Enzymatic carbon-fluorine bond cleavage by human gut microbes

Silke I. Probst[1], Florian D. Felder[1], Victoria Poltorak[1], Ritesh Mewalal[2], Ian K. Blaby[2], Serina L. Robinson[1*]

[1]Department of Environmental Microbiology, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag), 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland
[2]U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

*corresponding author: serina.robinson@eawag.ch

Keywords: Haloacid dehalogenase, Defluorination, Human gut microbiota, 5-Fluorouracil, Fluoroacetate, Molecular dynamics, Protein carboxyl-terminus, Alanine scanning, Protein engineering

Abstract

The human gut microbiota is known for its pharmaceutical biotransformation capabilities. Many pharmaceuticals are fluorinated; however, it was previously unknown whether gut microbes were capable of cleaving carbon-fluorine bonds. Here we show that dehalogenases encoded by human gut microbes, including Clostridia, Bacilli, and Coriobacteriia, are active on fluorinated amino and organic acids. Through the development of a rapid, 96-well fluoride detection assay, we screened and characterized new microbial gut defluorinases. Using alanine scanning and chimeric protein design, we identified a 41 amino acid carboxyl-terminal region which is necessary and sufficient to enable defluorination activity when fused to a non-defluorinating dehalogenase. Based on this carboxyl-terminal region alone, we were able to predict the defluorination or non-defluorination activity of microbial dehalogenases with >83% accuracy. By uncovering the hidden potential of human gut microbes to catalyze defluorination reactions, our findings lay the foundation for therapeutic interventions relevant to human and gut microbiome health.

Introduction

Over 20% of pharmaceuticals and 50% of agrochemicals released in the past 25 years contain one or more fluorine atom(s)[1,2]. Fluorinated compounds are also widespread in everyday items spanning food packaging textiles, face masks, personal care products, sports equipment, and firefighting foams, leading to frequent human exposure[3,4]. Fluorination often improves bioavailability and extends compound half-life. However, fluorine also has a 'dark side' due to the production of fluorinated degradation products which can disrupt host metabolism[5]. For example, key degradation products of the widely-used chemotherapeutic 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) including fluorocitrate, α-fluoro-β-alanine, and fluoroacetate, inhibit the citric acid cycle and cause severe neuro- and cardiotoxicity[6].

The gut microbiota is known to modify and thereby inactivate or increase the toxicity of various xenobiotics[7] including fluorinated compounds. *Escherichia coli* hydrolyzes the antineoplastic prodrug fludarabine, enhancing its cytotoxic activity[8]. The chemotherapeutic gemcitabine is modified through deamination[9] or acetylation[8]. The efficacy of 5-FU was shown to be altered by microbial metabolism, leading to inactivation by degradation[10]. Given the increased use of

fluorinated pharmaceuticals and the ingestion of fluorinated xenobiotics from the environment, we
hypothesized that microbial carbon-fluorine bond cleavage may also be catalyzed by commensals
in the human gut. This could impact drug activity by increasing toxicity and side effects and may
potentially influence the significant interpatient variability in responses to fluorinated compounds,
which has been associated with inter-individual differences in the composition and metabolic
capabilities of the human gut microbiota[11].

To date, there is a limited number of enzymes capable of defluorination, likely due to the scarcity
of fluorinated molecules in nature[12], the toxic effects of fluoride on microorganisms[13], and the
strength of the carbon-fluorine bond[14]. Haloacid dehalogenase (HAD) family enzymes are known
to catalyze hydrolytic dehalogenation and, in some cases, can defluorinate[15,16]. This makes them
one of the few enzymes capable of breaking carbon-fluorine bonds without requiring oxygen,
cofactors or the presence of other enzymes, which has potential applications in medical and
environmental remediation.

Although the few defluorinating HADs reported have been studied in detail[15,17], it remains
challenging to rapidly screen metagenomic datasets such as from the human gut for HADs which
have defluorination capabilities. Previously, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations identified a
correlation between the frequency with which protein conformations samples a compact active
site configuration with the ability of HADs to defluorinate[15]. Here we uncovered that MD analysis
did not generalize to a larger set of proteins, which motivated our search for alternative and
accessible features governing defluorination activity encoded in the human gut microbiome.

1. Identification and selection of HADs from the HumGut Database
We retrieved protein sequences of known environmental HADs (2-haloacid dehalogenase
subfamily) previously characterized for their defluorination activity[15] from NCBI
(WP_011593529.1 and WP_011481495.1). The sequences were used as queries for BLAST
searches within the HumGut human gut genome collection (Version: February 2023)[18]. A
BLASTdb was created using the 30,691 HumGut genomes and a tblastn search was performed
to identify homologs of the WP_011593529.1 and WP_011481495.1 proteins. The 500 sequence
hits with bitscores greater than 40 were subjected for a reverse blast search against the NCBI
database. Hits that could be mapped to NCBI database entries with 100% sequence identity and
90-100% query coverage were further curated for redundancies. The resulting list of 114 protein
sequences (Table S1) were aligned using Clustal Omega[19] and the presence of the catalytic
active residues were verified. Code and query sequences are available at
https://github.com/MSM-group/biodefluorination-paper.
Subsequently, we used the EFI-EST webtool to generate a sequence similarity network (SSN)
with default parameters[20]. We visualized the 95% amino acid identity representative network
using Cytoscape[21] (Fig. S2) and seven SSN cluster representatives were selected for
experimental validation. Genes were synthesized as described below for in vitro work. In a second
'validation' round, 11 new proteins were selected based on high sequence similarity alignment
scores for additional experimental validation (Table S2, S7).
85
86
87

2.   Cloning and heterologous expression of candidate enzymes

The first batch of full-length dehalogenases (n=7) were codon-optimized for *E. coli* codon usage using the Integrated DNA Technologies Codon Optimization Tool. The sequences were synthesized as gBlocks by Integrated DNA Technologies. The gBlocks were cloned individually by Gibson Assembly into multiple cloning site 1 of pCFDuet-1 vectors (spectinomycin/streptomycin resistance marker) with tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage sites and C-terminal hexahistidine tags (Table S5). Constructs were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells and verified by Sanger sequencing (Microsynth, Balgach, Switzerland). Sequence-verified constructs were transformed into *E. coli* T7 Express cells for protein production (New England Biolabs Frankfurt, Germany, NEB C2566I). The second batch of synthesized genes (n=11) were cloned by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute. These were constructed identically to the first batch with the exception of using the build-optimization software, BOOST[22] for codon optimization and expression in T7 Express *E. coli*. Codon-optimized sequences are available at: https://github.com/MSM-group/biodefluorination-paper/tree/main/protein_sequences_info.

Starter overnight cultures (5 mL) were grown in LB with 100 µg/mL spectinomycin overnight at 37°C. From the starter culture, 0.5 mL were added to 200 mL Terrific Broth with 100 µg/mL spectinomycin in a 1 L baffled Erlenmeyer flask and grown to an optical density of 0.5-0.8 at 37°C. Protein expression was induced by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and cultures were incubated for 24h at 16°C.

For scale up and purification, induced cultures were poured into 50 mL Falcon tubes and centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 30 min. The supernatant was decanted, and cell pellets were resuspended in 4 mL buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole) per gram cell pellet, 10% glycerol, pH = 8) per gram of pellet weight. Cells were lysed by 3 cycles of sonication using a 6 mm needle, 30% amplitude and 10 x 10 second pulses with a rest time of 10 seconds in between (total sonication time per cycle = 3 minutes). Lysate was centrifuged at 20,000 RCF at 4°C for 45 min. For benchtop purification, supernatants were applied to a column packed with Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen) pre-equilibrated in buffer A. The column was subsequently washed with buffer A and then subjected to two washes with buffer B (40 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol and 40 mM imidazole). Enzymes were eluted from the column using buffer C (40 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 500 mM imidazole) The fractions with the highest protein concentration was determined qualitatively by Bradford assay[23]. Imidazole and NaCl were removed by running the sample over a PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva) and eluting in buffer (100 mM Tris-SO$_4$, pH = 8,5). The concentration of the purified proteins were determined by Qubit™ protein assay and the proteins were flash frozen using liquid nitrogen in 500 µL aliquots and subsequently stored at -80°C.

3.   Assessment of enzyme-substrate specificity

Stock solutions containing 100 mM of the test substrates listed in Table S3 dissolved in water were prepared. The concentration of the Ni-NTA purified proteins were determined by using the Qubit™ protein assay and the proteins were diluted to a final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL in 100 mM Tris-SO$_4$ buffer, pH 8.5. As controls the proteins were boiled for 10 min at 100°C. The test

132 substrates were added to a final concentration of 1 mM and the samples were incubated at 37°C.
133 50 µL samples were taken for the different timepoints and mixed with 50 µL acetonitrile to quench
134 the reaction. The samples were centrifuged at 13 000 RPM for 5 min and the supernatant
135 transferred into plastic vials for ion chromatography (IC).
136
An IC system (Metrohm, Switzerland) was used consisting of an IC sampling center 889 coupled
to an ion chromatograph (930 Compact IC Flex) with a conductivity detector. Samples were
incubated at 10°C in the sample center with 10 µL injection volumes first onto a precolumn
(Metrosep A Supp 16, Guard, 4 mm) and subsequently onto a Metrosep A Supp 16 column (250
x 4.0 mm, 5 µm). The temperature in the column was kept constant at 30°C. The eluent consisted
of 2.0 mM Na_2CO_3 and 5.0 mM $NaHCO_3$. The flow rate was set to 0.8 mL/min. IC data processing
and quantification was performed using MagIC Net Professional (version 3.3).
144
145

4.   AlphaFold and structural comparison
Structural models for the seven initial dehalogenase sequences selected from the HumGut
databases, were generated using AlphaFold2 (AF) ColabFold[24]. Models with the highest rank for
each dehalogenase were prepared with relaxation using the Amber99SB force field[25].
Additionally, we used AF to generate models for the HADs PA0810, RSC1362, ST2570 and
RHA0230. The accuracy of AF models was compared to known X-ray crystal structures, where
available[15].
153
154

5.   Molecular dynamics simulations
Molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the GROMACS package version
2022.5[26,27] compiled with CUDA support and run on NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti graphic cards.
The crystal structures for HADs PA0810, RSC1362, ST2570 and RHA0230 were downloaded
from RCSB PDB (PDB IDs: 3UMC, 3UMB, 2W43 and 3UMG, respectively. For PDB files which
contained selenomethionine, selenomethionine was exchanged with methionine. Relaxed AF
models were also subjected to simulations. The system was prepared with GROMACS tools,
parametrized with the force field Amber99SB-ILDN[28], and solvated in explicit water using the
default water model TIP3P[29] in a cubic box ~1.2 nm bigger than the protein in each direction. The
protein's net charge was neutralized with Na^+ or Cl^- ions. Conjugate gradient energy minimization
(cg) was performed with a minimum of 50 steps and a maximum of 1000 steps or until the energy
of the system reaches below 1000 kJ/mol/nm. After a short (200 ps) NVT equilibration and (200
ps) NPT equilibration, the production runs were carried out. The simulations were performed
under periodic boundary conditions for a total simulation length of 0.1 µs with ten replicates and
1 µs with two replicates using the leap-frog algorithm with time steps of 2 fs for each simulation.
The bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained with the LINCS algorithm[30]. The cutoff
scheme for nonbonded interactions was Verlet with a cutoff of 1.2 nm (for all AMBER force fields).
The Coulomb interactions were calculated using the particle mesh Ewald (PME) algorithm[31] and
a Fourier spacing of 0.14 nm. The temperature was controlled using a modified Berendsen
thermostat[32] with a coupling time of 0.1 ps (protein & non-protein coupling groups) and a reference
temperature of 300K. The pressure was controlled using the Berendsen barostat[33] an isotropic

pressure coupling in x-y-z directions, a coupling time of 1.0 ps, a reference pressure of 1.0 bar,
and an isothermal compressibility of $4.5 \times 10^{-5}\ bar^{-1}$. The variance of the 100 ns simulations with
10 replicates ranged between 0.015 and 0.08 nm for all simulated proteins. For the 1 µs runs the
variance between the two replicates ranged between 0.0016 nm and 0.031 nm. The RMSD of the
simulated proteins relative to the starting structure (Cα and all atoms) was measured using
gmx_rms for both whole proteins and for the protein segments with the necessary index files
generated with make_ndx. The three active site residues of the halogen binding site (aspartate,
asparagine and arginine) were identified and the Euclidean distance between the three Cα atoms
was tracked during the simulation after an equilibration period of 500 ns with the built-in tool gmx
distance[34]. To measure the Root Mean Square Fluctuation of all Cα atoms during the simulation
the built-in function gmx_rmsf was used.
187
188
6.   Sequence based comparison of HADs
Multiple sequence alignment and maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis (Fig. S17-S18) were
performed to gain a better perspective on the sequence features of the HAD-like enzymes. We
performed these two analyses with a data set of the entire HAD-like family available in UniProt[35]
for 248 sequences available as of August 2023 with experimental evidence characterized at the
protein level. A multiple sequence alignment of the resulting 248 proteins was performed using
the ClustalOmega algorithm[36] and the phylogenetic tree (Fig. S17-S18) was generated using the
DistanceTreeConstructor method from the biopython library[37].
197
198
7.   Rational active site engineering
To identify potentially significant amino acids involved in the defluorination process, we used two
of seven in vitro tested proteins sharing high structural and sequential similarity but distinct
activities from each other (Fig. S19) (54% sequence identity, 68% sequence similarity).
WP_1187090781.1, a non-defluorinating enzyme, and WP_1786180371.1, a defluorinating
enzyme. We targeted amino acids within WP_1187090781.1, particularly those situated in or near
the active site, for substitution with their counterparts from WP_1786180371.1. Four single
variants were constructed: F54I, T57M, F74H, and F187S and their combinations resulting in 14
variants encoded by point mutations in codons for up to four different active site residues. The
mutant gene constructs were generated by using mismatch primers (Table S5). A 3 µL Kinase,
Ligase & DpnI (KLD) Treatment Reaction containing 0.5 µL of the unpurified PCR product, 1x
KLD Reaction Buffer and 1x KLD Enzyme Mix (New England Biolabs) was incubated at room
temperature for 10 minutes followed by 10 minutes at 37°C.
212
213
8.   Colorimetric assay for fluoride detection
A colorimetric fluoride screening method was developed here for 96-well plate format based on
the agar-plate based colony screening method initially described by Davis et al.[38] Xylenol orange
stock solution was prepared with a concentration of 20 mM. To prepare the zirconium oxychloride
octahydrate stock solution, 0.3022 g of zirconium(IV)-oxychloride octahydrate was dissolved in
925 µL water and 1.85 mL 12.1 M HCl added and then boiled for 5 min to achieve final

concentrations of 500 mM fully depolarized zirconium and 8 M HCl. After cooling, the stock
solution was diluted to a concentration of 50 mM zirconium. Shortly before the experiment, the
working solution was prepared with 7.8 M HCl, 400 µM xylenol orange and the complex was
initiated by the addition of 250 µM zirconium. A list of potential interfering substances and buffers
were tested and results are listed in Table S6.

E. coli T7 expression strains carrying the corresponding plasmids were grown in TB medium in a
2 mL deep well plate (Corning, Axygen Inc P-DW-20-C-S) with 100 µg/mL spectinomycin until a
optical density of 0.8 was reached The cells were induced with 0.1 mM IPTG and incubated for
24 h. The plate was centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 10 min, the supernatant was discarded and the
cells were washed twice with 2 mL 20 mM HEPES (pH 8). After centrifugation at 4000 RCF for
10 min the cells were resuspended in 1 mL 20mM HEPES (pH 8) and fluoroacetate was added
to a final concentration of 1mM prior to incubation at 37°C for 24 h. The cells were centrifuged at
4000 RCF for 15 min and 200 µL of the supernatant was transferred to a new 96 well plate and
40 µL of the zirconium/xylenol orange working solution was added. The final concentrations in
each well were 1.3 M HCl, 66.7 µM xylenol orange, and 41.7 µM zirconium.

The absorbances used to calculate fluoride ion concentrations were measured at 440 nm and
540 nm and the isosbestic points were measured at 370 nm and 480 nm using an Eon
spectrophotometer (Biotek). The approximate fluoride concentration is calculated using the
following formula: $x=(abs(540 \text{ nm}))/(abs(440 \text{ nm}))$ where a ratio above 2 indicates a $[F^-]$ greater
than 100 µM. Strongly positive samples (more than 100 µM $[F^-]$) appear yellow ($x>2$) and negative
samples appear red (less than 10 µM $[F^-]$) ($x<1.8$). Concentrations between 10-100 µM appear in
different shades of orange ($2>x>1.8$). The assay shows a linear relationship between fluoride
concentration and x from 0 µM to 125 µM and a logarithmic relationship from 50 µM to 2000 µM.

9.   Chloride detection assay
A chloride detection assay kit (QuantiChrom^{TM} Chloride Assay Kit) was obtained from Huber lab
and assays were run according to the manufacturer's instructions with the following changes:
Briefly, *E. coli* T7 expression strains carrying the corresponding plasmids were grown in TB
medium in a 2 mL deep well plate (Corning, Axygen Inc P-DW-20-C-S) with 100 µg/mL
spectinomycin until an optical density of 0.8 was reached. The cells were induced with 0.1mM
IPTG and incubated for 24 h. The plate was centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 10 min, the supernatant
was discarded and the cells were washed twice with 2 mL 20 mM HEPES (pH 8). After
centrifugation at 4000 RCF for 10 min the cells were resuspended in 1 mL 20 mM HEPES (pH 8)
and chloroacetate was added to a final concentration of 30 mM prior to incubation at 37°C for 24
h. The cells were centrifuged at 4000 RCF for 15 min and 2.5 µL of the supernatant was
transferred to a new 96 well plate and 100 µL of the colorimetric working solution was added. The
chloride concentration is measured at 610 nm with an Eon spectrophotometer (Biotek). The limit-
of-quantification was 0.2 mM.

To increase the comparability between the fluoride and chloride assay results, the values of both
assays were 0 to 1 linearized and thresholds used to decide whether they are positive for their

respective activity. The normalization formula used is as follows: (raw value - lowest
value)/(highest value - lowest value). For the chloride assay values below 0.15 were counted as
negative for dechlorination and for the defluorination assay values below 0.4 were counted as
negative for defluorination. Differences in the chloride and fluoride values greater than 0.275 were
counted as exhibiting different dechlorination vs. defluorination activity.

10.   Whole-gene alanine-scanning mutagenesis and chimeragenesis

High-throughput alanine-scanning mutagenesis was performed using the Q5® Site-Directed
Mutagenesis Protocol (New England Biolabs Inc.) with the following amendments. Briefly, back-
to-back   forward   and   reverse   primers   were   designed   using   NEBaseChanger
(https://nebasechanger.neb.com/), where the alanine codon substitution was contained in the
center of the forward mutagenic primer. Note that a histidine codon was substituted in place of
any native alanine codons. Primers were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies. The
complete set of alanine (n=475) are available on https://github.com/MSM-group/biodefluorination-
paper/tree/main/Alanine_scanning_primers. A 6 µL PCR reaction, containing 1x Q5 Hot Start
High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs), 0.5 µM of the forward and reverse primer,
and 10 ng template DNA, was carried out for each variant. WP_178618037.1 (*Guopingia tenuis*)
and WP_118709078.1 (*Enterocloster aldenensis*) cloned into the pCDFDuet-1 vector was used
as template DNA. PCR cycles were 98°C for 30 seconds, followed by 30 cycles of 98°C for 10
seconds, 65°C for 20 seconds and 72°C for 2 minutes, followed by a 2-minute final extension at
72°C. A 3 µl KLD Treatment Reaction containing 0.5 µl of the unpurified PCR product, 1x KLD
Reaction Buffer and 1x KLD Enzyme Mix (New England Biolabs) was incubated at room
temperature for 10 minutes followed by 10 minutes at 37°C. The entire reaction was transformed
into NEB® DH5α competent *E. coli* using the manufacturer's recommended transformation
protocol and sequence verified on the Pacific Biosciences Revio platform (Pacific Biosciences,
CA) and analyzed using custom pipelines. Plasmid DNA of sequence-verified mutant variants
were isolated and transformed into T7 Express cells (New England Biolabs), which was once
again sequence verified (Pacific Biosciences). We engineered five chimeric proteins by combining
longer sequences from WP_1786180371.1 and WP_1187090781.1 (Table S5). Gibson assembly
(primers in Table S5) was used for the construction of the plasmids.

304   **Results**
305
306   ***The human gut microbiota encodes HAD-like enzymes***
307
To investigate the potential for defluorination by human gut microbial enzymes, we first tested
defluorination activity fluoroacetate as a simple fluorinated substrate and known 5-FU degradation
product[39]. Notably, prior studies identified the soil bacterium, *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1, as a
degrader of fluoroacetate, along with the discovery of its responsible HAD family enzyme[16]. These
enzymes dehalogenate small chlorinated alkanoic acids with only a few demonstrating the
capability to cleave the more recalcitrant carbon-fluorine bond[15]. We therefore used the
Rhodococcus jostii RHA1 HAD (Rha0230) for a protein homology search in the HumGut
database[18]. To our surprise, we detected over 500 HAD homologs encoded in human gut
metagenomes (Fig S1, Table S1).
317
The identified HAD-encoding genes were predominantly found in bacteria belonging to the phylum
Bacillota, formerly named the Firmicutes[40]. However, homologs were also prevalent in the
Actinomycetota, Pseudomonadota, and Bacteroidota (Fig. 1A, Fig. S1). To further elucidate the
relationships among these proteins, we constructed a protein sequence similarity network (Fig.
S2), which revealed several distinct clusters[18,41]. Two of these clusters contained characterized
members of the 2-haloacid dehalogenase subfamily[15] within the larger HAD-like superfamily[42].
Other smaller clusters could be assigned to the HAD-family phosphatases capable of phosphoryl
transfer chemistry and family members with other functions (e.g., azetidine hydrolysis)[42,43]. For
subsequent analysis, we focused on clusters containing the 2-haloacid dehalogenases and
curated the dataset through a multiple sequence alignment to eliminate redundant sequences
and confirmed the presence of the catalytic residues (Fig. S3). The selected sequences showed
low amino acid sequence identity to reference 2-haloacid-dehalogenases (18% - 46%; Table S1),
from which we selected seven new representatives for in vitro experiments (Fig. S2, Table S2).
331
332   ***Human gut microbial dehalogenases are active in carbon-fluorine bond cleavage***
333
To screen for in vitro defluorination activity, we heterologously expressed, purified, and tested
seven selected gut microbial dehalogenases with ten different halogenated substrates (nine
fluorinated organic acids and one chlorinated substrate, chloroacetate, Fig. 1, Table S3). The
HAD from *Rhodococcus jostii* (P5, Protein Data Bank ID: 3UMG) was included as a positive
defluorination control as described previously. All proteins tested except for one (P1, *E.
aldenensis,* Fig. 1C) showed dehalogenase activity with at least one substrate (Fig. S4-S11).
Two enzymes hydrolyzed chloroacetate but were not active with any fluorinated substrates.
The remaining four enzymes hydrolyzed fluoroacetate, producing fluoride ion and glycolate
stoichiometrically, as measured by ion chromatography (Fig. 1B). Two of the enzymes were
additionally active with other small fluorinated organic acids which are known transformation
products of fluorinated xenobiotics, namely difluoroacetate, 2-fluoropropionate and α-fluoro-β-
alanine.
346

347 To further investigate the activity of proteins under anaerobic environments, such as the gut, we
348 tested the anaerobic defluorination capabilities of P3 (*Gordonibacter urolithinfaciens*). P3
349 effectively cleaved fluoroacetate to produce glycolate and fluoride ions under both aerobic and
350 anaerobic conditions, as would be expected for hydrolytic enzymes (Fig. S12).
351

352
Figure 1. A) Overview of the identification pipeline for the selected haloacid dehalogenases. We reduced initial
homology search hits by sequence similarity networking, multiple sequence alignment to eight candidates. B) Ion
chromatography traces of standards and the halogenated organic acids tested with protein 3 and protein 6. C)
Substrates specificity of ten different substrates with the eight selected proteins. Yellow: active; light yellow: medium

active; blue: non-active; gray: not tested. ClAc: Chloroacetate; FAc: Fluoroacetate, DFA: Difluoroacetate; TFA:
Trifluoroacetate; FP: Fluoropropionate; FBAL: α-fluoro-β-alanine; DFP: Difluoropropionate; TFP: Trifluoropropionate;
TFpent: Trifluoropentonate; HFB: Heptafluorobutanoate

Molecular dynamics of defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes reveals range of conformational compactness

Previously, MD simulations showed that HADs with defluorination activity sampled more compact conformations in the halide binding pocket relative to non-defluorinating enzymes[15]. Since the HADs identified here from the human gut microbiota were not tested in this modeling scheme, we first replicated the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations previously described[15]. In a subsequent round of simulations, we then increased the simulation time from 300 ns to 1 μs using the force field Amber99SB-ILDN instead of OPLS/A because of better performance with protein structures (Fig. S13)[44,45]. Since the original MD publication[15], major advancements have been made in protein structure prediction using AlphaFold (AF)[46,47], even enabling accurate MD simulations using relaxed AF models[48]. Thus, for proteins where crystal structures were not available, we used AF to generate models (Fig. S14). In a benchmark comparison with Chan et al., we obtained generally comparable results in MD simulations of the available X-ray crystal structures and their respective AF models (Fig. S15). Simulating all 11 available defluorinating dehalogenases and 7 non-defluorinating dehalogenases in water for 1 μs, while tracking the size of the halide binding site, did not yield any significant differences between the two groups (Fig. 2A). Further analysis on all conserved amino acids of HADs and their relative distances between each other also showed no significant differences (Fig. S16; Table S4).

Phylogenetics and alignment-independent motifs do not differentiate defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes

To further investigate methods to differentiate defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes, we generated a phylogenetic tree of the HAD-like family protein sequences (Fig. S17). The tree topology revealed that the defluorinating and non-defluorinating enzymes did not form separate or easily-distinguishable clades. The dehalogenating enzymes originated from eight diverse bacterial and archaeal phyla (Fig. S18) which do not group based on the taxonomy of the source organisms.

Active site variants convert inactive enzyme to dechlorinating enzyme

As neither MD simulations nor phylogenetic analysis yielded conclusive results to distinguish defluorinating from non-defluorinating HADs, we next sought to experimentally test the role of specific residues in the active site. These residues were identified by comparing the non-defluorinating enzyme P1 (*E. aldenensis)* with the active defluorinase from P6 (*G. tenuis)*. Based on comparison between the active sites of the two enzymes (68% sequence similarity, bitscore 268), there were only four residues which were significantly different in the general active site and binding pocket (Fig. S19). We predicted these residues may provide substrate specificity for defluorination, therefore we first aimed for rational engineering of the non-defluorinating enzyme P1 (*E. aldenensis*) via site-directed mutagenesis to generate single, double, and triple variant

combinations as well as the full quadruple variant P1 (F541, T57M, H74F, F187S) (Fig. S20-S23,
Table S5).

Among the single variants tested, only one (F54I) showed dehalogenation activity. The F54I
variant hydrolyzed chloroacetate but did not hydrolyze fluorinated substrates. Based on structural
modeling, F54 is positioned at the entrance channel to the active pocket. In the non-
dehalogenating protein P1 (*E. aldenensis*) the larger phenylalanine likely blocks the entrance to
the active pocket. In contrast, the dehalogenating protein P6 (*G. tenuis*) features a smaller
isoleucine at this position, potentially enabling access for chloroacetate. While we did achieve a
functional dehalogenase through the single-point mutation in P1 (*E. aldenensis*), the individual
active site changes did not singularly confer defluorination activity. We hypothesized epistatic
interactions may promote defluorination. We therefore used the F54I variant as the template for
generating double, triple, and quadruple active site variants. In the P1 (F54I, H74F) double variant
and all triple variants we achieved improved dechlorination activity (Fig. 2C). Surprisingly, we did
not observe defluorination activity in any of the rationally-engineered variants, even though the
active site residues were 100% identical to the defluorinating reference enzyme P6 (*G. tenuis*).
This result suggests that additional second shell interactions or other conformational changes[49]
not localized in the active site may be critical for defluorination activity.

422
Figure 2. A) Halide binding site (HBS) size during molecular simulation. Distribution of the Euclidean distances of the
3 Cα atoms of the HBS during simulation from 500 ns to 1000 ns. *Asterisks indicate the two enzymes used for protein
engineering in this study B) Visualization of the active pocket of the inactive protein P1 (*E. aldensis*) black and the
defluorinating protein P6 (WP_178618037, *G. tenuis*) teal. The variants introduced in P1 (*E. aldensis*) are F54I (blue)
T57M (orange), H74F (green) and F187S (purple). Substrate specificity of the different protein variants with the two
substrates chloroacetate (ClAc) and fluoroacetate (FAc).

429
430

431 ***Alanine scanning and a new colorimetric 96-well plate defluorination screening method***
432
In order to test the contributions of a wider set of amino acids in defluorination e.g., peripheral
residues in addition to active site residues, we used alanine scanning mutagenesis to generate
full alanine variant libraries of the proteins: P6 *(G. tenuis)* and P1 (F541, T57M, H74F, F187S) *(E.*
aldensis). In total, the library consisted of 474 single alanine variants. To screen the alanine
library, we devised a miniaturized colorimetric screening method for defluorination to avoid
lengthy ion chromatography steps for analysis. Previously, a lanthanum-alizarin assay for
microtiter well plates[50] and a xylenol orange assay for an agar plate-based method[38] for colony
screening were reported. We tested both the lanthanum-alizarin assay[50] and the new 96-well
adaptation of the xylenol orange screening method. Both assays yielded a clear change in
absorbance in the presence of free fluoride ions as validated by ion chromatography results. Due
to its enhanced color intensity, we report here the results of screening using the xylenol orange
assay[38]. As the xylenol orange assay has not been described previously for use in liquid, microtiter
well-plate format, a detailed methods section and list of interfering substances is included (Fig.
S24-S25, Table S6).
447
For method testing and validation, we selected eleven new dehalogenases spanning eleven
additional gut microbial genera (Table S7) in addition to the seven dehalogenases initially
included in this study. Through this rapid screening assay, we detected a new gut microbial
defluorinase from *Priestia megaterium* shared less than 35% amino acid identity to the nearest
validated reference enzyme (PDB ID: 3UM9). We purified the *P. megaterium* enzyme to
homogeneity and validated its defluorination activity using ion chromatography (Fig. S26). This
highlights the potential of the 96-well colorimetric assays to screen for new defluorinating
enzymes.
456
With our new 96-well defluorination assay in hand, we screened the alanine scanning library with
a dual-assay approach for both defluorination and dechlorination activity (Fig. 3A). Across
independent biological replicates of the alanine scanning library (Methods), 39.8-41.7% of alanine
variants lost defluorination activity. A slightly lower proportion of variants (33.0-33.5%) lost
dechlorination activity. We identified 64 alanine variants which showed dechlorination activity but
lost defluorination activity (Fig. S27-S29).
463
464 ***Statistical machine learning highlights the importance of the C-terminal region***
465
To analyze our alanine scanning data, we used the random forest algorithm to train statistical
machine learning models to predict defluorination activity. This algorithm is suitable for low-data
regimes and was employed for both regression and classification tasks. Our regression model
trained on 80% of the alanine scanning data was capable of predicting quantitative changes in
defluorination activity as the result of single alanine point mutations with the remaining 20% of the
data with a root-mean square error RMSE of 0.2 ± 0.02 enzyme activity units (on a normalized
activity scale between 0-1 defluorination activity). Based on the relative feature importance, the
most predictive feature was the relative position of each amino acid within the protein sequence
rather than the size, charge, identity, codon diversity, or polarity of each amino acid converted to

alanine (Fig. 3B). These results suggested that the amino acid location within the protein had the
highest importance for defluorination activity corresponding to 'hotspots' visible on the protein
structure (Fig. 3C).

To train a more generalizable model with applications beyond our selected alanine scanning
libraries P1 (F541, T57M, H74F, F187S) and P6, we next trained a random forest classifier to
predict defluorinating vs. non-defluorinating enzymes based on general amino acid features
extendable to untested HAD sequences (Fig. 3D). We obtained 95% training and test set
classification accuracy (average F1-score = 0.93, Table S8). This classification analysis further
enabled us to pinpoint residues within the entire HAD family that were most important for
defluorination activity (Fig. S30). Strikingly, the top five most important residues for defluorination
activity prediction accuracy were localized in the C-terminus (final 41 amino acids). Moreover, a
simplified model trained on only the 41 C-terminal residues as features still achieved 83% testing
and training set prediction accuracy (average F1-score = 0.73, Table S8).

Additionally, we utilized our molecular dynamics (MD) simulation of P6 *(G. tenuis)* to compute the
root mean square fluctuations (RMSF), allowing us to compare the spatial fluctuations of the
critical residues within the protein structure. Our analysis identified two regions exhibiting high
RMSF values. Both regions are situated at the channel entry leading to the active site (Fig. S31),
with one of them located at the C-terminus (Fig. 3E). This finding aligns with the residues
implicated in defluorination, as determined by both machine learning predictions and experimental
evidence.

497
498

499
500
Figure 3. A) Results of the colorimetric assays for defluorination and dechlorination activity, respectively, mapped onto
the P6 protein model. The color gradient from white (low activity) to yellow (medium activity) to red (high activity) and
line thickness is used to visualize activity differences based on location in the wild-type protein structure. B) Mean
relative feature importance plot extracted from random forest regression model using alanine scanning data to predict

defluorination activity. C) Protein 'hotspots' important for defluorination and dechlorination based on the alanine
scanning data, where line thickness and coloring corresponds to a higher importance for defluorination alone. D) Top
five residue positions with the highest mean gini importance in random forest classification for defluorinating vs. non-
defluorinating proteins E) Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF reported in nm) of the Cα atoms indicates high
movement in the C-terminal region of the protein.

Chimeric defluorinases indicate importance of the C-terminal region

To test the relevance of the C-terminal region experimentally, we generated chimeric proteins by
combining different regions from the non-defluorinating protein P1 (*E. aldenensis*) with the
defluorinating enzyme P6 (*G. tenuis)* (Fig. S32). Strikingly, the single P1 (*E. aldensis*) chimera
generated by swapping in the 41 amino acid C-terminus of the P6 (*G. tenuis*) enzyme showed
slight defluorination activity (Fig. 4A). All other chimeric proteins were active in dechlorination, but
not defluorination (Fig. 4A, Fig. S32). Notably, the C-terminal region has not been previously
reported for its importance in dehalogenation or fluorinated compound substrate specificity.
Analyzing our alanine scanning data based on our chimeric segments (Fig. 4B), we observed a
pattern in the activity distribution of defluorination activity (Fig. 4B). Based on our MD simulations,
we observed that the C-terminal chimeric segment also had the highest overall movement relative
to other protein chimeric segments (Fig. 4C). Overall, the combination of alanine scanning and
chimeric mutagenesis indicated that the C-terminal 41 amino acid segment was both necessary
and sufficient to install defluorination activity in a non-defluorinating enzyme.

526
Figure 4. A) Activity of the chimeras generated from low conversion (blue) to high conversion (gold) of two substrates
chloroacetate (outer ring) and fluoroacetate (inner ring). Inset of the ion chromatogram shows an engineered chimeric
protein with a 'swapped' C-terminal segment enables weak conversion of fluoroacetate to glycolate and fluoride ion.

B) Protein defluorination activity of the alanine scanning library with all data points shown for two independent biological
replicates. The x-axis indicates the position in the protein and the y-axis indicates the change in normalized activity for
each alanine scanning variant relative to wild-type. Points are colored by chimeric segments as depicted in other figure
panels. The C-terminal chimeric segment exhibits a significantly different distribution of active to inactive alanine
variants from the other chimeric segments of the protein (p<0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). Only one variant (L231A) in the
C-terminal segment displays higher activity than wild-type. C) Boxplot of root mean squared distance (RMSD) in nm
for different protein segments derived from MD simulations with the C-terminal chimeric segment exhibiting the highest
overall movement.

Discussion

Here we demonstrated that HAD family enzymes capable of carbon-fluorine bond cleavage are
widely distributed across bacterial phyla common in the human gut. To our knowledge, this is the
first report of enzymes sourced from the human gut microbiota capable of catalyzing this reaction.
Despite sharing sequence and structural similarity, the proteins in this study exhibited different
substrate specificity including defluorination activity for fluoroacetate and α-fluoro-β-alanine.
These are known degradation products of 5-FU, a widely-used cancer medication on the World
Health Organization's list of Essential Medicines[51]. Fluorocitrate, fluoroacetate, and α-fluoro-β-
alanine resulting from the metabolism of 5-FU were previously shown to drive the variable and
severe side effects of 5-FU treatment, including neurotoxicity[6,52] and cardiotoxicity[53,54].

In this study, we also identified four new HADs active on difluoroacetate, doubling the number of
hydrolytic dehalogenases experimentally shown to defluorinate -CF_2 groups[55]. Difluoroacetate is
a transformation product of various xenobiotics, such as the refrigerant chlorotrifluoroethylene
(R1113)[56] or the anesthetic 1,1,2,2-tetrafluoro-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-ethane[57]. Difluoroacetate
can also enter our system through the ingestion of food[58]. It is a byproduct of the photodegradation
of penoxsulam, a widely used pesticide[58]. These substances enter our environment and can seep
into groundwater, where they are subsequently consumed by humans, thus coming in contact
with the gut microbiome. It has been shown that long-term ingestion of per- and polyfluoroalkyl
substances (PFAS) including pharmaceuticals containing -CF_3 groups alter the host
microbiome[17]. It is tempting to speculate that long-term ingestion of fluorinated compounds may
also contribute to the abundance and activity of HADs we identified encoded in the human gut
microbiome.

Defining residues or structural features that differentiate defluorinating enzymes from their non-
defluorinating counterparts in the HAD family has remained elusive[15]. Phylogenetic analysis and
molecular dynamic simulations of an extended HAD set yielded inclusive evidence to distinguish
between the two groups. We identified two HADs sharing 68% sequence similarity yet one was
active in defluorination and dechlorination while the other was completely inactive. We engineered
the inactive enzyme to dechlorinate by introducing a single point mutation at the entrance to the
catalytic pocket but no additional point mutations conferred defluorination activity.

We next utilized alanine scanning to identify protein variants that retained dechlorination activity
but lost their defluorination capability and identified several amino acids critical to defluorination.
Surprisingly, these amino acids were primarily located in the peripheral regions of the protein with

a higher percentage of critical residues located in the C-terminal segment of the protein. These
observations, along with results from more recent studies[59], support a more complex and dynamic
understanding of protein function than the simplistic and traditional lock-and-key model[60].
Consequently, our protein engineering efforts shifted towards engineering chimeric proteins by
replacing segments of non-defluorinating protein variants with a defluorinating enzyme.
Exclusively when the C-terminal segment was swapped, we observed chimeric proteins with
weak, but robust and reproducible defluorination activity.
582
The C-terminal regions of proteins have been extensively studied in eukaryotic cells[61], where they
have been shown to be responsible for a broad spectrum of functions, including induced fit of
substrates[61,62] and protein-protein interactions[63]. This functional diversity is attributed to their
solvent exposure and higher degree of disorder, which facilitate a more dynamic and flexible
secondary structure[64]. In a prokaryotic HAD family phosphatase, the flexible C-terminal tail was
previously shown to interact with the active site of an adjacent monomer and play a critical
regulatory role in product release[49]. Our MD simulations suggest the C-termini exhibits greater
flexibility compared to the rest of the regions in the protein structure in the HAD-like defluorinases.
Taken together with the observed defluorination exclusively in the C-terminal chimera, we
propose the C-terminal region of HAD-family dehalogenases also play a significant and previously
unreported role in defluorination activity. This knowledge lays the foundation to engineer proteins
with expanded substrate ranges for carbon-fluorine bond cleavage applications in human and
environmental health.
596

597 ***Data and code availability***
598

599 Scripts associated with analysis presented in this manuscript are available at:
600 https://github.com/MSM-group/biodefluorination-paper.
601

602 ***Acknowledgements***
603

We thank the entire Joint Genome Institute team including Miranda Harmon-Smith, Yasuo
Yoshikuni, and co-authors Ian Blaby and Ritesh Mewalal for their exceptional support. The work
(proposal: 10.46936/10.25585/60008420) conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint
Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported
by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-
05CH11231. S.P. was supported by funding from the Helmut Horten Foundation. V.P. and S.L.R.
acknowledge funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (PZPGP2_209124). We
acknowledge René Gall for his technical support with analytics. We acknowledge Larry Wackett
for thoughtful comments and discussions on the manuscript.

References

1. Current Contributions of Organofluorine Compounds to the Agrochemical Industry. *iScience* **23**, 101467 (2020).

2. Inoue, M., Sumii, Y. & Shibata, N. Contribution of Organofluorine Compounds to Pharmaceuticals. *ACS Omega* **5**, 10633–10640 (2020).

3. Kotthoff, M., Müller, J., Jürling, H., Schlummer, M. & Fiedler, D. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in consumer products. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **22**, 14546–14559 (2015).

4. Phelps, D. W., Parkinson, L. V., Boucher, J. M., Muncke, J. & Geueke, B. Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Food Packaging: Migration, Toxicity, and Management Strategies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **58**, 5670–5684 (2024).

5. Pan, Y. The Dark Side of Fluorine. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **10**, 1016–1019 (2019).

6. Okeda, R. *et al.* Experimental neurotoxicity of 5-fluorouracil and its derivatives is due to poisoning by the monofluorinated organic metabolites, monofluoroacetic acid and alpha-fluoro-beta-alanine. *Acta Neuropathol.* **81**, 66–73 (1990).

7. Koppel, N., Maini Rekdal, V. & Balskus, E. P. Chemical transformation of xenobiotics by the human gut microbiota. *Science* **356**, (2017).

8. Lehouritis, P. *et al.* Local bacteria affect the efficacy of chemotherapeutic drugs. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 1–12 (2015).

9. Geller, L. T. *et al.* Potential role of intratumor bacteria in mediating tumor resistance to the chemotherapeutic drug gemcitabine. *Science* **357**, 1156–1160 (2017).

10. Spanogiannopoulos, P. *et al.* Host and gut bacteria share metabolic pathways for anti-cancer drug metabolism. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1605–1620 (2022).

11. Jennings, B. A. *et al.* Evaluating predictive pharmacogenetic signatures of adverse events in colorectal cancer patients treated with fluoropyrimidines. *PLoS One* **8**, e78053 (2013).

12. Walker, M. C. & Chang, M. C. Y. Natural and engineered biosynthesis of fluorinated natural

645 products. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **43**, 6527–6536 (2014).

646 13. Wackett, L. P. Evolutionary obstacles and not C-F bond strength make PFAS persistent.

647 *Microb. Biotechnol.* **17**, e14463 (2024).

648 14. Key, B. D., Howell, R. D. & Criddle, C. S. Fluorinated organics in the biosphere. *Environ. Sci.*

649 *Technol.* **31**, 2445–2454 (1997).

650 15. Chan, P. W. Y. *et al.* Defluorination Capability of l-2-Haloacid Dehalogenases in the HAD-

651 Like Hydrolase Superfamily Correlates with Active Site Compactness. *Chembiochem* **23**,

652 e202100414 (2022).

653 16. Chan, W. Y. *et al.* Sequence- and activity-based screening of microbial genomes for novel

654 dehalogenases. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **3**, 107–120 (2010).

655 17. Rashid, F., Dubinkina, V., Ahmad, S., Maslov, S. & Irudayaraj, J. M. K. Gut Microbiome-Host

656 Metabolome Homeostasis upon Exposure to PFOS and GenX in Male Mice. *Toxics* **11**,

657 (2023).

658 18. Hiseni, P., Rudi, K., Wilson, R. C., Hegge, F. T. & Snipen, L. HumGut: a comprehensive

659 human gut prokaryotic genomes collection filtered by metagenome data. *Microbiome* **9**, 165

660 (2021).

661 19. Madeira, F. *et al.* Search and sequence analysis tools services from EMBL-EBI in 2022.

662 *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, W276–W279 (2022).

663 20. Zallot, R., Oberg, N. & Gerlt, J. A. The EFI Web Resource for Genomic Enzymology Tools:

664 Leveraging Protein, Genome, and Metagenome Databases to Discover Novel Enzymes and

665 Metabolic Pathways. *Biochemistry* **58**, 4169–4182 (2019).

666 21. Shannon, P. *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular

667 interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).

668 22. Oberortner, E., Cheng, J.-F., Hillson, N. J. & Deutsch, S. Streamlining the Design-to-Build

669 Transition with Build-Optimization Software Tools. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **6**, 485–496 (2017).

670 23. Bradford, M. M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of

protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **72**, 248–254 (1976).

24. Mirdita, M. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* **19**, 679–

682 (2022).

25. Eastman, P. *et al.* OpenMM 7: Rapid development of high performance algorithms for

molecular dynamics. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **13**, e1005659 (2017).

26. Abraham, M. J. *et al.* GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through multi-

level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **1-2**, 19–25 (2015).

27. Bauer, P., Hess, B. & Lindahl, E. GROMACS 2022.5 Manual.

https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.7586765 (2023).

28. Lindorff-Larsen, K. *et al.* Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein

force field. *Proteins* **78**, 1950–1958 (2010).

29. Jorgensen, W. L., Chandrasekhar, J., Madura, J. D., Impey, R. W. & Klein, M. L. Comparison

of simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* **79**, 926–935 (1983).

30. Hess, B., Bekker, H., Berendsen, H. J. C. & Fraaije, J. G. E. LINCS: A linear constraint solver

for molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **18**, 1463–1472 (1997).

31. Essmann, U. *et al.* A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 8577–8593

(1995).

32. Bussi, G., Donadio, D. & Parrinello, M. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *J.*

Chem. Phys. **126**, 014101 (2007).

33. Berendsen, H. J. C., Postma, J. P. M., van Gunsteren, W. F., DiNola, A. & Haak, J. R.

Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 3684–3690 (1984).

34. GROMACS 2024.1 Manual. doi:10.5281/zenodo.10721192.

35. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. *Nucleic Acids*

Res. **51**, D523–D531 (2023).

36. Sievers, F. *et al.* Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence

alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **7**, 539 (2011).

37. Cock, P. J. A. *et al.* Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular

biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1422–1423 (2009).

38. Davis, C. K., Denman, S. E., Sly, L. I. & McSweeney, C. S. Development of a colorimetric

colony-screening assay for detection of defluorination by micro-organisms. *Lett. Appl.*

Microbiol. **53**, 417–423 (2011).

39. Lemaire, L., Malet-Martino, M. C., de Forni, M., Martino, R. & Lasserre, B. Cardiotoxicity of

commercial 5-fluorouracil vials stems from the alkaline hydrolysis of this drug. *Br. J. Cancer*

66, 119–127 (1992).

40. Oren, A. & Garrity, G. M. Valid publication of the names of forty-two phyla of prokaryotes. *Int.*

J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. **71**, 005056 (2021).

41. Gerlt, J. A. *et al.* Enzyme Function Initiative-Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFI-EST): A web tool

for generating protein sequence similarity networks. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1854**, 1019–

1037 (2015).

42. Burroughs, A. M., Allen, K. N., Dunaway-Mariano, D. & Aravind, L. Evolutionary genomics of

the HAD superfamily: understanding the structural adaptations and catalytic diversity in a

superfamily of phosphoesterases and allied enzymes. *J. Mol. Biol.* **361**, 1003–1034 (2006).

43. Gross, C., Felsheim, R. & Wackett, L. P. Genes and enzymes of azetidine-2-carboxylate

metabolism: detoxification and assimilation of an antibiotic. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 4859–4864

(2008).

44. Lindorff-Larsen, K. *et al.* Systematic validation of protein force fields against experimental

data. *PLoS One* **7**, e32131 (2012).

45. Piana, S., Lindorff-Larsen, K. & Shaw, D. E. How robust are protein folding simulations with

respect to force field parameterization? *Biophys. J.* **100**, L47–9 (2011).

46. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold

3. *Nature* (2024) doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w.

47. Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**,

583–589 (2021).

48. Guo, H.-B. *et al.* AlphaFold2 modeling and molecular dynamics simulations of an intrinsically

disordered protein. *PLoS One* **19**, e0301866 (2024).

49. Biswas, T. *et al.* The tail of KdsC: conformational changes control the activity of a haloacid

dehalogenase superfamily phosphatase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 30594–30603 (2009).

50. Bygd, M. D., Aukema, K. G., Richman, J. E. & Wackett, L. P. Microwell Fluoride Screen for

Chemical, Enzymatic, and Cellular Reactions Reveals Latent Microbial Defluorination

Capacity for -CF Groups. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **88**, e0028822 (2022).

51. Miura, K. *et al.* 5-fu metabolism in cancer and orally-administrable 5-fu drugs. *Cancers* **2**,

1717–1730 (2010).

52. Yamashita, K., Yada, H. & Ariyoshi, T. Neurotoxic effects of alpha-fluoro-beta-alanine (FBAL)

and fluoroacetic acid (FA) on dogs. *J. Toxicol. Sci.* **29**, 155–166 (2004).

53. Muneoka, K., Shirai, Y., Yokoyama, N., Wakai, T. & Hatakeyama, K. 5-Fluorouracil

cardiotoxicity induced by alpha-fluoro-beta-alanine. *Int. J. Clin. Oncol.* **10**, 441–443 (2005).

54. Franck, C., Malfertheiner, P. & Venerito, M. Safe administration of S-1 after 5-fluorouracil-

induced cardiotoxicity in a patient with colorectal cancer. *BMJ Case Rep.* **2017**, (2017).

55. Khusnutdinova, A. N. *et al.* Structural insights into hydrolytic defluorination of difluoroacetate

by microbial fluoroacetate dehalogenases. *FEBS J.* (2023) doi:10.1111/febs.16903.

56. Dorgerloh, U., Becker, R. & Kaiser, M. Evidence for the Formation of Difluoroacetic Acid in

Chlorofluorocarbon-Contaminated Ground Water. *Molecules* **24**, (2019).

57. Herbst, J., Köster, U., Kerssebaum, R. & Dekant, W. Role of P4502E1 in the metabolism of

1,1,2,2-tetrafluoro-1-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)-ethane. *Xenobiotica* **24,** 507–516 (1994).

58. Bhat, A. P., Pomerantz, W. C. K. & Arnold, W. A. Finding Fluorine: Photoproduct Formation

during the Photolysis of Fluorinated Pesticides. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **56**, 12336–12346

(2022).

59. Uversky, V. N. & Kulkarni, P. Intrinsically disordered proteins: Chronology of a discovery.

Biophys. Chem. **279**, 106694 (2021).

60. James, L. C. & Tawfik, D. S. Conformational diversity and protein evolution--a 60-year-old

hypothesis revisited. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **28**, 361–368 (2003).

61. Sharma, S. & Schiller, M. R. The carboxy-terminus, a key regulator of protein function. *Crit.*

Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. **54**, 85–102 (2019).

62. Sargeant, D. P. *et al.* Secondary structure, a missing component of sequence-based

minimotif definitions. *PLoS One* **7**, e49957 (2012).

63. Beuming, T., Skrabanek, L., Niv, M. Y., Mukherjee, P. & Weinstein, H. PDZBase: a protein-

protein interaction database for PDZ-domains. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 827–828 (2005).

64. Lobanov, M. Y., Furletova, E. I., Bogatyreva, N. S., Roytberg, M. A. & Galzitskaya, O. V.

Library of disordered patterns in 3D protein structures. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6**, e1000958

(2010).

781