Role of individual and population heterogeneity in shaping dynamics of

multi-pathogen excretion in an island endemic bat

Samantha Aguillon[1*], Magali Turpin[1], Gildas Le Minter[1], Camille Lebarbenchon[1], Axel O.

G. Hoarau[1], Céline Toty[1], Avril Duchet[1], Léa Joffrin[1#], Riana V. Ramanantsalama[1$], Pablo

Tortosa[1], Patrick Mavingui[1] & Muriel Dietrich[1*]

[1]UMR PIMIT (Processus Infectieux en Milieu Insulaire Tropical), Université de la Réunion

/ INSERM1187 / CNRS9192 / IRD249, 97490 Sainte-Clotilde, Reunion Island

[#]Current address: Evolutionnary Ecology Group, Department of Biology, University of

Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium

[$]Current address: Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology Unit, German Primate Center,

Kellnerweg 4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

*Samantha Aguillon - UMR PIMIT, Sainte-Clotilde, Reunion Island.

Email: samantha.aguillon@univ-reunion.fr

*Muriel Dietrich - UMR PIMIT, Sainte-Clotilde, Reunion Island.

Email: muriel.dietrich@ird.fr

Author Contributions:

S.A. and M.D. designed research. S.A., M.T., G.L.M., C.L., A.O.H., C.T., A.D., L.J., R.V.R.,

P.T., and M.D. performed data samplings. S.A., M.T. and A.D. performed laboratory

works. S.A. and M.D. analyzed data. S.A. and M.D. led the writing, with final approval

from all co-authors. P.M. and M.D. supervised work and led the project administration.

Competing Interest Statement:

We have no competing interests to declare.

Classification

Biological Sciences – Population Biology

Keywords

bats; tropical island; co-excretion dynamics; ecological factors; persistent infection

Short title

Drivers of co-excretion dynamics in bats

This PDF file includes:

Main Text

Figures 1 to 4

Table 1

Abstract

Understanding processes driving pathogen transmission in bats is critical to prevent spillovers and emergence events. However, it requires fine-scale ecological investigations, which are rare because of the complexity and heterogeneity of bat populations. Here, based on the monitoring of 5,949 Reunion free-tailed bats (*Mormopterus francoismoutoui*) in 17 roosts over 24 months, we studied the simultaneous excretion of paramyxovirus and *Leptospira* bacteria in urine, and herpesvirus in saliva. We analysed the influence of individual and roost-level factors on co-excretion dynamics. We evidenced all year long excretion with high prevalence (37% - 87%), with low levels of temporal synchrony among roosts and no signal of density-dependent transmission. Recapture data support persistent infections for all infectious agents, alongside with clearance followed by reinfection for paramyxovirus and *Leptospira*. Our results suggest that sex-specific social behaviour or physiological adaptations drive herpesvirus excretion, while paramyxovirus and *Leptospira* excretion reactivate during reproduction. Our data also suggest the protection of juveniles by maternal antibodies, but different waning dynamics, much shorter for HSV infection, that could lead to a pathogen-dependent role of juveniles in the spread of infection. Interestingly, the excretion of one infectious agent was positively correlated to the excretion of a second one. However, the probability of excreting a third one was reduced for individuals already bi-excreting, suggesting negative interactions for cumulative infections. Connecting such spatio-temporal data with ecosystem alterations in Reunion Island could further contribute to our understanding of the links between human-mediated changes in the ecology of bats and infectious diseases emergence processes.

Significance Statement

Understanding risks of bat-borne pathogen spillover is challenging because of the
difficulty in studying excretion dynamics in wild bat populations. Here, we used an original
island-endemic model to build up a fine-scale spatio-temporal analysis of the excretion of
two viruses (paramyxovirus and herpesvirus) and a bacterium (*Leptospira*) at both
population- (roost) and individual- (through recaptured bats) levels. Excretion patterns
are specific to each infectious agent and driven by the age and the reproduction of both
females and males. Results also suggest that a combination of viral persistence and
clearance, as well as interactions between infectious agents, are important within-host
processes that contribute to the transmission of infections in bat populations. This
information is crucial to better predict shedding pulses and prevent potential future
spillovers.

Main Text

Introduction

Recent human outbreaks, such as those caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, have highlighted the importance of understanding processes driving the transmission of infectious agents within bat populations (1). Indeed, a direct link between infection dynamics in reservoir bats and zoonotic spillovers has been pointed out for several viral human diseases (2–4). Thus, identifying the ecological drivers of pathogen transmission within bat populations, at both within- and between-host levels, is a key element for predicting spillover events (5, 6). Unfortunately, ecological studies investigating infection dynamics in bats often lack of robust spatio-temporal datasets (7). This gap mainly results from logistical constraints due to the elusive and roaming nature of bats that make these animals difficult to study in long-term periods, and limits the breadth of data acquisition. Moreover, as gregarious mammals, bats often display metapopulation dynamics (8). Such spatio-temporal heterogeneity is characterized by periodically interacting spatial discrete patches, with various sex and age-structure, roost size and function (9, 10), which adds complexity to disentangle individual and populational drivers of infection dynamics in bats (11–13).

With molecular tools becoming increasingly accessible for the study of infectious agents, it is now recognized that co-infections are common in bats (14–18). However, most of the previous longitudinal studies on bats have focused on a single infectious agent, or different variants within the same viral family (e.g. 19–22). Thus, our knowledge on spatio-temporal co-infection dynamics with different groups of infectious agents (DNA/RNA viruses, bacteria, fungi) remains limited (23–25). Theory predicts that co-infections can have major consequences on within-host infection dynamics because of potential interactions that might be antagonistic or mutualistic (17, 26). For example, the infection of field voles with the bacterium *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* increased by five times the susceptibility to cowpox virus (27). Examining the spatio-temporal patterns of simultaneous excretion of infectious agents within bat roosts (co-circulation) and

individual hosts (co-infection) is likely to provide much-needed further insights into

underlying processes driving infection dynamics (21).

Island are widely considered as relevant model systems in ecology and

evolutionary biology studies (28, 29), including those focusing on emerging diseases (e.g.

(30, 31). Islands are well-known ecosystems hosting limited species number and high

levels of endemism (32). Due to their ability to flight over water, bats are often the only

native mammals in remote oceanic islands and among the 1,456 recognized bat species,

60% live in island and 25% are even endemic (33). The clear boundaries and often reduced

surface of islands can help sample island endemic bat populations at a fine scale, which is

crucial to make reliable inferences about spatial and temporal infection dynamics (34).

Moreover, the reduced number of bat species on islands may also simplify ecological

interactions, by minimizing interspecies contacts, and by sampling monospecific bat

roosts, which can contribute to a more in-depth understanding of infection dynamics at

the population level. Due to the reduced species richness typical of small territories,

insular ecosystems may also lack predators or competitors and offer empty ecological

niches, which can favor the spread of island endemic bats and the expansion of their

population size. This is especially true for bat families with highly gregarious behavior such

as free-tailed bats (Molossidae), for which roosts can host hundreds of thousands of

individuals (35, 36). High bat densities favor the sampling of large numbers of individuals,

a prerequisite for epidemiological studies. Island endemic bats, with such an abundant,

simplified, and well-defined system, represent a rare opportunity to collect extensive

spatio-temporal data to capture a comprehensive picture of subtle mechanisms

underlying infection dynamics in bats (37).

The Reunion free-tailed bat (*Mormopterus francoismoutoui*; 38) is a small tropical

insectivorous bat endemic to Reunion Island. This volcanic territory is located in the

southwestern Indian Ocean and has emerged about 3 million years ago (39). Although

small in size (2,512 km²), Reunion Island is shaped by a mountainous landscape and has

undergone significant landscape changes following 350 years of human colonization

associated with intensive urbanization and agriculture (40). Reunion free-tailed bats are

broadly distributed on the island and monospecific roosts occur in a diversity of natural

habitats, such as caves and cliffs. These mollosid bats have also adapted to urbanization

and use numerous anthropogenic roosts such as buildings and bridges, hosting from a

few hundred to more than 100,000 individuals (9, 38). A recent longitudinal monitoring

of several roosts revealed highly dynamic roosting behaviors, with roosts of various sizes,

sex-ratio, and functions (female-biased maternities, mixed colonies or male-biased

roosts) (9). Specifically, large female aggregations (up to 50,000 pregnant females) are

observed synchronously every year in a few roosts, before parturition in austral summer

(December), which coincides with a female-biased sex-ratio and a spatial sexual

segregation during this period of the year (9, 41). Moreover, the end of austral summer

(May) is characterized by a decrease in roost size and a change in sex-ratio in the studied

roosts (from female- to male-biased), suggesting important seasonal sex-specific

movements within the island, which is corroborated by the absence of spatial genetic

structure in this metapopulation (42).

Reunion free-tailed bats are natural hosts for several infectious agents (19, 23,

43), including paramyxovirus and *Leptospira* bacteria (41). Previous non-invasive

sampling of bat faeces and urine underneath roosts highlights that shedding pulses

occurred during the austral summer, followed by a sustained transmission during winter

(23, 41, 43). However, these studies were based on a reduced number of samples, which

were not associated to information on bat individuals (because no bat capture was

performed), precluding direct insights into the role of individual factors (e.g. sex, age,

reproductive status, body condition) shaping these infection dynamics. Moreover,

investigations were respectively performed on a single (41) and two maternity roosts (23),

and the role of population-level factors (e.g. roost size, sex-ratio) thus remains poorly

understood. Here, we used data from a fine-scale spatio-temporal monitoring and

sampling of *M. francoismoutoui*, based on the study of 17 roosts all over Reunion Island

during two consecutive years. We measured the simultaneous excretion (nucleic acid

detection) of three infectious agents in each individual bat, focusing on paramyxovirus

(viral RNA) and *Leptospira* (bacteria DNA) both shed in urine, and herpesvirus (viral DNA)

in the saliva. Specifically, we first aimed at analysing spatio-temporal trends in excretion

prevalence and at testing for roost synchronicity. Then, we evaluated whether individual

(sex, age, reproductive status) and roost-level (roost size, sex-ratio and level of sexual

spatial segregation) variables explain prevalence of single infectious agents, co-excretion

patterns, and the probability of changing excretion status (within-host dynamics).

Results

Spatio-temporal dynamics of excretion prevalence.

Between January 2019 and December 2020 (24 months), we captured 5,949 Reunion

free-tailed bats in 17 roosts all over Reunion Island (Fig. 1), including 526 recaptures (up

to four recaptures were recorded for the same individual). Excretion data were obtained

from 5,518 urine samples for paramyxoviruses (PMV) and *Leptospira* bacteria (LEPTO) and

from 3,981 saliva samples for herpesviruses (HSV), including 3,784 individuals for which

all three infectious agents were tested. Over the entire study period, we measured a high

global prevalence with 36.63% ± 1.27% of bats shedding PMV, 46.48% ± 1.32% shedding

LEPTO and 87.14% ± 1.04% shedding HSV (Table 1). We used generalized additive mixed

models (GAMM, *SI Appendix,* Tables S1 and S2) to characterize variation in prevalence

over space and time. Results revealed no difference in prevalence between the two

consecutive years, except for HSV (M3: $\chi^2_{0.8}$ = 4.64, *P* < 0.01). Globally, we found statistical

evidence of intra-annual variations for PMV and HSV prevalence (M1: $\chi^2_{6.7}$ = 16.30, *P* =

0.04; M3: $\chi^2_{8.2}$ = 40.06, *P* < 0.001), but when investigating data in adults only, similar

temporal patterns were observed for all three infections, with a higher prevalence in

October-December and a decrease from March to June (Fig. 2A).

Excretion of the three infectious agents was detected in all tested roosts, except

PMV in the TM5 roost (temporary roost exclusively composed of juveniles; see 9).

Globally, no variation of PMV prevalence was observed among roosts, although we

detected a significant difference for HSV and LEPTO (M2: $\chi^2_{8.2}$ = 96.73, *P* < 0.001; M3: $\chi^2_{4.4}$

= 9.61, *P* = 0.02). Finally, a low level of temporal synchrony in prevalence was detected

among roosts, as only 11.1 % of pairwise comparisons were significant (*SI Appendix,* Fig.

S1), and synchrony did not increase among nearby roosts (PMV: r^2 = -0.11; LEPTO: r^2 =

0.42; HSV: r^2 = -0.06; all *P* > 0.05).

Roost-level drivers of excretion.

Roost size varied from 15 to 100,000 individuals but was not correlated with the

prevalence of PMV and LEPTO (M1: z = 0.62; M2: z = 1.41; all *P* > 0.05), while HSV

prevalence slightly decreased in larger roosts (M3: z = -2.92, *P* < 0.01). However, this

negative correlation was driven by the low HSV prevalence in juveniles (the period when

roost sizes were the highest), and disappeared when juveniles were removed from the

model (M3 without juveniles: z = -0.99, *P* = 0.32). Moreover, prevalence was not

correlated with the sex-ratio within roosts (M1: z = 0.01; M2: z = -1.05; M3: z = -1.81; all

P > 0.05) nor with sexual segregation levels of adults among roosts (PMV: r^2 = 0.39; LEPTO:

r^2 = 0.43; HSV: r^2 = 0.51, all *P* > 0.05).

Individual variation of excretion.

Models revealed that adults were systematically more frequently shedding viruses and

bacteria than juveniles (M1: z = -5.82; M2: z = -6.13; M3: z = -11.56, all *P* < 0.001). Mean

prevalence of excretion in adults was 43.00 ± 1.42% for PMV, 52.85 ± 1.43% for LEPTO

and 96.20 ± 0.65% for HSV. At the time when juveniles started to fly (January-February;

9), excretion prevalence in juveniles was either null (PMV) or low (LEPTO: 12.03 ± 4.11%

and HSV: 15.03 ± 5.66%). Then, over the following six months, HSV prevalence increased

drastically in juveniles to reach 60.67 ± 7.82%, while it remained low, or only slightly

increased, for PMV and LEPTO (Fig. 2A). Excretion prevalence also varied according to sex,

but only in adult bats, and differently according to the targeted infectious agent. Indeed,

adult males were more frequently shedding HSV than females (Tukey's post hoc test: t =

-4.10, *P* < 0.001), although the opposite pattern was observed for PMV (Tukey's post hoc

test, t = 4.57, *P* < 0.001).

To test the influence of reproduction on the excretion status in adults, we focused

our analysis on adults during two reproductive periods: pregnancy (November-

December) and mating (March-April). During pregnancy, the detection of the two urine-

excreted agents, PMV and LEPTO, was higher in pregnant than in non-pregnant females

(M4: χ_1 = 37.49; M5: χ_1 = 24.99, all P < 0.001, Fig. 2B). Similarly, during the mating period,

reproductively active males were more frequently found shedding than non-reproductive

males, but only for LEPTO (M8: χ_1 = 6.76, P < 0.01, Fig. 2B). Higher HSV prevalence was

also observed in both reproductively active females and males, but the difference was

not statistically significant, probably because of a high variability within the non-

reproductive groups.

Co-excretion dynamics.

Among the 3,784 bats with paired samples (both urine and saliva collected), 36.89% (n =

1,396) were shedding two infectious agents and 22.46% (n = 850) were shedding three (*SI*

Appendix, Fig. S2). Only 399 bats (10.54%) did not excrete any of the three screened

infectious agents, with 79.2% of them being noteworthily juveniles. The excretion of one

infectious agent was strongly positively correlated with the excretion of any other

infection (for all combinations in M1, M2, M3, all P < 0.001). Indeed, when considering

bats shedding one infectious agent, the probability of shedding a second infectious agent

was multiplied from 1.29 to 31.9 folds, for HSV when shedding LEPTO and for PMV when

shedding HSV, respectively (Fig. 3). By contrast, individuals already shedding two

infectious agents were less frequently shedding the third one (M1: z = -3.12, P < 0.01; M2:

z = -2.42, P = 0.02; M3: z = -2.42, P = 0.02). For example, *Leptospira* prevalence increased

by 3.89 times when bats were already shedding HSV, and by 6.50 times when already

shedding PMV, but only by 2.60 times when shedding both HSV and PMV (Fig. 3).

Models showed no significant intra-annual variations for bi- and tri-excretions,

except for PMV-HSV excretion (M12: $\chi_{6.7}$ = 19.03, P = 0.02), and no effect either of sex-

ratio, sexual segregation or roost size. By contrast, we detected a strong influence of age

and sex, with bi- and tri-excretions being more frequent in adults (M10: z = -4.74; M11: z

= -10.70; M12: z = -7.37; M13: z = -4.71; all P < 0.001), especially in adult females (Tuckey's

post hoc test, M10: t = 4.68, P < 0.001; M12: t = 3.68, P < 0.01, M13: t = 4.30, P < 0.001).

Finally, pregnancy increased the probability of bi- and tri-excretions in females (M14: χ_1 =

19.85; M15: χ_1 = 23.04; M16: χ_1 = 28.81; M17: χ_1 = 17.39; all P < 0.001) and reproductively

active males had a higher probability of shedding simultaneously LEPTO and HSV

compared to non-reproductive males (M19: χ_1 = 8.36; P < 0.01).

Within-host dynamics of excretion.

All captured bats were marked (by tattooing on the wing) allowing to collect excretion

data at the individual level. We tested 471 and 457 samples for PMV and LEPTO

respectively, including initial capture and samples from recaptured bats. We also tested

86 saliva samples from 31 recaptured bats (from 2 to 5 capture events) for the presence

of HSV. All bats initially testing positive for HSV (n = 29) remained positive over time

(between 25 and 405 days between recapture events), while the only two initially-

negative bats were testing positive at their first recapture. Thus, within-host analyses

using multinomial logistic regressions of excretion status changes were only performed

on PMV and LEPTO data. Recapture intervals ranged from 25 to 719 days (*SI Appendix,*

Fig. S3A), during which we defined four conversion classes: negative to negative (no

conversion), negative to positive, positive to negative, and positive to positive (no

conversion). For many recaptured animals, bats kept the same excretion status over time.

Indeed, between 50.74% (PMV) and 40.04% (LEPTO) of recaptured bats remained

negative or, to a lesser extent (PMV: 25.69%, LEPTO: 34.79%) positive. Interestingly, when

looking at short windows of time (from 1 to 6 month-intervals), a major proportion (about

75%) of PMV or LEPTO-positive bats remained positive (Fig. 4A). Some bat individuals

remained positive across all their recapture events (Fig. 4B).

The probability of the four excretion status changes was dependent on the time

interval for LEPTO (M23: χ^2_3 = 17.60, P < 0.001, Fig. 4C and *SI Appendix,* Fig. S3B), but not

for PMV (M22, χ^2_3 = 5.11, P = 0.16, *SI Appendix,* Fig. S3C). Indeed, Figure 4 showed that

LEPTO-negative bats had a higher probability of remaining negative over short periods of

time, although the probability of becoming positive increased with time. However, when

individuals were shedding LEPTO at the time of their first capture, the probability of

becoming negative was not dependent on the time. In contrast, the probability of being

still shedding LEPTO did increase with time. We also found a significant effect of changing

reproductive status on the probability of changing both PMV and LEPTO excretion status

(M22: χ^2_9 = 32.45, p < 0.001; M23: χ^2_9 = 20.11, P = 0.02, *SI Appendix,* Fig. S4). Indeed, bats

that remained non-reproductively active over recapture events (reproduction: 0/0), also

mainly remained LEPTO- and PMV-negative (conversion: 0/0). Similarly, most bats

successively captured when reproductively active (reproduction: 1/1) remained LEPTO-

and PMV-positive (conversion: 1/1). Most bats becoming positive across recaptures also

became reproductively active, especially for PMV (20.97% for PMV and 11.67% for

LEPTO).

Discussion

Using an original island-endemic bat model, we demonstrated the widespread and all-

year-long co-circulation of three viral and bacterial infections in Reunion free-tailed bat

populations, which confirms the circulation of PMV and LEPTO (23, 41) and describes for

the first time HSV infection in this bat species. We revealed high global prevalence of PMV

(37%) and LEPTO (46%), and extremely high prevalence of HSV (87%). This is coherent

with the high prevalence of HSV generally found in other bat species, as recently reported

in the Mexican free-tailed bat *Tadarida brasiliensis* (44) and in the common vampire bat

Desmodus rotundus (12, 45). High infection prevalence in Reunion free-tailed bats could

be explained by a strong gregarious behaviour of these bats, which can aggregate up to

900 individuals per m² (46). The proximity of individuals within high-density roosts can

facilitate transmission through bat fluids (saliva, urine), in which case, roost size is often

assumed to positively correlate with prevalence (4, 47, 48). However, we did not find such

a correlation, suggesting that density-dependent transmission may not play a major role

in the circulation of paramyxovirus, *Leptospira* and herpesvirus in Reunion free-tailed

bats. However, we cannot exclude that if prevalence is biased towards certain groups of

individuals that aggregate in high density within area, then transmission is likely to be

related to the density of these high-risk bat groups, rather than the overall roost size

measured in our study (49). Interestingly, we did not find evidence of spatial synchrony
between roosts, despite probably frequent intra- and inter-seasonal movements within
this bat metapopulation (9, 42). Thus, transient epidemics, passing as waves of bat-to-bat
transmission between roosts, are probably not the main mechanism involved in
maintenance (4, 11, 50) and the probability of excretion seems therefore more related to
individual factors than population-level characteristics.

Chronic or episodic shedding from persistently infected bats can explain high
prevalence across roosts and time. Indeed, our results shows that nearly all (96%) of
recaptured bats, initially HSV-positive, were still shedding HSV in saliva over recaptures,
supporting lifelong HSV infection in Reunion free-tailed bats. HSV are known to establish
latent infection in humans (51) and rodents (52), and the same mechanism is also
suspected in bats (53, 54). Interestingly, in *D. rotundus* infected with a betaherpesvirus,
the length of the active periods (when shedding occurs) appears to be longer than the
latency state (when shedding resumes) (54), which is coherent with our recapture data
showing almost always HSV-positive bats over recaptures. For PMV and LEPTO, we
showed that viral RNA and bacterial DNA were present in urine for up to 3 months after
their initial detection in a large proportion of recaptured bats (75%). Together with
examples of bats remaining positive over multiple recapture events, this supports that
persistent infection can also explain PMV and LEPTO temporal dynamics. However, we
also evidenced positive to negative excretion switches for PMV and LEPTO, suggesting
that cycles of clearance followed by reinfection also occur in the bat population.
Persistent LEPTO infection in bats is not surprising as this bacterial infection is often
considered chronic in other reservoir hosts, bacteria forming protective biofilms in renal
tubules (55). Experimental infections have shown that *Leptospira interrogans* can stably
colonize the kidneys of rats and mice over their lifespan, with intermittent excretion of
the bacteria that can last for at least 3 months (56, 57). Our data show that when
individuals are shedding LEPTO at the first capture, the probability of becoming negative
is not dependent on the time, which is in keeping with an intermittent excretion. On
contrary, mechanisms underlying within-host PMV dynamics are still debated (5, 58).

Several field and lab evidence support that henipavirus, for example, can persist within

bat individuals with long-term continuous or intermittent excretion (11, 37, 59–62). A

modelling study also suggests that latent henipavirus infections can occur alongside with

occasional viral clearance, followed by temporary immunity and reinfection (58). This

same study supports that bats are much more likely to develop a latent infection than

clearing it. Finally, it has been hypothesized that a small portion of super-long-shedder

individuals with a long infectious period could maintain Hendra virus in bat populations

(63). Distinguishing between these different infection processes is complex. Finally, since

because we only measured the presence of viral and bacterial nucleic acids in our study,

an important step will be to assess the infectiousness of excreted samples to finely

validate the role of long-term continuous and intermittent excretion in transmission (4).

In persistent infections, the reactivation process is often linked to several stressors

that may affect host immunity (64, 65). Reproduction, by imposing immunological

challenges, is recognized as a major stressor that could lead to the reactivation of viral

and bacterial infections (66). Such processes are expected to be particularly pronounced

in female mammals, for whom reproduction requires large and prolonged energetic

investments (67, 68). We showed that pregnancy increased the probability of PMV and

LEPTO (but not HSV) excretions, as well as bi- and tri-excretions in Reunion free-tailed

bats. Variable patterns between infectious agents are coherent with the increased

seroprevalence observed in reproductively active females of *P. scapulatus* infected with

Hendra virus (69), and the absence of such correlation for HSV-infected *Rousettus*

aegyptiacus and *Miniopterus natalensis* (70). Moreover, recapture data showed that most

bats becoming PMV and LEPTO positive across recaptures were also those becoming

reproductively active. We thus hypothesize that both PMV and LEPTO excretions are

reactivated during female's pregnancy in Reunion free-tailed bats. In humans, such

process has been described for the Varicella Zoster Virus, for which reactivation occurs

commonly during the third trimester of pregnancy (71). Reactivation during bat

pregnancy could explain the enhanced prevalence observed during the pregnancy period

(October to December), a pattern reported in other field studies (19, 20, 23, 41, 70, 72).

Such reactivation could also lead to horizontal transmission to non-immune bats.

However, we cannot exclude that immigration of infected bats also has a role in

transmission during late pregnancy, as massive aggregations of bats occur at this time of

the year (9). Our study therefore supports the role of a trade-off between pregnancy and

immunity. Some studies have hypothesized that such process, beside conferring

protection to newborns through the transfer of protective maternal antibodies, could

benefit to the mothers through a boost of immunity (71).

Although less documented, male reproduction trade-offs have been suggested in

bats, with higher Hendra seroprevalence observed in *Pteropus scapulatus* males during

the mating season (69). Our study revealed that, during the mating period, reproductively

active males had a higher probability of shedding LEPTO and LEPTO-HSV, as compared to

non-reproductive males. By contrast, no difference was observed for PMV excretion. This

suggests that trade-offs between reproduction and immunity in males could drive

excretion dynamics, but that differences exist depending on the considered infectious

agent. Such pattern could be mediated by reduced immune function during

spermatogenesis, a period of high energy demand and elevated testosterone (73, 74). As

well, reproductively active males of *Myotis daubentonii* have lower cellular immunity than

non-reproductive individuals (75).

Previous studies have shown that environmental stressors could be critical to HSV

transmission in wildlife (76). HSV was, for example, detected in the blood of big brown

bats (*Eptesicus fuscus*) arousing from hibernation, but not in non-hibernating individuals,

suggesting a reactivation of the virus resulting from the stress associated with arousal

(53). Accordingly, our results showed a slight increase of HSV prevalence in adult bats at

the end of winter (July to November). However, Reunion free-tailed bats are tropical, and

we thus suspect that no strict hibernation occurs (77), supported by observations of bat

activity all year long (9). The HSV increase in winter might alternatively result from a

misclassification of juveniles in adults, because differentiating those age classes based on

the epiphysis fusion is challenging at this period. Since juveniles are less infected than

adults, we might have artificially lowered the prevalence in adults.

Behavioral and physiological traits are two mechanisms that can produce sex-

biased prevalence in several wildlife species (78, 79). Here, males were more frequently

shedding HSV than females, as previously reported in rodents (52) or for other DNA bat

viruses such as adenoviruses (80). Studies on HSV prevalence in bats are limited and

report contrasting results, with no sex difference in *D. rotundus* (12), while Sjodin et al.,

(81) suggest that either males or females can be more infected, depending of the

herpesvirus strain. Male-biased infection may arise from sex-specific social behaviour like

dominance or higher aggressiveness (physical injuries, i.e. bite) (82, 83), that could

enhance transmission in males (52, 84). However, this hypothesis is not well-supported

in Reunion free-tailed bats, since increased transmission among aggressive males should

lead to a higher prevalence during the mating season, which was not observed. Sex

hormones can also strongly affect host susceptibility, through the modulation of

immunity (85). Testosterone may suppress immune responses in males, increasing

susceptibility to pathogen infection (86). The inclusion of hormone and immunity

measures should thus be considered in future infection studies to test this hypothesis in

bats.

Maternal transmission of antibodies conferring specific protection to juveniles is

a well-known process in mammals, and has often been suggested and demonstrated in

bats (2, 60, 69, 72, 87–89). The level of maternally transferred antibodies to juveniles is

thought to reflect the amount of circulating antibodies in females, and could thus

positively correlate with infection prevalence (90). Here, although the prevalence in adult

females was much higher for HSV than for PMV and LEPTO, up to 20% (in 2019) of

juveniles were HSV-positives at the start of the juvenile period (January), while almost all

juveniles were non-infected by PMV (with an intermediate pattern observed for LEPTO).

This suggests that despite a wider excretion of HSV in the bat population, initial levels of

maternal antibodies could be lower for HSV, compared to PMV and LEPTO. If the waning

of maternal antibodies in juveniles depends on the initial levels provided by mothers, this

can explain the distinct excretion dynamics later observed in juveniles. Indeed, although

most juveniles remained PMV and LEPTO negative during the first six months of their life,

HSV excretion rapidly increased to reach 80% in July 2020. Contrary to HSV, the protection

against PMV and LEPTO seems therefore quasi-total (although lower for LEPTO) and

longer (> six months) for these two infections, suggesting different strategies of maternal

immunity in bats. Apparent short-term maternal immunity has been proposed in Egyptian

fruit bats (*Rousettus aegyptiacus*) infected with Marburg virus, for which juveniles'

infection increases by 5-fold within 3 months (2), while a longer protection of about 6-7

months has been reported from field and modelling studies on Lagos bat virus and

henipaviruses (37, 87, 91). Finally, the rapid and steady HSV infection in juveniles

coincides with their initial dispersal all over the island (9) and could thus play a major role

in the spatial spread of this virus (2, 23, 69, 72). By contrast, the spread of PMV and LEPTO

by juveniles is probably lower (or occurs later in the year), as juveniles remain poorly

infected at least during their first six months of life, highlighting that the role of juveniles

in infection dynamics is pathogen-dependent (63).

Our investigation targeting multiple simultaneous infections revealed a high co-

circulation of viruses and bacteria within the bat population, with 59% of bats shedding

at least two infectious agents. Our results also showed that bats shedding one virus or

bacteria had significantly more chance of shedding another one. This corroborates

previous results suggesting positive interactions that could facilitate the excretion of

paramyxo- (21, 41) and corona-viruses (16, 25). In the study of Davy et al. (16), the stress

of fungal infection by *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* led to a reactivation of coronavirus

replication in the little brown bat *Myotis lucifugus*, and co-infection even increased the

severity of White Nose Syndrome. Interestingly, in our study, when bats were already

shedding two infectious agents, they had less probability of shedding the third one,

suggesting that negative interactions can also occur when accumulating infections.

Interactions between infectious agents could modulate host susceptibility with

contrasting effects (antagonistic and mutualistic) depending on the community of

infectious agents considered (27). In a previous investigation on Reunion free-tailed bats

we indeed highlighted that coinfection between Paramyxovirus, Coronavirus and

Astrovirus was a very rare event (1% of tested samples), without interaction between

viruses (23). These studies illustrate how considering simultaneously multiples infections,

although complex, is important to better understand drivers of infection in bats (17, 92,

93).

This study sheds light on the processes driving the spatio-temporal excretion

dynamics of RNA and DNA viruses as well as bacteria in bats. In addition to suggested

latent herpesvirus infections, our results suggest that bats commonly host persistent

paramyxovirus and *Leptospira* infections, with reproduction-related episodic excretion, in

both females and males. Surprisingly, transient epidemics and density-dependent

transmission do not appear as major drivers of these infections. Moreover, pathogen-

dependent waning immunity dynamics likely modulates the role of juveniles in the spatial

spread of infections. Testing these hypotheses requires serological data and spatially

explicit and mechanistic modelling studies (94). Disruption of balanced host-pathogen

relationships due to stressors, such as habitat loss or co-infections, may increase

pathogen excretion, and enhance the likelihood of spillovers (3). Further studies

connecting multi-infection dynamics to the growing urbanization process that faces

Reunion free-tailed bats will help in understanding how human-mediated changes in the

ecology of bats may affect natural excretion dynamics and in turn the probability of

spillovers (95).

Materials and Methods

Study sites and field sampling

We monitored and sampled 17 roosts (coded with a 3-letter code) from January 2019 to

December 2020 (24 months), all over Reunion Island (Fig. 1). Among the 17 studied roosts,

12 were monitored across a maximum of 15 successive "sampling periods" occurring

every 3–8 weeks, each sampling period lasting for 3–4 weeks to be able to sample

multiple roosts (Table 1 and Table S3). An opportunistic sampling was performed for five

additional roosts, which were thus monitored only once during the studied period. To

investigate within-host dynamics, we used data from recaptured bats, and thus also

included previously collected samples from 2018 (referred as the first capture samples).

Bat monitoring includes bat capture, biological sampling and roost size estimation

and is fully described in Aguillon et al. (9). Captures were carried out using harp traps

(Faunatech Ausbat) and Japanese monofilament mist nets (Ecotone) during dusk

emergence, with a maximum of 60 individuals captured per night. Bats were hydrated

immediately after the capture and kept individually in a clean individual bag hang close

to a warm water bottle. For each bat, we measured the forearm length and mass, and

determined the sex, age, and reproductive status. Age was established by examining the

epiphysis fusion in finger articulations that are not welded for juveniles which was easily

identifiable until July, while some juveniles might have been classified as adults in the

following months. Active reproductive status was recorded when females were pregnant

and lactating, and in males when they had large testes (see 9 for more details). A sterile

swab (Puritan Medical Products, USA) was carefully inserted in the corner of the lips to

sample saliva and then placed in 250uL of MEM (Minimum Essential Medium Eagle). Using

a pipette and a sterile tip, urine droplets were collected in the waterproof bag or directly

at the urethral opening when handling bats, and then placed in 50 µL of MEM. All samples

were stored in a cooled box in the field before being transferred at -80°C at the laboratory

the same night. Finally, each bat was tattooed on the right propatagium with an individual

alphanumeric code (96) and released at the capture site.

Bats were handled using personal protective equipment regularly changed and all

the equipment was disinfected between sites (more details in 9). Bat capture and

manipulation protocols were evaluated and approved by the Minister of Higher

Education, Research and Innovation (APAFIS#10140-2017030119531267), and conducted

under a permit delivered by the Direction de l'Environnement, de l'Aménagement et du

Logement (DEAL) of Reunion Island (DEAL/SEB/UBIO/2018-09).

Molecular detection of infectious agents

Urine and saliva samples were processed using the Cador Pathogen 96 Qiacube HT kit

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), using 25 µL and 100 µL of samples, respectively, mixed with

175 µL and 100 µL of VXL buffer for the lysis step. Total nucleic acids were extracted using

an automated extractor Qiacube with small modifications of the Q Protocol using 350 μL

of ACB, 100 μL of AVE, and 30 μL of TopElute. A reverse transcription was then performed

on urine extracts (97), followed by the screening of paramyxovirus and *Leptospira*

bacteria, using a semi-nested PCR targeting the RNA polymerase gene and a real-time PCR

amplifying a fragment of the 16S rDNA gene, respectively (41, 98, 99). For paramyxovirus,

amplicons of the first PCR were diluted to 1:10, and 2 μL was then used in the semi-nested

PCR.

For saliva extracts, a fragment of herpesvirus DNA polymerase was amplified using

a nested PCR. During the first PCR, 2 μL of DNA was amplified in a 25 μL mixture containing

12.5 μL of GoTaq® Green Master Mix 2X (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, United States),

2.5 μL of each primer at 10 μM DFA (5'-GAYTTYGCNAGYYTNTAYCC-3') and KG1 (5'-

GTCTTGCTCACCAGNTCNACNCCYTT-3') (100). We used the following thermal conditions:

95°C for 2 min, and 45 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 46°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, and a

final step at 72°C for 7 min. During the nested PCR, 5 μL of amplificon was amplified in a

25 μL mixture containing 12.5 μL of GoTaq® Green Master Mix 2X (Promega, Madison,

Wisconsin, United States), 2.5 μL of each primer at 10 μM TGV (5'-

TGTAACTCGGTGTAYGGNTTYACNGGNGT-3')   and   IYG   (5'-

CACAGAGTCCGTRTCNCCRTADAT-3') (100). We used the following thermal conditions:

95°C for 2 min, and 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 sec, 46°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and

a final step at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were revealed using electrophoresis with 2%

agarose gel stained with 2% GelRed (Biotium, Fremont, USA).

Statistical analyses

To evaluate spatio-temporal dynamics of excretion, we fit binomial generalized additive

mixed models (GAMM, 101) via restricted maximum likelihood (REML) using the *mgcv*

package (Table S1). We used penalized smoother for the temporal trend variable and

controlled that the effective degrees of freedom (EDF) were higher than 1 (non-linear

effect). The number of basis functions (k) and smooth parameters (alpha) were adjusted

in each model using *gam.check* from the *mgcv* package to avoid overfitting and check for

model convergence. Temporal trend was implemented specifying a number for each sampling period starting from 1 (January-February) to 16 (November-December, Table S3). Due to the COVID-19 crisis and the resulting lockdown in France, we did not monitor roosts in April 2020, and do not present data at that time. To properly account for the temporal effect, we decided to code the May-June 2020 sampling period as the middle of March (coded as 10) and July 2020 (coded as 13, Table S3). Final models for mono-, bi- and tri- excretions included the sampling period as smooth function, the roost size, sex-ratio, age and sex (and their interaction) as linear function, and the roost, Julian day and year as random effects. The significance of each modality of age/sex interactions was tested using a Tukey's post hoc test. For mono-excretion models, we included the two other infectious agents and the corresponding bi-excretion as a linear function (details in Tables S2 and S3). Moreover, to determine periods of significant increase/decrease in the prevalence of mono-excretions in adults, we used simplified models that only included sampling period as a smooth function, and identified periods where the 95% confidence interval (CI) of the GAM prediction's derivative did not overlap zero, using the R function *derivSimulCI* (www.gist.github.com/gavinsimpson).

To assess the effect of reproductive status on excretion, we focused on adult bats at two specific periods of the year: firstly, in adult females during the pregnancy period (November-December) and then in adult males during the mating period (March-April) (9). We thus used a separate GLMM on a subset of data, for each sex and included reproductive status as a linear effect, keeping roost, Julian day and year as random effects (Table S1 and S2).

The influence of sexual spatial segregation patterns in adults was tested on the prevalence of mono-, bi-, and tri-excretions, using the Sexual Segregation and Aggregation Statistic (SSAS, 102) that compares observed aggregation and segregation patterns to a random association of males and females among roosts. We calculated SSAS

values for each sampling period, and tested if the SSAS was correlated to the global

monthly prevalence of excretion using a Pearson correlation test.

Then, we analysed the spatial (between roosts) synchrony in prevalence using the

same approach as in Meza et al. (13). We selected seven roosts (ESA, MON, PSR, RBL,

RPQ, TGI and VSP) that were all monitored during every sampling periods. We used

Mantel tests (from *vegan* package) to investigate geographical distances between roosts

and calculated pairwise synchrony of prevalence by sampling period for each infectious

agent separately, using Spearman correlations tests (Fig. S1).

To investigate within-host excretion dynamics, we tested the probability of

changing infectious agent excretion status through time, by fitting a multinomial logistic

regression using the *multinom* function in the *nnet* package (103) (Table S4). Because not

enough saliva samples were available to analyse the conversion of HSV excretion status,

we only modelled conversion status associated with PMV and LEPTO, separately.

Conversion status was used as a nominal dependent variable with four levels (negative to

negative, negative to positive, positive to negative, and positive to positive), with the

following covariates: recapture interval (in days), sex, and reproductive status conversion

(active to active, non-active to active, active to non-active and non-active to non-active).

Age classes were not included in the models because of the limited number of juveniles

compared to adults (n_{JUV} = 29, n_{AD} = 497). This analysis used the non-changing infection,

negative to negative, as a baseline outcome against which to compare other infectious

status changes. To better visualize the probable continuous excretion over short time

windows (<6 months), we calculated and plotted the percentage of bats remaining

positive for PMV and LEPTO across different time intervals: less than 2 months, between

2 and 4 months, between 4 and 6 months.

All analyses were performed in Rstudio 1.4.1106 (104) using packages *dplyr*,

effects, emmeans, *lme4*, *ggeffects, ggplot2*, *mgcv, nnet,* and *vegan*.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Eco-Med Océan Indien, Biotope, the DEER of Région Réunion (Direction de l'Exploitation et de l'Entretien des Routes), the DRT of Département Réunion (Direction des Routes et des Transports of the Department Reunion), and the City hall of Salazie for their help in identifying and accessing bat roosts. We are grateful to David Wilkinson for fruitful discussions. We also thank Clara Castex, Timothée Chenin, Jérémy Dubrulle, Marie Köster, and Guillaume Verchère for their assistance in the field. This research was supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR JCJC SEXIBAT) and by the European Regional Development Funds ERDF PO INTERREG V ECOSPIR number RE6875. Samantha Aguillon and Axel Hoarau were supported by a "Contrat Doctoral de l'Université de La Réunion" from the Minister of Higher Education, Research and Innovation and Léa Joffrin by an "Allocation Régionale de Recherche de la Région Réunion".

Data Availability

Metadata are archived on Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12527877).

References

1. M. Letko, S. N. Seifert, K. J. Olival, R. K. Plowright, V. J. Munster, Bat-borne virus diversity, spillover and emergence. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 461–471 (2020).

2. B. R. Amman, *et al.*, Seasonal pulses of Marburg virus circulation in juvenile *Rousettus aegyptiacus* bats coincide with periods of increased risk of human infection. *PLOS Pathog.* **8**, e1002877 (2012).

3. D. J. Becker, P. Eby, W. Madden, A. J. Peel, R. K. Plowright, Ecological conditions predict the intensity of Hendra virus excretion over space and time from bat reservoir hosts. *Ecol. Lett.* **26**, 23–36 (2023).

4. R. K. Plowright, *et al.*, Ecological dynamics of emerging bat virus spillover. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* **282**, 20142124 (2015).

5. R. K. Plowright, *et al.*, Transmission or within-host dynamics driving pulses of zoonotic viruses in reservoir–host populations. *PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **10** (2016).

6. C. A. Sánchez, *et al.*, Advances in understanding bat infection dynamics across biological scales. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* **291**, 20232823 (2024).

7.　D. J. Becker, D. E. Crowley, A. D. Washburne, R. K. Plowright, Temporal and spatial
limitations in global surveillance for bat filoviruses and henipaviruses. *Biol. Lett.* **15**,
20190423 (2019).

8.　C. H. Calisher, J. E. Childs, H. E. Field, K. V. Holmes, T. Schountz, Bats: Important reservoir
hosts of emerging viruses. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **19**, 531–545 (2006).

9.　S. Aguillon, *et al.*, A population in perpetual motion: Highly dynamic roosting behavior of
a tropical island endemic bat. *Ecol. Evol.* **13**, e9814 (2023).

10.　H. A. Delpietro, R. G. Russo, G. G. Carter, R. D. Lord, G. L. Delpietro, Reproductive
seasonality, sex ratio and philopatry in Argentina's common vampire bats. *R. Soc. Open*
Sci. **4**, 160959 (2017).

11.　J. H. Epstein, *et al.*, Nipah virus dynamics in bats and implications for spillover to humans.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **117**, 29190–29201 (2020).

12.　M. E. Griffiths, *et al.*, Epidemiology and biology of a herpesvirus in rabies endemic
vampire bat populations. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5951 (2020).

13.　D. K. Meza, *et al.*, Ecological determinants of rabies virus dynamics in vampire bats and
spillover to livestock. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.***289**, 20220860 (2022).

14.　S. J. Anthony, *et al.*, A strategy to estimate unknown viral diversity in mammals. *MBio* **4**,
e00598-13 (2013).

15.　K. S. Baker, *et al.*, Co-circulation of diverse paramyxoviruses in an urban African fruit bat
population. *J. Gen. Virol.* **93**, 850–856 (2012).

16.　C. M. Davy, *et al.*, White-nose syndrome is associated with increased replication of a
naturally persisting coronaviruses in bats. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 15508 (2018).

17.　A. O. G. Hoarau, P. Mavingui, C. Lebarbenchon, Coinfections in wildlife: Focus on a
neglected aspect of infectious disease epidemiology. *PLOS Pathog.* **16**, e1008790 (2020).

18.　J. Wang, *et al.*, Individual bat virome analysis reveals co-infection and spillover among
bats and virus zoonotic potential. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 4079 (2023).

19.　L. Joffrin, *et al.*, Seasonality of coronavirus shedding in tropical bats. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **9**,
211600 (2022).

20.　M. Mortlock, M. Dietrich, J. Weyer, J. T. Paweska, W. Markotter, Co-circulation and
excretion dynamics of diverse rubula-and related viruses in Egyptian rousette bats from
South Africa. *Viruses* **11**, 37 (2019).

21.　A. J. Peel, *et al.*, Synchronous shedding of multiple bat paramyxoviruses coincides with
peak periods of Hendra virus spillover. *Emerg. microbes & infect.* **8**, 1314–1323 (2019).

22. M. Geldenhuys, *et al.*, Viral maintenance and excretion dynamics of coronaviruses within
an Egyptian rousette fruit bat maternal colony: considerations for spillover. *Sci. Rep.* **13**,
15829 (2023).

23. A. O. G. Hoarau, *et al.*, Synchronicity of viral shedding in molossid bat maternity colonies.
Epidemiol. Infect. **151**, e47 (2023).

24. J. K. Lane, *et al.*, Coronavirus and paramyxovirus shedding by bats in a cave and buildings
in Ethiopia. *EcoHealth* **19**, 216–232 (2022).

25. A. Seltmann, *et al.*, Seasonal fluctuations of astrovirus, but not coronavirus shedding in
bats inhabiting human-modified tropical forests. *EcoHealth* **14**, 272–284 (2017).

26. T. N. Petney, R. H. Andrews, Multiparasite communities in animals and humans:
frequency, structure and pathogenic significance. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **28**, 377–393 (1998).

27. S. Telfer, *et al.*, Species interactions in a parasite community drive infection risk in a
wildlife population. *Science* **330**, 243–246 (2010).

28. B. H. Warren, *et al.*, Islands as model systems in ecology and evolution: prospects fifty
years after MacArthur-Wilson. *Ecol. Lett.* **18**, 200–217 (2015).

29. B. C. Emerson, Evolution on oceanic islands: molecular phylogenetic approaches to
understanding pattern and process. *Mol. Ecol.* **11**, 951–966 (2002).

30. K. Jean, W. R. Burnside, L. Carlson, K. Smith, J. Guégan, An equilibrium theory signature in
the island biogeography of human parasites and pathogens. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **25**,
107–116 (2016).

31. P. Tortosa, *et al.*, Deciphering arboviral emergence within insular ecosystems. *Infect.*
Genet. Evol. **12**, 1333–1339 (2012).

32. G. Kier, *et al.*, A global assessment of endemism and species richness across island and
mainland regions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 9322–9327 (2009).

33. I. Conenna, R. Rocha, D. Russo, M. Cabeza, Insular bats and research effort: A review of
global patterns and priorities. *Mam. Rev.* **47**, 169–182 (2017).

34. R. K. Plowright, D. J. Becker, H. McCallum, K. R. Manlove, Sampling to elucidate the
dynamics of infections in reservoir hosts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20180336 (2019).

35. M. Betke, *et al.*, Thermal imaging reveals significantly smaller Brazilian free-tailed bat
colonies than previously estimated. *J Mammal.* **89**, 18–24 (2008).

36. D. Mcfarlane, G. Rentergem, A. Ruina, J. Lundberg, K. Christenson, Estimating colony size
of the Wrinkle-lipped bat, *Chaerephon plicatus* (Chiroptera: Molossidae) at Gomantong,
Sabah, by quantitative image analysis. *Acta Chiropt.* **17**, 171–177 (2015).

37. A. J. Peel, *et al.*, Support for viral persistence in bats from age-specific serology and
models of maternal immunity. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 3859 (2018).

38. S. M. Goodman, B. J. van Vuuren, F. Ratrimomanarivo, J.-M. Probst, R. C. K. Bowie,
Specific status of populations in the Mascarene Islands referred to *Mormopterus*
acetabulosus (Chiroptera: Molossidae), with description of a new Species. *J. Mammal.* **89**,
1316–1327 (2008).

39. T. Cadet, Données récentes sur l'origine, l'âge et la structure géologique de l'île de la
Réunion. *Académie de l'île de la réunion* **Bulletin 1969-1978**, 73–87 (1980).

40. E. Lagabrielle, *et al.*, Identifying and mapping biodiversity processes for conservation
planning in islands: A case study in Réunion Island (Western Indian Ocean). *Biol. Conserv.*
142, 1523–1535 (2009).

41. M. Dietrich, *et al.*, Leptospira and paramyxovirus infection dynamics in a bat maternity
enlightens pathogen maintenance in wildlife. *Environ. Microbiol.* **17**, 4280–4289 (2015).

42. S. Aguillon, *et al.*, Landing on a small tropical island: wide in-situ diversification of an
urban-dwelling bat. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.*
https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2351989424002348?via%3Dihub

43. L. Joffrin, *et al.*, Astrovirus in Reunion free-tailed Bat (*Mormopterus francoismoutoui*).
Viruses **13**, 1524 (2021).

44. L. Moreira Marrero, G. Botto Nuñez, L. Malta, A. Delfraro, S. Frabasile, Ecological and
conservation significance of herpesvirus infection in neotropical bats. *EcoHealth* **18**, 123–
133 (2021).

45. M. E. Griffiths, *et al.*, Longitudinal deep sequencing informs vector selection and future
deployment strategies for transmissible vaccines. *PLOS Biol.* **20**, e3001580 (2022).

46. L. Héré, J.-M. Probst, B. Lequette, "Contribution à l'étude des chiroptères de l'île de La
Réunion. Répartition et habitats prioritaires en matière de conservartion." (Université de
La Réunion. Rapport de stage de Master., 2009).

47. J. Serra-Cobo, *et al.*, Ecological factors associated with European bat lyssavirus
seroprevalence in Spanish bats. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e64467 (2013).

48. D. G. Streicker, *et al.*, Ecological and anthropogenic drivers of rabies exposure in vampire
bats: implications for transmission and control. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **279**, 3384–3392 (2012).

49. M. Begon, *et al.*, A clarification of transmission terms in host-microparasite models:
numbers, densities and areas. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **129**, 147–153 (2002).

50. A. J. Laughlin, R. J. Hall, C. M. Taylor, Ecological determinants of pathogen transmission in
communally roosting species. *Theor. Ecol.* **12**, 225–235 (2019).

51.   J. I. Cohen, Herpesvirus latency. *J. Clin. Invest.* **130**, 3361–3369 (2020).

52.   D. Erazo, A. B. Pedersen, K. Gallagher, A. Fenton, Who acquires infection from whom?
727   Estimating herpesvirus transmission rates between wild rodent host groups. *Epidemics*
728   **35**, 100451 (2021).

729   53.   C. M. Gerow, *et al.*, Arousal from hibernation and reactivation of *Eptesicus fuscus*
730   gammaherpesvirus (*Ef* HV) in big brown bats. *Transbound Emerg. Dis.* **66**, 1054–1062
731   (2018).

732   54.   M. E. Griffiths, D. K. Meza, D. T. Haydon, D. G. Streicker, Inferring the disruption of rabies
733   circulation in vampire bat populations using a betaherpesvirus-vectored transmissible
734   vaccine. *Proc. Natl. Ac. Sci.* **120**, e2216667120 (2023).

735   55.   D. S. Almeida, *et al.*, Investigation of chronic infection by Leptospira spp. in asymptomatic
736   sheep slaughtered in slaughterhouse. *PLOS ONE* **14**, e0217391 (2019).

737   56.   C. Cordonin, *et al.*, Pathogenic Leptospira and their animal reservoirs: testing host
738   specificity through experimental infection. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 7239 (2020).

739   57.   G. Ratet, *et al.*, Live imaging of bioluminescent *Leptospira interrogans* in mice reveals
740   renal colonization as a stealth escape from the blood defenses and antibiotics. *PLOS Negl.*
741   *Trop. Dis.* **8**, e3359 (2014).

742   58.   E. E. Glennon, *et al.*, What is stirring in the reservoir? Modelling mechanisms of
743   henipavirus circulation in fruit bat hosts. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**, 20190021 (2019).

744   59.   L. Gibson, *et al.*, Persistence of multiple paramyxoviruses in a closed captive colony of
745   fruit bats (*Eidolon helvum*). *Viruses* **13**, 1659 (2021).

746   60.   A. J. Peel, *et al.*, Henipavirus neutralising antibodies in an isolated island population of
747   African fruit bats. *PLOS ONE* **7**, e30346 (2012).

748   61.   S. A. Rahman, *et al.*, Characterization of Nipah virus from naturally infected *Pteropus*
749   *vampyrus* Bats, Malaysia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **16**, 1990–1993 (2010).

750   62.   A. R. Sohayati, *et al.*, Evidence for Nipah virus recrudescence and serological patterns of
751   captive *Pteropus vampyrus*. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **139**, 1570–1579 (2011).

752   63.   R. K. Plowright, *et al.*, Urban habituation, ecological connectivity and epidemic
753   dampening: the emergence of Hendra virus from flying foxes (Pteropus spp.). *Proc. Biol.*
754   *Sci.* **278**, 3703–3712 (2011).

755   64.   D. J. Becker, E. D. Ketterson, R. J. Hall, Reactivation of latent infections with migration
756   shapes population-level disease dynamics. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **287**, 20201829 (2020).

757   65.   B. Grinde, Herpesviruses: latency and reactivation – viral strategies and host response. *J.*
758   *Oral. Microbiol.* **5**, 10.3402/jom.v5i0.22766 (2013).

66. J. D. Negrey, *et al.*, Female reproduction and viral infection in a long-lived mammal. *J.*
Anim. Ecol. **91**, 1999–2009 (2022).

67. N. F. Butte, W. W. Wong, M. S. Treuth, K. J. Ellis, E. O'Brian Smith, Energy requirements
during pregnancy based on total energy expenditure and energy deposition. *Am. J. Clin.*
Nutr. **79**, 1078–1087 (2004).

68. J. R. Speakman, The physiological costs of reproduction in small mammals. *Philos. Trans.*
R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **363**, 375–398 (2008).

69. R. K. Plowright, *et al.*, Reproduction and nutritional stress are risk factors for Hendra virus
infection in little red flying foxes (*Pteropus scapulatus*). *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **275**, 861–869
(2008).

70. M. Dietrich, T. Kearney, E. C. J. Seamark, J. T. Paweska, W. Markotter, Synchronized shift
of oral, faecal and urinary microbiotas in bats and natural infection dynamics during
seasonal reproduction. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **5**, 180041 (2018).

71. M. Mourad, *et al.*, Silent Reactivation of Varicella zoster virus in pregnancy: Implications
for maintenance of immunity to varicella. *Viruses* **14**, 1438 (2022).

72. J. F. Drexler, *et al.*, Amplification of Emerging Viruses in a Bat Colony. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*
17, 449–456 (2011).

73. J. A. Encarnação, M. Dietz, U. Kierdorf, Reproductive condition and activity pattern of
male Daubenton's bats (*Myotis daubentonii*) in the summer habitat. *Mamm. Biol.* **69**,
163–172 (2004).

74. L. Martin, R. T. Bernard, "Endocrine regulation of reproduction in bats: the role of
circulating gonadal hormones" in *Reproductive Biology of Bats*, (Elsevier, 2000), pp. 27–
64.

75. S. Ruoss, N. I. Becker, M. S. Otto, G. Á. Czirják, J. A. Encarnação, Effect of sex and
reproductive status on the immunity of the temperate bat *Myotis daubentonii*. *Mamm.*
Biol. **94**, 120–126 (2019).

76. S. C. L. Knowles, A. Fenton, A. B. Pedersen, Epidemiology and fitness effects of wood
mouse herpesvirus in a natural host population. *J. Gen. Virol.* **93**, 2447–2456 (2012).

77. F. Geiser, Hibernation. *Current Biology* **23**, R188–R193 (2013).

78. F. Guerra-Silveira, F. Abad-Franch, Sex bias in infectious disease epidemiology: Patterns
and processes. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e62390 (2013).

79. M. Zuk, The sicker sex. *PLOS Pathog.* **5**, e1000267 (2009).

80. F. Rossetto, *et al.*, Mating strategy is determinant of adenovirus prevalence in European
bats. *PLOS ONE* **15**, e0226203 (2020).

81. A. R. Sjodin, M. R. Willig, S. J. Anthony, "Quantitative Delineation of Herpesviruses in Bats
for use in Ecological Studies" (Ecology, 2019).

82. L. Ancillotto, D. Russo, Selective aggressiveness in European free-tailed bats (*Tadarida
teniotis*): influence of familiarity, age and sex. *Naturwissenschaften* **101**, 221–228 (2014).

83. J. Ortega, J. A. Guerrero, J. E. Maldonado, Aggression and tolerance by dominant males of
Artibeus jamaicensis: strategies to maximize fitness in harem groups. *J. Mammal.* **89**,
1372–1378 (2008).

84. D. J. Becker, *et al.*, Genetic diversity, infection prevalence, and possible transmission
routes of Bartonella spp. in vampire bats. *PLOS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **12**, e0006786 (2018).

85. Y. Z. Foo, S. Nakagawa, G. Rhodes, L. W. Simmons, The effects of sex hormones on
immune function: a meta-analysis. *Biol. Rev.* **92**, 551–571 (2017).

86. S. L. Klein, Hormonal and immunological mechanisms mediating sex differences in
parasite infection. *Parasite Immunol.* **26**, 247–264 (2004).

87. K. S. Baker, *et al.*, Viral antibody dynamics in a chiropteran host. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **83**, 415–
428 (2014).

88. A. C. Breed, M. F. Breed, J. Meers, H. E. Field, Evidence of endemic Hendra virus infection
in flying-foxes (*Pteropus conspicillatus*)—Implications for disease risk management. *PLOS
ONE* **6**, e28816 (2011).

89. D. T. Hayman, *et al.*, Maternal antibody and the maintenance of a lyssavirus in
populations of seasonally breeding African bats. *PlOS ONE* **13**, e0198563 (2018).

90. D. Hasselquist, J.-Å. Nilsson, Maternal transfer of antibodies in vertebrates: trans-
generational effects on offspring immunity. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **364**, 51–60 (2009).

91. J. H. Epstein, *et al.*, Duration of maternal antibodies against Canine distemper virus and
Hendra virus in Pteropid Bats. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e67584 (2013).

92. S. Alizon, Co-infection and super-infection models in evolutionary epidemiology. *Interface
Focus.* **3**, 20130031 (2013).

93. B. Habig, *et al.*, Predictors of helminth parasite infection in female chacma baboons
(*Papio ursinus*). *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* **14**, 308–320 (2021).

94. T. J. Lunn, *et al.*, Spatial dynamics of pathogen transmission in communally roosting
species: Impacts of changing habitats on bat-virus dynamics. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **90**, 2609–2622
(2021).

95. P. Eby, *et al.*, Pathogen spillover driven by rapid changes in bat ecology. *Nature* **613**, 340–
344 (2022).

96. W. Markotter, L. D. Vries, J. Paweska, Wing tattoos: A cost-effective and long-lasting
method for marking bats. A*cta Chiropt.* **25**, 193–202 (2023).

97. C. Lebarbenchon, *et al.*, Astroviruses in bats, Madagascar. *Emerg. microbes & infect.* **6**, 1–
3 (2017).

98. L. D. Smythe, *et al.*, A quantitative PCR (TaqMan) assay for pathogenic Leptospira spp.
BMC Infect. Dis. **2**, 13 (2002).

99. S. Tong, S.-W. W. Chern, Y. Li, M. A. Pallansch, L. J. Anderson, Sensitive and broadly
reactive reverse transcription-PCR assays to detect novel paramyxoviruses. *J. Clin.*
Microbiol. **46**, 2652–2658 (2008).

100. D. R. VanDevanter, *et al.*, Detection and analysis of diverse herpesviral species by
consensus primer PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **34**, 1666–1671 (1996).

101. S. N. Wood, *Generalized additive models: an introduction with R* (CRC press, 2017).

102. C. Bonenfant, *et al.*, Testing sexual segregation and aggregation: old ways are best.
Ecology **88**, 3202–3208 (2007).

103. D. G. Streicker, S. L. Fallas González, G. Luconi, R. G. Barrientos, B. Leon, Phylodynamics
reveals extinction–recolonization dynamics underpin apparently endemic vampire bat
rabies in Costa Rica. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **286**, 20191527 (2019).

104. T. RStudio, RStudio: integrated development for R. *Rstudio Team, PBC, Boston, MA URL*
http://www. rstudio. com (2020).

105. QGIS Development Team, QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial
Foundation Project. (2022). Available at: http://qgis.osgeo.org [Accessed 27 July 2023].

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Widespread triple infection in *Mormopterus francoismoutoui.* (A) Location of

Reunion Island and (B) the studied roosts. (C) A picture of bats aggregated in the

maternity roost (TBA site) before parturition. In (B) studied roosts are represented by grey

circles on Reunion Island map and prevalence (white section of pie charts) is represented

for paramyxovirus (in green), *Leptospira* bacteria (in red) and herpesvirus (in blue). A cross

denotes the absence of prevalence data (no tested samples). Pie diameter corresponds

to four categories of roost size: small < 500 individuals, medium: 500-2000 individuals,

high: 2000-6000 individuals, and exceptional > 100,000 individuals. Accurate roost size

estimation was not being performed for EGI and PSR roosts, but they are represented as

medium roosts because several hundred/thousands are expected. Maternity roosts are

underlined in pink. Roosts that were monitored only once are depicted by an asterisk.

Maps were created using Qgis (105) with a background of OpenStreetMap under License

CC- BY- S. This figure was inspired by Streicker et al. 2012 (48).

Figure 2. Age and reproduction are the main drivers of paramyxovirus, *Leptospira*
bacteria and herpesvirus excretion dynamics in *Mormopterus francoismoutoui.*

(A) Observed prevalence in adults (solid line) and juveniles (dotted line), with grey areas
representing 95% confidence intervals. Periods of significant change (where GAM
derivative 95% does not overlap zero) are highlighted by light-colored segments (light
green for PMV, orange for LEPTO and light blue for HPS). Arrows indicate periods where
only one individual was tested. Pink and purple blocks at the bottom indicate the
parturition period and the mating period, respectively. Austral summer and winter are
indicated in yellow and blue, respectively. (B) GAMM-predicted prevalence in adults
according to their reproductive status (active or not). Significant effects of GAMMs are
indicated: *** $P < 0.001$ and ** $P < 0.01$.

Figure 3. The probability of excretion increases with bi- and tri-infections.

Infectious status is coded with 0 for non-shedding bats and 1 for bats shedding infectious

agent(s). The 95% confidence interval is indicated by a black bar.

Figure 4. Temporal changes of excretion status in recaptured *M. francoismoutoui* bats.
(A) Probability of remaining LEPTO and PMV positive through time. (B) Examples of
recaptured bats with always-positive status for PMV and LEPTO. The time interval (in day)
between two recaptures is indicated above the arrow. (C) Probability of the four
categories of LEPTO excretion status change, with a 95% confidence interval in grey.

888   **Table 1. Details on the sampled roosts of *M. francoismoutoui* and the number of tested**

889   **and positive samples.**

890

Roost	Habitat	No. of sampling periods	Roost size estimation (min and max when available)	No. of urine tested	No. of PMV positive (%)	No. of LEPTO positive (%)	No. of saliva tested	No. of HSV positive (%)
AOM	Building	1	2560	52	6 (11.5)	10 (19.2)	0	no data
EGI	Building	3	no data	149	56 (37.6)	79 (53.0)	89	82 (92.1)
ESA	Bridge	15	570 - 3200	634 (PMV) 635 (LEPTO)	254 (40.0)	360 (56.7)	452	406 (89.9)
MON	Bridge	15	210 - 1150	488	144 (28.4)	268 (54.9)	419	355 (84.5)
PBV	Cliff	1	300	11	4 (36.4)	8 (72.7)	0	no data
PSR	Building	15	no data	512 (PMV) 510 (LEPTO)	255 (49.8)	305 (59.8)	396	387 (97.8)
PSR-SUD	Building	1	no data	51	9 (17.6)	14 (27.4)	0	no data
RBL	Bridge	15	350 - 1300	658	238 (36.2)	235 (35.7)	425	369 (86.8)
RES	Bridge	8	35 - 410	223	91 (41.0)	111 (49.8)	212	179 (84.4)
RPQ	Bridge	15	150 - 3000	535 (PMV) 536 (LEPTO)	229 (41.9)	287 (53.4)	416	386 (92.8)
STJ	Building	1	200	34	14 (41.2)	10 (29.4)	0	no data
STM	Bridge	12	27 - 350	364	145 (39.9)	166 (45.6)	281	267 (95.0)
TBA*	Cave	11	0 - 100,000	500	140 (28.0)	168 (33.6)	330	217 (65.8)
TGI*	Building	15	75 - 1270	496	167 (33.7)	229 (46.1)	432	356 (82.4)
TM5	Bridge	1	200	28	0	4 (14.3)	0	no data
TRI	Bridge	4	153 - 251	125	45 (36.0)	86 (68.8)	112	104 (92.9)
VSP	Bridge	15	15 - 6000	658	224 (34.0)	225 (34.2)	417	361 (86.6)
Total	/	/	/	5,518	2,021 (36.6)	2,565 (46.5)	3,981	3,469 (87.1)

891

892