Computational modelling identifies key determinants of subregion-specific dopamine dynamics in the striatum

Aske L. Ejdrup[1,†], Jakob K. Dreyer[2], Matthew D. Lycas[1], Søren H. Jørgensen[1], Trevor W. Robbins[3,4], Jeffrey W. Dalley[3,4,5], Freja Herborg[1, †, *], Ulrik Gether[1, †, *]

[1]Department of Neuroscience, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Maersk Tower 7.5, University of Copenhagen, DK-2200 Copenhagen, Denmark

[2]Department of Bioinformatics, H Lundbeck A/S, Valby, Denmark

[3]Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EB, UK

[4]Department of Psychology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EB, UK

[5]Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0SZ, UK

*These authors contributed equally to the work

†To whom correspondence should be addressed: ejdrup@sund.ku.dk, frejahh@sund.ku.dk or gether@sund.ku.dk

Abstract

Striatal dopamine (DA) release regulates reward-related learning and behavioural activation and is believed to consist of a short-lived *phasic* and continuous *tonic* component. Here, we build a three-dimensional model of extracellular DA dynamics in dorsal (DS) and ventral striatum (VS) that include tens of thousands of DA release sites. The model predicts rapid dynamics in DS with little-to-no basal DA, and much slower dynamics in the VS enabling build-up of a *tonic* DA level. These regional differences do not primarily reflect different release phenomena but rather arise from differential dopamine transporter (DAT) activity. Interestingly, our simulations posit prevalent nanoclustering of DAT as a possible regulator of this activity. Receptor binding simulations show that D1 receptor occupancy follows extracellular DA concentration with millisecond delay, while D2 receptors integrate DA signal over seconds. Summarized, our model distills recent experimental observations into a computational framework that challenge prevailing paradigms of striatal DA signalling.

Introduction

Striatal dopamine (DA) release is essential for regulating reward-related learning, incentive motivation, and motor function *(Berke, 2018; Klaus et al, 2019)*. DA exerts these roles over a broad range of time scales, yet DA primarily operates as a volume transmitter that targets metabotropic receptors located within a micrometre range from the sites of release *(Agnati et al, 1995; Borroto-Escuela et al, 2018; Cragg & Rice, 2004; Gonon et al, 2000; Sulzer et al, 2016)*. The temporal and spatial dynamics of DA release in striatum, however, remain a highly contested topic. Classically, DA release has been divided into *tonic* release, driven by pacemaker-like spontaneous firing, and *phasic* release from coordinated bursts of firing across neurons *(Niv et al, 2007; Schultz, 2007; Sulzer et al., 2016)*. However, this sharp distinction in release modes, as well as the existence of a basal DA level has recently been challenged *(Berke, 2018; Ejdrup et al, 2023; Jorgensen et al, 2023; Liu et al, 2021; Sippy & Tritsch, 2023)*.

The picture is further complicated by major regional differences across striatal sub domains. These include differences in Ca^{2+}-channel and nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) expression profiles on DA terminals, as well differential regulation and expression of the DA transporter (DAT) *(Brown et al, 2011; Cardozo & Bean, 1995; Kearney et al, 2023; Richards & Zahniser, 2009; Threlfell et al, 2010)*. In addition, we and others have found remarkable differences in extracellular DA release dynamics between the dorsal (DS) and ventral striatum (VS) *(Jorgensen et al., 2023; Mohebi et al, 2024; Salinas et al, 2023)*. Fibre photometry recordings in the DS in mice using the DA sensor dLight1.3b during self-paced exploratory activity showed a rapidly fluctuating signal, whereas we observed up to minutes-long DA dynamics in VS that correlated with behavioural output *(Jorgensen et al.,*

2023). Concurrent measurements of extracellular DA by microdialysis and fibre photometry

have furthermore corroborated the lack of tonic levels of DA in DS while supported its

presence in VS *(Ejdrup et al., 2023; Jorgensen et al., 2023).* Despite these reported

differences in striatal DA dynamics, electrophysiological recordings suggest that DA neurons

from the primary innervators of DS, substantia nigra par compacta (SNc) and VS, ventral

tegmental area (VTA), have remarkably similar firing patterns at rest *(Dodson et al, 2016).*

We therefore set out to better understand the fundamental principles governing

extracellular DA dynamics by constructing a new computational model of the striatal DA

system.

Extracellular DA dynamics have been modelled before; either one-dimensionally or

with a primary focus on single release events or post-synaptic receptor binding *(Beyene et*

al, 2017; Dreyer et al, 2010; Dreyer & Hounsgaard, 2013; Dreyer et al, 2016; Venton et al,

2003; Wiencke et al, 2020). Here, we present a three-dimensional model of tens of

thousands of release sites, focused on larger-scale signalling and based on experimentally

observed biological parameters. The model faithfully replicates experimentally observed

results as well as the difference in DA dynamics between DS and VS. Importantly, it offers

compelling evidence that these differences do not primarily reflect different release

phenomena but rather arise from differential expression and possibly nanoscale localization

of the DA transporter (DAT).

Results

Construction of a model of DA dynamics in the striatum

We constructed a novel model of DA release using experimentally determined parameters from DS, including release, uptake, and cytoarchitecture *(Doucet et al, 1986; Dreyer et al., 2010; Dreyer & Hounsgaard, 2013; Liu et al., 2021; Olson et al, 1972; Sulzer et al., 2016)*. DA release sites on axons projecting from the midbrain were randomly simulated as uniformly distributed discrete points in a three-dimensional space (Figure 1A). The release events themselves were simulated as point source events *(Cragg & Rice, 2004)* driven by action potentials (AP). We then modelled DA release for each voxel in the simulation containing a release site as function of three key parameters; firing rate, release probability and quantal size:

(1)

$$release_{n,t} = Poisson(f_{rate}\, dt)_n\, P(R_\%)_t\, Q\, dt$$

where $Poisson(f_{rate}\, dt)_n$ is a Poisson distribution of action potentials (AP) for the given neuron (n) with the firing rate f_{rate}(*23*), $P(R_\%)_t$ is the probability of release at the individual terminal (t) for each AP, while Q is the number of DA molecules released per event (dopaminergic quantal size) and dt the time step. Changing f_{rate} can be used to model both pacemaker firing, typically reported at 2-10 Hz, and burst firing, which can exceed 20 Hz *(Sulzer et al., 2016)*.

DA reuptake in the striatum is almost exclusively mediated by the DA transporter (DAT) *(Jones et al, 1998)*, which is widely distributed along DA axons and varicosities *(Block et al, 2015; Eriksen et al, 2010; Eriksen et al, 2009)*. As reuptake follows concentration-dependent Michaelis-Menten kinetics *(Nicholson, 1995)*, we simulated uptake as follows:

112 (2)

$$\text{uptake} = \frac{V_{max} [DA]}{K_m + [DA]} dt$$

where $[DA]$ is the concentration of DA for each voxel in the model, V_{max} is the maximal

uptake capacity in the region and K_m is the concentration of DA at which half of V_{max} is

reached.

The spatial distribution of released DA is a complex interplay between release,

uptake and diffusion. Diffusion in an open 3D space can be simulated for each voxel with a

Laplacian operator:

(3)

$$\text{diffusion} = \frac{\partial DA_{x,y,z,t}}{\partial t} = D_a \, dt \left(\frac{\partial^2 DA_{x,y,z,t}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 DA_{x,y,z,t}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 DA_{x,y,z,t}}{\partial z^2} \right)$$

122 where D_a is a corrected diffusion coefficient and *dt* is the timestep. As the extracellular

123 space of the striatum is tortuous, we modified the conventional diffusion coefficient *D* to an

124 apparent diffusion coefficient (D_a) to correct for the tortuosity (λ) of the striatum *(Cragg &*

125 *Rice, 2004; Nicholson, 1985)*:

126 (4)

$$D_a = \frac{D}{\lambda^2}$$

128 Combining equation 1 through 4, we model DA changes in each voxel with a single

129 conceptual equation:

130 (5)

$$\frac{dDA}{dt} = \text{release} - \text{uptake} + \text{diffusion}$$

We first compared our 3D model of DA dynamics to the analytical solution of a single

release event *(Cragg & Rice, 2004; Gonon et al., 2000)*. To do this, we simulated a quantal

event of 3000 DA molecules and calculated DA concentrations across space at three

separate time points (Figure 1B, C). The analytic solution and our model predicted almost

identical results. Slight differences were introduced as the analytical solution assumes linear

uptake from DAT while our model incorporate non-linear Michaelis-Menten kinetics. These

differences, however, were almost negligible. The main difference between the two models

lies in scalability across both space and time. Summarized, the model enables a dynamic

incorporation of the surrounding DA concentration, release events and uptake, and can be

scaled to cover DA dynamics of a large 3D space, whose size and granularity is only limited

by computing power (see Code Availability Section for the Python code with numerical

implementations of the equations listed and scripts to run the simulations and plot the main

figures).

We also tested the validity of our model, by examining the response to electrical

stimulation. Importantly, our model faithfully mirrored DA release seen with fast-scan cyclic

voltammetry (FSCV) recordings upon direct stimulation of striatal slices when we corrected

for kinetics of the typical FSCV recording setup (Figure S1b, c and discussion in

Supplementary Information) *(Atcherley et al, 2015; Brimblecombe et al, 2019; Stuber et al,*

2010; Xie et al, 2020).

Simulating large scale DA dynamics of the dorsal striatum

To better understand the extracellular DA dynamics that arise from the balance between

dopaminergic pacemaker activity and uptake, we simulated DA dynamics in the DS

generated by pacemaker activity (4 Hz) of 150 neurons in the midbrain in a 100x100x100 μm

space (Figure 1D, see parameters in Table 1). Our simulations yielded a pattern of partially

segregated DA hot spots with large fractions of the simulated space devoid of DA,

suggesting that release events in DS only elevate DA in the immediate surroundings with

DAT-dependent clearance preventing a larger spread in space (Figure 1D). This was also

illustrated by a cross-section in time (Figure 1E). In line with our recent in vivo microdialysis

experiments, the average DA concentration in the simulations during pacemaker activity

was approximately 10 nM *(Ejdrup et al., 2023)*. Further, when we calculated the average

concentration of a larger area across time, which fibre photometry conceivably does, the

results resembled a tonic DA concentration (Figure S1A). However, our model predicted a

spatial distribution that is highly heterogenous and devoid of pervasive resting or tonic DA

levels (Figure 1D-F).

To ensure our simulations were performed within a sufficiently large space to yield

consistent results, we tested different sizes of the simulated area and found a diameter of

50 μm to faithfully mimic the results of larger simulations (Figure S2A, B). Additionally, we

tested our simulations at different granularity (0.1, 0.5, 1 and 2 μm). The finer the spatial

grain, the higher detail close to a release event; however, at a spatial granularity of 1 μm,

[DA] deviated by <2% across most percentiles and only by >1 nM above the 99.5th percentile

(Figure S2C-F), leading us to use this voxel size for our simulations.

Burst firing and receptor occupancy

DA cell bodies are known to fire short bursts of APs, which is a phenomenon strongly linked

to reward-prediction error and learning *(Schultz, 2007)*. To gain insights into accompanying

extracellular DA dynamics, we simulated three different firing scenarios for a group of

terminals within a 10x10x10 μm field encompassing roughly 40 release sites from the

randomly simulated 150 neurons: 3 pulses at 10 Hz, 6 pulses at 20 Hz and 12 pulses at 40 Hz

(Figure 1G – burst properties matched to be the same duration). Unsurprisingly, peak DA

concentration was reached at the end of the bursts (Figure 1G). The 3 APs/10 Hz bursting

scenario generated no significant spill-over of DA outside the region of activity, whereas the

6 APs/20 Hz and 12 APs/40 Hz bursting scenarios markedly overwhelmed uptake (Figure

1G). The relationship between firing rate and the sphere of influence by DA became further

evident when plotting maximal concentration of the surrounding space (Figure 1H) and the

volume of space with a DA concentration above 100 nM (Figure S1D). We found that the 3

APs/10 Hz stimulation produced DA responses that largely resembled that of a single pulse.

In both cases, DA was mostly cleared after 100 ms and the volume exposed to greater than

100 nM was similar (Figure 1G and Figure S1D). In contrast, the high bursting activities

caused a frequency-dependent spill-over, where the areas exposed to a DA concentration

above 100 nM were 10 and 30 times larger than the terminal origin for 6 APs/20 Hz and 12

APs/40 Hz, respectively. Even after 100 ms, a considerable amount of DA remained in the 12

APs/40 Hz scenario (Figure 1G).

To understand how these DA dynamics could affect the postsynaptic response, we

modelled receptor binding. D1 receptors (-Rs) were assumed to have a half maximal

effective concentration (EC$_{50}$) of 1000 nM and we extrapolated the reverse rate constant

(K$_{off}$) to 19.5 s^{-1} based on a linear fit of the recently characterized DA receptor-based sensors

(Figure S1E) *(Labouesse & Patriarchi, 2021)*. We set the EC$_{50}$ of D2Rs to 7 nM and K$_{off}$ to 0.2

s^{-1} based on the DA sensor kinetic fit (Figure S1E), which matches a recent binding study

(Agren et al, 2021). To determine how these receptors would respond to our predicted DA

dynamics, we simulated pacemaker activity at 4 Hz with an added burst of 6 APs/20 Hz.

Figure 1I shows a representative trace of DA concentration and occupancy of the D1R and

D2R within 1 μm of a release site. During pacemaker activity, D1R showed an occupancy

close to 0, whereas D2R occupancy was approximately 0.65 (Figure 1I). Both D1Rs and D2Rs

occupancies were largely invariant to individual release events caused by pacemaker

activity. However, upon coordinated burst firing, the occupancy rapidly increased (Figure

1I). D1R receptor occupancy closely tracked extracellular DA with a delay of only ~50 ms

(Figure S1F). In contrast, it took at least 5 seconds before the burst-induced increase in D2R

occupancy had declined to baseline levels (Figure 1I), and, perhaps more surprisingly, the

D2R occupancy only fell from 0.55 to 0.45 when simulating a full second pause in firing due

to the slow off kinetics (Figure 1J).

These simulations suggest that the dopaminergic architecture of the DS limits DA

overflow during physiologically relevant bursting activity. Further, DA receptors had a

temporally mostly uniform response to DA release caused by pacemaker activity with D1R

occupancy responding rapidly to both onset and offset extracellular DA concentrations

following bursts, while D2R showed seconds-long delays in offset.

Ventral striatum maintains pervasive DA tone

Mounting evidence points to considerable differences in DA dynamics across striatal

subregions *(Jorgensen et al., 2023; Mohebi et al., 2024)*, which might reflect differences in

the cytoarchitectural and/or molecular dopaminergic make up. In line with this, most

studies report lower dopaminergic density in the VS than in DS regardless of methodological

modality with a median value of ~90% in VS relative to DS (Table S1). Further, DAT-mediated

uptake capacity is reported to be lower in VS with a median capacity at ~30% of DS (Table

S2). Consistently, we observed a clear dorsoventral gradient for DAT expression when

analysing immunostainings in striatal mouse brain slices from a previous publication

(Sorensen et al, 2021)(Figure S3A, B). By contrast, the VMAT2 staining only decreased

slightly from VS to DS (Figure S3A-C).

We simulated DA release during pacemaker activity in both DS and VS. DS values

were set as previously described (25 μm^3 per terminal, uptake capacity of 6.0 $\mu M\ s^{-1}$), but

for VS we reduced the terminal density to 90% (27.8 μm^3 per terminal) and DAT uptake

capacity to 33% (2.0 $\mu M\ s^{-1}$) (Tables S1 and S2). The remaining parameters were kept

identical. With these two differences, our simulations revealed markedly different

spatiotemporal DA distributions during pacemaker activity. While DS formed segregated

domains with low DA concentrations in the inter-domain space (Figure 2A), DA diffused

further throughout the simulated space in VS, before being cleared by DAT. This gave rise to

what may be considered a tonic DA level with hotspots of higher DA concentrations (Figure

2A, B).

We compared our model of the two regions with existing experimental data. In an

earlier study by May and Wightman, 120 stimulus pulses were delivered in the medial

forebrain bundle (MFB) at either 10, 30 or 60 Hz and DA responses were recorded by FSCV

in both caudate-putamen (CPu) and nucleus accumbens (NAc) *(May & Wightman, 1989)*. To

mirror this, we simulated 120 action potentials at similar frequencies (10, 30, and 60 Hz) at

6% release probability and ran the result through convolution, as in Figure S1B and C, to

generate an FSCV read-out (Figure 2E). Since May and Wightman reported no significant

difference in DA released per electrically delivered pulse ($[DA]_p$) between VS and DS, we

applied equal quantal size and $R_\%$ for DS and VS in our simulations, while uptake capacity in

VS was kept to a third of DS and terminal density was set to 90% as specified above.

Importantly, our simulated FSCV data closely resembled the earlier findings, with VS

reaching considerably higher DA levels for all three stimulation frequencies (Figure 2E). This

regional difference presumably arises from differences in DAT capacity between DS and VS,

as the lower terminal density in VS would have the opposite effect (see below) and the

remaining parameters were held identical.

To compare with our results for DS, we tested how VS responded during simulated

burst activity. Using firing patterns identical to the DS simulations (Figure 1G), we found a

larger spill-over of DA into the surrounding areas in VS (Figure 2F and Figure S3D, E).

Significant amounts of extracellular DA also remained 100 ms after the physiologically

relevant 6APs/20Hz firing stimulus. At the receptor level, D1R occupancy in VS showed a

similar response to that in DS during the burst (Figure 1I and 2G). By contrast, D2R

occupancy during pacemaker activity was higher in VS than DS (~0.8 versus ~0.65 in DS), in

accordance with the higher prevailing basal DA concentration. Additionally, the larger DA

overflow in VS after a burst caused a higher relative increase in receptor occupancy further

away from the area actively bursting than compared to DS (Figure 2H). A pause in firing had

the same effect on D2R as in DS (Figure S3F).

Testing sensitivity of model parameters

To test the robustness of the results, we performed simulations across wide ranges of the

variable key parameters on which the model is based. First, we varied the number of

varicosities actively releasing DA by setting the varicosity density to one site per 9 μm^3

(Doucet et al., 1986) and simulating 4 Hz pacemaker activity with the release fraction

ranging from 5% to 100% (reported values range from 20% to virtually all) *(Ducrot et al,*

2021; Liu et al., 2021; Liu et al, 2018; Pereira et al, 2016) (Figure 3A). As the fraction of

active sites increased, DA concentrations increased at both the median level (50th

percentile), which we consider a measure of tonic or baseline DA levels, and at peak levels

(99.5th percentile) in both DS and VS (Figure 3B, see Figure S4A for schematic of tonic and

peak DA). We then used the 99.5th/50th percentile ratio as a measure of the focality of the

DA distribution (i.e. hotspot DA relative to baseline DA). Quantifying this across the

percentage of active terminals showed that the focality of the DA distribution dropped as

the active fraction increased in both regions (Figure 3C). However, the percentage of active

sites in VS needed to drop to 5% to reach a relative distribution resembling the DS at a full

100% active sites, underscoring a marked difference in the spatial confinement of DA signals

in VS and DS.

The predicted total DA content of a vesicle and the fraction of content released per

fusion event is reported to range from 1,000 to 30,000 molecules *(Garris et al, 1994; Pothos*

et al, 1998; Staal et al, 2004; Sulzer & Pothos, 2000) (Figure 3D). As expected, tonic and peak

concentrations increased in both DS and VS as quantal size was increased (Figure 3E). Also

as expected, the focality of the DA distributions dropped for both regions as quantal size

increased (Figure 3F). The relative difference in tonic DA, however, remained persistently

higher in VS and even increased as quantal size increased, indicating a tendency for VS to

maintain basal levels of DA regardless of release content (Figure 3G). The higher end of

quantal sizes, however, resulted in median concentrations far beyond what is typically

reported (Figure 3E) *(Sulzer et al., 2016)*. We observed largely similar pattern when changing

either release probability or firing rate (Figure S4B-G).

DAT activity is governed by two parameters: K_m and V_{max} *(Kristensen et al, 2011)*. To

mimic competitive inhibition of DAT by e.g., cocaine, we ran a simulation across various K_m

values (Figure 3H) showing that increasing K_m caused a linear increase in DA levels,

consistent with DAT uptake rate responding almost linearly to increases in [DA] below K_m

(Figure 3I). Of note, most microdialysis studies have reported that cocaine increases [DA] to

the same degree in both DS and VS; however, these quantifications are usually derived as a

ratio of the absolute baseline level *(Carboni et al, 2001; Maisonneuve & Glick, 1992)*. If we

divide our simulations of increasing K_m with the basal levels estimated in Figure 3A-C a

similar response for DS and VS is found (Figure 5A). Further, we observe a convergence on a

two-fold difference in the absolute values at both tonic and peak levels, which matches

reports from earlier FSCV studies (Figure S5B) *(Wu et al, 2001)*. This regionally differential

response to cocaine matches our observations in a previous biosensor-based study

(Jorgensen et al., 2023).

Finally, we changed V_{max} by ± 50% in both regions and observed a smaller change in

for tonic level in DS (11 nM) than in VS (38 nM) (Figure 3K). This suggests modulation of V_{max}

has higher impact in VS than DS. Further, the cusp-like behaviour of V_{max} in VS was

independent of both Q and $R_{\%}$ within values typically reported in the literature (Figure S5C,

D). In contrast to the changes in tonic levels, the relative effect V_{max} had on peak levels was

much more modest (Figure 3L).

Changes to uptake rate may be mediated by DAT internalization pathways but to our

knowledge there is not in vivo evidence of differential release-uptake balances between

animals that could lead to varying tonic DA levels across animals. We therefore reanalysed

data from our previously published comparison of fibre photometry and microdialysis and

found evidence of natural variations in the release-uptake balance of the mice (Figure 5F,

G), which may underlie different tonic levels of DA in the striatum between animals.

Simulations of DAT nanoclustering

Our simulations highlight DAT V_{max} as an effective regulator of extracellular DA levels in VS

(Figure 3K). Internalization of DAT can serve as a mechanism for this control but is a

relatively slow process operating on the order of minutes *(Kristensen et al., 2011)*.

Interestingly, our recent studies have provided evidence that DAT move laterally in the

plasma membrane and transition from a clustered to an unclustered nanoscale distribution

in response to excitatory drive and other inputs *(Lycas et al, 2022; Rahbek-Clemmensen et*

al, 2017). This led us to hypothesize that DAT nanoclustering serve as a mechanism for

regulating DAT activity on a faster time scale. We speculated that dense nanoclusters of DAT

would produce domains of low [DA] due to uptake overpowering diffusion (Figure 4A). As

uptake rate is concentration dependent, this would reduce uptake efficiency (Figure 4B). To

address this hypothesis, we simulated a single ellipsoid varicosity of 1.5 μm in length and

800 nm thick with surrounding extracellular space *(Ducrot et al., 2021)*. The surface was

unfolded to a square of equal area (Figure 4D), and as 9-16.4% of terminals in the striatum

are estimated to be dopaminergic *(Hokfelt, 1968; Tennyson et al, 1974)*, we set the volume

of the surrounding space to seven times the varicosity volume. On the surface of the

varicosity, we randomly distributed eight DAT nanoclusters (Figure 4C) and ran simulations

of how DAT clustering density influenced the DA clearance from the surrounding space. The

observed DA concentration in the space surrounding the varicosity shown in Figure 4C is

illustrated by the cross-section shown in Figure 4D. Mean DA uptake capacity of the entire

space was kept constant at 4 μM s^{-1} (between the values observed for DS and VS)

throughout the simulations, representing a constant amount of DAT molecules on the

surface of the varicosity. We only changed the fraction of the surface of the varicosity that

was uptake competent by altering the cluster size from small clusters of high density to

large clusters of lower density. We ran simulations of eight clusters at 20, 40, 80 or 160 nm

in diameter to mirror experimentally observed cluster sizes on DA varicosities, as well as a

scenario with DAT fully dispersed (Figure 4E) *(Lycas et al., 2022; Rahbek-Clemmensen et al.,*

2017). We then set the extracellular space to a [DA] of 100 nM and simulated uptake for the

four cluster sizes and an unclustered distribution of DAT. As shown in Figure 4F, the

distribution of DAT into clusters of increasing density resulted in progressively slower DA

clearance. Thus, the unclustered scenario reduced [DA] to 10 nM in just above 200 ms,

whereas the 20 nm clusters took ~400 ms. As cluster size increased and DAT density

decreased, clearance time approached that of the fully unclustered scenario (Figure 4G).

The resulting hyper-local low-[DA] environment that came from dense DAT clusters was

apparent when we plotted the [DA] at the centre of a cluster compared to the mean [DA] of

the extracellular space (Figure 4H). For the 20 nm cluster-scenario, the clearance was almost

entirely limited by how quickly DA diffused to the nanocluster, as the local [DA] dropped to

near zero. We originally hypothesized the effect would mainly be due to a depression in

[DA] at the cluster centre, but a concentration profile of the 80 nm cluster-scenario revealed

the entirety of the cluster was enveloped in a low-[DA] environment (Figure 4I).

Summarized, the data supports that dense DAT nanoclusters produce domains of

low [DA] as uptake outcompetes diffusion. With transporter uptake being concentration

dependent, uptake efficiency is reduced. The simulations therefore posit DAT

nanoclustering as an efficient way to regulate the extracellular levels of tonic DA,

particularly in VS.

DAT clusters more in the ventral striatum

As our simulations suggest that nanodomain clustering is a way of regulating uptake, and

this may be particularly efficient at controlling extracellular DA in VS, we hypothesized

nanoscale clustering would be more prominent in VS. To investigate this, we reanalysed

data from a previous publication*(Sorensen et al., 2021)*, where we acquired super-resolution

images of coronally sliced striatal sections from mice stained for DAT. For the present study,

images were split in DS and VS based on where in the slices they were taken (Figure 4J). DA

terminals were identified by vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2) expression, and

qualitatively DAT appeared more clustered in VS than DS (Figure 4K). To quantify this, we

applied the clustering algorithm Density-Based Spatial Clustering of Applications with Noise

(DBSCAN) (Figure 4L). This confirmed a regional difference with more DAT localized to mean

cluster size of 80 nm in VS compared to DS (Figure 4M), and we observed a similar regional

difference across the range of sizes typically reported (Figure 4N) *(Lycas et al., 2022;*

Rahbek-Clemmensen et al., 2017) supporting the conclusion that DAT nanoclustering is

more prevalent in VS than DS.

Discussion

We developed a three-dimensional, finite-difference computational model to investigate spatiotemporal DA dynamics of striatal subregions in detail. Leveraging prior experimental information on regional differences in dopaminergic innervation density and DAT uptake capacity, our model predicts important differences in dopaminergic dynamics between DS and VS. Strikingly, our simulations suggest that large areas of the DS are effectively devoid of a basal level of DA at pacemaker activity, whereas VS maintain a more homogenous tonic-like basal DA concentration with only small changes in uptake activity powerfully regulating the extracellular DA tone. Furthermore, we modelled receptor binding kinetics and found that D1R binding faithfully followed recently described rapid DA dynamics of the striatum *(Ejdrup et al., 2023; Jorgensen et al., 2023; Markowitz et al, 2023)*, while D2R, with an off-rate of ~5 seconds, appeared better suited for detecting background tone, ramps and integrating prolonged activity *(Howe et al, 2013; Jorgensen et al., 2023)*. Collectively, these observations have important implications for our understanding of striatal function in behaviour, including decoding of inputs from the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and limbic system as well as the influential phasic-tonic model of dopaminergic signalling *(Grace et al, 2007; Niv et al., 2007; Schultz, 2007)*

It has been assumed for long that there are tonic levels of DA in the striatum *(Niv et al., 2007; Schultz, 2007; Sulzer et al., 2016)*, although the phenomenon has no clear definition (*1*). Our simulation of DA dynamics in DS during pacemaker activity showed no evidence for a homogenous extracellular distribution. Rather, elevated [DA] was transiently present around release sites during pacemaker activity with the remaining space mostly depleted of DA. The absence of a general tonic DA level in DS predicted by our model

directly supports the notion that DA release sites in DS establish distinct and only partially

overlapping DA domains rather than diffuse, tonic DA levels (see Liu et al., 2021 *(Liu et al.,*

2021). This conclusion aligns with recent data where we found that DA concentrations

measured by microdialysis correlates with the average of rapid activity recorded with fibre

photometry rather than a baseline DA tone *(Ejdrup et al., 2023)*.

In contrast to the DS, our model predicted VS to hold a considerable basal level of DA

even in spaces without an immediately adjacent release site. This is conceivably what most

refer to as tonic DA. In this study, we quantified tonic DA as the median concentration of the

entire space (50th percentile), which appeared significantly higher in VS than DS because of

the lower VS uptake capacity. Importantly, this matches the results of our direct in vivo

comparison of DS and VS in freely moving mice *(Jorgensen et al., 2023)*. To challenge our

model, we performed our simulations across a wide range of parameters. Only changes to

V_{max} for uptake generated differential responses in the two regions. With release and

uptake parameters at values from the literature, VS was at a critical point where minor

changes to uptake significantly impacted the tonic levels without any major effect on peak

concentrations.

Contrary to our observations, some previous microdialysis experiments have

suggested higher basal DA levels in the DS compared to VS (Kuczenski & Segal, 1992; Shen et

al., 2004 have reported 2-4 times higher [DA] in DS compared to VS *(Kuczenski & Segal,*

1992; Shen et al, 2004).However, there are disparate observations in the literature (e.g.

Carboni et al., 2001 reported 20% higher [DA] in VS *(Carboni et al., 2001)*. Moreover, it is

important to note that regional comparisons in microdialysis might be confounded by the

considerably higher uptake rate in DS. This will increase the extraction fraction and possibly

lead to a significant overestimation of the extracellular concentration as compared to a

region with lower uptake rate, such as the VS *(Chefer et al, 2009)*.

Our three-dimensional simulations highlight DAT-mediated reuptake as a key

mechanism governing striatal DA dynamics and as a key mediator of regional-specific DA

dynamics. A physiologically relevant way to regulate uptake capacity is moving DAT to-and-

from the plasma membrane. Indeed, DAT is subject to such regulation and some of these

mechanisms may even be exclusive to the ventral region, including protein kinase C-induced

DAT internalization and Vav2 regulation of DAT surface expression *(Fagan et al, 2020; Zhu et*

al, 2015). Chemogenetic G_q-coupled DREADD activation of DA neurons also result in

differential DAT trafficking in the two regions *(Fagan et al., 2020; Kearney et al., 2023)*. The

findings position DAT regulation as an excellent candidate for changing tonic DA levels in VS,

which has been proposed to selectively attenuate afferent drive from the PFC through D2R

activation *(Grace et al., 2007)*. Recent studies of D2R expressing spiny projection neurons

(SPNs) in the VS also suggest that the receptor is not fully saturated under basal firing *(Lee*

et al 2020), matching both our simulations of receptor binding and the notion that tonic DA

can be manipulated to alter D2R activation.

If changing uptake capacity is to have a behavioural relevance on a fast timescale, a

mechanism to regulate DAT function faster than internalization must exist. Importantly, the

transporter does not only move to-and-from the surface, but also laterally in the plasma

membrane. We have reported that DAT forms nanoclusters in the plasma membrane that

dynamically reshape based on excitatory and inhibitory input *(Lycas et al., 2022; Rahbek-*

Clemmensen et al., 2017). Moreover, we have previously shown that cocaine, which both

competitively inhibits DAT and reorganizes the transporter nanodomains *(Lycas et al.,*

2022), changes the DA signal of the DS to dynamics akin to the VS *(Jorgensen et al., 2023)*.

Importantly, our simulations showed that nanoclustering may be an effective way to

sequester DAT in a dense domain where uptake overpowers diffusion and as a result brings

down effective uptake speed through microdomain DA depletion. This is in line with

evidence that these DAT nanoclusters are enriched in phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate

(PIP2), and that metabolism of PIP2 decreases uptake rate of DAT *(Lycas et al., 2022)*;

Carvelli, 2002 #2339}. We also found that the nanoclustering phenomenon was considerably

more prevalent in VS than in DS. Taken together, these data point to DAT nanoclustering as

a way to shape tonic levels of DA in the striatum – particularly in the VS.

Our incorporation of receptor binding was inspired by previous data *(Dreyer et al.,*

2010; Dreyer & Hounsgaard, 2013; Hunger et al, 2020). Dreyer & colleagues assumed

instantaneous equilibrium between extracellular DA and receptor occupation while Hunger

& colleagues based their kinetics on early pharmacological studies *(Burt et al, 1976; Maeno,*

1982; Nishikori et al, 1980; Sano et al, 1979). However, we based our receptor kinetics on

newer pharmacological experiments in live cells *(Agren et al., 2021)* and properties of the

recently developed DA receptor-based biosensors *(Labouesse & Patriarchi, 2021)*. Indeed,

these sensors are mutated receptors but only on the intracellular domains with no changes

of the binding site *(Labouesse & Patriarchi, 2021)*. Overall, they exhibit kinetics that are well

aligned with both modelled and experimentally reported extracellular DA dynamics using

non-biosensor-based methods *(Atcherley et al., 2015; Gonon et al., 2000; Venton et al,*

2002).

The presented simulations suggested that receptor binding was largely invariant to

single release events during pacemaker activity, while bursts of activity rapidly changed

occupancy. Both D1R and D2R immediately responded to burst onset; however, while D1R

occupancy rapidly declined to zero within approximately 50 ms, the slow D2R kinetics

resulted in an occupancy decline over ~5 seconds, returning to the baseline maintained by

tonic firing. This means that D1R is better suited to discriminate inputs in rapid succession

and allow for postsynaptic decoding of the fast-paced in vivo dynamics described in

particularly for DS *(Ejdrup et al., 2023; Jorgensen et al., 2023; Markowitz et al., 2023)*. By

contrast, our analysis shows that D2Rs integrate DA signals over several seconds. As D1R

occupancy is negligible during pacemaker activity and D2R kinetics are too slow to pick up

rapid changes in DA concentration, our simulations moreover suggest pauses in firing of less

than 1 second is not an effective way of signalling for the striatal dopaminergic system.

In conclusion, we have developed a three-dimensional model for DA release

dynamics and receptor binding that integrates a wealth of experimentally determined

parameters and generates responses to electrical and pharmacological input that fits

robustly with literature observations. The model offers an important theoretical framework

and a predictive tool that can serve as the basis for future experimental endeavours and

help guide the interpretation of new as well as older empirical findings on DA signalling

dynamics under both physiological conditions and in disease.

Methods

Three-dimensional finite difference model

DA release sites in the striatum were simulated at a density of one site per 25 μm^3 in DS and

one site per 27.8 μm^3 in VS (except for Figure 4b and c). As a single medium spiny neuron in

the striatum is estimated to be influenced by axons from 95 to 194 dopaminergic neurons

(Matsuda et al, 2009), we simulated DA release sites as originating from 150 separate cell

bodies. These were set to fire independently from each other at 4 Hz generated by a Poisson

distribution. We set the release probability in response to an action potential at the

individual terminal levels to 6% *(Dreyer et al., 2010)*, and if a release occurred the DA

concentration of the voxel was elevated by the equivalent of 3000 DA molecules as per

Equation 1. The volume of each voxel was corrected for an extracellular volume fraction of

0.21 *(Rice & Nicholson, 1991)*.

We did not incorporate paired-pulse depression in our model as most in vivo FSCV

studies have not reported this phenomenon *(Chergui et al, 1994; Garris & Wightman, 1994;*

Hamid et al, 2016; May & Wightman, 1989). In addition, we could explain the gradual blunt

of the response during prolonged stimulation (Figure 2E) as the result of an equilibrium

between release and uptake rather than a depression in release. Newer in vivo biosensor-

based studies observe the same "blunting" phenomenon during stimulation trains *(Mohebi*

et al, 2023). A low initial release probability that scales well with frequency has also been

reported for VMAT2-positive release sites in dopaminergic cultures where the axons are not

severed from their cell bodies as in acute striatal slices *(Silm et al, 2019)*. Note that we did

not explicitly model autoreceptor inhibition at pacemaker activity. However, this value

should be implicitly reflected in the in vivo estimates we base our model on.

When simulating bursts or trains of stimulations, action potentials were added as

described for each simulation, but release probability was kept the same unless otherwise

noted. Uptake was evenly distributed throughout the simulation space with a V_{max} at 6 μm s^{-}

^{1} for DS and 2 μm s^{-1} for VS (see Table S2) and a K_m at 210 nM *(Hovde et al, 2019)* and

calculated for each voxel as per Equation 2. For each time step, *dt*, diffusion calculated with

a finite difference implementation of the Laplacian operator described in Equation 3 using a

diffusion coefficient corrected for tortuosity at 321.7 μm^2 s^{-1}. Periodic boundaries were used

to avoid edge issues with a simulation size of 50x50x50 μm unless otherwise noted. The

Python-based implementation of the model can be found as described in the Code and Data

Availability section.

Immunohistochemistry analysis

Analysed widefield images were obtained from *(Sorensen et al., 2021)*. The mouse striatum

slices were stained for either DAT or VMAT2 (see Sørensen et al., 2021 for slicing, fixation,

staining and imaging protocol *(Sorensen et al., 2021)*. Fluorescence intensities were

extracted in a single dorsoventral line parallel to the midline through the horizontally centre

of the anterior commissure using ImageJ v1.53q. for each slice. Data was vertically centred

around the anterior commissure. No background subtraction was performed.

FSCV modelling

FSCV recordings were modelled by convoluting a simulated DA response to a pseudo FSCV

trace. The impulse function used was as described in *(Venton et al., 2002)*. In brief, the

impulse function was defined as $\text{IF}_{FSCV} = e^{-(t+1)(k_{-1}*t_s+k_{-2}*t_o)}$, where t is time, t_s is scan

time, t_o is oxidization time, and k_{-1} and k_{-2} desorption constants. t_s was set to 100 ms as

reported in *(May & Wightman, 1989)* and t_o to 4 ms, which is half of the typically reported

symmetrical waveform length. The desorption constants (k_{-1} = 1.2 s^{-1} and k_{-2} = 12 s^{-1}) were

taken directly from *(Venton et al., 2002)*.

Nanocluster uptake modelling

Nanoclustering of DAT was simulated in Python. An ellipsoid-shaped varicosity of 1.5 μm in

length and 800 nm in width was modelled as a flat, square surface with a width of 1.8 μm to

match the total surface area *(Lycas et al., 2022; Rahbek-Clemmensen et al., 2017)*. As 9-

16.4% of terminals in the striatum are estimated to be dopaminergic*(Hokfelt, 1968;*

Tennyson et al., 1974), we set the height of the simulation space to 3.52 μm to generate an

extracellular volume seven times the size of the varicosity. Axons and cell bodies are likely a

non-negligible part of the striatal volume but omitted in this analysis due to a lack of

information in the literature (however, decreasing the share of striatal space taken up by DA

varicosities works in the direction of our hypothesis). Spatial granularity was set to 20 nm,

and we randomly placed eight non-overlapping locations for clusters on the simulated

varicosity. Uptake capacity per voxel for each clustering scenario was set so the mean

uptake of the entire space was 4 μm s^{-1}, which resulted in clusters with increased DAT

density with decreasing cluster size. We set the initial DA concentration of the entire space

to 100 nM and ran the simulation with a time step of 1.875e^{-7} s to avoid numerical

instability. Diffusion corrected for tortuosity was set to 321.7 μm^2 s^{-1} and calculated with a

Laplacian operation as described in Equation 3. Periodic boundaries were used to account

for the varicosity being circular and avoid issues at the edge of the simulation space. The full

implementation in Python can be found as described in the Code and Data Availability

section.

Super-resolution clustering analysis

Super-resolved fluorescence microscopy dual color images from *(Sorensen et al., 2021)* of

striatal brain slices from mice were analyzed based on their position in the dorsal or ventral

regions (Figure 4J). Localizations were fitted using DAOSTORM *(Babcock et al, 2012)* on the

originally acquired direct stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (dSTORM) videos

with the following settings: background sigma of 8, maximum likelihood estimation as the

fitting error model, 20 peak identification iterations, an initial sigma estimate of 1.5 and a

threshold adjusted to each imaging session. Each image was analysed for percentage of

localizations within a cluster using density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise

(DBSCAN) *(Ester et al, 1996)* using the Python package Scikit-learn 0.22 *(Pedregosa et al,*

2021). To uncover broader trends, we scanned across a range of parameters: radius was run

from 10 nm to 200 nm and number of localizations from 10 to 200. For Figure 4M a

diameter of 80 nm was chosen to match the size reported in *(Rahbek-Clemmensen et al.,*

2017).

Statistical Analysis

579   The statistical analyses performed can be found in the legends of each figure. Statistical

580   analyses were performed using open-source python packages SciPy v1.5.2 and NumPy

581   v1.18.1. Boxplots show the 25th and 75th percentile range and whiskers indicate up to 1.5

582   times the interquartile range. The remaining data points are plotted as individual outliers.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Kenneth L. Madsen, University of Copenhagen for general input on our modelling and Dr. Nicolas Tritsch, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, for a fruitful discussion on the postsynaptic receptor properties and occupation.

Additional Information

Competing Interest

Authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

The work was supported by the Lundbeck Foundation grants R266-2017-4331 (UG), R276-2018-792 (UG), R359-2020-2301 (UG), R181-2014-3090 (FH) and R303-2018-3540 (FH).

Author Contributions

ALE, FH and UG conceptualized the study. ALE built the model with help from JKD. ALE ran the simulations. MDL and SHJ provided technical input on experimental data. ALE drafted the manuscript. ALE, FH and UG wrote the final version of the manuscript with comments and suggestions from JKD, TWR and JWD. All authors critically evaluated the manuscript. FH and UG supervised the project.

Additional files

Supplementary file

Supplementary Text

Figures. S1 to S5

Tables S1 and S2

Data Availability

Numerical implementation and code to run the simulations are available at:

https://github.com/GetherLab/striatal-dopamine-modelling. All experimental data are from

Ejdrup & Wellbourne-Wood et al. *(Ejdrup et al., 2023)*, 2023 or Sørensen et al., 2021

(Sorensen et al., 2021). Corresponding authors on these papers should be consulted for data

availability.

616
617

References

Agnati LF, Bjelke B, Fuxe K. 1995. Volume versus wiring transmission in the brain: a new theoretical frame for neuropsychopharmacology. *Med Res Rev* **15**: 33-45. 10.1002/med.2610150104

Agren R, Stepniewski TM, Zeberg H, Selent J, Sahlholm K. 2021. Dopamine D(2) Receptor Agonist Binding Kinetics-Role of a Conserved Serine Residue. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**10.3390/ijms22084078

Atcherley CW, Laude ND, Monroe EB, Wood KM, Hashemi P, Heien ML. 2015. Improved Calibration of Voltammetric Sensors for Studying Pharmacological Effects on Dopamine Transporter Kinetics in Vivo. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **6**: 1509-1516. 10.1021/cn500020s

Babcock H, Sigal YM, Zhuang X. 2012. A high-density 3D localization algorithm for stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Opt Nanoscopy* **1**10.1186/2192-2853-1-6

Berke JD. 2018. What does dopamine mean? *Nat Neurosci* **21**: 787-793. 10.1038/s41593-018-0152-y

Beyene AG, McFarlane IR, Pinals RL, Landry MP. 2017. Stochastic Simulation of Dopamine Neuromodulation for Implementation of Fluorescent Neurochemical Probes in the Striatal Extracellular Space. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **8**: 2275-2289. 10.1021/acschemneuro.7b00193

Block ER, Nuttle J, Balcita-Pedicino JJ, Caltagarone J, Watkins SC, Sesack SR, Sorkin A. 2015. Brain Region-Specific Trafficking of the Dopamine Transporter. *J Neurosci* **35**: 12845-12858. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1391-15.2015

Borroto-Escuela DO, Perez De La Mora M, Manger P, Narvaez M, Beggiato S, Crespo-Ramirez M, Navarro G, Wydra K, Diaz-Cabiale Z, Rivera A *et al*. 2018. Brain Dopamine Transmission in Health and Parkinson's Disease: Modulation of Synaptic Transmission and Plasticity Through Volume Transmission and Dopamine Heteroreceptors. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* **10**: 20. 10.3389/fnsyn.2018.00020

Brimblecombe KR, Vietti-Michelina S, Platt NJ, Kastli R, Hnieno A, Gracie CJ, Cragg SJ. 2019. Calbindin-D28K Limits Dopamine Release in Ventral but Not Dorsal Striatum by Regulating Ca(2+) Availability and Dopamine Transporter Function. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **10**: 3419-3426. 10.1021/acschemneuro.9b00325

Brown HD, McCutcheon JE, Cone JJ, Ragozzino ME, Roitman MF. 2011. Primary food reward and reward-predictive stimuli evoke different patterns of phasic dopamine signaling throughout the striatum. *Eur J Neurosci* **34**: 1997-2006. 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2011.07914.x

Burt DR, Creese I, Snyder SH. 1976. Properties of [3H]haloperidol and [3H]dopamine binding associated with dopamine receptors in calf brain membranes. *Mol Pharmacol* **12**: 800-812.

Carboni E, Spielewoy C, Vacca C, Nosten-Bertrand M, Giros B, Di Chiara G. 2001. Cocaine and amphetamine increase extracellular dopamine in the nucleus accumbens of mice lacking the dopamine transporter gene. *J Neurosci* **21**: RC141: 141-144. 20015177 [pii]

Cardozo DL, Bean BP. 1995. Voltage-dependent calcium channels in rat midbrain dopamine
neurons: modulation by dopamine and GABAB receptors. *J Neurophysiol* **74**: 1137-1148.
10.1152/jn.1995.74.3.1137

Chefer VI, Thompson AC, Zapata A, Shippenberg TS. 2009. Overview of brain microdialysis.
Curr Protoc Neurosci **Chapter 7**: Unit7 1. 10.1002/0471142301.ns0701s47

Chergui K, Suaud-Chagny MF, Gonon F. 1994. Nonlinear relationship between impulse flow,
dopamine release and dopamine elimination in the rat brain in vivo. *Neuroscience* **62**: 641-
645. 10.1016/0306-4522(94)90465-0

Cragg SJ, Rice ME. 2004. DAncing past the DAT at a DA synapse. *Trends Neurosci* **27**: 270-277.
10.1016/j.tins.2004.03.011

Dodson PD, Dreyer JK, Jennings KA, Syed EC, Wade-Martins R, Cragg SJ, Bolam JP, Magill PJ.
2016. Representation of spontaneous movement by dopaminergic neurons is cell-type
selective and disrupted in parkinsonism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**: E2180-2188.
10.1073/pnas.1515941113

Doucet G, Descarries L, Garcia S. 1986. Quantification of the dopamine innervation in adult
rat neostriatum. *Neuroscience* **19**: 427-445. 10.1016/0306-4522(86)90272-1

Dreyer JK, Herrik KF, Berg RW, Hounsgaard JD. 2010. Influence of Phasic and Tonic Dopamine
Release on Receptor Activation. *Journal of Neuroscience* **30**: 14273-14283. Doi
10.1523/Jneurosci.1894-10.2010

Dreyer JK, Hounsgaard J. 2013. Mathematical model of dopamine autoreceptors and uptake
inhibitors and their influence on tonic and phasic dopamine signaling. *J Neurophysiol* **109**:
171-182. 10.1152/jn.00502.2012

Dreyer JK, Vander Weele CM, Lovic V, Aragona BJ. 2016. Functionally Distinct Dopamine
Signals in Nucleus Accumbens Core and Shell in the Freely Moving Rat. *J Neurosci* **36**: 98-112.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2326-15.2016

Ducrot C, Bourque MJ, Delmas CVL, Racine AS, Guadarrama Bello D, Delignat-Lavaud B,
Domenic Lycas M, Fallon A, Michaud-Tardif C, Burke Nanni S *et al*. 2021. Dopaminergic
neurons establish a distinctive axonal arbor with a majority of non-synaptic terminals. *FASEB
J* **35**: e21791. 10.1096/fj.202100201RR

Ejdrup AL, Wellbourne-Wood J, Dreyer JK, Guldhammer N, Lycas MD, Gether U, Hall BJ,
Sorensen G. 2023. Within-Mice Comparison of Microdialysis and Fiber Photometry-Recorded
Dopamine Biosensor during Amphetamine Response. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **14**: 1622-1630.
10.1021/acschemneuro.2c00817

Eriksen J, Jorgensen TN, Gether U. 2010. Regulation of dopamine transporter function by
protein-protein interactions: new discoveries and methodological challenges. *J Neurochem*
113: 27-41. 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2010.06599.x

Eriksen J, Rasmussen SG, Rasmussen TN, Vaegter CB, Cha JH, Zou MF, Newman AH, Gether U.
2009. Visualization of dopamine transporter trafficking in live neurons by use of fluorescent
cocaine analogs. *J Neurosci* **29**: 6794-6808. 29/21/6794 [pii]
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4177-08.2009

Ester M, Kriegel H-P, Sander J, Xu X (1996) A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters
in large spatial databases with noise. In: *Proc 2nd International Conference on Knowledge*
Discovery and Data Mining, pp. 226–231. AAAI Press:

Fagan RR, Kearney PJ, Sweeney CG, Luethi D, Schoot Uiterkamp FE, Schicker K, Alejandro BS,
O'Connor LC, Sitte HH, Melikian HE. 2020. Dopamine transporter trafficking and Rit2 GTPase:
Mechanism of action and in vivo impact. *J Biol Chem* **295**: 5229-5244.
10.1074/jbc.RA120.012628

Garris PA, Ciolkowski EL, Pastore P, Wightman RM. 1994. Efflux of dopamine from the synaptic
cleft in the nucleus accumbens of the rat brain. *J Neurosci* **14**: 6084-6093.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-10-06084.1994

Garris PA, Wightman RM. 1994. Different kinetics govern dopaminergic transmission in the
amygdala, prefrontal cortex, and striatum: an in vivo voltammetric study. *J Neurosci* **14**: 442-
450. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.14-01-00442.1994

Gonon F, Burie JB, Jaber M, Benoit-Marand M, Dumartin B, Bloch B. 2000. Geometry and
kinetics of dopaminergic transmission in the rat striatum and in mice lacking the dopamine
transporter. *Prog Brain Res* **125**: 291-302. 10.1016/S0079-6123(00)25018-8

Grace AA, Floresco SB, Goto Y, Lodge DJ. 2007. Regulation of firing of dopaminergic neurons
and control of goal-directed behaviors. *Trends Neurosci* **30**: 220-227.
10.1016/j.tins.2007.03.003

Hamid AA, Pettibone JR, Mabrouk OS, Hetrick VL, Schmidt R, Vander Weele CM, Kennedy RT,
Aragona BJ, Berke JD. 2016. Mesolimbic dopamine signals the value of work. *Nat Neurosci* **19**:
117-126. 10.1038/nn.4173

Hokfelt T. 1968. In vitro studies on central and peripheral monoamine neurons at the
ultrastructural level. *Z Zellforsch Mikrosk Anat* **91**: 1-74. 10.1007/BF00336984

Hovde MJ, Larson GH, Vaughan RA, Foster JD. 2019. Model systems for analysis of dopamine
transporter function and regulation. *Neurochem Int* **123**: 13-21.
10.1016/j.neuint.2018.08.015

Howe MW, Tierney PL, Sandberg SG, Phillips PE, Graybiel AM. 2013. Prolonged dopamine
signalling in striatum signals proximity and value of distant rewards. *Nature* **500**: 575-579.
10.1038/nature12475

Hunger L, Kumar A, Schmidt R. 2020. Abundance Compensates Kinetics: Similar Effect of
Dopamine Signals on D1 and D2 Receptor Populations. *J Neurosci* **40**: 2868-2881.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1951-19.2019

Jones SR, Gainetdinov RR, Jaber M, Giros B, Wightman RM, Caron MG. 1998. Profound
neuronal plasticity in response to inactivation of the dopamine transporter. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **95**: 4029-4034.

Jorgensen SH, Ejdrup AL, Lycas MD, Posselt LP, Madsen KL, Tian L, Dreyer JK, Herborg F,
Sorensen AT, Gether U. 2023. Behavioral encoding across timescales by region-specific
dopamine dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**: e2215230120. 10.1073/pnas.2215230120

Kearney PJ, Bolden NC, Kahuno E, Conklin TL, Martin GE, Lubec G, Melikian HE. 2023.
Presynaptic Gq-coupled receptors drive biphasic dopamine transporter trafficking that
modulates dopamine clearance and motor function. *J Biol Chem* **299**: 102900.
10.1016/j.jbc.2023.102900

Klaus A, Alves da Silva J, Costa RM. 2019. What, If, and When to Move: Basal Ganglia Circuits
and Self-Paced Action Initiation. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **42**: 459-483. 10.1146/annurev-neuro-
072116-031033

Kristensen AS, Andersen J, Jorgensen TN, Sorensen L, Eriksen J, Loland CJ, Stromgaard K,
Gether U. 2011. SLC6 neurotransmitter transporters: structure, function, and regulation.
Pharmacol Rev **63**: 585-640. 10.1124/pr.108.000869

Kuczenski R, Segal DS. 1992. Differential effects of amphetamine and dopamine uptake
blockers (cocaine, nomifensine) on caudate and accumbens dialysate dopamine and 3-
methoxytyramine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **262**: 1085-1094.

Labouesse MA, Patriarchi T. 2021. A versatile GPCR toolkit to track in vivo neuromodulation:
not a one-size-fits-all sensor. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **46**: 2043-2047. 10.1038/s41386-
021-00982-y

Lee SJ, Lodder B, Chen Y, Patriarchi T, Tian L, Sabatini BL. 2020. Cell-type-specific
asynchronous modulation of PKA by dopamine in learning. *Nature*10.1038/s41586-020-
03050-5

Liu C, Goel P, Kaeser PS. 2021. Spatial and temporal scales of dopamine transmission. *Nat Rev*
Neurosci **22**: 345-358. 10.1038/s41583-021-00455-7

Liu C, Kershberg L, Wang J, Schneeberger S, Kaeser PS. 2018. Dopamine Secretion Is Mediated
by Sparse Active Zone-like Release Sites. *Cell* **172**: 706-718 e715. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.008

Lycas MD, Ejdrup AL, Sorensen AT, Haahr NO, Jorgensen SH, Guthrie DA, Stoier JF, Werner C,
Newman AH, Sauer M *et al*. 2022. Nanoscopic dopamine transporter distribution and
conformation are inversely regulated by excitatory drive and D2 autoreceptor activity. *Cell*
Rep **40**: 111431. 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111431

Maeno H. 1982. Dopamine receptors in canine caudate nucleus. *Mol Cell Biochem* **43**: 65-80.
10.1007/BF00423094

Maisonneuve IM, Glick SD. 1992. Interactions between ibogaine and cocaine in rats: in vivo microdialysis and motor behavior. *Eur J Pharmacol* **212**: 263-266. 10.1016/0014-2999(92)90340-a

Markowitz JE, Gillis WF, Jay M, Wood J, Harris RW, Cieszkowski R, Scott R, Brann D, Koveal D, Kula T *et al*. 2023. Spontaneous behaviour is structured by reinforcement without explicit reward. *Nature* **614**: 108-117. 10.1038/s41586-022-05611-2

Matsuda W, Furuta T, Nakamura KC, Hioki H, Fujiyama F, Arai R, Kaneko T. 2009. Single nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons form widely spread and highly dense axonal arborizations in the neostriatum. *J Neurosci* **29**: 444-453. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4029-08.2009

May LJ, Wightman RM. 1989. Effects of D-2 antagonists on frequency-dependent stimulated dopamine overflow in nucleus accumbens and caudate-putamen. *J Neurochem* **53**: 898-906. 10.1111/j.1471-4159.1989.tb11789.x

Mohebi A, Collins VL, Berke JD. 2023. Accumbens cholinergic interneurons dynamically promote dopamine release and enable motivation. *Elife* **12**10.7554/eLife.85011

Mohebi A, Wei W, Pelattini L, Kim K, Berke JD. 2024. Dopamine transients follow a striatal gradient of reward time horizons. *Nat Neurosci* **27**: 737-746. 10.1038/s41593-023-01566-3

Nicholson C. 1985. Diffusion from an injected volume of a substance in brain tissue with arbitrary volume fraction and tortuosity. *Brain Res* **333**: 325-329. 10.1016/0006-8993(85)91586-0

Nicholson C. 1995. Interaction between diffusion and Michaelis-Menten uptake of dopamine after iontophoresis in striatum. *Biophys J* **68**: 1699-1715. 10.1016/S0006-3495(95)80348-6

Nishikori K, Noshiro O, Sano K, Maeno H. 1980. Characterization, solubilization, and separation of two distinct dopamine receptors in canine caudate nucleus. *J Biol Chem* **255**: 10909-10915.

Niv Y, Daw ND, Joel D, Dayan P. 2007. Tonic dopamine: opportunity costs and the control of response vigor. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* **191**: 507-520. 10.1007/s00213-006-0502-4

Olson L, Seiger A, Fuxe K. 1972. Heterogeneity of striatal and limbic dopamine innervation: highly fluorescent islands in developing and adult rats. *Brain Res* **44**: 283-288. 10.1016/0006-8993(72)90385-x

Paladini CA, Robinson S, Morikawa H, Williams JT, Palmiter RD. 2003. Dopamine controls the firing pattern of dopamine neurons via a network feedback mechanism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**: 2866-2871. 10.1073/pnas.0138018100

Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel o, Blondel M, Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V *et al*. 2021. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **12**: 2825–2830

Pereira DB, Schmitz Y, Meszaros J, Merchant P, Hu G, Li S, Henke A, Lizardi-Ortiz JE, Karpowicz
RJ, Jr., Morgenstern TJ *et al*. 2016. Fluorescent false neurotransmitter reveals functionally
silent dopamine vesicle clusters in the striatum. *Nat Neurosci* **19**: 578-586. 10.1038/nn.4252

Pothos EN, Davila V, Sulzer D. 1998. Presynaptic recording of quanta from midbrain dopamine
neurons and modulation of the quantal size. *J Neurosci* **18**: 4106-4118.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-11-04106.1998

Rahbek-Clemmensen T, Lycas MD, Erlendsson S, Eriksen J, Apuschkin M, Vilhardt F, Jorgensen
TN, Hansen FH, Gether U. 2017. Super-resolution microscopy reveals functional organization
of dopamine transporters into cholesterol and neuronal activity-dependent nanodomains.
Nat Commun **8**: 740. 10.1038/s41467-017-00790-3

Rice ME, Nicholson C. 1991. Diffusion characteristics and extracellular volume fraction during
normoxia and hypoxia in slices of rat neostriatum. *J Neurophysiol* **65**: 264-272.
10.1152/jn.1991.65.2.264

Richards TL, Zahniser NR. 2009. Rapid substrate-induced down-regulation in function and
surface localization of dopamine transporters: rat dorsal striatum versus nucleus accumbens.
J Neurochem **108**: 1575-1584. 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2009.05910.x

Salinas AG, Lee JO, Augustin SM, Zhang S, Patriarchi T, Tian L, Morales M, Mateo Y, Lovinger
DM. 2023. Distinct sub-second dopamine signaling in dorsolateral striatum measured by a
genetically-encoded fluorescent sensor. *Nat Commun* **14**: 5915. 10.1038/s41467-023-41581-
3

Sano K, Noshiro O, Katsuda K, Nishikori K, Maeno H. 1979. Dopamine receptors and
dopamine-sensitive adenylate cyclase in canine caudate nucleus. Characterization and
solubilization. *Biochem Pharmacol* **28**: 3617-3627. 10.1016/0006-2952(79)90408-8

Schultz W. 2007. Multiple dopamine functions at different time courses. *Annu Rev Neurosci*
30: 259-288. 10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135722

Shen HW, Hagino Y, Kobayashi H, Shinohara-Tanaka K, Ikeda K, Yamamoto H, Yamamoto T,
Lesch KP, Murphy DL, Hall FS *et al*. 2004. Regional differences in extracellular dopamine and
serotonin assessed by in vivo microdialysis in mice lacking dopamine and/or serotonin
transporters. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **29**: 1790-1799. 10.1038/sj.npp.1300476

Silm K, Yang J, Marcott PF, Asensio CS, Eriksen J, Guthrie DA, Newman AH, Ford CP, Edwards
RH. 2019. Synaptic Vesicle Recycling Pathway Determines Neurotransmitter Content and
Release Properties. *Neuron* **102**: 786-800 e785. 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.03.031

Sippy T, Tritsch NX. 2023. Unraveling the dynamics of dopamine release and its actions on
target cells. *Trends Neurosci* **46**: 228-239. 10.1016/j.tins.2022.12.005

Sorensen G, Rickhag M, Leo D, Lycas MD, Ridderstrom PH, Weikop P, Lilja JH, Rifes P, Herborg
F, Woldbye D *et al*. 2021. Disruption of the PDZ domain-binding motif of the dopamine
transporter uniquely alters nanoscale distribution, dopamine homeostasis, and reward
motivation. *J Biol Chem* **297**: 101361. 10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101361

Stuber GD, Hnasko TS, Britt JP, Edwards RH, Bonci A. 2010. Dopaminergic terminals in the
nucleus accumbens but not the dorsal striatum corelease glutamate. *J Neurosci* **30**: 8229-
8233. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1754-10.2010

Staal RG, Mosharov EV, Sulzer D. 2004. Dopamine neurons release transmitter via a flickering
fusion pore. *Nat Neurosci* **7**: 341-346. 10.1038/nn1205

Sulzer D, Cragg SJ, Rice ME. 2016. Striatal dopamine neurotransmission: regulation of release
and uptake. *Basal Ganglia* **6**: 123-148. 10.1016/j.baga.2016.02.001

Sulzer D, Pothos EN. 2000. Regulation of quantal size by presynaptic mechanisms. *Rev*
Neurosci **11**: 159-212. 10.1515/revneuro.2000.11.2-3.159

Tennyson VM, Heikkila R, Mytilineou C, Cote L, Cohen G. 1974. 5-Hydroxydopamine 'tagged'
neuronal boutons in rabbit neostriatum: interrelationship between vesicles and axonal
membrane. *Brain Res* **82**: 341-348. 10.1016/0006-8993(74)90617-9

Threlfell S, Clements MA, Khodai T, Pienaar IS, Exley R, Wess J, Cragg SJ. 2010. Striatal
muscarinic receptors promote activity dependence of dopamine transmission via distinct
receptor subtypes on cholinergic interneurons in ventral versus dorsal striatum. *J Neurosci*
30: 3398-3408. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5620-09.2010

Venton BJ, Troyer KP, Wightman RM. 2002. Response times of carbon fiber microelectrodes
to dynamic changes in catecholamine concentration. *Anal Chem* **74**: 539-546.
10.1021/ac010819a

Venton BJ, Zhang H, Garris PA, Phillips PE, Sulzer D, Wightman RM. 2003. Real-time decoding
of dopamine concentration changes in the caudate-putamen during tonic and phasic firing. *J*
Neurochem **87**: 1284-1295. 10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.02109.x

Wiencke K, Horstmann A, Mathar D, Villringer A, Neumann J. 2020. Dopamine release,
diffusion and uptake: A computational model for synaptic and volume transmission. *PLoS*
Comput Biol **16**: e1008410. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1008410

Wu Q, Reith ME, Kuhar MJ, Carroll FI, Garris PA. 2001. Preferential increases in nucleus
accumbens dopamine after systemic cocaine administration are caused by unique
characteristics of dopamine neurotransmission. *J Neurosci* **21**: 6338-6347.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-16-06338.2001

Xie K, Wang N, Lin X, Wang Z, Zhao X, Fang P, Yue H, Kim J, Luo J, Cui S *et al*. 2020. Organic
electrochemical transistor arrays for real-time mapping of evoked neurotransmitter release
in vivo. *Elife* **9**10.7554/eLife.50345

Zhu S, Zhao C, Wu Y, Yang Q, Shao A, Wang T, Wu J, Yin Y, Li Y, Hou J *et al*. 2015. Identification
of a Vav2-dependent mechanism for GDNF/Ret control of mesolimbic DAT trafficking. *Nat*
Neurosci **18**: 1084-1093. 10.1038/nn.4060
871

872 **Table 1. List of variables used in simulation of the dorsal striatum.**

Variable	Abbreviation	Value	Reference
Firing rate		4 Hz	*(Paladini et al, 2003)*
Release probability		6%	*(Dreyer et al., 2010)*
DA molecules per vesicle		3000	*(Klaus et al., 2019)*
Diffusion coefficient		763 $\mu m^2\ s^{-1}$	*(Nicholson, 1995)*
Tortuosity		1.54	*(Rice & Nicholson, 1991)*
Vmax		6.0 $\mu m\ s^{-1}$	See Table S2
Km		210 nM	*(Hovde et al., 2019)*
Active terminal density	-	0.04 μm^{-3}	*(Liu et al., 2021)*
Extracellular volume fraction		0.21	*(Rice & Nicholson, 1991)*
Number of neurons in simulation space	-	150	*(Matsuda et al., 2009)*

Figure 1. Large-scale 3D model of the dorsal striatum. (**a**) Self-enveloped simulation space of
100^3 µm³ with approximately 40.000 release sites from 150 neurons. Colours of individual
release sites are not matched to neurons. (**b**) Simulation of a single release event after 5 ms
and 10 ms. Colour-coded by DA concentration. (**c**) Comparison of analytical solution and
simulation of diffusion after a single release event at three different time points. (**d**)
Representative snapshot of steady state DA dynamics at 4 Hz tonic firing with parameters
mirroring the dorsal striatum. (**e**) Cross-section of temporal dynamics for data shown in (d).
(**f**) Histogram of DA concentrations ([DA]) across the entire space in (d). (**g**) DA release during
three burst activity scenarios for all release sites in a 10x10x10 µm cube (black boxes) and
spill-over into the surrounding space. Burst simulated as increase in firing rate on top of
continued tonic firing of the surrounding space. Traces on top are average DA concentrations
for the marked cubes, with bursts schematized by coloured lines below. First image row is at
the end of the burst, second row another 100 ms after. Scale bars for traces are 200 ms and
500 nM. Scale bar for the images is 20 µm. (**h**) Peak DA concentration reached at different
distances from area with phasic activity for the three firing scenarios. (**i**) Top: representative
[DA] trace 1 µm away from a release site during pacemaker and burst activity. Bottom:
Occupancy of D1Rs and D2Rs for same site. (**j**) Effect of complete pause in firing for 1 second
on both average [DA] and D1R and D2R occupation.
897

Figure 2. Regional difference in uptake greatly impact DA dynamics. (**a**) Representative
snapshots of steady state dynamics at 4 Hz tonic firing with parameters mirroring the dorsal
(left) and ventral striatum (right). (**b**) Cross-section of temporal dynamics for data shown in
a. Bottom row show concentrations of the dashed lines in the top panels. (**c**) Normalized
density of DA concentration of simulations in (a). Thick lines are for the entire space, thin
lines are across time for five randomly sampled locations. Dashed red line is for simulation
of the ventral striatum with lowest reported innervation density in the literature. (**d**) Same
data as in (c), but for concentration percentiles. Note that even the lowest percentiles of VS
were above 10 nM in [DA]. (**e**) Convoluted model response (Figure S1c) to mimic FSCV
measurements mirroring the experimentally tested stimulation paradigm in *(May &*
Wightman, 1989) for the dorsal (left) and ventral striatum (right) (**f**) DA release during three
burst activity scenarios for all release sites in a 10x10x10 μm cube (black boxes) and spill-
over into the surrounding space. Burst simulated as increase in firing rate on top of
continued tonic firing of the surrounding space. Traces on top are average DA
concentrations for the marked cubes, with bursts schematized by coloured lines below. First
image row is at the end of the burst, second row another 100 ms after. Scale bars for traces
are 200 ms and 500 nM. Scale bar for the images is 20 μm. (**g**) Top: representative [DA]
trace 1 μm away from a release site during pacemaker and burst activity. Bottom:
Occupancy of D1Rs and D2Rs for same site. Occupancy data from corresponding DS
simulation on Figure 1k shown in as dotted line. (**h**) Peak occupancy at different distances
from the area bursting normalized to maximal and minimum occupancy.

923
924

926
Figure 3. Sensitivity of the model to parameter changes. (**a**) Schematic of the fraction of
active release sites. Black dots are inactive sites and green dots indicate actively releasing
sites. (**b**) Effect of changing fraction of active release sites on DA concentrations. Blue line,
DS peak DA concentration (99.5th percentile); Red line, VS peak DA concentration (99.5th
percentile); Dotted blue line, DS tonic DA concentration (50th percentile); Dotted red line, VS
tonic DA concentration (50th percentile). (**c**) Ratio between peak (99.5th percentile) and tonic
(50th percentile) concentrations across fractions of active release sites in the DS (blue line)
and VS (red line) as a measure of DA signal focality. (**d**) Schematic of changing quantal size
(Q). (**e**) Effect of changing quantal size on tonic and peak DA concentrations in DS (blue
lines) and VS (red lines). (**f**) Ratio between peak and tonic concentrations across various
quantal sizes in in DS (blue line) and VS (red line). (**g**) Relative difference between the DS
and VS for peak (black line) and tonic DA (dotted line) at different quantal sizes. (**h**)
Schematic of changing DAT K_m. (**i**) Effect of changing DAT K_m on DA concentrations in DS
(blue lines) and VS (red lines). **j,** Schematic of changing DAT V_{max}. (**k**) Effect of changing DAT
V_{max} on DA concentrations. Shaded areas are median V_{max} of the two regions (DS and VS) as
found in the literature shown in Table S2 ± 50%. (**l**) Effect of changing DAT V_{max}, with tonic
(50th percentile) and peak (99.5th percentile) DA concentrations normalized to their value at
2 μm s^{-1} (median value for VS). Shaded area indicates median V_{max} for VS found in the
literature shown in Table S2 ± 50%.
946

Figure 4. DAT nanoclustering reduces uptake and shows regional variation. (**a**) Schematic of dense DA cluster. White dots represent individual DAT molecules, and colour gradient the surrounding DA concentration. (**b**) Effective transport rate dependent on local concentration. (**c**) Top view of unfolded DA varicosity. Black shapes denote clusters of DAT. Dashed white line indicates placement of cross-section shown in (d). (**d**) Cross section showing DA concentration in space surrounding varicosity unfolded in c. Grey line at the bottom is surface of the varicosity. Colour-coded for DA concentration. (**e**) Top view from (c), but colour coded for DA concentration immediately above membrane surface at different DAT cluster sizes. (**f**) Mean [DA] over time for different DAT cluster sizes and unclustered (Un.). (**g**) Time to reach a mean DA concentration of 10 nM for different DAT cluster sizes and unclustered (Un.). (**h**) Difference between DA concentration at the centre of clusters and mean concentration throughout the simulation for different cluster sizes and unclustered (Un.). (**i**) Concentrations across a cross section of a surface with 80 nm diameter clusters. Shaded areas highlight cluster locations. (**j**) Location of images of the dorsal (DS) and ventral striatum (VS) in striatal slices from mice as imaged in *(Sorensen et al., 2021)* with direct stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (dSTORM). (**k**) Two representative DA varicosities from DS and VS with VMAT2 in white and DAT in magenta. Images are 1.5x2 µm. (**l**) Individual DAT localizations from images in (k) coloured by clustering. Black indicates localization identified as clustered based on DBSCAN with parameters 80 nm diameter and 40 localizations. Grey indicates unclustered localizations. (**m**) Quantification of clustering across all images in (j) with parameters in (l). Welch's two-sample t-test, P = 0.012(*), n = 12 (DS) and 13 (VS). (**n**) Absolute difference in percentage of clustering as assessed with

970   DBSCAN across a range of parameters. VS has a higher propensity to cluster across cluster
971   sizes typically reported for DAT clusters.