Estimates of molecular convergence reveal pleiotropic genes underlying adaptive variation across teleost fish

Agneesh Barua[1,2]*, Brice Beinsteiner[3], Vincent Laudet[4,5], Marc Robinson-Rechavi[1,2]

[1]Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne
[2]Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics
[3]Helmholtz Pioneer Campus, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany
[4]Marine Eco-Evo-Devo Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Japan
[5]Marine Research Station, Institute of Cellular and Organismic Biology (ICOB), Academia Sinica, 23-10, Dah-Uen Rd, Jiau Shi, I-Lan 262, Taiwan

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Teleosts are the most diverse group of vertebrates on earth. Their diversity is a

testament to the combined effects of genetic, developmental, and evolutionary forces.

However, disentangling the interactions between these forces is challenging due to

the complexity of the genotype-phenotype relationship and the masking of adaptive

genetic signals by genetic noise. Estimates of molecular convergence where changes

in the sequence of protein-coding genes lead to identical amino acid substitution

across multiple lineages provide strong evidence of adaptive evolution. In this study,

we estimated signals for molecular convergence in protein-coding genes across 143

teleost genomes to identify genes and processes that experienced adaptive changes.

We find that genes with signals of molecular convergence are implicated in diverse

processes ranging from embryonic development, tissue morphogenesis, metabolism,

to hormone and heat response. Some convergent substitutions are located on

functionally important sites on proteins potentially providing the molecular basis for

adaptations to hypoxia, salinity fluctuations, and varying skeletal morphologies.

Additionally, single-cell RNA sequencing data from zebrafish showed that the

convergent genes have dynamic expression across various cell types during

embryonic development. These results highlight the functional importance of the

convergent genes as well as their pleiotropic nature. Although traditionally

considered a source of genetic constraint, we argue that adaptation via changes in

pleiotropic genes are particularly advantageous during periods of ecological shifts.

We present the pleiotropic release model which describes how adaptive variation on

pleiotropic genes can have large fitness effects, allowing organisms to overcome

selective pressures during periods of ecological shifts.

24
25

Introduction

Teleosts fishes represent the most specious and diverse groups of vertebrates on Earth, encompassing a wide array of phenotypes, morphologies, habitats, and life histories [1,2]. This diversity positions teleosts as an ideal group for exploring the broad evolutionary processes giving rise to species diversity and richness. Furthermore, the availability of high-quality genomes for numerous species, coupled with single-cell sequencing and functional data from zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), offers an excellent opportunity to investigate the potential effects variations in coding sequence might have on tissue functionality and animal phenotypes [3,4]. While research has shown that genetic variation resulting from whole genome duplications has an important role in the evolution of teleosts [5], disentangling the combined effects of evolution, genetics, and development requires identifying truly adaptive genetic changes from noise is a challenge.

An especially promising avenue involves performing genome-wide scans of selection to determine the genetic basis behind the origin of convergent traits [10–14]. Evolutionary convergence, characterised by different lineages independently acquiring similar character states, in response to similar ecological challenges, is pervasive in nature [15]. While convergence typically invokes images of recurring phenotypic traits, convergence can also occur at the molecular level where the same amino acid substitution can occur at the same site in orthologous proteins [16–18]. Identifying

molecular signatures of convergence is integral to understanding the genetic basis

behind the evolution of phenotypes, as well as aiding in recognising adaptive

developmental processes across diverse groups of animals [19–21]. Indeed, studies of

molecular convergence have provided insights into the origin of traits like

echolocation in birds and mammals, toxin resistance in beetles, and carnivory in

plants, just to name a few [22–24].

As methodologies for detecting molecular convergence events have improved,

scientists have been able to re-examine and enhance prior studies to identify or

confirm general trends of convergent evolution associated with phenotypes [18,24–27]. A

notable recent development is the CSUBST (Combinatorial SUBSTitutions) algorithm

introduced by Fukushima and Pollock [28]. This algorithm stands out for its ability to

explore a multi-dimensional sequence space comprising extant and ancestral

sequences, to identify non-synonymous amino acid substitutions at homologous sites

across multiple lineages. It combines a pairwise exhaustive search across all possible

branch combinations, followed by a heuristic step that examines branch combinations

in more than two independent lineages, without predefining specific foreground

branches [28]. This approach enables a data-driven exploration of genomic datasets,

uncovering patterns of repeated molecular convergence.

In this study, we use this algorithm at a genome-scale to identify patterns of repeated

molecular convergence in protein-coding orthologs across 143 teleost fish species. We

set out to answer three major questions. First, which genes have evolved convergent

substitutions in the sampled teleost species? Second, which processes are these genes

involved in? Lastly, what can these genes tell us about the evolution of diversity in

form and function in teleosts? We show that throughout the evolutionary history of

teleosts, convergent substitutions have occurred in genes that have a role in

development and maintaining tissue function. These genes are multi-functional and

expressed in multiple cell types across embryonic development in zebrafish. We

propose a model which describes how natural selection can favour changes in

pleiotropic genes during periods of ecological shifts, leading to molecular

convergence.

Results

Data collection and orthology analysis

We selected teleost fish species that had chromosomal-level annotated genomes from

the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [29] and Ensembl genome

databases [30]. Our final list of genomes comprised 143 species from 40 orders (most

sampled were *Perciformes* with 17 species) covering 85 families (most sampled were

Salmonidae with 10 species) (Fig 1a, Table S1). We use the spotted gar, *Lepisosteus*

oculatus, a non-teleost that did not undergo the teleost-specific whole genome

duplication [31] as our outgroup. Using OrthoFinder [32] we constructed orthogroups for

all species, which resulted in 40,940 orthogroups containing over 4 million protein-

coding orthologs. 1723 orthogroups contained all the species. To ensure that we focus

on genes which reflect the evolution of teleost fish diversity, we selected orthogroups

with at least one species from each of the 40 orders in our dataset. Additionally, to

keep computational times reasonable we restricted our analysis to orthogroups

containing a maximum of 1500 genes. This resulted in a final dataset of 9273

orthogroups with around 2 million orthologous genes (Dataset S1), i.e. more than half

of all the genes in the original 40,940 orthogroups. We annotated and functionally

characterised the excluded orthogroups, and found that they were mostly associated

with immune processes and ion transport, whereas the gene sets we used in our

analysis were involved in many diverse processes (Fig S1 and S4). Although processes

related to ion transport and immunity are important, our sample encompassed a

diverse array of processes, and provided a powerful window into teleost evolution.

We processed the coding sequences of these 2 million orthologous genes based on the

pre-processing steps recommended by CSUBST (more details in the methods section).

We ran the analysis using a rooted time-calibrated species tree obtained from

timetree.org [33].

Fig 1: Phylogenetic tree of species, and taxonomic distribution of convergence. (A) Phylogenetic tree of 143 teleost fish species used in the study. The silhouettes are not to scale and are representative of each order. (B) Schematic describing the workflow of this study. (C) Distribution plots showing the proportion of genes that are sampled, (i.e. the genes used as inputs) versus the proportion that are estimated to have convergence substitutions. The top panel shows the distribution grouped by family, while the bottom panel shows the distribution grouped by order. The panels tell us whether some groups of fish are overrepresented in the convergent set than expected by sampling (e.g. Perciformes).

CSUBST draws inspiration from the widely used dN/dS ratio (parameterised as ω)

which measures the rate of protein evolution as a ratio between non-synonymous and

synonymous substitution rates [34]. Fukushima and Pollock [28] developed a similar

metric, ω_c, which applies to substitutions repeatedly occurring on combinations of

separate phylogenetic branches. This metric is designed to assess the relative rates of

convergent evolution by comparing the rates of non-synonymous convergence and

synonymous convergence across a range of distinct phylogenetic branches. The

rationale behind this approach is that truly adaptive functional changes to proteins

are most frequently caused by non-synonymous codon substitutions that alter the

amino acid sequence. If distinct (distantly related) lineages exhibit the same amino

acid change at the same position this is a strong signal for adaptive evolution as the

emergence of such repeated substitution by neutral evolution alone is extremely low.

The ω_c metric has high sensitivity and error tolerance while suppressing false

positives [28]. CSUBST generates estimates for various types of combinatorial

substitutions. These include divergent changes, characterised by substitutions from

any ancestral amino acid to a different extant amino acid, and convergent changes,

which involve substitutions from any ancestral amino acid to a specific amino acid

occurring at the same position across multiple lineages. Our study specifically

concentrates on convergent changes.

We estimated ω_c for all independent branch pairs across 9273 orthogroups and

classified branch pairings that jointly satisfied minimum thresholds of 5 for ω_c and for

observed non-synonymous convergence (OCN) ($\omega_c \geq 5$ & OCN ≥ 5) as evidence for

convergence. We only focussed on convergence that was observed in three or more

branch combinations. The OCN values are calculated using an empirical Bayesian

method where probabilities of codon substitutions across each branch of a tree are

compared, resulting in estimates across all branch combinations. Results could be

biassed by the higher power of the OCN convergence estimate for longer genes or

orthogroups containing more genes simply because the sequence space is larger. We

used a Pearson correlation test to check whether the length or the number of genes in

each orthogroup introduced any bias. We found no significant relationship between

gene number or gene length and the OCN metric, suggesting that the OCN value

strictly depends on sequence variation (Fig S2), and that such bias is not a concern in

our data.

Next, we used the CSUBST *site* analysis to map convergent amino acid substitutions

on predicted protein structures. There can be certain instances of spurious

convergence events even when stringent convergence metrics are used. This can often

occur due to misalignment of sequences, due to splice variants represented

inconsistently among different species [28]. An ad-hoc filtering step was employed to

remove spurious convergence estimates (Fig S3). The final selection comprised 89

orthogroups, each showing evidence for repetitive convergence in multiple

independent branches across teleosts.

Most convergence involved three branches, with a few cases involving four, five, or

seven branches. The orders *Perciformes*, *Eupercaria*, and *Cyprinodontiformes* had the

most convergent genes, as did the families *Cichlidae*, *Percidae*, and *Tetraodontidae* (Fig

1C). The taxonomic distribution of convergent genes was different from that simply

expected by sampling (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test; *p-value* < 0.05) (FigS4). In terms of

ecological characteristics (based on available data from FishBase), the highest

proportion of convergent genes were estimated in tropical sea fish (Fig S5). However,

we could not infer any overall significant relationship between convergence in a

specific orthogroups and ecological features such as climate, ecosystem type, or food

items (chi-square test > 0.05).

168
169

Convergence statistics

arity	n	OmegaC	OCN	dNc	dSc
3	85	129.6	9.9	52.1	0.33
4	2	Inf	10.0	3677.3	0
4	1	Inf	5.3	20230.8	0
7	1	1.46e+10	5.7	427e+5	0.29

arity = Number of combinatorial branches

n = Number of Orthogroups

OmegaC = Median ωc value

OCN = Median observed convergence rate

dNc = Median value for the number of non-synonymous convergent substitutions

dSc = Median value for the number of synonymous convergent substitutions

Distribution of convergent sites on protein surface

external 95.5%
cavity protein surface 3.2%
cavity complex 1.3%

percentage

Distribution of convergent sites on secondary strucutres

Helix 45.7%
Sheet 17.5%
Loop 36.8%

Fig 2: Convergent statistics and structural features of convergent proteins. The table shows the different convergence statistics estimate by CSUBST. We can see that for each branch combination (arity) the values of ω_c are high. This is due to the high number of non-synonymous convergent substitutions (dNc) compared to synonymous amino acid substitutions (dSc). The pie charts provide a visualisation of the distribution of convergent sites along the protein. Qualitative estimates show that most of the convergent substitutions are on the external site of the protein suggesting they may play a role in mediating protein-protein interactions.

Although we mapped convergent substitutions onto the protein structure, we could

not perform statistical tests to assess the distribution of the convergent sites due to the

heterogeneous nature of the data (see methods). However, qualitatively we observed

that most convergent sites were located on the surface, potentially enabling interaction

with other proteins (Fig 2). Additionally, in cases where it is possible to identify site-

specific functions, we found convergent substitutions at critical functional sites. For

instance, in embryonic haemoglobin alpha 5 protein (*hbae5*; OG0000107), we observed

both convergent and divergent substitutions located around the protoporphyrin IX

containing Fe ligand binding site (Fig 3A). *Hbae5* expression has been linked to

increased tolerance to acute hypoxia, therefore, alterations in the binding affinity of

the Fe ligand, which plays a role in oxygen transport, might impart increased

resistance to hypoxic conditions caused due to environmental change [35]. The

convergent substitutions were observed in *Electrophorus electricus* (electric eel),

Larimichthys crocea (yellow croaker), and *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile tilapia). Electric eel

and Nile tilapia can both resort to air-breathing during times of hypoxia [36,37], while

the yellow croaker, which is often found in muddy estuaries and coastal waters, has

evolved protective, haemoglobin-containing mucous that aids in oxygen transport

during hypoxia and air exposure [38]. The convergent substitutions in *Hbae5* could be

linked to these adaptations.

We also detected convergent substitutions within the interior of the channel of the

barrel-like structure of the solute-carrier protein *slc22a21* (OG0002545), near the 1-

methyl-4-phenylpyridinium ligand binding site (Fig 3B). Given the role of *slc22a21* in

maintaining the homeostasis of various organic ions, these substitutions could impact

processes related to the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of

biomolecules [39]. Members of the *Salmonidae* family, as well as *Periophthalmus*

magnuspinnatus (mudskippers), and *Hypomesus transpacificus* (Delta smelt), which

harbour the substitutions, all experience varying levels of salinity throughout their life

cycles [40–42]. The convergent substitutions could have helped these species adapt to

changes in salinity.

The orthogroup OG0003815 encodes for N-acetylneuraminic acid synthase (*nansa*

gene) and plays a crucial role in skeletal development, particularly in the development

of the head [43]. The convergent substitutions are present at multiple sites on the protein

surface, including a substitution within the protein's interior at a functional ligand-

binding site (Fig 3C). Additionally, the orthogroup exhibits a high degree of species

diversity, comprising 21 species across 9 orders that exhibit these convergent

substitutions. The species within this orthogroup display distinct morphologies,

ranging from the fusiform bodies shapes of *Orechromis niloticus*, *Astatotilapia calliptera*

(eastern river bream), and *Toxotes jaculatrix* (banded archerfish), to the elongated body

shapes of *Salaris fasciatus* (jewelled blenny), *Muraenoplis orangiensis* (Patagonian

morray cod), and *Gouania willdenowi* (blunt-snouted clingfish), as well as the flat body

shapes of *Soela solea* (common sole) and *Hippoglossus Stenolepis* (Pacific halibut).

Interestingly, the remora, which has evolved a flat oval sucking disk (modified dorsal

fin) used to attach to larger marine animals like sharks, also harbours substitutions in

the *nansa* gene. The occurrence of convergent substitutions appearing in organisms

with divergent phenotypes has been observed in marine mammals as well; for

instance, substitutions in the *S100A9* and *MGP* genes were linked to both high and

low bone density occurring in shallow diving manatees and deep diving dolphins

respectively [14]. Therefore, the convergent substitutions in the *nansa* gene likely

influenced the sialylation of glycoproteins which altered downstream pathways

affecting head and skeletal morphology.

ADP-ribosylarginine hydrolase (*adprhl1*; OG0001509) exhibited convergent

substitutions at the adenosine-5'-diphosphate ligand site (Fig 3D). This active site is

important for the post-translational modifications of proteins [44]. Although the ADP-

ribosylarginine hydrolase gene family affects multiple processes, its link to

tumorigenesis might be particularly relevant for the *Xiphophorus* (platyfish) species

which readily form skin melanomas [44,45].

Fig 3: Convergent substitutions mapped onto protein structures. The red sites represent convergent sites while the blue represent divergent sites. The amino acid letter and site followed by the arrow represent the change in sequence at that particular position on the protein structure. For example, in *hbae5*, S85>L means a serine at position 85 on the protein structure changed to a leucine. These sites are localised in important ligand (green) binding sites. The phylogeny is a species-reconciled gene tree. The coloured dots represent the branches in which convergence was found. The species names and colours correspond to the extant species descended from these branches. The alignment shows each of the aligned sites in the species with convergent substitutions. These sites are different from those in other species (full alignment in electronic supplementary material). Convergence metrics for each branch combination are also shown.

Which biological processes are the convergent genes involved in?

We performed a Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment to get a general overview of the

biological processes associated with these convergent genes. The analysis revealed a

significant enrichment (False Discovery Rate, FDR < 0.05) for terms predominantly

linked to biomolecule metabolism, response to hormones or stimuli such as heat, and

processes of embryonic development and tissue morphogenesis (Fig S6). To better

resolve the function of these convergent genes, particularly in the context of

development and tissue formation, we carried out a comprehensive analysis of gene

expression across species and developmental transitions.

Using gene expression data from the Bgee database [46], we calculated tissue specificity

of the convergent orthologs in multiple fish species and across eight different tissue

types (brain, eye, heart, liver, muscle, ovary, skin, and testis). Approximately one-

third (28 out of 89) of the convergent genes were tissue-specific, with the highest

number in the liver (Fig S7). We observed that the proportion of convergent genes that

are tissue specific is no different from the proportion of tissue specific genes in our

dataset as a whole (Wilcoxon signed rank test *p-value* = 1). Additionally, using Fisher's

exact test we found no significant difference in the convergent/non-convergent ratio

between tissue-specific and non-tissue-specific genes; in other words, tissue-specific

genes aren't more likely to be convergent. This observation was surprising

considering that genes that are tissue-specific, i.e. less pleiotropic, are expected to be

better targets for adaptation by changes in protein sequence. Of note, our estimates of

tissue specificity were limited to adult fish.

273
To get an insight into the expression dynamics of the convergent orthologs during

development, we analysed single-cell RNA sequencing data for embryonic

development in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) from the Zebrahub atlas [4]. Based on their

expression across embryonic development, we observed three groups of convergent

genes. First, genes with ubiquitous expression throughout embryonic development

and across multiple cell types (Fig 4). These included genes such as *adam17a*, *eef2b*, and

eef1a1|1 (complete list in Table S1). While these genes had an overall ubiquitous

expression, variations did exist. For instance, *adam17a* (ADAM metallopeptidase 17a;

OG0003642), which is involved in the Notch signalling pathway, showed stable

expression in the early stages at 10hpf (hours post-fertilisation), 16hpf, and 2dpf (days

post-fertilisation) but declined at 10dpf. For *eef2b* (eukaryotic translation elongation

factor 2b; OG0001781) and *eef1a1|1* (eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1;

OG0000135) high expression persisted across development, with a slight reduction in

eye-related cell types at 10dpf.

Fig 4: **Convergent genes expressed in multiple cell types throughout embryonic development in zebrafish.** Single-cell RNA sequencing shows that genes expressed throughout embryonic development can be divided into two groups. Genes like *adam17a*, *eef2b*, and *eef1a|1* have expression in almost all cell types. While genes like *alcama* and *pax3a* show more restricted expression in specific cell types. A key feature of both these groups is that the genes are expressed in multiple tissue systems (e.g. heart, musculature, nervous system) suggesting that they are pleiotropic.

The second group comprised genes expressed throughout embryonic development

but in specific cell types. Examples include *alcam-a* (activated leukocyte cell adhesion

molecule a; OG0001823) and *pax3a* (paired box 3a; OG0000460) (Fig 4). *Alcam-a*,

primarily involved in retinal ganglion differentiation, exhibited high expression in cell

types related to lens placode, neural crest, radial glial cell, and cornea (Fig 3 10dpf) [47].

Between 10hpf and 2dpf, we found that *alcam-a* was also expressed in cell types related

to somites, musculature, periderm, heart, and head mesenchyme, corroborating a

recent study showing that *alcam-a* is essential for the production of mesenchymal

stromal cells from the somites [48]. With high expression in cell types related to somites,

spinal cord neural tubes, radial glial cells, neural crest cells, and the central nervous

system, the expression of *pax3a* aligned with its known role in eye development and

pigmentation [49].

The third group of genes were predominantly expressed late in embryonic

development between 24hpf and 10dpf (Fig 5). These genes showed more restricted

expression patterns than those expressed throughout development. For example,

slc22a21 (OG0002545) was expressed in cells related to the vasculature and excretory

systems. In addition to maintaining the homeostasis of various organic ions, the *slc22a*

family also shows a strong association with modulating steroid hormone levels,

highlighting its potential impact on multiple biological processes [50]. *Hbae5*

(OG0000107) showed restricted expression in the hematopoietic system and nucleated

317 erythrocyte cells (Fig 5). In contrast to *pax3a*, *pax7a* (OG0000460) was expressed later

318 in development in multiple cell types related to the neural crest, the brain, and muscles

319 (myoblast and myotome). Finally, genes like *postnb* (periostin, osteoblast specific

320 factor b; OG0002859) and *gstt1b* (glutathione S-transferase theta b, OG0004038) both

321 showed expression in cell types related to muscles, the skin, and blood vasculature.

322 *Postnb* is important for the function of fibroblasts and plays a role in the formation of

323 the extracellular matrix of the skin [51]. *Gstt1b* is regarded as an important player in the

324 detoxification and clearance of intracellular metabolites, which helps the liver detoxify

325 new metabolites during a shift in diets [52].

326 To summarise, we identified genes with convergent substitutions in multiple branches

327 across the phylogeny of teleosts. Most of the convergent substitutions appear to be on

328 the external surface of the proteins while at least some are in critical functional sites of

329 proteins. The convergent genes are also involved in multiple processes ranging from

330 development to metabolism and function in multiple tissue systems. Additionally, the

331 convergent genes as a whole are not tissue specific and are instead expressed in

332 multiple cell types during embryonic development, or in multiple tissues in adults,

333 suggesting that many of these convergent genes are pleiotropic.

Fig 5: Convergent genes expressed in multiple cell types at specific stages of embryonic development in zebrafish. Compared to the genes expressed throughout embryonic development, the orthologs of these genes also show highly restricted expression patterns in multiple different cell types.

Discussion

Convergent amino acid substitutions represent evolutionary endpoints of natural

selection. These endpoints in sequence space can be considered as peaks reached by

extant species after traversing an adaptive landscape of multidimensional sequence

space [53–55]. Since these peaks were reached repeatedly, they can be used to generate

hypotheses regarding the mechanisms behind the evolution of phenotypes. In this

study, we carried out a genome-scale analysis of convergence across 143 teleost fish

species and identified convergent genes representing adaptation throughout

macroevolutionary time.

Molecular convergence and evolution in teleosts

One unexpected observation from our study was that most genes with convergent

substitutions were expressed in multiple cell types or tissues. Functional data from

the ZFIN database [3] also show that mutations in these convergent genes can affect

several phenotypes, with a majority of the effects showing a decrease or reduction in

affected structures or processes, while a few show an increase (Table S2)(Fig S8). These

observations suggest that the convergent genes are mostly pleiotropic. Pleiotropic

genes are usually considered poor candidates for the evolution of new functions since

their multiple functions are expected to increase genetic constraints [56]. However,

studies in several model systems as well as natural populations have shown that the

idea of pleiotropy constraining adaptation needs to be reconsidered [57,58]. For example,

a vital gene responsible for the adaptation to freshwater habitats in sticklebacks,

Ectodysplasin (*Eda*), is highly pleiotropic and responsible for ectodermal appendage

formation, sensory system patterning, and schooling behaviour [59,60]. Intermediate

levels of pleiotropy might even enhance adaptive potential by causing greater fitness

gain and increasing the fixation of beneficial alleles [61].

We describe a model which we call the 'pleiotropic release' model to illustrate how

pleiotropic genes underpin adaptation and phenotypic convergence across diverse

taxa. Consider a multidimensional phenotypic adaptive landscape where two species

reside at two distinct adaptive peaks (Fig 6). An environmental shift introduces a new

common adaptive optimum such that both species now occupy regions of low-fitness.

To survive, the species must navigate out of these low-fitness regions and move

towards the new optimum. There are two possible ways to achieve this. Adaptive

variation can be fixed in genes that affect one trait at a time causing incremental

changes in phenotype towards the optima (orange arrows). These phenotypic changes

will be of low magnitude because allometry generally prevents large changes in one

trait without proportional changes in another (e.g. height cannot change without

affecting weight) [62]. In the other scenario, adaptive variation can accumulate on

pleiotropic genes which have a large cumulative effect on both traits enabling the

traversal of large distances on the adaptive landscape. If selection favours changes in

the pleiotropic genes, the species can escape (be released) from the low fitness regions

faster and move towards the new shared optima. Since the environmental shift

produced a single, shared optima the species which started with different phenotypes

will converge to a similar one. And if a few pleiotropic genes were selected, they have

more chances of having fixed convergent substitutions. It is important to note that the

pleiotropic release model does not suggest a lack of cost on multiple traits. Adaptation

along the axes of pleiotropic genes would likely have costs on multiple traits, but the

relative increase in fitness outweighs these costs.

Fig 6: The pleiotropic release model. In the ancestral condition two species occupy separate
phenotypic optima in an adaptive landscape. Following an ecological shift, the optima
changes and the species now occupy regions of low fitness (grey). Escape from the low fitness
regions can happen through either incremental change in low, single effect genes (orange) or
through large effect pleiotropic genes (blue). Changes along the pleiotropic axis of variation
will be favoured as they will enable the species to escape the low fitness regions faster.
Overtime, species will evolve a convergent phenotype and occupy the new optima in the
extant condition.

We can use this general model to explain the patterns that we observe in our study.

For example, an environmental shift might have resulted in the ancestors of

salmonids, mudskippers, and delta smelt to each experience varying levels of salinity.

The varying levels of salinity could also impact the available food resources as food

webs differ between marine and freshwater habitats. To adapt to the new

environmental conditions changes in the *slc22a21* gene might prove particularly

beneficial. Along with maintaining the homeostasis of organic ions between tissues

and the interfacing body fluids, this gene family can impact tissue systems such as the

liver, kidneys, skeletal system, and central nervous system [63]. Additionally, they also

facilitate inter-organism communication between the gut microbiome and the other

tissue systems [63]. Therefore, adaptive variations in *slc22a21* gene could cumulatively

enhance liver detoxification, improve ion reabsorption by the kidneys, and strengthen

signalling between the gut microbiome and the central nervous system, all of which

would be particularly beneficial during ecological shifts involving changes in salinity

and dietary changes as a result of altered nutrient availability. Considering that

teleosts occupy diverse niches in different environments, evolution through changes

in pleiotropic genes might have been particularly relevant during the initial periods

of colonisation into new niches.

422
423

Evolution using pleiotropic genes

In a recent experimental evolution study in yeast, Kinsler *et. al.* [64] showed that large effect adaptive mutations are generally pleiotropic, improving both respiration and fermentation, and tend to occur during the early stages of evolution. Although they observed a general shift from pleiotropic to modular adaptation, they did observe some strongly adaptive yeast clones that continued to improve in both respiration and fermentation causing them to achieve high levels of fitness. This highlights that long term evolution via pleiotropic adaptation, while rare, is possible. The authors also discuss observations in multiple studies which show that when yeast are evolved in a glucose-limited environment, the first adaptive mutations are always in pleiotropic genes that enhance both respiration and fermentation. Therefore, pleiotropic release via evolution along the axis of pleiotropic genes might be a general feature of evolution during the initial periods following ecological shifts.

Genetic redundancy brought about by single gene or genome duplications, and modularity, are two possible mechanisms that can overcome the adaptation-impeding effects of pleiotropy [58]. In our analysis, the number of gene copies is generally higher in the convergent orthogroups than in the non-convergent ones (one sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test *p-value* < 0.05) (Fig S9). Additionally, some genes show clear differences in the expression of their paralogs (Fig S9). The presence of multiple copies coupled with the divergence in expression patterns between paralogs could enable partitioning of effects, allowing for variation among paralogs to persist non-

adaptively as cryptic divergent variations (hereafter cryptic divergence). Cryptic

divergence is the genetic difference between paralogs variations that have negligible

or no phenotypic effects, but during periods of environmental shifts, produce

heritable phenotypic variation. It is analogous to cryptic variation among alleles [65].

The developmental impacts of cryptic variation in pleiotropic genes have been

experimentally demonstrated in models like *Drosophila melanogaster*, *C. elegans*,

Arabidopsis thaliana, and zebrafish [66–69]. *In vivo* studies in natural environments, such

as those in sticklebacks and cavefish, have highlighted the critical role of ancestral

cryptic variation in facilitating the adaptation to ecological changes [70,71]. Additionally,

experimental evidence indicates that mutations in protein-coding sequences of

enzymes can generate cryptic variation which maintains the enzyme's native function

on its ancestral substrate while enhancing its adaptive potential in a novel

substrate [72,73]. Therefore, a change in environment can cause the cryptic variation to

manifest, leading to phenotypes with enhanced fitness.

The functional implications of the convergent substitutions can also be seen at the level

of the protein structure. We observed a qualitative trend that a high proportion of

convergent substitution were present on protein surfaces. The accumulation of

substitutions on protein surfaces could influence protein-protein interactions,

enhancing specificity and efficiency of biological processes. Overtime, the effect of

these substitutions can further optimise protein-protein interactions, fine tuning their

activity and making them highly specialised for different cellular contexts. In addition

to enhancing protein-protein interactions, changes at the amino acid level can also

cause changes in protein conformation and tertiary structure, enabling proteins to

acquire new functions without affecting existing catalytic sites [74]. This can allow

proteins to have multifaceted roles, affecting different processes in different cell types

without cross disruption across cell types. These features highlight the importance of

considering the cellular basis of traits along with the genetic basis.

Conclusion

In our study, we employed a phylogenetic approach to obtain data-driven estimates

of molecular convergence depicting the axes of adaptation in the teleost lineage. Our

findings reveal that genes with convergent adaptive substitutions have a role in the

development and functioning of a diverse array of tissue systems. A notable

characteristic of many of these genes is their versatile and dynamic expression across

diverse cell types, signifying their pleiotropic nature. We discuss the pleiotropic

release model which describes how adaptive variations accumuling in pleiotropic

genes can cause large phenotypic changes enabling species to escape regions of low

fitness during periods of ecological shifts. Although the model establishes a theoretical

link between pleiotropy and convergence, the extent to which this model represents a

general evolutionary mechanism still needs to be tested, opening avenues for future

research.

486
487

Materials and Methods

Data collection and estimating orthologs

In our study, we obtained chromosomal-level annotated teleost fish genomes from the NCBI genomes [29] and Ensembl genome databases [30] (Table S3). Using an initial list of species we obtained taxonomic information from FishBase (Froese, R. and D. Pauly. Editors. 2023. www.fishbase.org,) and a time-calibrated, rooted species tree from timetree.org [33]. We only included species that were present in the species tree and downloaded their protein and coding sequences (CDS files). Using the protein sequences from a final set of 143 species, we constructed orthologs using OrthoFinder [32], resulting in 40,940 orthogroups containing 98.2% of all the genes (Table S4). We focussed on orthogroups with at least one species from each of the 40 orders in our dataset. Additionally, to keep computational times reasonable we restricted our analysis to orthogroups containing a maximum of 1000 genes. This resulted in a final dataset of 9224 orthogroups with around 2 million orthologous genes (Dataset S1 in electronic supplementary material).

Processing of the orthologs

Prior to running the CSUBST algorithm, we executed a series of preprocessing steps, closely following the guidelines outlined in the CSUBST wiki (https://github.com/kfuku52/csubst/wiki/Preparing-CSUBST-inputs). Briefly, we

carried out the following steps. First, we used the CDSKIT v0.91 tool

(https://github.com/kfuku52/cdskit) to isolate the longest isoform for each gene and

make the sequences in-frame. Next, using TRANSeq v6.6.0 [79] we translated the coding

sequences into protein sequences followed by alignment using MAFFT v7.481 with

the 'auto' option [80]. We then performed a translation alignment using TRANALIGN

v6.6.0 [79] and trimmed poorly aligned sequences using TRIMAL v1.4.1[81] with the

'*ignorestopcodon*' and '*automated1*' options. Finally, we masked and removed

ambiguous sites in the alignment using the '*mask*' and '*hammer*' functions of CDSKIT

v0.91.

We used IQ-TREE v2.0.3 [82] with the MPF model, and 1000 ultra-fast optimized

bootstrapping replicates ('*bnni*' option), to construct gene trees. We rooted and

reconciled the gene trees with the species trees using a combination of GeneRax v1.1.0

[83] and NWKIT v0.10.0 (https://github.com/kfuku52/nwkit).

Detailed scripts and commands utilised throughout these steps can be found within

the 'Scripts' folder in the electronic supplementary material. We also provide a conda

environment YAML file that can be used to recreate the environment for the current

pre-processing step as well as the following analysis step.

CSUSBT employs a metric similar to the popular *dN/dS* ratio (parameterised as ω)

which represents the rate of protein evolution as a ratio between non-synonymous

and synonymous substitution rates [34]. Distinct from ω, the ωc parameter captures

repeated substitutions across various combinations of phylogenetic branches. These

combinations range from a minimum of two branches, indicating pairwise

substitutions, to a maximum of ten branches. The software quantifies these

combinations as *arity (K),* where *K*=2 represents pairwise comparisons, and *K*=3

signifies a three-way branch comparison. By estimating all possible combinations of

amino acid substitution (across multiple branch combinations) occurring in a multi-

dimensional sequence space, ωc measures how much proteins in different lineages

have moved towards the same evolutionary endpoints in this sequence space.

CSUBST reports ωc statistics for nine types of combinatorial substitution [28]. We focus

primarily on *omegaCany2spe* - denoting combinatorial substitution from any ancestral

codon to a specific descendant codon, namely, convergent substitutions - and

OCNany2spe, which denotes the observed rate for non-synonymous convergence. We

ran the CSUSBT algorithm using the 'CSUBST *analyze*' command with five threads

and conducted an exhaustive search for a max *K*=10. The output of this command can

be found in Dataset S3. Orthologs were categorised based on the presence of

convergence across these branch combinations and further analyzed using the

'*CSUBST site*' command (input files in Dataset S4) to map convergent amino acids onto

protein structures. This mapping facilitates the visualisation of convergent amino acid

sites, particularly their proximity to functional domains within the protein. After

excluding cases of spurious convergence (Fig S3) we identified 90 orthogroups that

showed evidence for reliable convergence. Output in Dataset S5, with examples of

spurious convergence in Dataset S6.

Protein structure analysis

The 'CSUBST site' command obtains protein structures by searching the RCSB PDB

database (RCSB.org) [84]. If no experimentally determined structures are found,

CSUBST uses NCBI's QBLAST [29] search against the UNIPROT database [85] to obtain a

.pdb file from the AlphaFold Protein Structure Database [86]. As a result, the structures

obtained are often heterogeneous, comprising X-ray crystallography structures of

protein in complex with a ligand, non-complexed protein in crystal, or

computationally estimated structures from AlphaFold. This heterogeneity makes

statistical comparisons of protein sites difficult. Nonetheless, we applied a systematic

selection method to obtain as objective a comparison as possible. We used Pymol [87]

version 2.4.5 to systematically classify the residues. For each Pymol session,

convergent residues were selected based on their colour (red), surface residues were

determined using a cutoff of 1.4 Å^2 to determine their exposure to the solvent.

Residues with a distance equal to or less than 4 Å from the ligand were classified as in

the vicinity of the ligand. Buried residues were those that did not have access to the

surface or internal cavity of the protein. The secondary structures of helices, sheets,

and loops are selected directly using the keywords provided in Pymol. For all these

selections, a second b-factor parameter > 0 was added to eliminate residues that were

present in the sequence but not built into the structure. From this set, we can then

cross-reference the selections to determine the desired list and number of residues.

These results are in Table S5.

GO term annotations and enrichment

We used DeepGOPlus v1.0.15 [88] to annotate all the genes (~4 million) in our dataset

with gene ontology (GO) terms. DeepGOPlus integrates a convoluted neural network

along with sequence similarity scores of protein sequences to annotate GO terms. In

our analysis, we use a prediction threshold of 0.3 [88]. Using the GOstats and GO.db

Bioconductor [89] packages we performed GO enrichment for GO terms related to

Biological Processes. In this analysis, convergent orthologs constituted the test set,

while the remainder served as the background universe. Enriched terms were

identified using a false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off of <0.05.

585
586

To gain deeper insight into the functional relevance of the convergent genes we used

data from the Bgee database [46] and studied expression across eleven species

(*Lepisosteus oculatus, Danio rerio, Astyanax mexicanus, Esox lucius, Salmo salar, Gadus*

morhua, Oryzias latipes, Astatotilapia calliptera, Neolamprologus brichardi, Scophthalmus

maximus, and *Nothobranchius furzeri*) and eight tissues. Bgee is based exclusively on

curated healthy wild-type expression data, which is processed to produce calls of

presence/absence of expression which is integrated with other information like gene

orthology and organ homology allowing for comprehensive comparison of gene

expression across species and tissues. We collected data for the brain, eye, heart, liver,

muscle tissue, ovary, and testis. The Bgee data sometimes includes expression levels

of tissues from different experiments. We checked for potential batch effects in tissue

expression between different experiments and found evidence only in *Lepisosteus*

oculatus (Fig S10). We corrected this using the ComBat_seq function from the sva R

package [90] followed by quantile normalisation, an approach which was successfully

used previously [91]. To calculate tissue specificity, we used the Tau metric because of

its efficiency in recovering biological signals [92]. We classified a gene as tissue-specific

if it had a tau > 0.8 and its expression in the target tissue was greater than the sum of

its expression in other tissues. Since we are comparing tissue specificity across

different species, we identified genes that are tissue-specific in the same tissue across

all the species sampled. These stringent criteria ensured we captured robust signals

for tissue specificity. Around one-third of these genes had signals of convergent

evolution with the largest being in the liver (Fig S4).

Analysis of single-cell RNA-seq from Zebrahub

We integrated several types of data to obtain greater functional insights into the

convergent genes. We used single-cell RNA-seq (scRNAseq) from the Zebrahub

consortium [4]. This data comprises a high-resolution scRNAseq atlas of zebrafish

development achieved by sequencing individual embryos across ten developmental

stages [4]. The dataset contained 120,444 cells and a total of 529 cell clusters which were

annotated based on published literature and the ZFIN database [3]. The entire dataset

is available at https://zebrahub.ds.czbiohub.org/. The consortium provides h5ad

objects with quality control, clustering, and uniform manifold projections (UMAP)

(https://github.com/czbiohub-sf/zebrahub_analysis). We log-normalise this processed

data using the scater R package [93] and use it for our study. To visualise the expression

of the convergent genes across embryonic development we calculated the mean

expression of each gene at each time point and constructed a scaled (max scaled to 1)

heatmap using the scuttle [93] and dittoSeq [94] R packages. We visualised heatmaps using

the plotReducedDim function from the scater R package [93]. All UMAP plots with cell

type and gene expression at each time point are available in Dataset S9.

Data availability

An electronic report containing output of R code and major analyses in this study can be found at:

https://agneeshbarua.github.io/Teleost_convergence/

References

1. Streelman, J. T., Peichel, C. L. & Parichy, D. M. Developmental Genetics of Adaptation in Fishes: The Case for Novelty. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **38**, 655–681 (2007).
2. McMenamin, S. K. & Parichy, D. M. Metamorphosis in teleosts. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **103**, 127–165 (2013).
3. Bradford, Y. M. *et al.* Zebrafish information network, the knowledgebase for Danio rerio research. *Genetics* **220**, (2022).
4. Lange, M. *et al.* Zebrahub – Multimodal Zebrafish Developmental Atlas Reveals the State-Transition Dynamics of Late-Vertebrate Pluripotent Axial Progenitors. *bioRxiv* 2023.03.06.531398 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.03.06.531398.
5. Ravi, V. & Venkatesh, B. The Divergent Genomes of Teleosts. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci* **6**, 47–68 (2018).
6. Gagnaire, P.-A. Comparative genomics approach to evolutionary process connectivity. *Evol. Appl.* **13**, 1320–1334 (2020).
7. Zoonomia Consortium. A comparative genomics multitool for scientific discovery and conservation. *Nature* **587**, 240–245 (2020).
8. Lewin, H. A. *et al.* The Earth BioGenome Project 2020: Starting the clock. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **119**, (2022).
9. Christmas, M. J. *et al.* Evolutionary constraint and innovation across hundreds of placental mammals. *Science* **380**, eabn3943 (2023).
10. Martin, A. & Orgogozo, V. The Loci of repeated evolution: a catalog of genetic hotspots of phenotypic variation. *Evolution* **67**, 1235–1250 (2013).
11. Zhang, G. *et al.* Comparative genomics reveals insights into avian genome evolution and adaptation. *Science* **346**, 1311–1320 (2014).
12. Partha, R. *et al.* Subterranean mammals show convergent regression in ocular genes and enhancers, along with adaptation to tunneling. *Elife* **6**, (2017).
13. Allio, R. *et al.* Genome-wide macroevolutionary signatures of key innovations in

butterflies colonizing new host plants. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 354 (2021).

14. Foote, A. D. *et al.* Convergent evolution of the genomes of marine mammals. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 272–275 (2015).

15. Rosenblum, E. B., Parent, C. E. & Brandt, E. E. The molecular basis of phenotypic convergence. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **45**, 203–226 (2014).

16. Christin, P.-A., Weinreich, D. M. & Besnard, G. Causes and evolutionary significance of genetic convergence. *Trends Genet.* **26**, 400–405 (2010).

17. Storz, J. F. Causes of molecular convergence and parallelism in protein evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 239–250 (2016).

18. Rey, C. *et al.* Detecting adaptive convergent amino acid evolution. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **374**, 20180234 (2019).

19. Wake, D. B. Homoplasy: The Result of Natural Selection, or Evidence of Design Limitations? *Am. Nat.* **138**, 543–567 (1991).

20. Steppan, S. J., Phillips, P. C. & Houle, D. Comparative quantitative genetics: evolution of the G matrix. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **17**, 320–327 (2002).

21. Losos, J. B. Convergence, adaptation, and constraint. *Evolution* **65**, 1827–1840 (2011).

22. Dobler, S., Dalla, S., Wagschal, V. & Agrawal, A. A. Community-wide convergent evolution in insect adaptation to toxic cardenolides by substitutions in the Na,K-ATPase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 13040–13045 (2012).

23. Fukushima, K. *et al.* Genome of the pitcher plant Cephalotus reveals genetic changes associated with carnivory. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1**, 59 (2017).

24. Sadanandan, K. R. *et al.* Convergence in hearing-related genes between echolocating birds and mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **120**, e2307340120 (2023).

25. Thomas, G. W. C. & Hahn, M. W. Determining the Null Model for Detecting Adaptive Convergence from Genomic Data: A Case Study using Echolocating Mammals. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 1232–1236 (2015).

26. Mendes, F. K., Hahn, Y. & Hahn, M. W. Gene Tree Discordance Can Generate Patterns of Diminishing Convergence over Time. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 3299–3307 (2016).

27. Cerca, J. Understanding natural selection and similarity: Convergent, parallel and repeated evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* **32**, 5451–5462 (2023).

28. Fukushima, K. & Pollock, D. D. Detecting macroevolutionary genotype-phenotype associations using error-corrected rates of protein convergence_SUPP. *Nat Ecol Evol* **7**, 155–170 (2023).

29. Sayers, E. W. *et al.* Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D20–D26 (2022).

30. Martin, F. J. *et al.* Ensembl 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D933–D941 (2023).

31. Braasch, I. *et al.* The spotted gar genome illuminates vertebrate evolution and facilitates human-teleost comparisons. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 427–437 (2016).

32. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).

33. Kumar, S. *et al.* TimeTree 5: An Expanded Resource for Species Divergence Times. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **39**, (2022).

34. Yang, Z. *Molecular Evolution: A Statistical Approach*. (OUP Oxford, 2014).

35. Ragsdale, A. *et al.* Paternal hypoxia exposure primes offspring for increased hypoxia resistance. *BMC Biol.* **20**, 185 (2022).

36. Farber, J. & Rahn, H. Gas exchange between air and water and the ventilation pattern in the electric eel. *Respir. Physiol.* **9**, 151–161 (1970).

37. Obirikorang, K. A., Acheampong, J. N., Duodu, C. P. & Skov, P. V. Growth, metabolism and respiration in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to chronic or periodic hypoxia. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* **248**, 110768 (2020).

38. Ao, J. *et al.* Genome sequencing of the perciform fish Larimichthys crocea provides insights into molecular and genetic mechanisms of stress adaptation. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005118 (2015).

39. Mihaljevic, I., Popovic, M., Zaja, R. & Smital, T. Phylogenetic, syntenic, and tissue expression analysis of slc22 genes in zebrafish (Danio rerio). *BMC Genomics* **17**, 626 (2016).

40. Parry, G. The development of salinity tolerance in the salmon, *Salmo Salar* (L.) and some related species. *J. Exp. Biol.* **37**, 425–434 (1960).

41. You, X. *et al.* Mudskippers and Their Genetic Adaptations to an Amphibious Lifestyle. *Animals (Basel)* **8**, (2018).

42. Hung, T.-C. *et al.* Temperature and salinity preferences of endangered Delta Smelt (Hypomesus transpacificus, Actinopterygii, Osmeridae). *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 16558 (2022).

43. van Karnebeek, C. D. M. *et al.* NANS-mediated synthesis of sialic acid is required for brain and skeletal development. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 777–784 (2016).

44. Mashimo, M., Kato, J. & Moss, J. Structure and function of the ARH family of ADP-ribosyl-acceptor hydrolases. *DNA Repair* **23**, 88–94 (2014).

45. Kozlov, A. P. Chapter 7 - Tumors that have Played a Role in Evolution. in *Evolution by Tumor Neofunctionalization* (ed. Kozlov, A. P.) 67–73 (Academic Press, San Diego, 2014).

46. Bastian, F. B. *et al.* The Bgee suite: integrated curated expression atlas and comparative transcriptomics in animals. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D831–D847 (2021).

47. Diekmann, H. & Stuermer, C. A. O. Zebrafish neurolin-a and -b, orthologs of ALCAM, are involved in retinal ganglion cell differentiation and retinal axon pathfinding. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **513**, 38–50 (2009).

48. Murayama, E., Vivier, C., Schmidt, A. & Herbomel, P. Alcam-a and Pdgfr-α are essential for the development of sclerotome-derived stromal cells that support hematopoiesis. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1171 (2023).

49. Nelms BL, L. P. A. Chapter 9, Pax Genes. in *Transcriptional Control of Neural Crest Development.* (San Rafael (CA): Morgan & Claypool Life Sciences, 2010).

50. Yee, S. W. *et al.* Unraveling the functional role of the orphan solute carrier, SLC22A24 in the transport of steroid conjugates through metabolomic and genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet.* **15**, e1008208 (2019).

51. Snider, P. *et al.* Origin of cardiac fibroblasts and the role of periostin. *Circ. Res.* **105**, 934–947 (2009).

52. Tierbach, A., Groh, K. J., Schönenberger, R., Schirmer, K. & Suter, M. J.-F. Glutathione S-Transferase Protein Expression in Different Life Stages of Zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Toxicol. Sci.* **162**, 702–712 (2018).

53. Smith, J. M. Natural selection and the concept of a protein space. *Nature* **225**, 563–564 (1970).

54. Arnold, S. J., Pfrender, M. E. & Jones, A. G. The adaptive landscape as a conceptual bridge between micro- and macroevolution. *Genetica* **112-113**, 9–32 (2001).

55. Pollock, D. D. & Pollard, S. T. Parallel and convergent molecular evolution. in *Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Biology* (ed. Kliman, R. M.) 206–211 (Academic Press, 2016).

56. Orr, H. A. Adaptation and the cost of complexity. *Evolution* **54**, 13–20 (2000).

57. Paaby, A. B. & Rockman, M. V. The many faces of pleiotropy. *Trends Genet.* **29**, 66–73 (2013).

58. Zhang, J. Patterns and Evolutionary Consequences of Pleiotropy. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **54**, 1–19 (2023).

59. Mills, M. G., Greenwood, A. K. & Peichel, C. L. Pleiotropic effects of a single gene on skeletal development and sensory system patterning in sticklebacks. *Evodevo* **5**, 5 (2014).

60. Greenwood, A. K., Mills, M. G., Wark, A. R., Archambeault, S. L. & Peichel, C. L. Evolution of Schooling Behavior in Threespine Sticklebacks Is Shaped by the Eda Gene. *Genetics* **203**, 677–681 (2016).

61. Wang, Z., Liao, B.-Y. & Zhang, J. Genomic patterns of pleiotropy and the evolution of complexity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 18034–18039 (2010).

62. West, G. B., Brown, J. H. & Enquist, B. J. A general model for the origin of allometric scaling laws in biology. *Science* **276**, 122–126 (1997).

63. Nigam, S. K. The SLC22 Transporter Family: A Paradigm for the Impact of Drug Transporters on Metabolic Pathways, Signaling, and Disease. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **58**, 663–687 (2018).

64. Grant Kinsler, Yuping Li, Gavin Sherlock, Dmitri A. Petrov. A shift from pleiotropic to modular adaptation revealed by a high-resolution two-step adaptive walk. *bioRxiv* (2024).

65. Paaby, A. B. & Rockman, M. V. Cryptic genetic variation: evolution's hidden substrate. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **15**, 247–258 (2014).

66. Rutherford, S. L. & Lindquist, S. Hsp90 as a capacitor for morphological evolution. *Nature* **396**, 336–342 (1998).

67. Queitsch, C., Sangster, T. A. & Lindquist, S. Hsp90 as a capacitor of phenotypic variation. *Nature* **417**, 618–624 (2002).

68. Yeyati, P. L., Bancewicz, R. M., Maule, J. & van Heyningen, V. Hsp90 selectively modulates phenotype in vertebrate development. *PLoS Genet.* **3**, e43 (2007).

69. Duveau, F. & Félix, M.-A. Role of pleiotropy in the evolution of a cryptic developmental variation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Biol.* **10**, e1001230 (2012).

70. McGuigan, K., Nishimura, N., Currey, M., Hurwit, D. & Cresko, W. A. Cryptic genetic variation and body size evolution in threespine stickleback. *Evolution* **65**, 1203–1211 (2011).

71. Rohner, N. *et al.* Cryptic variation in morphological evolution: HSP90 as a capacitor for loss of eyes in cavefish. *Science* **342**, 1372–1375 (2013).

72. Bloom, J. D., Labthavikul, S. T., Otey, C. R. & Arnold, F. H. Protein stability promotes evolvability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **103**, 5869–5874 (2006).

73. Hayden, E. J., Ferrada, E. & Wagner, A. Cryptic genetic variation promotes rapid evolutionary adaptation in an RNA enzyme. *Nature* **474**, 92–95 (2011).

74. Kozome, D., Sljoka, A. & Laurino, P. Remote loop evolution reveals a complex biological function for chitinase enzymes beyond the active site. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 3227 (2024).

75. Garamszegi, L. Z. *Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology.* (Springer Berlin Heidelberg).

76. Uyeda, J. C., Zenil-Ferguson, R. & Pennell, M. W. Rethinking phylogenetic comparative methods. *Syst. Biol.* **67**, 1091–1109 (2018).

77. Dunn, C. W., Zapata, F., Munro, C., Siebert, S. & Hejnol, A. Pairwise comparisons across species are problematic when analyzing functional genomic data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E409–E417 (2018).

78. Smith, S. D., Pennell, M. W., Dunn, C. W. & Edwards, S. V. Phylogenetics is the New Genetics (for Most of Biodiversity). *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **35**, 415–425 (2020).

79. Madeira, F. *et al.* Search and sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, W276–W279 (2022).

80. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).

81. Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M. & Gabaldón, T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1972–1973 (2009).

82. Minh, B. Q. *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1530–1534 (2020).

83. Morel, B., Kozlov, A. M., Stamatakis, A. & Szöllősi, G. J. GeneRax: A Tool for Species-Tree-Aware Maximum Likelihood-Based Gene Family Tree Inference under Gene Duplication, Transfer, and Loss. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 2763–2774 (2020).

84. Berman, H. M. *et al.* The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **28**, 235–242 (2000).

85. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D523–D531 (2023).

86. Varadi, M. *et al.* AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D439–D444 (2022).

87. DeLano, W. L. & Others. Pymol: An open-source molecular graphics tool. *CCP4 Newsl. Protein Crystallogr* **40**, 82–92 (2002).

88. Kulmanov, M. & Hoehndorf, R. DeepGOPlus: improved protein function prediction from sequence. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 422–429 (2020).

89. Falcon, S. & Gentleman, R. Using GOstats to test gene lists for GO term association. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 257–258 (2007).

90. Leek, J. T., Johnson, W. E., Parker, H. S., Jaffe, A. E. & Storey, J. D. The sva package for removing batch effects and other unwanted variation in high-throughput experiments. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 882–883 (2012).

91. Barua, A. & Mikheyev, A. S. An ancient, conserved gene regulatory network led to the rise of oral venom systems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**, (2021).

92. Kryuchkova-Mostacci, N. & Robinson-Rechavi, M. A benchmark of gene expression tissue-specificity metrics. *Brief. Bioinform.* **18**, 205–214 (2017).

93. McCarthy, D. J., Campbell, K. R., Lun, A. T. L. & Wills, Q. F. Scater: pre-processing, quality control, normalization and visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data in R. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 1179–1186 (2017).

94. Bunis, D. G., Andrews, J., Fragiadakis, G. K., Burt, T. D. & Sirota, M. dittoSeq: universal user-friendly single-cell and bulk RNA sequencing visualization toolkit. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 5535–5536 (2021).