Running title: Allopatric speciation in cattails: Genomic landscapes of

divergence across *Typha* spp. suggest balancing selection,

introgressions, and the absence of adaptive divergence

Alberto Aleman[1], Joanna R. Freeland[1], Aaron B. A. Shafer[1,2], Marcel E.

Dorken[1,3]

[1]Environmental and Life Sciences Graduate Program, Trent University,

Peterborough, Ontario, Canada

[2]Department of Forensic Sciences, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario,

Canada

[3]Department of Biology, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding authors:

Marcel E. Dorken: marceldorken@trentu.ca

Alberto Aleman: albertolopezaleman@trentu.ca

Word count for main text: 4,101

Keywords: allopatric speciation; genetic drift; hybridisation; introgressions;

invasive species; islands and valleys of genetic divergence; natural

selection; sympatric speciation.

Abstract

Determining the evolutionary forces which result in the

accumulation of genetic divergence between closely related species can

yield important insights into speciation. Genetic divergence can be

understood by two broad non-mutually exclusive frameworks: genetic drift

under allopatry and natural selection under ecological divergence. Genetic

regions that are highly differentiated or conserved between closely related

species can be used to infer the types of selection driving speciation. We

tested the role of selection in promoting species divergence in *Typha*, an

old, widespread plant genus characterised by high levels of intra- and

interspecific gene flow. Using genome-wide data, we scanned the genomes

of multiple individuals from five *Typha* species to identify how selection

influenced their levels of genetic divergence. We also tested whether past

admixture or contemporary introgression events have contributed to the

genetic variation within and among species. The genomic landscapes of

divergence were predominantly neutral, including regions of exceptional

differentiation, and we observed contemporary genetic introgressions with

no evidence of historical hybridisation. The absence of adaptive divergence

and historical hybridisation are consistent with patterns expected under

allopatric speciation and genetic divergence driven primarily by drift,

followed by range expansion and secondary contact leading to

contemporary hybridisation and recent genetic introgressions. For all

species pairs, most genomic regions associated with adaptive divergence

were valleys of balancing selection, in which the maintenance of ancestral

polymorphisms causes reduced levels of divergence. Reproductive isolation

among multiple *Typha* species remains incomplete, and balancing selection

and recent introgressions have contributed to their standing genetic

variation.

Introduction

Understanding how genetic divergence accumulates between species

during the course of speciation is a key aspect of evolutionary biology

(Wright, 1950; Hedrick, 2009; Seehausen *et al.*, 2014). Comparisons of

congeneric species across different stages of divergence have shed light on

the ways in which genomic barriers emerge and shift across the genome and

the speciation continua (Irwin *et al.*, 2018; Shang *et al.*, 2023). Additionally,

examining the amount of genetic diversity and divergence between related

species has provided insights into the roles of standing genetic variation,

new mutations, and introgression as sources of adaptive variation (Tigano

and Friesen, 2016; Ravinet *et al.*, 2017; Wolf and Ellegren, 2017). Together,

these help us to understand the processes that produce and preserve

biological diversity (The Marie Curie SPECIATION Network, 2012; Abbott

et al., 2013).

Genetic divergence between species is expected to accumulate

differently across the genome depending on the forces driving speciation

and whether they occur in allopatry or sympatry (i.e., without or with gene

flow) (Ellegren and Galtier, 2016). In allopatry, divergence is driven by drift

across most loci (Savolainen et al., 2013; Sork, 2018; Wadgymar et al.,

2022; e.g., Wu et al., 2015), and although adaptation can play a role in

allopatric speciation, it is less prominent than when species diverge in

sympatry (Hernández-Hernández *et al.*, 2021). Under sympatry, selection is

the primary driver of divergence (Pinho and Hey, 2010). Reproductive

barriers in the form of barrier loci are expected to emerge more frequently

or be stronger when divergence is driven by selection rather than by drift

(Stukenbrock, 2014; Papadopulos *et al.*, 2019). In contrast, allopatric

speciation can lead to subsequent interspecific hybridisation if species

experience secondary contact following range expansion, as frequently seen

in plant species (Mallet, 2005; Givnish, 2010).

Genome-wide sequencing has generated detailed patterns of genetic

divergence between species across entire genomes (Quilodrán *et al.*, 2020),

and can identify the roles of selection versus drift in speciation (Bock *et al.*,

2023). Genetic regions that are highly differentiated ("islands") or

conserved ("valleys") between closely related species – compared to the

genomic background – have been used to infer the types of selection driving

speciation (Wolf and Ellegren, 2017). Four non-exclusive types of selection

can be identified by scanning genomes and comparing levels of relative and

absolute differentiation (F_{ST} and d_{XY}) and patterns of nucleotide diversity

(π) between species (Irwin et al., 2018; Kessler et al., 2023; Shang et al.,

2023). These are divergent selection (i) with and (ii) without gene flow, as

expected under sympatry and allopatry, respectively; (iii) background

selection in allopatry; and (iv) balancing selection, characterised as the

maintenance of ancestral polymorphisms, either in sympatry or allopatry.

While background selection is the null model when investigating genomic

divergence (Comeron, 2017), balancing selection has been widely identified

across taxa (Guerrero and Hahn, 2017; Sendell-Price *et al.*, 2020; Ali *et al.*,

2024). Furthermore, incorporating introgression tests when scanning

patterns of genetic divergence allows testing the role of interspecific gene

flow as a source of genetic variation in hybridising species (Bay and Ruegg,

2017; Setter *et al.*, 2020).

We examined the genomic landscapes of speciation of five cattail

species to test the role of selection as the driver of speciation in the genus

Typha L. Cattails are rhizomatous perennial, monoecious, self-compatible,

and wind-pollinated plants crucial to freshwater and brackish ecosystems

(reviewed by Bansal et al., 2019). The genus ischaracterised by widespread

distributions across every continent except Antarctica; high levels of intra-

and interspecific gene flow (Pieper et al., 2020); and old divergence times

(20 – 70 Ma; Bremer, 2000; Widanagama et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2018).

Three of the species we focussed on (*T. angustifolia* L., *T. domingensis*

Pers., and *T. latifolia* L.) share extensive areas of sympatry (Figure 1), are

becoming increasingly problematic invaders in numerous regions worldwide

(Govaerts, 2004; Hall, 2009; Xu *et al.*, 2013; Bansal *et al.*, 2019;

Maldonado, 2019), and hybridise (Ciotir et al., 2017; Smith, 1967). *Typha*

angustifolia and *T. latifolia* share an extensive hybrid swarm across parts of

eastern North America involving the F1 *Typha × glauca* and its advanced-

generation and introgressed hybrids (Grace and Harrison, 1986; Tangen *et*

al., 2022; Bhargav *et al.*, 2022; Aleman *et al.*, 2024), and *T. domingensis* is

known to hybridise with both *T. angustifolia* and *T. latifolia* (Ciotir et al.,

2017; Smith, 1967); however little is known about the extent of hybrid

zones involving *T. domingensis*. We also included two closely related

species (*T. laxmannii* Lepech. and *T. shuttleworthii* W. D. J. Koch & Sond.;

Zhou et al., 2018) with more restricted distributions (Figure 1; Govaerts,

2004), and shown as compatible to hybridise with both *T. angustifolia* and

T. latifolia (Mavrodiev and Kapitonova, 2015). We sequenced multiple

genomes from these five cattail species collectively sampled across multiple

continents to test whether and how selection influenced their genetic

divergence, and to investigate whether historical interspecific admixture or

contemporary introgressions have contributed to intraspecific genetic

diversity. In doing so, we aimed to expose the forces driving speciation

among species with widespread distributions, old divergence times, and

high levels of intra- and interspecific gene flow.

Materials and Methods:

Data preparation

Sampling, DNA extraction, and sequencing

Samples were obtained from previous studies as per Aleman et al.

(2024) and supplemented with additional collections to increase taxonomic

diversity and sampling range (Figure 1; Supplementary Table S1). Samples

were initially identified to putative taxon using a combination of 3-4

microsatellite loci (Ciotir et al., 2013, 2017; Ciotir & Freeland, 2016) or

known morphological characteristics (Grace & Harrison, 1986; Smith,

1967). The DNA was extracted following published protocols (Ciotir et al.,

2017; Pieper et al., 2017, 2020) and converted into Nextera XT libraries as

per Aleman et al. (2024) (*Appendix S1 – Detailed Methods*). Paired-end

sequencing was executed on a Miseq (151 bp) and a Novaseq 6000 (126 bp)

at The Centre for Applied Genomics (Toronto, Ontario, Canada) for 64 *T.*

angustifolia, 25 *T. domingensis*, 104 *T. latifolia*, 11 *T. laxmannii*, and 3 *T.*

shuttleworthii.

Raw data processing

The quality of the demultiplexed raw sequences was evaluated using

FastQC 0.11.9 (Andrews, 2017) and MultiQC 1.14 (Ewels *et al.*, 2016).

Read pairing and adapter pruning were carried out with trimmomatic 0.39

(Bolger *et al.*, 2014), removing cleaned reads shorter than 100 bp. All reads

were mapped to the *T. latifolia* nuclear (15 chromosomes, 285.11 Mb,

GenBank accession no. JAIOKV000000000.2; Widanagama et al. (2022))

and chloroplast (161.57 kb, GenBank accession no. NC_013823; Guisinger

et al. (2010)) genomes using the mem module of BWA 0.7.17 (Li and

Durbin, 2009). Mapping statistics were evaluated with the flagstat and

coverage modules of SAMtools 1.15.1 (Li *et al.*, 2009).

Genotype-calling

Genotype-calling was performed with ANGSD 0.93 (Korneliussen

et al., 2014) following the SAMtools model. Two datasets were created

using all samples. First (*SNPs dataset*), SNPs were retrieved establishing

minimum mapping and sequencing qualities = 20 (*-doGeno 4 -gl 1 -*

skipTriallelic 1 -SNP_pval 1e-6 -minMapQ 20 -minQ 20 -doMajorMinor 1 -

domaf 1 -doPost 1 -doBcf 1). The second dataset (*sequence dataset*)

included all SNPs and invariant sites and was produced using the same

parameters minus the filter for SNPs (i.e., without *-SNP_pval 1e-6*). For the

SNPs dataset, SNPs with more than 20% missing data across all samples

and sites mapped to the plastome were removed in VCFtools 0.1.16

(Danecek *et al.*, 2011). The plastome data were also removed from the

sequence dataset, and no further filters were applied to this dataset. No

individuals were filtered out of any of both datasets. The absence of clones

(multiple ramets from the same genet) was verified by calculating kinship

coefficients in Plink 2.0 (Chang *et al.*, 2015) with the *SNPs dataset*.

Genetic structure

We used the *SNPs dataset* to assess the most likely number of

genetic clusters across all samples and the membership of each sample to

these clusters, with three complementary approaches. ADMIXTURE 1.3.0

(Alexander & Lange, 2011) was run exploring $K = 1 – 10$. ADMIXTURE is

known to fit groups that contain fewer samples as mixes of multiple groups

rather than assigned to their own cluster (Lawson *et al.*, 2018); hence, due to

the small sample size of *T. shuttleworthii* (n = 3) and following Singh et al.,

(2019), the most likely value of *K* was chosen for its congruence with the

PCA plots (PC1 vs PC2 and PC1 vs PC3; Figure 2) and a neighbour-joining

(NJ) tree (Figure 2) based on the samples' pairwise nuclear genetic

distances (expressed as allele counts, transformed on the R 4.2.1 package

ape 5.7-1 (Paradis and Schliep, 2019; R Core Team, 2022) generated with

Plink 1.9 (Purcell *et al.*, 2007).

Genomic landscapes of speciation

To measure interspecific genetic divergence, F_{ST} (Weir and

Cockerham, 1984), d_{XY} (Nei and Miller, 1990) and π (Nei and Li, 1979)

were computed in 1 kb windows for all species pairs with pixy 1.2.7

(Korunes and Samuk, 2021) using the *sequence dataset*. The means were

calculated, and d_a (d_{XY} – mean π), defined as the number of net nucleotide

substitutions per site between species (Nei, 1987), was computed as a

measure of the relative distance between species along the speciation

continuum.

We identified islands and valleys of divergence consistent with

alternative types of selection between all species pairs. Following Kessler et

al. (2023) and Shang et al. (2023), windows (1 kb) of exceptional genetic

divergence (highly increased or reduced) were identified by detecting

outliers for F_{ST}, d_{XY}, and π between species (higher or lower than the 95%

quantile) plus intermediate values of d_{XY} (within the 45% and 55%

quantiles). Islands and valleys of divergence were classified according to the

four types of selection exposed by Irwin et al. (2018): i) divergence with

gene flow (high F_{ST} and d_{XY}, but low π), ii) divergence without gene flow

(high F_{ST}, intermediate d_{XY}, and low π), iii) background selection (high F_{ST},

low d_{XY} and π), and iv) balancing selection (low F_{ST}, and high d_{XY} and π).

As neutrality was our null hypothesis, any window that could not be

classified according to one of these types of selection was considered

evidence for divergence as a result of genetic drift. BEDTools intersect

(Quinlan and Hall, 2010) was used to extract any gene within windows

driven by selection from the *T. latifolia* functional annotation (Widanagama

et al., 2022).

Historical admixture events and contemporary genetic introgressions

We inferred past interspecific hybridisation events (post-speciation)

with the *SNPs dataset* in TreeMix 1.13 (Pickrell and Pritchard, 2012). We

performed linkage pruning (1 kb), and the analyses were run testing 0 - 10

migration events, applying the default bootstrap. The best number of

migrations was chosen according to the total explained variance.

Using the *sequence dataset*, we looked for contemporary genetic

introgressions between multiple pairs of species by making combinations of

P1, P2, and P3 based on the species relationships inferred by TreeMix

(Figure 1) and establishing *T. laxmannii* as the outgroup (Supplementary

Table S2). The f_d (Martin et al., 2015), an estimator of the excess of alleles

shared between two non-sister species that cannot be explained by

incomplete lineage sorting, was computed using the script

ABBABABAwindows.py (Martin & Jiggins, 2017) in 1 kb windows.

Windows with a positive f_d plus an F_{ST} lower than the 5% quantile were

classified as potentially introgressed, and introgressions were confirmed

through visual inspection as in Rendón-Anaya et al. (2019). BEDTools

intersect was used to extract the gene names across the introgressed blocks

from the *T. latifolia* functional annotation.

Results

Genetic structure and evolutionary relationships

The final data set consisted of 89,726 SNPs with mean missing data

per sample = 17.3%. The genetic structure analyses indicated the most likely

number of species as five (Figure 2). The PCA showed some overlap along

PC1 among *T. angustifolia*, *T. domingensis*, and T. *laxmannii*, as well as

PC2 between *T. latifolia* and *T. shuttleworthii*, and between *T. domingensis*

and T. *laxmannii*; while PC3 distinguished *T. domingensis* from *T.*

laxmannii, explaining almost the same variance as PC2 (15.8% and 17%,

respectively). In ADMIXTURE, two distinct intraspecific lineages for *T.*

latifolia were detected, broadly identified as "Western" (North America,

Western and Central Europe) and "Eastern" (Eastern Europe and the Iturup

Island, in the Russian Kuril chain); *T. angustifolia* was also split into two

lineages by ADMIXTURE, with samples from North America and Eastern

Europe each assigned to a different cluster, plus a group of admixed

individuals from Western Europe. Thus, K was set to seven ($K = 7$) based on

visual inspection of the PCA plots and the two lineages within each of *T.*

latifolia and *T. angustifolia*. The NJ tree differentiated all species and the

intraspecific lineages of *T. latifolia* and *T. angustifolia*.

Genomic landscapes of speciation

Covering ~40% of the nuclear genome with 2× depth per sample, the

mean π varied from 0.0051 (*T. latifolia*) to 0.0232 (*T. domingensis*), and d_a

ranged from 0.0074 (*T. latifolia - T. shuttleworthii*) to 0.0144 (*T. latifolia -*

T. angustifolia) (Figure 2). The mean paired F_{ST} varied from 0.30 (*T.*

angustifolia - T. domingensis) to 0.61 (*T. latifolia - T. laxmannii*), and d_{XY}

ranged from 0.016 (*T. latifolia - T. shuttleworthii*) to 0.03 (*T. domingensis -*

T. laxmannii) (Table 1). The islands and valleys of divergence were

predominantly neutral; only 0.75% of the scanned genomic windows could

be associated with one of the four types of selection. Most genomic regions

related to selection were valleys of balancing selection, followed by islands

of background selection (Table 2; Supplementary Table S3).

Historical admixture events and contemporary genetic introgressions

TreeMix indicated *T. angustifolia - T. domingensis* and *T. latifolia -*

T. shuttleworthii as sister lineages and *T. laxmannii* as the outgroup species

(Figure 1). The most likely number of migrations (historical admixture

events) was zero, explaining over 99.9% of the total data variance,

suggesting no evidence of historical gene flow.

The f_d identified contemporary putative introgressions in three

genomic blocks on chromosomes 11 (~100 kb) and 14 (~70 and ~250 kb)

from *T. latifolia* to both *T. angustifolia* and *T. domingensis*. Seven genes are

encoded in the ~250kb (chromosome 14) block: *UBC16*, *TRX1*, *RTE1-*

homolog, *EBS*, *WDR13*, *PAP2, and RGP1*. No other genes were identified

in the ~100 kb (chromosome 11) and ~70 kb (chromosome 14) blocks.

Additional potential introgressions between *T. domingensis - T. latifolia* and

T. angustifolia - T. shuttleworthii were found; however, the genomic

landscapes revealed this was caused by balancing selection favouring

polymorphisms between non-sister species, hence leading to an excess of

shared alleles that could not be attributed to incomplete lineage sorting (see

Smith & Hahn, 2023).

Discussion

During allopatric speciation, genetic divergence accumulates as a

by-product of physical isolation and the evolutionary forces that each

population experiences (Abbott *et al.*, 2013). By contrast, speciation in

sympatry or parapatry requires a genetic basis (Rundle and Nosil, 2005).

Ecological speciation can lead to stronger interspecific reproductive barriers

than allopatry (Rundle and Nosil, 2005; Stukenbrock, 2014). Thus,

speciation of currently hybridising species is predicted to be a consequence

of episodes of allopatry and secondary contact, during which divergence

accumulates, and gene flow gradually declines (Rheindt and Edwards, 2011;

Sobel, 2016). We examined the genomic landscapes of five widespread,

sympatric, and hybridising *Typha* species to identify whether and how

selection influenced their genetic divergence and test whether past

admixture events or contemporary introgressions have occurred among

them. We observed predominantly neutral islands and valleys of divergence,

and we detected contemporary introgressions in three species but no

evidence of historical hybridisation. Our results coincide with patterns

expected under allopatric speciation and genetic divergence driven primarily

by drift, followed by species range expansion and secondary contact. This is

important for the conservation of wetlands; the historical processes

identified here are key to explaining the widespread invasive hybrid *T.* $\times$

glauca (*T. angustifolia* $\times$ *T. latifolia*) in Eastern North America and also

reiterate to the important role of human-mediated species range expansions

and dispersal in novel invasive hybrids (Ellstrand and Schierenbeck, 2000;

Mesgaran *et al.*, 2016).

Absence of adaptive divergence in Typha

Investigating how species originate is crucial to understanding the

processes that produce and preserve biological diversity (Hernández-

Hernández *et al.*, 2021). The modes of speciation can have lasting effects on

processes such as ongoing hybridisation and, thus, on the potential origin of

hybrid biological invaders (Stukenbrock, 2014). To evaluate if speciation in

Typha resulted from divergent selection, we looked at islands and valleys of

divergence between pairs of *Typha* species. The genomic landscapes of

speciation in our study were primarily related to neutral divergence: less

than 1% of the genome indicated selection as the driver of divergence,

which suggests that speciation occurred in allopatry and was primarily

driven by drift. Alternatively, if natural selection was the driver of

speciation, the signatures could have been eroded through time and

recombination –which is consistent with late stages of speciation (Burri *et*

al., 2015), i.e., species can become so divergent that the recombination

suppression is re-established regarding any barrier loci (Schluter and

Rieseberg, 2022). While the F_{ST} values could be interpreted as far along the

speciation continuum (0.30 - 0.61), the d_a estimates are within the 'grey

zone of speciation' (d_a between 0.005 and 0.02; Roux et al., 2016),

indicating that divergence and reproductive isolation among these *Typha* is

weak, as confirmed by the hybridisation between multiple pairs species

(Smith, 1967). This current lack of reproductive barriers between multiple

Typha species (Smith, 1967) and the absence of historical gene flow

detected by Treemix are consistent with the species in our study being

historically allopatric and only more recently sympatric.

Balancing selection and introgressions as sources of genetic variation

Standing genetic variation can be as important to adaptation as *de*

novo mutations (Barrett and Schluter, 2008; Matuszewski *et al.*, 2015).

Several mechanisms can maintain standing genetic variation, including

balancing selection (Llaurens *et al.*, 2017). Across the genomic landscapes

of divergence among the *Typha* spp. in our study, most regions associated

with adaptive divergence were valleys of balancing selection, in which the

maintenance of ancestral polymorphisms causes an excessively reduced

divergence. This means natural selection favours heterozygosity at some

loci regardless of the species (Guerrero and Hahn, 2017). In plants, genes

under balancing selection influence ecological distributions, promote

adaptation to divergent habitats (Wu et al., 2017), and underlie a wide range

of phenotypes (Isildak *et al.*, 2021). Balancing selection has similarly

influenced genetic variation in several widespread tree species, including

Arbutus, *Populus* and *Quercus* (Santiso *et al.*, 2016; Meireles *et al.*, 2017;

Wang *et al.*, 2020; Rendón-Anaya *et al.*, 2021; Shang *et al.*, 2023). Our

results highlight the role of balancing selection in preserving diversity at

ecologically relevant traits among plant species despite the accumulation of

genetic divergence (Delph and Kelly, 2014; Selechnik *et al.*, 2019).

347   In addition to balancing selection, introgressions are potentially

important sources of standing genetic variation (Tigano and Friesen, 2016;

Suarez-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2018). Research across the *T.* × *glauca* hybrid

swarm (the hybrid of *T. angustifolia* × *T. latifolia*) has exposed the sexual

fertility of F1 hybrids (Snow *et al.*, 2010), a high frequency of F1 hybrids in

natural populations (Travis *et al.*, 2010; Kirk *et al.*, 2011), and the capability

of F1 hybrids to backcross (Bhargav et al., 2022; Pieper et al., 2017). Under

these circumstances, introgressions between *T. latifolia* and *T. angustifolia*

were expected. Three genetic blocks were identified as introgressed between

T. latifolia and *T. angustifolia*, one of which was associated with seven

genesl; the genes encoded in the ~250 kb block contribute to cellular

metabolism, regulation, and response mechanisms to oxidative stress. The

introgressed blocks were also detected between *T. latifolia* and *T.*

domingensis, for which hybridisation was identified by Smith (1967) and

Ciotir et al. (2017). Introgressions have been documented in numerous other

widespread plant species, including *Helianthus* (Whitney *et al.*, 2006,

2010), *Populus* (Rendón-Anaya *et al.*, 2021; Shang *et al.*, 2023) and

Quercus (Leroy *et al.*, 2020)*,* and are recognised as essential sources of

genetic variation. Introgressions in *T. angustifolia*, *T. latifolia*, and *T.*

domingensis are congruent with the idea that hybridisation may be more

likely when speciation occurs in allopatry followed by secondary contact

(Levin, 2012; Harrison and Larson, 2014).

Genetic diversity in <u>Typha</u> and <u>T</u>. <u>latifolia</u>

Genetic diversity reflects the reservoir of traits and potential

responses to environmental changes that species encounter, thereby

influencing their success and resilience in dynamic ecosystems (Gregorius,

1987; Frankham *et al.*, 2002; Hartl and Clark, 2006). Excluding *T. latifolia*,

intraspecific genetic diversity was high ($\pi > 0.01$; see Begun et al., 2007),

which is congruent with *Typha* spp. large (effective) population sizes and

substantial gene flow. In *T. latifolia*, genetic diversity was substantially

lower than any species in this study despite having the broadest geographic

distribution; this was consistent with Mashburn et al. (1978), Keane et al.

(1999), and Pieper et al. (2020), who detected extremely low genetic

diversity in *T. latifolia* in North America. Low genetic diversity is

considered detrimental since it can reflect a low adaptive potential

(Frankham *et al.*, 2017). However, the some species – including biological

invaders – thrive despite a low genetic diversity (Tsutsui *et al.*, 2000;

Roman and Darling, 2007). *Typha* is globally distributed and tolerates a

broad range of climates, nutrients, pH, and water level fluctuations (Kadlec

& Wallace, 2008; Sojda & Solberg, 1993), and *T. latifolia* is the most

widespread and commonly recognised *Typha* spp., presumed to have large

census sizes, and is considered invasive in Oceania (Xu *et al.*, 2013).

Reduced genetic diversity in *T. latifolia* suggests that alternative

mechanisms like epigenetic modifications and associated phenotypic

plasticity could be responsible for the success of this species (Mounger *et*

al., 2021). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, epigenetic variation has been shown to

underlie morphological variation and phenotypic plasticity when genetic

diversity is low (Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Kooke *et al.*, 2015). A possible inquiry

for future research is testing if epigenetic modifications are more extensive

in *T. latifolia* than in other *Typha* species.

Conclusions

Determining the drivers of speciation is a central aspect of

evolutionary biology. Here, we tested the role of selection and hybridisation

in promoting species divergence in *Typha*, an ancient and widespread plant

genus characterised by high levels of intra- and interspecific gene flow. The

absence of barriers to hybridisation among some species in our study

suggested that their speciation occurred in allopatry. Our results supported

allopatry as the leading cause of speciation and the presence of balancing

selection and introgressions in the evolutionary history of *Typha*. The

absence of adaptive divergence does not rule out the role of selection as a

promoter of speciation among *Typha* species in our study –the genic view of

speciation requires only a handful of genes to drive speciation (Lexer and

Widmer, 2008)–; rather, it indicates a primary role of genetic drift in

allopatry on this process. Likewise, this does not mean that *Typha* species

are not adapted in any way to their local environment, and investigating

local adaptation remains an essential research gap in this widespread plant

genus. Relating the timing of speciation to decreased historical population

sizes in *Typha* spp. could also be a future step to understanding the history

of this genus, as our results suggest that the species in our study experienced

periods of isolation despite their current widespread distributions. Finally,

assessing the weight of drift versus selection in promoting speciation in a

broader range of widespread and old species will allow us to comprehend

how the evolutionary forces drive species divergence during speciation, and

the roles of hybridisation and introgressions as sources of genetic variation.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge that the laboratory procedures and data analyses were conducted at Trent University, which is on the traditional territory of the Mississauga Anishinaabeg, to whom we show our respect. We thank Polina A. Volkova and Tulsi Patel for their invaluable contributions to the laboratory and the field. The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada financially supported this work, and Alberto Aleman is funded by the Environmental & Life Sciences Graduate Program at Trent University. SHARCNET and Compute Canada provided computational resources for this study. We are also grateful to Camille Kessler and Marie-Laurence Cossette for their comments and suggestions, which helped improve our manuscript's quality. Finally, we thank Enrique Ruiz for his work in Figure 1.

Author contribution statement

Conceptualisation, developing methods, conducting the research, data interpretation and writing: Aaron B. A. Shafer, Alberto Aleman, Joanna R. Freeland, and Marcel E. Dorken. *Data analysis and preparation of figures & tables*: Alberto Aleman.

All authors contributed to the manuscript and approved its final version.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data archiving

Data and scripts for this study can be found at

https://gitlab.com/WiDGeT_TrentU/graduate_theses/-

/tree/master/aleman/hdy and https://github.com/al-aleman/totoras_hdy. High

throughput sequencing raw data are available from the authors upon

reasonable request.

Research Ethics Statement

The reported research did not require any ethical permissions.

References

Abbott R, Albach D, Ansell S, Arntzen JW, Baird SJE, Bierne N, *et al.*
(2013). Hybridization and speciation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **26**: 229–246.

Aleman A, Dorken ME, Shafer ABA, Patel T, Volkova PA, Freeland JR
(2024). Development of genomic resources for cattails (Typha), a globally important macrophyte genus. *Freshwater Biology* **69**: 74–83.

Alexander DH, Lange K (2011). Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for individual ancestry estimation. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**: 246.

Ali HAA, Coulson T, Clegg SM, Quilodrán CS (2024). The effect of divergent and parallel selection on the genomic landscape of divergence. *Molecular Ecology* **33**: e17225.

Andrews S (2017). FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010.

Bansal S, Lishawa SC, Newman S, Tangen BA, Wilcox D, Albert D, *et al.*
(2019). Typha (Cattail) Invasion in North American Wetlands: Biology, Regional Problems, Impacts, Ecosystem Services, and Management. *Wetlands* **39**: 645–684.

Barrett RDH, Schluter D (2008). Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol Evol* **23**: 38–44.

Bay RA, Ruegg K (2017). Genomic islands of divergence or opportunities for introgression? *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **284**: 20162414.

Begun DJ, Holloway AK, Stevens K, Hillier LW, Poh Y-P, Hahn MW, *et al.* (2007). Population Genomics: Whole-Genome Analysis of Polymorphism and Divergence in Drosophila simulans. *PLOS Biology* **5**: e310.

Bhargav VV, Freeland JR, Dorken ME (2022). Evidence of hybrid breakdown among invasive hybrid cattails (Typha × glauca). *Heredity* **129**: 195–201.

Bock DG, Cai Z, Elphinstone C, González-Segovia E, Hirabayashi K,
Huang K, *et al.* (2023). Genomics of plant speciation. *Plant*
Communications **4**: 100599.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer
for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.

Bremer K (2000). Early Cretaceous lineages of monocot flowering plants.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **97**: 4707–4711.

Burri R, Nater A, Kawakami T, Mugal CF, Olason PI, Smeds L, *et al.*
(2015). Linked selection and recombination rate variation drive the
evolution of the genomic landscape of differentiation across the
speciation continuum of Ficedula flycatchers. *Genome Res* **25**:
1656–1665.

Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ (2015).
Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and
richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**: 7.

Ciotir C, Dorken M, Freeland J (2013). Preliminary characterization of
Typha latifolia and T. angustifolia from North America and Europe
based on novel microsatellite markers identified through next-
generation sequencing. *Fundamental and Applied Limnology*: 247–
252.

Ciotir C, Freeland J (2016). Cryptic intercontinental dispersal, commercial
retailers, and the genetic diversity of native and non-native cattails
(Typha spp.) in North America. *Hydrobiologia* **768**: 137–150.

Ciotir C, Szabo J, Freeland J (2017). Genetic characterization of cattail
species and hybrids (Typha spp.) in Europe. *Aquatic Botany* **141**:
51–59.

Comeron JM (2017). Background selection as null hypothesis in population
genomics: insights and challenges from Drosophila studies.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences **372**: 20160471.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, *et al.*
(2011). The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**:
2156–2158.

Darwin C (1859). *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection,*
Or The Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life.
John Murray, Albemarle Street.

Delph LF, Kelly JK (2014). On the importance of balancing selection in
plants. *New Phytologist* **201**: 45–56.

Ellegren H, Galtier N (2016). Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nat Rev*
Genet **17**: 422–433.

Ellstrand NC, Schierenbeck KA (2000). Hybridization as a stimulus for the
evolution of invasiveness in plants? *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **97**: 7043–7050.

Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M (2016). MultiQC: summarize
analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report.
Bioinformatics **32**: 3047–3048.

Frankham R, Ballou JD, Ralls K, Eldridge MDB, Dudash MR, Fenster CB,
et al. (2017). Loss of genetic diversity reduces ability to adapt. In:
Frankham R, Ballou JD, Ralls K, Eldridge M, Dudash MR, Fenster
CB, et al. (eds) *Genetic Management of Fragmented Animal and*
Plant Populations, Oxford University Press, p 0.

Frankham R, Briscoe DA, Ballou JD (2002). *Introduction to conservation*
genetics. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK□; New
York.

Givnish TJ (2010). Ecology of plant speciation. *TAXON* **59**: 1326–1366.

Govaerts R (2004). The Monocot Checklist Project. *TAXON* **53**: 144–146.

Grace J, Harrison J (1986). The biology of Canadian weeds. 73. Typha
latifolia L., Typha angustifolia L. and Typha xglauca Godr.
Canadian Journal of Plant Science - CAN J PLANT SCI **66**: 361–
379.

Gregorius H-R (1987). The relationship between the concepts of genetic
diversity and differentiation. *Theoret Appl Genetics* **74**: 397–401.

Guerrero RF, Hahn MW (2017). Speciation as a sieve for ancestral
polymorphism. *Molecular Ecology* **26**: 5362–5368.

Guisinger MM, Chumley TW, Kuehl JV, Boore JL, Jansen RK (2010).
Implications of the Plastid Genome Sequence of Typha (Typhaceae,

Poales) for Understanding Genome Evolution in Poaceae. *J Mol*
Evol **70**: 149–166.

Hall SJ (2009). Cultural Disturbances and Local Ecological Knowledge
Mediate Cattail (Typha domingensis) Invasion in Lake Pátzcuaro,
México. *Hum Ecol* **37**: 241–249.

Harrison RG, Larson EL (2014). Hybridization, Introgression, and the
Nature of Species Boundaries. *Journal of Heredity* **105**: 795–809.

Hartl DL, Clark AG (2006). *Principles of Population Genetics*, Fourth
Edition, Fourth Edition. Oxford University Press: Oxford, New
York.

Hedrick PW (2009). *Genetics of Populations*. Jones & Bartlett Publishers.

Hernández-Hernández T, Miller EC, Román-Palacios C, Wiens JJ (2021).
Speciation across the Tree of Life. *Biological Reviews* **96**: 1205–
1242.

Irwin DE, Milá B, Toews DPL, Brelsford A, Kenyon HL, Porter AN, *et al.*
(2018). A comparison of genomic islands of differentiation across
three young avian species pairs. *Molecular Ecology* **27**: 4839–4855.

Isildak U, Stella A, Fumagalli M (2021). Distinguishing between recent
balancing selection and incomplete sweep using deep neural
networks. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **21**: 2706–2718.

Kadlec RH, Wallace S (2008). *Treatment Wetlands*. CRC Press.

Keane B, Pelikan S, Toth GP, Smith MK, Rogstad SH (1999). Genetic
diversity of Typha latifolia (Typhaceae) and the impact of pollutants
examined with tandem-repetitive DNA probes. *American Journal of*
Botany **86**: 1226–1238.

Kessler C, Wootton E, Shafer ABA (2023). Speciation without gene-flow in
hybridizing deer. *Molecular Ecology* **32**: 1117–1132.

Kirk H, Connolly C, Freeland JR (2011). Molecular genetic data reveal
hybridization between Typha angustifolia and Typha latifolia across
a broad spatial scale in eastern North America. *Aquatic Botany* **95**:
189–193.

Kooke R, Johannes F, Wardenaar R, Becker F, Etcheverry M, Colot V, *et*
al. (2015). Epigenetic Basis of Morphological Variation and

Phenotypic Plasticity in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell* **27**: 337–
348.

Korneliussen TS, Albrechtsen A, Nielsen R (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of
Next Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**: 356.

Korunes KL, Samuk K (2021). pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide
diversity and divergence in the presence of missing data. *Molecular*
Ecology Resources **21**: 1359–1368.

Lawson DJ, van Dorp L, Falush D (2018). A tutorial on how not to over-
interpret STRUCTURE and ADMIXTURE bar plots. *Nat Commun*
9: 3258.

Leroy T, Louvet J-M, Lalanne C, Le Provost G, Labadie K, Aury J-M, *et al.*
(2020). Adaptive introgression as a driver of local adaptation to
climate in European white oaks. *New Phytologist* **226**: 1171–1182.

Levin DA (2012). The long wait for hybrid sterility in flowering plants. *New*
Phytologist **196**: 666–670.

Lexer C, Widmer A (2008). The genic view of plant speciation: recent
progress and emerging questions. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol*
Sci **363**: 3023–3036.

Li H, Durbin R (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with
Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1754–1760.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, *et al.* (2009).
The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*
25: 2078–2079.

Llaurens V, Whibley A, Joron M (2017). Genetic architecture and balancing
selection: the life and death of differentiated variants. *Molecular*
Ecology **26**: 2430–2448.

Maldonado G (2019). The Paradox of Culturally Useful Invasive Species:
Chuspatel (Typha Domingensis) Crafts of Lake Patzcuaro, Mexico.

Mallet J (2005). Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. *Trends in*
Ecology & Evolution **20**: 229–237.

Martin SH, Davey JW, Jiggins CD (2015). Evaluating the Use of ABBA–
BABA Statistics to Locate Introgressed Loci. *Molecular Biology and*
Evolution **32**: 244–257.

Martin SH, Jiggins CD (2017). Interpreting the genomic landscape of
introgression. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **47**: 69–74.

Mashburn SJ, Sharitz RR, Smith MH (1978). Genetic Variation Among
Typha Populations of the Southeastern United States. *Evolution* **32**:
681–685.

Matuszewski S, Hermisson J, Kopp M (2015). Catch Me if You Can:
Adaptation from Standing Genetic Variation to a Moving
Phenotypic Optimum. *Genetics* **200**: 1255–1274.

Mavrodiev E, Kapitonova O (2015). Taxonomic of Typhaceae of the flora
of European Russia. *Novitates Systematicae Plantarum Vascularium*
46: 5–24.

Mayr E, Mayr AAP of Z (Emeritus) M of CZE, Mayr EW (1963). *Animal*
Species and Evolution. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

Meireles JE, Beulke A, Borkowski DS, Romero-Severson J, Cavender-
Bares J (2017). Balancing selection maintains diversity in a cold
tolerance gene in broadly distributed live oaks. *Genome* **60**: 762–
769.

Mesgaran MB, Lewis MA, Ades PK, Donohue K, Ohadi S, Li C, *et al.*
(2016). Hybridization can facilitate species invasions, even without
enhancing local adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **113**: 10210–10214.

Mounger J, Ainouche ML, Bossdorf O, Cavé-Radet A, Li B, Parepa M, *et*
al. (2021). Epigenetics and the success of invasive plants.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences **376**: 20200117.

Nei M (1987). *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. Columbia University
Press.

Nei M, Li WH (1979). Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in
terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **76**:
5269–5273.

Nei M, Miller JC (1990). A simple method for estimating average number
of nucleotide substitutions within and between populations from
restriction data. *Genetics* **125**: 873–879.

Papadopulos AST, Igea J, Dunning LT, Osborne OG, Quan X, Pellicer J, *et*
al. (2019). Ecological speciation in sympatric palms: 3. Genetic map

650   reveals genomic islands underlying species divergence in Howea.
651   *Evolution* **73**: 1986–1995.

652   Paradis E, Schliep K (2019). ape 5.0: an environment for modern
653   phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**:
654   526–528.

655   Pickrell JK, Pritchard JK (2012). Inference of Population Splits and
656   Mixtures from Genome-Wide Allele Frequency Data. *PLOS*
657   *Genetics* **8**: e1002967.

658   Pieper S, Dorken M, Freeland J (2020). Genetic structure in hybrids and
659   progenitors provides insight into processes underlying an invasive
660   cattail (Typha × glauca) hybrid zone. *Heredity* **124**: 714–725.

661   Pieper SJ, Nicholls AA, Freeland JR, Dorken ME (2017). Asymmetric
662   Hybridization in Cattails (Typha spp.) and Its Implications for the
663   Evolutionary Maintenance of Native Typha latifolia. *Journal of*
664   *Heredity* **108**: 479–487.

665   Pinho C, Hey J (2010). Divergence with Gene Flow: Models and Data.
666   *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **41**: 215–230.

667   Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, *et*
668   *al.* (2007). PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and
669   Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *The American Journal of*
670   *Human Genetics* **81**: 559–575.

671   Quilodrán CS, Ruegg K, Sendell-Price AT, Anderson EC, Coulson T, Clegg
672   SM (2020). The multiple population genetic and demographic routes
673   to islands of genomic divergence. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*
674   **11**: 6–21.

675   Quinlan AR, Hall IM (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for
676   comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 841–842.

677   R Core Team (2022). R: The R Project for Statistical Computing.

678   Ravinet M, Faria R, Butlin RK, Galindo J, Bierne N, Rafajlović M, *et al.*
679   (2017). Interpreting the genomic landscape of speciation: a road map
680   for finding barriers to gene flow. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*
681   **30**: 1450–1477.

682   Rendón-Anaya M, Ibarra-Laclette E, Méndez-Bravo A, Lan T, Zheng C,
683   Carretero-Paulet L, *et al.* (2019). The avocado genome informs deep
684   angiosperm phylogeny, highlights introgressive hybridization, and

reveals pathogen-influenced gene space adaptation. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences **116**: 17081–17089.

Rendón-Anaya M, Wilson J, Sveinsson S, Fedorkov A, Cottrell J, Bailey
MES, *et al.* (2021). Adaptive Introgression Facilitates Adaptation to
High Latitudes in European Aspen (Populus tremula L.). *Molecular*
Biology and Evolution **38**: 5034–5050.

Rheindt FE, Edwards SV (2011). Genetic Introgression: An Integral but
Neglected Component of Speciation in Birds. *tauk* **128**: 620–632.

Roman J, Darling J (2007). Paradox lost: genetic diversity and the success
of aquatic invasions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**: 454–464.

Roux C, Fraïsse C, Romiguier J, Anciaux Y, Galtier N, Bierne N (2016).
Shedding Light on the Grey Zone of Speciation along a Continuum
of Genomic Divergence. *PLOS Biology* **14**: e2000234.

Rundle H, Nosil P (2005). Ecological speciation - Rundle - 2005 - Ecology
Letters - Wiley Online Library. *Ecology letters*.

Santiso X, Lopez L, Retuerto R, Barreiro R (2016). Population Structure of
a Widespread Species under Balancing Selection: The Case of
Arbutus unedo L. *Front Plant Sci* **6**.

Savolainen O, Lascoux M, Merilä J (2013). Ecological genomics of local
adaptation. *Nat Rev Genet* **14**: 807–820.

Schluter D, Rieseberg LH (2022). Three problems in the genetics of
speciation by selection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**:
e2122153119.

Seehausen O, Butlin RK, Keller I, Wagner CE, Boughman JW, Hohenlohe
PA, *et al.* (2014). Genomics and the origin of species. *Nat Rev Genet*
15: 176–192.

Selechnik D, Richardson MF, Shine R, DeVore JL, Ducatez S, Rollins LA
(2019). Increased Adaptive Variation Despite Reduced Overall
Genetic Diversity in a Rapidly Adapting Invader. *Front Genet* **10**:
1221.

Sendell-Price AT, Ruegg KC, Anderson EC, Quilodrán CS, Van Doren BM,
Underwood VL, *et al.* (2020). The Genomic Landscape of
Divergence Across the Speciation Continuum in Island-Colonising
Silvereyes (Zosterops lateralis). *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* **10**:
3147–3163.

Setter D, Mousset S, Cheng X, Nielsen R, DeGiorgio M, Hermisson J
(2020). VolcanoFinder: Genomic scans for adaptive introgression.
PLoS Genet **16**: e1008867.

Shang H, Field DL, Paun O, Rendón-Anaya M, Hess J, Vogl C, *et al.*
(2023). Drivers of genomic landscapes of differentiation across a
Populus divergence gradient. *Molecular Ecology* **32**: 4348–4361.

Singh A, Li G, Brohammer AB, Jarquin D, Hirsch CN, Alfano JR, *et al.*
(2019). Genome-Wide Association and Gene Co-expression
Network Analyses Reveal Complex Genetics of Resistance to
Goss's Wilt of Maize. *G3 (Bethesda)* **9**: 3139–3152.

Smith SG (1967). Experimental and Natural Hybrids in North American
Typha (Typhaceae). *The American Midland Naturalist* **78**: 257–287.

Smith ML, Hahn MW (2023). Selection leads to false inferences of
introgression using popular methods. : 2023.10.27.564394.

Snow AA, Travis SE, Wildová R, Fér T, Sweeney PM, Marburger JE, *et al.*
(2010). Species-specific SSR alleles for studies of hybrid cattails
(Typha latifolia × T. angustifolia; Typhaceae) in North America.
American Journal of Botany **97**: 2061–2067.

Sobel JM (2016). Speciation, Geography of. In: Kliman RM (ed)
Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Biology, Academic Press: Oxford, pp
183–191.

Sojda RS, Solberg KL (1993). 13.4.13. Management and Control of
Cattails.

Sork VL (2018). Genomic Studies of Local Adaptation in Natural Plant
Populations. *Journal of Heredity* **109**: 3–15.

Stukenbrock EH (2014). Chapter Thirteen - Speciation Genomics of Fungal
Plant Pathogens. In: Martin FM (ed) *Advances in Botanical
Research*, Fungi. Academic Press Vol 70, pp 397–423.

Suarez-Gonzalez A, Lexer C, Cronk QCB (2018). Adaptive introgression: a
plant perspective. *Biology Letters* **14**: 20170688.

Tangen BA, Bansal S, Freeland JR, Travis SE, Wasko JD, McGonigle TP,
et al. (2022). Distributions of native and invasive Typha (cattail)
throughout the Prairie Pothole Region of North America. *Wetlands
Ecol Manage* **30**: 1–17.

The Marie Curie SPECIATION Network (2012). What do we need to know
about speciation? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **27**: 27–39.

Tigano A, Friesen VL (2016). Genomics of local adaptation with gene flow.
Molecular Ecology **25**: 2144–2164.

Travis SE, Marburger JE, Windels S, Kubátová B (2010). Hybridization
dynamics of invasive cattail (Typhaceae) stands in the Western
Great Lakes Region of North America: a molecular analysis. *Journal*
of Ecology **98**: 7–16.

Tsutsui ND, Suarez AV, Holway DA, Case TJ (2000). Reduced genetic
variation and the success of an invasive species. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences **97**: 5948–5953.

Wadgymar SM, DeMarche ML, Josephs EB, Sheth SN, Anderson JT
(2022). Local Adaptation: Causal Agents of Selection and Adaptive
Trait Divergence. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and*
Systematics **53**: 87–111.

Wang J, Street NR, Park E-J, Liu J, Ingvarsson PK (2020). Evidence for
widespread selection in shaping the genomic landscape during
speciation of Populus. *Molecular Ecology* **29**: 1120–1136.

Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984). Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of
Population Structure. *Evolution* **38**: 1358–1370.

Whitney KD, Randell RA, Rieseberg LH (2006). Adaptive Introgression of
Herbivore Resistance Traits in the Weedy Sunflower Helianthus
annuus. *The American Naturalist* **167**: 794–807.

Whitney KD, Randell RA, Rieseberg LH (2010). Adaptive introgression of
abiotic tolerance traits in the sunflower Helianthus annuus. *New*
Phytologist **187**: 230–239.

Widanagama SD, Freeland JR, Xu X, Shafer ABA (2022). Genome
assembly, annotation, and comparative analysis of the cattail Typha
latifolia. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* **12**: jkab401.

Wolf JBW, Ellegren H (2017). Making sense of genomic islands of
differentiation in light of speciation. *Nat Rev Genet* **18**: 87–100.

Wright S (1950). Genetical Structure of Populations. *Nature* **166**: 247–249.

Wu Q, Han T-S, Chen X, Chen J-F, Zou Y-P, Li Z-W, *et al.* (2017). Long-
term balancing selection contributes to adaptation in Arabidopsis
and its relatives. *Genome Biology* **18**: 217.

Wu FQ, Shen SK, Zhang XJ, Wang YH, Sun WB (2015). Genetic diversity
and population structure of an extremely endangered species: the
world's largest Rhododendron. *AoB PLANTS* **7**: plu082.

Xu Z, Feng Z, Yang J, Zheng J, Zhang F (2013). Nowhere to Invade:
Rumex crispus and Typha latifolia Projected to Disappear under
Future Climate Scenarios. *PLOS ONE* **8**: e70728.

Zhang Y-Y, Fischer M, Colot V, Bossdorf O (2013). Epigenetic variation
creates potential for evolution of plant phenotypic plasticity. *New*
Phytologist **197**: 314–322.

Zhou B, Tu T, Kong F, Wen J, Xu X (2018). Revised phylogeny and
historical biogeography of the cosmopolitan aquatic plant genus
Typha (Typhaceae). *Sci Rep* **8**: 8813.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Figure 1. Contemporary distributions (coloured grids), approximate sample

sites (black and white circles), and species relationships inferred by

TreeMix in this study for *T. angustifolia* (top-left), *T. domingensis* (middle-

left), *T. latifolia* (bottom-left), *T. laxmannii* (top-right), and *T. shuttleworthii*

(middle-right). Distribution information from Ciotir & Freeland (2016) and

GBIF. The number of samples collected is not shown. The maximum

likelihood tree produced by TreeMix (bottom-right) indicated no historical

hybridisation events, and branch lengths are not represented. Coloured areas

represent different taxa, as in the tree.

Figure 2. Nuclear genetic structure and species relationships for five *Typha*

spp. Top: Principal component analysis (PCA) for PC1 and PC2 (left) and

PC1 and PC3 (right). Middle-left: Nucleotide diversity (π, inside shapes) for

each species and relative distance (d_a, between shapes) between species.

Shapes represent individuals, and colours represent taxa. Middle right:

Neighbour-joining tree. Branches represent individuals, and colours indicate

species and lineages. Bottom: ADMIXTURE plot for $K = 7$. Vertical bars

represent individuals, and the admixture proportion is shown in different

colours. A = *T. angustifolia* ("Western"), B = *T. angustifolia* ("admixed"), C

= *T. angustifolia* ("Eastern"), D = *T. domingensis*, E = *T. latifolia*

("Western"), F = *T. latifolia* ("Eastern"), G = *T. laxmannii*, H = *T.*

shuttleworthii.

T.laxmannii

T.dominguensis

T.angustifolia

T.shuttleworthi

T.latifolia

1   **Table 1.** Mean relative (F_{ST}, below diagonal) and absolute (d_{XY}, above diagonal) genetic divergence

2   between five *Typha* species, measured in 1 kb windows.

	T. laxmannii	*T. domingensis*	*T. angustifolia*	*T. shuttleworthii*	*T. latifolia*
T. laxmannii		0.031	0.028	0.024	0.023
T. domingensis	0.321		0.029	0.030	0.027
T. angustifolia	0.390	0.304		0.028	0.026
T. shuttleworthii	0.377	0.381	0.449		0.016
T. latifolia	0.610	0.573	0.557	0.534	

4 **Table 2.** Number of genetic islands and valleys of divergence consistent with alternative types of

5 natural selection among five *Typha* spp. DwGF = divergence with gene flow (in sympatry). AS =

6 Divergence without gene flow (in allopatry). BGS = Background selection. BLS = Balancing

7 selection. AllWin = Total number of windows (1 kb) scanned.

	DwGF	AS	BGS	BLS	AllWin
T. angustifolia - T. domingensis	0	73	188	807	211,136
T. angustifolia - T. latifolia	1	26	47	36	224,754
T. angustifolia - T. laxmannii	0	82	300	1,527	172,082
T. angustifolia - T. shuttleworthii	4	120	368	1,487	188,222
T. domingensis - T. latifolia	24	103	46	70	220,991
T. domingensis - T. laxmannii	0	39	348	1,497	171,478
T. domingensis - T. shuttleworthii	0	84	337	1,789	187,320
T. latifolia - T. laxmannii	50	186	73	304	175,533
T. latifolia - T. shuttleworthii	88	202	39	113	195,110
T. laxmannii - T. shuttleworthii	3	93	269	1,940	159,729