb and van-der-Waals interactions in the force field averaged over the simulation trajectories.

Figure 7. Conservation of the N-terminal regions of bactofilin homologs in different bacterial phyla. The pie chart in the middle shows the relative distribution of the 14337 unique bactofilin homologs analyzed among the indicated bacterial phyla. The sequence logos give the the most widespread N-terminal motifs obtained either by a global analysis of all 14337 bactofilin sequences (global consensus) or by an analysis of subsets of these sequences from specific phyla.

Figure 8. Interaction of BacA with its client protein PbpC. (A) Localization patterns of different PbpC variants. Δ*bacB* Δ*pbpC* cells producing mVenus-PbpC (LY75) or mutant variants thereof lacking region C1 (LY76) or carrying an unstructured region from *C. crescentus* DipM in place of the unstructured region connecting region C1 and the transmembrane helix (LY77) were analyzed by phase contrast and fluores-cence microscopy. The outlines of the cells are shown in the fluorescence images. Demographs summariz-ing the single-cell fluorescence profiles obtained from random subpopulations of cells are given next to the respective fluorescence images. The numbers of cells analyzed are: LY75 (158), LY76 (253), LY77 (119). Scale bar: 1 µm. **(B)** Biolayer interferometric analysis of the interaction between $PbpC_{1-13aa}$ and BacA. A synthetic peptide comprising the first 13 amino acids of PbpC ($PbpC_{aa1-13}$) was immobilized on a biosensor and probed with increasing concentrations of BacA. After the association step, the sensor was transferred to a protein-free buffer to monitor the dissociation reaction. The graph shows a representative titration series (n=3 independent replicates). **(C)** Comparison of the interaction of $PbpC_{aa1-13}$ with BacA and its polymerization deficient F130R variant, performed as described in panel B. **(D)** Mapping of the PbpC binding site on BacA by hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry. The plots show the extent of deuterium uptake by three representative peptides obtained after tryptic digestion of BacA protein (2.5 µM) that had been incubated in the absence or presence of $PbpC_{aa1-13}$ peptide (10 µM) for the indicated time periods (see **Data S1** for the full set of peptides). **(E)** Mapping of the the differences in deuterium uptake observed at t=1000 s onto the solid-state NMR structure of BacA (Shi et al., 2015).

References

Abraham, MJ, Murtola, T, Schulz, R, Páll, S, Smith, JC, Hess, B, Lindahl, E (2015). GROMACS: High
performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers.
SoftwareX **1-2**:19-25.

Anand, D, Schumacher, D, Søgaard-Andersen, L (2020). SMC and the bactofilin/PadC scaffold have distinct
yet redundant functions in chromosome segregation and organization in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Mol*
Microbiol **114**:839-856.

Ausmees, N, Kuhn, JR, Jacobs-Wagner, C (2003). The bacterial cytoskeleton: an intermediate filament-like
function in cell shape. *Cell* **115**:705-713.

Bailey, TL, Elkan, C (1994). Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in
biopolymers. *Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol* **2**:28-36.

Berendsen, HJC, Postma, JPM, van Gunsteren, WF, DiNola, A, Haak, JR (1984). Molecular dynamics with
coupling to an external bath. *J Chem Phys* **81**:3684–3690.

Billini, M, Biboy, J, Kuhn, J, Vollmer, W, Thanbichler, M (2019). A specialized MreB-dependent cell wall
biosynthetic complex mediates the formation of stalk-specific peptidoglycan in *Caulobacter crescentus*.
PLoS Genet **15**:e1007897.

Brockett, MR, Lee, J, Cox, JV, Liechti, GW, Ouellette, SP (2021). A dynamic, ring-forming bactofilin critical
for maintaining cell size in the obligate intracellular bacterium *Chlamydia trachomatis*. *Infect Immun*
89:e0020321.

Bussi, G, Donadio, D, Parrinello, M (2007). Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *J Chem Phys*
126:014101.

Cabeen, MT, Jacobs-Wagner, C (2010). The bacterial cytoskeleton. *Annu Rev Genet* **44**:365-392.

Caccamo, PD, Jacq, M, VanNieuwenhze, MS, Brun, YV (2020). A division of labor in the recruitment and
topological organization of a bacterial morphogenic complex. *Curr Biol* **30**:3908-3922.

Chow, TC, Schmidt, JM (1974). Fatty acid composition of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Gen Microbiol* **83**:369-
373.

UniProt Consortium (2023). UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res*
51:D523-D531.

Contreras, I, Shapiro, L, Henry, S (1978). Membrane phospholipid composition of *Caulobacter crescentus*.
J Bacteriol **135**:1130-1136.

Darden, T, York, D, Pedersen, L (1993). Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for Ewald sums in large
systems. *J Chem Phys* **98**:10089–10092.

De Siervo, AJ, Homola, AD (1980). Analysis of *Caulobacter crescentus* lipids. *J Bacteriol* **143**:1215-1222.

Deng, X, Gonzalez Llamazares, A, Wagstaff, JM, Hale, VL, Cannone, G, McLaughlin, SH, Kureisaite-Ciziene,
D, Löwe, J (2019). The structure of bactofilin filaments reveals their mode of membrane binding and lack
of polarity. *Nat Microbiol* **4**:2357-2368.

Eddy, SR (2011). Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**:e1002195.

Edgar, RC (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic*
Acids Res **32**:1792-1797.

El Andari, J, Altegoer, F, Bange, G, Graumann, PL (2015). *Bacillus subtilis* bactofilins are essential for
flagellar hook- and filament assembly and dynamically localize into structures of less than 100 nm
diameter underneath the cell membrane. *PLoS One* **10**:e0141546.

Ely, B (1991). Genetics of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Methods Enzymol* **204**:372-384.

Frirdich, E, Vermeulen, J, Biboy, J, Vollmer, W, Gaynor, EC (2023). Multiple *Campylobacter jejuni* proteins
affecting the peptidoglycan structure and the degree of helical cell curvature. *Front Microbiol* **14**:1162806.

Hartmann, R, van Teeseling, MCF, Thanbichler, M, Drescher, K (2020). BacStalk: A comprehensive and
interactive image analysis software tool for bacterial cell biology. *Mol Microbiol* **114**:140-150.

Hay, NA, Tipper, DJ, Gygi, D, Hughes, C (1999). A novel membrane protein influencing cell shape and
multicellular swarming of *Proteus mirabilis*. *J Bacteriol* **181**:2008-2016.

Hirel, PH, Schmitter, MJ, Dessen, P, Fayat, G, Blanquet, S (1989). Extent of N-terminal methionine excision
from *Escherichia coli* proteins is governed by the side-chain length of the penultimate amino acid. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **86**:8247-8251.

Holtrup, S, Heimerl, T, Linne, U, Altegoer, F, Noll, F, Waidner, B (2019). Biochemical characterization of
the *Helicobacter pylori* bactofilin-homolog HP1542. *PLoS One* **14**:e0218474.

Huang, J, Rauscher, S, Nawrocki, G, Ran, T, Feig, M, de Groot, BL, Grubmuller, H, MacKerell, AD, Jr. (2017).
CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nat Methods*
14:71-73.

Hughes, HV, Lisher, JP, Hardy, GG, Kysela, DT, Arnold, RJ, Giedroc, DP, Brun, YV (2013). Co-ordinate
synthesis and protein localization in a bacterial organelle by the action of a penicillin-binding-protein. *Mol*
Microbiol **90**:1162-1177.

Hunter, JD (2007). Matplotlib: a 2D graphics environment. *Comput Sci Eng* **9**:90-95.

Izquierdo-Martinez, A, Billini, M, Miguel-Ruano, V, Hernandez-Tamayo, R, Richter, P, Biboy, J, Batuecas,
MT, Glatter, T, Vollmer, W, Graumann, PL*, et al.* (2023). DipM controls multiple autolysins and mediates
a regulatory feedback loop promoting cell constriction in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nat Commun* **14**:4095.

Jackson, KM, Schwartz, C, Wachter, J, Rosa, PA, Stewart, PE (2018). A widely conserved bacterial
cytoskeletal component influences unique helical shape and motility of the spirochete *Leptospira biflexa*.
Mol Microbiol **108**:77-89.

Jaqaman, K, Loerke, D, Mettlen, M, Kuwata, H, Grinstein, S, Schmid, SL, Danuser, G (2008). Robust single-
particle tracking in live-cell time-lapse sequences. *Nat Methods* **5**:695-702.

Jo, S, Kim, T, Iyer, VG, Im, W (2008). CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *J*
Comput Chem **29**:1859-1865.

Jo, S, Lim, JB, Klauda, JB, Im, W (2009). CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder for mixed bilayers and its
application to yeast membranes. *Biophys J* **97**:50-58.

Jones, LJ, Carballido-Lopez, R, Errington, J (2001). Control of cell shape in bacteria: helical, actin-like
filaments in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Cell* **104**:913-922.

Kassem, MM, Wang, Y, Boomsma, W, Lindorff-Larsen, K (2016). Structure of the bacterial cytoskeleton
protein bactofilin by NMR chemical shifts and sequence variation. *Biophys J* **110**:2342-2348.

Koch, MK, McHugh, CA, Hoiczyk, E (2011). BacM, an N-terminally processed bactofilin of *Myxococcus*
xanthus, is crucial for proper cell shape. *Mol Microbiol* **80**:1031-1051.

Konermann, L, Pan, J, Liu, YH (2011). Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry for studying protein structure
and dynamics. *Chem Soc Rev* **40**:1224-1234.

Kühn, J, Briegel, A, Mörschel, E, Kahnt, J, Leser, K, Wick, S, Jensen, GJ, Thanbichler, M (2010). Bactofilins,
a ubiquitous class of cytoskeletal proteins mediating polar localization of a cell wall synthase in
Caulobacter crescentus. *EMBO J* **29**:327-339.

Lee, J, Cheng, X, Swails, JM, Yeom, MS, Eastman, PK, Lemkul, JA, Wei, S, Buckner, J, Jeong, JC, Qi, Y, *et al.*
(2016). CHARMM-GUI input generator for NAMD, GROMACS, AMBER, OpenMM, and CHARMM/OpenMM
simulations using the CHARMM36 additive force field. *J Chem Theory Comput* **12**:405-413.

Lee, J, Cox, JV, Ouellette, SP (2023). The unique N-terminal domain of chlamydial bactofilin mediates its
membrane localization and ring-forming properties. *J Bacteriol* **205**:e0009223.

Lin, L, Osorio Valeriano, M, Harms, A, Søgaard-Andersen, L, Thanbichler, M (2017). Bactofilin-mediated
organization of the ParAB*S* chromosome segregation system in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Nat Commun* **8**:1817.

Liu, Y, van den Ent, F, Löwe, J (2024). Filament structure and subcellular organization of the bacterial
intermediate filament-like protein crescentin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**:e2309984121.

Löwe, J, Amos, LA (1998). Crystal structure of the bacterial cell-division protein FtsZ. *Nature* **391**:203-206.

Marblestone, JG, Edavettal, SC, Lim, Y, Lim, P, Zuo, X, Butt, TR (2006). Comparison of SUMO fusion
technology with traditional gene fusion systems: enhanced expression and solubility with SUMO. *Protein*
Sci **15**:182-189.

McQuillen, R, Xiao, J (2020). Insights into the structure, function, and dynamics of the bacterial cytokinetic
FtsZ-ring. *Annu Rev Biophys* **49**:309-341.

Mohr, CD, Jenal, U, Shapiro, L (1996). Flagellar assembly in *Caulobacter crescentus*: a basal body P-ring
null mutation affects stability of the L-ring protein. *J Bacteriol* **178**:675-682.

Mukherjee, A, Dai, K, Lutkenhaus, J (1993). *Escherichia coli* cell division protein FtsZ is a guanine nucleotide
binding protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **90**:1053-1057.

Oviedo-Bocanegra, LM, Hinrichs, R, Rotter, DAO, Dersch, S, Graumann, PL (2021). Single molecule/particle
tracking analysis program SMTracker 2.0 reveals different dynamics of proteins within the RNA degrado-
some complex in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**:e112.

Páll, S, Hess, B (2013). A flexible algorithm for calculating pair interactions on SIMD architectures. *Comput*
Phys Commun **184**:2641-2650.

Paysan-Lafosse, T, Blum, M, Chuguransky, S, Grego, T, Pinto, BL, Salazar, GA, Bileschi, ML, Bork, P, Bridge,
A, Colwell, L, *et al.* (2022). InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**:D418-D427.

Pichoff, S, Lutkenhaus, J (2005). Tethering the Z ring to the membrane through a conserved membrane
targeting sequence in FtsA. *Mol Microbiol* **55**:1722-1734.

Plank, M, Wadhams, GH, Leake, MC (2009). Millisecond timescale slimfield imaging and automated
quantification of single fluorescent protein molecules for use in probing complex biological processes.
Integr Biol (Camb) **1**:602-612.

Pöhl, S, Osorio-Valeriano, M, Cserti, E, Harberding, J, Hernandez-Tamayo, R, Biboy, J, Sobetzko, P, Vollmer,
W, Graumann, PL, Thanbichler, M (2024). A dynamic bactofilin cytoskeleton cooperates with an M23
endopeptidase to control bacterial morphogenesis. *Elife* **12**:RP86577.

Poindexter, JS (1964). Biological properties and classification of the *Caulobacter* group. *Bacteriol Rev*
28:231-295.

Richter, P, Melzer, B, Müller, FD (2023). Interacting bactofilins impact cell shape of the MreB-less
multicellular *Rhodomicrobium vannielii*. *PLoS Genet* **19**:e1010788.

Rohs, PDA, Bernhardt, TG (2021). Growth and Division of the peptidoglycan matrix. *Annu Rev Microbiol*
75:315-336.

Salje, J, van den Ent, F, de Boer, P, Löwe, J (2011). Direct membrane binding by bacterial actin MreB. *Mol*
Cell **43**:478-487.

Sapay, N, Guermeur, Y, Deleage, G (2006). Prediction of amphipathic in-plane membrane anchors in
monotopic proteins using a SVM classifier. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**:255.

Schindelin, J, Arganda-Carreras, I, Frise, E, Kaynig, V, Longair, M, Pietzsch, T, Preibisch, S, Rueden, C,
Saalfeld, S, Schmid, B*, et al.* (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*
Methods **9**:676-682.

Shi, C, Fricke, P, Lin, L, Chevelkov, V, Wegstroth, M, Giller, K, Becker, S, Thanbichler, M, Lange, A (2015).
Atomic-resolution structure of cytoskeletal bactofilin by solid-state NMR. *Sci Adv* **1**:e1501087.

Sichel, SR, Bratton, BP, Salama, NR (2022). Distinct regions of *H. pylori*'s bactofilin CcmA regulate protein-
protein interactions to control helical cell shape. *Elife* **11**:e80111.

Stankeviciute, G, Guan, Z, Goldfine, H, Klein, EA (2019). *Caulobacter crescentus* adapts to phosphate
starvation by synthesizing anionic glycoglycerolipids and a novel glycosphingolipid. *mBio* **10**:e00107-
00119.

Sycuro, LK, Pincus, Z, Gutierrez, KD, Biboy, J, Stern, CA, Vollmer, W, Salama, NR (2010). Peptidoglycan
crosslinking relaxation promotes *Helicobacter pylori*'s helical shape and stomach colonization. *Cell*
141:822-833.

Thanbichler, M, Iniesta, AA, Shapiro, L (2007). A comprehensive set of plasmids for vanillate- and xylose-
inducible gene expression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **35**:e137.

Thanbichler, M, Shapiro, L (2006). MipZ, a spatial regulator coordinating chromosome segregation with
cell division in *Caulobacter*. *Cell* **126**:147-162.

van den Ent, F, Amos, LA, Löwe, J (2001). Prokaryotic origin of the actin cytoskeleton. *Nature* **413**:39-44.

Vasa, S, Lin, L, Shi, C, Habenstein, B, Riedel, D, Kühn, J, Thanbichler, M, Lange, A (2015). β-Helical
architecture of cytoskeletal bactofilin filaments revealed by solid-state NMR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
112:E127-136.

Wagstaff, J, Löwe, J (2018). Prokaryotic cytoskeletons: protein filaments organizing small cells. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **16**:187-201.

Wales, TE, Fadgen, KE, Gerhardt, GC, Engen, JR (2008). High-speed and high-resolution UPLC separation
at zero degrees Celsius. *Anal Chem* **80**:6815-6820.

Waskom, ML (2021). seaborn: statistical data visualization. *J Open Source Softw* **6**:3021.

Waterhouse, AM, Procter, JB, Martin, DM, Clamp, M, Barton, GJ (2009). Jalview Version 2 – a multiple
sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**:1189-1191.

Wolfenden, R, Andersson, L, Cullis, PM, Southgate, CC (1981). Affinities of amino acid side chains for
solvent water. *Biochemistry* **20**:849-855.

Wu, EL, Cheng, X, Jo, S, Rui, H, Song, KC, Davila-Contreras, EM, Qi, Y, Lee, J, Monje-Galvan, V, Venable, RM*,
et al.* (2014). CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder toward realistic biological membrane simulations. *J*
Comput Chem **35**:1997-2004.

Zuckerman, DM, Boucher, LE, Xie, K, Engelhardt, H, Bosch, J, Hoiczyk, E (2015). The bactofilin cytoskeleton
protein BacM of *Myxococcus xanthus* forms an extended β-sheet structure likely mediated by
hydrophobic interactions. *PLoS One* **10**:e0121074.

Figures

Figure 1. Identification of residues critical for the membrane-binding activity of BacA *in vivo*. (A) Schematic representation of the BacA-mVenus fusion protein used in this study. The proposed membrane-targeting sequence is highlighted in red. The sequence at the botton shows that result of an amphipathic helix prediction for BacA using the AMPHIPASEEK software (Sapay et al., 2006). Residues predicted to be located in an unstructured, randomly coiled region are labeled with "c". **(B)** Localization patterns of mutant BacA-mVenus variants. Δ*bacAB* cells producing BacA-mVenus or mutant variants thereof (LY84, LY89, LY90, LY97, LY111, LY112, LY113, LY119) were analyzed by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. The outlines of the cells are shown in the fluorescence images. Demographs summarizing the single-cell fluorescence profiles obtained from random sub-populations of cells are given next to the respective fluorescence images. The numbers of cells analyzed are: WT (130), Δ2-8 (292), F130R (156), F2Y (138), F2E (194), K4S-K7S (151), K4E-K7E (382), F2E-K4E-K7E (130). The vertical red line indicates the junction between cell body and the stalk. Scale bar: 1 μm. **(C)** Helical wheel diagram of the first eight amino acids of BacA. Residues are colored by properties: hydrophobic (gray), basic (blue), uncharged (yellow).

Figure 3. Co-sedimentation analysis of the association of various BacA variants with liposomes. The indicated proteins (20 µM) were incubated without (-) or with (+) liposomes (0.4 mg/mL) prior to ultracentrifugation. The supernatant (S) and pellet (P) fractions of each mixture were analyzed by SDS gel electrophoresis. Shown are scans of representative gels and a quantification of the average amount of protein (± SD) contained in the different fractions (n=3 independent replicates).

Figure 4. **Interdependence of BacA polymerization and membrane binding. (A)** Cell fractionation experiment investigating the membrane-binding activity of the polymerization-deficient F130R variant of BacA-mVenus *in vivo* (LY119). The analysis was performed as described for **Figure 2B**. **(B)** Co-sedimentation analysis of the association of BacA-F130R with liposomes *in vitro*, performed as described for **Figure 3**. **(C)** Role of polymerization in the membrane association of a BacA-mVenus variant carrying the membrane-targeting sequence of *E. coli* MreB. Shown are phase contrast and fluorescence images of Δ*bacAB* mutants (LY103, LY123) producing either a BacA-mVenus variant in which the MTS is replaced by two tandem copies of the N-terminal amphiphilic helix of *E. coli* MreB (MreBWT) or a polymerization-deficient variant thereof (MreBF130A). Demographs summarizing the single-cell fluorescence profiles obtained from random subpopulations of cells are given next to the respective fluorescence images. The numbers of cells analyzed are: MreBWT (126), MreBF130A (169). The vertical red line indicates the junction between cell body and the stalk. Scale bar: 1 μm. **(D)** Mobility of the indicated BacA-mVenus fusion proteins. Shown is the average mean-squared displacement (MSD) (± SD) as a function of time, based on single-particle tracking analysis. **(E)** Cell fractionation experiment investigating the membrane-binding activity of MreBBacA-mVenus (MreBWT) and its polymerization-deficient F130R variant (MreBF130R) *in vivo* (LY103, LY123). The analysis was performed as described for **Figure 2B.**

Figure 5. Molecular dynamics simulation of the interaction between the BacA MTS and a model membrane. (A) Snapshot of the molecular dynamics (MD) simulation system showing the 10-mer peptide MFSKQAKSNN (BacA$_{1-10}$; red) after binding to the lipid bilayer. The water is shown in surface representation. K$^+$ and Cl$^-$ counterions are not shown. **(B)** Close-up view of a representative snapshot from the MD simulation visualizing the binding mode of the peptide on the membrane surface. **(C)** Structural overlay of 40 snapshots from the MD simulation, taken after constant time intervals from the trajectory. **(D)** Density profiles of individual residues in the wild-type peptide along the membrane normal, i.e. the z-component of the distance vector from the center-of-mass (COM) of the bilayer, with the membrane midplane located at zero. The vertical dashed black line indicates the maximum of the density distribution of the lipid headgroup phosphates.

 Figure 6. **Contact numbers and interaction energies for different peptide-lipid bilayer interactions. (A)** The graph shows the
total number of contacts between individual residues in the wild-type, K4S-K7S and F2Y peptides and the lipid bilayer as well as
the number of contacts with PG lipids and GLY lipids. A contact between a peptide residue and a lipid was defined to exist if any
two non-hydrogen atoms of the residue and a lipid molecule were within a distance of 0.5 nm to each other. Contacts were
counted for each frame of the MD trajectories and averaged. Multiple contacts between a peptide and a lipid molecule were
treated as a single contact, so that the number of contacts counted was either 1 or 0. The statistical errors plotted were obtained
from the difference between the two different sets of 500-ns simulations, starting with peptides in an unfolded or α-helical
conformation, respectively. **(B)** Energies of the interactions between individual residues in the wild-type, K4S-K7S and F2Y
peptides and the lipid bilayer. The interaction energies plotted are the combined interaction energies of all Coulomb and van-
der-Waals interactions in the force field averaged over the simulation trajectories.

961 **Figure 7. Conservation of the N-terminal regions of bactofilin homologs in different bacterial phyla.** The pie chart in the middle
962 shows the relative distribution of the 14337 unique bactofilin homologs analyzed among the indicated bacterial phyla. The se-
963 quence logos give the the most widespread N-terminal motifs obtained either by a global analysis of all 14337 bactofilin
964 sequences (global consensus) or by an analysis of subsets of these sequences from specific phyla.

Figure 8. Interaction of BacA with its client protein PbpC. (A) Localization patterns of different PbpC variants. Δ*bacB* Δ*pbpC* cells producing mVenus-PbpC (LY75) or mutant variants thereof lacking region C1 (LY76) or carrying an unstructured region from *C. crescentus* DipM in place of the unstructured region connecting region C1 and the transmembrane helix (LY77) were analyzed by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy. The outlines of the cells are shown in the fluorescence images. Demographs summarizing the single-cell fluorescence profiles obtained from random subpopulations of cells are given next to the respective fluorescence images. The numbers of cells analyzed are: LY75 (158), LY76 (253), LY77 (119). Scale bar: 1 μm. **(B)** Biolayer interferometric analysis of the interaction between PbpC$_{1-13aa}$ and BacA. A synthetic peptide comprising the first 13 amino acids of PbpC (PbpC$_{aa1-13}$) was immobilized on a biosensor and probed with increasing concentrations of BacA. After the association step, the sensor was transferred to a protein-free buffer to monitor the dissociation reaction. The graph shows a representative titration series (n=3 independent replicates). **(C)** Comparison of the interaction of PbpC$_{aa1-13}$ with BacA and its polymerization deficient F130R variant, performed as described in panel B. **(D)** Mapping of the PbpC binding site on BacA by hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX) mass spectrometry. The plots show the extent of deuterium uptake by three representative peptides obtained after tryptic digestion of BacA protein (2.5 μM) that had been incubated in the absence or presence of PbpC$_{aa1-13}$ peptide (10 μM) for the indicated time periods (see **Data S1** for the full set of peptides). **(E)** Mapping of the the differences in deuterium uptake observed at t=1000 s onto the solid-state NMR structure of BacA (Shi et al., 2015).