Expanded Genome and Proteome Reallocation in a Novel, Robust *Bacillus*

***coagulans* Capable of Utilizing Pentose and Hexose Sugars**

David Dooley[1], Seunghyun Ryu[1,] Richard J. Giannone[3], Jackson Edwards[2], Bruce S. Dien[2],

Patricia J. Slininger[1], Cong T. Trinh[1,*]

[1]Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Tennessee Knoxville

[2]United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Research Service (ARS), National

Center for Agricultural Utilization Research (NCAUR), Bioenergy Research Unit, 1815 North

University Street, Peoria, IL 61604, USA

[3]Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

*Corresponding author. Email: ctrinh@utk.edu

ABSTRACT

Bacillus coagulans, a Gram-positive thermophilic bacterium, is recognized for its probiotic properties and recent development as a microbial cell factory. Despite its importance for biotechnological applications, the current understanding of *B. coagulans*' robustness is limited, especially for undomesticated strains. To fill this knowledge gap, we characterized the metabolic capability and performed functional genomics and systems analysis of a novel, robust strain, *B. coagulans* B-768. Genome sequencing revealed that B-768 has the largest *B. coagulans* genome known to date (3.94 Mbp), about 0.63 Mbp larger than the average genome of sequenced *B. coagulans* strains, with expanded carbohydrate metabolism and mobilome. Functional genomics identified a well-equipped genetic portfolio for utilizing a wide range of C5 (xylose, arabinose), C6 (glucose, mannose, galactose), and C12 (cellobiose) sugars present in biomass hydrolysates, which was validated experimentally. For growth on individual xylose and glucose, the dominant sugars in biomass hydrolysates, B-768 exhibited distinct phenotypes and proteome profiles. Faster growth and glucose uptake rates resulted in lactate overflow metabolism, which makes *B. coagulans* a lactate overproducer; however, slower growth and xylose uptake diminished overflow metabolism due to the high energy demand for sugar assimilation. Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism (COG-G), Translation (COG-J), and Energy Conversion and Production (COG-C) made up 60–65% of the measured proteomes but were allocated differently when growing on xylose and glucose. The trade-off in proteome reallocation, with high investment in COG-C over COG-G, explains the xylose growth phenotype with significant upregulation of xylose metabolism, pyruvate metabolism, and TCA cycle. Strain B-768 tolerates and effectively utilizes inhibitory biomass hydrolysates containing mixed sugars and exhibits hierarchical sugar utilization with glucose as the preferential substrate.

IMPORTANCE.   The robustness of *B. coagulans* makes it a valuable microorganism for

biotechnology applications, yet this phenotype is not well understood at the cellular level. Through

phenotypic characterization and systems analysis, this study elucidates the functional genomics

and robustness of a novel, undomesticated strain, *B. coagulans* B-768, capable of utilizing

inhibitory switchgrass biomass hydrolysates. The genome of B-768, enriched with carbohydrate

metabolism genes, demonstrates high regulatory capacity. The coordination of proteome

reallocation in Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism (COG-G), Translation (COG-J), and

Energy Conversion and Production (COG-C) is critical for effective cell growth, sugar utilization,

and lactate production via overflow metabolism. Overall, B-768 is a novel, robust, and promising

B. coagulans strain that can be harnessed as a microbial biomanufacturing platform to produce

chemicals and fuels from biomass hydrolysates.

Keywords: Bacillus coagulans, B-768, lactate overproducer, overflow metabolism, carbohydrate

metabolism, robustness, biomass hydrolysates, switchgrass, thermophile, proteome reallocation.

INTRODUCTION

Lignocellulosic biomass derived from bioenergy crops (e.g., poplar, switchgrass) or agricultural residues (e.g., corn stover) are renewable and sustainable feedstocks[1, 2]. These feedstocks can be produced at a scale of 1 billion tons per year in the US, reducing reliance on petroleum to make fuels, chemicals, and materials[3]. Lignocellulosic biomass is comprised of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin[4]. These polymers can be isolated to make consumables[5, 6], advanced materials[7], and other valuable commodities. Alternatively, these polymers can be further broken down to monomers through chemical pretreatment followed by enzymatic saccharification to generate biomass hydrolysates that consist of pentose (C5) and hexose (C6) sugars and byproducts (e.g., organic acids, furans, and phenolics). Through fermentation, microbial cell factories can be harnessed as biocatalysts to convert these sugars into various classes of fuels, chemicals, and materials[2, 8, 9] including carboxylic acids[10], alcohols[11-13], aldehydes[14], ketones[15], amines[16], esters[17, 18], polyhydroxyalkanoates[19], and protein-based polymers[20]. Biomanufacturing is thus important for a circular bioeconomy where renewable and sustainable feedstocks can be effectively utilized to make renewable goods[21].

One technical barrier to biomanufacturing is creating robust microbial catalysts capable of transforming biomass hydrolysates into fuels, chemicals, and materials. Robustness is defined as the efficacy by which microbes can convert biomass hydrolysates into a target chemical under harsh conditions that cause biocatalyst poisoning[22, 23]. Robustness is a complex phenotype that requires controlled expression of multiple genes. For instance, the effective assimilation of C5 and C6 sugars in biomass hydrolysates is a complex phenotype, requiring a highly regulated gene network[24]. Coping with chemical inhibitors such as pretreatment byproducts in biomass hydrolysates and target products generated during fermentation forces cells to optimize their

cellular resources at the systems level(25). Therefore, deploying robust microbial catalysts,

whether natural isolates or engineered microorganisms, for efficient conversion of lignocellulosic

biomass to a target product is critical for biomanufacturing.

The robustness and unique metabolic capability of *Bacillus coagulans* make it a promising

biomanufacturing platform. *B. coagulans* (also known as *Weizmannia*/*Heyndrickxia coagulans*) is

a GRAS (generally recognized as safe) Gram-positive bacterium and facultative thermophilic

anaerobe. *B. coagulans* grows on a variety of carbohydrates(26-28) at a wide range of

temperatures(29) and pHs(30) and is capable of overproducing lactate(31), thermostable

enzymes(31), and antimicrobial peptides(31-33). Due to its unique metabolic capability and

inherent robustness, *B. coagulans* is also utilized for probiotic formulations(34). Even though it is

a promising biomanufacturing platform, the fundamental understanding of its robustness and

underlying genetics is limited.

In this study, we characterized the robustness physiology of *B. coagulans* B-768, a novel,

undomesticated strain. We first assessed its unique metabolic capability by growing it on various C5

(arabinose, xylose), C6 (glucose, galactose, mannose), and C12 (cellobiose) sugars at a wide range

of temperatures and evaluated its tolerance to high lactate concentrations. Genome sequencing and

annotation were performed to provide insights into its observed metabolic capability. Subsequent

characterization of B-768 growing on xylose, glucose, a mixture of xylose and glucose, and biomass

hydrolysate, coupled with quantitative proteomics, validated the functions of genes involved in sugar

utilization and elucidated how cells dynamically reallocate their proteomes in response to different

carbon sources. Finally, we examined the regulation of sugar utilization in B-768 and uncovered the

cellular processes and molecular drivers responsible for B-768's robust growth on undetoxified

hydrolysates.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

B. coagulans B-768 is a robust biomanufacturing platform microorganism

Utilization of a wide range of biomass-derived sugars is crucial for a robust biomanufacturing platform microorganism. Strain B-768 was grown on glucose, xylose, and cellobiose -the dominant sugars present in biomass hydrolysates -at a range of temperatures (30–59 °C, Figs. 1A–C). Optimum cell growth was observed between 45–53 °C with a maximum growth rate of 0.4 h^{-1} on glucose and 0.2 h^{-1} on xylose or cellobiose. Next, B-768 was grown in media containing galactose, mannose, or arabinose at 50 °C in addition to the previous sugars (Fig. 1D). Glucose and mannose were the most efficiently used carbon sources, followed by galactose, xylose, arabinose, and cellobiose, which all yielded similar maximum specific growth rates and maximum cell biomasses (Figs. 1D, S1A). In addition to the assimilation efficiencies of various carbon sources, tolerance to high product concentrations is critical to determine cellular robustness. Since *B. coagulans* is well-known for its high lactate production, we evaluated the growth of B-768 in cultures containing various lactate concentrations with glucose as a sole carbon source (Figs. 1E, S1B). The maximum specific growth rate was reduced as lactate concentration was increased, and B-768 could tolerate up to at least 30 g/L lactate. Since this experiment was performed in a pH-uncontrolled environment, it was hypothesized that higher lactate tolerance could be achieved when the medium pH was maintained at an optimum level. To evaluate this hypothesis, a pH-controlled fermentation was performed with 80 g/L glucose as the sole carbon source. As expected, when the pH was maintained at 6.5, B-768 produced up to 60 g/L lactate at 92.7% of the theoretical maximum yield (Fig. 1F). These results highlight the robustness of B-768

120   for metabolizing biomass-derived sugars, growing over a broad range of temperatures, and

121   selectively producing lactic acid at high yields.

123   **Functional and comparative genomics of *B. coagulans* B-768**

124   ***Genome sequence of B-768 and its relatedness to other B. coagulans strains.*** The genome

of B-768 was sequenced in an attempt to elicit the genetic basis of its physiological robustness.

The genome assembly size of B-768 is 3,944,476 base pairs long with 45.7% GC content, which

is 630 Kbp larger than the average *B. coagulans* genome and is the largest reported genome for *B.*

coagulans (Fig. 2). Strain B-768 contains 4,059 genomic features, of which 3,863 (95.2%) are

coding sequences (CDS). Phylogenomic analysis of a 55-strain *B. coagulans* pan-genome

compared to model strains 36D1 and DSM1 showed that B-768 belongs to the same clade as 36D1

(clade A) and is radically diverged from DSM1 (clade B, Fig. 3A). Also, its genome is 11% larger

than 36D1 and 17% larger than DSM1 (Table 1). Concordantly, there are ~20% more CDS in B-

768 compared to 36D1 or DSM1. Strains B-768 and 36D1, but not DSM1, are capable of

metabolizing C5 sugars (e.g., xylose and arabinose)(31, 35-37). Other strains reported to

metabolize xylose, including NL01(27, 38) and DSM 2314(39), also belong to clade A. The ability

to efficiently use C5 sugars could aid strains in occupying an ecological niche and incentivize the

acquisition of relevant genomic material through vertical and horizontal gene transfers. This

hypothesis is supported by the fact that genomes from clade A are on average ~171 Kbp larger

than those for clade B. Though further characterization of xylose and arabinose usage in *B.*

coagulans strains is needed to confirm this hypothesis, C5 metabolism could partially explain the

genetic differences underlying the topology of the *B. coagulans* pan-genome.

The larger genome of B-768 provides potential gains-of-function for enhanced cellular

robustness. To better understand the biological functions that may be associated with the added

genomic material of B-768, we conducted a comparative COG (Clusters of Orthologous Genes)

analysis by calculating the percent change of CDS belonging to each COG category between B-

768 and its closest reference, 36D1 (Fig. 3B–E). The percent change was calculated as follows:

$100 * \frac{N_{B-768} - N_{36D1}}{N_{36D1}}$, where N is the number of proteins belonging to the specified COG. Several

putative phage proteins found using PHASTEST(40) not recognized by automated COG

annotation(41) were manually added to the Mobilome COG. The percent change of the top 10

COGs is shown in Fig. 3B. B-768 contains more genes than 36D1 for nearly every COG category

with several categories being heavily expanded, such as the Mobilome (COG-X), Intracellular

Trafficking, Secretion, and Vesicular Transport (COG-U), Carbohydrate transport and metabolism

(COG-G), and Transcription (COG-K, Fig. 3B). Detailed examination of the individual proteins

involved in these COGs can shed light into the robustness of B-768, specifically COG-G and COG-

K due to their relevance to carbon utilization (Fig. 3C & 3D) and COG-X due to its role in

horizontal gene transfer and genome composition (Fig. 3E).

Unique carbohydrate metabolism, transport, and regulation of B-768. Carbohydrate

Metabolism and Transport is the largest functional category in B-768, underlying the observed

robust carbon metabolism. B-768 contains 52.4% more proteins in COG-G than 36D1, and more

proteins were identified for all protein classes (Fig. 3C). Top protein classes include arabinose

efflux permeases, Na+/melibiose symporters/transporters, sugar kinases, and sugar permeases.

The overrepresentation of transporters among this group suggests B-768's vigorous carbon

metabolism is partly due to improved uptake of carbon substrates. Transcriptional regulators are

known to play key roles in regulating the use of carbon sources. A breakdown of the COG-K

reveals B-768 has at least 16 more transcriptional regulators than 36D1, belonging to the

LacI/PurR, LysR, ArsR, and GntR families (Fig. 3D). LacI and PurR regulators are mostly

allosteric repressors of carbon and nucleotide synthesis, respectively, and may control one (e.g.

LacI) or many (e.g. PurR) operons(42). Given the ability of B-768 to utilize various carbon sources

(Fig. 1D), an enlarged complement of LacI/PurR regulators likely helps coordinate the use of

available sugars. LysR and GntR regulators act globally as either activators or repressors of various

cellular processes including, but not limited to, metabolism, virulence, motility, and intercellular

communication(43, 44). ArsR regulators repress operons linked to metal stress under normal

physiological conditions. Upon binding with multivalent metal or metalloid ions, the associated

operons are derepressed and can provide resistance to otherwise toxic concentrations of

metals/metalloids(45). An excess of 6 ArsR regulators in B-768 may enable it to survive in metal-

rich environments where other *B. coagulans* strains cannot thrive.

Mobilome of B-768 reveals its capability to expand its genome. B-768 contains 168%

more proteins in its Mobilome—which includes prophages, transposons, and plasmid mobilization

and maintenance machinery—compared to 36D1 (Fig. 3E). Six more transposases, which are

capable of rearranging, adding, or removing genomic material, were identified from B-768's

chromosome. The most significant portion of B-768's expanded Mobilome, however, is attributed

to two putative prophage regions that encode 32 CDS. In contrast, 36D1 has no prophages or

phage-related proteins. Prophage-like entities are commonly found in probiotic strains and can

provide resistance to similar phages(46, 47). The first prophage region is 32.7 kb long (genomic

coordinates: 1024169–1056950) and is predicted to be incomplete due to the absence of various

necessary phage genes such as portal, capsid, terminase, and tail genes. It does, however, encode

for 2 integrases, 1 protease, 1 endonuclease, 1 antirepressor, and several other unannotated phage-

like proteins which may contribute to bacterial fitness and/or horizontal gene transfer. The second

prophage is 38.3 kb long (genomic coordinates: 1805785–1844080) and is putatively intact,

possessing all major proteins required for phage infection. Many of the closest homologs for B-

768's putative phage proteins are from *Bacillus* phages, but several come from *Corynebacterium*,

Agrobacterium, and *Enterococcus* phages as well, meaning the exact origin and classification of

the prophage regions remain unclear. The existence of prophages in *B. coagulans* strains has been

previously reported, but in all cases the phages were deemed non-functional(48, 49). Given the

well-established role of bacteriophages in horizontal gene transfer, the presence of two putative

prophages could help explain the origin of B-768's expanded genetic repertoire. An operational

phage in *B. coagulans* could potentially be useful for introducing DNA via transduction, enabling

various genetic engineering approaches. Together, these findings provide a glimpse into the

expanded genome of B-768 that facilitates its extraordinary cellular robustness.

Defense systems in B-768: restriction-modification and CRISPR-Cas. Bacteriophage

contamination is a common problem in bacterial fermentation and can lower product yields and

either slow or halt fermentnations(50). Bacteria have developed numerous defense systems for

rebuffing bacteriophage infection, including innate restriction-modification (R-M) and adaptive

CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR-associated). To

elucidate the robustness of B-768, we evaluated its innate and adaptive defense systems to assess

its potential resistance to phage infection and suitability for industrial application.

Restriction-modification systems recognize and cut foreign DNAs having different

methylation patterns than the host. R-M systems are categorized into four types, Type I–IV, based

on genetic structure and biochemical function. Type I systems are multi-subunit complexes

composed of the R (restriction endonuclease), M (methyltransferase), and S (DNA-specificity)

subunits expressed from a single operon. Type II and III systems are comprised of restriction endonuclease and methyltransferase subunits, but while Type II R and M proteins function independently, Type III restriction enzyme activity requires an R-M complex. Type IV systems are effectors that may be encoded by either 1 or 2 genes(51). Type I, II, and III restriction endonucleases recognize and cleave unmethylated DNA at a specific DNA motif, while Type IV restriction endonucleases cleave DNA that is methylated differently from the host. Our genomic analysis shows that B-768 has 11 predicted R-M proteins including 7 Type I, 2 Type II, and 2 Type IV proteins (Table 2). No Type III R-M proteins were found. In B-768, a complete Type I R-M system is encoded within a single operon spanning from 2754339–2761240. We found that B-768 also has 4 additional Type I R submodules co-localized from 3201231–3202333, but it is unclear whether these isolated proteins participate in Type I R-M activity in B-768. Both Type II R-M proteins in B-768 are contained in an operon from 2170437–2176691. B-768's Type IV genes are co-localized, meaning they likely encode for a 2-subunit effector. In total, B-768 has 3 distinct and intact R-M systems that enable robust restriction of foreign DNAs.

CRISPR-Cas systems are functionally distinct immune systems found in archaea, bacteria, and even huge bacteriophages(52-54). These systems work in a two-step process: adaptation and interference. In adaptation, stretches of foreign DNA (protospacers) are integrated into CRISPR arrays within the host genome and stored for future use. These short fragments are called spacers. During interference, spacers are transcribed, form a complex with Cas effector proteins, and guide a ribonuclease to a cognate protospacer, where the target DNA is cleaved. Our genomic analysis found that B-768 possesses 5 putative CRISPR arrays containing 145 spacers. Three CRISPR arrays have no associated Cas proteins near them and 2 have accompanying Type I-B and I-C CRISPR machinery (Fig. 3F). The Type I-B CRISPR system is predicted to have complete

interference and adaptation arsenals, while the Type I-C system appears to only possess

interference-related genes. Analysis of B-768's entire set of spacers reveals CRISPR RNAs

targeting both phages and plasmids, which indicates B-768 has a history of deploying CRISPR-

Cas against foreign invaders of various origins (Table 3). In addition to robust and programmable

defense against deleterious phages, B-768's endogenous CRISPR systems could hold promise for

editing *B. coagulans* and other industrially relevant thermophiles.

Lignocellulosic biomass-derived sugar metabolism in B-768. The C6 sugars (e.g.,

glucose) are mainly transported inside the cells using the phosphoenolpyruvate

(PEP):carbohydrate phosphotransferase system (PTS) (Dataset 1). The phosphorylated sugars

(e.g., glucose-6-phosphate) are assimilated via the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) and/or

Entner-Doudoroff (ED) pathways. We identified the full set of necessary genes for the EMP

pathway in B-768 (Fig. 4, Dataset 2). On the other hand, B-768 contains an incomplete ED

pathway due to the absence of 6-phosphogluconate dehydratase (EDD), which converts 6-P-

gluconate into 2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (Fig. 4, Dataset 2). B-768 does, however,

possess 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (6PGD) that converts 6-phosphogluconate into

ribulose-5-phosphate, one of the end products in the pentose phosphate pathway. In summary,

glycolysis is the primary pathway for C6 sugar degradation in B-768, with possible metabolic flux

through a hybrid ED and pentose phosphate pathway route.

Since B-768 grows on xylose (Figs. 1B, 1D), it is expected that B-768 contains xylose

assimilation genes. Transport is the first step of carbon utilization and B-768 contains two putative

xylose transporters, xylose H+-symporter (XYLT, encoded by *xylT*) and an ABC-type xylose

transporter (*xylFGH*). These were identified using 36D1 xylose transporters as reference genes

(Dataset 1). Xylose transported inside of the cell via xylose transporters is metabolized by two key

enzymes: xylose isomerase (XI, encoded by *xylA*), which converts D-xylose into D-xylulose, and

xylulokinase (XK, encoded by *xylB*), which converts D-xylulose into D-xylulose-5-phosphate.

Typically, *xylA*, *xylB*, and *xylT* are encoded alongside *xylR*—a transcriptional repressor of the *xyl*

operon(55, 56)— in a single operon, but in B-768 *xylT* is located separately over 1 Mbp away (Fig.

4, Dataset 2). Once xylose is converted into D-xylulose-5-phosphate, it can be further assimilated

by either the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) or the phosphoketolase pathway (PKP). The PKP

results in equimolar production of lactate and acetate in lactic acid bacteria(57), while the PPP

yields homolactic acid, making the PPP desirable for lactate production from pentoses(36). B-768

contains a full complement of PPP genes but lacks the critical PKP enzyme, phosphoketolase

(Dataset 2). Given the lack of phosphoketolase, B-768 mainly utilizes the homolactic acid PPP to

degrade xylose, setting it apart from most other lactic acid bacteria that prefer the PKP(58). This

is an essential trait for ensuring high lactic acid yields on cellulosic sugar mixtures.

B-768 also contains genes that putatively allow it to use xylosides. Xylosides are released

when xylan or xyloglucan are depolymerized and may be converted into D-xylose by α- or β-

xylosidases(59). Putative xyloside symporter (*xynT*) and α-xylosidase (*xylS*) genes were found in

the *xyl* operon in B-768, and three β-xylosidases were scattered throughout the rest of the genome.

While closely related strain 36D1 has a copy of *xynT*, it does not possess any known

xylosidases(31). To determine the origin of the four xylosidase proteins, BLASTp analysis was

performed against the non-redundant protein database (Table 4). This search revealed homologs

in closely related species for all but one of the xylosidases. Enzyme 4-beta-xylosidase located from

665440–666999 had top hits primarily belonging to *Enterococcus* spp., with the top hit occurring

in *Melissococcus plutonius*. This provides another example of *B. coagulans* B-768 utilizing

horizontal gene transfer to expand its carbon-utilizing potential. This is useful trait because xylan

oligomers are competitive inhibitors of cellulases.

Cellobiose assimilation is key to bypassing carbon catabolite repression (CCR), wherein

xylose assimilation is inhibited by glucose. Cellobiose utilization in *B. coagulans* is mainly

mediated by the five-member CELO1 operon encoding three phosphotransferase system (PTS)

subunits EIIA (*celA*), EIIB (*celB*), EIIC (*celC),* a 6-phospho-β-glucosidase (*pbgl*), and a

transcriptional regulator (*celR*)(27). Some strains like *B. coagulans* NL01, 36D1, 2-6, and HM08

have an additional cellobiose operon, CELO2, which encodes paralogs of *celABCR* along with an

unknown protein (*celX*)(27). Using 36D1 as a reference strain, we identified cellobiose

assimilation pathway genes in B-768. In B-768, both CELO1 and CELO2 are fully intact and

located from 1361260–1367923 and 1464816–1469898, respectively. Notably, B-768 contains

two additional copies of 6-phospho-β-glucosidase—the enzyme catalyzing the rate-limiting step

in the breakdown of cellobiose to glucose(60, 61)—which may improve cellobiose catabolism.

Due to B-768's metabolic capability to assimilate galactose, mannose, and arabinose (Fig.

1D), we also investigated the catabolic pathways of these monomeric sugars. Galactose

metabolism in bacteria is mediated by the ubiquitous four-enzyme Leloir pathway, containing the

enzymes galactose mutarotase, galactokinase, galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase, and

UDP-galactose 4-epimerase(62). B-768 possesses all four genes in an operon from 1161267–

1165598 with an additional copy of galactose mutarotase (Dataset 1). Mannose metabolism in

Bacillus subtilis is mediated by a mannose transporter (*manP*), a mannose-6-phosphate isomerase

(*manA*), and a mannose activator (*manR*)(63). In *B. coagulans*, mannose metabolism is much the

same, except the mannose transporter is mediated by the mannose PTS system encoded by 4

subunit genes (*manEIIABCD)* instead of a single gene (Dataset 1). B-768 possesses homologs for

these genes, but *manA* is absent from the operon containing *manR* and *manEIIABCD* (Dataset 1).

All canonical arabinose utilization proteins are present in B-768, many of which possess several

putative paralogs (Dataset 1). Most of these paralogs are either for arabinose/arabinan permeases

or transcriptional regulators of the *ara* regulon. This is consistent with the finding that most of B-

768's genes involved in carbon metabolism putatively encode for C5/C6 sugar kinases, permeases,

and transcriptional regulators (Fig. 3C). Overall, B-768 has accrued an extensive array of genes

dedicated to metabolizing a wide variety of sugars.

Distinct phenotypes and proteomes of B-768 for utilization of biomass-derived sugars

B-768 grew faster on glucose than xylose, resulting in lactate overflow metabolism. Since

xylose and glucose are the most dominant C5 and C6 sugars in biomass hydrolysates, we first

aimed to elucidate the phenotypes and metabolic responses of B-768 growing on these sugars.

When supplied with 10 g/L glucose, B-768 grew at a rate of 0.59 ± 0.01 h^{-1}. Within 8 h, it achieved

a maximum growth of 3.45 ± 0.11 OD$_{600}$ and exhausted all the glucose with a glucose uptake rate

of 1.67 ± 0.15 g glucose/OD$_{600}$/h (Fig. 5A, 5B). B-768 produced lactate as the major fermentative

product at a titer of 8.72 ± 0.05 g lactate/L, a productivity of 1.46 ± 0.03 g lactate/OD$_{600}$/h, and a

yield of 0.89 ± 0.01 g lactate/g glucose (~90% of the maximum theoretical yield). In contrast,

when growing on xylose, B-768 exhibited a much slower growth rate of 0.32 ± 0.01 h^{-1}. After ~24

h, cells reached the growth of 3.45 ± 0.10 OD$_{600}$ and only consumed 3.52 ± 0.45 g/L out of ~10

g/L xylose available with a xylose uptake rate of 0.35 ± 0.04 g xylose/OD$_{600}$/h (Fig. 5A, 5B). B-

768 only produced lactate at a titer of 1.57 ± 0.12 g lactate/L, a productivity of 0.07 ± 0.01 g

lactate/OD$_{600}$/h, and a yield of 0.18 ± 0.02 g lactate/g xylose. Overall, faster growth and glucose

uptake rate made B-768 reroute high carbon flux toward lactate biosynthesis. Even though B-768

reached the same cell biomass for growth on xylose after 24 h, slower growth and xylose uptake

rate shifted its carbon flux mainly towards biomass synthesis at the expense of lactate production.

B-768 exhibited distinct proteomes for growth on glucose and xylose. To elucidate the

phenotypic differences for B-768 grown on glucose and xylose at the cellular level, cultures were

sampled at mid-exponential phase for quantitative proteomics. Principal component analysis of

glucose and xylose samples revealed highly distinct proteomes (Fig. 5C). Differential expression

analysis was then performed on the glucose- and xylose-only samples and the number of

significantly altered proteins was tallied for each COG category (Fig. 5D). The results showed that

proteomes had shifted across the COG categories to varying degrees. Most significantly perturbed

proteins in cells growing on xylose compared to on glucose belong to the Carbohydrate Transport

and Metabolism (COG-G) and Energy Production and Conversion (COG-C) categories. As

expected, both the major xylose utilization proteins—xylose isomerase and xylulokinase—were

among the most xylose-upregulated proteins by 5.99 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 4.17E-14) and 7.28 $\log_2$-

fold (q-value = 4.17E-14), respectively in the COG-G category (Fig. 4, Dataset 3). Among the PPP

proteins, ribose-5-phosphate isomerase (RPI) was significantly upregulated by 1.29 $\log_2$-fold (q-

value = 0.0478), while the rest were not significantly perturbed (Fig. 4, Dataset 3). Most of the

xylose downregulated proteins in COG-G were proteins involved in the EMP pathway, such as

triose phosphate isomerase (TPI), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), enolase

(ENO), and pyruvate kinase (PK) by -1.9 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 8.45E-3), -1.29 $\log_2$-fold (q-value

=3.53E-3), -1.36 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 0.012), and -1.48 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 2.93E-4), respectively

(Fig. 4, Dataset 3). Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is also significantly downregulated in xylose

relative to glucose by -2.63 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 1.83E-4) at the measured timepoint (Fig. 4, Dataset

3), which is consistent with the observed low lactate production (Fig. 5B). In COG-C, several

enzymes involved in pyruvate metabolism, such as formate C-acetyltransferase (or pyruvate

formate lyase, PFL), dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase (DLD), and pyruvate dehydrogenase

subunits E1α and E1β (PDH) for converting pyruvate to acetyl-CoA, were significantly

upregulated in xylose by 5.71 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 4.17E-14), 3.71 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 4.17E-14),

3.44 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 2.52E-6), and 3.1 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 1.37E-13), respectively (Dataset

3). Enzymes that convert acetyl-CoA into acetate and ethanol were upregulated in xylose,

including acetyl-CoA synthetase (ACS), aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH), and alcohol

dehydrogenase (ADH), by 3.7 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 1.24E-4), 4.86 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 4.17E-14),

and 3.6 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 1.03E-7), respectively (Dataset 3 and Fig. S4). Acetyl-CoA is also

passed into the TCA cycle, which is highly upregulated on xylose (Dataset 3 and Fig. S5).

Tradeoffs of proteome reallocation between carbohydrate metabolism and energy

generation contributed to the differential growth on glucose and xylose. We then investigated

how B-768 re-allocated its resources in response to glucose or xylose by summing the mass

fractions of all expressed proteins for each COG (Fig. 5E). The top 7 COGs, including COG-G, J

(Translation), C, E (Amino Acid Transport and Metabolism), F (Nucleotide Transport and

Metabolism), O (Posttranslation Modification, Protein Turnover, Chaperons), K, V (Defense

Mechanisms), M (Cell Wall/Membrane/Envelope Biogenesis), and H (Coenzyme Transport and

Metabolism), contributed up to 80% of the total measured proteomes as shown in Fig. 5E. The top

3 COGs, including G, J, and C, shared up to 60–65% of each measured proteome with a high

degree of variability for growth on glucose and xylose. Despite most significantly differential

proteins in COG-G being upregulated in xylose relative to glucose (Fig. 5D), the proteome mass

fraction allocated to COG-G was much higher when B-768 was grown on glucose compared to

xylose (29% Glu vs. 19% Xyl, Fig. 5E). This result indicates that protein expression was higher

even with fewer proteins in cells grown in glucose compared to cells grown in xylose. Consistent

with this hypothesis, B-768 also devoted significantly more resources to COG-J when grown on

glucose compared to xylose (22% Glu vs. 17% Xyl). Xylose-grown cells disproportionately

invested their resources in COG-C, presumably to offset the higher energy cost of transporting and

assimilating xylose (29% Xyl vs. 10% Glu, Fig. 5E).

To better elucidate how proteome reallocation is linked to the distinct phenotypes for B-

768 growing on glucose and xylose, the allocation of proteomes in COG-G and COG-C was

analyzed at a more granular resolution based on the relevant pathways (Fig. 5F–K). Enriched

pathways in the cells grown on xylose include the TCA cycle (6.78-fold, p-value < 0.0001, Fig.

5F), pyruvate metabolism (2.32-fold, p-value < 0.0001, Fig. 5G), and xylose metabolism (4.52-

fold, p-value = 0.0001, Fig. 5H), reiterating the trends observed from differential expression

analyses. Glucose-grown cells had slightly more investments into glycolysis (0.095-fold, p-value

< 0.0001, Fig. 5I), the pentose phosphate pathway (0.24-fold, p-value = 0.0063, Fig. 5J), and the

phosphotransferase system (0.18-fold, p-value = 0.0054, Fig. 5K). Overall, these findings reveal

that B-768 redistributed its proteome to accommodate the increased energy demand of

metabolizing xylose. To do this, various carbohydrate metabolism and energy production genes

were overexpressed, which direct carbon flux from xylose through the PPP to yield pyruvate.

Pyruvate is then converted into energy by channeling carbon and electron fluxes through pyruvate

metabolism, then the TCA cycle, followed by the electron transport system for ATP synthesis.

Although ATP synthase was not significantly different between xylose and glucose conditions,

other key proteins in the electron transport system were upregulated in xylose, such as NADH

dehydrogenase by 8.93 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 3.63E-9) and succinate dehydrogenase by 3.72 $\log_2$-

fold (q-value = 2.42E-5). A significant reduction in LDH expression and lactate production, and

an increase in pyruvate metabolism correlates with the decreased lactate metabolism for growth

on xylose.

Lastly, amino acid reallocation in the measured proteomes was performed as previously

described to investigate the metabolic cost associated with switching to a xylose-utilizing

proteome(64) (Fig. 5L). Higher amounts of costly amino acids like tryptophan, tyrosine, and

phenylalanine are used in xylose-only conditions compared to glucose-only conditions(65).

Consistent with this finding, the 10 most highly expressed proteins in xylose possess 107% more

tryptophan, 37% more tyrosine, and 21% more phenylalanine than the top 10 proteins for glucose.

The greater demand for these costly amino acids could help explain the marked investment into

energy generation processes when B-768 is grown on. This finding suggests that supplementation

of *B. coagulans* B-768 with metabolically expensive amino acid(s) may alleviate part of the

metabolic burden due to amino acid biosynthesis and improve growth on xylose.

Carbon catabolite repression is dominant in B-768 growing on glucose-xylose mixture and

switchgrass biomass hydrolysate

As cellulosic hydrolysates contain a mixture of C5 and C6 sugars, it is important to

understand how B-768 regulates its metabolism for growth on mixed sugars. Carbon catabolite

repression (CCR) is a common regulatory mechanism used by bacteria to inhibit metabolism of

sub-optimal carbon sources (e.g., xylose) in the presence of a preferred substrate (e.g., glucose).

The diauxic growth observed when B-768 is grown on either a mixture of refined glucose and

xylose (G+X) or switchgrass hydrolysate (SGH), is evidence for a strong CCR on xylose

assimilation by glucose (Figs. 6A, 6B). Consistent with this observation (Fig. 6B), expression of

the *xyl* operon was heavily repressed (Figs. 6D, 6F). The effects of the observed mechanism can

be captured at the cellular level. Principal component analysis shows that all proteomes of cultures

growing in the presence of glucose were clustered tightly together, while those grown on xylose

were highly diverged (Fig. 6C).

To characterize CCR in *B. coagulans* B-768 and to select genetic targets for eliminating it,

canonical CCR proteins were quantified across a variety of growth conditions based on the CCR

model of the well-studied *B. subtilis* (Figs. 6D, 6E). To enable CCR, the master regulator CcpA

and its primary corepressor, histidine-containing phosphocarrier protein (HPr), or the secondary

catabolite corepressor (Crh), bind to catabolite-responsive elements (*cre*) located in the open

reading frame or promoter region of the target genes and block transcription(66) (Fig. 6D). Hpr

and Crh bind CcpA when phosphorylated at the Ser46 residue by the bifunctional HPr

kinase/phosphorylase (HPrK/P), whose activity is increased by the glycolytic intermediate,

fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP)(67-69). CCR is further modulated by glucose-6-phosphate (G6P)

and FBP, which improve the DNA binding affinity of CcpA-(Hpr-Ser46-P), but not CcpA-(Crh-

Ser46-P)(70, 71). The results show that protein levels of CcpA, HPr, and HprK/P did not

significantly change between glucose-available and xylose-only conditions, agreeing with a

previous report (Fig. 6E)(72). While not statistically significant, phosphofructokinase-1 (PfkA),

which converts fructose-6-phosphate into FBP, is downregulated by 1.47 $\log_2$-fold changes in

xylose cultures relative to glucose. For growth on xylose, the FBP node of the EMP pathway can

be effectively bypassed because carbon passed through the PPP connects to glycolysis downstream

of FBP at glyceraldehyde-3-P. Lower concentrations of FBP would in turn downregulate the

kinase activity of HprK and DNA binding affinity of the CcpA effector complex (Fig. 6D).

Therefore, in B-768 relief of CCR on xylose appears to be likely due to reduced intracellular levels

of FBP.

Growth hardiness of *B. coagulans* B-768 on complex biomass hydrolysate is explained by proteomics

A major challenge to utilizing lignocellulosic sugars is overcoming microbially inhibitory

chemicals formed during pretreatment and hydrolysis of the biomass. Depending on their

concentration, they can lead to extended lag phases, reduced product yields, or stalled

fermentations. While there are methods to mitigate these inhibitors, their drawbacks included

added cost, sugar loss, and reduced overall conversion efficiency(73, 74). Therefore, using

microbial biocatalysts that are robust to the presence of inhibitory compounds is paramount(75).

To elucidate the cellular robustness of B-768, its growth and lactate production were compared for

undetoxified dilute-acid switchgrass hydrolysate and a refined sugar control (Figs. 6A, 6B).

Surprisingly, no inhibitory effect on growth and lactate production was detected for B-768 grown

on SGH compared to refined sugars. This result indicates that B-768 can utilize glucose and—to

a much lesser extent—xylose present in undetoxified biomass hydrolysate without a reduction in

growth or lactate production, which makes this strain particularly attractive as a microbial

biomanufacturing platform.

To investigate the molecular drivers for the observed cellular robustness, comparative

proteomics was performed between cells grown in SGH and X+G (Fig. 6G). COG analysis was

performed on the 161 significantly differential proteins and all but 24 were assigned to a COG

category (Fig. 6H). Most proteins that could not be assigned a COG were annotated as hypothetical

proteins, but a few notable proteins included a putative transpose, a putative type VII secretion

system protein, a flagellar protein, and two sporulation proteins. All these proteins are stress-

implicated and probably play a role in helping B-768 adapt to hydrolysate toxicity. The top 3 COG

categories were COG-G (n = 24), COG-E (n = 14), and COG-T (n = 12). In terms of carbon

metabolism, all 3 major arabinose utilization proteins, L-arabinose isomerase, L-ribulokinase, and

L-ribulose-5-P 4-epimerase were significantly upregulated in SGH relative to X+G by 5.33 $\log_2$-

fold (q-value = 2.15E-14), 6.82 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 5.98E-4), and 7.47 $\log_2$-fold (q-value =6.88E-

5), respectively. Additionally, 6-phospho-β-glucosidase (cellobiose utilization) and galactokinase

(galactose utilization) were upregulated in SGH by 9.06 $\log_2$-fold (q-value = 2.15E-14) and 4.07

$\log_2$-fold (q-value = 1.17E-4), respectively (Dataset 4). This result indicates that B-768 actively

metabolizes arabinose, cellobiose, and galactose when grown on unrefined biomass hydrolysates.

In addition to these proteins, several transporters of both the ABC and PTS varieties (n =

9), and chemotaxis-implicated proteins (n = 4) were significantly altered (Table 5). Similar

proteins were previously found to be significantly perturbed in *Clostridium acetobutylicum* in

response to phenolic inhibitors—common toxins found in biomass hydrolysates[76, 77]. Another

inhibitor found in biomass hydrolysate is methylglyoxal[78, 79]; therefore, it is noteworthy that

the most upregulated protein in SGH relative to X+G was glyoxylase II, a crucial enzyme for

detoxifying methylglyoxal[80]. Finally, 10 putative transcriptional regulators were differentially

expressed (8 up, 2 down) with actuate transcriptional changes to accommodate growth in

hydrolysates (Table 5). Together, these results provide promising engineering targets for further

improving B-768's exceptional hydrolysate tolerance and demonstrate that B-768 displays a robust

and diverse proteomic response to hydrolysate toxicity, enabling favorable growth kinetics and

lactate titers in comparison to other industrial biocatalysts.

CONCLUSIONS

Through detailed phenotype characterization, comparative functional genomics, and

quantitative proteomic analyses, this study elucidates the mechanisms underlining the robustness

of undomesticated *B. coagulans* strain B-768,. Highlighted is the metabolic capability of B-768 to

utilize a wide range of C5 and C6 sugars at various temperatures, with glucose as the preferential

substrate. We created a high-quality genome assembly and annotation of *B. coagulans* B-768.

Essential to the robustness of B-768 is its larger genome including the expanded Carbohydrate

Transport and Metabolism and Mobilome, which enables improved sugar utilization and

horizontal gene transfer. A large set of genes devoted to its innate and adaptive defense

mechanisms should help *B. coagulans* resist phage attacks, which are a risk for bacterial industrial

fermentation processes. B-768 exhibits distinct proteomes for growth on glucose and xylose,

consistent with its observed phenotypes. Faster growth and glucose uptake rate resulted in lactate

overflow metabolism while slower growth and xylose uptake rate diminished lactate production.

This difference could be explained by the tradeoff in proteome reallocation between the

Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism process and the Energy Generation and Production

process. B-768 can utilize biomass hydrolysates with various C5 and C6 sugars controlled by a

tightly regulated gene network. Overall, B-768 is a robust microbial biomanufacturing platform

that has potential beyond lactate fermentation.   A fundamental understanding of its robustness

revealed in this study will be critical for rechanneling and tuning its metabolism for optimized

conversion of lignocellulosic biomass to chemicals, fuels, and materials beyond lactate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

Strains: *B. coagulans* strain B-768 (ATCC 10545=NRS-784) was obtained from the

NRRL Agriculture Research Service strain collection of the United States Department of

Agriculture (USDA) located in Peoria, IL. The strain was stored as glycerol stocks at -80 °C.

Media: The basal medium contained 1.5 g/L yeast extract, 100 mM sodium MES buffer

(pH 6.5), and mineral solution. The mineral solution contained: 2 g/L $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 2 g/L KH_2PO_4,

2 g/L NaCl, 0.2 g/L $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 5 mg/L $MnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$, and 10 mg/L $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$. The

phosphate buffered saline (PBS) contained per liter: 8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, and 1.44 g Na_2HPO_4,

adjusted to pH 7. 4 with NaOH.

The switchgrass hydrolysate (SGH) medium contained per liter: 10% (v/v) of concentrated

dilute acid pretreated SGH and 100 mM MES buffer (pH 6.5). The concentrated SGH solution was

prepared as previously described(81), which contained: ~70 g/L glucose and ~44 g/L xylose as the

main C5/C6 sugars of biomass hydrolysates. SGH was used at 10%w/w for bottle cultures.

High-throughput Microplate Growth Kinetic Experiments: Growth of B-768 on various

carbon sources (glucose, xylose, cellobiose, galactose, mannose, or arabinose) at various

temperatures (37, 40, 45, 47, 53, or 55 °C) was measured high-throughput using Bioscreen Pro™

(Oy Growth Curves Ab Ltd., Finland). B-768 was inoculated in basal medium containing no sugar

and grown at 50 °C overnight. Next day, overnight grown cells were diluted in fresh medium with

initial OD_{600} of 0.1 in total 300 µL and growth was monitored at 580 nm for 24 or 48 hrs. For the

lactate tolerance experiment, cells were prepared in a basal medium with 8 g/L glucose, and growth

was monitored at 50 °C using the Bioscreen Pro™.

Bottle Cultures: Seed cultures and media were prepared as described for the high-

throughput studies. Fermentations were conducted in 25 mL Pyrex bottles (Corning Life Sciences,

NY) filled with 20 mL of medium and incubated and mixed at 50 °C and 100 rpm, respectively.

Cultures were sampled for sugars (glucose and xylose), lactate, and OD_{600} using a 1 mL sample.

Proteomic samples (1 mL) were withdrawn, centrifuged, washed with chilled dH_2O, and the pellet

was stored at -80 °C.

pH-controlled Fermentations: Fermentations were maintained at pH 6.5 and 50 °C (unless

stated otherwise) and stirred at 300 rpm. Cells were inoculated to an initial OD_{600} of 0.1. The pH

was maintained by adding 4 N KOH. Cultures were sampled for OD_{600}, sugars, and fermentation

products.

Analytical Methods

High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC): Metabolites such as sugars and

acids (e.g. lactate and acetate) were measured by Bio-Rad HPX-87H column at 65 °C with 5 mM

sulfuric acid as a mobile phase using a Thermo high-performance liquid chromatography (Ultimate

3000) system equipped with a refractive index (RI).

Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS): *B. coagulans*

strain B-768 cultured in the presence of various sugars (n = 3 per glucose, xylose, a mixture of

glucose and xylose, and switchgrass hydrolysate) were collected by centrifugation and cell pellets

prepared for LC-MS/MS based proteomics as previously described(82). All raw mass spectra for

quantification of proteins used in this study have been deposited in the MassIVE and

ProteomeXchange data repositories under accession numbers MSV000095240 (MassIVE) and

PXD053622   (ProteomeXchange),   with   data   files   available   at

ftp://massive.ucsd.edu/v08/MSV000095240/.

Genome Sequencing: Genomic DNA of *B. coagulans* B-768 was subjected to Pacific Biosciences

(PacBio) long-read sequencing by DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI)(83). A >10 kb Pacbio

SMRTbell® library was constructed and sequenced on the PacBio Sequel platform, which

generated 78,854 high-fidelity CCS reads totaling 696,902,661 bp. Reads >5kb were assembled

with Flye v2.8.3 using default settings(84, 85). The final draft assembly contained 1 contig in 1

scaffold, totaling 3,944,476 bp in size, and was 99.95% complete according to CheckM(86). The

input read coverage was 181.4x. The final draft assembly was annotated using the Integrated

Microbial Genomes Annotation Pipeline (IMGAP) v5.1.1. The whole-genome assemblies and

annotation were deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank (Bioproject ID: PRJNA1123721).

Bioinformatics and Data Analysis

CRISPR System and Spacer Identification: CRISPR system prediction was performed

using CRISPRCasTyper v1.8.0 with default settings(87). For identification of spacer hits on

plasmids, nucleotide sequences for the entire NCBI collection of bacterial plasmid sequences were

downloaded from PLSDB v. 2021_06_23_v2(88) and used to construct a BLASTn database

against which the JGI-predicted CRISPR spacers were blasted using the blastn-short algorithm

with default settings. Hits with e-value < 0.05 were retained and the top hit for each spacer was

chosen as the target source. For identification of spacer hits on phages, SpacePHARER

v5.c2e680a(89) was used with the GenBank_phage_2018_09 database and default settings.

Restriction-Modification Annotation: All B-768 protein sequences were searched against

the complete REBASE database using BLASTp v2.15.0+ with default settings. Hits were filtered

down to those with a percent identity >60% and alignment coverage >80% (alignment length/query

length). Hits were then sorted by ascending e-value and the top hit for each query was retained. 11

hits remained and all had e-values < 7.5E-42. These were considered bonafide R-M homologs and

the REBASE classification was used to assign the type.

Circular Genome Map: Proksee v5.2.1(90) was used to generate a circular genome map

for *B. coagulans* B-768 from functional annotation provided by JGI. GC content and skew were

calculated using the built-in function in Proksee. COG mapping was performed manually using

the feature upload tool and the output from COGclassifier v1.0.5(91). Prophage regions were

predicted using PHASTEST v3.0 and mapped using the feature upload tool(40).

Pan-genome Phylogeny: Genome sequences of all RefSeq *B. coagulans* strains, except

RUG1433, were downloaded from NCBI. A core-genome alignment was performed on the pan-

genome using Parsnp v1.7.4 with default settings(92). A recombination-aware maximum

likelihood tree was then constructed from the core-genome alignment using Gubbins v3.2.1 with

default settings(93).

Proteomics: The resulting MS/MS spectra acquired from the Q Exactive MS were searched

against the *B. coagulans* B-768 proteome database appended with common protein contaminants

using the SEQUEST HT algorithm in Proteome Discoverer v.2.5 (Thermo Scientific) and peptide

spectrum matches (PSMs) scored and filtered with Percolator (settings and dynamic/static mods

described in Walker *et al*'s study(82)). Peptides (FDR < 1%) were then quantified by

chromatographic peak area and derived abundances mapped back to each peptide's respective

protein and peptide abundance summed to estimate protein-level abundances. Protein abundances

were then $\log_2$ transformed, normalized by LOESS, and median centered using InfernoRDN(94).

Missing values were imputed, and statistical tests (T-test and ANOVA) performed using Perseus

v2.0.7.0(95). Log$_2$ transformed and imputed protein abundance data were analyzed using the DEP

R package v1.24.0(96). Significant proteins were filtered out based on a 5% FDR and minimum

log$_2$ fold-change of 1.

Proteome Reallocation: Based on the mass fractions of each protein per sample, proteome

reallocation was calculated by dividing each raw protein abundance by the sum of all protein

abundances for a given sample and summed up across the indicated categories of interest(64, 82).

COG annotations were mapped onto predicted protein sequences from JGI using COGclassifier

v1.0.5(91). All calculations were performed in R v4.3.0.

Amino Acid Allocation: Analyses of amino acid allocation in the measured proteomes

were determined based on the formulation previously described(64, 82). All the calculations were

performed in Python v3.8.16 and the outputs were analyzed in R v4.3.0.

Kinetics: The specific growth rate was determined by assuming that cell growth follows

the first-order kinetics (Equation 1).

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \mu \cdot X \tag{1}$$

613   where X (g DCW/L) is cell mass, t (h) is the culturing time, and μ (1/h) is the specific growth rate.

614   Note that DCW represents dry cell weight and is linearly correlated with the measured cell optical

615   density (OD$_{600}$). The substrate uptake rate was determined as follows (Equation 2):

$$-r_S = \mu \cdot Y_{S/X} \tag{2}$$

617   where r_S (g/g DCW/h) is the specific substrate uptake rate and $Y_{S/X}$ (g substrate/g CDW) is the

618   yield of a substrate (e.g., glucose) to cell mass. The product formation rate was determined as

619   follows (Equation 3):

$$r_P = \mu \cdot Y_{P/X} \tag{3}$$

where r_P (g/g DCW/h) is the specific substrate uptake rate and $Y_{P/X}$ (g substrate/g CDW) is the

yield of a product (e.g., lactate) to cell mass.

Calculation of Optimal Growth Temperatures: Optimal growth temperatures on various

sugars were determined by fitting maximum growth rate versus temperature with a non-linear

model (Continuous hinge function. Segmental regression lines with gentle connection, least

squares fit) using GraphPad Prism v10.2.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the DOE BER award (DE-SC0022226 to CTT, RJG, BD).

The sequencing project was supported by the Center for Bioenergy Innovation (CBI), U.S.

Department of Energy Bioenergy Research Centers, funded by the Office of Biological and

Environmental Research in the DOE Office of Science. The work (Project IDs # 510751, 509417)

conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science

User Facility, is supported under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Dien, Jackson, and

Slininger received financial support from the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural

Research Service, United States (CRIS Numbers 5010-41000-189). The mention of trade names

or commercial products in this article is solely for the purpose of providing scientific information

and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Table 1: Summary of *B. coagulans* B-768 assembly and comparison with reference genomes

Features	B-768	36D1	DSM1
Length (bp)	3,944,476	3,552,226	3,366,995
G+C Content (%)	45.7	46.0	46.5
CDS	3,863	3,227	3,215
ncRNA	4	5	7
rRNA	30	30	30
tRNA	85	84	84
CRISPR arrays	5	4	3

645   **Table 2:** Number of genes in restriction-modification (RM) systems

R-M	B-768	36D1*(31)*	DSM1*(31)*
Type I	7	19	6
Type II	2	0	1
Type III	0	2	2
Type IV	2	3	3
Total	**11**	**24**	**12**

647 **Table 3:** Identification of spacers in B-768 CRISPR arrays

Spacer	Hit ID	Genus	Type	Method
GGTTGGTGTAGCCGGTTGATATTC CTGATTAATCAA	JQ362498.1	*Sphingomonas*	Phage	SpacePHARER
GGTTGGTGTAGCCGGTTGATATTC CTGATTAATCAA	KC481682.1	*Bacillus*	Phage	SpacePHARER
GCTTTTAAACTCCATCAGTGGTTT TTATTTTTGGGT	KX965989.1	*Aeribacillus*	Phage	SpacePHARER
TATAGAAACCACGTTTTCGGCGAC AAGCCTGGCATTG	KU665491.1	*Bacillus*	Phage	SpacePHARER
ATCCCGGCGGTGCTGGCCTATGTC GGAAAATATGC	NZ_CP022365.1	*Azospirillum*	Plasmid	Blastn_short
CTTTAAAAAAATCACAAAATGGA AAAATTTACGTGCGGAATATGCG CGAAATCATATTCAACTCCAACTG TCAT	NZ_CP075898.1	*Exiguobacterium*	Plasmid	Blastn_short
AAAACTAAGTTAAAAAAGAATTT AGCCGGTATGGCC	NZ_CP015595.1	*Acinetobacter*	Plasmid	Blastn_short
TGAAACCGCGGGAAAGCCAATAC GGCGGCGAACCA	NZ_CP032924.1	*Agrobacterium*	Plasmid	Blastn_short
GCGATATACTGCCGTAGGGCATC GTCGCCGTCAATAAA	NZ_CP024924.1	*Sphingomonas*	Plasmid	Blastn_short

649   **Table 4:** BLASTp top hits for B-768 xylosidase proteins

Query	Subject	Description	Organism	Query Cover	E-value	Per. Identity
Alpha-xylosidase	WP_063613105.1	alpha-xylosidase	*Bacillus sp.*	1	0	0.9894
Beta-xylosidase/AraC-like DNA-binding protein	WP_161788365.1	helix-turn-helix domain-containing protein	*Bacillus coagulans*	1	0	0.9805
GH43 family beta-xylosidase	WP_071451997.1	family 43 glycosyl hydrolase	*Bacillus coagulans*	1	0	0.9574
4-beta-xylosidase	WP_014868562.1	glycoside hydrolase family 43 protein	*Melissococcus plutonius*	0.98	0	0.6485

651 **Table 5:** Differential protein expression for candidate proteins contributing to cellular robustness

652 of B-768 growing in SGH

Protein ID	Function	Class	Log$_2$-fold Change	q-value
Ga0586967_01_1426112_1427749	methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein	Chemotaxis	7.21	2.2E-14
Ga0586967_01_2953390_2954649	methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein	Chemotaxis	2.1	0.00377
Ga0586967_01_1922219_1923952	methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein	Chemotaxis	2.02	0.0171
Ga0586967_01_3602104_3603432	methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein	Chemotaxis	-6.24	0.00197
Ga0586967_01_1885126_1886544	PTS system trehalose-specific IIC component	Transport	-1.06	0.0103
Ga0586967_01_1886575_1887057	PTS system glucose-specific IIA component	Transport	-1.18	0.00121
Ga0586967_01_1467232_1467561	PTS system cellobiose-specific IIA component	Transport	-1.38	0.00901
Ga0586967_01_1541669_1542151	fructoselysine and glucoselysine-specific PTS system IIB component	Transport	-2.64	0.0316
Ga0586967_01_2529421_2529912	mannose/fructose/N-acetylgalactosamine-specific phosphotransferase system component IIB	Transport	-3.63	0.00023
Ga0586967_01_1189660_1191024	PTS system ascorbate-specific IIC component	Transport	-3.89	9.7E-10
Ga0586967_01_1452905_1453891	LacI family transcriptional regulator	Regulator	7.71	2.2E-14
Ga0586967_01_1692988_1693203	putative transcriptional regulator	Regulator	5.56	0.00345
Ga0586967_01_633467_634027	TetR/AcrR family transcriptional repressor of lmrAB and yxaGH operons	Regulator	3.97	0.00309
Ga0586967_01_3908323_3909216	phenylacetic acid degradation operon negative regulatory protein	Regulator	3.62	0.0132
Ga0586967_01_2622621_2623172	DNA-binding transcriptional ArsR family regulator	Regulator	3.09	0.00232
Ga0586967_01_1173785_1174315	DNA-binding MarR family transcriptional regulator	Regulator	2.63	0.0019

Ga0586967_01_30811 24_3082011	DNA-binding transcriptional LysR family regulator	Regulator	2.27	9.3E-10
Ga0586967_01_33636 33_3364772	GntR family transcriptional regulator of arabinose operon	Regulator	2.03	7.9E-10
Ga0586967_01_19153 88_1915990	AcrR family transcriptional regulator	Regulator	-3.24	0.00012
Ga0586967_01_35848 55_3586105	DNA-binding transcriptional ArsR family regulator	Regulator	-4.86	8.4E-08

Figure 1: Physiological characterization of *B. coagulans* B-768 reveals cellular robustness and efficient utilization of various carbon sources at various temperatures. (A–C) Dependence of specific growth rates of B-768 on temperatures when grown on (A) glucose, (B) xylose, and (C) cellobiose. (D) Carrying capacity and maximum specific growth rate of B-768 growing on various C5, C6, and C12 fermentable sugars at 50 °C. (E) Effect of lactate concentrations on maximum specific growth rates of B-768 growing on glucose at 50 °C. (F) Kinetic profiles of cell growth, glucose consumption, and lactate production in a pH-controlled bioreactor at 50 °C. Data points represent 1 mean ± 1 standard deviation of three biological replicates.

Figure 2: Circular genome map of *Bacillus coagulans* B-768. Tracks from outside to in are as follows: PHASTEST 3.0 prophage regions, non-coding RNAs, forward and reverse strand CDS colored by COG category, GC Skew = (G - C)/(G + C), and GC content. Abbreviations: tRNA,

transfer RNA; rRNA, ribosomal RNA; ncRNA, non-coding RNA; tmRNA, transfer-messenger

RNA.

Figure 3: Comparative and functional genomics of *B. coagulans* B-768. (A) Core genome

alignment of *B. coagulans* pan-genome (n = 55). (B) Percent change of COG categories between

strains B-768 and 36D1. Numbers above the bars indicate the excess number of proteins in B-768

relative to 36D1. Full COG Category names are listed at the end of the figure caption. (C–E)

Breakdown of most abundant proteins in (C) Carbohydrate Metabolism and Transport, (D)

Transcription, and (E) Mobilome COG categories. (F) Predicted CRISPR-Cas operons of B-768.

COG Categories: U = Intracellular Trafficking, Secretion, and Vesicular Transport; X =

Mobilome; G = Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism; Q = Secondary Metabolites

Biosynthesis, Transport and Catabolism; K = Transcription; I = Lipid Transport and Metabolism;

M = Cell Wall/Membrane/Envelope Biogenesis; D = Cell Cycle Control, Cell Division,

Chromosome Partitioning; H = Coenzyme Transport and Metabolism; C = Energy Production and

Conversion.

Figure 4: Central carbon metabolism of B-768. Circles from left to right represent the differential

expression of a given protein in 1.) xylose vs. glucose, 2.) xylose and glucose mixture vs. glucose,

685   and 3.) switchgrass hydrolysate vs. glucose conditions. Red = upregulated with log_2-fold change

686   ≥ 1 and $q < 0.05$; Blue = downregulated with log_2-fold change ≤ -1 and $q < 0.05$; Grey = Not

687   Significant. Paralogs of the same enzyme were plotted as half circles with the most abundant

688   paralog on top.

Figure 5: *B. coagulans* B-768 exhibited distinct proteomes for growing on glucose and xylose. (A–B) Kinetic profiles of (A) cell growth and (B) glucose/xylose consumption and lactate production. Proteomics samples were collected from parallel cultures at the time points indicated by black arrows. (C) Principal component analysis of *B. coagulans* B-768 proteomes for growth on glucose and xylose. (D) Number of differentially expressed proteins between glucose and xylose conditions for most perturbed COG categories. (E) Mass fraction of proteomes in top COG categories (f_{COG}) for glucose and xylose conditions. (F–K) Proteome allocation by pathway for glucose and xylose conditions. (L) Percent change in amino acid allocation of proteomes between glucose and xylose conditions. COG Categories: G = Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism; C = Energy Production and Conversion; I = Lipid Transport and Metabolism; E = Amino Acid Transport and Metabolism; F = Nucleotide Transport and Metabolism; NA = No COG annotation; J = Translation; M = Cell Wall/Membrane/Envelope Biogenesis; S = Function Unknown; T = Signal Transduction Mechanisms; H = Coenzyme Transport and Metabolism; L = Replication, Recombination, and Repair; R = General Function Prediction Only; V = Defense Mechanisms; K = Transcription; O = Posttranslation Modification, Protein Turnover, Chaperones; P = Inorganic Ion Transport and Metabolism; D = Cell Cycle Control, Cell Division, Chromosome Partitioning. Statistics for F–K were performed using an unpaired t-test with default settings in GraphPad Prism v10.2.3. Error bars represent the standard deviation of 3 biological replicates. **** = p-value < 0.0001; *** = p-value < 0.001; ** = p-value < 0.01.

Figure 6: Growth of B-768 in refined sugars and raw hydrolysate reveals mechanisms of cellular robustness and carbon catabolite repression. (A) Growth profiles of B-768 grown on glucose + xylose (G+X) mixture and switchgrass hydrolysate (SGH). (B) Glucose and xylose consumption and lactate production profiles of B-768 grown on G+X and SGH. (C) Principal component analysis plot of B-768 proteomes grown on different sugars. (D) Schematic of CCR in *Bacillus* species. (E) Levels of CCR proteins in B-768 proteomes grown on different sugars. (F) Levels of *xyl* proteins in B-768 proteomes grown on different sugars. (G) Volcano plot of differentially expressed proteins between SGH and G+X conditions. (H) Number of significantly perturbed proteins by COG category. COG Categories: G = Carbohydrate Transport and Metabolism; E = Amino Acid Transport and Metabolism; T = Signal Transduction Mechanisms; C = Energy Production and Conversion; H = Coenzyme Transport and Metabolism; NA = No COG annotation; K = Transcription; J = Translation; I = Lipid Transport and Metabolism; P = Inorganic Ion Transport and Metabolism; S = Function Unknown; M = Cell Wall/Membrane/Envelope Biogenesis; R = General Function Prediction Only.

References

1. Lynd LR, Beckham GT, Guss AM, Jayakody LN, Karp EM, Maranas C, McCormick RL,

Amador-Noguez D, Bomble YJ, Davison BH, Trinh CT. 2022. Toward low-cost biological

and hybrid biological/catalytic conversion of cellulosic biomass to fuels. Energy &

Environmental Science 15:938-990.

2. Ragauskas AJ, Williams CK, Davison BH, Britovsek G, Cairney J, Eckert CA, Frederick

WJ, Jr., Hallett JP, Leak DJ, Liotta CL, Mielenz JR, Murphy R, Templer R, Tschaplinski T.

2006. The path forward for biofuels and biomaterials. Science (New York, NY) 311:484-

489.

3. Langholtz MH. 2024. 2023 Billion-Ton Report.

4. Zoghlami A, Paës G. 2019. Lignocellulosic biomass: understanding recalcitrance and

predicting hydrolysis. Frontiers in chemistry 7:874.

5. Lee J-W, Trinh CT. 2020. Towards renewable flavors, fragrances, and beyond. Current

Opinion in Biotechnology 61:168-180.

6. Bond JQ, Upadhye AA, Olcay H, Tompsett GA, Jae J, Xing R, Alonso DM, Wang D, Zhang

T, Kumar R. 2014. Production of renewable jet fuel range alkanes and commodity chemicals

from integrated catalytic processing of biomass. Energy & Environmental Science 7:1500-

1523.

7. Holbery J, Houston D. 2006. Natural-fiber-reinforced polymer composites in automotive

applications. Jom 58:80-86.

8. Lee JW, Na D, Park JM, Lee J, Choi S, Lee SY. 2012. Systems metabolic engineering of

microorganisms for natural and non-natural chemicals. Nature chemical biology 8:536-546.

9.   Keasling J, Chou H. 2008. Metabolic engineering delivers next-generation biofuels. Nat

748   Biotechnol 26:298 - 299.

749   10.   Napora-Wijata K, Strohmeier GA, Winkler M. 2014. Biocatalytic reduction of carboxylic

750   acids. Biotechnology journal 9:822-843.

751   11.   Trinh CT, Unrean P, Srienc F. 2008. Minimal Escherichia coli cell for the most efficient

752   production of ethanol from hexoses and pentoses. Applied and environmental microbiology

753   74:3634-3643.

754   12.   Weber C, Farwick A, Benisch F, Brat D, Dietz H, Subtil T, Boles E. 2010. Trends and

755   challenges in the microbial production of lignocellulosic bioalcohol fuels. Applied

756   microbiology and biotechnology 87:1303-1315.

757   13.   Lynd LR, Liang X, Biddy MJ, Allee A, Cai H, Foust T, Himmel ME, Laser MS, Wang M,

758   Wyman CE. 2017. Cellulosic ethanol: status and innovation. Current opinion in

759   biotechnology 45:202-211.

760   14.   Kunjapur AM, Prather KL. 2015. Microbial engineering for aldehyde synthesis. Applied and

761   Environmental Microbiology 81:1892-1901.

762   15.   Yuzawa S, Mirsiaghi M, Jocic R, Fujii T, Masson F, Benites VT, Baidoo EE, Sundstrom E,

763   Tanjore D, Pray TR. 2018. Short-chain ketone production by engineered polyketide

764   synthases in Streptomyces albus. Nature communications 9:4569.

765   16.   Kim DI, Chae TU, Kim HU, Jang WD, Lee SY. 2021. Microbial production of multiple

766   short-chain primary amines via retrobiosynthesis. Nature Communications 12:173.

767   17.   Layton DS, Trinh CT. 2014. Engineering modular ester fermentative pathways in

768   *Escherichia coli*. Metabolic engineering 26:77-88.

18. Thompson RA, Trinh CT. 2014. Enhancing fatty acid ethyl ester production in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae through metabolic engineering and medium optimization.

Biotechnology and bioengineering 111:2200-2208.

19. Anjum A, Zuber M, Zia KM, Noreen A, Anjum MN, Tabasum S. 2016. Microbial production

of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) and its copolymers: A review of recent advancements.

International journal of biological macromolecules 89:161-174.

20. Cappello J. 1990. The biological production of protein polymers and their use. Trends in

biotechnology 8:309-311.

21. Ubando AT, Felix CB, Chen W-H. 2020. Biorefineries in circular bioeconomy: A

comprehensive review. Bioresource technology 299:122585.

22. Almeida JR, Modig T, Petersson A, Hähn-Hägerdal B, Lidén G, Gorwa-Grauslund MF.

2007. Increased tolerance and conversion of inhibitors in lignocellulosic hydrolysates by

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology: International

Research in Process, Environmental & Clean Technology 82:340-349.

23. Wang S, Sun X, Yuan Q. 2018. Strategies for enhancing microbial tolerance to inhibitors for

biofuel production: a review. Bioresource technology 258:302-309.

24. Chubukov V, Gerosa L, Kochanowski K, Sauer U. 2014. Coordination of microbial

metabolism. Nature Reviews Microbiology 12:327-340.

25. Stephanopoulos G. 2007. Challenges in Engineering Microbes for Biofuels Production.

Science 315:801-804.

26. Zheng Z, Lin X, Jiang T, Ye W, Ouyang J. 2016. Genomic analysis of a xylose operon and

characterization of novel xylose isomerase and xylulokinase from Bacillus coagulans NL01.

Biotechnology letters 38:1331-1339.

27. Zheng Z, Jiang T, Zou L, Ouyang S, Zhou J, Lin X, He Q, Wang L, Yu B, Xu H. 2018.
Simultaneous consumption of cellobiose and xylose by Bacillus coagulans to circumvent
glucose repression and identification of its cellobiose-assimilating operons. Biotechnology
for biofuels 11:320.

28. Yao K, Zhou Q-x, Liu D-m, Chen S-m, Yuan K. 2019. Comparative proteomics of the
metabolic pathways involved in l-lactic acid production in Bacillus coagulans BCS13002
using different carbon sources. LWT 116:108445.

29. Aulitto M, Fusco S, Bartolucci S, Franzén CJ, Contursi P. 2017. Bacillus coagulans MA-13:
a promising thermophilic and cellulolytic strain for the production of lactic acid from
lignocellulosic hydrolysate. Biotechnology for biofuels 10:1-15.

30. Aulitto M, Fusco S, Nickel DB, Bartolucci S, Contursi P, Franzén CJ. 2019. Seed culture
pre-adaptation of Bacillus coagulans MA-13 improves lactic acid production in simultaneous
saccharification and fermentation. Biotechnology for biofuels 12:1-11.

31. Su F, Xu P. 2014. Genomic analysis of thermophilic Bacillus coagulans strains: efficient
producers for platform bio-chemicals. Scientific reports 4:3926.

32. Riazi S, Wirawan R, Badmaev V, Chikindas M. 2009. Characterization of lactosporin, a
novel antimicrobial protein produced by Bacillus coagulans ATCC 7050. Journal of applied
microbiology 106:1370-1377.

33. Marrec L. 1998. Coagulin, a bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance produced by Bacillus
coagulans I4. Journal of applied microbiology 85:42-50.

34. Khatri I, Sharma S, Ramya T, Subramanian S. 2016. Complete genomes of Bacillus
coagulans S-lac and Bacillus subtilis TO-A JPC, two phylogenetically distinct probiotics.
PLoS One 11:e0156745.

35. De Clerck E, Rodriguez-Diaz M, Forsyth G, Lebbe L, Logan NA, DeVos P. 2004. Polyphasic
characterization of Bacillus coagulans strains, illustrating heterogeneity within this species,
and emended description of the species. Systematic and applied microbiology 27:50-60.

36. Patel MA, Ou MS, Harbrucker R, Aldrich HC, Buszko ML, Ingram LO, Shanmugam K.
2006. Isolation and characterization of acid-tolerant, thermophilic bacteria for effective
fermentation of biomass-derived sugars to lactic acid. Applied and Environmental
Microbiology 72:3228-3235.

37. Patel MA, Ou MS, Ingram LO, Shanmugam K. 2005. Simultaneous saccharification and co-
fermentation of crystalline cellulose and sugar cane bagasse hemicellulose hydrolysate to
lactate by a thermotolerant acidophilic Bacillus sp. Biotechnology progress 21:1453-1460.

38. Ouyang J, Cai C, Chen H, Jiang T, Zheng Z. 2012. Efficient non-sterilized fermentation of
biomass-derived xylose to lactic acid by a thermotolerant Bacillus coagulans NL01. Applied
biochemistry and biotechnology 168:2387-2397.

39. Ahorsu R, Cintorrino G, Medina F, Constantí M. 2019. Microwave processes: a viable
technology for obtaining xylose from walnut shell to produce lactic acid by Bacillus
coagulans. Journal of cleaner production 231:1171-1181.

40. Wishart DS, Han S, Saha S, Oler E, Peters H, Grant JR, Stothard P, Gautam V. 2023.
PHASTEST: faster than PHASTER, better than PHAST. Nucleic Acids Research 51:W443-
W450.

41. Shimoyama Y. 2022. COGclassifier: A tool for classifying prokaryote protein sequences into
COG functional category, vv1.0.5. GitHub, https://github.com/moshi4/COGclassifier.

42. Swint-Kruse L, Matthews KS. 2009. Allostery in the LacI/GalR family: variations on a
theme. Current opinion in microbiology 12:129-137.

43. Maddocks SE, Oyston PC. 2008. Structure and function of the LysR-type transcriptional

regulator (LTTR) family proteins. Microbiology 154:3609-3623.

44. Hoskisson PA, Rigali S. 2009. Variation in form and function: the helix-turn-helix regulators

of the GntR superfamily. Advances in applied microbiology 69:1-22.

45. Busenlehner LS, Pennella MA, Giedroc DP. 2003. The SmtB/ArsR family of

metalloregulatory transcriptional repressors: structural insights into prokaryotic metal

resistance. FEMS microbiology reviews 27:131-143.

46. Pei Z, Sadiq FA, Han X, Zhao J, Zhang H, Ross RP, Lu W, Chen W. 2021. Comprehensive

scanning of prophages in Lactobacillus: distribution, diversity, antibiotic resistance genes,

and linkages with CRISPR-Cas systems. Msystems 6:10.1128/msystems. 01211-20.

47. Bondy-Denomy J, Qian J, Westra ER, Buckling A, Guttman DS, Davidson AR, Maxwell

KL. 2016. Prophages mediate defense against phage infection through diverse mechanisms.

The ISME journal 10:2854-2866.

48. Salvetti E, Orrù L, Capozzi V, Martina A, Lamontanara A, Keller D, Cash H, Felis GE,

Cattivelli L, Torriani S. 2016. Integrate genome-based assessment of safety for probiotic

strains: Bacillus coagulans GBI-30, 6086 as a case study. Applied microbiology and

biotechnology 100:4595-4605.

49. Saroj DB, Gupta AK. 2020. Genome based safety assessment for Bacillus coagulans strain

LBSC (DSM 17654) for probiotic application. International journal of food microbiology

318:108523.

50. Samson JE, Moineau S. 2013. Bacteriophages in food fermentations: new frontiers in a

continuous arms race. Annual review of food science and technology 4:347-368.

51. Roberts RJ, Belfort M, Bestor T, Bhagwat AS, Bickle TA, Bitinaite J, Blumenthal RM,

Degtyarev SK, Dryden DT, Dybvig K. 2003. A nomenclature for restriction enzymes, DNA

methyltransferases, homing endonucleases and their genes. Nucleic acids research 31:1805-

1812.

52. Mojica FJ, Díez-Villaseñor Cs, García-Martínez J, Soria E. 2005. Intervening sequences of

regularly spaced prokaryotic repeats derive from foreign genetic elements. Journal of

molecular evolution 60:174-182.

53. Karginov FV, Hannon GJ. 2010. The CRISPR system: small RNA-guided defense in bacteria

and archaea. Molecular cell 37:7-19.

54. Al-Shayeb B, Sachdeva R, Chen L-X, Ward F, Munk P, Devoto A, Castelle CJ, Olm MR,

Bouma-Gregson K, Amano Y. 2020. Clades of huge phages from across Earth's ecosystems.

Nature 578:425-431.

55. Kraus A, Hueck C, Gärtner D, Hillen W. 1994. Catabolite repression of the Bacillus subtilis

xyl operon involves a cis element functional in the context of an unrelated sequence, and

glucose exerts additional xylR-dependent repression. Journal of bacteriology 176:1738-

1745.

56. Dahl MK, Schmiedel D, Hillen W. 1995. Glucose and glucose-6-phosphate interaction with

Xyl repressor proteins from Bacillus spp. may contribute to regulation of xylose utilization.

Journal of bacteriology 177:5467-5472.

57. Walton SL, Bischoff KM, van Heiningen AR, van Walsum GP. 2010. Production of lactic

acid from hemicellulose extracts by Bacillus coagulans MXL-9. Journal of Industrial

Microbiology and Biotechnology 37:823-830.

58.   Kandler O. 1983. Carbohydrate metabolism in lactic acid bacteria. Antonie van
883   Leeuwenhoek 49:209-224.

884   59.   Gu Y, Ding Y, Ren C, Sun Z, Rodionov DA, Zhang W, Yang S, Yang C, Jiang W. 2010.
885   Reconstruction of xylose utilization pathway and regulons in Firmicutes. BMC genomics
886   11:1-14.

887   60.   Ong SA, Ng ZJ, Wu JC. 2016. Production of high concentration of L-lactic acid from
888   cellobiose by thermophilic Bacillus coagulans WCP10-4. Applied microbiology and
889   biotechnology 100:6501-6508.

890   61.   Sternberg D, Vuayakumar P, Reese E. 1977. β-Glucosidase: microbial production and effect
891   on enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 23:139-147.

892   62.   Frey PA. 1996. The Leloir pathway: a mechanistic imperative for three enzymes to change
893   the stereochemical configuration of a single carbon in galactose. The FASEB Journal 10:461-
894   470.

895   63.   Sun T, Altenbuchner J. 2010. Characterization of a mannose utilization system in Bacillus
896   subtilis. Journal of bacteriology 192:2128-2139.

897   64.   Seo H, Giannone RJ, Yang Y-H, Trinh CT. 2022. Proteome reallocation enables the selective
898   de novo biosynthesis of non-linear, branched-chain acetate esters. Metabolic Engineering
899   73:38-49.

900   65.   Akashi H, Gojobori T. 2002. Metabolic efficiency and amino acid composition in the
901   proteomes of Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis. Proceedings of the National Academy of
902   Sciences 99:3695-3700.

903   66.   Görke B, Stülke J. 2008. Carbon catabolite repression in bacteria: many ways to make the
904   most out of nutrients. Nature Reviews Microbiology 6:613-624.

67.   Galinier A, Haiech J, Kilhoffer M-C, Jaquinod M, Stülke J, Deutscher J, Martin-Verstraete
I. 1997. The Bacillus subtilis crh gene encodes a HPr-like protein involved in carbon
catabolite repression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 94:8439-8444.

68.   Galinier A, Kravanja M, Engelmann R, Hengstenberg W, Kilhoffer M-C, Deutscher J,
Haiech J. 1998. New protein kinase and protein phosphatase families mediate signal
transduction in bacterial catabolite repression. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences 95:1823-1828.

69.   Deutscher J, Küster E, Bergstedt U, Charrier V, Hillen W. 1995. Protein kinase-dependent
HPr/CcpA interaction links glycolytic activity to carbon catabolite repression in Gram-
positive bacteria. Molecular microbiology 15:1049-1053.

70.   Schumacher MA, Seidel G, Hillen W, Brennan RG. 2007. Structural mechanism for the fine-
tuning of CcpA function by the small molecule effectors glucose 6-phosphate and fructose
1, 6-bisphosphate. Journal of molecular biology 368:1042-1050.

71.   Seidel G, Diel M, Fuchsbauer N, Hillen W. 2005. Quantitative interdependence of
coeffectors, CcpA and cre in carbon catabolite regulation of Bacillus subtilis. The FEBS
journal 272:2566-2577.

72.   Singh KD, Schmalisch MH, Stülke Jr, Görke B. 2008. Carbon catabolite repression in
Bacillus subtilis: quantitative analysis of repression exerted by different carbon sources.
Journal of Bacteriology 190:7275-7284.

73.   Klinke HB, Thomsen A, Ahring BK. 2004. Inhibition of ethanol-producing yeast and
bacteria by degradation products produced during pre-treatment of biomass. Applied
microbiology and biotechnology 66:10-26.

74.   Pienkos PT, Zhang M. 2009. Role of pretreatment and conditioning processes on toxicity of

928   lignocellulosic biomass hydrolysates. Cellulose 16:743-762.

929   75.   Ujor VC, Okonkwo CC. 2022. Microbial detoxification of lignocellulosic biomass

930   hydrolysates: Biochemical and molecular aspects, challenges, exploits and future

931   perspectives. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology 10:1061667.

932   76.   Jönsson LJ, Martín C. 2016. Pretreatment of lignocellulose: formation of inhibitory by-

933   products and strategies for minimizing their effects. Bioresource technology 199:103-112.

934   77.   Luo H, Zheng P, Bilal M, Xie F, Zeng Q, Zhu C, Yang R, Wang Z. 2020. Efficient bio-

935   butanol production from lignocellulosic waste by elucidating the mechanisms of Clostridium

936   acetobutylicum response to phenolic inhibitors. Science of the total environment

937   710:136399.

938   78.   Jayakody LN, Turner TL, Yun EJ, Kong II, Liu J-J, Jin Y-S. 2018. Expression of Gre2p

939   improves tolerance of engineered xylose-fermenting Saccharomyces cerevisiae to

940   glycolaldehyde under xylose metabolism. Applied microbiology and biotechnology

941   102:8121-8133.

942   79.   Bonn G, Bobleter O. 1984. HPLC-analyses of plant biomass hydrolysis and fermentation

943   solutions. Chromatographia 18:445-448.

944   80.   Chandrangsu P, Dusi R, Hamilton CJ, Helmann JD. 2014. Methylglyoxal resistance in B

945   acillus subtilis: contributions of bacillithiol-dependent and independent pathways. Molecular

946   microbiology 91:706-715.

947   81.   Walker C, Dien B, Giannone RJ, Slininger P, Thompson SR, Trinh CT, Tullman-Ercek D.

948   2021. Exploring Proteomes of Robust Yarrowia lipolytica Isolates Cultivated in Biomass

Hydrolysate Reveals Key Processes Impacting Mixed Sugar Utilization, Lipid

Accumulation, and Degradation. mSystems 6:e00443-21.

82. Walker C, Mortensen Jr M, Poudel B, Cotter C, Myers R, Okekeogbu IO, Ryu S, Khomami

B, Giannone RJ, Laursen S. 2023. Proteomes reveal metabolic capabilities of Yarrowia

lipolytica for biological upcycling of polyethylene into high-value chemicals. Msystems

8:e00741-23.

83. Eid J, Fehr A, Gray J, Luong K, Lyle J, Otto G, Peluso P, Rank D, Baybayan P, Bettman B.

2009. Real-time DNA sequencing from single polymerase molecules. Science 323:133-138.

84. Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. 2019. Assembly of long, error-prone reads

using repeat graphs. Nature Biotechnology 37:540-546.

85. Chin C-S, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, Clum A, Copeland A,

Huddleston J, Eichler EE. 2013. Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from

long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nature methods 10:563-569.

86. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing

the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes.

Genome research 25:1043-1055.

87. Russel J, Pinilla-Redondo R, Mayo-Muñoz D, Shah SA, Sørensen SJ. 2020.

CRISPRCasTyper: automated identification, annotation, and classification of CRISPR-Cas

loci. The CRISPR journal 3:462-469.

88. Galata V, Fehlmann T, Backes C, Keller A. 2019. PLSDB: a resource of complete bacterial

plasmids. Nucleic acids research 47:D195-D202.

89.   Zhang R, Mirdita M, Levy Karin E, Norroy C, Galiez C, Söding J. 2021. SpacePHARER: sensitive identification of phages from CRISPR spacers in prokaryotic hosts. Bioinformatics 37:3364-3366.

90.   Grant JR, Enns E, Marinier E, Mandal A, Herman EK, Chen C-y, Graham M, Van Domselaar G, Stothard P. 2023. Proksee: in-depth characterization and visualization of bacterial genomes. Nucleic Acids Research:gkad326.

91.   Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Ciufo S, Li W. 2013. Prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline, The NCBI Handbook [Internet] 2nd edition. National Center for Biotechnology Information (US).

92.   Treangen TJ, Ondov BD, Koren S, Phillippy AM. 2014. The Harvest suite for rapid core-genome alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. Genome biology 15:1-15.

93.   Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, Parkhill J, Harris SR. 2014. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Research 43:e15-e15.

94.   Polpitiya AD, Qian W-J, Jaitly N, Petyuk VA, Adkins JN, Camp DG, II, Anderson GA, Smith RD. 2008. DAnTE: a statistical tool for quantitative analysis of -omics data. Bioinformatics 24:1556-1558.

95.   Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, Mann M, Cox J. 2016. The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote) omics data. Nature methods 13:731.

96.   Zhang X, Smits AH, Van Tilburg GB, Ovaa H, Huber W, Vermeulen M. 2018. Proteome-wide identification of ubiquitin interactions using UbIA-MS. Nature protocols 13:530-550.