Title : Targeted delivery of flagellin by nebulization offers optimized respiratory

immunity and defense against pneumococcal pneumonia

Author list

Mara Baldry[1*§], Charlotte Costa[1*], Yasmine Zeroual[1*], Delphine Cayet[1], Jeoffrey Pardessus[2,3],

Daphnée Soulard[1], Frédéric Wallet[1], Delphine Beury[4], David Hot[4], Ronan MacLoughlin[5],

Nathalie Heuzé-Vourc'h[2,3*], Jean-Claude Sirard[1*§], Christophe Carnoy[1*]

Affiliations

[1] Univ. Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1019 - UMR 9017 - CIIL

- Center for Infection and Immunity of Lille, F-59000 Lille, France

[2] INSERM, Respiratory Disease Research Centre, U1100, F-37032 Tours, France

[3] University of Tours, F-37032 Tours, France

[4]Univ. Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, Institut Pasteur de Lille, US 41 - UAR 2014 – PLBS

- Plateformes Lilloises de Biologie & Santé, F-59000 Lille, France

[5]Aerogen, IDA Business Park, Dangan, Galway, Ireland

[*]Contributed equally

[§]Correspondence: mara.baldry@inserm.fr or jean-claude.sirard@inserm.fr

Abstract

Novel therapeutic strategies are urgently needed to combat pneumonia caused by

Streptococcus pneumoniae strains resistant to standard-of-care antibiotics. Previous studies

have shown that targeted stimulation of lung innate immune defenses through intranasal

administration of the Toll-like receptor 5 agonist flagellin, improves the treatment of

pneumonia when combined with antibiotics. To promote translation to the clinic application,

this study assessed the direct delivery of flagellin to the airways through nebulization using a

vibrating mesh nebulizer in mice. Intranasal delivery achieved approximately 40% lung

deposition of the administered flagellin dose, whereas nebulization yielded less than 1%.

Despite these differences, nebulized flagellin induced a transient activation of lung innate

immunity characterized by cytokine/chemokine production and neutrophil infiltration into

airways analogous to intranasal administration. Furthermore, inhalation by nebulization

resulted in an accelerated resolution of systemic pro-inflammatory responses. Lastly, adjunct

therapy combining nebulized flagellin and amoxicillin proved effective against antibiotic-

resistant pneumococcal pneumonia in mice. We posit that flagellin aerosol therapy represents

a safe and promising approach to address bacterial pneumonia within the context of

antimicrobial resistance.

Keywords: Nebulization, intranasal, flagellin, pneumococcal pneumonia, deposition,

inhalation, vibrating mesh nebulizer

1. Introduction

Streptococcus pneumoniae, commonly known as pneumococcus, typically inhabits the human nasopharynx but can cause severe and often fatal diseases upon invasion of the host, such as pneumonia [1]. While vaccines prevent pneumococcal pneumonia, they target only a fraction of the nearly 100 serotypes identified thus far [2]. Consequently, there has been a rise in infections caused by serotypes not included in the current available vaccines, many of which exhibit antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [3, 4]. Resistance of *S. pneumoniae* to β-lactam antibiotics such as amoxicillin (the standard of care for pneumococcal pneumonia) as well as macrolides, is a major concern worldwide with the prevalence of resistance likely exceeding 20% in Europe alone [5]. Given the slow pace of new antimicrobial innovation and discovery [6], it is imperative to explore alternative therapeutic strategies to treat pneumococcal pneumonia otherwise untreatable with antibiotics.

Host-immune directed therapy, also referred to as immunotherapy, is a promising alternative strategy to antibiotics aimed at either activating antimicrobial immunity or modulating inflammation [7]. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are pivotal pattern-recognition receptors involved in orchestrating the antimicrobial immune defenses. TLRs can recognize pathogen-associated or damage-associated molecular patterns and are widely expressed, particularly in immune cells and structural cells [8]. TLR agonists have been developed as immunotherapeutic agents in the field of infectious diseases. For example, the TLR5 agonist flagellin, a key structural protein of the bacterial flagellum, demonstrates notable immunotherapeutic potential against respiratory infections [9]. Flagellin is highly soluble and can be readily formulated in a saline solution for delivery in human, including inhalation. TLR5 is expressed by airway epithelial cells that are recognized as the principal drivers of TLR5-mediated protection. These cells orchestrate the production of cytokines, chemokines, and antimicrobial peptides, and facilitate the recruitment and activation of immune cells within the airways, particularly neutrophils [10-

12]. Inhalation of flagellin prior to infection was found protective against pneumonia caused

by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Burkholderia cepacia* [12-14].

When combined with oral amoxicillin or intraperitoneal sulfamethoxazole, inhaled flagellin

demonstrates synergistic effects, leading to a substantial decrease in pneumococcal load within

the lungs of infected mice, otherwise not achieved with antibiotic or flagellin monotherapy [15,

16]. This combined treatment enables a reduction in the antibiotic dosage required to achieve

a comparable therapeutic outcome observed with antibiotic. More importantly, the synergistic

effect is also seen when the mice are infected with amoxicillin-resistant pneumococcus [15].

Additionally, adjunct flagellin therapy to antibiotics attenuates the selection of antibiotic

resistant strains in a co-infection model emphasizing the relevance of this approach in

combating AMR [17]. Another example of TLR agonist application in respiratory

immunotherapy was demonstrated by the exposure of mice to aerosolized TLR2/6 and TLR9

agonists, which induced protection to subsequent respiratory infections with viruses or bacteria

through the induction of respiratory innate immunity [18-21].

For treating infections of the lower respiratory tract, *i.e.,* pneumonia, direct delivery to the

lungs is obvious, matching the delivery route and the pathogen location. The method of

delivering therapeutics to the lungs depends on the clinical situation, the drug nature and its

formulation, and it is crucial to deliver a therapeutic dose of the drug to the target site [22-26]

While intranasal administration is a common method for targeting therapeutics into conducting

airway in animal studies, it is not adapted to target the lungs, in particular the deep lungs, in

humans [27]. Nebulization delivery, which produces an aerosol, stands out as the most efficient

approach for administering drugs and biologics as liquid forms, into the lungs in patients under

both spontaneous breathing and mechanical ventilation [23-25]. Vibrating mesh nebulizers

allow for fast, effective and user-friendly drug delivery to the airways [28-30]. Moreover, they

are usually more appropriate for biologics, limiting adverse effects during the aerosol

generation [24, 26, 31]. Adapting flagellin to aerosol delivery is an important step towards the

development of clinical investigations.

Here, we describe the use of a custom-made nebulization chamber for nose-only delivery of

aerosols in mice using a vibrating mesh nebulizer, and studied the fate and activity of nebulized

flagellin (hereafter referred to as FLAMOD), the activation of lung innate immunity, and the

therapeutic efficacy in combination with antibiotics against pneumococcal pneumonia.

Comparing with intranasal administration as a benchmark, our study reveals that nebulizer-

mediated delivery of FLAMOD demonstrates comparable or superior efficacy in promoting

lung immunity and protection, despite lower amounts being delivered to the lung. Moreover,

it induces a less pronounced and enduring impact on systemic pro-inflammatory responses.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Bacterial strains

Streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 1 (clinical isolate E1586) was obtained from the National Reference Laboratory—Ministry of Health, Uruguay [12]. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serotype 19F (clinical isolate LILPNEUHC 19F) was obtained from the University Hospital of Lille (Frédéric Wallet, CHU Lille, France); it is a multidrug-resistant clinical isolate from a bacteremia patient, and is resistant to amoxicillin (minimal inhibitory concentration, MIC_{AMX} = 1.5 µg/ml), erythromycin and tetracycline, and has intermediate resistance to cefotaxime and ceftriaxone. Working stocks were prepared as described previously [12]. Briefly, fresh colonies grown on blood- agar plates were incubated in Todd Hewitt Yeast Broth (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Louis, MO) at 37°C until the $OD600_{nm}$ reached 0.7–0.9 units. Cultures were stored at −80°C in broth + glycerol 12% (vol./vol.) for up to 3 months. For infection, working stocks were thawed and washed with sterile Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS, Gibco, Grand Island, NY) and diluted to the appropriate concentration. The number of bacteria (as colony forming units [CFUs]) was confirmed by plating serial dilutions onto 5% sheep blood agar plates and incubating at 37°C for 18 h with 5% CO_2.

2.2 FLAMOD preparation

Flagellin was produced in *Escherichia coli* by the Vaccine Development department at Statens Serum Institut, Denmark. The custom-designed flagellin used in the present study, *i.e.,* FLAMOD (recombinant flagellin $FliC_{\Delta174-400}$ as described in Nempont et al 2008 [32] harboring one extra amino acid at the N terminus) derives from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium FliC. FLAMOD was purified by filtration and chromatography and resuspended in NaPi buffer: 10 mM phosphate, 145 mM NaCl, polysorbate 80 0.02 % (w/v) pH 6.5. Immunostimulatory activity was validated using the HEK-Dual™ hTLR5 cells assay

(Invivogen). The endotoxin content in the protein preparation was assessed with

a *Limulus* assay (Pyrochrome, kinetic LAL assay from Associates of Cape Cod Inc.).

2.3 Mouse treatment and infection

All experiments complied with institutional regulations and ethical guidelines, were approved

by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (E59-350009, Institut Pasteur de Lille;

Protocol APAFIS#16966 201805311410769_v3) and were conducted by qualified personnel.

Female BALB/cJRj mice, male C57BL/6JRj mice (6–8 weeks old) (Janvier Laboratories, Saint

Berthevin, France) and female and male $Tlr5^{-/-}$ (varying ages, breed in-house on C57BL/6JRj

background) were maintained in ventilated cages (Innorack® IVC Mouse 3.5) and handled in

a laminar flow biosafety cabinet (Class II Biohazard, Tecniplast).

Intranasal treatment was performed with 2.5 µg or 30 µg FLAMOD in 30 µl NaPi buffer that

was administered intranasally under light anesthesia via isoflurane inhalation (Axience, Pantin,

France). The dose of 2.5 µg of FLAMOD has been previously demonstrated protective in

pneumococcal infections while the dose of 30 µg corresponds to the amount of FLAMOD used

for the biodistribution analysis. Control animals (mock treated) received intranasal NaPi buffer

alone. For FLAMOD administration using the Aerogen® Solo vibrating mesh nebulizer

(Aerogen, Ireland) in combination with ProX controller (Aerogen, Ireland), a custom-made

chamber was used (EuroBioConcept, Bonneuil sur Marne, France). One milliliter of NaPi

buffer or FLAMOD at 250 µg/mL was administered for 4-5 minutes to vigilant mice using the

Aerogen® Solo nebulizer (**Supplementary Figure 1**). For intravenous administration,

FLAMOD was injected retro-orbitally at concentrations of 10 or 30 µg per mouse prepared in

200 µl PBS. After treatment, mice were sacrificed via the intraperitoneal injection of 5.47 mg

sodium pentobarbital in 100 µl PBS. Broncholalveolar lavage (BAL) fluids were obtained by

intratracheal injection of 2 × 1 ml PBS supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche). Serum

was collected by intracardiac puncture and separated from whole blood in Z-Gel microtubes

(Sarstedt). BAL and serum samples were stored at −20°C prior to analysis.

Prior to infection, the mice were anesthetized via the intraperitoneal injection of 1.25 mg (50

mg/kg) ketamine plus 0.25 mg (10 mg/kg) xylazine in 250 µl of PBS. For primary infections

with the serotype 1 isolate E1586 in BALB/c mice, $2x10^6$ CFU were inoculated intranasally in

30 µl PBS, as described previously [16]. For the pneumococcal superinfection, C57BL/6 mice

were used as previously described [16, 33]. In this model, animals were first infected i.n.with

50 plaque-forming units (PFU) of the pathogenic, murine-adapted H3N2 influenza A virus

strain Scotland/20/74 in 30 µl of PBS. Seven days later, i.n. *S. pneumoniae* infection was

induced with $1x10^5$ CFU of the serotype 19F strain in 30 µl of PBS. Mice were treated 12 h

post-infection with intranasal or nebulized FLAMOD and with intragastric administration of 5

µg or 1 mg of amoxicillin (Clamoxyl for injection, GlaxoSmithKline) in 200 µl of water. Mock

treated control animals received NaPi buffer and water. After 24 h infection, lungs and spleen

were homogenized with an UltraTurrax homogenizer (IKA-Werke, Staufen, Germany), and

viable bacterial counts were determined on blood agar plates.

2.4 FLAMOD-specific ELISA

Maxisorp 96 well (Nunc 442404) plates were coated with 50 µl of capture anti-FLAMOD

monoclonal antibody (Mab, 9H10-R2-2E8 mouse IgG1 - lot vt220802-7034) at 5µg/ml in D-

PBS 1X (PBS, Gibco 14190-094) and incubated overnight at 4°C. The plates were washed 3

times with PBS/Tween 20 0.05% (PBS-T) and saturated for 2 h at room temperature (RT) with

300 µl per well ELISA/ELISPOT diluent 1X (Invitrogen 00-4202-56, 5X solution diluted in

sterile water Versylene Fresenius B230531). The plates were washed again 3 times with

PBS/T. Serum samples were diluted 1:5 in ELISA /ELISPOT diluent (i.e., 20% final ratio of

mouse serum), BAL samples 1:200 and 50 µl were distributed per well in the microplates. To

generate a calibration curve, FLAMOD (lot 4182.5) was diluted from 100 ng/ml to 0.78 ng/ in

ELISA /ELISPOT diluent substituted with 20% mouse serum (in-house serum harvested from

naïve C57BL/6JRj mice) and 50 µl were distributed per well. Plates were incubated for 2 h at

RT and washed 3 times with PBS-T. Fifty µl per well of the biotinylated FLAMOD-specific

detection Mab (4C1H7 mouse IgG1 - lot b220809-7034, [34]) diluted at 2 µg/ml in

ELISA/ELISPOT diluent were distributed and incubated for 1 h at RT. Plates were washed 3

times with PBS-T and 50 µl per well of the avidin-HRP (eBioscience 18-4100-51) diluted

1:2000 in ELISA/ELISPOT diluent were added and incubated for 25 min at RT. Plates were

washed 5 times with PBS-T. The reaction was developed using 50 µl per well of the TMB

substrate reagent (Interchim ref: UP664781). Plates were incubated for 5 min at RT in the dark,

prior to stopping the reaction by adding 25 µl H_2SO_4 2N per well. The plates were read

immediately at 450 nm absorbance and subtracted at 540-570 nm. The values were transformed

using a four-parameter logistic regression (R^2>0.99) to calculate the concentration of

FLAMOD in the biological samples.

2.5 Transcriptional analysis by RT-qPCR and RNA sequencing

Total RNA was extracted with the Nucleospin RNA Plus kit (Macherey Nagel, Düren,

Germany). Trachea and whole lungs were homogenized in RA1 buffer with an UltraTurrax

homogenizer. Lysates of nasal cavity were obtained by injecting 500 µl RA1 buffer through a

22-gauge canula that was inserted into the posterior naris along the direction of the nostrils and

collecting from the anterior naris. Bronchial epithelium sampling was performed as described

[35]. Briefly, sanded PE50 tubing was inserted into the main bronchus via the trachea with

gentle brushing and immediately processed for RNA extraction. Total RNA was reverse-

transcribed with the High-Capacity cDNA Archive Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

The cDNA was amplified using SYBR green- based real-time PCR on a Quantstudio 12K PCR

system (Applied Biosystems). Relative mRNA levels ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$) were determined by

comparing first the PCR cycle thresholds (Cq) for the gene of interest and the reference genes

Actb and *B2m* (ΔCq), and then the ΔCq values for treated vs. control group ($\Delta\Delta Cq$). All the

primers used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**.

For sequencing, RNA concentration and quality were evaluated by spectrophotometry

(Nanodrop) and the TapeStation 4200 (Agilent Technologies), respectively. The libraries were

prepared using the QIAseq stranded mRNA library kit (Qiagen), quantified by quantitative

PCR (KAPA Library Quantification Kit for Illumina platforms; KapaBiosystems) and pooled

in an equimolar manner prior to sequencing on a NovaSeq sequencer (Illumina) in 2×150 bp.

RNA sequencing data (FASTQ files) were mapped to the mouse genome build (GRCm39)

with STAR (STAR-2.7.10a). The mapped reads were annotated using GENCODE M30 GTF

file. Differentially expressed genes analysis (DEG) were performed using the DESeq2 package

(1.38.2 [36]). Median of ratio method was used for data normalization. Genes with null sum of

read counts were filtered out. Genes with p-adjusted value < 0.05 and log2 fold change >1 were

considered as differentially expressed. Over-Representation Analysis (ORA) was performed

using ClusterProfiler (version 4.6.2) and the functions enrichGo and CompareCluster to

identify Biological Processes. Analysis was carried out using Bioconductor (version 3.16.0).

Sequencing data have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information's

Gene Expression Omnibus repository under the accession number GSE252037.

2.6 Cytokine and chemokine production

Protein concentrations of CCL20, CXCL2, IL-6, IL-22, and SAA were determined in BAL

fluids and serum by enzyme- linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA kit from eBioscience, R&D

Systems or Becton Dickinson).

2.7 Scintigraphy in mice

All scintigraphy experiments were conducted on male C57BL/6JRj (9-16 weeks old), approved

by local ethics committee and recorded under the agreement reference N°11682-

201700217166146. Prior to the scintigraphy study, a perfusion scintigraphy study was

performed to evaluate technetium 99m (^{99m}Tc) γ-rays absorption by tissues. Mice were slightly

sedated via isoflurane inhalation (Vetflurane, Virbac, France) and a suspension of macro

aggregates labeled with ^{99m}Tc (^{99m}Tc-MAA, Pulmocis, Curium Pharma, France) was

intravenously injected through the tail vein. After ensuring that all ^{99m}Tc-MAA was trapped in

the pulmonary capillaries, animals were imaged in anterior static position using Biospace®

gamma imager (Biospace, France) for 60 seconds under isoflurane anesthesia. This step

allowed to determine the attenuation coefficient of animal tissues against γ-rays, which must

be considered when calculating activity measured in mice, and takes into account the

background activity and radioactive decay of technetium. Moreover, it allows the illustration

of the region of interest (ROI). For the scintigraphy study, inhalation by intranasal and

nebulization routes were compared. For intranasal delivery mice were sedated, then 40 µL of

0.9% NaCl solution mixed with diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid labeled with ^{99m}Tc (^{99m}TC-

DTPA, Technescan™ DTPA, Curium Pharma, France) were rapidly administered. For the

nebulization route, experiments were performed as described in 2.3 using the custom-made

nebulization chamber and an Aerogen® Solo to nebulize 1 mL of 0.9% NaCl solution mixed

with 0.1 mL of ^{99m}TC-DTPA (n=4 per nebulization round). Immediately after i.n. or nebulized

administration, mice were imaged for 120 seconds under isoflurane anesthesia.

2.8 Histology and staining

The left lung from each mouse was collected in buffered 10% formalin (Q-Path VWR

Chemicals), included in paraffin, and 3- to 5-µm tissue sections were prepared (Althisia,

Troyes, France). Tissue sections (n=3 for FLAMOD treated and n=2 for untreated control)

were stained with a classical hematoxylin and eosin reagent or processed for

immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry on neutrophils was performed using rabbit

monoclonal anti-Ly6G antibody (clone EPR22909-135). The Ly6G-stained images were

evaluated for neutrophil infiltration.

2.9 Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as individual values and median [interquartile range] or mean ±

standard error of the mean (SEM). Groups were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunn's post-test (for three or more groups) and two-way

ANOVA mixed model with Šídák's multiple comparisons test. All analyses were performed

using GraphPad Prism software (version 10.10, GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA), and

the threshold for statistical significance was set to $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1 Fate of inhaled FLAMOD in mice after intranasal and aerosol administration

Understanding the fate of inhaled flagellin is imperative to characterizing its safety and efficacy parameters as an adjunct to antibiotics. The outcome of flagellin (defined here as FLAMOD) was investigated after intranasal administration of 30 µg FLAMOD in bronchoalveolar lavages (BAL), as a surrogate of lung deposition, and serum using a FLAMOD-specific ELISA. Immediate recovery after administration (<5 min) revealed that around 30 % of the administered FLAMOD reached the lungs, *i.e.,* 8.6 ± 2.0 µg (**Figure 1A**). Similar levels of lung deposition (~45 %) were defined using scintigraphy (**Figure 1C-D**). FLAMOD was rapidly degraded over time and became undetectable after 24 h. In addition, serum levels of FLAMOD remained consistently below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), *i.e.,* <4 ng/mL, at all timepoints following administration.

Mice were also exposed to nebulized FLAMOD (1 ml at 250 µg/mL) for 5 min using a customized chamber connected to an Aerogen® Solo mesh nebulizer (**Supplementary Figure 1A-B**). FLAMOD deposition in BAL reached 25 ± 16 ng immediately after aerosolization and decreased to below the LLOQ within the first 2 h (**Figure 1B**). In contrast, scintigraphy determined that 0.52 % lung deposition after nebulization is achieved which is equivalent to 1.30 µg FLAMOD (**Figure 1E-F**). FLAMOD was undetectable in serum post-nebulization, indicating no systemic leakage. The difference in lung deposition between the two analytical methods (ELISA and scintigraphy) highlights differing sensitivities and suggests rapid degradation of FLAMOD upon aerosol delivery. To better understand the fate of FLAMOD when in the circulatory compartment and to have a positive reference for immune activation when FLAMOD is found directly in the systemic compartment, FLAMOD was injected intravenously (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Serum levels

of FLAMOD corresponding to around 60% delivered dose were detected immediately after injection (< 5 min) followed by a decline to <1% by 60 min post-administration. This suggests rapid FLAMOD clearance. In conclusion, inhalation of FLAMOD by the intranasal or nebulization route in mice provides local delivery without systemic leakage, while resulting in distinct levels of lung deposition.

Figure 1. FLAMOD biodistribution after intranasal or aerosol inhalation. BALB/c mice

(n=4-8) per time point and treatment group) were subjected to intranasal administration of 30

µg FLAMOD or nebulization with 1 ml of FLAMOD at 250 µg/ml in NaPi buffer, utilizing a

mesh-nebulizer and aerosol chamber setup. Control groups were either treated with NaPi buffer

or left untreated. BAL was collected at varying timepoints to measure FLAMOD. **(A-B)**

FLAMOD deposition in BAL after **(A)** intranasal administration or **(B)** nebulization was

quantified using a FLAMOD-specific ELISA. Dotted line indicates LLOQ of FLAMOD, i.e.,

3.9 ng/ml. Deposition of intranasal FLAMOD **(C-D)** and nebulized FLAMOD **(E-F)** was

further analyzed by scintigraphy. Mice were subjected to intranasal administration of 0.9%

NaCl containing ^{99m}TC-DTPA (n=8), or nebulization with 0.9% NaCl containing ^{99m}TC-DTPA

(n=21). Left images show the regions of interest (ROI) where radioactivity was measured, and

the quantitative data for each ROI are presented on the right panel. The amount of aerosol

deposited is expressed as a percentage of the total amount administered.

3.2 Immunostimulatory activity of inhaled FLAMOD

We first confirmed the TLR5-dependent activation of immune mediators by FLAMOD using

TLR5 knock-out mice. As expected, when FLAMOD was provided intravenously to wild-type

and TLR5 knock-out mice respectively, no immune mediators (namely CCL20, IL-6 and IL-

22) were produced in the TLR5 knock-out mice in response to FLAMOD treatment

(**Supplementary Figure 3**). We next analyzed the TLR5-specific immune responses to

nebulized FLAMOD based on the expected immune outcomes after intranasal delivery [11, 32,

37-39]. The activation of respiratory innate immunity subsequent to intranasal or nebulization

delivery of FLAMOD exhibited comparable levels of CCL20, CXCL2 and IL-6 in BAL

(**Figure 2A**). However, aerosol delivery resulted in a less persistent immune response

compared to intranasal administration, generally returning to steady-state within a shorter

period to that of intranasal. Serum analysis revealed that nebulization triggered similar levels

of IL-6 and CCL20, as well as shorter responses compared to intranasal delivery (**Figure 2B**).

The serum concentration of the acute phase inflammation marker serum amyloid A (SAA) was

globally lower in response to nebulization than intranasal delivery. Importantly, IL-22 was

undetectable in serum after nebulization in contrast to intranasal route. We previously showed

that IL-22 is produced when flagellin is found systemically but not when it stays in the mucosal

compartment [39]. Thus, this cytokine indicates leakage of flagellin into the bloodstream and

thus represents a surrogate marker of lung barrier integrity. The absence of IL-22 in the serum

of nebulized mice suggests that FLAMOD does not cross the pulmonary barrier after

nebulization, particularly when compared to the very high IL-22 levels detected in mice

receiving FLAMOD intravenously (**Supplementary Figure 2**). These findings fully

corroborate the data on FLAMOD biodistribution presented above.

Figure 2. Transient innate immune activation following FLAMOD inhalation. BALB/c

mice (n=4 per time point and treatment group) were subjected to intranasal administration of

30 μg FLAMOD or nebulization with 1 ml of FLAMOD at 250 μg/ml in NaPi buffer, utilizing

a mesh-nebulizer and aerosol chamber setup, or left untreated. BAL and serum were collected

at varying timepoints to monitor immune response. Cytokine and chemokine concentrations in

BAL fluids **(A)** and serum **(B)**. Dotted lines indicate LLOQ. Statistical differences were

analyzed using two-way ANOVA (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001).

Consistent with the findings from ELISA analysis of BAL (**Figure 1**) and similarly to the

previous finding following intranasal delivery [11, 32, 37], nebulized FLAMOD elicited a

temporary increase in transcriptional activity of pro-inflammatory genes, reaching its peak at

2 h post-administration (**Supplementary Figure 4)**. Given that inhalation delivers the drug

into the nose, trachea, bronchi and lung, we compared the gene expression from these

compartments 2 h after the treatment of animals with nebulized or intranasal FLAMOD and

normalized the expression profiles to the buffer treated animals (**Figure 3**). Inhaled FLAMOD

stimulated the transcription of *Il6*, *Ccl20* and *Cxcl2* in all respiratory compartments.

Interestingly, with the exception of the nasal compartment, activation levels were significantly

higher under the nebulization condition than for intranasal inhalation. This finding is also

intriguing when considering that scintigraphy data displayed negligible tracheal deposition

during nebulization (0.07%) as opposed to that deposited intranasally (15.7%), amounting to a

224-fold difference. To further compare the modes of inhalation, RNA-seq analysis was

conducted on lungs collected at 2 h after FLAMOD or buffer administration (**Figure 4 and**

Supplementary Table 2). This analysis identified 955 and 1470 differentially expressed genes

(DEG) that were significantly regulated ($\log_2 FC \geq 1$ and $p \leq 0.05$) by aerosol and intranasal

inhalation, respectively. Similar genes were up- and down-regulated for both types of

FLAMOD administration as depicted in **Figure 4A-B and Supplementary Table 2**. For both

inhalation modes, over-representation analysis (ORA) revealed a significant modulation of

signaling pathways associated with response to bacterial molecules, innate immunity and

innate receptors, NF-κB, cytokine/chemokine production, immune cell activation and

migration, defense to pathogens or negative regulation to dampen inflammation (**Figure 4C**

and Supplementary Table 3). Despite the significant disparity in FLAMOD deposition

observed across nebulization and intranasal delivery, our findings demonstrated that

nebulization is a potent method for eliciting TLR5-specific innate immune responses within

the respiratory tract.

Figure 3. Compartmentalization of transcriptional response to FLAMOD inhalation.

BALB/c mice (n=5-6 per time point and treatment group) were subjected to intranasal

administration of 30 μg FLAMOD or nebulization with 1 ml of FLAMOD at 250 μg/ml in

NaPi buffer, utilizing a mesh-nebulizer and aerosol chamber setup, or mock treatment with

NaPi buffer. Lungs, trachea, bronchial epithelium and nasal lavages were analyzed at 2 h post-

administration**.** Gene expression of innate immune markers was measured by RT-qPCR. The

relative mRNA levels of selected genes are expressed against the reference gene *Actb* and

normalized to the mock condition. Statistical differences were analyzed using two-way

ANOVA (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ****p<0.0001).

Figure 4. FLAMOD inhalation by the intranasal or nebulization route activates similar innate immune responses. BALB/c mice (n=4 per time point and treatment group) were subjected to intranasal administration of 30 μg FLAMOD or nebulization with 1 ml of FLAMOD at 250 μg/ml in NaPi buffer, utilizing a mesh-nebulizer and aerosol chamber setup,

or mock treatment with NaPi buffer. Lungs were collected 2 h post-administration for RNA

sequencing. **(A-B)** Volcano plots of DEG between intranasal FLAMOD and intranasal buffer

group **(A)** and nebulized FLAMOD and nebulized buffer group **(B)**. Labelled genes represent

the top DEG. **(C)** Immune pathways in nebulized and intranasal groups were identified using

ORA. The common biological processes enriched in both conditions are represented. The count

and p.adjust scales indicate the number of genes enriched in the pathway and the significance

of enrichment, respectively.

Neutrophil recruitment stands as a pivotal indicator of TLR5 activation within pulmonary

tissues [10, 16, 37, 40, 41]. To elucidate the influence of inhalation on this process, lung

samples were analyzed by immunohistochemical staining employing the anti-Ly6G antibody

for neutrophil detection (**Figure 5**). Evaluations were performed at two distinct time points: 4

hours post-treatment, coinciding with the peak of neutrophil recruitment in response to flagellin

[10, 37], and 24 hours post-treatment, representing a period wherein FLAMOD becomes

undetectable and most activation markers return to baseline levels. After 4 h, a comparable

pattern of neutrophil infiltration was observed between the two inhalation methods, with a

tendency for more clustering around the bronchioles rather than within the alveolar space.

However, a notable contrast emerged at 24 h between the intranasal and nebulized conditions.

In the intranasal group neutrophil presence remained elevated, while in the nebulized group the

level of infiltration resembled that of untreated controls. Taken together, these observations

suggest that nebulized FLAMOD can induce transient lung neutrophil infiltration, with a more

rapid return to baseline compared to intranasal administration.

Figure 5. Transient neutrophil recruitment in response to FLAMOD inhalation. BALB/c

mice (n=3 per FLAMOD treatment) were subjected to intranasal administration of 30 μg

FLAMOD or nebulization with 1 ml of FLAMOD at 250 μg/ml in NaPi buffer, utilizing a

mesh-nebulizer and aerosol chamber setup, or mock treatment with buffer (n=2). Lungs were

collected 4 h and 24 h after FLAMOD inhalation and processed for immunohistochemical

Ly6G-staining of neutrophils (brown). (**A**) Alveolar space and (**B**) peribronchiolar space. The

images are representative of individual animals.

3.3 Therapeutic efficacy of nebulized FLAMOD against pneumococcal pneumonia

Nebulization of FLAMOD was next compared to intranasal delivery of FLAMOD as an

adjunct therapy to the antibiotic amoxicillin (AMX) in a pneumococcal superinfection model

(**Figure 6A**). To this end, the animals were superinfected with a serotype 19F of *S. pneumoniae*

that displays resistance to AMX (MIC_{AMX}, of 1.5 μg/ml). As expected, treatment with AMX

and intranasal FLAMOD substantially improved bacterial clearance compared to AMX alone

(**Figure 6B**). The same synergy and efficacy were found when FLAMOD was nebulized

resulting in significant reduction of bacterial burden in the lung as well as bacterial

dissemination in spleen (**Figure 6C**). In the context of superinfection, the fate of FLAMOD in

the BAL after intranasal inhalation or nebulization was in line with that observed for naïve

mice (**Figure 6D-E**). However, FLAMOD was found at very low levels in the bloodstream of

mice treated intranasally, suggesting marginal leakage (**Figure 6D)**. The immune activation

profiles in lung and bloodstream were also similar to those seen in mice without prior infection,

implying that activity of inhaled FLAMOD did not change in the context of infection

(**Figure 6F-G**). Importantly, IL-22 was not detected in the bloodstream after nebulization with

FLAMOD, indicating that even when the lungs are compromised by infection, leakage is not

observed. Finally, the therapeutic synergy of nebulized FLAMOD with AMX was also

effective in a primary respiratory infection with a serotype 1 amoxicillin-sensitive strain

($MIC_{AMX} = 0.016 \mu g/ml$) (**Supplementary Figure 5**). Collectively, these data indicate that

despite the low lung deposition upon nebulization in mouse models of antibiotic-resistant and

antibiotic-sensitive pneumococcal infections, the delivered dose is sufficient to provide

therapeutic efficacy within the range observed for intranasal administration.

Figure 6. FLAMOD inhalation efficacy, kinetics and therapeutic activity in pneumococcal superinfection. (A) C57BL/6 mice (n=7-8 for intranasal and 15-19 for nebulization, per condition) were infected intranasally with influenza virus followed 7 days later by intranasal inoculation of 1x10⁵ CFU of *S. pneumoniae* 19F. Animals were treated at 12 hours post pneumococcal infection by intranasal administration of 2.5 µg **(B, F-G) or** 30 µg **(D)** FLAMOD or nebulization with 1 ml of FLAMOD at 250 µg/ml in NaPi buffer **(C, E-G)** combined with intragastric administration of 1 mg amoxicillin. Mock animals received

NaPi buffer and water. **(B-C)** Lungs and spleen for CFU were sampled 12 h post-treatment to

monitor therapeutic effects of intranasal administration **(B)** or nebulized FLAMOD **(C)**.

Statistical differences between the groups were analyzed by One-way ANOVA ($*p<0.05$,

$**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$, $****p<0.0001$). **(D-G)** BAL and serum were sampled to measure

FLAMOD and immune activity. **(D-E)** FLAMOD distribution in BAL and serum after

intranasal administration **(D)** and nebulized FLAMOD **(E)**. FLAMOD was measured by

ELISA. LLOQ was fixed to 4 ng/ml. **(F-G)** Cytokine and chemokine production in BAL **(F)**

and serum **(G)** in response to FLAMOD inhalation methods. Statistical differences between

the two methods over time were analyzed using two-way ANOVA ($*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$,

$***p<0.001$, $****p<0.0001$).

4. Discussion

This study underscores the potent immunostimulatory effects of nebulized flagellin (FLAMOD) on the respiratory tract's immune defenses by combining quantitative analysis of the biologic and the immune responses to it in the airways and bloodstream, as well as its therapeutic efficacy. Although FLAMOD has been administered intranasally to target the lower respiratory tract in mice, this route is not appropriate for similar targeting in humans. To overcome this limitation and bridge the translational gap for this therapeutic, we engineered a new custom-designed chamber integrated with the Aerogen Solo® vibrating mesh nebulizer. Despite observing low lung deposition of nebulized FLAMOD, our results indicate that the immunomodulatory and therapeutic efficacy of FLAMOD remained potent. Remarkably, our aerosol delivery system achieved comparable therapeutic outcomes to intranasal administration against pneumococcal pneumonia [15, 16], despite delivering doses 5-to-300-fold lower, as defined by scintigraphy and ELISA data, respectively. Furthermore, nebulization was not linked to any significant systemic exposure at the deposited dose achieved, thereby highlighting both the relevance of the delivery methodology and the safety profile. Our findings provide robust evidence supporting the development of FLAMOD as an immune-booster complementing antibiotic therapy against respiratory bacterial pathogens with high potency even at low concentrations.

Scintigraphy analysis unveils distinct contrast in deposition patterns between nebulized and intranasally administered FLAMOD. A small fraction, less than 1%, of the nebulized formulation is found to reach the lower airways, contrasting sharply with the intranasal route, which achieves a deposition exceeding 40%. Furthermore, scintigraphy demonstrates pronounced divergence in distribution across respiratory compartments between nebulized and intranasal routes. Notably, the intranasal approach exhibits heightened exposure of the trachea, whereas such exposure is lacking in the nebulization method. Despite these differences in

deposition patterns, RNAseq analysis indicates activation of similar immune pathways in the

lungs across both administration approaches. Moreover, RT-qPCR analysis of genes encoding

immune mediators reveals comparable or even elevated levels of transcriptional activation in

the nasal compartment, trachea, and bronchial epithelium following nebulization, in contrast

to intranasal administration. These findings suggest that saturation of immune activation is

achieved at low doses of FLAMOD effect. While it has been previously inferred that inhalation

of flagellin does not enter the bloodstream [11], this study was the first to measure FLAMOD

using a new ELISA test developed for this purpose. Compared to scintigraphy, ELISA

measurements reported much lower levels in the deposition of FLAMOD in the pulmonary

compartment shortly after administration: less than 0.1% for nebulized FLAMOD versus 30%

for intranasal administration. These findings strongly suggest rapid elimination of nebulized

FLAMOD within the airways, despite the differential deposition detection by the two

quantification methods used.

Several factors could contribute to accelerated FLAMOD elimination upon nebulization. The

intranasal administration facilitates the entry of the biologic solution into the airways primarily

though gravitational forces and diffusion mechanisms. In contrast, nebulization generates fine

liquid particles that deeply penetrate tissues via the respiratory flow [42]. The interaction of

nebulized particles with various components such as epithelial lining fluid factors, mucus,

pulmonary surfactants, epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages is expected to be of a specific

nature. Consequently, these distinct inhalation methods lead to differing spatial distributions

and interactions within the respiratory system, which may play a crucial role in the observed

degradation dynamics of FLAMOD. Previous studies have reported that proteins deposited in

the airways by nebulization can be eliminated by various mechanisms including pulmonary

surfactant opsonization [43], endocytosis or phagocytosis by alveolar macrophages [44]

proteolytic degradation, mucus entrapping, or mucociliary clearance [45]. It is usually

considered that mucus is not a barrier for proteins <500 kDa while particles trapped in the

mucus, can be transported to the pharynx by the mucociliary clearance mechanisms [45, 46].

The conducting airways including bronchi and alveoli contain many secreted and cell-

associated proteases such cathepsins, dipeptidylpeptidases, metalloproteinases,

carboxypeptidases, or xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes such as cytochromes that can be active

against biologics [47, 48]. Of note, FLAMOD is derived from the native *Salmonella* flagellin

that is known to contain multiple proteolytic cleavage sites in the distal region, rendering

FLAMOD prone to degradation by proteases [49]. Whether nebulization exacerbates these

catabolic processes compared to intranasal delivery remains to be determined.

Biologic drugs specific for treating lung conditions, such as the recombinant human DNAse

used as a mucolytic in cystic fibrosis lung disease, have been adapted for nebulization as it is

a unique method to compartmentalize the drug activity within the respiratory tract, thereby

mitigating systemic exposure and potential toxicity [50, 51]. While the TLR5-dependent

immunomodulation in the airways presents a promising therapeutic avenue, it is important to

acknowledge a potential caveat stemming from systemic exposure. TLR5, being widely

expressed across various cells and tissues, carries the risk of precipitating undesired

inflammation, commonly referred to as immune-related adverse events [52]. Nebulization is

thus an attractive administration route for our biologic in order to mitigate such events. To

assess systemic exposure to FLAMOD, the production of serum IL-22 serves as a surrogate

marker. Previous studies have established that IL-22 necessitates flagellin-mediated activation

of TLR5 signaling in dendritic cells derived from either blood or tissue parenchyma [11, 38,

39]. This study showed no or very low levels of serum IL-22 after inhalation, corroborating the

FLAMOD dosing in serum and insinuating little to no systemic leakage. The efficient

elimination of nebulized FLAMOD may contribute to the minimal systemic exposure

observed. Factors that influence systemic absorption include properties of the aerosol particles

such as pH, charge, and surface activity. Studies have indicated a variable availability of

inhaled proteins in the bloodstream, ranging from 10% to 50% [53]. In mice, systemic exposure

to antibodies via orotracheal inhalation is notably low, despite efficient deposition in the

airways [54]. This trend is echoed in clinical trials, where nebulized administration of

antibodies results in systemic exposure of approximately 1% of the deposited dose [55].

Despite the rapid clearance of FLAMOD, its administration via nebulization still effectively

stimulates innate immunity within the lungs, mirroring the response observed with the

intranasal route. TLR signaling, including activation of TLR5, initiates immediate NF-κB

activation within minutes, alongside the induction of negative feedback mechanisms [8, 9].

This "hit and run" activation of TLR5 rationalizes the efficacy of nebulized FLAMOD despite

its short residency half-life within respiratory tissues. Although nebulized FLAMOD induces

a transient immunomodulatory effect on neutrophil mobilization in alveoli and bronchi, it

promotes a more rapid resolution of the TLR5-mediated pro-inflammatory response compared

to intranasal delivery. This accelerated resolution aligns with the observed differences in the

biodistribution of intranasal versus nebulized FLAMOD, with intranasal FLAMOD persisting

longer in the lungs compared to the nebulized form. However, the precise mechanisms

underlying these differential kinetics require further investigation.

The effectiveness of nebulized FLAMOD as an adjunct to antibiotics was demonstrated in two

clinically relevant models of pneumonia: primary pneumonia and pneumococcal

superinfection, which occurs when there is a pre-existing influenza virus infection.

Furthermore, this proof-of-concept was confirmed using two different serotypes of

pneumococcus, one susceptible and the other resistant to amoxicillin (with MIC_{AMX} values of

0.016 µg/ml and 1.5 µg/ml respectively). The results from this study align with the clinical

development of nebulized FLAMOD and support previous findings from intranasal

administration in pneumonia treatment [15, 16]. Analysis of FLAMOD distribution throughout

the body, as well as the immune responses in the lungs and blood following inhalation, revealed

that pneumonia-induced damage had minimal impact on the observed bioavailability and

immune activation patterns. This reinforces the safety and effectiveness of our therapeutic

approach targeting the respiratory tissues.

In conclusion, our findings underscore the intricate interplay between inhalation methods,

respiratory and systemic distribution, and immunomodulatory activity of FLAMOD, which are

critical considerations in optimizing therapeutic outcomes and minimizing adverse effects. It

will be important to extend these investigations to the study of more representative models to

allow better translation of nebulized FLAMOD to the clinic. More appropriate species, for

better defining nebulized FLAMOD PK and PD parameters with higher translational value,

will include pig models and non-human primate models. Nevertheless, this study has

determined nebulization as an effective and safe method for next step development of host-

directed lung-targeting therapies of pneumonia.

Conflicts of interest

JCS, CCa and NHV are inventors of the patents WO2009156405, WO2011161491, WO2015011254, and WO2016102536 that describe the use of flagellin as biologic against infectious diseases and the patent WO2023275292 on the formulation of FLMAOD. NHV is a cofounder of Cynbiose Respiratory and does not hold stock options. In the last few years, she has been paid as a scientific advisor (Novartis, European Commission, Immune Biosolution, ANR, Alvea/Telis Bioscience). Her group has financial relationships with private-sector entities (Nemera, Aptar Pharma, Affilogic, 4P-Pharma, EuroAPI) outside the scope of the present work and Aerogen within the scope of this study. RMACL is named inventor on several vibrating mesh nebulizer patents. Authors declare no other competing interests.

Author Contributions

Funding. The study was funded by INSERM, Institut Pasteur de Lille, Université de Lille, the project FAIR that received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 847786, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (grant ANR-19-CE18- 0030-01).

Acknowledgements. We thank the Vaccine Development department of Statens Serum Institut

(Denmark) for the manufacture and supply of FLAMOD. We thank the PLETHA animal

facility from UMS2014-US41-PLBS.

References

[1] https://www.who.int/news/item/09-12-2020-who-reveals-leading-causes-of-death-and-

disability-worldwide-2000-2019, (2019).

[2] C. Scelfo, F. Menzella, M. Fontana, G. Ghidoni, C. Galeone, N.C. Facciolongo, Pneumonia

and Invasive Pneumococcal Diseases: The Role of Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine in the

Era of Multi-Drug Resistance, Vaccines (Basel), 9 (2021).

[3] L.M. Wang, T. Cravo Oliveira Hashiguchi, M. Cecchini, Impact of vaccination on carriage

of and infection by antibiotic-resistant bacteria: a systematic review and meta-analysis, Clin

Exp Vaccine Res, 10 (2021) 81-92.

[4] A. Lochen, N.J. Croucher, R.M. Anderson, Divergent serotype replacement trends and

increasing diversity in pneumococcal disease in high income settings reduce the benefit of

expanding vaccine valency, Sci Rep, 10 (2020) 18977.

[5] J. Liñares, C. Ardanuy, R. Pallares, A. Fenoll, Changes in antimicrobial resistance,

serotypes and genotypes in Streptococcus pneumoniae over a 30-year period, Clin Microbiol

Infect, 16 (2010) 402-410.

[6] M. Miethke, M. Pieroni, T. Weber, M. Brönstrup, P. Hammann, L. Halby, P.B. Arimondo,

P. Glaser, B. Aigle, H.B. Bode, R. Moreira, Y. Li, A. Luzhetskyy, M.H. Medema, J.-L.

Pernodet, M. Stadler, J.R. Tormo, O. Genilloud, A.W. Truman, K.J. Weissman, E. Takano, S.

Sabatini, E. Stegmann, H. Brötz-Oesterhelt, W. Wohlleben, M. Seemann, M. Empting, A.K.H.

Hirsch, B. Loretz, C.-M. Lehr, A. Titz, J. Herrmann, T. Jaeger, S. Alt, T. Hesterkamp, M.

Winterhalter, A. Schiefer, K. Pfarr, A. Hoerauf, H. Graz, M. Graz, M. Lindvall, S. Ramurthy,

A. Karlén, M. van Dongen, H. Petkovic, A. Keller, F. Peyrane, S. Donadio, L. Fraisse, L.J.V.

Piddock, I.H. Gilbert, H.E. Moser, R. Müller, Towards the sustainable discovery and

development of new antibiotics, Nature Reviews Chemistry, 5 (2021) 726-749.

[7] R.S. Wallis, A. O'Garra, A. Sher, A. Wack, Host-directed immunotherapy of viral and

bacterial infections: past, present and future, Nat Rev Immunol, 23 (2023) 121-133.

[8] K.A. Fitzgerald, J.C. Kagan, Toll-like Receptors and the Control of Immunity, Cell, 180

(2020) 1044-1066.

[9] J. Yang, H. Yan, TLR5: beyond the recognition of flagellin, Cellular & Molecular

Immunology, 14 (2017) 1017-1019.

[10] L. Janot, J.C. Sirard, T. Secher, N. Noulin, L. Fick, S. Akira, S. Uematsu, A. Didierlaurent,

T. Hussell, B. Ryffel, F. Erard, Radioresistant cells expressing TLR5 control the respiratory

epithelium's innate immune responses to flagellin, Eur J Immunol, 39 (2009) 1587-1596.

[11] L. Van Maele, D. Fougeron, L. Janot, A. Didierlaurent, D. Cayet, J. Tabareau, M. Rumbo,

S. Corvo-Chamaillard, S. Boulenouar, S. Jeffs, L. Vande Walle, M. Lamkanfi, Y. Lemoine, F.

Erard, D. Hot, T. Hussell, B. Ryffel, A.G. Benecke, J.C. Sirard, Airway structural cells regulate

TLR5-mediated mucosal adjuvant activity, Mucosal Immunology, 7 (2014) 489-500.

[12] N. Muñoz, L. Van Maele, J.M. Marqués, A. Rial, J.C. Sirard, J.A. Chabalgoity, Mucosal

administration of flagellin protects mice from Streptococcus pneumoniae lung infection, Infect

Immun, 78 (2010) 4226-4233.

[13] A.K. Zgair, Flagellin administration protects respiratory tract from Burkholderia cepacia

infection, J Microbiol Biotechnol, 22 (2012) 907-916.

[14] F.S. Yu, M.D. Cornicelli, M.A. Kovach, M.W. Newstead, X. Zeng, A. Kumar, N. Gao,

S.G. Yoon, R.L. Gallo, T.J. Standiford, Flagellin stimulates protective lung mucosal immunity:

role of cathelicidin-related antimicrobial peptide, J Immunol, 185 (2010) 1142-1149.

[15] L. Matarazzo, F. Casilag, R. Porte, F. Wallet, D. Cayet, C. Faveeuw, C. Carnoy, J.C.

Sirard, Therapeutic Synergy Between Antibiotics and Pulmonary Toll-Like Receptor 5

Stimulation in Antibiotic-Sensitive or -Resistant Pneumonia, Front Immunol, 10 (2019) 723.

[16] R. Porte, D. Fougeron, N. Muñoz-Wolf, J. Tabareau, A.F. Georgel, F. Wallet, C. Paget,

F. Trottein, J.A. Chabalgoity, C. Carnoy, J.C. Sirard, A Toll-Like Receptor 5 Agonist Improves

the Efficacy of Antibiotics in Treatment of Primary and Influenza Virus-Associated

Pneumococcal Mouse Infections, Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 59 (2015) 6064-6072.

[17] C. Costa, J.C. Sirard, P.S. Gibson, J.W. Veening, E. Gjini, M. Baldry, Triggering Toll-

Like Receptor 5 signaling during pneumococcal superinfection prevents the selection of

antibiotic resistance, J Infect Dis, (2024).

[18] D.L. Goldblatt, J.R. Flores, G. Valverde Ha, A.M. Jaramillo, S. Tkachman, C.T.

Kirkpatrick, S. Wali, B. Hernandez, D.E. Ost, B.L. Scott, J. Chen, S.E. Evans, M.J. Tuvim,

B.F. Dickey, Inducible epithelial resistance against acute Sendai virus infection prevents

chronic asthma-like lung disease in mice, Br J Pharmacol, 177 (2020) 2256-2273.

[19] M.J. Tuvim, B.E. Gilbert, B.F. Dickey, S.E. Evans, Synergistic TLR2/6 and TLR9

activation protects mice against lethal influenza pneumonia, PLoS One, 7 (2012) e30596.

[20] J.M. Duggan, D. You, J.O. Cleaver, D.T. Larson, R.J. Garza, F.A. Guzmán Pruneda, M.J.

Tuvim, J. Zhang, B.F. Dickey, S.E. Evans, Synergistic interactions of TLR2/6 and TLR9

induce a high level of resistance to lung infection in mice, J Immunol, 186 (2011) 5916-5926.

[21] A. Iwasaki, E.F. Foxman, R.D. Molony, Early local immune defences in the respiratory

tract, Nat Rev Immunol, 17 (2017) 7-20.

[22] W.R. Ke, R.Y.K. Chang, H.K. Chan, Engineering the right formulation for enhanced drug

delivery, Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 191 (2022) 114561.

[23] R. MacLoughlin, I. Martin-Loeches, Not all nebulizers are created equal: Considerations

in choosing a nebulizer for aerosol delivery during mechanical ventilation, Expert Rev Respir

Med, 17 (2023) 131-142.

[24] E. Bodier-Montagutelli, A. Mayor, L. Vecellio, R. Respaud, N. Heuze-Vourc'h, Designing

inhaled protein therapeutics for topical lung delivery: what are the next steps?, Expert Opin

Drug Deliv, 15 (2018) 729-736.

[25] T.C. Carvalho, J.T. McConville, The function and performance of aqueous aerosol devices

for inhalation therapy, J Pharm Pharmacol, 68 (2016) 556-578.

[26] S.P. Hertel, G. Winter, W. Friess, Protein stability in pulmonary drug delivery via

nebulization, Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 93 (2015) 79-94.

[27] L.-A. Keller, O. Merkel, A. Popp, Intranasal drug delivery: opportunities and toxicologic

challenges during drug development, Drug Delivery and Translational Research, 12 (2022)

735-757.

[28] S. Ehrmann, Vibrating Mesh Nebulizers-Can greater drug delivery to the airways and

lungs improve respiratory outcomes?, European Respiratory & Pulmonary Diseases, 4 (2018)

33-43.

[29] W. Longest, B. Spence, M. Hindle, Devices for Improved Delivery of Nebulized

Pharmaceutical Aerosols to the Lungs, J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv, 32 (2019) 317-339.

[30] J.N. Pritchard, R.H. Hatley, J. Denyer, D.V. Hollen, Mesh nebulizers have become the

first choice for new nebulized pharmaceutical drug developments, Ther Deliv, 9 (2018) 121-

136.

[31] L. Sweeney, A.P. McCloskey, G. Higgins, J.M. Ramsey, S.-A. Cryan, R. MacLoughlin,

Effective nebulization of interferon-γ using a novel vibrating mesh, Respiratory Research, 20

(2019) 66.

[32] C. Nempont, D. Cayet, M. Rumbo, C. Bompard, V. Villeret, J.C. Sirard, Deletion of

flagellin's hypervariable region abrogates antibody-mediated neutralization and systemic

activation of TLR5-dependent immunity, J Immunol, 181 (2008) 2036-2043.

[33] C. Paget, S. Ivanov, J. Fontaine, F. Blanc, M. Pichavant, J. Renneson, E. Bialecki, J.

Pothlichet, C. Vendeville, G. Barba-Spaeth, M.R. Huerre, C. Faveeuw, M. Si-Tahar, F.

Trottein, Potential role of invariant NKT cells in the control of pulmonary inflammation and

CD8+ T cell response during acute influenza A virus H3N2 pneumonia, J Immunol, 186 (2011)

5590-5602.

[34] Y. Hiriart, M. Serradell, A. Martinez, S. Sampaolesi, D.G. Maciel, J.A. Chabalgoity, L.

Yim, G. Algorta, M. Rumbo, Generation and selection of anti-flagellin monoclonal antibodies

useful for serotyping Salmonella enterica, Springerplus, 2 (2013) 640.

[35] K. Chen, T. Eddens, G. Trevejo-Nunez, E.E. Way, W. Elsegeiny, D.M. Ricks, A.V. Garg,

C.J. Erb, M. Bo, T. Wang, W. Chen, J.S. Lee, S.L. Gaffen, J.K. Kolls, IL-17 Receptor Signaling

in the Lung Epithelium Is Required for Mucosal Chemokine Gradients and Pulmonary Host

Defense against K. pneumoniae, Cell Host Microbe, 20 (2016) 596-605.

[36] M.I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2, Genome Biology, 15 (2014) 550.

[37] D. Fougeron, L. Van Maele, P. Songhet, D. Cayet, D. Hot, N. Van Rooijen, H.J.

Mollenkopf, W.D. Hardt, A.G. Benecke, J.C. Sirard, Indirect Toll-like receptor 5-mediated

activation of conventional dendritic cells promotes the mucosal adjuvant activity of flagellin

in the respiratory tract, Vaccine, 33 (2015) 3331-3341.

[38] M.A. Kinnebrew, C.G. Buffie, G.E. Diehl, L.A. Zenewicz, I. Leiner, T.M. Hohl, R.A.

Flavell, D.R. Littman, E.G. Pamer, Interleukin 23 production by intestinal CD103(+)CD11b(+)

dendritic cells in response to bacterial flagellin enhances mucosal innate immune defense,

Immunity, 36 (2012) 276-287.

[39] L. Van Maele, C. Carnoy, D. Cayet, P. Songhet, L. Dumoutier, I. Ferrero, L. Janot, F.

Erard, J. Bertout, H. Leger, F. Sebbane, A. Benecke, J.C. Renauld, W.D. Hardt, B. Ryffel, J.C.

Sirard, TLR5 signaling stimulates the innate production of IL-17 and IL-22 by

CD3(neg)CD127+ immune cells in spleen and mucosa, J Immunol, 185 (2010) 1177-1185.

[40] L.R. Prince, M.K. Whyte, I. Sabroe, L.C. Parker, The role of TLRs in neutrophil

activation, Current Opinion in Pharmacology, 11 (2011) 397-403.

[41] T.R. Hawn, W.R. Berrington, I.A. Smith, S. Uematsu, S. Akira, A. Aderem, K.D. Smith,

S.J. Skerrett, Altered inflammatory responses in TLR5-deficient mice infected with Legionella

pneumophila, J Immunol, 179 (2007) 6981-6987.

[42] S. Ehrmann, O. Schmid, C. Darquenne, B. Rothen-Rutishauser, J. Sznitman, L. Yang, H.

Barosova, L. Vecellio, J. Mitchell, N. Heuze-Vourc'h, Innovative preclinical models for

pulmonary drug delivery research, Expert Opin Drug Deliv, 17 (2020) 463-478.

[43] T. Tschernig, N.T. Veith, E. Diler, M. Bischoff, C. Meier, M. Schicht, The importance of

surfactant proteins—New aspects on macrophage phagocytosis, Annals of Anatomy -

Anatomischer Anzeiger, 208 (2016) 142-145.

[44] C. Lombry, D.A. Edwards, V. Preat, R. Vanbever, Alveolar macrophages are a primary

barrier to pulmonary absorption of macromolecules, Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol, 286

(2004) L1002-1008.

[45] E. Frohlich, S. Salar-Behzadi, Oral inhalation for delivery of proteins and peptides to the

lungs, Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 163 (2021) 198-211.

[46] S.S. Olmsted, J.L. Padgett, A.I. Yudin, K.J. Whaley, T.R. Moench, R.A. Cone, Diffusion

of macromolecules and virus-like particles in human cervical mucus, Biophys J, 81 (2001)

1930-1937.

[47] Z. Enlo-Scott, E. Bäckström, I. Mudway, B. Forbes, Drug metabolism in the lungs:

opportunities for optimising inhaled medicines, Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism &

Toxicology, 17 (2021) 611-625.

[48] F. Oesch, E. Fabian, R. Landsiedel, Xenobiotica-metabolizing enzymes in the lung of

experimental animals, man and in human lung models, Archives of Toxicology, 93 (2019)

3419-3489.

[49] F. Vonderviszt, S. Aizawa, K. Namba, Role of the disordered terminal regions of flagellin

in filament formation and stability, J Mol Biol, 221 (1991) 1461-1474.

[50] J.S. Tepper, P.J. Kuehl, S. Cracknell, K.J. Nikula, L. Pei, J.D. Blanchard, Symposium

Summary: "Breathe In, Breathe Out, Its Easy: What You Need to Know About Developing

Inhaled Drugs", Int J Toxicol, 35 (2016) 376-392.

[51] S. Mahri, T. Wilms, P. Hagedorm, M.-J. Guichard, K. Vanvarenberg, M. Dumoulin, H.

Frijlink, R. Vanbever, Nebulization of PEGylated recombinant human deoxyribonuclease I

using vibrating membrane nebulizers: A technical feasibility study, European Journal of

Pharmaceutical Sciences, 189 (2023) 106522.

[52] M. Conroy, J. Naidoo, Immune-related adverse events and the balancing act of

immunotherapy, Nature Communications, 13 (2022) 392.

[53] R. Siekmeier, G. Scheuch, Systemic treatment by inhalation of macromolecules--

principles, problems, and examples, J Physiol Pharmacol, 59 Suppl 6 (2008) 53-79.

[54] T. Secher, E. Dalonneau, M. Ferreira, C. Parent, N. Azzopardi, G. Paintaud, M. Si-Tahar,

N. Heuze-Vourc'h, In a murine model of acute lung infection, airway administration of a

therapeutic antibody confers greater protection than parenteral administration, J Control

Release, 303 (2019) 24-33.

[55] A. Proudfoot, A. Bayliffe, C.M. O'Kane, T. Wright, A. Serone, P.J. Bareille, V. Brown,

U.I. Hamid, Y. Chen, R. Wilson, J. Cordy, P. Morley, R. de Wildt, S. Elborn, M. Hind, E.R.

Chilvers, M. Griffiths, C. Summers, D.F. McAuley, Novel anti-tumour necrosis factor

receptor-1 (TNFR1) domain antibody prevents pulmonary inflammation in experimental acute

lung injury, Thorax, 73 (2018) 723-730.

Figure 1. FLAMOD biodistribution after intranasal or aerosol inhalation.

Figure 2. Transient innate immune activation following FLAMOD inhalation.

Figure 3. Compartmentalization of transcriptional response to FLAMOD inhalation.

Figure 4. FLAMOD inhalation by the intranasal or nebulization route activates similar innate immune responses.

Figure 5. Transient neutrophil recruitment in response to FLAMOD inhalation.

A

Infection
H3N2 Influenza virus
50PFU i.n.

Infection
S. pneumoniae
(19F)
1x10⁵ CFU i.n.

Treatment
Amoxicillin (1mg) i.g.
FLAMOD nebulization
(250 µg)
Or FLAMOD i.n. (30 µg)

Sampling
Lung, Spleen → CFU
Serum/BAL → markers

D0   D7 (0h)   D7 (12h)   Multiple timepoints
                                      D7-D8 up to 24h

B Intranasal FLAMOD

C Nebulized FLAMOD

D BAL deposition / Serum levels

E BAL deposition / Serum levels

F CCL20 / CXCL2

G CCL20 / IL-22

● Intranasal FLAMOD
◆ Nebulized FLAMOD

Figure 6. FLAMOD inhalation efficacy, kinetics and therapeutic activity in pneumococcal superinfection.