Single-cell RNA-sequencing reveals immune system compartmentalization under symbiosis in the stony coral *Oculina arbuscula*

Maria Valadez-Ingersoll[1], Hanny E. Rivera[1], Jeric Da-Anoy[1], Matthew R. Kanke[2], Kelly Gomez-Campo[3, 4], M. Isabel Martinez-Rugerio[3, 4, 5], Julian Kwan[6], Ryan Hekman[6], Andrew Emili[1, 6, 7], Thomas D. Gilmore[1*], Sarah W. Davies[1*]

[1]Boston University, Department of Biology; Boston, MA, 02215, USA

[2]Amgen Research, Research Bioimics, South San Francisco, CA, 94080, USA

[3]Helmholtz-Institute for Functional Marine Biodiversity at the University of Oldenburg (HIFMB), 26129 Oldenburg, Germany

[4]Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz-Centre for Polar and Marine Research (AWI), Bremerhaven, Germany

[5]Institute for Chemistry and Biology of the Marine Environment (ICBM), Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, 26129 Oldenburg, Germany

[6]Department of Biochemistry, Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 02118, USA

[7]Division of Oncological Sciences, Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, portland, OR, 97201, USA

*Corresponding authors

Send correspondence to:
Sarah W. Davies, Boston University, Department of Biology, 5 Cummington Mall, Boston, MA 02215; email: daviessw@bu.edu
Thomas D. Gilmore, Boston University, Department of Biology, 24 Cummington Mall, Boston, MA 02215; email: gilmore@bu.edu

ABSTRACT

Many cnidarians host single-celled algae within gastrodermal cells, yielding a mutually beneficial
exchange of nutrients between host and symbiont, and dysbiosis can lead to host mortality.
Previous research has uncovered symbiosis tradeoffs, including suppression of the NF-κB immune
pathway in cnidarians hosting intracellular algae and correlations between symbiotic state and
pathogen susceptibility. Here, we used a multiomic approach to characterize symbiotic states of
the facultatively symbiotic coral *Oculina arbuscula* by generating genotype-controlled fragments
of symbiotic and aposymbiotic tissue. Metabarcoding of 16S rRNA showed no difference in
bacterial communities between symbiotic states. Consistent with previous whole-organism RNA-
seq studies, whole-organism proteomics revealed differential abundance of proteins related to
immunity, consistent with immune suppression during symbiosis. Moreover, single-cell RNAseq
identified diverse cell clusters within six cell types across symbiotic states. Specifically, the
gastrodermal cell cluster containing algal-hosting cells from symbiotic tissue had higher
expression of nitrogen cycling and sugar transport genes than gastrodermal cells from
aposymbiotic tissue. Furthermore, lower expression of genes involved in immune regulation,
including NF-κB pathway genes, was observed in these gastrodermal cells from symbiotic tissue.
However, no differences in gene expression in the immune cell cluster were observed between
symbiotic states. This work reveals a compartmentalization of immune system suppression in
specific gastrodermal cells in symbiosis, which likely limits symbiosis tradeoffs by dampening
immunity in algal hosting cells while still maintaining general organismal immunity.

INTRODUCTION

Symbioses exist across the tree of life along a spectrum from parasitisms – in which one symbiotic partner benefits at the cost of the other partner – to mutualisms – in which both partners benefit from the relationship (1). Endosymbioses, symbiotic relationships where one organism resides within another, pose immunity challenges to hosts as they must differentiate between self, mutualistic symbionts, and pathogens (2,3). In mutualisms, the host immune system must be regulated in order to allow for the existence of the endosymbiont (4), whereas in parasitisms the endosymbiont must evade detection by the host's immune system (5). Additionally, in mutualisms, hosts must tightly regulate the density of their symbionts in order to prevent overcrowding, which could lead to a shift from mutualism to parasitism (2,6,7).

Reef-building corals participate in a mutually beneficial symbiosis with algae that reside inside their gastrodermal cells, wherein the algae carry out photosynthesis and translocate fixed carbon sugars to the host (8,9). In return, the host provides carbon, as well as nitrogen and phosphorus species, to the symbiont (10,11). Translocation of nitrogen from the host to the symbiont has been proposed as a mechanism to promote nutrient exchange while controlling algal proliferation via nitrogen limitation to prevent overcrowding (6). In this hypothesized model, as symbiont densities increase, the greater nutrient requirements of the algae lead to resource competition between symbionts and with the host (12). In support of this hypothesis, whole-organism RNA-sequencing has revealed differences in the expression of genes involved in sugar transport and nitrogen cycling across cnidarians in and out of symbiosis (13) and enrichment of nitrogen deprivation genes in algae exhibiting greater cell densities when associated with the sea anemone *Exaiptasia pallida* (6). These whole organism studies reflect the importance of carbon and nitrogen transport between symbiotic partners in the maintenance of this symbiosis.

In stony corals, environmental change can lead to loss of the algal symbiont in a process

termed coral bleaching (14). If prolonged, this dysbiosis can lead to host mortality. Since this

symbiotic relationship in corals is often obligate, it is challenging to separate the effects of

dysbiosis from nutritional loss (15). In contrast to obligate symbioses, facultatively symbiotic hosts

can exist in an aposymbiotic state (without or with few algal symbionts) and can buffer nutrient

loss through heterotrophy, allowing for studies of the influence of symbiosis on host molecular

function, especially in regards to nutrition and immunity (13,15–18). The sea anemone *E. pallida*

is a facultatively symbiotic cnidarian that has served as an instrumental model for uncovering how

the host immune system is fine-tuned in the presence of the algal symbiont (19,20). Additionally,

facultatively symbiotic stony corals, such as *O. arbuscula* (13) and *Astrangia poculata* (21)*, are

emerging as models for studying these processes in calcifying cnidarians.

Research using these facultatively symbiotic models has identified specific pathways

within the host immune system as key for the cnidarian-algal symbiosis. For example, activation

of pathways associated with the transcription factor (TF) NF-κB have been implicated in immunity

across metazoans, and this TF is downregulated in symbiotic compared to aposymbiotic hosts

(13,19). Additionally, the attenuation of immune pathways associated with symbiosis has been

suggested to affect organismal immunity (22). However, this dampening of immunity is not always

correlated with increased susceptibility to pathogen challenges (23,24). For example, recent work

has shown that the NF-κB pathway can be independently modulated by cnidarians capable of

symbiosis under energetic limitation (25). Additionally, many antioxidant and oxidative response

pathways are constitutively higher in symbiotic compared to aposymbiotic cnidarians (26). A

nuanced picture of the symbiotic cnidarian immune system has emerged, with questions remaining

on how the immune system is regulated under endosymbiosis without compromising whole-

organism immunity.

Here, we used a multiomic approach to explore symbiosis-immunity tradeoffs in the

facultatively symbiotic coral *O. arbuscula*. Whole organism proteomics confirms broad immune

dampening in symbiosis. Based on single-cell RNA-seq of genotype-controlled fragments, we

profiled the cellular diversity of *O. arbuscula* under different symbiotic states to determine how

tradeoffs of endosymbiosis and immunity manifest by rewiring gene expression across different

cell states. Strikingly, we found that gene expression differences in immune pathways occur in

gastrodermal cells that host algae. These results suggest that cell state-specific dampening of

immunity explains how some symbiotic organisms balance the immune tradeoffs of symbiosis

with the maintenance of organismal immunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Detailed information on sample preparations, bioinformatic analyses, and R packages can be found

in the Supplemental Materials.

Coral husbandry and manipulation of symbiotic state

Symbiotic (in symbiosis with *Brevioulum psygmophilum*) (27) colonies from seven genetic

backgrounds (genets A-G) of *Oculina arbuscula* were collected at Radio Island Jetty, North

Carolina and have been maintained at Boston University (BU) in common garden aquaria since

May, 2018. Aposymbiotic branches were generated via menthol bleaching. Aposymbiotic status

was confirmed by a lack of symbiont autofluorescence under fluorescence microscopy (Leica

M165 FC), and aposymbiotic branches were transferred back to common garden aquaria and

maintained for at least 2 months of recovery prior to physiological and multiomic profiling.

Tissue removal and symbiont cell quantification

To measure symbiont cell densities in symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*, small branches

were fragmented from symbiotic (N=10) and aposymbiotic (N=10) samples from five genets.

Tissue was removed via airbrushing, homogenized, and symbiont counts were normalized to

skeletal surface area using an Einscan-SE scanner. Statistical differences between symbiotic and

aposymbiotic fragments were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test, as assumptions

of normality were not met.

Coral spectroscopic determinations

Light absorption capacities of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* were compared using

reflectance and absorptance (28–30). Coral reflectance (R), the fraction of light reflected, was

measured between 400-750 nm in intact fragments using a miniature spectroradiometer (Flame-T-

UV-Vis, Ocean Optics Inc.). Reflectance was expressed as the ratio of the measurement from the

tissue surface relative to the reflectance of a bleached *O. arbuscula* skeleton. Coral absorptance

(A), which describes the fraction of incident light absorbed by coral tissue, was calculated from

the reflectance spectra as $A = 1 - R$ (28,30). The absorptance peak of chlorophyll a (Chl a) at 675

nm was calculated as $A_{675} = 1 - R_{675}$, assuming that transmission through the skeleton is negligible.

Bacterial community profiling

To identify bacterial communities associated with symbiotic and aposymbiotic fragments, one

symbiotic and one aposymbiotic fragment from five genotypes were flash frozen, and a subsample

was preserved in ethanol for 16S metabarcoding (N=10). Metabarcoding libraries were generated

using a series of PCR amplifications for the V4/V5 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene (31,32).

Five negative controls were prepared and used to remove contaminating sequences. Samples were

sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq at Tufts University Core Facility (paired-end 250 bp).

16S read processing inferred 661 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) with assigned

taxonomy across samples. Bacterial communities across symbiotic states were compared via alpha

diversity (Shannon index, Simpson's index, ASV richness, and evenness) and beta diversity

(PCoA with Bray–Curtis dissimilarities). Alpha diversity metrics were compared using linear

mixed effects models with symbiotic state as the predictor and a random effect of genotype. The

effect of symbiotic state on beta diversity was assessed using the function betadisper (vegan

package; v2.6-4 (33)). DESeq2 v1.40.2 (34) explored differentially abundant ASVs to ensure that

subtle differences in specific taxa were not overlooked.

Proteomic profiling

Mass spectroscopy (MS) was used to identify differentially enriched proteins from total protein

isolated from fragments of four symbiotic genets and three aposymbiotic genets. Total protein was

isolated as described previously (35) and stored at -80 ℃.

For MS, tryptic peptide mixtures were analyzed by nano-scale high-performance liquid

chromatography (Proxeon EASY-Nano system, Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with online

nanoelectrospray ionization tandem MS (Q-Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer; Thermo Fisher

Scientific) (36). For protein identification and analysis, data files were searched using the standard

workflow of MaxQuant version 2.4 (http://www.maxquant.org/) under standard settings using

concatenated genomes of *Astrangia poculata* (21) and *Fungia* sp. (37). A false discovery rate

(FDR) threshold of 1% was used to filter candidate peptides and protein identifications. Following

data filtration, normalization, and clustering with Omics Notebook (38), 2,730 proteins were

retained. Differential abundance analysis of proteomic profiles between aposymbiotic and

symbiotic samples was based on a moderated t-test (38) in which proteins were considered

differentially abundant if they had a Bonferroni adjusted p-value < 0.1. Raw intensity counts were

rlog-normalized in DESeq2 (34) and visualized using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

using vegan v2.6-4 (33). Effects of symbiotic state and genet were assessed using PERMANOVA

with the adonis2 function in vegan v2.6-4 (33). Predicted *O. arbuscula* peptides were searched

against the human proteome v.11.5 from the STRING v.11 database (39) with an e-value cut-off

of 1×10^{-5}. Protein–protein interactions of select differentially expressed proteins (FDR < 0.1) were

retrieved from the STRING v.11 database (39). Interaction networks were visualized using

Cytoscape v.3.7.2 (40).

Single-cell RNA-sequencing

To create single-cell libraries, one symbiotic and one aposymbiotic branch of *O. arbuscula*

genotype F were sampled for single-cell isolation and 10X cDNA sequencing (41). Cell isolation

samples were analyzed by BU's Single Cell Sequencing Core Facility. The symbiotic sample had

a concentration of 2,625 cells/µl and viability of 81.6%. The aposymbiotic sample had a

concentration of 3,313 cells/µl and viability of 86.4%.

Libraries were generated following the 10X Genomics Chromium Single Cell 3'v3

protocol, and quality was assessed via a Bioanalyzer. Samples were pooled in equimolar

concentration and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 (P3 100 kit) with a target of 50,000

reads per cell. CellRanger (v7.2.0 (42)) processed reads, which were aligned to concatenated

genomes of *Astrangia poculata* (21) and the algal symbiont *Breviolum psygmophilum* (13). Only

reads that aligned confidently to the host reference were used to generate CellRanger output files.

Following alignment, host reads from symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples were analyzed

using Seurat (v.5.0.2) (43). Datasets were cleaned (genes expressed in fewer than three cells were

discarded, as were cells expressing fewer than 200 genes), normalized, and scaled, and a PCA

reduction was performed. Datasets were integrated using the Canonical Correlation Analysis

(CCA) Integration method on the PCA reduction of the top 2,000 most variable genes (nearest

neighbors parameters). Cell clusters were identified using 30 dimensions (resolution: 0.5) and

visualized using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP). Marker genes for

each cluster were identified using FindAllMarkers (Wilcoxin Rank Sum Test; log-fold-change

threshold: 0.5) and compared to genes expressed highly in cell types from other cnidarian single-

cell datasets (Supplementary Dataset 1) (44,45). 28 cell clusters across 6 cell types were identified

in the UMAP and characterized using marker genes and previous scRNA-seq datasets in the corals

Stylophora pistillata (44) and *Xenia* sp. (45). Proportions of each cell cluster within each library

were calculated (number of cells in each cluster divided by total number of cells). Broad

transcriptomic differences between cell clusters were assessed by identifying the top 10 genes

enriched in each cluster compared to all other clusters.

To compare expression profiles of specific cell states between symbiotic and aposymbiotic

samples, cell clusters of interest were independently reclustered, and the effect of symbiotic state

on gene expression in each cell state was analyzed. First, the cell cluster of interest (*e.g.,* Immune

Cell, Gastrodermis 1) was subsetted and new variable features were identified. Data were rescaled,

and dimensionality reduction was re-run (first 30 dimensions). Subclusters were identified with

resolutions of 0.5 (Immune Cell) and 0.25 (Gastrodermis 1). The distribution of cells across

subclusters between symbiotic states was visualized using a UMAP. In Gastrodermis 1 and

Immune Cell clusters, proportions of each subcluster within each library were calculated.

Differentially expressed genes between symbiotic states in Immune Cell and Gastrodermis 1 cell

clusters were identified using DESeq2 in the FindMarkers function. Additionally, marker genes

for each subcluster were identified. To highlight differences in the transcriptomes of the Immune

Cell states, the top 20 genes enriched in each Immune Cell subcluster were identified and plotted

(unannotated genes were removed).

To compare expression of genes involved in sugar transport and nutrient cycling in

gastrodermal cells between symbiotic aposymbiotic states, expression of these genes in the

reclustered Gastrodermis 1 cells was analyzed. Normalized expression values of genes involved

in nitrogen cycling/symbiont density control (Glutamate Dehydrogenase DHE4 and Glutamate

Synthase [NADH] GLSN) and sugar transport (Apolipophorin APOB.1) (13) were compared

across each subcluster. FeaturePlot then visualized co-expression of these genes across the

subclusters of Gastrodermis 1 cells from the symbiotic sample (scaling the per-cell mean

expression to a maximum value of 10).

Finally, to identify genes driving separation between symbiotic states within the

Gastrodermis 1 cluster, the distribution of Gastrodermis 1 cells was visualized along the first 10

PCs of the PCA assay used to define the Gastrodermis 1 UMAP. An analysis of variance

determined whether Gastrodermis 1 cells separated by symbiotic state (symbiotic vs.

aposymbiotic) along each PC. The top 20 genes driving the distribution of cells along the first 7

PCs (10 genes driving each PC in the positive direction and 10 genes driving each PC in the

negative direction) were selected, and unannotated genes were removed leaving a total of 86

remaining genes. DESeq2 log$_2$foldchange values with accompanying p-adjusted values (<0.05) for

each of these genes were plotted in a bar graph, and genes hypothesized to be involved in the NF-

κB pathway were noted. Normalized expression values of Heat Shock Factor 90 (H90A1),

Cathepsin L (CATL.6), Cathepsin Z (CATZ), Matrix Metallopeptidase 25 (MMP25), and Glucose-

Regulated Protein 78 (GRP78) were compared between symbiotic and aposymbiotic cells in the

Gastrodermis 1 cell cluster. Distributions of these genes across Gastrodermis 1 cells from

symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples were further visualized to emphasize the reduction in certain

immune pathways in predicted algal-hosting cells (FeaturePlot; minimum normalized expression

value plotted for H90A1=3, and minimum normalized expression value plotted for CATL.6,

CATZ, MMP25, and GRP78=2).

RESULTS

For the following experiments, genetically controlled symbiotic and aposymbiotic fragments of *O.*

arbuscula were used. Symbiotic fragments had symbiont densities that were 400-fold greater

(13,678 +/- 6,204 cells/cm^2) than those of aposymbiotic fragments (30 +/- 43 cells/cm^2) (p<0.001)

(**Fig. 1a**). These fragments were then used to generate light-absorption profiles, 16S rRNA

metabarcoding libraries, tissue proteomics, and single-cell RNA-sequencing profiles.

Fig. 1 Symbiosis facilitates higher light absorptance but no changes to bacterial communities in *O. arbuscula*. a Algal cell counts are 400x higher in symbiotic (brown, right) *O. arbuscula* fragments than in aposymbiotic (white/gray, left) fragments (p<0.001). **b** Average reflectance (R) in the PAR region (400–700 nm) was 22% +/- 4% in symbiotic *O. arbuscula* (brown) and 77% +/- 3% in aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* (gray) (p < 0.01). **c** Average absorptance (A) (A = 1-R) demonstrating relative amount of solar energy with potential for use in photosynthesis. Symbiotic *O. arbuscula* (brown) has an A_{675} of 85% (+/- 4%), suggesting a functional symbiosis, and aposymbiotic (gray) has an A_{675} of 24% (+/- 2%) (p<0.001). In **b** and **c**, shaded colored areas represent standard deviation. **d** PCoA of bacterial communities from all ASVs in 16S data from symbiotic (brown) and aposymbiotic (gray) *O. arbuscula* genotypes. No differences in bacterial communities were observed between symbiotic states.

Light absorption is higher in symbiotic *O. arbuscula*

Differences in symbiont cell densities induced significant differences in *in vivo* coral light absorption. Reflectance spectra measurements and absorption properties of intact corals showed significant pigment content differences between symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*. Average reflectance in the PAR region (400–700 nm) of symbiotic fragments was 22% +/- 4%, whereas aposymbiotic coral reflectance was 77% +/- 3% (p < 0.01) (**Fig. 1b**). In symbiotic fragments, the absorptance value at the peak for Chlorophyll a (A_{675}) was 85% +/- 4%, compared

to 24% +/- 2% in aposymbiotic samples (p<0.0001) (**Fig. 1c**). Absorptance describes the relative

amount of solar energy/incident light that can potentially be used in photosynthesis for organic

carbon fixation.

Bacterial communities do not differ across symbiotic states

We profiled the bacterial communities of aposymbiotic and symbiotic fragments from 5 genets

(N=10). A total of 208,330 sequences were acquired with a mean depth of coverage of 20,833 +/-

16050.23 per sample (Table S1). Sample rarefication yielded a total of 8,298 reads per sample

with a total of 661 ASVs identified across samples. No differences in bacterial communities were

observed between symbiotic and aposymbiotic fragments (**Fig. 1d**), and both states were

dominated by Proteobacteria and Bacteroidota (**Fig. S1**). Additionally, no differences in alpha

diversity were observed between symbiotic states regardless of the metric tested, nor were

differences in beta diversity by symbiotic state detected (**Fig. S2a-d**). DESeq2 tested for

differentially abundant ASVs across symbiotic states, while modeling genetic background, but no

ASVs were identified.

The proteome is affected by symbiotic state in *O. arbuscula*

To compare proteomes of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*, we performed mass

spectrometry on whole-cell lysates from coral fragments. We identified a total of 2,929 proteins

in *O. arbuscula*, 2,730 of which were retained following filtering. PCA showed that samples

clustered by symbiotic state (p_{state}<0.05) and genet (p_{genet}<0.001) (**Fig. 2a**). A total of 281 proteins

were differentially enriched across symbiotic states (*p*-adjusted<0.1): 163 proteins were enriched

in symbiotic *O. arbuscula*, 118 proteins were enriched in aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* (**Figs. 2b-c**).

Differentially enriched proteins (DEPs) were assigned functional categories based on a

homology search of each DEP sequence in the UniProtKB database (evalue $< 1 \times 10^{-5}$). DEPs

involved in Immune Response, Apoptosis, Wound Healing, Oxidative Stress, Metabolic Process,

and Development were identified (**Fig. 2d**)

Fig. 2 Proteomic profiles of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* reveals differential
enrichment of proteins by symbiotic state. a Principal component analysis of proteomic profiles
across four genets, with symbiotic (sym) and aposymbiotic (apo) states distinguished by color, and
genets by shape. **b** Volcano plot of differentially enriched proteins (DEPs) identified through
pairwise comparison (*p*-value < 0.1). Proteins enriched in symbiotic samples (163) are represented
by brown dots, proteins enriched in aposymbiotic samples (118) by gray dots, while black dots
indicate non-DEPs in symbiotic relative to aposymbiotic corals (total N=2,730). **c** Heatmap of all
DEPs across symbiotic states of *O. arbuscula*. The color scale represents the log$_2$foldchange of
each protein (row) for each coral sample (column) relative to the protein's mean across all samples.
d Interaction network of proteins associated with immune response, metabolism, wound healing,
apoptosis, oxidative stress, and development, derived from human protein–protein interactions in
the STRING database. Node colors indicate upregulation (brown) or downregulation (gray) in
symbiotic coral samples.

320
Single-cell RNA-seq identifies cell states shared between symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O.*

arbuscula

To profile gene expression in different cell types and states from symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O.*

arbuscula, we used the 10X single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-Seq) platform. We captured a

total of 6,956 cells (3,136 cells from the symbiotic sample; 3,820 cells from the aposymbiotic

sample), with an average of 158,426 reads per cell, 1,113 median UMI counts per cell, and 645

median genes per cell. Quality control data for both samples are summarized in Supplementary

Dataset 2. 6,942 cells were retained following filtering (3,192 cells from the symbiotic sample;

3,813 cells from the aposymbiotic sample).

Clustering of the integrated 10X gene expression datasets from the filtered symbiotic and

aposymbiotic samples resulted in the identification of 28 transcriptomically distinct cell clusters

across six broad cell types (**Fig. 3a)**. Cells from symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples were

represented in all clusters, although some clusters had different cell proportions across samples.

For example, symbiotic cells identified in Gastrodermis 1 represented a slightly larger percentage

(19.8%) of the total symbiotic library than in the aposymbiotic library (15.5%) (**Fig. 3b**).

Additionally, each cluster defined in the UMAP displayed distinct transcriptomic programming as

exemplified by expression patterns of the ten highest enriched genes in each cluster (**Fig. 3c**).

Fig. 3 scRNA-seq reveals 28 transcriptomically distinct cell clusters across 6 cell types in symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*. a A UMAP Projection of the transcriptomes of 6,942 cells from symbiotic (sym) and aposymbiotic (apo) *O. arbuscula* reveals 28 clusters across 6 broad cell types. Each cluster is color coded. **b** Proportions of clusters per library across all cell states in aposymbiotic and symbiotic samples. **c** Each cluster is transcriptomically distinct, with transcriptomic similarities present between clusters of the same cell type, as represented by the 10 genes most highly expressed in each cluster. Expression values are average $\log_2$foldchanges computed from normalized gene expression data of each cell. Cell clusters are colored as in (**a**).

Identification of symbiont-hosting gastrodermal cells

To investigate gene expression differences between gastrodermal cells from symbiotic compared

to aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*, we subsetted and reclustered cells from each of the six

Gastrodermal states. Four subclusters were revealed within Gastrodermis 1, suggesting additional

cell states (**Fig. 4a**). Gastrodermis 1 Subcluster 2 was composed almost entirely of cells from the

symbiotic sample (**Fig. 4b**).

To predict the localization of the symbiont-hosting cells within our dataset, we selected

sugar transport and nitrogen cycling genes, which have been previously shown to have higher

expression in symbiotic compared to aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* (13). DESeq2 identified

differences in gene expression in gastrodermal cells between symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples,

which showed that Gastrodermis 1 cells from symbiotic *O. arbuscula* had overexpression of genes

related to nitrogen cycling (Glutamate Dehydrogenase DHE4 and Glutamate Synthase [NADH]

GLSN) and sugar transport (Apolipophorin APOB.1) (*p*-adjusted <0.05) (**Fig. 4c-e**). Furthermore,

DHE4, GLSN, and APOB.1 were identified as marker genes for Subcluster 2 (indicating that these

genes were expressed higher inside than outside Subcluster 2 (*p*-adjusted <0.05; **Fig. 4f-h**).

Additionally, there was strong co-expression of these symbiosis-marker genes in Subcluster 2,

suggesting that this cell state is actively transporting fixed carbon sugars while mediating the

growth and division of the hosted algal symbionts (**Fig. 4i-k**). There was little co-expression of

these genes in Subclusters 1, 3, and 4 of Gastrodermis 1, suggesting that non-symbiont-hosting

gastrodermal cell states perform nitrogen and carbon sugar processing independently or through

distinct pathways. The presence of a symbiotic-only subcluster within Gastrodermis 1 and the co-

expression of highly expressed markers for symbiosis within this subcluster indicated that

Subcluster 2 of Gastrodermis 1 comprised the algal-hosting gastrodermal cell state from the

symbiotic sample.

Fig. 4 The Gastrodermis 1 cell cluster contains symbiont-hosting cells and is involved in
sugar transport and nitrogen cycling. a UMAP projections of the 1,212 independently
reclustered Gastrodermis 1 cells revealed 4 subclusters. Each subcluster is color-coded in the left
panel. Gastrodermis 1 cells separate according to symbiotic state across the UMAP projection
(right panel). **b** Subclusters represented different proportions of the total Gastrodermis 1 symbiotic
and Gastrodermis 1 aposymbiotic libraries, most notably in Subcluster 2. Glutamate
Dehydrogenase (DHE4, **c**), Glutamate Synthase [NADH] (GLSN, **d**), and Apolipophorin
(APOB.1, **e**) were more highly expressed in Gastrodermis 1 cells from symbiotic compared to
aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*. *** denotes *p*-adjusted value < 0.001. DHE4 (**f**), GLSN (**g**), and
APOB.1 (**h**) were identified as marker genes for Subcluster 2. Expression levels of genes in **c-h**
are normalized per cell, and significance is calculated from DESeq2. Coexpression plots of DHE4
and APOB.1 (**i**), DHE4 and GLSN (**j**), and APOB.1 and GLSN (**k**) across Gastrodermis 1 cells
from symbiotic *O. arbuscula* revealed high coexpression of these sugar transport and nitrogen
cycling genes within Subcluster 2, but not in the other subclusters. The coloration of each cell
represents the per-cell mean expression value of each gene scaled to a maximum value of 10.

No differences identified in immune cell gene expression between symbiotic states

To determine whether downregulation of the immune system observed in whole-organism studies of symbiotic compared to aposymbiotic coral (13) was observed in the relative proportions of immune cells or in cell-state gene expression, we identified one Immune Cell cluster in our single-cell dataset (**Fig. 5a**). This cluster contained approximately equal proportions of cells from both symbiotic (47%) and aposymbiotic (53%) samples, and, after subsetting and independently reclustering these Immune Cells, we identified three cell states with distinct transcriptomic profiles (**Fig. 5b**). However, cells did not cluster by symbiotic state after reclustering and no differential expression between Immune Cells from symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples was observed (*p*-adjusted <0.05; **Fig. 5c**). These similarities in relative proportions and gene expression of symbiotic and aposymbiotic Immune Cells indicated that this cell cluster is not responsible for whole-organism differences in immunity, but the identification of three distinct subclusters (immune cell states) are indicative of diversified immune cell functions in *O. arbuscula* that are independent of symbiotic state.

Fig. 5 No gene expression differences between Immune Cells of symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples. a The Immune Cell cluster has high expression of previously identified immune cell marker genes. Dot size represents the percentage of cells in each cell state that express each gene, and dot color represents the average (normalized) expression level of each gene within each cell state. **b** Three Immune Cell subclusters have distinct transcriptomic profiles, as represented by the annotated genes within the top 20 most highly enriched genes in each subcluster. Expression values are average log_2foldchanges computed from normalized gene expression data of each cell. **c** UMAP projections of the 116 independently reclustered Immune Cells revealed 3 subclusters (left panel) that do not separate according to symbiotic state (right panel).

Immune response genes are downregulated in symbiotic Gastrodermis 1 cells

Because the Immune Cells failed to cluster by symbiotic state, but Gastrodermis 1 cells did, we

determined which factors (genes) were driving this pattern in the UMAP of Gastrodermis 1 cells.

We analyzed the distribution of the cells along each of the first 10 Principal Components (PCs) in

the PCA dimensionality reduction that was used to generate the Gastrodermis 1 UMAP.

Gastrodermis 1 cells exhibited significant separation by symbiotic state along the first 7 PCs (p-

value < 0.05) (**Figure S3a-e**). Of the 86 annotated genes driving the distribution of cells along

each of the top 7 PCs, 36 were differentially expressed between Gastrodermis 1 cells by symbiotic

state (p-adjusted <0.05), and 12 of these 86 genes have been proposed to function in the NF-κB

pathway (**Fig. 6a**). Expression of five putative NF-κB response genes H90A (46–49), CATL.6

(50–52), CATZ (52), MMP25 (53), and GRP78 (54) was significantly lower in Gastrodermis 1

cells from symbiotic hosts (p-adjusted <0.05; **Fig. 6b-d, Fig. S4a-b**), and this pattern was strongest

in the symbiotic cells in Subcluster 2 **(Fig. 6e-g, Fig. S4c-d)**. Although six other putative NF-κB

pathway genes were identified as drivers of cell state clustering, they were not differentially

expressed (TRAF3.10 (55), TNIP1 (56), SHH (57), KLF5 (58), DNAJ1 (59), and ADAM9.1 (60)).

PAX7 (61) was more highly expressed in Gastrodermis 1 cells from symbiotic tissue (**Fig. 6a**).

Regardless, the regulation of possible NF-κB pathway genes in these algal-hosting cells is clearly

responsible for differentiating this cell state.

Fig. 6 Gastrodermis 1 cells from symbiotic samples downregulate immune pathway genes compared to aposymbiotic samples. a 36 of the 86 annotated genes that drive Gastrodermis 1 cell clustering along the first 7 PCs were differentially expressed (*** = *p*-adjusted value < 0.001, *** = *p*-adjusted value < 0.01, * = *p*-adjusted value < 0.05). Bold, italicized genes are hypothesized to function in the NF-κB pathway. Putative NF-κB response genes Heat Shock Factor 90 (H90A1 **b**), Cathepsin L (CATL.6 **c**), and Cathepsin Z (CATZ **d**) were more highly expressed in Gastrodermis 1 cells from aposymbiotic compared to symbiotic *O. arbuscula*. Expression levels of genes in **b-d** are normalized per cell, and significance is calculated from DESeq2. Expression of H90A1 (**e**), CATL.6 (**f**), and CATZ (**g**) are plotted across all symbiotic and aposymbiotic Gastrodermis 1 cells. Coloration represents the normalized expression value (minimum normalized expression value plotted for H90A1=3, and minimum normalized expression value plotted for CATL.6 and CATZ=2).

DISCUSSION

Here, we have characterized how host immunity is modulated to facilitate endosymbiosis by

quantifying phenotypic metrics of symbiosis, by profiling bacterial communities, and by

performing whole-organism proteomics and single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-Seq) in

symbiotic (with algal symbionts) and aposymbiotic (without algal symbionts) samples of the

facultatively symbiotic stony coral *Oculina arbuscula*. This work reveals cell state-specific

compartmentalization of the immune system that allows symbiotic hosts to downregulate key

immunity pathways in algal-containing cells while maintaining constitutive organismal immunity

across other cell types. Our results are consistent with emerging data indicating that the cnidarian-

algal symbiosis is a balancing act between nutritional status and immunity (12,13,19). Hosts must

balance beneficial nutrient acquisition from photosynthetic algae with the required downregulation

of the immune system to allow for intracellular symbiont establishment and maintenance.

Whole-organism transcriptomic and proteomic research has yielded many insights into

how symbiosis influences cnidarian host function. For example, transcriptomic (13) and proteomic

(ref. 62, and this study) experiments have demonstrated how symbiosis affects gene expression

and protein abundance. Our comparison of whole-organism proteomics between different

symbiotic states at baseline conditions supports previous experiments (13,19,63) suggesting that

differential regulation of the host immune system is required to maintain symbiosis. Specifically,

we observed a decrease in proteins within the NF-κB pathway in symbiotic compared to

aposymbiotic samples, which is consistent with gene expression patterns in *O. arbuscula* observed

by Rivera and Davies (13). However, signals from whole-organism studies may be confounded by

differences in proportions of cell types sampled and opposing expression signals within these cell

types (64).

471   scRNA-seq has been gaining traction in research on the coral immune system. In one study,

472 Levy et al. (44) characterized two immune cell states with distinct expression patterns in adults of

473 the obligate symbiotic stony coral *Stylaphora pistillata*. In other studies, Hu et al. (45,65) described

474 how LePin, a lectin involved in phagocytic pathways of the cnidarian immune system, is required

475 for symbiont establishment in algal-hosting cells of the soft coral *Xenia* sp. Cell state-specific

476 regulation of the cnidarian immune system has also been proposed to occur in the sea anemone *E.*

477 *pallida* via whole-larvae fluorescence imaging and transcriptomics (66). Notably, MYD88, a

478 protein which transduces extracellular signals into the host to induce the NF-κB pathway (67,68),

479 is repressed in *E. pallida* algal-hosting cells; this local immune modulation only occurs in

480 symbiosis with compatible algae, thereby allowing host cells to sort and expel other non-symbiotic

481 microbes (66).

482   Our scRNA-seq approach highlights how the *O. arbuscula* immune system is

483 compartmentalized across cells and reveals how cell state-specific immune modulation may be

484 key to the maintenance of symbiosis in a host with a functional immune system. Here, one

485 population of gastrodermal cells hosts algal symbionts in symbiotic *O. arbuscula* by

486 downregulating select pathways in the innate immune system. Although we were unable to identify

487 LePin in our data, a clear signature of immunity downregulation was observed, which included

488 several Heat-Shock Proteins (46,48,49,54,69) and Cathepsins (50–52) that are also known to be

489 part of the NF-κB pathway. Interestingly, these genes have been previously shown to be markers

490 for immune cells in the stony coral *S. pistillata* (44), but it is not known whether this immune

491 signature in gastrodermal cells is conserved in cnidarians engaged in obligate symbioses.

492   Evidence for localized regulation of the NF-κB pathway in algal-hosting gastrodermal cells

493 has now been shown in a soft coral (65), a sea anemone (66), and a stony coral (this study). This

compartmentalized immune system regulation allows for the maintenance of whole-organism

immunity across other cell types, most notably in immune cells. We observed no differences in

gene expression in *O. arbuscula* Immune Cells between symbiotic and aposymbiotic tissues. The

maintenance of immunity function across other cell types in *O. arbuscula* in and out of symbiosis

provides nuance to the proposed immunity-nutrient tradeoff that symbiotic cnidarians must

balance: that is, in order that hosting algal symbionts is not be at the cost of whole-organism

immunity. The immune system can evolve in different ways across metazoans. For example, in

the three-spined stickleback *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, eight distinct immune cell states were

identified with distinct abundances and transcriptomic profiles across populations, demonstrating

specialized and rapid evolution of compartmentalized immune regulation (70). Here, we observe,

in a more basal metazoan and invertebrate, that "microevolution" of the immune system in *O.*

arbuscula has occurred in three transcriptomically distinct immune cell states and, notably, in a

specialized gastrodermal cell state, allowing these gastrodermal cells to function as pseudo-

immune cells without a cost to immune cell function. Notably, because canonical immune cells

should be able to maintain whole-organism immunity across symbiotic states, previous work

showing differences in pathogen susceptibility by symbiotic state observed in tropical corals in the

field (22,24) may be attributed to other factors (e.g., capacity for heterotrophy, efficiency of host-

algal nutrient exchange, etc.) and may not be due to baseline immune cell tradeoffs as a result of

symbiosis (25). However, additional work focused on characterizing differences between

immunity compartmentalization in obligate versus facultative cnidarians is necessary. Finally, the

attenuation of immune factors in the gastrodermal algal-hosting cells may represent a weak point

of entry for pathogen infection. Indeed, Stony Coral Tissue Loss Disease involves a breakdown of

symbiosis, and recent work has indicated that pathogens originating from the algal endosymbionts

may be responsible for this disease (71).

Our single-cell atlas also provides insights into carbon and nitrogen cycling within the

gastrodermal cells of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*. Notably, we find that the

gastrodermal cells where immunity is suppressed to allow for symbiosis are the same cells that

undergo active nutrient cycling, likely to regulate algal cell proliferation (6,13,72). Previous work

has proposed that increased nitrogen cycling and assimilation occurring in symbiotic host cells

controls algal cell proliferation to maintain optimal nutrient exchange between host and symbiont,

preventing parasitism of the symbiont on the host (6,12). In our data, the co-expression of sugar

transport and nitrogen cycling genes within the same (algal-hosting) cells supports recent work

showing that the stability of cnidarian-algal relationships relies on glucose-dependent nitrogen

competition between the host and symbiont (12). In this model, the metabolites and energy

produced from host glycolysis of symbiont-derived glucose are used by the host for amino acid

synthesis, through which nitrogen species (e.g., ammonium) can be assimilated. Hosts can thus

modulate the amount of ammonium translocated to the symbiont for proliferation (12). However,

here, gastrodermal cells from aposymbiotic tissue exhibited lower expression of sugar transport

and nitrogen cycling genes, which is likely driven by symbiotic cnidarians obtaining glucose

sugars from symbiotic algae, whereas aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* rely on heterotrophic sources to

meet their metabolic needs (9,73). Thus, metabolic processing of carbon and nitrogen are likely

performed through distinct pathways in cnidarians that rely predominantly on heterotrophy (i.e.,

aposymbiotic corals) compared to those that rely predominantly on autotrophy (i.e., symbiotic

corals) (62).

538   Finally, while our 16S rRNA analysis revealed no differences in the composition or

539 diversity of the bacterial communities between symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*,

540 microbial partners may still play a role in nutrient cycling and host immunity. Differences in

541 bacterial composition may not have been observed in our study because corals were housed in

542 common garden conditions. Future experiments with increased sample sizes collected *in situ*,

543 coupled with metabolomics or metatranscriptomics of the bacterial communities, would more fully

544 capture the functional variation of bacterial communities between symbiotic states.

545   Our scRNA-seq approach applied in a facultative coral provides a useful system to study

546 the cell and molecular processes associated with the cnidarian-algal symbiosis. Previous work

547 using whole-organism experimental techniques suggested that immunity costs are associated with

548 hosting algal symbionts (13,19). Herein, we showcase how differences in cell abundance and gene

549 expression can conflate patterns observed in whole-organism 'omics studies and, importantly,

550 facilitate compartmentalization of immune regulation to allow for endosymbiosis. We describe

551 highly specialized cell state-specific immune system regulation in a basal metazoan, highlighting

552 that the immune costs of symbiosis previously observed in whole organism studies might not be

553 as costly as previously assumed. Future work should explore how compartmentalized immunity is

554 modulated in different host-algal pairings in facultative and obligate cnidarians and determine how

555 these cellular processes are shaped by changing environmental conditions.

557 **Acknowledgements**

558 This research was supported by a National Science Foundation grant IOS-1937650 (to T.D.G. and

559 S.W.D.). M.V.-I. was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship and NSF NRT DGE

560 1735087. M.V.-I. And J.K.D.-A. were supported by Boston University Marine Program (BUMP)

561   Warren McLeod Marine Fellowships. We thank Diana Burkart-Waco of 10X Genomics Support

562   for assistance with data processing. We also thank Boston University Microarray & Sequencing

563   Resource Core Facility, the Boston University Single Cell Sequencing Core Facility, Boston

564   University Shared Computing Cluster, and the Tufts University Core Facility.

Data availability

567   Raw fastq files for 16S and single-cell RNA-seq data can be found on NCBI's Short Read Archive

568   Bioproject   PRJNA1122932.   All   data   and   code   for   analyses   can   be   found   at

569   https://github.com/mariaingersoll/Oculina_sc_manuscript.git.

Competing interests

572   The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Thrall PH, Hochberg ME, Burdon JJ, Bever JD. Coevolution of symbiotic mutualists and parasites in a community context. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 2007 Mar 1;22(3):120–6.

2. Baker DM, Freeman CJ, Wong JCY, Fogel ML, Knowlton N. Climate change promotes parasitism in a coral symbiosis. ISME Journal. 2018 Mar;12(3):921–30.

3. Lesser MP, Stat M, Gates RD. The endosymbiotic dinoflagellates (Symbiodinium sp.) of corals are parasites and mutualists. Coral Reefs. 2013 Sep 1;32(3):603–11.

4. Mansfield KM, Gilmore TD. Innate immunity and cnidarian-Symbiodiniaceae mutualism. Developmental & Comparative Immunology. 2019 Jan 1;90:199–209.

5. Zambrano-Villa S, Rosales-Borjas D, Carrero JC, Ortiz-Ortiz L. How protozoan parasites evade the immune response. Trends in Parasitology. 2002 Jun 1;18(6):272–8.

6. Xiang T, Lehnert E, Jinkerson RE, Clowez S, Kim RG, DeNofrio JC, et al. Symbiont population control by host-symbiont metabolic interaction in Symbiodiniaceae-cnidarian associations. Nature Communications. 2020 Jan 8;11(1):108.

7. Whittle M, Bonsall MB, Barreaux AMG, Ponton F, English S. A theoretical model for host-controlled regulation of symbiont density. Journal of Evolutionary Biology. 2023 Dec 1;36(12):1731–44.

8. Muscatine L, Cernichiari E. Assimilation of photosynthetic products of zooxanthellae by a reef coral. The Biological Bulletin. 1969 Dec;137(3):506–23.

9. Burriesci MS, Raab TK, Pringle JR. Evidence that glucose is the major transferred metabolite in dinoflagellate–cnidarian symbiosis. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2012 Oct 1;215(19):3467–77.

10. Lipschultz F, Cook C. Uptake and assimilation of 15N-ammonium by the symbiotic sea anemones *Bartholomea annulata* and *Aiptasia pallida*: conservation versus recycling of nitrogen. Marine Biology. 2002 Mar 1;140(3):489–502.

11. Yellowlees D, Rees TAV, Leggat W. Metabolic interactions between algal symbionts and invertebrate hosts. Plant, Cell & Environment. 2008;31(5):679–94.

12. Cui G, Liew YJ, Li Y, Kharbatia N, Zahran NI, Emwas AH, et al. Host-dependent nitrogen recycling as a mechanism of symbiont control in Aiptasia. PLOS Genetics. 2019 June 24;15(6):e1008189.

13. Rivera HE, Davies SW. Symbiosis maintenance in the facultative coral, Oculina arbuscula, relies on nitrogen cycling, cell cycle modulation, and immunity. Scientific Reports. 2021 Oct 27;11(1):21226.

14. Hoegh-Guldberg O. Coral reef ecosystems and anthropogenic climate change. Regional Environmental Change. 2011 Mar 1;11(1):215–27.

15. Bove CB, Ingersoll MV, Davies SW. Help me, symbionts, you're my only hope: approaches to accelerate our understanding of coral holobiont interactions. Integrative and Comparative Biology. 2022 Dec 1;62(6):1756–69.

16. Davy SK, Allemand D, Weis VM. Cell biology of cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 2012 Jun 11;76(2):229–61.

17. Cui G, Konciute MK, Ling L, Esau L, Raina JB, Han B, et al. Molecular insights into the Darwin paradox of coral reefs from the sea anemone Aiptasia. Science Advances. 2023 Mar 15;9(11):eadf7108.

18. Gault JA, Bentlage B, Huang D, Kerr AM. Lineage-specific variation in the evolutionary stability of coral photosymbiosis. Science Advances. 2021 Sep 22;7(39):eabh4243.

19. Mansfield KM, Carter NM, Nguyen L, Cleves PA, Alshanbayeva A, Williams LM, et al.

Transcription factor NF-κB is modulated by symbiotic status in a sea anemone model of cnidarian bleaching. Scientific Reports. 2017 Nov 22;7(1):16025.

20. Matthews JL, Crowder CM, Oakley CA, Lutz A, Roessner U, Meyer E, et al. Optimal nutrient exchange and immune responses operate in partner specificity in the cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA. 2017 Dec 12;114(50):13194–9.

21. Stankiewicz KH, Guiglielmoni N, Kitchen SA, Flot JF, Barott KL, Davies SW, et al. Genomic comparison of the temperate coral Astrangia poculata with tropical corals yields insights into winter quiescence, innate immunity, and sexual reproduction. bioRxiv; 2023. p. 2023.09.22.558704.

22. Merselis DG, Lirman D, Rodriguez-Lanetty M. Symbiotic immuno-suppression: is disease susceptibility the price of bleaching resistance? PeerJ. 2018 Apr 17;6:e4494.

23. Muller EM, Bartels E, Baums IB. Bleaching causes loss of disease resistance within the threatened coral species *Acropora cervicornis*. eLife. 2018 Sep 11;7:e35066.

24. Shore-Maggio A, Callahan SM, Aeby GS. Trade-offs in disease and bleaching susceptibility among two color morphs of the Hawaiian reef coral, *Montipora capitata*. Coral Reefs. 2018 Jun 1;37(2):507–17.

25. Valadez-Ingersoll M, Aguirre Carrión PJ, Bodnar CA, Desai NA, Gilmore TD, Davies SW. Starvation differentially affects gene expression, immunity and pathogen susceptibility across symbiotic states in a model cnidarian. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2024 Feb 28;291(2017):20231685.

26. Changsut I, Womack HR, Shickle A, Sharp KH, Fuess LE. Variation in symbiont density is linked to changes in constitutive immunity in the facultatively symbiotic coral, *Astrangia poculata*. Biology Letters. 2022 Nov 16;18(11):20220273.

27. Lajeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Reimer JD. A genetics-based description of *Symbiodinium minutum* sp. nov. and *S. psygmophilum* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), two dinoflagellates symbiotic with cnidaria. Journal of Phycology. 2012;48(6):1380–91.

28. Enríquez S, Méndez ER, Inglesias-Prieto RI. Multiple scattering on coral skeletons enhances light absorption by symbiotic algae. Limnology and Oceanography. 2005;50(4):1025–32.

29. Scheufen T, Iglesias-Prieto R, Enríquez S. Changes in the number of symbionts and Symbiodinium cell pigmentation modulate differentially coral light absorption and photosynthetic performance. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2017 Sep 26;4.

30. Vásquez-Elizondo RM, Legaria-Moreno L, Pérez-Castro MÁ, Krämer WE, Scheufen T, Iglesias-Prieto R, et al. Absorptance determinations on multicellular tissues. Photosynthesis Research. 2017 Jun 1;132(3):311–24.

31. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. Environmental Microbiology. 2016;18(5):1403–14.

32. Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquatic Microbial Ecology. 2015 Jun 4;75(2):129–37.

33. Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package. 2024. Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html.

34. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology. 2014 Dec 5;15(12):550.

35. Aguirre Carrión PJ, Williams LM, Gilmore TD. Molecular and biochemical approaches to study the evolution of NF-κB signaling in basal metazoans. Methods in Molecular Biology. 2021 2366:67-91 I5.

36. Guo H, Isserlin R, Chen X, Wang W, Phanse S, Zandstra PW, et al. Integrative network analysis of signaling in human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells by global phosphoproteomic profiling using TiO2 enrichment combined with 2D LC-MS/MS and pathway mapping. PROTEOMICS. 2013;13(8):1325–33.

37. Ying H, Cooke I, Sprungala S, Wang W, Hayward DC, Tang Y, et al. Comparative genomics reveals the distinct evolutionary trajectories of the robust and complex coral lineages. Genome Biology. 2018 Nov 2;19(1):175.

38. Blum BC, Emili A. Omics Notebook: robust, reproducible and flexible automated multiomics exploratory analysis and reporting. Bioinformatics Advances. 2021 Jan 1;1(1):vbab024.

39. Mering C von, Huynen M, Jaeggi D, Schmidt S, Bork P, Snel B. STRING: a database of predicted functional associations between proteins. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003 Jan 1;31(1):258–61.

40. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Research. 2003 Nov 1;13(11):2498–504.

41. Rosental B, Kozhekbaeva Z, Fernhoff N, Tsai JM, Traylor-Knowles N. Coral cell separation and isolation by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). BMC Cell Biology. 2017 Aug 29;18(1):30.

42. Zheng GXY, Terry JM, Belgrader P, Ryvkin P, Bent ZW, Wilson R, et al. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. Nature Communications. 2017 Jan 16;8(1):14049.

43. Hao Y, Stuart T, Kowalski MH, Choudhary S, Hoffman P, Hartman A, et al. Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. Nature Biotechnology. 2024 Feb;42(2):293–304.

44. Levy S, Elek A, Grau-Bové X, Menéndez-Bravo S, Iglesias M, Tanay A, et al. A stony coral cell atlas illuminates the molecular and cellular basis of coral symbiosis, calcification, and immunity. Cell. 2021 May 27;184(11):2973-2987.e18.

45. Hu M, Zheng X, Fan CM, Zheng Y. Lineage dynamics of the endosymbiotic cell type in the soft coral Xenia. Nature. 2020 Jun;582(7813):534–8.

46. DeSalvo MK, Sunagawa S, Voolstra CR, Medina M. Transcriptomic responses to heat stress and bleaching in the elkhorn coral Acropora palmata. Marine Ecology Progress Series. 2010 Mar 8;402:97–113.

47. Kenkel CD, Aglyamova G, Alamaru A, Bhagooli R, Capper R, Cunning R, et al. Development of gene expression markers of acute heat-light stress in reef-building corals of the genus Porites. PLOS ONE. 2011 Oct 26;6(10):e26914.

48. Kenkel CD, Sheridan C, Leal MC, Bhagooli R, Castillo KD, Kurata N, et al. Diagnostic gene expression biomarkers of coral thermal stress. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2014;14(4):667–78.

49. Cleves PA, Krediet CJ, Lehnert EM, Onishi M, Pringle JR. Insights into coral bleaching under heat stress from analysis of gene expression in a sea anemone model system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2020 Nov 17;117(46):28906–17.

50. Seth P, Mahajan VS, Chauhan SS. Transcription of human cathepsin L mRNA species hCATL B from a novel alternative promoter in the first intron of its gene. Gene. 2003 Dec 4;321:83–

91.

51. Sproles AE, Oakley CA, Matthews JL, Peng L, Owen JG, Grossman AR, et al. Proteomics
quantifies protein expression changes in a model cnidarian colonised by a thermally tolerant
but suboptimal symbiont. ISME Journal. 2019 Sep;13(9):2334–45.

52. Senjor E, Kos J, Nanut MP. Cysteine cathepsins as therapeutic targets in immune regulation
and immune disorders. Biomedicines. 2023 Feb;11(2):476.

53. Soria-Valles C, Gutiérrez-Fernández A, Osorio FG, Carrero D, Ferrando AA, Colado E, et al.
MMP-25 metalloprotease regulates innate immune response through NF-κB signaling.
Journal of Immunology. 2016 Jul 1;197(1):296–302.

54. Ávila MF, Torrente D, Cabezas R, Morales L, García-Segura LM, Gonzalez J, et al. Structural
insights from GRP78–NF-κB binding interactions: A computational approach to understand a
possible neuroprotective pathway in brain injuries. Journal of Theoretical Biology. 2014 Mar
21;345:43–51.

55. Liu T, Zhang L, Joo D, Sun SC. NF-κB signaling in inflammation. Signal Transduction and
Targeted Therapy. 2017 Jul 14;2(1):1–9.

56. Heyninck K, De Valck D, Berghe WV, Van Criekinge W, Contreras R, Fiers W, et al. The
zinc finger protein A20 inhibits TNF-induced NF-κB–dependent gene expression by
interfering with an RIP- or TRAF2-mediated transactivation signal and directly binds to a
novel NF-κB–inhibiting protein ABIN. Journal of Cell Biology. 1999 Jun 28;145(7):1471–82.

57. Xie Z, Zhang M, Zhou G, Lin L, Han J, Wang Y, et al. Emerging roles of the Hedgehog
signalling pathway in inflammatory bowel disease. Cell Death Discovery. 2021 Oct 26;7(1):1–
9.

58. Chanchevalap S, Nandan MO, McConnell BB, Charrier L, Merlin D, Katz JP, et al. Krüppel-
like factor 5 is an important mediator for lipopolysaccharide-induced proinflammatory
response in intestinal epithelial cells. Nucleic Acids Research. 2006 Feb 1;34(4):1216–23.

59. Kumada K, Fuse N, Tamura T, Okamori C, Kurata S. HSP70/DNAJA3
chaperone/cochaperone regulates NF-κB activity in immune responses. Biochemical and
Biophysical Research Communications. 2019 Jun 11;513(4):947–51.

60. Chou CW, Huang YK, Kuo TT, Liu JP, Sher YP. An Overview of ADAM9: structure,
activation, and regulation in human diseases. International Journal of Molecular Sciences.
2020 Jan;21(20):7790.

61. He WA, Berardi E, Cardillo VM, Acharyya S, Aulino P, Thomas-Ahner J, et al. NF-κB–
mediated Pax7 dysregulation in the muscle microenvironment promotes cancer cachexia.
Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2013 Nov 1;123(11):4821–35.

62. Oakley CA, Ameismeier MF, Peng L, Weis VM, Grossman AR, Davy SK. Symbiosis induces
widespread changes in the proteome of the model cnidarian Aiptasia. Cellular Microbiology.
2016;18(7):1009–23.

63. Lehnert EM, Mouchka ME, Burriesci MS, Gallo ND, Schwarz JA, Pringle JR. Extensive
differences in gene expression between symbiotic and aposymbiotic cnidarians. G3
Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2014 Feb 1;4(2):277–95.

64. Freedman AH, Sackton TB. Rethinking eco-evo studies of gene expression for non-model
organisms in the genomic era. Molecular Ecology. 2024 May 9;n/a(n/a):e17378.

65. Hu M, Bai Y, Zheng X, Zheng Y. Coral–algal endosymbiosis characterized using RNAi and
single-cell RNA-seq. Nature Microbiology. 2023 Jul;8(7):1240–51.

66. Jacobovitz MR, Rupp S, Voss PA, Maegele I, Gornik SG, Guse A. Dinoflagellate symbionts
escape vomocytosis by host cell immune suppression. Nature Microbiology. 2021

Jun;6(6):769–82.

67. Sugiyama K ichi, Muroi M, Kinoshita M, Hamada O, Minai Y, Sugita-Konishi Y, et al. NF-
κB activation via MyD88-dependent Toll-like receptor signaling is inhibited by trichothecene
mycotoxin deoxynivalenol. Journal of Toxicological Sciences. 2016;41(2):273–9.

68. Gohda J, Matsumura T, Inoue J ichiro. Cutting edge: TNFR-associated factor (TRAF) 6 is
essential for MyD88-dependent pathway but not Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing
adaptor-inducing IFN-β (TRIF)-dependent pathway in TLR signaling. Journal of
Immunology. 2004 Sep 1;173(5):2913–7.

69. Ammirante M, Rosati A, Gentilella A, Festa M, Petrella A, Marzullo L, et al. The activity of
hsp90α promoter is regulated by NF-κB transcription factors. Oncogene. 2008
Feb;27(8):1175–8.

70. Fuess LE, Bolnick DI. Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals microevolution of the stickleback
immune system. Genome Biology and Evolution. 2023 Apr 1;15(4):evad053.

71. Work TM, Weatherby TM, Landsberg JH, Kiryu Y, Cook SM, Peters EC. Viral-like particles
are associated with endosymbiont pathology in Florida corals affected by Stony Coral Tissue
Loss Disease. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2021 Nov 5;8.

72. Rädecker N, Pogoreutz C, Voolstra CR, Wiedenmann J, Wild C. Nitrogen cycling in corals:
the key to understanding holobiont functioning? Trends in Microbiology. 2015 Aug
1;23(8):490–7.

73. Goldberg WM. Coral food, feeding, nutrition, and secretion: a review. Results and Problems
in Cell Differentiation. 2018 65:377-421.8.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Fig. S1. Similarity in bacterial communities by symbiotic state. Relative abundance of 16S
ASVs from rarefied metabarcoding reads across different bacterial Phyla in symbiotic and
aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula*.

Figure S2. No differences in alpha diversity in the bacterial communities (16S rRNA metabarcoding) of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* (a Simpson Diversity; **b** Shannon Diversity, **c** Observed Species Richness from rarefied reads; **d** Evenness). Box plots display the median and the 1st and 3rd quartiles (hinges). Whiskers extend to the maximum and minimum points if they fall within 1.5 x the Interquartile Range (if no whiskers are drawn, points outside of the box are outliers). Each point is the alpha diversity metric of one individual (with the shape as genet).

792
Figure S3. Gastrodermis 1 cells separate by symbiotic state along the first 7 PCs. Distribution
of cells from the Gastrodermis 1 cluster from symbiotic (brown) and aposymbiotic (gray) *O.*
arbuscula along Principal Components (PCs) 1-10 (**a** PC1 and PC2; **b** PC3 and PC4; **c** PC5 and
PC6; **d** PC7 and PC8; **e** PC9 and PC10). Asterisks denote significant clustering of cells by
symbiotic state along each PC (from analysis of variance; *** = *p*-value < 0.001, *** = *p*-value <
0.01, * = *p*-value < 0.05).

Figure S4. Immune genes are downregulated in symbiotic compared to aposymbiotic cells within the Gastrodermis 1 cluster. Putative NF-κB pathway genes Matrix Metallopeptidase 25 (MMP25 **a**) and Glucose-Regulated Protein 78 (GRP78 **b**) were more highly expressed in Gastrodermis 1 cells from aposymbiotic compared to symbiotic *O. arbuscula*. Expression levels of genes in **a-b** are normalized per cell, and significance is calculated from DESeq2 (*** = *p*-adjusted value < 0.001). Expression of MMP25 (**c**) and GRP78 (**d**) are plotted across all symbiotic and aposymbiotic Gastrodermis 1 cells. Coloration represents the normalized expression value (minimum normalized expression values plotted for MMP25 and GRP78 = 2).

808   **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE**
809
810   **Table S1.** Raw and filtered read counts for samples used in 16S rRNA profiling (sym=symbiotic
811   and apo=aposymbiotic).
812

Sample	Sym State	Raw	Filtered
A13	Sym	21357	20430
A8	Apo	21478	20255
F8	Apo	10073	9138
F1	Sym	13139	12627
D7	Sym	14345	13561
D6	Apo	21389	20513
E8	Apo	13400	12994
E4	Sym	65019	63410
C6	Apo	14825	14102
C9	Sym	13305	11219

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS

Detailed information on sample preparation, bioinformatic analyses, and R packages necessary to

complete the experiments outlined in the Materials and Methods section of the manuscript *Single-*

cell RNA-sequencing reveals immune system compartmentalization under symbiosis in the stony

coral Oculina arbuscula.

Coral husbandry and manipulation of symbiotic state

Symbiotic (in symbiosis with *Brevioulum psygmophilum*) (1) colonies from seven genetic

backgrounds (genets A-G) of *Oculina arbuscula* were collected at Radio Island Jetty, North

Carolina (34˚ 42.520' N, 76˚ 40.796' W) in May 2018 under NC Division of Marine Fisheries

Permit #1627488. These colonies have been maintained at Boston University in common garden

aquaria (25 °C (± 0.17, SD), 34.6 PSU (± 0.76, SD), pH 8.0 (± 0.08, SD)) since May, 2018. One

subset of aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* branches was generated via menthol bleaching in Spring 2021

(symbiont counts, 16S metabarcoding, proteomics) and a second subset was generated in Spring

2022 (scRNAseq). Briefly, branches were incubated in 0.58 mM menthol in seawater under

common garden light conditions. The menthol solution was replaced every 24 h for a total of two

weeks. After aposymbiotic status was confirmed by a lack of symbiont autofluorescence under

fluorescence microscopy (Leica M165 FC), aposymbiotic branches were transferred back to

common garden aquaria and fragments were maintained for at least 2 months of recovery prior to

physiological and multiomic profiling.

Tissue removal and symbiont cell quantification

To measure symbiont cell densities hosted in branches of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O.*

arbuscula, branches of approximately 2.5 cm in length were fragmented from symbiotic (N=10)

and aposymbiotic (N=10) samples from genets A, C, D, E, and F, with at least one sample per

genet for each symbiotic state. Tissue was removed via airbrushing into 0.2 μm-filtered Artificial

Seawater (Instant Ocean). Total volume was noted, the resulting tissue slurry was homogenized,

and an aliquot was subsampled for symbiont quantification using a hemocytometer. Skeleton

surface area was measured using an Einscan-SE scanner and MeshLab software and symbiont cells

were normalized to surface area. Statistical differences between symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O.*

arbuscula fragments were calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test in Rstudio v4.3.0, as

assumptions of normality were not met.

Coral spectroscopic determinations

The light absorption capacity of symbiotic and aposymbiotic *O. arbuscula* was compared using

reflectance (R) and absorptance (A) (2–4). Coral reflectance (R), the fraction of light reflected by

the sample, was measured between 400-750 nm in intact coral fragments using a miniature

spectroradiometer (Flame-T-UV-Vis, Ocean Optics Inc.). Briefly, samples were placed in a black

container filled with filtered seawater and illuminated with homogeneous diffuse light by

positioning a semi-sphere with an internal reflecting coating (barium oxide BaO) above the

sample, and a ring of LEDs and halogen lamps directed upwards into the reflective coating. The

reflected light was collected by a 2 mm diameter fiber-optic that was placed 1 cm above the surface

of the sample at an angle of 45°. Reflectance was expressed as the ratio of the measurement from

the tissue surface relative to the reflectance of a coral reference (a bleached *O. arbuscula* skeleton

cleaned with commercial Hydrochloric Acid HCl). The coral absorptance (A), which describes the

fraction of incident light absorbed by the coral tissue, was calculated from the reflectance spectra

as $A = 1 - R$ (2,4). The absorptance peak of chlorophyll a (Chl a) at 675 nm was calculated as A_{675}

$= 1 - R_{675}$, assuming that transmission through the skeleton of the samples is negligible.

Microbiome profiling

To identify bacterial communities associated with symbiotic and aposymbiotic fragments, one

symbiotic and one aposymbiotic fragment from genotypes A, C, D, E, and F were flash frozen,

and one polyp from each fragment was preserved in ethanol for 16S rRNA metabarcoding (N=10).

Metabarcoding libraries were generated using a series of PCR amplifications for the V4/V5 region

of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene (5,6) as follows: 95°C for 40 sec, 58°C for 120 sec, and 72°C for

60 sec for 32 cycles, with a final elongation step of 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were purified

using GeneJET PCR Purification kits (Thermo Fisher) and eluted in 30 μl. Each PCR product was

barcoded via five PCR cycles and visualized on a 1% agarose gel to assess relative concentrations.

Five negative controls using water were prepared and later used to remove contaminating

sequences. Samples were pooled in equal concentrations, gel extracted, and submitted for paired-

end 250 bp sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq at the Tufts University Core Facility.

16S primers were removed from raw reads using cutadapt (7). DADA2 v1.28.0 (8), quality

filtering was conducted, and 1,232 sequence variants (ASVs) were inferred. Taxonomy was

assigned at 100% sequence identity using the *Silva* v. 138.1 database (9). ASVs matching

mitochondria, chloroplasts, or non-bacterial kingdoms were removed (83 ASVs removed) and 20

ASVs were removed based on negative controls as contaminants (decontam v1.2.0; (10)). Cleaned

counts were rarefied to 8,298 using vegan v2.6-4 (11) and trimmed using MCMC.OTU v1.0.10

(12) to remove ASVs in less than 0.02% of counts, resulting in 661 ASVs across samples. The

composition of bacterial communities across symbiotic state were compared via alpha diversity

(Shannon index, Simpson's index, ASV richness, and evenness) using phyloseq v1.44.0 (function

estimate_richness (13)) and beta diversity was assessed using a PCoA on Bray–Curtis dissimilarity

with a PERMANOVA in the vegan package (v2.6-4 (11)). Alpha diversity metrics were compared

using linear mixed effects models ((package lme4; v1.1-35.3 (14)) with symbiotic state as the

predictor and a random effect of coral genotype. The effect of symbiotic state on beta diversity

was assessed using the function *betadisper* (vegan package; v2.6-4 (11)). DESeq2 v1.40.2 (15)

then explored differentially abundant ASVs to ensure that subtle differences in specific taxa were

not overlooked.

Proteomic profiling

Mass spectroscopy (MS) was used to identify differentially enriched proteins from total protein

isolated from fragments of five symbiotic genets (Ax2, C, E, and F) and three aposymbiotic genets

(C, E, and F). As described previously (16), each fragment (approximately 1 cm x 1 cm) was

washed in PBS then crushed and incubated in 1X AT Lysis buffer with proteinase inhibitors (10

mM HEPES pH 7.9, 1 mM EDTA, I mM EGTA, 20% w/v glycerol, 1% w/v Triton X-100, 20

mM NaF, 1 mM $Na_4P_2O_7 \cdot 10H_2O$, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride,

1 µg/ml leupeptin, 1 µg/ml pepstatin A, 10 µg/ml aprotinin) for 1 h at 4 °C on an orbital shaker with

occasional vortexing. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C,

and the supernatant was stored at -80 °C prior to analysis by MS.

For MS, tryptic peptide mixtures were analyzed by nano-scale high-performance liquid

chromatography (Proxeon EASY-Nano system, Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with online

nanoelectrospray ionization tandem MS (Q-Exactive HF-X mass spectrometer; Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Briefly, samples were loaded into the system with aqueous 0.1% (v/v) formic acid via

a trap column (75 μm i.d. × 2 cm, Acclaim PepMap100 C18 3 μm, 100 Å, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and peptides were resolved over an Easy-Spray analytical column (50 cm × 75 μm ID,

PepMap RSLC C18, Thermo Fisher Scientific) by an increasingly mobile phase B. comprising 2%

acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid, while organic phase B consisted of 80% acetonitrile and 0.1%

formic acid. Reverse phase separation was performed over 120 min at a flow rate of 300 nl/min.

Eluted peptides were ionized directly into the mass spectrometer using a nanospray ion source.

The mass spectrometer was operated in positive ion mode with a capillary temperature of 300 ℃

and a potential of 2,100 V applied to the frit. Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) was performed

using high-energy collision-induced dissociation, and 10 MS/MS data-dependent scans (45,000

resolution) were acquired in profile mode alongside each profile mode full-scan mass spectra

(120,000 resolution), as previously described (17). For MS scans, the automatic gain control

(AGC) was set at 1×10^6 ions with a maximum fill time of 60 ms. MS/MS scans had an AGC of

3×10^4, with a maximum injection time of 80 msec, activation time of 0.1 msec, and 33%

normalized collision energy. To prevent repeated selection of peptides for MS/MS, a dynamic

exclusion list was activated to exclude all fragmented ions for 60 sec.

For protein identification and analysis, data files (RAW format) were searched using the

standard workflow of MaxQuant version 2.4 (http://www.maxquant.org/) under standard settings

using concatenated genomes of *Astrangia poculata* (18) and *Fungia* sp. (19). The parameters

included two missed trypsin cleavage sites, fixed carbamidomethylation of cysteine, variable

methionine oxidation, protein N-terminal acetylation, and phosphorylation of STY residues. For

the first search, precursor ion tolerances were set at 20 ppm, and for the second search, they were

set to 4.5 ppm. The MS/MS peaks were de-isotoped and searched using a 20-ppm mass tolerance.

A stringent false discovery rate (FDR) threshold of 1% was used to filter candidate peptides and

protein identifications. The searched intensity data were filtered, normalized, and clustered using

Omics Notebook (20). Filtering was performed to remove any proteins not identified in at least

70% of samples, with 2,730 proteins passing the filter. After filtering, both datasets showed low

levels of sparsity, and no missing value imputation was performed. The LIMMA R package was

used for LOESS normalization and differential abundance analysis (21). Differential analysis of

proteomic profiles between aposymbiotic and symbiotic samples was based on a moderated t-test

(20). Proteins were considered differentially abundant if they had a Bonferroni-adjusted p-value <

0.1. Raw intensity counts were normalized using the *rlog* transformation function in DESeq2 (15)

and visualized using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with the package vegan v2.6-4 (11).

The effects of symbiotic state and genet were assessed using PERMANOVA with the adonis2

function in vegan v2.6-4 (11).

Predicted peptides of *O. arbuscula* were searched against the human proteome v.11.5 from

the STRING v.11 database (22) with an e-value cut-off of 1×10^{-5}. Protein–protein interactions of

select differentially expressed proteins (FDR < 0.1) were retrieved from the STRING v.11 database

(22). Interaction networks were visualized using Cytoscape v.3.7.2 (23).

Single-cell RNA-sequencing

To create single-cell libraries, one symbiotic (F1) and one aposymbiotic (F8) branch were sampled

for single-cell isolation and 10X cDNA sequencing, using a previously verified protocol for coral

cell isolation (24). Each fragment of approximately 1.5 cm in length was placed into 10 ml of ice-

cold calcium-free artificial seawater (0.2-micron filter-sterilized). The fragment was then moved

to 10 ml of ice-cold cell-isolation media (3.3x PBS, 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 20

mM HEPES buffer in deionized H_2O). Using RNase-free forceps, tissue was mechanically scraped

from the skeleton until no visible tissue remained (<10 min). On ice, the cell-slurry was filtered

through a 70-μm filter, then through two 40-μm filters. This process was repeated for each sample.

1 ml of filtered cell suspension was centrifuged at 4℃ for 10 min at 300 x g, and the pellet was

resuspended in 100 μl of cell-isolation media with 0.2 U/μl Protector RNase Inhibitor. Samples

were analyzed by the Boston University Single Cell Sequencing Core Facility where cell counts

and viability were quantified by 0.04% Trypan Blue staining and counting with a hemocytometer.

Symbiotic branch F1 had a concentration of 2,625 cells/μl and a viability of 81.6%. Aposymbiotic

branch F8 had a concentration of 3,312.5 cells/μl and a viability of 86.4%.

cDNA for each sample was generated following the 10X Genomics Chromium Single Cell

3'v3 protocols, and quality was assessed via Bioanalyzer High Sensitivity DNA analysis. Samples

were pooled in equimolar concentration, and the library was sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq

2000 (P3 100 kit) to obtain 50,000 reads per cell. 10X Genomics CellRanger (version 7.2.0 (25))

processed the sequencing reads, which were then aligned to the genome of *Astrangia poculata*

(18)*,* which is closely related to *O. arbuscula*. Reads were mapped to a concatenated host

(*Astrangia poculata*) (18) and symbiont (*Breviolum psygmophilum*) (26) genome. Only reads

aligning confidently to the host reference were used to generate CellRanger output files.

To create cell profiles for *O. arbuscula* and assign cell state identities, host reads from

symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples were analyzed using Seurat (v.5.0.2) (27) in Rstudio

(v.4.2.3). Genes expressed in fewer than three cells were discarded, as were cells expressing fewer

than 200 genes. Datasets were first independently log-normalized, and the top 2000 genes that

exhibited high cell-to-cell variation were identified, expression values of these genes were scaled,

and a PCA was performed. To better identify cell clusters across both symbiotic states, the datasets

were integrated using the Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) Integration method on the PCA

reduction (nearest neighbors parameters). Clusters were identified using 30 dimensions and a

resolution of 0.5 and were visualized using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection

(UMAP). Marker genes were identified using FindAllMarkers (Wilcoxin Rank Sum Test; log-

fold-change threshold of 0.5) and compared to genes highly expressed in cell types from other

cnidarian single-cell datasets (28,29). 28 cell clusters across 6 cell types were identified in the

UMAP and were characterized using marker genes and previous scRNA-seq datasets in the corals

Stylophora pistillata (28) and *Xenia* sp. (29). Expression patterns of top marker genes were

assigned to cell clusters using violin plots, bubble plots, and visualization of gene expression in

individual cells within the UMAP. Next, the proportion of each cell cluster within each library was

calculated, which was defined by the number of cells in each cluster divided by the total number

of cells. Lastly, to assess the broad transcriptomic differences between each cell cluster, the top 10

genes enriched in each cell cluster (using the average log-2-fold-change from FindAllMarkers)

were identified.

To compare expression profiles of specific cell states between symbiotic and aposymbiotic

samples, cell clusters of interest were independently reclustered, and the effect of symbiotic state

on gene expression in each cell state was analyzed. First, the cell cluster of interest (*e.g.,* Immune

Cell, Gastrodermis 1) was subsetted from the full dataset, and new variable features were

identified. Data were then rescaled, and dimensionality reduction was re-run (using the first 30

dimensions). Subclusters were identified with resolutions of 0.5 (Immune Cell) and 0.25

(Gastrodermis 1). The distribution of cells across the subclusters according to the symbiotic state

was visualized using a UMAP. In Gastrodermis 1 and Immune Cell clusters, the proportion that

each subcluster represented from each library was calculated. Differentially expressed genes

between symbiotic states in the Immune Cell and Gastrodermis 1 cell clusters were identified using

the DESeq2 in the FindMarkers function. Additionally, marker genes for each subcluster were

identified. To highlight differences in the transcriptomes of the Immune Cell states, the top 20

genes enriched in each Immune Cell subcluster were identified and plotted (unannotated genes

were removed).

To compare expression of genes involved in sugar transport and nutrient cycling between

gastrodermal cells from symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples, the expression of sugar transport

and nitrogen cycling genes in the reclustered Gastrodermis 1 cells was analyzed. Using VlnPlot,

normalized differential expression values of genes involved in nitrogen cycling/symbiont density

control (Glutamate Dehydrogenase DHE4 and Glutamate Synthase [NADH] GLSN) and sugar

transport (Apolipophorin APOB.1) – identified in previous whole-organism RNA-seq studies (26)

– between symbiotic and aposymbiotic cells were plotted across each of the subclusters of

Gastrodermis 1. FeaturePlot was then used to visualize the co-expression of these genes across the

subclusters of Gastrodermis 1 cells from the symbiotic sample (scaling the per-cell mean

expression value of each gene to a maximum value of 10).

Finally, to identify the genes driving separation across symbiotic state within Gastrodermis

1, the distribution of Gastrodermis 1 cells was visualized along the first 10 Principal Components

(PCs) of the PCA assay that were used to define the Gastrodermis 1 UMAP. An analysis of

variance was used to determine whether the Gastrodermis 1 cells separated by symbiotic state

(symbiotic vs. aposymbiotic) along each PC. The top 20 genes driving the distribution of cells

along the first 7 PCs (10 genes driving each PC in the positive direction and 10 genes driving each

PC in the negative direction) were selected, and unannotated genes were removed for a total of 86

remaining genes. DESeq2 log2foldchange values for each of these genes were plotted in a bar

graph, noting significantly differentially expressed genes (p-adjusted values < 0.05) and genes

hypothesized to be involved in the NF-κB pathway. Normalized differential expression values of

Heat Shock Factor 90 (H90A1), Cathepsin L (CATL.6), Cathepsin Z (CATZ), Matrix

Metallopeptidase 25 (MMP25), and Glucose-Regulated Protein 78 (GRP78) were compared

between symbiotic and aposymbiotic cells in Gastrodermis 1 using violin plots. The distribution

of these genes across Gastrodermis 1 cells from symbiotic and aposymbiotic samples was further

visualized to emphasize the reduction in certain immune pathways in predicted algal-hosting cells

(FeaturePlot; minimum normalized expression value plotted for H90A1=3, and minimum

normalized expression value plotted for CATL.6, CATZ, MMP25, and GRP78=2).

Supplementary References:

1. Lajeunesse TC, Parkinson JE, Reimer JD. A genetics-based description of *Symbiodinium minutum* sp. nov. and *S. psygmophilum* sp. nov. (Dinophyceae), two dinoflagellates symbiotic with cnidaria. Journal of Phycology. 2012;48(6):1380–91.

2. Enríquez S, Méndez ER, -Prieto RI. Multiple scattering on coral skeletons enhances light absorption by symbiotic algae. Limnology and Oceanography. 2005;50(4):1025–32.

3. Scheufen T, Iglesias-Prieto R, Enríquez S. Changes in the number of symbionts and symbiodinium cell pigmentation modulate differentially coral light absorption and photosynthetic performance. Frontiers in Marine Science. 2017 Sep 26;4.

4. Vásquez-Elizondo RM, Legaria-Moreno L, Pérez-Castro MÁ, Krämer WE, Scheufen T, Iglesias-Prieto R, et al. Absorptance determinations on multicellular tissues. Photosynthesis Research. 2017 Jun 1;132(3):311–24.

5. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. Environmental Microbiology. 2016;18(5):1403–14.

6. Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L. Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquatic Microbial Ecology. 2015 Jun 4;75(2):129–37.

7. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet Journal. 2011 May 2;17(1):10–2.

8. Callahan BJ, Sankaran K, Fukuyama JA, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. Bioconductor Workflow for Microbiome Data Analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. F1000Research. 2016 Nov 2;5:1492.

9. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Research. 2013 Jan 1;41(D1):D590–6.

10. Davis NM, Proctor DM, Holmes SP, Relman DA, Callahan BJ. Simple statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and metagenomics data. Microbiome. 2018 Dec 17;6(1):226.

11. Oksanen J, Simpson GL, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin PR, et al. vegan: Community Ecology Package 2024.

12. Matz MV. MCMC.OTU: Bayesian Analysis of Multivariate Counts Data in DNA Metabarcoding and Ecology. 2016.

13. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLOS ONE. 2013 Apr 22;8(4):e61217.

14. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software. 2015 Oct 7;67:1–48.

15. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology. 2014 Dec 5;15(12):550.

16. Aguirre Carrión PJ, Williams LM, Gilmore TD. Molecular and biochemical approaches to study the evolution of NF-κB signaling in basal metazoans. Methods in Molecular Biology 2021 2366:67-91.

17. Guo H, Isserlin R, Chen X, Wang W, Phanse S, Zandstra PW, et al. Integrative network analysis of signaling in human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells by global phosphoproteomic profiling using TiO2 enrichment combined with 2D LC-MS/MS and

pathway mapping. PROTEOMICS. 2013;13(8):1325–33.

18. Stankiewicz KH, Guiglielmoni N, Kitchen SA, Flot JF, Barott KL, Davies SW, et al. Genomic comparison of the temperate coral *Astrangia poculata* with tropical corals yields insights into winter quiescence, innate immunity, and sexual reproduction. bioRxiv; 2023.

19. Ying H, Cooke I, Sprungala S, Wang W, Hayward DC, Tang Y, et al. Comparative genomics reveals the distinct evolutionary trajectories of the robust and complex coral lineages. Genome Biology. 2018 Nov 2;19(1):175.

20. Blum BC, Emili A. Omics Notebook: robust, reproducible and flexible automated multiomics exploratory analysis and reporting. Bioinformatics Advances. 2021 Jan 1;1(1):vbab024.

21. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Research. 2015 Apr 20;43(7):e47.

22. Mering C von, Huynen M, Jaeggi D, Schmidt S, Bork P, Snel B. STRING: a database of predicted functional associations between proteins. Nucleic Acids Research. 2003 Jan 1;31(1):258–61.

23. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Research. 2003 Nov 1;13(11):2498–504.

24. Rosental B, Kozhekbaeva Z, Fernhoff N, Tsai JM, Traylor-Knowles N. Coral cell separation and isolation by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). BMC Cell Biology. 2017 Aug 29;18(1):30.

25. Zheng GXY, Terry JM, Belgrader P, Ryvkin P, Bent ZW, Wilson R, et al. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. Nature Communications. 2017 Jan 16;8(1):14049.

26. Rivera HE, Davies SW. Symbiosis maintenance in the facultative coral, Oculina arbuscula, relies on nitrogen cycling, cell cycle modulation, and immunity. Scientific Reports. 2021 Oct 27;11(1):21226.

27. Hao Y, Stuart T, Kowalski MH, Choudhary S, Hoffman P, Hartman A, et al. Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. Nature Biotechnology. 2024 Feb;42(2):293–304.

28. Levy S, Elek A, Grau-Bové X, Menéndez-Bravo S, Iglesias M, Tanay A, et al. A stony coral cell atlas illuminates the molecular and cellular basis of coral symbiosis, calcification, and immunity. Cell. 2021 May 27;184(11):2973-2987.e18.

29. Hu M, Zheng X, Fan CM, Zheng Y. Lineage dynamics of the endosymbiotic cell type in the soft coral Xenia. Nature. 2020 Jun;582(7813):534–8.