Computational simulations of *Pachycrocuta* bite damage based on a ∼1.2 Ma ravaged hippopotamus femur from Fuente Nueva 3 (Orce, Granada, Spain)

Lloyd A. Courtenay[1], Alexia Serrano-Ramos[2], Deborah Barsky[3,4], Juan-Manuel Jiménez-Arenas[2,5], and José Yravedra[6,7]

Abstract

Understanding the behaviour and interactions of extinct carnivoran species present a significant challenge in archaeological and palaeontological research, often limited by numerous constraints in the fossil record. Here we analyse a hippopotamus femur consumed by an extinct species of giant hyena, recovered from the open-air site Fuente Nueva 3 (∼1.2 Ma, Orce, Granada, Spain). Leveraging the use of advanced microscopic techniques to digitise the tooth marks observed on this specimen in three dimensions, the present study utilises artificially intelligent algorithms to then simulate the possible morphological variability of this carnivoran. This allows us to characterise *Pachycrocuta brevirostris* tooth pit morphology, so as to construct a diagnostic reference sample of this species. Our findings underscore the importance tooth mark size has on identifying the activity of *Pachycrocuta*, revealing the giant hyena to have produced remarkably large, deep, and circular tooth pits on dense cortical bone.

Keywords: Taphonomy, Geometric Morphometrics, Unsupervised Learning, Variational Autoencoders, Markov Chain Monte Carlo, Confocal Microscopy, Numeric Simulation

[1]CNRS, PACEA UMR5199, Université de Bordeaux, Pessac, France, [2]Department of Prehistory and Archaeology, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, [3]Institut Català de Paleoecologia Humana I Evolució Social (IPHES), Tarragona, Spain, [4]Department d'Historia i Historia de l'Art, Universitat Rovira i Virgili (URV), Tarragona, Spain, [5]Institute of Peace and Conflict Research, University of Granada, Granada, Spain, [6]Department of Prehistory, Ancient History and Archaeology, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain, [7]C.A.I. Archaeometry and Archaeological Analysis, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Correspondence
ladc1995@gmail.com

Introduction

A complicated challenge in palaeontological and archaeological research is inferring the behaviour of precise agents that have intervened or contributed in the formation of a site. This task is often complicated by our limited ability to distinguish between multiple agents performing either the same, or distinct, activities, yet leaving similar traces. Such intricacies have significantly shaped research surrounding microscopic alterations to bones and their surfaces, fuelling heated debates into the predatory and scavenging capacities of certain species e.g. Binford, 1981; Blumenschine, 1995; Brain, 1981; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2007, 2021; Espigares et al., 2019; Pante et al., 2012, the dietary habits of others (Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2010; McPherron et al., 2010), and the emergence of advanced cognitive tools or abilities within our own evolutionary lineage (D'Errico et al., 2017, 1998; Diedrich, 2015).

Hominins and carnivorans have coexisted and competed for resources throughout the Plio-Pleistocene (Binford, 1981; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2007, 2021; Espigares et al., 2013, 2019; Huguet et al., 2013). The specific dynamics of this coexistence holds significant interest for the scientific community, given that the extent of associated trophic pressures would have profoundly influenced our survival prospects (Turner, 1992). Particularly noteworthy is the southern region of the European continent, presenting a highly complex carnivoran guild during the Epivillafranchian (Antón et al., 2005; Courtenay et al., 2023b; Lozano et al., 2016; Madurell-Malapeira et al., 2020; Madurell-Malapeira et al., 2021; Turner, 1995). Evidence suggests that hominins arrived in this region at least 1.4 million years ago (Arzarello et al., 2007; Carbonell et al., 2008; Huguet et al., 2013; Toro-Moyano et al., 2013), thereby providing a compelling landscape for studying these intricate ecological interactions.

One of the keystone species in the carnivoran guild of this region is the giant hyena *Pachycrocuta brevirostris*, documented to have inhabited Europe between ∼1.9 and ∼0.8 Ma (Arribas and Palmqvist, 1998; Arzarello et al., 2007; Madurell-Malapeira et al., 2021; Palmqvist et al., 2011). *P. brevirostris*, along with other hyaenids in general, are posited to have significantly influenced human dispersals across Africa, Europe, and Asia during the Early and Middle Pleistocene (Arribas and Palmqvist, 2002; Madurell-Malapeira et al., 2021). This hypothesis stems from the presumed intense interaction between hyaenids and early *Homo*, supported by numerous sites where both species are evidenced to have been present (Arzarello et al., 2007; Espigares et al., 2013; Huguet et al., 2013; Pineda et al., 2017). Notably, the palaeontological and archaeological sites in the Orce region, situated in the province of Granada, Spain, offer critical insights into this dynamic (Martínez-Navarro et al., 1997; Palmqvist et al., 2011; Toro-Moyano et al., 2013) (Fig. 1). In particular, the ∼1.2 Ma open-air site of Fuente Nueva 3 (FN3; see Supplementary Materials) has been used to defend the scenario of direct competition between both *P. brevirostris* and early European populations of *Homo* for the consumption of large mammal carrion (Espigares et al., 2013, 2019; Yravedra et al., 2024). Current evidence supporting *P. brevirostris* activity at this site includes a high prevalence of bones displaying carnivore induced damage in association with abundant *P. brevirostris* coprolites (Espigares et al., 2023, 2013), and the notably large size of the tooth marks observed on some of the bones. Nevertheless, the Orce palaeolandscape presents a diverse carnivoran guild (Courtenay et al., 2023b; Lozano et al., 2016; Martínez-Navarro and Palmqvist, 1995; Martínez-Navarro et al., 2010, 1997), introducing the possibility that some of these marks may have been made by other significant contributors into the formation of these

⁴⁵ sites, including machairodontine felids (Martínez-Navarro and Palmqvist, 1995; Palmqvist et al.,
⁴⁶ 2007).

Figure 1 – (A) The location of Fuente Nueva 3 in the Orce region, located in the south of the Iberian Peninsula, and its context in relation to other key sites in this region such as Barranco León and Venta Micena. (B) The spatial distribution of fossil finds along the (C) stratigraphic sequence. (D) The geo-referenced spatial distribution of fossil finds recovered from FN3 in the context of the excavated surface. Dark grey areas display the excavated surface in field campaigns prior to 2010 where the coordinates of fossil finds were not recorded. The location of the FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1 specimen is marked by a large black dot in both panels B and D.

⁴⁷ While the present authors acknowledge the significant role *P. brevirostris* would have played
⁴⁸ in the formation of FN3, pinpointing bones exclusively attributable to *Pachycrocuta* activity, as
⁴⁹ opposed to other carnivores, remain a challenge (Marean and Ehrhardt, 1995). Recent years have
⁵⁰ witnessed growing efforts to develop tools capable of distinguishing among carnivore agents
⁵¹ based on the bite marks they leave on bones (Courtenay et al., 2021a, 2020). Although some
⁵² researchers have presented compelling empirical evidence linking specific bite damage to partic-
⁵³ ular carnivoran agents (Courtenay et al., 2023b; Yravedra et al., 2022), such analyses often rely
⁵⁴ heavily on reference samples composed solely of modern animals, and cannot claim with 100%
⁵⁵ certainty the agent responsible for producing bite damage. This approach therefore assumes that
⁵⁶ modern carnivores serve as reliable analogs for their extinct counterparts. Furthermore, unequiv-
⁵⁷ ocally identifying bones consumed by a particular carnivoran agent remains a rare occurrence
⁵⁸ e.g. Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2021; Peterson et al., 2021.
⁵⁹ Comprehending the behavior of extinct carnivores poses a significant challenge, primarily
⁶⁰ due to our inability to directly observe them. The focus of this research is to therefore address
⁶¹ the inherent ambiguity in identifying *P. brevirostris* activity amidst a diverse ecological landscape.
⁶² The primary objective is to establish a robust framework for distinguishing *P. brevirostris* tooth
⁶³ marks, facilitating the analysis of the trophic pressure within the Orce paleolandscape. Here we
⁶⁴ present an exceptional example of a fossil likely consumed by a large hyaenid, recovered from
⁶⁵ the site of FN3. Using sophisticated artificially intelligent algorithms, we use this specimen to
⁶⁶ simulate the potential variability of tooth pits attributable to *P. brevirostris*. This research offers a
⁶⁷ foundational reference that can facilitate the identification of *P. brevirostris* activity in ambiguous

68 instances of bite damage on bones, while presenting a new perspective for the identification of
69 extinct carnivoran taxa.

Material and methods

Modern Reference Samples

For the current study, we employed a dataset of 823 modern carnivoran tooth pits as refer-
ence samples, sourced from established datasets (Courtenay et al., 2021a,b). Given the study's
objectives to simulate and model the variability of carnivoran tooth pits across species, it was
imperative to incorporate a diverse and extensive sample size, transcending the specific carni-
vore attribution, to ensure robust and generalizable findings. This dataset encompassed tooth
pits generated by a diverse range of species, including bears (*Ursus arctos*, n = 69), spotted hye-
nas (*Crocuta crocuta*, n = 86), wolves (*Canis lupus*, n = 283), African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*, n =
89), foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*, n = 53), jaguars (*Panthera onca*, n = 77), leopards (*Panthera pardus*, n =
84), and lions (*Panthera leo*, n = 82). These tooth pits were derived from both wild and captive
specimens, primarily observed on dense cortical bone regions such as diaphyses in medium to
large-sized animals. Digital reconstructions of these tooth marks were initially acquired through
high-resolution structured light surface scans (Courtenay et al., 2020). For a comprehensive un-
derstanding of the tooth pit characteristics and the digitization protocols, readers are referred
to the original publications detailing these samples (Courtenay et al., 2021a,b).

Geometric Morphometrics (GMM)

Each tooth pit was characterised using a 25-landmark configuration (Courtenay et al., 2020),
comprising of 5 fixed landmarks and 20 sliding surface semi-landmarks. The 5 fixed landmarks
mark the maximum length, width and depth of the pit, with LM1 being considered the point
along the axis marking the maximum length farthest away from the perpendicular axis marking
the maximum width. LM2 is then the opposite point along this axis, LM3 on the left most ex-
tremity of the perpendicular axis, and LM4 on the right-most extremity. LM5 then represents the
deepest point of the pit. Semilandmarks are then placed using a circular patch over the entirety
of the tooth pit, and their final position optimised by minimising bending energy based on the
Thin Plate Spline (TPS) approach (Bookstein, 1991, 1989; Gunz and Mitteroecker, 2013). Human-
induced margin of error of this landmark model is calculated at 0.14 ± 0.09 mm (Courtenay et
al., 2020). Once landmarks are established, coordinates are superimposed by means of a Gener-
alised Procrustes Analysis (GPA) (Bookstein et al., 1985; Gower, 1975; Rohlf, 1996; Rohlf and
Slice, 1990; Slice, 2001). GPA utilises different transformation processes (translation, rotation
and scaling) so as to superimpose each landmark, facilitating the quantification of minute dif-
ferences and variability between configurations. This can either be performed in shape or form,
the prior including the scaling process to remove the influence of size, and the latter excluding
this process (Rohlf, 1996). Due to prior research revealing the importance of the variable size in
the study of tooth pits, superimposition of landmarks were performed in form space (Courtenay
et al., 2021a, 2020).

Unsupervised Computational Learning for Simulating Tooth Mark Variability

For the purpose of simulating and modelling the morphological and morphometric variability that could be produced by a given carnivoran we used a combination of Variational Autoencoder (VAE) (Goodfellow et al., 2016; Kingma and Welling, 2013) and Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) (Courtenay et al., 2023a; Hastings, 1970; Metropolis et al., 1953) algorithms. VAEs are unsupervised generative learning algorithms that employ an architecture of neural networks designed to learn efficient representations of complex data by capturing underlying patterns and structures within this data. VAEs consist of two parts; an encoder, designed to compress and embed information into a lower-dimensional feature space, and a decoder, used to reconstruct the original output from this embedded representation. The key component of VAEs are the probabilistic nature of the embedded representation, known as latent space (Kingma and Welling, 2013). VAEs are designed as generative algorithms, with the aim of capturing the diversity of the data so as to produce realistic outputs that are not exact copies of the original data. From this perspective, VAEs learn a probabilistic representation of latent space that represent not only the embedded representation of each individual, but also the possible variability this representation can have. From this perspective, VAEs are powerful tools that offer insights beyond basic dimensionality reduction techniques (Goodfellow et al., 2016).

MCMCs, on the other hand, are a family of statistical algorithms that are employed as inference engines in probabilistic programming. MCMCs, as their name suggests, are based on Monte Carlo methods for sampling from probability distributions, yet employ the use of Markovian Chains to stochastically explore a probability distribution (Gamerman and Lopes, 2006). The MCMC therefore learns to sample from a probability distribution randomly by favouring areas of the probability distribution with high information density (Hastings, 1970; Metropolis et al., 1953).

For the purpose of this study, VAEs were trained using 64% (n = 526) of the dataset for training, 16% (n = 132) for validation, and 20% (n = 165) for testing and subsequent evaluation (Bishop, 1995, 2006; Chollet, 2017; Goodfellow et al., 2016). Multiple experiments were performed prior to the final definition of the VAE architecture to test different combinations of hyperparameters and architectures. In continuation we describe the architecture that produced the best results.

VAEs were trained directly on the Procrustes superimposed landmark coordinates using convolutional layers to extract features from them. Both the input and the output to the VAE were thus a 25×3 matrix of coordinate values. So as to avoid overfitting, the architecture of the VAE was kept as small as possible, consisting in only a single convolutional layer consisting of 64 (3×3) filtes, with a stride of (1×3), while padding was used to preserve spatial dimensions. For this layer a L_2 kernel regularizer ($\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$) was used (λ = 0.0001) (Krogh and Hertz, 1991), as well as a 'random-normal' kernel initialiser. Swish was used for the activation function of this convolutional layer, considering swish to perform 0.004mm better in predicting landmarks than other activation functions such as Rectified Linear functions (ReLU: Glorot et al. (2011), He et al. (2015), and Maas et al. (2013)). The output of the convolutional layer was then flattened and passed directly into the latent feature space using a linear activation function. Algorithms were found to perform worse when using both dropout layers and batch normalization (Srivastava, 2013), therefore in the final model these were avoided. The VAE was found to work best when using a latent space of 15 dimensions, serving as a compact and informative representation of

the input data. Multiple latent dimensions were considered, however 15 were found to work
best. To enable efficient training and gradient propagation, the reparametrization trick was em-
ployed (Kingma and Welling, 2013), introducing a deterministic sampling mechanism based on
the predicted mean and log-variance of the latent variables. This therefore implies that the flat-
tened output of the convolutional layer is passed into two separate layers of 15 neurons each,
one layer to predict μ values of the latent representation, and one layer to predict $\log(\sigma)$ values
of this same representation. This technique facilitates the optimization of VAE parameters, en-
abling the generation of diverse and meaningful outputs during the decoding process. The latent
dimension z was therefore defined as (eq. 1);

(1)
$$z = \mu + e^{0.5 \log(\sigma)} \epsilon$$

where ϵ was additionally found to perform best when sampling from a normal distribution de-
fined as $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.01)$.

The parameterized latent variables were then fed into the decoder model which was a sym-
metric representation of the encoder, thus returning the latent variables back to their original
structure as a 25×3 matrix.

The loss function of VAEs comprise of two main components; a reconstruction error and
the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence loss. The reconstruction loss quantifies the difference be-
tween the reconstructed landmarks produced as output and the original landmarks, here using
the Square Root of the Mean Squared Error (RMSE). The KL loss, on the other hand, measures
the divergence between the distribution of the encoded latent variables and a standard normal
distribution (Kullback and Leibler, 1951). This serves as a regularization term that encourages the
latent space to conform to a specific prior distribution (previously defined by ϵ), promoting the
VAE to learn a smooth and structured representation of latent space (Goodfellow et al., 2016;
Kingma and Welling, 2013). The KL loss is defined as (eq. 2);

(2)
$$-0.5 \sum \left(1 + \log(\sigma) - \mu^2 - e^{\log(\sigma)} \right)$$

KL serves as the regularization term, encouraging the latent space to follow a standard normal
distribution. In the present study, the overall VAE loss was computed as the mean of these two
components, balancing the fidelity of reconstructed landmarks with the regularization of latent
space during training.

Optimization was performed using the Adam optimization algorithm, and a 'triangle2' cyclic
learning rate (Smith, 2017), between 0.0001 and 0.01. The VAE was fit for 100 epochs using
batch sizes of 32 individuals.

Once the VAE had been trained, evaluations were performed calculating the RMSE between
the output of the decoder and the original landmarks provided in the test set. These evaluations
found the VAE to have a total reconstruction error of 0.074 mm. Likewise, inspection of learning
curves (Supplementary Figure 8) revealed the algorithm to learn well from both the train and
validation data with no evidence of overfitting or underfitting.

For the simulation of tooth marks using this algorithm, examples of tooth pits were first
encoded using the encoder portion of the VAE, and the corresponding μ and $\log(\sigma)$ values pre-
dicted for each dimension were used to define distributions to be sampled from. For this, first
order variants of MCMCs were used (Courtenay et al., 2023a), utilising the Metropolis-Hastings

algorithm as an acceptance criterion (Hastings, 1970; Metropolis et al., 1953). Considering the
multiple number of dimensions of the latent space, the probability of a point in multidimensional
space was performed by leveraging from the chain rule from probability theory. The MCMC
therefore was initialised by taking any random point in latent space. The algorithm then proposed
another point in space, and if the probability of the proposed state was considered acceptable,
given the Metropolis-Hastings acceptance criterion (eq. 3) (Hastings, 1970; Metropolis et al.,
1953), then the MCMC moved to this proposed position in space. If not, then the MCMC stayed
where it was.

$$(3) \qquad p_a\left(x_{k+1}\|x_k\right) = \min\left(1, \frac{p\left(x_{k+1}\right)q\left(x_k\|x_{k+1}\right)}{p\left(x_k\right)q\left(x_{k+1}\|x_k\right)}\right)$$

Given the standardised variability observed in latent space thanks to the regularization trick
in VAE training, defining a step size for the MCMC was found to be relatively easy. For this
purpose, a general step size of 0.005 was set, and adjusted if a particular latent space was found
to be more or less difficult to sample from. MCMCs were then used to sample 10,000 points from
latent space, discarding the first 2000 iterations for "burn-in", and then selecting 1000 unique
samples from the MCMC's chain. Evaluation of MCMCs was performed by visually inspecting
traces, and calculating Effective Sample (EF) sizes (Kruschke, 2015; Martin, 2018). EF values of
above 100 were considered suitable simulations. Supplementary Figures 9 through to 12 present
the traces of MCMCs when used to sample from the latent distributions for each of the 4 pits
found on the archaeological specimen.

These sampled 15 dimensional points were then passed into the decoder of the VAE to pre-
dict 1000 new examples of landmark coordinates based on this compressed representation.

Archaeological samples and analyses

The tooth marks identified on the FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1 specimen were discovered by one of
us (L.A.C.) during the summer of 2021, after a revision of archaeological materials recovered from
FN3 during the years 2010 and 2015, located at the Archaeological and Ethnographic Museum
of Granada (Spain). Once tooth marks had been identified, silicon moulds of the tooth marks were
taken using high resolution silicon (PROVIL NOVO LIGHT), taking the necessary precautions to
avoid damaging the cortical surface. Due to the possible accumulative modifications that could
be produced to bone surfaces using moulds (Valtierra et al., 2023), a note was left in the fossil's
specimen bag to inform researchers that moulds had been taken if the specimen is to be re-
evaluated. The moulds themselves can be inspected upon request to the corresponding author
of the current study, and are currently (as of 2024) housed in the University of Bordeaux. Moulds
were then taken to the University of Bordeaux for in-depth microscopic analyses.

Tooth pits were analysed directly using the silicon moulds, and then digitised and inspected
in detail using confocal microscopy. Specifically, the Mahr MarSurf CM-mobile portable confocal
microscope was utilised, housed within the Prèhistoire à l'Actuel: Culture, Environnement et An-
thropologie (PACEA UMR 5199) laboratory at the University of Bordeaux (France). This portable
device is equipped with a CCD camera with a resolution of 1200 × 1200 pixels, offering a selec-
tion of four lenses, providing magnifications ranging from 5 to 100x, all mounted on a motorized
platform. This platform features a 50 × 50 mm xy-axis and a 35 mm z-axis range, accompanied
by a Mahr MarSurf AVDT 120 anti-vibration table to ensure stability during scanning. For this

investigation, marks were examined using the Mahr 3200-S lens with $5\times$ magnification, characterized by a Field of View (FOV) of 3200 μm, a numerical aperture of 0.15, a working distance of 20 mm, and a maximum measuring angle of $8.6°$. The lateral resolution of the microscope, employing this lens, is 1.93 μm, with a vertical resolution of 1000 nm and a vertical measuring range of 19.9 mm, utilizing white light with a 505 nm wavelength. Scan settings, including illumination intensity (ranging between 90 and 100%), and exposure time (40 milliseconds), were controlled via the MarSurf Metrology SW software, with gain set at 3 db. Image acquisition employed the Standard High Dynamic Range algorithm, while post-processing was conducted externally to maintain standardized parameters across scans.

Three seperate scans were performed to digitise all four tooth pits. These consisted in scans of between 147.6 and 9.46 mm^2, producing point clouds of between 11.8 million and 20.8 million points, while only an average of 7.7 $\times 10^{-5}$ % points were unsuccesfully measured. Through this we were able to calculate this microscope to succesfully measure 169,957 points per mm^2.

Following scanning, data files were formatted in FITS and imported into the Mahr MarSurf MfM Premium (v.8.0) software, a part of the MountainsMap software suite developed by Digital Surf (Besançon, France). A systematic workflow was established to create the final 3D models. Initially, point clouds were mirrored to accurately reflect the positive impressions of the tooth pit. Subsequent removal of outliers was achieved through specialized algorithms, targeting isolated artifacts and edge-related artifacts, applied at a moderate intensity. To address non-measured points, a smooth interpolation algorithm utilizing nearest neighbor data was employed, ensuring comprehensive coverage and accuracy in the final model. Point clouds were then imported into CloudCompare, where point normal were computed using a quadric surface approximation model, and an octree radius of 15 μm. The final mesh was produced using a Poisson surface reconstruction algorithm, with a resolution of 5 μm. From meshes, landmark coordinates were then collected and superimposed with the reference samples.

Any additional visual inspection of the cortical surface was performed using a Zeiss EVO 15 Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) between 50 and $300\times$ magnification, utilising the Variable Pressure mode resulting in a chamber pressure of 29 Pa, while using a beam with a 20 kV voltage and 500 pA current.

Simulating *Pachycrocuta* tooth pits and subsequent analyses

Once both the VAE had been defined and trained on the reference samples, and the landmarks collected from the archaeological specimens, Procrustes coordinates from *Pachycrocuta* were encoded into latent space. MCMCs were then used to sample latent space, and the resulting vectors introduced into the decoder to predict the corresponding landmark values. From the 4 tooth pits recovered from the archaeological sample, 1000 pits were simulated based on each pit, resulting in a total 4000 simulated tooth pits. Marks were then studied metrically and from a morphological perspective using GMM. The metric analyses considered the length, width and depth of the pits, simply calculated by taking the euclidean distances or trigonometric relationships between the fixed landmarks LM1 through to LM5. For GMM, mean-PCAs were computed in form space to compare the *Pachycrocuta* pits with each of the reference samples. This consisted of calculating the central tendency of each species, and using the VAE to predict 1000 variants of these central configurations. Principal Component Analyses were then performed to inspect the distribution of morphological trends, using TPS interpolation (Bookstein, 1989) to predict the morphological changes across each axis of the feature space. Finally, considering

PC1 is typically characterised mostly by variations in size, a t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding (Hinton and Roweis, 2003) of the PC scores excluding the first PC score was used to assess patterns in the remainder of morphological variables.

Software

All computational models were implemented in the Python programming language (v.3.10.9), utilising the Tensorflow (v.2.12.0) framework for implementation of VAEs. The numpy (v.1.22.0) library was additionally used for MCMC training. All additional statistical analyses, including geometric morphometric preparation of data, were performed using the R programming language (v.4.3.0). All code is available on the corresponding author's GitHub page at; `https://github.com/LACourtenay/VAE_MCMC_Pachycrocuta_Simulations`.

Results

The FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1 specimen (Fig. 2) comprises the femoral shaft of a large (Size 5) artiodactyl mammal, unequivocally identified as a hippopotamus due to its substantial size and the characteristic cylindrical morphology of the shaft. Such characteristics contrast with the femora of similarly sized perissodactyls, like the rhinoceros, which typically display a more pronounced third trochanter, thereby influencing the femoral shaft's morphology. Based on dental remains of hippopotamids recovered from the same FN3 layers (Fig. S1 & S2), this specimen is attributed to the species *Hippopotamus antiquus* (Martínez-Navarro et al., 2010), while the dimensions of the femoral shaft also fall within the range of this species (Fig. S3).

Recovered during 2011 field excavations, the specimen was initially assigned the ID number DJ81083 and recorded to have been extracted from Level 4, resulting in its initial label as ID FN3-11-T93-Inf-4-1. However, subsequent stratigraphic analysis during the 2011 field campaigns revised this association, correctly placing the specimen within Level 5 (Fig. 1b). The specimen in general presents a very poor preservation of cortical surfaces, displaying evidence of biochemical alterations, extensive fluvial abrasion (Fig. S4 & S5), and early stages of weathering. In addition, the medular cavity of the cylinder is filled with a tough concretion. Despite its poor state of cortical preservation, however, this specimen was not subject to intervention by conservation-restoration specialists, and therefore presents limited trephic modifications to cortical surfaces.

FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1 is missing both epiphyses due to intense furrowiong of the bone, a feature typical of modern hyena (Brain, 1981; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2015). A number of tooth marks are visible close to the furrowed and crenulated edges of the proximal extremities of this specimen. A total of 7 possible tooth marks have been identified, only 4 of which are clearly distinguishable due to the highly altered state of the cortical surface. Despite the challenging state of preservation, all identified tooth pits exhibit considerable dimensions (6.2 $\times$ 7.7 mm; 4.6 $\times$ 5.6 mm; 2.0 $\times$ 2.0 mm; 4.4 $\times$ 7.8 mm), surpassing the typical range associated with most modern carnivores described by Andrés et al. (2012), and Courtenay et al. (2021a), although does fall into some ranges described by Pobiner (2007). Nevertheless, considering the improbability of machairodontines causing the observed furrowing (Brain, 1981; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2021, 2015; Marean and Ehrhardt, 1995; Palmqvist et al., 2007), the characteristic durophagous behavior of hyaenids (Palmqvist et al., 2011), the discernible furrowing patterns, and the substantial size of the tooth pits, we can reasonably conclude that the most probable agent responsible for these tooth marks is the hyaenid *P. brevirostris*.

Figure 2 – Detail of the FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1 specimen and the identified bite damage. White arrows indicate areas of taphonomic interest. Lower panels present 3D models obtained using confocal microscopy of the 4 tooth pits included within this study (A *n* = 1, B *n* = 1, C *n* = 2). Photos of the Hippo femur were taken by María Higueras Muñoz, figure created by L.A. Courtenay.

Utilising VAEs in conjunction with MCMC algorithms, we have been able to model the potential variability of *P. brevirostris* tooth pits based on those observed on FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1, with a possible 0.074 mm margin of error in predictions. Simulating 4000 tooth pits, our predictions indicate *P. brevirostris* to be capable of generating notably large tooth pits, with an estimated length, width, and depth, of 6.61 $\pm$ [5.81, 7.37] mm, 4.56 $\pm$ [4.55, 4.57] mm and 0.91 $\pm$ [0.90, 0.93] mm (Table 1), respectively, on dense bone shafts. With the exception of depth parameters, these dimensions exceed the typical range observed in modern hyenas (Fig. 3), and generally surpass those observed in modern lions as well (Andrés et al., 2012). Nevertheless, as with any other modern carnivorans, *Pachycrocuta* had the potential for leaving some relatively small tooth pits as well (Table S1).

Upon exploring the possible morphological variability beyond simple measurements of length, width and depth, *P. brevirostris* have been revealed to produce relatively circular and deep tooth pits (Fig. 4). Combined with their size, these tooth pits therefore appear largely different from almost any modern species of carnivore, yet slightly similar to tooth marks produced by modern lions (*Panthera leo*). These morphological affinities are mostly explained by variation in tooth

Figure 3 – Boxplots visualising the distribution of length, width and depth values in mm of tooth pits produced by modern day carnivorans, as well as the simulated variability that *Pachycrocuta brevirostris* could have produced. AfWD = African Wild Dog (*Lycaon pictus*).

mark size, which predominates the first dimension of any PCA in form space (Fig. 4), and represents up to 98.31% of the morphological variability here. Nevertheless, *P. brevirostris* separates from *P. leo* across PCA's second dimension, which is explained more by the length:width ratio for each pit. In this sense, *P. brevirostris* tooth marks are more explained by circular morphologies, where *P. leo* produce more elongated marks. When accounting for the influence of size on tooth pit morphology and focusing on other morphological variations, *Pachycrocuta* tooth marks remain distinct from the majority of those made by modern carnivores (Fig. S6), although they share similarities in shape with smaller carnivores like foxes and wolves due to the more circular nature of the pits. Across all analyses, another important feature in *P. brevirostris* is the tooth mark depth, which separates these carnivores from other species producing slightly more superficial pits such as modern felids and canids, and notably hyaenids as well. Nevertheless, the most defining characteristic of this species undoubtedly lies in the size of its tooth pits.

Discussion and Conclusions

Identifying the specific carnivore responsible for tooth marks on bone presents a challenge, often influenced by our understanding of modern species, which may not accurately reflect their ancestral counterparts. The presence of *P. brevirostris* at the FN3 site is undeniable, evidenced by the abundance of coprolites (Espigares et al., 2023, 2013) and the recovery of numerous dental remains since excavations commenced in the 1990s (Martínez-Navarro et al., 2010; Palmqvist et al., 2011) (Fig. S7). In this context, here we present a clear example of a bone consumed by hyaenids, confirming *P. brevirostris* to be the biting agent, given the substantial size of the tooth marks on the bone, as well as the pronounced consumption of epiphyses resulting in a cylinder (Brain, 1981; Domínguez-Rodrigo et al., 2015). Notably, the affected specimen originates from a hippopotamus, a sizable mammal unlikely to be consumed in such a manner by other species. While it is possible for some carnivores, including lions and the modern day spotted hyena, to produce very large tooth pits (Pobiner, 2007), the more fragile dental structure of machairodontines recovered from Orce diminishes their likelihood as durophagous predators (Palmqvist et al.,

Table 1 – Robust descriptive statistics of the measurements extracted from tooth pit samples, including the simulated measurements of *Pachycrocuta brevirostris*. Measurments are all reported in mm. Upper and Lower bounds of Confidence Intervals (CI) were calculated using 95% interquantile ranges. Detailed description of descriptive metrics with Shapiro-Wilks *p*-values have been included as Supplementary Table 1.

		Min.	Lower CI	Central	Deviation	Upper CI	Max.
V. vulpes	Length	0.520	1.730	1.976	0.892	2.222	3.941
	Width	0.501	1.12	1.41	0.593	1.520	3.209
	Depth	0.045	0.215	0.255	0.160	0.342	1.117
P. pardus	Length	1.272	1.977	2.410	0.972	2.744	6.346
	Width	0.755	1.332	1.556	0.713	1.808	3.735
	Depth	0.038	0.222	0.266	0.207	0.347	1.493
C. lupus	Length	1.09	2.227	2.410	0.986	2.591	8.294
	Width	0.732	1.635	1.739	0.727	1.995	4.639
	Depth	0.045	0.152	0.185	0.108	0.209	1.435
U. arctos	Length	0.877	2.502	3.035	1.890	3.750	9.493
	Width	0.496	1.584	1.975	1.172	2.562	6.695
	Depth	0.023	0.191	0.272	0.307	0.430	2.422
L. pictus	Length	1.125	3.231	3.482	1.703	4.480	12.596
	Width	0.886	2.037	2.548	1.378	2.869	7.776
	Depth	0.059	0.384	0.497	0.442	0.612	4.160
C. crocuta	Length	1.278	3.513	3.893	1.703	4.480	12.596
	Width	1.096	2.495	2.776	1.290	3.097	9.444
	Depth	0.082	0.351	0.421	0.266	0.491	3.176
P. onca	Length	0.855	3.299	3.863	2.537	4.262	16.863
	Width	0.621	2.093	2.437	1.713	3.092	9.947
	Depth	0.047	0.300	0.355	0.410	0.555	2.166
P. leo	Length	2.112	4.946	5.916	3.363	6.768	18.820
	Width	1.241	3.688	3.994	2.209	4.818	9.680
	Depth	0.142	0.721	0.975	0.839	1.162	3.882
P. brevirostris	Length	1.627	5.817	6.612	2.412	7.365	8.129
	Width	1.589	4.546	4.556	1.613	4.566	6.533
	Depth	0.236	0.886	0.910	0.274	0.925	1.162

2007), while other significant carnivore species in this paleolandscape, such as *Canis mosbachensis*, are too diminutive to inflict such damage. Likewise, *Ursus etruscus* are not particularly known for being consumers of bone nutrients, following a much more omnivorous diet (Medin et al., 2017).

Utilising this exceptional specimen, we have employed advanced artificially intelligent algorithms to produce simulations of potential tooth marks attributable to *P. brevirostris*. Our models demonstrated remarkable accuracy, highlighting the potential of using both VAEs and MCMCs for the simulation of this type of data. Using this approach we have been able to simulate up to 1000 potential variations for each of the observed tooth pits. It is crucial to note, however, that carnivorans exhibit a broad spectrum of variability (Courtenay et al., 2021a, 2023b), thus not all *P. brevirostris* tooth marks may manifest to be as circular as those observed on this specimen. Similarly, contemporary hyenas, despite their durophagous nature and formidable bite force, are also known to produce smaller tooth pits (Andrés et al., 2012; Arriaza et al., 2021; Courtenay et al., 2021a). The identification of at least one small tooth pit here has helped our algorithms

Figure 4 – Exploration of the morphological variability among different carnivoran tooth pits in form space using Geometric Morphometrics. Dots indicate the position of the median individual for each species followed by 95% confidence intervals constructed around the median individual through simulations in Variational Autoencoder latent space. Morphological variability has been visualised across the extremities of each axis. AfWD = African Wild Dog (*Lycaon pictus*)

consider this possible variability, however, the inclusion of larger datasets of undeniable *P. brevirostris* activity will help refine this aspect of our study. Finally, the poor preservation of cortical surfaces is likely to condition the quality of our predictions, however, considering the abrasive nature of fluvial alterations, it is likely that this will only result in a slight infra-estimation of the original size of these tooth marks.

The distinctly circular nature of tooth marks here have certainly influenced the morphological affinities that may have been present between *P. brevirostris* and contemporary hyaenids, such as *Crocuta crocuta*, which tend to produce more elongated and superficial pits (Courtenay et al., 2021a, 2023b). Likewise, *P. brevirostris* is thought to be closer phylogenetically to modern species of the genus *Hyaena*, such as the striped (*H. hyaena*) and brown (*H. brunnea*) hyena (Palmqvist et al., 2011). From this perspective, it would be interesting to see how these pits compare with other hyaenid species, recognised for producing smaller and slightly more circular pits than the modern hyaenid species used in the present dataset (Arriaza et al., 2021). It is also important to point out that prior attempts to identify *P. brevirostris* activity at the palaeontological site of Venta Micena 3 (Yravedra et al., 2022), and archaeological site of Barranco León (Courtenay et al., 2023b), associated much smaller pits than those observed here to *P. brevirostris*. While these instances might still represent plausible *P. brevirostris* activity, expanding the reference dataset to encompass unequivocal examples of hyaenid-induced bone damage could offer valuable insights into the precise nature of *P. brevirostris* bite damage. Likewise, these methods are limited to predicting the most likely carnivoran to have produced the tooth marks based solely on the morphology of tooth pits, with a certain level of uncertainty conditioned by our inability to directly observe these extinct carnivorans. The present study provides additional taphonomic evidence

to strongly indicate that the only likely carnivoran to have produced these pits is the *Pachycrocuta*, thus overcoming this limitation, facilitating future predictions of *Pachycrocuta* activity in other sites.

The presentation of the FN3-11-T93-Sup-5-1 specimen here, and analysis using artificially intelligent algorithms, therefore provides a nuanced understanding of *P. brevirostris*. This methodological approach has demonstrated its utility in characterising tooth marks made by an extinct carnivore species, a task that would have traditionally been hindered by challenges in taphonomic equifinality in the fossil record. While the results shed light on diagnostic criteria to identify the activity of this carnivoran species, this also highlights the potential computational techniques have to augment palaeontological and archaeological data and research. Such advancements, though promising, necessitate continued refinement and validation to further enhance our insights into the evolutionary history of carnivorans, as well as the interaction and competition they may have had with our own species.

Acknowledgements

The present study was carried out with the authorisation granted by the Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport of the Junta de Andalucia. Permits were granted in association with the project titled "Primeras ocupaciones humanas y context paleoecológico a partir de los depósitos pliopleistocénicos de la Cuenca de Guadiz-Baza. Zona arqueológica de la Cuenca de Orce, Orce, Granada", coordinated through the University of Granada. Research at Fuente Nueva 3 is currently possible thanks to the support and approval of the Consejería de Turismo, Cultura y Deporte (Junta de Andalucía, Spain) through the General Research Project (2023-2026) "Evolución humana y paleoecología a partir de los yacimientos pleistocenos de la Zona Arqueológica 'Cuenca de Orce'. Retos y desafíos" (Ref: SIDPH/DI/MCM). The corresponding author wishes to thank the helpful comments and suggestions of Antoine Souron, regarding the taxonomic characterisation of hippopotami, and Bisrat Araya for their support. We would also like to thank Francesco D'Errico for providing access to the microscopes and equipment used to carry out this study.

Fundings

This work was supported by the Consejería de Turismo, Cultura y Deporte [grant reference SIDPH/DI/MCM]. L.A.C. is funded by the ERC Synergy project QUANTA (grant number 951388) and the French government in the framework of the University of Bordeaux's IdEx "Investments for the Future" program / GPR "Human Past".

Author Contributions

L.A.C. Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Resources, Data Curation, Writing - original draft, review and editing, Visualization. A.S.R. Resources. D.B. Supervision. J.M.J.A. Resources, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition. J.Y. Project administration.

Conflict of interest disclosure

The corresponding author would like to state that they have no competing interests to declare.

Data, script, code, and supplementary information availability

Data Availability Statement

Some data has also been included in the following repository; https://github.com/LACourtenay/ VAE_MCMC_Pachycrocuta_Simulations, however the majority of the reference data has not been included as they come from other studies, that are also open access, and have been suitably cited within the main text of the present study. In the case of (Courtenay et al., 2021b), the present authors have acquired the necessary permissions to save the dataset to the repository where our code is included. Nevertheless, the code presented in the repository associated with the present paper, however, includes some lines that are used to load into memory the data from these studies, so that they can then be used in subsequent analyses.

Code Availability Statement

All code used for the present study are available on the corresponding author's GitHub page at; https://github.com/LACourtenay/VAE_MCMC_Pachycrocuta_Simulations.

Supplemental Information

Supplementary File 1 has been included at https://github.com/LACourtenay/VAE_MCMC_ Pachycrocuta_Simulations. This file contains - Supplementary Text: Site Presentation; Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Figure 1 to 12

References

Andrés M, Gidna AO, Yravedra J, Domínguez-Rodrigo M (2012). *A study of dimensional differences of tooth marks (pits and scores) on bones modified by small and large carnivores. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* **4(3)**, 209–219. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-012-0093-4.

Antón M, Galobart A, Turner A (2005). *Co-existence of scimitar-toothed cats, lions and hominins in the European Pleistocene. Implications of the post-cranial anatomy of Homotherium latidens (Owen) for comparative palaeoecology. Quaternary Science Reviews* **24**, 1287e1301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2004.09.008.

Arriaza M, Aramendi J, Maté-González M, Yravedra J, Stratford D (2021). *The hunted or the scavenged? Australopith accumulation by brown hyenas at Sterkfontein (South Africa). Quaternary Science Reviews* **273**, 107252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2021.107252.

Arribas A, Palmqvist P (1998). *Taphonomy and palaeoecology of an assemblage of large mammals: hyaenid activity in the lower Pleistocene site of Venta Micena (Orce, Guadix-Baza Basin, Granada, Spain). Geobios* **31**, 3–47.

Arribas A, Palmqvist P (2002). *The first human dispersal to Europe: remarks on the archaeological and palaeoanthropological record from Orce (Guadix-Baza basin, southeastern Spain). Journal of Human Evolution* **17**, 55–78.

Arzarello M, Marcolini F, Pavia G, Pavia M, Petronio C, Petrucci M, Rook L, Sardella R (2007). *Evidence of earliest human occurrence in Europe: the site of Pirro Nord (Southern Italy). Naturwissenschaften* **94**, 107–112.

Binford LR (1981). *Bones: Ancient Men and Modern Myths*. New York: Academic Press Inc.

Bishop C (1995). *Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Bishop C (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Singapore: Springer.

Blumenschine RJ (1995). *Percussion marks, tooth marks and the experimental determinations of the timing of hominid and carnivore access to long bones at FLK Zinjanthropus, Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. Journal of Human Evolution* **29**, 21–51.

Bookstein FL (1991). *Morphometric Tools for Landmark Data*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Bookstein FL, Chernoff B, Elder RL, Humphries JM, Smith GR, Strauss RE (1985). *Morphometrics in Evolutionary Biology*. Philadelphia: Academy of Natural Sciences Press.

Bookstein F (1989). *Principal Warps: thin plate spline and the decomposition of deformations. IEEE Transactions in Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* **11(6)**, 567–585. https://doi.org/10.1109/34.24792.

Brain C (1981). *The Hunters or the Hunted? An Introduction to African Cave Taphonomy*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Carbonell E, Bermúdez de Castro JM, Parés JM, Pérez-González A, Cuenca-Bescós G, Ollé A, Mosquera M, Huguet R, Made J, Rosas A, Sala R, Vallverdú J, García N, Granger DE, Martinón-Torres M, Rodríguez XP, Stock GM, Vergés JM, Allué E, Burjachs F, et al. (2008). *The first hominin of Europe. Nature* **452**, 465–469. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06815.

Chollet F (2017). *Deep Learning with Python*. Shelter Island: Manning.

Courtenay LA, Aramendi J, González-Aguilera D (2023a). *Recruiting a Skeleton Crew - Methods for Simulating and Augmenting Palaeoanthropological Data using Monte Carlo based Algorithms. American Journal of Biological Anthropology* **181**, 454–473.

Courtenay LA, Herranz-Rodrigo D, González-Aguilera D, Yravedra J (2021a). *Developments in Data Science Solutions for Carnivore Tooth Pit Classification. Scientific Reports* **11**, 10209. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-89518-4.

Courtenay LA, Herranz-Rodrigo D, Huguet R, Maté-González MÁ, González-Aguilera D, Yravedra J (2020). *Obtaining New Resolutions in Carnivore Tooth Pit Morphological Analyses. PLoS ONE* **15(10)**, e0240328. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240328.

Courtenay LA, Herranz-Rodrigo J, Vázquez-Rodríguez JM, Huguet R, Barja I, Maté-González MÁ, Fernández-Fernández M, Muñoz-Nieto ÁL, González-Aguilera D (2021b). *3D Insigths into the Effects of Captivity on Wolf Mastication and their Tooth Marks; Implications in Ecological Studies of Both the Past and Present. Animals* **11**, 2323. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082323.

Courtenay L, Yravedra J, Herranz-Rodrigo D, Rodríguez-Alba J, Serrano-Ramos A, Estaca-Gómez V, González-Aguilera D, Solano J, Jiménez-Arenas J (2023b). *Deciphering carnivoran competition for animal resources at the 1.46 Ma early Pleistocene site of Barranco León (Orce, Granada, Spain). Quaternary Science Reviews* **300**, 107912.

D'Errico F, Doyon L, Colagé I, Queffelec A, Le Vraux E, Giacobini G, Vandermeersch B, Maureille B (2017). *From number sense to number symbols. An archaeological perspective. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **373**, 20160518. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0518.

D'Errico F, Villa P, Pinto Llona AC, Idarraga RR (1998). *A middle palaeolithic origin of music? Using cave-bear bone accumulations to assess the Divje Babe I bone "flute". Antiquity* **72(275)**, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0003598x00086282.

Diedrich CG (2015). *"Neanderthal bone flutes": simply products of Ice Age spotted hyena scavenging activities on cave bear cubs in European cave bear dens. Royal Society Open Science* **2(4)**, 140022–140022. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.140022.

Domínguez-Rodrigo M, Barba R, Egeland CP (2007). *Deconstructing Olduvai*. The Netherlands: Springer.

Domínguez-Rodrigo M, Courtenay L, Cobo-Sánchez L, Baquedano E, Mabulla A (2021). *A case of hominin scavenging 1.84 million years ago from Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1**, 1–11.

Domínguez-Rodrigo M, Pickering T, Bunn H (2010). *Configurational approach to identifying the earliest hominin butchers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* **107**, 20929–20934.

Domínguez-Rodrigo M, Yravedra J, Organista E, Gidna A, Fourvel J, Baquedano E (2015). *A New Methodological Approach to the Taphonomic Study of Paleontological and Archaeological Faunal Assemblages: a Preliminary Case Study from Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania). Journal of Archaeological Science* **59**, 35–53.

Espigares MP, Palmqvist P, Rodríguez-Ruiz MD, Ros-Montoya S, Pérez-Ramos A, Rodríguez-Gómez G, Guerra-Merchán A, García-Aguilar JM, Granados A, Campaña I, Martínez-Navarro B (2023). *SHARING FOOD WITH HYENAS: A LATRINE OF PACHYCROCUTA BREVIROSTRIS IN THE EARLY PLEISTOCENE ASSEMBLAGE OF FUENTE NUEVA-3 (ORCE, BAZA BASIN, SE SPAIN). Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* **15**, 81.

Espigares M, Martínez-Navarro B, Palmqvist P, Ros-Montoya S, Toro I, Agustí J, Sala R (2013). *Homo vs Pachycrocuta: Earlist evidence of competition for an elephant carcass between scavengers at Fuente Nueva 3 (Orce, Spain). Quaternary International* **295**, 113–125.

Espigares M, Palmqvist P, Guerra-Merchán A, Ros-Montoya S, García-Aguilar J, Rodríguez-Gómez G, Serrano F, Martínez-Navarro B (2019). *The earliest cut marks of Europe: A discussion on hominin subsistence patterns in the Orce sites (Baza basin, SE Spain). Scientific Reports* **9**, 15408.

Gamerman D, Lopes HF (2006). *Markov Chain Monte Carlo*. Boca Raton: Chapman & Hall.

Glorot X, Bordes A, Bengio Y (2011). *Deep Sparse Rectifier Neural Networks*. In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference onf Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pp. 315–323.

Goodfellow I, Bengio Y, Courville A (2016). *Deep Learning*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT.

Gower JC (1975). *Generalized Procrustes Analysis. Psychometrika* **40**, 33–51.

Gunz P, Mitteroecker P (2013). *Semilandmarks: a method for quantifying curves and surfaces. Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy* **24**, 103–109. https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-24.1-6292.

Hastings WK (1970). *Monte-Carlo sampling methods using Markov Chains and their applications. Biometrika* **57**, 97–109.

He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J (2015). *Delving Deep into Rectifiers: Surpassing Human-Level Performance on ImageNet Classification*. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1026–1034. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2015.123.

Hinton GE, Roweis ST (2003). *Stochastic Neighbor Embedding*. In: *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pp. 857–864.

Huguet R, Saladié P, Cáceres I, Díez C, Rosell J, Bennàsar M, Blasco R, Esteban-Nadal M, Gabucio MJ, Rodríguez-Hidalgo A, Carbonell E (2013). *Successful subsistence strategies of the first humans in south-western Europe. Quaternary International* **295**, 168–182.

Kingma DP, Welling M (2013). *Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114* **0**, 1–14.

Krogh A, Hertz JA (1991). *A simple weight decay can improve generalization*. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems **4**, 950–957.

Kruschke J (2015). *Doing Bayesian Data Analysis*. Vol. 2. Burlington, MA: Academic Press.

Kullback S, Leibler RA (1951). *On Information and Sufficiency*. Annals of Mathematical Statistics **22**, 79–86. https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729694.

Lozano S, Mateos A, Rodríguez J (2016). *Exploring paleo food-webs in the European Early and Middle Pleistocene: a network analysis*. Quaternary International **413**, 44–54.

Maas AL, Hannun AY, Ng AY (2013). *Rectified Nonlinearities Improve Neural Network Acoustic Models*. In: *Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 1–6.

Madurell-Malapeira J, Minwer-Barakat R, Alba D, Garcés M, Gómez M, Aurell-Garrido J, Ros-Montoya S, Moyá-Solá S, Berástegui X (2020). *The Vallparadís section (Terrassa, Iberian Peninsula) and the latest Villafranchian faunas of Europe*. Quaternary Science Reviews **29**, 3972–3982.

Madurell-Malapeira J, Alba DM, Espigares MP, Vinuesa V, Palmqvist P, Martínez-Navarro B, Moyà-Solà S (2021). *Were large carnivorans and great climatic shifts limiting factors for hominin dispersals? Evidence of the activity of Pachycrocuta brevirostris during the Mid-Pleistocene Revolution in the Vallparadís Section (Vallès-Penedès Basin, Iberian Peninsula)*. Quaternary International **431**, 42–52.

Marean C, Ehrhardt C (1995). *Palaeanthropological and palaeoecological implications of the taphonomy of a sabertooth's den*. Journal of Human Evolution **29**, 515–547. https://doi.org/10.1006/jhev.1995.1074.

Martin O (2018). *Bayesian Analysis with Python*. Birmingham: Packt.

Martínez-Navarro B, Palmqvist P (1995). *Presence of the African machairodont Megantereon whitei (Broom, 1937) (Felidae, Carnivora, Mammalia) in the Lower Pleistocene site of Venta Micena (Orce, Granada, Spain), with some considerations on the origin, evolution and dispersal of the genus*. Journal of Archaeological Science **22**, 569–582.

Martínez-Navarro B, Palmqvist P, Madurell-Malapeira J, Ros-Montoya S, Espigares MP, Torregrosa V, Pérez-Claros J (2010). *La fauna de grandes mamíferos de FN3 y Barranco León-5: Estado de la cuestión*. In: *Ocupaciones Humanas en el Pleistoceno Inferior y Medio de la Cuenca de Guadix-Baza*. Ed. by I. Toro, B. Martínez-Navarro, and J. Agustí. Sevilla: Junta de Andalucía, Consejería de Cultura, pp. 197–236.

Martínez-Navarro B, Turq A, Agustí J, Oms O (1997). *FN3 (Orce, Granada, Spain) and the first human occupation of Europe*. Journal of Human Evolution **33**, 611–620.

McPherron S, Alemseged Z, Marean C, Wynn J, Reed D, Geraads D, Bobe R, Béarat H (2010). *Evidence for stone-tool-assisted consumption of animal tissues before 3.39 million years ago at Dikika, Ethiopia*. Nature **466**, 857–860.

Medin T, Martínez-Navarro B, Rivals F, Madurell-Malapeira J, Ros-Montoya S, Espigares M, Figueirido B, Rook L, Palmqvist P (2017). *Late Villafranchian Ursus etruscus and other large carnivorans from the Orce sites (Guadix-Baza basin, Andalusia, Southern Spain): taxonomy, biochronology, paleobiology and ecogeographical context*. Quaternary International **431**, 20–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2015.10.053.

Metropolis N, Rosenbluth AW, Rosenbluth MN, Teller AH, Teller E (1953). *Equation of state calculations by fast computing machines*. Journal of Chemical Physics **21**, 1087–1092.

Palmqvist P, Martínez-Navarro B, Pérez-Claros J, Torregrosa V, Figueirido B, Jiménez-Arenas J, Espigares M, Ros-Montoya S, Renzi M (2011). *The giant hyena Pachycrocuta brevirostris:*

modelling the bone-cracking behavior of an extinct carnivore. Quaternary International **243**, 61–
79.
Palmqvist P, Torregrosa V, Pérez-Claros J, Martínez-Navarro B, Turner A (2007). *A re-evaluation*
of the diversity of Megantereon (Mammalia, Carnivora, Machairodontinae) and the problem of
species identification in extinct carnivores. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology **27**, 160–175. https:
//doi.org/10.1671/0272-4634(2007)27[160:AROTDO]2.0.CO;2.
Pante M, Blumenschine S, Capaldo S, Scott R (2012). *Validation of bone surface modification mod-*
els for inferring fossil hominin and carnivore feeding interactions, with reapplication to FLK 22
(Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania). Journal of Human Evolution **63**, 395–407.
Peterson J, Tseng Z, Brink S (2021). *Bite force estimates in juvenile Tyrannosaurus rex based on*
simulated puncture marks. PeerJ **9**, e11450. URL: https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11450.
Pineda A, Saladié P, Huguet R, Cáceres I, Rosas A, Estalrrich A, García-Tabernero A, Vallverdú J
(2017). *Changing competition dynamics among predators at the late Early Pleistocene site Barranc*
de la Boella (Tarragona, Spain). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology **477**, 10–26.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.03.030.
Pobiner BL (2007). *Hominin-carnivore interactions: evidence from modern canivore bone modifica-*
tion and Early Pleistocene archaeofaunas (Koobi Fora, Kenya; Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania). PhD the-
sis. New Brunswick Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey.
Rohlf JF (1996). *Morphometric Spaces, Shape Components, and the Effects of Linear Transformations*.
In: *Advances in Morphometrics*. Ed. by L. F. Marcus, M. Corti, A. Loy, G.J. P. Naylor, and D. E.
Slice. New York: Plenum, pp. 117–129.
Rohlf JF, Slice DE (1990). *Extension of the Procrustes Method for the Optimal Superimposition of*
Landmarks. Systematic Biology **39**, 40–59.
Slice DE (2001). *Landmark Coordinates Aligned by Procrustes Analysis Do Not Lie in Kendall's Shape*
Space. Systematic Biology **50(1)**, 141–149.
Smith LN (2017). *Cyclical Learning Rates for Training Neural Networks*. In: *IEEE Winter Conference on*
Applications of Computer Vision, pp. 464–472. https://doi.org/10.1109/WACV.2017.58.
Srivastava N (2013). *Improving Neural Networks with Dropout*. MA thesis. University of Torronto,
Canada.
Toro-Moyano I, Martínez-Navarro B, Agustí J, Souday C, Bermúdez de Castro J, Martinón-Torres
M, Fajardo B, Duval M, Falguères C, Oms O, Parés J, Anadón P, Julià R, García-Aguilar J,
Moigne A, Espigares M, Ros-Montoya S, Palmqvist P (2013). *The oldest human fossil in Europe,*
from Orce (Spain). Journal of Human Evolution **65**, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.
2013.01.012.
Turner A (1992). *Large carnivores and earliest European hominids: changing determinants of resource*
availability during the Lower and Middle Pleistocene. Journal of Human Evolution **22**, 109–126.
Turner A (1995). *The Villafranchian large carnivore guild: geographic distribution and structural evo-*
lution. Il Quaternario **8**, 349–356.
Valtierra N, Courtenay L, Yravedra J, López-Polín L (2023). *Cummulative effect of high resolution*
silicone moulds on the morphology of cut marks. Archaeometry **1**, 1–18.
Yravedra J, Courtenay L, Gutiérrez-Rodríguez M, Reinoso-Gordo J, Saarinen J, Égüez N, Luzón C,
Rodríguez-Alba J, Solano J, Titton S, Montilla-Jiménez E, Cámara-Donoso J, Herranz-Rodrigo
D, Estaca V, Serrano-Ramos A, Amorós G, Azanza B, Bocherens H, DeMiguel D, Fagoaga
A, et al. (2024). *Not seen before. Unveiling depositional context and Mammuthus meridionalis*

exploitation at Fuente Nueva 3 (Orce, southern Iberia) through taphonomy and microstratigraphy.
Quaternary Science Reviews **329**, 108561.
Yravedra J, Courtenay L, Herranz-Rodrigo D, Rodríguez-Alba J, Linares-Matías G, Estaca-Gómez
V, Luzón C, Serrano-Ramos A, Matíe-González M, Solano J, González-Aguilera D, Jiménez-
Arenas J (2022). *Taphonomic characterisation of extinct Eurasian carnivores through geometric*
morphometrics. Scientific Bulletin **67**, 1644–1648.