An improved tetracycline-inducible expression system for

fission yeast

Xiao-Hui Lyu[1], Yu-Sheng Yang[1], Zhao-Qian Pan[1], Shao-Kai Ning[1], Fang Suo[1], Li-Lin Du[1,2]

[1]National Institute of Biological Sciences, Beijing 102206, China

[2]Tsinghua Institute of Multidisciplinary Biomedical Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing

102206, China

*Corresponding author: dulilin@nibs.ac.cn (L.-L.D.)

Running head: Inducible system for *S. pombe*.

Keywords: inducible expression system, fission yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*,

tetracycline, conditional loss-of-function

Abstract

The ability to manipulate gene expression is valuable for elucidating gene function. In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the most widely used regulatable expression system is the *nmt1* promoter and its two attenuated variants. However, these promoters have limitations, including a long lag, incompatibility with rich media, and unsuitability for non-dividing cells. Here, we present a tetracycline-inducible system free of these shortcomings. Our system features the *enotetS* promoter, which achieves a similar induced level and a higher induction ratio compared to the *nmt1* promoter, without exhibiting a lag. Additionally, our system includes four weakened *enotetS* variants, offering an expression range similar to the *nmt1* series promoters but with more intermediate levels. To enhance usability, each promoter is combined with a Tet-repressor-expressing cassette in an integration plasmid. Importantly, our system can be used in non-dividing cells, enabling the development of a synchronous meiosis induction method with high spore viability. Moreover, our system allows for the shutdown of gene expression and the generation of conditional loss-of-function mutants. This system provides a versatile and powerful tool for manipulating gene expression in fission yeast.

Introduction

Understanding gene function is a central pursuit in biological studies. One important approach to dissecting the function of individual genes is through the artificial manipulation of gene expression levels. Over the past 35 years, a large number of regulatable promoter systems have been developed to enable the manipulation of gene expression in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. These systems include glucose-repressible promoters (Hoffman and Winston, 1989; Iacovoni et al., 1999), hormone-inducible promoters (Ohira et al., 2017; Picard et al., 1990), thiamine-repressible promoters and their derivatives (Basi et al., 1993; Garg, 2020; Kjaerulff and Nielsen, 2015; Kumar and Singh, 2006; Maundrell, 1993, 1990; Moreno et al., 2000), tetracycline-inducible promoters (Erler et al., 2006; Faryar and Gatz, 1992; Patterson et al., 2019; Zilio et al., 2012), a copper-repressible promoter (Bellemare et al., 2001), a heat shock-inducible promoter (Fujita et al., 2006), a uracil-inducible promoter (Watson et al., 2011; Watt et al., 2008), an ethanol-inducible promoter (Matsuzawa et al., 2013), and pheromone-inducible promoters (Hennig et al., 2018).

Among the various regulatable promoters available for *S. pombe*, the most popular ones are three thiamine-repressible promoters based on that of the *nmt1* gene (Basi et al., 1993; Maundrell, 1993, 1990). This promoter system offers several advantages. Firstly, the *nmt1* promoter (*Pnmt1*) is a highly active promoter when induced, as *nmt1* ranks as the eighth most highly transcribed protein-coding gene in vegetative cells (340 mRNA molecules per cell) (Marguerat et al., 2012). Secondly, there are two weakened promoter variants, *P41nmt1* and *P81nmt1*, which allow for lower levels of expression, approximately one and two orders of magnitude lower than *Pnmt1*, respectively (Basi et al., 1993). Thirdly, these promoters are strongly repressed in the presence of thiamine, resulting in an around 100-fold difference in expression level between the induced and repressed states (Basi et al., 1993; Vještica et al., 2020). However, this system is not without limitations. It is not compatible with yeast extract-

based rich media that contain thiamine. Additionally, induction requires a medium switch, which incurs time and labor costs. The most significant drawback is that the intracellular thiamine concentration needs to be diluted through several cell doublings before induced expression can occur (Maundrell, 1990; Tommasino and Maundrell, 1991). This results in a long lag time of around 10-12 h and makes the system unsuitable for non-dividing cells such as cells in a quiescent state.

Tetracycline-inducible systems based on the Tet repressor-operator system of the bacterial transposon Tn*10* have been widely used to manipulate gene expression in eukaryotes (Berens and Hillen, 2003; Hillen et al., 1984, 1983). A basic version of the tetracycline-inducible systems consists of three components: the *tet* operator DNA sequence (*tetO*), which is incorporated into a promoter; the Tet repressor protein (TetR), which has a high binding affinity to *tetO*; and a small-molecule inducer that prevents TetR from binding to *tetO*. Commonly used inducers include tetracycline and its analogs anhydrotetracycline (ahTet) and doxycycline. In the absence of the inducer, TetR binds to *tetO* and represses the transcription of the downstream gene. When the inducer is added, TetR no longer binds to *tetO*, and the transcription of the downstream gene is induced.

Three different tetracycline-inducible promoters have been used in fission yeast. In 1992, Faryar and Gatz established the first tetracycline-inducible promoter for fission yeast by incorporating three *tetO* sequences into the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S (CaMV35S) promoter (Faryar and Gatz, 1992). This tetracycline-inducible promoter can be quickly induced, with the expression product becoming detectable within two hours after the addition of an inducer (Faryar and Gatz, 1992). However, an independent study showed that this system has an induction ratio of only 10-fold and the induced expression level is low, similar to that of *P81nmt1* (Forsburg, 1993). In 2012, Zilio et al. adapted a tetracycline-inducible system, originally developed for the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, for use in the

fission yeast (Bellí et al., 1998; Garí et al., 1997; Zilio et al., 2012). The promoter in this

system is composed of seven *tetO* sequences and the basal promoter of the budding yeast

CYC1 gene. The induced level of expression of this promoter is also low, similar to that of

P81nmt1 (Zilio et al., 2012). In 2019, a much stronger tetracycline-inducible promoter, called

the *enoTet* promoter (*PenoTet*), was developed by placing three *tetO* sequences into the

promoter of the *S. pombe eno101* gene (Patterson et al., 2019). The *eno101* gene is the

fourth most highly transcribed protein-coding gene in vegetative cells (470 mRNA molecules

per cell) (Marguerat et al., 2012). *PenoTet* achieves an induced expression level about 50%

as high as that of *Pnmt1* (Patterson et al., 2019). Unlike the *nmt1* series promoters, these

tetracycline-inducible promoters are compatible with rich media, do not need a medium

switch for induction, do not exhibit an obvious lag time, and can be used in non-dividing cells.

However, these promoters have not been widely adopted, potentially due to the lack of multi-

level options, insufficient induced expression levels, non-ideal induction ratios, and the

inconvenience associated with the need to introduce both a tetracycline-inducible promoter

and a TetR-expressing cassette into a host strain.

In the present study, we have developed an improved tetracycline-inducible expression

system for *S. pombe*. This system includes a strong promoter, *PenotetS*, which, similar to

PenoTet, is derived from the *eno101* promoter but contains only a single *tetO* sequence.

PenotetS has a high induction ratio of approximately 200-fold and its induced level is similar

to that of *Pnmt1*. Additionally, our system includes four weakened variants of *PenotetS*,

allowing for five different levels of induced expression. For ease of use, a collection of

integration plasmids was constructed, each containing an *enotetS* series promoter and a

TetR-expressing cassette. Capitalizing on the compatibility of this system with non-dividing

cells, we have developed a new method to induce meiosis, resulting in favorable spore

viability and synchrony. Moreover, we have successfully employed this system to generate

conditional lethal mutants of essential genes, underscoring its versatility and applicability.

Results

The development of a strong inducible promoter *PenotetS*

It has been shown that in the case of the *GAL1* promoter of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, placing a single *tetO* sequence between the TATA box and the transcription start site (TSS) is sufficient to render the promoter strongly repressible by TetR (Murphy et al., 2007). We adopted this approach to modify the 276-bp promoter of the *S. pombe eno101* gene (Wang et al., 2014), which was the basis of the *PenoTet* promoter that contains three *tetO* sequences (Patterson et al., 2019). In *S. pombe* promoters, the TATA box and the TSS, each possessing distinct sequence characteristics, are typically separated by a constant distance (Li et al., 2015; Lu and Lin, 2021; Russell, 1983; Thodberg et al., 2019). To maintain this distance, we substituted a 19-bp sequence between the TATA box and the TSS of the *eno101* promoter with a 19-bp *tetO* sequence (Fig. 1A). We named the modified promoter *PenotetS*, with the letter "*S*" indicating the presence of a single copy of the *tetO* sequence.

A drawback of some of the previously published tetracycline-inducible systems for *S. pombe* is the inconvenience caused by the need to separately introduce a tetracycline-inducible promoter and a TetR-expressing cassette. To establish a user-friendly system, we combined *PenotetS* with a TetR-expressing cassette, which consists of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter and the TetR coding sequence, in a stable integration vector (SIV) (Vještica et al., 2020) (Fig. 1B). The CMV promoter is a robust constitutive promoter in *S. pombe* (Amelina et al., 2016; Toyama and Okayama, 1990). Additionally, downstream of *PenotetS*, we inserted the coding sequence for the yellow fluorescent protein mECitrine, followed by the terminator sequence derived from the *ADH1* gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The resulting plasmid was named pDB5318. The fluorescence emitted by mECitrine serves as an indicator of the expression level of *PenotetS*.

In *S. pombe*, previous studies have shown that anhydrotetracycline (ahTet), an analog

of tetracycline, is a more effective inducer compared to tetracycline and doxycycline (Erler et al., 2006; Zilio et al., 2012). When an *S. pombe* strain containing pDB5318 was cultured in YES medium supplemented with 2.5 µg/ml of ahTet, a concentration used in a previous study (Zilio et al., 2012), it was observed that the expression level of *PenotetS* was higher than that of the strong constitutive promoter *Padh1* (Fig. 1D). In the absence of ahTet, the expression level of *PenotetS* was markedly lower than that of *Padh1*. Thus, *PenotetS* is an inducible promoter with a high level of induced expression and a substantial induction ratio.

The development of four weakened variants of *PenotetS*

To provide a range of different expression levels, we developed four weakened variants of *PenotetS*. The design was inspired by a previous study that used GC-rich stem-loop-forming sequences inserted in the 5′ UTR to reduce gene expression (Lamping et al., 2013). It was shown that the extent of expression attenuation increases with the number of GC base pairs in the stem. We selected four different stem-loop-forming sequences used in that study (Fig. 1C). Each sequence was inserted immediately downstream of *PenotetS*. The resulting promoters were named *PenotetSW1*, *PenotetSW2*, *PenotetSW3*, and *PenotetSW4*. The letter "W" indicates a weakened variant, while the number denotes the expected expression strength, with *PenotetSW1* being the highest and *PenotetSW4* being the lowest.

These weakened promoters were combined with the TetR-expressing cassette in an SIV plasmid, following the same procedure as *PenotetS*. In YES medium supplemented with 2.5 µg/ml of ahTet, the expression strengths of these promoters varied as expected (Fig. 1D). *PenotetSW1* exhibited an expression level similar to that of *Padh1*, while the other three promoters showed progressively lower levels. In the absence of ahTet, the expression level of each promoter was dramatically lower compared to when ahTet was present, with the three weaker promoters showing fluorescence signals that were indistinguishable from the

background.

Inducer dose response

We assessed the inducer dose response of *PenotetS* in both YES and EMM media. The

inducer ahTet was used at various concentrations, ranging from 0.004 µg/ml to 2.5 µg/ml.

After a 24-hour induction period (which was long enough to reach a steady-state level; see

below), the expression levels were measured using the fluorescence signal of the reporter

protein mECitrine (Fig. 2). In both types of media, a dramatic transition from a near-minimal

level to a near-maximal level was observed between two doses that differed by 5-fold. In

YES, this transition occurred between 0.004 µg/ml and 0.02 µg/ml of ahTet, while in EMM, it

occurred between 0.02 µg/ml and 0.1 µg/ml of ahTet. Similar steep dose-response patterns

have been observed in other published eukaryotic tetracycline-inducible systems that utilize

constitutively expressed TetR as a repressor (Azizoglu et al., 2021; Erler et al., 2006;

Nevozhay et al., 2009). We chose to use 2.5 µg/ml of ahTet, an inducer concentration well

above the saturating level in both types of media, for subsequent experiments.

Induction kinetics in dividing cells

We next assessed the induction kinetics of *PenotetS* and *PenotetSW2* by performing

induction time course experiments in both YES and EMM media (Fig. 3). In all time courses,

the expression level of the reporter protein mECitrine increased by more than two orders of

magnitude within the first 10 hours following the addition of ahTet and ceased to increase

after 12 hours. We used the expression level at the 24-hour time point as the steady-state

level. In YES medium, for both promoters, at the one-hour time point after adding ahTet to

the cultures, the fluorescence signal of mECitrine had already increased by more than one

order of magnitude, reaching around 10% of the steady-state level. This rapid increase

suggests that both promoters were turned on quickly after the addition of ahTet. In EMM

medium, the initial phase of the induction was slightly slower, reaching around 5% of the

steady-state level at the one-hour time point. In both types of media, at the four-hour time

point, both promoters had reached or surpassed 50% of the steady-state level. By the eight-

hour time point, both promoters had reached within 15% of the steady-state level.

Upon the activation of an inducible promoter that drives the expression of a protein-

coding gene, the level of the protein product reaches a steady state when its synthesis is

balanced by its degradation and dilution (Azizoglu et al., 2021; Rosenfeld et al., 2002). The

steady-state expression level is determined by both the synthesis rate and the degradation-

and-dilution rate, while the induction kinetics are solely determined by the degradation-and-

dilution rate (Rosenfeld et al., 2002). Fluorescent proteins are highly stable and have in vivo

half-lives longer than 20 hours (Corish and Tyler-Smith, 1999; He et al., 2019; Perruca-

Foncillas et al., 2022). In our experimental setup, given that the doubling time of proliferating

S. pombe cells is around 3 hours (Petersen and Russell, 2016), the degradation-and-dilution

rate of mECitrine should mainly be determined by its dilution through cell division. In this

scenario, without considering any delay between transcription activation and the formation

of mECitrine protein capable of emitting fluorescence, the time required to reach 50% of the

steady-state expression level theoretically equals the doubling time (Rosenfeld et al., 2002).

However, nascent fluorescent proteins only become fluorescent after undergoing a

maturation process, which takes approximately 30 minutes for mECitrine (Azizoglu et al.,

2021). Taking this delay into account, the induction kinetics observed in our experiments align

closely with immediate or near-immediate transcription activation upon the addition of ahTet.

Quantification of expression levels and comparison with the *nmt1* series promoters

Next, we conducted a comprehensive quantitative analysis of the induced and repressed

expression levels of all *enotetS* series promoters in YES and EMM media, and made

comparisons with *Padh1* in YES medium and the *nmt1* series promoters in EMM medium

(Fig. 4). In YES medium, the induced expression level of *PenotetS* was approximately 1.6-

fold that of *Padh1* (Fig. 4A). The fold differences between the induced level of *PenotetS* and

the induced levels of the four weakened *enotetS* series promoters were approximately 1.7-

fold, 7.1-fold, 30-fold, and 53-fold, respectively (Fig. 4A). The fold differences between the

induced and repressed levels (induction ratios) were about 230-fold for both *PenotetS* and

PenotetSW1 (Fig. 4A). Since the repressed levels of *PenotetSW2*, *PenotetSW3*, and

PenotetSW4 were very close to the background, we could only determine that these levels

were below a threshold (THR) for accurate measurement (Fig. 4A).

In EMM medium, the induced and repressed expression levels of the *enotetS* series

promoters mirrored those observed in YES medium (Fig. 4B, C). When compared to the *nmt1*

series promoters, the induced expression level of *PenotetS* was the same as *Pnmt1* and the

induced levels of *PenotetSW2* and *PenotetSW4* were similar to those of *Pnmt41* and

P81nmt1, respectively (Fig. 4B, C). Therefore, in comparison to the *nmt1* series promoters,

the *enotetS* series promoters span the same range of induced levels but provide more

intermediate levels. Using the mRNA level of the *nmt1* gene in vegetative cells (340 mRNA

molecules per cell) as a reference (Marguerat et al., 2012; Rutherford et al., 2024), the

induced expression levels of *PenotetS*, *PenotetSW1*, *PenotetSW2*, *PenotetSW3*, and

PenotetSW4 correspond to approximately 340, 200, 48, 11, and 6 mRNA molecules per cell,

respectively. Regarding repressed expression levels, the *enotetS* series promoters had lower

repressed levels than the *nmt1* series promoters when comparing promoters with similar

induced levels. In our experiments, *Pnmt1* exhibited only a 25-fold induction ratio, which is

lower than the reported induction ratio of around 100-fold (Basi et al., 1993; Vještica et al.,

2020). The reason behind this discrepancy is unclear. Nevertheless, even when considering

the reported induction ratio for comparison, the *enotetS* series promoters still outperformed

the *nmt1* series promoters in this aspect.

Induction kinetics and expression levels in quiescent cells

One limitation of the *nmt1* series promoters is their unsuitability for non-dividing cells, as the

induction of these promoters requires the dilution of intracellular thiamine through multiple

rounds of cell division after shifting the cells to a thiamine-free medium. Tetracycline-inducible

systems should be free of this limitation. Therefore, we tested whether *PenotetS* can function

in two types of non-dividing cells: cells arrested in the G0 cell cycle phase through nitrogen

starvation and spores (Fig. 5).

The basal expression levels of *PenotetS* in G0 cells and spores, as reported by the

fluorescence of mECitrine, were slightly higher than the basal level in the dividing cells (Fig.

5C), presumably due to the lack of dilution of mECitrine through cell division. Upon the

addition of ahTet to G0 cells and spores, the expression of mECitrine from *PenotetS*

increased several-fold within the first hour and reached a level similar to the steady-state

level in the dividing cells at the eight-hour time point. Unlike the situation in the dividing cells,

where the expression of mECitrine from *PenotetS* reached a steady state at the 12-hour time

point, the level of mECitrine continued to increase in G0 cells and spores after the 12-hour

time point (Fig. 5C). The increase did not stop after the 24-hour time point and the level of

mECitrine reached several-fold higher than the steady-state level in the dividing cells at the

36-hour time point (Fig. 5C). The prolonged rise time and the higher induced levels in G0

cells and spores can be explained by the degradation-and-dilution rate of mECitrine no longer

being determined by its dilution through cell division but rather by the degradation of

mECitrine, which has a long half-life. A reduced degradation-and-dilution rate of mECitrine

leads to a higher steady-state level and an increase in the time required to reach 50% of the

steady-state expression level (Rosenfeld et al., 2002).

Meiosis induction in *h+/h+* diploids using *PenotetSW1*-expressed Mc-2A-Mi

In *S. pombe,* the most commonly used method to induce synchronous meiosis is through the

inactivation of a negative regulator of meiosis, Pat1, using a temperature-sensitive allele of

the *pat1* gene, *pat1-114*, in diploid cells homozygous for the mating type (*h+/h+* or *h−/h−*)

(Bähler et al., 1991; Iino and Yamamoto, 1985). However, this approach has several

drawbacks, including the use of non-physiological temperature and low spore viability (Bähler

et al., 1991; Chikashige et al., 2004; Cipak et al., 2012; Guerra-Moreno et al., 2012;

Yamamoto and Hiraoka, 2003). The development of ATP analog-sensitive alleles of *pat1* has

enabled the induction of synchronous meiosis at physiological temperature (Cipak et al.,

2012; Guerra-Moreno et al., 2012). Moreover, combining Pat1 inactivation with the

expression of the *matP*-cassette-encoded protein Pc in *h−/h−* diploids has been shown to

elevate spore viability to near-wild-type levels (Cipak et al., 2012; Yamamoto and Hiraoka,

2003). Thus, the current state-of-the-art approach for achieving synchronous meiosis with

normal spore viability in *S. pombe* requires the combination of two genetic modifications. To

showcase the practical utility of our *enotetS* series promoters, we devised a single-plasmid-

based method for inducing synchronous meiosis.

Diploid *S. pombe* cells that are heterozygous for the mating type (*h+/h−*) can undergo

meiosis, whereas those that are homozygous for the mating type (*h+/h+* or *h−/h−*) cannot.

This is because the latter lack proteins encoded by one of the two types of mating-type

cassettes. In the case of *h+/h+* diploid cells, the missing proteins are the *matM*-cassette-

encoded proteins Mc and Mi (Kelly et al., 1988). In our new method for inducing meiosis, we

used *PenotetSW1* to induce the expression of Mc and Mi linked by a self-cleaving 2A peptide

(Mc-2A-Mi) in *h+/h+* diploid cells (Fig. 6A). *h+/h+* cells harboring an integration plasmid

expressing Mc-2A-Mi under the control of *PenotetSW1* were initially cultured in YES medium

and subsequently transferred to EMM−N medium to arrest the cells in G0. Following a 24-

hour incubation in EMM−N medium, ahTet was added to induce meiosis. Time course

analysis demonstrated the successful induction of synchronous meiosis (Fig. 6B). Tetrad

analysis revealed a spore viability of 97% for meiosis induced using this method (Fig. 6C).

Thus, we have established a new method for inducing meiosis that is characterized by good

synchrony and normal spore viability.

Using *enotetS* series promoters to create conditional loss-of-function mutants

Studying the functions of essential genes relies on the creation of conditional loss-of-function

mutants. Previously, we developed an auxin-inducible degron (AID) system for generating

such mutants in *S. pombe* (Zhang et al., 2022). However, not all genes are compatible with

the AID approach. In an unrelated project, we applied the AID method to construct conditional

loss-of-function mutants of three essential genes: *ypp1*, *sui1*, and *nrs1*. We first performed

endogenous AID tagging in a diploid background and obtained heterozygous tagged diploid

strains. Then, we attempted to derive haploid AID-tagged progeny through tetrad dissection

(Fig. S1). AID-tagged haploid progeny were readily obtained for *ypp1*. However, no viable

AID-tagged haploid progeny were obtained for *sui1* and *nrs1*, possibly due to AID tagging

interfering with the function of the protein products of these two genes. We decided to take

this opportunity to explore the feasibility of using the *enotetS* series promoters for generating

conditional loss-of-function mutants, especially for genes that are not amenable to the AID

approach.

We first separately deleted *sui1* and *nrs1* in a diploid background to obtain heterozygous

deletion diploid strains. Then, for each gene, a plasmid expressing the gene from

PenotetSW2 and a plasmid expressing the gene from *PenotetSW3* were separately

integrated into the heterozygous deletion diploid strain. These two promoters were chosen

because their induced expression levels are close to the mRNA levels of *sui1* and *nrs1* in

vegetative cells (11 and 20 mRNA molecules per cell, respectively) (Marguerat et al., 2012;

Rutherford et al., 2024). The resulting strains were subjected to tetrad analysis on YES plates

with and without ahTet (Fig. 7). On YES plates without ahTet, for both genes, the basal level

expression from neither promoter was able to allow the deletion haploids to form colonies

(Fig. 7A). In contrast, on YES plates with ahTet, for both genes, the induced expression from

either promoter rescued the inviability of the deletion haploids (Fig. 7A). *PenotetSW2*-driven

induced expression in deletion haploids resulted in colony growth indistinguishable from wild

type, whereas *PenotetSW3*-driven induced expression resulted in colonies slightly smaller

than wild type at 3 days but not at 6 days (Fig. 7A). Thus, we successfully obtained

conditional lethal mutants for both genes.

To determine how quickly the loss-of-function phenotype arises upon the removal of

ahTet, we conducted a growth curve analysis (Fig. 7B). Haploid deletion strains harboring a

plasmid expressing the deleted gene from *PenotetSW2* or *PenotetSW3* were pre-cultured in

YES liquid medium with ahTet. After the cells were harvested and washed with water, they

were transferred to fresh YES medium with and without ahTet. At the six-hour time point, the

cultures in the ahTet-free YES medium started to exhibit a noticeably lower growth rate

compared to the cultures in the ahTet-containing YES medium. The differences in growth

rate became more pronounced as time elapsed. Given that the loss-of-function phenotype

does not manifest immediately after the promoter is turned off, but rather arises only after

the protein product has been significantly depleted through dilution and degradation, these

findings suggest that the *enotetS* series promoters can be rapidly switched off after shifting

cells to an ahTet-free medium. Therefore, these promoters provide useful tools for analyzing

loss-of-function phenotypes of essential genes in *S. pombe*.

Discussion

In this study, we have developed the *enotetS* series promoters, a new set of tetracycline-inducible promoters for *S. pombe*. These promoters offer a wide range of expression levels and allow for rapid induction of gene expression in both dividing and non-dividing cells. By combining each of these promoters with a TetR-expressing cassette, this system can be easily implemented in *S. pombe* through a single plasmid transformation. To demonstrate the usefulness of this new inducible expression system, we have successfully developed a new method for inducing synchronous meiosis and generated conditional loss-of-function mutants for two essential genes.

Tetracycline and its analogs have traditionally been considered biologically inert to eukaryotic physiology (Gossen et al., 1993). However, recent research has demonstrated that doxycycline, a commonly used inducer in mammalian tetracycline-inducible systems, disturbs mitochondrial protein translation, presumably due to the bacterial origin of mitochondria (Moullan et al., 2015). This raises concerns regarding the use of tetracycline-inducible systems (Chatzispyrou et al., 2015; De Boeck and Verfaillie, 2021; Ohira et al., 2017). Unlike tetracycline and doxycycline, which strongly inhibit bacterial protein translation and belong to the category of "classical tetracyclines", the inducer used in our system, ahTet, does not effectively inhibit bacterial protein translation and is considered an "atypical tetracycline" (Rasmussen et al., 1991). Therefore, it is unlikely that ahTet negatively affects mitochondrial protein translation. Consistent with this, even though mitochondrial protein translation is essential for *S. pombe* proliferation, studies that have used ahTet on *S. pombe*, including our own, have not observed any detrimental effects on proliferation (Berg and Moseley, 2023; Erler et al., 2006; Lemay et al., 2014; Patterson et al., 2019; Shiroiwa et al., 2011; Sunder et al., 2012; Torres-Garcia et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018; Zilio et al., 2012). As a precautionary measure against potential unknown side effects of ahTet on *S. pombe*,

especially when investigating non-growth phenotypes, we recommend including a strain without an *enotetS* series promoter as a control to rule out the possibility that a phenotype is caused by side effects of ahTet.

ahTet is a cost-effective inducer. When used at a concentration of 2.5 µg/ml, the cost of ahTet for making one liter of ahTet-containing medium is about 6 US dollars (based on the list price of Sigma-Aldrich catalog #37919). The ahTet-containing medium should be prepared fresh from the DMSO-dissolved ahTet stock solution before use, as ahTet is not very stable in aqueous solution. It has been reported that ahTet has a half-life of around 30 hours in culture media at 30°C (Politi et al., 2014).

The five induced expression levels of the *enotetS* series promoters offer a wide range of possibilities for manipulating gene expression levels. In theory, even more intermediate expression levels can be obtained by titrating the inducer dose. However, the *PenotetS* promoter (and likely its weakened variants) exhibits a steep dose-response curve. This means that the range of inducer doses over which the promoter is responsive, known as the input dynamic range, is narrow. Consequently, precise adjustments to expression levels by altering the inducer dose can be challenging (Azizoglu et al., 2021). If desired, the input dynamic range can be expanded by implementing negative auto-regulation of tetR (Azizoglu et al., 2021; Madar et al., 2011; Nevozhay et al., 2009; Patterson et al., 2019).

The use of the *enotetS* series promoters to generate conditional lethal mutants of essential genes is a valuable alternative to the AID method, especially for genes that cannot tolerate AID tagging. This strategy, known as promoter shut-off, has previously been implemented in *S. pombe* using the *nmt1* series promoters and has successfully revealed the loss-of-function phenotypes of many essential genes (Bestul et al., 2021; Kelly et al., 1993; Matynia et al., 2002; Ottilie et al., 1995; Petersen and Hagan, 2003; Tajadura et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2002; Westwood et al., 2004; Win et al., 2004; Yue et al., 2014). Compared

to the *nmt1* series promoters, the *enotetS* series promoters offer more levels of expression

and larger ratios between induced and repressed conditions. Therefore, they are expected

to be better tools for promoter shut-off.

While we generated the promoter shut-off strains for *sui1* and *nrs1* by first establishing

heterozygous deletion diploid strains and then deriving haploid strains, it should be feasible

to directly obtain promoter shut-off haploid strains by inserting an *enotetS* series promoter,

along with the TetR-expressing cassette, upstream of the target essential gene using a

single-step CRISPR-based marker-free knock-in (Jacobs et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018).

The intended knock-in clones can be readily selected based on their ability to grow on ahTet-

containing plates but not on ahTet-free plates. Promoter shut-off using the *nmt1* series

promoters can be initiated by simply adding thiamine to the culture, whereas promoter shut-

off using the *enotetS* series promoters requires the more laborious process of washing cells

to remove ahTet. It would be worth exploring in the future the feasibility of implementing the

method of light-induced inactivation of ahTet for shutting off the *enotetS* series promoters

(Baumschlager et al., 2020).

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction

The plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1, and the primers used in this study are listed in Table S2. We amplified the 276-bp *eno101* promoter (Wang et al., 2014) from genomic DNA using primers oYY1 and oYY2. This amplified promoter was then cloned between the SwaI and XhoI sites in the stable integration vector (SIV) pAV0714 (Addgene plasmid 133479), replacing the *act1* promoter (Vještica et al., 2020). The resulting plasmid was named pDB5530. For the construction of the *enotetS* promoter (*PenotetS*), a 19-bp sequence between the TATA box and the transcription start site (TSS) of the *eno101* promoter in pDB5530 was replaced with a 19-bp *tetO* sequence, specifically that of the O_2 operator from the bacterial transposon Tn*10* (TCCCTATCAGTGATAGAGA) (Hillen et al., 1984, 1983; Wray and Reznikoff, 1983). This alteration was accomplished by performing overlapping PCR using pDB5530 as the template and oXH5, oXH6, oXH7, and oXH8 as primers, and then cloning the PCR product between the PacI and HpaI sites in pDB5530. The resulting plasmid was named pDB5531. To use *PenotetS* to drive the expression of the yellow fluorescent protein mECitrine, which serves as a reporter protein, we replaced the *adh1* promoter in pDB4915, a modified SIV plasmid containing mECitrine, with *PenotetS*. pDB4915 was derived from the SIV plasmid pAV0585 (pAde6^{Pmel}-hphMX, Addgene plasmid 133472) (Vještica et al., 2020), by altering the linearization site from PmeI to NotI and introducing the *adh1* promoter, the coding sequence of mECitrine, and the terminator of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae ADH1* gene. *PenotetS* was amplified from pDB5531 using primers oXH17 and oXH18, and then cloned between the ApaI and NheI sites in pDB4915. The resulting plasmid was named pDB5532.

To construct an integration plasmid that contains both *PenotetS* and a TetR-expressing cassette, we amplified a TetR expression cassette containing the cytomegalovirus promoter

(*P.CMV*) and the coding sequence of TetR from pDM291-tetR-tup11Δ70 (Addgene plasmid

41027) (Zilio et al., 2012), using primers oXH19 and oXH47. The amplified cassette was then

cloned into the KpnI site in pDB5532. The resulting plasmid was named pDB5318. To

construct weakened variants of *PenotetS*, we used primers oXH34-oXH38 to amplify four

PCR products, each containing *PenotetS* and a stem-loop-forming sequence immediately

downstream of *PenotetS*. These PCR products were then cloned separately between the

ApaI and NheI sites in pDB5318, generating four different plasmids, which were named

pDB5319-pDB5322. The four weakened variants of *PenotetS* in these four plasmids were

named *PenotetSW1*, *PenotetSW2*, *PenotetSW3*, and *PenotetSW4*. The plasmids pDB5318-

pDB5322 have been deposited at Addgene (Addgene plasmids 204828-204832) and the

Yeast Genetic Resource Center of Japan (YGRC/NBRP) (NBRP IDs FYP6242-6246). The

SnapGene files for these plasmids are provided in a compressed folder as a supplementary

file.

The DNA sequence encoding Mc-2A-Mi was synthesized by BGI Tech Solutions (Beijing

Liuhe) and consists of three parts: the coding sequence of Mi, a 60-bp segment encoding

the 20-amino acid T2A peptide (RAEGRGSLLTCGDVEENPGP) (Beekwilder et al., 2014),

and the coding sequence of Mc. To construct a plasmid expressing Mc-2A-Mi, the Mc-2A-Mi

sequence was amplified using primers oXH161 and oXH162, and then cloned between the

NheI and BglII sites of pDB5319, replacing the coding sequence of mECitrine. In the resulting

plasmid (pDB5533), Mc-2A-Mi is under the control of *PenotetSW1*.

The plasmids pDB4877, pDB4878, and pDB4879 were derived from the SIV vector

pAV0585 (pAde6[Pmel]-hphMX, Addgene plasmid 133472) (Vještica et al., 2020), by altering

the linearization site from PmeI to NotI and introducing the *nmt1* promoter, the *41nmt1*

promoter, or the *81nmt1* promoter, respectively, along with the coding sequence of mECitrine,

and the terminator of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae ADH1* gene. The plasmids pDB5534,

pDB5535, pDB5536, pDB5537, and pDB5538 were derived from the SIV plasmid pAV0586

(pLys3^BstZ17I-bsdMX, Addgene plasmid 133473), by altering the linearization site from

BstZ17I to NotI and introducing the TetR expression cassette, an *enotetS* series promoter,

the coding sequence of mECitrine, and the terminator of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

ADH1 gene. To construct plasmids expressing *nrs1*, the coding sequence of *nrs1* was

amplified from genomic DNA and cloned into pDB5537 and pDB5536, replacing the coding

sequence of mECitrine in each plasmid. The resulting plasmids were named pDB5539 and

pDB5541, respectively. Two plasmids expressing *sui1* were similarly constructed, except

cDNA was used as the amplification template, and they were named pDB5540 and pDB5542.

Strain construction

The *S. pombe* strains used in this study are listed in Table S3. Strains containing an

integrated plasmid were constructed by linearizing an SIV plasmid with NotI and then

transforming the plasmid into a host strain. Correct integration of the plasmid into the *ade6*

or *lys3* locus was verified using colony PCR (Vještica et al., 2020). Deletion strains were

created using PCR-based gene deletion. Strains with a gene endogenously tagged at the C-

terminus with the 3×sAID tag were generated through PCR-based gene tagging (Zhang et

al., 2022). The *h+/h−* diploid strain DY51002 was constructed by crossing two haploid strains

with *ade6-M210* and *ade6-M216* mutations, respectively. The two haploid strains were pre-

grown on YES plates and then mixed in an equal ratio in 20 μL of water. The mixture was

spotted on SPA plates with necessary supplements. After approximately 12 hours of

incubation at 30°C, cells were collected and plated on YE plates containing 200 mg/L guanine

at a density of approximately 10^4 cells per plate. Guanine was used to inhibit the uptake of

adenine, thereby preventing the growth of adenine auxotrophs and allowing only the growth

of *ade6-M210*/*ade6-M216* diploid cells, which are adenine prototrophs due to interallelic

complementation (Cummins and Mitchison, 1967; Smith, 2009). After incubating for 3 days

at 30°C, colonies formed on the guanine-containing plates were streaked on YE2A plates. A

diploid clone that showed high spore viability in tetrad analysis was saved as DY51002. A

prototrophic *h+/h−* diploid strain, DY50999, constructed in the same way as DY51002, was

plated on YEPD plates, and colonies that were unable to form spores were selected based

on iodine staining. The mating type of the non-sporulating diploid clones was determined by

colony PCR, and an *h+/h+* diploid strain was saved as DY51000.

Media and growth conditions

The compositions of the media were as described (Petersen and Russell, 2016).

Anhydrotetracycline hydrochloride (ahTet; J&K Scientific, catalog number 541642) was

dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 5 mg/ml and stored at −80°C.

To analyze the dose response of the inducer, the cells were first cultured in liquid YES

medium or EMM medium with the necessary supplements until they reached the logarithmic

phase. The DMSO-diluted ahTet stock was then added to achieve the desired concentrations,

with an equal volume of DMSO serving as the control. After 24 hours of culturing (with

cultures diluted when the OD600 approaching 1.0) at 30°C, logarithmic phase cells were

collected for live-cell imaging.

For the time course assay of proliferating cells, the cells were first cultured in liquid YES

medium or EMM medium with the necessary supplements until they reached the logarithmic

phase at 30°C. After adding ahTet to the medium, the cells were collected at specific time

points. During the time course, the cultures were diluted when the OD600 approached 1.0.

To quantify the expression levels of the *enotetS* series promoters, induced expression

levels were measured after transferring logarithmic phase cells to media with 2.5 µg/ml ahTet

for 24 hours at 30°C. Repressed expression levels were measured after transfer to media

with DMSO under the same conditions.

To quantify the expression levels of the *nmt1* series promoters, the cells were first

cultured in EMM medium containing thiamine until they reached the logarithmic phase. Then,

to measure the induced expression levels, the cells were washed three times with water,

transferred to EMM medium without thiamine, and grown at 30°C for 24 hours. To measure

the repressed expression levels, the cells were cultured in EMM medium containing thiamine

at 30°C for 24 hours.

For the time course assay of G0 phase cells, logarithmically growing cells grown in EMM

medium were washed three times with water and shifted to EMM medium without nitrogen

(EMM−N) for 24 hours at 30°C to arrest the cells in the G0 phase. After adding ahTet to the

medium at a concentration of 2.5 μg/ml, the cells were collected at specific time points.

For the time course assay of spores, h^{90} cells grown in YES medium were washed three

times with water and shifted to MSL−N medium at 30°C for 48 hours to induce sporulation.

Then, the cells were collected and treated with Snailase (Beijing Solarbio Science &

Technology Co.,Ltd., catalog number S8280) in water at 30°C for 24 hours to digest non-

spore cells. After digestion, spores were purified by centrifugation in Percoll solutions. Spores

were incubated in EMM−N medium overnight. Then ahTet was added to the EMM−N medium.

After adding ahTet to the medium at a concentration of 2.5 μg/ml, spores were collected at

specific time points.

For the growth curve analysis, haploid deletion strains harboring a plasmid expressing

the deleted gene from *PenotetSW2* or *PenotetSW3*, along with a wild-type strain were pre-

cultured in YES liquid medium supplemented with ahTet until they reached the logarithmic

phase at 30°C. Then, the cells were washed three times with water and transferred to either

YES medium or YES medium supplemented with ahTet. The optical density at 600 nm

(OD600) of each culture was measured at specific time points, with cultures being diluted if

the OD600 approached 1.0. The number of generations was determined based on the

OD600 and the dilution ratio.

Fluorescence microscopy and image quantification

Live-cell imaging was performed using a Dragonfly 200 spinning disk confocal microscope

system (Andor Technology) equipped with an mCherry/YFP/CFP filter set and an

mCherry/GFP filter set. Images were acquired with a 100×, 1.4-NA objective using a Sona

sCMOS camera and analyzed with Fiji (ImageJ).

To quantify the expression level of mECitrine, first, channels of images were split and

the DIC channel was used to segment cell boundaries using Cellpose (version 2.1.1)

(Stringer et al., 2021). The resulting masked images were processed with the LabelsToRois

plugin (Waisman et al., 2021) in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). This plugin generated outlines

outlining the boundaries of each cell. Subsequently, the generated outlines were

superimposed onto the mECitrine channel. Fiji was employed to calculate the mean

fluorescence intensity for each individual cell.

In total, three images were acquired for each sample, with each image containing

between 50 and 200 cells. The median fluorescence intensity of cells within each image was

calculated and averaged across the triplicate images. Background fluorescence was

measured using a parental strain that lacked mECitrine and was subsequently subtracted.

The resulting fluorescence intensity provided a measurement of the expression level.

Induction and monitoring of meiosis

Log-phase cells grown in YES medium were collected, washed three times with water,

resuspended in EMM medium without nitrogen (EMM−N), and incubated at 30°C for 24 hours

to arrest cells in the G0 phase. Then, ahTet was added to the culture at a final concentration

of 2.5 µg/ml to induce meiosis. At specific time points, cells were collected and fixed using

70% ethanol. The advancement of meiosis was evaluated by quantifying the number of nuclei

per cell after staining the DNA with SYTOX Green (Thermo Fisher, catalog number S7020).

For SYTOX Green staining, the fixed cells were washed once with water, resuspended in

water containing 1 µM SYTOX Green, and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 5

minutes. The number of nuclei per cell was determined through imaging.

Tetrad analysis

To examine spore viability of induced meiosis, cells collected 24 hours after meiosis induction

were streaked onto YES plates. Tetrad analysis was carried out using a TDM50 tetrad

dissection microscope (Micro Video Instruments, Avon, USA) on YES plates. To assess

whether the exogenous expression of *nrs1* or *sui1* can complement the deletion of the

corresponding endogenous gene, diploid strains freshly grown on YE2A plates were spotted

onto SPASK plates. The SPASK plates were incubated at 30°C for about 24 h. Subsequently,

tetrad analysis was carried out on YES plates with and without ahTet.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Sophie Martin laboratory for making the SIV plasmids they have developed

available through Addgene and the Yeast Genetic Resource Center of Japan (YGRC/NBRP).

We thank YGRC/NBRP for providing the plasmids.

Competing interests

No competing interests declared.

Funding

This work was supported by funding from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the

People's Republic of China, the Beijing municipal government, and Tsinghua University.

References

Amelina, H., Moiseeva, V., Collopy, L.C., Pearson, S.R., Armstrong, C.A., Tomita, K. (2016). Sequential and counter-selectable cassettes for fission yeast. BMC Biotechnol 16, 76. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12896-016-0307-4

Azizoglu, A., Brent, R., Rudolf, F. (2021). A precisely adjustable, variation-suppressed eukaryotic transcriptional controller to enable genetic discovery. Elife 10, e69549. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69549

Bähler, J., Schuchert, P., Grimm, C., Kohli, J. (1991). Synchronized meiosis and recombination in fission yeast: observations with pat1-114 diploid cells. Curr Genet 19, 445–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00312735

Basi, G., Schmid, E., Maundrell, K. (1993). TATA box mutations in the Schizosaccharomyces pombe nmt1 promoter affect transcription efficiency but not the transcription start point or thiamine repressibility. Gene 123, 131–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(93)90552-E

Baumschlager, A., Rullan, M., Khammash, M. (2020). Exploiting natural chemical photosensitivity of anhydrotetracycline and tetracycline for dynamic and setpoint chemo-optogenetic control. Nat Commun 11, 3834. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17677-5

Beekwilder, J., van Rossum, H.M., Koopman, F., Sonntag, F., Buchhaupt, M., Schrader, J., Hall, R.D., Bosch, D., Pronk, J.T., van Maris, A.J.A., Daran, J.-M. (2014). Polycistronic expression of a β-carotene biosynthetic pathway in Saccharomyces cerevisiae coupled to β-ionone production. J Biotechnol 192 Pt B, 383–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2013.12.016

Bellemare, D.R., Sanschagrin, M., Beaudoin, J., Labbé, S. (2001). A novel copper-regulated promoter system for expression of heterologous proteins in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Gene 273, 191–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119(01)00591-1

Bellí, G., Garí, E., Piedrafita, L., Aldea, M., Herrero, E. (1998). An activator/repressor dual system allows tight tetracycline-regulated gene expression in budding yeast. Nucleic Acids Res 26, 942–947. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/26.4.942

Berens, C., Hillen, W. (2003). Gene regulation by tetracyclines. Constraints of resistance regulation in bacteria shape TetR for application in eukaryotes. Eur J Biochem 270, 3109–3121. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1432-1033.2003.03694.x

Berg, R.A., Moseley, J.B. (2023). Regulation of cell size and Wee1 kinase by elevated levels of the cell cycle regulatory protein kinase Cdr2. J Biol Chem 299, 102831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102831

Bestul, A.J., Yu, Z., Unruh, J.R., Jaspersen, S.L. (2021). Redistribution of

centrosomal proteins by centromeres and Polo kinase controls partial nuclear envelope breakdown in fission yeast. Mol Biol Cell 32, 1487–1500. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E21-05-0239

Chatzispyrou, I.A., Held, N.M., Mouchiroud, L., Auwerx, J., Houtkooper, R.H. (2015). Tetracycline antibiotics impair mitochondrial function and its experimental use confounds research. Cancer Res 75, 4446–4449. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1626

Chikashige, Y., Kurokawa, R., Haraguchi, T., Hiraoka, Y. (2004). Meiosis induced by inactivation of Pat1 kinase proceeds with aberrant nuclear positioning of centromeres in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Genes Cells 9, 671–684. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1356-9597.2004.00760.x

Cipak, L., Hyppa, R.W., Smith, G.R., Gregan, J. (2012). ATP analog-sensitive Pat1 protein kinase for synchronous fission yeast meiosis at physiological temperature. Cell Cycle 11, 1626–1633. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.20052

Corish, P., Tyler-Smith, C. (1999). Attenuation of green fluorescent protein half-life in mammalian cells. Protein Eng 12, 1035–1040. https://doi.org/10.1093/protein/12.12.1035

Cummins, J.E., Mitchison, J.M. (1967). Adenine uptake and pool formation in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Biochim Biophys Acta 136, 108–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4165(67)90326-1

De Boeck, J., Verfaillie, C. (2021). Doxycycline inducible overexpression systems: how to induce your gene of interest without inducing misinterpretations. Mol Biol Cell 32, 1517–1522. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E21-04-0177

Erler, A., Maresca, M., Fu, J., Stewart, A.F. (2006). Recombineering reagents for improved inducible expression and selection marker re-use in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast 23, 813–823. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.1396

Faryar, K., Gatz, C. (1992). Construction of a Tetracycline-inducible promoter in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Curr Genet 21, 345–349. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00351693

Forsburg, S.L. (1993). Comparison of Schizosaccharomyces pombe expression systems. Nucleic Acids Res 21, 2955–2956. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/21.12.2955

Fujita, Y., Tohda, H., Giga-Hama, Y., Takegawa, K. (2006). Heat shock-inducible expression vectors for use in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. FEMS Yeast Res 6, 883–887. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00093.x

Garg, A. (2020). A lncRNA-regulated gene expression system with rapid induction kinetics in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. RNA 26, 1743–1752. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.076000.120

Garí, E., Piedrafita, L., Aldea, M., Herrero, E. (1997). A set of vectors with a
tetracycline-regulatable promoter system for modulated gene expression in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 13, 837–848. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
0061(199707)13:9<837::AID-YEA145>3.0.CO;2-T
Gossen, M., Bonin, A.L., Bujard, H. (1993). Control of gene activity in higher
eukaryotic cells by prokaryotic regulatory elements. Trends Biochem Sci 18, 471–475.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0968-0004(93)90009-c
Guerra-Moreno, A., Alves-Rodrigues, I., Hidalgo, E., Ayté, J. (2012). Chemical
genetic induction of meiosis in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Cell Cycle 11, 1621–
1625. https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.20051
He, L., Binari, R., Huang, J., Falo-Sanjuan, J., Perrimon, N. (2019). In vivo study of
gene expression with an enhanced dual-color fluorescent transcriptional timer. Elife 8,
e46181. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46181
Hennig, S., Hornauer, N., Rödel, G., Ostermann, K. (2018). Pheromone-inducible
expression vectors for fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Plasmid 95, 1–6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2017.11.002
Hillen, W., Gatz, C., Altschmied, L., Schollmeier, K., Meier, I. (1983). Control of
expression of the Tn10-encoded tetracycline resistance genes. Equilibrium and kinetic
investigation of the regulatory reactions. J Mol Biol 169, 707–721.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(83)80166-1
Hillen, W., Schollmeier, K., Gatz, C. (1984). Control of expression of the Tn10-
encoded tetracycline resistance operon. II. Interaction of RNA polymerase and TET
repressor with the tet operon regulatory region. J Mol Biol 172, 185–201.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(84)80037-6
Hoffman, C.S., Winston, F. (1989). A transcriptionally regulated expression vector for
the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Gene 84, 473–479.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(89)90523-4
Iacovoni, J.S., Russell, P., Gaits, F. (1999). A new inducible protein expression
system in fission yeast based on the glucose-repressed inv1 promoter. Gene 232, 53–58.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(99)00116-X
Iino, Y., Yamamoto, M. (1985). Negative control for the initiation of meiosis in
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 82, 2447–2451.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.82.8.2447
Jacobs, J.Z., Ciccaglione, K.M., Tournier, V., Zaratiegui, M. (2014).
Implementation of the CRISPR-Cas9 system in fission yeast. Nat Commun 5, 5344.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6344
Kelly, M., Burke, J., Smith, M., Klar, A., Beach, D. (1988). Four mating-type genes

control sexual differentiation in the fission yeast. EMBO J 7, 1537–1547.

Kelly, T.J., Martin, G.S., Forsburg, S.L., Stephen, R.J., Russo, A., Nurse, P. (1993). The fission yeast cdc18+ gene product couples S phase to START and mitosis. Cell 74, 371–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(93)90427-r

Kjaerulff, S., Nielsen, O. (2015). An IPTG-inducible derivative of the fission yeast nmt promoter. Yeast 32, 469–478. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3073

Kumar, R., Singh, J. (2006). A truncated derivative of nmt 1 promoter exhibits temperature-dependent induction of gene expression in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast 23, 55–65. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.1343

Lamping, E., Niimi, M., Cannon, R.D. (2013). Small, synthetic, GC-rich mRNA stem-loop modules 5' proximal to the AUG start-codon predictably tune gene expression in yeast. Microb Cell Fact 12, 74. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-12-74

Lemay, J.-F., Larochelle, M., Marguerat, S., Atkinson, S., Bähler, J., Bachand, F. (2014). The RNA exosome promotes transcription termination of backtracked RNA polymerase II. Nat Struct Mol Biol 21, 919–926. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2893

Li, H., Hou, J., Bai, L., Hu, C., Tong, P., Kang, Y., Zhao, X., Shao, Z. (2015). Genome-wide analysis of core promoter structures in Schizosaccharomyces pombe with DeepCAGE. RNA Biol 12, 525–537. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2015.1022704

Lu, Z., Lin, Z. (2021). The origin and evolution of a distinct mechanism of transcription initiation in yeasts. Genome Res 31, 51–63. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.264325.120

Madar, D., Dekel, E., Bren, A., Alon, U. (2011). Negative auto-regulation increases the input dynamic-range of the arabinose system of Escherichia coli. BMC Syst Biol 5, 111. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-0509-5-111

Marguerat, S., Schmidt, A., Codlin, S., Chen, W., Aebersold, R., Bähler, J. (2012). Quantitative analysis of fission yeast transcriptomes and proteomes in proliferating and quiescent cells. Cell 151, 671–683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.019

Matsuzawa, T., Tohda, H., Takegawa, K. (2013). Ethanol-inducible gene expression using gld1 (+) promoter in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97, 6835–6843. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-4812-2

Matynia, A., Salus, S.S., Sazer, S. (2002). Three proteins required for early steps in the protein secretory pathway also affect nuclear envelope structure and cell cycle progression in fission yeast. J Cell Sci 115, 421–431. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.115.2.421

Maundrell, K. (1993). Thiamine-repressible expression vectors pREP and pRIP for fission yeast. Gene 123, 127–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(93)90551-d

Maundrell, K. (1990). nmt1 of fission yeast. A highly transcribed gene completely

repressed by thiamine. Journal of Biological Chemistry 265, 10857–10864.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)38525-4

Moreno, M.B., Durán, A., Ribas, J.C. (2000). A family of multifunctional thiamine-

repressible expression vectors for fission yeast. Yeast 16, 861–872.

https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0061(20000630)16:9<861::AID-YEA577>3.0.CO;2-9

Moullan, N., Mouchiroud, L., Wang, X., Ryu, D., Williams, E.G., Mottis, A.,

Jovaisaite, V., Frochaux, M.V., Quiros, P.M., Deplancke, B., Houtkooper, R.H.,

Auwerx, J. (2015). Tetracyclines Disturb Mitochondrial Function across Eukaryotic

Models: A Call for Caution in Biomedical Research. Cell Rep 10, 1681–1691.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.034

Murphy, K.F., Balázsi, G., Collins, J.J. (2007). Combinatorial promoter design for

engineering noisy gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104, 12726–12731.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0608451104

Nevozhay, D., Adams, R.M., Murphy, K.F., Josic, K., Balázsi, G. (2009). Negative

autoregulation linearizes the dose-response and suppresses the heterogeneity of gene

expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106, 5123–5128.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809901106

Ohira, M.J., Hendrickson, D.G., Scott McIsaac, R., Rhind, N. (2017). An estradiol-

inducible promoter enables fast, graduated control of gene expression in fission yeast.

Yeast 34, 323–334. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3235

Ottilie, S., Miller, P.J., Johnson, D.I., Creasy, C.L., Sells, M.A., Bagrodia, S.,

Forsburg, S.L., Chernoff, J. (1995). Fission yeast pak1+ encodes a protein kinase that

interacts with Cdc42p and is involved in the control of cell polarity and mating. EMBO

J 14, 5908–5919. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb00278.x

Patterson, J.O., Rees, P., Nurse, P. (2019). Noisy Cell-Size-Correlated Expression of

Cyclin B Drives Probabilistic Cell-Size Homeostasis in Fission Yeast. Current Biology

29, 1379-1386.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.011

Perruca-Foncillas, R., Davidsson, J., Carlquist, M., Gorwa-Grauslund, M.F.

(2022). Assessment of fluorescent protein candidates for multi-color flow cytometry

analysis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol Rep (Amst) 34, e00735.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2022.e00735

Petersen, J., Hagan, I.M. (2003). S. pombe aurora kinase/survivin is required for

chromosome condensation and the spindle checkpoint attachment response. Curr Biol

13, 590–597. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(03)00205-7

Petersen, J., Russell, P. (2016). Growth and the Environment of Schizosaccharomyces

pombe. Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2016, pdb.top079764.

https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top079764

Picard, D., Schena, M., Yamamoto, K.R. (1990). An inducible expression vector for
both fission and budding yeast. Gene 86, 257–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-
1119(90)90287-2

Politi, N., Pasotti, L., Zucca, S., Casanova, M., Micoli, G., Cusella De Angelis,
M.G., Magni, P. (2014). Half-life measurements of chemical inducers for recombinant
gene expression. J Biol Eng 8, 5. https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-1611-8-5

Rasmussen, B., Noller, H.F., Daubresse, G., Oliva, B., Misulovin, Z., Rothstein,
D.M., Ellestad, G.A., Gluzman, Y., Tally, F.P., Chopra, I. (1991). Molecular basis of
tetracycline action: identification of analogs whose primary target is not the bacterial
ribosome. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 35, 2306–2311.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.35.11.2306

Rosenfeld, N., Elowitz, M.B., Alon, U. (2002). Negative autoregulation speeds the
response times of transcription networks. J Mol Biol 323, 785–793.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(02)00994-4

Russell, P.R. (1983). Evolutionary divergence of the mRNA transcription initiation
mechanism in yeast. Nature 301, 167–169. https://doi.org/10.1038/301167a0

Rutherford, K.M., Lera-Ramírez, M., Wood, V. (2024). PomBase: a Global Core
Biodata Resource-growth, collaboration, and sustainability. Genetics 227, iyae007.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyae007

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch,
T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D.J.,
Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: an open-source
platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9, 676–682.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Shiroiwa, Y., Hayashi, T., Fujita, Y., Villar-Briones, A., Ikai, N., Takeda, K., Ebe,
M., Yanagida, M. (2011). Mis17 is a regulatory module of the Mis6-Mal2-Sim4
centromere complex that is required for the recruitment of CenH3/CENP-A in fission
yeast. PLoS One 6, e17761. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017761

Smith, G.R. (2009). Genetic Analysis of Meiotic Recombination in
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Methods Mol Biol 557, 65–76.

Stringer, C., Wang, T., Michaelos, M., Pachitariu, M. (2021). Cellpose: a generalist
algorithm for cellular segmentation. Nat Methods 18, 100–106.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-01018-x

Sunder, S., Greeson-Lott, N.T., Runge, K.W., Sanders, S.L. (2012). A new method
to efficiently induce a site-specific double-strand break in the fission yeast
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast 29, 275–291. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.2908

Tajadura, V., García, B., García, I., García, P., Sánchez, Y. (2004).

Schizosaccharomyces pombe Rgf3p is a specific Rho1 GEF that regulates cell wall

beta-glucan biosynthesis through the GTPase Rho1p. J Cell Sci 117, 6163–6174.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01530

Thodberg, M., Thieffry, A., Bornholdt, J., Boyd, M., Holmberg, C., Azad, A.,

Workman, C.T., Chen, Y., Ekwall, K., Nielsen, O., Sandelin, A. (2019).

Comprehensive profiling of the fission yeast transcription start site activity during stress

and media response. Nucleic Acids Res 47, 1671–1691.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1227

Tommasino, M., Maundrell, K. (1991). Uptake of thiamine by Schizosaccharomyces

pombe and its effect as a transcriptional regulator of thiamine-sensitive genes. Curr

Genet 20, 63–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00312766

Torres-Garcia, S., Yaseen, I., Shukla, M., Audergon, P.N.C.B., White, S.A.,

Pidoux, A.L., Allshire, R.C. (2020). Epigenetic gene silencing by heterochromatin

primes fungal resistance. Nature 585, 453–458. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-

2706-x

Toyama, R., Okayama, H. (1990). Human chorionic gonadotropin alpha and human

cytomegalovirus promoters are extremely active in the fission yeast

Schizosaccharomyces pombe. FEBS Lett 268, 217–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-

5793(90)81012-d

Vještica, A., Marek, M., Nkosi, P.J., Merlini, L., Liu, G., Bérard, M., Billault-

Chaumartin, I., Martin, S.G. (2020). A toolbox of stable integration vectors in the

fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Journal of Cell Science 133, jcs240754.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.240754

Waisman, A., Norris, A.M., Elías Costa, M., Kopinke, D. (2021). Automatic and

unbiased segmentation and quantification of myofibers in skeletal muscle. Sci Rep 11,

11793. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-91191-6

Wang, H., Tang, X., Liu, J., Trautmann, S., Balasundaram, D., McCollum, D.,

Balasubramanian, M.K. (2002). The multiprotein exocyst complex is essential for cell

separation in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Mol Biol Cell 13, 515–529.

https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.01-11-0542

Wang, Hongcheng, Wang, Haiyang, Wang, M., Zhang, L., Wang, R., Mei, Y.,

Shao, W. (2014). Identification and refinement of two strong constitutive promoters for

gene expression system of Schizosaccharomyces pombe. World J Microbiol Biotechnol

30, 1809–1817. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-014-1603-6

Wang, J., Eisenstatt, J.R., Audry, J., Cornelius, K., Shaughnessy, M., Berkner,

K.L., Runge, K.W. (2018). A Heterochromatin Domain Forms Gradually at a New

Telomere and Is Dynamic at Stable Telomeres. Mol Cell Biol 38, e00393-17.

https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00393-17

Watson, A.T., Werler, P., Carr, A.M. (2011). Regulation of gene expression at the

fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe urg1 locus. Gene 484, 75–85.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2011.05.028

Watt, S., Mata, J., López-Maury, L., Marguerat, S., Burns, G., Bähler, J. (2008).

urg1: A Uracil-Regulatable Promoter System for Fission Yeast with Short Induction

and Repression Times. PLOS ONE 3, e1428.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001428

Westwood, P.K., Martin, I.V., Fantes, P.A. (2004). Fission yeast Cdc37 is required

for multiple cell cycle functions. Mol Genet Genomics 271, 82–90.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-003-0958-4

Win, T.Z., Goodwin, A., Hickson, I.D., Norbury, C.J., Wang, S.-W. (2004).

Requirement for Schizosaccharomyces pombe Top3 in the maintenance of chromosome

integrity. J Cell Sci 117, 4769–4778. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01351

Wray, L.V., Reznikoff, W.S. (1983). Identification of repressor binding sites

controlling expression of tetracycline resistance encoded by Tn10. J Bacteriol 156,

1188–1191. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.156.3.1188-1191.1983

Yamamoto, A., Hiraoka, Y. (2003). Monopolar spindle attachment of sister

chromatids is ensured by two distinct mechanisms at the first meiotic division in fission

yeast. The EMBO Journal 22, 2284–2296. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdg222

Yue, M., Zeng, L., Singh, A., Xu, Y. (2014). Rad4 mainly functions in Chk1-mediated

DNA damage checkpoint pathway as a scaffold protein in the fission yeast

Schizosaccharomyces pombe. PLoS One 9, e92936.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092936

Zhang, X.-R., He, J.-B., Wang, Y.-Z., Du, L.-L. (2018). A Cloning-Free Method for

CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing in Fission Yeast. G3 (Bethesda) 8, 2067–

2077. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200164

Zhang, X.-R., Zhao, L., Suo, F., Gao, Y., Wu, Q., Qi, X., Du, L.-L. (2022). An

improved auxin-inducible degron system for fission yeast. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics

12, jkab393. https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkab393

Zilio, N., Wehrkamp-Richter, S., Boddy, M.N. (2012). A new versatile system for

rapid control of gene expression in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast

29, 425–434. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.2920

Fig. 1. Overview of the new tetracycline-inducible expression system.

(A) Schematic showing how the *enotetS* promoter (*PenotetS*) was generated. *PenotetS*

was engineered from the *eno101* promoter (*Peno101*) by introducing a single 19-bp *tetO* sequence between the TATA box and the transcription start site (TSS) of *Peno101*, while maintaining the original distance between the TATA box and the TSS.

(B) Diagram of the integration plasmid pDB5318. This plasmid contains *PenotetS* and a TetR-expressing cassette, which consists of the cytomegalovirus promoter (*P.CMV*) and the TetR coding sequence. The plasmid can be integrated at the *ade6* locus following linearization with NotI digestion. The mECitrine coding sequence can be replaced with the sequence of any desired gene to be expressed under the control of *PenotetS*, using the NheI and BglII restriction sites.

(C) Schematic of four weakened variants of *PenotetS*. These variants were created by inserting a GC-rich stem-loop-forming sequence downstream of *PenotetS*. Bases forming the stem are highlighted in red, while those forming the loop are shown in black.

(D) Fluorescence of cells expressing the yellow fluorescent protein mECitrine from each of the *enotetS* series promoters and from the *adh1* promoter in the presence and absence of the inducer anhydrotetracycline (ahTet). Cells were imaged after 24 hours of growth in YES medium in the presence of ahTet or DMSO (solvent control). The images are presented with two different display ranges to enhance the visualization of differences in fluorescence intensities. Scale bar, 10 µm.

Fig. 2. Inducer dose response of *PenotetS*.

(A) Inducer dose response in YES medium. Cells expressing mECitrine from *PenotetS* were grown in YES medium in the presence of different doses of the inducer ahTet and then imaged. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(B) Quantification of mECitrine fluorescence in the imaging data from the experiment shown in A. The fluorescence level in the presence of 2.5 µg/ml ahTet was used as a reference for normalization (100% expression level).

(C) Inducer dose response in EMM medium. Cells expressing mECitrine from *PenotetS* were grown in EMM medium in the presence of different doses of the inducer ahTet and then imaged. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(D) Quantification of mECitrine fluorescence in the imaging data from the experiment shown in C. The fluorescence level in the presence of 2.5 µg/ml ahTet was used as a reference for normalization (100% expression level).

Fig. 3. Induction kinetics of *PenotetS* and *PenotetSW2* in dividing cells.

(A) Time-course analysis of the induction kinetics of *PenotetS* in log-phase cells grown in YES and EMM media. Images of cells expressing mECitrine from *PenotetS* were taken at the indicated time points after the addition of 2.5 µg/ml ahTet to the culture. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(B, C) Quantification of mECitrine fluorescence in the imaging data from the experiment shown in A. The fluorescence level at the 24-hour time point was used as a reference for normalization (100% expression level).

(D, E) Induction kinetics of *PenotetSW2* in log-phase cells grown in YES and EMM media.

Fig. 4. Expression levels of different promoters.

(A) Expression levels in YES medium. Cells expressing mECitrine from *Padh1* and from each of the *enotetS* series promoters were grown in YES medium under induced conditions (abbreviated as ind., in the presence of ahTet) and repressed conditions (abbreviated as rep., in the absence of ahTet), and then imaged. The quantification

results of the mECitrine fluorescence levels are shown. A thick dashed line represents a threshold (THR) below which the mECitrine fluorescence level cannot be accurately determined. This threshold corresponds to 2% of the average background fluorescence in a parental strain lacking mECitrine.

(B) Expression levels in EMM medium. Cells expressing mECitrine from each of the *enotetS* series promoters were grown in EMM medium under induced conditions (in the presence of ahTet) and repressed conditions (in the absence of ahTet), and then imaged. Cells expressing mECitrine from each of the *nmt1* series promoters were grown in EMM medium under induced conditions (in the absence of thiamine) and repressed conditions (in the presence of thiamine), and then imaged. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(C) Quantification of mECitrine fluorescence in the imaging data from the experiment shown in B.

Fig. 5. Induction kinetics of *PenotetS* in quiescent cells.

(A) Time-course analysis of the induction kinetics of *PenotetS* in G0 cells in EMM−N medium. Images were taken at the indicated time points after the addition of 2.5 μg/ml ahTet. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(B) Time-course analysis of the induction kinetics of *PenotetS* in spores in EMM−N medium. Images were taken at the indicated time points after the addition of 2.5 μg/ml ahTet. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(C) Quantification of mECitrine fluorescence in the imaging data from the experiments shown in A and B, as well as a control experiment in which log-phase cells were grown in EMM medium.

Fig. 6. A new method for synchronous meiosis induction based on the expression of *Mc-2A-Mi* from *PenotetSW1* in *h+/h+* diploids.

(A) Schematic illustrating the new method for synchronous meiosis induction.

(B) Progression of meiosis monitored by DNA staining. Cell samples were stained with the DNA dye SYTOX Green and imaged at the indicated time points after the addition of ahTet. Manual counting was performed to determine the percentages of cells containing different numbers of nuclei.

(C) Viability of spores from induced meiosis. Tetrad analysis was performed on YES plates.

Fig. 7. Generation of conditional loss-of-function mutants using the *enotetS* series promoters.

(A) Tetrad analysis of heterozygous deletion diploids carrying an integration plasmid

expressing the deleted gene from either *PenotetSW2* or *PenotetSW3*. Diploids were sporulated, and the resulting tetrads were dissected on YES plates with and without ahTet. Four spores from a single ascus are labeled A, B, C, and D. The genotype of inviable spores was inferred based on the assumption of 2:2 segregation. Induced expression of *sui1* and *nrs1* rescued the lethality of the respective deletions, while repressed expression did not.

(B) Growth curve analysis showing that the growth defect caused by shutting off gene expression through the removal of ahTet arises rapidly. Cells were initially cultured for 24 hours in YES liquid medium containing ahTet. Following this, cells were washed three times with water and transferred to both ahTet-containing and ahTet-free YES media. The optical density at 600 nm (OD600) of each culture was measured at the indicated time points. Cultures were diluted when the OD600 approached 1.0 to maintain logarithmic growth. The number of generations was calculated based on the OD600 measurements and the dilution ratio.

Fig. S1. AID tagging of two essential genes caused lethality.

Tetrad analysis was conducted on heterozygous diploids with one copy of an essential gene endogenously tagged with the 3×sAID tag (Zhang et al., 2022). Diploids were sporulated, and the resulting tetrads were dissected on YES plates.