The functional roles of zebrafish *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters in the pectoral fin development

Mizuki Ishizaka[a,#]**, Hidemichi Nakazawa**[a,#]**, Akiteru Maeno**[b]**, Haruna Kanno**[a]**,**

Renka Fujii[a]**, Taisei Tani**[a]**, Sae Oikawa**[a]**, Rina Koita**[a]**, and Akinori Kawamura**[a,*]

[a] Division of Life Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University, Shimo-Okubo 255, Sakura-ku, Saitama 338-8570, Japan

[b] Cell Architecture Laboratory, National Institute of Genetics, Yata 1111, Mishima, Shizuoka 411-8540, Japan.

[#] These authors equally contribute to this work.

[*] Author to whom correspondence should be addressed:

Akinori Kawamura, Ph.D.

Division of Life Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University, Saitama 338-8570, Japan

Phone: +81-48-858-3808

E-mail: akawamur@mail.saitama-u.ac.jp

Keywords: pectoral fin; *Hox* genes; zebrafish; X-ray CT scan

Summary

The paralogs 9-13 *Hox* genes in mouse *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters are critical for limb development. When both *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters are deleted in mice, significant limb truncation is observed compared to the phenotypes of single and compound mutants of *Hox9-13* genes in these clusters. In zebrafish, mutations in *hox13* genes in *HoxA-* and *HoxD*-related clusters result in abnormal morphology of pectoral fins which are homologous to forelimbs. However, the effect of the simultaneous deletions of entire *HoxA-* and *HoxD*-related clusters on zebrafish pectoral fin development remains unknown. Here, we generated mutants with several combinations of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* cluster deletions and analyzed the pectoral fin development. In the triple homozygous mutants, we find that the endoskeletal disc and fin fold are significantly shortened in developing pectoral fins. In addition, we show that this anomaly is due to defects in the pectoral fin growth after the fin bud formation. Furthermore, in the surviving adult mutants, micro-CT scanning reveals a defect in the posterior portion of the pectoral fin which is thought to represent latent regions of the limb. Our results further support that the functional role of *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters is conserved in the paired appendage formation in bony fishes.

Introduction

In the development of bilaterian animals, *Hox* genes, which encode homeodomain transcription factors, play a crucial role in determining the positional identities along the body axis. *Hox* genes are typically organized in clusters known as *Hox* clusters. The order of the *Hox* genes within a cluster corresponds to their collinear expression patterns in developing embryos along the body axis[1-3]. During the early stages of vertebrate evolution, a single primitive *Hox* cluster, consisting of 1–13 paralogous groups, underwent two rounds of whole-genome duplication, resulting in quadruplication[4,5]. As a result, mice now possess four *Hox* clusters known as *HoxA*, *HoxB*, *HoxC*, and *HoxD*, each located on different chromosomes.

Loss-of-function studies using knockout mice have revealed that *Hox* genes play important roles in vertebrate development[6,7]. Specifically, the 9-13 paralogs of *Hox* genes in *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters, which all correspond to homologous to the *Drosophila Abd-B* homeotic gene, have been shown to cooperatively contribute to limb development[8-15]. In mouse and chick, it has been observed that *Hoxa9* to *Hoxa13* and *Hoxd9* to *Hoxd13* genes exhibit nested and collinear expression domains in the mesenchymal cells of developing limbs[1,6,16]. This occurs through the successive activation of these *Hox* genes according to their positional order in *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters. Furthermore, knockout mice for *Hoxa* and *Hoxd* genes have been generated, providing insights into the roles of these *Hoxa* and *Hoxd* genes in providing the regional identities of each segment of the limbs[8-15]. For instance, mice lacking *Hoxa9* and *Hoxd9* exhibit abnormalities in the stylopod[12], which is the bone on the proximal side of the limb. Similarly, mice lacking *Hoxa13* and *Hoxd13* show defects in the autopad[13], which

is the bone on the distal side of the limb. Moreover, the simultaneous deletion of both

the entire regions of *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters leads to severe truncation of forelimbs,

particularly distal elements, in mice[14].

Zebrafish possess seven *hox* clusters that were further duplicated through

teleost-specific whole-genome duplication[17]. Currently, zebrafish have two clusters

derived from *HoxA*, *hoxaa* and *hoxab*. On the other hand, only *hoxda* cluster, derived

from *HoxD*, is present, as *hoxdb* cluster has been lost, except for microRNA[17]. In the

formation of the pectoral fin, which is homologous to the forelimb, the 9-13 paralogous

hox genes in zebrafish *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters have been shown to show

similar collinear expression patterns to those of mouse and chick[18-20]. Loss-of-function

study of *hoxa13a*, *hoxa13b*, and *hoxd13a* demonstrated the severe truncation of the

pectoral fin in adult zebrafish[19]. These findings suggest that deep conserved functional

homology of the posterior *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related genes in the formation of the paired

appendages in bony fishes. However, other posterior *hox* genes besides these *hox13*

genes are also expressed during pectoral fin formation in zebrafish[18,20], and the function

of the entire *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters in pectoral fin formation remains to be

elucidated. In a previous study, we used the CRISPR-Cas9 system to generate mutants

lacking each of the seven *hox* clusters in zebrafish[21]. Among the three *HoxA*- and

HoxD-related clusters, only *hoxab* cluster deletion mutants showed shortening of the

pectoral fin during embryogenesis[21], suggesting functional redundancy among these *hox*

clusters. In this study, we generated mutants with various combinations of deletions in

hoxaa, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters and examined the role of these clusters in the pectoral

fin development and skeletal structures of pectoral fins in adult fish.

Results

Previous studies have demonstrated that mouse *Hox* genes in *HoxA* and *HoxD*

clusters cooperatively play essential roles in limb patterning[8-15]. The absence of all

HoxA and *HoxD* functions results in a significant truncation of distal limb elements[14].

To investigate the role of zebrafish *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters, which are

homologous to mouse *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters, in zebrafish development, we focused

on the pectoral fin, a homologous to tetrapod forelimb in this study. We first analyzed

the pectoral fin phenotypes of larvae at 3 dpf derived from intercrosses between triple

hemizygous mutants for *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters. In mutants lacking a single

hox cluster, consistent with our previous results, a slight shortening of the pectoral fin

was observed in *hoxab* mutants but significant abnormalities were not observed in

hoxaa or *hoxda* mutants compared to the wild type (Fig. 1A-D)[21]. Conversely, the

pectoral fin was shortened in double homozygous mutants with these cluster deletions,

especially evident in *hoxab*$^{-/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ larvae (Fig. 1E-G). Furthermore, in triple

homozygous mutants lacking all of these clusters, pectoral fins were present but

severely shortened compared to any other combination of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda*

cluster deletions (Fig. 1H). These results indicate that zebrafish *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and

hoxda clusters redundantly function in pectoral fin formation. The similar shortened

pectoral fin/forelimbs phenotype in zebrafish and mouse *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related

mutants further suggest that the roles of *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters in paired

appendage formation are conserved between zebrafish and mice.

The pectoral fin of zebrafish larvae consists mainly of the cartilaginous

endoskeletal disc and the non-cartilaginous fin-fold (Fig. 2A)[22]. To examine the

truncation of the pectoral fins in *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* cluster mutant larvae in detail,

we next compared the lengths of the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold along the

proximal-distal axis, respectively (Fig. 2A-H). Cartilage-stained pectoral fins at 5 dpf

showed that the length of the endoskeletal disc was significantly shorter in

hoxab^{-/-}*;hoxda*^{-/-} and *hoxaa*^{-/-}*;hoxab*^{-/-}*;hoxda*^{-/-} larvae, whereas the other combinations of

hoxaa, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* cluster deletions did not appear to affect the length of the

endoskeletal disc (Fig. 2I). On the other hand, the effects of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda*

cluster deletions were more pronounced with respect to the length of fin-fold (Fig. 2J).

The fin-fold in *hoxaa*^{-/-}*;hoxab*^{-/-} larvae was shortened compared to that of wild-type

larvae, whereas the differences in the length of the endoskeletal disc were not observed.

Among the three double *hox* cluster deletion mutants, *hoxab*^{-/-}*;hoxda*^{-/-} larvae were the

shortest in both the fin-fold and the endoskeletal disc. Furthermore, the fin-fold length

was shortest in *hoxaa*^{-/-}*;hoxab*^{-/-}*;hoxda*^{-/-} larvae, as was the endoskeletal disc. These

results suggest that the cooperative functions of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters:

hoxab cluster has the highest contribution to pectoral fin formation in zebrafish,

followed by *hoxda* cluster and then *hoxaa* cluster.

To gain further insight into the shortening of pectoral fins in

hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda cluster mutants, we performed whole-mount *in situ* hybridization to

examine the expression patterns of genes essential for the pectoral fin formation.

Zebrafish *tbx5a* is essential for the initial formation of the pectoral fin buds, and loss of

tbx5a function leads to the absence of the pectoral fins[23,24]. Thus, we examined the

expression patterns of *tbx5a* in 30 hpf embryos derived from the intercrosses between

triple hemizygous mutants for *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters. We found no

significant differences in the expression of *tbx5a* in embryos obtained from this

intercross. Furthermore, we confirmed by genotyping of the stained embryos that

expression patterns of *tbx5a* in *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$;*hoxab*$^{-/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ larvae appeared

indistinguishable from that of sibling wild-type embryos (Fig. 3A, B). These results

indicate the normal establishment of the pectoral fin buds even in the absence of all the

functions of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters. Therefore, we analyzed the expression of

sonic hedgehog a (*shha*), which is subsequently required for the cell proliferation of the

developing pectoral fin[25]. In zebrafish, *shha* is expressed in the posterior portion of the

fin buds in 48 hpf embryos (Fig. 3C). Consistent with shortened pectoral fins in

zebrafish *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related cluster mutants (Fig. 1, 2), reduced expression of

shha in the pectoral fin buds was observed. This was particularly evident in

hoxab$^{-/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ and *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$;*hoxab*$^{-/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ larvae, where *shha* expression in fin buds

was markedly down-regulated (Fig. 3I, J). These results suggest that the shortening of

the pectoral fin observed in zebrafish *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related cluster mutants is due to

retardation of growth or developmental arrest of the fin buds after the normal formation

of the fin buds.

Next, we investigated whether *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters contribute to

the skeletal structures of adult zebrafish pectoral fins. To do this, we raised larvae to

adult fish by multiple intercrosses between triple hemizygous mutants for *hoxaa*, *hoxab*,

and *hoxda* clusters. Our previous study demonstrated that homozygous deletion of

zebrafish *hoxab* cluster leads to lethality[21]. Consistent with the previous results, fish

with homozygous deletion in *hoxab* cluster did not survive with any combination of

deletions in *hoxaa* and *hoxda* clusters (Table 1). Furthermore, fish with homozygous

deletion of two or three clusters in *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* also did not survive.

Therefore, we analyzed the skeletal structures of the pectoral fins in

hoxaa$^{-/-}$;*hoxab*$^{+/-}$;*hoxda*$^{+/-}$ and *hoxaa*$^{+/-}$;*hoxab*$^{+/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ fish, which were the surviving

adult fish with the most of these deletion mutations by using X-ray micro-CT scanning

(Table 1).

In our wild-type adult zebrafish, the number of fin rays in the pectoral fins is

10.8 on average (n=6, three specimens were examined for left and right pectoral fins;

Fig. 4A,G, Table 2). By contrast, we found that the number of rays was slightly

decreased to an average of 9.7 in the pectoral fins of $hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ fish

(n=6; Fig. 4B,H), whereas that of $hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ fish was comparable to that

of wild-type fish (n=6; Fig. 4C,I). From the morphology of the proximal tip of the fin

rays, we observed that the anterior fin rays formed as in the wild type, whereas one or

two of the posterior fin rays were missing in $hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ fish (n=6/6,

100 % affected; Fig. 4A,G,H, Table 2). In addition, $hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ fish

generally retained the normal number of fin rays, but lost the pointed morphology of the

proximal tips of the posterior four or five fin rays (n=3/6, 50 % affected; arrowheads in

Fig. 4C). On the other hand, in the radial domains of endoskeletons supporting the fin

rays, the proximal radials are divided into four elements (pr1-pr4) along the

anteroposterior axis in wild-type fish [22] (Fig. 4A,D,G,J), although we noticed that our

wild-type zebrafish exhibited minor anomalies in the pectoral fins at low frequency by

micro-CT scanning (Fig. 4J, Table 2). However, $hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ fish

exhibited the absence of the most posteriorly located pr4 and instead showed an

enlargement of pr3 (n=5/6, 83 % affected; Fig. 4B,E, Table 2). For the remaining one

$hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ fish, pr4 was smaller and instead pr3 was observed to be

enlarged (Fig4. K). In addition, $hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ fish also showed the absence

of pr4 (n=5/6, 83 % affected; Fig. 4C,F,I,L, Table 2). Furthermore, we also observed a

pectoral fin that lacked pr3 along with the absence of pr4 (n=3/6, 50 % affected; Fig.

4I,L, Table 2). Comparing the degree of abnormalities in the pectoral fins, slightly

stronger abnormalities were observed in *hoxaa*$^{+/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{-/-}$ fish than in

hoxaa$^{-/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{+/-}$ fish. This result may reflect the high contribution of the hoxda

cluster among the three *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters. These results suggest that in

zebrafish mutants with reduced function of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters, a

pronounced structural defect was commonly observed in the posterior part of the

pectoral fins such as pr3, pr4, and the tip of the posterior fin rays. Interestingly, the

posterior part of the pectoral fin is thought to share molecular similarities with the limbs

of tetrapods[18,26-31]. Thus, the present loss-of-function study further supports the notion

that *Hox* genes in the *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters already participated in patterning the

paired appendages before the divergence of the ray-finned and lobe-finned fishes.

Discussion

In this study, we generated compound mutants with different combinations of

zebrafish *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* cluster deletions and analyzed their role in the

pectoral fin development. Combining these three *hox* cluster deletions increases early

pectoral fin development severity, suggesting that *hox* genes in *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and

hoxda clusters function cooperatively as was observed in mouse *HoxA* and *HoxD*

clusters[8-15]. In a previous study, zebrafish *hoxa13a;hoxa13b* double mutants were

generated, showing that the endoskeletal disc is almost normal but the finfold is

shortened by about 30% in the pectoral fin of larvae[19]. In this study, we showed the

pectoral fin phenotype of mutants lacking all *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters, and

both endoskeletal disc and finfold were found to be significantly shortened in

hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{-/-};hoxda^{-/-} larvae. These abnormalities in our mutants are apparently

stronger than the pectoral fin abnormalities observed in *hoxa13a;hoxa13b* double

mutants[19]. The paralogous *hox* 9-13 genes of *HoxA-* and *HoxD*-related clusters are

expressed overlappingly in the developing pectoral fin in zebrafish[18,20], strongly

suggesting that these *hox9-hox13* genes contribute to pectoral fin formation in a

coordinated manner as was observed in mice.

When comparing the phenotypes of double *hox* cluster deletions in early

pectoral fin formation, the combination of *hoxab* and *hoxda* cluster deletions causes the

most severe phenotype (Fig. 1, 2). Detailed expression pattern analysis of the

developing pectoral fin demonstrated that zebrafish *hox9-13* genes in *hoxab* and *hoxda*

clusters are overlappingly expressed in mesenchymal cells in the fin buds from early

stages. In contrast, *hox* genes in *hoxaa* cluster are not expressed early, but are expressed

late in distal mesenchymal cells in the pectoral fins[18]. These similarities of the

expression patterns support that the most severe phenotype of *hoxab^{-/-};hoxda^{-/-}* larvae in

pectoral fin formation. It is also possible that the combination of deletions in *hoxab* and

hoxda clusters is the only combination of the three types of *hox* double deletions that

cannot be functionally complemented in part because the remaining *hoxaa* cluster has

no paralogous *hox10* and *hox12* (Fig. S1).

In the absence of 19 *hox* genes of zebrafish *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters,

we observed that the expression of *tbx5a* in the pectoral fin buds was not altered (Fig.

3A, B). These results suggest that the formation of the pectoral fin buds occurs normally

but subsequent cell differentiation or cell proliferation in the pectoral fin growth is

severely impaired in *hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{-/-};hoxda^{-/-}* larvae. Consistent with this observation,

after the formation of fin buds, we found that *shha* expression in the developing pectoral

fins is significantly down-regulated in mutants lacking zebrafish *HoxA*- and

HoxD-related clusters (Fig. 3C-J). Since zebrafish *shha/syu* mutants have been shown to

exhibit substantially reduced cell proliferation in pectoral fin buds which results in the

truncation of pectoral fins[25,32], the shortening of the pectoral fins in

hoxaa$^{-/-}$;hoxab$^{-/-}$;hoxda$^{-/-}$ larvae could be primarily due to reduced *shha* expression in fin

buds. In addition, these results are similar to previous results in mice that *Shh*

expression was not detectable in the severely truncated forelimbs of *HoxA* and *HoxD*

cluster double mutant mice[14]. Therefore, our loss-of-function results suggest not only

that the functions of *Hox* genes in *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters are conserved in pectoral fin

and forelimb development, but also that the regulatory network comprising *Hox-Shh*

genes in appendage formation was already established in common ancestors of the

lobe-finned and ray-finned fishes.

In *hoxaa$^{-/-}$;hoxab$^{+/-}$;hoxda$^{+/-}$* and *hoxaa$^{+/-}$;hoxab$^{+/-}$;hoxda$^{-/-}$* fish, which survived

to adulthood with the most deletions of *hox* clusters, we detected common abnormalities

in pr4 and fin rays in the posterior portion of the pectoral fins (Fig. 4). As no apparent

abnormalities were observed in the anterior skeletons of the pectoral fins, the reduced

function of zebrafish *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters seems to preferentially influence

the posterior portion of the pectoral fins. In zebrafish, the expression of posterior *HoxA*-

and *HoxD*-related genes is progressively restricted to the posterior portion of the

developing pectoral fins, after the formation of fin buds [18-20]. Furthermore, it has been

shown that the phenotypes in which the posterior skeletons of the pectoral fin are

altered by specific mutations can be suppressed by adding further null mutations in

hoxa11a, *hoxa11b*, and *hoxd11a*[33]. Importantly, several lines of evidence have indicated

that the posterior structure of the pectoral fin has a gene network similar to that of the

limbs of tetrapods [18,26-31]. Our study demonstrates that zebrafish *hox* clusters,

homologous to *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters, which are essential for limb development in

mice, also play an important role in the specific skeletal structures of adult zebrafish

pectoral fin which are considered to be potentially homologous to the tetrapod limbs.

In this study, zebrafish three *HoxA*- and *HoxD*-related clusters were shown to

function together in zebrafish pectoral fin formation, similar to what has been observed

in mice[8-15]. While the focus of this study was on their role in pectoral fin development, it

is also possible that zebrafish *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* clusters cooperate in other

developmental processes. Interestingly, we have shown that mutants with more than two

deletions of these clusters are lethal, although the exact cause of the lethality remains

unknown. Some of the finless mutants in zebrafish can survive [32,34,35], suggesting that

pectoral fin shortening does not necessarily result in lethality and may be linked to other

developmental events related to survival. In mice, it has been shown that cooperative

functions of *HoxA* and *HoxD* clusters are essential for urogenital development [10]. This

should be clarified in the future to elucidate the roles of *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda*

clusters during zebrafish development.

Materials and methods

Zebrafish husbandry

Zebrafish (Riken WT; RW), which were provided by the National BioResource Project (NBRP) Zebrafish in Japan, were maintained at 27°C with a 14-h light/10-h dark cycle. Embryos were obtained from natural spawning and the larvae were raised at 28.5°C. Developmental stages of the embryos were determined based on days post-fertilization (dpf) and morphological features as previously described[36]. Zebrafish mutants used in this study are *hoxaa* cluster-deficient*sud111*, *hoxab* cluster-deficient*sud112*, *hoxda* cluster-deficient*sud116*, which were previously generated[21]. All the experiments using live zebrafish were approved by the Committee for Animal Care and Use of Saitama University and conducted under the regulation.

Genotyping of mutants

PCR-based genotyping of the embryos, larvae, and adult fish was carried out. Genomic DNA was extracted from the embryos or dissected tailfins using the NaOH method and used as a PCR template[37]. PCR was performed as described previously for the genotyping of *hox* cluster-deficient mutants[21]. After the reactions, the PCR products were separated by the electrophoresis in 2 % agarose gel in 0.5 x TBE buffer.

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization was performed for zebrafish embryos as previously described [38]. After staining, the tail region was dissected with a disposable scalpel (Feather). Genotyping was performed using genomic DNA extracted from the

dissected tail as described above. Stained embryos with the desired genotype were

selected and photographed under a stereomicroscope (Leica M205 FA) with a digital

camera (Leica DFC350F).

Alcian blue staining and the measurements of the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold

Zebrafish larvae at 5 dpf were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS. Alcian

blue staining under strong acid conditions makes it difficult to extract the genomic DNA

required for genotyping after staining. Thus, acid-free Alcian blue staining was

performed as described previously[39]. After Alcian blue staining, genomic DNA was

extracted from the posterior body, which was manually detached under a

stereomicroscope with forceps, and the genotype was determined by PCR as previously

described[21]. For the larvae with the desired genotype, pectoral fins were manually

dissected with forceps under a stereomicroscope, mounted in 50 % glycerol on a glass

slide (Matsunami), and photographed under a stereomicroscope (Leica M205FA). From

these images, the longest lengths of the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold along the

proximal-distal axis were measured using ImageJ software.

X-ray micro-computed tomography (CT) scanning analysis

The pectoral fin skeletons of zebrafish were analyzed by X-ray micro-CT

scanning as essentially previously described[40]. Briefly, adult zebrafish were fixed with

4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS at 4 °C overnight and transferred to 70 % ethanol. The

regions surrounding the pectoral fins were manually dissected by forceps from the

zebrafish body under the stereomicroscope. Using an X-ray micro-CT

(ScanXmate-E090S105; Comscantechno), the dissected pectoral fins were scanned at a

tube peak voltage of 85 kV and a tube current of 90 µA. The micro-CT data were

constructed using coneCTexpress software (Comscantechno) and stored as a dataset

with an isotropic resolution of 3.1-3.4 µm. Three-dimensional image analysis was

performed using OsiriX MD software (Pixmeo). Finally, movies were edited using

Adobe Premiere Pro (Adobe) and DaVinci Resolve (Blackmagic Design).

Acknowledgments

We thank the NBRP zebrafish for providing the RW strain and for preserving

zebrafish *hox* cluster-deficient lines used in this study. We also thank Koji Tamura and

Gembu Abe for providing the zebrafish *tbx5a* plasmid for *in situ* hybridization. This

work was supported by KAKENHI Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the

Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan (18K06177,

23K05790 to A.K.) and by the National Institute of Genetics under the Joint Research

and Research Meeting (NIG-JOINT) program (38A2019, 7A2020, 66A2021, 18A2022,

31A2023 to A.K.).

Author Contributions

A.K. conceived and designed the experiments, M.I., H.N., H.K., R.F., T.T.,

S.O., R.K., and A.K. performed the embryonic phenotype analysis, A.M. performed

X-ray micro-CT scan analysis and constructed the 3D-movie, A.K. wrote the manuscript.

All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Shortening of pectoral fins in *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* cluster-deficient larvae.

(A-H) Shortening of the pectoral fins in *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* cluster-deleted

mutants. Dorsal views of larvae at 3 dpf. Arrowhead indicates severely truncated

pectoral fins in *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$;*hoxab*$^{-/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ larva. Embryos were obtained from the natural

intercrosses between *hoxaa*$^{+/-}$;*hoxab*$^{+/-}$;*hoxda*$^{+/-}$ triple hemizygous mutant fish and were

incubated at 28.5°C in water containing methylene blue as an anti-fungal agent (green

signal in the otic vesicle). After photography, PCR-based genotyping was performed. At

least three individuals (*n*>3) were identified for each genotype, and the representative

larvae are shown. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Figure 2. Shortening of the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold of the pectoral fins in

***hoxaa*$^{-/-}$;*hoxab*$^{-/-}$;*hoxda*$^{-/-}$ larvae.**

(A-H) Reduction of the endoskeletal disc (ed) and fin-fold (ff) in the pectoral fins of

hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda cluster-deleted mutants. Alcian blue staining was performed to

distinguish cartilaginous cells (endoskeletal disc) and non-cartilaginous fin-fold in

larvae at 5 dpf. After the staining, the pectoral fins were manually dissected and

mounted on a glass slide. The margin of the endoskeletal disc is emphasized with a

dashed line, and the margin of the fin-fold is highlighted with a line. Proximal to the left.

Scale bar, 100 μm. The number of dissected pectoral fins for which lengths were

measured is noted in the left bottom. (I-J) Comparisons of the proximal-distal length of

the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold in *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* cluster-deleted mutants. The

Tukey-Kramer test was performed with $**P < 0.01$, $***P < 0.001$, and

$****P < 0.0001$.   Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Figure 3. Pectoral fin bud outgrowth is impaired in *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* triple

homozygous mutants.

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization was performed. Dorsal view. (A, B) Expression

patterns of *tbx5a* in wild-type siblings (*n*=5) and *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$*;hoxab*$^{-/-}$*;hoxda*$^{-/-}$ embryos

(*n*=3) at 24 hpf. (C-J) Expression patterns of *shha* in the embryos at 48 hpf which were

derived from several intercrosses between *hoxaa*$^{+/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{+/-}$ fish. Prior to

imaging, PCR-based genotyping was performed using genomic DNA extracted from the

tail of the stained embryos. At least three embryos were identified for each genotype to

confirm the reproducibility. Arrowhead indicates significantly decreased signal of *shha*

in the pectoral fin primordium of *hoxab*$^{-/-}$*;hoxda*$^{-/-}$ and *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$*;hoxab*$^{-/-}$*;hoxda*$^{-/-}$

embryos. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Figure 4. Skeletal elements in the posterior regions of the pectoral fin are

preferentially affected in *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{+/-}$ and *hoxaa*$^{+/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{-/-}$

adult fish.

Micro-CT scan analysis of the skeletal structures in zebrafish pectoral fins. The both

side of pectoral fins in wild-type (*n*=3), *hoxaa*$^{-/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{+/-}$ (*n*=3), and

hoxaa$^{+/-}$*;hoxab*$^{+/-}$*;hoxda*$^{-/-}$ (*n*=3) adult zebrafish were analyzed. The left pectoral fins of

two specimens for each genotype were shown. (A-C, G-I) The magnified views of

pectoral fin endoskeletons from the lateral side. (D-F, J-L) The magnified views of

pectoral fin endoskeletons from the opposite medial side in the same specimens shown

in A-C and G-I. Proximal radials (pr1-pr4) are indicated. The fin rays are numbered

from the anterior. The asterisk indicates the absence of the posteriorly located proximal

radials. Arrowhead indicates the loss of the proximal tip of fin rays. Anterior is to the

top. Scale bar, 500 μm.

Table 1.   Genotyping of juvenile fish derived from the intercrosses between

***hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* triple hemizygous fish.**

Embryos were obtained by the natural spawning of intercrosses between triple

hemizygous *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* fish and reared to juveniles of 1-2 months of age. Their

genotype was then determined by PCR using genomic DNA extracted from the

dissected tail fin. The expected percentage was calculated according to Mendel's law.

Table 2.   Summary of the abnormal morphology of the skeletal elements in

pectoral fins.

The left and right pectoral fins of three specimens each of wild-type,

hoxaa$^{-/-}$;hoxab$^{+/-}$;hoxda$^{+/-}$, and *hoxaa$^{+/-}$;hoxab$^{+/-}$;hoxda$^{-/-}$* mutants were analyzed by

micro-CT scanning and the abnormalities in the skeletal elements were summarized. In

the table, "-" indicates the normal morphology. For each genotype, #1 and #2 are the

individuals shown in Fig. 4.

References

1. Dolle, P., Izpisua-Belmonte, J. C., Falkenstein, H., Renucci, A. & Duboule, D. Coordinate expression of the murine Hox-5 complex homoeobox-containing genes during limb pattern formation. *Nature*. **342**, 767-772 (1989).

2. Duboule, D. & Dolle, P. The structural and functional organization of the murine HOX gene family resembles that of Drosophila homeotic genes. *EMBO J*. **8**, 1497-1505 (1989).

3. Graham, A., Papalopulu, N. & Krumlauf, R. The murine and Drosophila homeobox gene complexes have common features of organization and expression. *Cell*. **57**, 367-378 (1989).

4. Dehal, P. & Boore, J. L. Two rounds of whole genome duplication in the ancestral vertebrate. *PLoS Biol*. **3**, e314 (2005).

5. Ohno, S. Evolution by gene duplication (1970).

6. Izpisua-Belmonte, J. C. & Duboule, D. Homeobox genes and pattern formation in the vertebrate limb. *Dev Biol*. **152**, 26-36 (1992).

7. Krumlauf, R. Hox genes in vertebrate development. *Cell*. **78**, 191-201 (1994).

8. Boulet, A. M. & Capecchi, M. R. Multiple roles of Hoxa11 and Hoxd11 in the formation of the mammalian forelimb zeugopod. *Development*. **131**, 299-309 (2004).

9. Davis, A. P. & Capecchi, M. R. Axial homeosis and appendicular skeleton defects in mice with a targeted disruption of hoxd-11. *Development*. **120**, 2187-2198 (1994).

10. Davis, A. P., Witte, D. P., Hsieh-Li, H. M., Potter, S. S. & Capecchi, M. R. Absence of radius and ulna in mice lacking hoxa-11 and hoxd-11. *Nature*. **375**, 791-795 (1995).

11. Dolle, P. *et al.* Disruption of the Hoxd-13 gene induces localized heterochrony leading to mice with neotenic limbs. *Cell*. **75**, 431-441 (1993).

12. Fromental-Ramain, C. *et al.* Specific and redundant functions of the paralogous Hoxa-9 and Hoxd-9 genes in forelimb and axial skeleton patterning. *Development*. **122**, 461-472 (1996).

13. Fromental-Ramain, C. *et al.* Hoxa-13 and Hoxd-13 play a crucial role in the patterning of the limb autopod. *Development*. **122**, 2997-3011 (1996).

14. Kmita, M. *et al.* Early developmental arrest of mammalian limbs lacking HoxA/HoxD gene function. *Nature*. **435**, 1113-1116 (2005).

15.   Small, K. M. & Potter, S. S. Homeotic transformations and limb defects in Hox A11

mutant mice. *Genes Dev*. **7**, 2318-2328 (1993).

16.   Nelson, C. E. *et al.* Analysis of Hox gene expression in the chick limb bud.

Development. **122**, 1449-1466 (1996).

17.   Amores, A. *et al.* Zebrafish hox clusters and vertebrate genome evolution. *Science*. **282**,

1711-1714 (1998).

18.   Ahn, D. & Ho, R. K. Tri-phasic expression of posterior Hox genes during development

of pectoral fins in zebrafish: implications for the evolution of vertebrate paired

appendages. *Dev Biol*. **322**, 220-233 (2008).

19.   Nakamura, T., Gehrke, A. R., Lemberg, J., Szymaszek, J. & Shubin, N. H. Digits and

fin rays share common developmental histories. *Nature*. **537**, 225-228 (2016).

20.   Sordino, P., van der Hoeven, F. & Duboule, D. Hox gene expression in teleost fins and

the origin of vertebrate digits. *Nature*. **375**, 678-681 (1995).

21.   Yamada, K. *et al.* An atlas of seven zebrafish hox cluster mutants provides insights into

sub/neofunctionalization of vertebrate Hox clusters. *Development*. **148** (2021).

22.   Grandel, H. & Schulte-Merker, S. The development of the paired fins in the zebrafish

(Danio rerio). *Mech Dev*. **79**, 99-120 (1998).

23.   Ahn, D. G., Kourakis, M. J., Rohde, L. A., Silver, L. M. & Ho, R. K. T-box gene tbx5 is

essential for formation of the pectoral limb bud. *Nature*. **417**, 754-758 (2002).

24.   Garrity, D. M., Childs, S. & Fishman, M. C. The heartstrings mutation in zebrafish

causes heart/fin Tbx5 deficiency syndrome. *Development*. **129**, 4635-4645 (2002).

25.   Neumann, C. J., Grandel, H., Gaffield, W., Schulte-Merker, S. & Nusslein-Volhard, C.

Transient establishment of anteroposterior polarity in the zebrafish pectoral fin bud in

the absence of sonic hedgehog activity. *Development*. **126**, 4817-4826 (1999).

26.   Freitas, R., Gomez-Marin, C., Wilson, J. M., Casares, F. & Gomez-Skarmeta, J. L.

Hoxd13 contribution to the evolution of vertebrate appendages. *Dev Cell*. **23**,

1219-1229 (2012).

27.   Gehrke, A. R. *et al.* Deep conservation of wrist and digit enhancers in fish. *Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A*. **112**, 803-808 (2015).

28.   Onimaru, K. *et al.* A shift in anterior-posterior positional information underlies the

fin-to-limb evolution. *Elife*. **4** (2015).

29.   Shubin, N., Tabin, C. & Carroll, S. Deep homology and the origins of evolutionary
476   novelty. *Nature*. **457**, 818-823 (2009).

477   30.   Tanaka, M. Fins into limbs: Autopod acquisition and anterior elements reduction by
478   modifying gene networks involving 5'Hox, Gli3, and Shh. *Dev Biol*. **413**, 1-7 (2016).

479   31.   Woltering, J. M., Noordermeer, D., Leleu, M. & Duboule, D. Conservation and
480   divergence of regulatory strategies at Hox Loci and the origin of tetrapod digits. *PLoS*
481   *Biol*. **12**, e1001773 (2014).

482   32.   van Eeden, F. J. *et al.* Genetic analysis of fin formation in the zebrafish, Danio rerio.
483   *Development*. **123**, 255-262 (1996).

484   33.   Hawkins, M. B., Henke, K. & Harris, M. P. Latent developmental potential to form
485   limb-like skeletal structures in zebrafish. *Cell*. **184**, 899-911 e813 (2021).

486   34.   Adachi, U. *et al.* Teleost Hox code defines regional identities competent for the
487   formation of dorsal and anal fins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **121**, e2403809121 (2024).

488   35.   Don, E. K. *et al.* Genetic basis of hindlimb loss in a naturally occurring vertebrate
489   model. *Biol Open*. **5**, 359-366 (2016).

490   36.   Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. & Schilling, T. F. Stages of
491   embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Developmental dynamics : an official*
492   *publication of the American Association of Anatomists*. **203**, 253-310 (1995).

493   37.   Meeker, N. D., Hutchinson, S. A., Ho, L. & Trede, N. S. Method for isolation of
494   PCR-ready genomic DNA from zebrafish tissues. *BioTechniques*. **43**, 610, 612, 614
495   (2007).

496   38.   Thisse, B. & Thisse, C. In situ hybridization on whole-mount zebrafish embryos and
497   young larvae. *Methods Mol Biol*. **1211**, 53-67 (2014).

498   39.   Walker, M. B. & Kimmel, C. B. A two-color acid-free cartilage and bone stain for
499   zebrafish larvae. *Biotech Histochem*. **82**, 23-28 (2007).

500   40.   Akama, K. *et al.* Role of somite patterning in the formation of Weberian apparatus and
501   pleural rib in zebrafish. *J Anat*. **236**, 622-629 (2020).

Figure 1. Shortening of pectoral fins in *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* cluster-deficient larvae.
(A-H) Shortening of the pectoral fins in *hoxaa*, *hoxab*, and *hoxda* cluster-deleted mutants. Dorsal views of larvae at 3 dpf. Arrowhead indicates severely truncated pectoral fins in *hoxaa⁻/⁻;hoxab⁻/⁻;hoxda⁻/⁻* larva. Embryos were obtained from the natural intercrosses between *hoxaa⁺/⁻;hoxab⁺/⁻;hoxda⁺/⁻* triple hemizygous mutant fish and were incubated at 28.5°C in water containing methylene blue as an anti-fungal agent (green signal in the otic vesicle). After photography, PCR-based genotyping was performed. At least three individuals (*n*>3) were identified for each genotype, and the representative larvae are shown. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Figure 2. Shortening of the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold of the pectoral fins in *hoxaa⁻/⁻;hoxab⁻/⁻;hoxda⁻/⁻* larvae.

(A-H) Reduction of the endoskeletal disc (ed) and fin-fold (ff) in the pectoral fins of *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* cluster-deleted mutants. Alcian blue staining was performed to distinguish cartilaginous cells (endoskeletal disc) and non-cartilaginous fin-fold in larvae at 5 dpf. After the staining, the pectoral fins were manually dissected and mounted on a glass slide. The margin of the endoskeletal disc is emphasized with a dashed line, and the margin of the fin-fold is highlighted with a line. Proximal to the left. Scale bar, 100 μm. The number of dissected pectoral fins for which lengths were measured is noted in the left bottom. (I-J) Comparisons of the proximal-distal length of the endoskeletal disc and fin-fold in *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* cluster-deleted mutants. The Tukey-Kramer test was performed with **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, and ****$P < 0.0001$. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Figure 3. Pectoral fin bud outgrowth is impaired in *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* triple homozygous mutants.

Whole-mount *in situ* hybridization was performed. Dorsal view. (A, B) Expression patterns of *tbx5a* in wild-type siblings (*n*=5) and *hoxaa*^{-/-};*hoxab*^{-/-};*hoxda*^{-/-} embryos (*n*=3) at 24 hpf. (C-J) Expression patterns of *shha* in the embryos at 48 hpf which were derived from several intercrosses between *hoxaa*^{+/-};*hoxab*^{+/-};*hoxda*^{+/-} fish. Prior to imaging, PCR-based genotyping was performed using genomic DNA extracted from the tail of the stained embryos. At least three embryos were identified for each genotype to confirm the reproducibility. Arrowhead indicates significantly decreased signal of *shha* in the pectoral fin primordium of *hoxab*^{-/-};*hoxda*^{-/-} and *hoxaa*^{-/-};*hoxab*^{-/-};*hoxda*^{-/-} embryos. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Figure 4. Skeletal elements in the posterior regions of the pectoral fin are preferentially affected in *hoxaa⁻/⁻;hoxab⁺/⁻;hoxda⁺/⁻* and *hoxaa⁺/⁻;hoxab⁺/⁻;hoxda⁻/⁻* adult fish.
Micro-CT scan analysis of the skeletal structures in zebrafish pectoral fins. The both side of pectoral fins in wild-type (*n*=3), *hoxaa⁻/⁻;hoxab⁺/⁻;hoxda⁺/⁻* (*n*=3), and *hoxaa⁺/⁻;hoxab⁺/⁻;hoxda⁻/⁻* (*n*=3) adult zebrafish were analyzed. Left pectoral fins of two specimens for each genotype were shown. (A-C, G-I) The magnified views of pectoral fin endoskeletons from the lateral side. (D-F, J-L) The magnified views of pectoral fin endoskeletons from the opposite medial side in the same specimens shown in A-C and G-I. Proximal radials (pr1-pr4) are indicated. The fin rays are numbered from the anterior to posterior. The asterisk indicates the absence of the posteriorly-located proximal radials. Arrowhead indicates the loss of proximal tip of fin rays. Anterior is to the top. 3D movies are available in Supplementary Movies S1-6. Scale bar, 500 μm.

Table 1. Genotyping of juvenile fish derived from the intercrosses between *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* triple hemizygous fish

hoxaa	hoxab	hoxda	count	Percentege of survived fish	Expected percentage
+/+	+/+	+/+	12	3.82%	1.56%
+/-	+/+	+/+	18	5.73%	3.13%
+/+	+/-	+/+	21	6.69%	3.13%
+/+	+/+	+/-	29	9.24%	3.13%
+/-	+/-	+/+	33	10.51%	6.25%
+/+	+/-	+/-	39	12.42%	6.25%
+/-	+/+	+/-	38	12.10%	6.25%
+/-	+/-	+/-	62	19.75%	12.50%
-/-	+/+	+/+	4	1.27%	1.56%
+/+	-/-	+/+	0	0.00%	1.56%
+/+	+/+	-/-	4	1.27%	1.56%
-/-	+/-	+/+	2	0.64%	3.13%
-/-	+/+	+/-	9	2.87%	3.13%
-/-	+/-	+/-	19	6.05%	6.25%
+/-	-/-	+/+	0	0.00%	3.13%
+/+	-/-	+/-	0	0.00%	3.13%
+/-	-/-	+/-	0	0.00%	6.25%
+/-	+/+	-/-	10	3.18%	3.13%
+/+	+/-	-/-	4	1.27%	3.13%
+/-	+/-	-/-	10	3.18%	6.25%
-/-	-/-	+/+	0	0.00%	1.56%
-/-	-/-	+/-	0	0.00%	3.13%
+/+	-/-	-/-	0	0.00%	1.56%
+/-	-/-	-/-	0	0.00%	3.13%
-/-	+/+	-/-	0	0.00%	1.56%
-/-	+/-	-/-	0	0.00%	3.13%
-/-	-/-	-/-	0	0.00%	1.56%
Total			314	100%	100%

Embryos were obtained by the natural spawning of intercrosses between triple hemizygous *hoxaa;hoxab;hoxda* fish and reared to juveniles of 1-2 months of age. Their genotype was then determined by PCR using genomic DNA extracted from the dissected tail fin. The expected percentage was calculated according to Mendel's law.

Table 2. Summary of the abnormal morphology of the skeletal elements in pectoral fins

	Left pectoral fin				Right pectoral fin			
	The number of rays	Proximal tip of fin rays	pr3	pr4	The number of rays	Proximal tip of rays	pr3	pr4
Wild-type-#1	11	Shorten in 7th ray	-	-	11	-	-	-
Wild-type-#2	11	-	-	-	11	-	Enlarged	Absent
Wild-type-#3	11	-	Obscure boundary between pr3 and pr4		10	-	-	-
$hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ -#1	10	Loss in 8th ray	Enlarged	Absent	10	-	Enlarged	Absent
$hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ -#2	10	Loss in 7th and 8th rays	Enlarged	Small	10	Loss in 8th ray	Enlarged	Absent
$hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$ -#3	9	-	Enlarged	Absent	9	-	Enlarged	Absent
$hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ -#1	11	Loss in 7th-10th rays	Enlarged	Absent	11	Loss in 7th-10th rays	Enlarged	Absent
$hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ -#2	11	-	Absent	Absent	10	-	Absent	Absent
$hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ -#3	11	-	Small	Enlarged	11	Loss in 6th-10th rays	Absent	Absent

The left and right pectoral fins of three specimenss each of wild-type, $hoxaa^{-/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{+/-}$, and $hoxaa^{+/-};hoxab^{+/-};hoxda^{-/-}$ mutants were analyzed by micro-CT scan and the abnormalities in the skeletal elements were summarized. In table, "-" indicates the normal morphology. For each genotype, #1 and #2 are the individuals shown in Fig. 4.