Tree diversity increases carbon stocks and fluxes above- but not belowground in a tropical forest experiment

Running title: Tree diversity increases carbon sequestration

Florian Schnabel[1,2,3,*], Joannès Guillemot[4,5,6], Kathryn E. Barry[7], Melanie Brunn[8,9], Simone Cesarz[3,10], Nico Eisenhauer[3,10], Tobias Gebauer[11,12], Nathaly R. Guerrero-Ramirez[13,14,15,16], I. Tanya Handa[13], Chris Madsen[17], Lady Mancilla[18], Jose Monteza[18], Tim Moore[19], Yvonne Oelmann[20], Michael Scherer-Lorenzen[11], Luitgard Schwendenmann[21], Audrey Wagner[22,23], Christian Wirth[2,3,24], Catherine Potvin[17,18]

[1]Chair of Silviculture, Institute of Forest Sciences, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

[2]Systematic Botany and Functional Biodiversity, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

[3]German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany

[4]CIRAD, UMR Eco&Sols, Montpellier, France

[5]Eco&Sols, Univ. Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAe, Institut Agro, IRD, Montpellier, France

[6]Department of Forest Sciences, ESALQ, University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil

[7]Ecology and Biodiversity, Department of Biology, Institute of Environmental Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

[8]Institute for Integrated Natural Sciences, University of Koblenz, Koblenz, Germany

[9]Institute for Environmental Sciences, RPTU University of Kaiserslautern-Landau, Landau, Germany

[10]Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

[11]Gebotany, Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

[12]Geo-konzept society of environmental planning GmbH, Adelschlag, Germany

[13]Département des sciences biologiques, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, Canada

[14]Biodiversity, Macroecology and Biogeography, Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

[15]Silviculture and Forest Ecology of Temperate Zones, Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology,

University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

[16]Centre of Biodiversity and Sustainable Land Use, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

[17] Neotropical Ecology Laboratory, Department of Biology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

[18]Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Panama City, Panama

[19]Department of Geography, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

[20]Geoecology, Department of Geosciences, Tübingen University, Tübingen, Germany

[21]School of Environment, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

[22]Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

[23]Nature-based Solutions Initiative, Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

[24]Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

*Corresponding author. E-mail: florian.schnabel@waldbau.uni-freiburg.de, Tel: +49/761/2033679

Keywords: carbon storage, carbon flux, forest biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, tropical planted forest,

reforestation, Sardinilla experiment, TreeDivNet

ORCID iDs: FS (0000-0001-8452-4001), JG (0000-0003-4385-7656), KEB (0000-0001-6893-6479), MB

(0000-0002-5692-8575), SC (0000-0003-2334-5119), NE (0000-0002-0371-6720), TG (0000-0003-2751-

4071), NRGR (0000-0001-7311-9852), ITH (0000-0002-7272-031X), TM (0000-0001-7472-7569), YO

(0000-0003-3513-6568), MSL (0000-0001-9566-590X), LS (0000-0002-2290-3003), AW (0009-0008-

8020-034X), CW (0000-0003-2604-8056), CP (0009-0009-6516-6144)

Abstract

International commitments advocate large-scale forest restoration as a nature-based solution to climate change mitigation through carbon (C) sequestration. Mounting evidence suggests that mixed compared to monospecific planted forests may sequester more C, exhibit lower susceptibility to climate extremes and offer a broader range of ecosystem services. However, experimental studies comprehensively examining the control of tree diversity on multiple C stocks and fluxes above- and belowground are lacking. To address this gap, we leverage data from the Sardinilla experiment in Panama, the oldest tropical tree diversity experiment which features a gradient of one-, two-, three- and five-species mixtures of native tree species. Over 16 years, we measured multiple above- and belowground C stocks and fluxes, ranging from tree aboveground C, over leaf litter C production, to soil organic carbon (SOC). We show that tree diversity significantly increased aboveground C stocks and fluxes, with a 57% higher gain in aboveground tree C in five-species mixtures compared to monocultures (35.7 ± 1.8 vs 22.8 ± 3.4 Mg C ha^{-1}) 16 years after planting. In contrast, we observed a net reduction in SOC (on average -11.2 ± 1.1 Mg C ha^{-1}) and no significant difference in SOC$_3$ stocks (the predominantly tree-derived, i.e., C$_3$ plant-derived SOC fraction) between five-species mixtures and monocultures (13.0 ± 0.9 vs 15.1 ± 1.3 Mg C ha^{-1}). Positive tree diversity effects persisted despite repeated climate extremes and strengthened over time for aboveground tree growth. Structural equation models showed that higher tree growth in mixtures enhanced leaf litter and coarse woody debris C fluxes to the soil, resulting in a tightly linked C cycle aboveground. However, we did not observe significant links between above- and belowground C stocks and fluxes. Our study elucidates the mechanisms through which higher tree diversity bolsters the climate mitigation potential of tropical forest restoration. Restoration schemes should prioritize mixed over monospecific planted forests.

Introduction

Forest restoration is promoted as a key strategy for mitigating climate change through carbon (C) sequestration. Several global initiatives, such as the Bonn Challenge and the New York Declaration on forests, aim to restore 350 Mha of forests by 2030. Forest restoration, in particular in the tropics, has the most significant climate mitigation potential of 20 proposed nature-based solutions, potentially sequestering up to 10.1 $PgCO_2$ equivalents per year (Griscom et al., 2017). However, massive reforestation efforts should ensure the protection of land for agriculture (Dooley et al., 2022) and avoid the replacement of other ecosystems, such as natural grasslands (Parr et al., 2024; Seddon, 2022). A solution is to target the vast areas of degraded land suited for forest growth (Bauhus et al., 2010). Current reforestation pledges largely focus on monospecific planted forests often with non-native tree species (Lewis et al., 2019) despite the mounting evidence that tree species-diverse planted forests (hereafter mixed planted forests or mixtures) can exhibit lower susceptibility to stress and disturbances such as droughts and storms while simultaneously providing a broader range of ecosystem services such as C sequestration and storage, biodiversity conservation and cultural services at higher levels than monospecific plantations (Messier et al., 2021). Consequently, mixed planted forests, particularly if established with native tree species, better fulfil current international targets such as the Kunming-Montréal Global Biodiversity Framework (CBD, 2022).

Biodiversity-ecosystem function theory suggests that mixed planted forests may outperform monocultures in terms of productivity, through complementary resource partitioning across species, abiotic facilitation or biotic feedbacks (Barry et al., 2019). Thus, mixed planted forests may also outperform monocultures with respect to their role in climate regulation. Indeed, there is accumulating evidence that mixed planted forests can sequester more C above- and belowground than their monoculture counterparts (Chen et al., 2023; Lecina-Diaz et al., 2018; Messier et al., 2021; van der Sande et al., 2017; Warner et al., 2023; Xu et al., 2020). More importantly, mixtures may also be more stable than monocultures in the face of climate extremes or climate variability in general (Isbell et al., 2018), as some species may 'insure' the community

against the reduced functioning of other species (Yachi and Loreau, 1999). Mixed planted forests indeed

feature higher temporal stability of biomass production than monocultures during periods with variable

climatic conditions, including particularly wet and dry years (Jucker et al., 2014; Schnabel et al., 2021).

However, most existing studies assessed (or indirectly inferred) tree diversity effects on C stocks, fluxes,

and stability in terms of aboveground tree C (AGC), with fewer studies examining root C or soil organic C

(SOC) (e.g. Xu et al., 2020) and even fewer ones the C fluxes above- and belowground, connecting these

C pools.

We posit that tree species richness (hereafter tree diversity) may affect C stocks and fluxes both above- and

belowground. C stocks refer to the C stored in reservoirs such as AGC or SOC, whereas C fluxes are the

flow of C between these reservoirs over time. We anticipate comparable diversity effects above- and

belowground as has been shown in grassland experiments (Ravenek et al., 2014; Weisser et al., 2017).

Indeed, there is evidence for significant tree diversity effects on various C fluxes, ranging from enhanced

leaf litter (Huang et al., 2017) and coarse woody debris (CWD) production (Liu et al., 2018) to enhanced

microbial respiration and thus decomposition (Chen et al., 2020). Ultimately, the balance of these different

C fluxes determines net tree diversity effects on C sequestration in forests (Liu et al., 2018). For example,

tree diversity is often reported to increase tree biomass production (hence C gain, Potvin et al., 2008) but

may, in some cases, also increase tree mortality (hence C loss, Searle et al., 2022). Similarly, tree diversity

may increase SOC through diversity-induced enhancements of C inputs into the soil via plant litter or root

exudate production, but changes in soil community and functioning may also enhance C losses due to

decomposition (Chen et al., 2020; Handa et al., 2014; Lange et al., 2015). Due to these complex interactions,

no net effect of tree diversity on SOC was reported in some studies (e.g. Martin-Guay et al., 2022).

Comprehensive assessments of the multiple C stocks and fluxes in forests and their intricate relationships

are scarce (Xu et al., 2020). A notable exception is Liu et al. (2018), who studied naturally established

subtropical forests in China, revealing significant positive effects of tree diversity on AGC, root C, CWD,

and SOC, and significant correlations between tree diversity and AGC, CWD, and leaf litter production.

However, in complex natural environments like forests, environmental variation and tree diversity

interactively influence carbon stocks and fluxes (van der Sande et al., 2017). Despite attempts using

structural equation models (SEMs) to identify direct and indirect relationships (Chen et al., 2018; Chen et

al., 2023; Li et al., 2020), mechanistically disentangling these drivers remains challenging in observational

studies. Planted tree diversity experiments, which were specifically designed to compare monocultures and

mixtures of increasing diversity while controlling for environmental variation and holding tree density

constant (Depauw et al., 2024; Scherer-Lorenzen et al., 2005), offer an ideal setting for elucidating linkages

among C stocks and fluxes. Until recently, the young age of most tree diversity experiments, the slow

development of trees in boreal and temperate experiments and the different response times and dynamics

of C compartments over the course of stand development (e.g. faster responses of aboveground compared

to belowground C; Ravenek et al., 2014) prevented analysing the temporal dynamics of tree diversity effects

on C stocks and fluxes. Moreover, a temporal perspective on C residence time, i.e. the time C is stored

within a reservoir, is a prerequisite for assessing the stability of C storage under climate variability and

investigating whether tree diversity's control on C stocks and fluxes increases as forest stands develop.

Increases in ecosystem functioning over time in more diverse tree communities have been demonstrated for

aboveground tree productivity (Guerrero-Ramírez et al., 2017; Jucker et al., 2020) but not for multiple C

stocks and fluxes and their relationships.

Here, we use data on temporal changes in ten C-related stocks and fluxes measured in the oldest tropical

tree diversity experiment, the Sardinilla experiment established in 2001 in Panama, which is part of the

global network of tree diversity experiments (TreeDivNet). After two decades of C-related research (Cesarz

et al., 2022; Coll et al., 2008; Guerrero-Ramírez et al., 2016; Guillemot et al., 2020; Hutchison et al., 2018;

Kunert et al., 2019; Kunert et al., 2022; Madsen et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2018; Murphy et al., 2008; Potvin

et al., 2011; Ruiz-Jaen and Potvin, 2011; Sapijanskas et al., 2013; Sapijanskas et al., 2014; Scherer-

Lorenzen et al., 2007; Schnabel et al., 2019; Wolf et al., 2011) and due to the comparably fast tree growth

in the tropics, the Sardinilla experiment features a wealth of C-related variables above- and belowground

for planted forests with 1–5 tree species and considerably large-sized trees (with the tallest trees over 25

m), which we leverage here to explore tree diversity effects on C stocks and fluxes across 16 years (2001–

2017). Since planting, the experiment experienced repeated climate extremes including a severe El Niño-

driven drought and a Hurricane (see methods). Although it is not possible to disentangle the intertwined

impacts of stand development and these climate extremes, their occurrence has provided us with the unique

opportunity to evaluate the role of tree diversity for C stocks and fluxes in the face of severe climate events.

We anticipate a stronger positive tree diversity effect at later stages of stand development, due to enhanced

ecosystem functioning in more diverse tree communities over time (Guerrero-Ramírez et al., 2017) and a

higher stability of diverse communities to climatic extremes (Schnabel et al., 2021). Specifically, we tested

the following hypotheses: (H1) C stocks and fluxes increase with increasing tree diversity. (H2) Positive

tree diversity effects on C stocks and fluxes increase with stand development despite repeated climate

extremes. Finally, we use SEMs to test how C stocks, fluxes, and their control through tree diversity are

connected through direct and indirect relationships above- and belowground using 12 explicit hypotheses

(Table S1).

Methods

Description of the study site

This study is based on data collected over 16 years in the Sardinilla planted forest. Established in 2001

(Scherer-Lorenzen et al., 2005) Sardinilla is the oldest tropical experiment of the International Network of

Tree Diversity Experiments (TreeDivNet; https://treedivnet.ugent.be/, Verheyen et al. (2016)). The site was

planted with six native tree species on a former pasture dominated by C_4 grasses without trees, namely

Luehea seemannii Triana & Planch (Ls), *Cordia alliodora* (Ruiz & Pavon) Oken (Ca), *Anacardium*

excelsum (Bert. & Balb. Ex Kunth) Skeels (Ae), *Hura crepitans* L. (Hc), *Tabebuia rosea* (Bertol.) DC. (Tr)

and *Cedrela odorata* L. (Co). Species were chosen based on their relative growth rates in natural forests of

the region, always combining fast (Ls, Ca), intermediate (Ae, Hc), and slow (Tr, Co) growing species in

mixtures to promote divergence in traits and shade tolerances (Scherer-Lorenzen et al., 2005). A total of 24

plots ranging from 0.2025 to 0.2304 ha (approximately 45 × 45 m) were established featuring 12

monocultures (2 plots per species), six three-species mixtures with each species present in two plots, and

six plots with all tree species. Diversity treatments were randomly allocated to plots. Trees were planted at

a constant density of 3 × 3 m following standard reforestation practices in the region. Due to high mortality

experienced by Ca in the two years after planting, only 22 plots were maintained over the 16 years of the

experiment. This paper thus considers the effect of three diversity levels, grouped as 1, 2, 3, and 5 species.

Elevation across the site ranges from a ridge at 79 m ASL to low areas at 67 m ASL (Healy et al., 2008)

resulting in a gradient of soil types ranging from Vertic Luvisol on the ridge to Gleyic Luvisol in the low

part of the plantation (Oelmann et al., 2010). The average pH of the top 10 cm of the soils was 4.8 in both

2001 and 2011 (Moore et al., 2018). An average clay content of 65%, a high cation exchange capacity and

base saturation and the underlying carbonate-rich parent material contribute to a high nutrient availability

(Oelmann et al., 2010). Further details on the Sardinilla tree diversity experiment can be found in Scherer-

Lorenzen et al. (2005) and Potvin and Dutilleul (2009).

We examined three periods: an early (p1), a mid (p2), and a late period (p3) of plantation development (Fig.

S1). These periods were characterized by repeated climate extremes, with the mid-period featuring an

extremely wet year (2010) and the late period a severe El Niño-driven hotter drought (2015) triggering

growth reductions and elevated tree mortality (Browne et al., 2021; Detto et al., 2018; Hutchison et al.,

2018; Schnabel et al., 2019). Subsequently, in November 2016, the experiment was hit by Hurricane Otto,

a tropical storm that formed off the coast of Panama in the Caribbean Sea inducing stem breakages in the

experiment. Climatic conditions at the Sardinilla experiment were characterized in terms of annual mean

temperature, precipitation sum, and drought index (Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index

(SPEI; Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010)), with all climate variables illustrating the climate extremes described

above in terms of temperature and precipitation extremes and drought conditions (Fig. S2).

Data collection

We measured ten compartments of the forest C cycle, namely aboveground tree C (AGC), tree coarse root

C (CRC), coarse woody debris C (CWDC), C in herbaceous biomass (herbaceousC), leaf litter C production

(litterC), soil organic C (SOC), leaf litter decomposition, root decomposition, soil microbial biomass C

(C_{mic}), and soil respiration. In addition, we included canopy opening as a co-variable, with potentially

important influences on C stocks and fluxes. Each variable was measured during three periods of plantation

development: an early (2001 for SOC and 2005, 2006 & 2007 for the other variables), a mid (2011, 2012

& 2013), and a late period (2016 & 2017) (see Fig. S1 for a timeline). Three variables were only measured

in some periods: C_{mic} in the mid (2013) and late (2017), root decomposition in the mid (2012), and soil

respiration in the late (2017) period. If not stated otherwise, the sample size for all variables was n = 22

plots. We aggregated variables to periods in our analysis as not all variables were measured in all years. To

scale individual tree measurements up to community measures, we used diameter and height inventories of

all trees in the plantation conducted at the end of each growing season (December-January) in 2005, 2012,

and 2016. The measurement of individual variables is described briefly below, with details provided in the

Supplementary Methods.

Aboveground tree carbon

Aboveground tree biomass (AGB) estimates were based on species- and diversity-specific allometric

equations developed after harvesting and measuring 150 and 167 trees in the experiment in 2005 and 2017.

AGB was calculated as the sum of trunk and branch biomass (excluding leaves to focus on the more

permanent C-components of the trees). Allometric models are provided in Supplementary Methods. The

best-fitting models were then combined with annual diameter and height inventories of all trees in the

experiment conducted at the end of each growing season (December-January) to estimate the AGB of each

tree in each period. Allometric models calibrated in 2017 were used for the mid and late period. AGB was

converted to aboveground tree C (AGC) using species-specific trunk C concentrations (Elias and Potvin,

2003).

Coarse root carbon

To estimate coarse root C (CRC) we relied on root:shoot ratios based on two different root excavation

campaigns in the experiment. For CRC in the early period, we relied on root:shoot ratios developed in 2004

from excavating of three-year-old trees, where ratios were obtained for Ls, Co, and Hc, and mean values

were used for Ae and Tr (Coll et al., 2008). For CRC values in the mid and late period, we used species-

specific root:shoot ratios developed in 2017 (Guillemot et al., 2020). The species-specific root:shoot ratios

were then multiplied with AGC to obtain CRC estimates of all trees in the experiment.

Coarse woody debris carbon

All visible branches and stems fallen on the ground were collected annually in each plot and weighted to

obtain a measure of coarse woody debris (CWD) biomass. CWD biomass was converted to C (hereafter

CWDC) using the species-specific trunk C concentration detailed above.

Herbaceous carbon

Herbaceous vegetation was cut, dried, and weighed in four quadrats (0.5 m^2) per plot (Potvin et al., 2011).

C concentration was determined using an elemental analyser, and herbaceous biomass was converted to

herbaceous C using the average C concentration (42.72%) of legumes and grasses/non-leguminous herbs.

Leaf litter carbon

Leaf litter was collected bi-weekly in 3–6 litter traps of 1 m^2, with traps positioned 1 m away from a tree of each species present in each plot, see Scherer-Lorenzen et al. (2005). Leaf litter production was calculated by dividing total dry biomass from each trap by the number of days between two litter collection dates to determine the rate of litter fall per day per m^2. Litter biomass production was converted to litter C production (hereafter 'litter C') using plot- and species-specific carbon concentrations from dry season litter (Scherer-Lorenzen et al., 2007).

Soil organic carbon

Four soil cores were collected to a depth of 10 cm from each plot during plantation establishment (2001) and in the mid and late periods, dried, and analysed for bulk density, SOC concentration (%), and $\delta^{13}C$ values. Litter was removed before sampling. SOC (kg m^{-2}) stock was calculated from bulk density and C concentration. We examined not only SOC but also its C_3- and C_4-derived fractions since the latter is associated with the C_4 grasses within the herbaceous vegetation and in the pasture that existed prior to the plantation establishment, while the former is associated with the C_3 inputs via litter of the trees and C_3 herbaceous plants (Moore et al., 2018). Assuming a C_3 plant $\delta^{13}C$ input of -28 ‰ and a residual C_4 plant $\delta^{13}C$ of -13 ‰, estimates of the percentage and mass of C_3-plant derived SOC (SOC$_3$) and C_4-plant derived SOC (SOC$_4$) were made (Moore et al., 2018), where SOC$_3$ and SOC$_4$ are percentages of total SOC that add up to one hundred percent. This approach allowed us to determine the temporal changes in SOC derived predominately from trees (SOC$_3$) and C_4 grasses in the former pasture (SOC$_4$).

Leaf litter decomposition

Leaf litter decomposition, hereafter litter decomposition, was measured using nylon bags filled with dry litter from litter traps. For species mixtures, equal proportions of litter from each species were used, see Scherer-Lorenzen et al. (2007). Litter decomposition was measured in a subset of five monocultures (one

plot for each species), three three-species mixtures, and three five-species mixtures. Mass loss was

determined by drying and weighing the remaining litter and the percent mass remaining was recorded.

Root decomposition

Root decomposition was measured using the root material of the five tree species using nylon bags filled

with dry roots of 4th and 5th orders (Guerrero-Ramírez et al., 2016). Decomposition bags were installed in

the ten monocultures and three five-species mixtures using equal proportions of roots from each species in

mixtures. Mass loss was determined by washing, drying and weighing the roots and the percent mass

remaining was recorded.

Soil microbial biomass

C_{mic} was measured as substrate-induced respiration, that is, the respiratory response of microorganisms to

glucose addition (Anderson and Domsch, 1978). C_{mic} was calculated according to Beck et al. (1997), see

Cesarz et al. (2022).

Soil respiration

Total soil respiration (i.e. autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration) was measured with a portable infrared

gas analyser equipped with a soil respiration chamber at six to eight randomly chosen locations per plot.

Changes in CO_2 concentration over time were recorded when pressing the chamber gently on the forest

floor.

Canopy opening

Canopy opening (i.e. canopy gap fraction in %) was measured using four hemispheric photos per plot when

trees were fully leaved-out. Photos were analysed by the Gap Light Analyser (GLA) program (Frazer et al.,

1999), see Sapijanskas et al. (2014). Canopy opening can be considered a measure of canopy space-filling,

which may mediate tree diversity effects on C stocks and fluxes (see Table S1).

Data Analysis

We expressed the stock and flux variables in a common unit of 1 m^2 to avoid extrapolating variables that

were measured only in small areas. We considered AGC, CRC, SOC and C_{mic} as stock variables. AGC and

CRC (kg) of individual trees were summed per plot and then expressed at the scale of one m^2 to ensure

comparability of all measurements and to account for slight variations in plot size. For SOC (initially

measured as kg m^{-2}), the data were averaged at the plot level for each year. We also calculated changes in

stocks between the three observation periods as:

$$\Delta stock = \frac{(stock_{t+1} - stock_t)}{time}$$ (1)

where *stock* is either AGC, CRC or SOC and its fractions SOC_3 and SOC_4 in period *t* and *time* is the number

of years and months between two measurements (see Fig. S1 for a timeline) resulting in Δstock estimates

in kg C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$. We considered CWDC, herbaceousC, litterC, litter decomposition, root decomposition,

and soil respiration as annual flux variables. CWDC produced in one year was found to mostly decompose

until the end of the wet season in each year of our observation period. Similarly, herbaceousC regrew each

year and dead herbaceous material decomposed completely within a year. We therefore considered annual

measurements of CWDC and herbaceousC in our tropical forest system as annual fluxes (kg C m^{-2} $year^{-1}$)

rather than as stocks, as this attribution more closely reflected the reality in the examined tropical forest

compared to calculating changes in these variables between several years. The C flux variables were

analysed as follows. CWDC was measured at plot level and down-scaled to 1 m^2 accounting for plot size.

HerbaceousC was averaged at the plot level for each year. LitterC (kg C m^2 day^{-1}) was averaged per plot

and across the different collection dates and then scaled to an annual flux (kg C m^2 $year^{-1}$). The rate of leaf

litter and root decomposition (k) per plot and year was calculated based on the percent mass remaining and

the days of decomposition (see Supplementary Methods) using a single-pool exponential decomposition

model following Adair et al. (2010); decomposition data was not analysed jointly with other variables as

decomposition was not measured for all plots. Microbial biomass (μg C_{mic} g^{-1} dry weight soil) and soil

respiration (μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) were calculated as average values across measurement locations per plot. Canopy

opening was averaged across the different samples within one plot and expressed in %.

Multivariate Analyses of Variance

A snapshot of the compartments of the forest C cycle after 16 years of growth (2016–2017) was obtained

by Multivariate Analyses of Variance (MANOVA) testing the effect of tree diversity for different

compartments expected to be correlated. Two MANOVAs examined the tree biomass related variables

(AGC, CRC and CWDC) and the soil related ones (SOC, SOC_4 and SOC_3). A third MANOVA analysed

the effect of tree diversity on canopy opening and litterC considering that both variables might be correlated.

The fourth MANOVA considered soil microbial biomass and soil respiration. The analyses were performed

using Proc GLM of SAS version 9.4.

Understanding the build-up of the diversity effects across the examined periods proceeded using mixed-

effects Analyses of Variance (mixed-effects ANOVAs). As we were interested in temporal dynamics, we

focused on annual C fluxes and changes in stocks rather than on stocks per se to ensure a better

comparability of the examined variables and to avoid legacy effects present in stock variables (see e.g.

Chen et al. (2023)). The mixed-effects ANOVAs were performed for each Δstock and flux variable at the

plot level, according to the following model:

$R = \beta0 + \beta1 \times \text{diversity} + \beta2 \times \text{time} + \beta3 \times (\text{diversity} \times \text{time}) + b_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$ (2)

where R is the respective response variable and *diversity* had four levels corresponding to the number of

species planted per plot (1, 2, 3, and 5), and *time* had three levels (early, mid, and late period). *β0, β1* and

β3 are the fixed effect coefficients, b_i is the random effect for experimental plot accounting for repeated

measurements and ε_{ij} the error term. The model assumes the random effect b_i to be normally distributed

with mean and variance of $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Our mixed-effects ANOVAs allow testing for the presence of a time

by diversity effect, namely a differential build-up of C stocks and fluxes through time in response to tree

diversity. ANOVAs were used to test for diversity effects on root decomposition and soil respiration which

were only measured once. Extreme values and model assumptions, including normality and

heteroscedasticity, were checked visually and with Shapiro-Wilk test (see Supplementary Analysis 1). We

decided to only remove two CWDC data points, as in all other cases, a plausible biological explanation

existed, and, where necessary, we log-transformed data prior to model fitting to normalize residuals. Mixed-

effects ANOVAs were fit in R version 4.3.0 with the packages lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) and lmerTest

(Kuznetsova et al., 2017). Least-squares means were estimated with the emmeans package (Lenth, 2020).

Structural equation models

To understand the mechanisms underlying tree diversity's control on C dynamics across time, we used

structural equation models (SEMs). We developed a hypothesis-driven conceptual model based on *a priori*

knowledge of mechanisms that may drive and relate C stocks and fluxes in forest ecosystems (Fig. S3;

Table S1). This approach enabled us to test the direct and indirect relationships between C stocks, fluxes,

and tree diversity. Indirect relationships are those that are mediated by other variables. We tested whether

tree diversity affected C stocks and fluxes indirectly via diversity-induced decreases in canopy opening due

to enhanced canopy space-filling or increases in tree growth through examining relationships between tree

species richness, canopy opening, and tree growth (expressed as ΔAGC). We subsequently expected canopy

opening and tree growth to influence herbaceousC, litterC and CWDC in that (1) decreased canopy opening

at high diversity would correlate negatively with herbaceousC but positively with litterC while (2) enhanced

tree growth at high diversity would correlate positively with litterC and CWDC. We did not include direct

pathways between tree diversity and these variables as we expected tree diversity effects to be

predominately mediated by canopy opening or tree growth. We subsequently expected herbaceousC,

litterC, and CWDC to be the main aboveground C inputs to the soil, hypothesizing that they would

positively influence and correlate with ΔSOC. Belowground, we included pathways between tree species

richness and ΔCRC and between ΔCRC and ΔSOC. As we were interested in tree diversity effects, we

focused on SOC_3, the tree-derived fraction of SOC, but also tested the same SEMs for SOC (sum of SOC_3

and SOC_4). Moreover, as we assumed canopy opening and ΔAGC, and ΔCRC and ΔAGC to be correlated,

we included partial correlations between these variables. Finally, we tested for potential direct effects of

tree diversity on ΔSOC not mediated by the tested relationships. To examine temporal trends, we fit separate

SEMs per period. As information on ΔSOC, a crucial variable for our SEMs, was only available for the mid

and late periods (see Fig. S1), we fit SEMs only for these two periods.

All SEMs focussed on C fluxes and changes in stocks to ensure a better comparability between variables.

Moreover, we only included variables available in kg C m^2 $year^{-1}$, except for canopy opening. We used

piecewise SEMs (Lefcheck, 2016) to test the relative importance of and support for these hypothesized

pathways. Global model fit was assessed via Fisher's C statistic ($P>0.05$). We assessed the independence

of variables and included partial, non-directional correlations to improve model fit based on tests of directed

separations ($P<0.05$ for violation of independence claims). For each SEM we calculated standardized path

coefficients, scaled by the standard deviations of the variables, which allowed us to compare the strength

of paths within and among models (Lefcheck et al., 2018). Individual pathways were fit as linear models

considering the number of species planted per plot (1, 2, 3, and 5) as continuous and not as categorical

variable as in the mixed-effects ANOVAs. SEMs were fit with the package piecewiseSEM (Lefcheck,

2016) and linear mixed-effects models with the packages lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) and lmerTest

(Kuznetsova et al., 2017) in R version 4.3.0.

Results

C stocks and fluxes after 16 years of tree growth: a snapshot in time

Over a period of 16 years, the experimental tree plantation accumulated an average of 35.9 ± 2.7 Mg C ha^{-1} in the trees (AGC + CRC), while SOC decreased on average by 11.2 ± 1.1 Mg C ha^{-1}, resulting in a net gain of 24.7 ± 2.9 Mg C ha^{-1} or 90.7 ± 10.6 Mg CO$_{2eq}$ ha^{-1}. MANOVA unveiled a significant effect of tree diversity on the C compartments directly related to trees: AGC, CRC and CWDC (Table 1, Fig. 1). The diversity effect was mainly driven by AGC (Fig. 1) with both CRC and CWDC being significantly correlated to AGC (0.708, p=0.0007 and 0.624, p=0.004, respectively). In 2017, according to Tukey Studentized range test, tree AGC in the 5-species plot, was, with 35.7 ± 1.8 Mg C ha^{-1}, significantly higher than in monocultures (22.8 ± 3.4 Mg C ha^{-1}), a 57% increase (Fig. 1). The MANOVA computed with the canopy-related variables (canopy opening and litterC) also showed a significant effect of diversity (Table 1) with a significant negative correlation between the two variables (-0.741, p=0.0004). This diversity effect was predominantly driven by litterC that was 64% higher in 5-species mixtures than in monocultures. At 1.1–1.4 Mg C ha^{-1} (Fig. 1; Fig. S4) herbaceousC played a role similar to litterC in the system, albeit it did not respond significantly to diversity (Table 2). None of the two MANOVAs performed on soil-related C compartments detected a significant effect of tree diversity (Table 1).

Fig. 1 C stocks and fluxes after 16 years of tree growth. Shown are means and standard errors of the C stocks in Mg
C ha^{-1} (brown boxes) and fluxes in Mg C ha^{-1} year^{-1} (blue arrows); numbers printed in bold. Variables in other units,
including canopy opening in %, litter decomposition rate k year^{-1}, soil respiration given in μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} and microbial
biomass given in μg C_{mic} g soil dw^{-1} are not printed in bold to allow for separation. The size of the boxes and arrows
in the 5-species mixture are scaled relative to the monoculture, with diversity-induced increases or decreases in C
stocks and fluxes indicated by larger or smaller boxes/arrows, respectively. An overview of all analysed mixtures (2-
, 3- and 5-species mixtures) is shown in Fig. S4. The sum of SOC_3 and SOC_4 gives SOC. AGC: aboveground tree C,
CRC: coarse root C, CWDC: coarse woody debris C, SOC_4: C_4 derived soil organic C (SOC), SOC_3: C_3 derived SOC.

Table 1 MANOVAs for C stocks and fluxes after 16 years of growth. The main effect tested was tree diversity with 4
different levels (1, 2, 3 and 5 species per plot). Abbreviations are given in Fig. 1. Significant effects (p <0.05) printed
in bold.

Compartments	Roy's Greatest Root	F $_{3,18}$	p-value
AGC/CRC/CWDC	0.8975	5.39	**0.0080**
LitterC/Canopy opening	0.5974	3.58	**0.0343**

SOC/SOC$_4$/SOC$_3$	0.2059	1.24	0.3260
Microbial biomass/Soil respiration	0.1722	1.03	0.4015

Diversity effects on changes in C stocks and fluxes across time

With a least-squares mean of 0.140 kg C m^2 yr^{-1}, tree ΔAGC increment was significantly slower in

monocultures than in most mixtures, which had increments of 0.140, 0.232, and 0.226 kg C m^2 yr^{-1} in 2-, 3-

, and 5-species mixtures, respectively (Table 2, Fig. 2). The positive tree diversity effect on ΔAGC tended

to strengthen over time in the 5-species mixtures (p = 0.16 for the time × diversity interaction). CWDC was

significantly lower in monocultures (least-squares mean of 0.010 kg C m^2 yr^{-1}) than in all mixtures, with

0.012, 0.024, and 0.021 kg C m^2 yr^{-1}, for 2-, 3- and 5-species mixtures, respectively. In contrast, ΔCRC

increment did not significantly differ between monocultures and mixtures (Table 2, Fig. 2).

Fig. 2 Mean changes in aboveground tree C (ΔAGC), coarse root C (ΔCRC) and coarse woody debris C (CWDC)
over time and with diversity. The analyses considered three time-intervals, early (p1), mid (p2), and late period (p3).
Coloured bars show means and error bars standard errors of the mean for the examined variables calculated as detailed
in Eq. 1 and Fig. S1.

Table 2 Mixed-effects ANOVAs on changes in C stocks and fluxes over time. The analyses considered up to three time-intervals, early, mid, and late period across a total of 16 years, see the timeline in Fig. S1 for details. Abbreviations are as in Figure 1. Entries in the table are F and p values, with p <0.05 *, p< 0.01 **, p<0.001 ***, and ns = not significant. Significant effects printed in bold. Litter decomposition rate was log-transformed prior to model fitting to normalize residuals. For root decomposition rate and soil respiration, ANOVA results are shown.

C stock/flux	Diversity	Time	Time × Diversity
ΔAGC	**5.07***	**11.25 *****	1.67 ns
ΔCRC	1.11 ns	2.74 ns	0.72 ns
CWDC	**3.30 ***	0.23 ns	2.01 ns
HerbaceousC	0.98 ns	**47.10 *****	0.37 ns
LitterC	0.81 ns	**100.74 *****	**6.25 *****
ΔSOC$_3$	0.92 ns	**29.10 *****	1.61 ns
ΔSOC$_4$	0.67 ns	**44.57 *****	**5.35 ****
ΔSOC	0.62 ns	0.01 ns	2.55 ns
Litter decomposition	0.20 ns	**4.39 ***	1.17 ns
Root decomposition	0.04 ns	-	-
Microbial biomass	0.81 ns	**46.32 *****	0.90 ns
Soil respiration	0.45 ns	-	-
Canopy opening	2.06 ns	**31.73 *****	1.29 ns

We further compared the strongest aboveground C fluxes to the soil (herbaceousC and litterC, which were an order of magnitude higher than CWDC; Fig. 1) with observed changes in ΔSOC (Fig. 3). Across the plantation, herbaceousC did not vary with diversity but decreased significantly with time, with 0.201, 0.105, and 0.125 kg C m^2 yr^{-1} for the early, mid, and late period, respectively (Table 2, Fig. 3). Conversely, litterC increased significantly over time, with diversity effects depending on the period of plantation development (Table 2): In the early period, litterC was lowest and similar across diversity levels, while in the mid and late period litterC was lowest in monocultures with 0.149 and 0.141 kg C m^2 yr^{-1} and highest in 5-species mixtures, with 0.225 and 0.232 kg C m^2 yr^{-1} (Table 2, Fig. 3).

The predominately tree-derived ΔSOC_3 showed a significant change over time but not with diversity (Table

2): in the mid period, all plots – irrespective of their diversity – showed a net increase in ΔSOC_3 while most

plots did not show any SOC_3 loss or increment in the late period (Fig. 3). A notable exception are the 5-

species mixtures, which tended to lose SOC_3 in the late period (Fig. 3). The indication of SOC_3 increment

in the mid period coincided with the slowest litter decomposition in this period (Fig. 4). Overall, litter

decomposition rates changed significantly over time (being lowest in the mid period) but not with diversity

(Table 2; Fig. 4); still, decomposition rates in 5-species mixtures tended to be higher in the late period than

in the early and mid period (Fig. 4). High variations in litter decomposition rates in monocultures resulted

from the fast decomposition of Hc litter in all periods (Fig. S5). Similarly to litter decomposition, the root

decomposition rate did not change with diversity (Table 2, Fig. 4; note that root decomposition was only

measured in the mid period).

ΔSOC_4, which is associated mainly with the C_4 grasses that existed before the plantation establishment,

varied significantly in response to both time and the time by diversity interaction (Table 2). Between 2001

and 2011 the reduction in C_4 in the upper 0–10 cm of soil was around twice as fast as between 2011 and

2017 with least-square means of -0.13 kg C m^2 yr^{-1} and -0.055 kg C m^2 yr^{-1}, respectively (Fig. 3). The

significant time by diversity interaction was driven by the three-species mixtures where the decrease in

ΔSOC_4 was strongest in the mid period and disappeared in the late period while the other treatments

experienced continued loss in ΔSOC_4 in the late period (Fig. 3). Overall, reductions in predominately

pasture-derived SOC_4 were larger than any observed gains in tree-derived SOC_3 resulting in a net negative

SOC-balance of reforestation (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3 Mean changes of dominant aboveground C fluxes to the soil with time and diversity and corresponding observed changes in soil organic carbon (ΔSOC). Coloured bars show means and error bars standard errors of the mean of herbaceous C and leaf litter C and changes in C_3 and C_4-derived SOC (SOC_3 and SOC_4). The analyses considered two time-intervals, mid (p2), and late period (p3), as SOC data was only measured in these two periods (Fig. S1). The examined SOC changes were calculated as detailed in Eq. 1 and Fig. S1.

Fig. 4 Mean changes in leaf litter and root decomposition rates with time and diversity. Decomposition rates ($k \, yr^{-1}$) were calculated with a single-pool exponential decomposition model. Coloured points show means and error bars standard errors of the mean. The analyses considered three time-intervals, early (p1), mid (p2), and late period (p3).

Finally, none of the remaining variables, including microbial biomass, soil respiration and canopy opening responded significantly to diversity (Table 2), even though canopy opening tended to be lower in 5-species mixtures compared to monocultures (F = 2.06, p = 0.14), particularly in the mid- and late period. However, for soil microbial biomass and canopy opening, for which we had repeated measurements, we observed pronounced temporal changes (Table 2). Soil microbial biomass increased significantly from 315 to 453 µg C_{mic} g soil dw^{-1} from the mid to the late period. Not surprisingly, canopy opening, and thus light transmission, declined strongly with progressing stand development from a least-squares mean of 47.8% in the early to 21.1% in the mid period to than increase slightly again to 27.2% in the late period.

 Effects of diversity on C stock-flux relationships

Using structural equation models, we explored the effect of tree diversity on linkages amongst C

stocks and fluxes above- and belowground (Fig. 5). In both periods of plantation development (mid and

late period), tree diversity significantly decreased canopy opening and increased ΔAGC with standardized

path coefficients of -0.41 and 0.42 in the mid period and -0.4 and 0.71 in the late period (Fig. 5). Tree

diversity effects on ΔAGC thus increased by ~70% from the mid to the late period. Canopy opening exerted

a significant positive effect on herbaceousC but decreased litterC with standardized path coefficient of 0.57

and -0.40 in the mid period and 0.52 and -0.43 in the late period. ΔAGC significantly increased both litterC

and CWDC with standardized path coefficients of 0.51 and 0.68 in the mid period and 0.53 and 0.62 in the

late period. Hence, we observed a consistent control of diversity on aboveground C stocks and fluxes and

their linkages across time. Aboveground, tree diversity led to a net increase of C fluxes to the soil through

its indirect effects on herbaceousC, litterC and CWDC of -0.23, 0.38, 0.29 and -0.21, 0.55, 0.44 respectively

for the mid- and late period (see Lefcheck, 2016 for calculation of indirect effects).

In contrast, we observed no significant linkages between aboveground and belowground C stocks

and fluxes and only one direct effect of diversity on ΔSOC_3 (Fig. 5). In the mid period we observed no

significant effects on ΔSOC_3 nor ΔCRC. In the late period, the only significant effect was a direct negative

effect of diversity on ΔSOC_3 with a standardized path coefficient of -0.61. ΔCRC did not significantly

respond to diversity nor did it significantly influence ΔSOC_3 in either period. SEMs for ΔSOC instead of

ΔSOC_3 yielded similar results, except for the disappearance of the significant diversity effect in the late

period and overall lower explained variation (R^2) in ΔSOC (Fig. S6). Overall, belowground changes in C

stocks were largely disconnected from the diversity-controlled C stock and flux network observed

aboveground.

Fig. 5 Direct and indirect effects of tree diversity on C stocks and fluxes. The SEMs were fit for the mid period (panel A, P2) and the late period (panel B, P3) and partition potential tree diversity effects on C stocks and fluxes into effects mediated via canopy space filling (expressed as canopy opening) and via aboveground tree C changes (expressed as ΔAGC), which are expected to influence herbaceous C, leaf litter C and coarse woody debris C (CWDC). These latter C fluxes are, in turn, hypothesized to influence changes in predominately tree-derived soil organic C (ΔSOC_3). All variables were calculated as detailed in Eq. 1 and Fig. S1. The SEMs fit the data well (Fisher's $C = 23.09$, df $= 26$, $P = 0.63$, $n = 22$ plots for (A); Fisher's $C = 21.70$, df $= 24$, $P = 0.60$, $n = 22$ plots for (B)). Examined variables are shown as boxes and relationships as directional arrows with significant positive effects in blue, significant negative effects in red, and nonsignificant effects in dashed gray. The hypothesis-driven conceptual model is shown in Fig. S3. For each significant relationship, standardized path coefficients are shown next to each path with path-width scaled according to coefficient size and asterisks indicating the significance level (°$P < 0.10$, *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, and ***$P < 0.001$). The variation explained in each variable (R^2) is shown below the variable name. The green and brown font indicates above- and belowground variables.

Discussion

As the oldest tropical site of TreeDivNet, the Sardinilla experiment provides a unique opportunity to evaluate temporal changes in C stocks and fluxes in the neotropics. Consistent with our hypothesis (H1), we observed that tree diversity can increase C stocks and fluxes. Specifically, we noted a remarkable increase in AGC stocks driven by tree diversity, with an average 57% increase in AGC in five-species mixtures compared to monocultures after 16 years (35.7 ± 1.8 vs 22.8 ± 3.4 Mg C ha^{-1}; Fig. 1). This observed effect aligns with a recent meta-analysis demonstrating that mixed-species plots stored more carbon in aboveground biomass than monoculture ones (Warner et al., 2023). Comparing our results with chronosequences of secondary forests in Panama and the wider neotropics, we found that our C stock

estimates after 16 years (35.8 and 22.6 Mg C ha^{-1} stored respectively in trees and SOC (0–10 cm)) are

comparable. For instance, Neumann-Cosel et al. (2011), working like us in the Panama Canal watershed,

reported aboveground ranges of 20.55 Mg C ha^{-1} to 56.45 Mg C ha^{-1} with SOC in the first 0-10 cm adding

27.5±3.1 Mg C ha^{-1}. Similarly, Gardon et al. (2020) estimated that actively restored secondary forests in

Brazil accumulated approximately 100 Mg biomass ha^{-1} after 16 years, while Rozendaal and Chazdon

(2015) reported 104±3.7 Mg biomass ha^{-1} in 10–24-year-old secondary forests in Costa Rica, estimates

roughly equivalent to 50 Mg C ha^{-1}, and akin to the AGC of our most productive mixture plot. Overall,

these findings contribute to our understanding of the build-up of C stocks in tropical forest restoration and

emphasize the importance of considering tree diversity in such initiatives.

Forest stability

The long-term C balance of reforestation in the face of progressing climate change may depend more on

forest stability and thus C residence time within the forest than on average C accumulation rates. Here, we

understand stability as a forest's ability to maintain functioning over time despite repeated perturbations,

such as climate extremes (Schnabel et al., 2021), which is broadly consistent with the insurance hypothesis

(Yachi and Loreau, 1999). In the Sardinilla experiment, observed climate extremes left the ecosystem little

time to recover between perturbations. The extreme wet spell of 2010 was followed in 2015 by a severe

drought (Fig. S2; see also Browne et al., 2021; Detto et al., 2018), and a hurricane in 2016. As Bhaskar et

al. (2018) pointed out, it is important to understand forest resilience in the context of constant climatic

disturbances. While our study design does not allow us to disentangle the effects of climate extremes from

those of stand development on tree diversity effects, it does allow us to test whether positive tree diversity

effects persist or even strengthen over time despite repeated climate extremes. Consistent with our

hypothesis (H2), and despite these repeated extremes, we uncovered significant positive effects of tree

diversity on all the aboveground C stocks and fluxes (Fig. 5). Remarkably, the positive tree diversity effect

not only persisted but tended to strengthen over time, at least for ΔAGC. Our previous findings (Hutchison

et al., 2018; Schnabel et al., 2019) indicated that tree diversity increased the stability of tree productivity

by enhancing growth, buffering temporal variations in growth, and reducing mortality vis-à-vis

monocultures. Although two- and three-species mixtures were the most productive in the early period of

the Sardinilla experiment (e.g. Healy et al., 2008; Scherer-Lorenzen et al., 2007), five-species mixtures

outperformed the less diverse mixtures in later years, as already observed in previous reports (Guillemot et

al., 2020; Schnabel et al., 2019). Here, we moved beyond these earlier studies and similar findings in other

ecosystems (e.g. Jucker et al., 2014; Schnabel et al., 2021), which focussed on single ecosystem functions,

and leveraged a unique dataset on multiple C stocks and fluxes and their interrelationships. This approach

allowed us to adopt an integrated ecosystem perspective on C stability in mixed compared to monospecific

planted forests. C stability is particularly important considering that tree diversity increased C stocks and

fluxes only aboveground, where C is particularly susceptible to climate-driven forest disturbances.

Relationships between carbon stocks and fluxes

Using structural equation modelling we showed that the tree diversity directly or indirectly effected all the

aboveground C stocks and fluxes that we measured (Fig. 5), suggesting that the aboveground components

of the C cycle are linked. Canopy opening, a proxy for canopy space filling, played a central role in our

SEMs with denser foliage at high diversity enhancing litterC but reducing herbaceousC. Tree architecture

apparently plays a crucial role in explaining this effect. After 16 years of growth, trees growing in mixture

allocated a higher proportion of their biomass to branches compared to the same species growing in

monocultures (Guillemot et al., 2020), which is consistent with higher canopy space filling reported in other

tree diversity experiments (Kunz et al., 2019; Williams et al., 2017). Similarly, tree productivity (captured

here as ΔAGC) increased with tree diversity, as has been previously reported from our (Guillemot et al.,

2020; Schnabel et al., 2019) and other tree diversity experiments (Guerrero-Ramírez et al., 2017). Enhanced

tree productivity at high diversity, in turn, enhanced both litterC and CWDC and thus C fluxes to the soil.

Likely drivers of these observed positive diversity effects aboveground are complementary species

interactions in mixtures, such as higher community-level light capture or complementary water and nutrient

uptake from different soil layers reported in Sardinilla (Oelmann et al., 2010; Sapijanskas et al., 2014;

Schwendenmann et al., 2015; Zeugin et al., 2010). These positive diversity effects may be particularly

pronounced in mixtures of the Sardinilla experiment that feature species with distinctly different growth

rates and shade tolerances, which should promote crown complementarity and thus light capture and use

efficiency (Forrester, 2017; Potvin et al., 2011; Schnabel et al., 2019). Litter manipulation experiments in

tropical forests support the idea of significant leaf litter-driven carbon and nutrient fluxes to the soil (Cusack

et al., 2018; Sayer et al., 2024; Wood et al., 2009). After ten years of manipulation, soil C was significantly

higher in the 0–5 cm layer in litter addition plots (Cusack et al., 2018). However, litter addition did not

strongly impact tree growth (Sayer et al., 2024; Wood et al., 2009). The authors explained their results by

the fast carbon cycling and high C input into the soil in tropical forests. Our SEMs did not confirm such

positive link between litterC and the predominately tree-derived fraction of SOC (SOC_3) nor further

linkages of SOC_3 with herbaceousC, CWDC or CRC (Fig. 5). However, we observed a direct negative

effect of diversity on SOC_3 in the late period in which 5-species mixtures tended to loose SOC_3 (Fig. 3),

which may be related to the comparably fast litter decomposition in this treatment during that period (Fig.

4). Overall, this means that the surplus of C fluxes to the soil in the high-diversity mixtures was largely not

incorporated into the soil matrix, and thus, the multiple direct and indirect relationships of aboveground C

stocks and fluxes largely did not extend belowground.

Soil organic carbon

Overall, the Sardinilla planted forest gained significant C aboveground, but we observed a loss of SOC in

the 0–10 cm layer associated with a reduction in both bulk density and SOC concentration (Table S2). This

loss occurred regardless of tree species richness levels, indicating that tree diversity may only sometimes

exert a significant effect on SOC, as noted also in another temperate tree diversity experiment (Martin-

Guay et al., 2022). Because the planted forest was established on an active pasture (Scherer-Lorenzen et

al., 2005), we suggest that the removal of cows likely minimized compaction which resulted in decreased

bulk density (Blanco Sepúlveda and Nieuwenhuyse, 2011). Several studies have identified the

misrepresentation of changes in SOC mass associated with sampling to a fixed depth where there have been

significant changes in bulk density to that depth (e.g. von Haden et al., 2020). Although variable among the

treatments and the two sampling periods, comparison of relative changes in SOC concentration and bulk

density suggest that about half of the apparent loss in SOC mass is associated with a loss of SOC

concentration and the other half with a decrease in bulk density (Table S2) and thus the loss of SOC mass

in the plantation may be an overestimate (Fig. 3). However, SOC concentrations in the $10 - 50$ cm depth in

the pasture prior to the establishment of the Sardinilla experiment ranged from 1 to 2% and a δ^{13}C value

between -17 and -21‰, suggesting a strong proportion of SOC_4, and thus there may be further losses in

SOC from the subsoil (Moore et al., 2018), as also suggested by (Quartucci et al., 2023). The literature fails

to reach a consensus about how reforestation affects SOC (Laganière et al., 2010), with climatic zone,

species planted, clay content, past land use, and soil parent material all affecting the SOC balance of forest

regrowth (Araujo et al., 2017; Wallwork et al., 2022). For example, in a nearby Panamanian site, SOC

stocks did not vary along a chronosequence of secondary forests (Neumann-Cosel et al., 2011) and in

Brazil's Atlantic forest, SOC stock (0–10 cm) of 5-year old re-growing forests was half that of the remnant

forest and similar to that of pastures (Zanini et al., 2021). Marín-Spiotta et al. (2009), who reported no

changes in SOC during secondary forest establishment in Puerto Rico, explained this by a loss of pasture-

derived SOC_4 which counterbalanced tree-derived gains in SOC_3. In a meta-analysis, Don et al. (2011)

highlighted substantial SOC gain (on average +17.5%) 28 years after land-use change from grassland to

secondary forest in the tropics. It is therefore possible that within the next decade a link between tree

diversity and SOC emerges in the Sardinilla planted forest, which highlights the need for long-term studies

in tree diversity experiments. We propose that Sardinilla's clay-rich Cambisols, Tropudalfs and Vertisols

(average clay content reaches 65% (Moore et al., 2018)) might have amplified compaction related to grazing

while the establishment of trees likely loosened up the soil (Table S2) with follow-up effects like an increase

in mineralization and thus, increased C loss. The land-use change effect may thus have (partly) overruled

the effects of tree diversity and its C_3-derived C inputs to SOC stock. Given that high soil clay content is

common in the tropics (http://hydro.iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~sujan/research/gswp3/soil-texture-map.html;

Rasmussen et al., 2018) including soil C pool in the C assessments of forest restoration is likely to improve

overall C storage estimates (Quartucci et al., 2023).

Relevance for forest restoration

The importance of forest restoration for ecosystem C storage, a key ecosystem service for climate

regulation, has not only been discussed in the scientific literature but has also triggered international

commitment to reforestation. As we work on understanding how forest C stocks are being rebuilt through

time at the ecosystem level, it is important to remain realistic about the potential of forest restoration to

contribute towards mitigating climate change. Sequestering C is a slow process (Baldocchi and Penuelas,

2019): the average yearly net CO_2 uptake in Sardinilla was 5.67 Mg CO_{2eq} ha^{-1} yr^{-1} or 1.54 Mg C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}.

To illustrate, we estimated the emissions from a single one-way flight between Frankfurt and Panama City

to 62.7 Mg CO_{2eq} using the ICAO carbon calculator. Hence, this flight demands a flux equivalent to that

sequestered by ~11 ha in the Sardinilla planted forest in one year. Some have suggested that the enthusiasm

for nature-based solutions risks putting excessive pressure on land use. For example, Dooley et al. (2022)

estimated that countries' climate pledges for land-based carbon dioxide removal would demand 1.2 billion

ha of land, an area globally equal to that used to grow food. Our thorough ecosystem-level analysis of C

stocks and fluxes sheds some light on the challenges of using active reforestation projects to compensate

for emissions. We note that Griscom et al. (2017) used higher sequestration potentials of 2.8 to 4.7 Mg C

ha^{-1} yr^{-1} to estimate the potential of reforestation to act as a nature-based solution for climate mitigation.

Therefore, while nature-based solutions are undeniably important for C sequestration and other ecosystem

benefits, such as biodiversity and water regulation (Griscom et al., 2017; Seddon, 2022), co-benefits and

potential trade-offs should be carefully assessed (Schuldt et al., 2023). Mixed planted forests as a nature-

based solution may not only enhance carbon stocks and fluxes vis-à-vis monocultures, as we show here,

but also decrease the restored forests susceptibility to stress and disturbances and, thereby, increase C

permanence (Anderegg et al., 2020) while also providing higher levels of biodiversity and a broader range

of ecosystem services (Messier et al., 2021).

Acknowledgements

We thank all fieldworkers involved in the Sardinilla experiment over the past 16 years and Daniel Lesieur

for data management. For soil analyses, field and laboratory support was provided by Muriel Abraham and

Meaghan Murphy. FS acknowledges support by the International Research Training Group TreeDì funded

by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, grant 319936945/GRK2324). JG acknowledges support

by the French Agricultural Research Centre for International Development (Cirad, CRESI program). NE

acknowledges funding by the DFG (German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research, FZT118; and

Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Prize, Ei 862/29-1). TM was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering

Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the FQRNT-supported Centre for Climate and Global Change

Research at McGill University. NRGR and ITH acknowledge support from Fonds de recherche du Québec

nature et technologies, NSERC, Quebec Centre for Biodiversity Science, and the DFG (grant

316045089/GRK 2300). CP acknowledges support from NSERC, the Canada Research Chair Program, and

the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.

Author contributions

CP and FS conceived the goals and aims of the study and coordinate the Sardinilla experiment. FS, CP, JG,

KEB, SC, NE, and CW developed the methodology. CP, FS, JG, MB, SC, NE, TG, NRGR, ITH, CM, LM,

JM, TM, YO, MSL, LS, and AW collected and curated the data. FS and CP analysed the data. FS created

the figures. FS and CP wrote the original manuscript draft and all authors contributed to reviewing and

editing the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in Dryad at http://doi.org/tbd. Data will

be made openly available upon acceptance.

References

Adair, E.C., Hobbie, S.E., Hobbie, R.K., 2010. Single-pool exponential decomposition models: potential

pitfalls in their use in ecological studies. Ecology 91, 1225–1236. https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0430.1.

Anderegg, W.R.L., Trugman, A.T., Badgley, G., Anderson, C.M., Bartuska, A., Ciais, P. et al, 2020.

Climate-driven risks to the climate mitigation potential of forests. Science 368.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz7005.

Anderson, J., Domsch, K.H., 1978. A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial

biomass in soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 10, 215–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(78)90099-8.

Araujo, M.A., Zinn, Y.L., Lal, R., 2017. Soil parent material, texture and oxide contents have little effect

on soil organic carbon retention in tropical highlands. Geoderma 300, 1–10.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.04.006.

Baldocchi, D., Penuelas, J., 2019. The physics and ecology of mining carbon dioxide from the atmosphere

by ecosystems. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25, 1191–1197. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14559.

Barry, K.E., Mommer, L., van Ruijven, J., Wirth, C., Wright, A.J., Bai, Y. et al, 2019. The Future of

Complementarity: Disentangling Causes from Consequences. Trends Ecol. Evol. 34, 167–180.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.10.013.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J.

Stat. Softw. 67, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Bauhus, J., van der Meer, P., Kanninen, M. (Eds.), 2010. Ecosystem goods and services from plantation

forests. Earthscan, London, UK.

Beck, T., Joergensen, R.G., Kandeler, E., Makeschin, F., Nuss, E., Oberholzer, H.R. et al, 1997. An inter-

laboratory comparison of ten different ways of measuring soil microbial biomass C. Soil Biol. Biochem.

29, 1023–1032. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(97)00030-8.

Bhaskar, R., Arreola, F., Mora, F., Martinez-Yrizar, A., Martinez-Ramos, M., Balvanera, P., 2018.

Response diversity and resilience to extreme events in tropical dry secondary forests. For. Ecol. Manage.

426, 61–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.09.028.

Blanco Sepúlveda, R., Nieuwenhuyse, A., 2011. Influence of topographic and edaphic factors on

vulnerability to soil degradation due to cattle grazing in humid tropical mountains in northern Honduras.

Catena 86, 130–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2011.03.007.

Browne, L., Markesteijn, L., Engelbrecht, B.M.J., Jones, F.A., Lewis, O.T., Manzané-Pinzón, E. et al, 2021.

Increased mortality of tropical tree seedlings during the extreme 2015-16 El Niño. Glob. Chang. Biol.

27, 5043–5053. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15809.

CBD,   2022.   COP15:   Final   text   of   Kunming-Montreal   Global   Biodiversity   Framework.

https://www.cbd.int/article/cop15-final-text-kunming-montreal-gbf-221222.

Cesarz, S., Craven, D., Auge, H., Bruelheide, H., Castagneyrol, B., Gutknecht, J. et al, 2022. Tree diversity

effects on soil microbial biomass and respiration are context dependent across forest diversity

experiments. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 31, 872–885. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13461.

Chen, S., Wang, W., Xu, W., Wang, Y., Wan, H., Chen, D. et al, 2018. Plant diversity enhances productivity

and soil carbon storage. PNAS 115, 4027–4032. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1700298114.

Chen, X., Chen, H.Y.H., Chen, C., Ma, Z., Searle, E.B., Yu, Z. et al, 2020. Effects of plant diversity on soil

carbon in diverse ecosystems: a global meta-analysis. Biol. Rev. 95, 167–183.

https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12554.

Chen, X., Taylor, A.R., Reich, P.B., Hisano, M., Chen, H.Y.H., Chang, S.X., 2023. Tree diversity increases

decadal forest soil carbon and nitrogen accrual. Nature 618, 94–101. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-

023-05941-9.

Coll, L., Potvin, C., Messier, C., Delagrange, S., 2008. Root architecture and allocation patterns of eight

native tropical species with different successional status used in open-grown mixed plantations in

Panama. Trees 22, 585–596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-008-0219-6.

Cusack, D.F., Halterman, S.M., Tanner, E.V., Wright, S.J., Hockaday, W., Dietterich, L.H. et al, 2018.

Decadal-scale litter manipulation alters the biochemical and physical character of tropical forest soil

carbon. Soil Biol. Biochem. 124, 199–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.06.005.

Depauw, L., Lombaerde, E. de, Dhiedt, E., Blondeel, H., Abdala-Roberts, L., Auge, H. et al, 2024.

Enhancing Tree Performance Through Species Mixing: Review of a Quarter-Century of TreeDivNet

Experiments Reveals Research Gaps and Practical Insights. Curr. For. Rep., 1–20.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-023-00208-y.

Detto, M., Wright, S.J., Calderón, O., Muller-Landau, H.C., 2018. Resource acquisition and reproductive

strategies of tropical forest in response to the El Niño–Southern Oscillation. Nat. Commun. 9, 913.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03306-9.

Don, A., Schumacher, J., Freibauer, A., 2011. Impact of tropical land-use change on soil organic carbon

stocks - a meta-analysis. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17, 1658–1670. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

2486.2010.02336.x.

Dooley, K., Keith, H., Larson, A., Catacora-Vargas, G., Carton, W., Christiansen, K.L. et al, 2022. The

Land Gap Report 2022.

Elias, M., Potvin, C., 2003. Assessing inter- and intra-specific variation in trunk carbon concentration for

32 neotropical tree species. Can. J. For. Res. 33, 1039–1045. https://doi.org/10.1139/x03-018.

Forrester, D.I., 2017. Ecological and Physiological Processes in Mixed Versus Monospecific Stands, in:

Pretzsch, H., Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J. (Eds.), Mixed-species forests. Ecology and management.

Springer Nature, Berlin, Germany, pp. 73–115.

Frazer, G.W., Canham, C.D., Lertzman, K.P., 1999. Gap Light Analyzer (GLA), Version 2.0: Imaging

software to extract canopy structure and gap light transmission indices from true-colour fisheye

photographs, users manual and program documentation. Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British

Columbia, and the Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, New York.

Gardon, F.R., Santos, R.F.d., Rodrigues, R.R., 2020. Brazil's forest restoration, biomass and carbon stocks:

A   critical   review   of   the   knowledge   gaps.   For.   Ecol.   Manage.   462,   117972.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.117972.

Griscom, B.W., Adams, J., Ellis, P.W., Houghton, R.A., Lomax, G., Miteva, D.A. et al, 2017. Natural

climate solutions. PNAS 114, 11645–11650. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114.

Guerrero-Ramírez, N.R., Craven, D., Messier, C., Potvin, C., Turner, B.L., Handa, I.T., 2016. Root quality

and decomposition environment, but not tree species richness, drive root decomposition in tropical

forests. Plant Soil 404, 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2828-y.

Guerrero-Ramírez, N.R., Craven, D., Reich, P.B., Ewel, J.J., Isbell, F., Koricheva, J. et al, 2017. Diversity-

dependent temporal divergence of ecosystem functioning in experimental ecosystems. Nat. Ecol. Evol.

1, 1639–1642. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0325-1.

Guillemot, J., Kunz, M., Schnabel, F., Fichtner, A., Madsen, C.P., Gebauer, T. et al, 2020. Neighbourhood-

mediated shifts in tree biomass allocation drive overyielding in tropical species mixtures. New Phytol.

228, 1256–1268. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16722.

Handa, I.T., Aerts, R., Berendse, F., Berg, M.P., Bruder, A., Butenschoen, O. et al, 2014. Consequences of

biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across biomes. Nature 509, 218–221.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13247.

Healy, C., Gotelli, N.J., Potvin, C., 2008. Partitioning the effects of biodiversity and environmental

heterogeneity for productivity and mortality in a tropical tree plantation. J. Ecol. 96, 903–913.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01419.x.

Huang, Y., Ma, Y., Zhao, K., Niklaus, P.A., Schmid, B., He, J.-S., 2017. Positive effects of tree species

diversity on litterfall quantity and quality along a secondary successional chronosequence in a

subtropical forest. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 28–35. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtw115.

Hutchison, C., Gravel, D., Guichard, F., Potvin, C., 2018. Effect of diversity on growth, mortality, and loss

of resilience to extreme climate events in a tropical planted forest experiment. Sci. Rep. 8, 15443.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33670-x.

Isbell, F., Cowles, J., Dee, L.E., Loreau, M., Reich, P.B., Gonzalez, A. et al, 2018. Quantifying effects of

biodiversity on ecosystem functioning across times and places. Ecol. Lett. 21, 763–778.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12928.

Jucker, T., Bouriaud, O., Avacaritei, D., Coomes, D.A., 2014. Stabilizing effects of diversity on

aboveground wood production in forest ecosystems: linking patterns and processes. Ecol. Lett. 17,

1560–1569. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12382.

Jucker, T., Koricheva, J., Finér, L., Bouriaud, O., Iacopetti, G., Coomes, D.A., 2020. Good things take time

– diversity effects on tree growth shift from negative to positive during stand development in boreal

forests. J. Ecol. 108. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13464.

Kunert, N., Brändle, J., El-Madany, T.S., 2022. Carbon allocation and tree diversity: shifts in autotrophic

respiration in tree mixtures compared to monocultures. Biologia 77, 3385–3396.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11756-022-01141-4.

Kunert, N., El-Madany, T.S., Aparecido, L.M.T., Wolf, S., Potvin, C., 2019. Understanding the controls

over forest carbon use efficiency on small spatial scales: Effects of forest disturbance and tree diversity.

Agric. For. Meteorol. 269-270, 136–144.

Kunz, M., Fichtner, A., Härdtle, W., Raumonen, P., Bruelheide, H., Oheimb, G. von, 2019. Neighbour

species richness and local structural variability modulate aboveground allocation patterns and crown

morphology of individual trees. Ecol. Lett. 22, 2130–2140. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13400.

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B., 2017. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed

Effects Models. J. Stat. Softw. 82, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13.

Laganière, J., Angers, D.A., Paré, D., 2010. Carbon accumulation in agricultural soils after afforestation: a

meta-analysis. Glob. Chang. Biol. 16, 439–453. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01930.x.

Lange, M., Eisenhauer, N., Sierra, C.A., Bessler, H., Engels, C., Griffiths, R.I. et al, 2015. Plant diversity

increases soil microbial activity and soil carbon storage. Nat. Commun. 6, 6707.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7707.

Lecina-Diaz, J., Alvarez, A., Regos, A., Drapeau, P., Paquette, A., Messier, C. et al, 2018. The positive

carbon stocks-biodiversity relationship in forests: co-occurrence and drivers across five subclimates.

Ecol. Appl. 28, 1481–1493. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.1749.

Lefcheck, J.S., 2016. piecewiseSEM: Piecewise structural equation modelling in r for ecology, evolution,

and systematics. Methods Ecol. Evol. 7, 573–579. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12512.

Lefcheck, J.S., Orth, R.J., Dennison, W.C., Wilcox, D.J., Murphy, R.R., Keisman, J. et al, 2018. Long-term

nutrient reductions lead to the unprecedented recovery of a temperate coastal region. PNAS 115, 3658–

3662. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715798115.

Lenth, R., 2020. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.

Lewis, S.L., Wheeler, C.E., Mitchard, E.T.A., Koch, A., 2019. Restoring natural forests is the best way to

remove atmospheric carbon. Nature 568, 25–28. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-019-01026-8.

Li, Y., Liu, X., Xu, W., Bongers, F.J., Bao, W., Chen, B. et al, 2020. Effects of diversity, climate and litter

on soil organic carbon storage in subtropical forests. For. Ecol. Manage. 476, 118479.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118479.

Liu, X., Trogisch, S., He, J.-S., Niklaus, P.A., Bruelheide, H., Tang, Z. et al, 2018. Tree species richness

increases ecosystem carbon storage in subtropical forests. Proc. Biol. Sci. 285.

Madsen, C., Potvin, C., Hall, J., Sinacore, K., Turner, B.L., Schnabel, F., 2020. Coarse root architecture:

Neighbourhood and abiotic environmental effects on five tropical tree species growing in mixtures and

monocultures. For. Ecol. Manage. 460, 117851. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117851.

Marín-Spiotta, E., Silver, W.L., Swanston, C.W., Ostertag, R., 2009. Soil organic matter dynamics during

80 years of reforestation of tropical pastures. Glob. Chang. Biol. 15, 1584–1597.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01805.x.

Martin-Guay, M.-O., Belluau, M., Côté, B., Handa, I.T., Jewell, M.D., Khlifa, R. et al, 2022. Tree identity

and diversity directly affect soil moisture and temperature but not soil carbon ten years after planting.

Ecol Evol 12, e8509. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8509.

Messier, C., Bauhus, J., Sousa-Silva, R., Auge, H., Baeten, L., Barsoum, N. et al, 2021. For the sake of

resilience and multifunctionality, let's diversify planted forests! Conserv. Lett. 15, e12829.

https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12829.

Moore, T.R., Abraham, M., Kalácska, M., Murphy, M.T., Potvin, C., 2018. Changes from pasture to a

native tree plantation affect soil organic matter in a tropical soil, Panamá. Plant Soil 425, 133–143.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-018-3574-0.

Murphy, M., Balser, T., Buchmann, N., Hahn, V., Potvin, C., 2008. Linking tree biodiversity to

belowground process in a young tropical plantation: Impacts on soil CO2 flux. For. Ecol. Manage. 255,

2577–2588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.01.034.

Neumann-Cosel, L., Zimmermann, B., Hall, J.S., van Breugel, M., Elsenbeer, H., 2011. Soil carbon

dynamics under young tropical secondary forests on former pastures—A case study from Panama. For.

Ecol. Manage. 261, 1625–1633. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.07.023.

Oelmann, Y., Potvin, C., Mark, T., Werther, L., Tapernon, S., Wilcke, W., 2010. Tree mixture effects on

aboveground nutrient pools of trees in an experimental plantation in Panama. Plant Soil 326, 199–212.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-009-9997-x.

Parr, C.L., Te Beest, M., Stevens, N., 2024. Conflation of reforestation with restoration is widespread.

Science 383, 698–701. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adj0899.

Potvin, C., Dutilleul, P., 2009. Neighborhood effects and size-asymmetric competition in a tree plantation

varying in diversity. Ecology 90, 321–327. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0353.1.

Potvin, C., Mancilla, L., Buchmann, N., Monteza, J., Moore, T., Murphy, M. et al, 2011. An ecosystem

approach to biodiversity effects: Carbon pools in a tropical tree plantation. For. Ecol. Manage. 261,

1614–1624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.015.

Quartucci, F., Gocke, M., Denich, M., Moraes Gonçalves, J.L. de, Amelung, W., 2023. Deep soil carbon

loss offsets rapid aboveground carbon accumulation after reforestation. For. Ecol. Manage. 548, 121403.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.121403.

Rasmussen, C., Heckman, K., Wieder, W.R., Keiluweit, M., Lawrence, C.R., Berhe, A.A. et al, 2018.

Beyond clay: towards an improved set of variables for predicting soil organic matter content.

Biogeochemistry 137, 297–306. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0424-3.

Ravenek, J.M., Bessler, H., Engels, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Gessler, A., Gockele, A. et al, 2014. Long-

term study of root biomass in a biodiversity experiment reveals shifts in diversity effects over time.

Oikos 123, 1528–1536. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01502.

Rozendaal, D.M.A., Chazdon, R.L., 2015. Demographic drivers of tree biomass change during secondary

succession in northeastern Costa Rica. Ecol. Appl. 25, 506–516. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-0054.1.

Ruiz-Jaen, M.C., Potvin, C., 2011. Can we predict carbon stocks in tropical ecosystems from tree diversity?

Comparing species and functional diversity in a plantation and a natural forest. New Phytol. 189, 978–

987. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03501.x.

Sapijanskas, J., Paquette, A., Potvin, C., Kunert, N., Loreau, M., 2014. Tropical tree diversity enhances

light capture through crown plasticity and spatial and temporal niche differences. Ecology 95, 2479–

2492. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1366.1.

Sapijanskas, J., Potvin, C., Loreau, M., 2013. Beyond shading: Litter production by neighbors contributes

to overyielding in tropical trees. Ecology 94, 941–952. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-0337.1.

Sayer, E.J., Leitman, S.F., Wright, S.J., Rodtassana, C., Vincent, A.G., Bréchet, L.M. et al, 2024. Tropical

forest above-ground productivity is maintained by nutrients cycled in litter. J. Ecol. 112, 690–700.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.14251.

Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Luis Bonilla, J., Potvin, C., 2007. Tree species richness affects litter production and

decomposition   rates   in   a   tropical   biodiversity   experiment.   Oikos   116,   2108–2124.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.16065.x.

Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Potvin, C., Koricheva, J., Schmid, B., Hector, A., Bornik, Z. et al, 2005. The Design

of Experimental Tree Plantations for Functional Biodiversity Research, in: Scherer-Lorenzen, M.,

Körner, C., Schulze, E.D. (Eds.), Forest Diversity and Function. Temperate and Boreal Systems.

Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. Ecological Studies, Analysis and Synthesis 176, pp. 347–376.

Schnabel, F., Liu, X., Kunz, M., Barry, K.E., Bongers, F.J., Bruelheide, H. et al, 2021. Species richness

stabilizes productivity via asynchrony and drought-tolerance diversity in a large-scale tree biodiversity

experiment. Sci. Adv. 7. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abk1643.

Schnabel, F., Schwarz, J.A., Dănescu, A., Fichtner, A., Nock, C.A., Bauhus, J. et al, 2019. Drivers of

productivity and its temporal stability in a tropical tree diversity experiment. Glob. Chang. Biol. 25,

4257-4272. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14792.

Schuldt, A., Liu, X., Buscot, F., Bruelheide, H., Erfmeier, A., He, J.-S. et al, 2023. Carbon-biodiversity

relationships in a highly diverse subtropical forest. Glob. Chang. Biol. 29, 5321–5333.

https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16697.

Schwendenmann, L., Pendall, E., Sanchez-Bragado, R., Kunert, N., Hölscher, D., 2015. Tree water uptake

in a tropical plantation varying in tree diversity: Interspecific differences, seasonal shifts and

complementarity. Ecohydrology 8, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1479.

Searle, E.B., Chen, H.Y.H., Paquette, A., 2022. Higher tree diversity is linked to higher tree mortality.

PNAS 119, e2013171119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013171119.

Seddon, N., 2022. Harnessing the potential of nature-based solutions for mitigating and adapting to climate

change. Science 376, 1410–1416. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn9668.

van der Sande, M.T., Poorter, L., Kooistra, L., Balvanera, P., Thonicke, K., Thompson, J. et al, 2017.

Biodiversity in species, traits, and structure determines carbon stocks and uptake in tropical forests.

Biotropica 49, 593–603. https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.12453.

Verheyen, K., Vanhellemont, M., Auge, H., Baeten, L., Baraloto, C., Barsoum, N. et al, 2016. Contributions

of a global network of tree diversity experiments to sustainable forest plantations. Ambio 45, 29–41.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-015-0685-1.

Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Beguería, S., López-Moreno, J.I., 2010. A Multiscalar Drought Index Sensitive to

Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. J. Clim. 23, 1696–1718.

https://doi.org/10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1.

von Haden, A.C., Yang, W.H., DeLucia, E.H., 2020. Soils' dirty little secret: Depth-based comparisons can

be inadequate for quantifying changes in soil organic carbon and other mineral soil properties. Glob.

Chang. Biol. 26, 3759–3770. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15124.

Wallwork, A., Banin, L.F., Dent, D.H., Skiba, U., Sayer, E., 2022. Soil carbon storage is related to tree

functional composition in naturally regenerating tropical forests. Funct. Ecol. 36, 3175–3187.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14221.

Warner, E., Cook-Patton, S.C., Lewis, O.T., Brown, N., Koricheva, J., Eisenhauer, N. et al, 2023. Young

mixed planted forests store more carbon than monocultures—a meta-analysis. Front. For. Glob. Change

6. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2023.1226514.

Weisser, W.W., Roscher, C., Meyer, S.T., Ebeling, A., Luo, G., Allan, E. et al, 2017. Biodiversity effects

on ecosystem functioning in a 15-year grassland experiment: Patterns, mechanisms, and open questions.

Basic Appl. Ecol. 23, 1–73.

Williams, L.J., Paquette, A., Cavender-Bares, J., Messier, C., Reich, P.B., 2017. Spatial complementarity

in tree crowns explains overyielding in species mixtures. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1, 63.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-016-0063.

Wolf, S., Eugster, W., Potvin, C., Turner, B.L., Buchmann, N., 2011. Carbon sequestration potential of

tropical pasture compared with afforestation in Panama. Glob. Chang. Biol. 17, 2763–2780.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02460.x.

Wood, T.E., Lawrence, D., Clark, D.A., Chazdon, R.L., 2009. Rain forest nutrient cycling and productivity

in response to large-scale litter manipulation. Ecology 90, 109–121. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1146.1.

Xu, S., Eisenhauer, N., Ferlian, O., Zhang, J., Zhou, G., Lu, X. et al, 2020. Species richness promotes

ecosystem carbon storage: evidence from biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments. Proceedings.

Biological sciences 287, 20202063. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2063.

Yachi, S., Loreau, M., 1999. Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating environment: the

insurance hypothesis. PNAS 96, 1463–1468. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.4.1463.

Zanini, A.M., Mayrinck, R.C., Vieira, S.A., Camargo, P.B. de, Rodrigues, R.R., 2021. The effect of

ecological restoration methods on carbon stocks in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. For. Ecol. Manage.

481, 118734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118734.

Zeugin, F., Potvin, C., Jansa, J., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., 2010. Is tree diversity an important driver for

phosphorus and nitrogen acquisition of a young tropical plantation? For. Ecol. Manage. 260, 1424–1433.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.07.020.