Phosphate Starvation-Induced CORNICHON HOMOLOG 5 as Endoplasmic Reticulum Cargo Receptor for PHT1 Transporters in *Arabidopsis*

Chang-Yi Chiu,[a] Cheng-Da Tsai,[a] Jhih-Yi Wang,[a] Ming-Hsuan Tsai,[a] Satomi Kanno,[b] Hui-Fang Lung,[a] Tzu-Yin Liu,[a,c,1]

[a]Institute of Bioinformatics and Structural Biology, College of Life Sciences and Medicine, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan.
[b]Institute for Advanced Research, NAIAS, Nagoya University, Fro-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan.
[c]Department of Life Science, College of Life Sciences and Medicine, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan.

[1]Address correspondence to tzliu@life.nthu.edu.tw
Institute of Bioinformatics and Structural Biology, College of Life Sciences and Medicine, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan
Tel: +886 3 574-2096; Fax: +886 3 571-5934

Running title: CNIH5 facilitates ER export of PHT1 transporters

Synopsis: Phosphate starvation-induced CNIH5 acts as an ER cargo receptor of PHT1 transporters in *Arabidopsis*, enhancing their plasma membrane targeting and phosphate uptake.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (https://academic.oup.com/plcell/pages/General-Instructions) is: Tzu-Yin Liu (tzliu@life.nthu.edu.tw).

ABSTRACT

Phosphate (Pi) is essential for plant growth and is acquired by the plasma membrane (PM)

PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER 1 proteins (PHT1s). Enhancing the abundance of PHT1s at

the cell surface under Pi limitation thus ensures plant productivity. CORNICHON

HOMOLOG proteins (CNIHs) are eukaryotic conserved cargo receptors that selectively

mediate the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) export of membrane proteins. We identified the

Arabidopsis thaliana CNIH5 (*AtCNIH5*) as a Pi starvation-inducible *CNIH* gene

preferentially expressed in the outer root cell layers above the meristem. *At*CNIH5 localizes

adjacent to the *At*SEC16A-labeled ER exit sites and interacts with *At*PHT1;1 and

PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER TRAFFIC FACILITATOR1 (*At*PHF1). Loss of *AtCNIH5*

confers reduced shoot Pi levels and decreased *At*PHT1s but increased *At*PHF1. The root hair

and the epidermis within the transition/elongation zone of the *cnih5* mutant display a lower

PM targeting efficiency of *At*PHT1;1. Moreover, dysfunctional *At*CNIH5 exerts a

suppressive effect on the growth of *phf1* and alleviates Pi toxicity in the Pi overaccumulator

pho2. However, the *in vivo* protein–protein interaction and degradation assays indicated that

*At*CNIH5 is unlikely a direct target of *At*PHO2. Our findings highlight that low Pi-responsive

*At*CNIH5 interplays with *At*PHF1 to promote the PM targeting of *At*PHT1s in a cell type-

dependent manner.

INTRODUCTION

Membrane trafficking that dictates the plasma membrane (PM) proteins in reaching their destination is intimately linked with the plant's plasticity to changing environments and, thus, crucial for plant growth and fitness under adverse conditions. Among the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) accessory proteins involved in membrane trafficking, CORNICHON HOMOLOGUE (CNIH) proteins are responsible for the selective export of membrane cargoes to the Golgi or post-Golgi compartments by cycling between the ER and the Golgi (Powers and Barlowe, 1998; Harmel et al., 2012). They are conserved in eukaryotes and presumably harbor three membrane-spanning α-helixes with the N-terminus towards the cytoplasm (Rosas-Santiago et al., 2015). The *Drosophila* cornichon is the first identified CNIH that promotes the ER export of the transforming growth factor α (TGFα)-like growth factor to the oocyte surface during oogenesis (Roth et al., 1995; Bökel et al., 2006). In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the systematic genetic manipulations combined with automated microscopy screening uncovered that *Sc*CNIH/Erv14 serves as an ER cargo receptor of about 40 membrane cargoes with diverse functions, including proteins involved in transport, budding, sporulation, and cell wall synthesis (Powers and Barlowe, 1998; Powers and Barlowe, 2002; Nakanishi et al., 2007; Herzig et al., 2012; Pagant et al., 2015; Zimmermannová et al., 2019). Of note, yeast cells lacking Erv14 showed normal PM localization of the K$^+$-importer Trk2 yet mistargeting its paralog Trk1, despite that Trk2 is nearly 55% identical to Trk1 (Zimmermannová et al., 2019), suggesting a regulatory mechanism operating in the cargo selectivity of CNIHs. For instance, Erv14 employs multiple modes of cargo binding to meet the different requirements of various cargo proteins. It simultaneously binds to the membrane cargo and the coat protein complex II (COPII) subunit Sec24, thus incorporating the membrane cargo into the COPII carriers (Powers and Barlowe, 1998; Powers and Barlowe, 2002; Pagant et al., 2015). Similarly, Sec24 was reported to recognize two signals to efficiently drive the ER exit of client proteins, one on membrane cargoes and the other on Erv14 (Pagant et al., 2015).

Despite plant CNIH proteins (CNIHs) being less functionally characterized, they seem to have a similar role as their yeast counterpart. The moss (*Physcomitrium patens*) *Pp*CNIH2 was identified as a specific cargo receptor of the auxin efflux transporter homolog PINA for

its polar localization in protonema cells (Yáñez-Domínguez et al., 2023). The rice (*Oryza*

sativa) *Os*CNIH1 was shown to mediate the Golgi targeting of the sodium transporter

*Os*HKT1;3 (Rosas-Santiago et al., 2015). Moreover, overexpression of the pumpkin

(*Cucurbita moschata* var. Rifu) *Cm*CNIH1 improves the salt tolerance by regulating the PM

localization of the sodium-selective transporter *Cm*HKT1;1 (Wei et al., 2023). Based on the

PANTHER classification (http://www.pantherdb.org/), *Arabidopsis thaliana* CNIH1

(*At*CNIH1) and *Os*CNIH2 are grouped into the same subfamily as the yeast Erv14 and its

paralog Erv15; *At*CNIH2, *At*CNIH4, and *Os*CNIH1 belong to the same subfamily; *At*CNIH3

and *At*CNIH5 (AT4G12090) are *Brassicaceae*-specific CNIH paralogs. The *Arabidopsis*

cnih1, *cnih4*, and *cnih1/4* double mutants were previously shown to exhibit reduced Ca^{2+}

fluxes in the pollen tube tip, which was due to the impaired targeting of glutamate receptor-

like channels (GLRs) (Wudick et al., 2018). Furthermore, the patch-clamp analysis of

mammalian COS-7 cells expressing *At*GLR3.3 together with *At*CNIH1 and *At*CNIH4

displayed a current two-fold relative to those co-expressing *At*GLR3.3 with *At*CNIH1 or

*At*CNIH4 alone, indicating that the formation of *At*CNIH oligomers potentially imposes an

additional layer of regulation on cellular Ca^{2+} homeostasis (Wudick et al., 2018). Therefore,

by modulating the trafficking of various transporters along the secretory pathway, the plant

CNIH family can regulate various ion homeostasis.

Phosphate (Pi) is known to be one of the most limiting mineral nutrients for plant growth and

productivity. Because of its chemical properties, Pi is mostly immobilized in the soil, and its

bioavailability is poor (Manning, 2008). To adapt to the low Pi availability, plants utilize a

series of strategies, including enhancing Pi acquisition by the PM-localized PHOSPHATE

TRANSPORTER 1 (PHT1) family (Poirier and Bucher, 2002; Młodzińska and Zboińska,

2016). In *Arabidopsis*, *At*PHT1;1 and *At*PHT1;4 are responsible for up to 75% of Pi uptake

under a wide range of Pi concentrations and thus play dominant roles in Pi acquisition

(Karthikeyan et al., 2002; Mudge et al., 2002; Misson et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2004; Ayadi

et al., 2015). Under Pi repletion, *AtPHT1;1* shows a robust gene expression in the root hair-

producing trichoblast and is the most abundant member (Karthikeyan et al., 2002; Mudge et

al., 2002; Ayadi et al., 2015). In response to low Pi, *AtPHT1;1* is modestly induced, mainly

in the root epidermis, cortex, and root hair, and is detectable throughout the lateral root cap,

whereas *AtPHT1;4* is highly induced (Karthikeyan et al., 2002; Mudge et al., 2002). Besides the low Pi induction of *PHT1* genes at the transcriptional level, the trafficking of PHT1 proteins (PHT1s) to the PM is also under constant surveillance. The ER exit of PHT1s requires PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER TRAFFIC FACILITATOR1 (PHF1), an ER accessory protein sharing sequence homology with the small GTPase SAR1 guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) SEC12 but missing the key catalytic residues required for the GEF activity (González et al., 2005). Dysfunction of *At*PHF1 specifically impairs Pi uptake by hampering the PM targeting of PHT1s, leading to a reduced abundance of PHT1s at the PM and a lower cellular Pi level, thereby activating Pi starvation-responsive (PSR) genes (González et al., 2005; Bayle et al., 2011). In rice, the phosphorylation status of the C terminus of PHT1s affects their interaction with PHF1, and thus the ER exit of PHT1s (Chen et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020). In addition, the turnover of PHT1s relies on the vacuolar degradation mediated either by the ENDOSOMAL SORTING COMPLEXES REQUIRED FOR TRANSPORT (ESCRT) III-related component (Cardona-López et al., 2015) or the ubiquitin E2 conjugase PHOSPHATE2 (PHO2) and/or the ubiquitin E3 ligase NITROGEN LIMITATION ADAPTATION (NLA) (Huang et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013; Park et al., 2014). As a result, defective PHO2 or NLA confers Pi overaccumulation in plants due to increased accumulation of PHT1s (Huang et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2013).

Previous transcriptome profiling has uncovered *AtCNIH5* as a Pi starvation-induced gene with unknown implications (Müller et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2016). The membrane proteomics analysis of *Arabidopsis pho2* root also showed a significant increase of *At*CNIH5 along with a higher level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4, and *At*PHF1 (Huang et al., 2013). Although it remains to be determined whether *At*CNIH5 is a direct target of *At*PHO2, when querying a yeast split-ubiquitin-based *Arabidopsis* interactome database (*At*CNIH5 not included), we found that *At*CNIH1 interacts with *At*PHT1;9 and *At*PHF1 (Jones Alexander et al., 2014). These results prompted us to speculate that Pi starvation-induced *At*PHF1, *At*CNIH5, and *At*PHT1s are genetically and functionally linked. We were thus curious whether *At*CNIH proteins (*At*CNIHs), particularly *At*CNIH5, are involved in the regulatory mechanism for the post-ER trafficking of *At*PHT1s. In this study, we characterized *AtCNIH5* as the sole *AtCNIH* gene induced by Pi starvation in the outer root cell layers. We showed that split-GFP-tagged

*At*CNIH5 is ER-localized and, in part, closely associated with the ER exit sites (ERES)

marker *At*SEC16A. We also confirmed the interaction of *At*CNIH5 with *At*PHT1;1 and

*At*PHF1 using the *in-planta* split-GFP complementation and the yeast split-ubiquitin assays.

The *cnih5* T-DNA insertion mutant exhibits reduced shoot Pi levels, decreased amount of

*At*PHT1s, increased *At*PHF1 accumulation, and impaired PM localization of split-GFP

tagged-*At*PHT1;1 in the epidermis of the root transition/elongation zone and the root hair.

The characterization of *cnih1/5*, *cnih3/5,* and *cnih4/5* double mutants further suggested that

*At*CNIH5 is the primary *At*CNIH member regulating the cellular Pi homeostasis at the

seedling stage. However, at an older growth stage, other *At*CNIH members may participate

in this process. In addition, our genetic studies also suggest that dysfunctional *At*CNIH5

alleviates the Pi toxicity of the Pi overaccumulator *pho2* and exerts an additive suppressive

effect on the growth of *phf1*, supporting a role for *At*CNIH5 in regulating Pi homeostasis.

Nevertheless, neither *At*CNIH5 interacts with *At*PHO2 *in planta*, nor does the transient

expression of *At*PHO2 downregulate the expression of *At*CNIH5, arguing against *At*CNIH5

as a direct target of *At*PHO2. Overall, our findings demonstrate that loss of *AtCNIH5* leads

to a lower PM targeting efficiency of *At*PHT1s in a cell-type-dependent manner and reveal

*At*CNIH5 as a *bona fide* ER cargo receptor that interplays with *At*PHF1 to ensure proper and

efficient trafficking of *At*PHT1s to the cell surface.

RESULTS

***At*CNIH5 is induced by Pi deficiency preferentially in the outer root cell layers**

To corroborate the responsiveness of *AtCNIH5* to Pi starvation, we examined by quantitative

reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) the transcript expression of all the *AtCNIH* genes

except *AtCNIH2*, whose expression was barely detectable (Liu et al., 2016). Under Pi-

repleted conditions, the expression of *AtCNIH5* was relatively low in the seedling; however,

it remarkedly increased in both the shoot and root following Pi starvation (**Fig. 1A**). In

contrast, *AtCNIH1*, *AtCNIH3*, and *AtCNIH4* were upregulated by nitrogen (N) deprivation

(**Fig. 1A**). We analyzed the spatial expression pattern of *AtCNIH5* using promoter: *uidA* (a

gene encoding β-glucuronidase or GUS) fusion lines. Under full-nutrient conditions, the

promoter activity of *AtCNIH5* was found in the trichomes and the vascular tissues of the

shoot (**Fig. 1B**). In the primary root, the expression of *AtCNIH5* was absent in the root cap

and meristem but strongly expressed in the stele of the transition/elongation and the

differential/maturation zones (**Fig. 1B**). In the lateral root, *AtCNIH5* was also expressed in

the vascular tissues and detectable in the columella (**Fig. 1B**). Interestingly, the promoter

activity of *AtCNIH5* was markedly elevated in the outer cell layers of the primary root

following Pi deprivation (**Fig. 1B**). In comparison, the promoter activity of *AtCNIH1*,

AtCNIH3, and *AtCNIH4* was restricted to the vascular tissues of shoot and root of the primary

root but present in the columella of the lateral root (**Supplemental Fig. S1**). Characterization

of *AtCNIH5pro*: GFP fusion lines at the young seedling stage recapitulated that, irrespective

of Pi regimes, *AtCNIH5* is expressed in the transition/elongation and differential/maturation

zones of the primary root, including the cortex, epidermis, and root hairs (**Supplemental Fig.**

S2). Collectively, the expression of *AtCNIH5* is enhanced by Pi starvation and its promoter

activity is upregulated by low Pi in the outer root cell layers, including the root hairs.

***At*CNIH5 resides in the ER and is partially associated with the ERES marker**

Previous studies of the pollen-specific expression of *At*CNIHs showed their distribution at

the ER (Wudick et al., 2018). Furthermore, RFP-*At*CNIH4 could be detected at the pollen

tube's PM and colocalized with the ERES marker *At*SEC24A (Wudick et al., 2018). To

examine the subcellular distribution of *At*CNIH5 in other tissues, we generated the construct

encoding UBQ10 promoter-driven β-estradiol-inducible *At*CNIH5 tagged with the 10th β-

strand of GFP (S10-*At*CNIH5) for transient expression. In *N. benthamiana* leaves, the split-

GFP self-complementation allows live-cell imaging of S10-tagged fusion proteins in the

presence of the detector S11-GFP1–9 (Liu et al., 2018; Liu, 2021). The distribution of S10-

*At*CNIH5 showed a typical ER-network pattern when co-expressed with the cytosolic S11-

GFP1–9 (**Fig. 1C**), supporting that the N-terminus of *At*CNIH5 is in the cytoplasm. While

S10-*At*CNIH5 colocalized with the ER-luminal marker mCherry-HDEL, some punctate

structures distributed on the ER tubules and sheet rims and closely associated, but not

overlapped, with the ERES marker *At*SEC16A/MAG5-mCherry (Takagi et al., 2013) (**Fig.**

1C). To validate the subcellular localization of *At*CNIH5 in stable lines, we used *cnih5* as the

genetic background to obtain transgenic plants carrying the genomic sequence of *At*CNIH5

translationally in-frame with GFP. Compared to the *cnih5* control, three independent lines

had increased shoot biomass but exhibited unaltered shoot Pi levels (**Supplemental Fig. S4**).

The signal of GFP-*AtCNIH5* was overall faint, but the intracellular fluorescent puncta in the

Pi-starved root hair tip was distinct from the cell wall-derived autofluorescence (**Fig. 1D**).

Because of the weak signal of GFP-*AtCNIH5* driven by its native promoter, we also generated

the transgenic plants expressing RFP-*At*CNIH5 under the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV)

35S promoter. Despite exhibiting abnormal root (**Supplemental Fig. S3**), these plants also

showed intracellular reticular structures in the root epidermal cells (**Fig. 1D**). These results

indicated that the observed localization of GFP-*At*CNIH5 was not an overexpression artifact

and was in the ER and the ER-associated intracellular compartments.

***At*CNIH5 interacts with *At*PHT1s and *At*PHF1**

The yeast split ubiquitin-based interactome revealed the interaction of *At*CNIH1 with

*At*PHT1;9 and *At*PHF1 (Jones Alexander et al., 2014), prompting us to test whether *At*CNIH5

also interacts with *At*PHT1s and *At*PHF1. Considering the expression of *AtCNIH5* and

AtPHT1;1 mainly in the root epidermis, we selected *At*PHT1;1 to represent *At*PHT1s in this

study. In agro-infiltrated *N. benthamiana* leaves, S10-*At*CNIH5 interacted with the 11th β-

strand of sfGFP-tagged *At*PHT1;1 (*At*PHT1;1-S11), as manifested by the reconstituted GFP

signals in the presence of the cytosolic GFP1–9 (Liu et al., 2018; Liu, 2021) (**Fig. 2A**). Due

to the same putative membrane topology shared by *At*CNIH5 and *At*PHF1, the tripartite split-

GFP method is not suitable for detecting the interaction between these two proteins. We then

exploited the yeast ubiquitin-based system (SUS) to examine the interaction between

*At*CNIH5 and *At*PHF1 as well as to validate the interaction between *At*CNIH5 and *At*PHT1s.

The results of the nutrient selection and the LacZ β-galactosidase assays suggested that

*At*CNIH5 interacted with *At*PHF1, *At*PHT1;1, and *At*PHT1;4 (**Fig. 2B**), supporting our

presumption that *At*CNIH5 may act as an ER cargo receptor that assists the ER export of

*At*PHT1s in a coordinated fashion with *At*PHF1.

Loss of *AtCNIH5* confers reduced Pi levels, *At*PHT1s accumulation, and Pi uptake

To determine the physiological role of *At*CNIH5, we grew WT and *cnih5* mutants under

different Pi regimes. Regardless of Pi status, the shoot and root fresh weight (FW) of *cnih5* mutants showed no differences from that of WT (**Fig. 3A**). By contrast, the shoot Pi level of *cnih5* diminished to 10–20% of WT under Pi sufficiency (**Fig. 3B**). We could rescue the lower shoot Pi concentrations in *cnih5* by introducing the genomic construct encoding *At*CNIH5 (**Supplemental Fig. S4**), reinforcing that the reduced Pi accumulation in *cnih5* was attributed to the non-functional *cnih5* allele. Additionally, the lower shoot Pi level in *cnih5* did not cause the upregulation of Pi starvation-induced genes in the root (**Supplemental Fig. S5**). The primary root length of *cnih5* was also similar to that of WT (**Supplemental Fig. S5**). The lateral root density was increased in *cnih5* under Pi-sufficient conditions, whereas the root hair length and density of *cnih5* were 10% shorter and 40% higher, respectively, than that of WT under Pi-deficient conditions (**Supplemental Fig. S5**).

To evaluate whether *At*PHT1s accounts for the decreased Pi levels in *cnih5*, we examined the expression level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 and *At*PHT1;4 proteins, which are the prominent *At*PHT1 members involved in Pi uptake (Shin et al., 2004; Ayadi et al., 2015). We assume that defective *At*CNIH5 would hamper the ER exit of *At*PHT1 transporters, thus diminishing the steady-state level of *At*PHT1s due to protein quality control. As the previous RNA-seq analysis suggested *AtCNIH4* as the most abundant *At*CNIH member (Liu et al., 2016), we included *cnih4* for comparison. Under Pi sufficiency, the amount of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 and *At*PHT1;4 in the total root proteins was indistinguishable among WT, *cnih4*, and *cnih5*; however, under Pi deficiency, these proteins were drastically decreased in *cnih5* as compared to WT (**Fig. 3C**). Notably, after the enrichment of root membrane proteins, a substantial decrease of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 was detected in *cnih5* under both Pi regimes (70% reduction under Pi sufficiency and 54% reduction under Pi deficiency; **Supplemental Fig. S6**). The level of *At*PHT1;4 was also reduced in the root membrane proteins of *cnih5* as compared with the WT (60% reduction under Pi sufficiency and 63% reduction under Pi deficiency; **Supplemental Fig. S6**). These results indicate that *At*PHT1s in the root microsomal fractions were more greatly affected in *cnih5*. Next, we determined the Pi uptake of radioactive tracer (P^{32}) per plant using a real-time imaging system (Kanno et al., 2016). When Pi is replete, there were no significant differences in the Pi uptake within 15 minutes between WT and *cnih5*; however, the shoot-to-root distribution of P^{32} significantly altered in the *cnih5* (**Fig.**

3D). Under Pi deficiency, loss of *AtCNIH5* resulted in an 18% reduction of P^{32} uptake relative to the WT, while the shoot-to-root distribution of P^{32} was comparable between the two genotypes (**Fig. 3D**). Overall, *cnih5* showed reduced shoot Pi levels under Pi sufficiency and decreased Pi uptake under Pi deficiency, which could be ascribed to the decreased amount of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 and *At*PHT1;4, and probably the other *At*PHT1s.

To answer whether *At*CNIH5 shares functional redundancy with other *At*CNIH members, we analyzed *cnih* double mutants carrying defective *AtCNIH1*, *AtCNIH3*, or *AtCNIH4* in the *cnih5* background. In the respective *cnih* double mutants, the expression of the remaining *AtCNIH* genes was not altered (**Supplemental Fig. S7**), hinting that there is no compensatory upregulation of the other *AtCNIH* genes at the transcript level when *AtCNIH5* and/or other *AtCNIH* are knockout. In addition, the *cnih* double mutants did not display an exacerbated reduction of Pi levels, but the shoot and root biomass of *cnih4/5* remarkably diminished (**Supplemental Fig. S7**), indicating that simultaneous loss of *AtCNIH4* and *AtCNIH5* suppresses plant growth. When hydroponically grown under Pi-sufficient conditions, the 3-week-old *cnih4/5* plants were also smaller; under Pi-deficient conditions, the shoot biomass of the *cnih3/5* and *cnih4/5* plants was reduced relative to the *cnih5* (**Supplemental Fig. S8**). Unexpectedly, the Pi concentrations showed no differences between the WT and *cnih5* adult plants (**Supplemental Fig. S8**). The shoot and root Pi levels in the *cnih4/5* adult plants even increased under Pi-deficient conditions relative to the *cnih5* (**Supplemental Fig. S8**). Taken together, *At*CNIH5 is the predominant *At*CNIH member functionally linked to Pi homeostasis at the seedling stage. As plants grow to an older stage in hydroponics, the other *At*CNIH members affect plants' fitness and may also participate in regulating Pi homeostasis.

Loss of *AtCNIH5* leads to decreased plasma membrane localization of *At*PHT1;1

To prove that *At*CNIH5 is a *bona fide* ER cargo receptor of *At*PHT1s, we sought to check the subcellular distribution of *At*PHT1;1 in *cnih5*. For equal transgene expression, a homozygous line (*At*PHT1;1-S11/GFP1–10) co-expressing *At*PHT1;1-S11 driven by the native *At*PHT1;1 promoter and GFP1–10 driven by the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter was generated in the WT background and outcrossed to the *cnih5* mutant. The F2 progeny were screened for moderate fluorescence signals and subjected to genotyping. F3 individuals

prorogated from the F2 plants with either the WT (+/+) or *cnih5* (–/–) background were used

for confocal imaging analysis. Under Pi sufficiency, the fluorescence signals of *At*PHT1;1-

S11/GFP1–10 plants in the WT background were relatively weak. So, we focused on the

subcellular localization of *At*PHT1;1-S11 under Pi limitation in the area where *AtPHT1;1* is

expressed. In the WT background, *At*PHT1;1-S11 accumulated at the PM in the root

epidermis in the transition/elongation zone (**Fig. 4A** and **4B**) and the root hairs of the

differential/maturation zone (**Fig. 4C**). By contrast, *At*PHT1;1-S11 in the *cnih5* background

occasionally distributed into the intracellular reticular or punctate structures in these regions

(**Fig. 4B**–**4C** and **Table 1**), and the signal also relatively declined at the PM by nearly 50%

(**Fig. 4A** and **4C**). Hence, we conclude that *At*CNIH5 facilitates the ER export and thus the

PM targeting of *At*PHT1;1, particularly in the elongating root epidermis and the root hair.

***At*CNIH5 and *At*PHF1 act in concert to control the ER exit of *At*PHT1s**

The ER-resident *At*PHF1 was previously known to regulate the ER exit of *At*PHT1s. We thus

conjectured whether the decreased PM targeting of *At*PHT1s in *cnih5* is indirectly attributed

to an altered expression of *At*PHF1. To our surprise, the amount of *At*PHF1 was significantly

increased in the root of *cnih5* (**Fig. 3C**), even though the transcript level of *AtPHF1* was not

upregulated (**Supplemental Fig. S5**). Consistently, *At*PHF1 levels increased by nearly 2-fold

in the root membrane proteins of *cnih5* under both Pi regimes (**Supplemental Fig. S6**),

implying that *At*PHF1 is likely upregulated to compensate for the loss of *At*CNIH5.

Considering that *At*CNIH5 interacts with both *At*PHT1;1 and *At*PHF1, we suspected that

*At*CNIH5 collaborates with *At*PHF1 to assist the ER exit of *At*PHT1s. To explore this

possibility, we characterized *phf1/cnih4*, *phf1/cnih5*, and *phf1/cnih4/5* mutants. Compared to

phf1 and *phf1/cnih4* seedlings, the shoot and root biomass of *phf1/cnih5* and *phf1/cnih4/5*

mutants were further reduced (**Fig. 5A**). However, these mutants showed no differences in

the shoot and root Pi levels compared to the *phf1* (**Fig. 5B**). Consistent with these results, the

amount of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 in the total root proteins was comparable in these mutants (**Fig.**

5C). At the adult-plant stage in hydroponic solutions, the *phf1/cnih4*, *phf1/cnih5*, and

phf1/cnih4/5 mutants displayed reduced shoot growth relative to the *phf1* (**Supplemental**

Fig. S9). Of note, the *phf1/cnih5* and *phf1/cnih4/5* plants exhibited reduced shoot Pi levels

relative to the *phf1* under Pi sufficiency (**Supplemental Fig. S9**). Therefore, the extra loss of

AtCNIH5 in the *phf1* background aggravates the growth retardation and further reduces Pi

accumulation in the shoot when plants grow to an older stage.

_At_CNIH5 alleviates Pi toxicity in *pho2* but appears not to be a direct target of _At_PHO2

To address whether *At*CNIH5 acts downstream of the *At*PHO2-mediated Pi homeostasis

pathway, we generated the *pho2/cnih5* mutant. Compared to *pho2*, *pho2/cnih5* accumulated

less Pi in the shoot to a similar extent as reported for *pho2/pht1;1* (**Fig. 6A**) (Huang et al.,

2013) and exhibited reduced *At*PHT1;1/2/3 and *At*PHT1;4 relative to the *pho2* (**Fig. 6B**). By

comparison, the expression level of the xylem loading Pi transporter *At*PHO1 was increased

in *pho2/cnih5* (**Fig. 6B**), suggesting that defective *At*CNIH5 specifically impairs the ER

export of *At*PHT1s. We next explored the possibility of *At*PHO2 as a hypothesized E2/E3

hybrid enzyme downregulating the expression of *At*CNIH5. Using the *N. benthamiana*

transient expression system, we found that *At*PHO2 neither interacted with *At*CNIH5 nor

suppressed the expression of *At*CNIH5, in contrast to *At*PHO2-mediated degradation of

*At*PHO1 in a dosage-dependent manner as previously reported (Liu et al., 2012)

(**Supplemental Fig. S10**). Taken together, even though *At*CNIH5 increases in *pho2* and the

loss of *AtCNIH5* alleviates the Pi toxicity of *pho2* as a result of downregulation of *At*PHT1s,

we have no evidence to support that *AtCNIH5* is a direct target of *At*PHO2.

DISCUSSION

_At_CNIH5 is a Pi starvation-induced and cell type-specific ER cargo receptor for

_At_PHT1s

Our study provides multiple lines of evidence showing that *At*CNIH5 is a Pi starvation-

induced ER cargo receptor that facilitates the PM targeting of *At*PHT1s. Gene expression

profiles using GUS and GFP reporters and subcellular distribution analyses of fluorescent

protein fusions suggest *At*CNIH5 as the sole *At*CNIH member induced by low Pi in the root

outer layers (**Fig. 1A**, **1B, Supplemental Fig**. **S1,** and **S2**). We also showed that *At*CNIH5

localized to the ER and was partially associated with the ERES maker *At*SEC16A (**Fig. 1C**

and **1D**). As *At*CNIH5 interacts with *At*PHT1;1 and *At*PHF1 (**Fig. 2**), it is likely that *At*CNIH5

participates in the COPII-mediated ER-to-Golgi transport of *At*PHT1;1. We surmise that the recognition of *At*PHT1s by *At*SEC24s alone is probably not an efficient signal to drive the ER exit of *At*PHT1s, and therefore requires the coincident or successive interaction of *At*PHT1s with *At*CNIH5 and *At*PHF1 (see the discussion in the next section). Although *AtCNIH5* is expressed at a lower level than *AtCNIH1*, *AtCNIH3,* and *AtCNIH4* under Pi sufficiency (**Fig. 2A**), the impairment of *AtCNIH5* diminished the amount of *At*PHT1;1–1;4 by more than 50% in the root microsomal fractions (**Supplemental Fig**. **S6**). The results of confocal imaging also corroborated a lower efficiency of PM targeting and higher intracellular retention of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in the epidermis within the root transition/elongation zone and the root hair of *cnih5* (**Fig. 4** and **Table 1**). All these findings suggest that *At*CNIH5 plays a role in the ER export of *At*PHT1s and, thus, the PM targeting. Since the promoter-reporter activities of *AtCNIH1*, *AtCNIH3,* and *AtCNIH4* are barely detected in the outer layers of the primary root (**Supplemental Fig. S1**), where *AtPHT1;1–1;4* are mainly expressed, we infer that *At*CNIH5 is the predominant *At*CNIH member regulating the PM trafficking of *At*PHT1s. Although the *AtCNIH4* transcript is the most abundant among the family, based on the phenotypes of *cnih4* and *cnih4/5*, *At*CNIH4 seems to play a minor role in modulating Pi homeostasis at the seedling stage. Indeed, *At*CNIH isoforms have been reported to have the potential to form tissue- or cell-type-dependent oligomers (Wudick et al., 2018), which may affect the ER export of *At*PHT1s to a varying degree. Intriguingly, belonging to the *Brassicaceae* family devoid of mycorrhizal symbiosis, *Arabidopsis* species was suggested to take advantage of rapid root growth and root hair formation as a strategy for Pi uptake when grown under Pi depletion (Lambers et al., 2008). In agreement with this notion, we observed a less apparent reduction of Pi level in the 11-day-old *cnih5* seedlings than in the 5-day-old *cnih5* seedlings, in which macro-scale architectures of the root system were still undeveloped (**Supplemental Fig. S5**). In other words, our results support that the *Brassicaceae*-specific *At*CNIH5 may play a more crucial role in enhancing Pi uptake at the elongating root epidermis and root hairs at the early seedling stage.

The interplay of *At*PHF1 and *At*CNIHs in regulating the ER exit of *At*PHT1s
Our work showed that *At*PHF1 and *At*CNIH5 interact in the ER and likely cooperate to

modulate the ER exit of *At*PHT1;1 in a cell type-dependent manner. The SEC12-related

plant-specific PHF1 was previously proposed to functionally resemble the yeast ER-resident

protein Pho86 (González et al., 2005). As the *in vitro* vesicle budding assay indicated, the

yeast Pho86 may assume a conformation of Pi transporter Pho84 competent for specific

incorporation into COPII vesicles or to recruit COPII proteins to the ER (Lau et al., 2000).

By comparison, the yeast Erv14 cycles between the ER and the Golgi, and the ER membranes

lacking functional Erv14 exhibit less efficient incorporation of cargoes into COPII vesicles

(Powers and Barlowe, 2002). In accordance, the live-cell imaging results revealed a reduction

of the kinetics of ER exit of Erv14's cargoes in the *erv14Δ* mutant (Herzig et al., 2012). Their

findings align with our current view on the role of *At*CNIH5 in boosting the ER exit of

*At*PHT1s. While split-GFP-tagged *At*PHT1;1 mainly distributed at the PM of root cells in the

WT under Pi depletion, it was in part retained intracellularly in the epidermis within the root

transition/elongation zone and the root hair of *cnih5* (**Fig. 4** and **Table 1**). These observations

suggested a less efficient PM targeting of *At*PHT1;1 without *At*CNIH5. By contrast, we found

that in the *phf1*, *At*PHT1;1-S11 was barely detectable at the PM of the epidermal cells

throughout the different developmental zones, including the lateral root cap **(Supplemental**

Fig. S11). When enhancing the laser power, we could discern the weak punctate structures

that may represent the intermediates *en route* to degradation, thus agreeing with the severe

reduction of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 in *phf1* (**Fig. 5C**).

We envisage that, unlike the unicellular yeast, higher plants have adopted a mechanism to

jointly engage PHF1 and CNIHs in specific cell types to select and pack PHT1s for the

COPII-mediated transport. *At*PHF1 impairs the ER exit of *At*PHT1s throughout the root

(Bayle et al., 2011). By contrast, *At*CNIH5 impacts the PM trafficking of *At*PHT1s,

particularly in the elongating root epidermis and root hairs (**Fig. 4**). As the proliferation of

root hair is a crucial trait for improving Pi acquisition under Pi limitation (Gahoonia and

Nielsen, 1998; Tanaka et al., 2014), it would be interesting to investigate the physiological

relevance of *At*CNIH5 in modulating the PM trafficking of *At*PHT1s in root hair cells. It is

also worth mentioning that we did not detect the interaction of *At*PHF1 with *At*CNIH1,

*At*CNIH3*,* and *At*CNIH4 in the yeast split-ubiquitin system (unpublished**,** Liu et al.). This

implied that even in the vascular tissues, where *AtPHF1* and *AtCNIH* genes are highly

expressed, *At*PHF1 may preferentially interact with *At*CNIH5 over the other *At*CNIH

isoforms. According to single-cell mRNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analyses, *AtCNIH5*

shows a trend of gradually increased expression in the root epidermis and cortex along the

developmental axis (**supplemental Fig. S12**) (Shahan et al., 2022; Trevor et al., 2022). This

underscores a significant role for *At*CNIH5 in facilitating Pi uptake beyond the root apical

meristem and primarily at the outer root cell layers of the non-proliferating domains.

AtPHF1 is mainly expressed in the root tip and stele under Pi sufficiency and can be further

induced in the external root layers at low Pi availability (Bayle et al., 2011). This pattern

coincides with the Pi starvation-induced expression of *AtCNIH5* in the epidermis and cortex,

whereas the gene activity of *AtCNIH5* is absent in the root apical meristem (**Fig. 1B** and

Supplemental Fig. S2). Although the compensation mechanism underlying the upregulation

of *At*PHF1 in *cnih5* remains obscure (**Fig. 3C**), the additional loss of *AtCNIH5* in the *phf1*

did not synergistically reduce cellular Pi levels but severed plant growth (**Fig. 5B**). This led

to the idea that *At*PHF1 and *At*CNIH5 may not play a functionally redundant role but have

epistatic relationships concerning their role in the ER exit of *At*PHT1s. Future analysis of

*At*CNIH5 overexpressors in the *phf1* background can help answer whether *At*CNIH5 can

replace or bypass *At*PHF1 functionally. We cannot exclude the possibility that the lack of

these two components further suppressed the plant growth due to exaggerated cytoplasmic

Pi inadequacy. Alternatively, but not mutually exclusive to this scenario, *At*CNIH5 may have

some downstream effectors other than *At*PHT1s that exacerbate the growth retardation of

phf1/cnih5. Based on our present study, we propose a hypothetical working model as to how

*At*PHF1 and *At*CNIH5 interplay to assist the ER exit of *At*PHT1s (**Fig. 7**). *At*PHF1 interacts

with *At*PHT1s at the ER to ensure their competence for the ER exit, accompanied by the

COPII assembly at the ERES of nearly every cell type in the root. On the other hand,

*At*CNIH5 acts at a later step, perhaps by selectively loading *At*PHT1s into the COPII-

mediated trafficking pathway in the non-dividing root cells, including the epidermis within

the transition/elongation zone and the elongating root hair of the differential zone. More

studies are needed to define the interaction of *At*PHT1s, *At*PHF1, and *At*CNIH5 in a time and

space manner.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

All *A. thaliana* plants used in this study were in Columbia-0 (Col-0) ecotype. Seeds of wild-

type (WT), *cnih4* (SALK_145991), *cnih5* (SAIL_256_B03), *phf1* (SALK_037068), and

pho2-1 (Delhaize and Randall, 1995) mutants were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological

Resource Center (ABRC). The *cnih1/5* and *cnih3/5* mutants were previously characterized

as described (Wudick et al., 2018). *A. thaliana* seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated

on plates with modified one-half strength Hoagland nutrient solution containing 250 μM

KH_2PO_4, 1% sucrose, and 1% Bacto agar and grown in the growth chamber at 22°C with a

16-h light/8-h dark cycle. The Pi-sufficient (+P) and Pi-deficient (−P) media were one-half

strength Hoagland nutrient solutions containing 250 μM and without KH_2PO_4, respectively.

The N-deficient (−N) media were one-half strength Hoagland nutrient solutions containing

either 0 μM or 0.1 mM KNO_3. For hydroponic growth of *A. thaliana* adult plants, 1–2-week-

old seedlings were transferred to +P one-half strength Hoagland solutions without a

supplement of sucrose and grown for additional days in +P or −P media as indicated in figure

legends. For *N. benthamiana* plants, seeds were surface-sterilized and germinated on half-

strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium. 3–4-week-old plants were used for

Agrobacterium infiltration for transient overexpression.

Genomic DNA extraction and genotyping of mutants

Genomic DNA was extracted from the leaves of 2-week-old plants with the extraction buffer

containing 200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0) and 0.5%

SDS. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was then performed to validate the presence of the

T-DNA alleles of *phf1*, *cnih4*, *cnih5*, or the *pho2* point mutation in samples as previously

described (Delhaize and Randall, 1995). Primer sequences used are listed in **Table S1**.

RNA isolation, reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) and quantitative RT-PCR (RT-

qPCR)

Total root RNA was isolated using GENEzol™ TriRNA Pure Kit with DNase (GZXD200;

Geneaid, New Taipei City, Taiwan), and a 500-ng RNA was used for the first-strand cDNA

synthesis using PrimeScript™ 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (6110A; TaKaRa, San Jose,

California, USA) with oligo(dT) primer. RT-qPCR was performed using KAPA SYBR®

FAST qPCR Master Mix (2×) Kit on StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied

Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Gene expression values were normalized to the internal reference *ACT8* (AT1G49240).

Transcript expression levels of *AtCNIH* genes in WT, *cnih* single, and *cnih* double mutants

were examined by either RT-PCR or RT-qPCR. Primer sequences used are listed in **Table**

S1.

Construct design and yeast split-ubiquitin assay

For constructing the yeast expression clones, the coding sequence (CDS) of *AtCNIH5*,

AtPHT1;1, *AtPHT1;4*, and *AtPHF1* was amplified by PCR, cloned into the pCR8/GW/TOPO

vectors and sequenced. The CDS of *AtCNIH5* was recombined into the commercially

synthesized MET17-PLV-Cub_GW through an LR reaction, resulting in N-terminally fused

to Protein A-LexA-VP16-Cub (PLVCub-*At*CNIH5). The CDS of *AtPHF1*, *AtPHT1;1*, and

AtPHT1;4 was recombined into NX32_GW (ABRC plasmid CD3-1737; Obrdlik et al., 2004)

through LR reactions, resulting in C-terminally fused to Nub (NubG-*At*PHF1 and NubG-

*At*PHT1;1). The Nub and Cub expression clones were sequentially transformed into the yeast

THY.AP4 strain (ABRC CD3-808; (Obrdlik et al., 2004). Fresh yeast colonies picked from

Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose Adenine (YPDA) medium or Synthetic Complete (SC)

medium without leucine (SC–L) were inoculated in corresponding liquid medium and

incubated overnight at 30 °C with shaking at 200 rpm. Overnight yeast culture was then

diluted to $OD_{600} = 0.2$ and grew for another 5 to 12 h until OD_{600} reached 0.8. Yeast pellet

was collected by centrifugation at 2,000×g at 4°C for 5 min, washed with ddH_2O, and

resuspended in Tris-EDTA/lithium acetate solution (TE/LiAc, 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1

mM EDTA, and 0.1 M lithium acetate). The PLVCub-*At*CNIH*5*-containining transformants

were selected and maintained on SC–L for sequential transformation with NubWT (positive

control), NubG (negative control), NubG-*At*PHT1;1 or NubG-*At*PHF1. Yeast transformants

with Nub- and PLV-Cub fusions were selected and maintained on SC medium without

leucine and tryptophan (SC–LW). For the protein-protein interaction testing, yeast cells co-

expressing PLVCub-*At*CNIH5 and NubG-*At*PHT1;1, NubG-*At*PHT1;4, or NubG-*At*PHF1

were plated on synthetic media lacking leucine, tryptophan, adenine, and histidine (SC–

LWAH) and containing 0.5 or 500 μM methionine or on SC–LW containing 2 g/L 5-bromo-

4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-d-galactopyranoside (X-Gal). Primer sequences used are listed

in **Table S2**.

Construct design for subcellular localization analysis in *N. benthamiana* leaves

For constructing the *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-*At*CNIH5 and *UBQ10:sXVE:* mCherry-*At*CNIH5

clones, the CDS of *AtCNIH5* was amplified by PCR and subcloned into *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-

(MCS) (Addgene plasmid # 108177; Liu et al., 2018; Liu, 2021) using AscI/XhoI and

AscI/BamHI sites, respectively. For constructing the *UBQ10: At*SEC16A-S11 clones, the

CDS of *AtSEC16A* was amplified by PCR and subcloned into *UBQ10*:(MCS)-S11 using

AscI/SalI sites. The plasmid encoding *35S:* ER-mCherry (CD3-959; Nelson et al., 2007) was

obtained from ABRC. Primer sequences used are listed in **Table S2**.

Construct design for the tripartite split-GFP assay in *N. benthamiana* leaves

For constructing the *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-*At*PHF1 and *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-*At*PHO1 clones,

the CDS of *AtPHF1* and *AtPHO1* were amplified by PCR and subcloned into *UBQ10:sXVE*:

S10-(MCS) (Addgene plasmid # 108177; Liu et al., 2018; Liu, 2021) using AscI/PacI sites.

For constructing the *UBQ10:sXVE: At*PHT1;1-S11 and *UBQ10:sXVE: At*PHO2-S11 clones,

the CDS of *AtPHT1;1* and *AtPHO2* were amplified by PCR and subcloned into

UBQ10:sXVE: (MCS)-S11 (Addgene plasmid # 108179; Liu et al., 2018; Liu, 2021) using

XbaI/SpeI and AscI/SpeI sites, respectively. For the tripartite split-GFP reconstitution, the

constructs encoding S10-tagged and S11-tagged protein fusions were co-expressed in the

presence of *UBQ10:sXVE*: GFP1–9 (Addgene plasmid # 108187; Liu et al., 2018; Liu, 2021).

Co-expression with S10-3xHA or 3xHA-S11 was used as a negative control for protein–

protein interaction. Primer sequences used are listed in **Table S2**.

Construct design and protein degradation assay in *N. benthamiana* leaves

For constructing *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-*At*PHO2 clone, the CDS of *AtPHO2* were amplified and

subcloned into pGPTVII using AscI/SpeI and AscI/XbaI sites. *A. tumefaciens* carrying

UBQ10:sXVE: S10-*At*PHO2, *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-*At*CNIH5 and *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-

*At*PHO1 were infiltrated into tobacco leaves, each with a final OD_{600} in the range of 0.01–

0.05. *A. tumefaciens* carrying *UBQ10:sXVE:* GFPn (Schlücking et al., 2013) was co-

infiltrated at a final OD_{600} of 0.01 as an internal control.

***Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated infiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves**

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 harboring the expression constructs or p19 was

incubated in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium with the appropriate antibiotics [gentamicin (50 μg

ml^{-1}), rifampicin (5 μg ml^{-1}) or kanamycin (50 μg ml^{-1})]. Cells were resuspended in the

infiltration medium containing 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM MES (pH 7.5), and 150 μM

acetosyringone, and co-infiltrated to the true leaves of 3–4-week-old tobacco plants. For the

inducible gene expression, 36.5 μM β-estradiol was applied as previously described (Liu et

al., 2018; Liu, 2021). The infiltrated leaves were collected 2 days post-infiltration (dpi) for

confocal imaging or protein extraction.

Construct design and the generation of *Arabidopsis* transgenic plants

The CDS of a gene was amplified by PCR, cloned into the pJET1.2/blunt cloning vector, and

sequenced before subcloning into the desired expression vectors. For constructing the

AtCNIH1/3/4/5pro: GUS and *AtCNIH5pro:* GFP reporter clones, the genomic sequence

containing the promoter region of *AtCNIH1* (−497 to +134; transcription start site designated

as +1), *AtCNIH3* (−1793 to +225), *AtCNIH4*, (−1717 to +303), and *AtCNIH5* (−1701 to

+304) was each amplified by PCR and subcloned into pMDC163 or pMDC111 using

PacI/AscI sites.

For constructing the *AtCNIH5* genomic clone to complement *cnih5*, the genomic sequence

AtCNIH5, including the putative promoter region with 5′ UTR (−1963 to +304) and the

genomic sequence containing 3′ UTR (+305 to +1324) were amplified, and cloned into

pMDC32 using In-Fusion HD cloning (TaKaRa, San Jose, California, USA) for sequencing.

Based on the *AtCNIH5* genomic construct clone, the genomic GFP-*AtCNIH5* construct was

generated by inserting GFP at the N terminus of *AtCNIH5* using In-Fusion HD cloning and

transformed into the *Arabidopsis* Col-0 plants. For the generation of *35S:* RFP-*At*CNIH5 transgenic lines, the construct was generated in the backbone of pH7WGR2 through an LR reaction as previously described (Wudick et al., 2018).

For the subcellular localization analysis of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in *AtPHT1;1pro: At*PHT1;1-S11/*35S:* GFP1–10 transgenic plants, a homozygous line expressing *35S:* GFP1–10 was first established and then transformed with the *AtPHT1;1pro: At*PHT1;1-S11 construct. For constructing of *35S:* GFP1–10, the CDS of GFP1–10 was amplified and subcloned into pMDC201 using SpeI/EcoRI sites. For constructing *AtPHT1;1pro: At*PHT1;1-S11, the putative *AtPHT1;1* promoter region (−1,964 to +494) and the CDS of *At*PHT1;1 was subcloned into pGPTVII binary vector using NotI sites. All primer sequences used for gene cloning in this study are listed in **Table S2**.

Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated *Arabidopsis* transformation

Binary expression vectors were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 (pMP90) and then transformed into *Arabidopsis* by floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). T2 transgenic lines with a segregation ratio of 3 resistant: 1 sensitive were selected for T3 propagation. Only T3 homozygous lines were used for further analyses.

Confocal microscopy

Confocal microscopy images were acquired using Zeiss LSM 800 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with objectives Plan-Apochromat 10×/0.45 M27, 20×/0.8 M27, and 40×/1.3 Oil DIC M27 in multi-track mode with line switching and averaging of two reading. Excitation/emission wavelengths were 488 nm/530 nm for GFP, 561 nm/575 to 630 nm for RFP and mCherry, and 548 nm/561 nm for propidium iodide (PI) dye. For PI staining of *Arabidopsis* root, seedlings were soaked in 10 µg/ml PI for 10 min and washed with the appropriate medium before imaging. For subcellular localization analysis of *At*PHT1;1-S11, the seedings were kept in dark for 4 h prior to the experiments.

Histochemical staining of β-glucuronidase (GUS analysis)

GUS staining were performed as previously described (Li, 2011). Seedlings were submerged

in freshly prepared X-Gluc staining buffer containing 500 mM NaH_2PO_4, 500 mM

$Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 1mM $K_3Fe(CN)_6$, 1 mM $K_4Fe(CN)_6$, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1 % Triton X-100,

and 2.25 mM X-glucuronide (Cyrusbioscience, New Taipei City, Taiwan), vacuumed for 20

min, and then incubated at 37 ℃ for 2 to 2.5 h. The pigments were serially washed out at

each step through 10 % to 99 % ethanol for 30 min. Finally, the GUS staining was examined

under a stereo microscope (ST3E-0745) and Leica DM2500 microscope (Leica, Wetzlar,

Germany).

Pi concentration and Pi uptake analysis

Pi concentrations were analyzed as described (Ames, 1966) with minor modifications. For

the extraction of Pi, fresh tissue was homogenized with 1% glacial acetic acid and incubated

at 42℃ for 30 min followed by centrifugation at 13,000×g for 5 min to obtain clear

supernatant. For the measurement of Pi concentration, the supernatant aliquot was mixed

with the assay solution (0.35% NH_4MoO_4, 0.86 N H_2SO_4 and 1.4% ascorbic acid) and

incubated at 42℃ for 30 min. Pi concentrations were measured at A_{750}. Pi uptake in the whole

seedling was measured in +P or −P medium supplemented with ^{32}P as previously described

(Kanno et al., 2016).

Total and microsomal protein extraction

For the extraction of total proteins, the tobacco leaves and *Arabidopsis* roots were ground in

liquid N and dissolved in protein lysis buffer containing 60 mM 2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)-

1,3-propanediol-HCl (pH 8.5), 2% SDS, 2.5% glycerol, 0.13 mM EDTA, 1 mM

phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (P9599; Sigma-Aldrich, St.

Louis, Missouri, USA). *Arabidopsis* root microsomal proteins were extracted using Minute™

Plant Microsomal Membrane Extraction Kit (MM-018, Invent Biotechnologies, Plymouth,

USA) and resuspended in the same protein lysis buffer used for total protein extraction.

Immunoblot analysis

For *Arabidopsis* seedlings, a total of 25 μg root total proteins and 10–15 μg root microsomal

proteins from each sample were loaded onto 4–12% Q-PAGE™ Bis-Tris Precast Gel

(SMOBIO, Hsinchu, Taiwan). For tobacco leaves, a total of 25 μg leaf total proteins from

each sample were loaded onto gels. Gel was transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride

membranes (IPVH00010 Immobilon-P Membrane; Merck, Rahway, New Jersey, USA).

Membrane was blocked with 1 or 2% BSA in 1× PBS solution with 0.2% Tween 20 (PBST,

pH 7.2) at RT for 1 h, and washed 4 times with 1× PBST for 5 min followed by hybridization

with primary antibodies of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 (1:1,000, PHY0753; PhytoAB, San Jose,

California, USA), *At*PHT1;4 (Huang et al., 2013), and S10 (1:1,000) for overnight at 4°C;

*At*PHF1 (Huang et al., 2013) and *At*PHO1 (Liu et al., 2012) at RT for 2 h in blocking solution.

The anti-PHT1;1/2/3 antibody recognizes three Pi transporters because of their high sequence

similarity. The anti-S10 polyclonal rabbit antibody was raised against the peptide of S10

(MDLPDDHYLSTQTILSKDLN) (Liu et al., 2018) and produced by LTK BioLaboratories,

Taiwan. The membrane was washed four times with 1× PBST for 5 min followed by

hybridization with the horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibody (1:20,000,

GTX213110-01 and GTX213111-01; GeneTex, Irvine, California, USA) in blocking

solution at RT for 1 h. After four washes in 1× PBST for 5 min and a rinse with distilled

water, chemiluminescent substrates (WesternBright ECL; Advansta, San Jose, California,

USA) were applied for signal detection.

Root growth analysis

For the measurement of the primary root length and lateral root density, photos of seedlings

were taken by PowerShot G16 Camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan). The primary and lateral root

length were measured using ImageJ segmented line function (Schneider et al., 2012). Only

lateral roots with lengths longer than 2 mm were included for measurement. For the

measurement of the root hair length and density, the primary roots were observed under the

Leica DM2500 microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

Chemical treatments

Acetosyringone (150 mM stock in DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich D134406) was diluted to a final

concentration of 150 μM in ddH$_2$O. β-estradiol (36.5 mM stock in DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich)

was diluted to a final concentration of 36.5 μM in ddH$_2$O. Propidium iodide (PI) (1 mg/ml in

ddH$_2$O; Invitrogen, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) was diluted to a final concentration of

10 µg/ml in the corresponding one-half strength Hoagland's medium.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative under the

following accession numbers: *At*CNIH1 (AT3G12180), *At*CNIH3 (AT1G62880), *At*CNIH4

(AT1G12390), *At*CNIH5 (AT4G12090), *At*PHT1;1 (AT5G43350), *At*PHT1;4

(AT2G38940), *At*PHF1 (AT3G52190), *At*PHO1 (AT3G23430), *At*PHO2 (AT2G33770),

*At*SEC16A (AT5G47480).

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. Expression of *AtCNIH1pro:* GUS, *AtCNIH3pro:* GUS and

***AtCNIH4pro:* GUS in *Arabidopsis*.**

Expression of *AtCNIH1pro*: GUS, *AtCNIH3pro*: GUS, and *AtCNIH4pro*: GUS in the primary

(A) and lateral roots (B) of 7-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P,

250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient conditions (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, five days of starvation).

Scale bars, 100 µm.

Supplemental Figure S2. Expression of *AtCNIH5pro:* GFP in *Arabidopsis*.

Expression of *AtCNIH5pro*: GFP in 5-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown under Pi-

sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, five days of starvation)

conditions. The primary root's maturation zone, basal meristem, and apical meristem are

shown as indicated. Merged images of green fluorescence and bright field channels are

shown. Scale bars, 50 µm.

Supplemental Figure S3. Expression of *35S*: RFP-*At*CNIH5 in *Arabidopsis*.

Expression of *35S*: RFP-*At*CNIH5 in 5-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown under Pi-

deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, five days of starvation) conditions. Scale bars, 50 µm.

Supplemental Figure S4. Complementation of *cnih5* by the expression of the genomic

AtCNIH5 and GFP-_AtCNIH5_ sequences.

(A) The shoot fresh weight (FW) and Pi level of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis cnih5*

and *AtCNIH5pro: AtCNIH5/cnih5* complementation (COM) lines grown under Pi-sufficient

(+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) conditions. Error bar represents SE (n = 9–10, pools of seedings from

independent experiments; WT or complemented plants versus *cnih5*, $^*P < 0.05$, $^*P < 0.01$;

Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(B) The shoot fresh weight (FW) and Pi levels of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis cnih5*

and *AtCNIH5pro:* GFP-*AtCNIH5/cnih5* complementation (COM) lines grown under Pi-

sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) conditions. Error bar represents SE (n = 4–10, pools of

seedings from independent experiments; complemented plants versus *cnih5*, $^*P < 0.05$, $^*P <$

0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Supplemental Figure S5. The expression of Pi starvation-induced genes and the root

phenotypes of *Arabidopsis cnih5*.

(A) The transcript expression of *AtPHT1;1–1;3*, *AtACP5*, and *AtPHF1* in the root of 11-day-

old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4)

and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions assessed by RT-

qPCR. Error bars represent SE (n = 2, pools of seedings from independent experiments).

(B–C) The primary root (PR) length (B) and the lateral root (LR) density (C) of 9-day-old

Arabidopsis WT and *cnih5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) and

Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) conditions. Error bar represent SE

(n = 6–10 for the primary root length; n = 9 for the lateral root density; $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$;

Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(D–F) The shoot Pi level (D), the root hair length (E), and the root hair density (F) of 5-day-

old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4)

or Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bar represents

SE (shoot Pi level, n = 3, pools of seedings from independent experiments; root hair length,

n = 90; root hair density, n = 8–9; $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Supplemental Figure S6. Decreased *At*PHT1s and increased *At*PHF1 in the root microsomal fractions from *Arabidopsis cnih5*.

Expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4 and *At*PHF1 in the root microsomal fractions from 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation) conditions. The relative expression level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4, and *At*PHF1 was normalized with the corresponding amido black staining and relative to the WT control. Similar results were obtained at least three times.

Supplemental Figure S7. Analyses of *Arabidopsis cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* seedlings.

(A) The transcript expression of *AtCNIH* genes in the root of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) conditions assessed by RT-PCR or RT-qPCR.

(B–C) The shoot and root FW (B) and Pi levels (C) of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 7–9, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Supplemental Figure S8. Phenotypes of *Arabidopsis cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* adult plants.

The shoot and root FW (A) and Pi levels (B) of 20-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* plants under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, five days of starvation) hydroponic conditions. The results are visualized using BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al. 2014). Center lines show the medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers extend to the 5th and 95th percentiles, and data points are plotted as dots. Data significantly different from the other groups are indicated by different lowercase letters (n = 15–18, biological replicates from independent experiments; One-Way ANOVA, Duncan's test).

Supplemental Figure S9. Phenotypes of *Arabidopsis phf1, phf1/cnih4, phf1/cnih5*, and
phf1/cnih4/5 **adult plants.**

The shoot and root FW (A) and Pi levels (B) of 20-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *phf1*,
phf1/cnih4, phf1/cnih5, and *phf1/cnih4/5* plants grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM
KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 10 μM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) hydroponic
conditions. The results are visualized using BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al. 2014). Center lines show
the medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th
percentiles; whiskers extend to the 5th and 95th percentiles, and data points are plotted as
dots. Data significantly different from the other groups are indicated by different lowercase
letters (n = 15–24, biological replicates from independent experiments; One-Way ANOVA,
Duncan's test).

Supplemental Figure S10. *At*PHO2 does not mediate the degradation of *At*CNIH5.

(A) Co-expression of *At*PHO2-S11 with S10-*At*CNIH5 in the presence of GFP1–9 in *N.*
benthamiana leaves. Scale bars, 20 μm. The co-expression of *At*PHO2-S11 with S10-
*At*PHT1;1 and S10-3xHA are, respectively, used as positive and negative protein–protein
interaction controls.

(B) Immunoblot analysis of S10-*At*CNIH5 and S10-*At*PHO1 in *N. benthamiana* leaves under
co-expression of increasing levels of S10-*At*PHO2. The co-expression of GFP was used as a
negative control. Anti-S10 antibodies also detect free GFP.

Supplemental Figure S11. Impaired plasma membrane targeting of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in
Arabidopsis phf1.

Representative images of localization of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in 5-day-old *AtPHT1;1pro*:
*At*PHT1;1-S11/*35S*: GFP1–10 seedlings grown under Pi-deficient (−P, 0 μM KH_2PO_4)
conditions. Independent lines in the *phf1* (A) and *cnih5* (B) backgrounds and the
corresponding WT controls were used for comparison. GFP signals surrounding the nuclear
membrane are indicated by arrows. Root apical meristem, RAM; transition zone, TZ;
elongation zone, EZ; differentiation zone, DZ.

Supplemental Figure S12. Expression of *AtPHT1;1*, *AtPHT1;4*, *AtCNIH5*, and *AtPHF1*
revealed by single-cell mRNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of *Arabidopsis* root.

The dot plot used to visualize single-cell gene expression of *Arabidopsis* root is retrieved from ARVEX (https://shiny.mdc-berlin.de/ARVEX/), which hosts processed scRNA-seq data of wild-type samples from Shahan and Hsu et al. 2022 (Shahan et al., 2022). PctExp: Percentage of cells expressing the gene in a specific time zone and cell type; circle size represents the proportion (%) of cells expressing a given gene. AvgExp: Averaged normalized gene expression value in a specific time zone and cell type; the color of the scale bar represents the mean expression (natural log +1 pseudocount).

Supplementary Table S1. Oligonucleotides used for PCR genotyping, RT-PCR, and
RT-qPCR analyses.
Supplementary Table S2. Oligonucleotides used for plasmid constructs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST 108-2311-B-007-003-MY3) and the National Science and Technology Council (NSTC 112-2313-B-007-001-MY3). We thank Prof. José A. Feijó at the University of Maryland, USA, for sharing the *Arabidopsis cnih1/5* and *cnih3/5* mutants and plasmid encoding *35S:* RFP-*At*CNIH5. We thank Dr. Tzyy-Jen Chiou at Academia Sinica, Taiwan (R.O.C.), for sharing the *Arabidopsis phf1* mutants and anti-PHT1;4 and anti-PHF1 antibodies. We acknowledge Ms. Wen-Chun Chou for constructing the plasmid encoding *35S:* GFP1–10. We acknowledge Mr. Hong-Po Chen and Ms. Hui-Ying Chen for the genotyping of *phf1/cnih* mutants and of *AtPHT1;1pro*: *At*PHT1;1-S11/*35S:* GFP1–10 in the WT and *cnih5* backgrounds and the technical support from the confocal imaging core, National Tsing Hua University (sponsored by MOST 108-2731-M-007-001 and MOST 110-2731-M-007-001). We are grateful to Dr. Laurent Nussaume at CEA Cadarache, France, for valuable comments on the manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

T.-Y. L. conceived the project, designed and analyzed the experiments. C.-Y. C., C.-D. T.,

J.-Y. W., M.-H. T., S. K. and H.-F. L. performed and analyzed the experiments. T.-Y. L. and

C.-Y. C. wrote the article.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Pi starvation-induced expression pattern and subcellular localization of

_At_CNIH5.

(A) RT-qPCR analysis of _A. thaliana CNIH1, CNIH3, CNIH4,_ and _CNIH5_ in the shoot and

root of 11-day-old seedlings grown under full nutrient (+P+N, 250 µM KH_2PO_4 and 7.5 mM

KNO_3), Pi-deficient (–P+N, 0 µM KH_2PO_4 and 7.5 mM KNO_3, three days of starvation) and

N-deficient (+P–N, 250 µM KH_2PO_4 and 0 µM KNO_3, three days of starvation) conditions.

The magnified inset on the right top shows low Pi induction of _AtCNIH5_ in the shoot. Error

bars represent SE (n = 3, pools of seedings from independent experiments).

(B) Expression of _AtCNIH5pro_: GUS in 7-day-old seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P,

250 µM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) conditions.

a–f (+P; shoot part; trichome; primary root tip; primary root maturation zone; lateral root tip;

lateral root maturation zone). g–j (–P; root tip; primary root maturation zone; lateral root tip;

lateral root maturation zone). Scale bars, 10 mm in a, 0.1 mm in b, and 100 µm in c–j.

(C) The ER and ERES localizations of _UBQ10:sXVE:_ S10-_At_CNIH5 in _N. benthamiana_

leaves. mCherry-HDEL, luminal ER marker; _At_SEC16A-mCherry, ERES marker. Scale

bars, 10 µm.

(D) The subcellular localization of _AtCNIH5pro_: GFP-_At_CNIH5 and _35S_: RFP-_At_CNIH5 in

Arabidopsis root. Scale bars, 10 µm.

Figure 2. _AtC_NIH5 interacts with _At_PHT1;1, _At_PHT1;4, and _At_PHF1.

(A) Expression of S10-_At_CNIH5, S10-_At_PHF1, S10-3xHA and _At_PHT1;1-S11 in the

presence of S11-GFP1–9 or GFP1–9 in _N. benthamiana_ leaves. The co-expression of

_At_PHT1;1-S11 with S10-_At_PHF1 and S10-3xHA are used as positive and negative protein–

protein interaction controls, respectively. Scale bars, 10 µm.

(B) Co-expression of PLVCub-_At_CNIH5 with NubG-_At_PHF1, NubG-_At_PHT1;1, and NubG-

AtPHT1;4 in the yeast split-ubiquitin system. The co-expression of PLVCub-AtCNIH5 with

NubWT and NubG are used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Yeast

transformants were grown on synthetic medium without leucine and tryptophan (–LW; the

left panel) or on synthetic medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, adenine, and histidine-

containing 500 µM methionine (–LWAH; middle panel) or on SC–LW containing 2 mg/L

X-gal (X-gal; the right panel).

Figure 3. Loss of AtCNIH5 leads to decreased shoot Pi levels and AtPHT1 proteins,

increased AtPHF1 accumulation, and diminished Pi uptake.

(A–B) The shoot and root fresh weight (FW) (A) and Pi levels (B) of 11-day-old seedlings

of $Arabidopsis$ WT, $cnih4$, and $cnih5$ under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-

deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n

= 15–16, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's

t-test, two-tailed).

(C) Protein expression of AtPHT1;1/2/3, AtPHT1;4 and AtPHF1 in the root of 11-day-old

seedlings of $Arabidopsis$ WT, $cnih4,$ and $cnih5$ under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4)

and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. The relative

expression level of AtPHT1;1/2/3, AtPHT1;4, and AtPHF1 was normalized with the

corresponding actin and relative to the WT control. Error bars represent SE (n = 3–4, pools

of seedlings from independent experiments; $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-

tailed).

(D) Quantification of Pi uptake and shoot-to-root distribution. The center lines show the

medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th

percentiles; whiskers extend to the minimum and the maximum values. Data points are

plotted as dots. Data significantly different from WT are indicated by asterisks (n = 8

biological replicates; $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Figure 4. Impaired plasma membrane targeting of split-GFP-tagged AtPHT1;1 in $cnih5$

root.

(A) Reduced level of AtPHT1;1-S11 at the plasma membrane of the epidermal cells along

the root transition/elongation zone of 5-day-old *cnih5* seedlings under Pi-deficient (−P, 0 μM

KH$_2$PO$_4$) conditions. The transgenic lines expressing *AtPHT1;1pro*: *At*PHT1;1-S11/*35S*:

GFP1–10 in the *cnih5* and corresponding WT backgrounds were used for comparison.

Representative line-scan profiles of fluorescence intensity (arbitrary unit, a.u.) of *At*PHT1;1-

S11 were shown in the left and right sectors. Arrows indicate the acropetal direction. Scale

bars, 20 μm.

(B) Representative image showing increased intracellular retention of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in the

root epidermal cells along the transition/elongation zone of *cnih5*. Scale bars, 20 μm.

(C) Reduction of *At*PHT1;1-S11 at the plasma membrane in the *cnih5* root hair. Line-scan

profiles of fluorescence intensity (arbitrary unit, a.u.) of *At*PHT1;1-S11 were performed as

indicated by the dash line at a distance of 30 μm from the root hair tip in the left panel.

Quantitative data were shown in the right panel. The center lines show the medians; the

central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles;

whiskers extend to the smallest data point within 1.5 times the interquartile range from the

lower and upper quartiles. Data points are plotted as dots. Data significantly different from

WT are indicated by asterisks (n = 21 or 30 root hairs; $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure. 5 Loss of *AtCNIH5* exacerbates the growth retardation of *phf1*.

(A–B) The shoot and root fresh weight (FW) (A) and Pi levels (B) of 11-day-old seedlings

of *Arabidopsis* WT, *phf1, phf1/cnih4, phf1/cnih5*, and *phf1/cnih4/5* grown under Pi-sufficient

(+P, 250 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation)

conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 7–8, pools of seedlings from independent

experiments; $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(C) The expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 in the root of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *phf1,*

phf1/cnih4, phf1/cnih5, and *phf1/cnih4/5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM

KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation) conditions. The

relative expression level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 was normalized with the corresponding actin and

relative to the WT control. Error bars represent SE (n = 3, pools of seedlings from

independent experiments; $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Figure 6. Loss of *AtCNIH5* suppresses Pi accumulation in *Arabidopsis pho2*.

(A–B) The shoot Pi level (A) and the expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4 and *At*PHO1 in the root (B) of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *pho2,* and *cnih5/pho2* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 5–7, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; WT or *cnih5/pho2* versus *pho2*, [**]P < 0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed). Representative immunoblot results from two independent experiments are shown.

Figure 7. A working model of *At*CNIH5-mediated ER exit of *At*PHT1s.

In *Arabidopsi*s, *PHF1* and *CNIH5* are expressed at distinct and overlapping root developmental zones (left panel). In the non-dividing epidermal cell (right panel), including the transition zone/elongation zone and the root hair cell of the differential zone, the transcripts of *PHT1* genes, *PHF1*, and *CNIH5* are increased under Pi starvation (−P). CNIH5 interacts with PHF1 to facilitate the ER exit and the plasma membrane (PM) targeting of PHT1s in a COPII-dependent manner. While PHF1 may interact with PHT1s that are competent for the ER exit at an upstream step of COPII recruitment, CNIH5 may selectively mediate the efficient incorporation of PHT1s into the COPII vesicle delivered to the Golgi. CNIH5 may also acts as a cargo receptor for other membrane proteins. RAM, root apical meristem; TZ, transition zone; EZ, elongation zone, DZ, differential zone.

Table 1. Intracellular retention of *At*PHT1;1 in the root transition/elongation zones of *cnih5*.

REFERENCES

Ames, B.N. (1966). [10] Assay of inorganic phosphate, total phosphate and phosphatases. In
Methods in enzymology (Elsevier), pp. 115-118.

Ayadi, A., David, P., Arrighi, J.-F., Chiarenza, S., Thibaud, M.-C., Nussaume, L., and
Marin, E. (2015). Reducing the Genetic Redundancy of Arabidopsis PHOSPHATE
TRANSPORTER1 Transporters to Study Phosphate Uptake and Signaling Plant
Physiology **167,** 1511-1526.

Bayle, V., Arrighi, J.-F., Creff, A., Nespoulous, C., Vialaret, J., Rossignol, M., Gonzalez,
E., Paz-Ares, J., and Nussaume, L. (2011). Arabidopsis thaliana High-Affinity
Phosphate Transporters Exhibit Multiple Levels of Posttranslational Regulation. The
Plant Cell **23,** 1523-1535.

Bökel, C., Dass, S., Wilsch-Bräuninger, M., and Roth, S. (2006). Drosophila Cornichon
acts as cargo receptor for ER export of the TGFα-like growth factor Gurken.
Development **133,** 459-470.

Cardona-López, X., Cuyas, L., Marín, E., Rajulu, C., Irigoyen, M.L., Gil, E., Puga, M.I.,
Bligny, R., Nussaume, L., and Geldner, N. (2015). ESCRT-III-associated protein
ALIX mediates high-affinity phosphate transporter trafficking to maintain phosphate
homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **27,** 2560-2581.

Chen, J., Wang, Y., Wang, F., Yang, J., Gao, M., Li, C., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Yamaji, N.,
Ma, J.F., Paz-Ares, J., Nussaume, L., Zhang, S., Yi, K., Wu, Z., and Wu, P.
(2015). The Rice CK2 Kinase Regulates Trafficking of Phosphate Transporters in
Response to Phosphate Levels. The Plant Cell **27,** 711-723.

Clough, S.J., and Bent, A.F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-
mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. The plant journal **16,** 735-743.

Delhaize, E., and Randall, P.J. (1995). Characterization of a phosphate-accumulator mutant
of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiology **107,** 207-213.

Gahoonia, T.S., and Nielsen, N.E. (1998). Direct evidence on participation of root hairs in
phosphorus (32P) uptake from soil. Plant and Soil **198,** 147-152.

González, E., Solano, R., Rubio, V., Leyva, A., and Paz-Ares, J. (2005). PHOSPHATE
TRANSPORTER TRAFFIC FACILITATOR1 Is a Plant-Specific SEC12-Related

Protein That Enables the Endoplasmic Reticulum Exit of a High-Affinity Phosphate Transporter in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell **17,** 3500-3512.

Harmel, N., Cokic, B., Zolles, G., Berkefeld, H., Mauric, V., Fakler, B., Stein, V., and Klöcker, N. (2012). AMPA receptors commandeer an ancient cargo exporter for use as an auxiliary subunit for signaling. PloS one **7,** e30681-e30681.

Herzig, Y., Sharpe, H.J., Elbaz, Y., Munro, S., and Schuldiner, M. (2012). A Systematic Approach to Pair Secretory Cargo Receptors with Their Cargo Suggests a Mechanism for Cargo Selection by Erv14. PLOS Biology **10,** e1001329.

Huang, T.-K., Han, C.-L., Lin, S.-I., Chen, Y.-J., Tsai, Y.-C., Chen, Y.-R., Chen, J.-W., Lin, W.-Y., Chen, P.-M., Liu, T.-Y., Chen, Y.-S., Sun, C.-M., and Chiou, T.-J. (2013). Identification of Downstream Components of Ubiquitin-Conjugating Enzyme PHOSPHATE2 by Quantitative Membrane Proteomics in Arabidopsis Roots. The Plant Cell **25,** 4044-4060.

Jones Alexander, M., Xuan, Y., Xu, M., Wang, R.-S., Ho, C.-H., Lalonde, S., You Chang, H., Sardi Maria, I., Parsa Saman, A., Smith-Valle, E., Su, T., Frazer Keith, A., Pilot, G., Pratelli, R., Grossmann, G., Acharya Biswa, R., Hu, H.-C., Engineer, C., Villiers, F., Ju, C., Takeda, K., Su, Z., Dong, Q., Assmann Sarah, M., Chen, J., Kwak June, M., Schroeder Julian, I., Albert, R., Rhee Seung, Y., and Frommer Wolf, B. (2014). Border Control—A Membrane-Linked Interactome of Arabidopsis. Science **344,** 711-716.

Kanno, S., Arrighi, J.-F., Chiarenza, S., Bayle, V., Berthome, R., Peret, B., Javot, H., Delannoy, E., Marin, E., and Nakanishi, T.M. (2016). A novel role for the root cap in phosphate uptake and homeostasis. Elife **5,** e14577.

Karthikeyan, A.S., Varadarajan, D.K., Mukatira, U.T., D'Urzo, M.P., Damsz, B., and Raghothama, K.G. (2002). Regulated Expression of Arabidopsis Phosphate Transporters. Plant Physiology **130,** 221-233.

Lambers, H., Raven, J.A., Shaver, G.R., and Smith, S.E. (2008). Plant nutrient-acquisition strategies change with soil age. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **23,** 95-103.

Lau, W.-T.W., Howson, R.W., Malkus, P., Schekman, R., and O'Shea, E.K. (2000). Pho86p, an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) resident protein in Saccharomyces

cerevisiae, is required for ER exit of the high-affinity phosphate transporter Pho84p. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **97,** 1107-1112.

Li, X. (2011). Histostaining for tissue expression pattern of promoter-driven GUS activity in Arabidopsis. Bio-protocol**,** e93-e93.

Lin, W.-Y., Huang, T.-K., and Chiou, T.-J. (2013). Nitrogen limitation adaptation, a target of microRNA827, mediates degradation of plasma membrane-localized phosphate transporters to maintain phosphate homeostasis in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell **25,** 4061-4074.

Liu, T.-Y. (2021). Using Tripartite Split-sfGFP for the Study of Membrane Protein–Protein Interactions. In Arabidopsis Protocols, J.J. Sanchez-Serrano and J. Salinas, eds (New York, NY: Springer US), pp. 323-336.

Liu, T.-Y., Chou, W.-C., Chen, W.-Y., Chu, C.-Y., Dai, C.-Y., and Wu, P.-Y. (2018). Detection of membrane protein–protein interaction in planta based on dual-intein-coupled tripartite split-GFP association. The Plant Journal **94,** 426-438.

Liu, T.-Y., Huang, T.-K., Tseng, C.-Y., Lai, Y.-S., Lin, S.-I., Lin, W.-Y., Chen, J.-W., and Chiou, T.-J. (2012). PHO2-Dependent Degradation of PHO1 Modulates Phosphate Homeostasis in Arabidopsis   The Plant Cell **24,** 2168-2183.

Liu, T.-Y., Huang, T.-K., Yang, S.-Y., Hong, Y.-T., Huang, S.-M., Wang, F.-N., Chiang, S.-F., Tsai, S.-Y., Lu, W.-C., and Chiou, T.-J. (2016). Identification of plant vacuolar transporters mediating phosphate storage. Nature Communications **7,** 11095.

Müller, R., Morant, M., Jarmer, H., Nilsson, L., and Nielsen, T.H. (2007). Genome-Wide Analysis of the Arabidopsis Leaf Transcriptome Reveals Interaction of Phosphate and Sugar Metabolism. Plant Physiology **143,** 156-171.

Manning, D.A.C. (2008). Phosphate Minerals, Environmental Pollution and Sustainable Agriculture. Elements **4,** 105-108.

Misson, J., Thibaud, M.-C., Bechtold, N., Raghothama, K., and Nussaume, L. (2004). Transcriptional regulation and functional properties of Arabidopsis Pht1; 4, a high affinity transporter contributing greatly to phosphate uptake in phosphate deprived plants. Plant molecular biology **55,** 727-741.

Młodzińska, E., and Zboińska, M. (2016). Phosphate Uptake and Allocation – A Closer
Look at Arabidopsis thaliana L. and Oryza sativa L. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**.
Mudge, S.R., Rae, A.L., Diatloff, E., and Smith, F.W. (2002). Expression analysis suggests
novel roles for members of the Pht1 family of phosphate transporters in Arabidopsis.
The Plant Journal **31,** 341-353.
Nakanishi, H., Suda, Y., and Neiman, A.M. (2007). Erv14 family cargo receptors are
necessary for ER exit during sporulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of Cell
Science **120,** 908-916.
Obrdlik, P., El-Bakkoury, M., Hamacher, T., Cappellaro, C., Vilarino, C., Fleischer,
C., Ellerbrok, H., Kamuzinzi, R., Ledent, V., Blaudez, D., Sanders, D., Revuelta,
J.L., Boles, E., André, B., and Frommer, W.B. (2004). K+ channel interactions
detected by a genetic system optimized for systematic studies of membrane protein
interactions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
of America **101,** 12242-12247.
Pagant, S., Wu, A., Edwards, S., Diehl, F., and Miller, Elizabeth A. (2015). Sec24 Is a
Coincidence Detector that Simultaneously Binds Two Signals to Drive ER Export.
Current Biology **25,** 403-412.
Park, B.S., Seo, J.S., and Chua, N.-H. (2014). NITROGEN LIMITATION ADAPTATION
Recruits PHOSPHATE2 to Target the Phosphate Transporter PT2 for Degradation
during the Regulation of Arabidopsis Phosphate Homeostasis. The Plant Cell **26,** 454-
464.
Poirier, Y., and Bucher, M. (2002). Phosphate Transport and Homeostasis in Arabidopsis.
The Arabidopsis Book**,** e0024.
Powers, J., and Barlowe, C. (1998). Transport of Axl2p Depends on Erv14p, an ER–Vesicle
Protein Related to the Drosophila cornichon Gene Product. The Journal of Cell
Biology **142,** 1209-1222.
Powers, J., and Barlowe, C. (2002). Erv14p Directs a Transmembrane Secretory Protein
into COPII-coated Transport Vesicles. Molecular Biology of the Cell **13,** 880-891.
Rosas-Santiago, P., Lagunas-Gómez, D., Barkla, B.J., Vera-Estrella, R., Lalonde, S.,
Jones, A., Frommer, W.B., Zimmermannova, O., Sychrová, H., and Pantoja, O.

(2015). Identification of rice cornichon as a possible cargo receptor for the Golgi-localized sodium transporter OsHKT1;3. Journal of Experimental Botany **66,** 2733-2748.

Roth, S., Shira Neuman-Silberberg, F., Barcelo, G., and Schüpbach, T. (1995). cornichon and the EGF receptor signaling process are necessary for both anterior-posterior and dorsal-ventral pattern formation in Drosophila. Cell **81,** 967-978.

Schlücking, K., Edel, K.H., Köster, P., Drerup, M.M., Eckert, C., Steinhorst, L., Waadt, R., Batistič, O., and Kudla, J. (2013). A new β-estradiol-inducible vector set that facilitates easy construction and efficient expression of transgenes reveals CBL3-dependent cytoplasm to tonoplast translocation of CIPK5. Molecular plant **6,** 1814-1829.

Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods **9,** 671-675.

Shahan, R., Hsu, C.-W., Nolan, T.M., Cole, B.J., Taylor, I.W., Greenstreet, L., Zhang, S., Afanassiev, A., Vlot, A.H.C., Schiebinger, G., Benfey, P.N., and Ohler, U. (2022). A single-cell Arabidopsis root atlas reveals developmental trajectories in wild-type and cell identity mutants. Developmental Cell **57,** 543-560.e549.

Shin, H., Shin, H.-S., Dewbre, G.R., and Harrison, M.J. (2004). Phosphate transport in Arabidopsis: Pht1;1 and Pht1;4 play a major role in phosphate acquisition from both low- and high-phosphate environments. The Plant Journal **39,** 629-642.

Takagi, J., Renna, L., Takahashi, H., Koumoto, Y., Tamura, K., Stefano, G., Fukao, Y., Kondo, M., Nishimura, M., Shimada, T., Brandizzi, F., and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2013). MAIGO5 Functions in Protein Export from Golgi-Associated Endoplasmic Reticulum Exit Sites in Arabidopsis The Plant Cell **25,** 4658-4675.

Tanaka, N., Kato, M., Tomioka, R., Kurata, R., Fukao, Y., Aoyama, T., and Maeshima, M. (2014). Characteristics of a root hair-less line of Arabidopsis thaliana under physiological stresses. Journal of Experimental Botany **65,** 1497-1512.

Trevor, M.N., Nemanja, V., Che-Wei, H., Jingyuan, Z., Isabelle, V., Rachel, S., Isaiah, W.T., Laura, G., Matthieu, H., Ping, W., Pablo, S., Aiden, B., Yanhai, Y., Geoffrey, S., Uwe, O., Eugenia, R., and Philip, N.B. (2022). Brassinosteroid gene

regulatory networks at cellular resolution. bioRxiv**, 2022.2009.2016.508001.

Wei, L., Liu, L., Chen, Z., Huang, Y., Yang, L., Wang, P., Xue, S., and Bie, Z. (2023). CmCNIH1 improves salt tolerance by influencing the trafficking of CmHKT1;1 in pumpkin. The Plant Journal **114,** 1353-1368.

Wudick, M.M., Portes, M.T., Michard, E., Rosas-Santiago, P., Lizzio, M.A., Nunes, C.O., Campos, C., Santa Cruz Damineli, D., Carvalho, J.C., Lima, P.T., Pantoja, O., and Feijó, J.A. (2018). CORNICHON sorting and regulation of GLR channels underlie pollen tube Ca2+ homeostasis. Science **360,** 533-536.

Yang, Z., Yang, J., Wang, Y., Wang, F., Mao, W., He, Q., Xu, J., Wu, Z., and Mao, C. (2020). PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE95 Regulates Phosphate Homeostasis by Affecting Phosphate Transporter Trafficking in Rice. The Plant Cell **32,** 740.

Yáñez-Domínguez, C., Lagunas-Gómez, D., Torres-Cifuentes, D.M., Bezanilla, M., and Pantoja, O. (2023). A cornichon protein controls polar localization of the PINA auxin transporter in Physcomitrium patens. Development **150,** dev201635.

Zimmermannová, O., Felcmanová, K., Rosas-Santiago, P., Papoušková, K., Pantoja, O., and Sychrová, H. (2019). Erv14 cargo receptor participates in regulation of plasma-membrane potential, intracellular pH and potassium homeostasis via its interaction with K+-specific transporters Trk1 and Tok1. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research **1866,** 1376-1388.

Figure 1. Pi starvation-induced expression pattern and subcellular localization of *At*CNIH5.

(A) RT-qPCR analysis of *A. thaliana CNIH1, CNIH3, CNIH4,* and *CNIH5* in the shoot and root of 11-day-old seedlings grown under full nutrient (+P+N, 250 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 7.5 mM KNO$_3$), Pi-deficient (–P+N, 0 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 7.5 mM KNO$_3$, three days of starvation) and N-deficient (+P–N, 250 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$ and 0 μM KNO$_3$, three days of starvation) conditions. The magnified inset on the right top shows low Pi induction of *AtCNIH5* in the shoot. Error bars represent SE (n = 3, pools of seedings from independent experiments).

(B) Expression of *AtCNIH5pro*: GUS in 7-day-old seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$, five days of starvation) conditions. a–f (+P; shoot part; trichome; primary root tip; primary root maturation zone; lateral root tip; lateral root maturation zone). g–j (–P; root tip; primary root maturation zone; lateral root tip; lateral root maturation zone). Scale bars, 10 mm in a, 0.1 mm in b, and 100 μm in c–j.

(C) The ER and ERES localizations of *UBQ10:sXVE:* S10-*At*CNIH5 in *N. benthamiana* leaves. mCherry-HDEL, luminal ER marker; *At*SEC16A-mCherry, ERES marker. Scale bars, 10 μm.

(D) The subcellular localization of *AtCNIH5pro*: GFP-*At*CNIH5 and *35S*: RFP-*At*CNIH5 in *Arabidopsis* root. Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure 2. *AtC*NIH5 interacts with *At*PHT1;1, *At*PHT1;4, and *At*PHF1.

(A) Expression of S10-*At*CNIH5, S10-*At*PHF1, S10-3xHA and *At*PHT1;1-S11 in the presence of S11-GFP1–9 or GFP1–9 in *N. benthamiana* leaves. The co-expression of *At*PHT1;1-S11 with S10-*At*PHF1 and S10-3xHA are used as positive and negative protein–protein interaction controls, respectively. Scale bars, 10 μm.

(B) Co-expression of PLVCub-*At*CNIH5 with NubG-*At*PHF1, NubG-*At*PHT1;1 and NubG-*At*PHT1;4 in the yeast split-ubiquitin system. The co-expression of PLVCub-*At*CNIH5 with NubWT and NubG are used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Yeast transformants were grown on synthetic medium without leucine and tryptophan (–LW; the left panel) or on synthetic medium lacking leucine, tryptophan, adenine, and histidine-containing 500 μM methionine (–LWAH; middle panel) or on SC–LW containing 2 mg/L X-gal (X-gal; the right panel).

Figure 3. Loss of *AtCNIH5* leads to decreased shoot Pi levels and *At*PHT1 proteins, increased *At*PHF1 accumulation, and diminished Pi uptake.

(A–B) The shoot and root fresh weight (FW) (A) and Pi levels (B) of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis* WT, *cnih4*, and *cnih5* under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 15–16, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(C) Protein expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4 and *At*PHF1 in the root of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis* WT, *cnih4,* and *cnih5* under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. The relative expression level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4, and *At*PHF1 was normalized with the corresponding actin and relative to the WT control. Error bars represent SE (n = 3–4, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(D) Quantification of Pi uptake and shoot-to-root distribution. The center lines show the medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers extend to the minimum and the maximum values. Data points are plotted as dots. Data significantly different from WT are indicated by asterisks (n = 8 biological replicates; *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Figure 4. Impaired plasma membrane targeting of split-GFP-tagged *At*PHT1;1 in *cnih5* root.
(A) Reduced level of *At*PHT1;1-S11 at the plasma membrane of the epidermal cells along the root transition/elongation zone of 5-day-old *cnih5* seedlings under Pi-deficient (−P, 0 μM KH_2PO_4) conditions. The transgenic lines expressing *AtPHT1;1pro*: *At*PHT1;1-S11/*35S*: GFP1–10 in the *cnih5* and corresponding WT backgrounds were used for comparison. Representative line-scan profiles of fluorescence intensity (arbitrary unit, a.u.) of *At*PHT1;1-S11 were shown in the left and right sectors. Arrows indicate the acropetal direction. Scale bars, 20 μm.
(B) Representative image showing increased intracellular retention of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in the root epidermal cells along the transition/elongation zone of *cnih5*. Scale bars, 20 μm.
(C) Reduction of *At*PHT1;1-S11 at the plasma membrane in the *cnih5* root hair. Line-scan profiles of fluorescence intensity (arbitrary unit, a.u.) of *At*PHT1;1-S11 were performed as indicated by the dash line at a distance of 30 μm from the root hair tip in the left panel. Quantitative data were shown in the right panel. The center lines show the medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers extend to the smallest data point within 1.5 times the interquartile range from the lower and upper quartiles. Data points are plotted as dots. Data significantly different from WT are indicated by asterisks (n = 21 or 30 root hairs; **P < 0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed). Scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure. 5 Loss of *AtCNIH5* exacerbates the growth retardation of *phf1*.

(A–B) The shoot and root fresh weight (FW) (A) and Pi levels (B) of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis* WT, *phf1, phf1/cnih4, phf1/cnih5*, and *phf1/cnih4/5* grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 7–8, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(C) The expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 in the root of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *phf1, phf1/cnih4, phf1/cnih5*, and *phf1/cnih4/5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. The relative expression level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3 was normalized with the corresponding actin and relative to the WT control. Error bars represent SE (n = 3, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Figure 6. Loss of *AtCNIH5* suppresses Pi accumulation in *Arabidopsis pho2*.
(A–B) The shoot Pi level (A) and the expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4 and *At*PHO1 in the root (B) of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *pho2,* and *cnih5/pho2* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 5–7, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; WT or *cnih5/pho2* versus *pho2*, [**]*P* < 0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed). Representative immunoblot results from two independent experiments are shown.

Figure 7. A working model of *At*CNIH5-mediated ER exit of *At*PHT1s.

In *Arabidopsis*, *PHF1* and *CNIH5* are expressed at distinct and overlapping root developmental zones (left panel). In the non-dividing epidermal cell (right panel), including the transition zone/elongation zone and the root hair cell of the differential zone, the transcripts of *PHT1* genes, *PHF1*, and *CNIH5* are increased under Pi starvation (−P). CNIH5 interacts with PHF1 to facilitate the ER exit and the plasma membrane (PM) targeting of PHT1s in a COPII-dependent manner. While PHF1 may interact with PHT1s that are competent for the ER exit at an upstream step of COPII recruitment, CNIH5 may selectively mediate the efficient incorporation of PHT1s into the COPII vesicle delivered to the Golgi. CNIH5 may also acts as a cargo receptor for other membrane proteins. RAM, root apical meristem; TZ, transition zone; EZ, elongation zone, DZ, differential zone.

Table 1. Intracellular retention of *At*PHT1;1 in the root transition/elongation zones of *cnih5*.

			Root 1	Root 2	Root 3	Root 4	Average (%) ± SE	P-value
			Number of cells displaying intracellular *At*PHT1;1-S11 divided by the number of counted cells					
TZ/EZ	Exp. 1	WT	0/9	0/13	5/18	1/12	9.0±6.6	
								<0.001
		cnih5	10/17	9/15	11/15	8/12	64.7±3.4	
	Exp. 2	WT	1/12	1/13	0/7	0/9	4.0±2.3	
								<0.001
		cnih5	7/12	4/8	7/9	5/6	67.4±7.9	

- TZ, transition zone; EZ, elongation zone.
- Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 represent two independent experiments. In each experiment, four seedlings with the WT or *cnih5* background were used for image analyses.
- Average (%) ± SE (n = 4) represents the mean and the standard error of the mean of the percentage of cells with intracellular *At*PHT1;1-S11 among counted cells.
- P-value is calculated based on a two-tailed Student's t-test.

Supplemental Figure S1. Expression of *AtCNIH1pro:* GUS, *AtCNIH3pro:* GUS and *AtCNIH4pro:* GUS in *Arabidopsis.*

Expression of *AtCNIH1pro*: GUS, *AtCNIH3pro*: GUS, and *AtCNIH4pro*: GUS in the primary (A) and lateral roots (B) of 7-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) conditions. Scale bars, 100 µm.

Supplemental Figure S2. Expression of *AtCNIH5pro:* GFP in *Arabidopsis.*

Expression of *AtCNIH5pro*: GFP in 5-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) conditions. The primary root's maturation zone, basal meristem, and apical meristem are shown as indicated. Merged images of green fluorescence and bright field channels are shown. Scale bars, 50 μm.

Supplemental Figure S3. Expression of *35S:* **RFP-***At***CNIH5 in** *Arabidopsis***.**
Expression of *35S*: RFP-*At*CNIH5 in 5-day-old *Arabidopsis* seedlings grown under Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) conditions. Scale bars, 50 µm.

Supplemental Figure S4. Complementation of *cnih5* by the expression of the genomic *AtCNIH5* and GFP-*AtCNIH5* sequences.

(A) The shoot fresh weight (FW) and Pi level of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis cnih5* and *AtCNIH5pro: AtCNIH5/cnih5* complementation (COM) lines grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) conditions. Error bar represents SE (n = 9–10, pools of seedings from independent experiments; WT or complemented plants versus *cnih5*, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(B) The shoot fresh weight (FW) and Pi levels of 11-day-old seedlings of *Arabidopsis cnih5* and *AtCNIH5pro:* GFP-*AtCNIH5/cnih5* complementation (COM) lines grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) conditions. Error bar represents SE (n = 4–10, pools of seedings from independent experiments; complemented plants versus *cnih5*, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Supplemental Figure S5. The expression of Pi starvation-induced genes and the root phenotypes of *Arabidopsis cnih5.*

(A) The transcript expression of *AtPHT1;1–1;3*, *AtACP5*, and *AtPHF1* in the root of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation) conditions assessed by RT-qPCR. Error bars represent SE (n = 2, pools of seedings from independent experiments).

(B–C) The primary root (PR) length (B) and the lateral root (LR) density (C) of 9-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, five days of starvation) conditions. Error bar represent SE (n = 6–10 for the primary root length; n = 9 for the lateral root density; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

(D–F) The shoot Pi level (D), the root hair length (E), and the root hair density (F) of old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$) or Pi-deficient (–P, 0 µM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bar represents SE (shoot Pi level, n = 3, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; root hair length, n = 90; root hair density, n = 8–9; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Supplemental Figure S6. Decreased *At*PHT1s and increased *At*PHF1 in the root microsomal fractions from *Arabidopsis cnih5*.

Expression of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4 and *At*PHF1 in the root microsomal fractions from 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT and *cnih5* seedlings under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$) and Pi-deficient (–P, 0 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$, three days of starvation) conditions. The relative expression level of *At*PHT1;1/2/3, *At*PHT1;4, and *At*PHF1 was normalized with the corresponding amido black staining and relative to the WT control. Similar results were obtained at least three times.

Supplemental Figure S7. Analyses of *Arabidopsis cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* seedlings.
(A) The transcript expression of *AtCNIH* genes in the root of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) conditions assessed by RT-PCR or RT-qPCR.
(B–C) The shoot and root FW (B) and Pi levels (C) of 11-day-old *Arabidopsis cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* seedlings grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (−P, 0 μM KH_2PO_4, three days of starvation) conditions. Error bars represent SE (n = 7–9, pools of seedlings from independent experiments; $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$; Student's t-test, two-tailed).

Supplemental Figure S8. Phenotypes of *Arabidopsis cnih5, cnih1/5, cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* adult plants.

The shoot and root FW (A) and Pi levels (B) of 20-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *cnih5*, *cnih1/5*, *cnih3/5*, and *cnih4/5* plants under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 µM KH_2PO_4) and Pi-deficient (–P, 10 µM KH_2PO_4, five days of starvation) hydroponic conditions. The results are visualized using BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al. 2014). Center lines show the medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers extend to the 5th and 95th percentiles, and data points are plotted as dots. Data significantly different from the other groups are indicated by different lowercase letters (n = 15–18, biological replicates from independent experiments; One-Way ANOVA, Duncan's test).

Supplemental Figure S9. Phenotypes of *Arabidopsis phf1*, *phf1/cnih4*, *phf1/cnih5*, and *phf1/cnih4/5* adult plants.

The shoot and root FW (A) and Pi levels (B) of 20-day-old *Arabidopsis* WT, *phf1*, *phf1/cnih4*, *phf1/cnih5*, and *phf1/cnih4/5* plants grown under Pi-sufficient (+P, 250 μM KH₂PO₄) and Pi-deficient (–P, 10 μM KH₂PO₄, five days of starvation) hydroponic conditions. The results are visualized using BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al. 2014). Center lines show the medians; the central plus signs (+) show the means; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles; whiskers extend to the 5th and 95th percentiles, and data points are plotted as dots. Data significantly different from the other groups are indicated by different lowercase letters (n = 15–24, biological replicates from independent experiments; One-Way ANOVA, Duncan's test).

Supplemental Figure S10. *At*PHO2 does not mediate the degradation of *At*CNIH5.
(A) Co-expression of *At*PHO2-S11 with S10-*At*CNIH5 in the presence of GFP1–9 in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Scale bars, 20 μm. Co-expression of *At*PHO2-S11 with S10-*At*PHT1;1 and S10-3xHA are, respectively, used as positive and negative protein–protein interaction controls.
(B) Immunoblot analysis of S10-*At*CNIH5 and S10-*At*PHO1 in *N. benthamiana* leaves under co-expression of increasing levels of S10-*At*PHO2. Co-expression of GFP was used as a negative control. Anti-S10 antibodies also detect free GFP.

Supplemental Figure S11. Impaired plasma membrane targeting of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in *Arabidopsis phf1*.

Representative images of localization of *At*PHT1;1-S11 in 5-day-old *AtPHT1;1pro*: *At*PHT1;1-S11/*35S*: GFP1–10 seedlings grown under Pi-deficient (−P, 0 μM KH$_2$PO$_4$) conditions. Independent lines in the *phf1* (A) and *cnih5* (B) backgrounds and the corresponding WT controls were used for comparison. GFP signals surrounding the nuclear membrane are indicated by arrows. Root apical meristem, RAM; transition zone, TZ; elongation zone, EZ; differentiation zone, DZ.

Expression level of *AtPHT1;1*

Expression level of *AtPHF1*

Expression level of *AtPHT1;4*

Expression level of *AtCNIH5*

Legends

NormExp : Normalized gene expression value using SCTransform from Seurat version 3

AvgExp : Averaged normalized gene expression value in specific time zone & cell type

PctExp : Percentage of cells that the gene is expressed in specific time zone & cell type

Quantile cutoff : minimum and maximum cutoff values specified as quantiles for non-zero normalized gene expression

Supplemental Figure S12. Expression of *AtPHT1;1*, *AtPHT1;4*, *AtCNIH5*, and *AtPHF1* revealed by single-cell mRNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) of *Arabidopsis* root.

The dot plot used to visualize single-cell gene expression of *Arabidopsis* root is retrieved from ARVEX (https://shiny.mdc-berlin.de/ARVEX/), which hosts processed scRNA-seq data of wild-type samples from Shahan and Hsu et al. 2022 (Shahan et al., 2022). PctExp: Percentage of cells expressing the gene in a specific time zone and cell type; circle size represents the proportion (%) of cells expressing a given gene. AvgExp: Averaged normalized gene expression value in a specific time zone and cell type; the color of the scale bar represents the mean expression (natural log +1 pseudocount).

Supplementary Table 1. Oligonucleotides used for PCR genotyping, RT-PCR, and RT-qPCR analyses.

Gene/AGI No.	Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')
Genotyping		
cnih4/AT1G12390	AscI_*At*CNIH4.for	ggcgcgccaATGGGAGATATCTGGACATGGCTTA
	*At*CNIH2,4 STOP_PacI.rev	ttaattaaTCAGTCCTCGTAATCATCCAGCGCCGA
	q*At*CNIH4.for2	AGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAAGA
	SALK_LB1.3.rev	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
cnih5/AT4G12090	AscI_*At*CNIH5.for	ggcgcgccaATGGGCGATCTACTCGATTGGATTA
	*At*CNIH5 STOP_XhoI.rev	ctcgagTTAGATGTCTCCTAATGCCGAGTGGA
	SAIL_LB1.rev	GCCTTTTCAGAAATGGATAAATAGCCTTGCTTCC
	*At*CHIN5(STOP)_BamHI.rev	ggatccTTAGATGTCTCCTAATGCCGAGTG
phf1/AT3G52190	SALK_037068 LP.rev	CTATGATCCTCAGAAGGCGTG
	SALK_037068 RP.for	GTTTTGTGCTGCTCAAAGAGG
	SALK_LB1.3.rev	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAAC
pho2/AT2G33770	*PHO2* WT SNP.for	GAAAGTCCAGCAAGAGT**G**
	pho2 SNP.for	GAAAGTCCAGCAAGAGT**A**
	PHO2 WT SNP.rev	AAAGCCCATCGTGATAT
RT-PCR		
CNIH1/AT3G12180	EcoRI_*At*CNIH1.for	gaattcATGGCTTGGGATTTGTTTTTATGGA
	*At*CNIH1noSTOP_EcoRI.rev	gaattcAAACAAATGAAGTAGATCATCATCTT
CNIH3/AT1G62880.1	EcoRI_*At*CNIH3.for	gaattcATGGGAGAGGTCTGGACATG
	*At*CNIH3 noSTOP_EcoRI.rev	gaattcGTCCTCGTAATCATCAAGTGTTG
CNIH5/AT4G12090	EcoRI_*At*CNIH5.for	gaattcATGGGCGATCTACTCGATTGGATTA
	*At*CNIH5 STOP_EcoRI.rev	gaattcTTAGATGTCTCCTAATGCCGAGTGG

qRT-PCR

ACT8/AT1G49240	qAtACT8.for	CCCAAAAGCCAACAGAGAGA
	qAtACT8.rev	CATCACCAGAGTCCAACACAAT
CNIH1/AT3G12180	qAtCNIH1.for2	GTTCCGTGGTATCAGCTTTGA
	qAtCNIH1.rev2	TATAGACCGCAGAGAGAGTGAG
	qAtCNIH1.for3	GTCGCTTCCGTCTTCTACCAG
	qAtCNIH1.rev3	GAGTGACCCTTGGAGGATAAAC
CNIH3/AT1G62880.1	qAtCNIH3.1.for	GCGGTGTCAAGTACTAAGAGAG
	qAtCNIH3.1.rev	CGGGTTCTACGTCTTTCTCTTC
	qAtCNIH3.for2	GAGAGGTCTGGACATGGATTATTT
	qAtCNIH3.rev2	TTCTTGATGCAGAGTCGTAAGG
CNIH4/AT1G12390	qAtCNIH4.for2	AGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAAGA
	qAtCNIH4.rev2	GCGATGAGGAAGAAGAAGGAAATA
	qAtCNIH4.for3	CTCGAAGCGACAACACTTAG
	qAtCNIH4.rev3	CATCCAGCGCCGAATAAATC
CNIH5/AT4G12090	qAtCNIH5.for	AAAGCAAGGCGGAGATTGA
	qAtCNIH5.rev	AAGCGTTGCGAGGAATAAGA
	qAtCNIH5.for3	CTCTGTTACGTCTGCCAAATG
	qAtCNIH5.rev3	CACGTCCTCGCCGTCTAA
PHT1;1/At5g43350	qAtPHT1;1.for	GCCATGACGAGAAATAATTATGT
	qAtPHT1;1.rev	TAACTTAAGGTCAACGAGCCAAT
PHT1;2/At5g43370	qAtPHT1;2.for	AGCCATCATTGGAGCCTTC
	qAtPHT1;2.rev	ACCTTAGCCTTGTCTTGATT
PHT1;3/At5g43360	qAtPHT1;3.for1	CGAGGCTGAGGTTGATAAATGAT
	qAtPHT1;3.rev1	CACACATCGCAAAACCAATGAC
PHF1/At3g52190	qPHF1.for1	GCCTTCTGAGGATCATAGTAGGTG
	qPHF1.rev1	CGCTGCAACAAGATAAGGAAG
ACP5 /At3g17790	qAtACP5.for1	GGTGACGCAGAAGCTCAGCT
	qAtACP5.rev1	CCAACTCTGCATCAACGACAA

Supplementary Table 2. Oligonucleotides used for plasmid constructs

Gene	Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')
Cloning for binary vectors		
S10-*At*CNIH5	AscI_*At*CNIH5.for	ggcgcgccaATGGGCGATCTACTCGATTGGATTA
	*At*CNIH5 STOP_XhoI.rev	ctcgagTTAGATGTCTCCTAATGCCGAGTGGA
mCherry-*At*CNIH5	AscI_*At*CHIN5.for	ggcgcgccATGGGCGATCTACTCGA
	*At*CHIN5 STOP_BamHI.rev	ggatccTTAGATGTCTCCTAATGCCGAGTG
S10-*At*PHT1;1	Infusion.for	caaatctagggggatccATGGCCGAACAACAACTAGGAGTGC
	Infusion_XhoI.rev	tttagctagactcgagTTATTTCTCGTCATGGCTAACCTCAGCC
*At*PHT1;1-S11	*At*PHT1;1 CDS XbaI.for	tctagaATGGCCGAACAACAACTAG
	*At*PHT1;1 CDS noSTOP SpeI.rev	actagtTTTCTCGTCATGGCTAACCTC
S10-*At*PHF1	*At*PHF1_AscI.for	ggcgcgccaATGGAGATTGAAGAAGCGAGTCG
	*At*PHF1 STOP_PacI.rev	ttaattaaAGGTCCAAGTTCCACCTACTATG
S10-g*At*PHO1	*At*PHO1_AscI.for	ggcgcgccaATGGTGAAGTTCTCGAAGGAGCTAG
	*At*PHO1_PacI.rev	ttaaTTAACCGTCTGAGTCCCTGTCAAGGAACGG
S10-*At*PHO2-3xHA	*At*PHO2_AscI.for	ggcgcgccaATGGAAATGTCCCTTACTGACTCTG
	*At*PHO2_SpeI no STOP.rev	actagtttTGATTCTGGTCCAATCTCTTGGACGC
*At*SEC16A-S11	AscI_*At*SEC16A.for	ggcgcgccATGGCTTCGACTGCTGA
	*At*SEC16A no STOP_SalI.rev	gtcgacCAGTTCAACTTCCTGAAGCTCCTCTCC
*At*PHT1;1pro	*At*PHT1;1 pro_HindIII.for	aagcttATCTCCCAAATGCCGATA

	AtPHT1;1 pro_XhoI.rev	ctcgagACAACGCAAAGAATCCAA
AtPHT1;1-GFP11	AtPHT1;1_XbaI.for	tctagaATGGCCGAACAACAACTAG
	AtPHT1;1 no STOP_ SpeI.rev	actagtTTTCTCGTCATGGCTAACCTC
AtCNIH5pro	KpnI_Infusion.for	cctctagaggatccccggGTACCTGAGCATAATC TTCATATGA
	Infusion_BglII.rev	CCATagatctCCTCCGCTGTCTTCCGCCGC GTTAC
gAtCNIH5	BglII_Infusion.for	GGAGGagatctATGGGCGATCTACTCGATT GGATTATCT
	Infusion_PacI.rev	gctctagaactagttaattaaCACAAGCTAGCTACC ATAACGATAT
AtCNIH1pro	PvuI_AtCNIH1 pro.for	cgatcgGTTTACCAGTTCAAAAGCTCAGG GTCC
	AtCNIH1 pro_AscI.rev	ggcgcgccATTTGCTCTCTTCAACAATTACG ATTCG
AtCNIH3pro	PacI_AtCNIH3.1 pro.for	ttaattaaGAAGAGAGGAGTAAAAGTAGAC AAGAAACAC
	AtCNIH3.1 pro_AscI.rev	ggcgcgccCCCTCCTCTTCCTCCTGGCTCC
AtCNIH4pro	PacI_AtCNIH4 pro.for	ttaattaaACCAATCTGTAGCAGTCCAACAA AG
	AtCNIH4 pro_AscI.rev	ggcgcgccCTTCCTCCTTTTGGTTCCGACA ATTTC
AtCNIH5pro	PacI_AtCNIH5 pro.for	ttaattaaCATGCCACTCACAAGTATCGTTGT CA
	AtCNIH5 pro_AscI.rev	ggcgcgccCCTCCGCTGTCTTCCGCCGCGT TAC
Cloning for yeast expression vectors		
PLVCub-AtCNIH5	EcoRI_AtCNIH5.for	gaattcATGGGCGATCTACTCGATTGGATTA
	AtCNIH5 STOP_EcoRI.rev	gaattcTTAGATGTCTCCTAATGCCGAGTG G
NubG- AtPHT1;4	MunI_AtPHT1;4.for	aacaattgATGGCAAGGGAACAATTACAAG
	AtPHT1;4_MunI.rev	aacaattgAACTATTGGGACCGTTCTAC