Ultrastructural sublaminar-specific diversity of excitatory synaptic boutons

in layer 1 of the adult human temporal lobe neocortex

Astrid Rollenhagen[1][*], Akram Sadeghi[1][*][†], Bernd Walkenfort[2], Claus C. Hilgetag[3],

Kurt Sätzler[4], Joachim HR Lübke[1,5,6]

[1]Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine INM-10, Research Centre Jülich GmbH, Leo-Brandt Str., 52425

Jülich, Germany; [2]Medical Research Centre, IMCES Electron Microscopy Unit (EMU), University Hospital

Essen, Hufelandstr.11, 45122 Essen, Germany; [3]University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Center for

Experimental Medicine, Institute for Computational Neuroscience, Martinistraße 52, 20246 Hamburg,

Germany, [4]School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Ulster, Cromore Rd., BT52 1SA, Londonderry,

UK; [5]Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Medical Faculty/RWTH University

Hospital Aachen, Pauwelsstr. 30, 52074 Aachen, Germany; [6]JARA Translational Brain Medicine, Germany

*both authors have equally contributed to the study

[†]**Present address:** Research Group Anatomy, School for Medicine and Health Science, Carl von

Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, Ammerländer Heerstraße 114-118, 26129 Oldenburg, Germany

25 **Address correspondence to:**   Joachim Lübke
26   Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine INM-10
27   Research Centre Jülich GmbH
28   52425 Jülich
29   Phone: +49-2461-612288
30   Fax: +49-2461-611778
31   E-mail: j.luebke@fz-juelich.de
32   Orchid ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4086-3199

Abstract

Layer (L)1, beside receiving massive cortico-cortical, commissural and associational projections, is the

termination zone of tufted dendrites of pyramidal neurons and the area of Ca^{2+} spike initiation. However,

its synaptic organization in humans is not known. Quantitative 3D-models of excitatory synaptic boutons

(SBs) in layer 1 of the human temporal lobe neocortex were generated from non-affected neocortical biopsy

tissue using transmission electron microscopy, 3D-volume reconstructions and TEM tomography.

Particularly, the size of active zones (AZs) and the readily releasable, recycling and resting pool of synaptic

vesicles (SVs) were quantified.

The majority of excitatory SBs contained numerous mitochondria comprising ~7% of the total volume,

had a large macular, non-perforated AZ (~0.20 μm^2) and were predominantly located on dendritic spines.

Excitatory SBs had a total pool of ~3500 SVs, a comparably large readily releasable (~4 SVs), recycling

(~470 SVs) and resting (~2900 SVs) pool. Astrocytic coverage of excitatory SBs suggests both synaptic

cross talk or removal of spilled glutamate by astrocytic processes at synaptic complexes.

The structural composition of SBs in L1 may underlie the function of L1 networks that mediate, integrate

and synchronize contextual and cross-modal information, enabling flexible and state-dependent processing

of feedforward sensory inputs from other layers of the cortical column.

Key words: human neocortex, layer 1, synaptic complexes, quantitative 3D-models of excitatory synaptic

boutons, astrocytic coverage, electron microscopy, TEM tomography.

Introduction

The mammalian neocortex is the most complex part of the brain comprising over 75% of the gray matter. One fundamental feature established during development is the formation of distinct layers with different networks of neurons, and its organization into vertically oriented slabs, so-called cortical columns (Marin-Padilla 1978, 1998; reviewed by Rockland and DeFelipe 2018). This organization is established in an 'inside layers first-outside layers last' fashion (Luskin and Shatz 1985a, b; reviewed by Cooper et al. 2008). The exception, beside layer (L)6 is L1 generated first originating from the marginal zone (Marin Padilla 1998; reviewed by Bystron et al. 2008). Ongoing cortico- and synaptogenesis finally lead to the formation of the adult cortical network with its unique structural and functional properties (reviewed by Lübke and Feldmeyer 2007; Rockland and DeFelipe 2018).

Remarkably, L1 is highly conserved across cortical areas and higher mammalian species, but its importance was long underestimated. However, L1 is now considered as the predominant input layer for top-down information, relayed by a rich, dense network of cortico-cortical, cortico-thalamic, commissural and associational long-range axonal projections. In humans, and thus different to experimental animals, both L1 excitatory Cajal-Retzius (CR) cells (Anstötz et al. 2014) and a quite heterogeneous population of inhibitory neurons (see for example Jiang et al. 2013; Boldog et al. 2018; Obermayer et al. 2018; Kwon et al. 2019; reviewed by Huang et al. 2024) provide signals to the terminal tuft dendrites of pyramidal neurons of the underlying cortical layers. Thus, L1 is a central locus of neocortical associations controlled by distinct types of inhibition and feedforward excitation (Schumann et al. 2019; Hartung and Letzkus 2021, reviewed by Schumann et al. 2021).

The temporal lobe neocortex (TLN) is situated on the basolateral aspect of the cerebral hemispheres primarily observed in higher primates, including humans. Notably, in humans, the TLN encompasses approximately 20% of the entire cerebral cortex (Kiernan 2012). It is recognized as a highly specialized associative neocortex, characterized by its homotypic granular six-layered organization (von Economo and Koskinas 1925; Palomero-Gallagher and Zilles 2019). The human TLN plays a crucial role in various cognitive functions, including auditory, visual, vestibular, linguistic, and olfactory processing.

Additionally, it is intricately connected to diverse sensory and multimodal associational brain regions, such

as the limbic system, amygdala, and various subcortical structures (Insausti 2013). Lastly, the human TLN

serves as the epicenter and onset site for temporal lobe epilepsy (Allone et al. 2017; Tai et al. 2018).

One of the most important discoveries in neuroscience, beside the definition of the neuron by Cajal's

neuronal doctrine (1911), was the introduction of the term 'Synapse', more than 100 years ago. Since

then these structures have been investigated from different viewpoints including structural, functional,

molecular and computational studies summarized in meanwhile thousands of original publications, reviews

and numerous textbooks. Yet, the quantitative geometry of the most prevalent synapse in the brain, the

cortical synapse, has remained largely unexplored structurally, in both experimental animals (but see

Rollenhagen et al. 2015, 2018; Bopp et al. 2017; Hsu et al. 2017; Prume et al. 2020) and, even more so, in

the context of the human brain (but see Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Dominguez-Alvaro et al. 2019, 2021; Cano-

Astorga et al. 2021, 2023; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022; Shapson-Coe et al. 2024). This could be partially

attributed to the availability of human brain tissue samples. Nevertheless, detailed and quantitative

descriptions of synaptic complexes in L1 of the human brain are to date non-existing.

Here, an investigation into the synaptic organization of L1 in the human TLN was undertaken using

high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM), 3D-volume reconstruction, to generate

quantitative 3D-models of synaptic boutons (SBs), and TEM tomography. The ultimate objective of this

comprehensive project is to elucidate the synaptic organization, layer by layer, of the cortical column in

humans.

Such meticulous quantitative structural analyses of SBs and their corresponding target structures are

indispensable for comprehending, elucidating, and establishing connections between the structural and

functional properties of the diverse signal cascades underlying synaptic transmission, efficacy, strength,

and plasticity. Consequently, these efforts contribute significantly to the understanding of the computational

properties of brain networks, in particular the synaptic organization of the cortical column.

Results

Neural and synaptic composition of L1 in the human TLN

L1 in the adult mammalian neocortex represents a relatively cell sparse layer, that in humans can be subdivided into two sublaminae, L1a and L1b, as revealed in semithin sections (Figure 1A) and Golgi-preparations (Figure 1B, C, F, G), as well as at the EM level (Figure 1H, I). L1a is more dominated by a dense network of astrocytes of different shape and size, their fine processes (Figure 2A), the occurrence of reactive microglia (Figures 1H, I, 2A) and terminal tuft dendrites of pyramidal neurons throughout the neuropil of L1 (Figures 1H, I, 2B).

Hence, L1a can be described as a sublamina with a predominant proportion of astrocytes, microglia and their processes, although it also harbors numerous other structural elements such as terminal tuft dendrites and synaptic complexes. These complexes consist of a SB juxtaposed with either a dendritic shaft or spine (Figure 2A) directly beneath or interwoven among the fine astrocytic processes within L1 (Figure 2A). Lipofuscin granules, indicative of aging, were frequently noted in astrocytes, reactive microglia (Figure 2A) and L1 neurons, although their abundance, size, and morphology varied considerably.

Remarkably, and in contrast to rodents, a persistent subpopulation of excitatory CR-cells was found in humans, identifiable by the horizontal bipolar orientation with intralaminar long-range horizontal axonal collaterals with a transcolumnar projection (Figure 1E-H; Retzius 1893, 1894; Anstötz et al. 2014; reviewed by Martinez-Cerdeno and Noctor 2014). The majority were located directly underneath the pial surface (Figure 1F, G) or intermingled with a heterogeneous population of GABAergic interneurons (Figure 1E).

In the human TLN, GABAergic interneurons constitute the predominant class of neurons in L1, exhibiting significant structural and functional heterogeneity (Figure 1D, E; see also Jiang et al, 2013, Verhoog et al. 2016; Boldog et al. 2018; Obermayer et al. 2018; Kwon et al. 2019; Schuman et al. 2019, reviewed by Huang et al. 2024) which were found throughout both sublaminae and were sometimes organized in clusters of 3-6 neurons (Figure 1D). Beside CR-cells and GABAergic interneurons, also degenerating neurons, identifiable by their shrunken and dark appearance (Figure 1A, I) were found in both sublaminae although their numbers varied substantially between brain tissue samples.

L1b is primarily dominated by dendritic and synaptic profiles due to a massive increase in synaptic

complexes (Figures 1H, 2B). Furthermore, L1b is infiltrated by apical dendrites of L2, L3 and L5 pyramidal

neurons interspersed with smaller dendritic segments representing apical oblique dendrites (Figures 1I, 2B).

The majority of synaptic complexes in L1 were axo-spinous (~80%, Figure 2 B, D, E), the remainder were

axo-dendritic, some of which but not all were regarded as putative GABAergic terminals (Figure 2C; but

see Silver et al. 2003).

L1b can be separated from L2 by the sudden increase of neuronal cell bodies and thick apical dendrites

originating at the upper pole of the somata of pyramidal neurons (Figures 1A, 2B). At the L1b and L2

transition more and more apical and apical oblique dendrites of different caliber were observable.

Synaptic organization of L1 in the human TLN

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the synaptic organization of excitatory SBs in L1 in

the human TLN, focusing on synaptic parameters that represent possible morphological correlates of

synaptic transmission, efficacy, strength and plasticity. The quantitative analysis was separated for both

sublaminae to look for possible sublaminar-specific differences. Beside the overall geometry of SBs and the

number and size of mitochondria, in particular the size of the PreAZ and PSD constituting the AZ, the

morphological equivalent to a functional neurotransmitter release site, and the organization of the three

functionally defined pools of SVs, namely the readily releasable (RRP), the recycling (RP) and resting pool,

were quantified.

To achieve this, a total of 361 SBs was completely reconstructed out of four patients in six series

containing 100-150 ultrathin sections/series. A total of 190 SBs and 184 AZs (L1a) and 171 SBs and 165

AZs (L1b) using non-affected neocortical access tissue (see Material and Methods; Source Data 02) were

completely 3D-reconstructed and quantified using fine-scale TEM, digital TEM images and subsequent 3D-

volume reconstructions.

Excitatory and inhibitory synaptic complexes in L1a und L1b were formed by either presynaptic *en*

passant or endterminal boutons with their prospective postsynaptic target structures dendritic shafts (Figures

2B C, D, 3A-C) or spines of different caliber and type (Figures 2B, D, E, 3A-C). In both sublaminae, SBs

were predominantly found on dendritic spines (~81%; L1a) and ~79% (L1b), the remainder were located

on dendritic shafts. The majority of SBs on spines were located on mushroom spines (L1a: ~83%, L1b:

~73%), a smaller fraction on stubby spines (L1a: ~11%, L1b: ~9%) or on thin elongated spines (L1a: 6%,

L1b: ~22%; Figure 2E), the remainder were not classifiable.

Since our focus was on excitatory SBs, inhibitory terminals were not further analyzed in detail. Inhibitory

SBs were distinguished from excitatory SBs by their smaller SVs, which have a more spherical appearance,

a smaller sized PreAZ, and the lack of a prominent PSD (Figure 2D). Infrequently, GABAergic and

glutamatergic terminals were found on the same dendrite (Figure 2C, D) or spine (see for example Wittner

et al. 2001) but less frequently at ~2-3%. (Kwon et al. 2019). In turn, not all SBs located on dendritic shafts

were GABAergic (see for example Silver et al. 2003).

The ratio between excitatory vs. inhibitory SBs was between 10-15% although with layer-specific

differences. Our findings are in good agreement with recent publications by Shapson-Coe et al. (2024) and

by Cano-Astorga et al. (2023, 2024) in which the number of inhibitory SBs in different layers of the temporal

lobe neocortex was estimated to be10-15%. No significant differences were found in the content of

inhibitory SBs between the two sublaminae L1a and L1b.

Some SBs in both sublaminae were seen to establish either two or three synapses on the same spine,

spines of other origin, or dendritic shafts. Remarkably, ~90% of spines in L1a and L1b contained a spine

apparatus (Figure 2E), a specialized form of the endoplasmic reticulum, structures that increase spine

motility and may also stabilize the synaptic complex during signal transduction (Deller et al. 2003; reviewed

by Knott and Holtmaat 2008).

Remarkably, beside synaptic complexes, also 'special connections' in L1 of the human TLN were

observed. This encompassed so-called dendro-dendritic synapses and fine astrocytic processes that receive

synaptic input from SBs and in turn, provide synaptic output to either dendritic shafts or spines (not shown,

but see Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022).

Synaptic density in L1a and L1b of the human TLN

Synaptic density measurements serve as a valuable tool for quantitatively characterizing the synaptic

organization of a specific cortical layer, assessing the connectivity rate, and identifying potential inter-

individual differences among patients within the human TLN.

The average density of synaptic complexes in L1 was comparatively high with a value of $5.26*10^8 \pm$

$8.44*10^7$, $5.52*10^8 \pm 1.25*10^8$ synapses/mm^3 in L1a and $5.01*10^8 \pm 1.40*10^7$ synapses/mm^3 in L1b, ranging

from $4.08*10^8$ to $6.31*10^8$ (L1a) and from $4.87*10^8$ to $5.15*10^8$ (L1b), respectively (Supplemental Table

1; Source Data 01). Strikingly, a huge inter-individual variability was found, although with no significant

difference between L1a and L1b.

Therefore, L1 exhibited a comparably high density of synaptic complexes, indicating a relatively robust

and thus strong connectivity of neurons within L1 of the human TLN.

Geometry and size of SBs and mitochondria in the human TLN

Overall, L1 SBs were on average medium-sized, with a mean surface area of 5.48 ± 1.40 µm^2, and a mean

volume of 0.50 ± 0.19 µm^3, with a slight difference in size between L1a and L1b. Interestingly, the

variability in both surface area and volume of SBs was comparably small in L1a and L1b as indicated by a

low CV and variance (Table 1; Source Data 02) regardless of their target structures. SBs in L1 were

comparable in size with SBs in L5, L6, but ~2-fold larger than those in L4 of the human TLN (p $\leq$ 0.001;

see also Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b: Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022).

Mitochondria play a pivotal role in synaptic transmission and plasticity (reviewed by Dallerac et al. 2018;

see also Discussion). In L1 SBs either no (Figures 2B, 3A, E) or several mitochondria (Figures 2, 3C, D;

range 0 to 8) of different shape and size were observed. When present mitochondria had a volume of 0.04

$\pm$ 0.02 µm^3 in L1a and 0.05 ± 0.01 µm^3 in L1b, respectively (Table 1; Source Data 02). Mitochondria

contributed with ~7% (L1) to the total bouton volume of SBs, similar to values in L6 (~6%; Schmuhl-Giesen

et al. 2022), but with a ~1.5-fold lower percentage as those in L5 (~12%; Yakoubi et al. 2019a) and L4

(~13%; Yakoubi et al. 2019b).

A good correlation between the volume of the SBs and that of mitochondria was found for L1a (R^2: 0.7528;

Figure 4B) and for L1b (R^2: 0.5992; Figure 4B). A weak correlation was also found for L6 (Schmuhl-Giesen

et al. 2022) but a strong one in both L4 (Yakoubi et al. 2019a) and L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019b) suggesting a

layer-specific difference in the content of mitochondria in individual SBs.

Structural composition of AZs in L1a and L1b excitatory SBs in the human TLN

The number, size, and shape of the AZ, composed of the PreAZ and PSD, is one key structural determinant

in synaptic transmission and plasticity (Südhof 2002; Matz et al. 2010; Holderith et al. 2012) as it represents

the docking area of SVs (PreAZs) and the receiving area of neurotransmitter quanta at the PSD (Südhof

2012).

The majority (~98%) of SBs in L1a and L1b had only a single (Figures 2C-E, 3A-C, E) at most two or

three AZs (Figure 3D). Beside very large, spanning the entire pre- and postsynaptic apposition zone, also

smaller AZs covering only a fraction of the pre- and postsynaptic apposition zone were found.

On average, PreAZs were 0.18 ± 0.08 µm^2 in surface area in L1a and 0.22 ± 0.04 µm^2 in L1b with only

a slight variability between both sublaminae. The surface area of PSDs was quite similar to that of PreAZs

0.21 ± 0.01 µm^2 and was in L1a and 0.22 ± 0.05 µm^2 in L1b, respectively (Table 1; Source Data 02, sheet

01). Thus, the similar size of the PreAZ and PSD showed a nearly perfect overlap at the pre- and postsynaptic

apposition zone. L1 AZs did not show a large variability in size as indicated by the low SD, CV, and variance

(Table 1). Remarkably, AZs exhibited a wide range of shapes in addition to different sizes. Two types of

AZs were found: The majority in L1 (~80%) were of the macular and non-perforated type (Figure 3D), the

remainder being either horseshoe-shaped or ring-like and perforated (Figure 3E).

Notably, our analysis revealed only a weak correlation between the surface area of SBs and that of

PreAZs for L1a and L1b SBs (Figure 4A). These findings imply that the size of SBs and PreAZs is regulated

independently .

The size of the synaptic cleft (Table 1; Source Data 02, sheet 03) is an important measure at AZs since

its size (diameter) critically determines the temporal and spatial neurotransmitter concentration.

Measurements of the size of the synaptic cleft were performed on random EM images taken from the series

using OpenCAR or online directly at the TEM using ImageSP (Fa. Tröndle, Moorenweis, Germany). Only

synaptic clefts cut perpendicular to the PreAZ and PSD were included in the sample. The distance between

the outer edge of the PreAZ and PSD was measured at the two lateral edges and separately at the central

region of the synaptic cleft; the two values of the lateral edges were averaged for each cleft measurement.

Finally, a mean ± SD was calculated for both the lateral and central region over all synaptic clefts analyzed

per patient.

The size of the synaptic cleft did not differ significantly between the two sublaminae and was similar to

the results in L4, L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b) and L6.Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022).

Organization of the pools of SVs in L1a and L1b excitatory SBs of the human TLN

SVs are the other key structure in neurotransmitter storage and release, hence they play a fundamental role

in synaptic transmission and in the modulation of short- and long-term synaptic plasticity (Südhof 2002,

2012). Three different pools of SVs are functionally defined: the RRP, the RP, and the resting pool. Synaptic

efficacy, strength, mode, and probability of release (P_r) are regulated by these pools (Schikorski and Stevens

2001; Silver et al. 2003; Rizzoli and Betz 2004; Saviane and Silver 2006; Schikorski 2014; Watanabe et al.

2014; Neher 2015; Vaden et al. 2019; reviewed by Rizzoli and Betz 2005; Denker and Rizzoli 2010;

Chamberland and Toth 2016).

In general, SVs were distributed throughout the entire terminal in ~95% of the population of SBs

investigated (Figure 2, 3), the remainder showed a more cluster-like distribution. Two different types of

vesicles were found: (1) Small clear SVs with an average diameter of 25.03 ± 5.27 nm ranging up to ~40

nm (Table 1; Source Data 02, sheet 01). Using TEM tomography, the SV diameter was on average 30.29 ±

4.67 nm ranging up to ~47 nm (n = 38 SBs with a total of 5.834 SVs; Table 1; Source Data 03, sheet 05).

(2) DCVs (Dense Core Vesicles; Figure 3B, C, E; Source Data 02, sheet 01) with an average diameter of

57.97 ± 6.45 nm with no significant difference between both sublaminae. DCVs were intermingled with the

population of SVs throughout the entire SB (Figure 3B, C, E). DCVs play an important role in endo- and

exocytosis by releasing various co-transmitters, such as neuropeptides, ATP, noradrenalin, and dynorphin

(Ghijsen and Leenders 2005) or in synaptogenesis (Sorra et al. 2006) and the build-up of PreAZs by

releasing Piccolo and Bassoon (Zhai et al. 2001; Shapira et al. 2003) In addition, they are involved in

clustering SVs at the PreAZs (Mukherjee et al. 2010; Watanabe et al. 2014).

The distribution pattern of SVs made it impossible to morphologically distinguish between the three

functionally defined pools of SVs, with the exception of the so-called 'docked' ones, that are fused to the

PreAZ, and the omega-shaped bodies, that have already opened and released quanta of neurotransmitter

(Rizzoli and Betz, 2004; Denker and Rizzoli 2010; Neher 2015; reviewed by Rizzoli and Betz 2005;

Chamberland and Toth 2015). However, an attempt was made to define a morphological correlate for the

three functionally defined pools.

For this purpose, a perimeter analysis (a modified Sholl-analysis) of each SV in individual SBs was

carried out. The perimeter was defined as the minimum distance between each SV membrane and the PreAZ

membrane (Sätzler et al. 2002; for criteria to define SV pools see also Rizzoli and Betz 2005; Denker and

Rizzoli 2010). The putative RRP was defined as a perimeter (p) p≤10 nm and p≤20 nm to the PreAZ. These

criteria for the RRP from which SVs could be easily and rapidly recruited were chosen because both values

are less than a SV diameter apart from the PreAZ. The putative RP maintains release during moderate

stimulation and was classified at a distance from the PreAZ between p60-p200 nm. All SVs that were further

than 200 nm from the PreAZ were regarded to constitute the putative resting pool which acts as a deposit

of SVs only used by intense and/or repetitive stimulation.

On average, the total pool of SVs was 2958.62 ± 1940.51 in L1a vs. 3903.32 ± 1852.23 in L1b with an

average of 3430.97 ± 1773.77 for L1 (Table 1; Source Data 02). Remarkably, the total pool in L1b was

significantly larger (p≤0.01) by ~1.3-fold when compared to L1a. In both sublaminae (Figure 3D, E), there

is a huge variability in total pool size , ranging from 758 to 6542 in L1a and 1507 to 15060 in L1b, as

indicated by the SD, IQR and variance (Table 1). SVs contributed with ~5% (0.03 μm^3) in L1a and ~4%

(0.02 μm^3) in L1b to the total volume of SBs. However, no significant difference between both sublaminae

was observed (Table 1; Source Data 02).

Interestingly, no correlation between the volume of SBs vs. the total pool size was found for L1a (R^2: 0.2490), but a good correlation for L1b (R^2: 0.5700). Furthermore, no correlation was found between the PreAZ vs. the total pool size (Figure 4C; Source Data 02).

The average number of SVs in the putative RRP/PreAZ for L1was 3.60 ± 4.24 SVs at p10 nm (L1a: 5.90 ± 5.05 SVs and L1b: 1.29 ± 1.87 SVs) with a significant difference ($p \leq 0.01$) by ~4.5-fold between the two sublaminae (Table 1; Source Data 02). The putative RRP/PreAZ at the p20 nm criterion was on average 19.05 ± 17.23 SVs (L1a: 25.04 ± 21.09 SVs and L1b: 13.07 ± 13.87 SVs) and thus nearly 2-fold larger for L1a, although with a huge variability, but even though may point to sublaminae-specific differences in P_r, synaptic efficacy, strength and paired-pulse behavior at individual SBs.

295   No correlation between the putative RRP at both the p10 nm and p20 nm criterion and the surface area of PreAZs was found for both sublaminae. (Figure 4D, E).

297   The putative RP/PreAZ at the 60-200 nm perimeter criterion was 390.12 ± 286.89 SVs in L1a and 535.88 ± 321.16 in L1b with no significant difference for both sublaminae (Table 1; Source Data 02, sheet 01). It should be noted however, that the variability of the putative RP is relatively large in both sublaminae as indicated by the SD, median, IQR and variance (Table 1).

301   Again, no correlation was observed between the SVs in the putative p60-200 nm RP and the surface area of the PreAZs (L1a, Figure 4F) and for L1b (Figure 4F; Source Data 02, sheet 01), respectively.

303   The putative resting pool of SVs contained on average 2515.02 ± 1588.99 SVs in L1a and 3277.97 ± 1480.96 SVs in L1b with a significant difference between the two sublaminae ($p \leq 0.01$, Table 1; Source Data 02, sheet 01). The number of SVs in the putative resting pool in L1b was about 1.3-fold larger when compared to L1a. Again, also the putative resting pool showed a large variability for both sublaminae as indicated by the SD, CV, IQR and variance (Table 1).

308   No correlation was observed between the SVs in the putative p200 nm and p500 nm resting pool and the surface area of the PreAZs in L1a and L1b (Figure 4G, H; Source Data 02, sheet 01).

310   Neither for the total nor for the three different putative pools of synaptic vesicles significant differences between SBs terminating on dendritic spines or shafts were found (Source Data 02, sheet 04).

TEM tomography of L1 excitatory SBs in the human TLN

It is still controversially discussed whether so-called 'docked' SVs or omega-shaped bodies represent the RRP. To compare our results from the perimeter analysis for the p10 nm RRP with that of 'docked' SVs, high-resolution TEM tomography was carried out. Only SBs where the AZ could be followed from its beginning to its end in individual tilt-series and where the AZ was cut perpendicular through the PreAZ, PSD and the synaptic cleft were analyzed. In L1a (50 SBs, on dendritic shafts = 25 SBs; on spines = 25 SBs) and L1b (50 SBs, on dendritic shafts = 25 SBs; on spines = 25 SBs) the number of 'docked' SVs was analyzed at the PreAZ (Figure 5; Table 2; Source Data 03).

The results for L1a and L1b were two-fold: First, in agreement with already published results (Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022) only in a minority (<1%) of all PreAZs analyzed, regardless of their target structures, a dendritic shaft (Figure 5A) or spine (Figure 5B, C), no 'docked' SVs were observed, which is in contrast to our perimeter analyses showing several boutons without vesicles within the p10 nm criterion. Second, the majority of PreAZs (~98%) contained more than 2, the most 8 (L1a) and 6 (L1b) 'docked' SVs. This finding strongly supports multivesicular release of 'docked' SVs in L1a and L1b SBs in line with findings in L4, L5 and L6 SBs (Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022; see also Figure 5D-F).

On average, 3.71 ± 1.38 'docked' SVs (L1a) and 3.42 ± 1.34 'docked SVs' (L1b) were found at individual PreAZs with similar values for both sublaminae. Furthermore, no significant difference was found for 'docked' SVs between shaft vs. spine synapses in L1. The number of 'docked' SVs at L1a PreAZs was ~2-fold smaller but nearly 3-fold larger in L1b when compared to the results of our quantitative perimeter analysis for the putative RRP using the p10 nm criterion. In contrast to the p10 nm criterion with high inter- and intra-individual variabilities (Suppl. Fig. 2), the number of 'docked' SVs showed a relatively low variability as indicated by the SD, CV, variance, and skewness. They were different ($p \leq 0.001$) from the values estimated with the p10 nm perimeter analysis.

There seems to be a tendency that larger PreAZs contained more 'docked' SVs providing a larger 'docking' area allowing the recruitment of more SVs.

In summary, a notable disparity difference was observed between values obtained for L1 using the p10 nm

criterion of the perimeter- and the TEM tomography analysis. Additionally, the putative RRP in L1 (this

study) and L6 (Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022) was ~2-4-times smaller when compared to values in L4

(Yakoubi et al. 2019b) and L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019a) pointing towards a layer-specific regulation of the

putative RRP. Moreover, the results suggest that not only 'docked' SVs but also those very close to the

PreAZ should be considered to belong to the putative RRP.

Astrocytic coverage of L1 SBs in the human TLN

Astrocytes, by directly interacting with synaptic complexes thus forming the 'tripartite' synapse play a

pivotal role in the induction, maintenance and termination of synaptic transmission by controlling the spatial

and temporal concentration of neurotransmitter quanta in the synaptic cleft (reviewed by Dellerac et al.

2018). Astrocytic profiles were identified by their irregular stellate shape, relatively clear cytoplasm,

numerous glycogen granules and bundles of intermediate filaments (for criteria see for example Peters et al.

1991; Ventura and Harris 1999; see also Figure 6A, B) Astrocytes and their fine processes formed a

relatively dense (in L4, L5), but a comparably loose network within the neuropil in L1 (Figure 6A-C; Source

Data 04, sheet 01) by contributing with ~20% to the total human TLN volume, with the highest degree in

coverage in L4 but similar to findings in L6 of the human TLN (Figure 6C; Source Data 04, sheet 01).

In general, the majority (~70% both in spine and shaft synapses; Figure 6D, Source Data 04, sheet 02)

of synaptic complexes in L1 were without astrocytic coverage close to the AZ or only partially ensheated

(~20% both in spine and shaft synapses) by fine astrocytic processes. In only a small fraction (~10%) of

both spine and shaft synapses fine astrocytic processes were observed to form the 'tripartite' synapse that

physically isolate synaptic complexes from the surrounding neuropil. The findings in L1 (L1a: n=3 patients,

n=128 spine synapses, n= 48 shaft synapse; L1b: n=3 patients, n=126 spine synapses, n= 44 shaft synapses)

are in contrast to L4 (n=5 patients; n=93 spine synapses, n= 41 shaft synapses) were a higher number of

'tripartite' synaptic complexes (spines: ~50%; shafts: ~20%) was found. In L4, ~ 25% of AZs on dendritic

spines and ~ 60% on dendritic shafts were partially covered, with a huge inter-individual difference. The

remainder were not covered. No significant differences were found between spine and shaft synapses in L1

or L4 (Figure 6D, Source Data 04, sheet 02).

In summary, it is most likely that the partial coverage or complete absence of fine astrocytic processes

at the majority of synaptic complexes in L1 of the human TLN may contribute to different 'behaviors' of

synaptic complexes in synaptic transmission and in the modulation of short-term plasticity, for example in

the removal of 'spilled' horizontally diffusing neurotransmitter quanta favoring synaptic crosstalk (for more

detail see Discussion).

Discussion

This study investigated the synaptic organization of L1 in the human TLN. The quantitative 3D-models

analyzed showed beside similarities significant layer-specific differences in structural and synaptic

parameters, in particular in the size of the AZs and the total pool of SVs, the putative RRP, RP and resting

pool when compared with other already investigated layers (Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et al.

2022). The low degree of astrocytic coverage of L1 SBs suggests that glutamate spillover and as a

consequence synaptic cross talk may occur at the majority of synaptic complexes in L1

Synaptic density measurements

Synaptic density measurements are a useful tool to describe the synaptic organization of a particular area,

nuclei and even layers in different brain regions, but also the degree of connectivity underlying the

computational properties of a given brain area or in a given brain network. Meanwhile numerous studies in

various animal species and brain regions have performed such an analysis but data for a density of synaptic

complexes in humans are still rare (but see Marco and DeFelipe 1997; Tang et al. 2001; DeFelipe et al.

1999, 2002; Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008; Blazquez-Llorca et al. 2013; Finnema et al. 2016; Cano-Astorga

et al. 2021, 2023).

Strikingly, a huge layer-specific difference in the mean density of synaptic contacts was found in the human

TLN (see also Supplemental Table 1). In L1, the overall density was $5.26*10^8 \pm 8.44*10^7$ synaptic

complexes/mm^3 although a great variability was observed as indicated by the SD consistent with findings

in the other layers of the human TLN L4 ($2.37*10^6 \pm 2.19*10^6$; Yakoubi et al. 2019b); L5 ($3.89 *10^8 \pm$

$9.12*10^8$; Yakoubi R., PhD Thesis 2023) and L6 ($4.98*10^7 \pm 1.85*10^7$; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022) and

existing data published by Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008: $9.13 \pm 0.63*10^8$ and Tang et al. 2001: $164*10^{12}$.

The density values for L1 and L5 are in the same order of magnitude but differ substantially from L4 and

L6 by one or two orders of magnitude. The huge differences in synaptic density may be explained by gender

and age-specific differences and the use of different methods in estimating synaptic densities (DeFelipe et

al. 1999).

In summary, the highest synaptic density was observed in L1, indicating a comparably high level of

connectivity and synaptic interaction. This may suggests that L1 facilitates rapid information processing

due to its robust synaptic activity.

403 Important structural subelements of SBs in the human TLN

404 Shape and size of PreAZs and PSDs

Synaptic efficacy, strength, modes of release and P_r are beside the pool of SVs, determined by the shape

and size of AZs (Matz et al. 2010; Holderith et al. 2012; Südhof 2012).

The majority of SBs in L1 of the human TLN had a single at most three AZs that could be of the non-

perforated macular or perforated type. These findings are comparable with results for other layers in the

human TLN (L4: Yakoubi et al. 2019b, L5: Yakoubi et al. 2019a, L6: Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022) but by

~1.5-fold larger than in rodent and non-human primate neocortex (Marrone et al. 2005; Rollenhagen et al.

2015, 2018; Bopp et al. 2017; Hsu et al. 2017).

The surface area of AZs in L1 SBs of the human TLN was on average ~0.20 μm^2. This is in good

agreement with data obtained for AZs in L3 (Cano-Astorga et al. 2021), L4 (Yakoubi et al. 2019b), L5

(Yakoubi et al. 2019a), L6 (Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022) and in L3 (Cano-Astorga et al. 2023) of the human

temporal and cingulate neocortex. However, they were ~2- to 3-fold larger than those in mouse and non-

human primates visual, motor, and somatosensory neocortex (Bopp et al. 2017; Hsu et al. 2017) but

remarkably even larger than AZs in comparably large CNS terminals like the hippocampal mossy fiber

bouton (Rollenhagen et al. 2007) the cerebellar mossy fiber bouton (Xu-Friedman and Regehr 2003)and the

Calyx of Held in the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body (Sätzler et al. 2002).

The substantial variability in the size of AZs at individual SBs, observed both in the human TLN and in

experimental animals, likely contributes to differences in synaptic efficacy, strength, P_r, quantal size, as

well as the size of the RRP and RP (Südhof 2002; Matz et al. 2010, Freche et al. 2011; Holderith et al. 2012;

Neher 2015; Chamerland and Toth 2016; Rollenhagen et al. 2018; Vaden et al. 2019; reviewed by Rizzoli

and Betz 2005; Denker and Rizzoli 2010). However, it has to be noted that the size of the AZ is regulated

as a function of activity, as shown for hippocampal SBs in the CA1 subregion (Matz et al. 2010; Holderith

et al. 2012). However, the comparably large size of the AZs in the human TLN also suggest a larger

'docking' area allowing the fusion of more SVs and thus a larger P_r.

It has be postulated that perforated AZs contribute to synaptic efficacy (Geinismann et al. 1992; Nava et

al. 2014) by an increased number of SVs at perforated synapses and plasticity (Buchs and Muller 1996;

Desmond and Weinberg; 1998) and by increasing the number of AMPA- and NMDA-type glutamate

receptors at perforated synapses (Ganeshina et al. 2004). However, since the number of perforated AZs in

all layers of the human TLN is comparably low compared to those of the macular-non-perforated type, it is

highly speculative which impact they might have here on synaptic efficacy and the modulation of synaptic

plasticity.

Size of SVs obtained with TEM vs. TEM tomography

The values obtained with both TEM (25.03 ± 5.27 nm) and TEM tomography (30.29 ± 4.67 nm) in L1 are

within a range published in previous studies (e.g. human TLN: Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et

al. 2022; rat hippocampal CA3 region: Rollenhagen et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2012a; rodent hippocampal CA1

region: Harris and Sultan 1995 [lower range]; rodent somatosensory cortex: Rollenhagen et al. 2015; 2018;

Prume et al. 2020). Based on TEM studies also higher mean SV diameters of ~40 nm and beyond have been

published for different species and brain regions (e.g. Schikorski and Stevens 1997; Sätzler et al. 2002;

Deak et al. 2003; Hu et al. 2008). TEM tomographic analyses also indicate variability in SV diameter from

~30 to ~52 nm in different brain regions and mouse knock-out model systems (Imig et al. 2014; Muth et al.

2024). High variability of SV diameter have been reported to be a general phenomenon in several species

and brain regions, even a synapse-to-synapse variability in mean SV size is described in neighboring

synapses in the hippocampus, neocortex, and cerebellum of rats, mice, and human cell culture systems (Hu

et. al. 2008; Qu et al. 2009). Additionally, within a single SB the size of individual SVs can vary for example

depending on their transmitter filling state and content (e.g. Colliver et al. 2000; Pothos et al. 2002).

Size of the three pools of SVs

Besides the size of the PreAZ, the pool of rapidly releasable SVs also critically determines P_r and thus

synaptic efficacy, strength and plasticity (Rosenmund and Stevens 1996; Schikorski and Stevens 2001;

Rizzoli and Betz 2004; Schikorski 2014; Watanabe et al. 2014; Vaden et al. 2019; reviewed by Rizzoli and

Betz 2005, Neher 2015; Chamberland and Toth 2016). It is still rather unclear whether functionally

heterogeneous SV pools are structurally identifiable and thus support diverse forms of synaptic transmission

und would also play a pivotal role in long- and short-term plasticity. Synaptic transmission can be modulated

in various ways depending on the availability of SVs and on their recycling rates. Hence, the size of both

the RRP and RP critically determines synaptic efficacy, strength and plasticity. These parameters are

controlled at the PreAZ but vary substantially across various CNS synapses (reviewed by Rizzoli and Betz

2005; Neher 2015). The contribution of the RRP size to synaptic dynamics and the mechanisms by which

such control is achieved at individual SBs remains largely unknown.

L1-SBs had a total pool size of ~3500 SVs/AZ, larger than in other layers of the human TLN: ~2-fold in

L4 (~1800 SVs; Yakoubi et al. 2019b), ~2.6-fold in L5 (~1350 SVs; Yakoubi et al. 2019a) and ~3-fold in

L6 (~1150 SVs; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022). Remarkably, the total pool sizes in L4 and L5 of the human

TLN were significantly larger than those in L4 (~550 SVs/AZ; Rollenhagen et al. 2015) and L5 (~750

SVs/AZ; Rollenhagen et al. 2018) of the rat somatosensory cortex.

The largest total pool size in L1 may point to a pivotal role in the rapid replenishment after depletion by

high-frequency stimulation and the transfer of SVs into the RRP and RP from the total pool in L1 SBs.

The putative RRP at L1 PreAZs was on average ~4 SVs/AZ for the p10 nm RRP and similar to that in

L5 (~5 SVs/AZ), but significantly smaller by ~5.3-fold to that of L4 (~20 SVs/AZ) and by ~3.5-fold when

compared to L6 in the human TLN. Also, huge differences were observed in the putative p20 nm RRP which

at L1 PreAZs was ~20 SVs, ~40 SVs in L4, ~15 SVs in L5 and ~30 SVs in L6, respectively. It has to be

noted that a layer-specific difference in both the putative p10 nm and p20 nm RRP exists although a huge

variability was observed as indicated by the SD, IQR, and variance. Hence, at L1 PreAZs the overall RRP,

taken the p10 nm and p20 nm criterion (both together are less than a vesicle diameter) was constituted by

~25 SVs rapidly available SVs that may partially contribute to the efficacy, strength and reliability of

synaptic transmission, but also in the modulation of short-term synaptic plasticity in L1.

The size of the putative RP/PreAZ was ~470 SVs in human L1 SBs and thus ~3-fold larger to that in L6

SBs, ~1.3- fold larger than in L4, and ~2.4-fold larger than in L5 SBs of the human TLN. In the rodent

neocortex the RP/PreAZ comprised ~130 (L4; Rollenhagen et al. 2015) and ~200 SVs (L5; Rollenhagen et

al. 2018). The comparably largest size of the RP in L1 when compared with values for the other cortical

layers in the human TLN and that found for L4 and L5 in rodents may also point to a rapid availability of

SVs from the putative RP after the replenishment of the putative RRP during high-frequency stimulation. If

the refilling rates were activity dependent, the large size of the RP at L1 PreAZs could explain some forms

of short-term synaptic plasticity, e.g. a substantial increase in synaptic strength during frequency facilitation

and post-tetanic potentiation at these SBs.

Finally, the putative resting pool of SVs at L1 PreAZs in the human TLN is also comparably large (~3000

SVs) and again the largest when compared with other layers in the human TLN (L4 and L5: ~1250 SVs;

L6: ~900 SVs). The size of the resting pool may guarantee to rapidly replenish the RRP and RP after

repetitive high-frequency stimulation via active transfer of SVs with the help of mitochondria associated

with the pool of SVs (this study, see also Zhou and Fuster 1996; Verstreken et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2016).

The notable disparities in AZ and SV pool sizes among individual SBs may contribute to rapid alterations

in the computational properties of single neurons or networks. Consequently, these variations in AZ and SV

pool sizes at L1 SBs may critically influence the behavior of SBs during so-called Up-and-Down states as

described for other SBs of the CNS (Zhou and Fuster 1996; Sanchez-Vives and McCormick 2000; Sakata

and Harris 2009; Testa-Silva et al. 2014).

Importance of presynaptic mitochondria in synaptic transmission

Mitochondria in the cortical layers of the human TLN were organized in clusters associated with the pool

of SVs (this study, see also Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022). In L1, mitochondria only

contribute by about 7.2% (L1a) and 6.7% (L1b) to the total SB volume with similar values between L1 and

L6, but their percentage was ~2-fold lower than values in L4 and L5 suggesting a layer-specific distribution

of mitochondria in the human TLN.

Mitochondria play a pivotal role in the recruitment and mobilization of SVs from the RP and resting pool

and in the priming and docking process of SVs (Verstreken et al. 2005; Perkins et al. 2010; Smith et al.

2016; reviewed by Dallerac et al. 2018). In the CNS they act as the main source of internal calcium (Pozzan

and Rizzuto 2000; Rizzuto et al. 2000), thus they regulate and control the internal calcium concentrations

in CNS terminals required for the signal cascades where for example, synaptic proteins driven by Ca^{2+} like

synaptotagmin, synaptophysin, synaptobrevin and the SNARE-complex are involved (Südhof 2002).

Astrocytic coverage of L1 SBS in the human TLN

It is widely recognized that astrocytes play a crucial role in the formation of the 'tripartite' synapse, which

is a common characteristic of cortical synapses. Astrocytes serve both as a physical barrier to glutamate

diffusion and to mediate neurotransmitter uptake via transporters, thereby regulating the spatial and

temporal concentration of neurotransmitters in the synaptic cleft (Oliet et al. 2004; Min and Nevian 2012;

Pannasch et al. 2014; reviewed by Dallerac et al. 2018). In addition, they modulate synaptic transmission

by activating pre- and postsynaptic receptors (Haydon and Carmignoto 2006; Le Meur et al. 2012).

Moreover, it was found that the control of timing-dependent long-term depression (t-LTD) at neocortical

synapses is critically influenced by astrocytes by increasing Ca^{2+} signaling during the induction of t-LTD

(Min and Nevian 2012).

Remarkably, significant layer-specific differences exist in the astrocytic coverage of synaptic complexes

in the human TLN. Whereas in L4 and L5 ~60-80% of the total volumetric fraction was occupied by

astrocytic processes and most of AZs in L4 were tightly ensheathed by fine astrocytic processes, in L1 and

L6 the volumetric fraction of astrocytic processes was only ~20% with a loose and incomplete coverage of

AZs in L1 (Figure 6D). As a consequence, the lack or only incomplete astrocytic coverage of synaptic

complexes in L1 can only partially act as a physical barrier to neurotransmitter diffusion. In addition, beside

a vertical also its horizontal diffusion of glutamate at the synaptic cleft is possible. Hence, two possible

scenarios for the role of astrocytes are present at L1 synaptic complexes. First, as shown for only a small

part (10%) of synaptic complexes in L1 the 'tripartite' synapse is realized at both sides of the synaptic cleft

(Figure 6D). This would allow the selective uptake of horizontally diffusing glutamate via glutamate

transporters located in the fine astrocytic processes. This prevents 'glutamate spillover', and thus allow a

vertically directed neurotransmitter diffusion and docking to postsynaptic receptors at the PSD.

The remaining larger part of synaptic complexes in L1 either lack a coverage by fine astrocytic processes

at AZs or are only partially ensheathed (Figure 6D). Here 'glutamate spillover' of horizontally diffusing

neurotransmitter quanta is most likely and thus synaptic crosstalk between neighboring synaptic complexes

at AZs in neighboring spines on terminal tuft dendrites because spine density in L1 is high. This may cause

a switch from asynchronous to synchronous release from neighboring synaptic complexes upon repetitive

low- and high-frequency stimulation (von Gersdorff and Borst 2002).

In summary, two distinct structural scenarios were identified regarding the role of astrocytes in L1,

However, it is still rather unknown which of the two scenarios contribute more efficiently to synaptic

transmission and plasticity in L1.

The role of L1 in the information processing of the cortical column

The computational properties of the neocortex depend upon its ability to integrate the information provided by the sensory organs (bottom-up information) with internally generated signals such as expectations or attentional signals (top-down information). This integration occurs at apical tuft dendrites of L2/3 and L5 pyramidal neurons in L1. Importantly, L1 is the predominant input layer for top-down information, relayed by a rich, dense network of cortico-cortical, commissural and associational long-range axonal projections. In experimental animals, L1 consists exclusively of a heterogeneous population of GABAergic interneurons providing feedforward and feedback inhibition (see for example Jiang et al. 2013; Boldog et al. 2018; Obermayer et al. 2018; Kwon et al. 2019; reviewed by Huang et al. 2024) providing signals to the terminal tuft dendrites of pyramidal neurons (reviewed by Schumann et al. 2021). In humans, there is a persistent subpopulation of CR cells that provides excitation due to their long-range transcolumnar axonal projection to the terminal tuft dendrites (Anstötz et al. 2014), but may also control the population of GABAergic interneurons, which is as to date unknown.

Thus, L1 in the human neocortex is a central locus of neocortical associations controlled by excitation and distinct types of inhibition (reviewed by Hartung and Letzkus 2021; Schumann et al. 2021). In summary, the population of GABAergic interneurons in L1 provides inhibition and disinhibition to pyramidal terminal tuft dendrites by either shunting or promoting the generation of Ca^{2+}- and Na^+-spikes by temporal and spatial coincidence detection. Thus, the circuitry of L1, including the persistent subpopulation of excitatory CR-cells; is an important and fundamental 'integrator' of the neocortex sampling bottom-up information within or even across cortical columns, but also act as a 'filter' or 'discriminator' but also as an 'amplifier' in the information processing of the neocortex.

Hence, L1 of the neocortex can be regarded as a complex and intricate layer of the brain serving different functions, for example to gate inputs, convey expectations and context as well as mediate states of consciousness, attention, cross-modal interactions, sensory perception, and learning (Bastos et al. 2012; Heeger 2017; Zagha 2020; reviewed by Gilbert and Li 2013). There is evidence that L1 processing, particularly Ca^{2+} signals in the distal apical dendrite, is important for sensory perception (Xu et al. 2012).

Recent studies have revealed that L1 plays a crucial role in top-down attentional processes, aiding in

directing attention, based on our goals and intentions (Hartung and Letzkus 2021; Schuman et al. 2021).

Additionally, L1 is implicated in the formation and consolidation of long-term memories with disruptions

in L1 function associated with memory deficits. Furthermore, L1 contributes to brain plasticity, which

involves the brain's ability to change and adapt in response to experience, including the process by which

synapses alter in strength and number (reviewed by Hartung and Letzkus 2021; Schuman et al. 2021).

Overall, L1 of the neocortex is a complex and fascinating layer of the brain that is involved in a wide

range of cognitive processes and brain plasticity. Since this is relayed by a network of SBs in L1, their

composition and connections play a pivotal role, in columnar information processing.

Material and Methods

Human brain tissue sampling during epilepsy surgery have been provided by Dr. med. Dorothea Miller, PD

Dr. med. Marec von Lehe, Department of Neurosurgery, Knappschaftschafts/Universitäts-Krankenhaus

Bochum and were approved by the Ethical Committees of the Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-

University/University Hospital Bonn (Ethical votum of the Medical Faculty to Prof. Dr. med. Johannes

Schramm and Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Joachim Lübke, Nr. 146/11), the University of Bochum (Ethical votum of

the Medical Faculty to PD Dr. med. Marec von Lehe and Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Joachim Lübke, Reg. No. 5190-

14-15; and renewed Ethical votum of the Medical Faculty to Dr. med. Dorothea Miller and Prof. Dr. rer.

nat. Joachim Lübke, Reg. No. 17–6199-BR). The consent of the patients was obtained by written and signed

statements, and all further experimental procedures were approved by the same Ethical Committees cited

above, and the EU directive (2015/565/EC and 2015/566/EC) concerning working with human tissue used

for experimental and scientific purposes. All subsequent experimental procedures were approved by the

Research Committee of the Research Centre Jülich GmbH.

Fixation and tissue processing for TEM

Tissue samples from the human TLN were after their removal prepared and embedded for conventional TEM and EM tomography analysis. All neocortical access tissues were obtained from patients suffering from drug-resistant temporal lobe epilepsy (1 male and 3 female, 24-65 years in age for L1, and additionally 2 male, and 3 female, 25-63 in age for L4; see also Supplemental Table 2).

The pre-surgical work-up comprised high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging together with long-term video-electro-encephalography (EEG)-monitoring and electrophysiology using multiple electrode recordings. In all cases, the circumscribed epileptic focus was in the hippocampus proper, but not in the neocortical access regions of the TL.

During epilepsy surgery, blocks of both non-affected, non-epileptic and epileptic neocortical access tissue samples (see Supplemental Table 2) were resected and part of the tissue samples were further histologically examined by neuropathologists. The non-affected non-epileptic neocortical access tissue samples were always taken far from the epileptic focus and may thus be regarded as non-epileptic as also demonstrated by functional studies using the same experimental approach (Mohan et al. 2015; Molnár et al. 2016; Seeman et al. 2018; Obermayer et al. 2018; reviewed by Mansvelder et al. 2019). These studies have clearly demonstrated that neocortical access tissue samples taken from epilepsy surgery do not differ in electrophysiological properties and synaptic physiology when compared with acute slice preparations in experimental animals.

So-called 'post mortem' tissue was not used in this study since the ultrastructural quality (preservation) of such material is not suitable enough for fine-scale high-resolution EM, due to severe distortions of relevant structural features, e.g. fragmentation and lysis of membranes of SBs, PreAZs, PSDs and SVs that are required for the generation of quantitative 3D-models of SBs and their target structures (Lübke, personal observation). However, under certain conditions also 'post mortem' tissue samples can be used (Dominguez-Alvaro et al. 2019, 2921; Cano-Astorga et al. 2021, 2023).

Inter-individual differences were found in the structural and synaptic parameters analyzed as shown by the box plots (Supplemental Figures 1, 2; Source Data 02).

Other recent studies using the same experimental approach neglected and thus discarded the effect of the

pharmacological treatments and disease condition (Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008; Testa Silva et al. 2014;

Mohan et al. 2015; Molnár et al. 2015; Seeman et al. 2018; Dominguez-Alvaro et al. 2019; Yakoubi et al.

2019a, b; Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022).

For the study of L1 in the human TLN, blocks of neocortical access tissue were sampled from the

temporo-lateral or temporo-basal regions of the inferior temporal gyrus and the gyrus medialis. Immediately

after their removal during epilepsy surgery, biopsy samples of the TLN were immersion-fixed in ice-cold

4% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde diluted in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) for 24-72

hrs at 4°C. The fixative was replaced by fresh fixative after 2 hrs and changed twice during the subsequent

fixation period. Prior to vibratome sectioning, brain tissue samples were thoroughly rinsed in ice-cold PB

and afterwards embedded in 5% Agar-Agar (Sigma, Munich, Germany) diluted in PB.

Neocortical tissue blocks were cut in the coronal plane through the TLN with a Vibratome VT 1000S (Leica

Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) into 150-200 μm thick sections, collected in ice-cold PB, and

washed again several times in PB. Afterwards they were transferred to 0.5-1% PB-sucrose buffered

Osmium tetroxide (OsO_4, 300 mOsm, pH 7.4; Sigma, Munich, Germany) for 60-90 min. After visual

inspection to check for the quality of post-fixation, sections were thoroughly washed several times in PB

and left overnight at 4°C in PB. The next day they were dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol starting

at 20%, 30%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95% to absolute ethanol (15 min for each step and absolute

ethanol, 30 min twice), followed by a brief incubation in propylene oxide (2 min twice; Fluka, Neu-Ulm,

Germany). Sections were then transferred into a mixture of propylene oxide and Durcupan[TM] resin (2:1, 1:1

for 1h each; Fluka, Neu-Ulm, Germany) and stored overnight in pure resin. The next day, sections were flat

embedded on coated glass slides in fresh Durcupan[TM], coverslipped with Acla[TM] foils and polymerized at

60°C for 2 days.

Semi- and ultrathin sectioning

After light microscopic (LM) inspection, a tissue block containing the region of interest (ROI) was glued on a pre-polymerized block and trimmed down. Semithin sections were cut with a Leica UltracutS ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria), with a Histo-Diamond knife (Fa. Diatome, Nidau, Switzerland). Afterwards they were briefly stained with methylene-blue (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany) to identify the cortical layers, particularly L1 and underlying L2, examined and documented using a motorized Olympus BX61 microscope equipped with the Olympus CellSense analysis hard- and software (Olympus GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). All images were stored in a database until further use.

After further trimming of the block to its final size, serial ultrathin sections (50 ± 5 nm thickness; silver to silver gray interference contrast) were cut with a Leica UltracutS ultramicrotome through the determined ROI of L1a and L1b, respectively. Ultrathin sections in serial sequence were collected on pioloform-coated slot copper grids (Plano, Wetzlar, Germany). Prior to EM examination, sections were stained with 5% aqueous uranyl acetate or Uranyless (Science Services, Munich, Germany) for 15–20 min and lead citrate for 3–5 min according to Reynolds (1963) to enhance the contrast of biological membranes at the EM level. In each series of ultrathin sections, two or three ROIs throughout L1a or L1b were chosen, and photographed at a final EM magnification of 8000x with a Zeiss Libra 120 (Fa. Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a Proscan 2K digital camera (Fa. Tröndle, Moorenweis, Germany) using the ImageSP software and its panorama function (Fa. Tröndle, Moorenweis, Germany). In addition, interesting details of synaptic structures in L1a and L1b were taken at various EM magnifications.

All images were stored in a database until further use. Selected EM images for publication were further edited using Adobe Photoshop™ and Adobe Illustrator™ software.

3D-volume reconstructions and quantitative analysis of SBs

EM panorama images composing each series were imported, stacked, and aligned in the reconstruction software OpenCAR (Contour Alignment Reconstruction; for details see Sätzler et al. 2002). The main goal

of this study was to quantify several structural and synaptic parameters representing structural correlates of

synaptic transmission and plasticity. Excitatory SBs were characterized by large round SVs and prominent

PreAZs and PSDs in contrast to putative GABAergic terminals that have smaller, more oval-shaped SVs,

and a thin or no PSDs.

The following structural parameters were analyzed in randomly selected excitatory SBs: (1) surface area

and volume of SBs; (2) volume of mitochondria; (3) surface area of PreAZs (Dufour et al. 2016) and PSDs;

two apposed membrane specializations separated by the synaptic cleft; (4) number and diameter of clear

SVs and dense-core vesicles (DCVs); and (5) distance of individual SVs from the PreAZ for the structural

definition of the RRP, RP, and resting pool. 3D-volume reconstructions were generated by drawing contour

lines on the structures of interest in each panorama image within a series of images until a given structure

was completed. Presynaptic SBs, their mitochondria as well as their postsynaptic target structures were

outlined on the outer edge of their membranes, using closed contour lines throughout the entire stack within

a series. A SB was considered completely captured, when it was possible to follow the axon in both

directions through the entire series (*en passant* SBs) or the enlargement of the axon leading to an

endterminal SB. The beginning of a synaptic terminal was defined by the typical widening of the axon and

the abrupt occurrence of a pool of SVs.

The PreAZs and PSDs were regarded as complete when their perimeters were entirely reconstructed in

a series of ultrathin sections. The surface areas of the PreAZ and PSD were computed separately by first

generating a 3D surface model of the SB. The PreAZ was then measured by extracting this area from the

reconstructed presynaptic bouton membrane that was covered by this membrane specialization. Hence, the

length (L) of the PreAZ (L PreAZ) and the surface area (SA) of the PreAZ (SA PreAZ) is already known.

The size of the PSD opposing the PreAZ was estimated under the following assumptions: (1) both

membrane specializations, PreAZ and PSD run parallel to each other at the pre- and postsynaptic apposition

zone; (2) for both membrane specializations a contour line was drawn determining their actual length (L

PreAZ and L PSD). Hence, the surface area of the PSD (SA PSD) is estimated by the following equation:

698   **SA PSD = SA PreAZ ∗ L PSD/L PreAZ**

which is the perimeter ratio between the outlines of the PSD to that of the synaptic contact.

The synaptic cleft width was measured because of its importance for the transient temporal and spatial

increase of the glutamate concentration, reversible binding of glutamate to appropriate glutamate receptors

and eventual up-take and diffusion of glutamate out of the synaptic cleft. To a large extent, these processes

are governed by the geometry of this structure and the shape and size of the PreAZs and PSDs.

All SVs were marked throughout each SB, their diameters were individually measured and their

distances to the PreAZ were automatically detected using an algorithm implemented in OpenCAR (minimal

distance between each SV membrane to the contour line of the PreAZ) throughout the SB in every single

image of the series. Large DCVs were only counted in the image where they appeared largest. To avoid

double counts, only clear ring-like structures were counted as SVs. However, SVs might be missed in

densely packed regions, because ring-like traces may partly overlap. This effect may counteract any double

counts. Based on the small extent and the partially counteracting nature of this effect, the numbers of small

clear vesicles reported in this study remained uncorrected (Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b, Schmuhl-Giesen et al.

2022).

In this work, aldehyde fixation was used that is thought to induce tissue shrinkage thereby biasing

structural quantification (Eyre et al. 2007; Korogod et al. 2015). A direct comparison of structural

parameters obtained from either aldehyde or cryo-fixed and substituted tissue samples (Korogod et al.

2015), showed differences in cortical thickness (~16% larger in cryo-fixed material), in the volume of

extracellular space (~6-fold larger in cryo-fixed material), a slight increase in glial volume and overall

density of synaptic contacts (~14% in cryo-fixed material), but no significant differences in neuronal

structures such as axons, dendrites and SV diameter.

In the structural and synaptic parameters as estimated here, no significant difference was found for SB

size and other synaptic subelements such as mitochondria, active zones (AZs) and SVs when compared

with other studies (Zhao et al. 2012a, b). Therefore, no corrections for shrinkage were applied and we are

thus convinced that the synaptic parameters reported here are accurate and can be directly used for detailed

computational models. In addition, large-scale preservation for ultrastructural analysis will therefore

continue to rely on chemical fixation approaches, due to the limited preservation of the ultrastructure in

cryo-fixed material as stated in Korogod and co-workers (2015).

Golgi-Cox impregnation of biopsy material in L4 of the human TLN

To get an impression about the neuronal organization of the human TLN, four tissue blocks were processed

with the Golgi-Cox impregnation technique using the commercially available Hito Golgi-Cox OptimStain

kit (Hitobiotec Corp, Kingsport, TE, USA). After removal of the biopsy samples, tissues were briefly rinsed

twice in double distilled water (dd H_2O), and then transferred into impregnation solution 1 overnight at

room temperature. The next day, tissue samples were incubated in fresh impregnation solution 1 and stored

for 14 days in the dark at room temperature. The samples blocks were then transferred in solution 3 and

kept in the dark at room temperature for one day. Thereafter, they were placed into fresh solution 3 in the

dark at room temperature for 6 additional days. Then, solution 3 was exchanged and samples were stored

at 4°C in the dark overnight. Tissue blocks were embedded in 5% agarose (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany)

diluted in ddH_2O, and sectioned with a vibratome in the coronal plane at 100–250 μm thickness and then

transferred to ddH_2O.

After careful removal of the agarose, free-floating sections were incubated into solution 3 for 2–3 min

in the dark at room temperature, and right after placed into ddH_2O, washed several times and stored

overnight. Afterwards, they were rinsed twice in ddH_2O for 4 min each, and dehydrated in 50%, 70% and

95% ethanol for 5 min each, then transferred into absolute ethanol (3 × 5 min), defatted in xylene, embedded

in Eukitt™ (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Taufkirchen, Germany), finally coverslipped and air-dried.

Afterwards, sections were examined and imaged with an Olympus BX 61 LM equipped with the CellSense

software package (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany) at various magnifications and images were stored in a

database until further use.

Stereological estimation of the density of L1 synaptic contacts in the human TLN

The density of synaptic complexes, composed either between an SB with a dendrite or spine, in a given

volume is a valuable parameter to assess the structural and functional changes in the brain, which are linked

to the age, pathological or experimental conditions (Rakic et al. 1994; DeFelipe et al. 1999). The density

of synaptic contacts was unbiasedly estimated in L1, separated for L1a and L1b, from four patients,

respectively (Supplemental Table 2; Source Data 01) using the physical dissector technique (Mayhew 1996;

Fiala and Harris 2001) by counting the synaptic complexes in a virtual volume generated by two adjacent

ultrathin sections that is the dissector: the reference section and the look-up section. Here, counting was

performed using FIJI (Schindelin et al. 2012) on a stack of 20 aligned serial electron micrographs for each

patient taken from the series of ultrathin sections used for the 3D-volume reconstructions of SBs in L1. An

unbiased counting frame was first set and synaptic contacts to be considered (counted) are the one present

in the reference section only and meeting the following criteria: presence of a PreAZ and a prominent or

thin PSD separated by a synaptic cleft and SVs in the presynaptic terminal. Care was taken to distinguish

between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic contacts, as well as the postsynaptic target structures (dendritic

shafts or spines). Finally, the density of synaptic contacts (Nv) per 1 mm^3 was calculated using the formula

below:

$$\mathbf{Nv = \sum Qd / \sum Vd}$$

where Qd is the number of synaptic contacts per dissector and Vd is the volume of the dissector given by:

Number of dissectors x frame area x section thickness.

TEM tomography of L1 SBs in the human TLN

EM tomography was performed on 200-300 nm thick sections cut from blocks prepared for serial ultrathin

sectioning as described above (Table 2; Source Data 03). Sections were mounted on pioloform-coated line

copper grids and were counterstained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate following a slightly modified

staining protocol as described by Reynolds (1963).

Subsequently, sections were examined with a JEOL JEM 1400Plus, operating at 120 kV and equipped with

a 4096×4096 pixels CMOS camera (TemCam-F416, TVIPS, Gauting, Germany). Tilt-series were acquired

automatically over an angular range of −60° to +60° at 1°-degree increments using Serial EM (Mastronarde

2005). Stack alignment and reconstruction by filtered backprojection were carried out using the software

package iMOD (Kremer et al. 1996). Final reconstructions were ultimately filtered using a median filter

with a window size of 3 pixels. Tilt-series were stored as .tif files and were further processed using the

freely available software Imod 4.9.12 and ImageJ (ImageJ, RRID:SCR-0033070). In each tilt-series so-

called 'docked' SVs identified by their fusion with the PreAZ or as omega-shaped body that already

released a quantum of neurotransmitter were counted separately for L1a and L1b and for their target

structures, dendritic shafts or spines in randomly selected SBs.

To determine possible differences to the values obtained by the 3D-reconstructions, in the same tilt-series

binned at 24 nm (section thickness) the diameter of SVs was measured randomly by using OpenCAR as

described above. To avoid double counts and underestimations of the diameter, the ring-shaped structure of

an SV was compared on each tilted-slice and only marked if it appears largest. In 18 tilt-series each consisting

of 9-14 tilt-slices, randomly selected SBs were investigated (L1a: 8 tilt series; 16 SBs with a total of 2094

SVs; L1b: 10 tilt series; 22 SBs with a total of 3740 SVs).

Quantitative analysis of the astrocytic coverage

Although methodologically associated with the possibility of a certain degree of error, astrocytic processes

around synaptic complexes were mainly identified by their relatively clear cytoplasm compared to synaptic

boutons or dendritic profiles; in addition by their irregular, stellate shape, by the presence of glycogen

granules and bundles of intermediate filaments (Peters et al. 1991; Ventura and Harris 1999). With greater

accuracy, astrocytic processes can be identified with immunolabeling, but this leads to poorer tissue

preservation and resolution of cellular structures such as AZs. Among studies in rats (e.g. Rollenhagen et

al. 2015; 2018) in a previous study on the human TLN (Yakoubi et al. 2019a) immunohistochemistry against

glutamine synthetase, a key enzyme in astrocytes, was carried out. Since similar results were obtained

without immunohistochemistry when identifying astrocytic processes around AZs, immunohistochemistry

was omitted in the series of follow-up studies on the human TLN.

To quantify the volume contribution of astrocytic processes in the human neocortex, the interactive

software ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012) was used. The first, the middle, and the last images of an individual

EM series were used for a further quantitative volumetric analysis (Source Data 04, sheet 01). In each section

of the same series used for the 3D-volume reconstructions, a grid (grid size 1×1 μm^2) was placed over the

EM image, and in each square, the abundance of fine astrocytic processes was documented throughout these

images and averaged. Using the Cavalieri method [Unbiased Stereology: Three-Dimensional Measurement

in Microscopy (Advanced Methods) Paperback-January 7, 2005, by Vyvyan Howard Matthew Reed], the

(absolute) volume contribution of astrocytic processes was determined according to the Cavalieri estimator:

812   **V=a(p)×ΣP×t**

where a(p) is the size of one square (0.8×0.8 μm2), P is the number of squares counted, and t is the

thickness of the slice.

In addition, in consecutive sections spanning the complete AZ , the astrocytic coverage was evaluated

under the following criteria: fine astrocytic processes were observed on 1) both sides of the AZ reaching as

far as the synaptic cleft, 2) only one side of the AZ was covered by fine astrocytic processes and 3) AZs

without astrocytic processes (Source Data 04, sheet 02). Values obtained for L1 (L1a: n = 3 patients; L1b:

3 patients) either for SBs terminating on dendritic spines (L1a: n = 128; L1b: n=126) or shafts (L1a: n= 48;

L1b: n = 44) were compared to L4 (n = 5 patients; dendritic spines n=93; dendritic shafts n=41). Layer 4

was chosen because the volumetric analysis showed the highest portion of astrocytic processes in this layer

compared to all other cortical layers. All values are given as percentages.

Statistical analysis

The mean value ± standard deviation (SD), the median with the interquartile range (IQR), the coefficient

of variation (CV), skewness, variance, and the coefficient of correlation (R^2) were given for each structural

parameter analyzed. The p-value was considered significant only if $p < 0.05$. Box- and Violin plots (Plotly

4.0.0 https://chart-studio.plotly.com) were generated to investigate inter-individual differences for each

patient and structural parameter (Supplemental Figure 1, 2; Source Data 02).

To test for significant differences, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis H-test with a subsequent Mann-

Whitney u-test analysis was performed, using PAST 4.02 (Hammer et al. 2001). Correlation graphs between

several structural parameters were then generated (Figure 4). The R^2-values were interpreted as follows: 0,

no linear correlation; 0-0.5, weak linear correlation; 0.5-0.8, good linear correlation; and 0.8-1.0, strong

linear correlation. Furthermore, a freely available Fisher's r-to-z-transformation calculator (Fisher's z) was

used to test for differences in R^2 between L1a and L1b (p-value < 0.05).

For statistical comparison with other layers of the human TLN, L1a and L1b were grouped together as L1.

Parts of the data acquisition were carried out in the context of a PhD Thesis (Sadeghi Dastjerdi A.

2024).Values obtained in the present study for L1 were compared partially to those obtained for L2, L3

(Girwert Ch., PhD Thesis in preparation), L4, L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019a, b; Yakoubi R., PhD Thesis 2023)

and L6 (Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our technicians Brigitte Marshallsay and Tayfun Palaz for their excellent technical
assistance. Furthermore, the constant financial support of the Helmholtz Society is very much
acknowledged.

References

Allone, C., Lo Buono, V., Corallo, F., Pisani, L.R., Pollicino, P., Bramanti, P., and Marino, S. (2017).
Neuroimaging and cognitive functions in temporal lobe epilepsy: A review of the literature. J. Neurol. Sci.
381, 7-15. 10.1016/j.jns.2017.08.007.

Alonso-Nanclares, L., Gonzalez-Soriano, J., Rodriguez, J.R., and DeFelipe, J. (2008). Gender differences
in human cortical synaptic density. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 14615-14619.
10.1073/pnas.0803652105.

Anstötz, M., Cosgrove, K.E., Hack, I., Mugnaini, E., Maccaferri, G., Lübke, J.H.R. (2014), Morphology,
input-output relations and synaptic connectivity of Cajal-Retzius cells in layer 1 of the developing neocortex
of CXCR4-EGFP mice. Brain Struct. Funct. 219, 2119-2139. 10.1007/s00429-013-0627-2.

Bastos, A.M., Usrey, W.M., Adams, R.A., Mangun, G.R., Fries, P., and Friston, K.J. (2012). Canonical
microcircuits for predictive coding. Neuron 76, 695-711. 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.10.038.

Blazquez-Llorca, L., Merchan-Perez, A., Rodriguez, J.R., Gascon, J., and DeFelipe, J. (2013). FIB/SEM
technology and Alzheimer's disease: three-dimensional analysis of human cortical synapses. J. Alzheimers
Dis. 34, 995-1013. 10.3233/JAD-122038.

Boldog, E., Bakken, T.E., Hodge, R.D., Novotny, M., Aevermann, B.D., Baka, J., Borde, S., Close, J.L., et
al. (2018). Transcriptomic and morphophysiological evidence for a specialized human cortical GABAergic
cell type. Nat. Neurosci. 21,1185-1195.

Bopp, R., Holler-Rickauer, S., Martin, K.A.C., and Schuhknecht, G.F. (2017). An Ultrastructural Study of
the Thalamic Input to Layer 4 of Primary Motor and Primary Somatosensory Cortex in the Mouse. J.
Neurosci. 37, 2435-2448. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2557-16.2017.

Buchs, P.A., Muller, D. (1996), Induction of long-term potentiation is associated with major ultrastructural
changes of activated synapses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 8040-8045.

Bystron, I., Blakemore, C., and Rakic, P. (2008). Development of the human cerebral cortex: Boulder
Committee revisited. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 9, 110-122. 10.1038/nrn2252.

Cajal, S.R. (1911). Histology of the nervous system of man and vertebrates. History of Neuroscience
(Oxford Univ Press, New York, 1995).

Cano-Astorga, N., DeFelipe, J., and Alonso-Nanclares, L. (2021). Three-Dimensional Synaptic
Organization of Layer III of the Human Temporal Neocortex. Cereb. Cortex 31, 4742-4764.
10.1093/cercor/bhab120.

Cano-Astorga N, Plaza-Alonso S, DeFelipe J, Alonso-Nanclares L (2023), 3D synaptic organization of layer
III of the human anterior cingulate and temporopolar cortex. Cereb. Cortex 33, 9691-9708.
10.1093/cercor/bhad232.

Cano-Astorga N, Plaza-Alonso S, Turegano-Lopez M, Rodrigo-Rodriguez J, Merchan-Perez A, DeFelipe
J. (2024). Unambiguous identification of asymmetric and symmetric synapses using volume electron
microscopy. Front Neuroanat 18:1348032.

Chamberland, S., and Toth, K. (2016). Functionally heterogeneous synaptic vesicle pools support diverse
synaptic signalling. J. Physiol. 594, 825-835. 10.1113/JP270194.

Colliver TL, Pyott SJ, Achalabun M, Ewing AG. 2000. VMAT-Mediated changes in quantal size and
vesicular volume. J Neurosci 20:5276-5282.

Cooper, J.A. (2008). A mechanism for inside-out lamination in the neocortex. Trends Neurosci. 31, 113-
119. 10.1016/j.tins.2007.12.003

Dallerac, G., Zapata, J., and Rouach, N. (2018). Versatile control of synaptic circuits by astrocytes: where,
when and how? Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 19, 729-743. 10.1038/s41583-018-0080-6.

Deak F, Schoch S, Liu X, Südhof TC, Kavalali ET. 2004. Synaptobrevin is essential for fast synaptic-vesicle
endocytosis. Nat Cell Biol 6:1102-1108.

DeFelipe, J., Marco, P., Busturia, I., and Merchan-Perez, A. (1999). Estimation of the number of synapses
in the cerebral cortex: methodological considerations. Cereb. Cortex 9, 722-732. 10.1093/cercor/9.7.722.

DeFelipe, J., Oroquieta, J., Arellano, J.I., Alonso, L., and Munoz, A. (2002). The neuropathology of
temporal lobe epilepsy: primary and secondary changes in the cortical circuits and epileptogenicity. Rev.
Neurol. 34, 401-408.

Deller, T., Korte, M., Chabanis, S., Drakew, A., Schwegler, H., Stefani, G.G., Zuniga, A., Schwarz, K.,
Bonhoeffer, T., Zeller, R., et al. (2003). Synaptopodin-deficient mice lack a spine apparatus and show
deficits in synaptic plasticity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 10494-10499. 10.1073/pnas.1832384100.

Denker, A., and Rizzoli, S.O. (2010). Synaptic vesicle pools: an update. Front. Synaptic. Neurosci. 2, 135.
10.3389/fnsyn.2010.00135.

Desmond, N.L., Weinberg, R.J. (1998), Enhanced expression of AMPA receptor protein at perforated
axospinous synapses. Neuroreport. 9, 857-860.

Dominguez-Alvaro, M., Montero-Crespo, M., Blazquez-Llorca, L., DeFelipe, J., and Alonso-Nanclares, L.
(2019). 3D Electron Microscopy Study of Synaptic Organization of the Normal Human Transentorhinal
Cortex and Its Possible Alterations in Alzheimer's Disease. eNeuro 6. 10.1523/ENEURO.0140-19.2019

Dominguez-Alvaro, M., Montero-Crespo, M., Blazquez-Llorca, L., DeFelipe, J., and Alonso-Nanclares, L.
(2021). 3D Ultrastructural Study of Synapses in the Human Entorhinal Cortex. Cereb. Cortex 31, 410-425.
10.1093/cercor/bhaa233.

Dufour, A., Rollenhagen, A., Sätzler, K., and Lübke, J.H.R. (2016). Development of Synaptic Boutons in
Layer 4 of the Barrel Field of the Rat Somatosensory Cortex: A Quantitative Analysis. Cereb. Cortex 26,
838-854. 10.1093/cercor/bhv270.

Economo, C. F. von and Koskinas, G. N. (1925) Die Cytoarchitektonik der Hirnrinde des erwachsenen
Menschen, J. Springer, Berlin und Wien. 10.1007/978-3-642-37824-9.

Eyre, M.D., Freund, T.F., and Gulyas, A.I. (2007). Quantitative ultrastructural differences between local
and medial septal GABAergic axon terminals in the rat hippocampus. Neuroscience 149, 537-548.
10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.08.006.

Fiala, J.C., and Harris, K.M. (2001). Extending unbiased stereology of brain ultrastructure to three-
dimensional volumes. J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc. 8, 1-16. 10.1136/jamia.2001.0080001.

Finnema, S.J., Nabulsi, N.B., Eid, T., Detyniecki, K., Lin, S.F., Chen, M.K., Dhaher, R., Matuskey, D.,
Baum, E., Holden, D., et al. (2016). Imaging synaptic density in the living human brain. Sci. Transl. Med.
8, 348-396. 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf66.

Freche, D., Pannasch, U., Rouach, N., and Holcman, D. (2011). Synapse geometry and receptor dynamics
modulate synaptic strength. PLoS One *6*, e25122. 10.1371/journal.pone.0025122.

Ganeshina, O., Berry, R.W., Petralia, R.S., Nicholson, D.A., Geinisman, Y. (2004), Differences in the
expression of AMPA and NMDA receptors between axospinous perforated and nonperforated synapses are
related to the configuration and size of postsynaptic densities. J. Comp. Neurol. 468, 86-95.

Geinisman, Y., Morrell. F., deToledo-Morrell, L. (1992), Increase in the number of axospinous synapses
with segmented postsynaptic densities following hippocampal kindling. Brain Res. 569, 341-347.

Ghijsen, W.E., and Leenders, A.G. (2005). Differential signaling in presynaptic neurotransmitter release.
Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 62, 937-954. 10.1007/s00018-004-4525-0.

Gilbert, C.D., and Li, W. (2013). Top-down influences on visual processing. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 14, 350-
363. 10.1038/nrn3476.

Hartung, J., and Letzkus, J.J. (2021). Inhibitory plasticity in layer 1 - dynamic gatekeeper of neocortical
associations. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 67, 26-33. 10.1016/j.conb.2020.06.003.

Hammer, Ø., Harper, A.T., and Ryan P.D. (2001). Past: paleontological statistics software package for
education   and   data   analysis.   Palaeontologia   Electronica.   4,   1–9;   https://palaeo-
electronica.org/2001_1/past/issue1_01.htm.

Harris KM, Sultan P. 1995. Variation in the number, location and size of synaptic vesicles provides an
anatomical basis for the nonuniform probability of release at hippocampal CA1 synapses.
Neuropharmacology 34:1387-1395.

Haydon, P.G., and Carmignoto, G. (2006). Astrocyte control of synaptic transmission and neurovascular
coupling. Physiol. Rev. 86, 1009-1031. 10.1152/physrev.00049.2005.

Heeger, D.J. (2017). Theory of cortical function. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 114, 1773-1782.
10.1073/pnas.1619788114.

Holderith, N., Lorincz, A., Katona, G., Rozsa, B., Kulik, A., Watanabe, M., and Nusser, Z. (2012). Release
probability of hippocampal glutamatergic terminals scales with the size of the active zone. Nat. Neurosci.
15, 988-997. 10.1038/nn.3137.

Hsu, A., Luebke, J.I., and Medalla, M. (2017). Comparative ultrastructural features of excitatory synapses
in the visual and frontal cortices of the adult mouse and monkey. J. Comp. Neurol. 525, 2175-2191.
10.1002/cne.24196.

Hu Y, Qu L, Schikorski T. 2008. Mean synaptic vesicle size varies among individual excitatory
hippocampal synapses. Synapse 62:953-957.

Huang S, Wu SJ, Sansone G, Ibrahim LA, Fishell G. 2024. Layer 1 neocortex: Gating and integrating
multidimensional signals. Neuron 112:184-200.

Imig C, Min SW, Krinner S, Arancillo M, Rosenmund C, Sudhof TC, Rhee J, Brose N, Cooper BH. 2014.
The morphological and molecular nature of synaptic vesicle priming at presynaptic active zones. Neuron
84:416-431.

Insausti, R. (2013). Comparative neuroanatomical parcellation of the human and nonhuman primate
temporal pole. J. Comp. Neurol. 521, 4163-4176. 10.1002/cne.23431.

Jiang, X., Wang, G., Lee, A.J., Stornetta, R.L., and Zhu, J.J. (2013). The organization of two new cortical
interneuronal circuits. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 210-218. 10.1038/nn.3305.

Kiernan, J.A. (2012). Anatomy of the temporal lobe. Epilepsy Res. Treat 2012, 176157.
10.1155/2012/176157.

Knott, G., and Holtmaat, A. (2008). Dendritic spine plasticity--current understanding from in vivo studies.
Brain Res. Rev. 58, 282-289. 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.01.002.

Korogod, N., Petersen, C.C., and Knott, G.W. (2015). Ultrastructural analysis of adult mouse neocortex
comparing aldehyde perfusion with cryo fixation. Elife 4. 10.7554/eLife.05793.

Kremer, J.R., Mastronarde, D.N., and McIntosh, J.R. (1996). Computer visualization of three-dimensional
image data using IMOD. J. Struct. Biol. 116, 71-76. 10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013.

Kwon, T., Merchan-Perez, A., Rial Verde, E.M., Rodriguez, J.R., DeFelipe, J., Yuste, R. (2019),
Ultrastructural, Molecular and Functional Mapping of GABAergic Synapses on Dendritic Spines and Shafts
of Neocortical Pyramidal Neurons. Cerebral Cortex 29, 2771-2781.

Le Meur, K., Mendizabal-Zubiaga, J., Grandes, P., and Audinat, E. (2012). GABA release by hippocampal
astrocytes. Front. Comput. Neurosci. 6, 59. 10.3389/fncom.2012.00059.

Luskin, M.B., and Shatz, C.J. (1985a). Neurogenesis of the cat's primary visual cortex. J. Comp. Neurol.
242, 611-631. 10.1002/cne.902420409.

Luskin, M.B., and Shatz, C.J. (1985b). Studies of the earliest generated cells of the cat's visual cortex:
cogeneration of subplate and marginal zones. J. Neurosci. 5, 1062-1075. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.05-04-
01062.1985.

Lübke, J., and Feldmeyer D (2007). Excitatory signal flow and connectivity in a cortical column: focus on
barrel cortex. Brain Struct Funct 212, 3-17. 10.1007/s00429-007-0144-2.

Mansvelder, H.D., Verhoog, M.B., and Goriounova, N.A. (2019). Synaptic plasticity in human cortical
circuits: cellular mechanisms of learning and memory in the human brain? Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 54, 186-
193. 10.1016/j.conb.2018.06.013.

Marco, P., and DeFelipe, J. (1997). Altered synaptic circuitry in the human temporal neocortex removed
from epileptic patients. Exp. Brain Res. 114, 1-10. 10.1007/pl00005608.

Marin-Padilla, M. (1978). Dual origin of the mammalian neocortex and evolution of the cortical plate. Anat.
Embryol. (Berl) 152, 109-126. 10.1007/BF00315920.

Marin-Padilla M. (1998). Cajal-Retzius cells and the development of the neocortex. Trends Neurosci 21:64-
71.

Marrone, D.F., LeBoutillier, J.C., and Petit, T.L. (2005). Ultrastructural correlates of vesicular docking in
the rat dentate gyrus. Neurosci. Lett. 378, 92-97. 10.1016/j.neulet.2004.12.019.

Martinez-Cerdeno, V., Noctor, S.C. (2014), Cajal, Retzius, and Cajal-Retzius cells. Front. Neuroanat. 8, 48.

Mastronarde DN. 2005. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen
movements. J Struct Biol 152:36-51.

Matz, J., Gilyan, A., Kolar, A., McCarvill, T., and Krueger, S.R. (2010). Rapid structural alterations of the
active zone lead to sustained changes in neurotransmitter release. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 8836-
8841. 10.1073/pnas.0906087107.

Mayhew, T.M. (1996). How to count synapses unbiasedly and efficiently at the ultrastructural level:
proposal for a standard sampling and counting protocol. J. Neurocytol. 25, 793-804. 10.1007/BF02284842.

Min, R., and Nevian, T. (2012). Astrocyte signaling controls spike timing-dependent depression at
neocortical synapses. Nat. Neurosci. 15, 746-753. 10.1038/nn.3075.

Mohan, H., Verhoog, M.B., Doreswamy, K.K., Eyal, G., Aardse, R., Lodder, B.N., Goriounova, N.A.,
Asamoah, B., AB, B.B., Groot, C., et al. (2015). Dendritic and Axonal Architecture of Individual Pyramidal
Neurons across Layers of Adult Human Neocortex. Cereb. Cortex 25, 4839-4853. 10.1093/cercor/bhv188.

Molnár, G., Rozsa, M., Baka, J., Holderith, N., Barzo, P., Nusser, Z., and Tamas, G. (2016). Human
pyramidal to interneuron synapses are mediated by multi-vesicular release and multiple docked vesicles.
Elife 5. 10.7554/eLife.18167.

Mukherjee, K., Yang, X., Gerber S.H., Kwon, H.B., Ho, A., Castillo, P.E., Liu, X., and Südhof, T.C. (2010).
Piccolo and bassoon maintain synaptic vesicle clustering without directly participating in vesicle exocytosis.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 6504-6509. 10.1073/pnas.1002307107.

Muth S, Moschref F, Freckmann L, Mutschall S, Hojas-Garcia-Plaza I, Bahr JN, Petrovic A, Thanh Thao
D, Schwarze V, Archit A, Weyand K, Michanski S, Maus L, Imig C, Brose N, Wichmann C et al. (2024).
SynapseNet: Deep Learning for Automatic Synapse Reconstruction. bioRxiv preprint doi:
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.02.626387.

Nava, N., Chen, F., Wegener, G., Popoli. M., Nyengaard, J.R. (2014), A new efficient method for synaptic
vesicle quantification reveals differences between medial prefrontal cortex perforated and nonperforated
synapses. J. Comp. Neurol. 522, 284-297.

Neher, E. (2015). Merits and Limitations of Vesicle Pool Models in View of Heterogeneous Populations of
Synaptic Vesicles. Neuron 87, 1131-1142. 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.038.

Obermayer, J., Heistek, T.S., Kerkhofs, A., Goriounova, N.A., Kroon, T., Baayen, J.C., Idema, S., Testa-
Silva, G., Couey, J.J., and Mansvelder, H.D. (2018). Lateral inhibition by Martinotti interneurons is
facilitated by cholinergic inputs in human and mouse neocortex. Nat. Commun. 9, 4101. 10.1038/s41467-
018-06628-w.

Oliet, S.H.R., Piet, R., Poulain, D.A., and Theodosis, D.T. (2004). Glial modulation of synaptic
transmission: Insights from the supraoptic nucleus of the hypothalamus. Glia 47, 258-267.
10.1002/glia.20032.

Palomero-Gallagher, N., and Zilles, K. (2019). Cortical layers: Cyto-, myelo-, receptor- and synaptic
architecture in human cortical areas. Neuroimage 197, 716-741. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.035.

Pannasch, U., Freche, D., Dallerac, G., Ghezali, G., Escartin, C., Ezan, P., Cohen-Salmon, M., Benchenane,
K., Abudara, V., Dufour, A., et al. (2014). Connexin 30 sets synaptic strength by controlling astroglial
synapse invasion. Nat. Neurosci. 17, 549-558. 10.1038/nn.3662.

Peters, A., Josephson, K., Vincent, S.L. (1991), Effects of aging on the neuroglial cells and pericytes within
area 17 of the rhesus monkey cerebral cortex. Anat. Rec. 229, 384-398.

Perkins, G.A., Tjong, J., Brown, J.M., Poquiz, P.H., Scott, R.T., Kolson, D.R., Ellisman, M.H., and Spirou,
G.A. (2010). The micro-architecture of mitochondria at active zones: electron tomography reveals novel
anchoring scaffolds and cristae structured for high-rate metabolism. J. Neurosci. 30, 1015-1026.

Pothos EN, Mosharov E, Liu KP, Setlik W, Haburcak M, Baldini G, Gershon MD, Tamir H, Sulzer D. 2002.
Stimulation-dependent regulation of the pH, volume and quantal size of bovine and rodent secretory
vesicles. J Physiol 542:453-476.

Pozzan, T., and Rizzuto, R. (2000). The renaissance of mitochondrial calcium transport. Eur. J. Biochem.
267, 5269-5273. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1517-09.2010.

Prume, M., Rollenhagen, A., Yakoubi, R., Sätzler, K., and Lübke, J.H. (2020). Quantitative Three-
Dimensional Reconstructions of Excitatory Synaptic Boutons in the "Barrel Field" of the Adult "Reeler"
Mouse Somatosensory Neocortex: A Comparative Fine-Scale Electron Microscopic Analysis with the Wild
Type Mouse. Cereb. Cortex 30, 3209-3227. 10.1093/cercor/bhz304.

Qu L, Akbergenova Y, Hu Y, Schikorski T. 2009. Synapse-to-synapse variation in mean synaptic vesicle
size and its relationship with synaptic morphology and function. J Comp Neurol 514:343-352.

Rakic, P., Bourgeois, J.P., and Goldman-Rakic, P.S. (1994). Synaptic development of the cerebral cortex:
implications for learning, memory, and mental illness. Prog. Brain Res. 102, 227-243. 10.1016/S0079-
6123(08)60543-9.

Reynolds, E.S. (1963), The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron microscopy.
J Cell Biol 17, 208-212. 10.1083/jcb.17.1.208.

Retzius, G. (1893). Die Cajal'schen Zellen der Grosshirnrinde beim Menschen und bei Säugerthieren. Biol.
Untersuchungen 5, 1.

Retzius, G. (1894). Weitere Beitrage zur Kenntniss der Cajal'schen Zellen der Grosshirnrinde des
Menschen. Biol. Untersuchungen 6, 29–34

Rizzoli, S.O., and Betz, W.J. (2004). The structural organization of the readily releasable pool of synaptic
vesicles. Science 303, 2037-2039. 10.1126/science.1094682.

Rizzoli, S.O., and Betz, W.J. (2005). Synaptic vesicle pools. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 6, 57-69. 10.1038/nrn1583.

Rizzuto, R., Bernardi, P., and Pozzan, T. (2000). Mitochondria as all-round players of the calcium game. J.
Physiol. *529*, 37-47. 10.1111/j.1469-7793.2000.00037.x.

Rockland, K.S., and DeFelipe, J. (2018). Editorial: Why Have Cortical Layers? What Is the Function of
Layering? Do Neurons in Cortex Integrate Information Across Different Layers? Front. Neuroanat. 12, 78.
10.3389/fnana.2018.00078.

Rollenhagen, A., Sätzler, K., Rodriguez, E.P., Jonas, P., Frotscher, M., and Lübke, J.H. (2007). Structural
determinants of transmission at large hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. J. Neurosci. 27, 10434-10444.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1946-07.2007.

Rollenhagen, A., Klook, K., Sätzler, K., Qi, G., Anstötz, M., Feldmeyer, D., and Lübke, J.H.R. (2015).
Structural determinants underlying the high efficacy of synaptic transmission and plasticity at synaptic
boutons in layer 4 of the adult rat 'barrel cortex'. Brain Struct. Funct. 220, 3185-3209. 10.1007/s00429-014-
0850-5.

Rollenhagen, A., Ohana, O., Sätzler, K., Hilgetag, C.C., Kuhl, D., and Lübke, J.H.R. (2018). Structural
Properties of Synaptic Transmission and Temporal Dynamics at Excitatory Layer 5B Synapses in the Adult
Rat Somatosensory Cortex. Front. Synaptic. Neurosci. 10, 24. 10.3389/fnsyn.2018.00024.

Rosenmund, C., and Stevens, C.F. (1996). Definition of the readily releasable pool of vesicles at
hippocampal synapses. Neuron 16, 1197-1207. 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80146-4.

Sadeghi Dastjerdi, A. (2024) Ultrastructural sublamina-specific diversity of excitatory synaptic boutons in
layer 1 in the adult human temporal lobe neocortex: a qualitative and quantitative electron microscopic
analysis. PhD Thesis RWTH Aachen; DOI: 10.18154/RWTH-2024-01652.

Sätzler K, Söhl LF, Bollmann JH, Borst JG, Frotscher M, Sakmann B, Lübke JH. 2002. Three-dimensional
reconstruction of a calyx of Held and its postsynaptic principal neuron in the medial nucleus of the trapezoid
body. J Neurosci 22:10567-10579.

Sakata, S., and Harris, K.D. (2009). Laminar structure of spontaneous and sensory-evoked population
activity in auditory cortex. Neuron 64, 404-418. 10.1016/j.neuron.2009.09.020.

Sanchez-Vives, M.V., and McCormick, D.A. (2000). Cellular and network mechanisms of rhythmic
recurrent activity in neocortex. Nat. Neurosci. *3*, 1027-1034. 10.1038/79848.

Saviane, C., and Silver, R.A. (2006). Fast vesicle reloading and a large pool sustain high bandwidth
transmission at a central synapse. Nature 439, 983-987. 10.1038/nature04509.

Schikorski T, Stevens CF. 1997. Quantitative ultrastructural analysis of hippocampal excitatory synapses. J
Neurosci 17:5858-5867.

Schikorski, T., and Stevens, C.F. (2001). Morphological correlates of functionally defined synaptic vesicle
populations. Nat. Neurosci. 4, 391-395. 10.1038/86042.

Schikorski, T. (2014). Readily releasable vesicles recycle at the active zone of hippocampal synapses. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 5415-5420. 10.1073/pnas.1321541111.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden,
C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Na.t
Methods 9, 676-682. 10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Schmuhl-Giesen, S., Rollenhagen, A., Walkenfort, B., Yakoubi, R., Sätzler, K., Miller, D., von Lehe, M.,
Hasenberg, M., and Lübke, J.H.R. (2022). Sublamina-Specific Dynamics and Ultrastructural Heterogeneity
of Layer 6 Excitatory Synaptic Boutons in the Adult Human Temporal Lobe Neocortex. Cereb. Cortex 32,
1840-1865. 10.1093/cercor/bhab315.

Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671-675. 10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Schuman, B., Machold, R.P., Hashikawa, Y., Fuzik, J., Fishell, G.J., and Rudy, B. (2019). Four Unique
Interneuron Populations Reside in Neocortical Layer 1. J. Neurosci. 39, 125-139.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1613-18.2018.

Schuman, B., Dellal, S., Pronneke, A., Machold, R., and Rudy, B. (2021). Neocortical Layer 1: An Elegant
Solution to Top-Down and Bottom-Up Integration. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 44, 221-252. 10.1146/annurev-
neuro-100520-012117.

Seeman, S.C., Campagnola, L., Davoudian, P.A., Hoggarth, A., Hage, T.A., Bosma-Moody, A., Baker,
C.A., Lee, J.H., Mihalas, S., Teeter, C., et al. (2018). Sparse recurrent excitatory connectivity in the
microcircuit of the adult mouse and human cortex. Elife 7. 10.7554/eLife.37349.

Shapira M, Zhai RG, Dresbach T, Bresler T, Torres VI, Gundelfinger ED, Ziv NE, Garner CC. 2003. Unitary
assembly of presynaptic active zones from Piccolo-Bassoon transport vesicles. Neuron 38:237-252.

Shapson-Coe A, Januszewski M, Berger DR, Pope A, Wu Y, Blakely T, Schalek RL, Li PH, Wang S,
Maitin-Shepard J, Karlupia N, Dorkenwald S, Sjostedt E, Leavitt L, Lee D, Troidl J, Collman F, Bailey L,
Fitzmaurice A, Kar R, Field B, Wu H, Wagner-Carena J, Aley D, Lau J, Lin Z, Wei D, Pfister H, Peleg A,
Jain V, Lichtman JW. 2024. A petavoxel fragment of human cerebral cortex reconstructed at nanoscale
resolution. Science 384:eadk4858.

Silver, R.A., Lübke, J., Sakmann, B., and Feldmeyer, D. (2003). High-probability uniquantal transmission
at excitatory synapses in barrel cortex. Science 302, 1981-1984. 10.1126/science.1087160.

Smith, H.L., Bourne, J.N., Cao, G., Chirillo, M.A., Ostroff, L.E., Watson, D.J., and Harris, K.M. (2016).
Mitochondrial support of persistent presynaptic vesicle mobilization with age-dependent synaptic growth
after LTP. Elife 5. 10.7554/eLife.15275.

Sorra KE, Mishra A, Kirov SA, Harris KM. 2006. Dense core vesicles resemble active-zone transport
vesicles and are diminished following synaptogenesis in mature hippocampal slices. Neuroscience
141:2097-2106.

Südhof, T.C. (2002). Synaptotagmins: why so many? J. Biol. Chem. 277, 7629-7632.
10.1074/jbc.R100052200.

Südhof, T.C. (2012). The presynaptic active zone. Neuron 75, 11-25. 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.012.

Tai, X.Y., Bernhardt, B., Thom, M., Thompson, P., Baxendale, S., Koepp, M., and Bernasconi, N. (2018).
Review: Neurodegenerative processes in temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis: Clinical,
pathological and neuroimaging evidence. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. 44, 70-90. 10.1111/nan.12458.

Tang, Y., Nyengaard, J.R., De Groot, D.M., and Gundersen, H.J. (2001). Total regional and global number
of synapses in the human brain neocortex. Synapse 41, 258-273. 10.1002/syn.1083.

Testa-Silva, G., Verhoog, M.B., Linaro, D., de Kock, C.P., Baayen, J.C., Meredith, R.M., De Zeeuw, C.I.,
Giugliano, M., and Mansvelder, H.D. (2014). High bandwidth synaptic communication and frequency
tracking in human neocortex. PLoS Biol 12, e1002007. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002007.

Vaden, J.H., Banumurthy, G., Gusarevich, E.S., Overstreet-Wadiche, L., and Wadiche, J.I. (2019). The
readily-releasable pool dynamically regulates multivesicular release. Elife 8. 10.7554/eLife.47434.

Ventura, R., Harris, K.M. (1999), Three-dimensional relationships between hippocampal synapses and
astrocytes. J. Neurosci. 19, 6897-6906.

Verhoog, M.B., Obermayer, J., Kortleven, C.A., Wilbers, R., Wester, J., Baayen, J.C., De Kock, C.P.J.,
Meredith, R.M., et al. (2016), Layer-specific cholinergic control of human and mouse cortical synaptic
plasticity. Nat. Commun. 7, 12826. 10.1038/ncomms12826.

Verstreken, P., Ly, C.V., Venken, K.J., Koh, T.W., Zhou, Y., and Bellen, H.J. (2005). Synaptic mitochondria are critical for mobilization of reserve pool vesicles at Drosophila neuromuscular junctions. Neuron 47, 365-378. 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.06.018.

von Gersdorff, H., and Borst, J.G. (2002). Short-term plasticity at the calyx of Held. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 3, 53-64. 10.1038/nrn705.

Watanabe, S., Trimbuch, T., Camacho-Perez, M., Rost, B.R., Brokowski, B., Sohl-Kielczynski, B., Felies, A., Davis, M.W., Rosenmund, C., and Jorgensen, E.M. (2014). Clathrin regenerates synaptic vesicles from endosomes. Nature 515, 228-233. 10.1038/nature13846.

Wittner, L., Magloczky, Z., Borhegyi, Z., Halasz, P., Toth, S., Eross, L., Szabo, Z., Freund, T.F. (2001), Preservation of perisomatic inhibitory input of granule cells in the epileptic human dentate gyrus. Neuroscience 108, 587-600.

Xu, N.L., Harnett, M.T., Williams, S.R., Huber, D., O'Connor, D.H., Svoboda, K., and Magee, J.C. (2012). Nonlinear dendritic integration of sensory and motor input during an active sensing task. Nature 492, 247-251. 10.1038/nature11601.

Xu-Friedman, M.A., and Regehr, W.G. (2003). Ultrastructural contributions to desensitization at cerebellar mossy fiber to granule cell synapses. J. Neurosci. 23, 2182-2192. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-06-02182.2003. 10.1371/journal.pone.0025122.

Yakoubi, R. (2023) Synaptic organization of layer 4 and layer 5 in the adult human temporal lobe neocortex: a quantitative fine-scale electron microscopic study. PhD Thesis RWTH Aachen; DOI: 10.18154/RWTH-2023-07942.

Yakoubi, R., Rollenhagen, A., von Lehe, M., Shao, Y., Sätzler, K., and Lübke, J.H.R. (2019a). Quantitative Three-Dimensional Reconstructions of Excitatory Synaptic Boutons in Layer 5 of the Adult Human Temporal Lobe Neocortex: A Fine-Scale Electron Microscopic Analysis. Cereb. Cortex 29, 2797-2814. 10.1093/cercor/bhy146.

Yakoubi, R., Rollenhagen, A., von Lehe, M., Miller, D., Walkenfort, B., Hasenberg, M., Sätzler, K., and Lübke, J.H. (2019b). Ultrastructural heterogeneity of layer 4 excitatory synaptic boutons in the adult human temporal lobe neocortex. Elife 8. 10.7554/eLife.48373.

Zagha, E. (2020). Shaping the Cortical Landscape: Functions and Mechanisms of Top-Down Cortical Feedback Pathways. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 14, 33. 10.3389/fnsys.2020.00033.

Zhai RG, Vardinon-Friedman H, Cases-Langhoff C, Becker B, Gundelfinger ED, Ziv NE, Garner CC. 2001.
Assembling the presynaptic active zone: a characterization of an active one precursor vesicle. Neuron
29:131-143.

Zhao, S., Studer, D., Chai, X., Graber, W., Brose, N., Nestel, S., Young, C., Rodriguez, E.P., Sätzler, K.,
and Frotscher, M. (2012a). Structural plasticity of hippocampal mossy fiber synapses as revealed by high-
pressure freezing. J. Comp. Neurol. 520, 2340-2351. 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2012.03469.x.

Zhao, S., Studer, D., Chai, X., Graber, W., Brose, N., Nestel, S., Young, C., Rodriguez, E.P., Sätzler, K.,
and Frotscher, M. (2012b). Structural plasticity of spines at giant mossy fiber synapses. Front. Neural
Circuits 6, 103. 10.3389/fncir.2012.00103.

Zhou, Y.D., and Fuster, J.M. (1996). Mnemonic neuronal activity in somatosensory cortex. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. USA 93, 10533-10537. 10.1073/pnas.93.19.10533.

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201 **Authors contributions**

1202 Conceptualization, J.H.R.L. and A.R.; Methodology, J.H.R.L., A.R. and A.S.D.; Investigation, J.H.R.L.,
1203 A.R. and A.S.D.; Writing – Original Draft, J.H.R.L., A.R. and A.S.D.; Writing – Review & Editing,
1204 J.H.R.L., A.R. and A.S.D.; Funding Acquisition, J.H.R.L. Resources, J.H.R.L.; Supervision, J.H.R.L. and
1205 A.R.
1206

1207 **Declaration of interests**

1208 The authors disclose any financial or other interests related to the submitted work that could affect, or have
1209 the perception of affecting, the author's objectivity, or could influence, or have the perception of influencing,
1210 the content of the article.

1211 **Figures and legends**

1212

Figure 1: L1 and L2 in the human TLN as revealed in methylene-blue stained semithin sections, Golgi-
impregnation and low power EM.
A, Methylene-blue stained semithin section of L1a, L1b, and L2. The cell sparse zone contains degenerating
neurons (dark appearance, arrowheads in the framed area) and a cell body (asterisk in the framed area). Note
the sudden increase in the density of neurons at the L1b/L2 border. Scale bar 500 μm. **B, C,** Golgi-
impregnated radial astrocytes in L1a (B) and L1b (C). Scale bars 50 μm. **D,** Group of neurons in L1b
containing lipofuscin granules of various shape and size (asterisks) most prominent in two of the neurons.
Scale bar 50 μm. **E,** Putative CR-cell identifiable by its long horizontally oriented dendrite (marked by
arrowheads) and an inverted putative GABAergic interneuron (asterisk) in L1b. Scale bar 50 μm. **F, G,**
Golgi-impregnated CR-Cells in L1a with the characteristic horizontal orientation of dendrites (arrowheads)
and axons (asterisks). Scale bars 50 μm. **H,** EM micrograph of L1a underneath the pial surface containing
two putative CR-cells (asterisks). Note the abrupt transition (indicated by the dashed line) to the neuropil of
L1b, and a reactive microglial cell (arrowhead), and dendrites and synapses. Scale bar 25 μm. **I,** EM
micrograph of L1b containing thousands of dendritic profiles, a putative GABAergic interneuron (asterisk)
but also degenerating neurons (arrowheads) and a reactive microglia (I1) Scale bar 50 μm.

1228

Figure 2: Structural and synaptic organization of L1 in the human TLN as revealed by EM.
A, Superficial part of L1a composed of a dense network of fine astrocytic processes (asterisks). In the reactive microglial cell (rmic) so-called lipofuscin granules (framed area) were observed. Below the astrocytic network synaptic complexes gradually increased (highlighted in blue for target structures, yellow for SBs). Scale bar 10 μm. **B,** Two apical dendrites (blue) traversing L2 with beginning terminal tuft dendrites in L2 and L1 contacted by SBs (transparent yellow); some of which are putatively GABAergic terminating on the dendritic shaft (asterisks). Scale bar 2.5 μm. **C,** Large GABAergic SB (sb, transparent yellow) identifiable by the small-sized ovoid SVs and the less prominent PSD (arrowheads) synapsing on a dendritic shaft (de, transparent blue) in L1b. **D,** Three SBs in L1a (sb1-sb3, transparent yellow) located on a dendritic spine (sp, transparent blue) and a neighboring dendritic shaft (sh, transparent blue). Note that sb1 is excitatory as indicated by the larger round SVs with a prominent AZ whereas sb2 and sb3 are putative inhibitory terminals identified by smaller ovoid SVs and the lack of a prominent PSD. **E,** Elongated spine (sp) emerging from a small caliber dendrite (de, transparent blue) receiving input from a small-sized SB (sb, transparent yellow). Note the large, elongated spine apparatus (framed area). Scale bar in C-E 0.5 μm. In graphs C-E AZs are marked by arrowheads.

1244

Figure 3: 3D-volume reconstructions of SBs and vesicle pools in L1a and L1b of the human TLN.
A-C, Here, all SBs are outlined by a yellow contour. Subelements: PreAZs (red), SVs (green), DCVs (magenta), mitochondria (white). The postsynaptic target structures are given in blue **A,** Six SBs (sb1-sb6) terminating at different locations on a dendritic segment in L1b, two of which (syn3 and syn4) were located on spines, the remaining on the dendritic shaft. Scale bar 1 μm. **B,** Large SB terminating on two dendritic segments of different shape and size (de1, de2) in L1a. **C,** SB synapsing on a dendrite (de) and spine (sp) in L1b containing several mitochondria associated with the pool of SVs. **D, E,** 3D-volume reconstructions of the total pools of SVs (green dots). Note the different shape and size of the PreAZs (red) with either a non-perforated macular (D) or perforated (E) appearance and the comparably large total pool size. Note the large DCVs intermingled with the pool of SVs.

1255

Figure 4: Correlation plots for structural and synaptic parameters characterizing L1 SBs.
Correlation plots showing the strength of correlations between structural and synaptic parameters (Source Data 02). Correlations dots and regression lines for L1a are given in black and that for L1b in red. **A,** Surface area of SBs vs. surface area of PreAZs; **B,** Volume of SBs vs. volume of mitochondria; **C,** Surface area of PreAZs vs. total pool of SVs; **D,** Surface area of PreAZs vs. p10 nm RRP; **E,** Surface area of PreAZs vs. p20 nm RRP; **F,** Surface area of PreAZs vs. p60-p200 nm RP; **G,** Surface area of PreAZs vs. p200 nm resting pool; **H,** Surface area of PreAZs vs. p500 nm resting pool.

1263

Figure 5: EM tomography of SBs in L1a and L1b in the human TLN.
A, Large SB (sb) terminating with a single AZ (arrowheads) on a terminal tuft dendritic shaft (de) in L1a.
B, Two adjacent SBs synapsing either (sb1) on a thick dendritic segment (de) or (sb2) on a stubby spine
(sp) with a prominent spine apparatus (framed area) in L1b. Scale bars 1 µm. **C,** Large mushroom (sp1) and
a small spine head (sp2) receiving input from three SBs (sb1, sb2, sb3) and a single SB (sb4) in L1b. Note
the large AZs (arrowheads) and the prominent spine apparatus (red framed area) in the mushroom spine.
Scale bar 0.5 µm. **D, E, F,** High-power images of 'docked' SVs (arrowheads) taken from a tilt-series through
an individual PreAZ at a L1a spine SB. Note the so-called omega-shaped bodies in (E, F) pointing to the
already opening and release of glutamate quanta. Scale bars 0.1 µm.

1273

Figure 6: Astrocytic coverage of synaptic complexes in the human TLN.
A, EM micrograph of two adjacent SBs (sb1, sb2) terminating on two spines (sp1, sp2) in L1a. Sp2 contained a prominent spine apparatus (framed area), sb1 two DCVs (asterisks). Note that both synaptic complexes were only partially ensheathed by fine astrocytic processes (transparent green) reaching the AZs only on one side. In both synaptic complexes two 'docked' SVs (transparent red) at the PreAZs were found. Scale 0.25 μm. **B,** Stubby spine (stsp) receiving two SBs (sb1, sb2) in L1b. Here, fine astrocytic processes (transparent green) were found close to the two synaptic complexes but never reached the synaptic cleft. Note also the 'docked' SVs (transparent red) pointing to multivesicular release. In both images the AZs are marked by arrowheads. Scale bar 0.25 μm. **C,** Bar histogram showing the percentage (mean ± SD) of the volumetric fraction of astrocytic processes to the total volume in L1 to L6 (Source Data 04, sheet 01). Values for L4-L6 are taken from Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022. **D,** Bar histogram showing the percentage (mean ± SD) of AZs covered by fine astrocytic processes, either on both sides, on one side or without any coverage, subdivided by their location on dendritic spines or shafts in L1 and L4 (Source Data 04, sheet 02). The horizontal bars in C and D indicate significant differences *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

Table 1: Comparative quantitative analysis of various structural and synaptic parameters in L1 of the human TLN.

	Layer	Mean ± SD	Median	IQR	CV	Variance	Skewness
		Synaptic boutons					
Surface area (µm²)	L1	5.48 ± 1.40	5.75	2.20	0.26	1.96	0.21
	L1a	4.58 ± 1.13	4.27	2.20	0.25	1.28	1.13
	L1b	6.39 ± 1.08	5.87	1.97	0.17	1.17	1.66
Volume (µm³)	L1	0.50 ± 0.19	0.38	0.33	0.39	0.04	0.13
	L1a	0.41 ± 0.21	0.49	0.41	0.50	0.04	0.64
	L1b	0.58 ± 0.17	0.48	0.31	0.30	0.03	1.71
		Active zones					
PreAZ surface area (µm²)	L1	0.20 ± 0.06	0.22	0.08	0.30	0.01	-1.53
	L1a	0.18 ± 0.08	0.22	0.14	0.43	0.00	-1.70
	L1b	0.22 ± 0.04	0.22	0.08	0.18	0.00	0.00
PSD surface area (µm²)	L1	0.22 ± 0.07	0.23	0.12	0.32	0.00	-0.91
	L1a	0.21 ± 0.10	0.23	0.19	0.47	0.01	-1.02
	L1b	0.22 ± 0.05	0.22	0.09	0.20	0.00	0.33
Cleft width (nm)	L1	L: 21.75 ± 2.72 C: 29.63 ± 2.36	L: 22.20 C: 29.73	L: 2.88 C: 3.19	L: 0.13 C: 0.08	L: 7.40 C: 5.56	L: -1.03 C: -0.96
	L1a	L: 20.17 ± 2.85 C: 29.06 ± 3.48	L: 21.44 C: 29.17	L: 5.25 C: 6.95	L: 0.14 C: 0.12	L: 8.10 C: 12.08	L: -1.61 C: -0.14
	L1b	L: 23.34 ± 1.70 C: 30.19 ± 0.92	L: 22,49 C: 30.19	L: 3.06 C: 1.84	L: 0.07 C: 0.03	L: 2,88 C: 0.85	L: 1.69 C: 0.00
		Mitochondria					
Volume (µm³)	L1	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04	0.03	0.35	0.00	-0.31
	L1a	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04	0.04	0.50	0.00	0.00
	L1b	0.05 ± 0.01	0.04	0.02	0.25	0.00	1.73
% to the total volume	L1	7.21 ± 1.10	7.56	1.81	0.15	1.21	-1.13
	L1a	7.18 ± 1.60	8.06	2.81	0.22	2.56	-1.73
	L1b	7.23 ± 0.68	7.17	1.35	0.09	0.46	0.42
		Synaptic vesicles					
Total number of SVs	L1	3430.97 ± 1773.77	3675.96	3386.86	0.52	3146276.00	-0.31
	L1a	2958.62 ± 1940.51	2980.76	3880.83	0.66	3765578.00	-0.05
	L1b	3903.32 ± 1852.23	4371.16	3614.75	0.47	3430758.00	-1.06
Volume (µm³)	L1	0.03 ± 0.02	0.03	0.03	0.60	0.00	0.94
	L1a	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02	0.02	0.50	0.00	0.00
	L1b	0.04 ± 0.02	0.04	0.04	0.50	0.00	0.00
Vesicle diameter (nm)	L1	25.03 ± 5.67	26.36	10.38	0.23	32.13	-0.31
	L1a	23.33 ± 5.27	25.88	9.56	0.23	27.74	-1.67
	L1b	26.73 ± 6.63	28.25	12.99	0.25	43.93	-0.98
	L1 *	30.29 ± 4.67	31.10	3.21	0.15	21.77	-2.60
	L1a*	28.11 ± 6.10	30.15	3.91	0.22	37.17	-2.04
	L1b*	31.88 ± 2.36	31.62	3.27	0.07	5.56	0.04
		Pool sizes of SVs					
Putative RRP p10 nm from the PreAZ	L1	3.60 ± 4.24	2.10	6.98	1.18	17.99	1.17
	L1a	5.90 ± 5.05	6.11	10.10	0.86	25.53	-0.18
	L1b	1.29 ± 1.87	0.41	3.41	1.44	3.49	1.65
Putative RRP p20 nm from the PreAZ	L1	19.05 ± 17.23	17.17	29.37	0.90	296.86	0.67
	L1a	25.04 ± 21.09	24.82	42.18	0.84	444.82	0.05
	L1b	13.07 ± 13.78	9.52	26.86	1.05	189.80	1.08
Putative RP 60-200 nm from the PreAZ	L1	463.00 ± 283.82	512.65	554.39	0.61	80553.57	-0.19
	L1a	390.12 ± 286.89	335.05	565.79	0.74	82304.11	0.83
	L1b	535.88 ± 321.16	690.25	584.03	0.60	103145.30	-1.66
Putative resting pool > 200 nm from the PreAZ	L1	2896.50 ± 1435.93	3120.39	2698.41	0.50	2061880.00	-0.38
	L1a	2515.02 ± 1588.99	2662.80	3167.65	0.63	2524880.00	-0.41
	L1b	3277.97 ± 1480.96	3577.98	2915.99	0.45	2193254.00	-0.87

Summary of different structural parameter measurements (bold) from the detailed 3D-volume reconstructions of SBs in L1, separated for sublaminae. Values are presented as mean, SD, median, IQR, CV, skewness, and variance for each parameter for all patients studied. #: Values with skewness > 3 indicate a non-normal distribution. Abbreviations: IQR: Interquartile Range; CV: coefficient of variation; L: lateral; and C: central (Source Data 02; Source Data 03). *Values collected from TEM tomography.

Table 2: 'Docked SVs' in L1a and L1b of the human TLN.

	Layer	Mean ± SD	Median	IQR	CV	Skewness	Variance
Number of docked vesicles	**L1** (n=360 SVs)	3.56 ± 1.36	4.00	3.00	0.38	-0.07	1.85
	L1a	3.71 ± 1.38	4.00	3.00	0.37	-0.16	1.89
	SBs on dendritic shafts (n=91 SVs)	3.64 ± 1.47	4.00	3.00	0.40	-0.08	2.16
	SBs on dendritic spines (n=98 SVs)	3.77 ± 1.31	4.00	2.00	0.35	-0.24	1.70
	L1b	3.42 ± 1.34	3.00	3.00	0.39	0.02	1.80
	SBs on dendritic shafts (n=87 SVs)	3.48 ± 1.45	3.00	3.00	0.42	0.04	2.09
	SBs on dendritic spines (n=84 SVs)	3.36 ± 1.25	3.00	2.50	0.37	-0.07	1.57

Summary of the number of 'docked' SVs in L1, separately for both sublaminae as well as for the different target structures dendritic shafts vs. dendritic spines. Mean ± SD, Median, Interquartile Range (IQR), coefficient of variation (CV), Skewness, and Variance are given for each parameter in all patients studied (Source Data 03).

Supplemental Information

1300

 Supplemental Figure 1: Box plots of various structural parameters in L1 of the human TLN
Data distributions for each patient are indicated by the medians (horizontal bars), IQRs (framed areas),
minimum and maximum (vertical lines) for the distribution of: A, Surface area of SBs; B, Volume of SBs;
C, Surface area of PreAZs; D, Surface area of PSD; E, Volume of mitochondria. Significant differences
between different patients are indicated by asterisks. Note that several structural parameters are significantly
different. The significant bars highlighted in red indicate significant differences between sublaminae L1a
and L1b in the same patient. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001 (Source Data 02). Hu_01 and Hu_02
were selected for both L1a and L1b in separate serial sections each.

1309

1310

Supplemental Figure 2: Box plots of various synaptic parameters in L1 of the human TLN
Data distributions for each patient are indicated by the medians (horizontal bars), IQRs (framed areas),
minimum and maximum (vertical lines) for the distribution of: **A,** Total pool of SVs; **B,** SVs in the p10 nm
RRP; **C**, SVs in the p20 nm RRP; **D**, SVs in the p6-200 nm RP; **E**, SVs in the > p200 nm resting pool; Note
that several structural parameters are not significantly different. The significant bars highlighted in red
indicate significant differences between sublaminae L1a and L1b in the same patient. *: $p < 0.05$; **: $p <$
0.01; ***: $p < 0.001$ (Source Data 02). Hu_01 and Hu_02 were selected for both L1a and L1b in separate
serial sections each.

Supplemental Table 1: Comparison of the synaptic density between L1a, L1b and L4 – L6 of the human TLN

Cortical layers	L1a mean ± SD	L1b mean ± SD	Total L1 mean ± SD	L4 mean ± SD	L5 mean ± SD	L6 mean ± SD
Density of synaptic contacts/mm^3	$5.52*10^8$ ± $1.25*10^8$	$5.01*10^8$ ± $1.40*10^7$	$5.26*10^8$ ± $8.44*10^7$	$2.37*10^6$ ± $2.19*10^6$	$3.89*10^8$ ± $9.12*10^8$	$4.98*10^7$ ± $1.85*10^7$

Values for L4–L6 are taken from: L4: Yakoubi et al. 2019b; L5: Yakoubi R., PhD Thesis 2023; L6: Schmuhl-Giesen et al. 2022.

Supplemental Table 2: Patient's identity and medical background

Patient's identity and medical background	Gender	Age (years)	Age at epilepsy onset (years)	Histo-Pathology	Antiepileptic drugs (pre-op)	Number of SBs recon-structed
Hu_01	♂	52	20	AHS	LEV, OXC, Perampanel	L1a: 63; L1b: 70
Hu_02	♀	27	16	Micro- and Astrogliosis	LEV, Topiramat, Zebinix	L1a: 72; L1b: 45
Hu_03	♀	65	1	Hippocampal sclerosis	LEV, LTG, Primidone	L1a: 55
Hu_04	♀	24	N/A	TLE	N/A	L1b: 56
Hu_05*	♀	36	4	GGL	LEV, LTG	
Hu_06*	♀	25	12	AHS	LTG	
Hu_07*	♀	25	23	GGL	Zebinix, LEV	
Hu_08*	♂	33	5	Gliosis	LEV, CBZ	
Hu_09*	♂	63	24	AHS	LEV, LTG, CBZ	

Abbreviation: AHS: Ammon's horn sclerosis; CBZ: Carbamazepine; GGL: Ganglioglioma; LEV: Levetiracetam; LTG: Lamotrigine; OXC: Oxcarbazepin; TLE: Temporal Lope Epilepsy. *Hu_05 – Hu_09 were only used to analyze the astrocytic coverage of AZs in L4.