Role of individual and population heterogeneity in shaping dynamics of multi-pathogen shedding in an island endemic bat

Samantha Aguillon[1][*], Magali Turpin[1], Gildas Le Minter[1], Camille Lebarbenchon[1], Axel O. G. Hoarau[1], Céline Toty[1], Avril Duchet[1], Léa Joffrin[1][#], Riana V. Ramanantsalama[1][$], Pablo Tortosa[1], Patrick Mavingui[1] & Muriel Dietrich[1][*]

[1]UMR PIMIT (Processus Infectieux en Milieu Insulaire Tropical), Université de la Réunion / INSERM1187 / CNRS9192 / IRD249, 97490 Sainte-Clotilde, Reunion Island

[#]Current address: Evolutionary Ecology Group, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium

[$]Current address: Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology Unit, German Primate Center, Kellnerweg 4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

*Samantha Aguillon - UMR PIMIT, Sainte-Clotilde, Reunion Island.
Email: samantha.aguillon@univ-reunion.fr

*Muriel Dietrich - UMR PIMIT, Sainte-Clotilde, Reunion Island.
Email: muriel.dietrich@ird.fr

Author Contributions:

Samantha Aguillon: Conceptualization (equal); formal analysis (lead); investigation (equal); visualization (lead); writing – original draft (lead); writing – review and editing (equal). Magali Turpin: Investigation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal); Gildas Le Minter: Investigation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Camille Lebarbenchon: Investigation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Axel O. G. Hoarau: Investigation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Céline Toty:

Investigation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Avril Duchet: Investigation
(equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Léa Joffrin: Investigation (equal); writing –
review and editing (equal). Riana V. Ramanantsalama: Investigation (equal); writing –
review and editing (equal). Pablo Tortosa: Funding acquisition (equal); investigation
(equal); writing – review and editing (equal). Patrick Mavingui: Supervision (equal);
writing – review and editing (equal). Muriel Dietrich: Conceptualization (lead); formal
analysis (equal); funding acquisition (equal); investigation (lead); supervision (equal);
validation (lead); writing – original draft (equal); writing – review and editing (equal).

Competing Interest Statement:

We have no competing interests to declare.

Keywords

bats; tropical island; co-shedding dynamics; ecological factors; persistent shedding

Short title

Drivers of co-shedding dynamics in bats

Abstract

Understanding processes driving pathogen transmission in bats is critical to prevent spillovers and emergence events. Although substantial research has addressed this topic, few studies have directly examined shedding dynamics (as opposed to serological studies) and co-infection patterns using fine-scale spatio-temporal datasets. Here, based on the monitoring of 5,714 Reunion free-tailed bats (*Mormopterus francoismoutoui*) in 17 roosts over 24 months, we studied the co-shedding dynamics of paramyxoviruses (PMV) and *Leptospira* bacteria (LEPTO) in urine, and herpesviruses (HSV) in saliva. We evidenced all year long shedding with high prevalence of all three infectious agents (37% - 87%), as well as an exceptionally high level of co-shedding (59%), with both positive and negative interactions between infectious agents. Shedding patterns displayed temporal synchrony among roosts, with a peak during summer months, but were not influenced by roost size. Repeated shedding in recaptured bats supports within-host persistence, though underlying mechanisms remain to be identified. Our results also showed rapid HSV infection of juveniles (< 6 months), and suggest longer protection of juveniles by maternal antibodies for PMV and LEPTO. Reproductive individuals (both during the pregnancy and mating) were associated to increased PMV and LEPTO shedding, which can result from tradeoffs between reproduction and infection in both sexes, and/or an age-related bias with the progressive infection of older juveniles during reproductive periods. This study highlights the significance of persistent shedding of multiple pathogens, including bacteria, and their intricate interactions within bat populations. Understanding how human-driven ecological changes may disrupt within-host processes and influence pathogen shedding in bats will help assessing the risk of pathogen spillover from bats to other species, including humans.

Author summary

Understanding risks of bat-borne pathogen spillover is challenging because of the difficulty in studying shedding dynamics in wild bat populations. Here, we used an original island-endemic bat species to build up a fine-scale spatio-temporal shedding analysis of two viruses (paramyxoviruses and herpesviruses) and a bacterium (*Leptospira*) at both population- (roost) and individual- (through recaptured bats) levels. Shedding patterns are driven by the age of bats and associated to the reproductive periods in both females and males. Results also suggest that persistence, as well as interactions between infectious agents, are important within-host processes that contribute to the transmission of infections in bat populations. More research is essential to understand how human activities may influence these co-shedding patterns and the risk of cross-species transmission.

Introduction

Several human outbreaks, such as those caused by the SARS-CoV-1 and Nipah viruses,
have highlighted the importance of understanding processes driving the transmission of
infectious agents within bat populations [1]. Indeed, infection dynamics in bat reservoir
hosts have been linked to zoonotic spillovers [2, 3]. Thus, identifying the ecological drivers
of pathogen transmission within bat populations, at both within- and between-host
levels, is a key element for predicting spillover events [4, 5]. Unfortunately, ecological
studies investigating infection dynamics in bats often lack robust spatio-temporal
datasets [6] (but see e.g. [7-10]). This gap mainly results from logistical constraints due to
the elusive and roaming nature of bats that make these animals difficult to study over
long periods, and limits the breadth of data acquisition. Moreover, these gregarious
mammals often display metapopulation dynamics [11]. Such spatio-temporal
heterogeneity is characterized by periodically interacting spatial discrete patches, with
various sex and age-structure, roost size and function [12, 13], which adds complexity to
disentangle individual and populational drivers of infection dynamics in bats [8, 14, 15].

With molecular tools becoming increasingly accessible for the study of infectious
agents, it is now recognized that co-infections are common in bats [16–20]. However,
most of the previous longitudinal studies on bats have focused on a single infectious
agent, or different viral species within the same family (e.g. [2, 21–23]). Thus, our
knowledge on spatio-temporal co-infection dynamics with different groups of infectious
agents (DNA/RNA viruses, bacteria, fungi) remains limited [10, 24–26]. Theory predicts
that co-infections can have major consequences on within-host infection dynamics
because of potential interactions that might be antagonistic or mutualistic [19, 27, 28].
For example, the infection of field voles with the bacterium *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*
increased by five times the susceptibility to cowpox virus [29]. Examining the spatio-
temporal patterns of simultaneous shedding of infectious agents within bat roosts (co-
circulation) and individual hosts (co-infection) is likely to provide much-needed further
insights into underlying processes driving infection dynamics [2].

Islands are widely considered as relevant model systems in ecology and

evolutionary biology studies [30, 31], including those focusing on emerging diseases (e.g.

[32, 33]). These ecosystems typically support a limited number of species and exhibit high

levels of endemism [34]. Due to their ability to fly over water, bats are often the only

native mammals in remote oceanic islands. Among the 1,456 recognized bat species, 60%

inhabit islands and 25% are even island-endemic [35]. The clearly defined geographical

boundaries and often reduced surface of islands enable sampling endemic bat

populations at a fine scale, by focusing sampling efforts on a specific limited area which

covers a comprehensive range of sites, and this is crucial to make reliable inferences

about spatial and temporal infection dynamics [36]. Moreover, the reduced number of

bat species on islands may also simplify ecological interactions, by minimizing interspecies

contacts, and by sampling monospecific bat roosts, which can contribute to a more in-

depth understanding of infection dynamics at the population level [37]. Due to the

reduced species richness typical of small territories, insular ecosystems may also lack

predators or competitors and offer empty ecological niches, which can favor the spread

of island endemic bats and the expansion of their population size, although this would

ultimately be constrained by the availability of resources. This is especially true for bat

families with highly gregarious behavior such as free-tailed bats (Molossidae), for which

roosts can host hundreds of thousands of individuals [38, 39]. High bat densities favor the

sampling of large numbers of individuals, a prerequisite for epidemiological studies. Island

endemic bats, with such an abundant, simplified, and well-defined system, represent a

rare opportunity to collect extensive spatio-temporal data to capture a comprehensive

picture of subtle mechanisms underlying infection dynamics in bats [37].

The Reunion free-tailed bat (*Mormopterus francoismoutoui*; [40]) is a small

tropical insectivorous bat endemic to Reunion Island. This volcanic territory is located in

the southwestern Indian Ocean and emerged about 3 million years ago [41]. Although

small in size (2,512 km²), Reunion Island is shaped by a mountainous landscape and has

undergone significant landscape changes following 350 years of human colonization

associated with intensive urbanization and agriculture [42]. Reunion free-tailed bats are

broadly distributed on the island and monospecific roosts occur in a diversity of natural

habitats, such as caves and cliffs. These mollosid bats have also adapted to urbanization

and use numerous anthropogenic roosts such as buildings and bridges, hosting from a

few hundred to more than 100,000 individuals [12, 40]. A recent longitudinal monitoring

of several roosts revealed highly dynamic roosting behaviors, with roosts of various sizes,

sex-ratio, and functions (female-biased maternities, mixed colonies or male-biased

roosts) [12]. Specifically, large female aggregations (up to 50,000 pregnant females) are

observed synchronously every year in a few roosts, before parturition in austral summer

(December), which coincides with a female-biased sex-ratio and a spatial sexual

segregation during this period of the year [12, 43]. Moreover, the end of austral summer

(May) is characterized by a decrease in roost size and a change in sex-ratio in the studied

roosts (from female- to male-biased), suggesting important seasonal sex-specific

movements within the island, which is corroborated by the absence of spatial genetic

structure in this metapopulation [44].

Reunion free-tailed bats are natural hosts for several infectious agents [10, 21,,

45], including paramyxoviruses and *Leptospira* bacteria [43]. Previous non-invasive

sampling of bat faeces and urine underneath roosts highlights that shedding pulses of

paramyxoviruses and *Leptospira* bacteria occurred during the austral summer, followed

by a sustained transmission during winter [10, 43, 45]. However, these studies relied on

a reduced number of samples that did not include information on individual bats (as no

captures were performed), precluding direct insights into how individual factors (e.g. sex,

age, reproductive status) influence these shedding dynamics. Moreover, studies were

conducted on only two maternity roosts [10, 43] and the role of population-level factors

(e.g. roost size, sex-ratio) thus remains poorly understood. Here, we used data from a

fine-scale spatio-temporal monitoring and sampling of *M. francoismoutoui*, based on the

study of 17 roosts all over Reunion Island during two consecutive years. We measured

the simultaneous shedding (nucleic acid detection) of three infectious agents in each

individual bat, focusing on paramyxoviruses (viral RNA) and *Leptospira* (bacteria DNA)

both shed in urine, and herpesviruses (viral DNA) in the saliva. Specifically, we first aimed

to test for spatio-temporal trends in shedding prevalence and synchrony across roost

sites. Then, we evaluated whether individual (sex, age, reproductive status) and roost-

level (roost size and level of sexual spatial segregation) variables explained prevalence of

single infectious agents, co-shedding patterns, and the probability of changing shedding

status (within-host dynamics).

Results

Spatio-temporal dynamics of shedding prevalence.

Between January 2019 and December 2020 (24 months), we captured 5,714 Reunion

free-tailed bats in 17 roosts all over Reunion Island (Fig 1), including 405 recaptures (up

to four recaptures were recorded for the same individual). Shedding data were obtained

from 5,518 urine samples for paramyxoviruses (PMV) and *Leptospira* bacteria (LEPTO),

and from 3,981 saliva samples for herpesviruses (HSV), including 3,784 individuals for

which all three infectious agents were tested. Over the entire study period, we measured

a high global prevalence with 36.63% ± 1.27% of bats shedding PMV, 46.48% ± 1.32%

shedding LEPTO and 87.14% ± 1.04% shedding HSV (considering recaptured bats as

independent points in time) (Table 1).

Table 1. Details on the sampled roosts of *M. francoismoutoui* and the number of tested and positive samples.

Roost	Habitat	No. of sampling periods	Roost size estimation (min and max when available)	No. of urine tested	No. of PMV positive (%)	No. of LEPTO positive (%)	No. of saliva tested	No. of HSV positive (%)
AOM	Building	1	2560	52	6 (11.5)	10 (19.2)	0	no data
EGI	Building	3	no data	149	56 (37.6)	79 (53.0)	89	82 (92.1)
ESA	Bridge	15	570 - 3200	634 (PMV) 635 (LEPTO)	254 (40.0)	360 (56.7)	452	406 (89.9)
MON	Bridge	15	210 - 1150	488	144 (28.4)	268 (54.9)	419	355 (84.5)
PBV	Cliff	1	300	11	4 (36.4)	8 (72.7)	0	no data
PSR	Building	15	no data	512 (PMV) 510 (LEPTO)	255 (49.8)	305 (59.8)	396	387 (97.8)
PSR-SUD	Building	1	no data	51	9 (17.6)	14 (27.4)	0	no data
RBL	Bridge	15	350 - 1300	658	238 (36.2)	235 (35.7)	425	369 (86.8)
RES	Bridge	8	35 - 410	223	91 (41.0)	111 (49.8)	212	179 (84.4)
RPQ	Bridge	15	150 - 3000	535 (PMV) 536 (LEPTO)	229 (41.9)	287 (53.4)	416	386 (92.8)
STJ	Building	1	200	34	14 (41.2)	10 (29.4)	0	no data
STM	Bridge	12	27 - 350	364	145 (39.9)	166 (45.6)	281	267 (95.0)
TBA*	Cave	11	0 - 100,000	500	140 (28.0)	168 (33.6)	330	217 (65.8)
TGI*	Building	15	75 - 1270	496	167 (33.7)	229 (46.1)	432	356 (82.4)
TM5	Bridge	1	200	28	0	4 (14.3)	0	no data
TRI	Bridge	4	153 - 251	125	45 (36.0)	86 (68.8)	112	104 (92.9)
VSP	Bridge	15	15 - 6000	658	224 (34.0)	225 (34.2)	417	361 (86.6)
Total	/	/	/	5,518	2,021 (36.6)	2,565 (46.5)	3,981	3,469 (87.1)

Shedding of the three infectious agents was detected in all tested roosts, except PMV in the TM5 roost (temporary roost exclusively composed of juveniles; see [12]). Pairwise comparison of prevalence among roosts at different sampling periods (calculated as Pearson's correlations) revealed both positive and negative correlation coefficients, and thus a moderate level of spatial synchrony, which was slightly higher for HSV (regional synchrony = 0.269) compared to PMV (regional synchrony = 0.151) and LEPTO (regional synchrony = 0.202). Moreover, spline correlograms showed that synchrony was not influenced by the geographical distance between roosts (Fig 2A). A dynamic factor analysis (DFA) was then used to model common trends in prevalence across roosts. Despite variation of prevalence patterns among roosts (Fig 2B), DFA models converged and showed a common trend that peaked during the summer months (from October to December) for the three infectious agents, and then reached its lowest around March to

June (Fig 2C). Roost loadings varied only substantially in both magnitude and direction. In

particular, the roosts MON, RPQ and TGI showed the lowest loadings (sometimes

negative) which implies some degree of asynchrony for these roosts. Finally, the use of

generalized additive models (GAM) (S1 and S3 Tables), to characterize variation in

prevalence, confirmed significant intra-annual variations for PMV and HSV prevalence

(model M1: $\chi^2_{5.7}$ = 15.05, P = 0.03; model M3: $\chi^2_{6.7}$ = 19.86, P = 0.01; S1 Table).

Roost-level drivers of shedding.

Roost size varied from 15 to 100,000 individuals but was not correlated with the

prevalence of PMV and LEPTO (model M1: z = 0.37, P = 0.71; model M2: z = 0.80, P = 0.43;

S1 Table), while HSV prevalence slightly decreased in larger roosts (model M3: z = -2.83,

P = 0.005; S1 Table). However, this negative correlation was driven by the presence of

juveniles when roost sizes were the highest, these juveniles being low infected (see after).

This negative correlation disappeared when juveniles were removed from the HSV model

(model M3bis: z = -0.83, P = 0.41; S1 Table). Because adult males and females aggregate

and segregate differently among roosts [12], we also examined the role of sexual

segregation levels of adults, but did not find any correlation with prevalence (model M1:

$\chi^2_{4.3}$ = 1.55, P = 0.90; model M2: $\chi^2_{2.9}$ = 1.76, P = 0.60; model M3: $\chi^2_{4.9}$ = 7.38, P = 0.40; S1

Table).

Individual variation of shedding.

Models revealed that adults were systematically more frequently shedding viruses and

bacteria than juveniles (model M1: z = -5.83, P = 5.62^{-09}; model M2: z = -6.17, P = 6.73^{-10};

model M3: z = -11.88, P < 2^{-16}; S1 Table). Moreover, for *Leptospira* bacteria that was

detected using a real-time PCR, we analyzed the cycle threshold (Ct) values which serve

as a proxy for bacterial load. The model indicated that, when infected, juveniles shed a

significantly lower *Leptospira* load compared to adults (model M4: z = 11.78, P < 2^{-16}; S1

Table).

Mean shedding prevalence in adults was high: 43.00 ± 1.42% for PMV, 52.85 ±

1.43% for LEPTO and 96.20 ± 0.65% for HSV (Figs 3A- C). In contrast, at the time when

juveniles started to fly (January-February; [12]), shedding prevalence in juveniles was

either null (PMV) or low (LEPTO: 12.03 ± 4.11% and HSV: 15.03 ± 5.66%). Then, over the

following six months, HSV prevalence increased drastically in juveniles to reach 60.67 ±

7.82%, while it remained close to 0% for PMV, or only slightly increased for LEPTO (Figs

3A-C). After six months, we could not monitor properly infection in juveniles because

most of bats were classified as adults based on the epiphysis fusion, but we noted that

for females with non-developing nipples (M0 females; that probably include juveniles),

PMV and LEPTO infection remained low for at least 6 more months (S1 Fig). Shedding

prevalence also varied according to sex, but only in adult bats, and differently according

to the targeted infectious agent (model M1: z = -5.03, P = 4.81^{-07}; model M2: z = -0.77, P

= 0.44; model M3: z = 3.84, P = 1.25^{-04}; S1 Table) (Figs 3D -F). Indeed, adult males were

more frequently shedding HSV than females (Tukey's post hoc test: t = -3.84, P = 7.0^{-04}),

although the opposite pattern was observed for PMV (Tukey's post hoc test, t = 5.03, P <

1.0^{-04}).

To test the influence of reproduction on the shedding status, we focused our

analysis on adults during two reproductive periods: pregnancy (November-December)

and mating (March-April). During pregnancy, the detection of the two urine-excreted

agents, PMV and LEPTO, was higher in pregnant than in non-pregnant females (model

M5: z = 5.76, P = 8.31^{-09}; model M6: z = 5.20, P = 2.02^{-07}; S2 Table) (Figs 3D-E). However,

when we excluded non-pregnant females with no visible nipples (M0) from the models—

potentially representing misclassified old juveniles—the effect of pregnancy was no

longer detected (model M5bis: z = 0.72, P = 0.47; model M6bis: z = 1.35, P = 0.18; S2

Table) (Fig 3D -E). Moreover, during November-December, non-pregnant females without

visible nipples were indeed less frequently infected compared to non-pregnant females

with visible nipples, regardless of pregnancy status (S1 Fig). For males, during the mating

period, reproductively active individuals were more frequently found shedding LEPTO

than non-reproductive males (model M10: z =2.70, P = 0.007; S2 Table) (Fig 3E). Finally,

we did not find any influence of reproduction of the *Leptospira* load (Ct) for both females

and males (model M7: z = -1.69, *P* = 0.09; model M11: z = 1.62, *P* = 0.11; S2 Table).

Co-shedding dynamics.

Among the 3,784 bats with paired samples (both urine and saliva collected), 36.89% (n =

1,396) were shedding two infectious agents and 22.46% (n = 850) were shedding three

(S2 Fig). Only 399 bats (10.54%) did not excrete any of the three screened infectious

agents, with 79.2% of them being noteworthily juveniles. Shedding one infectious agent

increased the probability of shedding a second infectious agent (for all combinations in

models M1, M2, M3, all *P* < 0.001; S1 Table) (Fig 4). The relationship between viral

infections was stronger than that between viruses and bacteria. For example, based on

odd ratios estimated from models M1-M3, bats shedding HSV were 14 times more likely

to shed PMV, whereas shedding HSV increased the likelihood of LEPTO shedding only by

threefold. Additionally, individuals already shedding two infectious agents were more

likely to shed a third (Fig 4). However, in cases of triple infections, a negative interaction

was observed, indicating that the combined effect of two agents on the prevalence of the

third was weaker than expected if the two infections were independent (model M1: z = -

3.10, *P* = 0.002; model M2: z = -2.46, *P* = 0.01; model M3: z = -2.51, *P* = 0.01; S1 Table).

Models showed no significant intra-annual variations for dual and triple shedding,

except for PMV-HSV (model M15: $\chi_{5.7}$ = 17.46, *P* = 0.01; S3 Table), and no effect either of

sexual segregation or roost size. By contrast, we detected a strong influence of age, with

dual and triple shedding being more frequent in adults (model M13: z = -4.73, *P* = 2.30^{-06};

model M14: z = -10.67, *P* < 2^{-16} ; model M15: z = -7.39, *P* = 1.43^{-13}; model M16: z = -4.69,

P = 2.68^{-06}; S3 Table), especially in adult females (Tukey's post hoc test, model M13: t =

5.04, *P* < 0.001; model M15: t = 4.26, *P* = 0.001). Finally, pregnancy increased the

probability of dual and triple shedding in females (model M17: z = 4.01, *P* = 6.15^{-05}; model

M18: z =4.93, *P* = 8.05^{-07}; model M19: z = 5.07, *P* = 3.97^{-07}; model M20: z = 3.79, *P* = 1.5^{-}

^{04}; S4 Table), but when non-pregnant females without visible nipples (M0) were excluded,

this effect was no longer observed (S4 Table). In males, reproductively active individuals

had a higher probability of simultaneously shedding LEPTO and HSV compared to the non-

reproductive ones (model M22: z = 2.66; *P* = 0.008; S4 Table).

Within-host dynamics of shedding.

All captured bats were marked (by tattooing on the wing) allowing to collect shedding

data at the individual level. We tested 471 and 457 samples for PMV and LEPTO

respectively, including initial capture and samples from recaptured bats. We also tested

86 saliva samples from 31 recaptured bats (from 2 to 5 capture events) for the presence

of HSV. All bats initially testing HSV-positive (n = 29) remained positive over time

(between 25 and 405 days between recapture events), while the only two initially-

negative bats were found to be positive at their first recapture. Thus, within-host analyses

using multinomial logistic regressions of shedding status transitions were only performed

on PMV and LEPTO data. Recapture intervals ranged from 25 to 719 days (S3A Fig), during

which we defined four transition classes: negative to negative (no transition), negative to

positive, positive to negative, and positive to positive (no transition). A significant

proportion of recaptured bats maintained their initial shedding status over time.

Specifically, 50.74% (PMV) and 40.04% (LEPTO) of recaptured bats remained negative,

while a smaller yet notable fraction (PMV: 25.69%, LEPTO: 34.79%) consistently remained

positive. Notably, among initially-positive bats, approximately 75% remained positive

over time, though this percentage slightly declined for PMV when bats were recaptured

after 12 months (Fig 5A). Examples of bats that remained positive throughout multiple

recapture events, both within the same year (short-time intervals) and across different

years (long-time intervals) are illustrated in S4 Fig.

The probability of the four shedding status transitions was significantly dependent

on the time interval between recaptures for LEPTO (model M25, S5 Table) (Fig 5B), but

not for PMV (model M26, S5 Table) (Fig 5C). Indeed, the probability of shedding LEPTO

(either negative to positive, or positive to positive) increased with time interval, while the

probability of stopping shedding LEPTO (positive to negative) remained low and stable

(Fig 5B). We also found a significant effect of changing reproductive status on the

probability of changing both PMV and LEPTO shedding status (models M5 and M26, S5

Table). Indeed, bats that remained non-reproductively active over recapture events

(reproduction transition: 0/0), also mainly remained LEPTO- and PMV-negative (shedding

transition: 0/0) (Figs 5D and E). Similarly, most bats successively captured when

reproductively active (reproduction transition: 1/1) remained LEPTO- and PMV-positive

(shedding transition: 1/1).

Discussion

Using an original island-endemic bat system, we demonstrated the widespread and all-

year-long co-shedding of two viral and one bacterial agents in Reunion free-tailed bat

populations. This confirms the circulation of PMV and LEPTO [10, 43] and describes for

the first time HSV in this bat species. We revealed high global prevalence of PMV (37%)

and LEPTO (46%), and extremely high prevalence of HSV (87%), which contrasts with

certain other bat-borne infectious agents, such as Henipaviruses, Filoviruses, and

Lyssaviruses, where viral shedding is infrequently detected (e.g. [6, 46]). Our nearly

complete HSV prevalence aligns with the consistently high HSV rates observed in other

bat species, such as in the Mexican free-tailed bat *Tadarida brasiliensis* [47] and in the

common vampire bat *Desmodus rotundus* [14, 48]. High shedding prevalence in Reunion

free-tailed bats could be explained by a strong gregarious behaviour of these bats, which

can aggregate up to 1500 adult individuals per m² [49]. The proximity of individuals within

roosts can facilitate transmission through bat fluids (saliva, urine), in which case, roost

size is often assumed to positively correlate with prevalence [50–52]. However, we did

not find such a correlation, suggesting that roost size may not play a major role in the

shedding of paramyxoviruses, *Leptospira* and herpesviruses in Reunion free-tailed bats.

This aligns with previous findings on coronavirus in these bats, which demonstrated

similar dynamics in two maternity colonies with markedly different roost sizes [10].

Moreover, we observed a degree of spatial synchrony between roosts, with a common

prevalence trend characterized by consistently high prevalence throughout the year, and

an increase during the summer months. These correlated shedding dynamics were

observed even between geographically distant roosts. The high prevalence in our current dataset, as well as the low variability across sampling periods, may have obscured a higher signal of detectable synchrony. Extending the time series could provide the necessary variation and statistical power to capture any underlying synchronous trends across roosts and confirm our initial conclusions. Although frequent intra- and inter-seasonal movements is suspected in Reunion free-tailed bats [12, 44], potentially contributing to pathogen spread and spatial synchrony, our results suggest that transient epidemics, marked by waves of bat-to-bat transmission between roosts, are not the primary mechanism driving global PMV, LEPTO and HSV shedding dynamics [8, 50, 53]. Instead, intrinsic biological cycles, which are influenced by individual (reproductive status, age, and immune function) and environmental factors (e.g., food availability, temperature) could create synchronized shedding patterns among roosts [5, 50].

Several studies have reported that age structure within bat populations is a critical determinant of infection dynamics [5]. For coronaviruses, studies have documented higher infection rates among juveniles, who become rapidly infected shortly after birth [9, 10, 21]. In our study, the rapid increase in HSV infections (60% of infection in 4-months old juveniles) suggests a similar rapid waning of maternal immunity. In contrast, juveniles showed relatively low infection rates for PMV and LEPTO during their first six months of life. Additionally, observations of females with non-developing nipples, which were associated with low infection rates (S1 Fig), suggest that older juveniles may remain protected against PMV and LEPTO for an extended period. While we could not confirm this timing explicitly because of the incertitude in aging old juveniles, this is consistent with the longer maternal immunity reported in field and modelling studies for Lagos bat virus, henipaviruses and Marburg virus (e.g. 37, 54–57]. Further serological investigations are thus required to determine the duration of maternal antibodies in this bat species.

Behavioral and physiological traits are two mechanisms that can produce sex-biased prevalence in several wildlife species [58, 59]. Here, adult males were more frequently shedding HSV than females, as previously reported in rodents [60] or for other DNA bat viruses such as adenoviruses [61]. In contrary, adult females were more

frequently shedding PMV. Studies on HSV prevalence in bats are limited and report

contrasting results, with no sex difference in *D. rotundus* [14], while Sjodin et al., [62]

suggest that either males or females can be more infected, depending of the herpesvirus

strain. Male-biased infection may arise from sex-specific social behaviour like dominance

or higher aggressiveness (physical injuries, i.e. bite) [63, 64], that could enhance

transmission in males [60, 65]. Sex hormones can also strongly affect host susceptibility,

through the modulation of immunity [66]. Testosterone may suppress immune responses

in males, increasing susceptibility to pathogen infection [67]. The inclusion of hormone

and immunity measures should thus be considered in future infection studies to test this

hypothesis in bats.

At the individual level, chronic or episodic shedding from persistently infected bats

can lead to high prevalence across roosts and time [4]. However, deciphering between

the different within-host processes that may lead to such persistence can be challenging.

For HSV, in addition to an extreme high prevalence, our recapture data showed that all

initially HSV-positive bats were still shedding HSV in saliva over recaptures, supporting

indeed lifelong HSV infection in Reunion free-tailed bats. HSV are known to establish

latent infection in humans [68] and rodents [60], and the same mechanism is also

suspected in bats [69, 70]. Interestingly *in D. rotundus* infected with a betaherpesvirus

[14], the length of the active period (when shedding occurs) appears to be longer than

the latency state (when shedding resumes) [70]. The same mechanism of latency and long

phase of active shedding could explain our result, although we cannot exclude continuous

shedding from persistent infections. The recent deep sequencing of HSV-positive samples

collected over three consecutive years—including some samples analyzed in this study—

identified co-infections of Reunion free-tailed bats with multiple strains spanning three

HSV subfamilies (alpha, beta, and gamma) [71]. These findings also indicated a low

probability of strain loss over time, supporting within-host persistence. To distinguish

between within-host processes contributing to HSV persistence in Reunion free-tailed

bats, further sequencing efforts will be necessary to uncover potential subfamily- or

strain-specific dynamics [48]), which may be masked within the global HSV shedding

prevalence observed in the present study. This has, for example, been recently shown for

distinct bat-borne coronavirus and paramyxovirus lineages [2, 23, 72].

For PMV and LEPTO, our extensive dataset of recaptured bats revealed that

approximately 75% of initially positive individuals continued to shed viral RNA and

bacterial DNA in their urine over extended periods. Additionally, examples of bats

remaining positive across multiple recapture events further support the hypothesis that

persistent infections contribute to the observed temporal dynamics of PMV and LEPTO.

However, we also observed transitions from positive to negative shedding for PMV and

LEPTO, indicating that cycles of clearance followed by reinfection may occur within the

bat population, although the possibility of recrudescence from latent infections cannot

be ruled out. Persistent LEPTO infection in bats is not surprising as this bacterial infection

is often considered chronic in other reservoir hosts, bacteria forming protective biofilms

in renal tubules [73]. Experimental infections have shown that *Leptospira interrogans* can

stably colonize the kidneys of rats and mice over their lifespan, with intermittent shedding

of the bacteria that can last for at least 3 months [74, 75]. Our data showed that when

individuals were shedding LEPTO upon their first capture, the probability of stopping

shedding was low and stable over time, which is in keeping with an intermittent shedding.

On contrary, mechanisms underlying within-host PMV dynamics are still debated [4, 76].

Several field and lab evidence support that henipavirus, for example, can persist within

individual bats, with long-term shedding occurring either continuously or intermittently

through recrudescence [8, 37, 77–80]. A modelling study also suggests that latent

henipavirus infections can occur alongside occasional viral clearance, followed by

temporary immunity and reinfection [76]. This same study supports that bats are much

more likely to develop a latent infection than clearing it. Finally, it has been hypothesized

that a small portion of super-long-shedder individuals with a long infectious period could

maintain Hendra virus in bat populations [81]. Distinguishing between these different

infection processes is complex. Because we only measured the presence of viral and

bacterial nucleic acids in our study, a first step will be to assess the infectiousness of

excreted samples to finely validate the role of long-term continuous and intermittent

shedding in transmission [50]. Secondly, as we previously showed the presence of several

paramyxovirus variants in Reunion free-tailed bats, but only one for *Leptospira* [43],

further sequencing of positive samples from this study will be necessary to assess

temporal dynamics at a finer taxonomic scale, and provide key information on viral and

bacterial evolution within the bat host [4]. Together with further development of

epidemiological models [82], such genetic data will help clarify the mechanisms shaping

the observed shedding dynamics (e.g. [7, 8, 70, 76]).

In persistent infections, the reactivation process is often linked to several stressors

that may affect host immunity [83, 84]. Reproduction, by imposing immunological

challenges, is recognized as a major stressor that could lead to the reactivation of viral

and bacterial shedding [85]. Such processes are expected to be particularly pronounced

in female mammals, for whom reproduction requires large and prolonged energetic

investments [86, 87]. Although less documented, male reproduction trade-offs have also

been suggested in bats [88] and could be mediated by reduced immune function during

spermatogenesis, a period of high energy demand and elevated testosterone [89–91].

Our results suggest that pregnancy increases the probability of PMV and LEPTO shedding,

as well as the likelihood of dual and triple shedding in Reunion free-tailed bats. Moreover,

our study suggested that, during the mating period, reproductively active males had a

higher probability of shedding LEPTO (and LEPTO-HSV), as compared to non-reproductive

males. Individual recapture histories also suggest that changes in reproductive status

influence PMV and LEPTO shedding. The lack of effect for HSV is likely due to its high

prevalence, which may obscure any potential reproductive influence, as also observed in

HSV-infected *Rousettus aegyptiacus* and *Miniopterus natalensis* [92]. We thus

hypothesize that shedding could be reactivated during pregnancy and mating periods in

Reunion free-tailed bats, as reported in other bat species [10, 21, 22, 43, 92, 93]. Such a

process, besides conferring protection to newborns through the transfer of protective

maternal antibodies, could benefit the adults through a boost of immunity and could

therefore be critical in the maintenance of long-term immunity [94]. We also cannot

exclude a potential age-related effect to explain these patterns. Indeed, distinguishing

adult bats from old juveniles (> 6 months-old) in the field, based on morphology alone, was particularly challenging in our study. This difficulty may have introduced bias into our observations of increased prevalence at the end of winter and the subsequent peak during gestation (October–December). Specifically, these trends could partly reflect the progressive infection of older juveniles misclassified as adults. Similarly, during the mating period (March–April), adult male groups may include some misclassified year-old juveniles (approximately 15 months old) with lower infection rates. Finally, immigration of infected bats may also play a role in transmission during late pregnancy especially, as massive aggregations of bats occur at this time of the year with possible horizontal transmission to non-immune bats [12].

Our investigation targeting multiple simultaneous infectious agents revealed a high co-shedding of viruses and bacteria within the bat population, with 59% of bats shedding at least two infectious agents. Bats shedding one virus or bacteria had significantly more chance of shedding another one, with a strong association between viral infections in particular. This corroborates previous results suggesting positive interactions that could facilitate shedding of paramyxoviruses [2, 43] and coronaviruses [18, 25]. In the study of Davy et al. [18], the stress of fungal infection by *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* led to a reactivation of coronavirus replication in the little brown bat *Myotis lucifugus*, and co-infection even increased the severity of White Nose Syndrome, illustrating how multiple infections can interact to affect host health. Interestingly, our study also found that dual infection also increased the likelihood of shedding a third infectious agent, although combined effect of two infections on the third was lower than expected. These interactions between infectious agents could modulate host susceptibility in various ways, with both antagonistic and mutualistic effects, depending on the community of infectious agents considered [29]. In our previous work, we observed that coinfection with paramyxoviruses, coronaviruses and astroviruses was a very rare event (1% of tested samples) in Reunion free-tailed bats and no significant interactions were detected between these viruses [10]. This is probably explained by the very low prevalence of astroviruses, and the timing of juvenile coronavirus infection,

which occurs between February and April [21], when they are still low infected by PMV.

These studies illustrate how considering simultaneously multiple infectious agents,

although complex, is important to better understand drivers of infection in bats [19, 95,

96]. Disruption of balanced host-pathogen relationships due to stressors, such as habitat

loss or co-infections, may increase pathogen shedding, and enhance the likelihood of

spillovers [3]. Further research exploring the dynamics of multi-infections in the context

of increasing urbanization, particularly in species like the urban-dwelling Reunion free-

tailed bat, will help in understanding how human-mediated changes in bat ecology may

affect natural shedding dynamics and ultimately the probability of spillovers [97].

Materials and Methods

Study sites and field sampling

We monitored and sampled 17 roosts (coded with a 3-letter code) from January 2019 to

December 2020 (24 months), all over Reunion Island (Fig 1). Among the 17 studied roosts,

12 were monitored across a maximum of 15 successive "sampling periods" occurring

every 3–8 weeks, each sampling period lasting for 3–4 weeks to be able to sample

multiple roosts (Table 1) (S6 Table). An opportunistic sampling was performed for five

additional roosts, which were thus monitored only once during the studied period. To

investigate within-host dynamics, we used data from recaptured bats, and thus also

included previously collected samples from 2018 (referred to as the first capture

samples).

Bat monitoring includes bat capture, biological sampling and roost size estimation

and is fully described in Aguillon et al. [12]. Captures were carried out using harp traps

(Faunatech Ausbat) and Japanese monofilament mist nets (Ecotone) during dusk

emergence, with a maximum of 60 individuals captured per night. Bats were hydrated

immediately after the capture and kept individually in a clean individual bag hang close

to a warm water bottle. For each bat, we measured the forearm length and mass, and

determined the sex, age, and reproductive status. Age was established by examining the

epiphysis fusion in finger articulations that are not welded for juveniles which was easily

identifiable from January to July, while some older juveniles might have been classified as

adults in the following months. In females, we recorded the development of nipples as

M0 for non-visible nipples, M1 for visible nipples, M2 inflated nipples (lactating), and M3

(visible nipples with regrowth of hair, post-lactating). Active reproductive status was

reported when females were pregnant (also verified by palpation of the abdomen),

lactating and post-lactating, and in males when they had large testes (see [12] for more

details). A sterile swab (Puritan Medical Products, USA) was carefully inserted in the

corner of the lips to sample saliva and then placed in 250uL of MEM (Minimum Essential

Medium Eagle). Using a pipette and a sterile tip, urine droplets were collected in the

waterproof bag or directly at the urethral opening when handling bats, and then placed

in 50 µL of MEM. All samples were stored in a cooled box in the field before being

transferred at -80°C at the laboratory the same night. Finally, each bat was tattooed on

the right propatagium with an individual alphanumeric code [98] and released at the

capture site.

Bats were handled using personal protective equipment regularly changed and all

the equipment was disinfected between sites (more details in [12]). Bat capture and

manipulation protocols were evaluated by the ethic committee of Reunion Island (CYROI,

n°114), and approved by the Minister of Higher Education, Research and Innovation

(APAFIS#10140-2017030119531267), and conducted under a permit delivered by the

Direction de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du Logement (DEAL) of Reunion

Island (DEAL/SEB/UBIO/2018-09).

Molecular detection of infectious agents

Urine and saliva samples were processed using the Cador Pathogen 96 Qiacube HT kit

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), using 25 µL and 100 µL of samples, respectively, mixed with

175 µL and 100 µL of VXL buffer for the lysis step. Total nucleic acids were extracted using

an automated extractor Qiacube with small modifications of the Q Protocol using 350 µL

of ACB, 100 µL of AVE, and 30 µL of TopElute. A reverse transcription was then performed

on urine extracts [99], followed by the screening of paramyxoviruses and *Leptospira* bacteria, using respectively a semi-nested PCR targeting the RNA polymerase gene of Rubula-, Morbilli- and Henipa-virus genera, and a real-time PCR amplifying a fragment of the 16S rDNA gene of several pathogenic *Leptospira* species [43, 100, 101]. For paramyxoviruses, amplicons of the first PCR were diluted to 1:10, and 2 µL was then used in the semi-nested PCR.

For saliva extracts, a fragment of herpesvirus DNA polymerase was amplified using a nested PCR targeting a broad spectrum of herpesviruses (including α, β and γ sub-families). During the first PCR, 2 µL of DNA was amplified in a 25 µL mixture containing 12.5 µL of GoTaq Green Master Mix 2X (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, United States), 2.5 µL of each primer at 10 µM DFA (5'-GAYTTYGCNAGYYTNTAYCC-3') and KG1 (5'-GTCTTGCTCACCAGNTCNACNCCYTT-3') [102]. We used the following thermal conditions: 95°C for 2 min, and 45 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 46°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, and a final step at 72°C for 7 min. During the nested PCR, 5 µL of amplificon was amplified in a 25 µL mixture containing 12.5 µL of GoTaq Green Master Mix 2X (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, United States), 2.5 µL of each primer at 10 µM TGV (5'-TGTAACTCGGTGTAYGGNTTYACNGGNGT-3') and IYG (5'-CACAGAGTCCGTRTCNCCRTADAT-3') [102]. We used the following thermal conditions: 95°C for 2 min, and 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 46°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and a final step at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were revealed using electrophoresis with 2% agarose gel stained with 2% GelRed (Biotium, Fremont, USA).

Statistical analyses

We quantified the spatial (between roosts) synchrony in prevalence by calculating Pearson's cross-correlations between the time series, for seven roosts (ESA, MON, PSR, RBL, RPQ, TGI and VSP) that have complete temporal data (n=15 sampling periods). The relation between synchrony and geographical distance was then modelled using the non-parametric spatial covariance function Sncf in the *ncf* package [103], as in Paez [104]. For that, we visually represented synchrony using spline correlograms, using a bootstrap

permutation test (n = 500 iterations) to generate 95% confidence intervals for the

covariance function. Roosts sampled at least at eight different periods (n = 10) were used

to fit the non-parametric correlation function, and missing data were handled via pairwise

deletion of missing values for each pair of time series. Next, prevalence trends and

synchrony were characterized using dynamic factor analysis (DFA) within the MARSS

package [105]. Common trends were modeled by random walk, while loadings were

estimated for each roost to describe the relationship between roost-specific time series

and the common trend [106]. Time series were standardized to Z-scores (mean = 0, sd =

1) and modeled with a single common trend and an identity variance-covariance matrix.

To evaluate spatio-temporal shedding dynamics, we fit binomial generalized

additive mixed models (GAM, [107]) via restricted maximum likelihood (REML) using the

mgcv package (S1 and S3 Tables). We used penalized smoother for the temporal trend

variable and controlled that the effective degrees of freedom (EDF) were higher than 1

(non-linear effect). The number of basis functions (k) and smooth parameters (alpha)

were adjusted in each model using *gam.check* from the *mgcv* package to avoid overfitting

and check for model convergence. Temporal trends were incorporated by assigning a

numerical value to each sampling period, ranging from 1 (January-February) to 16

(November-December, S6 Table). Due to the COVID-19 crisis and the resulting lockdown

in France, we did not monitor roosts in April 2020, and do not present data at that time.

To properly account for the temporal effect, we decided to code the May-June 2020

sampling period as the middle of the notation used for March (coded as 10) and July 2020

(coded as 13, S6 Table). Final models for single, dual and triple shedding included the

sampling period as smooth function, the roost size, age and sex (and their interaction) as

linear function (S1 and S3 Tables). The significance of each modality of age/sex

interactions was tested using a Tukey's post hoc test. For single shedding models, we also

included the two other infectious agents, and their interaction, as a linear function. The

influence of sexual spatial segregation patterns in adults on the prevalence was tested

using the Sexual Segregation and Aggregation Statistic (SSAS, [108]). This statistic

compares observed aggregation and segregation patterns to a random association of

males and females among roosts. We calculated SSAS values for each sampling period, based on capture data reflecting the spatial distribution of individuals within roosts. The SSAS was included in models, as a smooth function (details in S1 and S3 Tables). We also assessed the difference in bacterial load of *Leptospira* between juveniles and adults by including age as a predictor in a GLM, using the cycle threshold as a proxy for bacterial load.

To assess the effect of reproductive status on shedding prevalence and bacterial load (using cycle thresholds as a proxy), we focused on adult bats at two specific periods of the year: firstly, in adult females during the pregnancy period (November-December) to compare pregnant and non-pregnant adult females. We used a separate GLM on a subset of data, for each sex, and included reproductive status as a linear effect (S2 and S4 Tables). During the pregnancy period, some non-pregnant females were classified as adults, based on fused epiphyses, but lacked developed nipples (M0), which could represent truly sexually mature adults that have not yet reproduced, as well as misclassified sexually immature juveniles (about 11 months-old). To avoid including these misclassified juveniles in the analysis, we ran the model both with and without the non-pregnant M0 females. For males, our focus was on adult males during the mating period (March-April), comparing reproductively active with non-reproductively active ones. It is important to note that at this time of the year, males classified as adults may also include some misclassified old juveniles (about 15 months-old) that could not be morphologically distinguished [12].

To investigate within-host shedding dynamics, we tested the probability of changing shedding status through time, by fitting a multinomial logistic regression using the *multinom* function in the *nnet* package [109] (S5 Table). Because not enough HSV-negative saliva samples were available to analyse transitions in HSV shedding status, we only modelled transitions in shedding status for PMV and LEPTO. Changing shedding status was used as a nominal dependent variable with four levels (negative to negative, negative to positive, positive to negative, and positive to positive), with the following covariates: recapture interval (in days), sex, and reproductive status transition (active to

active, non-active to active, active to non-active and non-active to non-active). Age
classes were not included in the models because of the limited number of juveniles
compared to adults (n_{JUV} = 29, n_{AD} = 497). This analysis used the non-changing shedding
status, negative to negative, as a baseline outcome against which to compare other
shedding status transitions. To better visualize the probable continuous shedding over
time, we calculated and plotted the percentage of bats remaining positive for PMV and
LEPTO across different time intervals: from < 4 months to > 16 months.

All analyses were performed in R.4.4.2 [110] using packages *dplyr*, *emmeans*,
lme4, *ggeffects*, *ggplot2*, gridExtra, MARSS, *mgcv, ncf, reshape2, synchrony,* and *vegan*.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Eco-Med Océan Indien, Biotope, the DEER of Région Réunion (Direction
de l'Exploitation et de l'Entretien des Routes), the DRT of Département Réunion
(Direction des Routes et des Transports of the Department Reunion), and the City hall of
Salazie for their help in identifying and accessing bat roosts. We are grateful to David
Wilkinson for fruitful discussions. We also thank Clara Castex, Timothée Chenin, Jérémy
Dubrulle, Marie Köster, and Guillaume Verchère for their assistance in the field.

Funding

This research was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR JCJC SEXIBAT
to MD) and by the European Regional Development Funds (ERDF PO INTERREG V ECOSPIR,
number RE6875 to PT). SA and AOGH were supported by a "Contrat Doctoral de
l'Université de La Réunion" from the Minister of Higher Education, Research and
Innovation and LJ by an "Allocation Régionale de Recherche de la Région Réunion". The
funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or
preparation of the manuscript.

Data Availability

Metadata are archived on Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14842155). A pre-print is available on bioRxiv (https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.25.600581).

References

1. Letko M, Seifert SN, Olival KJ, Plowright RK, Munster VJ. Bat-borne virus diversity, spillover and emergence. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2020; 18: 461–471.

2. Peel AJ, Wells K, Giles J, Boyd V, Burroughs A, Edson D, et al. Synchronous shedding of multiple bat paramyxoviruses coincides with peak periods of Hendra virus spillover. Emerg. microbes & infect. 2019; 8: 1314–1323.

3. Becker DJ, Eby P, Madden W, Peel AJ, Plowright RK. Ecological conditions predict the intensity of Hendra virus excretion over space and time from bat reservoir hosts. Ecol. Lett. 2023; 26: 23–36.

4. Plowright RK, Peel AJ, Streicker DG, Gilbert AT, McCallum H, Wood J, et al. Transmission or within-host dynamics driving pulses of zoonotic viruses in reservoir–host populations. PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2016; 10.

5. Sánchez CA, Phelps KL, Frank HK, Geldenhuys M, Griffiths ME, Jones DN,et al. Advances in understanding bat infection dynamics across biological scales. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 2024; 291: 20232823.

6. Becker DJ, Crowley DE, Washburne AD, Plowright RK. Temporal and spatial limitations in global surveillance for bat filoviruses and henipaviruses. Biol. Lett. 2019; 15: 20190423.

7. Brook CE, Ranaivoson HC, Broder CC, Cunningham AA, Héraud J-M, Peel AJ, et al. Disentangling serology to elucidate henipa- and filovirus transmission in Madagascar fruit bats. J. Anim. Ecol. 2019; 88: 1001-1016.

8. Epstein JH, Anthony SJ, Islam A, A. Marm Kilpatrick A, Ali Khan S, Balkey MD, et al. Nipah virus dynamics in bats and implications for spillover to humans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2020; 117: 29190–29201.

9. Cappelle J, Furey N, Hoem T, Putita Ou T, Lim T, Hul V, et al. Longitudinal monitoring in Cambodia suggests higher circulation of alpha and betacoronaviruses in juvenile and immature bats of three species. Sci. Rep. 2021; 11: 24145.

10. Hoarau AOG, Köster M, Dietrich M, Le Minter G, Joffrin L, Ramanantsalama RV et al. Synchronicity of viral shedding in molossid bat maternity colonies. Epidemiol. Infect. 2023; 151: e47.

700   11.   Calisher CH, Childs JE, Field HE, Holmes KV, Schountz T. Bats: Important reservoir hosts of
701   emerging viruses. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2006; 19: 531–545.

702   12.   Aguillon S, Le Minter G, Lebarbenchon C, Hoarau AOG, Toty C, Joffrin L, et al. A
703   population in perpetual motion: Highly dynamic roosting behavior of a tropical island
704   endemic bat. Ecol. Evol. 2023; 13: e9814.

705   13.   Delpietro HA, Russo RG, Carter GG, Lord RD, Delpietro GL. Reproductive seasonality, sex
706   ratio and philopatry in Argentina's common vampire bats. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2017; 4:
707   160959.

708   14.   Griffiths ME, Bergner LM, Broos A, Meza DK, da Silva Filipe A, Davison A, et al.
709   Epidemiology and biology of a herpesvirus in rabies endemic vampire bat populations.
710   Nat. Commun. 2020; 11: 5951.

711   15.   Meza DK, Mollentze N, Broos A, Tello C, Valderrama W, Recuenco S, et al. Ecological
712   determinants of rabies virus dynamics in vampire bats and spillover to livestock. Proc. R.
713   Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 2022; 289: 20220860.

714   16.   Anthony SJ, Epstein JH, Murray KA, Navarrete-Macias I, Zambrana-Torrelio CM, Solovyov
715   A, et al. A strategy to estimate unknown viral diversity in mammals. MBio. 2013; 4:
716   e00598-13.

717   17.   Baker KS, K. S. Baker, Todd S, Marsh G, Fernandez-Loras A, Suu-Ire R, Wood JLN, et al. Co-
718   circulation of diverse paramyxoviruses in an urban African fruit bat population. J. Gen.
719   Virol. 2012; 93: 850–856.

720   18.   Davy CM, Donaldson ME, Subudhi S, Rapin N, Warnecke L, Turner JM, et al. White-nose
721   syndrome is associated with increased replication of a naturally persisting coronaviruses
722   in bats. Sci. Rep. 2018; 8: 15508.

723   19.   Hoarau AOG, Mavingui P, Lebarbenchon C. Coinfections in wildlife: Focus on a neglected
724   aspect of infectious disease epidemiology. PLOS Pathog. 2020; 16: e1008790.

725   20.   Wang J, Pan Y-F, Yang L-F, Yang W-H, Lv K, Luo C-M, et al. Individual bat virome analysis
726   reveals co-infection and spillover among bats and virus zoonotic potential. Nat. Commun.
727   2023; 14: 4079.

728   21.   Joffrin L, Hoarau AOG, Lagadec E, Torrontegi O, Köster M, Le Minter G, et al. Seasonality
729   of coronavirus shedding in tropical bats. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2022; 9: 211600.

730   22.   Mortlock M, Dietrich M, Weyer J, Paweska JT, Markotter W. Co-circulation and excretion
731   dynamics of diverse rubula-and related viruses in Egyptian rousette bats from South
732   Africa. Viruses. 2019; 11: 37.

733   23.   Geldenhuys M, Ross N, Dietrich M, de Vries JL, Mortlock M, Epstein JH et al. Viral
734   maintenance and excretion dynamics of coronaviruses within an Egyptian rousette fruit
735   bat maternal colony: considerations for spillover. Sci. Rep. 2023; 13: 15829.

24. Lane JK, Negash Y, Randhawa N, Kebede N, Wells H, Ayalew G et al. Coronavirus and
paramyxovirus shedding by bats in a cave and buildings in Ethiopia. EcoHealth. 2022; 19:
216–232.

25. Seltmann A, Corman VM, Rasche A, Drosten C, Á Czirják G, Bernard H, et al. Seasonal
fluctuations of astrovirus, but not coronavirus shedding in bats inhabiting human-
modified tropical forests. EcoHealth. 2017; 14: 272–284.

26. Pauly M, Pir JB, Loesch C, Sausy A, Snoeck CJ, Hübschen JM, Muller CP. Novel
alphacoronaviruses cocirculate with type 1 and severe acute respiratory system (SARS)-
related betacoronaviruses in synanthropic bats of Luxembourg. AEM. 2017; 83: e01326-
17

27. Petney TN, Andrews RH/ Multiparasite communities in animals and humans: frequency,
structure and pathogenic significance. Int. J. Parasitol. 1998; 28: 377–393.

28. Graham AL. Ecological rules governing helminth-microparasite coinfection. PNAS. 2008;
105: 566-570.

29. Telfer S, Lambin X, Birtles R, Beldomenico P, Burthe S, Paterson S, et al. Species
interactions in a parasite community drive infection risk in a wildlife population. Science.
2010; 330: 243–246.

30. Warren BH, Simberloff D, Ricklefs RE, Aguilée R, Condamine FL, Gravel D, et al. Islands as
model systems in ecology and evolution: prospects fifty years after MacArthur-Wilson.
Ecol. Lett. 2015; 18: 200–217.

31. Emerson BC. Evolution on oceanic islands: molecular phylogenetic approaches to
understanding pattern and process. Mol. Ecol. 2002; 11: 951–966.

32. Jean K, Burnside WR, Carlson L, Smith K, Guégan J. An equilibrium theory signature in the
island biogeography of human parasites and pathogens. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 2016; 25:
107–116.

33. Tortosa P, Pascalis H, Guernier V, Cardinale E, Le Corre M, Goodman SM, et al.
Deciphering arboviral emergence within insular ecosystems. Infect. Genet. Evol. 2012; 12:
1333–1339.

34. Kier G, Kreft H, Ming Lee T, Jetz W, Ibisch PL, Nowicki C et al. A global assessment of
endemism and species richness across island and mainland regions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
2009; 106: 9322–9327.

35. Conenna I, Rocha R, Russo D, Cabeza M. Insular bats and research effort: A review of
global patterns and priorities. Mam. Rev. 2017; 47: 169–182.

36. Plowright RK, Becker DJ, McCallum H, Manlove KR. Sampling to elucidate the dynamics of
infections in reservoir hosts. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. 2019; 374: 20180336.

37. Peel AJ, Baker KS, Hayman DTS, Broder CC, Cunningham AA, Fooks AR, et al. Support for
viral persistence in bats from age-specific serology and models of maternal immunity. Sci.
Rep. 2018; 8: 3859.

38. Betke M, Hirsh DE, Makris NC, McCracken GF, Procopio M, Hristov NI, et al. Thermal
imaging reveals significantly smaller Brazilian free-tailed bat colonies than previously
estimated. J Mammal. 2008; 89: 18–24.

39. Mcfarlane D, Rentergem G, Ruina A, Lundberg J, Christenson K. Estimating colony size of
the Wrinkle-lipped bat, *Chaerephon plicatus* (Chiroptera: Molossidae) at Gomantong,
Sabah, by quantitative image analysis. Acta Chiropt. 2015; 17: 171–177.

40. Goodman SM, van Vuuren BJ, Ratrimomanarivo F, Probst J-M, Bowie RCK. Specific status
of populations in the Mascarene Islands referred to *Mormopterus acetabulosus*
(Chiroptera: Molossidae), with description of a new Species. J. Mammal. 2008 ; 89: 1316–
1327.

41. Cadet T. Données récentes sur l'origine, l'âge et la structure géologique de l'île de la
Réunion. *Académie de l'île de la réunion Bulletin 1969-1978.* 1980; 73–87.

42. Lagabrielle E, Rouget M, Payet K, Wistebaar N, Durieux L, Baret S et al. Identifying and
mapping biodiversity processes for conservation planning in islands: A case study in
Réunion Island (Western Indian Ocean). Biol. Conserv. 2009; 142: 1523–1535.

43. Dietrich M, Wilkinson DA, Benlali A, Lagadec E, Ramasindrazana B, Dellagi K, et al.
Leptospira and paramyxovirus infection dynamics in a bat maternity enlightens pathogen
maintenance in wildlife. Environ. Microbiol. 2015; 17: 4280–4289.

44. Aguillon S, Castex C, Duchet A, Turpin M, Le Minter G, Lebarbenchon C, et al. Landing on
a small tropical island: wide in-situ diversification of an urban-dwelling bat. Glob. Ecol.
Conserv. 2024; 53: e03030.

45. Joffrin L, Hoarau AOG, Lagadec E, Köster M, Ramanantsalama RV, Mavingui P et al.
Astrovirus in Reunion free-tailed Bat (*Mormopterus francoismoutoui*). Viruses. 2021; 13:
1524.

46. Robardet E, Borel C, Moinet M, Jouan D, Wasniewski M, Barrat J, et al. Longitudinal
survey of two serotine bat (*Eptesicus* serotinus) maternity colonies exposed to EBLV-1
(European Bat Lyssavirus type 1): Assessment of survival and serological status variations
using capture-recapture models. PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2017; 11: e0006048.

47. Moreira Marrero L, Botto Nuñez G, Malta L, Delfraro A, Frabasile S. Ecological and
conservation significance of herpesvirus infection in neotropical bats. EcoHealth. 2021;
18: 123–133.

48. Griffiths ME, Broos A, Bergner LM, Meza DK, Suarez NM, da Silva Filipe A, et al.
Longitudinal deep sequencing informs vector selection and future deployment strategies
for transmissible vaccines. PLOS Biol. 2022; 20: e3001580.

49.   Héré L, Probst J-M, Lequette B. Contribution à l'étude des chiroptères de l'île de La
809   Réunion. Répartition et habitats prioritaires en matière de conservation. Université de La
810   Réunion. Rapport de stage de Master. 2009.

811   50.   Plowright RK, Eby P, Hudson PJ, Smith IL, Westcott D, Bryden WL et al. Ecological
812   dynamics of emerging bat virus spillover. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci. 2015; 282: 20142124.

813   51.   Serra-Cobo J, López-Roig M, Seguí M, Pilar Sánchez L, Nadal J, Borrás M, et al. Ecological
814   factors associated with European bat lyssavirus seroprevalence in Spanish bats. PLOS
815   ONE. 2013; 8: e64467.

816   52.   Streicker DG, Recuenco S, Valderrama W, Gomez Benavides J, Vargas I, Pacheco V, et al.
817   Ecological and anthropogenic drivers of rabies exposure in vampire bats: implications for
818   transmission and control. Proc. Biol. Sci. 2012; 279: 3384–3392.

819   53.   Laughlin AJ, Hall RJ, Taylor CM. Ecological determinants of pathogen transmission in
820   communally roosting species. Theor. Ecol. 2019; 12: 225–235.

821   54.   Baker KS, Suu-Ire R, Barr J, Hayman DTS, Broder CC, Horton DL, et al. Viral antibody
822   dynamics in a chiropteran host. J. Anim. Ecol. 2014; 83: 415–428.

823   55.   Epstein JH, Baker ML, Zambrana-Torrelio C, Middleton D, Barr JA, Dubovi E, et al.
824   Duration of maternal antibodies against Canine distemper virus and Hendra virus in
825   Pteropid Bats. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8: e67584.

826   56.   Storm N, Jansen van Vuren P, Markotter W, Paweska JT. Antibody responses to Marburg
827   virus in Egyptian rousette bats and their role in protection against infection. Viruses.
828   2018; 10: 73.

829   57.   Juman MM, Gibson L, Suu-Ire RD, Languon S, Quaye O, Fleischer G, et al. Ecological and
830   reproductive cycles drive Henipavirus seroprevalence in the African straw-coloured fruit
831   bat (*Eidolon* helvum). Ecol. Evol. 2024; 14: e70555.

832   58.   Guerra-Silveira F, Abad-Franch F. Sex bias in infectious disease epidemiology: Patterns
833   and processes. PLOS ONE. 2013; 8: e62390.

834   59.   Zuk M. The sicker sex. PLOS Pathog. 2009; 5: e1000267.

835   60.   Erazo D, Pedersen AB, Gallagher K, Fenton A. Who acquires infection from whom?
836   Estimating herpesvirus transmission rates between wild rodent host groups. Epidemics.
837   2021; 35: 100451.

838   61.   Rossetto F, Iglesias-Caballero M, Liedtke HC, Gomez-Mestre I, Berciano JM, Pérez-Suárez
839   G,et al. Mating strategy is determinant of adenovirus prevalence in European bats. PLOS
840   ONE. 2020; 15: e0226203.

841   62.   Sjodin AR, Willig MR, Anthony SJ. Quantitative Delineation of Herpesviruses in Bats for
842   use in Ecological Studies. Ecology. 2019.

63. Ancillotto L, Russo D. Selective aggressiveness in European free-tailed bats (*Tadarida*
teniotis): influence of familiarity, age and sex. Naturwissenschaften. 2014; 101: 221–228.

64. Ortega J, Guerrero JA, Maldonado JE. Aggression and tolerance by dominant males of
Artibeus jamaicensis: strategies to maximize fitness in harem groups. J. Mammal. 2008;
89: 1372–1378.

65. Becker DJ, Bergner LM, Bentz AB, Orton RJ, Altizer S, Streicker DG. Genetic diversity,
infection prevalence, and possible transmission routes of Bartonella spp. in vampire bats.
PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2018; 12: e0006786.

66. Foo YZ, Nakagawa S, Rhodes G, Simmons LW. The effects of sex hormones on immune
function: a meta-analysis. Biol. Rev. 2017; 92: 551–571.

67. Klein SL. Hormonal and immunological mechanisms mediating sex differences in parasite
infection. Parasite Immunol. 2004; 26: 247–264.

68. Cohen JI. Herpesvirus latency. J. Clin. Invest. 2020; 130: 3361–3369.

69. Gerow CM, Rapin N, Voordouw MJ, Elliot M, Misra V, Subudhi S. Arousal from
hibernation and reactivation of *Eptesicus fuscus* gammaherpesvirus (*Ef* HV) in big brown
bats. Transbound Emerg. Dis. 2018; 66: 1054–1062.

70. Griffiths ME, Meza DK, Haydon DT, Streicker DG. Inferring the disruption of rabies
circulation in vampire bat populations using a betaherpesvirus-vectored transmissible
vaccine. Proc. Natl. Ac. Sci. 2023; 120: e2216667120.

71. Aguillon S, Turpin M, Le Minter G, Lebarbenchon C, Hoarau AOG, Mavingui P, Dietrich M.
Bat things come in threes: within-host dynamics of herpesvirus triple infection in bats.
bioRxiv 2025.02.05.636680.

72. Mortlock M, Geldenhuys M, Dietrich M, Epstein JH, Weyer J, Paweska JT, Markotter W.
Seasonal shedding patterns of diverse henipavirus-related paramyxoviruses in Egyptian
rousette bats. Sci. Rep. 2021; 11 : 24262.

73. Almeida DS, Nogueira Paz L, Santos de Oliveira D, Nascimento Silva D, Ristow P, Hamond
C, et al. Investigation of chronic infection by Leptospira spp. in asymptomatic sheep
slaughtered in slaughterhouse. PLOS ONE. 2019; 14: e0217391.

74. Cordonin C, Turpin M, Bringart M, Bascands J-L, Flores O, Dellagi K, et al. Pathogenic
Leptospira and their animal reservoirs: testing host specificity through experimental
infection. Sci. Rep. 2020; 10: 7239.

75. Ratet G, Veyrier FJ, Fanton d'Andon M, Kammerscheit X, Nicola M-A, Picardeau M, et al.
Live imaging of bioluminescent *Leptospira interrogans* in mice reveals renal colonization
as a stealth escape from the blood defenses and antibiotics. PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis. 2014;
8: e3359.

76. Glennon EE, Becker DJ, Peel AJ, Garnier R, Suu-Ire RD, Gibson L, et al. What is stirring in
the reservoir? Modelling mechanisms of henipavirus circulation in fruit bat hosts. Phil.
Trans. R. Soc. B. 2019; 374: 20190021.

77. Gibson L, Puig Ribas M, Kemp J, Restif O, Suu-Ire RD, Wood JLN, et al. Persistence of
multiple paramyxoviruses in a closed captive colony of fruit bats (*Eidolon helvum*).
Viruses. 2021; 13: 1659.

78. Peel AJ, Baker KS, Crameri G, Barr JA, Hayman DTS, Wright E, et al. Henipavirus
neutralising antibodies in an isolated island population of African fruit bats. PLOS ONE.
2012; 7: e30346.

79. Rahman SA, Hassan SS, Olival KJ, Mohamed M, Chang L-Y, Hassan L, et al.
Characterization of Nipah virus from naturally infected *Pteropus vampyrus* Bats,
Malaysia. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2010; 16: 1990–1993.

80. Sohayati AR, Hassan L, Sharifah SH, Lazarus K, Zaini CM, Epstein JH, et al. Evidence for
Nipah virus recrudescence and serological patterns of captive *Pteropus vampyrus*.
Epidemiol. Infect. 2011; 139: 1570–1579.

81. Plowright RK, Foley P, Field HE, Dobson AP, Foley JE, Eby P, et al. Urban habituation,
ecological connectivity and epidemic dampening: the emergence of Hendra virus from
flying foxes (Pteropus spp.). Proc. Biol. Sci. 2011; 278: 3703–3712.

82. Gentles AD, Guth S, Rozins S, Brook CE. A review of mechanistic models of viral dynamics
in bat reservoirs for zoonotic disease. Pathog. Glob. Health. 2020; 114: 407-425.

83. Becker DJ, Ketterson ED, Hall RJ. Reactivation of latent infections with migration shapes
population-level disease dynamics. Proc. R. Soc. B. 2020; 287: 20201829.

84. Grinde B. Herpesviruses: latency and reactivation – viral strategies and host response. J.
Oral. Microbiol. 2013; 5: 10.3402/jom.v5i0.22766.

85. Negrey JD, Thompson ME, Dunn CD, Otali E, Wrangham RW, Mitani JC, et al. Female
reproduction and viral infection in a long-lived mammal. J. Anim. Ecol. 2022; 91: 1999–
2009.

86. Butte NF, Wong WW, Treuth MS, Ellis KJ, O'Brian Smith E. Energy requirements during
pregnancy based on total energy expenditure and energy deposition. Am. J. Clin. Nutr.
2004; 79: 1078–1087.

87. Speakman JR. The physiological costs of reproduction in small mammals. Philos. Trans. R.
Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 2008; 363: 375–398.

88. Plowright RK, Field HE, Smith C, Divljan A, Palmer C, Tabor G, et al. Reproduction and
nutritional stress are risk factors for Hendra virus infection in little red flying foxes
(*Pteropus scapulatus*). Proc. Biol. Sci. 2008; 275: 861–869.

89.   Encarnação JA, Dietz M, Kierdorf U. Reproductive condition and activity pattern of male
Daubenton's bats (*Myotis daubentonii*) in the summer habitat. Mamm. Biol. 2004; 69:
163–172.

90.   Martin L, Bernard RT. Endocrine regulation of reproduction in bats: the role of circulating
gonadal hormones. In *Reproductive Biology of Bats*, (Elsevier) 2000; pp. 27–64.

91.   Ruoss S, Becker NI, Otto MS, Czirják GA, Encarnação JA. Effect of sex and reproductive
status on the immunity of the temperate bat *Myotis daubentonii*. Mamm. Biol. 2019; 94:
120–126.

92.   Dietrich M, Kearney T, Seamark ECJ, Paweska JT, Markotter W. Synchronized shift of oral,
faecal and urinary microbiotas in bats and natural infection dynamics during seasonal
reproduction. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2018; 5: 180041.

93.   Drexler JF, Corman VM, Wegner T, Tateno AF, Zerbinati RM, Gloza-Rausch F et al.
Amplification of Emerging Viruses in a Bat Colony. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 2011; 17: 449–456.

94.   Mourad M, Gershon M, Mehta SK, Crucian BE, Hubbard N, Zhang J, et al. Silent
Reactivation of Varicella zoster virus in pregnancy: Implications for maintenance of
immunity to varicella. Viruses. 2022; 14: 1438.

95.   Alizon S. Co-infection and super-infection models in evolutionary epidemiology. Interface
Focus. 2013; 3: 20130031.

96.   Habig B, Chowdhury S, Monfort SL, Brown JL, Swedell L, Foersterh S. Predictors of
helminth parasite infection in female chacma baboons (*Papio ursinus*). Int. J. Parasitol.
Parasites Wildl. 2021; 14: 308–320.

97.   Eby P, Peel AJ, Hoegh A, Madden W, Giles JR, Hudson PJ, et al. Pathogen spillover driven
by rapid changes in bat ecology. Nature. 2022; 613: 340–344.

98.   Markotter W, Vries LD, Paweska J. Wing tattoos: A cost-effective and long-lasting method
for marking bats. Acta Chiropt. 2023; 25: 193–202.

99.   Lebarbenchon C, Ramasindrazana B, Joffrin L, Bos S, Lagadec E, Le Minter G, et al.
Astroviruses in bats, Madagascar. Emerg. microbes & infect. 2017; 6: 1–3.

100.   Smythe LD, Smith IL, Smith GA, Dohnt MF, Symonds ML, Barnett LJ et al. A quantitative
PCR (TaqMan) assay for pathogenic Leptospira spp. BMC Infect. Dis. 2002; 2: 13.

101.   Tong S, Chern S-WW, Li Y, Pallansch MA, Anderson LJ. Sensitive and broadly reactive
reverse transcription-PCR assays to detect novel paramyxoviruses. J. Clin. Microbiol.
2008; 46: 2652–2658.

102.   VanDevanter DR, Warrener P, Bennett L, Schultz ER, Coulter S, Garber RL, et al. Detection
and analysis of diverse herpesviral species by consensus primer PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol.
1996; 34: 1666–1671.

103.   Bjørnstad, ON. *Ncf: Spatial nonparametric covariance functions. R package version 1.1-3.*
949   *2009.*

950   104.   Paez A. Grey literature: An important resource in systematic reviews. J. Evid. Based. Med.
951   2017; 10: 233-240.

952   105.   Holmes EE, Ward EJ, Wills K. MARSS: Multivariate autoregressive state-space models for
953   analyzing time-series data. The R Journal. 2012: 11-19.

954   106.   Zuur AF, Tuck ID, Bailey N. Dynamic factor analysis to estimate common trends in
955   fisheries time series. Cam. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 2003; 60: 542-552.

956   107.   Wood SN, *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R* (CRC press). 2017.

957   108.   Bonenfant C, Gaillard J-M, Dray S, Loison A, Royer M, Chessel D. Testing sexual
958   segregation and aggregation: old ways are best. Ecology. 2007; 88: 3202–3208.

959   109.   Streicker DG, Fallas González SL, Luconi G, Barrientos RG, Leon B. Phylodynamics reveals
960   extinction–recolonization dynamics underpin apparently endemic vampire bat rabies in
961   Costa Rica. Proc. R. Soc. B. 2019; 286: 20191527.

962   110.   RStudio Team*. RStudio: integrated development for R*. Rstudio Team, PBC, Boston, MA.
963   2020.

964   111.   QGIS Development Team, QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial
965   Foundation Project. (2022). Available at: http://qgis.osgeo.org [Accessed 27 July 2023].

Figures

Fig 1. Widespread triple shedding in *Mormopterus francoismoutoui.* (A) Location of Reunion Island and (B) the studied roosts. (C) A picture of juvenile bats aggregated in the maternity roost (TBA). In (B) studied roosts are represented by grey circles on Reunion Island map and prevalence (color section of pie charts) is represented for paramyxoviruses (in green), *Leptospira* bacteria (in red) and herpesviruses (in blue). A cross denotes the absence of prevalence data (no tested samples). Pie diameter corresponds to four categories of roost size: small < 500 individuals, medium: 500-2000 individuals, high: 2000-6000 individuals, and exceptional > 100,000 individuals. Accurate roost size estimation was not being performed for EGI and PSR roosts, but they are represented as medium roosts because several hundred/thousands are expected. Maternity roosts are underlined in pink. Roosts that were monitored only once are depicted by an asterisk. Maps were created using Qgis [111] with a background of OpenStreetMap France under License CC- BY- SA 2.0. This figure was inspired by Streicker et al. 2012 [52].

Fig 2. Synchrony of prevalence across roosts for paramyxoviruses (PMV), *Leptospira*

bacteria (LEPTO) and herpesviruses (HSV) in *M. francoismoutoui*. (A) Pairwise Pearson's

correlation coefficients are depicted in relation to geographical distance between roosts.

Coefficients were not calculated for TBA, RES, and STM roosts because of missing data

during winter (these roosts were empty at this time). The spline correlogram (thick

colored line) and 95% confidence interval (dotted colored lines) are shown. The mean

estimated synchrony is represented by the black dotted line. Positive correlation

coefficients that exceed the spline's confidence interval are highlighted with colored

circles and are represented on the map by connecting lines between roosts. (B) Roost-

specific raw prevalence across time (thick line) and 95% confidence interval (colored

band). (C) Estimated common prevalence trend (thick line) across time and confidence

interval (dotted lines). Loadings describing the relationships between roosts and the

common trend are shown on the right. Three-letter code refers to roost name as in Table

1. Maps were created using Qgis [111] with a background of <u>OpenStreetMap</u> <u>France</u> under

License CC- BY- SA 2.0.

Fig 3. Age and reproduction periods drive paramyxovirus (PMV), *Leptospira* bacteria (LEPTO) and herpesvirus (HSV) shedding dynamics in *M. francoismoutoui.* (A-C) The observed prevalence (raw data) is represented by solid lines, with by 95% confidence intervals shown as shaded areas. Predicted prevalence and binomial sampling errors (model output) are depicted by dotted lines. Pink and purple blocks at the bottom represent the parturition and the mating periods, respectively. Austral summer and winter are indicated in yellow and blue, respectively. (D-F) Predicted prevalence (and binomial sampling errors) in adults according to their sex and reproductive status (active or not). Significant effects of GAMs (S1 and S3 Tables) are indicated: *** $P < 0.001$ and ** $P < 0.01$.

1016

1017 **Fig 4. Shedding probability increases with dual and triple infections in _M._**

1018 **_francoismoutoui_.** Prevalence estimates were derived from the predicted probabilities

1019 generated by GAMs M1-M3. Shedding status is coded with 0 for non-shedding bats and 1

1020 for bats shedding infectious agent(s). Black bars indicate the 95% confidence intervals.

1021

Fig 5. Shedding histories in recaptured *M. francoismoutoui* bats. (A) Percentage of bats remaining *Leptospira* and paramyxovirus positive through time. (B-C) Probability of the four categories of shedding status transitions over time, for (B) *Leptospira* and (C) paramyxovirus. Predicted values (output of the multinomial models) and 95% confidence intervals are depicted with colored lines and shaded areas. Raw proportions (and 95% CI) are represented with grey circles and bars for each interval of 100 days. (D) Proportion of recaptured bats according to changes in shedding status and reproductive status. Shedding status is coded with 0 for non-shedding and 1 for shedding bats. Reproductive status is coded with 0 for non-active and 1 for active bats.