Enzymatic carbon-fluorine bond cleavage by human gut microbes

Silke I. Probst[1], Florian D. Felder[1], Victoria Poltorak[1,2], Ritesh Mewalal[3], Ian K. Blaby[3], Serina L. Robinson[1*]

[1]Department of Environmental Microbiology, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag), Dübendorf, Switzerland; [2]Department of Biology, Institute of Microbiology, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland; [3]U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA
*corresponding author: serina.robinson@eawag.ch

Significance

Humans have introduced carbon-fluorine bonds into numerous manufactured compounds, including pharmaceuticals, leading to the formation of toxic fluorinated byproducts. While the human gut microbiota is known for its ability to metabolize drugs, its encoded capacity to break the strong carbon-fluorine chemical bond was previously unknown. Here we discovered that human gut microbial enzymes are capable of cleaving carbon-fluorine bonds. We developed a 96-well colorimetric fluoride assay amenable to bacterial culture-based screening. We additionally conducted whole-protein alanine scanning mutagenesis and identified through machine learning that flexible C-terminal loop residues were predictive of defluorination. Taken in the context of flexible regions of other enzyme families known to perform fluorine chemistry, this work supports using convergent structural features to predict defluorination specificity.

Abstract

Fluorinated compounds are used for agrochemical, pharmaceutical, and numerous industrial applications, resulting in global contamination. In many molecules, fluorine is incorporated to enhance the half-life and improve bioavailability. Fluorinated compounds enter the human body through food, water, and xenobiotics including pharmaceuticals, exposing gut microbes to these substances. The human gut microbiota is known for its xenobiotic biotransformation capabilities, but it was not previously known whether gut microbial enzymes could break carbon-fluorine bonds, potentially altering the toxicity of these compounds.

Here, through the development of a rapid, miniaturized fluoride detection assay for whole-cell screening, we discovered active gut microbial defluorinases. We biochemically characterized enzymes from diverse human gut microbial classes including Clostridia, Bacilli, and Coriobacteriia, with the capacity to hydrolyze (di)fluorinated organic acids and a fluorinated amino acid. Whole-protein alanine scanning, molecular dynamics simulations, and chimeric protein design enabled the identification of a disordered C-terminal protein segment involved in defluorination activity. Domain swapping exclusively of the C-terminus conferred defluorination activity to a non-defluorinating dehalogenase. To advance our understanding of the structural and sequence differences between defluorinating and non-defluorinating dehalogenases, we trained machine learning models which identified protein termini as important features. Models trained on 41-amino acid segments from protein C-termini alone predicted defluorination activity with 83% accuracy (compared to 95% accuracy based on full-length protein features). This work is relevant

for therapeutic interventions and environmental and human health by uncovering specificity-
determining signatures of fluorine biochemistry from the gut microbiome.

Introduction

Over 20% of pharmaceuticals and 50% of agrochemicals released in the past 25 years contain
one or more fluorine atom(s) (1, 2). Fluorination often improves bioavailability and extends
compound half-life. However, fluorine also has a 'dark side' due to the production of fluorinated
degradation products which can disrupt host metabolism (3). For example, key degradation
products of the widely-used chemotherapeutic 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) including fluorocitrate, α-
fluoro-β-alanine, and fluoroacetate, inhibit the citric acid cycle and cause severe neuro- (4, 5) and
cardiotoxicity (6, 7).

The gut microbiota is known to modify and thereby inactivate or increase the toxicity of various
xenobiotics (8, 9) including fluorinated compounds. Gut microbes increase the cytotoxicity of the
fluorinated antineoplastic prodrug fludarabine (10) and modify the fluorinated chemotherapeutic
gemcitabine through acetylation (10) or deamination (11). Anti-cancer fluoropyrimidine drugs
including capecitabine and 5-FU are inactivated by gut bacteria through a pathway that mimics
host metabolism (9, 12). Microbiome-dependent pharmacokinetics (9) have been linked to
interindividual differences in the composition and metabolic capabilities of the human gut
microbiota (13). This could impact drug activity by increasing toxicity and side effects and may
potentially influence the significant interpatient variability in responses to fluorinated compounds.
Given the increased use of fluorinated pharmaceuticals and the ingestion of fluorinated
xenobiotics from the environment, we hypothesized that microbial carbon-fluorine bond cleavage
may also be catalyzed by microbes in the human gut (14). Although there are reports of
defluorinating enzymes from bacteria isolated from soil (15), ruminants (16), and activated sludge
(17), none were previously associated with the human gut microbiota.

Earlier efforts to identify microbes and enzymes with defluorination activity focused on activity-
guided enrichment and isolation-based strategies (16, 18). In addition to the high strength of the
carbon-fluorine bond, the product of defluorination activity (fluoride anion) is also toxic to many
microbes, presenting evolutionary hurdles to positive selection during enrichment and isolation
(19, 20). Even when defluorination activity is detected, isolation of the responsible microbes and
identification of the responsible enzyme(s) remains difficult. With the rapid growth of sequencing
databases, targeted sequence-guided (15) or structure-guided (21) metagenome mining
techniques are emerging as alternative approaches to identify defluorinating enzymes.

Although several different enzyme classes show promiscuous activity for fluorinated substrates
(20), only hydrolases are known to cleave fluorinated compounds as their native substrates (22).
Enzymes capable of hydrolytic defluorination (23) belong to two different superfamilies: the α/β
hydrolase superfamily (24) and, more recently, the haloacid dehalogenase-like (HAD) superfamily
(25). Although the majority of HADs actually act on a wide range of organic phosphate substrates
(26), a subset of HADs are capable of regio- and stereospecific hydrolysis of halogenated
(including fluorinated) carboxylic acids with a broader substrate specificity than the α/β hydrolase
superfamily (25). The few defluorinating HADs described, primarily from soil, catalyze carbon-

halide bond cleavage via a S_N2-like mechanism through nucleophilic attack by an aspartate to
displace the halide (25, 27). The active sites of defluorinating and dechlorinating HADs are highly
similar (25), thus it remains challenging to mine metagenomic datasets to accurately identify
HADs with specificity for fluorinated compounds (21). Previously, molecular dynamics (MD)
showed that HADs capable of defluorination sampled more compact halide-binding pocket
conformations compared to non-defluorinating HADs (25). Here,e we tested this MD-based
classification method and found that it did not generalize well to a larger set of defluorinating and
non-defluorinating HADs, which us motivated to search for alternative accessible features to
predict metabolic defluorination potential from microbiome data.

In this study, we combined metagenome mining with in vitro experimentation to test the activity of
over twenty new dehalogenases from the gut microbiome. To overcome the limitations of time-
consuming ion chromatography (IC) measurements for fluoride, we developed an easy-to-use
microtiter plate screen for defluorination activity. Using this approach, we screened large alanine
scanning mutagenesis libraries to identify key amino acids important for defluorination. Notably,
we successfully converted a dechlorinating HAD into an active defluorinating HAD by substituting
its terminal 41 amino acids with those from a naturally-occurring defluorinating HAD. Molecular
dynamics simulations, alanine scanning, and machine learning results converged to support the
previously unknown role of the protein carboxyl (C)-terminal region of HADs in defluorination.
Swapping this C-terminal region conferred defluorination capability to a non-defluorinating
enzyme, thereby providing new molecular features to predict enzyme-substrate specificity for
fluorinated compounds from microbiome data.

Results
106
107   ***The human gut microbiota encodes HAD-like enzymes***
108
To investigate the potential for defluorination by human gut microbial enzymes, we first tested
defluorination activity for fluoroacetate as a simple fluorinated substrate and a known 5-FU
degradation product (28). Notably, prior studies identified the soil bacterium, *Rhodococcus jostii*
RHA1, as a degrader of fluoroacetate along with the discovery of its responsible defluorinating
HAD superfamily enzyme classified as a L-2-haloacid dehalogenase (15). These enzymes
primarily dehalogenate small chlorinated alkanoic acids with only a few demonstrating the
capability to cleave the more recalcitrant carbon-fluorine bond (25). We therefore used the
Rhodococcus jostii RHA1 HAD (Rha0230) for a protein homology search in the HumGut database
(29). To our surprise, we detected over 500 HAD homologs encoded in human gut metagenomes
(Fig. S1, Table S1).
119
The identified HAD-encoding genes were predominantly found in bacteria belonging to the phylum
Bacillota, formerly named the Firmicutes (30). However, homologs were also prevalent in the
Actinomycetota, Pseudomonadota, and Bacteroidota (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1). To further elucidate the
relationships among these proteins, we constructed a protein sequence similarity network (Fig.
S2), which revealed several distinct HAD clusters (29, 31). Two of these clusters contained
characterized members of the L-2-haloacid dehalogenase subfamily (25) within the larger HAD
superfamily (26). Other clusters could be assigned to other HAD superfamily family functions such

as phosphonate and azetidine hydrolysis (26, 32). For subsequent analysis, we focused on
clusters containing the 2-haloacid dehalogenases and curated the dataset through a multiple
sequence alignment to eliminate redundant sequences and confirmed the presence of the
catalytic residues (Fig. S3). We selected seven new representatives for in vitro experiments (Fig.
S2, Table S2). The selected sequences from the human gut microbiota showed low amino acid
sequence identity to previously characterized HADs (18% - 46%; mean: 29%; Table S1). We also
investigated the genomic context by extracting ± six genes on either side of the query HADs
(Table S3). No CLC^F or Fluc (*crcB*-encoded) fluoride ion transporters or other relevant protein
families were detected. Therefore, the role of these HADs in defluorination could not be inferred
based on genomic co-localization. The lack of functional prediction based on sequence similarity
or genomic context therefore motivated experimental validation of these unknown HADs.

138
139 ***Human gut microbial dehalogenases are active in carbon-fluorine bond cleavage***
140
To screen for in vitro defluorination activity, we heterologously expressed, purified, and tested
seven selected gut microbial dehalogenases with ten different halogenated substrates (nine
fluorinated organic acids and one chlorinated substrate, chloroacetate, Fig. 1, Fig. S4 Table S4).
The HAD from the soil bacterium *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1 (P5, Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID:
3UMG) was included as a positive defluorination control as described previously. All proteins
tested except for one (*Enterocloster aldenensis*, P1, Fig. 1C) showed dehalogenase activity with
at least one substrate (Fig. S5-S12). Two enzymes hydrolyzed chloroacetate but were not active
with any fluorinated substrates (P7 and P8, Fig. 1C). The remaining four enzymes hydrolyzed
fluoroacetate, producing fluoride ion and glycolate stoichiometrically, as measured by ion
chromatography (Fig. 1B). Compounds with perfluorinated methyl ($-CF_3$) or perfluorinated
methylene ($-CF_2-$) groups including trifluoroacetate, difluoropropionate, trifluoropropionate,
trifluoropentanoate, and heptafluorobutanoate were not accepted by any of the eight HADs tested
(Fig. 1C). Four of the enzymes, including the control were active with known transformation
products of fluorinated xenobiotics, namely, 2-fluoropropionate, α-fluoro-β-alanine, and the
polyfluorinated compound, difluoroacetate. These active defluorinating enzymes originate from
diverse gut bacterial families including Eggerthellaceae (*Gordonibacter*, P2 and P3),
Moraxellaceae (*Acinetobacter*, P4), and Christensellaceae (*Guopingia*, P6).

158
We further examined the impact of oxygen on one defluorinating enzyme P3 as a representative
for our gut microbial HADs. As expected, based on the established L-2-haloacid dehalogenase
mechanism (24–26), P3 cleaved fluoroacetate to produce glycolate and fluoride ions under both
aerobic and anaerobic conditions (Fig. S13). To test whether we could detect the defluorination
activity of the gut bacterium *Gordonibacter pamelaeae* anaerobically in gut microbial medium
(GMM) with fluoroacetate and measured fluoride concentrations using a fluoride ion electrode
(Table S5, Methods). Defluorination activity was not detectable, perhaps due to challenges in
expression, transport, or the preferential use of other substrates by *G. pamelaeae*. Due to the
limited genetic tractability of the native gut strains encoding these enzymes, we instead focused
efforts on in vitro enzyme characterization. We measured the steady-state enzyme kinetics for P3
with fluoroacetate and compared our results to other defluorinating enzymes including a L-2-
haloacid dehalogenase (DeHa2) and fluoroacetate dehalogenases (DeHa4) from *Delftia*

acidovorans D4B (33) and the fluoroacetate dehalogenase from *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*
(RPA1163, (34)). Briefly, P3 has a K_m of 59.8 ± 7.7 mM approximately one order of magnitude
higher than the K_m values of DeHa2 and DeHa4 (Table S6), although lower than the K_m of
RPA1163 (86.8 ± 13.7 mM)(34). The k_{cat} of 0.25 ± 0.02 s^{-1} is about fourfold lower than the k_{cat} of
RPA1163 (Table S6). The weak substrate binding and correspondingly high off rate suggested
by the *Km* may be a contributing factor to the overall low catalytic efficiency.
177

178
Figure 1. Haloacid dehalogenases are widespread across human gut bacterial phyla and exhibit broad
substrate specificity. A) Overview of the identification pipeline for the selected haloacid dehalogenases. We reduced
initial homology search hits using sequence similarity networking and multiple sequence alignment, resulting in eight
candidates. B) Ion chromatography traces of standards colored for distinction. Blue shade: Chloride; Green shade:
Glycolate; Pink shade: Chloroacetate; Red shade: Fluoride; Yellow shade: Fluoroacetate. The last four traces show
protein 3 and protein 6 tested with fluoroacetate and chloroacetate. Green line: boiled protein control; Blue line: sample

at t0; Black: sample after 24h incubation. The different standard peaks are color coded and dotted lines are extending
the colored shading towards the sample peaks. C) Substrates specificity of ten different substrates with the eight
selected proteins. The substrate conversion rate ranges from dark blue (0% conversion) to Yellow (100% conversion)
(Fig. S5-S12) gray: not tested. ClAc: Chloroacetate; FAc: Fluoroacetate, FP: Fluoropropionate; FBAL: α-fluoro-β-
alanine; DFA: Difluoroacetate; TFA: Trifluoroacetate; DFP:Difluoropropionate; TFP: Trifluoropropionate; TFB:
Trifluorbutanate; HFB: Heptafluorobutanoate. Predicted enzymatic degradability of the substrates based on literature
is indicated by the broadness of the bar.

Molecular dynamics of defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes reveals range of conformational compactness

Previously, MD simulations showed that HADs with defluorination activity sampled more compact
conformations in the halide-binding pocket relative to non-defluorinating enzymes (25). Since the
HADs identified here from the human gut microbiota were not tested in earlier studies, we first
replicated the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations as described (25). In a subsequent round of
simulations, we then increased the simulation time from 300 ns to 1 µs using the Amber99SB-
ILDN force field instead of OPLS/A because of better performance for proteins (Fig. S14) (35,
36). Within the past few years, major advancements have been made in protein structure
prediction using AlphaFold (AF) (37, 38), even enabling accurate MD simulations using relaxed
AF models (39). Thus, for proteins where crystal structures were not available, we used AF to
generate models (Fig. S15). In a benchmark comparison with Chan et al. (25), we obtained
comparable results in MD simulations of the available X-ray crystal structures and their respective
AF models (Table S7, Fig. S16). Simulating all 11 available defluorinating dehalogenases and 7
non-defluorinating dehalogenases in water for 1 µs, while tracking the size of the halide binding
site, did not yield any significant differences between the two groups (Fig. 2A). Further analysis
on all conserved amino acids of HADs and their relative distances between each other also
showed no significant differences (Fig. S17; Table S8).

We used MD simulations to further investigate the differences between enzymes capable of
defluorinating difluoroacetate (DFA). Previous research on DFA defluorination indicated a smaller
active site volume in the fluoroacetate dehalogenases with higher DFA activity (24). Therefore,
we compared the size of the halide-binding site in the three enzymes (P2, P3, and P5) capable
of defluorinating DFA with those in P4 and P6 (Fig. S18). We observed no significant difference
in the distribution of halide-binding pocket sizes that could explain the altered substrate
preference. We note, however, that the distributions of Euclidean distances for some of the HADs
were multimodal, suggesting distinct conformational states which are likely to include both
catalytically active and inactive states. This requires more in-depth investigation but does not
change the overall conclusions that the MD simulations alone are insufficient to distinguish
defluorinating from non-defluorinating HADs.

We conducted additional experiments to confirm that the fluoride ion release is not solely due to
fluoroacetate (FAc) contamination in the DFA (Fig. S19). However, DFA activity is weak, and it
remains unknown whether the enzyme itself contributes to the second defluorination step, as the
intermediate gem-fluoro alcohol is likely to undergo spontaneous HF elimination to form a ketone
(40).

Phylogenetic analysis does not differentiate defluorinating and non-defluorinating
enzymes
232
To further investigate methods to differentiate defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes, we
generated a phylogenetic tree of the HAD-like family protein sequences (Fig. S20, Table S9). The
dehalogenating enzymes originated from eight diverse bacterial and archaeal phyla (Fig. S21)
which did not group based on the taxonomy of the source organisms. The tree topology revealed
that the defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes did not form separate or easily
distinguishable clades.
239
240 ***Rational active site engineering converts an inactive enzyme to a dechlorinating enzyme***
241
As neither MD simulations nor phylogenetic analysis yielded conclusive results to distinguish
defluorinating and non-defluorinating HADs, we next sought to experimentally test the role of
specific residues in the active site. We used two of the seven proteins tested in vitro sharing the
highest sequence and structural similarity but distinct activities from each other (Fig. S21) (54%
sequence identity, 68% sequence similarity). P1, a non-dehalogenating enzyme, and P6, a
defluorinating enzyme. Based on comparison between the active sites of the two enzymes, there
were only four residues that were significantly different in the active site vicinity including the
halide-binding pocket and substrate tunnel (Fig. S21). We predicted these residues may provide
substrate specificity for defluorination, therefore we first aimed for rational engineering of the non-
dehalogenating enzyme P1 (*E. aldenensis*) via site-directed mutagenesis to generate single,
double, and triple variant combinations as well as the full quadruple variant P1 (F54I, T57M, H74F,
F187S) (Fig. S22-S25).
254
Among the single variants tested, only one (F54I) showed dehalogenation activity. The F54I
variant hydrolyzed chloroacetate but did not hydrolyze fluorinated substrates. Based on structural
modeling, F54 is positioned at the entrance channel to the substrate binding pocket. In the non-
dehalogenating protein P1 (*E. aldenensis*) the larger phenylalanine may exclude chloroacetate at
the binding pocket entrance. In contrast, the dehalogenating protein P6 (*Guopingia tenuis*)
features an isoleucine at this position, potentially enabling access for chloroacetate. While we did
achieve a functional dehalogenase through the single-point mutation in P1 (*E. aldenensis*), the
individual active site changes did not singularly confer defluorination activity. We hypothesized
epistatic interactions may promote defluorination. We therefore used the F54I variant as the
template for generating double, triple, and quadruple active site variants. In the P1 (F54I, H74F)
double variant and all triple variants we achieved improved dechlorination activity (Fig. 2B).
Surprisingly, we did not observe defluorination activity in any of the rationally engineered variants,
even though the active site residues were 100% identical to the defluorinating reference enzyme
P6 (*G. tenuis*). This result suggests that additional second shell interactions or other
conformational changes (41) not localized in the active site may be critical for defluorination
activity.

Figure 2. Defluorination capability does not correlate with the active site compactness or amino acid sequence identity. A) Halide binding site (HBS) size in nm during molecular simulations. Distribution of the Euclidean distances of the 3 C$_\alpha$ atoms of the HBS during simulation from 500 ns to 1000 ns. *Asterisks indicate the two enzymes used for protein engineering in this study B) Visualization of the active site of the inactive protein P1 (WP_118709078, *E. aldenensis*) black and the defluorinating protein P6 (WP_178618037, *G. tenuis*) teal. The variants introduced in P1 (*E. aldenensis*) are F54I (blue), T57M (orange), H74F (green), and F187S (purple). Substrate specificity of the different protein variants with the two substrates chloroacetate (ClAc) and fluoroacetate (FAc).

Development of a new microtiter well fluoride detection assay for alanine scanning

In order to test the contributions of a wider set of amino acids in defluorination e.g., peripheral residues in addition to active site residues, we used alanine scanning mutagenesis to generate full alanine variant libraries of the proteins: P6 *(G. tenuis)* and P1 (F54I, T57M, H74F, F187S) (*E. aldenensis*). In total, the library consisted of 474 single alanine variants. To screen the alanine library, we devised a microtiter well plate colorimetric screening method for defluorination to avoid lengthy ion chromatography steps for analysis. Previously, a lanthanum-alizarin assay for microtiter well plates (42) and a xylenol orange assay for an agar plate-based method (43) were reported. We tested the lanthanum-alizarin assay (42) and additionally developed a miniaturized liquid adaptation of the xylenol orange screening method. Both assays yielded a clear change in absorbance in the presence of free fluoride ions as validated by ion chromatography results (Table S10). Due to its enhanced color intensity, we report here the results of screening using the miniaturized xylenol orange assay. As the xylenol orange assay has not been described previously for use in liquid, microtiter well plate format, a detailed methods section and list of interfering substances is included (Fig. S26-S27, Table S11). In our assay, sodium phosphate monobasic was the compound with the highest observed interference in the present fluoride

299   assay which can be reduced to below the limit-of-detection with washing steps (Figure S28,
300   Methods).
301
To test our miniaturized screening method, we selected eleven candidate dehalogenases
spanning eleven additional gut microbial genera (Table S12). Based on the colorimetric screen,
we detected an active gut microbial defluorinase from *Priestia megaterium* sharing less than 35%
amino acid identity to our reference defluorinase (PDB ID: 3UMG). We purified the *P. megaterium*
enzyme to homogeneity and validated its defluorination activity using ion chromatography (Fig.
S29). This highlights the potential of microtiter-well assays to rapidly identify defluorinating
enzymes.
309
With our new microtiter defluorination assay in hand, we next screened the alanine scanning
library with a dual-assay approach for both defluorination and dechlorination activity (Fig. 3A).
The screening was conducted in two independent experimental campaigns each with biological
replicates as further described in the Methods section, with and without dilution, and normalization
for protein concentration (Experiment 1 and Experiment 2, respectively). Across independent
experiments and biological replicates of the alanine scanning library (Methods), 39.8-41.7% of
alanine variants lost defluorination activity. A slightly lower proportion of variants (33.0-33.5%)
lost dechlorination activity in Experiment 1. In Experiment 2, 31%-37% of alanine variants lost
defluorination activity and 39-41% lost dechlorination activity. We identified the top 19 alanine
variants which showed dechlorination activity but lost defluorination activity in both experiments
(Fig. S32-S34, Table S14-15). Despite variability among replicates within and across Experiments
1 and 2 caused by inherent biological differences in growth and expression—remaining even after
protein normalization correction (Fig. S30-31)—both experiments identified the same important
regions and residues linked to defluorination (Fig. S35, Table S13). Subsequent machine learning
results are reported here for Experiment 1 for clarity (Exp. 2 results are reported in Table S16 and
Fig. S36).
326
327   ***Machine learning highlights important protein regions for defluorination***
328
To analyze our alanine scanning data, we used the random forest algorithm to train a machine
learning model to predict defluorination activity. Random forest is suitable for both regression and
classification tasks even under low-data regimes (n=474 data points from the alanine scanning
experiments). Our regression model trained on 80% of the alanine scanning data was capable of
predicting quantitative changes in defluorination activity as the result of single alanine point
mutations with the remaining 20% of the data with a root-mean square error (RMSE) of 0.2 ± 0.02
enzyme activity units (on a min-max normalized activity scale between 0-1 defluorination activity).
Based on the relative feature importance, the most predictive feature was the position of each
amino acid within the protein sequence rather than the size, charge, identity, codon diversity, or
polarity of each amino acid converted to alanine (Fig. 3B). These results showing position carried
the highest importance for defluorination activity corresponds with the visible 'defluorination'
hotspots on the protein structure (Fig. 3C).
341

To train a more generalizable model with applications beyond our selected alanine scanning
libraries P1 (F54I, T57M, H74F, F187S) and P6, we used random forest to classify defluorinating
vs. non-defluorinating enzymes based on general amino acid features extendable to untested
HAD sequences (Fig. 3D). We obtained 95% training and test set classification accuracy (F1-
score = 0.928 ± 0.028, Table S16). To test the generalizability of our classification model, we used
the model to predict defluorination activity for a new set of five uncharacterized HAD homologs
(Methods, Fig. S35). We obtained a defluorination probability score of 0.9 for a HAD
(WP_139652913.1) encoded in the genome of *Raoultibacter phocaeensis* Marseille-P8396^{T}
isolated from human stool samples (44). We heterologously expressed and confirmed positive
defluorination activity in the *R. phocaeensis* HAD. The other four HADs in the dataset expressed
well in *E. coli* but were not active in defluorination. Our models predicted a low defluorination
probability of 0.5 or less (Fig. S37, Table S17), supporting the ability of our models to discern
high-probability defluorinating HADs from others.
355
Although recognizing the limited scope of this model to HAD-like family enzymes, this
classification analysis pinpointed residues that were important for defluorination activity (Fig. S35-
36). Strikingly, the top five most important residues (Experiment 1) for defluorination activity
prediction accuracy were localized in the C-terminus (final 41 amino acids). A simplified model
trained on only the 41 C-terminal residues as features still achieved 83% testing and training set
prediction accuracy (average F1-score = 0.728 ± 0.129, Experiment 1, Table S16).
362
Additionally, we computed the root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) from our molecular
dynamics (MD) simulations of P6 (*G. tenuis*), allowing us to compare the spatial fluctuations of
different regions within the protein structural model. Our analysis identified two regions exhibiting
high RMSF values. Both regions are situated at the channel entry leading to the active site (Fig.
S38), with one of them located at the C-terminus (Fig. 3E). This finding aligns with the residues
implicated in defluorination, as determined by both machine learning predictions and experimental
evidence.

Figure 3. The intrinsically disordered C-terminal region is important for defluorination activity. A) Results of Experiment 1 colorimetric assays for defluorination and dechlorination activity, respectively, mapped onto the P6 protein model. The color gradient from white (low activity) to yellow (medium activity) to red (high activity) and line thickness is used to visualize activity differences based on location in the wild-type protein structure. B) Mean relative feature importance plot extracted from random forest regression model using alanine scanning data to predict defluorination activity. C) Protein 'hotspots' important for defluorination and dechlorination based on the alanine scanning data, where line thickness and coloring correspond to a higher importance for defluorination alone. D) Top five residue positions with the highest mean Gini importance in random forest classification for defluorinating vs. non-defluorinating proteins E) Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF, reported in nm) of the C_α atoms indicates high movement in the two regions of the protein. The two boxes highlight the more flexible regions in the proteins and lines connect the corresponding amino acids in the protein visualization. Maroon = amino acids 36-69, Red = amino acids 197-235. The surface of the binding pocket location is shaded by proximity to the two regions.

Non-defluorinating enzyme gains defluorination function by C-terminus swap

To test the relevance of the C-terminal region experimentally, we generated chimeric proteins by combining different regions from the quad variant non-defluorinating protein P1 (*E. aldenensis* F54I-T57M-F74H-F187S) with the defluorinating enzyme P6 (*G. tenuis*) (Fig. S39). Strikingly, the single P1 quad variant (*E. aldenensis* F54I-T57M-F74H-F187S) chimera generated by swapping in the 41 amino acid C-terminus of the P6 (*G. tenuis*) enzyme showed slight defluorination activity (Fig. 4A). All other chimeric proteins were active in dechlorination, but not defluorination (Fig. 4A, Fig. S39). Notably, the C-terminal region has not been previously reported for its importance in dehalogenation or fluorinated compound substrate specificity. Analyzing our alanine scanning data based on our chimeric segments (Fig. 4B), we observed a pattern in the activity distribution of defluorination activity (Fig. 4B). Based on our MD simulations, we observed that the C-terminal chimeric segment also had the highest overall movement relative to other protein chimeric segments (Fig. 4C). Overall, the combination of alanine scanning and chimeric mutagenesis indicated that the C-terminal 41 amino acid segment was sufficient to install defluorination activity when fused to a non-defluorinating homologous enzyme.

401
402
Figure 4. Swapping the C-terminus of a non-defluorinating HAD with the C-terminus of a defluorinating
homologous enzyme enables defluorination activity. A) Representation of the defluorinating protein P6. The
colored parts represent the exchanged segments used for generating the chimeric protein variants with P1. B) The
protein variants with the colored segment from P6 showed no defluorination activity. C) The engineered chimeric protein
with a swapped C-terminal segment enables weak conversion of fluoroacetate to glycolate and fluoride ion. D) Protein
defluorination activity of the alanine scanning library with all data points shown for two independent biological replicates
(Experiment 2 results are visualized, both Experiment 1 and Experiment 2 results are provided in Table S13-14, Table
S18). The x-axis indicates the position in P6 and the y-axis indicates the change in min-max normalized activity for
each alanine scanning variant relative to wild-type activity (dotted gray line). Points are colored by chimeric segments
as depicted in other figure panels. The C-terminal chimeric segment exhibits a significantly different distribution of active
to inactive alanine variants from the other chimeric segments of the protein ($p<0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis test). E) Boxplot of
root mean square deviation (RMSD) in nm for different protein segments derived from MD simulations with the C-
terminal chimeric segment exhibiting the highest overall movement.

Discussion

Here we demonstrated that enzymes capable of carbon-fluorine bond cleavage are widely
distributed across bacterial phyla common in the human gut. To our knowledge, this is the first
report of HADs sourced from the human gut microbiota capable of catalyzing this reaction.
Despite sharing sequence and structural similarity, the proteins in this study exhibited variable
substrate specificity including activity with fluoroacetate and α-fluoro-β-alanine. Both of these are
known toxic transformation products (6, 7) of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), a widely-used cancer
medication on the World Health Organization's list of Essential Medicines (45). The anticancer
mechanism of 5-FU is primarily based on the inhibition of thymidylate synthase (TS) by 5-fluoro-
2'-deoxyuridine monophosphate (FdUMP). FdUMP competes with deoxyuridine monophosphate
by forming a covalent ternary complex with TS and methylenetetrahydrofolate (46). This
mechanism-based inactivation has been a significant driving force behind therapeutic innovations
targeting not only human, but also bacterial enzymes, such as α-fluoromethyl ketone bile acid

analogs which covalently inhibit gut bacterial bile salt hydrolases (47). Studies on fluorinated
substrate analogs that inhibit pyridoxal phosphate (PLP)-dependent alanine racemases (48) as
well as other enzyme classes (49), have advanced the discovery and characterization of enzyme
catalytic mechanisms and their physiological roles. A notable example is the discovery of a *(S)*-
α-fluoromethyltyrosine inhibitor of a microbial PLP-dependent tyrosine decarboxylase. This
previously unidentified microbial enzyme converts levodopa, a medication used to treat
Parkinson's disease, into dopamine, thereby contributing to interindividual variation in drug
efficacy (50). Collectively, these findings and our study contribute to a growing mechanistic
understanding of how diverse gut microbial enzymes interact with fluorinated substrates.

In this study, we also identified four new HADs active on DFA, doubling the number of hydrolytic
dehalogenases experimentally shown to defluorinate -CF_2 groups (24). DFA is a transformation
product of various xenobiotics including the refrigerant R1113, chlorotrifluoroethylene, (51) and
the anesthetic 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoro-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-ethane (52). DFA is also a byproduct of
the photodegradation of penoxsulam, a widely used pesticide (53), thereby exposure to the gut
microbiota may occur via the consumption of pesticide residues on food. In general, fluorinated
substances seep into groundwater and drinking water, where they are subsequently consumed
by humans, thus coming in contact with the gut microbiota, although there is a lack of quantitative
exposure data to fully assess the extent and impact of this interaction.Additionally, this study is
limited in its scope to overexpressed recombinant HADs sourced from the gut microbiota. In vivo
and pure culture experiments remain inconclusive due to unknown conditions for gene expression
and interference from complex matrices, requiring further investigation.

Specificity-determining residues or structural features that differentiate defluorinating enzymes
from their non-defluorinating counterparts in the L-2-haloacid dehalogenases have remained
elusive (25). Alanine scanning proved useful to identify protein variants that retained
dechlorination activity but lost their defluorination capability and to pinpoint several amino acids
critical for defluorination. Surprisingly, these amino acids were primarily located in the peripheral
regions of the protein with a higher percentage of significant residues located in the C-terminal
segment of the protein. These observations, along with results from more recent studies (54),
support the growing complex understanding of protein function beyond the simplistic lock-and-
key model (55). Consequently, our protein engineering efforts shifted towards engineering
chimeric proteins by replacing segments of non-defluorinating protein variants with segments from
a defluorinating enzyme. We observed chimeric proteins with weak, but robust and reproducible
defluorination activity only when the C-terminal segment was exchanged.

The C-terminal regions of proteins have been extensively studied in eukaryotic cells (56, 57),
where 'short linear motifs' within intrinsically disordered regions (58) have been associated with
a broad spectrum of functions, including substrate recognition (56, 59), signaling (60), and
disease risk (56, 61). This functional diversity is attributed to the solvent exposure and higher
degree of disorder of C-termini, which facilitate protein-protein interactions (62) and
oligomerization facilitated through natural C-domain swapping (63). Previously, in a HAD
superfamily phosphatase, the flexible C-terminal tail was shown to interface with adjacent
monomers to facilitate product release (41). Our MD simulations suggested gut microbial HAD C-

termini exhibit greater flexibility than other protein regions. Combined with a 'gain-of-function'
through the design of a C-terminal chimera, we propose the C-terminal region of HADs plays a
significant and previously unreported role in defluorination. This knowledge lays the foundation to
engineer gut microbial proteins with expanded substrate ranges for carbon-fluorine bonds,
opening new applications in human and environmental health. It adds a prokaryotic dimension to
the 'C-terminome' to more accurately predict and modulate enzyme functions in microbiomes and
across the domains of life.

Data and code availability

Scripts and data associated with analyses presented in this manuscript are available at:
https://github.com/MSM-group/gut_microbe_defluorination_paper

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Michael Zimmermann, Mitchell Levesque, and Larry Wackett for their thoughtful
discussions and input. We acknowledge René Gall for his technical support with analytics and Till
Epprecht for his support in computational analysis. We thank the entire Joint Genome Institute
team including Miranda Harmon-Smith, Yasuo Yoshikuni, and many more for their exceptional
support. The work (proposal: 10.46936/10.25585/60008420) conducted by the U.S. Department
of Energy Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of Science User
Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy operated under
Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. S.P. was supported by postdoctoral funding from the Peter
und Traudl Engelhorn Stiftung. V.P. and S.L.R. acknowledge funding from the Swiss National
Science Foundation (grant no. PZPGP2_209124), the Uniscientia Foundation, and the Helmut
Horten Foundation (2022-YIG-090).

Author contribution
Conceptualization, S.L.R and S.I.P.; Methodology, S.L.R, S.I.P. F.F., V.P., R.M. and I.K.B.;
Investigation, S.L.R, S.I.P. F.F., V.P., R.M, and I.K.B.; Writing – Original Draft, S.L.R., S.I.P., V.P.,
and F.F.; Writing – Review & Editing, S.L.R., F.F., I.K.B., R.M., V.P., and S.I.P.; Funding
Acquisition, S.L.R. and I.K.B.; Supervision, S.I.P., I.K.B., and S.L.R.

Declaration of interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

1. Identification and selection of HADs from the HumGut Database
We retrieved protein sequences of known environmental HADs (2-haloacid dehalogenase
subfamily) previously characterized for their defluorination activity (25) from the National Center
for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, WP_011593529.1 and WP_011481495.1). The sequences
were used as queries for BLAST searches within the HumGut human gut genome collection
(Version: February 2023) (29). A BLASTdb was created using the 30,691 HumGut genomes and

a tblastn search was performed to identify homologs of the WP_011593529.1 and
WP_011481495.1 proteins. The 500 sequence hits with bitscores greater than 40 were subjected
for a reverse blast search against the NCBI database. Hits that could be mapped to NCBI
database entries with 100% sequence identity and 90-100% query coverage were further curated
for redundancies. The resulting list of 114 protein sequences (Table S1) were aligned using
Clustal Omega within the EMBL-EBI Framework (64) and the presence of the catalytic active
residues were verified. Genomic context for the query sequences were extracted using the
genome mining tool RODEO v2.0 (65). Code and query sequences are available at
https://github.com/MSM-group/gut_microbe_defluorination_paper. Subsequently, we used the
EFI-EST webtool to generate a sequence similarity network (SSN) with default parameters (66).
We visualized the 95% amino acid identity representative network using Cytoscape (67) (Fig. S2)
and seven SSN cluster representatives were selected for experimental validation (Table S2).
Genes were synthesized as described below for in vitro work. In a second 'validation' round, 11
new proteins were selected based on high sequence similarity alignment scores for additional
experimental validation (Table S12).
532
533 2. Cloning and heterologous expression of candidate enzymes
The first batch of full-length dehalogenases (n=7) were codon-optimized for *E. coli* codon usage
using the Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) Codon Optimization Tool. The sequences were
synthesized as gBlocks by Integrated DNA Technologies. The gBlocks were cloned individually
by Gibson Assembly into multiple cloning site 1 of pCFDuet-1 vectors
(spectinomycin/streptomycin resistance marker) with tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage sites and
C-terminal hexahistidine tags (Table S19-S21). Constructs were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α
cells and verified by Sanger sequencing (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland). Sequence-verified
constructs were transformed into *E. coli* T7 Express cells for protein production (New England
Biolabs Frankfurt, Germany, NEB C2566I). The second batch of synthesized genes for
colorimetric assay validation (n=11) were cloned by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome
Institute. These were constructed identically to the first batch with the exception of using the build-
optimization software, BOOST (68) for codon optimization and expression in T7 Express *E. coli*.
All codon-optimized sequences are available at:
github.com/MSM-group/gut_microbe_defluorination_paper/tree/main/protein_sequences_info
548
549 Starter overnight cultures (5 mL) were grown in LB with 100 μg/mL spectinomycin overnight at
550 37°C. From the starter culture, 0.5 mL were added to 200 mL Terrific Broth with 100 μg/mL
551 spectinomycin in a 1 L baffled Erlenmeyer flask and grown to an optical density of 0.5-0.8 at 37°C.
552 Protein expression was induced by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)
553 and cultures were incubated for 24h at 16°C.
554
For scale up and purification, induced cultures were poured into 50 mL Falcon tubes and
centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 30 min. The supernatant was decanted, and cell pellets were
resuspended in 4 mL buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole) per gram cell
pellet, 10% glycerol, pH = 8) per gram of pellet weight. Cells were lysed by 3 cycles of sonication
using a 6 mm needle, 30% amplitude and 10 x 10 second pulses with a rest time of 10 seconds
in between (total sonication time per cycle = 3 minutes). Lysate was centrifuged at 20,000 RCF

at 4°C for 45 min. For benchtop purification, supernatants were applied to a column packed with
Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated in buffer A. The column was subsequently
washed with buffer A and then subjected to two washes with buffer B (40 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM
NaCl, 10% glycerol and 40 mM imidazole). Enzymes were eluted from the column using buffer C
(40 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 500 mM imidazole) The fractions with the
highest protein concentration was determined qualitatively by Bradford assay(69). Imidazole and
NaCl were removed by running the sample over a PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva) and eluting in
buffer (100 mM Tris-SO$_4$, pH = 8.5). The concentration of the purified proteins were determined
by Qubit™ protein assay and the proteins were flash frozen using liquid nitrogen in 500 µL aliquots
and subsequently stored at -80°C.

3. Assessment of enzyme-substrate specificity
Stock solutions containing 100 mM of the test substrates listed in Table S5 dissolved in water
were prepared. The concentration of the Ni-NTA purified proteins were determined by using the
Qubit™ protein assay and the proteins were diluted to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL in 100
mM Tris-SO$_4$ buffer, pH 8.5. As controls the proteins were boiled for 10 min at 100°C. The test
substrates were added to a final concentration of 1 mM and the samples were incubated at 37°C.
50 µL samples were taken for the different timepoints and mixed with 50 µL acetonitrile to quench
the reaction. The samples were centrifuged at 13 000 RPM for 5 min and the supernatant
transferred into plastic vials for ion chromatography (IC).

An IC system (Metrohm, Switzerland) was used consisting of an IC sampling center 889 coupled
to an ion chromatograph (930 Compact IC Flex) with a conductivity detector. Samples were
incubated at 10°C in the sample center with 10 µL injection volumes first onto a precolumn
(Metrosep A Supp 16, Guard, 4 mm) and subsequently onto a Metrosep A Supp 16 column (250
x 4.0 mm, 5 µm). The temperature in the column was kept constant at 30°C. The eluent consisted
of 2.0 mM Na$_2$CO$_3$ and 5.0 mM NaHCO$_3$. The flow rate was set to 0.8 mL/min. IC data processing
and quantification was performed using MagIC Net Professional (version 3.3).
All experiments were conducted at least in triplicates.

4. AlphaFold and structural comparison
Structural models for the seven initial dehalogenase sequences selected from the HumGut
databases, were generated using AlphaFold2 (AF) ColabFold(70). Models with the highest rank
for each dehalogenase were prepared with relaxation using the Amber99SB force field(71).
Additionally, we used AF to generate models for the HADs PA0810, RSC1362, ST2570 and
RHA0230. The accuracy of AF models was compared to known X-ray crystal structures, where
available (25).

5. Molecular dynamics simulations
Molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the GROMACS package version 2022.5
(72, 73) compiled with CUDA support and run on NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti graphic cards.
The crystal structures for HADs PA0810, RSC1362, ST2570 and RHA0230 were downloaded
from RCSB PDB (PDB IDs: 3UMC, 3UMB, 2W43 and 3UMG, respectively. For PDB files which
contained selenomethionine, selenomethionine was exchanged with methionine. Relaxed AF

models were also subjected to simulations. The system was prepared with GROMACS tools,
parameterized with the force field Amber99SB-ILDN (74), and solvated in explicit water using the
default water model TIP3P (75) in a cubic box ~1.2 nm bigger than the protein in each direction.
The protein's net charge was neutralized with Na^+ or Cl^- ions. Conjugate gradient energy
minimization was performed with a minimum of 50 steps and a maximum of 1000 steps or until
the energy of the system reaches below 1000 kJ/mol/nm. After a short (200 ps) NVT equilibration
and (200 ps) NPT equilibration, the production runs were carried out. The simulations were
performed under periodic boundary conditions for a total simulation length of 0.1 μs with ten
replicates and 1 μs with two replicates using the leap-frog algorithm with time steps of 2 fs for
each simulation. The bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained with the LINCS algorithm
(76). The cutoff scheme for nonbonded interactions was Verlet with a cutoff of 1.2 nm (for all
AMBER force fields). The Coulomb interactions were calculated using the particle mesh Ewald
algorithm (77) and a Fourier spacing of 0.14 nm. The temperature was controlled using a modified
Berendsen thermostat (78) with a coupling time of 0.1 ps (protein & non-protein coupling groups)
and a reference temperature of 300K. The pressure was controlled using the Berendsen barostat
(79) an isotropic pressure coupling in x-y-z directions, a coupling time of 1.0 ps, a reference
pressure of 1.0 bar, and an isothermal compressibility of $4.5 \times 10^{-5} bar^{-1}$. The variance of the 100
ns simulations with 10 replicates ranged between 0.015 and 0.08 nm for all simulated proteins.
For the 1 μs runs the variance between the two replicates ranged between 0.0016 nm and 0.031
nm. The root mean square deviation (RMSD) of the simulated proteins relative to the starting
structure (C_α and all atoms) was measured using gmx_rms for both whole proteins and for the
protein segments with the necessary index files generated with make_ndx. The three active site
residues of the halogen binding site (aspartate, asparagine and arginine) were identified and the
Euclidean distance between the three C_α atoms was tracked during the simulation after an
equilibration period of 500 ns with the built-in tool gmx_distance (73).. To measure the Root Mean
Square Fluctuation (RMSF) of all C_α atoms during the simulation the built-in function gmx_rmsf
was used.

6. Sequence based comparison of HADs
Multiple sequence alignment and maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis (Fig. S20-S21, Table
S9) were performed to gain a better perspective on the sequence features of the HAD-like
enzymes. We performed these two analyses with a data set of the entire HAD-like family available
in UniProt (80) for 248 sequences available as of August 2023 with experimental evidence
characterized at the protein level. A multiple sequence alignment of the resulting 248 proteins
was performed using the Clustal Omega algorithm (81) with a Biopython wrapper (82) and the
phylogenetic tree (Fig. S20-21) was generated using the IQ-TREE algorithm (83, 84). The model
selection was performed by IQ-TREE model finder function (83). The best model was selected
(substitution model Q.pfam+R8) and a maximum-likelihood tree was constructed with 1000
bootstrap replicates and visualized using ETE 3 (85) .

7. Rational active site engineering
To identify potentially significant amino acids involved in the defluorination process, we used two
of seven in vitro tested proteins sharing high structural and sequential similarity but distinct
activities from each other (Fig. S21) (54% sequence identity, 68% sequence similarity).

WP_1187090781.1, a non-defluorinating enzyme, and WP_1786180371.1, a defluorinating
enzyme. We targeted amino acids within WP_1187090781.1, particularly those situated in or near
the active site, for substitution with their counterparts from WP_1786180371.1. Four single
variants were constructed: F54I, T57M, F74H, and F187S and their combinations resulting in 14
variants encoded by point mutations in codons for up to four different active site residues. The
mutant gene constructs were generated by using mismatch primers (Table S21). A 3 µL Kinase,
Ligase & DpnI (KLD) Treatment Reaction containing 0.5 µL of the unpurified PCR product, 1x
KLD Reaction Buffer and 1x KLD Enzyme Mix (New England Biolabs) was incubated at room
temperature for 10 minutes followed by 10 minutes at 37°C.
658
8. Colorimetric assay for fluoride detection
A colorimetric fluoride screening method was developed here for 96-well plate format based on
the agar-plate based colony screening method initially described by Davis et al. (43) Xylenol
orange stock solution was prepared with a concentration of 20 mM. To prepare the zirconium
oxychloride octahydrate stock solution, 0.3022 g of zirconium(IV)-oxychloride octahydrate was
dissolved in 925 µL water and 1.85 mL 12.1 M HCl added and then boiled for 5 min to achieve
final concentrations of 500 mM fully depolarized zirconium and 8 M HCl. After cooling, the stock
solution was diluted to a concentration of 50 mM zirconium. Shortly before the experiment, the
working solution was prepared with 7.8 M HCl, 400 µM xylenol orange and the complex was
initiated by the addition of 250 µM zirconium. A list of potential interfering substances and buffers
were tested, and results are listed in Table S11. Sodium phosphate monobasic (hereafter
phosphate) interferes with the formation of the xylenol orange-fluoride complex at phosphate
concentrations above 100 µM. The time dependence of phosphate interference was analyzed
over a range of phosphate concentrations between 100 and 1000 µM (Fig. S28).
673
E. coli T7 expression strains carrying the corresponding plasmids were grown in TB medium in a
2 mL deep well plate (Corning, Axygen Inc P-DW-20-C-S) with 100 µg/mL spectinomycin until a
optical density of 0.8 was reached The cells were induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated for
24 h. The plate was centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 10 min, the supernatant was decanted and the
cells were washed twice with 2 mL 20 mM HEPES (pH 8). After centrifugation at 4000 RCF for
10 min the cells were resuspended in 1 mL 20mM HEPES (pH 8) and fluoroacetate was added
to a final concentration of 1mM prior to incubation at 37°C for 24 h. The cells were centrifuged at
4000 RCF for 15 min and 200 µL of the supernatant was transferred to a new 96 well plate and
40 µL of the zirconium/xylenol orange working solution was added. The final concentrations in
each well were 1.3 M HCl, 66.7 µM xylenol orange, and 41.7 µM zirconium. Two independent
experiments were performed. The first experiment was performed in duplicate for all protein
variants and assessed for defluorination activity. The second experiment was conducted in
triplicate for all protein variants and tested for defluorination but with normalization to protein
concentrations. For the second experiment, protein concentrations were determined using the
Pierce™ 660nm Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) following the
manufacturer's instructions.
690
The absorbances used to calculate fluoride ion concentration was measured at 540 nm and the
isosbestic point was measured at 480 nm using an Eon spectrophotometer (Biotek). The

approximate fluoride concentration is calculated using the following formula: x=(abs(480 nm)) /
(abs(540 nm)) where a ratio above 1.8 indicates a [F$^-$] greater than 100 µM. Strongly positive
samples (>100 µM [F$^-$], x>1.8) appear yellow and negative samples appear red (<10 µM [F$^-$],
x<1.2). Fluoride calibration curves were prepared by spiking sodium fluoride amounts between 0
µM and 100 µM into cell supernatants taken from negative control samples. The assay shows a
2nd degree polynomial relationship for fluoride concentrations between 0 µM and 100 µM. Fluoride
concentrations above 100 µM were diluted 1:3 (Fig. S26) and quantified using a 1:3 diluted
calibration curve. It is recommended to measure samples in a span of 15 min after adding the
reagent mix, as this time span. Is shown to only slightly alter measurement data even at
phosphate concentrations of 100 µM. It is advised to perform the calibration in the sample matrix
(negative control) to get reliable concentration data. More information and a spreadsheet to
prepare diluted and undiluted calibration curves is available https://github.com/MSM-
group/gut_microbe_defluorination_paper/tree/main/Dehalogenation_colorimetric_assays/Experi
ment2.
707
9. Chloride detection assay
A chloride detection assay kit (QuantiChrom^{TM} Chloride Assay Kit) was obtained from Huber lab
and assays were run according to the manufacturer's instructions with the following changes:
Briefly, *E. coli* T7 expression strains carrying the corresponding plasmids were grown in TB
medium in a 2 mL deep well plate (Corning, Axygen Inc P-DW-20-C-S) with 100 µg/mL
spectinomycin until an optical density of 0.8 was reached. The cells were induced with 0.1mM
IPTG and incubated for 24 h. The plate was centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 10 min, the supernatant
was discarded, and the cells were washed twice with 2 mL 20 mM HEPES (pH 8). After
centrifugation at 4000 RCF for 10 min the cells were resuspended in 1 mL 20 mM HEPES (pH 8)
and chloroacetate was added to a final concentration of 30 mM prior to incubation at 37°C for 24
h. The cells were centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 15 min and 2.5 µL of the supernatant was
transferred to a new 96 well plate and 100 µL of the colorimetric working solution was added. The
chloride concentration was measured at 610 nm with an Eon spectrophotometer (Biotek). The
limit-of-quantification was 0.2 mM.Two independent experiments were performed. The first
experiment was performed in duplicate for all protein variants and assessed for dechlorination
activity. The second experiment was conducted in triplicate for all protein variants and tested for
dechlorination but with normalization to protein concentrations.
725
10. Whole-gene alanine-scanning mutagenesis and chimeragenesis
High-throughput alanine-scanning mutagenesis was performed using the Q5® Site-Directed
Mutagenesis Protocol (New England Biolabs Inc.) with the following amendments. Briefly, back-
to-back forward and reverse primers were designed using NEBaseChanger
(https://nebasechanger.neb.com/), where the alanine codon substitution was contained in the
center of the forward mutagenic primer. Note that a histidine codon was substituted in place of
any native alanine codons. Primers were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies. The
complete set of alanine (n=474) are available on https://github.com/MSM-group/biodefluorination-
paper/tree/main/Alanine_scanning_primers. A 6 µL PCR reaction, containing 1x Q5 Hot Start
High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 0.5 µM of the forward and reverse primer,
and 10 ng template DNA, was carried out for each variant. P6, WP_178618037.1 (*Guopingia*

tenuis) and P1 quadruple variant, WP_118709078.1 F54I-T57M-F74H-F187S (*Enterocloster*
aldenensis) cloned into the pCDFDuet-1 vector was used as template DNA. PCR cycles were
98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 30 cycles of 98°C for 10 seconds, 65°C for 20 seconds and
72°C for 2 minutes, followed by a 2-minute final extension at 72°C. A 3 µl KLD Treatment Reaction
containing 0.5 µl of the unpurified PCR product, 1x KLD Reaction Buffer and 1x KLD Enzyme Mix
(New England Biolabs) was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes followed by 10 minutes
at 37°C. The entire reaction was transformed into NEB® DH5α competent *E. coli* using the
manufacturer's recommended transformation protocol and sequence verified on the Pacific
Biosciences Revio platform (Pacific Biosciences, CA) and analyzed using custom pipelines.
Plasmid DNA of sequence-verified variants were isolated and transformed into T7 Express cells
(New England Biolabs), which was once again sequence verified (Pacific Biosciences). We
engineered five chimeric proteins by combining longer sequences from P6, WP_1786180371.1
and P1 quad variant, WP_1187090781.1 F54I-T57M-F74H-F187S (Table S5). Gibson assembly
(primers in Table S5) was used for the construction of the plasmids.

11. Machine learning

Random forest regression and classification was performed based on scripts and featurization
methods published previously (86, 87) with the random forest algorithm as implemented in the
ranger package (88). Briefly, for activity prediction using regression based on the alanine
scanning data, each point variant (n=474) was featurized using the method of Atchley et al. (89)
to a vector representing the position index, size, charge, identity, codon diversity, and polarity of
each amino acid which was converted to alanine. These features were subsequently used to
predict the change or delta in defluorination enzyme activity from wild-type as a result of each
alanine substitution. For the random forest classification task, the sequences of all HAD
superfamily enzymes which have been characterized in this study and previously in the literature
were aligned using a protein structure-aware alignment method (90). Invariant residues in the
alignment were trimmed and the remaining residues were one-hot encoded and used as features
to classify HAD sequences as 1: defluorinating or 0: non-defluorinating. For both classification
and regression tasks, the data were split by stratified random sampling into 80% training and 20%
testing sets. Grid search was used to tune model hyperparameters by 10-fold cross validation
repeated in triplicate. All forests were grown to a size of 1000 trees. Hyperparameters that were
tuned during cross-validation included the number of variables randomly sampled as candidates
at each split, the minimum size of terminal nodes and the splitting rule methods. The variability in
training and testing set prediction performances were examined with 1000 different random seeds
for training-test splits. To assess the specific importance of the C-terminal region for classification
prediction, only the final 41 residues in the HAD alignment were used as features and model
performance was compared to models trained on the full-length alignment. Scripts for the machine
learning analysis are available at:
https://github.com/MSM-group/gut_microbe_defluorination_paper/tree/main/machine_learning/.

12. Experimental validation of machine learning model predictions

Random forest classification models were used to predict defluorination probability for HAD
homologs in NCBI RefSeq and assembled coding sequences from human gut metagenomes in
the Short Read Archive (BioProject ID: PRJNA268964). Five diverse HAD sequences (denoted
P20-P24) with defluorination prediction probabilities ranging between 0.38 and 0.85 (Fig. S35)

were selected for experimental validation. Genes were codon optimized and synthesized as
described above by IDT and cloned by Gibson Assembly into multiple cloning site 1 of pCFDuet-
1 vectors. Codon optimized sequences are available here: https://github.com/MSM-
group/gut_microbe_defluorination_paper/tree/main/protein_sequences_info/. Constructs were
transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells and verified by Sanger sequencing (Microsynth, Balgach,
Switzerland). Sequence-verified constructs were transformed into *E. coli* T7 Express cells for
protein production (New England Biolabs Frankfurt, Germany, NEB C2566I). Defluorination
activity was then assessed using the colorimetric assay method described above and compared
to machine learning predictions for defluorination.

13. In vivo validation of P2 from *Gordonibacter pamelaeae* DSM 110924
Gordonibacter pamelaeae was inoculated from a cryostock into 8 mL gut microbiota medium
(GMM: tryptone peptone 2 g/L; yeast extract 1 g/L; raffinose 10 g/L; L-cysteine 0.5 g/L; meat
extract 5 g/L; KH_2PO_4 100 mM; $MgSO_4$-$7H_2O$ 0.002 g/L; $NaHCO_3$ 0.4 g/L; NaCl 0.08 g/L; $CaCl_2$ 8
mg/L, menadione 1 mg/L; $FeSO_4$ 0.4 mg/L ; hematin 1.2 mg/L; histidine 0.2 mM; Tween 80 0.5
mL/L; ATCC vitamin mix 10 mL/L; ATCC trace mineral mix 10 mL/L; resazurin 1 mg/L) in triplicates
and incubated anaerobically for 8 days until it reached an OD of 0.1. Fluoroacetate was added to
a final concentration of 10 mM and the cultures were incubated overnight. The culture was
centrifuged and the supernatant used for fluoride determination before transfer of 2 mL of
supernatant into a small beaker with 10 mL TISAB-II-solution (ammonium acetate 77.1 g/L;
Titriplex IV 4 g/L; ammonium chloride 26.75 g/L; acetic acid 96% 59 mL/L) and filled up to 40 mL.
The fluoride concentration was measured by using an ISE (fluoride-ion sensitive electrode Orion
type No. 9-09-00 and a reference electrode Orion type No. 90-02-00) by adding stepwise a 10
mg/L fluoride standard. The values obtained in mV were used for fluoride quantification (Table
S4).

14. Steady-state kinetics experiments
Steady-state kinetic parameters for P3 were measured at a protein concentration of 0.5 mg/mL.
The substrate fluoroacetate was added to final concentration of 0.4 mM; 0.8 mM; 1.6 mM; and
3.0 mM in 100mM Tris-SO_4 (pH 8.5) and 20 mM; 50 mM; 100 mM in 300mM Tris-SO_4 (pH 8.5).
The reaction mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for the duration of the reaction period. Samples
were quenched with acetonitrile at timepoints: 0 min, 10 min, 20 min, 40 min, 50 min, 60 min, 75
min, 90 min, 105 min, 120 min, 6h for the sample containing 0.4 mM-3 mM fluoroacetate and 0
min, 10 min, 30min, 60 min 90 min and 120 min for the samples containing 20-100 mM
fluoroacetate. Following quenching, samples were centrifuged at 15000 RCF for 5 min and the
supernatant was transferred into plastic vials for subsequent fluoride concentrations using
identical methods as described in the above IC section. For each substrate concentration, the
slope was calculated over the linear range of this curve, giving the velocity of product formation
(mM fluoride/min). All the experiments were performed with three independent biological
replicates and three technical replicates per biological replicate and per substrate concentration.
Michaelis-Menten equations were used to calculate the kinetic parameters K_m, k_{cat}, and k_{cat}/K_m. A
non-linear regression analysis was used to fit the data to the Michaelis–Menten equation using
the R package "nlstools" (91) with 1000 non-parametric bootstrap resamplings to estimate the
values and standard errors for the kinetic parameters (Table S6).

References

1. Current Contributions of Organofluorine Compounds to the Agrochemical Industry. *iScience* **23**, 101467 (2020).

2. M. Inoue, Y. Sumii, N. Shibata, Contribution of Organofluorine Compounds to Pharmaceuticals. *ACS Omega* **5**, 10633–10640 (2020).

3. Y. Pan, The Dark Side of Fluorine. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **10**, 1016–1019 (2019).

4. K. Yamashita, H. Yada, T. Ariyoshi, Neurotoxic effects of alpha-fluoro-beta-alanine (FBAL) and fluoroacetic acid (FA) on dogs. *J. Toxicol. Sci.* **29**, 155–166 (2004).

5. R. Okeda, *et al.*, Experimental neurotoxicity of 5-fluorouracil and its derivatives is due to poisoning by the monofluorinated organic metabolites, monofluoroacetic acid and alpha-fluoro-beta-alanine. *Acta Neuropathol.* **81**, 66–73 (1990).

6. C. Franck, P. Malfertheiner, M. Venerito, Safe administration of S-1 after 5-fluorouracil-induced cardiotoxicity in a patient with colorectal cancer. *BMJ Case Rep.* **2017** (2017).

7. K. Muneoka, Y. Shirai, N. Yokoyama, T. Wakai, K. Hatakeyama, 5-Fluorouracil cardiotoxicity induced by alpha-fluoro-beta-alanine. *Int. J. Clin. Oncol.* **10**, 441–443 (2005).

8. N. Koppel, V. Maini Rekdal, E. P. Balskus, Chemical transformation of xenobiotics by the human gut microbiota. *Science* **356** (2017).

9. B. Javdan, *et al.*, Personalized Mapping of Drug Metabolism by the Human Gut Microbiome. *Cell* **181**, 1661–1679.e22 (2020).

10. P. Lehouritis, *et al.*, Local bacteria affect the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 1–12 (2015).

11. L. T. Geller, *et al.*, Potential role of intratumor bacteria in mediating tumor resistance to the chemotherapeutic drug gemcitabine. *Science* **357**, 1156–1160 (2017).

12. P. Spanogiannopoulos, *et al.*, Host and gut bacteria share metabolic pathways for anti-cancer drug metabolism. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1605–1620 (2022).

13. B. A. Jennings, *et al.*, Evaluating predictive pharmacogenetic signatures of adverse events in colorectal cancer patients treated with fluoropyrimidines. *PLoS One* **8**, e78053 (2013).

14. P. Lehouritis, *et al.*, Local bacteria affect the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 1–12 (2015).

15. W. Y. Chan, *et al.*, Sequence- and activity-based screening of microbial genomes for novel dehalogenases. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **3**, 107–120 (2010).

16. C. K. Davis, R. I. Webb, L. I. Sly, S. E. Denman, C. S. McSweeney, Isolation and survey of novel fluoroacetate-degrading bacteria belonging to the phylum Synergistetes. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **80**, 671–684 (2012).

17. S. Che, *et al.*, Structure-Specific Aerobic Defluorination of Short-Chain Fluorinated

Carboxylic Acids by Activated Sludge Communities. *Environ Sci Technol Lett* **8**, 668–674
(2021).

18. M. Yan, *et al.*, Defluorination of monofluorinated alkane by *Rhodococcus* sp. NJF-7 isolated
from soil. *AMB Express* **14**, 65 (2024).

19. R. B. Stockbridge, L. P. Wackett, The link between ancient microbial fluoride resistance
mechanisms and bioengineering organofluorine degradation or synthesis. *Nature*
Communications **15**, 1–12 (2024).

20. L. P. Wackett, S. L. Robinson, A prescription for engineering PFAS biodegradation.
Biochem J **481**, 1757–1770 (2024).

21. S. L. Robinson, Structure-guided metagenome mining to tap microbial functional diversity.
Curr. Opin. Microbiol. **76**, 102382 (2023).

22. P. W. Y. Chan, A. F. Yakunin, E. A. Edwards, E. F. Pai, Mapping the Reaction Coordinates
of Enzymatic Defluorination. (2011). https://doi.org/10.1021/ja200277d.

23. L. P. Wackett, S. L. Robinson, The ever-expanding limits of enzyme catalysis and
biodegradation: polyaromatic, polychlorinated, polyfluorinated, and polymeric compounds.
Biochem. J **477**, 2875–2891 (2020).

24. A. N. Khusnutdinova, *et al.*, Structural insights into hydrolytic defluorination of
difluoroacetate by microbial fluoroacetate dehalogenases. *FEBS J.* (2023).
https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.16903.

25. P. W. Y. Chan, *et al.*, Defluorination Capability of L-2-Haloacid Dehalogenases in the HAD-
Like Hydrolase Superfamily Correlates with Active Site Compactness. *Chembiochem* **23**,
e202100414 (2022).

26. A. M. Burroughs, K. N. Allen, D. Dunaway-Mariano, L. Aravind, Evolutionary genomics of
the HAD superfamily: understanding the structural adaptations and catalytic diversity in a
superfamily of phosphoesterases and allied enzymes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **361**, 1003–1034 (2006).

27. F. Rashid, V. Dubinkina, S. Ahmad, S. Maslov, J. M. K. Irudayaraj, Gut Microbiome-Host
Metabolome Homeostasis upon Exposure to PFOS and GenX in Male Mice. *Toxics* **11**
(2023).

28. L. Lemaire, M. C. Malet-Martino, M. de Forni, R. Martino, B. Lasserre, Cardiotoxicity of
commercial 5-fluorouracil vials stems from the alkaline hydrolysis of this drug. *Br. J. Cancer*
66, 119–127 (1992).

29. P. Hiseni, K. Rudi, R. C. Wilson, F. T. Hegge, L. Snipen, HumGut: a comprehensive human
gut prokaryotic genomes collection filtered by metagenome data. *Microbiome* **9**, 165
(2021).

30. A. Oren, G. M. Garrity, Valid publication of the names of forty-two phyla of prokaryotes. *Int.*
J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **71**, 005056 (2021).

31. J. A. Gerlt, *et al.*, Enzyme Function Initiative-Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFI-EST): A web tool
for generating protein sequence similarity networks. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1854**, 1019–
1037 (2015).

32. C. Gross, R. Felsheim, L. P. Wackett, Genes and enzymes of azetidine-2-carboxylate metabolism: detoxification and assimilation of an antibiotic. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 4859–4864 (2008).

33. S. Farajollahi, *et al.*, Defluorination of Organofluorine Compounds Using Dehalogenase Enzymes from *Delftia acidovorans* (D4B). *ACS Omega* (2024). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.4c02517.

34. P. Mehrabi, *et al.*, Substrate-Based Allosteric Regulation of a Homodimeric Enzyme. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b03703.

35. K. Lindorff-Larsen, *et al.*, Systematic validation of protein force fields against experimental data. *PLoS One* **7**, e32131 (2012).

36. S. Piana, K. Lindorff-Larsen, D. E. Shaw, How robust are protein folding simulations with respect to force field parameterization? *Biophys. J.* **100**, L47–9 (2011).

37. J. Abramson, *et al.*, Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w.

38. J. Jumper, *et al.*, Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).

39. H.-B. Guo, *et al.*, AlphaFold2 modeling and molecular dynamics simulations of an intrinsically disordered protein. *PLoS One* **19**, e0301866 (2024).

40. L. P. Wackett, Confronting PFAS persistence: enzymes catalyzing C-F bond cleavage. *Trends Biochem Sci* **50**, 71–83 (2025).

41. T. Biswas, *et al.*, The tail of KdsC: conformational changes control the activity of a haloacid dehalogenase superfamily phosphatase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 30594–30603 (2009).

42. M. D. Bygd, K. G. Aukema, J. E. Richman, L. P. Wackett, Microwell Fluoride Screen for Chemical, Enzymatic, and Cellular Reactions Reveals Latent Microbial Defluorination Capacity for -CF Groups. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **88**, e0028822 (2022).

43. C. K. Davis, S. E. Denman, L. I. Sly, C. S. McSweeney, Development of a colorimetric colony-screening assay for detection of defluorination by micro-organisms. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **53**, 417–423 (2011).

44. A. Yacouba, *et al.*, *Raoultibacter phocaeensis* sp. nov., A New Bacterium Isolated from a Patient with Recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* Infection. *Curr Microbiol* **79**, 263 (2022).

45. K. Miura, *et al.*, 5-FU metabolism in cancer and orally-administrable 5-FU drugs. *Cancers* **2**, 1717–1730 (2010).

46. G. J. Peters, *et al.*, Induction of thymidylate synthase as a 5-fluorouracil resistance mechanism. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1587**, 194–205 (2002).

47. A.A. Adhikari, et al. Development of a covalent inhibitor of gut bacterial bile salt hydrolases. Nature Chemical Biology 16(3), 318-326 (2020).

48. E. Wang, C. Walsh, Suicide substrates for the alanine racemase of *Escherichia coli* B.

Biochemistry **17**, 1313–1321 (1978).

49. C. Tysoe, S.G. Withers Fluorinated mechanism-based inhibitors: common themes and
recent developments. Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry 14(7), 865-874 (2014).

50. V.M. Rekdal, E.N. Bess, J.E. Bisanz, P.J. Turnbaugh, E.P. Balskus, Discovery and
inhibition of an interspecies gut bacterial pathway for Levodopa metabolism. Science 364:
eaau6323 (2019).

51. U. Dorgerloh, R. Becker, M. Kaiser, Evidence for the Formation of Difluoroacetic Acid in
Chlorofluorocarbon-Contaminated Ground Water. *Molecules* **24** (2019).

52. J. Herbst, U. Köster, R. Kerssebaum, W. Dekant, Role of P4502E1 in the metabolism of
1,1,2,2-tetrafluoro-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-ethane. *Xenobiotica* **24**, 507–516 (1994).

53. A. P. Bhat, W. C. K. Pomerantz, W. A. Arnold, Finding Fluorine: Photoproduct Formation
during the Photolysis of Fluorinated Pesticides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **56**, 12336–12346
(2022).

54. V. N. Uversky, P. Kulkarni, Intrinsically disordered proteins: Chronology of a discovery.
Biophys. Chem. **279**, 106694 (2021).

55. L. C. James, D. S. Tawfik, Conformational diversity and protein evolution--a 60-year-old
hypothesis revisited. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **28**, 361–368 (2003).

56. S. Sharma, M. R. Schiller, The carboxy-terminus, a key regulator of protein function. *Crit.
Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **54**, 85–102 (2019).

57. M. Kumar, *et al.*, ELM-the Eukaryotic Linear Motif resource-2024 update. *Nucleic Acids
Res.* **52**, D442–D455 (2024).

58. T. Zarin, *et al.*, Identifying molecular features that are associated with biological function of
intrinsically disordered protein regions. *Elife* **10** (2021).

59. D. P. Sargeant, *et al.*, Secondary structure, a missing component of sequence-based
minimotif definitions. *PLoS One* **7**, e49957 (2012).

60. I. S. Hsu, *et al.*, A functionally divergent intrinsically disordered region underlying the
conservation of stochastic signaling. *PLoS Genet.* **17**, e1009629 (2021).

61. I. Pritišanac, *et al.*, A functional map of the human intrinsically disordered proteome.
bioRxiv (2024).

62. M. Y. Lobanov, E. I. Furletova, N. S. Bogatyreva, M. A. Roytberg, O. V. Galzitskaya, Library
of disordered patterns in 3D protein structures. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6**, e1000958 (2010).

63. N. L. Ogihara, *et al.*, Design of three-dimensional domain-swapped dimers and fibrous
oligomers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **98**, 1404–1409 (2001).

64. F. Madeira, *et al.*, Search and sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022.
Nucleic Acids Res. **50**, W276–W279 (2022).

65. J. I. Tietz, *et al.*, A new genome-mining tool redefines the lasso peptide biosynthetic

landscape. *Nat Chem Biol* **13**, 470–478 (2017).

66. R. Zallot, N. Oberg, J. A. Gerlt, The EFI Web Resource for Genomic Enzymology Tools: Leveraging Protein, Genome, and Metagenome Databases to Discover Novel Enzymes and Metabolic Pathways. *Biochemistry* **58**, 4169–4182 (2019).

67. P. Shannon, *et al.*, Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).

68. E. Oberortner, J.-F. Cheng, N. J. Hillson, S. Deutsch, Streamlining the Design-to-Build Transition with Build-Optimization Software Tools. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **6**, 485–496 (2017).

69. M. M. Bradford, A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **72**, 248–254 (1976).

70. M. Mirdita, *et al.*, ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* **19**, 679–682 (2022).

71. P. Eastman, *et al.*, OpenMM 7: Rapid development of high performance algorithms for molecular dynamics. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **13**, e1005659 (2017).

72. M. J. Abraham, *et al.*, GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **1-2**, 19–25 (2015).

73. P. Bauer, B. Hess, E. Lindahl, GROMACS 2022.5 Manual. (2023). Available at: https://zenodo.org/record/7586765.

74. K. Lindorff-Larsen, *et al.*, Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein force field. *Proteins* **78**, 1950–1958 (2010).

75. W. L. Jorgensen, J. Chandrasekhar, J. D. Madura, R. W. Impey, M. L. Klein, Comparison of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **79**, 926–935 (1983).

76. B. Hess, H. Bekker, H. J. C. Berendsen, J. G. E. Fraaije, LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **18**, 1463–1472 (1997).

77. U. Essmann, *et al.*, A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 8577–8593 (1995).

78. G. Bussi, D. Donadio, M. Parrinello, Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.* **126**, 014101 (2007).

79. H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. F. van Gunsteren, A. DiNola, J. R. Haak, Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 3684–3690 (1984).

80. UniProt Consortium, UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res. 51, D523–D531 (2023).

81. F. Sievers, et al., Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7, 539 (2011).

82. P. J. A. Cock, et al., Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular

biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics 25, 1422–1423 (2009).

83. S. Kalyaanamoorthy, B. Q. Minh, T. K. F. Wong, A. von Haeseler, L. S. Jermiin,
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* **14**,
587–589 (2017).

84. B. Q. Minh, *et al.*, Corrigendum to: IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for
Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 2461 (2020).

85. J. Huerta-Cepas, F. Serra, and P. Bork. ETE 3: reconstruction, analysis, and visualization
of phylogenomic data. Mol Biol Evol 33, 1635-1638 (2016).

86. S. L. Robinson, M. D. Smith, J. E. Richman, K. G. Aukema, L. P. Wackett, Machine
learning-based prediction of activity and substrate specificity for OleA enzymes in the
thiolase superfamily. *Synth. Biol.* **5** (2020).

87. S. L. Robinson, *et al.*, Global analysis of adenylate-forming enzymes reveals β-lactone
biosynthesis pathway in pathogenic Nocardia. *J. Biol. Chem.* **295**, 14826–14839 (2020).

88. M. N. Wright, A. Ziegler, Ranger: A fast implementation of random forests for high
dimensional data in C++ and R. *J. Stat. Softw.* **77** (2017).

89. W. R. Atchley, J. Zhao, A. D. Fernandes, T. Drüke, Solving the protein sequence metric
problem. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 6395–6400 (2005).

90. E. Wright, Accurately clustering biological sequences in linear time by relatedness sorting.
Nat. Commun. **15**, 3047 (2024).

91. F. Baty, *et al.*, A Toolbox for Nonlinear Regression in R: The Package nlstools. *J. Stat. Soft.*
66, 1–21 (2015).

1030