<u>Mobile Integrons Encode Phage Defence Systems</u>

Nicolas KIEFFER[1,2], Alberto HIPÓLITO[1,2], Paula BLANCO[1,2], Thomas DELOBELLE[3],

Francisco Manuel OJEDA[1,2], Thomas JOVÉ[4], Dukas JURENAS[3], Meritxell GARCÍA-

QUINTANILLA[5,6], Pilar DOMINGO-CALAP[7], José Antonio ESCUDERO[1,2*]

[1]Molecular basis of Adaptation Laboratory, Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Facultad de

Veterinaria de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, España,

[2]VISAVET Health Surveillance Centre, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain.

[3] Laboratoire de Génétique et Physiologie Bactérienne, Faculté de Sciences, Université Libre

de Bruxelles (ULB), Gosselies, Belgium.

[4]INSERM, CHU Limoges, RESINFIT, University of Limoges, Limoges, France, Present

address: no longer employed by INSERM.

[5]Departamento de Microbiología, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Fundación Jiménez Díaz,

Madrid, España

[6]CIBERINFEC-CIBER de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid,

Spain

[7]Instituto de Biología Integrativa de Sistemas, Universitat de València-CSIC, Paterna, Spain.

*Corresponding Author. Email: jaescude@ucm.es

+34 661584761

University Complutense of Madrid,

Department of Animal Health,

Avenida Puerta de Hierro s/n

28040, Madrid, Spain.
26
27

ABSTRACT

Integrons are bacterial genetic elements that capture, stockpile and modulate the expression of genes encoded in integron cassettes. Mobile Integrons (MI) are borne on plasmids, acting as a vehicle for hundreds of antimicrobial resistance genes among key pathogens. These elements also carry gene c̲assettes of u̲nknown function (*gcu*s) whose role and adaptive value remains unexplored. Recent years have witnessed the discovery of a myriad defence systems against bacteriophages, highlighting that viral infection is a major selective pressure for bacteria. We hence sought to explore if *gcu*s could encode phage defence systems. Using the INTEGRALL database, we established a collection of 129 *gcu*s in pMBA, a vector where cassettes are established as part of a class 1 integron. PADLOC and DefenseFinder predicted four phage defence systems in this collection, comprising Lamassu, CBASS and two ABI (abortive infection) systems. We experimentally challenged all cassettes with phages and found nine additional candidates that were not detected *in silico*. We have characterized in depth the 13 *gcu*s against a panel of phages in *Escherichia coli* confirming their role as p̲hage defence i̲ntegron c̲assettes (PICs). We used recombination assays to verify that all systems are *bona fide* integron cassettes and are therefore mobile. We show that PICs confer resistance in other clinically relevant species, such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Several PICs also limit prophage activation, providing protection at the population-level. Given the stockpiling capacity of integrons, we explored the additivity of phenotypes and found that integrons with two PICs confer multiphage-resistance. Additionally, when combined with antimicrobial resistance genes, integrons confer simultaneously drug and phage resistance. Crucially, we also show that the position of a *pic* in the array can strongly decrease its cost. Our results prove a role of integrons in phage defence, acting as highly mobile, low-cost defence islands. This has important implications in the spread of defence systems, and on the future of phage therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a major public health concern worldwide, and the emergence of multi-drug (MDR) resistant bacteria is making increasingly difficult to treat infections with antibiotics[1]. Alternative therapeutic options are being explored as potential solutions to this problem. Phage therapy -the use of viruses that infect and kill bacteria- is an old approach (it predates the use of antibiotics) that is currently being revisited and building momentum. Several recent reports have yielded positive results for the treatment of life-threatening infections caused by MDR bacteria[2–4]. However, the efficacy of phage therapy can be limited by the emergence of phage-resistant bacteria[5,6]. Resistance can typically emerge through mutations in which the receptors recognized by the phage are lost, or through the acquisition of defence systems. In recent years, a plethora of new defence systems have been discovered and characterized to varying extents, adding to the classic restriction-modification enzymes or the more modern CRISPR-Cas immune systems[7]. These can be classified according to the mechanism used to sense phage infection into systems that detect nucleic acids, phage proteins, the integrity of the host, and others[8,9]. These defence mechanisms have evolved in response to phage predation, and they are often encoded in the vicinity of other systems, creating defence islands. In fact, it was the proximity to known defence systems that allowed the initial discovery of systems such as Lammasu, Kiwa, Thoeris, or Zorya, using a guilt-by-association rationale[10–12]. Ever since, we have witnessed a sheer increase in the discovery of novel defence systems. Their abundance in genomes illustrates the ongoing evolutionary arms race between bacteria and their phages and highlights the importance of phage predation as a selective pressure for bacteria[13]. Defence systems have been shown to be encoded in mobile genetic elements such as integrative conjugative elements, transposons, or prophages[13]. However, they are proven to impact the fitness of the bacterial strains carrying them due to the constitutive expression of their genetic content[14] highlighting the cost of resistance.

Integrons are genetic elements that play an important role in bacterial adaptation to changing environmental conditions[15–17]. They capture and accumulate new genes embedded in integron cassettes (ICs), acting as genetic memories of adaptive functions[18]. Integrons typically consist of a conserved platform encoding the integrase gene and the recombination site in the integron (*attI*)[19]; and a variable region containing the cassettes. Cassettes are incorporated into the *attI* site through site-specific recombination reactions mediated by the integrase and are expressed from the dedicated Pc promoter encoded in the platform[20]. The integrase can also reorder cassettes in the array to modulate their expression, modifying their distance to the Pc

and the polar effects they are subjected to[21–23]. The expression of the integrase is controlled by

the SOS response, so that integrons provide to their hosts "adaptation on demand"[24,25].

Mobile integrons (MI) are a subset of integrons that are typically associated with mobile

genetic elements, such as plasmids and transposons, which can facilitate their horizontal

transfer between bacterial cells[26–28]. They are a palpable example of the evolvability these

platforms confer to their hosts. They were initially discovered in the first MDR isolates of

Shigella spp. during the 1950's, carrying two resistance genes[29,30]. Nowadays MIs are

commonplace among key Gram-negative pathogens[31] carrying almost 200 resistance genes

against most antibiotic families[32–34]. Consequently, MIs are generally considered to be devoted

almost exclusively to AMR. Nevertheless, among the cassettes found within MIs are gene

cassettes of unknown function (*gcu*) whose importance has generally been overlooked[35]. Yet

the working model of integrons suggests that cassettes must be adaptive at the time of

integration when they are expressed at the maximum strength[24]. We hence reasoned that *gcu*s

could be playing an unknown adaptive role. Given the importance of phage predation in the

lifestyle of bacteria and in their genome's content, we sought to explore the possibility that *gcu*s

encode phage defence systems.

Here we have selected 129 *gcu*s from the INTEGRALL database[36], and cloned them in

pMBA, a plasmid mimicking the genetic environment of a mobile integron[37]. DefenseFinder[38]

and PADLOC[39] predicted 4 *gcu*s in the collection as potential defence systems. We then used

in vitro screening test using phages T4 and T7 combined with structural prediction using

AlphaFold and discovered 9 novel defence systems that were not predicted. All cassettes confer

resistance to at least one of a panel of 8 phages against *E. coli*. We have confirmed that all

systems are encoded in *bona fide* (functional) integron cassettes, which we have named Phage

defence Integron Cassettes (PICs), and are hence mobile and likely to circulate among other

species. Indeed, we demonstrate that they also confer resistance in *K. pneumoniae* and

P. aeruginosa, major pathogens of the ESKAPEE group. As part of an integron, cassettes can

be stockpiled in an array. Building arrays we show that phenotypes of PICs are additive,

conferring multi-phage or phage/drug resistance if combined with other PICs or antimicrobial

resistance cassettes (ARCs). We also show that the position of a PIC in the array can strongly

diminish its cost. Altogether, our work proves the involvement of integrons in phage defence,

acting as repositories of a broad variety of defence systems i.e.: as mobile and low-cost defence

islands.

RESULTS

Integron *gcus* contain predicted phage defence systems.

To obtain a broad set of *gcus* in MIs we screened the INTEGRALL database, the largest repository for mobile integron-related data (date: November 2021). We retrieved 303 cassettes annotated as *gcus* (< 95% nucleotide identity) with sizes ranging from 191 bp to 3 kb. We further analysed and curated this list manually using BLAST. We discarded several cassettes misannotated as *gcus* in which an insertion sequence had interrupted a cassette of known function. Additionally, gene-less cassettes -that failed to produce hits with any known or unknown protein- were also discarded. We therefore selected a panel of 129 elements with sizes ranging from 284 to 2.950 bp (Figure S1). All elements were synthesized *in vitro* and cloned in pMBA, a vector providing a biologically sound genetic environment, where cassettes are inserted in first position of a class 1 integron and are under the control of a strong Pc promoter (PcS)[40]. The sequences of our selected collection were submitted in May 2022 to the two major web platforms specialized in phage resistance gene prediction, DefenseFinder and PADLOC[38,39]. As a result of this computational analysis, we identified four distinct gene cassettes (*gcus* 59, 128, 135 and N) as potential defence mechanisms, each exhibiting homology to a known system involved in phage resistance (Figure 1A).

Given their newly identified putative function as phage resistance elements, we propose to rename these *gcus* as *pics*, while conserving their numbers for simplicity. Pic59 (formerly Gcu59) was identified as a homolog of the AriAB system[41] and is suggested to confer phage resistance through abortive infection. *pic128* encodes two ORFs (*pic128A* and *pic128B*) and shows similarity to a Lamassu Type 1 system. AlphaFold predicts that Pic128A shares motifs with YfjL-like or AbpA proteins, which are involved in phage defence, while Pic128B contains a putative exonuclease domain. Interestingly, the three-dimensional structure of Pic128B protein displays a remarkable similarity to DdmC, a protein from the Lamassu Type 2 plasmid and phage defence system described in *Vibrio cholerae*[42]. *pic135* also contains two ORFs and share structural similarity with a CBASS Type 1 system[43]. The proteins encoded by this cassette, Pic135A and Pic135B, are predicted to play roles in nucleotidyl-transfer and membrane translocation, respectively, as suggested by protein structure predictions. Last, PicN is annotated as an AbiV family protein[44], implicated in phage resistance through abortive infection mechanisms.

Figure 1. Identification and Characterization of Phage Defense Systems in Integron Cassettes. **(A)** Schematic workflow for the
identification and experimental validation of phage defence systems in *gcus*. A collection of 303 gcus was extracted from the INTEGRALL
database, curated to 129 *gcus*, and cloned into the pMBA vector, placing each *gcu* under the control of a strong integron promoter (PcS).
Predicted phage defence systems were identified using PADLOC and DefenseFinder, (May 2022) and experimental screening was performed
using a double-spot assay or growth curves to determine susceptibility or resistance to phage infection. **(B)** Genetic organization and predicted
functions of selected *gcus*. Predicted function is shown for putative defence mechanisms and other candidate *gcus*. **(C)** Heatmap showing the
defence profiles of identified Phage Integron Cassettes (PICs) against a panel of eight *E. coli* phages. The degree of protection (resistance) is
indicated by the color scale, with dark green representing high protection, light green representing low protection, and white no protection. **(D)**
Examples of growth curves used to build the heatmap in C, showing protective effects of selected PICs against different phages. (Top left)
pic167.2 confers resistance to phage P1 at both high and low multiplicities of infection (MOI). (Bottom left) *pic24* confers strong resistance to
phage T7 at both high and low MOI. (Bottom right) *picN* shows resistance to phage T4 only at low MOI, characteristic of abortive infection
mechanisms. Growth curves are represented as the mean of three independent replicates. The standard error of the mean is represented as a
lighter colour shade.

Functional screening and domain prediction reveal additional phage defence
systems.

Bioinformatic approaches can fail to detect novel systems that are not similar to any of
the known ones. We hence turned to *in vitro* experiments to detect novel resistance mechanisms
within our *gcu* collection. We employed a double spot screening protocol in solid media and
the monitoring of growth curves in liquid media, to challenge the whole collection with different
concentrations of phages T4 or T7 (Figure 1A and Figure S2). We successfully detected 7 new

candidates (*pic24, pic142*, *pic233, pic217, pic113, picWGS21* and *pic76*) presenting substantial

growth in the presence of phage (Figure S2). Using AlphaFold prediction model to find proteins

with potential nuclease domains, that are often linked to phage defence, we additionally

identified *pic167* and its close homolog *pic167.2*. *in silico* structural predictions of proteins

encoded in these PICs suggest a diversity of functional roles. Both Pic167 and Pic167.2 are

likely involved in nucleotide metabolism, with indications of NUDIX hydrolase and

nucleotidase activities. Pic142 is a putative restriction endonuclease, while Pic24 possesses a

PIN12 domain that potentially acts as a nuclease[45]. Pic233 is possibly a NADP(H)

oxidoreductase; Pic113 is a putative GNAT N-acetyltransferase and Pic217 a putative integral

membrane protein with a lectin C domain. AlphaFold did yield relevant homologs with a known

function for PicWGS21. Last, Pic76 is a small protein of 76 amino acid that contains a LapA

domain that has been related to biofilm formation[46] (Figure 1B).

PICs confer protection to a panel of phages *Escherichia coli.*

To characterize in depth the role and profile of PICs in phage resistance, we subcloned

the whole library in strain *E. coli* IJ1862 (an F' strain that can be infected by plasmids targeting

the conjugative pilus[47]) and conducted infection assays with a panel of phages from different

families at varying multiplicities of infection (MOIs). We monitored the optical densities

(OD$_{600}$) over time of bacterial cultures in presence and absence of phage MS2, F1, G4, T4, T7,

P1, Φ80 and HK544. As a control, we included an isogenic strain carrying the empty vector

pMBA. We used the area under the (growth) curve to determine the protective effect of the

cassette, as in[48] (see Materials and Methods). Our data showed that all PICs conferred resistance

to at least one condition (one phage at one MOI) (Figure 1C and Figure S3), confirming their

role in phage defence. Resistance profiles were diverse, with some PICs showing broad-

spectrum resistance, such as *pic167, pic167.2*, *pic128* and *pic142*. Others, as *pic24,* showed a

narrower spectrum with low level resistance against several phages, but very high resistance

against phage T7 at both high and low MOI (Figure 1D). The resistance phenotype displayed

by *picN*, limited to low MOI for phages MS2, T4, F1 and T7, aligns with the characteristic

phenotype of an abortive infection, thereby confirming the predictions made by PADLOC and

DefenseFinder. Overall, our results confirm that the *gcus* identified in our screenings are indeed

phage resistance genes with known and characterized mechanisms.

PICs are *bona fide* integron cassettes.

Identification of integrons and their cassettes has not been a straightforward task until the appearance of IntegronFinder[49]. A large part of the content in INTEGRALL predates IntegronFinder, so their classification as integron cassettes needs to be verified. A close analysis of the genetic context, locating integrases in close vicinity and in opposite orientation, can be indirect proof that a gene is indeed a cassette. Most PICs identified here -except for *pic135* and *pic142*- are located within class 1 or class 2 integrons, often in pathogenic strains belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family (like *pic217*, *pic113*, and *pic24*) or multidrug resistant *P. aeruginosa*. A compelling example of the latter is the presence of *picN* next to cassettes *bla*$_\text{IMP}$, *aacA4* and *catB6*, conferring multidrug resistance to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and chloramphenicol, respectively (Accession number: AJ223604) (Figure 2A). Yet, given that finding defence systems in integrons has important implications for the mobility of these systems, we sought to verify experimentally that these are *bona fide* integron cassettes. Cassette recombination is a site-specific reaction that can be evidenced in a suicide conjugation assay that is typical in the field[50,51]. Crucially, recombination is semiconservative, involving the bottom strand (but not the top strand) of both the *attC* sites in cassettes and *attI* sites of integrons [52,53]. This unique feature can be easily detected because it leads to clear differences in the suicide conjugation assay when the plasmid transfers the bottom or top strand of the cassette[54]. With this in mind, we inserted the putative *attC* sites of all *pics* in both orientations in the suicide vector pSW23T and measured the recombination frequency of both strands for each cassette. Recombination events were verified through PCR. As expected, we observed a clear drop (between 100- and 10.000-fold lower) in the recombination frequency of the top strands of all putative *attC* sites, as would be expected from integron cassettes (Figure 2B). *picWGS21* had extremely low recombination frequencies for the bottom strand. Yet recombination of the top strand was only observed in 1 out of 6 replicate experiments, suggesting that it is indeed an integron cassette albeit with a very poor recombination site. Indeed, the folded *attC* site of *picWGS21* the typical hairpin structure and Extra Helical Bases of these sites (Figure S4)[55–57]. Hence, our data confirms that PICs are *bona fide* integron cassettes.

Figure 2. Genetic Context, Recombination Frequency, and Phage Protection Profiles of Identified PICs in other species. (A) Genetic context of PICs as found in databases, highlighting their association with integron integrases (*intI1* or *intI2*, in blue shades). PICs are found in different host species. Each PIC is annotated with its respective identifier (e.g., 59, 128A/B, 135A/B), along with known associated genes such as resistance genes (e.g., *bla*IMP, *aacA4*, *catB6*). **(B)** Recombination frequency of the *attC* sites of the identified PICs. The recombination frequency was measured for the bottom (green bars) and top (red bars) strands of the attC sites using a suicide conjugation assay. A clear drop in the recombination frequency of the top strands compared to the bottom strands confirms that these *gcus* are *bona fide* integron cassettes. Bars represent the mean of at least 6 biological replicates. Error bars correspond to the standard error of the mean. **(C)** Heatmap showing the protection profiles of PICs against phage infection in different host species, including *K. pneumoniae* (Kp5) and *P. aeruginosa* (PAO1) against a panel of cognate phages (F13, Vs1, Px4, Px5).

Defence cassettes are protective in other species.

Given the mobility of integrons and cassettes among important pathogens, we sought to determine if PICs are active in different hosts. To this end, we evaluated the protective effects of PICs in *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa,* two species of the ESKAPEE group of highly resistant and lethal pathogens where integrons are prevalent. We introduced all PICs into *K. pneumoniae* Kp5, a MDR clinical isolate. We sequenced the genome of this strain and found three plasmids, one of which contains a class 1 integron (full resistome can be found in Table S1). The PHASTEST software did not detect prophages in the genome of Kp5. We subjected all strains to infection with the *Drexlerviridae* phage F13 co-isolated with the strain. All PICs, except *picN*, demonstrated resistance to F13 at low MOI. Most of them also conferred resistance at high MOI (except *pic76*, *pic113*, *pic217*, and *picWGS21*) (Figure 2C). To introduce PICs in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain*,* we changed the p15A origin of replication of pMBA for BBR1, and introduced a tetracycline resistance marker. After several assays, we could only transform *picN* successfully, while other PICs produced no colonies at all or abnormal (sick) colony morphologies (Figure 2D). This led us to hypothesize that some *pics* might be toxic or extremely costly in this background. To avoid this, we changed the strong promoter guiding the

expression of cassettes in pMBA (PcS) for a weaker version (PcW). This way we could
introduce *pic24, pic113, pic142* and *picWGS21* in PAO1 and were able to measure their
activities. We confronted these strains to a collection of 36 phages infecting PAO1 using a
double spot screen. We observed growth for *picN*, *pic142* and *picWGS21* in presence of phages
Vs1, Px4 and Px5. We evaluated the level of resistance by monitoring growth curves for each
PIC against the three phages, and confirmed their resistance phenotype (Figure 2C). *pic142* -
that had a clear phenotype against several phages both in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*- confirmed
its broad spectrum and host range, conferring resistance to Px5 at both MOI and to Px4 at low
MOI ; contrarily, *picN* and *picWGS21,* that had only showed low resistance against a handful
of phages in *E. coli*, conferred very high resistance against phage Px4 and Vs1 in *P. aeruginosa*.
Altogether, our data proves that PICs can confer resistance to different phages and in different
host species. Although some PICs seem to have a defined narrow host range, others, like *pic142*
were able to confer resistance in the three species against most phages tested, underlying the
broad-spectrum activity of this defence mechanism.
277
PICs protect against prophage activation.
An important aspect of the interplay between phages, their hosts, mobile genetic
elements and defence systems is the case of prophage activation. Hindering activation of
prophages can both protect at the cell and at the population level. Here we asked if a plasmid
carrying a mobile integron with a PIC can limit the production of phage particles from a
chromosomally encoded prophage once it switches to lytic cycle. To test this, we used phages
HK544 and Φ80. We have previously found that *pic135*, *pic24, pic142, pic167* and *pic167.2*
confer resistance to the free form of phages HK544 at both MOI conditions and that *pic167,*
pic167.2, pic128 and pic217 showed resistance to phage Φ80 at low MOI (Figure 1C). With
these results in mind, we then introduced a subset of these PICs in lysogens of *E. coli* 544 with
either HK544 or Φ80 prophages in their genomes. As a control, we also included *picN*, that did
not significantly protect against any of the free forms. We induced prophage activation with
mitomycin and quantitated the phage titer after 6 hours. We did not observe protection against
Φ80 reactivation in any of the four PICs tested, while *pic128*, *pic135* and *pic142* showed a 10
to 1.000 fold decrease in plaque forming units of HK544 (Figure 3A). Interestingly, while
pic135 and *pic142* confer resistance against both free and prophage forms of HK544, *pic128*
only conferred resistance against prophage activation. These results show that mobile integrons
containing PICs can interfere with prophage activation, highlighting the potential protective
effect at the community level, and the complex interplay between mobile genetic elements.

Figure 3. Prophage Induction, Structural Alignment, and Impact of *pic128* on Plasmid Stability. **(A)** Prophage induction assays
inducing with mitomycin (0,05 µM). The graph shows the concentration of phage (measured as plaque-forming units per millilitre (pfu/ml))
when activating prophages in the presence of pMBA containing PICs (*picN*, *pic128*, *pic167.2*, *pic167*, *pic142*, *pic135*) over the presence of
the empty pMBA vector. The results indicate that *pic128*, *pic135*, and *pic142* significantly decrease the phage titer of HK544, while no
protection is observed for Φ80 prophage. **(B)** Structural alignment of Pic128A and Pic128B proteins with known phage and plasmid defence
proteins DdmA and DdmC, respectively. Superposition of protein structures is shown, with alignment scores indicating the degree of
structural similarity. **(C)** Plasmid stability assays in *E. coli*. The percentage of fluorescent cells, representing the stability of pMBA, is shown
for strains containing the pBAD plasmid with either the *ddmABC* or *pic128AB* systems. While *ddmABC* significantly reduces plasmid
stability, *pic128* does not show a significant effect, indicating no anti-plasmid activity. Bars represent the mean of at least 3 biological
replicates. Error bars correspond to the standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was assessed through unpaired t-tests and p-values
are shown.

pic128 does not have anti-plasmid activity.

DdmABC is a phage and plasmid defence system. It has been shown to confer phage
resistance in *E. coli* and to cure plasmids in *Vibrio cholerae*, particularly those with a p15A
replication origin[58]. When modelling protein structures of PICs, we found strong similarities
between Pic128B and DdmC (Figure 3B), proteins that belong to (different) Lamassu defence
systems. Interested by the interactions between mobile elements, we set out to examine whether
the expression of *pic128AB* could exert a similar influence on plasmid stability. Because pMBA
has a p15A replication origin, we decided to investigate the antiplasmid activity of *pic128AB*
from a different vector. We hence cloned *pic128AB* and *ddmABC* systems into a pBAD plasmid
that possess a pBR322 origin of replication and co-transformed them with an empty pMBA.
We induced both systems with arabinose and monitored the loss of pMBA as the presence of
non-fluorescent colonies for 3 days (ca. 20 generations). pMBA is stably maintained in the
absence of antibiotics, with 99% of the cells in the population being fluorescent after 3 days.
As expected, DdmABC strongly decreased the proportion of fluorescent colonies to 19% by
day 3 (Figure 3C), while pMBA was stably maintained at >97% in the presence Pic128AB.
This suggests that, despite their structural similarities, Pic128AB does not have the anti-plasmid
activity detected for DdmABC. Still, both systems could have different plasmid specificities,

so we extended our plasmid stability studies to Kp5, carrying the three distinct natural plasmids

conferring resistance to tetracycline, ertapenem and cefotaxime, respectively. After introducing

pMBA-*pic128* into this isolate we assessed plasmid stability monitoring the loss of three

resistance phenotypes conferred by these replicons over a five-day period (Figure S5). We could

not observe a significant plasmid loss in any case, suggesting that *pic128* is not an anti-plasmid

system.

Mobile Integrons can accumulate resistance to phages and antibiotics.

The fact that integrons carry defence systems is important in the ecology and biology of

these systems. Integron cassettes can be stockpiled, and their phenotypes can be additive, as for

we have observed for multi-drug resistance MIs. It is hence possible that integrons can combine

PICs to provide multi-phage resistance; or PICs and antimicrobial resistance cassettes (ARCs)

providing phage- and AMR. This is important for phage therapy, since integrons would likely

play again -as it occurred with antibiotics- a key role in the evolution of resistance. Hence, we

sought to test if phage defence phenotypes can be additive and combined with antimicrobial

resistance genes. To do so, we first constructed pArray-1 an integron combining *pic24* and

pic167.2 (Figure 4A) that confer high and intermediate resistance to phage T7 and P1,

respectively. Strains carrying pArray-1 showed high resistance against both T7 and P1

highlighting the additivity of phage resistance phenotypes. We then constructed pArray-2

containing *pic24* (T7^R) and *bla*$_{OXA-10}$, a class D β-lactamase gene that confers resistance against

carbenicillin (Figure 4B). The strain carrying pArray-2 showed high levels of resistance against

T7, and carbenicillin both separately and simultaneously (Figure 4B). Our results show that, as

predicted by the integron model, integrons can accumulate phage resistance phenotypes and

combine them with AMR, a capability that can have important implications for phage therapy.

352
Figure 4. Additivity of Phage and Antibiotic Resistance Phenotypes in Multi-Cassette Arrays. Growth curves (OD$_{600}$) of *E.*
coli strains containing **(A)** pMBA, *pic24* and *pic167.2* independently, and combined in an array*;* either with no phage, or challenged
with phage P1, phage T7, and a combination of both. The array shows enhanced resistance to both phages compared to individual
PICs, demonstrating the additive effect of multiple PICs within an integron; and **(B)** pMBA, *pic24* and *bla*$_{OXA-10}$ independently, and
combined in an array in the absence of phage or antibiotic, and challenged with phage T7, carbenicillin, and a combination of both.
The combined array confers resistance to phage and antibiotics simultaneously. Growth curves are represented as the mean of three
independent replicates. The standard error of the mean is represented as a lighter colour shade.

360
361

PICs entail different fitness effects that vary across species.

A major question in the field of phage defence is how these systems affect the fitness of

the host. This has important implications in the accumulation of such systems in genomes and

in their spread between species. Integrons are known to be low-cost platforms that can modulate

the expression of the genes in their cargo by changing their position in the array [59–61]. Yet the

net cost of each cassette can be different, which is of importance in their dispersal through HGT.

To determine the cost of *pics*, we performed competition assays in both *E. coli* and *K.*

pneumoniae using flow cytometry (Figure 5). Our results showed a broad distribution of fitness

effects among PICs, ranging from large costs (ca. 40%) to virtually costless in both species. In

E. coli, pic217, *pic128* and *picN* seemed to have a mildly positive impact in fitness (up to 3%)

suggesting that acquisition of certain systems can be costless (Figure 5A). Interestingly, fitness

effects were not conserved between genetic backgrounds. For instance, *picWGS21* entailed a

small cost (ca. 7%) in *E. coli* but was very costly (ca. 30%) in *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 5B). Only

a few cassettes, like *picN* showed very similar cost in both species. The lack of cost of PICs in

certain genetic backgrounds, and the differences in fitness effects across backgrounds can be of

importance in the accumulation of cassettes and their preferential distribution of PICs among

species.

In the case of costly defence systems, a key advantage that integrons can provide,

compared to encoding them elsewhere, is that they can modulate their expression through the

shuffling of gene cassettes. Because the expression levels of genes and their fitness cost

generally correlate, we hypothesize that integrons can modulate the fitness of PICs in the array.

To test if the cost of a PIC can differ depending on the cassettes upstream, we compared the

cost entailed by *pic24* when located in first position of the array (see above) with the cost it

entails when encoded in third position (as it is found in the databases (Figure 2A)). For this, we

constructed an array (pArrayØ) containing two antibiotic resistance cassettes, *aacA54* and

aacA8, and introduced *pic24* downstream, as a third cassette (pArray-*pic24*). We have

previously shown that *aacA54* and *aacA8* have significant polar effects, strongly repressing the

expression of downstream cassettes[61]. Competition experiments show that while *pic24*

conferred a fitness cost of 11% when located in first position, it had no significant cost when in

third position (Figure 5C). This was due to the strong repression of its expression since phage

infection experiments showed pArray-*pic24* did not protect against T7 infection. Hence, costly

PICs can be carried by mobile integrons at no cost to be later reshuffled into first position,

providing phage resistance (*adaptation-) on demand*[62] We also show that PICs exert polar

effects on downstream cassettes (Figure 5D), participating in the modulation of function and

cost that we have previously demonstrated for resistance genes[61].

398
399
Figure 5. Fitness Effects of PICs. Fitness effects of PICs in **(A)** *E. coli* and **(B)** *K. pneumoniae*. Red circles represent fitness values
below 1 (cost), while green circles indicate fitness values above 1 (gain). Fitness effects vary in sign and magnitude and are not
conserved among genetic backgrounds. **(C)** Modulation of fitness cost and function by integron position. The graph shows the
fitness effect of *pic24* in first position (competing pMBA vs pMBA-*pic24*) and third position (pArrayØ *vs.* pArray-*pic24*). The
fitness cost imposed by the PIC is reduced in third position behind cassettes with strong polar effects. The cost is related to the
function of the PIC since pArray-*pic24* does not confer resistance to T7. **(D)** Polar effects of PICs. All cassettes except *pic167* exert
polar effects on downstream cassettes, limiting their expression. All assays have been performed at least three times. Error bars
represent the standard error of the mean.

408
409

DISCUSSION

Phage therapy is an old approach with renewed interest in the light of the AMR
crisis[63,64]. It allows for personalized treatments against multidrug resistant bacteria, with
encouraging outcomes. Phage resistance is a rapidly evolving field of research. The constant
discovery of new resistance genes, often encoded in defence islands and prophages, reveals the
intense arms race between bacteria and their phages, and between mobile genetic elements. We
lack knowledge on how defence systems are recruited into these islands and on their mobility,
that seems to be somewhat limited[14]. In contrast, mobile integrons are highly adaptable genetic
elements that have significantly contributed to the proliferation of multidrug resistance bringing
to our hospitals a plethora of resistance cassettes from the genomes of environmental bacteria.
Being shed to the environment at extremely high quantities (10^{23} per day)[65], mobile integrons
have established a strong communication route between the genomes of pathogenic and
environmental bacteria[66]. If new cassettes are adaptive, the rampant movement of mobile
integrons among clinically relevant bacteria ensures a high speed of dissemination into our

hospitals. It is therefore critical for the future of phage therapy to understand the potential role
of integrons in phage defence. In this work we confirm that mobile integrons already contain
such systems.

We have successfully identified *pics* using bioinformatic tools and a phenotypic
screening with only T4 and T7 phages, and tested them against a panel of phages. As is the case
of most defence systems, *pics* displayed different specificities conferring narrow to broad
immunity in our assays. Nevertheless, because integrons can build arrays of cassettes, they can
combine phenotypes to confer multi-phage or phage-drug resistance, the same way they
currently confer multidrug resistance. In fact, *picN* is already found in an array with at least
three ARCs in a *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (Figure 2A). This, and other examples of arrays
containing *pic*s in different positions in the array, together with our results showing that PICs
can be carried at no cost, suggest that mobile integrons can become low-cost, highly-mobile
defence islands. An extreme case of this is shown by Darracq *et al.* in a back-to-back paper in
this issue, where they describe the presence of PICs in the Superintegron of *Vibrio cholerae*.
Indeed, in a recent work we have shown that the Superintegron is carried at no measurable cost
[67]. Additionally, their findings proves that chromosomal integrons are virtually infinite
repositories of PICs from where they can be recollected by mobile integrons. Both Darracq *et*
al. and us show that *pics* are functional in distant genetic backgrounds. In our work, we show
that this is the case in 3 of the 5 Gram negative species and strains of the ESKAPEE group of
highly resistant and dangerous pathogens: the kind of bacteria aimed by phage therapy assays.
This strongly suggests that the spread of PICs among them will be quick if selective pressure
with phages becomes commonplace. This has implications in what are today considered as
interesting therapeutic options. For instance, it is known that many *K. pneumoniae* phages bind
the bacterial capsule and that resistant clones can easily arise through capsule loss, albeit at the
cost of becoming non-virulent [68]. Hence, the acquisition of plasmids containing integrons with
pics can avoid this trade-off, rendering virulent clones resistant to phages and antibiotics at the
same time.

The role of mobile integrons in phage resistance exemplifies the interplay between
mobile genetic elements. Indeed, many of the PICs described here target the conjugative pilus
of *E. coli* IJ1862. Hence, plasmids containing integrons can indirectly benefit from the activity
of PICs that protect their host. Mobile Integrons would alleviate the trade-off between acquiring
adaptive traits encoded in the plasmid and the susceptibility to a variety of phages. Another
aspect of this complex interplay is the potential link of *pic128* with plasmid stability. Contrarily
to the *ddmABC* system, *pic128* does not interact with p15A plasmid or with any natural plasmids

present in Kp5. This result highlights that not all Lamassu systems have the same activity toward plasmids. Given that horizontal gene transfer is key for bacteria to acquire plasmids conferring resistance to antibiotics, it is possible that the lack of anti-plasmid activity of *pic128* has been selected for in an environment with high antibiotic pressure.

Our work is not exempt of limitations. First, our screening -while successful in detecting nine new genes- was based in the use of only T4 and T7. Given that some *pics* exhibit high specificity against phages, it is likely that the number of *pics* present in our collection of *gcus* is underestimated. This could also apply to prophage-activation protection and plasmid stability assays, if additional prophages and plasmids were tested. Additionally, algorithms to detect defence systems are being constantly improved, so that detection of PICs in *gcus* will likely be more prolific at the time this work is published.

This study shapes our perception of integrons. The presence of phage defence systems and antibiotic resistance genes, proposes that integrons are protection elements against a large breadth of environmental insults. This can guide the search for novel functions in the virtually infinite reservoir of cassettes in nature. Our results have important implications in the field of phage resistance, where mobile integrons provide extreme mobility at a very low and adjustable fitness cost. Finding *pics* in Mobile integrons highlights the risk that phage resistance genes follow the same dissemination route as ARG in the past century. The field of phage therapy must be vigilant about the adaptive power of integrons since selective pressure will most likely make them -again- a key player in (phage-) resistance. If the importance of integrons in the evolution of phage resistance is neglected, it might come a day when phage hunting against clinical isolates becomes extremely difficult.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Strains and Phage collection

E. coli DH5a and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 are laboratory strains. *K. pneumoniae* KP5 is a clinical isolate. *E. coli* 594 [69], was kindly donated by José Penadés together with phages HK544 and Φ80. Strains were grown at 37 °C in lysogeny broth (LB) or LB agar (1.5 %) (BD, France). Zeocin was added at 100 µg/ml to maintain pMBA and pMBA-derived plasmids in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. Tetracycline at 100 µg/ml was used to maintain pMBA plasmids in *P. aeruginosa*. Liquid cultures were incubated in an Infors Multritron shaker at 200 rpm (Informs HT, Swiss). Antibiotics were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Merck, USA) except for zeocin (InvivoGen, USA).

The coliphages included T4, T7, P1, MS2, G4, F1 which belong to the *Myoviridae*, *Autographiviridae*, *Myoviridae*, *Fiersviridae*, *Microviridae* and *Inoviridae*, respectively. *E. coli* phage MS2, F1, G4 and their host *E. coli* IJ1862 [47] were kindly provided by from Prof. James J. Bull (University of Texas). Phages T4, T7, and P1 were purchased from the DMSZ collection (Leibniz, Germany). The *K. pneumoniae* phage, named F13, (found in effluents from a hospital) was selected for its ability to lyse a clinical isolate called Kp5, which produces the class D carbapenemase OXA-48 and used as its host for this study. We computed the genomic data obtained by Illumina technology with PHASTER to determined that F13 belongs to the *Drexlerviridae* family. The *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain was used as a host for the Px4, Px5 and Vs1 phages used in this study.

Phage production

We prepared phage solutions using previously published protocols [70]. Briefly, we grew host bacteria in LB broth at 37ºC until they reached the exponential phase. At that point, we initiated and monitored infection with the respective phage for 4 to 6 hours, depending on the specific phage. When we observed a decrease in optical density (OD), we allowed the lysis process to continue for an additional hour. We then transferred the cultures to polystyrene Falcon tubes for centrifugation and subsequent filtration to collect the lysate containing the phage. Next, we enumerated the phage solutions to determine the plaque-forming units (PFU). For plating, we mixed bacterial cultures (OD_{600} ~1) with top agar medium and poured it onto agar dishes. After allowing it to dry for 30 minutes, we spotted serial dilutions of the phages onto the agar plates and incubated them overnight at 37ºC [71].

In silico analysis

We generated the list of *gcus* using the INTEGRALL database (INTEGRALL (ua.pt)) and Integron finder [49,72]. To determine if the *gcus* associated with known phage resistance defense

systems, we subjected the sequences of all *gcus* to a BLAST search against the two main

defence system databases: DefenseFinder [73] and PADLOC [74]. For *gcus* that showed a resistance

phenotype but remained unidentified by these platforms, we used an AlphaFold2 pipeline

known as ColabFold for prediction, followed by Foldseek to gain insights into the protein

function of each *gcu* [75,76]. Additionally, we employed the Iterative Threading ASSEmbly

Refinement (ITASSER) platform to leverage complementary approaches for protein function

prediction [77].

We carried out the sequencing of the *K. pneumoniae* isolate Kp5 using a combination of

Illumina and Nanopore technologies, with SeqCoast (Portsmouth, USA) performing the

sequencing. We performed genome assembly using Unicycler software and conducted

subsequent analyses using the Center for Genomic Epidemiology platform. We determined the

resistome using the ResFinder web platform, identified the sequence type using MLST, and

characterized the plasmids using the PlasmidFinder web platform [78–80]. We assessed the

presence of prophages in the genome using the PHASTER web tool [81]. General DNA sequence

analysis was performed with Geneious and figures were created with BioRender.com.

Cloning *gcu*s in pMBA

All *gcus* were synthesized as double-stranded DNA by IDT ((Newark, USA), with an addition

of a 20 bp homology region corresponding to the targeted cloning site of pMBA located between

the *intI1* and *gfp* gene[33]. We performed the cloning process using the Gibson assembly method

[82] and selected clones on an LB agar plate supplemented with zeocin (25 μg/mL). We cloned

the entire sequences of the *gcus*, including the open reading frame (ORF) and their *attC* region,

into the pMBA vector. Our in-house designed pMBA plasmid contains a p15A replication

origin, a zeocin resistance marker, and a truncated intI1 gene followed by a *gfp* gene, acting as

a second integron cassette. We inserted the cloned *gcus* as the first cassette in the vector. To

ensure a relevant level of expression for each *gcu*, we selected the strongest version of the Pc

promoter, PcS, located within the *intI1* gene, for our constructions. For the construction of

pArray-1 and pArray-2, we cloned at second position of the integron-like structure of pMBA

the *pic167.2* or the *bla*$_{OXA-10}$ gene instead of having the *gfp* gene, respectively.

The construction of pArrayØ was accomplished using the Gibson assembly protocol, wherein

the *aacA54* and *aacA8* resistance gene cassettes were cloned into the pMBA-Δ*gfp* vector.

Subsequently, pArray-*pic24* was created by cloning the *pic24* gene into the third position of the

synthetic integron, downstream of the *aacA8* gene cassette.

For the cloning of *gcus* into *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, we used a modified pMBA vector.

The p15A replication origin was replaced with the BBR1 origin to ensure replication in both

E. coli and *P. aeruginosa* species. Additionally, the strong PcS promoter was substituted with

its weaker version, PcW. Finally, a tetracycline resistance marker was introduced, as

P. aeruginosa exhibits low resistance to zeocin. The list of oligonucleotides used can be found

in Table S2.

Growth curves and phage lysis monitoring

We inoculated a single colony of a clone carrying a potential phage resistance gene into an

overnight culture of Luria Bertani (LB) broth at 37ºC. The next day, we adjusted the culture to

an optical density of 0.1 and transferred it to a 96-well plate containing LB broth supplemented

with zeocin (25 µg/mL). We then exposed the plate to different concentrations of phage to test

various multiplicities of infection (MOI). For all tested phages, we defined a high MOI

condition as an MOI of 1 and a low MOI condition as an MOI of 0,01. However, for phages T4

and T7, which exhibit high lytic activity, we set the high MOI condition to 0,01 and the low

MOI condition to 0,00001. We monitored growth curves using a Biotek HTX synergy plate

reader (Agilent, Madrid, Spain) at 600 nm over a 16-hour period. To assess the phage lysis

level, we calculated the area under the curve (AUC) for each growth condition, both with and

without phage as described previously [48]. The inhibition score for each sample was calculated

using the following formula:

567
$$Inhibition\ Score = (\frac{\Delta AUC}{\Delta AUC_{no\ phage}}) \times 100$$

where ΔAUC is the difference in AUC between the conditions with and without phage.

Specifically, ΔAUC $=$ AUC$_{no\ phage} - AUC_{phage}$. We calculated the inhibition scores for both

high and low multiplicity of infection (MOI) conditions. These scores were then normalized by

comparing them to the inhibition scores obtained from the control samples, which used the

empty pMBA vector. The normalization was done by computing the ratio of the inhibition score

of the experimental sample to the inhibition score of the control: $Inhibition =$

$\left(\frac{Inhibition\ Score_{pic}}{Inhibition\ Score_{pMBA}}\right) \times 100$. By using the "inhibition score", we normalize the reduction of the

area under the curve relative to growth without the phage. This allows for comparison of the

relative effects regardless of initial growth differences between strains.

Prophage activation assay

We chemically activate the lytic cycle of the prophages HK544 and Φ80 using mitomycin C at

a concentration of 0,05 µM. We then monitored the production of active phages over time by

taking 10 µL of the culture every two hours. After centrifugation, we performed serial dilutions

and spotted the phages on top agar plate to evaluate the phage concentration[83].

Phage-resistant clone screening

To identify new phage defence integron cassettes, we employed both liquid and solid media

screening strategies. Initially, we cultured clones carrying various *gcus* overnight in LB broth

with zeocin (25 µg/mL). The following day, a 1 OD dilution of each culture (10 µL) was spotted

onto LB agar plates supplemented with zeocin. After 1 hour of incubation, we applied 7 µL of

phage T4 solution at a concentration of 10^9 PFU/mL to the bacterial spots. After 16-18 hours of

incubation, we selected the clones that exhibited growth despite phage presence for further

analysis. Additionally, we conducted a high-throughput screening in 96-well plates, challenging

the entire *gcu* collection with phages T7 and T4 under two different multiplicities of infection

(MOI) conditions, following the protocol described previously.

Plasmid stability experiment

We co-transformed *E. coli* IJ862 with both the empty pMBA plasmid carrying the p15A replicon

and the L-arabinose inducible pBAD plasmid carrying either the *pic128* or *ddmABC* defence

system. For five days, three replicates were put in culture in LB broth supplemented with

carbenicillin (100 µg/ml) and L-arabinose (0,1%). Daily, we transferred 50 µl of each culture

into fresh 5 ml LB broth and used another 50 µl to count GFP-expressing colonies on LB agar

plates supplemented with carbenicillin.

To assess the stability of the endogenous clinical plasmids in Kp5 *K. pneumoniae* isolate, we

cultivated clones harbouring *pic128* in LB broth with zeocin (25 µg/ml) over five days. On days

1 and 5, we selected >120 colonies from LB zeocin agar plates and subcultured them onto

separate LB media containing either tetracycline (15 µg/ml), cefotaxime (50 µg/ml), or

ertapenem (30 µg/ml). This step aimed to detect any loss of resistance, which would suggest

the corresponding loss of one of the three natural plasmids.

***attC* recombination experiments**

Recombination experiments were conducted as previously described[84]. Briefly, we cloned the

3' region of each *pic* pSW23T mobilizable plasmid containing a chloramphenicol resistance

marker into *E. coli* β2163 strain (*dapA⁻*, *pir⁺*) requiring diaminopimelic acid (DAP) to grow.

We used *E. coli* β2163 as donor strain for conjugation experiments using *E. coli* Dh5α strain

carrying a pBAD plasmid containing an L-arabinose inducible *intI1* gene and an *attI* site on its

chromosome as recipient. We performed conjugation experiments onto LB agar supplemented

with DAP and L-arabinose (0,1%) overnight. The next day, after cell recover, we performed

ten-folded dilutions onto LB agar supplemented with carbenicillin (100 µg/ml) and glucose

(0,1%) or with carbenicillin, glucose and chloramphenicol (25 µg/ml) to select for the total

population and recombinants, respectively.

Fitness experiment

To assess the fitness cost of each PIC, we performed *in vitro* competition assays following the

protocol described in [85] with some modifications. Briefly, we subcloned the panel of the nine

pics into a modified version of the pMBA vector, which includes a knock out *gfp* gene (with a

stop codon instead of the start codon), along with an empty vector control. We then subjected

this new set of clones to a fitness competition experiment against the wild type pMBA vector

carrying the intact *gfp* gene. Briefly, we grew six replicates of each clone overnight at 37ºC in

a 96-well plate filled with LB broth supplemented with zeocin (25 µg/ml). The next day, we

mixed cells containing the target *gcu* in the pMBA-Δ*gfp* in a 1:1 volume ratio with the clone

carrying the pMBA-WT vector. We diluted these mixtures 1:20 in 96-well plates containing

NaCl 0,9%. This plate was further diluted 1:20 in a plate with NaCl and another with LB broth

supplemented with zeocin. The first plate was used to estimate the percentage of pMBA-WT

and pMBA-*pic*-Δ*gfp* cells in the mixture on day 1, using a Cytoflex S cytometer (Beckman

Coulter, USA). The second plate (with LB) was incubated overnight. The next day these

cultures were diluted 1:400 in NaCl to determine the proportion of strains in the mixture as

explained above. Following the formula described in[85], fitness cost was calculated as the

difference in ratios of GFP-fluorescence between day 1 and day 2 for each *pic*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. José R. Penadés and Dr. James J. Bull for providing phages and

strains, and Tamara Barcos for technical assistance. We thank André Carvalho for critical

reading of the manuscript. NK is supported by funding from the European Union's Horizon

2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement

No 847635 for the ANARC research project (No 4230415). The work in the MBA laboratory

is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) through a Starting Grant [803375];

Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades [BIO2017-85056-P]; Ministerio de Ciencia

e Innovación [PID2020-117499RB-100]; A.H. is supported by the PhD program at UCM. PB

is supported by the Juan de la Cierva program (FJC 2020-043017-I). P.D-C. was supported by

a Ramón y Cajal contract RYC2019-028015-I and the project PID2020-112835RA-I00, funded

by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ESF Invest in your future; MG-Q is supported by the

Subprograma Miguel Servet from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain

(CP19/00104), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Plan Estatal de I+D+i 2017–2020), and co-funded

by European Social Fund "Investing in your future". J.A.E. is supported by the Atracción de

Talento Program of the Comunidad de Madrid [2016-T1/BIO-1105, 2020-5A/BIO-19726];

REFERENCES

1. Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial
resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* **399**, 629–655 (2022).
2. d'Herelle, F. Bacteriophage as a Treatment in Acute Medical and Surgical Infections.
Bull N Y Acad Med **7**, 329–48 (1931).
3. Hatfull, G. F., Dedrick, R. M. & Schooley, R. T. Phage Therapy for Antibiotic-Resistant
Bacterial Infections. *Annu Rev Med* **73**, 197–211 (2022).
4. Kortright, K. E., Chan, B. K., Koff, J. L. & Turner, P. E. Phage Therapy: A Renewed
Approach to Combat Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria. *Cell Host Microbe* **25**, 219–232
(2019).
5. Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E. & Moineau, S. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat
Rev Microbiol* **8**, 317–27 (2010).
6. Rostøl, J. T. & Marraffini, L. (Ph)ighting Phages: How Bacteria Resist Their Parasites.
Cell Host Microbe **25**, 184–194 (2019).
7. Bernheim, A. & Sorek, R. The pan-immune system of bacteria: antiviral defence as a
community resource. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **18**, 113–119 (2020).
8. Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E. & Moineau, S. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat
Rev Microbiol* **8**, 317–27 (2010).
9. Millman, A. *et al.* An expanded arsenal of immune systems that protect bacteria from
phages. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 1556-1569.e5 (2022).
10. Millman, A. *et al.* An expanded arsenal of immune systems that protect bacteria from
phages. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 1556-1569.e5 (2022).
11. Vassallo, C. N., Doering, C. R., Littlehale, M. L., Teodoro, G. I. C. & Laub, M. T. A
functional selection reveals previously undetected anti-phage defence systems in the E.
coli pangenome. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1568–1579 (2022).
12. Dedrick, R. M. *et al.* Prophage-mediated defence against viral attack and viral counter-
defence. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 16251 (2017).
13. Hochhauser, D., Millman, A. & Sorek, R. The defense island repertoire of the
Escherichia coli pan-genome. *PLoS Genet* **19**, e1010694 (2023).
14. Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., Snir, S. & Koonin, E. V. Defense Islands in Bacterial and
Archaeal Genomes and Prediction of Novel Defense Systems. *J Bacteriol* **193**, 6039–
6056 (2011).
15. Ghaly, T. M. *et al.* The Natural History of Integrons. *Microorganisms* **9**, (2021).
16. Labbate, M., Case, R. J. & Stokes, H. W. The Integron/Gene Cassette System: An
Active Player in Bacterial Adaptation. in 103–125 (2009). doi:10.1007/978-1-60327-
853-9_6.
17. Mazel, D. Integrons: Agents of bacterial evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 608–620
(2006).
18. Recchia, G. D. & Hall, R. M. Gene cassettes: A new class of mobile element.
Microbiology (N Y) **141**, 3015–3027 (1995).

19. Partridge, S. R. *et al.* Definition of the attI1 site of class 1 integrons. *Microbiology (N Y)* **146**, 2855–2864 (2000).

20. Collis, C. M. & Hall, R. M. Expression of antibiotic resistance genes in the integrated cassettes of integrons. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **39**, 155–162 (1995).

21. Barraud, O. & Ploy, M. C. Diversity of class 1 integron gene cassette rearrangements selected under antibiotic pressure. *J Bacteriol* **197**, 2171–2178 (2015).

22. Souque, C., Escudero, J. A. & Maclean, R. C. Integron activity accelerates the evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Elife* **10**, 1–47 (2021).

23. Carvalho, A. *et al.* The expression of integron arrays is shaped by the translation rate of cassettes. doi:10.1101/2024.03.26.586746.

24. Guerin, É. *et al.* The SOS Response Controls Integron Recombination. *Science (1979)* **324**, 1034–1034 (2009).

25. Escudero*, J. A., Loot*, C., Nivina, A. & Mazel, D. The Integron: Adaptation On Demand. *Microbiol Spectr* **3**, (2015).

26. Partridge, S. R., Kwong, S. M., Firth, N. & Jensen, S. O. Mobile Genetic Elements Associated with Antimicrobial Resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **31**, (2018).

27. Stokes, H. W. & Hall, R. M. A novel family of potentially mobile DNA elements encoding site-specific gene-integration functions: integrons. *Mol Microbiol* **3**, 1669–1683 (1989).

28. Domingues, S., da Silva, G. J. & Nielsen, K. M. Integrons: Vehicles and pathways for horizontal dissemination in bacteria. *Mob Genet Elements* **2**, 211–223 (2012).

29. Mazel, D. Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 608–20 (2006).

30. Mitsuhashi, S., Harada, K., Hashimoto, H. & Egawa, R. On the drug-resistance of enteric bacteria. 4. Drug-resistance of Shigella prevalent in Japan. *Jpn J Exp Med* **31**, 47–52 (1961).

31. Kaushik, M., Kumar, S., Kapoor, R. K., Virdi, J. S. & Gulati, P. Integrons in Enterobacteriaceae : diversity, distribution and epidemiology. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **51**, 167–176 (2018).

32. Hipólito, A., García-Pastor, L., Vergara, E., Jové, T. & Escudero, J. A. Profile and resistance levels of 136 integron resistance genes. *npj Antimicrobials and Resistance* **1**, 13 (2023).

33. Hipólito, A. *et al.* The expression of aminoglycoside resistance genes in integron cassettes is not controlled by riboswitches. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, 8566–8579 (2022).

34. Partridge, S. R., Tsafnat, G., Coiera, E. & Iredell, J. R. Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **33**, 757–784 (2009).

35. Partridge, S. R., Tsafnat, G., Coiera, E. & Iredell, J. R. Gene cassettes and cassette arrays in mobile resistance integrons. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **33**, 757–84 (2009).

36. Moura, A. *et al.* INTEGRALL: A database and search engine for integrons, integrases and gene cassettes. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1096–1098 (2009).

37. Hipólito, A. *et al.* The expression of aminoglycoside resistance genes in integron cassettes is not controlled by riboswitches. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, 8566–8579 (2022).

38. Tesson, F. *et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of prokaryotes. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2561 (2022).

39. Payne, L. J. *et al.* PADLOC: a web server for the identification of antiviral defence systems in microbial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W541–W550 (2022).

40.   Hipólito, A. *et al.* The expression of aminoglycoside resistance genes in integron
cassettes is not controlled by riboswitches. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2022)
doi:10.1093/nar/gkac662.

41.   Rousset, F. *et al.* Phages and their satellites encode hotspots of antiviral systems. *Cell*
Host Microbe **30**, 740-753.e5 (2022).

42.   Jaskólska, M., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. Two defence systems eliminate plasmids
from seventh pandemic Vibrio cholerae. *Nature* **604**, 323–329 (2022).

43.   Duncan-Lowey, B. & Kranzusch, P. J. CBASS phage defense and evolution of antiviral
nucleotide signaling. *Curr Opin Immunol* **74**, 156–163 (2022).

44.   Haaber, J., Moineau, S., Fortier, L.-C. & Hammer, K. AbiV, a novel antiphage abortive
infection mechanism on the chromosome of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris
MG1363. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 6528–37 (2008).

45.   Matelska, D., Steczkiewicz, K. & Ginalski, K. Comprehensive classification of the PIN
domain-like superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 6995–7020 (2017).

46.   Boyd, C. D. *et al.* Structural Features of the Pseudomonas fluorescens Biofilm Adhesin
LapA Required for LapG-Dependent Cleavage, Biofilm Formation, and Cell Surface
Localization. *J Bacteriol* **196**, 2775–2788 (2014).

47.   Bull, J. J., Badgett, M. R., Springman, R. & Molineux, I. J. Genome properties and the
limits of adaptation in bacteriophages. *Evolution (N Y)* **58**, 692 (2004).

48.   Quinones-Olvera, N. *et al.* Diverse and abundant phages exploit conjugative plasmids.
Nat Commun **15**, (2024).

49.   Néron, B. *et al.* IntegronFinder 2.0: Identification and Analysis of Integrons across
Bacteria, with a Focus on Antibiotic Resistance in Klebsiella. *Microorganisms* **10**,
(2022).

50.   Mazel, D. Integrons: agents of bacterial evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 608–20
(2006).

51.   Bouvier, M., Demarre, G. & Mazel, D. Integron cassette insertion: A recombination
process involving a folded single strand substrate. *EMBO Journal* **24**, 4356–4367
(2005).

52.   Bouvier, M., Ducos-Galand, M., Loot, C., Bikard, D. & Mazel, D. Structural features
of single-stranded integron cassette attC sites and their role in strand selection. *PLoS*
Genet **5**, e1000632 (2009).

53.   Loot, C., Ducos-Galand, M., Escudero, J. A., Bouvier, M. & Mazel, D. Replicative
resolution of integron cassette insertion. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, 8361–8370 (2012).

54.   Bouvier, M., Ducos-Galand, M., Loot, C., Bikard, D. & Mazel, D. Structural features
of single-stranded integron cassette attC sites and their role in strand selection. *PLoS*
Genet **5**, e1000632 (2009).

55.   Bouvier, M., Ducos-Galand, M., Loot, C., Bikard, D. & Mazel, D. Structural features
of single-stranded integron cassette attC sites and their role in strand selection. *PLoS*
Genet **5**, (2009).

56.   Nivina, A., Escudero, J. A., Vit, C., Mazel, D. & Loot, C. Efficiency of integron
cassette insertion in correct orientation is ensured by the interplay of the three unpaired
features of attC recombination sites. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, 7792–7803 (2016).

57.   MacDonald, D., Demarre, G., Bouvier, M., Mazel, D. & Gopaul, D. N. Structural basis
for broad DNA-specificity in integron recombination. *Nature* **440**, 1157–1162 (2006).

58.   Jaskólska, M., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. Two defence systems eliminate plasmids
from seventh pandemic Vibrio cholerae. *Nature* **604**, 323–329 (2022).

59.   Lacotte, Y., Ploy, M.-C. & Raherison, S. Class 1 integrons are low-cost structures in
786   Escherichia coli. *ISME J* **11**, 1535–1544 (2017).

787   60.   Souque, C., Escudero, J. A. & MacLean, R. C. Integron activity accelerates the
788   evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

789   61.   Carvalho, A. *et al.* The expression of integron arrays is shaped by the translation rate of
790   cassettes. 2 3 ORCID. doi:10.1101/2024.03.26.586746.

791   62.   Escudero, J. A., Loot, C., Nivina, A. & Mazel, D. The Integron: Adaptation On
792   Demand. *Microbiol Spectr* **3**, MDNA3-0019–2014 (2015).

793   63.   Corbellino, M. *et al.* Eradication of a Multidrug-Resistant, Carbapenemase-Producing
794   Klebsiella pneumoniae Isolate Following Oral and Intra-rectal Therapy With a Custom
795   Made, Lytic Bacteriophage Preparation. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **70**, 1998–2001
796   (2020).

797   64.   Pirnay, J.-P. *et al.* Personalized bacteriophage therapy outcomes for 100 consecutive
798   cases: a multicentre, multinational, retrospective observational study. *Nat Microbiol* **9**,
799   1434–1453 (2024).

800   65.   Zhu, Y.-G. *et al.* Microbial mass movements. *Science (1979)* **357**, 1099–1100 (2017).

801   66.   Gillings, M. *et al.* The evolution of class 1 integrons and the rise of antibiotic
802   resistance. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 5095–5100 (2008).

803   67.   Blanco, P. *et al.* Chromosomal Integrons are Genetically and Functionally Isolated
804   Units of Genomes 2 3. doi:10.1101/2023.11.17.567518.

805   68.   Song, L. *et al.* Phage Selective Pressure Reduces Virulence of Hypervirulent Klebsiella
806   pneumoniae Through Mutation of the wzc Gene. *Front Microbiol* **12**, (2021).

807   69.   Alqurainy, N. *et al.* A widespread family of phage-inducible chromosomal islands only
808   steals bacteriophage tails to spread in nature. *Cell Host Microbe* **31**, 69-82.e5 (2023).

809   70.   Bonilla, N. *et al.* Phage on tap-a quick and efficient protocol for the preparation of
810   bacteriophage laboratory stocks. *PeerJ* **4**, e2261 (2016).

811   71.   Ács, N., Gambino, M. & Brøndsted, L. Bacteriophage Enumeration and Detection
812   Methods. *Front Microbiol* **11**, 594868 (2020).

813   72.   Moura, A. *et al.* INTEGRALL: a database and search engine for integrons, integrases
814   and gene cassettes. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1096–8 (2009).

815   73.   Tesson, F. *et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of
816   prokaryotes. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2561 (2022).

817   74.   Payne, L. J. *et al.* PADLOC: a web server for the identification of antiviral defence
818   systems in microbial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W541–W550 (2022).

819   75.   van Kempen, M. *et al.* Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat
820   Biotechnol* (2023) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0.

821   76.   Mirdita, M. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**,
822   679–682 (2022).

823   77.   Yang, J. & Zhang, Y. Protein Structure and Function Prediction Using I-TASSER. *Curr
824   Protoc Bioinformatics* **52**, (2015).

825   78.   Bortolaia, V. *et al.* ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes.
826   *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **75**, 3491–3500 (2020).

827   79.   Carattoli, A. *et al.* *In Silico* Detection and Typing of Plasmids using PlasmidFinder and
828   Plasmid Multilocus Sequence Typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**, 3895–3903
829   (2014).

830   80.   Larsen, M. V. *et al.* Multilocus Sequence Typing of Total-Genome-Sequenced Bacteria.
831   *J Clin Microbiol* **50**, 1355–1361 (2012).

81.   Arndt, D. *et al.* PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool.
Nucleic Acids Res **44**, W16–W21 (2016).

82.   Avilan, L. Assembling Multiple Fragments: The Gibson Assembly. *Methods Mol Biol*
2633, 45–53 (2023).

83.   Ibarra-Chávez, R., Reboud, J., Penadés, J. R. & Cooper, J. M. Phage-Inducible
Chromosomal Islands as a Diagnostic Platform to Capture and Detect Bacterial
Pathogens. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **10**, e2301643 (2023).

84.   Bouvier, M., Demarre, G. & Mazel, D. Integron cassette insertion: a recombination
process involving a folded single strand substrate. *EMBO J* **24**, 4356–4367 (2005).

85.   DelaFuente, J., Rodriguez-Beltran, J. & San Millan, A. Methods to Study Fitness and
Compensatory Adaptation in Plasmid-Carrying Bacteria. *Methods in Molecular*
Biology **2075**, 371–382 (2020).