Mobile Integrons Encode Phage Defence Systems

Nicolas KIEFFER[1,2]♦, Alberto HIPÓLITO[1,2]♦, Paula BLANCO[1,2], Thomas DELOBELLE[3],
Laura ORTIZ-MIRAVALLES, Francisco Manuel OJEDA[1,2], Thomas JOVÉ[4], Dukas
JURENAS[3], Meritxell GARCÍA-QUINTANILLA[5,6], Pilar DOMINGO-CALAP[7], José
Antonio ESCUDERO[1,2]*

[1]Molecular basis of Adaptation Laboratory, Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Facultad de
Veterinaria de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, España,
[2]VISAVET Health Surveillance Centre, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain.
[3] Laboratoire de Génétique et Physiologie Bactérienne, Faculté de Sciences, Université Libre
de Bruxelles (ULB), Gosselies, Belgium.
[4]INSERM, CHU Limoges, RESINFIT, University of Limoges, Limoges, France, Present
address: no longer employed by INSERM.
[5]Departamento de Microbiología, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Fundación Jiménez Díaz,
Madrid, España
[6]CIBERINFEC-CIBER de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid,
Spain
[7]Instituto de Biología Integrativa de Sistemas, Universitat de València-CSIC, Paterna, Spain.

♦Both authors contributed equally

*Corresponding Author. Email: jaescude@ucm.es
+34 661584761
University Complutense of Madrid,
Department of Animal Health,
Avenida Puerta de Hierro s/n
28040, Madrid, Spain.

ABSTRACT

Integrons are bacterial genetic elements that capture, stockpile and modulate the expression of genes encoded in integron cassettes. Mobile Integrons (MI) are borne on plasmids, acting as a vehicle for hundreds of antimicrobial resistance genes among key pathogens. These elements also carry gene cassettes of unknown function (*gcu*s) whose role and adaptive value remains unexplored. Recent years have witnessed the discovery of a myriad defence systems against bacteriophages, highlighting that viral infection is a major selective pressure for bacteria. We hence sought to explore if *gcu*s could encode phage defence systems. Using the INTEGRALL database, we established a collection of 129 *gcu*s in pMBA, a vector where cassettes are established as part of a class 1 integron. PADLOC and DefenseFinder predicted four phage defence systems in this collection, comprising Lamassu, CBASS and two ABI (abortive infection) systems. We experimentally challenged all cassettes with phages and found nine additional candidates that were not detected *in silico*. We have characterized in depth the 13 *gcu*s against a panel of phages in *Escherichia coli* confirming their role as phage defence integron cassettes (PICs). We used recombination assays to verify that all systems are *bona fide* integron cassettes and are therefore mobile. We show that PICs confer resistance in other clinically relevant species, such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Several PICs also limit prophage activation, providing protection at the population-level. Given the stockpiling capacity of integrons, we explored the additivity of phenotypes and found that integrons with two PICs confer multiphage-resistance. Additionally, when combined with antimicrobial resistance genes, integrons confer simultaneously drug and phage resistance. Crucially, we also show that the position of a *pic* in the array can strongly decrease its cost. Our results prove a role of integrons in phage defence, acting as highly mobile, low-cost defence islands. This has important implications in the spread of defence systems, and on the future of phage therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a major public health concern worldwide, and the emergence of multi-drug (MDR) resistant bacteria is making increasingly difficult to treat infections with antibiotics[1]. Alternative therapeutic options are being explored as potential solutions to this problem. Phage therapy -the use of viruses that infect and kill bacteria- is an old approach (it predates the use of antibiotics) that is currently being revisited and building momentum. Several recent reports have yielded positive results for the treatment of life-threatening infections caused by MDR bacteria[2–4]. However, the efficacy of phage therapy can be limited by the emergence of phage-resistant bacteria[5,6]. Resistance can typically emerge through mutations in which the receptors recognized by the phage are lost, or through the acquisition of defence systems. In recent years, a plethora of new defence systems have been discovered and characterized to varying extents, adding to the classic restriction-modification enzymes or the more modern CRISPR-Cas immune systems[7]. These can be classified according to the mechanism used to sense phage infection into systems that detect nucleic acids, phage proteins, the integrity of the host, and others[8,9]. These defence mechanisms have evolved in response to phage predation, and they are often encoded in the vicinity of other systems, creating defence islands. In fact, it was the proximity to known defence systems that allowed the initial discovery of systems such as Lammasu, Kiwa, Thoeris, or Zorya, using a guilt-by-association rationale[9–11]. Ever since, we have witnessed a sheer increase in the discovery of novel defence systems. Their abundance in genomes illustrates the ongoing evolutionary arms race between bacteria and their phages and highlights the importance of phage predation as a selective pressure for bacteria[12]. Defence systems have been shown to be encoded in mobile genetic elements such as integrative conjugative elements, transposons, or prophages[12]. However, they are proven to impact the fitness of the bacterial strains carrying them due to the constitutive expression of their genetic content[13] highlighting the cost of resistance.

Integrons are genetic elements that play an important role in bacterial adaptation to changing environmental conditions[14–16]. They capture and accumulate new genes embedded in integron cassettes (ICs), acting as genetic memories of adaptive functions[17]. Integrons typically consist of a conserved platform encoding the integrase gene and the recombination site in the integron (*attI*)[18]; and a variable region containing the cassettes. Cassettes are incorporated into the *attI* site through site-specific recombination reactions mediated by the integrase and are expressed from the dedicated Pc promoter encoded in the platform[19]. The integrase can also reorder cassettes in the array to modulate their expression, modifying their distance to the Pc

and the polar effects they are subjected to[20–22]. The expression of the integrase is controlled by

the SOS response, so that integrons provide to their hosts "adaptation on demand"[23,24].

Mobile integrons (MI) are a subset of integrons that are typically associated with mobile

genetic elements, such as plasmids and transposons, which can facilitate their horizontal

transfer between bacterial cells[25–27]. They are a palpable example of the evolvability these

platforms confer to their hosts. They were initially discovered in the first MDR isolates of

Shigella spp. during the 1950's, carrying two resistance genes[16,28]. Nowadays MIs are

commonplace among key Gram-negative pathogens[29] carrying almost 200 resistance genes

against most antibiotic families[30–32]. Consequently, MIs are generally considered to be devoted

almost exclusively to AMR. Nevertheless, among the cassettes found within MIs are gene

cassettes of unknown function (*gcu*) whose importance has generally been overlooked. Yet the

working model of integrons suggests that cassettes must be adaptive at the time of integration

when they are expressed at the maximum strength[23]. We hence reasoned that *gcu*s could be

playing an unknown adaptive role. Given the importance of phage predation in the lifestyle of

bacteria and in their genome's content, we sought to explore the possibility that *gcu*s encode

phage defence systems.

Here we have selected 129 *gcu*s from the INTEGRALL database[33], and cloned them in

pMBA, a plasmid mimicking the genetic environment of a mobile integron[32]. DefenseFinder[34]

and PADLOC[35] predicted 4 *gcu*s in the collection as potential defence systems. We then used

in vitro screening test using phages T4 and T7 combined with structural prediction using

AlphaFold and discovered 9 novel defence systems that were not predicted. All cassettes confer

resistance to at least one of a panel of 8 phages against *E. coli*. We have confirmed that all

systems are encoded in *bona fide* (functional) integron cassettes, which we have named Phage

defence Integron Cassettes (PICs), and are hence mobile and likely to circulate among other

species. Indeed, we demonstrate that they also confer resistance in *K. pneumoniae* and

P. aeruginosa, major pathogens of the ESKAPEE group. As part of an integron, cassettes can

be stockpiled in an array. Building arrays we show that phenotypes of PICs are additive,

conferring multi-phage or phage/drug resistance if combined with other PICs or antimicrobial

resistance cassettes (ARCs). We also show that the position of a PIC in the array can strongly

diminish its cost. Altogether, our work proves the involvement of integrons in phage defence,

acting as repositories of a broad variety of defence systems i.e.: as mobile and low-cost defence

islands.

RESULTS

Integron *gcu*s contain predicted phage defence systems.

To obtain a broad set of *gcu*s in MIs we screened the INTEGRALL database, the largest repository for mobile integron-related data (date: November 2021). We retrieved 303 cassettes annotated as *gcu*s (< 95% nucleotide identity) with sizes ranging from 191 bp to 3 kb. We further analysed and curated this list manually using BLAST. We discarded several cassettes misannotated as *gcu*s in which an insertion sequence had interrupted a cassette of known function. Additionally, gene-less cassettes -that failed to produce hits with any known or unknown protein- were also discarded. We therefore selected a panel of 129 elements with sizes ranging from 284 to 2.950 bp (Figure S1). All elements were synthesized *in vitro* and cloned in pMBA, a vector providing a biologically sound genetic environment, where cassettes are inserted in first position of a class 1 integron and are under the control of a strong Pc promoter (PcS)[32]. The sequences of our selected collection were submitted in May 2022 to the two major web platforms specialized in phage resistance gene prediction, DefenseFinder and PADLOC[34,36]. As a result of this computational analysis, we identified four distinct gene cassettes (*gcu*s 59, 128, 135 and N) as potential defence mechanisms, each exhibiting homology to a known system involved in phage resistance (Figure 1A).

Given their newly identified putative function as phage resistance elements, we propose to rename these *gcu*s as *pic*s, while conserving their initial name for simplicity. Pic59 (formerly Gcu59) was identified as a homolog of the AriAB system[37] and is suggested to confer phage resistance through abortive infection. *pic128* encodes two ORFs (*pic128A* and *pic128B*) and shows similarity to a Lamassu Type 1 system. AlphaFold predicts that Pic128A shares motifs with YfjL-like or AbpA proteins, which are involved in phage defence, while Pic128B contains a putative exonuclease domain. Interestingly, the three-dimensional structure of Pic128B protein displays a remarkable similarity to DdmC, a protein from the Lamassu Type 2 plasmid and phage defence system described in *Vibrio cholerae*[38]. *pic135* also contains two ORFs and share structural similarity with a CBASS Type 1 system[39]. The proteins encoded by this cassette, Pic135A and Pic135B, are predicted to play roles in nucleotidyl-transfer and membrane translocation, respectively, as suggested by protein structure predictions. Last, PicN is annotated as an AbiV family protein[40], implicated in phage resistance through abortive infection mechanisms.

Figure 1. Identification and Characterization of Phage Defense Systems in Integron Cassettes. (A) Schematic workflow for the identification and experimental validation of phage defence systems in *gcus*. A collection of 303 gcus was extracted from the INTEGRALL database, curated to 129 *gcus*, and cloned into the pMBA vector, placing each *gcu* under the control of a strong integron promoter (PcS). Predicted phage defence systems were identified using PADLOC and DefenseFinder, (May 2022) and experimental screening was performed using a double-spot assay or growth curves to determine susceptibility or resistance to phage infection. **(B)** Genetic organization and predicted functions of selected *gcus*. Predicted function is shown for putative defence mechanisms and other candidate *gcu*s. **(C)** Heatmap showing the defence profiles of identified Phage Integron Cassettes (PICs) against a panel of eight *E. coli* phages. The degree of protection (resistance) is indicated by the color scale, with dark green representing high protection, light green representing low protection, and white no protection. **(D)** Examples of growth curves used to build the heatmap in C: *pic24* confers strong resistance to phage T7 at both high and low MOI while *picN* shows resistance to phage T4 only at low MOI. Growth curves are represented as the mean of three independent replicates. The standard error of the mean is represented as a lighter colour shade. **(E)** Structure of novel phage defence systems, as predicted by AlphaFold2.

Functional screening and domain prediction reveal additional phage defence systems.

Bioinformatic approaches can fail to detect novel systems that are not similar to any of the known ones. We hence turned to *in vitro* experiments to detect novel resistance mechanisms within our *gcu* collection. We employed a double spot screening protocol in solid media and the monitoring of growth curves in liquid media, to challenge the whole collection with different

concentrations of phages T4 or T7 (Figure 1A and Figure S2). We successfully detected 7 new candidates (*pic24*, *pic142*, *pic233*, *pic217*, *pic113*, *picWGS21* and *pic76*) presenting substantial growth in the presence of phage (Figure S2). Using AlphaFold prediction model to find proteins with potential nuclease domains, that are often linked to phage defence, we additionally identified *pic167* and its close homolog *pic167.2*. *in silico* structural predictions of proteins encoded in these PICs suggest a diversity of functional roles. Both Pic167 and Pic167.2 are likely involved in nucleotide metabolism, with indications of NUDIX hydrolase and nucleotidase activities. Pic142 is a putative restriction endonuclease, while Pic24 possesses a PIN12 domain that potentially acts as a nuclease[41]. Pic233 is possibly a NADP(H) oxidoreductase; Pic113 is a putative GNAT N-acetyltransferase and Pic217 a putative integral membrane protein with a lectin C domain. AlphaFold did yield relevant homologs with a known function for PicWGS21. Last, Pic76 is a small protein of 76 amino acid that contains a LapA domain that has been related to biofilm formation[42] (Figure 1B). To adhere to the custom in the field, these novel systems are also given the name of a deity of Celtiberian mythology (Figure 1B).

PICs confer protection to a panel of phages *Escherichia coli.*

To characterize in depth the role and profile of PICs in phage resistance, we subcloned the whole library in strain *E. coli* IJ1862 (an F' strain that can be infected by plasmids targeting the conjugative pilus[43]) and conducted infection assays with a panel of phages from different families at varying multiplicities of infection (MOIs). We monitored the optical densities (OD$_{600}$) over time of bacterial cultures in presence and absence of phage MS2, F1, G4, T4, T7, P1, Φ80 and HK544. As a control, we included an isogenic strain carrying the empty vector pMBA. We used the area under the (growth) curve to determine the protective effect of the cassette, as in[44] (see Materials and Methods). Our data showed that all PICs conferred resistance to at least one condition (one phage at one MOI) (Figure 1C and Figure S3), confirming their role in phage defence. Resistance profiles were diverse, with some PICs showing broad-spectrum resistance, such as *pic167, pic167.2, pic128* and *pic142*. Others, as *pic24,* showed a narrower spectrum with low level resistance against several phages, but very high resistance against phage T7 at both high and low MOI (Figure 1D). The resistance phenotype displayed by *picN*, limited to low MOI for phages MS2, T4, F1 and T7, aligns with the characteristic phenotype of an abortive infection, thereby confirming the predictions made by PADLOC and DefenseFinder. Overall, our results confirm that the *gcus* identified in our screenings are indeed phage resistance genes with known and characterized mechanisms.

PICs are *bona fide* integron cassettes.

Identification of integrons and their cassettes has not been a straightforward task until the appearance of IntegronFinder[45]. A large part of the content in INTEGRALL predates IntegronFinder, so their classification as integron cassettes needs to be verified. A close analysis of the genetic context, locating integrases in close vicinity and in opposite orientation, can be indirect proof that a gene is indeed a cassette. Most PICs identified here -except for *pic135* and *pic142*- are located within class 1 or class 2 integrons, often in pathogenic strains belonging to the *Enterobacteriaceae* family (like *pic217*, *pic113*, and *pic24*) or multidrug resistant *P. aeruginosa*. A compelling example of the latter is the presence of *picN* next to cassettes bla_{IMP}, *aacA4* and *catB6,* conferring multidrug resistance to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and chloramphenicol, respectively (Accession number: AJ223604) (Figure 2A). Yet, given that finding defence systems in integrons has important implications for the mobility of these systems, we sought to verify experimentally that these are *bona fide* integron cassettes. Cassette recombination is a site-specific reaction that can be evidenced in a suicide conjugation assay that is typical in the field[16,46]. Crucially, recombination is semiconservative, involving the bottom strand (but not the top strand) of both the *attC* sites in cassettes and *attI* sites of integrons [47,48]. This unique feature can be easily detected because it leads to clear differences in the suicide conjugation assay when the plasmid transfers the bottom or top strand of the cassette[48]. With this in mind, we inserted the putative *attC* sites of all *pics* in both orientations in the suicide vector pSW23T and measured the recombination frequency of both strands for each cassette. Recombination events were verified through PCR. As expected, we observed a clear drop (between 100- and 10.000-fold lower) in the recombination frequency of the top strands of all putative *attC* sites, as would be expected from integron cassettes (Figure 2B). *picWGS21* had extremely low recombination frequencies for the bottom strand. Yet recombination of the top strand was only observed in 1 out of 6 replicate experiments, suggesting that it is indeed an integron cassette albeit with a very poor recombination site. Indeed, the folded *attC* site of *picWGS21* has the typical hairpin structure and extra-helical bases of these sites (Figure S4)[48–50]. Hence, our data confirms that PICs are *bona fide* integron cassettes.

Figure 2. Genetic Context, Recombination Frequency, and Phage Protection Profiles of Identified PICs in other species. (A) Genetic

context of PICs as found in databases, highlighting their association with integron integrases (*intI1* or *intI2*, in blue shades). PICs are found in

different host species. Each PIC is annotated with its respective identifier (e.g., 59, 128A/B, 135A/B), along with known associated genes such

as resistance genes (e.g., *bla*_{IMP}, *aacA4*, *catB6*). **(B)** Recombination frequency of the *attC* sites of the identified PICs. The recombination

frequency was measured for the bottom (green bars) and top (red bars) strands of the *attC* sites using a suicide conjugation assay. Bars represent

the mean of at least 3 biological replicates. Error bars correspond to the standard error of the mean. **(C)** Heatmap showing the protection

profiles of PICs against phage infection in different host species, including *K. pneumoniae* (Kp5) and *P. aeruginosa* (PAO1) against a panel of

cognate phages (F13, Vs1, Px4, Px5).

Defence cassettes are protective in other species.

Given the mobility of integrons and cassettes among important pathogens, we sought to

determine if PICs are active in different hosts. To this end, we evaluated the protective effects

of PICs in *K. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa,* two species of the ESKAPEE group of highly

resistant and lethal pathogens where integrons are prevalent. We introduced all PICs into *K.*

pneumoniae Kp5, a MDR clinical isolate. We sequenced the genome of this strain and found

three plasmids, one of which contains a class 1 integron (full resistome can be found in Table

S1). The PHASTEST software did not detect prophages in the genome of Kp5. We subjected

all strains to infection with the *Drexlerviridae* phage F13 co-isolated with the strain. All PICs,

except *picN*, demonstrated resistance to F13 at low MOI. Most of them also conferred resistance

at high MOI (except *pic76*, *pic113*, *pic217*, and *picWGS21*) (Figure 2C). To introduce PICs in

P. aeruginosa PAO1 strain, we changed the p15A origin of replication of pMBA for BBR1, and

introduced a tetracycline resistance marker. After several assays, we could only transform *picN*

successfully, while other PICs produced no colonies at all or abnormal (sick) colony

morphologies (Figure 2D). This led us to hypothesize that some *pics* might be toxic or

extremely costly in this background. To avoid this, we changed the strong promoter guiding the

expression of cassettes in pMBA (PcS) for a weaker version (PcW). This way we could

introduce *pic24, pic113, pic142* and *picWGS21* in PAO1 and were able to measure their

activities. We confronted these strains to a collection of 36 phages infecting PAO1 using a

double spot screen. We observed growth for *picN*, *pic142* and *picWGS21* in presence of phages

Vs1, Px4 and Px5. We evaluated the level of resistance by monitoring growth curves for each

PIC against the three phages, and confirmed their resistance phenotype (Figure 2C). *pic142* -

that had a clear phenotype against several phages both in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*- confirmed

its broad spectrum and host range, conferring resistance to Px5 at both MOI and to Px4 at low

MOI ; contrarily, *picN* and *picWGS21,* that had only showed low resistance against a handful

of phages in *E. coli*, conferred very high resistance against phage Px4 and Vs1 in *P. aeruginosa*.

Altogether, our data proves that PICs can confer resistance to different phages and in different

host species. Although some PICs seem to have a defined narrow host range, others, like *pic142*

were able to confer resistance in the three species against most phages tested, underlying the

broad-spectrum activity of this defence mechanism.

285   **PICs protect against prophage activation.**

286   An important aspect of the interplay between phages, their hosts, mobile genetic

elements and defence systems is the case of prophage activation. Hindering activation of

prophages can both protect at the cell and at the population level. Here we asked if a plasmid

carrying a mobile integron with a PIC can limit the production of phage particles from a

chromosomally encoded prophage once it switches to lytic cycle. To test this, we introduced all

PICs in lysogens of *E. coli* 594 with either HK544 or Φ80 prophages in their genomes. We

induced prophage activation with mitomycin and quantitated the phage titer after 6 hours.

pic128, *pic135* and *pic142* showed mild to strong defence against the activation of HK544, with

20 to 1.000 fold decreases in plaque forming units. Pic59 completely abolished the production

of HK544 virions, showing >10^7 fold protection (Figure 3A). Resistance against Φ80 activation

was generally low, with only *picN, pic167,* and *pic233* producing statistically significant

resistance levels. Interestingly, there is not a clear correlation between defence against the free

form of the phage (Figure 1C) and the activation of the prophage. Certain systems, like *pic135*

and *pic142* confer resistance against both forms of a phage (HK544); while others, like *pic128*,

pic167.2 and *pic217* only conferred resistance against one. These results show that mobile

integrons containing PICs can interfere with prophage activation, highlighting the potential

protective effect at the community level, and the complex interplay between mobile genetic

elements.

Figure 3. Prophage Induction, Structural Alignment, and Impact of *pic128* on Plasmid Stability. **(A)** Prophage induction assays inducing
with mitomycin (0,5 µg/ml). The graph shows the concentration of phage (measured as plaque-forming units per millilitre (pfu/ml)) when
activating prophages in the presence of pMBA containing PICs over the empty pMBA. ND: not detected (Limits of detection plotted). One
sample *t* and Wilcoxon test; *P* values are shown when possible; ** < 0.01; *** < 0.001; ****<0.0001. **(B)** Structural alignment using FoldSeek
of Pic128A and Pic128B proteins with known phage and plasmid defence proteins DdmA and DdmC, respectively. Superposition of protein
structures is shown, with alignment scores indicating the degree of structural similarity. **(C)** Plasmid stability assays in *E. coli*. The percentage
of fluorescent cells, representing the stability of pMBA, is shown for strains containing the pBAD plasmid with either the *ddmABC* or *pic128AB*
systems. While *ddmABC* significantly reduces plasmid stability, *pic128* does not show a significant effect, indicating no anti-plasmid activity.
Bars represent the mean of at least 3 biological replicates. Error bars correspond to the standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was
assessed through unpaired t-tests and *P* values are shown.

***pic128* does not have anti-plasmid activity.**

DdmABC is a phage and plasmid defence system. It has been shown to confer phage

resistance in *E. coli* and to cure plasmids in *Vibrio cholerae*, particularly those with a p15A

replication origin[38]. When modelling protein structures of PICs, we found strong similarities

between Pic128B and DdmC (Figure 3B), proteins that belong to (different) Lamassu defence

systems. Interested by the interactions between mobile elements, we set out to examine whether

the expression of *pic128AB* could exert a similar influence on plasmid stability. Because pMBA

has a p15A replication origin, we decided to investigate the antiplasmid activity of *pic128AB*

from a different vector. We hence cloned *pic128AB* and *ddmABC* systems into a pBAD plasmid

that possess a pBR322 origin of replication and co-transformed them with an empty pMBA.

We induced both systems with arabinose and monitored the loss of pMBA as the presence of

non-fluorescent colonies for 3 days (ca. 20 generations). pMBA is stably maintained in the

absence of antibiotics, with 99% of the cells in the population being fluorescent after 3 days.

As expected, DdmABC strongly decreased the proportion of fluorescent colonies to 19% by

day 3 (Figure 3C), while pMBA was stably maintained at >97% in the presence Pic128AB.

This suggests that, despite their structural similarities, Pic128AB does not have the anti-plasmid

activity detected for DdmABC. Still, both systems could have different plasmid specificities,

so we extended our plasmid stability studies to Kp5, carrying the three distinct natural plasmids

conferring resistance to tetracycline, ertapenem and cefotaxime, respectively. After introducing

pMBA-*pic128* into this isolate we assessed plasmid stability monitoring the loss of three

resistance phenotypes conferred by these replicons over a five-day period (Figure S5). We could

not observe a significant plasmid loss in any case, suggesting that *pic128* is not an anti-plasmid

system.

Mobile Integrons can accumulate resistance to phages and antibiotics.

The fact that integrons carry defence systems is important in the ecology and biology of

these systems. Integron cassettes can be stockpiled, and their phenotypes can be additive, as for

we have observed for multi-drug resistance MIs. It is hence possible that integrons can combine

PICs to provide multi-phage resistance; or PICs and antimicrobial resistance cassettes (ARCs)

providing phage- and AMR. This is important for phage therapy, since integrons would likely

play again -as it occurred with antibiotics- a key role in the evolution of resistance. Hence, we

sought to test if phage defence phenotypes can be additive and combined with antimicrobial

resistance genes. To do so, we first constructed pArray-1 an integron combining *pic24* (T7^R)

and *bla*$_{OXA-10}$, a class D β-lactamase gene that confers resistance to carbenicillin. The strain

carrying pArray-1 showed high levels of resistance against T7 and carbenicillin both separately

and simultaneously (Figure 4A). We then constructed pArray-2 containing *pic24* and *pic167.2*

(Figure 4A) that confer high and intermediate resistance to phage T7 and P1, respectively.

Strains carrying pArray-1 showed high resistance against both T7 and P1, highlighting the

additivity of phage resistance phenotypes. Our results show that, as predicted by the integron

model, integrons can combine phage resistance with AMR. Because MIs are enriched in AMR

genes, we can find several natural examples of the former among our PICs, with *picN*, *pic113*,

pic167, *pic217* and *picWGS21* located in integrons containing resistance genes against beta-

lactams, aminoglycosides, chloramphenicol and trimethoprim (Figure 2A). Because *gcus* are

less abundant, we did not find co-occurrence of pics within an array. Nevertheless, having

described a variety of two gene PICs, the genetic environment of *pic24* caught our attention and

was re-evaluated. This PIC is in a class 2 integron, preceded by two cassettes containing two

ORFs each. A new search with an updated version of DefenseFinder (June 2024) found

homologs of sensor protein ThsB from the Thoeris system in both cassettes (*gcu23* and *gcu24*).

It also found a type II restriction modification system downstream the last cassette of the

integron (Figure 4C). Both cassettes were in our initial collection but were not selected in our

screenings. In the case of *gcu23* we had not observed resistance in any case, while *gcu24* was

discarded because it yielded inconsistent results. We investigated this in more depth and sequenced a variety of colonies from our *gcu24* stock. This revealed that the frequent interruption of the cassette by the insertion of IS1, which explained the inconsistent results in our screenings. We interpreted this as a sign of high fitness cost, so to test both cassettes we cloned them in a modified pMBA under the control of a weak Pc promoter (PcW) (30-fold less active than the PcS[51]) and tested them against the panel of phages in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. *gcu22* conferred medium resistance exclusively against phage F13 in *K. pneumoniae*, while *gcu23* had a broader defence profile, including very high resistance levels against G4, MS2, F1 and T4. We hence redefined them as PICs (*pic22* and *pic23*). Both cassettes share 50% bp identity, and the ORFs share 17 and 37% identity in amino acid sequence respectively. Nevertheless, the structure of the proteins in both systems is remarkably conserved. Despite the homology of Pic22A and Pic23A with ThsB from Thoeris, Pic22B and Pic23B are not homologs of ThsA and are located downstream, instead of upstream within the system. The structure of these proteins is instead similar to that of the Deva system (*picWGS21*) (Figure 1E). Given this apparent mix of systems, we called this system Tragantía (a deity with the upper body of a woman and the lower body of a snake). We sought to prove that these natural arrangement of cassettes act as a defence island. Given that only the phenotypes of *pic23* and *pic24* are distinguishable, we built pArray-3 with *pic23* and *pic24* under the control of a PcW and showed that it conferred multi-phage resistance (Figure 4C). Our data confirms that mobile integrons act as mobile defence islands that are already circulating among livestock clinical isolates[52].

388
Figure 4. Additivity of Phage and Antibiotic Resistance Phenotypes in Multi-Cassette Arrays. Growth curves (OD$_{600}$) of *E.*
coli strains containing **(A)** pMBA, *pic24* and *bla*$_{OXA-10}$ independently, and combined in an array in the absence of phage or antibiotic,
and challenged with phage T7, carbenicillin, and a combination of both. The combined array confers resistance to phage and
antibiotics simultaneously, and **(B)** pMBA, *pic24* and *pic167.2* independently, and combined in an array; either with no phage, or
challenged with phage P1, phage T7, and a combination of both. (**C**) Genetic background of *pic24*. *pic22* and *pic23* contain
homologs to TshB from Thoeris that confer resistance against phages from *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* (Kpn). *pic23* and *pic24* can
confer multiphage resistance when in the same array. Growth curves are represented as the mean of three independent replicates.
The standard error of the mean is represented as a lighter colour shade.

PICs entail different fitness effects that vary across species.

A major question in the field of phage defence is how these systems affect the fitness of the host. This has important implications in the accumulation of such systems in genomes and in their spread between species. Integrons are known to be low-cost platforms that can modulate the expression of the genes in their cargo by changing their position in the array[21,53,54]. Yet the cost of each cassette can be different, which is of importance in their dispersal through HGT. To determine the cost of *pics*, we performed competition assays in both *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* using flow cytometry (Figure 5). Our results showed a broad distribution of fitness effects among PICs, ranging from large costs (ca. 40%) to virtually costless in both species. In *E. coli, pic217*, *pic128* and *picN* seemed to have a mildly positive impact in fitness (up to 3%) suggesting that acquisition of certain systems can be costless (Figure 5A). Interestingly, fitness effects were not conserved between genetic backgrounds. For instance, *picWGS21* entailed a small cost (ca. 7%) in *E. coli* but was very costly (ca. 30%) in *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 5B). Only a few cassettes, like *picN* showed very similar cost in both species. The lack of cost of PICs in certain genetic backgrounds, and the differences in fitness effects across backgrounds can be of importance in the accumulation of cassettes and their preferential distribution of PICs among species.

In the case of costly defence systems, a key advantage that integrons can provide, compared to encoding them elsewhere, is that they can modulate their expression through the distance to the Pc and the polar effects exerted by cassettes. Because the expression levels of genes and their fitness cost generally correlate, we hypothesize that integrons can modulate the fitness of PICs in the array. To test if the cost of a PIC can differ depending on the cassettes upstream, we compared the cost entailed by *pic24* when located in first position of the array (see above) with the cost it entails when encoded in third position (as it is found in the databases (Figure 2A)). For this, we constructed an array (pArrayØ) containing two antibiotic resistance cassettes, with strong polar effects (*aacA54* and *aacA8*)[54], and introduced *pic24* downstream, as a third cassette (pArray-*pic24*). Competition experiments show that while *pic24* conferred a fitness cost of 11% when located in first position, it had no significant cost when in third position (Figure 5C). This was indeed due to the strong repression of its expression, since phage infection experiments showed pArray-*pic24* did not protect against T7 infection. Hence, costly PICs can be carried by mobile integrons at no cost to be later reshuffled into first position, providing phage resistance (*adaptation-) on demand*[24] We also show that PICs exert polar effects on downstream cassettes (Figure 5D), participating in the modulation of function and cost that we have previously demonstrated for resistance genes[54].

433
Figure 5. Fitness Effects of PICs. Fitness effects of PICs in **(A)** *E. coli* and **(B)** *K. pneumoniae*. Red circles represent fitness values
below 1 (cost), while green circles indicate fitness values above 1 (gain). Fitness effects vary in sign and magnitude and are not
conserved among genetic backgrounds. **(C)** Modulation of fitness cost and function by integron position. The graph shows the
fitness effect of *pic24* in first position (competing pMBA vs pMBA-*pic24*) and third position (pArrayØ *vs.* pArray-*pic24*). The
fitness cost imposed by the PIC is reduced in third position behind cassettes with strong polar effects. The cost is related to the
function of the PIC since pArray-*pic24* does not confer resistance to T7. **(D)** Polar effects of PICs. All cassettes except *pic167* exert
polar effects on downstream cassettes, limiting their expression. All assays have been performed at least three times. Error bars
represent the standard error of the mean.

DISCUSSION

Phage therapy is an old approach with renewed interest in the light of the AMR crisis[55,56]. It allows for personalized treatments against multidrug resistant bacteria, with encouraging outcomes. Phage resistance is a rapidly evolving field of research. The constant discovery of new resistance genes, often encoded in defence islands and prophages, reveals the intense arms race between bacteria and their phages, and between mobile genetic elements. We lack knowledge on how defence systems are recruited into these islands and on how frequently they can move through HGT[13]. In contrast, mobile integrons are highly adaptable genetic elements that have significantly contributed to the proliferation of multidrug resistance bringing to our hospitals a plethora of resistance cassettes from the genomes of environmental bacteria. Being shed to the environment at extremely high quantities (10^{23} per day)[57], mobile integrons have established a strong communication route between the genomes of pathogenic and environmental bacteria[58]. Hence, the rampant movement of mobile integrons among clinically relevant bacteria ensures a high speed of dissemination of novel adaptive functions throughout our hospitals. It is therefore critical for the future of phage therapy to understand the potential role of integrons in phage defence. In this work we confirm that mobile integrons already contain such systems.

We have successfully identified *pics* using bioinformatic tools and a phenotypic screening with only T4 and T7 phages, and tested them against a panel of phages. As is the case of most defence systems, *pics* displayed different specificities conferring narrow to broad immunity in our assays. Nevertheless, because integrons can build arrays of cassettes, they can combine phenotypes to confer multi-phage or phage-drug resistance, the same way they currently confer multidrug resistance. In fact, *picN* is already found in an array with at least three ARCs in a *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolate (Figure 2A). This, and other examples of integrons containing *pic*s at different positions within the array, together with our results showing that PICs can be carried at no cost, suggest that mobile integrons can become low-cost, highly-mobile defence islands. An extreme case of this is shown by Darracq *et al*. in a back-to-back paper in this issue, where they describe the presence of PICs in the Superintegron of *Vibrio cholerae*. Indeed, in a recent work we have shown that the Superintegron is carried at no measurable cost [59]. Additionally, their findings proves that chromosomal integrons are virtually infinite repositories of PICs from where they can be recollected by mobile integrons. Both Darracq *et al*. and us show that *pics* are functional in distant genetic backgrounds. In our work, we show that this is the case in 3 of the 5 Gram negative species and strains of the ESKAPEE group of highly resistant and dangerous pathogens: the kind of bacteria aimed by

phage therapy assays. This strongly suggests that the spread of PICs among them will be quick

if selective pressure with phages becomes commonplace. This has implications in what are

today considered as exploitable trade-offs in phage therapy. For instance, it is known that many

K. pneumoniae phages bind the bacterial capsule and that resistant clones can easily arise

through capsule loss, albeit at the cost of becoming non-virulent[60]. Also, in some cases

becoming resistant to phage infection through mutations comes at the cost of losing antibiotic

resistance[61,62]. The acquisition of plasmids containing integrons with *pics* can abrogate the

exploitability of such trade-offs, rendering virulence and antibiotic resistance perfectly

compatible with phage resistance.

The role of mobile integrons in phage resistance exemplifies the interplay between

mobile genetic elements. Indeed, many of the PICs described here target the conjugative pilus

of *E. coli* IJ1862. Hence, plasmids containing integrons can indirectly benefit from the activity

of PICs that protect their host. Mobile Integrons would alleviate the trade-off between acquiring

adaptive traits encoded in the plasmid and the susceptibility to a variety of phages. Another

aspect of this complex interplay is the potential link of *pic128* with plasmid stability. Contrarily

to the *ddmABC* system, *pic128* does not interact with p15A plasmid or with any natural plasmids

present in Kp5. This result highlights that not all Lamassu systems have the same activity

toward plasmids. The lack of anti-plasmid activity in Pic128AB might have a biological

meaning. Given that plasmid acquisition is an important source of antibiotic resistance, it is

possible that the lack of anti-plasmid activity of *pic128* has been selected for in an environment

with high antibiotic pressure. Additionally, the fact that certain pics could not be introduced in

P. aeruginosa (even under a weak Pc promoter) suggests that PICs can act as barriers to HGT.

Our work is not exempt of limitations. First, our screening -while successful in detecting

nine new genes- was based in the use of only T4 and T7. Given that some *pics* exhibit high

specificity against phages, it is likely that the number of *pics* present in our collection of *gcus*

is underestimated. This could also apply to prophage-activation protection and plasmid stability

assays, if additional prophages and plasmids were tested. Additionally, algorithms to detect

defence systems are being constantly improved, so that detection of PICs in *gcus* will likely be

more prolific at the time this work is published.

This study shapes our perception of integrons. The presence of phage defence systems

and antibiotic resistance genes, proposes that integrons are protection elements against a large

breadth of environmental insults. This can guide the search for novel functions in the virtually

infinite reservoir of cassettes in nature. Our results have important implications in the field of

phage resistance, where mobile integrons provide extreme mobility at a very low and adjustable

fitness cost. Finding *pics* in Mobile integrons highlights the risk that phage resistance genes
follow the same dissemination route as ARG in the past century. The field of phage therapy
must be vigilant about the adaptive power of integrons since selective pressure will most likely
make them -again- a key player in (phage-) resistance. If the importance of integrons in the
evolution of phage resistance is neglected, it might come a day when phage hunting against
clinical isolates becomes extremely difficult.
517

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Strains and Phage collection

E. coli DH5a and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 are laboratory strains. *K. pneumoniae* KP5 is a clinical isolate. *E. coli* 594[63], was kindly donated by José Penadés together with phages HK544 and Φ80. Strains were grown at 37 °C in lysogeny broth (LB) or LB agar (1.5 %) (BD, France). Zeocin was added at 100 μg/ml to maintain pMBA and pMBA-derived plasmids in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. Tetracycline at 100 μg/ml was used to maintain pMBA plasmids in *P. aeruginosa*. Liquid cultures were incubated in an Infors Multitron shaker at 200 rpm (Informs HT, Swiss). Antibiotics were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Merck, USA) except for zeocin (InvivoGen, USA).

The coliphages included T4, T7, P1, MS2, G4, F1 which belong to the *Myoviridae*, *Autographiviridae*, *Myoviridae*, *Fiersviridae*, *Microviridae* and *Inoviridae*, respectively. *E. coli* phage MS2, F1, G4 and their host *E. coli* IJ1862[43] were kindly provided by from Prof. James J. Bull (University of Texas). Phages T4, T7, and P1 were purchased from the DMSZ collection (Leibniz, Germany). The *K. pneumoniae* phage, named F13, (found in effluents from a hospital) was selected for its ability to lyse a clinical isolate called KP5, which produces the class D carbapenemase OXA-48 and used as its host for this study. We computed the genomic data obtained by Illumina technology with PHASTER to determined that F13 belongs to the *Drexlerviridae* family. The *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain was used as a host for the Px4, Px5 and Vs1 phages used in this study.

Phage production

We prepared phage solutions using previously published protocols[64]. Briefly, we grew host bacteria in LB broth at 37ºC until they reached the exponential phase. At that point, we initiated and monitored infection with the respective phage for 4 (for T7, T4, P1 phages) to 6 hours (for MS2, G4, F1, HK544, and Φ80 phages). When we observed a decrease in optical density (OD), we allowed the lysis process to continue for an additional hour. We then transferred the cultures to polystyrene Falcon tubes for centrifugation and subsequent filtration using a 0.2 μm filter (Sartorius, Spain) to collect the lysate containing the phage. Next, we titered the phage solutions to determine the plaque-forming units (PFU). For plating, we mixed bacterial cultures (OD_{600} ~1) with top agar medium and poured it onto agar dishes. After allowing it to dry for 30 minutes, we spotted serial dilutions of the phages onto the agar plates and incubated them overnight at 37ºC[65].

In silico analysis

We generated the list of *gcus* using the INTEGRALL database (integrall.bio.ua.pt) and Integron finder[33,45]. To determine if the *gcus* associated with known phage resistance defense systems, we subjected the sequences of all *gcus* to a BLAST search against the two main defence system databases: DefenseFinder[66] and PADLOC[35]. For *gcus* that showed a resistance phenotype but remained unidentified by these platforms, we used an AlphaFold2 pipeline known as ColabFold for prediction, followed by Foldseek to gain insights into the protein function of each *gcu*[67,68]. Additionally, we employed the Iterative Threading ASSEmbly Refinement (ITASSER) platform to leverage complementary approaches for protein function prediction[69].

We carried out the sequencing of the *K. pneumoniae* isolate KP5 using a combination of Illumina and Nanopore technologies, with SeqCoast (Portsmouth, USA) performing the sequencing. We performed genome assembly using Unicycler software and conducted subsequent analyses using the Center for Genomic Epidemiology platform. We determined the resistome using the ResFinder web platform, identified the sequence type using MLST, and characterized the plasmids using the PlasmidFinder web platform[70–72]. We assessed the presence of prophages in the genome using the PHASTER web tool[73]. General DNA sequence analysis was performed with Geneious and figures were created with BioRender.com.

Cloning *gcu*s in pMBA

All *gcus* were synthesized as double-stranded DNA by IDT ((Newark, USA), with an addition of a 20 bp homology region corresponding to the targeted cloning site of pMBA located between the *intI1* and *gfp* gene[32]. We performed the cloning process using the Gibson assembly method[74] and selected clones on an LB agar plate supplemented with zeocin (50μg/mL). We cloned the entire sequences of the *gcus*, including the open reading frame (ORF) and their *attC* region, into the pMBA vector. Our in-house designed pMBA plasmid contains a p15A replication origin, a zeocin resistance marker, and a truncated *intI1* gene followed by a *gfp* gene, acting as a second integron cassette. We inserted the cloned *gcus* as the first cassette in the vector. To ensure a relevant level of expression for each *gcu*, we selected a strong version of the Pc promoter, PcS, located within the *intI1* gene, for our constructions. For the construction of pArray-1 and pArray-2, we cloned at second position of the integron-like structure of pMBA the *bla*$_{OXA-10}$ gene or the *pic167.2* instead of having the *gfp* gene, respectively. For the construction of pArray-3, we cloned *pic23* and *pic 24* at first and second position, respectively, of the integron-like structure present in pMBA under the control of a PcW promoter.

The construction of pArrayØ was accomplished using the Gibson assembly protocol, wherein the *aacA54* and *aacA8* resistance gene cassettes were cloned into the pMBA-Δ*gfp* vector.

Subsequently, pArray-*pic24* was created by cloning the *pic24* gene into the third position of the

synthetic integron, downstream of the *aacA8* gene cassette.

For the cloning of *gcus* into *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, we used a modified pMBA vector

(pBTZ). The p15A replication origin was replaced with the BBR1 origin to ensure replication

in both *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* species. Additionally, the strong PcS promoter was substituted

with its weaker version, PcW. Finally, a tetracycline resistance marker was introduced, as

P. aeruginosa exhibits low resistance to zeocin. The list of oligonucleotides used can be found

in Table S2.

Growth curves and phage lysis monitoring

We inoculated a single colony of a clone carrying a potential phage resistance gene into an

overnight culture of Luria Bertani (LB) broth at 37ºC. The next day, we adjusted the culture to

an optical density of 0.1 and transferred it to a 96-well plate containing LB broth supplemented

with zeocin (50 μg/mL). We then exposed the plate to different concentrations of phage to test

various multiplicities of infection (MOI). For all tested phages, we defined a high MOI

condition as an MOI of 1 and a low MOI condition as an MOI of 0,01. However, for phages T4

and T7, which exhibit high lytic activity, we set the high MOI condition to 0,01 and the low

MOI condition to 0,00001. We monitored growth curves using a Biotek HTX synergy plate

reader (Agilent , USA) at 600 nm over a 16-hour period. To assess the phage lysis level, we

calculated the area under the curve (AUC) for each growth condition, both with and without

phage as described previously[44]. The inhibition score for each sample was calculated using the

following formula:

607
$$Inhibition\ Score = (\frac{\Delta AUC}{\Delta AUC_{no\ phage}}) \times 100$$

where ΔAUC is the difference in AUC between the conditions with and without phage.

Specifically, $\Delta AUC = AUC_{no\ phage} - AUC_{phage}$. We calculated the inhibition scores for both

high and low multiplicity of infection (MOI) conditions. These scores were then normalized by

comparing them to the inhibition scores obtained from the control samples, which used the

empty pMBA vector. The normalization was done by computing the ratio of the inhibition score

of the experimental sample to the inhibition score of the control: $Inhibition =$

$\left(\frac{Inhibition\ Score_{pic}}{Inhibition\ Score_{pMBA}}\right) \times 100$. By using the "inhibition score", we normalize the reduction of the

area under the curve relative to growth without the phage. This allows for comparison of the

relative effects regardless of initial growth differences between strains. The protective effect of
the PIC is shown as the inverse of the inhibition score.

Prophage activation assay

We chemically activate the lytic cycle of the prophages HK544 and Φ80 in E. coli 594::pMBA-
pic using mitomycin C at a concentration of 0,5 μg/mL. Briefly, we adjusted the culture to an
optical density of 0.1, and after 1h we induced prophage activation by adding mitomycin C.
Then, we quantitated the production of active phages after 6 hours of induction. To do so, we
centrifuged the culture we performed serial dilutions of the supernatant and spotted it on top
agar plate containing IJ-pMBA to evaluate the phage concentration[75].

Phage-resistant clone screening

To identify new phage defence integron cassettes, we employed both liquid and solid media
screening strategies. Initially, we cultured clones carrying various *gcus* overnight in LB broth
with zeocin (50 μg/mL). The following day, a 1 OD dilution of each culture (10 μL) was spotted
onto LB agar plates supplemented with zeocin. After 1 hour of incubation, we applied 7 μL of
phage T4 solution at a concentration of 10^9 PFU/mL to the bacterial spots. After 16-18 hours of
incubation, we selected the clones that exhibited growth despite phage presence for further
analysis. Additionally, we conducted a high-throughput screening in 96-well plates, challenging
the entire *gcu* collection with phages T7 and T4 under two different multiplicities of infection
(MOI) conditions, following the protocol described previously.

Plasmid stability experiment

We co-transformed *E. coli* IJ862 with both the empty pMBA plasmid carrying the p15A replicon
and the L-arabinose inducible pBAD plasmid carrying either the *pic128* or *ddmABC* defence
system. For five days, three replicates were put in culture in LB broth supplemented with
carbenicillin (100 μg/ml) and L-arabinose (0,1%). Daily, we transferred 50 μl of each culture
into fresh 5 ml LB broth and used another 50 μl to count GFP-expressing colonies on LB agar
plates supplemented with carbenicillin.

To assess the stability of the endogenous clinical plasmids in KP5 *K. pneumoniae* isolate, we
cultivated clones harbouring *pic128* in LB broth with zeocin (50 μg/ml) over five days. On days
1 and 5, we selected >120 colonies from LB zeocin agar plates and subcultured them onto
separate LB media containing either tetracycline (15 μg/ml), cefotaxime (50 μg/ml), or
ertapenem (30 μg/ml). This step aimed to detect any loss of resistance, which would suggest
the corresponding loss of one of the three natural plasmids.

attC recombination experiments

Recombination experiments were conducted as previously described[76]. Briefly, we cloned the

3' region of each *pic* using a pSW23T mobilizable plasmid containing a chloramphenicol

resistance marker into *E. coli* β2163 strain (*dapA⁻*, *pir⁺*) requiring diaminopimelic acid (DAP)

to grow. We used *E. coli* β2163 as donor strain for conjugation experiments using *E. coli* DH5α

strain carrying both a p3938 plasmid (Carb^R) containing an L-arabinose inducible *intI1* gene

and a p929 plasmid (Kana^R) containing an *attI* site as recipient. We performed conjugation

experiments onto LB agar supplemented with DAP and L-arabinose (0,2%) overnight. The next

day, after cell recover, we performed ten-folded dilutions onto LB agar supplemented with

carbenicillin (100 μg/ml) and glucose (1%) or with carbenicillin, glucose and chloramphenicol

(25 μg/ml) to select for the total population and recombinants, respectively.

Fitness experiment

To assess the fitness cost of each PIC, we performed *in vitro* competition assays following the

protocol described in[77] with some modifications. Briefly, we subcloned the panel of the 13 *PICs*

into a modified version of the pMBA vector, which includes a knock-out *gfp* gene (with a stop

codon instead of the start codon), along with an empty vector control. We then subjected this

new set of clones to a fitness competition experiment against the wild type pMBA vector

carrying the intact *gfp* gene. Briefly, we grew six replicates of each clone overnight at 37ºC in

a 96-well plate filled with LB broth supplemented with zeocin (50 μg/ml). The next day, we

mixed cells containing the target *gcu* in the pMBA-Δ*gfp* in a 1:1 volume ratio with the clone

carrying the pMBA-WT vector. We diluted these mixtures 1:20 in 96-well plates containing

NaCl 0,9%. This plate was further diluted 1:20 in a plate with NaCl and another with LB broth

supplemented with zeocin. The first plate was used to estimate the percentage of pMBA-WT

and pMBA-*pic*-Δ*gfp* cells in the mixture on day 1, using a Cytoflex S cytometer (Beckman

Coulter, USA). The second plate (with LB) was incubated overnight. The next day these

cultures were diluted 1:400 in NaCl to determine the proportion of strains in the mixture as

explained above. Following the formula described in[77], fitness cost was calculated as the

difference in ratios of GFP-fluorescence between day 1 and day 2 for each *PIC*.

Quantitation of polar effects

To determine the polar effects of cassettes, we measured the fluorescence of the Green

Fluorescent Protein (GFP) that acts as a second cassette in pMBA. At least three independent

colonies of each pMBA derived strain were inoculated in LB zeocin and incubated at 37ºC

overnight. Cultures were then diluted 1/400 in filtered saline solution to measure fluorescence

using a Cytoflex-S flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). The 488 nm laser was used to detect

GFP expression through 525/40 nm (FITC) band pass filter. 20,000 events were recorded per

sample. Data were analysed using CytExpert software (v.2.4; Beckman Coulter).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. José R. Penadés and Dr. James J. Bull for providing phages and

strains, and Tamara Barcos for technical assistance. We thank André Carvalho for critical

reading of the manuscript. NK is supported by funding from the European Union's Horizon

2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement

No 847635 for the ANARC research project (No 4230415). The work in the MBA laboratory

is supported by the European Research Council (ERC) through a Starting Grant [803375];

Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades [BIO2017-85056-P; CNS2022-135857];

Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación [PID2020-117499RB-100] and the EU HARISSA JPI-

AMR program [PCI2021-122024-2A]; A.H. is supported by the PhD program at UCM. PB is

supported by the Juan de la Cierva program (FJC 2020-043017-I). P.D-C. was supported by a

Ramón y Cajal contract RYC2019-028015-I and the project PID2020-112835RA-I00, funded

by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, ESF Invest in your future; MG-Q is supported by the

Subprograma Miguel Servet from the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación of Spain

(CP19/00104), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Plan Estatal de I+D+i 2017–2020), and co-funded

by European Social Fund "Investing in your future". J.A.E. is supported by the Atracción de

Talento Program of the Comunidad de Madrid [2016-T1/BIO-1105, 2020-5A/BIO-19726];

REFERENCES

1. Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* **399**, 629–655 (2022).

2. d'Herelle, F. Bacteriophage as a Treatment in Acute Medical and Surgical Infections. *Bull N Y Acad Med* **7**, 329–48 (1931).

3. Hatfull, G. F., Dedrick, R. M. & Schooley, R. T. Phage Therapy for Antibiotic-Resistant Bacterial Infections. *Annu Rev Med* **73**, 197–211 (2022).

4. Kortright, K. E., Chan, B. K., Koff, J. L. & Turner, P. E. Phage Therapy: A Renewed Approach to Combat Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria. *Cell Host Microbe* **25**, 219–232 (2019).

5. Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E. & Moineau, S. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **8**, 317–27 (2010).

6. Rostøl, J. T. & Marraffini, L. (Ph)ighting Phages: How Bacteria Resist Their Parasites. *Cell Host Microbe* **25**, 184–194 (2019).

7. Bernheim, A. & Sorek, R. The pan-immune system of bacteria: antiviral defence as a community resource. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **18**, 113–119 (2020).

8. Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E. & Moineau, S. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **8**, 317–27 (2010).

9. Millman, A. *et al.* An expanded arsenal of immune systems that protect bacteria from phages. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 1556-1569.e5 (2022).

10. Vassallo, C. N., Doering, C. R., Littlehale, M. L., Teodoro, G. I. C. & Laub, M. T. A functional selection reveals previously undetected anti-phage defence systems in the E. coli pangenome. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1568–1579 (2022).

11. Dedrick, R. M. *et al.* Prophage-mediated defence against viral attack and viral counter-defence. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 16251 (2017).

12. Hochhauser, D., Millman, A. & Sorek, R. The defense island repertoire of the Escherichia coli pan-genome. *PLoS Genet* **19**, e1010694 (2023).

13. Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., Snir, S. & Koonin, E. V. Defense Islands in Bacterial and Archaeal Genomes and Prediction of Novel Defense Systems. *J Bacteriol* **193**, 6039–6056 (2011).

14. Ghaly, T. M. *et al.* The Natural History of Integrons. *Microorganisms* **9**, (2021).

15. Labbate, M., Case, R. J. & Stokes, H. W. The Integron/Gene Cassette System: An Active Player in Bacterial Adaptation. in 103–125 (2009). doi:10.1007/978-1-60327-853-9_6.

16. Mazel, D. Integrons: Agents of bacterial evolution. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 608–620 (2006).

17. Recchia, G. D. & Hall, R. M. Gene cassettes: A new class of mobile element. *Microbiology (N Y)* **141**, 3015–3027 (1995).

18. Partridge, S. R. *et al.* Definition of the attI1 site of class 1 integrons. *Microbiology (N Y)* **146**, 2855–2864 (2000).

19. Collis, C. M. & Hall, R. M. Expression of antibiotic resistance genes in the integrated cassettes of integrons. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **39**, 155–162 (1995).

20. Barraud, O. & Ploy, M. C. Diversity of class 1 integron gene cassette rearrangements selected under antibiotic pressure. *J Bacteriol* **197**, 2171–2178 (2015).

21. Souque, C., Escudero, J. A. & Maclean, R. C. Integron activity accelerates the
evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Elife* **10**, 1–47 (2021).

22. Carvalho, A. *et al.* The expression of integron arrays is shaped by the translation rate of
cassettes. doi:10.1101/2024.03.26.586746.

23. Guerin, É. *et al.* The SOS Response Controls Integron Recombination. *Science (1979)*
324, 1034–1034 (2009).

24. Antonio Escudero, J., Loot, C., Nivina, A. & Mazel, D. The Integron: Adaptation On
Demand. *Mobile DNA III* 139–161 (2015) doi:10.1128/9781555819217.ch6.

25. Partridge, S. R., Kwong, S. M., Firth, N. & Jensen, S. O. Mobile Genetic Elements
Associated with Antimicrobial Resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **31**, (2018).

26. Stokes, H. W. & Hall, R. M. A novel family of potentially mobile DNA elements
encoding site-specific gene-integration functions: integrons. *Mol Microbiol* **3**, 1669–
1683 (1989).

27. Domingues, S., da Silva, G. J. & Nielsen, K. M. Integrons: Vehicles and pathways for
horizontal dissemination in bacteria. *Mob Genet Elements* **2**, 211–223 (2012).

28. Mitsuhashi, S., Harada, K., Hashimoto, H. & Egawa, R. On the drug-resistance of
enteric bacteria. 4. Drug-resistance of Shigella prevalent in Japan. *Jpn J Exp Med* **31**,
47–52 (1961).

29. Kaushik, M., Kumar, S., Kapoor, R. K., Virdi, J. S. & Gulati, P. Integrons in
Enterobacteriaceae : diversity, distribution and epidemiology. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*
51, 167–176 (2018).

30. Hipólito, A., García-Pastor, L., Vergara, E., Jové, T. & Escudero, J. A. Profile and
resistance levels of 136 integron resistance genes. *npj Antimicrobials and Resistance* **1**,
13 (2023).

31. Partridge, S. R., Tsafnat, G., Coiera, E. & Iredell, J. R. Gene cassettes and cassette
arrays in mobile resistance integrons. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **33**, 757–784 (2009).

32. Hipólito, A. *et al.* The expression of aminoglycoside resistance genes in integron
cassettes is not controlled by riboswitches. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2022)
doi:10.1093/nar/gkac662.

33. Moura, A. *et al.* INTEGRALL: A database and search engine for integrons, integrases
and gene cassettes. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1096–1098 (2009).

34. Tesson, F. *et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of
prokaryotes. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2561 (2022).

35. Payne, L. J. *et al.* PADLOC: a web server for the identification of antiviral defence
systems in microbial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W541–W550 (2022).

36. Payne, L. J. *et al.* PADLOC: a web server for the identification of antiviral defence
systems in microbial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W541–W550 (2022).

37. Rousset, F. *et al.* Phages and their satellites encode hotspots of antiviral systems. *Cell*
Host Microbe **30**, 740-753.e5 (2022).

38. Jaskólska, M., Adams, D. W. & Blokesch, M. Two defence systems eliminate plasmids
from seventh pandemic Vibrio cholerae. *Nature* **604**, 323–329 (2022).

39. Duncan-Lowey, B. & Kranzusch, P. J. CBASS phage defense and evolution of antiviral
nucleotide signaling. *Curr Opin Immunol* **74**, 156–163 (2022).

40. Haaber, J., Moineau, S., Fortier, L.-C. & Hammer, K. AbiV, a novel antiphage abortive
infection mechanism on the chromosome of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris
MG1363. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 6528–37 (2008).

41.   Matelska, D., Steczkiewicz, K. & Ginalski, K. Comprehensive classification of the PIN
domain-like superfamily. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 6995–7020 (2017).

42.   Boyd, C. D. *et al.* Structural Features of the Pseudomonas fluorescens Biofilm Adhesin
LapA Required for LapG-Dependent Cleavage, Biofilm Formation, and Cell Surface
Localization. *J Bacteriol* **196**, 2775–2788 (2014).

43.   Bull, J. J., Badgett, M. R., Springman, R. & Molineux, I. J. Genome properties and the
limits of adaptation in bacteriophages. *Evolution (N Y)* **58**, 692 (2004).

44.   Quinones-Olvera, N. *et al.* Diverse and abundant phages exploit conjugative plasmids.
Nat Commun **15**, (2024).

45.   Néron, B. *et al.* IntegronFinder 2.0: Identification and Analysis of Integrons across
Bacteria, with a Focus on Antibiotic Resistance in Klebsiella. *Microorganisms* **10**,
(2022).

46.   Bouvier, M., Demarre, G. & Mazel, D. Integron cassette insertion: A recombination
process involving a folded single strand substrate. *EMBO Journal* **24**, 4356–4367
(2005).

47.   Loot, C., Ducos-Galand, M., Escudero, J. A., Bouvier, M. & Mazel, D. Replicative
resolution of integron cassette insertion. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**, 8361–8370 (2012).

48.   Bouvier, M., Ducos-Galand, M., Loot, C., Bikard, D. & Mazel, D. Structural features
of single-stranded integron cassette attC sites and their role in strand selection. *PLoS*
Genet **5**, (2009).

49.   Nivina, A., Escudero, J. A., Vit, C., Mazel, D. & Loot, C. Efficiency of integron
cassette insertion in correct orientation is ensured by the interplay of the three unpaired
features of attC recombination sites. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, 7792–7803 (2016).

50.   MacDonald, D., Demarre, G., Bouvier, M., Mazel, D. & Gopaul, D. N. Structural basis
for broad DNA-specificity in integron recombination. *Nature* **440**, 1157–1162 (2006).

51.   Blanco, P. *et al.* Identification of Promoter Activity in Gene-Less Cassettes from
Vibrionaceae Superintegrons. *Nucleic Acids Research. In press* (2023)
doi:10.1101/2023.11.21.568050.

52.   Barlow, R. S. & Gobius, K. S. Diverse class 2 integrons in bacteria from beef cattle
sources. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **58**, 1133–1138 (2006).

53.   Lacotte, Y., Ploy, M.-C. & Raherison, S. Class 1 integrons are low-cost structures in
Escherichia coli. *ISME J* **11**, 1535–1544 (2017).

54.   Carvalho, A. *et al.* The expression of integron arrays is shaped by the translation rate of
cassettes. 2 3 ORCID. doi:10.1101/2024.03.26.586746.

55.   Corbellino, M. *et al.* Eradication of a Multidrug-Resistant, Carbapenemase-Producing
Klebsiella pneumoniae Isolate Following Oral and Intra-rectal Therapy With a Custom
Made, Lytic Bacteriophage Preparation. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **70**, 1998–2001
(2020).

56.   Pirnay, J.-P. *et al.* Personalized bacteriophage therapy outcomes for 100 consecutive
cases: a multicentre, multinational, retrospective observational study. *Nat Microbiol* **9**,
1434–1453 (2024).

57.   Zhu, Y.-G. *et al.* Microbial mass movements. *Science (1979)* **357**, 1099–1100 (2017).

58.   Gillings, M. *et al.* The evolution of class 1 integrons and the rise of antibiotic
resistance. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 5095–5100 (2008).

59.   Blanco, P. *et al.* Chromosomal Integrons are Genetically and Functionally Isolated
Units of Genomes 2 3. doi:10.1101/2023.11.17.567518.

60.   Song, L. *et al.* Phage Selective Pressure Reduces Virulence of Hypervirulent Klebsiella
pneumoniae Through Mutation of the wzc Gene. *Front Microbiol* **12**, (2021).

61.   Fujiki, J., Nakamura, K., Nakamura, T. & Iwano, H. Fitness Trade-Offs between Phage
and Antibiotic Sensitivity in Phage-Resistant Variants: Molecular Action and Insights
into Clinical Applications for Phage Therapy. *Int J Mol Sci* **24**, 15628 (2023).

62.   Chan, B. K. *et al.* Phage selection restores antibiotic sensitivity in MDR Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. *Sci Rep* **6**, 26717 (2016).

63.   Alqurainy, N. *et al.* A widespread family of phage-inducible chromosomal islands only
steals bacteriophage tails to spread in nature. *Cell Host Microbe* **31**, 69-82.e5 (2023).

64.   Bonilla, N. *et al.* Phage on tap-a quick and efficient protocol for the preparation of
bacteriophage laboratory stocks. *PeerJ* **4**, e2261 (2016).

65.   Ács, N., Gambino, M. & Brøndsted, L. Bacteriophage Enumeration and Detection
Methods. *Front Microbiol* **11**, 594868 (2020).

66.   Tesson, F. *et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of
prokaryotes. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2561 (2022).

67.   van Kempen, M. *et al.* Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat
Biotechnol* (2023) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0.

68.   Mirdita, M. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* **19**,
679–682 (2022).

69.   Yang, J. & Zhang, Y. Protein Structure and Function Prediction Using I-TASSER. *Curr
Protoc Bioinformatics* **52**, (2015).

70.   Bortolaia, V. *et al.* ResFinder 4.0 for predictions of phenotypes from genotypes.
Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **75**, 3491–3500 (2020).

71.   Carattoli, A. *et al. In Silico* Detection and Typing of Plasmids using PlasmidFinder and
Plasmid Multilocus Sequence Typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **58**, 3895–3903
(2014).

72.   Larsen, M. V. *et al.* Multilocus Sequence Typing of Total-Genome-Sequenced Bacteria.
J Clin Microbiol **50**, 1355–1361 (2012).

73.   Arndt, D. *et al.* PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool.
Nucleic Acids Res **44**, W16–W21 (2016).

74.   Avilan, L. Assembling Multiple Fragments: The Gibson Assembly. *Methods Mol Biol*
2633, 45–53 (2023).

75.   Ibarra-Chávez, R., Reboud, J., Penadés, J. R. & Cooper, J. M. Phage-Inducible
Chromosomal Islands as a Diagnostic Platform to Capture and Detect Bacterial
Pathogens. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **10**, e2301643 (2023).

76.   Biskri, L., Bouvier, M., Guérout, A. M., Boisnard, S. & Mazel, D. Comparative study
of class 1 integron and Vibrio cholerae superintegron integrase activities. *J Bacteriol*
187, 1740–1750 (2005).

77.   DelaFuente, J., Rodriguez-Beltran, J. & San Millan, A. Methods to Study Fitness and
Compensatory Adaptation in Plasmid-Carrying Bacteria. *Methods in Molecular
Biology* **2075**, 371–382 (2020).