**Nanoscale cluster mapping of molecular complexes with pixel-based correlations**

**Tai Kiuchi[1]\*, Ryouhei Kobayashi[2], Shuichiro Ogawa[1]†, Louis L. H. Elverston[2] and**

**Naoki Watanabe[1, 2]**

[1] Department of Pharmacology, Kyoto University Faculty of Medicine, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto

606-8501, Japan

[2] Laboratory of Single-Molecule Cell Biology, Kyoto University Graduate School of

Biostudies, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

\* Correspondence should be addressed to T.K. (kiuchi.tai.6z@kyoto-u.ac.jp)

Tel: +81-75-753-4397, Fax: +81-75-753-4394

† Present address: Nagahama Institute of Bio-Science and Technology, 1266 Tamura-cho,

Nagahama, Shiga 526-0829, Japan

**Abstract**

**Super-resolution microscopy achieves a few nanometers resolution, but protein quantification and colocalization is limited by its labeling density. Here we present a method to map molecular complexes using the multiplexed data of IRIS super-resolution imaging. We developed antiserum-derived Fab IRIS probes for high-density labeling of endogenous targets, and image analysis, Protein Cluster coloring (PC-coloring), which employs pixel-based principal component analysis and clustering. PC-coloring was first used to map regions of distinct ratios of targets on the image. The molecular complex formation was then evaluated by correlation between all combinations of two proteins in each PC-colored region. We elucidate receptor recruitment and the complex formation in a clathrin-coated structure (CCS) which is pre-assembled prior to endocytosis. Upon EGF stimulation, EGFR-, EGFR-Grb2-complex- and Grb2-dominant regions lined up from the CCS rim. Along the interior of Grb2-dominant regions, Eps15, FCHo1/2 and intersectin-1 formed a complex with Grb2. The interior of Eps15-FCHo1/2 complexes was lined with Eps15-intersectin-1 complexes. The results reveal a laminar complex formation of EGFR, Grb2 and CCS components at the recruitment sites.**

Super-resolution microscopy achieves a resolution of a few nanometers, allowing visualization of molecular localization within the complex[1,2]. However, multiple labeling of endogenous proteins with antibodies is impossible in a region smaller than the antibody size (> 10 nm)[3,4]. Especially in multiplexed super-resolution microscopy, physical interference between antibodies may reduce the accessibility to the antigens as previously reported[5]. Due to the incomplete labeling, accuracy in colocalization analysis cannot be improved solely by increasing the resolution. To overcome the labeling problem, we developed a multiplexed super-resolution microscopy, named image reconstruction by integrating exchangeable single-molecule localization (IRIS)[6]. IRIS employs fluorescent probes (IRIS probes) that rapidly associate with and dissociate from the endogenous target[6]. The fast exchange property enables high-density and sequential labeling of multiple targets without causing physical interference between the probes[5,6]. The IRIS probes have ever been produced from protein fragments, Fab fragments of mouse monoclonal antibodies, and engineered antibody and nanobody fragments[5–7]. Here we introduce a new IRIS probe from antiserum. The antiserum contains polyclonal antibodies against multiple epitopes in the target protein. Therefore, antiserum-derived Fab probes are expected to stain targets, some epitopes of which are not exposed in a complex. Furthermore, to map molecular complexes between multiple targets, we present Protein

Cluster coloring (PC-coloring) that employs principal component analysis (PCA) and
clustering of protein-size pixels in IRIS images.
In the canonical model of clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), clathrin-coated pits
(CCPs) are formed, grow to 150 – 200 nm in diameter upon binding to the receptor
cargoes, and bud off[8]. However, electron microscopy studies show clathrin-coated
structures (CCSs) ranging in size from tens nanometers to a few micrometers, including
CCPs and clathrin plaque[9–13]. CCPs are not isolated structures but are often linked to the
rim of a clathrin plaque[10,11,13]. Clathrin plaques also often contain curved clathrin lattices
like a CCP[10]. Fluorescence microscopy studies show hotspots of CME and EGFR
recruitment upon EGF stimulation in CCSs[13,14]. Hence, CCS is a molecular apparatus
which is pre-assembled for receptor recruitment prior to CME. Although the interaction
network between the CCS components and the recruited receptors have been proposed[15],
their spatial relationship is poorly understood. Many immunoelectron microscopy and
super-resolution microscopy studies have observed the localization of a single target and
have shown its averaged distribution in many CCSs[16–20]. However, due to the
heterogeneity of the CCSs, colocalization between multiple molecules (i.e. molecular
complexes) remains to be determined.
73
**Spatial relationship among CCS-associated proteins**
We visualized the distribution of eight endogenous CCS-associated proteins, which
were clathrin light chain (CLC), adaptor protein 2 complex beta subunit (AP2β2), EGFR,
Grb2, transferrin receptors (TfR), FCHo1 and 2 (FCHo1/2), Eps15 and intersectin-1
(ITSN1). These proteins were localized in CCSs visualized by CLC and AP2β2 (Fig. 1A).
We produced the Fab probes from antiserum and verified their labeling to the endogenous
targets in HeLa cells (Supplementary Figs. 1–8, Supplementary Table 1, and Methods).
The average labeling densities of these probes were 2.9 – 4.8 labels per 10 nm pixel after
correcting for overcounting of probe binding across multiple frames (Extended Data Fig.
1D). These densities exceed the maximum occupied density (0.9 per 10 nm pixel) of an
antibody (12 nm in size) or an EGFR dimer (11.9 nm in size[21]). We further improved the
localization accuracy using normalized Gaussian with mean localization and standard
error (SE) of a probe bound over multiple frames[2] (Methods). In the localization
distribution of a long-binding probe, the normalized Gaussian represents the probability
distribution of the true target location, according to the central limit theorem (Fig. 1B,
bottom graph). The SE decreased with the number of the localizations, reaching around
1 nm (Extended Data Fig. 2A). The mode of the SEs was reduced to 10.7 nm compared
to 24.2 nm in the standard deviations (SDs) of the localization distribution (Extended

Data Fig. 2B, C). The resolution of the Gaussian rendering IRIS image with mean
localization is 2.26 times better than that of the IRIS image reconstructed by integrating
the localizations (Fig. 1C and Extended Data Fig. 3). In the Gaussian rendering image,
the labeling densities in the target-localized area were 1.5 – 6.6 or higher labels per 10
nm pixel (Extended Data Fig. 1E).

To investigate molecular complexes at EGFR recruitment sites in CCSs, cells were
stimulated with a saturated concentration (100 ng/ml) of EGF at room temperature for
delayed endocytosis[22]. Under this condition, EGFR-tagRFP was recruited in the pre-
assembled CCSs (Supplementary Fig. S2A, B). The IRIS images with improved
resolution revealed clusters of the eight proteins in a single CCS (Fig. 1D). EGFR and
Grb2 clusters were localized at the outer rim of the CCS, the width of which ranged from
around 50 nm to 100 nm (Fig. 1E, F, arrows). FCHo1/2, Eps15 and ITSN1 clusters were
found at the interior of Grb2 clusters (Fig. 1G–I, arrows). Interestingly, EGFR clusters
were more extended outward at the CCS rim than Grb2 clusters (Fig. 1F, arrow),
suggesting either stepwise formation of EGFR-Grb2 complex or the recruitment of Grb2
by a molecule(s) other than EGFR.

Before EGF stimulation, EGFR was spread across the plasma membrane, and Grb2
was not localized in the CCSs (Extended Data Fig. 4A). After 3 min of EGF simulation
at 37°C, EGFR and Grb2 clusters were localized at CCS rims (Extended Data Fig. 4B,
yellow arrowheads). However, at 5 min, the number of EGFR clusters decreased in many
CCSs (Extended Data Fig. 4C, cyan arrowheads), suggesting internalization of EGFR.
Interestingly many Grb2 clusters remained at the CCS rims, suggesting Grb2 complexes
without EGFR (Extended Data Fig. 4C, green arrowheads). These clusters also
colocalized with mCherry (mCh)-SOS1 (Ras GEF) and cCbl-mCh (E3 ligase of EGFR[23])
in IRIS images with anti-mCh nanobody[24] (Extended Data Fig. 5C, D). These results
suggest that EGFR and Grb2 clusters may contain molecular complexes of different
compositions at the EGFR recruitment sites.
119

**PC-coloring for molecular complexes**

To map the molecular complexes at the EGFR recruitment sites, we developed an
image analysis, Protein Cluster coloring (PC-coloring). PC-coloring classifies pixels in
the merged IRIS image by PCA and clustering based on the ratio of the standardized (z-
score) intensities of multiple targets (Fig. 2A, top panels). A PCA score image was created
by plotting the raw intensity of the targets (Fig. 2A, top left panel) in the coordinates of
the first and second principal components (PCs) (Fig. 2a, top center panel). In the PCA
score image, EGFR (red)-dominant, Grb2 (green)-dominant, Eps15 (cyan)-dominant and

AP2β2 (blue)-dominant pixels were located away from the center of the image. The pixels
were then clustered using k-means method, a commonly used clustering method (Fig. 2A,
top right panel). The clustered pixels are shown by eight-colored circles on a PCA cluster
image (Fig. 2A, bottom left panel). This coloring was performed based on the similarity
of the target intensity ratio in the dendrogram, the mean z-score intensities of the target
(Fig. 2A, middle panels) and the position of the clustered pixels in the PCA cluster image
(Fig. 2A, bottom left panel and Methods). The colors of the clustered pixels were then
assigned on the original IRIS image, generating a super-resolved (SR) cluster image (Fig.
2A, bottom center panel). In the SR cluster image, EGFR-dominant (red), EGFR- and
Grb2-dominant (yellow), Grb2-dominant (green) pixels and orange pixels were localized
in this order from the outside of the CCS rim (Fig. 2B, C).
Colocalization was further characterized by the Pearson correlation coefficient
between the targets in each colored pixel (Fig. 3). The correlation coefficients between
EGFR and Grb2 were 0.79, 0.93 and 0.76 in red, yellow, and green pixels, respectively
(Fig. 3A, black arrows). These high correlation coefficients at a 5 nm pixel size, which is
close to the size of the protein, strongly indicate the formation of the complex of EGFR
and Grb2. In other colors, the correlation coefficient was low. These results indicate
complex formation of EGFR and Grb2 in specific regions near the CCS rim (Fig. 2B).
We also noted that the correlation coefficient between Grb2 and Eps15 was 0.8 in orange
pixels, indicating the complex formation of Grb2 and Eps15 in orange pixels (Fig. 2C and
Fig. 3A, H, open arrow), which is not apparent in the original IRIS image (Fig. 1D). The
slopes of the regression lines in green, yellow and red pixels were larger in this order (Fig.
3I). This increase in the composition ratio of Grb2 to EGFR toward the center of CCSs
suggests gradual complex formation of EGFR with Grb2. PC-coloring in combination
with the correlation analysis allows us to identify the sites of complex formation between
specific combinations of targets in multiplexed super-resolution imaging.
154

**Laminar distribution of the molecular complexes at CCS rims**
To further examine colocalization sites of CCS components (Eps15, FCHo1/2 and
ITSN1) with EGFR and Grb2, we created SR cluster images (Fig. 4 and Extended Data
Figs. 6–8). In the SR cluster image of EGFR, Grb2 and Eps15, EGFR-dominant (orange),
EGFR-Grb2-dominant (yellow) and Grb2-dominant (green) pixels lined up from the
outside the CCS rim (Fig. 4A). Grb2-Eps15-dominant (magenta) pixels were localized
along the edges of Grb2-dominant (green) pixels. On the central side of the CCS, EGFR-
Eps15-dominant (cyan) and Eps15-dominant (blue) pixels were localized. Among these
color pixels, the yellow, magenta and cyan pixels had a high correlation coefficient of

0.82 between EGFR and Grb2, 0.8 between Grb2 and Eps15, and 0.78 between EGFR
and Eps15, respectively, indicating their complex formation (Fig. 4A, red arrows). Eps15
are known to be bound to EGFR upon EGF stimulation[25]. The distribution of cyan pixels
indicates that EGFR may form a complex with Eps15 primarily on the central side of the
CCS, not in the EGFR clusters at the outer rim of CCSs. Notably, colocalization of Grb2
and Eps15 (magenta pixels) was confined to the boundaries of the Grb2 clusters and the
CCS rim. In other SR cluster images, magenta pixels were also confined to the boundaries
(Fig. 4B, C). In the scatter plots of Grb2 and Eps15, Eps15 intensity increased with Grb2
intensity at a certain intensity ratio in the magenta pixels (Fig. 4E, arrow). This indicates
complex formation of Grb2 and Eps15 with a certain composition ratio in most magenta
pixels. On the other hand, in the scatter plots of EGFR and Eps15, the distribution of
magenta pixels showed only a partial correlation compared that of the cyan pixels (Fig.
4F, arrows). This suggests that the complex of EGFR and Eps15 is not formed in a large
fraction of magenta pixels. This was also seen in the scatter plots of other CCS
components (Extended Data Fig. 7E, 8E, right graph, arrows). These results indicate that
EGFR recruitment sites at CCS rims are layered from the outside with EGFR-dominant
sites, the sites of EGFR-Grb2 complex formation, and Grb2-dominant sites, and the sites
of Grb2-CCS component complex formation.
Next, we examined a spatial relationship among FCHo1/2, Eps15 and ITSN1 (Fig. 5A
and Extended Data Fig. 9A). In the SR cluster image, FCHo1/2-dominant (red),
FCHo1/2-Eps15-dominant (yellow) and Eps15-dominant (green) pixels were localized at
the CCS rim (Fig. 5B and Extended Data Fig. 9B). At the interior of these colored pixels,
Eps15-ITSN1-dominant (purple) and ITSN1-dominant (blue) pixels were localized.
Among these color pixels, yellow and purple pixels had a high correlation coefficient of
0.73 between FCHo1/2 and Eps15, and 0.78 between Eps15 and ITSN1, respectively (Fig.
5C, arrows). FCHo1/2-ITSN1-dominant (orange) and FCHo1/2-Eps15-ITSN1-dominant
(pale pink) pixels also had a high correlation coefficient, but these clustered pixels were
small and dispersed (Fig. 5B, C). Overexpression of mCh-FCHo2 highlighted its
localization to the CCS rim (Supplementary Fig. 9A). The SR image again shows that the
interior of Eps15-FCHo1/2 dominant pixels is lined with Eps15-ITNS1 dominant pixels
(Supplementary Fig. 9A). These results indicate that Eps15 colocalizes with different
partners from the rim to the center of the CCS in a stepwise manner, which is not apparent
in the original IRIS image (Fig. 5A and Extended Data Fig. 9A). In the scatter plots,
FCHo1/2 and ITSN1 intensity increased with Eps15 intensity at a certain ratio in yellow
and purple pixels, respectively (Fig. 5D, arrows and Extended Data Fig. 10). FCHo1/2,
Eps15 and ITSN1 are known to bind to each other[19,26–28]. However, our results suggest

that Eps15 forms a complex with FCHo1/2 and ITSN1 in distinct regions. These results
reveal the laminar distribution of distinct complexes composed of FCHo1/2, Eps15 and
ITSN1 from the rim to the center of CCSs.
203
**Recruitment sites of membrane receptors**
We compared the recruitment sites of EGFR and TfR in a CCS. In the merged IRIS image,
TfR clusters were localized in spots (Fig. 6A, cyan arrowheads). The SR cluster image
showed that TfR-dominant pixels (cyan) were separated from EGFR-dominant (red) and
Grb2-dominant (green) pixels (Fig. 6B). In the scatter plots of TfR and EGFR or Grb2,
the TfR-dominant pixels (cyan) were largely separated from both EGFR-dominant (red)
and Grb2-dominant (green) pixels (Fig. 6E, center and right, arrows), compared to the
position of the red and green pixels in the scatter plot of EGFR and Grb2 (Fig. 6E, left,
arrows). Since EGFR and TfR are expected to accumulate at the endocytic sites, the
separation of these dominant pixels suggest that their endocytic sites are different.
214
**Discussion**
Colocalization analyses using single-molecule localization-based super-resolution
microscopy have been reported for Clus-Doc[29], ClusterViSu[30] and Coloc-Tesseler[31], but
these analyses are performed on only two targets. In this study, we developed PC-coloring
for colocalization analysis of three or more targets. In the first step, PC-coloring creates
the color map of colocalization sites characterized by the intensity ratio of the targets. In
the second step, the detailed correlation between individual target pairs is examined in
the scatter plots. A high correlation coefficient may reflect the formation of the molecular
complexes because correlation is analyzed with highly accurate quantitative data in
protein-size pixels. Hence, in PC-coloring, PCA extracts features about molecular
complexes from the multiplexed intensity data. By assigning the features on the original
image, their spatial relationship is visualized. PC-coloring was enabled by the advantages
of IRIS. The high-density labeling with the antiserum-derived Fab probes allowed faithful
visualization of distributions of multiple endogenous proteins. This high density also
allowed improved resolution using the large number of probes bound over multiple
frames. These resulted in greater separation of the pixels by PCA.
At the CCS rim, the localizations of various endocytic proteins have been
reported[16,19,20]. In addition, many clathrin-coated microcages of 50 – 60 nm diameter
emerge from CCS rims of cells treated with a proton ionophore at pH 6.3 [32], similar to
pH inside endocytic vesicles containing EGF[33]. Therefore, the CCS rim has been
considered an endocytic site of receptor[13,20]. EGF-induced colocalization of EGFR, Grb2

and CCS components shown in this study provides direct evidence for molecular

complexes at the EGFR recruitment sites in the CCS rim. The separation of EGFR-

dominant and Grb2-dominant regions from TfR-dominant regions suggests EGFR-

specific endocytosis sites at the CCS rim. This is consistent with the facts that Grb2 is

required for endocytosis of EGFR, but not TfR[34], and that the sorting of EGFR and TfR

occurs early in the endocytosis process on the CCSs[35,36]. Furthermore, the laminar

complexes of EGFR, Grb2 and CCS components suggests that Grb2 anchors EGFR to

CCS rims. This is supported from the domain structure of Grb2, in which its SH2 domain

binds to phosphorylated EGFR, and its two SH3 domains bind to the proline-rich domains

of Eps15 and Dynamin[37,38]. Indeed, overexpression of the SH3 domain-deletion mutant

of Grb2 inhibits EGFR recruitment to CCSs[14]. The half-life of Grb2 binding to EGFR is

about five sec[39], which is shorter than the endocytosis process of $1 - 2$ min[40]. Thus, at the

EGFR recruitment sites, Grb2 can form a complex with CCS components that remains

after EGFR endocytosis.

FCHo1/2, Eps15 and ITSN1 were reported to be localized around the rim of the

CCSs[16,19,20]. This study further clarified that the interior of Eps15-FCHo1/2 complexes

are lined with Eps15-ITSN1 complexes at the CCS rims. These proteins can form homo-

oligomers[41–43]. The F-BAR protein, FCHo1/2, senses membrane curvature and binds to

the plasma membrane[42]. Eps15 is strongly bound to FCHo1/2 because it is deprived of

CCSs by the ectopically localized C-terminal domain of FCHo1/2[19]. Furthermore, Syp1p

and Ede1p, yeast homologs of FCHo1/2 and Eps15, are the earliest to assemble at the

endocytosis site in yeast, followed by Pan1p and Sla1p, homologs of ITSN1[44]. Together

with these reports and our results, Eps15 clusters may grow from Eps15-FCHo1/2

complexes, and then ITSN1 clusters may grow on the Eps15 clusters as a foothold in CCS

formation.

Our results indicate six layers at EGFR recruitment sites in CCS rims (Fig. 6F), as

follows: (i) EGFR-dominant sites, (ii) the sites of EGFR-Grb2 complex formation, (iii)

Grb2-dominant sites, (iv) the sites of Grb2-CCS component complex formation, (v) the

sites of Eps15-FCHo1/2 complex formation, (vi) the sites of Eps15-ITSN1 complex

formation. After EGF endocytosis, the remaining Grb2 clusters could trap another EGFR

cluster. The colocalization of SOS and cCbl suggests that this laminar complex is also the

initiation site of signal transduction. The signaling would be enhanced in many tumor

cells in which endocytosis is delayed by overexpression or heterodimers of EGFR[45–48].

PC-coloring can update the *in vitro* network of protein interactions[15,49,50] to the *in vivo*

map of protein complexes.

**References**

Balzarotti, F. *et al*. Nanometer resolution imaging and tracking of fluorescent molecules with minimal photon fluxes. *Science* **355**, 606-612, doi: 10.1126/science.aak9913 (2017).

Reinhardt, S. C. M. *et al*. Ångström-resolution fluorescence microscopy. *Nature* **617**, 711-716, doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-05925-9 (2023).

Sauer, M. Localization microscopy coming of age: from concepts to biological impact. *Journal of cell science* **126**, 3505-3513, doi: 10.1242/jcs.123612. (2013).

Kanchanawong, P. & Waterman, C. M. Localization-based super-resolution imaging of cellular structures. *Methods Mol. Biol* **1046**, 59-84, doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-538-5_4 (2013).

Zhang, Q. *et al*. Engineered fast-dissociating antibody fragments for multiplexed super-resolution microscopy. *Cell reports Methods* **2**, 100301, doi: 10.1016/j.crmeth.2022.100301 (2022).

Kiuchi, T. *et al*. Multitarget super-resolution microscopy with high-density labeling by exchangeable probes. *Nature methods* **12**, 743-746, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3466 (2015).

Miyoshi, T. *et al*. Semi-automated single-molecule microscopy screening of fast-dissociating specific antibodies directly from hybridoma cultures. *Cell reports* **34**, 108708, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108708 (2021).

Mettlen, M. et al. Regulation of Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis. Annual review of biochemistry 87, 871-896, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-012644 (2018).

Heuser, J. Three-dimensional visualization of coated vesicle formation in fibroblasts. *The Journal of cell biology* **84**, 560-583, doi:10.1083/jcb.84.3.560 (1980).

Maupin, P. & Pollard, T. D. Improved preservation and staining of HeLa cell actin filaments, clathrin-coated membranes, and other cytoplasmic structures by tannic acid-glutaraldehyde-saponin fixation. *The Journal of cell biology* **96**, 51-62, doi:10.1083/jcb.96.1.51 (1983).

Heuser, J. & Anderson, R. G. Hypertonic media inhibit receptor-mediated endocytosis by blocking clathrin-coated pit formation. *The Journal of cell biology* **108**, 389-400, doi:10.1083/jcb.108.2.389 (1989).

Larkin, J. M., Donzell, W. C. & Anderson, R. G. Potassium-dependent assembly of coated pits: new coated pits form as planar clathrin lattices. *The Journal of cell biology* **103**, 2619-2627, doi:10.1083/jcb.103.6.2619 (1986).

13    Lampe, M., Vassilopoulos, S. & Merrifield, C. Clathrin coated pits, plaques and
adhesion. *Journal of structural biology* **196**, 48-56, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2016.07.009
(2016).

14    Jiang, X., Huang, F., Marusyk, A. & Sorkin, A. Grb2 regulates internalization of
EGF receptors through clathrin-coated pits. *Molecular biology of the cell* **14**, 858-
870, doi:10.1091/mbc.e02-08-0532 (2003).

15    Traub, L. M. & Bonifacino, J. S. Cargo recognition in clathrin-mediated
endocytosis. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* **5**, a016790, doi:
10.1101/cshperspect.a016790 (2013).

16    Tebar, F. *et al*. Eps15 is a component of clathrin-coated pits and vesicles and is
located at the rim of coated pits. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **271**, 28727-
28730, doi:10.1074/jbc.271.46.28727 (1996).

17    Johannessen L. E. et al. Activation of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor
induces formation of EGF receptor- and Grb2-containing clathrin-coated pits.
Molecular and cellular biology 26, 389-401, doi:10.1128/MCB.26.2.389-
401.2006 (2006).

18    Kazazic, M. *et al*. Epsin 1 is involved in recruitment of ubiquitinated EGF
receptors into clathrin-coated pits. *Traffic* **10**, 235-245, doi:10.1111/j.1600-
0854.2008.00858.x (2009).

19    Ma, L. *et al.* Transient Fcho1/2·Eps15/R·AP-2 Nanoclusters Prime the AP-2
Clathrin Adaptor for Cargo Binding. *Developmental Cell* **37**, 428-443,
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.05.003 (2016).

20    Sochacki, K. A., Dickey, A. M., Strub, M. P. & Taraska, J. W. Endocytic proteins
are partitioned at the edge of the clathrin lattice in mammalian cells. *Nature cell*
*biology* **19**, 352-361, doi:10.1038/ncb3498 (2017).

21    Needham, S. R. *et al*. EGFR oligomerization organizes kinase-active dimers into
competent signalling platforms. *Nature Communications* **7**, 13307, doi:
10.1038/ncomms13307 (2016).

22    Hillman, G. M. & Schlessinger, J. Lateral diffusion of epidermal growth factor
complexed to its surface receptors does not account for the thermal sensitivity of
patch formation and endocytosis. *Biochemistry* **21**, 1667-1672,
doi:10.1021/bi00536a030 (1982).

23    Levkowitz, G. *et al*. Ubiquitin ligase activity and tyrosine phosphorylation
underlie suppression of growth factor signaling by c-Cbl/Sli-1. *Molecular Cell* **4**,
1029-1040, doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80231-2 (1999).

24    Fridy, P. C. *et al*. A robust pipeline for rapid production of versatile nanobody

344            repertoires. *Nature methods* **11**, 1253-1260, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3170 (2014).

345    25    van Delft, S. *et al*. Association and colocalization of Eps15 with adaptor protein-
346            2 and clathrin. *The Journal of cell biology* **136**, 811-821, doi:
347            10.1083/jcb.136.4.811 (1997).

348    26    Sengar, A. S. *et al*. The EH and SH3 domain Ese proteins regulate endocytosis by
349            linking to dynamin and Eps15. *The EMBO Journal* **18**, 1159-1171,
350            doi:10.1093/emboj/18.5.1159 (1999).

351    27    Koh, T. *et al*. Eps15 and Dap160 control synaptic vesicle membrane retrieval and
352            synapse development. *The Journal of cell biology* **178**, 309-322
353            doi:10.1083/jcb.200701030 (2007).

354    28    Henne, W. M. *et al*. FCHo proteins are nucleators of clathrin-mediated
355            endocytosis. *Science* **328**, 1281-1284, doi: 10.1126/science.1188462 (2010).

356    29    Pageon, S. V. *et al*. Clus-DoC: a combined cluster detection and colocalization
357            analysis for single-molecule localization microscopy data. *Molecular biology of
358            the cell* **27**, 3627-3636, doi: 10.1091/mbc.E16-07-0478 (2016).

359    30    Andronov, L. *et al*. ClusterViSu, a method for clustering of protein complexes by
360            Voronoi tessellation in super-resolution microscopy. *Scientific reports* **6**, 24084,
361            doi: 10.1038/srep24084 (2016).

362    31    Levet, F. *et al*. A tessellation-based colocalization analysis approach for single-
363            molecule localization microscopy. *Nature Communications* **10**, 2379, doi:
364            10.1038/s41467-019-10007-4 (2019).

365    32    Heuser, J. Effects of cytoplasmic acidification on clathrin lattice morphology. *The
366            Journal of cell biology* **108**, 401-411, doi:10.1083/jcb.108.2.401 (1989).

367    33    Heo, C. H. *et al*. Real-time monitoring of vesicle pH in an endocytic pathway
368            using an EGF-conjugated two-photon probe. *Chemical Communications* **52**,
369            14007-14010 doi:10.1039/c6cc08036g (2016).

370    34    Huang, F., Khvorova, A., Marshall, W. & Sorkin, A. Analysis of clathrin-
371            mediated endocytosis of epidermal growth factor receptor by RNA interference.
372            *The Journal of biological chemistry* **279**, 16657-16661,
373            doi:10.1074/jbc.C400046200 (2004).

374    35    Tosoni, D. *et al*. TTP specifically regulates the internalization of the transferrin
375            receptor. *Cell* **123**, 875-888 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.10.021 (2005).

376    36    Leonard, D. *et al*. Sorting of EGF and transferrin at the plasma membrane and by
377            cargo-specific signaling to EEA1-enriched endosomes. *Journal of cell science*
378            **121**, 3445-3458 doi:10.1242/jcs.031484 (2008).

37    Wang, Z. & Moran, M. F. Requirement for the adapter protein GRB2 in EGF

receptor        endocytosis.       *Science*      **272**,       1935-1939,
doi:10.1126/science.272.5270.1935 (1996).

38    Parachoniak, C. & Park, M. Distinct recruitment of Eps15 via Its coiled-coil
domain is required for efficient down-regulation of the met receptor tyrosine
kinase. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **284**, 8382-8394, doi:
10.1074/jbc.M807607200 (2009).

39    Morimatsu, M. *et al*. Multiple-state reactions between the epidermal growth factor
receptor and Grb2 as observed by using single-molecule analysis. *Proceedings of*
*the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**, 18013-
18018, doi:10.1073/pnas.0701330104 (2007).

40    Loerke, D. *et al*. Cargo and dynamin regulate clathrin-coated pit maturation. *PloS*
*Biology* **7**, e1000057, doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000057 (2009).

41    Cupers, P., ter Haar, E., Boll, W. & Kirchhausen, T. Parallel dimers and anti-
parallel tetramers formed by epidermal growth factor receptor pathway substrate
clone 15. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **272**, 33430-33434,
doi:10.1074/jbc.272.52.33430 (1997).

42    Shimada, A. *et al*. Curved EFC/F-BAR-domain dimers are joined end to end into
a filament for membrane invagination in endocytosis. *Cell* **129**, 761-772,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.03.040 (2007).

43    Wong, K. A. *et al*. Intersectin (ITSN) family of scaffolds function as molecular
hubs in protein interaction networks. *PloS One* **7**, e36023, doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0036023 (2012).

44    Weinberg, J. & Drubin, D. G. Clathrin-mediated endocytosis in budding yeast.
*Trends in Cell Biology* **22**, 1-13 doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2011.09.001 (2012).

45    Benveniste, M., Livneh, E., Schlessinger, J. & Kam, Z. Overexpression of
epidermal growth factor receptor in NIH-3T3-transfected cells slows its lateral
diffusion and rate of endocytosis. *The Journal of cell biology* **106**, 1903-1909,
doi:10.1083/jcb.106.6.1903 (1988).

46    Haslekås, C. *et al*. The inhibitory effect of ErbB2 on epidermal growth factor-
induced formation of clathrin-coated pits correlates with retention of epidermal
growth factor receptor-ErbB2 oligomeric complexes at the plasma membrane.
*Molecular biology of the cell* **16**, 5832-5842, doi:10.1091/mbc.e05-05-0456
(2005).

47    Offterdinger, M. & Bastiaens, P. I. Prolonged EGFR signaling by ERBB2-
mediated sequestration at the plasma membrane. *Traffic* **9**, 147-155,
doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00665.x (2008).

48    Kiuchi, T. *et al*. The ErbB4 CYT2 variant protects EGFR from ligand-induced
degradation to enhance cancer cell motility. *Science signaling* **7**, ra78,
doi:10.1126/scisignal.2005157 (2014).
49    Schmid, E. M. & McMahon, H. T. Integrating molecular and network biology to
decode endocytosis. *Nature* **448**, 883-888 doi: 10.1038/nature06031 (2007).
50    McMahon, H. T. & Boucrot, E. Molecular mechanism and physiological
functions of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Nature reviews molecular cell biology*
**12**, 517-533 doi:10.1038/nrm3151 (2011).
424

## METHODS

### Plasmids and reagents

Expression plasmids for human CLC (#57452), human TfR (#61505), human Eps15 (#27696), human ITSN1 Long (#47395), mouse FCHo2 (#27686), human Epsin2 (#22242), mouse Hip1r (#), human Dynamin2 (#27689) and human AP2$\mu$2 (#27672) were purchased from Addgene. cDNA encoding human AP2$\beta$2 (Clone ID: 3532472) and human FCHo1 (Clone ID: 5218319) were purchased from Horizon (Dharmacon). cDNA encoding nanobodies for anti-EGFP and anti-mCh were synthesized by gBlocks Gene Fragments (Integrated DNA Technolodies) according to reported sequences (Clone ID: LaG-3 and LaM-1)[24]. Expression plasmids for human EGFR, human cCbl and human Grb2 were previously described[48,51]. These cDNAs and their fragments were inserted into pGEX-6P-1 vector using PCR for protein purification from E. coli. EGF was purchased from Pepro Tech. DyLight 488 NHS Ester was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

### Cell culture

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. For stimulation with 100 ng/ml EGF, the cells were serum-starved overnight. For plasmid transfection, FuGENE HD (Promega) was used, and the cells were cultured for 24 hours before IRIS imaging.

### Purification of antigen proteins and nanobodies

In this study, 4 to 5 mg of a recombinant protein per a Fab probe was required for rabbit antiserum preparation, the affinity purification of polyclonal antibodies and Fab probe purification. To increase the yield of the recombinant protein, a general purification protocol for glutathione S-transferase (GST)-fused protein from E. coli was improved using an excess of glutathione resins. BL21(DE3) competent cells were transformed with pGEX-6P-1 vector encoding GST-fused protein. After 35 $\mu$M IPTG induction, 6L cultures of the cells were incubated for 16 to 20 hours at 20°C with shaking. The collected cells were lysed in 50 ml lysis buffer (20 mM Tris pH7.3, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Nacalai Tesque) and sonicated. Glutathione resins (GST-Accept, Nacalai Tesque) in 30 to 60 ml bed volume was added to the supernatant of the cell lysate, and then was rotated for 90 min at 4 °C. The resins were transferred to two or three columns constructed from a 50-ml plastic syringe. The resins were washed with the lysis buffer and a high Na buffer (20 mM Tris pH7.3, 500 mM NaCl, 0.25% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT), and three times for 5 min with a buffer A (20 mM Tris pH7.3, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl, 0.25% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 1mM

ATP). The resins were further washed five times with a PreScission reaction buffer (50

mM Tris pH7.3, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) for antiserum preparation.

For affinity column preparation, the resins were washed five times with a $NaHCO_3$ buffer

(200 mM $NaHCO_3$, 500 mM NaCl) to remove primary amines from the buffer. Two ml

of 50% slurry of the resins were aliquoted into thirty to sixty 2-ml tubes. The resins were

subject to PreScission protease and rotated overnight at 4°C to elute the target proteins.

The use of the 2-ml tubes is critical in this elution step. For unknown reasons, some

proteins such as FCHo1, Dyn2 and cCbl were reproducibly lost in this step when 50-ml

or 15-ml tubes were used, even if low-protein adsorption tubes (PROTEOSAVE,

Sumitomo Bakelite Co.) were used. The eluted protein solution was in turn transferred to

an ultrafiltration column (Amicon Ultra-15, Merck Millipore) and concentrated by

centrifugation. Eighty to ninety percentage of the eluted protein was recovered from the

column, if the water level of the solution was not lowered below the height of the

column's filter during centrifugation. The resins were again filled with the buffer to obtain

the second and third eluates, which were added to the first eluate and all concentrated to

2 ml. Since the predicted molecular weights of TfR1-60, TfR93-179, Grb2 109-159 and

Grb2 160-217 are small (6–10 kDa), for antiserum preparation, the GST-fused protein

fragments were eluted with 20 mM reduced glutathione. The reduced glutathione was

removed by dialysis. By this protocol using an excess of glutathione resins, the yield of

EGFR 985-1210, which had a low yield, increased about 11-fold. Seventeen antigens,

some with molecular weights around 100 kD, were obtained in milligram quantities in

one or two experiments, for FCHo2 in three or four experiments (Supplementary Table

2). Nanobodies for EGFP and mCh were purified using the usual amount of the

glutathione resins from BL21 cells because of their high yield.

The used resins were collected and reused after being cleaned according to the cleaning

protocol for a glutathione column (GSTrap$^{TM}$ FF, Cytiva). The resins were transferred to

two or three columns constructed from a 50-ml plastic syringe. GST remained on the

resins was removed by adding 20 mM reduced glutathione three times. The resins were

washed five times with PBS. Precipitated substances were removed with 6 M guanidine

hydrochloride (denaturing agent). The resins were washed fifteen times with PBS.

Hydrophobically bound substances were removed by adding 70% ethanol three times.

The resins were stored in 20% ethanol at 4°C. No protein bands were detected when the

cleaned resins were subjected to SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

**Production of antiserum-derived Fab probes**

IgG antibodies in a rabbit antiserum were purified by a Protein A column (HiTrap

rProteinA FF 5 ml, Cytiva). After dialysis with PBS, the IgG antibodies was applied to
an affinity column (HiTrap NHS-activated HP 1 ml, Cytiva) coupled to 1.5–3 mg of its
antigen protein. For IgG antibodies from antiserum against GST-tagged TfR 1-60, TfR
93-179, Grb2 109-159 or Grb2 160-217, the affinity column was coupled to GST-cleaved
TfR 1-60, TfR 93-179 or Grb2 full length, respectively. In addition, the column was
linked to a GST-coupled affinity column to remove anti-GST antibodies. The polyclonal
antibodies were eluted with 100 mM glycine buffer at pH 3.5 (or 3.0) and pH 2.0. The
pH of the eluate was immediately neutralized with 1 M Tris buffer at pH 9.0, and then
dialyzed in PBS. To find the eluted fraction that can stain for endogenous targets, HeLa
cells were immunostained. For fluorescent labeling, the fraction was added to a 200 μl
bed volume of antigen-conjugated resins (NHS-activated Sepharose 4 Fast Flow, Cytiva)
to protect their antigen binding sites. The antigen bound to the resins was more than twice
the molar amount of the antibodies in the fraction. After overnight rotation at 4°C, the
antibodies were labeled with twice the molar amount of Dylight 488 NHS Ester as the
total amount of the antigens and antibodies on the resins. After rotation at room
temperature for 1 hour, the resins were transferred to a low-protein adsorption 1.5-ml
tubes (PROTEOSAVE, Sumitomo Bakelite Co.), whose bottom was perforated with a
fine needle. The tube was placed on top of a 2-ml tube to construct a homemade microspin
column. The resins were washed five times with PBS. The antibodies were eluted three
times with 200 μl of 100 mM glycine buffer at pH 2.0. The pH of the eluate was
immediately neutralized with 1 M Tris buffer at pH 9.0. The eluted antibodies were mixed
with the first and second eluates, and then digested with papain to generate Fab fragments.
Their Fc domains were removed using Protein A Sepharose CL-4B (Cytiva). To reduce
the non-specific signals of the Fab, the Fab was further bound to antigen-conjugated resin.
After overnight rotation at 4°C, the resins were transferred to the handmade microspin
column constructed from a 0.5-ml tube and a 1.5-ml tube. The resins were washed five
times each with 100 μl of PBS and 100 mM glycine buffer at pH 5.0. The Fabs were
eluted ten and five times with 100 μl of 100 mM glycine buffer at pH 3.0 and pH 2.0,
respectively. The pH of the eluate was immediately neutralized with 1 M Tris buffer at
pH 9.0. The labeling ratio of the Fabs was estimated to be about 0.6 by enzyme-linked
immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The fraction eluted at pH 3.0, which contains the highest
amount of the Fabs, was used for IRIS super-resolution imaging. In each of these
purification steps, the polyclonal antibodies and Fabs were placed in the low-protein
adsorption tubes to protect them from being lost. This protocol was established using anti-
CLC antiserum (Supplementary Fig. 1).
532

**Multiplexed super-resolution imaging**

Multiplexed IRIS super-resolution imaging was performed as previously described[6]. HeLa cells on a 24 mm round coverslip were fixed with 3.7% PFA in a cytoskeleton buffer (10 mM MES pH 6.1, 90 mM KCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM EGTA) for 20 min, and then permeabilized with 0.2% Triton-X100 in a HEPES buffered solution (10 mM pH 7.3, 90 mM KCL, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 100 μM DTT) for 5 min. After blocking with 4% bovine serum albumin in the HEPES buffered solution for 30 min, the coverslip was mounted in an open chamber (A7816, Thermo Fisher Scientific). On the coverslip, a handmade chamber with a volume of approximately 50 μl was constructed with a vacuum grease and a 1 cm diameter plastic ring. As a retractable lid, a 15 mm round coverslip was placed on top of the ring and another 24 mm round coverslip was placed on top of the open chamber to double the lid. The cells were subjected to the IRIS probe in an imaging solution (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 90 mM KCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$) with an oxygen scavenging mix (5 U/ml pyranose oxidase, 10 mM cysteamine hydrochloride, 60 μg/ml catalase, 10% glucose)[5]. The probe concentrations in the imaging solution, measured as the concentration of the conjugated fluorescent dye (DyLight 488), were 80 nM anti-EGFR Fab, 40 nM anti-CLC Fab, 100 nM anti-Eps15 Fab, 40 nM anti-TfR Fab, 80 nM anti-Grb2 Fab, 30 nM anti-AP2β2 Fab, 60 nM anti-ITSN1 Fab and 60 nM anti-FCHo1 Fab. The single molecule speckle (SiMS) images were acquired by TIRF microscopy using an inverted microscope (Olympus IX83-ZDC) equipped with an IX3-ZDC2 Z-drift compensator (Olympus), an UPlanApo 60×/1.50 NA oil objective lens (Olympus), ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 Digital CMOS camera (Hamamatus) and a 488 nm laser line (150 mW, Coherent). A set of a bright field image (exposure time, 500 ms) and 500 SiMS images (exposure time, 100ms) was acquired repeatedly. The bright field images were used to correct for stage drift (see below). The total number of SiMS images acquired was as follows: 250,000 (anti-EGFR Fab), 100,000 (anti-CLC Fab), 300,000 (anti-Eps15 Fab), 200,000 (anti-TfR Fab), 400,000 (anti-Grb2 Fab), 200,000 (anti-AP2β2 Fab), 400,000 (anti-ITSN1 Fab) and 400,000 (anti-FCHo1 Fab). After IRIS imaging of each probe, the probe was washed out 15 times with 0.2% Triton-X100 in the HEPES buffered solution and 10 times with the HEPES buffered solution. The remaining fluorescence of the probe was completely photobleached in the HEPES-buffered solution with the oxygen scavenging mix.

**Image reconstruction**

In hundreds of thousands of the SiMS images, the $10^6$ to $10^8$ localizations of fluorescent speckles were determined using ThunderSTORM plugin[52] in ImageJ

(http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/). Stage drift during long-time image acquisition for each probe
was corrected by an autocorrelation function using the bright field image of fixed cells
and non-fluorescent beads attached to the coverslip as previously described[6]. After the
drift correction for each probe, stage drift between two different probes was corrected by
calculating cross-correlation functions between 30 bright field images per probe. The 30
× 30 cross-correlation functions were computed using the 10-fold magnified images with
a bicubic method (pixel size, 10.83 nm). The drift distances between the two probes were
calculated, and its standard error was around 1 nm.
To improve the localization accuracy, the mean localization and standard error of a
probe bound over two frames were used. The localizations of a long-binding probe were
distributed within a radius of 54 nm. The duration of the quenching state due to blinking
was one frame (exposure time: 100 ms). Thus, localizations that were continuous or one
frame apart within a radius of 54 nm were extracted as the localizations of the same probe
bound over two frames. To reduce the contribution of the first localization as a reference,
the mean of the extracted localizations was calculated. Using the mean localization as a
new reference, the localizations were re-extracted using the same filter. The mean and
standard error of the re-extracted localizations were calculated. These calculations were
computed using our plug-in with multi-threaded processing in ImageJ. In addition, the
accuracy of the drift correction using the bright field image was estimated from
differences between the drift-corrected localizations of the same probe in two SiMS
images acquired before and after the bright field image. The mean of the difference was
about 3 nm. Together with the accuracy of the drift correction between the two different
probes, the standard error of the localizations less than 5 nm was converted to 5 nm. Using
the mean localization and the standard error, an IRIS image was reconstructed at 5 nm
pixel size by using a Normalized Gaussian rendering in the ThunderSTORM. When
Gaussian rendering was not used, the IRIS image was reconstructed by integrating the
localizations as previously described[6].
596
**PC-coloring**
PC-coloring was computed using a set of our plug-ins in ImageJ and scripts in Matlab
(MathWorks). Each process using the ImageJ plug-ins or Matlab scripts is indicated at
the end of the following sentences as (ImageJ) or (Matlab), respectively.
A data set of the intensities of each target and the coordinates of its pixel in the IRIS
images was output (ImageJ). In the multiplexed intensity data, a pixel with target
intensities of all 0's was excluded. To match the intensity distribution among targets, the
intensity data was standardized (z-score) by each target (Matlab). To calculate the

intensity ratio at each pixel, if this z-score intensity data had a value less than 0, an
appropriate value was added to all the intensities to make them greater than or equal to 0
(Matlab). Using the intensity ratios, PCA was performed, and PCA scores were output
(Matlab). A binarized image was created from the summed IRIS image of each target to
exclude pixels with low intensity (ImageJ). Using the binarized image, the pixels with
low intensity were also removed from the PCA score data (ImageJ). To interpret the PCA
result, a PCA score image was created by plotting the raw intensity of each IRIS image
on the coordinates of the first and second PCs (ImageJ). Then the PCA score images of
each target were merged (ImageJ). The PCA score data were clustered by k-means
method (Matlab). The number of clusters set by the k-means method was 25 in this study.

The similarity of the intensity ratio between the clustered pixels was calculated as the
distance by group average method (ImageJ). The two clustered pixels with the closest
similarity were combined, and then the group average distance between the new clustered
pixels and the other clustered pixels was calculated for their linkage distances (ImageJ).
Using the linkage distances, a dendrogram was created (Matlab). The number of the
clustered pixels was renumbered in order from left to right of the leaf nodes in the
dendrogram (Matlab). The mean z-score intensities of each target in the cluster pixels
were output (ImageJ).

A SR cluster image was created by assigning the number of the clustered pixels to the
pixel in the original IRIS image (ImageJ). To examine the adjacent clustered pixels on
the SR cluster image, 8-connectivity analysis was performed[53]. One pixel in the clustered
pixels in the SR cluster image was selected, and the cluster numbers of the surrounding
eight pixels were obtained (ImageJ). If any of the cluster numbers of the eight pixels is
different from that of the center pixel, the cluster number was recorded as the pixel located
at the border of the adjacent cluster (ImageJ). By performing this process on all pixels in
the clustered pixels, the cluster numbers of the boundary pixels were tallied, and their
percentages were output as proximity between the clustered pixels (ImageJ). A boundary
pixel without any cluster number were excluded from this percentage.

A PCA cluster image was created by drawing circles on the coordinates of the first and
second PCs (ImageJ). The center of the circle was that of the clustered pixels. The area
of the circle was proportional to the number of pixels in the clustered pixels. The two
circles were connected by a line with a width proportional to the proximity between the
clustered pixels on the SR cluster image, generating a PCA cluster image with proximity
(ImageJ). In the PCA cluster image with proximity, the top three lines in their proximity
were shown. The sum of the three proximity, on average, exceeds 70% of all proximity.
In the SR cluster image, adjacent clustered pixels were also adjacent in the PCA cluster

image. This indicates that the adjacent relationship of the clustered pixels in the SR cluster
image is also preserved in the PCA cluster image.
The clustered pixels in the SR cluster image and the PCA cluster image with proximity
were colored (ImageJ). The clustered pixels were colored based on the dendrogram, the
mean z-score intensity of target, and the position of the clustered pixels in the PCA cluster
image (ImageJ). The clustered pixels with high similarity in intensity ratio at adjacent
positions in the PCA cluster image were colored the same. The coloring was adjusted to
reflect the distribution of the target intensities on the PCA score image (ImageJ). In each
color pixels, the z-score intensity of the target was plotted on a scatter plot. The Pearson
correlation coefficient was calculated (ImageJ). In PC-coloring, there is no principle limit
to the number of targets. However, pixel separation by PCA decreases with the number
of targets. In this study, three to four targets were practical.
653

**References**
51    Higuchi, M. *et al*. Paradoxical activation of c-Src as a drug-resistant mechanism.
*Cell reports* **34**, 108876, doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108876. (2021).
52    Ovesný, M. *et al*. ThunderSTORM: a comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM
and STORM data analysis and super-resolution imaging. *Bioinformatics* **30**,
2389-2390, doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu202 (2014).
53    Gut, G., Herrmann, D. M. & Pelkmans, L. Multiplexed protein maps link
subcellular organization to cellular states. *Science* **361**, eaar7042, doi:
10.1126/science.aar7042 (2018).
663

664 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
665 This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 24H01282, 21K06168,
666 19H04961, 17K07384, 15H01635 (T.K.) and 22H00456 (N.W.), by the Asahi Glass
667 Foundation, Takeda Science Foundation (T.K.), Uehara Memorial Foundation (N.W.)
668 and by CREST grant number JPMJCR15G5 (N.W.).
669

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**
**T.K. improved a method for protein purification from E. coli and developed a**
**method for Fab probe production from antiserum, image reconstruction and PC-**
**coloring. T.K. designed and conducted experiments including protein purification,**
**Fab probe production and microscopic imaging. T.K developed all image analysis**
**programs and analyzed the data. T.K. supervised the project and wrote the**
**manuscript with support from N.W. R.K. contributed to the production of Fab**

**probes for CLC and Eps15, and nanobodies for EGFP and mCherry, constructed**
**expression plasmids and purified proteins. S.O. and L.E. purified proteins.**
———————————————

**Fig. 1. Multiplexed IRIS super-resolution image of eight endogenous targets.** (**A**) The merged IRIS image of eight targets reconstructed by integrating localizations at 20 nm sized pixel. HeLa cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 20 min at room temperature. (**B**) Improved localization accuracy using normalized Gaussian with mean localization. A fluorescent speckle of a long-binding probe (upper left). IRIS image reconstructed by integrating localizations of the long-binding probe at 5 nm sized pixel (upper right). In the bottom graph, the cross-sectional profile of the reconstructed image

(blue) was plotted to show the distribution of the localizations, whose standard deviation

indicates the localization accuracy. A normalized two-dimensional Gaussian with mean

and SE of the localizations (red) were plotted to show the accuracy of the mean

localization. (**C**) Merged IRIS images reconstructed by integrating localizations (upper)

and by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations (lower) in the part of the boxed area

shown in **A**. (**D**) Spatial relationship of the eight targets in the CCS in the box area shown

in **A**. The IRIS image was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations

at 5 nm sized pixel. (**E**) The enlarged image of the box area shown in **D**. (**F**–**I**) The merged

IRIS image of three targets in **E**. The target names are indicated in the panel.

**Fig. 2. Colocalization analysis by PC-coloring.** (A) Outline of PC-coloring (also see
Methods). The merged IRIS image of EGFR (red), Grb2 (green), Eps15 (cyan) and
AP2β2 (blue) shown in **Fig. 1D** (top left). The pixels in the IRIS image are classified by
principal component analysis (PCA) based on the ratios of the standardized (z-score)
intensities. A PCA score image is created by plotting the raw intensity of the target of the
IRIS image (top left) in the coordinates of the first and second principal components (PC1,
PC2) (top center). These pixels are clustered by k-means method (top right). A
dendrogram is created based on the similarity of the intensity ratio in the clustered pixels
(middle left). The mean z-score intensity of each target is calculated in the clustered pixels

(middle right). The number of the clustered pixels in the top right panel is the same as the
number shown in the dendrogram. The clustered pixels are shown by eight-colored circles
in the coordinates of the first and second PCs as a PCA cluster image (bottom left). The
center of the circle indicates that of the clustered pixels. Its area is proportional to the
number of pixels in the clustered pixels. These circles are connected by lines indicating
the proximity between the clustered pixels (Methods). The top three lines in the proximity
are shown. The circle is colored based on the dendrogram, the mean z-score intensities
and the position of the clustered pixels in the PCA cluster image (Methods). The colors
of the clustered pixels are assigned on the original IRIS image as a SR cluster image
(bottom center). The mean z-score intensity of each target is calculated in each color
(bottom right). (**B–E**) Extraction of the red, yellow and green pixels (**B**), the yellow, green
and orange pixels (**C**), the red, pale pink and cyan pixels (**D**), and the orange, pale pink,
cyan, slate blue, and blue (**E**) in the SR cluster image.

**Fig. 3. Correlation analysis of the colored pixels shown in Fig. 2.** (**A**) Pearson correlation coefficients between their targets. (**B–G**) Scatter plots of z-score intensities of the targets at the pixels in the IRIS images shown in **Fig. 2A**. The z-score intensities were plotted between EGFR and Grb2 (**B**), Eps15 (**C**) or AP2β2 (**D**), between Grb2 and Eps15 (**E**) or AP2β2 (**F**), and between Eps15 and AP2β2 (**G**). (**H**) Scatter plot of orange pixels between Grb2 and Eps15. (**I**) Scatter plots of red, yellow and green pixels between EGFR

728 and Grb2. Their regression lines are drawn to estimate the composition ratio of Grb2 to
729 EGFR.
730

**Fig. 4. Colocalization analysis of EGFR, Grb2 and Eps15 (A), FCHo1/2 (B) or ITSN1 (C).** (**A**–**C**) From left to right: the merged IRIS images reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations at 5 nm sized pixel, the SR cluster images, the PCA cluster image, the mean z-score intensities of the targets in the clustered pixels and the correlation coefficients between the targets. These PC-coloring with PCA and clustering were performed on the merged IRIS images shown in **Extended Data Figs. 6A**, **7A**, **8A**. HeLa cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 20 min at room temperature. (**D**–**F**) Scatter plots of yellow, magenta and cyan pixels shown in **A**. These pixels were plotted between Grb2 and EGFR (**D**), between Grb2 and Eps15 (**E**), and between EGFR and

741 Eps15 (**F**). The scatter plots of other target combination are shown in **Extended Data Fig.**
742 **6C**, **D**.
743

744
**Fig. 5. Colocalization analysis of FCHo1/2, Eps15 and ITSN1.** (**A**) The merged IRIS
image of FCHo1/2 (red), Eps15 (green), ITSN1 (cyan) and AP2β2 (blue) was
reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations at 5 nm sized pixel. (**B**) The
SR cluster image (left), the PCA score image (right upper) and the PCA cluster image
(right lower) of FCHo1, Eps15 and ITSN1. (**C**) The mean z-score intensities of the targets
in the clustered pixels (upper) and the correlation coefficients between the targets (lower).
These PC-coloring with PCA and clustering were performed on the merged IRIS images

 shown in **Extended Data Fig. 9A**. (**D**) Scatter plots for comparing FCHo1/2-Eps15 dominant (yellow), FCHo1/2-ITSN1 dominant (orange), FCHo1/2-Eps15-ITSN1 dominant (pale pink) and Eps15-ITSN1 dominant (purple) pixels. The scatter plots of other target combination are shown in **Extended Data Fig. 10**.

**Fig. 6. Colocalization analysis of EGFR, Grb2, TfR and AP2β2.** (**A**) Merged IRIS

image of EGFR (red), Grb2 (green), TfR (cyan) and AP2β2 (dark blue) was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations at 5 nm sized pixel. (**B**, **C**) The SR cluster image (**B**) and the PCA cluster image (**C**) of EGFR, Grb2, TfR and AP2β2. (**D**) The mean z-score intensities of the targets in the colored pixels (left) and the correlation coefficients between the targets (right). The IRIS images including 112 CCSs were subjected to PCA and cluster analysis with the same eigenvectors and clustering. The cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 20 min at room temperature or for 3 min at 37°C in total three independent experiments. (**E**) Scatter plots of z-score intensities of the targets at the pixels in the IRIS images of the 112 CCSs. The z-score intensities were plotted between EGFR and Grb2 (left) or TfR (center), and between Grb2 and TfR (right). (**F**) The laminar complex formation of EGFR, Grb2 and CCS components at EGFR recruitment sites in CCS rims.

**Extended Data Fig. 1. Labeling densities of Fab probes.** (**A–C**) Histogram of the raw
intensities per 10 nm pixel in the IRIS image reconstructed by integrating localizations
(**A**) and overcount-corrected localizations (**B**) and by Gaussian rendering with mean

localizations (**C**). The number of localizations is overcounted more than the number of probe binding events due to probes bound over multiple frames. Therefore, the probes bound over multiple frames were extracted, and their mean localization was calculated as one localization (Methods). The overcounted localizations was corrected by combining the mean localization data with the localization data of once-bound probe. Therefore, in IRIS image reconstructed by integrating the overcount-corrected localizations, the intensity per pixel represents the true density of the bound probes. To measure the intensities per 10 nm pixel in their target-localized regions, a binarized image was created by thresholding an intensity in the IRIS image reconstructed by integrating localizations at 10 nm pixel size. Using the binarized image, the regions with low target intensity were masked in the IRIS image. The intensity per 10 nm pixel in the masked IRIS image was plotted on a histogram. (**D**) Mean intensity per 10 nm pixel of each target in the masked IRIS image. The mean density of the overcount-corrected localizations (i.e. the true density of bound probes) was 3.9 labels per 10 nm pixel, which is 4.4 times the maximum density at which 12 nm sized antibodies can physically bind. In the Gaussian rendering IRIS image, only probes bound over two frames were used, so the intensity per 10 nm pixel was about one-quarter of that in the overcount-corrected IRIS image. (**E**) The masked IRIS images reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations in 8 pseudo color. In the target-localized regions, the intensity per 10 nm pixel was 1.5 – 6.6 or higher. These localization data is from the probes in **Fig. 1A**.

797
**Extended Data Fig. 2. Localization accuracy of Fab probes.** (**A**) Scatter plot of
standard errors (SEs) of localizations of a probe bound over multiple frames. The
localizations of a probe bound over two or more frames were extracted, and the mean
localizations and SE were calculated for each probe (Methods). The SE indicates the
accuracy of mean localizations and estimates the resolution of the Gaussian rendering
image. The SE decreased with the number of the localizations and reached around 1 nm.
(**B**) Histogram of the SE and standard deviations (SD) of the localizations. The SD was
calculated from the localization distribution of a probe bound over multiple frames. The
SD indicates the spread of the localizations of the same probe and estimates the resolution

of the IRIS image by integrating the localizations. The localization data in **A**, **B** is from
anti-Grb2 probe in **Fig. 1A**. (**C**) The mode of the SEs and SDs from the eight probes. On
average, the mode of the SE, 10.7 nm, was smaller than that of the SD, 24.2 nm, indicating
2.26-fold improvement in the resolution. (**D**) Mean number of observed frames of a probe.
The localization data in **C**, **E** is from the probes in **Fig. 1A**.
812

**Extended Data Fig. 3. Improvement of the resolution.** (**A**) Merged IRIS image of
EGFR (red), Grb2 (green), TfR (cyan) and AP2β2 (blue) in the boxed area shown in **Fig.**
**1A**. The IRIS image was reconstructed by integrating localizations at 20 nm sized pixel.
(**B**) The IRIS image was reconstructed by integrating localizations at 5 nm sized pixel in
the boxed area in **A**. (**C**) The IRIS image was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with
each localization and SE at 5 nm sized pixel in the boxed area in **A**. Each localization and
SE were calculated by Gaussian fitting of a fluorescent speckle. (**D**) The IRIS image was

reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean and SE of localizations at 5 nm sized

pixel in the boxed area in **A**. The localizations of a probe bound over two or more frames

were extracted, and their mean localizations and SE were calculated (Methods). (**E–G**)

Cross-sectional profiles of EGFR and Grb2 in the boxed area in **B** (**E**), in **C** (**F**) and in **D**

(**G**). The IRIS image by Gaussian rendering using mean localizations reveals that the

location of EGFR and Grb2 clusters were shifted at the CCS rim (**G**, arrow).

**Extended Data Fig. 4. Localizations of EGFR and Grb2 before and after EGFR**

**stimulation at 37°C.** (A) Before the stimulation, EGFR was spread over the plasma

membrane. Grb2 was not localized in the CCSs. (**B**) After 3 min of the stimulation, EGFR and Grb2 were colocalized in clusters at the CCS rims (yellow arrowheads). (**C**) After 5 min of the stimulation, EGFR clusters decreased at CCS rims (cyan arrowheads). EGFR accumulated in a round shape about 200 nm in size (red arrowheads), where Grb2 were partially localized. Many Grb2 clusters remained at the CCS rim (green arrowheads). These IRIS images were reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations at 5 nm sized pixel.

**Extended Data Fig. 5. Localizations of EGFR, Grb2 and SOS1 or cCbl at CCS rims.**
(**A**) The fluorescence image of EGFP-CLC and IRIS image using anti-EGFP nanobody
(Nb)-tagRFP. (**B**) The fluorescence image of mCh-FCHo2 and IRIS image using anti-
mCh Nb-EGFP. These IRIS images were reconstructed by integrating localizations at 20
nm sized pixel. (**C**, **D**) Colocalization of mCh-SOS1 (**D**) and cCbl-mCh (**D**) with EGFR
and Grb2 clusters at CCS rims. HeLa cells expressing mCh-SOS1 or cCbl-mCh were
stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 3 min at 37℃. These IRIS imaging were performed
with anti-mCh Nb-EGFP, anti-EGFR Fab probe, anti-Grb2 Fab probe and anti-AP2β2
Fab probe. In these IRIS images, the colocalizations of EGFR and Grb2 with SOS1 or
cCbl (white arrowheads) and the colocalizations of Grb2 with SOS1 or cCbl (magenta
arrowheads) were observed. These IRIS images were reconstructed by Gaussian
rendering with mean localizations at 5 nm sized pixel.
852
853

**Extended Data Fig. 6. Merged IRIS image and SR cluster image of CCSs around the**
**CCS shown in Fig. 4A.** (**A**, **B**) Merged IRIS image of EGFR (red), Grb2 (green) and
Eps15 (cyan) (**A**) and their SR cluster image (**B**). The colors of the pixels in the SR cluster
image are the same as the colors used in **Fig. 4A**. The CCS in the boxed area is shown in
**Fig. 4A**. The IRIS image was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean
localizations at 5 nm sized pixel. (**C**) Scatter plots of z-score intensities of the targets at
the pixels in the merged IRIS image of EGFR, Grb2 and Eps15. The mean z-score
intensities of the targets in the colored pixels and the correlation coefficients between the
targets are shown in **Fig. 4A**. The z-score intensities were plotted between Grb2 and
EGFR (left) or Eps15 (center), and between EGFR and Eps15 (right). (**D**) Scatter plots
for comparing EGFR-dominant (orange), Grb2-dominant (green) and Eps15-dominant
(blue) pixels. (**E**) Scatter plots for comparing Grb2-EGFR dominant (yellow), Grb2-
Eps15 dominant (magenta) and EGFR-Eps15 dominant (cyan) pixels. The scatter plots
are the same graphs shown in **Fig. 4D–F**.
869
870

**Extended Data Fig. 7. Merged IRIS image and SR cluster image of CCSs around the**
**CCS shown in Fig. 4B.** (**A**, **B**) Merged IRIS image of EGFR (red), Grb2 (green) and
FCHo1/2 (cyan) (**A**) and their SR cluster image (**B**). The colors of the pixels in the SR
cluster image are the same as the colors used in **Fig. 4B**. The CCS in the boxed area is
shown in **Fig. 4B**. The IRIS image was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean
localizations at 5 nm sized pixel. (**C**) Scatter plots of z-score intensities of the targets at
the pixels in the merged IRIS image of EGFR, Grb2 and FCHo1/2. The mean z-score
intensities of the targets in the colored pixels and the correlation coefficients between the
targets are shown in **Fig. 4B**. The z-score intensities were plotted between Grb2 and
EGFR (left) or FCHo1/2 (center), and between EGFR and FCHo1/2 (right). (**D**) Scatter
plots for comparing EGFR-dominant (orange), Grb2-dominant (green) and FCHo1/2-
dominant (blue) pixels. (**E**) Scatter plots for comparing Grb2-EGFR dominant (yellow),
Grb2-FCHo1/2 dominant (magenta) and EGFR-FCHo1/2 dominant (cyan) pixels.
885

**Extended Data Fig. 8. Merged IRIS image and SR cluster image of CCSs around the**
**CCS shown in Fig. 4C.** (**A**, **B**) Merged IRIS image of EGFR (red), Grb2 (green) and
ITSN1 (cyan) (**A**) and their SR cluster image (**B**). The colors of the pixels in the SR cluster
image are the same as the colors used in **Fig. 4C**. The CCS in the boxed area is shown in
**Fig. 4**C. The IRIS image was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean
localizations at 5 nm sized pixel. (**C**) Scatter plots of z-score intensities of the targets at
the pixels in the merged IRIS image of EGFR, Grb2 and ITSN1. The mean z-score
intensities of the targets in the colored pixels and the correlation coefficients between the
targets are shown in **Fig. 4C**. The z-score intensities were plotted between Grb2 and
EGFR (left) or ITSN1 (center), and between EGFR and ITSN1 (right). (**D**) Scatter plots
for comparing EGFR-dominant (orange), Grb2-dominant (green) and ITSN1-dominant
(blue) pixels. (**E**) Scatter plots for comparing Grb2-EGFR dominant (yellow), Grb2-
ITSN1 dominant (magenta) and EGFR-ITSN1 dominant (cyan) pixels.

**Extended Data Fig. 9. Merged IRIS image of FCHo1/2 (red), Eps15 (green), ITSN1**

**(cyan) and AP2β2 (blue) (A) and the SR cluster image (B).** The colors of the pixels in

the SR cluster image are the same as the colors used in **Fig. 5B**. The CCS in the boxed

area is shown in **Fig. 5**. The IRIS image was reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with

mean localizations at 5 nm sized pixel.

**Extended Data Fig. 10. Correlation analysis of the colored pixels shown in Fig. 5 and**

**Extended Data Fig. 9.** (**A**) Scatter plots of z-score intensities of the targets at the pixels

in the merged IRIS image of FCHo1/2, Eps15 and ITSN1. The z-score intensities were

plotted between Eps15 and FCHo1/2 (left) or ITSN1 (center), between FCHo1/2 and

ITSN1 (right). (**B**) Scatter plots for comparing FCHo1/2-dominant (red), Eps15-dominant

(green) and ITSN1-dominant (blue) pixels (**C**) Scatter plots for comparing FCHo1/2-

Eps15 dominant (yellow), FCHo1/2-ITSN1 dominant (orange), FCHo1/2-Eps15-ITSN1

dominant (pale pink) and Eps15-ITSN1 dominant (purple) pixels.

**A** Immunostaining with purified antibody

**B** IRIS imaging with labeled Fab before Fab purification

**C** IRIS imaging with labeled Fab after Fab purification

**Supplementary Fig. 1. Development of a method for producing Fab probe from**
**antiserum using anti-clathrin light chain (CLC) antiserum (see Methods).** (**A**)
Immunostaining with anti-CLC antibodies purified by affinity chromatography. The
merged image of TagRFP-CLC (red) and anti-CLC antibodies (green). HeLa cells were
stained with the antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable of staining endogenous CLC.
Endogenous CLC was localized in spots in non-expressing cell similar to expressed CLC
(green arrow). (**B**) IRIS imaging of CLC using fluorescent dye (Dylight 488)-conjugated

Fab probe. The merged image of the bright field image (gray) and the fluorescent image

of TagRFP-CLC (red) (leftmost). The merged image of the fluorescent image of TagRFP-

CLC (red) and the IRIS image using the Fab probe (green) in the boxed area in the

leftmost image (three images on the right). The purified anti-CLC antibodies were labeled

while bound to CLC-conjugated resin to protect its antigen-binding site, which stained

endogenous CLC with less non-specific signals by immunostaining. Their Fab fragments

were generated by papain digestion. In the IRIS image using the Fab probe, CLC was

visualized in the CLC-expressing cell (red arrow). But endogenous CLC was not

visualized in the non-expressing cell, where there were non-specific signals (green arrow).

(**C**) IRIS imaging of CLC using the Fab probe after Fab purification. The merged image

of the bright field image (gray) and the fluorescent image of TagRFP-CLC (red)

(leftmost). The merged image of the fluorescent image of TagRFP-CLC (red) and the

IRIS image using the purified Fab probe (green) in the boxed area in the leftmost image

(three images on the right). To reduce the non-specific signals of the Fab probe, the Fab

probe was further purified with CLC-conjugated resin. The IRIS image using the purified

Fab probe showed endogenous CLC in the non-expressing cell (double arrows).

**Supplementary Fig. 2. Preparation of anti-EGFR Fab probe.** (**A**) Time-lapse imaging
of EGFR-tagRFP and EGFP-CLC. HeLa cells before and after stimulation with 100 ng/ml
EGF for 20 min at room temperature for the delayed endocytosis of EGFR. (**B**) Enlarged

images in the boxed area shown in **A**. Even 20 min after the stimulation, EGFR was
accumulating in CCSs. (**C**) Immunostaining with anti-EGFR antibodies purified by
affinity chromatography. The merged image of EGFR-tagRFP (red) and anti-EGFR
antibodies (green). After EGF stimulation, HeLa cells were stained with the antibodies to
find the eluted fraction capable of staining endogenous EGFR. Endogenous EGFR was
localized in spots in non-expressing cell similar to expressed EGFR (arrow). (**D**) IRIS
imaging using anti-EGFR Fab probe in EGFR-tagRFP-expressing cells. The merged
image of the fluorescent image of EGFR-tagRFP (red) and the IRIS image (green). (**E**)
IRIS imaging of using anti-EGFR Fab probe in non-expressing cells. EGFR was localized
in cluster along the rim of some region with a size of several hundred nanometers
(presumably CCSs) (**D**, **E**, yellow arrowheads).
964

965
**Supplementary Fig. 3. Preparation of anti-Grb2 Fab probe.** (**A**, **B**) Immunostaining
with anti-Grb2 antibodies purified by affinity chromatography. The merged image of anti-
Grb2 antibodies (green) and mCherry (mCh)-Grb2 (red) (**A**) or EGFR-tagRFP (red) (**B**).
HeLa cells were stimulated with 100 ng/ml EGF for 20 min at room temperature. The
cells were stained with the antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable of staining

endogenous Grb2. Endogenous Grb2 was localized in spots in non-expressing cell (**A**,
arrow). The spots of Grb2 were colocalized with EGFR-tagRFP (**B**, arrow). As a
supplement, this Grb2 antibody could not stain Grb2 with mCh fused to its C-terminus.
(**C–E**) IRIS imaging using anti-Grb2 Fab probe. The merged image of the fluorescent
image of mCh-Grb2 (red) and the IRIS image (green). Grb2 were localized along the rim
of some region with a size of several hundred nanometers (presumably CCSs) in mCh-
Grb2-expressing cell (**D**) and in non-expressing cell (**E**) shown in **C** (yellow arrowheads).
978

**Supplementary Fig. 4. Preparation of anti-transferrin receptor (TfR) Fab probe. (A**,
**B**) Immunostaining with anti-TfR antibodies purified by affinity chromatography. The
merged image of anti-TfR antibodies (green) and TfR-pHuji (red) (**A**) or TagRFP-CLC
(red) (**B**). HeLa cells were stained with the antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable
of staining endogenous TfR. Endogenous TfR was distributed in spots in non-expressing

cell similar to expressed TfR (**A**, arrow). The spots of TfR were colocalized with TagRFP-

CLC (**B**, arrow). (**C**) IRIS imaging using anti-TfR Fab probe. The merged image of the

fluorescent image of TagRFP-CLC (red) and the IRIS image (green). The high intensity

spots of TfR were localized in CCSs shown by TagRFP-CLC (yellow arrowheads).

**Supplementary Fig. 5. Preparation of anti-adaptor protein 2 complex beta subunit**

**(AP2β2) Fab probe.** (**A**) Immunostaining with anti-AP2β2 antibodies purified by affinity

chromatography. The merged image of AP2μ2-mCh (red) and anti-AP2β2 antibodies

(green). AP2β2 forms a heterotetrameric complex of α, β2, μ2 and σ2 subunits and binds

to clathrin heavy chain. HeLa cells expressing AP2μ2-mCh were stained with the

antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable of staining endogenous AP2β2. (**B**) IRIS

imaging using anti-AP2β2 Fab probe. The merged image of the fluorescent image of

AP2μ2-mCh (red) and the IRIS image (green). Endogenous AP2β2 was localized like

CCSs in the non-expressing cell (green arrow) and colocalized with the accumulation of

AP2μ2-mCh (yellow arrow).

**Supplementary Fig. 6. Preparation of anti-FCHo1 Fab probe.** (**A–C**) Immunostaining with anti-FCHo1 antibodies purified by affinity chromatography. The merged image of anti-FCHo1 antibodies (green) and mCh-FCHo1 (red) (**A**), TagRFP-CLC (red) (**B**) or mCh-FCHo2 (red) (**C**). HeLa cells were stained with the antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable of staining endogenous FCHo1. Endogenous FCHo1 was distributed in spots in non-expressing cell similar to expressed FCHo1 (**A**, arrow). The spots of FCHo1 were colocalized with TagRFP-CLC (**B**, arrow). In addition, this anti-FCHo1 antibody stained mCh-FCHo2 (**C**, arrow), which indicates that this antibody can stain both FCHo1 and 2 (FCHo1/2). (**D**) IRIS imaging using anti-FCHo1 Fab probe. The merged image of the fluorescent image of mCh-FCHo2 (red) and the IRIS image (green). FCHo1/2 was localized along the rim of some region (presumably CCSs) with a size of several hundred nanometers in mCh-FCHo2-expressing cell (right upper image) and in non-expressing cell (right lower image) (cyan arrowheads). In addition, the multi-compartmental localizations were also observed (yellow arrowheads), which appears to be the rims of several clathrin plaques in close proximity observed by electron microscopy[12].

1018

**Supplementary Fig. 7. Preparation of anti-Eps15 Fab probe.** (**A**) Immunostaining
with anti-Eps15 antibodies purified by affinity chromatography. The merged image of
Eps15-mCh (red) and anti-Eps15 antibodies (green). HeLa cells were stained with the
antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable of staining endogenous Eps15. Endogenous
Eps15 was distributed in spots in non-expressing cell similar to expressed Eps15 (arrow).
(**B**, **C**) IRIS imaging using anti-Eps15 Fab probe. The merged image of the fluorescent
image of Eps15-mCh (red) and the IRIS image (green). The expressed (**B**) and
endogenous (**C**) Eps15 were visualized. These images show that Eps15 were not only
localized along the rim of some region (presumably CCSs) with a size of several hundred
nanometers (cyan arrowheads), but also as multi-compartmental localizations (yellow
arrowheads), similar to FCHo1/2.

1030

**Supplementary Fig. 8. Preparation of anti-intersectin-1 (ITSN1) Fab probe.** (**A**, **B**)

Immunostaining with anti-ITSN1 antibodies purified by affinity chromatography. The

merged image of anti-ITSN1 antibodies (red) and EGFP-ITSN1 (green) (**A**). The merged

image of anti-ITSN1 antibodies (green) and TagRFP-CLC (red) (**B**). HeLa cells were

stained with the antibodies to find the eluted fraction capable of staining endogenous
ITSN1. Endogenous ITSN1 was distributed in spots in non-expressing cell similar to
expressed ITSN1 (**A**, arrow). The spots of ITSN1 were colocalized with TagRFP-CLC
(**B**, arrow). (**C**, **D**) IRIS imaging using anti-ITSN1 Fab probe. The expressed (**C**) and
endogenous (**D**) ITSN1 were visualized. These images showed that ITSN1 were not only
localized along the rim of some region (presumably CCSs) with a size of several hundred
nanometers (cyan arrowheads), but also as multi-compartmental localizations (yellow
arrowheads) , similar to FCHo1/2.

1043

**Supplementary Fig. 9. Colocalization analysis of FCHo1/2, Eps15 and ITSN1 in**
**HeLa cells overexpressing mCh-FCHo2.** (**A**) Merged IRIS images of FCHo1/2 (red),
Eps15 (green), ITSN1 (cyan) and AP2β2 (blue) and the SR cluster image. The IRIS
images were reconstructed by Gaussian rendering with mean localizations at 5 nm sized
pixel. (**B**) PCA cluster image of FCHo1, Eps15 and ITSN1. (**C**) The mean z-score
intensities of the targets in the colored pixels (left) and the correlation coefficients
between the targets (right). In the cells overexpressing mCh-FCHo2, the localization of
FCHo1/2 along the CCS rim was enhanced.
1052

 **Supplementary Table 1. List of antibodies purified from antisera.**

| Antigens with amino acid numbers | Affinity purification pH-eluted fraction | Antibody-staining expressed antigen | Antibody-staining endogenous antigen | Super-resolution imaging by Fab probe expressed antigen | Super-resolution imaging by Fab probe endogenous antigen | Super-resolution imaging by Fab probe low non-specific signals |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CLC | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | P |
| AP2β2 1-591 | pH3.5 | | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | | P | | P | N |
| AP2β2 616-951 | pH3.5 | | P | | | |
| | pH2.0 | | P | | P | P |
| EGFR 985-1210 | pH3.5 | P | P | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | P |
| Grb2 | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | N | | | |
| Grb2 109-159 | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | N | | | |
| Grb2 160-217 | pH3.5 | P | P | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | P |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TfR 1-60** | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | N | | | |
| **TfR 93-179** | pH3.5 | P | P | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | P |
| **Eps15** | pH3.0 | P | P | P | P | P |
| | pH2.0 | P | N | | | |
| **ITSN1** | pH3.5 | P | P | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | P |
| **FCHo1** | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | P |
| **FCHo2** | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | N |
| **Epsin2** | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | N |
| **Hip1r** | pH3.5 | P | P | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | N |
| **Dynamin2** | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
| | pH2.0 | P | N | | | |

| cCbl | pH3.5 | P | N | | | |
|------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | pH2.0 | P | P | P | P | N |

1054 P: Positive, N: Negative, Shaded row: Fab probes used in this study

1055

1056 **Supplementary Table 2. List of antigens purified from a 6L E. coli culture in one or two**
1057 **experiments, for FCHo2 in three or four experiments.**

| Antigen | Species | Amino acid numbers | Molecular weight | Concentration | Volume | Total amount |
|---------|---------|--------------------|------------------|---------------|--------|--------------|
| CLC | Human | Full length | 25 kD | 2.3 mg/ml | 4 ml | 9.2 mg |
| AP2β2 | Human | 1-591 | 66 kD | 1.2 mg/ml | 2.1 ml | 2.5 mg |
| | | 616-951 | 36 kD | 1.5 mg/ml | 2.2 ml | 3.3 mg |
| EGFR | Human | 985-1210 | 25 kD | 0.9 mg/ml | 2 ml | 1.8 mg |
| Grb2 | Human | Full length | 25 kD | 2.6 mg/ml | 2.6 ml | 6.7 mg |
| GST-tagged Grb2 | Human | 109-159 | 32 kD | 2.7 mg/ml | 1.9 ml | 5.1 mg |
| | | 160-217 | 32 kD | 3.7 mg/ml | 5 ml | 18.5 mg |
| GST-tagged TfR | Human | 1-60 | 32 kD | 8.9 mg/ml | 1.3 ml | 11.5 mg |
| | | 93-179 | 36 kD | 4 mg/ml | 2 ml | 8 mg |
| Eps15 | Human | Full length | 138 kD | 1 mg/ml | 4 ml | 4 mg |
| ITSN1 | Human | 1-1220 (Short isoform full length) | 137 kD | 1.1 mg/ml | 2.4 ml | 2.6 mg |
| FCHo1 | Human | Full length | 97 kD | 3.2 mg/ml | 3 ml | 9.6 mg |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FCHo2** | Mouse | Full length | 85 kD | 0.8 mg/ml | 2.8 ml | 2.2 mg |
| **Epsin2** | Human | 1-456 | 48 kD | 2.6 mg/ml | 4 ml | 10.4 mg |
| **Hip1r** | Mouse | Full length | 138 kD | 1 mg/ml | 3 ml | 3 mg |
| **Dynamin2** | Human | Full length | 98 kD | 0.86 mg/ml | 2 ml | 1.7 mg |
| **cCbl** | Human | Full length | 120 kD | 1.6 mg/ml | 2.2 ml | 3.5 mg |

1058