Transcription Factors DksA and PsrA are synergistic contributors to *L. pneumophila* virulence in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* protozoa

CHRISTOPHER I. GRAHAM, ANDREW J. GIERYS, TEASSA L. MACMARTIN, TIFFANY V. PENNER, JORDAN C. BECK, GERD PREHNA, TERESA R. DE KIEVIT and ANN KAREN C. BRASSINGA†

Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3T 2N2

Running Title: Regulatory synergy of DksA and PsrA in *L. pneumophila*

Keywords: *L. pneumophila*, stringent response, regulatory network, transcription factor, host-microbe interaction, biphasic lifestyle

†**Corresponding author:**   Dr. Ann Karen C. Brassinga
Department of Microbiology (Fort Garry Campus)
Room 213, Buller Building
45 Chancellor's Circle
University of Manitoba
Winnipeg, MB, Canada
R3T 2N2
Phone: (204) 799-8457
E-mail: Ann.Brassinga@umanitoba.ca

Abstract

The environmental bacterium *Legionella pneumophila*, an intracellular parasite of free-living freshwater protozoa as well as an opportunistic human pathogen, has a biphasic lifestyle. The switch from the vegetative replicative form to the environmentally resilient transmissive phase form is governed by a complex stringent response-based regulatory network that includes RNA polymerase co-factor DksA. Here we report that, through a dysfunctional DksA mutation (DksA1), a synergistic interplay was discovered between DksA and transcription regulator PsrA using the *Acanthamoeba castellanii* protozoan infection model. Surprisingly, *in trans* expression of PsrA partially rescued the growth defect of a *dksA1* strain. While *in trans* expression of DksA expectantly could fully rescued the growth defect of the *dksA1* strain, it could also surprisingly rescue the growth defect of a Δ*psrA* strain. Conversely, the severe intracellular growth defect of a Δ*dksA* strain could be rescued by *in trans* expression of DksA and DksA1, but not PsrA. *In vitro* phenotypic assays show that either DksA or DksA1 were required for extended culturability of bacterial cells, but normal cell morphology and pigmentation required DksA only. Comparative structural modeling predicts that the DksA1 mutation affects coordination of Mg^{2+} into the active site of RNAP compromising transcription efficiency. Taken together, we propose that PsrA transcriptionally assists DksA in the expression of select transmissive phase traits. Additionally, *in vitro* evidence suggests that the long chain fatty chain metabolic response is mediated by PsrA together with DksA inferring a novel regulatory link to the stringent response pathway.

Introduction

Legionella pneumophila, a Gram-negative gammaproteobacterium, is commonly found in piped water distribution systems where it is found as an intracellular parasite of free-living bacterivorous protozoa (Rowbowtham, 1986; Steinert *et al.,* 2002; Newton *et al.,* 2010). These environments are not only amenable to bacterial colonization and proliferation, but can also aerosolize *Legionella*-laden water droplets that can be inhaled by susceptible individuals, thereby facilitating bacterial infection of alveolar macrophages resulting in the atypical pneumonia Legionnaires' disease (Newton *et al.,* 2010; Oliva *et al.,* 2018; Mondino *et al.,* 2020).

      L. pneumophila is well adapted for its intracellular lifestyle in protozoa, and these adaptations also enable human infection due to highly conserved eukaryotic cellular biology (Newton *et al.,* 2010; Mondino *et al.,* 2020). A core driver of infection is the Dot/Icm Type IV secretion system (T4SS), an apparatus that enables secretion of a diverse repertoire of ≥ 330 effectors into the host cytoplasm that together act to modify host cellular physiology upon uptake of the bacteria (Finsel and Hilbi, 2015; Burstein *et al*., 2016; Gomez-Valero *et al.,* 2019). Such modifications include subversion of phagosome trafficking to prevent fusion of the bacteria-containing phagosome with lysosomes, and conversion of the phagosome into a replicative niche referred to as the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) (Tilney *et al.,* 2001; Kagan and Roy, 2002; Isberg *et al*., 2009). As infection progresses within a protozoan host cell, *L. pneumophila* exhibits a biphasic lifecycle, alternating between a vegetative replicative phase (RP) forms found primarily in the LCV, and transmissive phase (TP) forms that develop upon depletion of host-derived nutrients in anticipation of bacterial release to the environment. The physiology and ultrastructure of TP cells is optimized for environmental survival and resistance to stress, with

expressed virulence factors enabling infection of a new host (Molofsky and Swanson, 2004;

Robertson *et al*., 2014; Oliva *et al.,* 2018).

The biphasic switch between RP and TP is controlled by a stringent response-based

regulatory network activated by accumulation of alarmone guanosine tetraphosphate (ppGpp),

which is synthesized by RelA and SpoT in response to nutritional deprivation. Synthase RelA

senses low amino acid concentrations (Zusman *et al.,* 2002), whereas bifunctional synthase and

hydrolase SpoT senses fatty acid flux via acyl carrier proteins (ACP) (Edwards *et al.,* 2009;

Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). Accumulated ppGpp is associated with activation of the LetA/LetS two

component system (TCS), which in turn induces transcription of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs)

RsmY and RsmZ (Hammer *et al.*, 2002; Rasis and Segal, 2009; Sahr *et al.,* 2009; Nevo *et al.,*

2014). These ncRNAs target and sequester the RNA-binding protein CsrA, thus modulating

CsrA-mediated post-transcriptional regulation of transcripts associated with the transition to TP.

Such transcripts include those encoding Dot/Icm effectors and those linked to cellular

metabolism (Häuslein *et al.,* 2017*;* Sahr *et al*., 2017). Other TCS also play a pivotal role in

bacterial differentiation and are often required for virulence in host cells, such as CpxR/A

(Feldheim *et al.,* 2016; Tanner *et al.,* 2016); PmrA/B (Zusman *et al.,* 2007; Al-Khodor *et al.,*

2009) and quorum sensing via LqsS/T/R (Tiaden *et al.,* 2007; Tiaden *et al.,* 2008; Schell *et al.,*

2014; Personnic *et al.,* 2018). In addition, a subset of transcriptional regulators plays an

important role in TP induction. IHF assists LetA in the upregulation of RsmY and RsmZ, and is

required for full cyst-like form differentiation and virulence in *A. castellanii* (Morash *et al*.,

2009; Pitre *et al*., 2013). OxyR regulates transcription of the operon encoding the CpxR/A TCS,

linking it to virulence in *A. castellanii* (Tanner *et al.,* 2017). Recently, the autorepressor PsrA

was found to be required for optimal intracellular growth and cyst-like form biogenesis in *A.*

castellanii (Graham *et al*., 2021). While the genetic targets of PsrA have not yet been identified,

a potential linkage between PsrA and the core stringent response network has been described

with focus on fatty acid flux and an associated ACP (Graham *et al.,* 2021).

Accumulated ppGpp also directly affects transcriptomic changes associated with the

transition from RP to TP. For example, expression of stationary phase sigma factor RpoS is

increased (Dalebroux *et al*., 2010), which is required for the expression of TP traits and

intracellular growth in protozoa such as *A. castellanii* (Hales and Shuman, 1999; Bachman and

Swanson, 2001; Zusman *et al.,* 2002; Abu Zant *et al.,* 2006; Hovel-Miner *et al.,* 2009). While the

precise mechanism has not yet been ascertained in *L. pneumophila*, ppGpp influences expression

most likely by binding directly to RNA polymerase (RNAP), as has been described in *E. coli*

where ppGpp binds with equal affinity either to a site encompassed by the ω and β' subunits, or

at the interface between RNAP and secondary channel co-factor DksA. DksA features a pair of

conserved aspartate residues to enable ppGpp binding (Perederina *et al.,* 2004; Ross *et al*., 2013;

Ross *et al*., 2016; Myers *et al*., 2020). The latter event results in a conformational change in both

ppGpp and DksA affecting the stability of the open complex generated by the RNAP

holoenzyme thereby altering the efficiency of transcription initiation (Chatterji *et al*., 1998;

Lemke *et al.*, 2011; Gummesson *et al.,* 2013; Ross *et al*., 2016; Molodtsov *et al.*, 2018; Travis

and Schumacher, 2021). DksA can act independently of ppGpp, compensate for its absence if

overexpressed, or even act antagonistically to ppGpp at different promoters (Magnussun *et al.,*

2007; Aberg *et al.,* 2008; Potrykus and Cashel, 2008; Aberg *et al*., 2009). DksA exists in a

dynamic equilibrium with structural homologs GreA and GreB in *E. coli*, which compete with

DksA for secondary channel binding. If either GreA or GreB binds, then DksA-independent

ppGpp binding to RNAP still occurs to influence expression (Vinella *et al.,* 2012).

L. pneumophila encodes both GreA and DksA orthologs, although GreA has not yet been

targeted for study. Like its *E. coli* ortholog, DksA acts independently of, or in coordination with,

ppGpp to regulate genes encoding TP traits (Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). Previously, DksA was

shown to be required in a ppGpp-dependent manner for TP differentiation including flagellar

biosynthesis. Likewise, DksA and ppGpp are both required for optimal intracellular growth in *A.*

castellanii. Conversely, DksA, but not ppGpp, is dispensable for intracellular growth in murine

macrophages, indicating that ppGpp may act independently of DksA in *L. pneumophila*

(Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). Thus, the contributions of ppGpp and DksA to the stringent response,

are well characterized among the proteobacteria though the mechanisms and targets may vary

between them (Gourse *et al*., 2018).

In this study, we describe an interplay between DksA and PsrA in the regulation of

virulence in protozoa, discovered through a hypomorphic mutant of DksA (DksA1). We show

that nominal intracellular growth can be fully achieved with DksA compensating for lack of

PsrA, but only partially achieved with PsrA supplementing DksA1, suggesting that PsrA

transcriptionally assists DksA. Structural modelling of DksA1 suggests that the transcription

efficiency of this RNAP co-factor is compromised, thereby highlighting the proposed synergistic

role of PsrA. Further, only DksA is essential for the *in vitro* phenotypic traits of extended

culturability, cell morphology and pigmentation. Conversely, *in vitro* evidence suggests that the

long chain fatty chain metabolic response is mediated by PsrA together with DksA. Taken

together, we show that PsrA and DksA have distinct and overlapping biological roles in the

regulation of TP highlighting a complex regulatory interaction between these two regulatory

factors.

Methods

Structural Predictions and Modelling The amino acid sequences of DksA and DskA1 were

submitted to the collabfold2 sever (Pettersen *et al*. 2021) with default settings. Top rank #1

models were selected for modelling and analysis in UCSF ChimeraX (Pettersen *et al*., 2021).

Structural overlays between Lp02 DksA, DksA1, and DksA (5VSW) (Molodtsov *et al*., 2018)

were aligned with Matchmaker. Conservation alignments were performed using Consurf

(Landau *et al*., 2005; Ashkenazy *et al*., 2016) with the Alphafold2 model of Lp02 DksA.

Culture of *E. coli* and *L pneumophila* *Legionella pneumophila* Philadelphia-1 derivative Lp02

parental and isogenic strains, as described in Table 1, were grown on Buffered Charcoal Yeast

Extract (BCYE) agar plates (Feeley *et al.*, 1979) at 37°C and 5% CO_2, or in Buffered-Yeast

Extract (BYE) broth in tubes on a roller drum at 37°C, supplemented as required with thymidine

(100 μg/mL), sucrose (5% w/v) or kanamycin sulphate (25 μg/mL). *E. coli* was utilized for

cloning and plasmid propagation purposes [DH5α for *in trans* expression vector pJB908 or pThy

(pBH6119), and DH5α λpir (suicide vector pSR47S) was grown on LB agar plates, or broth in

tubes on a roller drum, supplemented as required with ampicillin (100 μg/mL) or kanamycin

sulphate (40 μg/mL) and incubated at 37°C.

Molecular methods/reagents, cloning, and sequencing Chemicals were procured form VWR

(Ottawa, ON, Canada), Thermo-Fisher (Mississauga, ON, Canada), or Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville,

ON, Canada). Restriction endonuclease and PCR polymerase (Taq, Q5), and other cloning

reagents were procured from New England Biolabs (Whitby, ON, Canada). Oligonucleotide

primers, ordered from Life Technologies (Thermo-Fisher), were utilized under conditions listed

in Table 2. Nucleic acid purification kits were purchased from Qiagen (Toronto, ON, Canada).

Sanger sequencing for routine verification of constructs and mutant validations was done on a

fee-based service by The Centre for Applied Genomics (The Hospital for Sick Children,

Toronto, ON, Canada). Whole genome sequencing was undertaken by phenol-chloroform

isolation of genomic DNA followed by sequencing via Pacbio SMRT at Genome-Québec

(Montreal, QC, Canada) and assembled using Geneious^{TM} software.

***dskA1* and *ΔdksA* mutant strains** The *dksA1* mutation was identified through whole genome

sequencing of a Δ*psrA* mutant strain (i.e. *dksA1* Δ*psrA*) during experiments for Graham *et al.*

(2021). The *dskA1* mutation was then traced back to the electrocompetent cell stock to attain the

dksA1 strain via PCR screening using primer set (PF dksA1 missing / PR dksA1 missing) that

annealed to the missing 24 bp region within *dksA1* in combination with primer set (PF dksA ext

conf / PR dksA ext conf). For these reactions, PF dksA1 missing was paired with PR dksA ext

conf, and PF dksA ext conf was paired with PR dksA1 missing, such that each primer set only

generated product to identify the *dksA1* allele. For generation of an in-frame Δ*dksA* mutant

strain, ~1000 bp 5′ (primer set PF 5-flk dksA SalI / PR 5-flk dksA BamH1) and 3′ (PF 3-flk

dksA BamH1 / PR 3-flk dksA SacI) regions flanking *dksA* were PCR-amplified and cloned into

suicide vector pSR47S to use for routine allelic exchange. For generation of *in trans* expression

constructs, either *dksA* or *dksA1* were PCR-amplified from Lp02 or *dksA1* mutant CG799,

respectively, using primer set (PF comp dksA BamHI / PR comp dksA SacI) and cloned into

pJB908, and introduced into parental or isogenic mutant strains by electroporation. The *in trans*

psrA expression vector was from a previous study (originally referred to as pComp henceforth

renamed to pVect-*psrA* in this study) (Graham *et al*., 2021). Use of pThy empty vector pBH6119

is used to rescue thymidine auxotrophy of Lp02. Plasmid constructs and mutations were

routinely verified by sequencing isolated plasmids or PCR amplicon products, and mutant strains

were routinely subjected to whole genome sequencing to rule out secondary mutations.

***In vitro* growth kinetics** *L. pneumophila* strains were grown on plates for three days under

standard conditions. Bacterial cell mass was collected with a disposable loop and suspended in

pre-warmed BYE broth and normalized to $OD_{600} = 0.15$. Subsequently 150 µL of this suspension

was loaded in triplicate into wells within a 96-well flat bottomed microtitre plate, the lid was

sealed with Parafilm, and loaded into a BioTek Synergy HTX plate reader, reading OD_{600} hourly

for 24 h at 37°C or every 2 h for 48 h at 25°C with 150 rpm linear shaking. Results were plotted

in GraphPad Prism^{TM} 9.1 and analyzed via two-way ANOVA analysis.

Culture and intracellular kinetics in protozoan *A. castellanii* *Acanthamoeba castellanii*

(ATCC 30234) were propagated in PYG media at 25°C as previously described (Tanner *et al.,*

2016). Briefly, infections were undertaken in AC buffer by placing ~10^6 protozoan trophozoites

in each well of a set of tissue culture-treated 24-well plates and allowing them to adhere, then

overlaying them with bacteria normalized by OD_{600} to a MOI of 0.1 in AC buffer. After 1 h

incubation at 25°C, the wells were washed 3 x 1 mL AC buffer, before incubating in 1 mL/well

of AC buffer at 25°C until the indicated timepoints. Protozoa were lysed mechanically via

vortexing, and the lysate serially diluted in AC buffer onto BCYE-agar plates to enumerate titres.

To enhance enumeration of difficult-to-recover avirulent strains, a portion of the lysate was

tenfold concentrated by centrifugation at 21,000 x *g* in a microcentrifuge for 2 min, then 0.9 vol

of the supernatant drawn off, the pellet resuspended in the remaining volume and spotted

alongside the serial dilutions. After counting titres, graphs were plotted and analyzed (two-way

ANOVA) in GraphPad Prism[TM] 9.1.

Culture and intracellular kinetics in U937 human macrophages Human U937 monocytic

cells were propagated and differentiated into macrophages using phorbol myristate acetate

(PMA, Sigma-Aldrich) as previously described (Tanner *et al.,* 2016). Cells were grown in

RPMI-1640 media (HyClone, Thermo-Fisher) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal

bovine serum (Seradigm, Mississauga, ON) (RPMI + FBS) at 37°C and 5% CO_2 that was used

for all washes and incubations in a pre-warmed state. For infection, ~ 10^6 activated U937-derived

macrophages were placed into each well of a tissue culture-treated 24 well plate, allowed to

adhere, and overlaid with *L. pneumophila* strains normalized by OD_{600} to a MOI of 2, for 1 h.

The bacteria were washed away with 3 x 1 mL washes in RPMI + FBS, followed by an

incubation for 1 h with RPMI + FBS with 100 µg/mL gentamicin. After a further 3 x 1 mL

washes in RPMI + FBS, the cells were incubated in 1 mL RPMI + FBS until lysis at indicated

timepoints. Cells were lysed osmotically by replacing the RPMI + FBS with ice-cold HyClone

ultrapure water and repeated pipetting (Thermo-Fisher), then serially diluting the lysate in

additional HyClone water and enumerating *L. pneumophila* titres by spotting the dilutions on

BCYE-agar plates. After counting, graphs were plotted and analyzed via two-way ANOVA in

GraphPad Prism[TM] 9.1.

Pigment, morphology and culturability assays Suspensions of *L. pneumophila* were prepared

as per *in vitro* growth kinetics. Pigment assays were undertaken in accordance to Wiater et al.

(1994). Briefly, inoculated 5 mL BYE broth cultures were incubated for 72 h. To quantify

pigment at 24 h timepoints, 1 mL of culture was withdrawn, pelleted at 21,000 x g in a

microcentrifuge for 2 min, after which 150 µL of supernatant was loaded in triplicate into 96

well plates and read for absorbance at 550 nm on a BioTek Synergy HTX plate reader (Wiater *et*

al., 1994). After removal of residual supernatant, the pellet was suspended in 1 mL of 1 x PBS

pH 7.0 and similarly loaded (150 µL) into a 96 well plate then read for OD_{600}. Blank controls

were the same volume of BYE or 1 x PBS as appropriate. Results were plotted and analyzed

using GraphPad Prism^{TM} (9.1). Photographed samples were prepared similarly and at specified

allowed to sit undisturbed for 24 h at ambient temperatures (~22°C) for cells to sediment out by

gravity. Long-term culturability was assessed by inoculating 96 well plates with six wells for

each strain, containing 150 µL of an OD_{600} = 0.15 suspension in BYE. The outermost rows and

columns were filled with sterile water to reduce evaporation, the plates were sealed with

Parafilm and incubated on a shaking platform at 37°C for four days. At indicated intervals, cell

aggregates were dispersed with pipetting, the OD_{600} was read with a BioTek Synergy HTX plate

reader across the wells containing unharvested culture, and one well of each strain harvested and

serially diluted for titre on BCYE agar plates. Results were plotted and analyzed using GraphPad

Prism (9.1). For colony-spot morphology, 10 µL of the residual OD_{600} = 0.15 suspension used to

prepare these assays was spotted on a BCYE plate with spots spread out to twice the spacing of a

standard multi-channel pipette then incubated for 4 days at 37°C and 5% CO_2, then

photographed.

LCFA assays Suspensions of *L. pneumophila* strains were prepared as per the *in vitro* kinetics,

at OD_{600} = 0.15 in BYE broth, except that media was supplemented with two-fold serially diluted

concentrations of palmitic acid (PA) as a 40 mM stock dissolved in 100% ethanol. All broth

including PA dilutions, controls and blanks was normalized to 0.5% ethanol. The suspension was

then subject to a standard in vitro growth curve as described in the *in vitro* kinetics section.

Inhibition was defined as growth of <50% in comparison to that of the same strain in a BYE +

0.5% ethanol-only control at the end of 24 h. Data were graphed using GraphPad Prism^{TM} 9.1.

Microscopy Microscopy and cell lengths were conducted as per Graham *et al.,* (2021). Briefly,

broth cultures in BYE broth were inoculated at OD_{600} = ~0.02 and incubated for 18 h to OD_{600} =

0.5-1.0 at 37°C to enter exponential phase, then were mounted on a 2% w/v agarose pad

prepared in water and imaged on an Axio Observer Z1 inverted microscope (Zeiss) equipped

with a glycerol-immersion 150X objective. Stationary phase cells were imaged by returning the

cultures to the roller drum for a further ~24 h to achieve stationary phase and imaged similarly.

Cell lengths were quantified using the length tool in ImageJ and lengths plotted and analyzed in

GraphPad Prism^{TM} (9.1).

 Table 1 List of strains and plasmids

Number	Name	Description	Source/reference
E. coli			
	DH5α	F′ *endA1 hsdR17*(r_k- m_k-) *supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA* (*Nal*ʳ) *relA1* Δ(*lacZYA-argF*)*U169 deoR*(ϕ80lacΔ(lacZ)M15)	New England Biolabs
	DH5α λpir	K-12 F- φ80*lacZ*ΔM15 *endA recAhsdR17* (*rm-mK+*) *supE44 thi-1 gyrA96 relA1* Δ(*lacZYA-argF*) U169 *λpir*	M. Swanson (Bryan *et al.*, 2013)
L. pneumophila			
C858	Lp02	Strʳ, Thy⁻, HsdR⁻ derivative of Philadelphia-1 strain	M. Swanson (Berger and Isberg, 1993)
KB265	Lp03	Lp02 Δ*dotA* mutant	R. Isberg (Berger and Isberg, 1993)
CG859	Δ*psrA*	Lp02 Δ*psrA* (unmarked, in frame deletion mutant)	Graham *et al.,* (2021)
CG860	Lp02 pThy	Lp02 pBH6119	Tanner *et al.*, (2016)
JT708	Δ*dotA* pThy	Lp03 pBH6119	Tanner *et al.*, (2016)
CG861	Lp02 pVect-*psrA*	Lp02 pJB908-*psrA*	Graham *et al.,* (2021)
CG862	Δ*psrA pThy*	Δ*psrA* pThy	Graham *et al.,* (2021)
CG863	Δ*psrA* pVect-*psrA*	Δ*psrA* pJB908-*psrA*	Graham *et al.,* (2021)
CG799	*dksA1*	Lp02 *dksA1* (*dksA1*[Δ*170-194]*); spontaneous 24 bp in-frame deletion	This study
CG819	*dksA1* pThy	*dksA1* pBH6119	This study
CG813	*dksA1*Δ*psrA*	Lp02 *dksA1* Δ*psrA*	This study
CG826	*dksA1* Δ*psrA* pThy	*dksA1* Δ*psrA* pBH6119	This study
CG1053	Δ*dksA*	Lp02 Δ*dksA* (unmarked, in frame deletion mutant of *dksA*)	This study
CG1054	Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA*	Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA*	This study
CG1055	Δ*dksA* pThy	Δ*dksA* pBH6119	This study
CG1056	Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy	Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pBH6119	This study
CG1057	Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA*	Δ*dksA* pBH6119	This study
CG1059	Lp02 pVect-*dksA*	Lp02 pJB908-*dksA*	This study
CG1060	Δ*psrA* pVect-*dksA*	Δ*psrA* pJB908-*dksA*	This study
CG1061	Δ*dksA* pVect-*psrA*	Δ*dksA* pJB908-*psrA*	This study
CG1101	Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA1*	Δ*dksA* pJB908-*dksA1*	This study
CG1102	Lp02 pVect-*dksA1*	Lp02 pVect-*dksA1*	This study
CG1146	*dksA1* pVect-*dksA*	*dksA1* pVect-*dksA*	This study
Plasmids			
	pJB908	RSF1010 cloning vector, *td*Δ*i*, Ampʳ Thy⁺	J. Vogel (Sexton *et al.*, 2004)
	pBH6119	RSF1010 promoterless GFP vector; Ampʳ, Thy⁺	M. Swanson (Hammer *et al.*, 2002)
	pSR47S	oriTRP4 oriR6K *kan*ʳ *sacB*	J. Vogel (Merriam *et al.*, 1997)
pPP619	pVect-*psrA*	pJB908-*psrA*	Graham *et al.,* (2021)

pCG1051	pVect-*dksA*	pJB908-*dksA*	This study
pCG1080	pVect-*dksA1*	pJB908-*dksA1* allele recovered from spontaneous *dksA1* Lp02 strain CG799	This study
pCG1069	pSR47S-5′flk-*ΔdksA*-3′flk	pSR47S with 5′ and 3′ flanking regions for Δ*dksA*	This study

287   **Table 2** List of oligonucleotides.

Name	Sequence	Annealing Temp. (ºC)	Product Size (bp)
Cloning/Confirmation			
PF 5-flk dksA SalI	gcgataGTCGACgttagaggcagaacttttactctg	62	915
PR 5-flk dksA BamH1	gcgataGGATCCatcaattaattgtcctgtcatgcc		
PF 3-flk dksA BamH1	gcgataGGATCCcagggtgcttgattctttcttg	62	978
PR 3-flk dksA SacI	gcgataGAGCTCgtaataagggctctgtagtgagg		
PF comp dksA BamHI	gcgataGGATCCgatttcagcaaagaggcattgg	62	1016 (*dksA*) 992 (*dksA1*)
PR comp dksA SacI	gcgataGAGCTCgaatcaagcaccctgattttgg		
PF dksA ext conf	caagcaatcggaataacaaacc	62	842
PR dksA ext conf	cactgtaagccttgttgattttc		
PR dksA conf int	gtcttgcttcaagacgtttcag	57.5	533 (with PF ext dksA conf)
pF dksA1 missing*	ggaagaagtagatcgtactgtctc	57.5	320 with pF ext dksA conf*
PR dksA1 missing*	gagacagtacgatctacttcttcc	57.5	546 to pR ext dksA conf*
Sequencing			
PF pSR47s seq	ctgttgcatgggcataaagttg	-	–
PR pSR47s seq	cacaggaaacagctatgacc	-	-
PF pJB908 seq	ctccgtgatggaatgacaac	-	–
PR pJB908 seq	gaccgcttctgcgttctga	-	–

288   Restriction sites indicated by capitalized letters.

289   * dksA1 missing primers used for screening strains, anneal to the region missing in *dksA1* and
290   will only generate product with the parental allele.

Results

***dksA1* mutation** In a previous study, we deleted *psrA* from the parental Lp02 strain (Graham *et al*.*, 2021). Throughout this process, we noted a propensity for some of the isogenic Δ*psrA* strains to acquire secondary mutations in *letS* or *dksA* as discovered through routine whole genome sequencing. One particular Δ*psrA* strain was found to contain an in-frame 24 bp deletion within the *dksA* coding sequence, that resulted in the loss of eight amino acid residues after the 57th residue in the DksA polypeptide (Figure S1A, B). The 57th codon was additionally altered from encoding leucine to phenylalanine. Thus, this allele was denoted as the *dksA1* mutation that is characterized in this study.

As the truncation appeared in the designated coiled-coil (CC) domain of DksA, we investigated how the truncation could affect the fold of DksA1 using AlphaFold2 (Jumper *et al*., 2021; Skolnick *et al*., 2021). The polypeptide sequences of DksA and DksA1 were submitted to the colabfold2 server (AlphaFold2) with default settings and were modelled with a moderate-to-high degree of confidence (Figure S1C, D). The CC domain of DksA was well built as was the majority of the globular-head (GH) domain. Conversely, the eight-amino acid truncation in DksA1 resulted in a partial disruption of the tip of the CC domain with AlphaFold predicting a 'disordered' loop with moderate confidence (Figure S1D). The extent of the potential structural changes in the mis-formed tip of the CC domain in DksA1 can be observed when overlaid with a previously solved structure of a DksA:RNAP complex from *E. coli* (PDB ID: 5VSW) (Molodtsov *et al*., 2018). As shown in Figure 1A, all DksA variants align well, except for the altered CC-loop regions near helix α3 and the C-terminal region helix α5 not present in the DksA *E. coli* crystal structure. Importantly, the truncation did not delete key function residues shown to be needed for interaction of DksA with the RNAP allosteric (K104, L101, K100, R97) or with

the RNAP active site (D80, D77) (Parshin *et al*., 2015; Molodtsov *et al*., 2018) (Figure 1B).

Furthermore, all DksA variant structures can be modeled into RNAP (Figure S2) slotting into the

allosteric site (Figure 1C) and RNAP active site (Figure 1D) without significant variation from

the experimental DksA structure. However, while the AlphaFold predictions for Lp02 DksA and

DksA1 had a properly modeled ppGpp binding site (Figure 1E), the twin-aspartate loop of the

CC domain was significantly altered in DksA1 through the shift of D69 (D71 in DksA) by ~8.9Å

(Figure 1F). These models therefore suggest that while the functional residues are conserved

from the truncation, DksA1 should be incapable of coordinating Mg^{2+} in the active site of

RNAP. Conversely, the structural predictions show that DksA1 is likely still able to bind RNAP.

Specifically, DksA1 is predicted to still contact the secondary binding site of ppGpp functionally

as an allosteric inhibitor of RNAP through ppGpp-mediated recruitment.

PsrA partially rescues the growth defect of the *dksA1*, but not Δ*dksA*, strain in *A. castellanii*

Given the previously described regulatory roles of DksA and PsrA (Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010;

Graham *et al.,* 2021), the discovery of the Δ*psrA dksA1* strain along with the *dksA1* strain

presented a fortuitous opportunity to investigate potential regulatory links between the two

proteins in the established *A. castellanii* protozoan infection model. Intracellular growth kinetics

were conducted on *dksA1* pThy, Δ*psrA* pThy, *dksA1* Δ*psrA* pThy, and *dksA1* pVect-*psrA* strains,

along with virulent Lp02 pThy and avirulent Δ*dotA* pThy strains as controls, at 25ºC over 168 h

as previously described (Tanner *et al.,* 2016; Graham *et al*., 2021) (Figure 2A). The profiles of

Lp02 pThy and Δ*dotA* pThy strains were as expected demonstrating ~ three-$\log_{10}$ increases in

titre, and titres staying near initial inoculum concentration, respectively. The *dksA1* pThy and

Δ*psrA dksA1* pThy strains showed no significant increase in titres indicating that the

chromosomal single copy *dksA1* mutation was defective for virulence. Surprisingly, *in trans*

PsrA expression in the *dksA1* background resulted in a two-log$_{10}$ recovery of growth ($p = 0.0006$)

to a titre within one-log$_{10}$ of the Lp02 pThy strain. This suggested PsrA were able to partially,

but not fully, rescue the avirulent phenotype demonstrated by the *dksA1* strain. While a genomic

copy of *psrA* is present in the *dksA1* strain, it was shown previously that *in trans* expression of

PsrA from pVect-*psrA* resulted in an elevated quantity of cellular protein that not only rescued

the reduced growth phenotype associated with gene deletion of *psrA*, but also enhanced

replicative growth of Lp02 in *A. castellanii* (Graham *et al.*, 2021). Nevertheless, the surprising

ability of PsrA to partially complement the growth defect of *dksA1* led us to expand our

investigations to define the relationship between the two regulatory factors.

A previous study elsewhere reported that an insertional *dksA* mutant showed a modest

intracellular growth defect in *A. castellanii* at 37°C with slow growth initially, but eventually

achieving similar titres to Lp02 by the end of the 96 h experiment (Dalebroux *et al,* 2010). This

reported phenotype differs in comparison to the significantly reduced intracellular growth

observed with the *dksA1* strain in this study, thereby supporting our hypothesis that DksA1 bore

altered function and distinct phenotype versus the null mutant. To test this, and to determine if

the compensatory effect of *psrA* observed with the *dksA1* mutant strain extended to a null

deletion mutant strain, we generated single Δ*dksA* and double Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* mutant strains. The

intracellular growth kinetics of the strains were assessed in *A. castellanii* under the same

conditions (Figure 2B). Interestingly, the Δ*dksA* pThy and Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy mutant strains

were both fully avirulent, starting at a slightly lower titre than the Δ*dotA* pThy strain, then

declining to, or below, the detection limit of the assay (~10 CFU/mL). The severe growth defect

of the Δ*dksA* pThy strain was fully rescued by *in trans* expression of *dksA*, but not *psrA*; a

notable divergence from the rescued phenotype demonstrated by the *dksA1* pVect-*psrA* strain.

Taken together, the lack of DksA results in a complete avirulent phenotype in these conditions

which cannot be rescued by PsrA, whereas the hypomorph DskA1 has a less severe impact on

intracellular growth that can be partially rescued by PsrA.

DksA1 rescues the growth defect of the Δ*dksA* strain, and vice versa, in *A. castellanii* Since

DksA can rescue the severe growth defect of the Δ*dksA* strain in *A. castellanii* (Figure. 2B), we

next asked if the partially functional DksA1 could likewise do so despite the truncation in the

coiled-coil structure (Figure 1). To answer this, the Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA1* strain was assessed in *A.*

castellanii along with Δ*dksA* pThy, Lp02 pThy and Δ*dotA* pThy (Figure 2C). The severely

reduced growth phenotype of the Δ*dksA* pThy strain was robustly rescued by *in trans* expression

of DksA1 as shown by the growth profile of the Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA1* strain ($p = 0.0002$)

matching that of the Lp02 pThy strain. It should be noted that this growth phenotype contrasted

with the minimal growth profile of the *dksA1* pThy strain (Figure 2A), suggesting that restoration

of the virulent phenotype of the Δ*dksA* strain was due to *in trans* expression of DksA1 protein

(pVect-*dksA1*) of sufficient levels to offset the structural and functional inefficiency. Not

unexpectantly, *in trans* expression of DksA successfully rescued the avirulent phenotype of the

dksA1 pThy strain to match the growth profile of Lp02 pThy most likely due to the *in trans*

expression of DksA of which levels exceeded that of DksA1 (Figure 2D). In the reverse scenario,

the growth profile of Lp02 pVect-*dksA1* still matched that of Lp02 pThy suggesting that despite

the *in trans* expression of DksA1, the chromosomal-copy production levels of DksA and PsrA in

parallel were each sufficient to disguise any effects caused by the dysfunctional DksA1 (Figure

2A, Figure 2D).

DksA restores the growth defect of the ΔpsrA strain in *A. castellanii* To further define the

relationship between DksA and PsrA, we next asked if DksA could reciprocally compensate for

lack of PsrA or generally, affect intracellular growth in the presence of PsrA. To answer this,

Lp02 pVect-*dksA* and ΔpsrA pVect-*dksA* strains were assessed for growth in *A. castellanii* along

with the Lp02 pThy, ΔdotA pThy and the ΔpsrA pThy strains for comparative purposes (Figure

2E). As previously reported, the ΔpsrA pThy strain consistently maintained 1 $\log_{10}$ lower than

that of Lp02 pThy ($p = 0.029$) (Graham *et al*., 2021). The mean growth profile of the Lp02

pVect-*dksA* strain showed a subtle increase of ~½ $\log_{10}$ over that of Lp02 pThy ($p = 0.007$); this

enhancement could also be seen in the ΔdksA pVect-*dksA* complemented strain (Figure 2D; $p =$

0.0247). Likewise, we found that *in trans* expression of DksA in the ΔpsrA pVect-*dksA* strain

rescued the growth defect of ΔpsrA strain, increasing growth by ~1 $\log_{10}$ to match that of Lp02

pThy strain ($p < 0.001$ versus ΔpsrA pThy, not significant versus Lp02 pThy). Although this

experiment did not generate large phenotypic differences, we found the tendency towards subtle

phenotypes upon *in trans* expression of DksA to be noteworthy relative to the very strong

phenotypes noted in the *dksA* mutant strains.

DksA is not required for intracellular growth in human U937-derived macrophages

Some *L. pneumophila* genes exhibit host specific requirements such that a subset are required

only for growth in one host type, but not in another. Previous work showed that the human

U937-derived macrophage infection model is more permissive to *L. pneumophila* regulatory

mutant strains than *A. castellanii*, as exemplified by transcriptional regulator ΔpsrA or ΔcpxRA

TCS mutants (Tanner *et al.,* 2016; Graham *et al.,* 2021). DksA was previously shown to be

dispensable for growth in primary murine bone marrow macrophages (Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010).

However, since the phenotype we observed for the Δ*dksA* strain in *A. castellanii* was different

from that reported by Dalebroux *et al.* (2010), we decided to ascertain the phenotype using the

human monocytic U937 cell-line as a representative mammalian cell model. Intracellular growth

kinetics were assessed for the same set of strains previously used in the *A. castellanii* infection

assays (Figure 2). As expected, Lp02 pThy grew well, increasing approximately 100-fold by the

72 h timepoint (Figure 3A), and Δ*dotA* pThy maintained a steady titre with no growth. In

comparison to that of Lp02 pThy, Δ*dksA* pThy and Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy showed similarly robust,

but offset growth profiles. Additionally, despite normalization of the inoculum by OD_{600}, these

two strains showed initial quantified titres lower than that of Lp02 pThy (Figure 3A). Only

Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy showed a significant deviation from Lp02 pThy ($p = 0.049$). The Δ*dksA*

pVect-*psrA* showed a similar profile to that of Lp02 pThy (Figure 3A), and did not show the

reduced starting titre, but the difference to Δ*dksA* pThy was not significant. No significant

change in phenotype was observed with the remaining set of strains featuring *in trans* DksA

expression, either by complementation (Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA*), overexpression, (Lp02 pVect-*dksA*)

or in Δ*psrA* pVect-*dksA* (Figure 3B). The growth profiles of the *dksA1* pThy, *dks1* Δ*psrA* pThy,

or *dksA1* pVect-*psrA* were indistinguishable from Lp02 pThy (Figure 3C) and lacked even

variability in the initial uptake. Thus, these results verify that DksA is not required for, nor does

it enhance, intracellular growth in U937-derived macrophages.

***In vitro* growth is impacted by DksA, PsrA and DksA1** The differences observed with the

intracellular growth kinetics of isogenic mutant strains with and without *in trans* gene expression

constructs in *A. castellanii* may be in part due to defects in the growth rates of the cells, rather

than solely virulence defects *in vivo*. To distinguish this possibility and more generally

characterize the *in vitro* growth characteristics of the strains, we tested the *in vitro* growth rates

of the strains in BYE broth at 37ºC over a 24-hour time period (Figure 4). While the growth

profiles of *dksA1* pThy and Lp02 pThy were similar to one another, both were distinct from the

growth profile of Δ*psrA* pThy which was significantly reduced in comparison to that of *dksA1*

pThy ($p = 0.0092$) and Lp02 pThy ($p = 0.0007$) (Figure 4A) with the latter comparison consistent

with our previous study (Graham *et al*., 2021). The growth profiles of Lp02 pThy, *dksA1* pVect-

psrA, and *dksA1* Δ*psrA* pThy were all similar to one another indicating that lack of PsrA, or

elevated levels of PsrA from *in trans* expression, did not affect the growth profile of the *dksA1*

(Figure 4B). Interestingly, the *in vitro* growth kinetics of Δ*dksA*-based strains featured growth

variability across replicates as manifested by the large error bars for hourly data points (Figures

4C and 4D). Despite this variability, the growth profile of Δ*dksA* pThy was not considered to be

significantly different from that of Lp02 pThy (Figure 4C). Notably, the growth variability

disappeared from the Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA* growth profile suggesting this was a complementable

phenomenon (Figure 4C). *In trans* expression of *dksA1* (Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA1*) significantly

reduced the growth profile in comparison to that of Lp02 pThy ($p = 0.0053$) indicating the

elevated levels of DksA1 compromised replicative growth (Figure 4C). As observed with *dksA1*

Δ*psrA* pThy (Figure 4B), the growth profile of Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy was similar to that of Lp02

pThy (Figure 4D) indicating the dispensability of PsrA. *In trans* expression of DksA in Lp02

(Lp02 pVect-*dksA*) did not alter the growth profile from that of Lp02 pThy (Figure 4E). While a

significant reduction was observed for the growth profile of Δ*psrA* pVect-*dksA* in comparison to

that of Lp02 pThy ($p = 0.0053$; Figure 4E), the difference was not due to *in trans* expression of

DksA as a similar difference was observed for Δ*psrA* pThy versus Lp02 pThy (Figure 4A). *In*

trans expression of PsrA did not reduce the growth variability phenotype of Δ*dksA* nor was the

growth profile significantly different from that of Lp02 pThy (Figure 4F). *In trans* expression of

DksA1 had no effect as the growth profiles of Lp02 pVect-*dksA1* and Lp02 pThy were similar

(Figure 4E).

The *in vitro* growth profile assays were repeated at 25°C, albeit over a 48-hour time

period to account for the slower growth rate, to mimic the incubation temperature of the *A.*

castellanii assays. The resultant growth profiles were mostly similar to those attained at 37°C,

with growth variability of Δ*dksA* pThy exacerbated at 25°C as indicated by data points with

widened error bars (Figure 5C). One notable difference was that the growth profile of Δ*dksA*

pVect-*dksA1* matched that of Lp02 pThy at 25°C (Figure 5D), versus the reduction at 37°C

(Figure 4C), suggesting that the slower growth rate and/or lower temperature accommodated the

dysfunctional nature of DskA1.

These findings together suggest that while strains lacking *dksA* featured more variability

in growth profiles, more so at 25°C then at 37°C, the *in vitro* growth assays (Figures 4 and 5)

illustrates that the observed *in vivo* growth defects are specific to *A. castellanii* (Figure 2), and

not due to poor growth of the bacterial cells themselves.

DksA, and possibly PsrA, affects cell morphology Throughout this study, it was noted that the

colony morphologies of Δ*dksA* and *dksA1* strains grown on BCYE agar plates differed

significantly from that of Lp02. In comparison to the opaque beige-white glossy colonies with

varied margins featured by Lp02, Δ*dksA* colonies were textured matte white with defined

margins, and *dksA1* colonies were smooth glossy white with defined margins. The phenotypes

were particularly evident when bacterial suspensions in broth were spotted onto BCYE agar

plates as were done in serial dilution assays in this study (Figure 6). The phenotype was fully

rescued by *in trans* expression of DksA for both Δ*dksA* and *dksA1* strains, whereas *in trans*

DksA1 expression only partially rescued the phenotype of the Δ*dksA* strain. The colony

morphology of the Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* strain was similar to that of the Δ*dksA* strain, but not to the

Δ*psrA* strain which was similar to that of Lp02 indicating the sole influence of DksA. Finally, *in*

trans PsrA expression did not appear to alter colony morphology in all strain backgrounds

assessed (Lp02, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1, *Δ*psrA*) confirming that PsrA does not influence this phenotype.

We previously reported that PsrA promoted cell elongation when overexpressed *in trans*

(Graham *et al.,* 2021). Conversely, it was reported elsewhere that lack of DksA influenced cell

elongation (Dalebroux *et al*., 2010). To assess whether a genetic relationship between PsrA and

DksA was evident in cell structure morphology, and to further explore whether *dksA1* generated

a phenotype distinct from Δ*dksA*, bacteria in exponential growth phase (EP) and in stationary

phase (SP) were microscopically imaged (Figure 7) and analyzed for cell lengths (Figures 8A

and 8B). As a control, Lp02 pThy bacteria formed typical rods (mean length 3.6 µm) in EP, that

elongated into SP (mean length 5.8 µm). The Δ*dksA* pThy bacteria were notably elongated in EP

(mean length 6.2 µm) and, in SP, became extremely elongated into filamentous forms often of

sufficient length to often exceed the field of view, averaging 13.2 µm among measurable cells

(with the entire cell visible in one field of view). Likewise, the Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy bacteria were

also elongated in EP, and strongly filamentous in SP (mean lengths 5.4 µm and 17.1 µm,

respectively). Conversely, Δ*psrA* pThy bacteria were morphologically indistinguishable from

that of Lp02 pThy as previously observed (Graham *et al*, 2021). The filamentation of Δ*dksA*

pVect-*dksA* bacterial forms was rescued by *in trans* DksA expression as they were slightly, but

significantly shortened relative to Lp02 (2.9 µm and 4.7 µm in EP and SP phases, respectively).

Expression of DksA *in trans* in Δ*psrA* pVect-*dksA* and Lp02 pVect-*dksA* strains also resulted in

slightly, but significantly shorter cell lengths in comparison to those of Lp02 pThy and

comparable to ΔdksA pVect-dksA in both growth phases. *In trans* PsrA expression in ΔdksA

pVect-*psrA* did not strongly affect the bacterial cell lengths and elongation was still present (7.5

μm and 14.2 μm in EP and SP, respectively). These findings suggest that the shortening is

mediated by DksA independently of PsrA.

The bacterial forms of *dksA1* pThy, *dksA1 ΔpsrA* pThy, and *dksA1* pVect-*psrA* strain

were all slightly elongated relatively to Lp02 pThy in both EP (5.0 μm, 5.1 μm and 4.3 μm,

respectively) and SP (7.5 μm, 7.7 μm, and 7.8 μm, respectively), but were not considered

filamentous, and did not vary significantly from each other supporting the hypothesis that the

dksA1 allele maintained partial function. Interestingly, the ΔdksA pVect-*dksA1* strain did not

show rescue of the elongation to resemble *dksA1* levels as might be expected (5.6 μm in EP, 12.3

μm in SP). To rule out the simple possibility of the two gene products conflicting in some way,

we tested the *dksA1* pVect-*dksA* (plasmid- and chromosomal based alleles reversed). The

bacterial forms of this strain appeared somewhat shortened relative to Lp02 pThy in SP only (4.2

μm) resembling the other *in trans* DksA expression strains, suggesting that relative protein

concentrations may drive the phenotype. Together, this indicates that the previously reported role

of PsrA is overshadowed by the more potent role of DksA, and that the DksA1 protein shows an

intermediate phenotype illustrating that DksA1 is a partially functional protein in these strains.

DksA, or DksA1, is necessary for extended culturability in depleted media Previously, the

insertional mutant ΔdksA strain was also noted to feature reduced recovery of culturable bacteria

after extended incubation in depleted media, which the authors referred to as "survival" (i.e.

culturability) (Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). Over the course of this study, we noticed that the ΔdksA

mutants could not be consistently sub-cultured, or often failed to grow entirely, if taken from

BCYE agar plates grown more than three days. In view of this, we asked if this was a

consequence of previously observed culturability phenotype occurring in the ΔdksA strain, and

potentially the dksA1 strains, and whether PsrA may also contribute. As this phenotype had not

been assessed in the prior PsrA study (Graham et al., 2021), we decided to include ΔpsrA pThy,

ΔpsrA pVect-psrA and Lp02 pVect-psrA strains from Graham et al. (2021).

Over the time course, optical density values peaked comparably for all strains at 24 h,

and held steadily to the 96 h timepoint (Figure 9). Enumeration of titres for Lp02 pThy and

ΔpsrA pThy were comparable to one another (Figure 9A). The titres for ΔdksA pThy (Figure 9C)

and ΔdksA ΔpsrA pThy (Figure 9A) were significantly reduced by 72 h, and more so by the 96 h

for ΔdksA pThy (Figure 9C), noting that titre enumeration was not possible for ΔdksA ΔpsrA

pThy at 96 h as no colonies were recovered in any replicate for this strain at that timepoint

(detection limit ~10^2 CFU/mL; Figure 9A). The reduced culturability phenotype was rescued by

in trans expression of DksA (Figure 9B) or DksA1 (Figure 9C), but not PsrA (Figure 9B). The

extended culturability of dksA1 pThy strain was unaffected in comparison to Lp02 pThy, thereby

not requiring nor significantly benefiting from in trans expression of DksA or PsrA (Figure 9D).

The unaltered phenotype of the dksA1 ΔpsrA pThy in comparison to the dksA1 pThy strain

further supports the observation that PsrA does not contribute to extended culturability (Figure

9E). Further, in trans expression of DksA in the Lp02 strain does not significantly improve

extended culturability (Figure 9F). Thus, in summary, PsrA plays no role in extended

culturability, while DksA is essential for the culturability of Lp02 in depleted media as reported

elsewhere previously (Dalebroux et al., 2010). Notably, the hypomorph dskA1 allele has retained

this essential function of promoting extended culturability.

Pigmentation requires fully functional DksA, but not PsrA *L. pneumophila* pigment is a brown, melanin-like secreted protein that is released into the supernatant during the transition to stationary phase under the control of the stringent response through RelA (Zusman *et al*., 2002; Dalebroux *et al*., 2010). Further, we observed hyperpigmentation of a subset of parental, isogenic (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*) and *dksA1* strains producing DksA *in trans* (Figure 10A). To ascertain whether DksA1 and/or PsrA could contribute to this hyperpigmentation, we quantified the pigmentation for each strain as done elsewhere (Wiater *et al.*, 1994; Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010) (Figure 10B).

Lp02 pThy strain developed moderate pigmentation ($Abs_{550}/OD_{600} = {\sim}0.20$) that was similarly achieved by Δ*psrA* pThy, Δ*psrA* pVect-*psrA*, and Lp02 pVect-*psrA* strains suggesting that PsrA does not influence pigmentation (Figure 10B). This supposition is further borne out by the significantly reduced pigmentation ($Abs_{550}/OD_{600} = 0.10 - 0.12$) featured by both of the Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy and Δ*dksA* pThy strains. Significantly increased levels (i.e. hyperpigmentation) was achieved by the Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA* strain ($Abs_{550}/OD_{600} = 0.36 - 0.38$) which exceeded that of Lp02 pThy likely due to *in trans* production of DksA (Figure 10B). Indeed, the pigmentation phenotype was rescued by *in trans* DksA expression in the Δ*psrA* strain, but not by *in trans* PsrA expression in the Δ*dksA* strain, clearly indicating that only DksA positively influences pigmentation (Figure 10B). Pigmentation was also significantly and equitably reduced ($Abs_{550}/OD_{600} = 0.05 - 0.09$) for the *dksA1* pThy and *dksA1* Δ*psrA* strains. As the phenotype was not rescued by *in trans* PsrA expression in the *dksA1* pThy strain, this further supports the lack of involvement in pigmentation by PsrA (Figure 10B). Surprisingly, the hypomorph DksA1 could not rescue pigmentation in the Δ*dksA* strain, but pigmentation of *dksA1* strain could be induced by *in trans* DksA expression. The *dksA1* pVect-*dksA* strain showed delayed onset of hyperpigmentation such that only one of three replicates had fully pigmented by

30 h, with all replicates of this strain pigmented by 48 h (Figure 10A), but at 30 h the difference

was not statistically significant. These findings indicate that the ability of DksA1 to interact with

RNAP is impaired as suggested by structural modelling (Figures 1, 10B). Taken together, these

results indicate that DksA is sufficient to drive pigmentation independently of PsrA.

Growth in long chain fatty acids is mediated by PsrA and DksA The activation of the

biphasic switch is mediated in part by the response to fatty acid flux (Edwards *et al.,* 2009;

Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). It was shown elsewhere that *in vitro* supplementation of short chain fatty

acids (SCFAs), such as propionic acid, will trigger the expression of TP traits (Edwards *et al.,*

2009). Further, the process of differentiation in response to excess SCFAs is mediated by DksA

(Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). PsrA is also associated with differentiation though the precise role

remains to be elucidated (Graham *et al*., 2021). The *Pseudomonas* PsrA ortholog is a sensor of

long chain fatty acids (LCFAs) of which presence alleviates PsrA-mediated repression of the

fadBA5 β-oxidation operon (Kang *et al*., 2008). To investigate where PsrA also responds to

LCFAs, Δ*psrA* strains with and without *in trans* gene expression constructs were grown in BYE

broth supplemented with the LCFA palmitic acid to ascertain impact on bacterial growth. In

comparison to Lp02, 2-fold growth inhibition of the Δ*psrA* pThy strain was observed which was

rescued by *in trans* PsrA expression strongly suggesting that PsrA responds to LCFAs (Figure

11A). Because chromosomal-based DksA expression is present in the Δ*psrA* pThy strain, we

next asked if DksA also responded to palmitic acid which could be amplified through *in trans*

DksA expression in the Δ*psrA* strain background. While it appears that growth of the Δ*psrA*

pVect-*dksA* strain improved in comparison to the Δ*psrA* pThy strain, it was not found to be

statistically significant (Figure 11A). Likewise, *in trans* DksA expression in Lp02 did not

significantly improve bacterial growth over that of Lp02 alone (Figure 11A). Conversely, *in*

trans DksA expression significantly improved bacterial growth over that of Lp02 (Figure 11A).

To further investigate the role of DksA, Δ*dksA*-based strains were also assessed. The Δ*dksA*

Δ*psrA* pThy strain had 2-fold growth inhibition in comparison to Lp02 (Figure 11B). Growth

inhibition of the Δ*dksA* pThy strain was not considered statistically different from that of Lp02

(Figure 11B). Surprisingly, *in trans* PsrA expression in the Δ*dksA* strain could not fully rescue

the growth inhibition phenotype. Taken together, these results suggest that while PsrA is largely

responsible for the response to LCFAs, DksA also contributes additively to this response lending

support to the overlapping roles observed for the two regulatory factors for some of the traits in

this study.

Discussion

The biphasic lifecycle of *L. pneumophila* is controlled by the convergence of multiple signals into a sophisticated regulatory network that ultimately acts to induce TP differentiation. The primary signals driving differentiation link to the nutritional status of the environment, mediated largely by induction of the stringent-response and the associated downstream regulatory network in association with RNAP-binding cofactor DksA, alternative sigma factor RpoS, two-component systems and a subset of transcriptional regulators including PsrA (Fonseca and Swanson, 2014; Oliva *et al*., 2018; Graham *et al*., 2024).

This study investigated a spontaneous *dksA1* mutation resulting in a truncated DksA1 protein featuring a deletion within its CC domain (Figure 1) (Perederina *et al,* 2004; Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). The intermediate phenotype observed in bacteria expressing DksA1 relative to DksA can be explained by comparing their AlphaFold2 models to that of *E. coli* DksA bound to RNAP (Figure 1) (Molodtsov *et al*., 2018). These models predict DksA1 to be physically incapable of coordinating Mg^{2+} in the active site of RNAP due to the truncated loop in the CC domain (Figure 1D, F). However, DksA1 is still predicted to overall form the core CC and GH domains (Parshin *et al*., 2015; Molodtsov *et al*., 2018). This would hypothetically still allow DksA1 to bind into the allosteric binding pocket of RNAP (Figure 1C, S2), thereby recruiting a secondary ppGpp to RNAP. This would partially explain the variance in phenotype of *dksA1* as an intermediary between the WT and knockout strain. Likewise, these structural predictions also fit into the model of the interplay between GreA and DksA as allosteric inhibitors/activators of RNAP competing for the same allosteric site (Parshin *et al*., 2015; Molodtsov *et al*., 2018).

DksA1 (i.e. Δ*dksA1* pThy strain) affected reduced intracellular growth in *A. castellanii* protozoa indicating impaired function that was partially, but not fully, rescued by *in trans*

642 expression of *psrA* (Figure 2A). This surprising finding compelled us to use the DksA1

643 hypomorph to explore overlapping cellular functions of both regulators, inferring a subset of

644 gene targets jointly regulated by DksA and PsrA. To explore the possibilities that either DksA

645 and PsrA interact with each other, or act in parallel, Δ*dksA* pThy and Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy strains

646 were subsequently assessed for growth kinetics in *A. castellanii* protozoa (Figure 2B). The

647 Δ*dksA* pThy strain developed a more severely avirulent phenotype than the *dksA1* pThy strain,

648 and was rescued by *in trans* expression of *dksA* or *dksA1* (Figures 2B and 2C), but not by *psrA*

649 (Figure 2B). Notably, the avirulent phenotype of the Δ*dksA* pThy strain contrasts to a previous

650 study showing that a Δ*dksA* insertional mutant strain only exhibited reduced intracellular growth,

651 rather than avirulence, in *A. castellanii* (Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). While the assays done by

652 Dalebroux *et al*. (2010) and in this study were done in a comparable manner, the discrepancy in

653 findings could be due to differing experimental conditions as the former conducted *A. castellanii*

654 infections at 37°C. Temperature-dependent phenotypes have been seen previously, for example,

655 with Δ*hfq* mutant strains, which only showed an intracellular kinetic defect in *A. castellanii* at

656 30°C, but not at 37°C (McNealy *et al.,* 2005). We undertook the *A. castellanii* infections at 25°C

657 and showed that the avirulent phenotype of the Δ*dksA* pThy strain was fully complemented by *in*

658 *trans* DksA expression (Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA*) indicating that the phenotype was solely due to the

659 genetic deletion of *dksA*. Another possibility for the discrepancy is the possibility of different

660 deletion strategies causing polar effects. Sahr *et al* (2012) annotated *L. pneumophila* Paris strain

661 *dksA* in an operon with an uncharacterized alpha/beta hydrolase *lpp2286* encoded downstream

662 and Lp02-derivative strains are likely similar. However, both our study and that of Dalebroux *et*

663 *al* (2010) showed the respective Δ*dksA* mutant strains were complementable and thus, the

664 discrepancy is unlikely a polar effect. Additionally, *in trans* expression of DksA1 was sufficient

to compensate for lack of DksA indicating that the truncation did not impact essential function of

DksA. Lastly, expression of DksA enhanced and rescued intracellular growth in Lp02 and Δ*psrA*

strains, respectively. Thus, DksA can compensate for lack of PsrA, and PsrA can partially

compensate for the reduced activity of DksA1, but cannot compensate for the absence of DksA

intracellularly in *A. castellanii*. Taken together, the interplay of DksA and PsrA in regulating

their gene targets is not fully reciprocal, indicating that successful intracellular growth in *A.*

castellanii is largely driven by DksA with assistance from PsrA.

The requirement of DksA for intracellular growth is host specific. DksA was shown

elsewhere to be dispensable for growth in primary murine macrophages (Dalebroux *et al*., 2010)

and for human U937-derived human macrophages as shown in this study (Figure 3). Likewise,

the Δ*dksA* Δ*psrA* pThy strain was not impeded for intracellular growth in U937-derived

macrophages, a finding similar to that previously found with the Δ*psrA* strain (Graham *et al*.,

2021). *In trans* expression of DksA in the Lp02 strain background enhanced intracellular growth

in protozoa (Figure 2E), but with little overall impact in U937-derived macrophages (Figure 3B),

a trend also seen with overexpression of PsrA (Graham *et al*., 2021). This suggests that elevated

levels of either PsrA or DksA on an individual basis imbued a positive effect on replication in *A.*

castellanii which does not equate to a substantial phenotype in U937-derived macrophages.

To further delineate the distinct and overlapping roles of DksA and PsrA, *in vitro*

approaches were pursued to examine the growth kinetics and phenotypes characteristic of TP-

associated traits of *L. pneumophila*. The induction of regulatory mechanisms controlling the

transition switch from RP to TP are generally comparably induced *in vivo* (e.g. in protozoa) and

in vitro (Byrne and Swanson, 1998). In this view, *in vitro* kinetic assays were undertaken to

show the interactions between DksA and PsrA were largely growth temperature-independent

(Figures 4 and 5). Interestingly, *in trans* expression of DksA1 in the Δ*dksA* strain affected

reduced growth rate (Figure 4C) at 37°C, but not at 25°C (Figure 5D), illustrating that the

predicted compromised transcriptional efficiency DksA1 affects growth rates at higher

temperatures. This is further supported by the growth profile of Δ*dksA* pVect-*dksA1* which

resembled that of Lp02 in *A. castellanii* (Figure 2C). The slow *in vitro* growth phenotype did not

apply to the Lp02 pVect-*dksA1* strain bearing chromosomally-expressed DksA, inferring that

dksA1 is not a dominant-negative allele (Figure 4F). The growth profiles of *in vitro* grown *dksA1*

pThy and *dksA1* pVect-*psrA* were similar to each other at both at 25°C (Figures 4A, 4B) and

37°C (Figures 5A, 5B), but not in protozoa where a two-$\log_{10}$ recovery was achieved through *in*

trans expression of PsrA inferring shared gene targets.

Other TP-associated phenotypes include cellular filamentation, culturability, and

pigmentation are mediated by DksA independently as previously reported (Dalebroux *et al.,*

2010). Lack of DksA resulted in unusual colony morphology (Figure 6) and filamentation,

particularly in stationary phase (Figures 7-8). Recently, DksA was ascertained to have a pivotal

role in cell division in *E. coli*. Binding of ppGpp to DksA/RNAP indirectly activates the

divisome complex component FtsZ to modulate cell length and division (Anderson *et al*., 2023).

The absence of either DksA or ppGpp promotes cell filamentation for different reasons. Lack of

DksA prevents activation of cell division by ppGpp resulting whereas lack of ppGpp causes

DksA to switch from an activator to an inhibitor of FtsZ. Thus, by orthologous function, it is

likely that DksA behaves in the same manner in *L. pneumophila*. The dramatically reduced

bacterial culturability of Δ*dksA* pThy strain (Figure 9) demonstrates the inability of the strain to

physiologically respond to nutrient deprivation and other stressors, which is consistent with the

importance of DksA to the stringent response. Biologically, PsrA does not contribute to this

phenotype as demonstrated by transcriptomic analyses that showed few stress-related genes

under PsrA regulation (Graham *et al*., 2021). Because variability in filamentation and

culturability affected by lack of DksA can impact optical density to titre ratios of stationary

phase bacteria, extreme care was taken in this study to ensure that Δ*dksA*-based strains were

recovered from ≤ 3-day-old BCYE-agar plates to minimize this variability for *in vitro* growth

curves and inoculum titre for *in vivo* kinetics. Lastly, pigmentation comprises a brown tyrosine-

based metabolite produced post-exponentially by *L. pneumophila* as an adaptation to

environmental stress, potentially serving for photoprotection, iron acquisition, or as a biocide to

enhance *L. pneumophila* competitiveness in biofilms (Steinert *et al.,* 1995; Chatfield and

Cianciotto, 2017; Levin *et al.,* 2019). As reported elsewhere, DksA is a major driver of pigment

production (Figure 10) (Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010). Thus, these traits, other than cell length, do not

seem measurably impacted by the cellular levels of PsrA, indicating that PsrA may impact

virulence specific pathways rather than cellular physiology.

Another phenotypic trait associated with TP differentiation is fatty acid flux which is

linked through SpoT-mediated stringent induction, with either DksA or ppGpp, sufficient to

arrest growth upon supplementation with SCFA (Edwards *et al.,* 2009; Dalebroux *et al.,* 2010).

Here, we show that like its ortholog in *Pseudomonas* spp., PsrA is responsive to fatty acids

suggesting a conserved functional role in sensing LCFA in the environment alongside SpoT.

Further, both DksA and PsrA must be present to ensure a proper LCFA response (Figure 11)

(Kang *et al.*, 2008). However, the precise mechanistic details of the PsrA-mediated response

remain undefined warranting further investigations.

In summary, this study explored the phenotypic roles of spontaneously mutated DksA1

and PsrA, and uncovered a synergistic genetic relationship between PsrA and DksA in terms of

virulence regulation. The bacterial response to LCFA suggests a novel regulatory link to the

stringent response pathway, further solidifying the mechanistic importance of both DksA and

PsrA as contributing regulators of the biphasic lifestyle of *L. pneumophila.* Because only the

predicted, rather than the functional, basis of the *dksA1* phenotype has been derived, these results

cannot distinguish the distinct effects of ppGpp recruitment and competitive sequestration of

RNAP from GreA. With these limitations in mind, our findings suggest that PsrA seems to

redundantly act as a bypass for DksA in virulence but has little impact on other processes,

suggesting a more targeted mechanism. The overlapping phenotypes presented in this study are

consistent with the two distinct mechanisms by which DksA and PsrA act, and thus the following

model is proposed based on a scenario in which a promoter is repressed by either DksA or PsrA

(Figure 12). Absence of DksA would permit GreA to act as the sole secondary channel binding

protein, thereby allowing increased efficiency in transcription initiation, and should there be

elevated levels of PsrA, it would result in increased promoter blockage providing compensatory

repression. Absence of PsrA would leave the promoter more accessible for RNAP, but this could

be counteracted should there be elevated levels of DksA resulting in reduced transcriptional

efficiency. Alternatively, a promoter not subject to PsrA-mediated influence would be exempt

from the compensatory effects, and thus be wholly dependent on the dynamics between GreA

and DksA. Nevertheless, the proposed co-regulator duality could explain why transcriptomic

analyses did not overtly reveal a large number of PsrA-regulated targets (Graham *et al*., 2021).

Thus, future transcriptomic studies will include the $\Delta dksA$ $\Delta psrA$ strain to compare against data

obtained for individual $\Delta psrA$ and $\Delta dksA$ strains to discern distinct and overlapping roles. These

studies could also be expanded to include the ppGpp^0 ($\Delta relA$ $\Delta spoT$) strain to further explore the

interface with PsrA and ppGpp in relation to the link between PsrA and the fatty acid response.

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Swanson (University of Michigan) for the kind gift of pBH6119 and Lp02, J.

Vogel (Washington University) for the kind gift of pSR47s and pJB908, R. R. Isberg (Tufts

University) for the kind gift of Lp03. This work was supported by Natural Sciences and

Engineering Research Council (NSERC) Discovery Grant funds awarded to A.K.C.B (RGPIN-

2019-05490), T.dK. (RPIN-2017-04970) and G.P. (RGPIN-2018-04968), and Canadian

Foundation for Innovation (CFI) Grant funds to A.K.C.B. Research personnel were supported by

University of Manitoba Faculty of Graduate Studies (C.G., T.M, T.P., J.B.), Research Manitoba

Master's Studentship Award (T.P.), and NSERC CGS Masters (T.M, A.G.) and Doctoral (T.M.,

T.P, A.G.).

References

Aberg, A., Shingler, V. and Balsalobre, C. (2008) Regulation of the *fimB* promoter: a case of

differential regulation by ppGpp and DksA *in vivo*. *Mol Microbiol.* **67**:1223-1241.

Aberg, A., Fernández-Vázquez, J., Cabrer-Panes, J.D., Sánchez, A. and Balsalobre, C. (2009).

Similar and divergent effects of ppGpp and DksA deficiencies on transcription in *Escherichia*

coli. J Bacteriol. **191**: 3226-3236.

Abu-Zant, A., Asare, R., Graham, J.E. and Abu Kwaik, Y. (2006. Role for RpoS but not RelA of

Legionella pneumophila in modulation of phagosome biogenesis and adaptation to the

phagosomal microenvironment. *Infect Immun.* **74**:3021-3026.

Al-Khodor, S., Kalachikov, S., Morozova, I., Price, C. T. and Abu Kwaik, Y. (2009) The

PmrA/PmrB two-component system of *Legionella pneumophila* is a global regulator required for

intracellular replication within macrophages and protozoa. *Infect Immun.* **77**:374-386.

Anderson, S.E., Vadia, S.E., McKelvy, J. and Levin, P.A. (2023) The transcription factor DksA

exerts opposing effects on cell division depending on the presence of ppGpp. *mBio*

14(6):e0242523.

Ashkenazy, H., Abadi, S., Martz, E., Chay, O., Mayrose, I., Pupko, T. and Ben-Tal, N. (2016)

ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in

macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res* 8;**44**(W1):W344-50.

Bachman, M.A. and Swanson**,** M.S. (2001) RpoS co-operates with other factors to induce

Legionella pneumophila virulence in the stationary phase. *Mol Microbiol.* **40**:1201-1214.

Burstein, D., Amaro, F., Zusman, T., Lifshitz, Z., Cohen, O., Gilbert, J.A., Pupko, T., Shuman,

H.A. and Segal, G. (2016) Genomic analysis of 38 *Legionella* species identifies large and diverse

effector repertoires. *Nat Genet.* **48**:167-175.

Chatfield, C.H. and Cianciotto, N.P. (2007). The secreted pyomelanin pigment of *Legionella*

pneumophila confers ferric reductase activity. *Infect Immun*. **75**: 4062-4070.

Chatterji, D.*,* Fujita, N. and Ishihama, A. (1998) The mediator for stringent control, ppGpp,

binds to the beta-subunit of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase*. Genes Cells* **3**:279-287.

Dalebroux, Z.D., Yagi, B.F., Sahr, T., Buchrieser, C. and Swanson, M.S. (2010) Distinct roles of

ppGpp and DksA in *Legionella pneumophila* differentiation. *Mol Microbiol.* **6**:200-219.

Edwards, R.L., Dalebroux, Z.D. and Swanson, M.S. (2009) *Legionella pneumophila* couples

fatty acid flux to microbial differentiation and virulence. *Mol Microbiol.* **71**:1190-1204.

Feldheim Y.S., Zusman T., Speiser Y. and Segal G. (2016) The *Legionella pneumophila* CpxRA

two-component regulatory system: new insights into CpxR's function as a dual regulator and its

connection to the effectors regulatory network. *Mol Microbiol.* **99**:1059-1079.

Finsel, I. and Hilbi, H. (2015) Formation of a pathogen vacuole according to *Legionella*

pneumophila: how to kill one bird with many stones. *Cell Microbiol*. **17**:935-950.

Fonseca, M.V. and Swanson, M.S. (2014) Nutrient salvaging and metabolism by the intracellular

pathogen *Legionella pneumophila. Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* **4**:12.

Gomez-Valero, L., Rusniok, C., Carson, D., Mondino, S., Pérez-Cobas, A.E., Rolando, M.,

Pasricha, S., Reuter, S., Demirtas, J., Crumbach, J., Descorps-Declere, S., Hartland, E.L.,

Jarraud, S., Dougan, G., Schroeder, G.N., Frankel, G. and Buchrieser, C. (2019) More than

18,000 effectors in the *Legionella* genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for

replication in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **116**:2265-2273.

Gourse, R.L., Chen, A.Y., Gopalkrishnan, S., Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Myers, A. and Ross, W.

(2018) Transcriptional Responses to ppGpp and DksA. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* **72**:163-184.

Graham, C.I., Patel, P.G., Tanner, J.R., Hellinga, J., MacMartin, T.L., Hausner, G. and

Brassinga, A.K.C. (2021) Autorepressor PsrA is required for optimal *Legionella pneumophila*

growth in *Acanthamoeba castellanii* protozoa. *Mol Microbiol*. **116**:624-647.

Graham, C.I., MacMartin, T.L., de Kievit, T.R. and Brassinga, A.K.C. (2024) Molecular

regulation of virulence in *Legionella pneumophila*. *Mol Microbiol*. **121**:167-195.

Gummesson, B., Lovmar, M. and Nyström, T. (2013) A proximal promoter element required for

positive transcriptional control by guanosine tetraphosphate and DksA protein during the

stringent response. *J Biol Chem.* **288**:21055–21064.

Hales, L.M. and Shuman, H.A. (1999) The *Legionella pneumophila rpoS* gene is required for

growth *within Acanthamoeba castellanii*. *J Bacteriol.* **181**:4879-4889.

Hammer, B.K., Tateda, E.S. and Swanson, M.S. (2002) A two-component regulator induces the

transmission phenotype of stationary-phase *Legionella pneumophila. Mol Microbiol.* **44**:107-

118.

Häuslein, I., Sahr, T., Escoll, P., Klausner, N., Eisenreich, W. and Buchrieser, C. (2017)

Legionella pneumophila CsrA regulates a metabolic switch from amino acid to glycerolipid

metabolism. *Open Biology* **7**:170149.

Hovel-Miner, G., Pampou, S., Faucher, S.P., Clarke, M., Morozova, I., Morozov, P., Russo, J.J.,

Shuman, H.A. and Kalachikov, S. (2009) SigmaS controls multiple pathways associated with

intracellular multiplication of *Legionella pneumophila. J Bacteriol.* **191**:2461-2473.

Isberg, R.R., O'Connor, T.J. and Heidtman, M. (2009) The *Legionella pneumophila* replication

vacuole: making a cozy niche inside host cells. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* **7**:13-24.

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool,

K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., Bridgland, A., Meyer, C., Kohl, S.A.A., Ballard, A.J.,

Cowie, A., Romera-Paredes, B., Nikolov, S., Jain, R., Adler, J., Back, T., Petersen, S., Reiman,

D., Clancy, E., Zielinski, M., Steinegger, M., Pacholska, M., Berghammer, T., Bodenstein, S.,

Silver, D., Vinyals, O., Senior, A.W., Kavukcuoglu, K., Kohli, P. and Hassabis, D. (2021)

Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**(7873):583-589.

Kagan, J.C. and Roy, C.R. (2002) *Legionella* phagosomes intercept vesicular traffic from

endoplasmic reticulum exit sites. *Nat Cell Biol.* **4**:945-954.

Kang, Y., Nguyen, D.T., Son, M.S. and Hoang, T.T. (2008) The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PsrA

responds to long-chain fatty acid signals to regulate the *fadBA5* beta-oxidation operon.

Microbiology **154**:1584-1598.

Landau, M., Mayrose, I., Rosenberg, Y., Glaser, F., Martz, E., Pupko, T. and Ben-Tal, N. (2005)

ConSurf 2005: the projection of evolutionary conservation scores of residues on protein

structures. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**(Web Server issue):W299-302.

Lemke, J.J., Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Burgos, H.L., Hedberg, G., Ross, W. and Gourse, R.L. Direct

regulation of *Escherichia coli* ribosomal protein promoters by the transcription factors ppGpp

and DksA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* **108**(14):5712-7.

Levin, T.C., Goldspiel, B.P., Malik, H.S. (2019). Density-dependent resistance protects

Legionella pneumophila from its own antimicrobial metabolite, HGA. *Elife.* **8**: e46086.

McNealy, T.L., Forsbach-Birk, V., Shi, C. and Marre, R. (2005) The Hfq homolog in *Legionella*

pneumophila demonstrates regulation by LetA and RpoS and interacts with the global regulator

CsrA. *J Bacteriol.* **187**:1527-1532.

Magnusson, L.U., Gummesson, B., Joksimović, P., Farewell, A. and Nyström, T. (2007)

Identical, independent, and opposing roles of ppGpp and DksA in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol.*

189:5193-5202.

Molodtsov, V., Sineva, E., Zhang, L., Huang, X., Cashel, M., Ades, S.E. and Murakami, K.S.

(2018) Allosteric Effector ppGpp Potentiates the Inhibition of Transcript Initiation by DksA. *Mol*

Cell **69**(5):828-839.e5.

Molofsky, A.B. and Swanson, M.S. (2004) Differentiate to thrive: lessons from the *Legionella*

pneumophila life cycle. *Mol Microbiol.* **53**:29-40.

Mondino, S., Schmidt, S. and Buchrieser, C. (2020) Molecular mimicry: a paradigm of host-

microbe coevolution illustrated by *Legionella*. *mBio* **11**:e01201-20.

Morash, M.G., Brassinga, A.K., Warthan, M., Gourabathini, P., Garduño, R.A., Goodman, S.D.

and Hoffman, P.S. (2009) Reciprocal expression of integration host factor and HU in the

developmental cycle and infectivity of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.*

75:1826-1837.

Myers, A.R., Thistle, D.P., Ross, W. and Gourse, R.L. (2020) Guanosine Tetraphosphate Has a

Similar Affinity for Each of Its Two Binding Sites on *Escherichia coli* RNA Polymerase. *Front*

Microbiol **11**:587098.

Nevo, O.*,* Zusman, T.*,* Rasis, M.*,* Lifshitz, Z. and Segal, G. (2014) Identification of *Legionella*

pneumophila effectors regulated by the LetAS-RsmYZ-CsrA regulatory cascade, many of which

modulate vesicular trafficking*. J Bacteriol.* **196**:681-692*.*

Newton, H.J., Ang, D.K., van Driel, I.R. and Hartland, E.L. (2010) Molecular pathogenesis of

infections caused by *Legionella pneumophila. Clin Microbiol Rev.* **23**:274-298.

Oliva, G., Sahr, T. and Buchrieser, C. (2018) The life cycle of *L. pneumophila*: cellular

differentiation is linked to virulence and metabolism. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* **8**:3.

Parshin, A., Shiver, A.L., Lee, J., Ozerova, M., Schneidman-Duhovny, D., Gross, C.A. and

Borukhov, S. (2015) DksA regulates RNA polymerase in *Escherichia coli* through a network of

interactions in the secondary channel that includes Sequence Insertion 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

A **112**(50):E6862-71.

Perederina, A., Svetlov, A., Vassylyeva, M., Tahirov, T., Yokoyama, S., Artsimovitch, I. and

Vassylyev, D. (2004). Regulation through the secondary channel-structural framework for

ppGpp-DksA synergism during transcription. *Cell* **118**:297-309.

Personnic, N., Striednig, B. and Hilbi, H. (2018) *Legionella* quorum sensing and its role in

pathogen-host interactions. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* **41**:29-35.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Meng, E.C., Couch, G.S., Croll, T.I., Morris, J.H.

and Ferrin, T.E. (2021) UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and

developers. *Protein Sci.* **30**(1):70-82.

Pitre, C.A.J., Tanner, J.R., Patel, P. and Brassinga, A.K.C. (2013) Regulatory control of

temporally expressed integration host factor (IHF) in *Legionella pneumophila. Microbiology*

159:475-492.

Potrykus, K. and Cashel, M. (2008) (p)ppGpp: still magical? *Annu Rev Microbiol*. **62**:35-51.

Rasis, M. and Segal, G. (2009) The LetA-RsmYZ-CsrA regulatory cascade, together with RpoS

and PmrA, post-transcriptionally regulates stationary phase activation of *Legionella pneumophila*

Icm/Dot effectors. *Mol Microbiol.* **72**:995-1010.

Robertson, P., Abdelhady, H. and Garduño, R.A. (2014) The many forms of a pleomorphic

bacterial pathogen-the developmental network of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Front Microbiol*.

5:670.

Ross, W., Vrentas, C.E., Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Gaal, T. and Gourse, R.L. (2013) The magic spot: a ppGpp binding site on *E. coli* RNA polymerase responsible for regulation of transcription initiation. *Mol Cell* **50**(3):420-9.

Ross, W., Sanchez-Vazquez, P., Chen, A.Y., Lee, J.H., Burgos, H.L. and Gourse, R.L. (2016) ppGpp Binding to a Site at the RNAP-DksA Interface Accounts for Its Dramatic Effects on Transcription Initiation during the Stringent Response. *Mol Cell* **62**(6):811-823.

Rowbotham, T.J. (1986) Current views on the relationships between amoebae, legionellae and man. *Isr J Med Sci*. **22**:678-689.

Sahr, T., Bruggemann, H., Jules, M., Lomma, M., Albert-Weissenberger, C., Cazalet, C. and Buchrieser, C. (2009) Two small ncRNAs jointly govern virulence and transmission in *Legionella pneumophila. Mol Microbiol.* **72**:741-762.

Sahr, T., Rusniok, C., Dervins-Ravault, D. Sismeiro, O., Coppée, J.Y. and Buchrieser, C. (2012) Deep sequencing defines the transcriptional map of *L. pneumophila* and identifies growth phase-dependent regulated ncRNAs implicated in virulence. *RNA Biol*. **9**:503-19.

Sahr, T., Rusniok, C., Impens, F., Oliva, G., Sismeiro, O., Coppée, J.Y. and Buchrieser, C. (2017) The *Legionella pneumophila* genome evolved to accommodate multiple regulatory mechanisms controlled by the CsrA-system. *PLoS Genet.* **13**:e1006629.

Schell, U., Kessler, A. and Hilbi, H. (2014) Phosphorylation signalling through the *Legionella* quorum sensing histidine kinases LqsS and LqsT converges on the response regulator LqsR. *Mol Microbiol.* **92**:1039-1055.

Skolnick, J., Gao, M., Zhou, H. and Singh, S. (2021) AlphaFold 2: Why It Works and Its

Implications for Understanding the Relationships of Protein Sequence, Structure, and Function. *J*

Chem Inf Model **61**(10):4827-4831.

Steinert, M., Engelhard, H., Flugel, M., Wintermeyer, E. and Hacker J. (1995). The Lly protein

protects *Legionella pneumophila* from light but does not directly influence its intracellular

survival in *Hartmannella vermiformis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **61**: 2428-2430.

Steinert, M., Hentschel, U. and Hacker, J. (2002) *Legionella pneumophila*: an aquatic microbe

goes astray. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. **26**:149-162.

Tanner, J.R., Li, L., Faucher, S.P. and Brassinga, A.K. (2016) The CpxRA two-component

system contributes to *Legionella pneumophila* virulence. *Mol Microbiol.* **100**:1017-1038.

Tanner, J.R., Patel, P.G., Hellinga, J.R., Donald, L.J., Jimenez, C., LeBlanc, J.J. and Brassinga,

A.K.C. (2017) *Legionella pneumophila* OxyR Is a redundant transcriptional regulator that

contributes to expression control of the two-component CpxRA system. *J Bacteriol.* **199**:e00690-

16.

Tiaden, A., Spirig, T., Weber, S.S., Brüggemann, H., Bosshard, R., Buchrieser, C. and Hilbi, H.

(2007) The *Legionella pneumophila* response regulator LqsR promotes host cell interactions as

an element of the virulence regulatory network controlled by RpoS and LetA. *Cell Microbiol.*

9:2903-2920.

Tiaden, A., Spirig, T., Carranza, P., Brüggemann, H., Riedel, K., Eberl, L., Buchrieser, C. and

Hilbi, H. (2008) Synergistic contribution of the *Legionella pneumophila lqs* genes to pathogen-

host interactions. *J Bacteriol.* **190**:7532-7547.

Tilney, L.G., Harb, O.S., Connelly, P.S., Robinson, C.G. and Roy, C.R. (2001) How the parasitic

bacterium *Legionella pneumophila* modifies its phagosome and transforms it into rough ER:

implications for conversion of plasma membrane to the ER membrane. *J Cell Sci.* **114**:4637-

4650.

Travis, B.A. and Schumacher, M.A. (2022) Diverse molecular mechanisms of transcription

regulation by the bacterial alarmone ppGpp. *Mol Microbiol* **117**(2):252-260.

Vinella, D., Potrykus, K., Murphy, H. and Cashel, M. (2012) Effects on growth by changes of

the balance between GreA, GreB, and DksA suggest mutual competition and functional

redundancy in *Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol.* **194**:261–273.

Wiater, L.A., Sadosky, A.B. and Shuman, H.A. (1994) Mutagenesis of *Legionella pneumophila*

using Tn*903* dll*lacZ*: identification of a growth-phase-regulated pigmentation gene. *Mol*

Microbiol. **11**:641-653.

Zusman, T., Gal-Mor, O. and Segal, G. (2002) Characterization of a *Legionella pneumophila*

relA insertion mutant and toles of RelA and RpoS in virulence gene expression. *J Bacteriol.*

184:67-75.

Zusman, T., Aloni, G., Halperin, E., Kotzer, H., Degtyar, E., Feldman, M., Segal, G. (2007) The

response regulator PmrA is a major regulator of the *icm/dot* Type IV secretion system in

Legionella pneumophila and *Coxiella burnetii. Mol Microbiol.* **63**:1508-1523.

Figure 1: Structural comparison of DksA and DksA1 AlphaFold models. (A) Structural overlay of DksA (PDB ID: 5VSW) with both Lp02 DksA and DksA1 as modeled by AlphaFold. (B) Residue conservation of Lp02 DskA as plotted by the server Consurf (Landau *et al*., 2005; Ashkenazy *et al*., 2016). Yellow indicates insufficient information in the multisequence alignment. Indicated on the structure are conserved residues important for binding ppGpp and allostery with RNAP (R97 to K104), in addition to contact with the active site (D77-D80). (C) Binding of DksA with the RNAP allosteric site. A DksA:RNAP experimental structure (PDB ID: 5VSW) and aligned AlphaFold models are shown as cartoons, whereas RNAP is drawn as a molecular surface. The structure of ppGpp bound in the complex is also shown. (D) Slice view of DksA showing the contact point of residues D80 and D77 with magnesium in the RNAP active site. (D) Interactions of DksA variants with ppGpp in the RNAP allosteric site are shown and not disturbed by the truncation in DksA1. (E) Interactions of DksA variants with magnesium in the active site of RNAP are shown. AlphaFold predicts the truncation in DksA1 drastically moves the position of a critical aspartate residue (D69/71/77).

1013
1014
1015
1016
Figure 2: Synergistic impacts of DksA, DksA1, and PsrA on virulence of *L. pneumophila* in
the *A. castellanii* protozoa. Intracellular growth kinetics of *L. pneumophila* strains in *A.*
castellanii protozoa at 25ºC. Strains tested were parental Lp02 and avirulent Δ*dotA* along with
isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*) with
or without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1*. Error bars represent SD across mean of
three biological replicates, with two technical replicates each. Samples below detection limit
(~10 CFU/mL) were recorded as zero and omitted from graph if they lacked countable colonies
across all replicates.

Figure 3: DksA is dispensable for growth in human macrophages. Intracellular growth kinetics of *L. pneumophila* strains in U937-derived macrophages at 37°C. Strains tested were parental Lp02 and avirulent Δ*dotA* along with isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*) with or without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1*. Error bars represent SD across mean of three biological experiments with two technical replicates each. Experiments in panels A-C were conducted concurrently, but presented separately for clarity.

1080
1081
1082
Figure 4: *L. pneumophila in vitro* growth at 37°C is impacted by DksA, PsrA and DksA1. *In*
vitro growth kinetics of parental Lp02 and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial
genetic mutations (ΔpsrA, ΔdksA, dksA1) with and without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or
dksA1 genes. Plate-grown bacteria were suspended in BYE broth and normalized to initial OD_{600}
= 0.15, and growth at 37°C was monitored for OD_{600} hourly for 24 h. Graphs in panels A-F were
experiments conducted concurrently, but presented separately for clarity. Error bars are SD
across mean of three independent biological replicates with three technical replicates each.

1098
1099
1100
1101

Figure 5: ***L. pneumophila in vitro* growth at 25°C is impacted by DksA, PsrA and DksA1.** *In vitro* growth kinetics of parental Lp02 and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*) with and without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1* genes. Plate-grown bacteria were re-suspended in BYE broth and normalized to initial $OD_{600} = 0.15$, and growth at 25°C was monitored for OD_{600} every 2 h for 48 h. Graphs in panels A-F were experiments conducted concurrently, but presented separately for clarity. Error bars are SD across mean of three independent biological replicates with three technical replicates each.

Figure 6: *L. pneumophila* DksA and DksA1, but not PsrA affects colony morphology.
Parental Lp02 and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations ($\Delta psrA$, $\Delta dksA$, $dksA1$) with and without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1* genes. Strains were grown on BCYE plates for 3 days and used to generate a bacterial suspension in BYE at OD_{600} = 0.15. 10 μL of the suspension was spotted onto BCYE plates in triplicate then the plates were incubated at 37°C at 5% CO_2 for 4 days prior to being photographed. Images are representative of one of three biological replicates.

1178

Figure 7: ***L. pneumophila* Δ*dksA*, but not *dksA1* or *psrA* cause filamentation.** Parental Lp02
and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*)
with or without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1* genes were grown on BCYE plates
and used to inoculate a dilute suspension of $OD_{600} = 0.02$ in BYE broth then incubated overnight
at 37°C with aeration, to exponential (E) (~18h , $OD_{600} = $~0.5) or stationary (S; 24 h after EP)
growth phases, then live-mounted on a 2% agarose pad prepared in ddH$_2$O and imaged on an
Axio Observer Z1 inverted microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a glycerol-immersion 150X
objective. Representative microscopic images of bacteria from one of three biological replicates.
Scale bar is 5 μm.

Figure 8: *L. pneumophila ΔdksA*, *dksA1*, **and** *psrA* **contribute to cell length regulation.**
Parental Lp02 and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*,
Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*) with or without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1* genes were grown
from 3-day old plates subcultured to BYE broth at $OD_{600} = 0.02$ then incubated at 37°C with
aeration to (A) exponential (overnight, $OD_{600} \sim 0.5$) or (B) stationary phases (24 h after
exponential phase) then imaged with an Axio Observer Z1 inverted microscope (Zeiss) equipped
with a glycerol-immersion 150X objective and individual bacterial cell lengths quantified using
the length tool in ImageJ. Where filamentation was evident, only individual cells for which the
full length was visible were measured. Data represents >150 individual readings spread equally
across three biological replicates. Bar on graph and error bars represents mean and 95%
confidence interval, respectively. Statistical significance determined by Student's T-test (*, $p <$
0.05; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0,0001$; ns, not significant, $p > 0.05$).

Figure 9: Bacterial culturability in depleted nutrient media is dependent on DksA or DksA1, but not PsrA. Panels (A-F) show *in vitro* growth kinetics of Lp02 and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*) with or without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1* genes in BYE broth at 37°C for 96 hours with OD_{600} values (dotted lines; left Y axis) and titre enumerated by serial dilution and incubation on BCYE plates (solid lines; right Y axis) at 24 h intervals. Data are presented as mean and SD of three biological replicates. Points were omitted if results could not be calculated (no colonies recovered, below detection limit of ~100 CFU/mL). Graphs in panels A-F were experiments conducted concurrently, but presented separately for clarity.

Figure 10: Pigmentation is regulated by DksA, but not PsrA. Parental Lp02 and isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (Δ*psrA*, Δ*dksA*, *dksA1*) with or without *in trans* expression of *psrA, dksA* or *dksA1* genes, were grown on BCYE plates for 3 days and used to generate a 5 mL bacterial suspension (BYE at OD_{600} = 0.15) then incubated at 37°C for 30 h (a) Qualitative observation of pigmentation. After 48 h incubation tubes were set aside for 24 h at room temperature to allow cells to sediment out, then photographed. Representative image of three replicates. (b) Quantification of pigment at 30 h. After 30 h of incubation 1 mL of culture was centrifuged at 20,000 x *g*. The supernatant was measured for pigment levels via absorbance at 550 nm, then the pellet was resuspended in same volume of 1X PBS pH 7.0 to measure cell density via OD_{600}. Readings were taken with a plate reader in a 96 well plate relative to appropriate BYE or PBS blanks. Readings reflect Abs_{550}/OD_{600}. Error bars represent SD across three biological replicates. Statistical significance calculated via Student's T-Test and relative to parental Lp02 pThy, indicated above bars (*, $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0,0001$; ns, not significant, $p > 0.05$).

Figure 11: PsrA and DksA influences LCFA-mediated growth inhibition. Parental Lp02 and
isogenic strains featuring single or combinatorial genetic mutations (ΔpsrA and/or ΔdksA), with
or without *in trans* expression of *psrA* or *dksA* genes, grown with and without palmitic acid
supplementation (as 40 mM stock dissolved in ethanol) in BYE media normalized to 0.5%
ethanol, in a 96-well microplate for 24 h with shaking at 37°C. Inhibition was defined as growth,
as assessed by OD_{600} values, of <50% of that of same strain in a BYE + 0.5% ethanol-only
control at the end of 24 h. Bars indicate mean of maximum concentration of PA where indicated
strain grew >50% of maximum, over three biological replicates with standard deviation
indicated. Dots in bars indicate individual replicate values. Panels A and B were conducted
separately. Statistical analyses were done using Student's unpaired T test: ns = no significance, *
= $p < 0.05$.

Figure 12: Model of independent mechanisms of DksA and PsrA. A hypothetical promoter is repressed by both PsrA and DksA (A) RNA polymerase (RNAP) holoenzyme is targeted to -10 and -35 boxes by a sigma factor. PsrA (oval dimers) binds a defined site in the promoter and inhibits RNAP recruitment. (B) RNAP binds to GreA (triangles) or DksA (filled octagons) interchangeably, with enhanced transcription initiation rates occurring while bound to GreA versus DksA. (C) In a Δ*dksA* strain, only GreA is available for RNAP thus elevating transcription levels, but *in trans psrA* overexpression generates higher levels of PsrA, strengthening inhibition of RNAP recruitment to compensate. Conversely (D) the Δ*psrA* strain allows uninhibited RNAP-promoter binding, increasing transcription, but this is offset by overexpressing *dksA in trans*, increasing the proportion of RNAP bound to transcription-inhibiting DksA thereby generating the compensatory effect.