CD-GPT: A Biological Foundation Model Bridging the Gap between Molecular Sequences Through Central Dogma

Xiao Zhu[1], Chenchen Qin[1*], Fang Wang[1], Fan Yang[1], Bing He[1], Yu Zhao[1], Jianhua Yao[1*]

[1]Tencent AI Lab, Shenzhen,China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): chenchenqin@tencent.com; jianhuayao@tencent.com;
Contributing authors: shawnxzhu@tencent.com; gelseywang@tencent.com; fionafyang@tencent.com; owenbhe@tencent.com; louisyuzhao@tencent.com;

Abstract

The central dogma serves as a fundamental framework for understanding the flow and expression of genetic information within living organisms, facilitating the connection of diverse biological sequences across molecule types. In this study, we present CD-GPT (Central Dogma Generative Pretrained Transformer), a generative biological foundation model comprising 1 billion parameters, aiming to capture the intricate system-wide molecular interactions in biological systems. We introduce the concept of a unified representational space and employ a shared, multi-molecule vocabulary to effectively represent biological sequences and narrow their distance in the embedding space. Through extensive pretraining on comprehensive full molecular level data, CD-GPT exhibits exceptional performance in a wide range of predictive and generative downstream tasks, encompassing mono-molecular and multi-molecular analyses. Notably, CD-GPT excels in tasks such as genomic element detection, protein property prediction, RNA-protein interaction identification and also generative tasks like de novo protein generation and reverse translation. The versatility of CD-GPT opens up promising avenues for advanced multi-omics analysis.

1 Introduction

The central dogma [1] describes the flow and expression of genetic information within living organisms. Genetic information is first transcribed from DNA to mRNA, then translated into amino acid sequences at the ribosome, and ultimately forms proteins. This coherent process involves the three most crucial molecules in life activities: DNA, RNA, and proteins, which work together to support the diversity and complexity of living organisms.

Advances in sequencing technology have greatly enriched the biological sequence data available to researchers [2, 3], providing support for numerous downstream tasks and research areas, including understanding the regulatory mechanisms of gene expression, identifying genetic variations, and exploring protein modifications. Drawing on the successful experience of pretrained language models [4–6] in the field of Natural Language Processing, which learns the characteristics and patterns of language from vast amounts of text data, biological foundation models [7–9] have also begun to show their potential in addressing these problems. Models based on the Transformer architecture [10] with a self-attention mechanism can effectively learn the representations of sequences, bringing more beneficial knowledge transfer to downstream tasks.

However, current biological foundation models often focus on pretraining on a single type of molecular sequencing data, such as DNA [11], RNA [12], or protein [13] data. Although some models, such as Evo [14], attempt to comprehensively understand the entire molecular system through a unified framework, their architecture is fundamentally dominated by a certain type of molecule, which only establishes a relatively fragile connection between different molecular data.

DNA, RNA, and proteins each possess a distinct molecular composition, with nucleotides and amino acids exhibiting fundamental chemical differences. Although all can serve as carriers of genetic information, they display significant variability in regulatory mechanisms and functional execution. Additionally, in scientific languages, DNA and RNA are composed of four types of nucleobases, while proteins are made up of twenty different amino acids. These multidimensional differences lead to distinct embedding representations in foundational models, creating an informative gap that needs to be narrowed.

With the increasing importance of multi-omics analysis, researchers are paying more and more attention to the integration between different types of data [15, 16]. The limitations of existing models may prevent them from fully capturing the complex interactions and synergistic effects between different molecular types, thereby affecting the comprehensive understanding of biological systems. Therefore, developing models that can truly integrate multiple types of molecular data to more accurately reveal the complex molecular interaction network within the body has become an important direction of current research.

In this paper, we propose CD-GPT, a generative foundation model with 1 billion parameters trained on multi-molecular data (**Fig.**1A-C). CD-GPT uses a unified encoding framework to comprehensively model data of different molecular types. Compared with mono-molecular models, CD-GPT effectively shortens the distance in the embedding space between different molecular types by utilizing the explicit mapping

Fig. 1 Building a foundation model biological sequences at the full molecular level. CD-GPT underwent three stages of pretrain. (**A**) Firstly, We pretrained CD-GPT on extensive and diverse data set of mono molecular sequences. (**B**) Subsequently, we pretrained CD-GPT on paired molecular sequences through central dogma, aiming to enable the model to discern the intrinsic connections between various molecular data. (**C**) Lastly, we performed additional pretrain on protein structure data to enhance the model's deep comprehension of protein structure. (**D**) After these pretrain phases, CD-GPT is fully capable of handling a variety of molecular and sequence tasks, including mono-molecular tasks, cross-molecular tasks, and sequence generation tasks. (**E**) UMAP visualization showing that the distances between different molecular data in the latent space have been effectively reduced after central dogma pretrain.

relationships revealed by the central dogma, constructing a tighter connection with more interpretability.

We demonstrate that CD-GPT can efficiently handle a series of downstream tasks, including prediction and generation, at the full molecular level (**Fig.**1D). Firstly, we confirm that CD-GPT can narrow the gap between sequences from different molecular types at the embedding level, building a unified expression framework. Secondly, CD-GPT achieves SOTA performance in tasks such as promoter detection, splice site prediction, solubility prediction, and RNA-protein interaction prediction. This proves that CD-GPT has not only learned effective sequence representation from pretraining but also deeply understood the intrinsic connections between different molecular data. Finally, in sequence generation tasks such as protein design and reverse translation, CD-GPT shows its great potential as a generative model. Through comprehensive evaluation across different molecular types and task types, we show CD-GPT's excellent performance and broad application prospects in multi-omics data analysis, and provide a new paradigm for biological foundation models: modeling at the full molecular level. We hope that CD-GPT can play its role in a broader range of biological applications and bring innovative breakthroughs to life science research.

2 Results

2.1 CD-GPT narrows the gap between different molecular data through central dogma pretrain

In the field of natural language processing, multilingual models effectively address cross-lingual challenges such as machine translation by integrating data from various languages during pretraining [17–20]. Similarly, within the intricate biological systems, diverse molecules can be considered as distinct languages. Molecules within organisms engage in information exchange and interaction through a multitude of biological processes. However, due to differences of the representation systems, the embeddings of biological data from different molecular types in the latent space often lie far apart from each other. This prompted us to consider: Can we adopt strategies from multilingual learning to imbue our model with relevant knowledge from the pretraining phase, thereby narrowing the gap between different molecular data?

A unified representational space necessitates a shared foundational vocabulary [19]. Therefore, instead of employing a single-granularity tokenization, which models sequences only using individual nucleotides or amino acids, we draw on practices from various cross-lingual models [21] and constructed a more flexible and inclusive system for representing biological sequences using a shared, multi-molecular vocabulary. Single-granularity tokenization results in a sparse spatial distribution, making it difficult to capture the connections between different molecules and thus challenging to achieve effective alignment of molecular data. In contrast, we perform BPE [22] tokenization on a mixed molecule corpus to build a biological vocabulary, providing an initial anchor point for alignment through shared symbols.

The successful experience of multilingual models tells us that different languages can share the same semantic space. That is to say, sentences from different languages

but with similar meanings can have their representational vectors aligned after encoding [21]. Based on this, we pretrained on a large-scale dataset that includes paired information related to the central dogma to achieve effective alignment of different molecular data. We use cosine similarity to measure the distance between different molecular sequences in the latent space. CD-GPT, after central dogma pretraining, significantly alters the distribution of different molecular sequences in the latent space. We randomly sampled 1000 protein sequences and their corresponding pre-mRNA and DNA sequences from mammalian sequence data. Upon visualizing the embedding vectors with UMAP [23], we found that the originally dispersed molecular sequences came closer together, indicating that they began to exhibit similar features in the high-dimensional space (**Fig.**1E). This finding reveals that CD-GPT, through central dogma pretraining, has begun to capture the intrinsic connections across different molecular sequences.

In the subsequent evaluation of CD-GPT, we selected two checkpoints before and after the central dogma pretraining for comparison, denoted as CD-GPT* and CD-GPT, respectively. Furthermore, based on the CD-GPT checkpoint, we performed additional protein structure pretraining (See Methods 4.3.1) to enhance performance in protein benchmark evaluation, denoted as CD-GPT-s.

2.2 CD-GPT is capable of accurately identifying genomic elements

Firstly, we conducted a comprehensive assessment of CD-GPT's performance on mono molecular tasks, which only involve single type of molecule. We focused on the model's ability to recognize genomic elements, which is essential for understanding how genes are regulated, their patterns of expression, and the roles they play in various biological processes within cells and organisms.

Promoters are specific sequences on DNA that mark the starting point for the transcription of genes into mRNA, representing the first step in the flow of genetic information in the central dogma. Splice donors and acceptors are the exact locations where alternative splicing occurs in the human genome. This mechanism allows for the production of multiple proteins from a single gene through different mRNA splicing variants, enhancing an organism's adaptability to environmental changes. To evaluate our model's performance in understanding and predicting these key genetic elements, we employed promoter detection and splice site prediction as to test the model's ability to recognize various genetic elements.

In the tasks of promoter detection and splice site prediction, we followed the dataset division and preprocessing methods of GUE [11]. We conducted full finetuning on the 1b parameter version of CD-GPT on these specific tasks.

Our model outperformed various existing counterparts on these tasks (**Fig.**2B), including nucleotide transformer [7], DNABERT-2 [11], and Evo [14]. Our results indicate that CD-GPT is not only capable of learning effective sequence representations from a large amount of genomic data but also able to transfer this knowledge to specific downstream tasks, demonstrating excellent generalization ability.Additionally, we observed that the performance of CD-GPT, which underwent central dogma pretrain,

Fig. 2 Assessment of CD-GPT's performance on various downstream tasks. (A) CD-GPT has shown superior performance over counterparts of similar parameter size in a diverse array of downstream tasks, including promoter detection and splice site prediction **(B)** for genomics data, solubility prediction, contact map prediction and secondary structure prediction **(C)** for protein data, RNA Protein interaction prediction **(D)** for cross molecular data. CD-GPT* refers to a version without central dogma pretrain and CD-GPT-s refers to a version with additional protein structure pretrain.

was significantly enhanced compared to the version without this process (**Fig.**2B). This finding further confirms the effectiveness of the central dogma pretrain strategy.

2.3 CD-GPT is able to predict properties and structures of protein

Next, we tested CD-GPT's performance on downstream tasks related to protein data. Proteins are the catalysts and primary executors of life activities, and their properties and structures determine their biological functions [24]. Accurately predicting the properties and structures of proteins helps us understand the complex processes within cells. We selected several downstream tasks including solubility prediction, secondary structure prediction, and contact map prediction, to comprehensively evaluate the model.

In the solubility prediction task, the model needs to predict whether a protein is soluble based on its sequence, which is of significant importance for drug design and protein engineering [25]. In the secondary structure prediction task, the goal is to determine the local spatial conformation of each amino acid residue in the protein sequence, such as helices, beta sheets, or random coils, which is fundamental to understanding the three-dimensional structure of proteins [26]. Contact map prediction task requires the model to identify pairs of amino acid residues within the protein that are in close proximity and may interact with each other to affect the stability and function of the protein [27].

The results demonstrate that our model outperforms other protein models in these tasks. In the solubility task, our model achieved an accuracy of 73.9%, surpassing other models. It also showed excellent performance in the structural prediction task. To enhance the performance for structural prediction, we strategically employed a tactic during the pretraining phase. We tokenized the input sequences at single amino acid granularity with a low ratio during the pretraining process. This approach allows the model to more accurately capture the characteristics of individual residues. Although this fine-grained tokenization strategy is computationally more expensive, it provides the model with a more sensitive representation of the local sequence properties, which is highly beneficial for predicting the local structure of proteins.

When evaluated CD-GPT-s on solubility prediction tasks, we observed further improvements in predictive performance (**Fig.**2C). This finding reveals that the incorporation of structural information enables the model to more accurately capture the characteristics of proteins. For instance, in solubility prediction, differentiating between hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions of proteins is crucial, and the properties of these regions are closely related to their three-dimensional structures [28]. Consequently, the integration of structural information not only allows the model to recognize sequence features but also enables it to infer indirect features that affect solubility.

2.4 CD-GPT can capture the interactions between different molecular data.

Models like Evo [14] attempt to capture system-wide interactions by learning from large genomic regions. This approach is effective to a certain extent, but when confronted with complex biological systems, relying solely on genomic data makes it difficult to fully capture all levels of molecular interactions. Additionally, its generalization capabilities may be insufficient when dealing with other types of molecular data. Therefore, CD-GPT models sequences at the full molecular level, hoping to more comprehensively understand and predict the complex interplay between biomolecules.

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), particularly long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), are playing an increasingly critical role in regulating gene expression and participating in cellular signaling pathways [29, 30]. The diverse biological functions of lncRNAs are largely achieved through interactions with specific RNA-binding proteins [31, 32]. Thus, effectively identifying RNA-protein interactions (RPI) is of great significance for deepening our understanding of the biological functions of ncRNAs. By revealing the molecular mechanisms of these interactions, we can better grasp the role of lncRNAs in cellular physiology and pathology, thereby providing new molecular targets and therapeutic strategies for related diseases.

Traditional machine learning methods [33] have certain limitations when dealing with complex biological sequence data, failing to fully capture all useful information in the sequence data. Currently, some methods [34–36] have adopted deep learning technology, achieving better prediction results through networks such as CNNs or LSTMs [37].

However, there is still a relative lack in using large models to handle such tasks. This is because existing large models usually encode based on single-modal data, finding it difficult to process task inputs of multi-modal data. This greatly limits the model's ability to handle complex biological problems that require the integration of multi-molecular information.

Thanks to CD-GPT's ability to model sequences at the full molecular level, the model can reveal potential connections between different molecular sequences. By concatenating multiple sequences into one, CD-GPT can model data containing multi-molecular information directly, as if it were a single sequence. This method not only simplifies the processing of multi-molecular data but also enhances the model's ability to capture multi-dimensional features of complex biological systems.

In comparison with baseline methods, our model has shown strong competitiveness. On the RPI369 and RPI488 [38] datasets, our model has achieved SOTA performance (**Fig.**2D). Furthermore, when comparing the performance of two versions of CD-GPT, we were pleasantly surprised to find that the model, after central dogma pretrain, showed significant performance improvement in RPI tasks. This result reveals that by integrating different molecular data, the model can more comprehensively understand the complex relationships between biomolecules, thereby significantly enhancing the accuracy of predictions.

Fig. 3 Analysis of protein design results. (A) CD-GPT generates corresponding target sequence given prompt label that specifies the protein type. **(B)** Bar charts illustrating the pLDDT and PPL metrics for the CD-GPT generated, natural, and randomly generated proteins. **C** Density histogram showing the distribution of the pLDDT and PPL for the CD-GPT generated and natural proteins. **(D)** Showcases of generated proteins. The structures are predicted by ESM-Fold, and we used FoldSeek to search for the most similar structure in PDB.

2.5 CD-GPT can handle the de novo design of proteins

Protein design aims to create novel proteins with specific biological functions or to optimize and enhance the performance of existing proteins [39]. Designers first craft or refine the amino acid sequence to meet the anticipated functions and structural features of the protein. Subsequently, at the nucleotide level, this amino acid sequence is reversely translated into a DNA sequence, and necessary codon optimization is performed to ensure effective expression of the protein within the target biological system [40]. If foundation models only model sequences at nucleotide level, it would significantly limit the precision and controllability of the design process. Therefore, CD-GPT opts for modeling at the full molecular level to ensure the efficiency and functionality of protein design.

CD-GPT is an autoregressive model based on the Transformer decoder architecture, thereby enabling the generation of protein sequences from scratch. CD-GPT, having undergone pretraining on a vast database of protein sequences and finetuned with PDB data for structural adjustments, has mastered the distribution patterns and characteristic representations of protein sequences. This enables CD-GPT not only to generate proteins from scratch but also to finetune on protein families with specific functions, thus generating family members with the desired characteristics.

Firstly, we directed the model to unconditionally generate 1,000 protein sequences. We also selected another 1,000 sequences from naturally existed proteins, as well as a set of randomly generated sequences, as control groups. We utilized two metrics, perplexity and pLDDT [41] to comprehensively evaluate the quality and validity of the generated sequences. Perplexity measures the statistical properties of the sequence, while pLDDT assesses the orderliness of the protein structure. A lower ppl value indicates that the generated sequence is statistically closer to real data and thus more reasonable; conversely, a higher pLDDT value signifies a more orderly structural feature. In this study, the ppl values were assessed using the ESM model [9], and the pLDDT values were calculated after structural prediction with ESM-Fold.

We found that the average ppl value of the generated sequences was 8.17, which is not only close to the 6.45 of real sequences but also significantly lower than that of randomly generated sequences. Meanwhile, the average pLDDT value of the generated sequences reached 61.84, with 37.8% of the sequences having a pLDDT value exceeding 70 (**Fig.**3C). These results are also competitive compared to the metrics reported in previous protein generation models [42].

Next, we finetuned CD-GPT on the dataset of lysozyme families, aiming to generate corresponding family members through specified input tags. Results show that CD-GPT can successfully generate proteins with specific enzymatic feature domains based on different prompt tags, and these generated proteins have a high pLDDT score (**Fig.**3D). This confirms that CD-GPT is capable of conditional protein design and also demonstrates its potential for more refined feature control.

Fig. 4 **Analysis of reverse translation results. (A)** CD-GPT generates corresponding target sequence given prompt labels that specify the modality and task type. **(B)** Pie chart illustrating the distribution of codon usage frequencies for leucine in both real sequences and CD-GPT generated sequences. The inner circle represents the natural sequence distribution, while the outer circle depicts the distribution of sequences generated by CD-GPT. **(C)** Bar chart showing the sequence similarity between CD-GPT generated results and the translations based on the codon usage frequency table with the ground truth sequences **(D)** Bar chart showing the translation initiation rates of natural, CD-GPT generated and codon usage table based translation results.

2.6 CD-GPT gains a profound understanding of the central dogma through reverse translation

Translation is a crucial process in the central dogma, marking the key step where genetic information flows from RNA to protein. This process is biologically based on a precise set of rules, where every set of three adjacent nucleotides in messenger RNA, known as a codon [43], encodes for a specific amino acid. In contrast, reverse translation involves converting a protein sequence back into its corresponding nucleic acid sequence. In the field of bioengineering, it holds significant practical value and importance. Reverse translation can be associated with codon optimization techniques to enhance the expression efficiency of genes within host cells [40, 44].

The complexity of reverse translation lies in the degeneracy of the genetic code, where multiple different codons can encode the same amino acid. This leads to the

potential for multiple possible target sequences to be generated during the reverse translation process, increasing the difficulty of accurately deducing the original nucleic acid sequence from the protein sequence. Traditional reverse translation methods often rely on codon usage tables, determining the corresponding codon for an amino acid based on specific codon usage preferences. However, such preferences do not fully reflect the complexity and dynamics within actual biological organisms, such as the abundance of tRNA, the expression level of genes [45]. Ignoring these complex biological factors could result in synthesized gene sequences with low expression efficiency or the production of unintended proteins in the target organism.

We finetuned CD-GPT using translation-related paired sequences from vertebrate mammals, enabling it to follow the prompt instructions for reverse translation tasks and generate corresponding RNA sequences. We evaluated the performance of our model using two metrics: sequence similarity and initiation translation rate. Sequence similarity reflects the model's learning on codon preferences, while the initiation translation rate is associated with the biological expression efficiency and functionality of the generated sequence [46, 47].

We constructed a codon usage table as a baseline by calculating the frequency of codon occurrences in the finetuning dataset. Upon observing the generation results, we first noted that the model successfully generated valid reverse translation sequences and captured the codon preference (**Fig.**4B), confirming that it has accurately mastered the correspondence between codons and amino acids. In the assessment of sequence similarity, CD-GPT not only surpassed the baseline method but also achieved a high similarity of 84.34% with the natural sequences (**Fig.**4C). This indicates that CD-GPT did not rely solely on codon preferences to generate results but learned a deeper correspondence.

More encouragingly, in the evaluation of the initiation translation rate, CD-GPT not only significantly outperformed the baseline but also approached the measurements of the natural sequences (**Fig.**4D). This finding reveals that the sequences generated by our model have higher potential and functionality in biological expression. For applications in fields such as gene synthesis, protein engineering, and drug design, this high expressivity is crucial as it suggests that the generated sequences are more likely to achieve accurate expression and fulfill the desired biological functions within biological organisms.

3 Discussion

In this paper, we introduce CD-GPT, a generative foundation model with 1 billion parameters. CD-GPT has undergone extensive pretraining on data at the full molecular level. With a unified encoding framework, CD-GPT is capable of comprehensively modeling different types of data. Furthermore, we provide clear interpretability support for the rationality of this unified modeling approach by measuring the distance between sequences in the latent space.

CD-GPT has demonstrated excellent performance across a range of mono-molecular and multi-molecular downstream tasks, proving that it has not only learned

high-quality representations of different modalities of data but also captured the intrinsic connections between them from paired supervisory signals. CD-GPT can effectively transfer the knowledge acquired during the pretraining phase to relevant downstream tasks, such as promoter prediction and splice site prediction involving transcription and translation processes. Through simulating the flow and expression of genetic information within living organisms, central dogma pretrain provides CD-GPT with a richer biological context, which not only strengthens the model's understanding of the intrinsic patterns of biological sequences but also improves its ability to identify key genetic elements. In addition, the full molecular-level perspective enables the model to handle multi-molecular tasks with ease, providing new tools for multi-omics analysis. We hope to illustrate a point through these results: for complex biological systems, we need to rely on more diverse data to fully capture the interactions within the system in order to gain a deeper biological understanding.

Although CD-GPT, as the first full molecular foundation model, has already shown encouraging performance, we recognize that the model's potential for development extends far beyond this and there are many aspects that can be further explored.

Firstly, according to the scaling laws [48] of generative models, there is a power-law relationship between the model's ultimate performance and the volume of parameters and data used. Current technology can build much larger model, while we have only scaled CD-GPT to a scale of 1 billion parameters, indicating that there is still significant potential for improvement in terms of model scale. By increasing the number of model parameters and the scale of training data, we have reason to expect a significant enhancement in model performance.

Secondly, while we have focused on the translation process from RNA to protein when applying the central dogma, the central dogma also reveals broader connections between sequences. For example, in the transcription process from DNA to RNA, RNA splicing is a key step that forms mature mRNA molecules by removing introns and connecting exons [49]. This process is crucial for the regulation of gene expression and is closely related to various biological functions and disease states [50]. In addition, there is a special case of reverse transcription, which is observed in certain viruses where an RNA template is reverse-transcribed into DNA. This process, catalyzed by reverse transcriptase [51], is a key step in the replication of many retroviruses, including HIV, which use this mechanism to establish infection in host cells. Understanding this process is crucial for the development of antiviral treatment strategies.

The current models have certain limitations in uncovering complex biological mechanisms. Although the complexity of regulatory mechanisms is one of the core characteristics of biology, the models still struggle to capture the subtleties of these regulatory relationships. Constrained by the lack of specificity in the training data, CD-GPT often fails to generalize well when simulating or predicting regulatory dynamics in real biological environments, which is a common challenge faced by biological sequence foundation models.

In future research, we plan to more comprehensively integrate these complex biological processes into the model's learning framework and to model the interactions between molecules in biological systems at a finer granularity. Projects such as The Cancer Genome Atlas [52] and the Human Cell Atlas [53] provide an increasingly

rich and diverse set of data, which open up new possibilities for foundation models to learn cellular context aware gene regulatory relationships. Overall, as a preliminary exploration of multi-molecular foundation models, CD-GPT, we look forward to this innovative paradigm providing researchers with new perspectives and directions for research.

4 Methods

4.1 Model details

4.1.1 tokenization

We constructed our vocabulary using the Byte Pair Encoding (BPE) algorithm [22], which incrementally merges frequent pairs to build up the vocabulary over iterations. Retaining special symbols such as digits and letters on top of this BPE vocabulary allows for subsequent finetuning and sequence generation tasks. This approach culminates in a comprehensive vocabulary of 64,000 tokens, which is well-suited for the construction of a multi-modal model. By adopting a shared vocabulary, our model can robustly handle diverse modalities of data.

We specifically randomly sampled DNA, RNA, and protein sequences from various model organisms, including Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Danio rerio, Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Escherichia coli, and Arabidopsis thaliana. The sampling was done in a 5:3:1 ratio, reflecting the proportionate lengths of the DNA, RNA, and protein sequences, respectively. We constructed a vocabulary using SentenPiece [54] and manually added special symbols such as numbers and letters to enhance the universality and applicability of the vocabulary.

4.1.2 architecture

CD-GPT adopted a GPT-like decoder-only architecture [5, 6], which consists of three main components: an embedding layer, a stack of transformer decoder layers, and an output head (**Fig.**5A).

In the embedding layer, the input sequence, represented as a list of tokens by the BPE tokenizer, is transformed into a learnable vector representation. This vector representation is then fed into a series of decoder layers to obtain a richer semantic representation. Each decoder layer is composed of a multi-head causal attention layer and a feed-forward layer. The self-attention mechanism [10] is the core component of this layer and can be formulated as:

$$Attention(q, k, v) = softmax\left(\frac{qk^T}{\sqrt{d_h}} \cdot M\right)v \tag{1}$$

where q, k, v denote query, key, value representation and d_h represents the hidden size of multi-head attention. We used a lower triangular mask M during the attention calculation, which sets the dot product results of the key and query for future positions to a very large negative number before the attention score calculation, resulting in almost zero after the softmax function.

Fig. 5 Detailed architecture of CD-GPT. (A) CD-GPT consists of three main components: an embedding layer, a stack of transformer decoder layers, and an output head. **(B)** According to different types of downstream task, We apply different output heads to get the generation or prediction results.

We employ rotary positional encoding [55] to provide the model with positional information for each token in the sequence. It effectively conveys positional information within the model by representing each position's encoding as a rotation operation on the complex plane.

Table 1 Architecture details of different versions of CD-GPT. We trained two versions of CD-GPT models with different sizes.

	CD-GPT
num_layers	12
attention_heads	24
embedding_dim	2304
alphabet_size	64000
optimizer	AdamW
learning_rate	5e-5
weight_decay	0.1
scheduler	WarmupCosineLR
warm_up_iter	40000
parameters	1064M

After processing by the embedding and decoder layers, the input sequence is transformed into a vector embedding that carries functional and structural information. Depending on the downstream task, we then apply the corresponding output head to obtain the results (**Fig.**5B).

For **sequence generation** tasks, we use a prediction head that maps each token in the sequence to the probability distribution of its subsequent token. The output head includes a linear layer with an input dimension of the model's embedding dimension and an output dimension equal to the length of the vocabulary. When generating a sequence, we take the output result of the last token and sample from it to produce the generated token. We combine the generated token with the original sequence to form a new sequence and continue sequence generation by repeating the above process.

For **sequence prediction** tasks, we use a prediction head that maps the last token of the sequence to the probability distribution of the category. The output head includes a linear layer with an input dimension of the model's embedding dimension and an output dimension equal to the number of predicted categories. During prediction, we input the representation of the last token into the linear layer to obtain the prediction result, as the representation of the last token has already exchanged information with the entire sequence in the previous attention computation process.

For **token prediction** tasks, we use a prediction head that maps each token in the sequence to the probability distribution of the category. The output head includes a bidirectional attention layer and a linear layer. We have added a bidirectional attention layer in front of the prediction head, allowing tokens earlier in the sequence to exchange information with later tokens, thereby achieving improved predictive results.

For **residue-pair prediction** tasks, we take the attention matrix of the last decoder layer as input and use a prediction head to obtain the probability distribution of the category to which each residue pair belongs. The output head includes a two-layer MLP that maps the high-dimensional attention map to the two-dimensional predicted categories. We have also introduced symmetrization and average product correction operations to symmetrize and normalize the attention map [56].

4.2 Dataset details

4.2.1 pretraining dataset

The pretraining data corpus for CD-GPT is primarily sourced from the RefSeq [57] and UniRef50 [58]. We initially acquired a comprehensive dataset that covers 14 different organisms, originally provided in FASTA and GenBank file formats. The FASTA files contain the sequence information, while the GenBank files contain detailed annotations of the sequences.

In the first phase of pretraining, we utilized the mono-sequences for training. We removed sequences containing invalid symbols like 'N', and then we performed character-level tokenization on the sequences with a probability of 5%. Finally, we obtained 39,771,575 DNA sequences, 51,237,428 RNA sequences, and 26,238,387 protein sequences for pretraining.

In the second phase of pretraining, we employed paired sequences that involve the translation process of the central dogma. Based on the sequence annotations in the GenBank files of RNA data, we pinpointed the CDS regions and their upstream DNA sequences, pairing them with the corresponding translated protein sequences to form a complete set of paired sequences. Utilizing this method, we acquired a total of 337,780,146 high-quality sequence pairs, providing a rich corpus for the second phase of pretraining.

We performed additional training on a vast array of PDB data from RCSB [59], thereby integrating structural information into CD-GPT. Utilizing the spatial structures of proteins recorded in PDB files, we calculated their secondary structures and contact maps, ultimately compiling a dataset of 595,108 proteins.

Table 2 Statistics of the pretraining dataset.

Pretrain Stage	Data Type	Amount	Data Source
Mono-sequence Pretrain	DNA	39,758,549	RefSeq UniRef50
	RNA	51,059,612	
	Protein	262,266,016	
	Total	**353,084,177**	
Central Dogma Pretrain	DNA-Protein pair	293,571,497	RefSeq
	mRNA-Protein pair	44,208,649	
	Total	**337,780,146**	
Protein Structure Pretrain	Protein	595,108	RCSB

4.2.2 evaluation dataset

For the promoter detection and splice site prediction tasks, we utilized the datasets and division from the GUE benchmark [11].
Promoter Detection Promoter detection dataset is downloaded from the Eukaryotic Promoter Database [60]. Sequences are extracted to span from 249 base pairs upstream to 50 base pairs downstream of the transcription start site. These sequences encompass both TATA-containing and TATA-less promoters. and is extracted to be

Table 3 **Detailed Statistics of the mono-sequence pretrain dataset.**

	DNA	RNA	Protein
vertebrate_mammalian	3,426,234	11,610,584	9,710,809
vertebrate_other	4,016,881	15,510,388	13,672,291
invertebrate	3,000,171	9,708,846	8,686,644
bacteria	26,829,181	28,459	210,089,788
plant	2,231,444	8,805,618	8,192,225
fungi	110,994	5,154,195	5,163,994
archaea	35,505	1,354	2,936,792
viral	16,398	0	655,246
plasmid	75,816	7	2,081,776
mitochondrion	15,925	240,117	12,321
plastid	0	44	1,064,130
Total	**39,758,549**	**51,059,612**	**262,266,016**

-249 +50 bp around the transcription start site from both TATA and non-TATA promoters. These two datasets are merged to form the final dataset as promoter class. Non-promoter class dataset is constructed by randomly selecting sequences outside of promoter regions but with TATA motif or randomly substituted sequences without TATA motif. All the datasets above are merged to obtain a final dataset.

Splice Site Prediction For the splice site prediction task, the dataset is sourced from SpliceFinder [61] and includes 400 bp long sequences extracted from the Ensembl GRCh38 human reference genome. To increase the complexity of this task, the dataset is reconstructed by iteratively adding adversarial examples.

For the protein solubility prediction, secondary structure prediction, and contact map prediction tasks, we employed the datasets and division from the PEER benchmark [62].

Solubility Prediction The solubility prediction dataset originates from DeepSol [25]. The original dataset consists of 58689 soluble and 70954 insoluble protein sequences. CD-HIT [63, 64] is first used to decrease sequence redundancy with a maximum sequence identity of 90%. Then protein sequences with a sequence identity of $\geq 30\%$ to any sequence in the test set are excluded from the training set to form the final training dataset.

Secondary Structure Prediction The training set for the secondary structure prediction task comes from NetSurfP2.0 [26], which is filtered such that no two proteins have greater than 25% sequence identity. The test set is derived from CB513 [65]. It is filtered at 25% sequence identity against the training set to assess the generalization across dissimilar protein sequences.

Contact Map Prediction For the contact map prediction task, we use ProteinNet [66] dataset for training and CASP12 test set for evaluation. The test set is filtered against the training set at a 30% sequence identity. We report precision of the L/5 most likely contacts for long-range contacts as metric.

For the RNA protein interaction prediction task, we used the widely recognized benchmark datasets RPI369 and RPI488 [38] from previous studies.

RNA Protein Interaction Prediction These datasets are extracted from the RNA-protein interaction complexes in the RNA-protein interaction database PRIDB [67] or PDB. If there is a protein atom and an RNA atom with the distance between them being less than the specified distance threshold, then the protein and RNA form an interaction pair. Additionally, RPI488 consists of lncRNA-protein interaction pairs, where the RNAs are ncRNAs longer than 200 nt. We followed previous study using 5-fold cross-validation and reported the average performance.

Lysozyme Generation For the lysozyme generation task, we leveraged a dataset sourced from Progen [68]. This dataset encompasses five protein families derived from the Pfam [69] database, which includes phage lysozyme, pesticin, glucosaminidase, the glycoside hydrolase family 108, and transglycosylase.

Reverse Translation For the reverse translation task, we constructed a finetuning dataset using mammalian sequencing data from the RefSeq, which includes 11,598,787 protein-pre-mRNA reverse translation sequence pairs, and we set aside 100 pairs for testing. Each pair consists of a protein and its corresponding pre-mRNA, with the mRNA containing only the coding sequence region.

Table 4 Statistics of the prediction evaluation dataset.

Task	Task Type	Split(Train/Val/Test)	Metric
DNA Evaluation Benchmark			
Promoter Detection	Sequence Prediction	47,356/5,920/5,920	MCC
Splice Site Prediction	Sequence Prediction	36,496/4,562/4,562	MCC
Protein Evaluation Benchmark			
Solubility Prediction	Sequence Prediction	62,478/6,942/1,999	Accuracy
Secondary Structure Prediction	Token Prediction	8,678/2,170/513	Accuracy
Contact Map Prediction	Residue-pair Prediction	25,299/224/40	L/5 Precision
Cross Molecular Evaluation Benchmark			
RNA Protein Interaction Prediction (RPI488)	Sequence Prediction	488/-/-	MCC
RNA Protein Interaction Prediction (RPI369)	Sequence Prediction	369/-/-	MCC

4.3 Training details

4.3.1 pretraining objectives

We employed next token prediction [70] as our pretraining task, where the model predicts the subsequent token based on the preceding tokens. We utilized cross-entropy as the loss function to optimize the model parameters, which can be formulated as:

$$Loss_{ntp} = -\sum_{k=1}^{D} \log p_\theta(u_i|u_{i-k}, \cdots, u_{i-1}) \tag{2}$$

where u_i represents the i-th token in the given sequence.

We pretrained CD-GPT with a two-phase process to enhance its performance step by step. These two phases are named **Mono-sequence Pretrain** and **Central Dogma Pretrain**.

In the **Mono-sequence Pretrain**, CD-GPT was trained directly on the mono-sequences of different molecular types. During this phase, the sequences had a 5% chance of being tokenized at individual nucleotides or amino acids level.

In the **Central Dogma Pretrain**, we employed the idea of the central dogma by pairing RNA sequences with protein sequences. We selected sequence pairs from the training data that involved the translation process and organized them into a coherent training corpus.

We conducted additional protein structure pretrain on a vast amount of PDB data. We adopted a multi-task training strategy and trained CD-GPT to simultaneously tackle next token prediction, contact map prediction, and secondary structure prediction tasks. The loss function can be formulated as:

$$Loss_{total} = \alpha Loss_{ntp} + \beta Loss_{ss} + \gamma Loss_{contact} \tag{3}$$

where α, β, γ are set to 0.1, 0.8, 0.1 respectively during training.

4.3.2 pretraining settings

We trained CD-GPT on 8 NVIDIA A100 40G GPUs. CD-GPT consumed 206.095 billion tokens during the first phase of pre-training, which lasted for 20 days and consumed 139.274 billion tokens during the second phase of pre-training, which lasted for 14 days.

We employed data parallelism during both phases of pretraining to promote the efficiency and stability of the training process. Additionally, we leveraged the AdamW optimizer [71], which incorporates weight decay to prevent overfitting, along with a learning rate scheduling strategy to dynamically adjust the learning rate throughout the training epochs.

4.3.3 finetuning objectives

In sequence prediction tasks, the model is required to predict one of several possible labels for an input sequence. Tasks such as promoter detection, splice site prediction, solubility prediction, and RNA-protein interaction prediction can be classified under this category. The finetuning loss can be formulated as:

$$Loss = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{[y_i=\text{true}]} \cdot \log(p_i) \tag{4}$$

where N is the total number of labels, y_i is an indicator that is 1 if label i is the correct classification for the input sequence, and p_i is the predicted probability that the input sequence belongs to label i.

In token prediction tasks, the model needs to predict one of several possible labels for each token in the input sequence. Secondary structure prediction can be classified under this category. The finetuning loss can be formulated as:

$$Loss = -\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{[y_{ij}=\text{true}]} \cdot \log(p_{ij}) \tag{5}$$

where M is the total number of tokens in the sequence, N is the total number of labels, p_{ij} is the predicted probability that j-th token belongs to label i.

In residue pair prediction tasks, the model needs to predict one of several possible labels for each residue pair of the input sequence. Contact map prediction can be classified under this category. The finetuning loss can be formulated as:

$$Loss = -\sum_{j=1}^{P}\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{[y_{ij}=\text{true}]} \cdot \log(p_{ij}) \tag{6}$$

where P is the total number of residue pairs in the input sequence. N is the total number of labels, p_{ij} is the predicted probability that j-th residue pair belongs to label i.

4.3.4 prompt details

We define a "prompt" as the input sentence during training and inference. The prompts vary across different pretraining phases or downstream tasks. Here is a detailed explanation:

In the **Mono-sequence Pretrain**, the prompt are directly the mono-sequences:

$$\boxed{DNA/RNA/Protein}$$

In the **Central Dogma Pretrain**, the prompt is designed as:

$$\boxed{\textbf{<DNA>}...\textbf{</DNA>}\textbf{<splicing>}\textbf{<mRNA>}\textbf{<translate>}\textbf{<:>}\textbf{<mRNA>}...\textbf{</mRNA>}\textbf{<protein>}...\textbf{</protein>}}$$

or

$$\boxed{\textbf{<mRNA>}...\textbf{</mRNA>}\textbf{<translate>}\textbf{<:>}\textbf{<protein>}...\textbf{</protein>}}$$

where ... represents the corresponding molecular sequences.

In the training phase of **Unconditional Protein Generation** task, the prompt is designed as:

$$\boxed{\textbf{<protein>}...}$$

where ... represents protein sequences.

In the inference phase of **Unconditional Protein Generation** task, the prompt is only left with a protein tag:

$$\boxed{\texttt{<protein>}}$$

In the training phase of **Lysozyme Generation** task, the prompt is designed as:

$$\boxed{\texttt{<lysozyme family type>...}}$$

where lysozyme family type can be **Glucosaminidase**, **Glyco_hydro_108**, **Pesticin**, **Phage_lysozyme** and **Transglycosylas**.

In the inference phase of **Lysozyme Generation** task, the prompt is only left with a lysozyme tag:

$$\boxed{\texttt{<lysozyme family type>}}$$

In the training phase of **Reverse Translation** task, the prompt is designed as:

$$\boxed{\texttt{<protein>...</protein><reverse\_translate><:><mRNA>...</mRNA>}}$$

where ... represents protein sequences.

In the inference phase of **Reverse Translation** task, the prompt is truncated as:

$$\boxed{\texttt{<protein>...</protein><reverse\_translate><:>}}$$

4.4 Benchmark details

For most of comparative methods discussed in this paper, due to the use of an identical downstream task dataset partitioning, we directly cited the best performance reported in the original literature.

In the evaluation of Evo [14], we utilized its official implementation on https://github.com/evo-design/evo and conducted a comprehensive finetuning of its released checkpoints. Referring to the approach taken in this paper for sequence prediction tasks, we selected the representation of the last token in the sequence as the input for the prediction head. We tested Evo on promoter detection task and splice site prediction task. During evaluation, we found Evo difficult to converge on the splice site prediction task, so we only reported its performance on promoter detection task.

In the evaluation of reverse translation task, we employed OSTIR [72] to calculate the Translation Initiation Rates for RNA synthesis. OSTIR leverages the ViennaRNA Package for conducting the requisite free energy calculations, and its entire workflow is freely accessible as open source.

4.5 Code availability

The codebase and model checkpoint for CD-GPT is publicly available at https://github.com/TencentAI4S/CD-GPT.

References

[1] Crick, F.: Central dogma of molecular biology. Nature **227**(5258), 561–563 (1970)

[2] Goodwin, S., McPherson, J.D., McCombie, W.R.: Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. Nature reviews genetics **17**(6), 333–351 (2016)

[3] Ma, B., Johnson, R.: De novo sequencing and homology searching. Molecular & cellular proteomics **11**(2) (2012)

[4] Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding (2019)

[5] Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J.D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., *et al.*: Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in neural information processing systems **33**, 1877–1901 (2020)

[6] Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.-A., Lacroix, T., Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., Rodriguez, A., Joulin, A., Grave, E., Lample, G.: LLaMA: Open and Efficient Foundation Language Models (2023)

[7] Dalla-Torre, H., Gonzalez, L., Mendoza-Revilla, J., Carranza, N.L., Grzywaczewski, A.H., Oteri, F., Dallago, C., Trop, E., Almeida, B.P., Sirelkhatim, H., et al.: The nucleotide transformer: Building and evaluating robust foundation models for human genomics. bioRxiv, 2023–01 (2023)

[8] Ji, Y., Zhou, Z., Liu, H., Davuluri, R.V.: Dnabert: pre-trained bidirectional encoder representations from transformers model for dna-language in genome. Bioinformatics **37**(15), 2112–2120 (2021)

[9] Lin, Z., Akin, H., Rao, R., Hie, B., Zhu, Z., Lu, W., Smetanin, N., Verkuil, R., Kabeli, O., Shmueli, Y., *et al.*: Evolutionary-scale prediction of atomic-level protein structure with a language model. Science **379**(6637), 1123–1130 (2023)

[10] Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, Ł., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. Advances in neural information processing systems **30** (2017)

[11] Zhou, Z., Ji, Y., Li, W., Dutta, P., Davuluri, R., Liu, H.: Dnabert-2: Efficient foundation model and benchmark for multi-species genome. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15006 (2023)

[12] Wang, X., Gu, R., Chen, Z., Li, Y., Ji, X., Ke, G., Wen, H.: Uni-rna: universal pre-trained models revolutionize rna research. bioRxiv, 2023–07 (2023)

[13] Brandes, N., Ofer, D., Peleg, Y., Rappoport, N., Linial, M.: Proteinbert: a universal deep-learning model of protein sequence and function. Bioinformatics **38**(8), 2102–2110 (2022)

[14] Nguyen, E., Poli, M., Durrant, M.G., Thomas, A.W., Kang, B., Sullivan, J., Ng, M.Y., Lewis, A., Patel, A., Lou, A., et al.: Sequence modeling and design from molecular to genome scale with evo. bioRxiv, 2024–02 (2024)

[15] Subramanian, I., Verma, S., Kumar, S., Jere, A., Anamika, K.: Multi-omics data integration, interpretation, and its application. Bioinformatics and biology insights **14**, 1177932219899051 (2020)

[16] Reel, P.S., Reel, S., Pearson, E., Trucco, E., Jefferson, E.: Using machine learning approaches for multi-omics data analysis: A review. Biotechnology advances **49**, 107739 (2021)

[17] Yin, S., Fu, C., Zhao, S., Li, K., Sun, X., Xu, T., Chen, E.: A survey on multimodal large language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.13549 (2023)

[18] Le, H., Vial, L., Frej, J., Segonne, V., Coavoux, M., Lecouteux, B., Allauzen, A., Crabbé, B., Besacier, L., Schwab, D.: Flaubert: Unsupervised language model pre-training for french. arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.05372 (2019)

[19] Lample, G., Conneau, A.: Cross-lingual language model pretraining. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.07291 (2019)

[20] Liu, Y., Gu, J., Goyal, N., Li, X., Edunov, S., Ghazvininejad, M., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer, L.: Multilingual denoising pre-training for neural machine translation. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics **8**, 726–742 (2020)

[21] Conneau, A., Lample, G., Ranzato, M., Denoyer, L., Jégou, H.: Word translation without parallel data. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.04087 (2017)

[22] Sennrich, R., Haddow, B., Birch, A.: Neural machine translation of rare words with subword units. arXiv preprint arXiv:1508.07909 (2015)

[23] McInnes, L., Healy, J., Melville, J.: Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426 (2018)

[24] Zhou, N., Jiang, Y., Bergquist, T.R., Lee, A.J., Kacsoh, B.Z., Crocker, A.W., Lewis, K.A., Georghiou, G., Nguyen, H.N., Hamid, M.N., *et al.*: The cafa challenge reports improved protein function prediction and new functional annotations for hundreds of genes through experimental screens. Genome biology **20**, 1–23 (2019)

[25] Khurana, S., Rawi, R., Kunji, K., Chuang, G.-Y., Bensmail, H., Mall, R.: Deepsol: a deep learning framework for sequence-based protein solubility prediction. Bioinformatics **34**(15), 2605–2613 (2018)

[26] Klausen, M.S., Jespersen, M.C., Nielsen, H., Jensen, K.K., Jurtz, V.I., Soenderby, C.K., Sommer, M.O.A., Winther, O., Nielsen, M., Petersen, B., *et al.*:

Netsurfp-2.0: Improved prediction of protein structural features by integrated deep learning. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics **87**(6), 520–527 (2019)

[27] Billings, W.M., Morris, C.J., Della Corte, D.: The whole is greater than its parts: ensembling improves protein contact prediction. Scientific Reports **11**(1), 8039 (2021)

[28] Schein, C.H.: Solubility as a function of protein structure and solvent components. Bio/technology **8**(4), 308–317 (1990)

[29] Fu, X.-D.: Non-coding rna: a new frontier in regulatory biology. National science review **1**(2), 190–204 (2014)

[30] Yuan, J., Wu, W., Xie, C., Zhao, G., Zhao, Y., Chen, R.: Npinter v2. 0: an updated database of ncrna interactions. Nucleic acids research **42**(D1), 104–108 (2014)

[31] Corley, M., Burns, M.C., Yeo, G.W.: How rna-binding proteins interact with rna: molecules and mechanisms. Molecular cell **78**(1), 9–29 (2020)

[32] Glisovic, T., Bachorik, J.L., Yong, J., Dreyfuss, G.: Rna-binding proteins and post-transcriptional gene regulation. FEBS letters **582**(14), 1977–1986 (2008)

[33] Muppirala, U.K., Honavar, V.G., Dobbs, D.: Predicting rna-protein interactions using only sequence information. BMC bioinformatics **12**, 1–11 (2011)

[34] Zhou, J., Troyanskaya, O.G.: Predicting effects of noncoding variants with deep learning–based sequence model. Nature methods **12**(10), 931–934 (2015)

[35] Peng, C., Han, S., Zhang, H., Li, Y.: Rpiter: a hierarchical deep learning framework for ncrna–protein interaction prediction. International journal of molecular sciences **20**(5), 1070 (2019)

[36] Hassanzadeh, H.R., Wang, M.D.: Deeperbind: Enhancing prediction of sequence specificities of dna binding proteins. In: 2016 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), pp. 178–183 (2016). IEEE

[37] Hochreiter, S., Schmidhuber, J.: Long short-term memory. Neural computation **9**(8), 1735–1780 (1997)

[38] Pan, X., Fan, Y.-X., Yan, J., Shen, H.-B.: Ipminer: hidden ncrna-protein interaction sequential pattern mining with stacked autoencoder for accurate computational prediction. BMC genomics **17**, 1–14 (2016)

[39] Huang, P.-S., Boyken, S.E., Baker, D.: The coming of age of de novo protein design. Nature **537**(7620), 320–327 (2016)

[40] Fu, H., Liang, Y., Zhong, X., Pan, Z., Huang, L., Zhang, H., Xu, Y., Zhou, W.,

Liu, Z.: Codon optimization with deep learning to enhance protein expression. Scientific reports **10**(1), 17617 (2020)

[41] Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., *et al.*: Highly accurate protein structure prediction with alphafold. Nature **596**(7873), 583–589 (2021)

[42] Ferruz, N., Schmidt, S., Höcker, B.: Protgpt2 is a deep unsupervised language model for protein design. Nature communications **13**(1), 4348 (2022)

[43] Parvathy, S.T., Udayasuriyan, V., Bhadana, V.: Codon usage bias. Molecular biology reports **49**(1), 539–565 (2022)

[44] Burgess-Brown, N.A., Sharma, S., Sobott, F., Loenarz, C., Oppermann, U., Gileadi, O.: Codon optimization can improve expression of human genes in escherichia coli: A multi-gene study. Protein expression and purification **59**(1), 94–102 (2008)

[45] Sharp, P.M., Devine, K.M.: Codon usage and gene expression level in dictyosteiium discoidtum: highly expressed genes do [prefer [optimal codons. Nucleic Acids Research **17**(13), 5029–5040 (1989)

[46] Laursen, B.S., Sørensen, H.P., Mortensen, K.K., Sperling-Petersen, H.U.: Initiation of protein synthesis in bacteria. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews **69**(1), 101–123 (2005)

[47] Reeve, B., Hargest, T., Gilbert, C., Ellis, T.: Predicting translation initiation rates for designing synthetic biology. Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology **2**, 1 (2014)

[48] Kaplan, J., McCandlish, S., Henighan, T., Brown, T.B., Chess, B., Child, R., Gray, S., Radford, A., Wu, J., Amodei, D.: Scaling laws for neural language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.08361 (2020)

[49] Black, D.L.: Mechanisms of alternative pre-messenger rna splicing. Annual review of biochemistry **72**(1), 291–336 (2003)

[50] Witten, J.T., Ule, J.: Understanding splicing regulation through rna splicing maps. Trends in Genetics **27**(3), 89–97 (2011)

[51] Hu, W.-S., Hughes, S.H.: Hiv-1 reverse transcription. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine **2**(10), 006882 (2012)

[52] Weinstein, J.N., Collisson, E.A., Mills, G.B., Shaw, K.R., Ozenberger, B.A., Ellrott, K., Shmulevich, I., Sander, C., Stuart, J.M.: The cancer genome atlas pan-cancer analysis project. Nature genetics **45**(10), 1113–1120 (2013)

[53] Regev, A., Teichmann, S.A., Lander, E.S., Amit, I., Benoist, C., Birney, E.,

Bodenmiller, B., Campbell, P., Carninci, P., Clatworthy, M., *et al.*: The human cell atlas. elife **6**, 27041 (2017)

[54] Kudo, T., Richardson, J.: Sentencepiece: A simple and language independent subword tokenizer and detokenizer for neural text processing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.06226 (2018)

[55] Su, J., Ahmed, M., Lu, Y., Pan, S., Bo, W., Liu, Y.: Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. Neurocomputing **568**, 127063 (2024)

[56] Rao, R.M., Liu, J., Verkuil, R., Meier, J., Canny, J., Abbeel, P., Sercu, T., Rives, A.: Msa transformer. In: International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 8844–8856 (2021). PMLR

[57] O'Leary, N.A., Wright, M.W., Brister, J.R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., Rajput, B., Robbertse, B., Smith-White, B., Ako-Adjei, D., *et al.*: Reference sequence (refseq) database at ncbi: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. Nucleic acids research **44**(D1), 733–745 (2016)

[58] Suzek, B.E., Huang, H., McGarvey, P., Mazumder, R., Wu, C.H.: Uniref: comprehensive and non-redundant uniprot reference clusters. Bioinformatics **23**(10), 1282–1288 (2007)

[59] Berman, H.M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T.N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I.N., Bourne, P.E.: The protein data bank. Nucleic acids research **28**(1), 235–242 (2000)

[60] Dreos, R., Ambrosini, G., Cavin Périer, R., Bucher, P.: Epd and epdnew, high-quality promoter resources in the next-generation sequencing era. Nucleic acids research **41**(D1), 157–164 (2013)

[61] Wang, R., Wang, Z., Wang, J., Li, S.: Splicefinder: ab initio prediction of splice sites using convolutional neural network. BMC bioinformatics **20**, 1–13 (2019)

[62] Xu, M., Zhang, Z., Lu, J., Zhu, Z., Zhang, Y., Chang, M., Liu, R., Tang, J.: Peer: a comprehensive and multi-task benchmark for protein sequence understanding. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems **35**, 35156–35173 (2022)

[63] Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., Li, W.: Cd-hit: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics **28**(23), 3150–3152 (2012)

[64] Li, W., Godzik, A.: Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics **22**(13), 1658–1659 (2006)

[65] Cuff, J.A., Barton, G.J.: Evaluation and improvement of multiple sequence methods for protein secondary structure prediction. Proteins: Structure, Function, and

Bioinformatics **34**(4), 508–519 (1999)

[66] AlQuraishi, M.: Proteinnet: a standardized data set for machine learning of protein structure. BMC bioinformatics **20**, 1–10 (2019)

[67] Lewis, B.A., Walia, R.R., Terribilini, M., Ferguson, J., Zheng, C., Honavar, V., Dobbs, D.: Pridb: a protein–rna interface database. Nucleic acids research **39**(suppl_1), 277–282 (2010)

[68] Madani, A., Krause, B., Greene, E.R., Subramanian, S., Mohr, B.P., Holton, J.M., Olmos, J.L., Xiong, C., Sun, Z.Z., Socher, R., *et al.*: Large language models generate functional protein sequences across diverse families. Nature Biotechnology **41**(8), 1099–1106 (2023)

[69] Finn, R.D., Bateman, A., Clements, J., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R.Y., Eddy, S.R., Heger, A., Hetherington, K., Holm, L., Mistry, J., *et al.*: Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic acids research **42**(D1), 222–230 (2014)

[70] Shannon, C.E.: A mathematical theory of communication. ACM SIGMOBILE mobile computing and communications review **5**(1), 3–55 (2001)

[71] Loshchilov, I., Hutter, F.: Decoupled weight decay regularization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101 (2017)

[72] Roots, C.T., Lukasiewicz, A., Barrick, J.E.: Ostir: open source translation initiation rate prediction. Journal of open source software **6**(64) (2021)