Additive and partially dominant effects from genomic variation

contribute to rice heterosis

Zhiwu Dan[1,†], Yunping Chen[1,†], Wei Zhou[1], Yanghong Xu[1], Junran Huang[1], Yi Chen[1],

Jizhou Meng[1], Guoxin Yao[1], Wenchao Huang[1,*]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Hybrid Rice, Key Laboratory for Research and Utilization of

Heterosis in Indica Rice, the Ministry of Agriculture, The Yangtze River Valley Hybrid

Rice Collaboration & Innovation Center, College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University,

Wuhan 430072, China.

[†]These authors contributed equally to this article.

*Correspondence: Wenchao Huang (wenchaoh@whu.edu.cn)

Summary

Heterosis, or hybrid vigor, describes the superior performance of F_1 hybrids relative to their parents. Despite its significant importance in crop breeding, the molecular mechanisms underlying heterosis remain debated, mainly attributable to discrepancies across genotypes, traits, tissues, populations, developmental stages, growth environments, and species. In this study, we systematically identified heterosis-associated genes and metabolites derived from parental molecular differences for seedling length in rice and incorporated them into network modules to explain the variance of heterosis. The predominant inheritance patterns of these heterosis-associated molecules were additive and partially dominant effects, namely at mid-parent levels or values between mid-parent and parental levels, respectively. These two genetic effects contributed to heterosis of 17 agronomic traits in rice, including grain yield and plant height across four developmental stages. They also explained yield heterosis in diverse hybrid populations and distinct growth environments in both rice and maize, as well as biomass heterosis in *Arabidopsis*. Notably, additive and partially dominant effects were associated with parental genomic variants in rice, and the number of these variants correlated significantly with heterosis of agronomic traits. Furthermore, we demonstrated the significant impact of parental genomic, transcriptomic, and metabolomic variation in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis on heterosis for seedling length/plant height. Unlike classical heterosis models primarily focused on genomic sequence variation, our findings provide quantitative insights from genomic downstream information into the molecular mechanisms of plant heterosis,

44 highlighting their potential for improving breeding efficiency of hybrid crops.

45 **Keywords:** heterosis, additive effect, heterosis-associated genes, heterosis-associated

46 metabolites, genomic variant, yield, rice

Introduction

48 Heterosis describes the phenomenon where heterozygous F_1 hybrids outperform their

49 parents (Birchler et al., 2006; Birchler et al., 2010; Shull, 1948). The exploitation of

50 heterosis to enhance crop yield represents a landmark innovation in modern agriculture

51 for mitigating food shortages (Hochholdinger and Baldauf, 2018). Several well-known

52 models have been proposed to explain heterosis, including dominance (pseudo-

53 overdominance) (Bruce, 1910; Davenport, 1908; Jones, 1917; Li et al., 2015; Xiao et

54 al., 2021), overdominance (Crow, 1948; East, 1936), and epistasis (Li et al., 1997;

55 Powers, 1944; Yu et al., 1997), emphasizing complementation, heterozygosity, and

56 interactions of loci at the genomic level, respectively. However, the molecular

57 mechanisms underpinning crop heterosis remain controversial, largely due to diversity

58 in factors such as genotypes, phenotypes, tissues, hybrid populations, developmental

59 stages, growth environments, and species.

60  To quantitatively describe the inheritance patterns of hybrid phenotypes and

61 molecular levels of F_1 hybrids, geneticists used four key terms: additive effect (mid-

62 parent value, MPV), partially dominant effect (between MPV and parental values),

63 dominant effect (parental values), and overdominant effect (beyond parental values)

64 (Lisec et al., 2011; Schnable and Springer, 2013). Accumulating evidence suggests a

connection between additive effect and heterosis. For example, the near-additive

expression of *SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS* drives strong yield heterosis in tomato

(Krieger et al., 2010). Moreover, additive effect of transcripts, proteins, metabolites,

and component traits has been implicated as the genetic basis of heterosis in maize, rice,

and tomato (Dan et al., 2021; Dan et al., 2019; Dan et al., 2020; Dan et al., 2015; Dan

et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014; Williams, 1959; Zhou et al., 2019b). Partially dominant

effect has also been suggested to play a role in heterosis (Jones, 1917; Powers, 1944;

Sun et al., 2023; Williams, 1959).

In this study, we systematically identified heterosis-associated genes and

metabolites for seedling length in rice and analyzed the proportions and contributions

of the four inheritance patterns. Our results demonstrate that additive and partially

dominant effects predominantly account for plant heterosis, a finding consistent across

diverse traits, hybrid populations, developmental stages, growth environments, omics

data types, and even species.

Results

Heterosis-associated genes identified from parental transcriptomic differences

To identify heterosis-associated genes (HAGs) in rice, we cultivated cultivars Yuetai B

(YB, *indica*), Balilla (*japonica*), and their reciprocal F_1 hybrids under sterile conditions.

Seedling length and weight were measured at 5, 10, and 15 days after sowing (DAS)

(Figure 1a and Table S1). The two traits were positively correlated in parents and F_1

hybrids and seedling length was selected for subsequent analysis (Figure S1a).

Unexpectedly, one F_1 hybrid (YB×Balilla) exhibited positive better-parent heterosis

(BPH) as early as 5 DAS (Figure 1a). Both F_1 hybrids exhibited positive BPH at 15

DAS. Moreover, genotype, stage, and their interaction explained nearly all variance in

seedling length (adjusted $R^2 = 0.99$, Figure S1b), confirming minimal environmental

influence on phenotypic changes.

We subsequently performed transcriptomic analysis on these seedling samples and

used the normalized expression levels of 22,194 genes for principal component analysis

(PCA; Table S2 and Dataset S1). Though the two parental inbred lines (ILs) had no

difference in seedling length at each stage, they located at different regions of the plot,

inferring the existence of gene expression heterogeneity among rice ILs. The F_1 hybrids

occupied an intermediate position between the parents, with a closer alignment to

Balilla and greater number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) to YB (Figure 1b

and Figure S1c). The number of DEGs among the two ILs and F_1 hybrids increased

over time (Figure S1d-e), implicating the involvements of more genes during

development. Unlike the pooled 16,350 non-DEGs (Figure S1f), the 5,844 DEGs

explained 66.6% of sample variance in genotypes along principal component 1 (PC1;

Figure S1g). Furthermore, the F_1 hybrids deviated slightly from "additive hybrids"

(calculated as MPVs), suggesting contributions from additive and non-additive effects

to hybrid transcriptomes.

The DEGs were then treated as candidates underlying phenotypic changes between

F_1 hybrids and their parents. The parental ILs harbored the most DEGs at each stage.

Crucially, 83.5-97.1% (mean 92.38%) of hybrid-parent DEGs originated from parental

expression differences (Figure 1c and Figure S2a-b). With Balilla showing higher expression levels than that of YB across stages, the F_1 hybrids showed more up-regulated than down-regulated DEGs relative to each parent (Figure S2c). Furthermore, the significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms for hybrid-parent comparisons largely overlapped with those for parental ILs (Figure S2d-i), indicating that parental expression differences predominantly shape hybrid transcriptomes.

To focus on genes mainly affected by genotype rather than developmental stage, we grouped DEGs using analysis of variance (ANOVA; Figure S3a). We identified 1,476 DEGs responsive to genotype, stage, and their interaction. After excluding 275 DEGs specific to ILs or reciprocal F_1 hybrids (Figure S3b), 1,201 DEGs remained. These DEGs retained the sample distribution patterns of the full gene set (Figure S3c), with 79.27% up-regulated in F_1 hybrids (Figure S3d). These genes participated in 17 GO terms, ten of which overlapped with parental differential terms (Figure S3e).

Correlation analysis between expression levels of the 1,201 DEGs and seedling length identified 626 genes with significant correlations ($P < 0.05$). These genes also contributed substantially to seedling length in partial least squares (PLS) analysis (Figure S3f-g). Moreover, correlation coefficients strengthened in F_1 hybrids versus parents when shifting from the full gene set to these core genes (Figure S3h-j), validating them as HAGs.

Unexpectedly, PCA of the 626 HAGs positioned F_1 hybrids near MPVs (Figure 1d). Among these, 483 HAGs were up-regulated in F_1 hybrids at one or more stages (Figure S3k), inferring phased up-regulation drives heterosis. Most up-regulated HAGs

correlated positively with seedling length, and about 50% of down-regulated correlated

negatively (Figure S3l). GO enrichment analysis of 499 positively and 127 negatively

correlated HAGs revealed 37 HAGs in 12 terms (Figure 1e). These formed a tightly

connected network bridged by heme binding (Figure S4), demonstrating functional

coherence of genes involved in seedling length heterosis.

Heterosis-associated metabolites from parental metabolomic variation

Accordingly, we performed untargeted metabolite profiling analysis on these seedling

samples. PCA of metabolite profiles revealed that the F_1 hybrids clustered

intermediately between their parents but positioned closer to YB (Figure 1f, Figure S5a,

and Dataset S2). Differential metabolite (DM) analysis of ILs and F_1 hybrids showed

that, unlike DEGs, the number of DMs decreased during development (Figure S5b-c).

Genotypic variation was clearly indicated along PC1 based on pooled DMs, consistent

with the transcriptomic patterns (Figure S5d-e). Meanwhile, the DMs were generally

up-regulated in reciprocal F_1 hybrids, with no significant difference between YB and

Balilla (Figure S5f). Although the proportions were lower than in transcriptomic data

(Figure S5g), most metabolomic differences between F_1 hybrids and their parents

(average 84.0%) originated from parental variation (Figure S5h-j). Pathway enrichment

analysis of DMs showed that the majority of significantly enriched metabolic pathways

distinguishing F_1 hybrids from parents were also divergent between the two parents

(Figure 1g and Figure S6), further supporting that those parental molecular differences

primarily determined hybrid-parent molecular variation.

Combining ANOVA, PLS, and correlation analyses identified 645 heterosis-

associated metabolites (HAMs) of seedling length (Figure S7). With these metabolites,

the F_1 hybrids exhibited metabolite levels closely resembling "additive hybrids"

(Figure 1h). Based on annotation information with *mummichog* algorithm (Li et al.,

2013), we found eight HAMs were significantly enriched in three pathways:

phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, arachidonic acid metabolism, and phenylalanine

metabolism (Figure 1i). After chemically annotated metabolites from these pathways

were added for correlation analysis, we found that they were positively or negatively

correlated with seedling length (Figure S8), indicating distinct contributions from

metabolites within the same pathway. Notably, three chemically annotated metabolites

from phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (4-hydroxycinnamic acid, L-phenylalanine, and

trans-ferulic acid) all showed significant correlations with seedling length in F_1 hybrids,

but not in their parents (Figure S9).

Joint pathway analysis integrating the 626 HAGs and 645 HAMs identified five

significantly enriched pathways (Figure 1j). Phenylpropanoid biosynthesis was the

most significant pathway, containing eight metabolites and ten genes. Among these

genes, nine encoded peroxidases, seven of which were included in the 37 previously

identified HAGs (Figure S10). This finding underscored the combined contributions of

transcriptomic and metabolomic changes in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis to seedling

length heterosis.

Integrating parental differential molecules into network modules explains the

variance of heterosis

To validate contributions of the identified HAGs and HAMs to heterosis, we analyzed

174 phenotypic and omics data from nine additional reciprocal F_1 hybrid pairs, each

175 exhibiting varying level of BPH for seedling length (Figure 2a-b). Neither PCA nor

176 partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) successfully separated hybrids

177 into high-, low-, and no-BPH groups using the 626 HAGs (Figure S11a-d). The 645

178 HAMs clearly distinguished the high-BPH group from others without overfitting but

179 failed to classify low- and no-BPH groups (Figure S11e-h). This indicated that HAGs

180 or HAMs specific to a single hybrid pair had limited predictive power for heterosis in

181 F_1 hybrids with divergent genetic background.

182  We next integrated HAGs and HAMs into Network_51015, whose largest

183 subnetwork (subnetwork_1) comprised seven modules and 2,138 edges (Figure S12A).

184 Unlike the decreasing trend in parents for the top three modules, coefficients of module

185 levels (average node levels) and seedling length maintained greater than 0.97 in F_1

186 hybrids (Figure S12b-d). Notably, PLS-DA separated F_1 hybrids into distinct groups,

187 with Module_2 showing high predictability ($Q^2 = 0.97$; Figure S12e-j). This result

188 indicated that integrating HAGs and HAMs into network modules enhanced the

189 predictability of seedling length heterosis.

190  We identified 967 DEGs and 990 DMs across six ILs of the ten reciprocal F_1 hybrid

191 pairs. The DEGs displayed better separation in PLS-DA than PCA, with predictability

192 comparable to DMs (Figure S13a-h). Combining gene expression and metabolite levels

193 separated the three groups along PC1 and PC4 but caused overfitted in PLS-DA (Figure

194 S13i-l). We then constructed Network_HLN through integrating these DEGs and DMs,

195 with the largest subnetwork (subnetwork_1) contained eight modules and 868 edges

(Figure 2c). Though the top three modules in subnetwork_1 from Network_HLN had

fewer nodes than those in Network_51015 (Figure 2d), their predictabilities were

comparable (Figure 2e and Figure S14a-f), and Component 1 explained greater variance

in Network_HLN (Figure 2f). Combining Module_1 and Module_2 (149 nodes)

increased predictability to 0.94, but adding Module_3 provided no further improvement

(Figure S14g-j). Thus, integrating parental transcriptomic and metabolomic differences

into network modules effectively explained the variance in seedling length heterosis.

Heterosis-associated molecules primarily exhibit additive and partially dominant

effects

We further identified heterosis-associated molecules specific to seedling length by

applying PLS analysis to Module_1 and Module_2 of Network_HLN. This yielded 68

high-contribution molecules (29 metabolites and 39 genes) that effectively separated

the three groups with high predictability ($Q^2 = 0.90$; Figure S14k-l). However, these

molecules did not exhibit continuous expression or abundance across the high-, low-,

and no-BPH groups along either Component 1 or Component 2, which together

explained 75.1% of group variance (Figure 2g and Figure S15). Additionally, F_1 hybrids

within the same heterotic group clustered distinctly and exhibited divergent molecular

levels (Figure S16), highlighting molecular heterogeneity among F_1 hybrids with

similar degrees of heterosis. Heatmap and PCA analyses further revealed that hybrid

molecular profiles were typically intermediate between the parent levels or aligned with

one parent (Figure 2h), demonstrating quantitative inheritance of heterosis-associated

molecules from parents to hybrids.

We next characterized the inheritance patterns of these molecules, observing all four genetic types: additive, partially dominant, dominant, and overdominant effects (Figure 2i). Additive and partially dominant effects collectively accounted for over 70% of molecular-level variation in most F_1 hybrids (Figure 2j and Figure S17a). This predominance persisted when genes and metabolite were analyzed separately and when restricted to the top 50 contributing molecules (Figure S17b-d). The additive effect showed outstanding predictability for seedling length heterosis ($Q^2 = 0.63$, $P < 5 \times 10^{-4}$; Figure 2k). Partially dominant (PD) effects were subdivided into PD_0.3 and PD_0.7 subtypes (Figure S18), with respective predictability of 0.37 ($P < 5 \times 10^{-4}$) and 0.29 ($P < 5 \times 10^{-4}$; Figure 2l). In contrast, predictabilities of dominant effects were non-significant for the female parent ($Q^2 = 0.14$, $P = 0.40$) and marginal for the male parent ($Q^2 = 0.07$, $P = 0.015$; Figure 2l). Importantly, the predictability of additive effect was statistically indistinguishable from that of hybrid profiles, and the additions of additive, partially dominant, or dominant effects to hybrid profiles did not improve predictability (Figure 2l). Furthermore, the combined proportion of additive and partially dominant effects positively correlated with seedling length heterosis (Figure S19). Together, these results underscored the predominant roles of additive and partially dominant effects in heterosis at both transcriptomic and metabolomic levels.

Additive and partially dominant effects contribute to heterosis in rice, maize, and *Arabidopsis*

Since seedling length and plant height represent the same trait measured at different developmental stages in rice, we quantified plant height for above F_1 hybrids across

four stages (28 days post-transplanting to maturation). Significant positive correlations existed between heterosis for seedling length and heterosis for plant height (Figure S20a-b), inferring shared genetic mechanisms underlying heterosis along development. Additionally, correlation coefficients declined from early to mature stages, suggesting increasing environmental influences on heterosis manifestation.

To evaluate the contribution of different inheritance patterns to heterosis for plant height and other agronomic traits, we then constructed a hybrid population using a complete diallel cross design. The 17 parental ILs represented genetic diversity within a panel of 223 accessions encompassing *indica*, intermediate types, and *japonica* (Figure 3a-b, Figure S20c-d, and Dataset S3). We measured 17 agronomic traits (yield, yield components, and yield-related traits) for the parental ILs and their 245 F_1 hybrids. Fourteen traits, including yield per plant, tiller number, and plant height, displayed higher values in F_1 hybrids compared to parents (Figure S20e-f). We calculated BPH and revealed negative average BPH for only four traits (Figure 3c), confirming superior fitness in F_1 hybrids. Trait correlation patterns differed between parents and hybrids: yield per plant tightly clustered with seed setting rate, grain weight, and heading date in parental ILs, but with plant height in F_1 hybrids (Figure S20g-h). Notably, heterosis for the 17 traits displayed correlation patterns similar to those of the parental ILs (Figure 3d). These results indicated that crossing genetically divergent ILs achieved positive heterosis for most agronomic traits, with heterosis correlations mirroring parental phenotypic correlations.

Using metabolite profiles from the 17 ILs, we selected five chemically annotated

metabolites from phenylpropanoid biosynthesis to investigate associations between

their inheritance patterns and heterosis for plant height (Figure S21a-f and Dataset S4).

Significant correlations emerged between plant height heterosis and four inheritance

patterns of these metabolites, except for the male dominant effect of L-phenylalanine

(negative ion mode) (Figure S21g). Among the five metabolites, 4-hydroxycinnamic

acid, which has been linked to seedling length heterosis in our above result and plant

height heterosis in previously reported findings (Dan et al., 2021), correlated

significantly with plant height heterosis across stages with all inheritance patterns.

Meanwhile, correlation coefficients of additive levels of 4-hydroxycinnamic acid and

heterosis for plant height decreased during later developmental stages, coinciding with

significant increases in heterosis at these stages (Figure S21h). Importantly, additive

and partially dominant effects of these metabolites correlated more strongly with plant

height heterosis than dominant effects (Figure S21i), a pattern consistent across an

additional 13 traits (Figure 3e). These results showed that phenylpropanoid

biosynthesis was associated with heterosis for plant height at the population level and

additive and partially dominant effects might be the predominant contributors for

heterosis of multiple agronomic traits.

We next analyzed DMs among the 17 ILs. Using 1,306 DMs with different

inheritance patterns, we predicted heterosis for each trait via PLS. Additive effect

yielded higher adjusted R^2 values in predictive models compared to dominant and

partially dominant effects (Figure 3f and Figure S22). The maximum R^2 values for

predicting the 17 traits were significantly greater for additive effect than dominant

effects and comparable to partially dominant effects (Figure 3g). When re-measuring

eight representative traits for the 17 ILs and 36 F_1 hybrids from the diallel crosses under

different growth conditions, we observed significant differences in almost all traits

influenced by environmental effects (Figure S23a). However, heterosis remained stable

for six of these traits (Figure S23b). Consistent with the above result, the highest R^2

values for predicting heterosis of these traits were greater for additive effect than

dominant effects and similar to partially dominant effects (Figure 3h and Figure S24a-

h). These findings confirmed additive and partially dominant effects as the main

inheritance patterns underlying heterosis of the 17 agronomic traits, independent of

environmental variation.

To assess the application potential of these findings in hybrid breeding programs,

we created a test-cross population, with a Honglian-type cytoplasmic male sterile line

(Yuetai A) as female and 211 recombinant inbred lines (RILs, derived from hybrids of

the above-mentioned 223 ILs) as male parents (Figure S25a-b). Trait values (yield per

plant, maturation stage plant height, and heading date) differed significantly between

test crosses and parents (Figure S25c), and trait correlation patterns also differed

(Figure S25d-f). For heterosis of these four traits, BPH was negative only for heading

date (Figure S25g). Metabolite profiles from 107 RILs (selected for varied yield

heterosis) and Yuetai A, which were profiled alongside the 17 ILs, were used for PLS

modeling (Figure S25h-i). Despite significant differences in heterosis for yield per plant

and heading date between the diallel and test-cross populations (Figure S25g), the

values of predictive models using additive DMs achieved $R^2 > 0.85$ with five latent

factors (Figure 3i). Thus, the DMs from representative ILs served as robust biomarkers

for predicting heterosis in test-cross hybrids.

To further explore the contributions of additive and partially dominant effects to

maize heterosis, we analyzed field fresh weight data from two hybrid populations

exhibiting significant different heterosis (Figure S26a-b) (de Abreu et al., 2017). PLS

analyses utilized root and leave metabolite profiles from 24 Dent lines, 25 Flint lines,

and 326 Dent × Flint F_1 hybrids (Figure S26c-j). Unexpectedly, additive and partially

dominant effects yielded R^2 values (maximum) comparable to models using hybrid

metabolite profiles directly and significantly higher than dominant effects (Figure

S26k). Similarly, for grain dry matter yield and grain dry matter content in 291 maize

F_1 hybrids (Schrag et al., 2018), additive and partially dominant effects showed R^2

values comparable to hybrid profiles and higher than dominant effects (Figure S27).

Additionally, we analyzed maize grain yield heterosis for a third hybrid population

(Figure S28a-b), with the 66 F_1 hybrids showing strong heterosis (Wang et al., 2023).

We used expression data of 84 DEGs, which were primarily core genes in pan-genome

analysis (Figure S28c), from 12 representative maize ILs. Consistent with metabolite

results, additive and partially dominant effects produced greater R^2 values than

dominant effects for predicting yield heterosis (Figure S28d-g). These findings further

supported the predominant roles of additive and partially dominant effects in maize

heterosis.

Similarly, in *Arabidopsis*, phenotypic data from Col-0, Per-1, and their F_1 hybrids

across developmental stages revealed robust heterosis for cotyledon biomass and first

true leaf size (Liu et al., 2021b) (Figure S29a and Figure S29k). Using our HAG

screening strategy in rice, we identified HAGs for each phenotype (Figure S29b-i and

Figure S29l-s). Unexpectedly, the hybrid profiles strongly associated with additive and

partially dominant effects throughout development (Figure S29j and Figure S29t).

Associations of parental genomic variants with additive/partially dominant effects

and heterosis

Because both transcriptomic and metabolomic differences in parental ILs were

connected to heterosis, we next performed genomic sequencing of the 17 rice ILs to

investigate associations between parental genomic variants and heterosis. Genetic

diversity analysis revealed two distinct groups of these ILs based on variants including

single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions and deletions (InDels), copy

number variants (CNVs), and structural variants (SVs) (Figure 4a-f, Figure S30-S32,

and Table S3). Using the Nipponbare genome as a reference, genomic variants in each

pair of ILs were categorized as common or unique, whose number correlated

significantly with trait heterosis (Figure 4g). The unique variants showed stronger

correlations with heterosis than common variants (Figure 4h and Figure S33a-b).

Specifically, the unique variants significantly correlated with heterosis for seed setting

rate, tiller number (elongation stage), plant height (across four stages), and panicle

length. Meanwhile, unique SNPs and InDels exhibited stronger heterosis correlation

than CNVs and SVs (Figure 4i), likely reflecting limitations of short-read sequencing

in resolving large structural variants. Notably, the unique SNPs and InDels correlated

significantly with yield heterosis, while CNVs and SVs did not. For heterosis, increased

number of unique SNPs or InDels correlated with higher heterosis for grain number but

lower heterosis for seed setting rate (Figure 4j and Figure S33c-e), revealing barriers of

reproductive isolation in the exploitation of yield heterosis between *indica* and *japonica*

subspecies (Dan et al., 2014). Consequently, the inverse relationship between grain

number and seed setting rate heterosis produced a parabolic pattern in yield heterosis

(Figure 4k and Figure S33f-h). These results showed that parental genomic variants

were tightly linked to heterosis and highlighted the genomic complexity underlying

heterosis of polygenic traits like yield.

Compared to heterosis for yield, yield components, and yield-related traits,

heterosis for plant height, which was less affected by reproductive isolation of

subspecies, showed greater correlation coefficients with parental unique variants

(Figure 4g). To study the effect of variants in a specific pathway on trait heterosis, we

focused on sequences of six HAGs from phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (previously

linked to seedling length) in 12 of the 17 ILs. Sequence variants were detected in all

the six genes between YB and Balilla. With YB as the reference, SNPs dominated the

variants, with several InDels (Figure 5a and Figure S34-S38). Unexpectedly, presence

and absence variants occurred only in *Os09g0262000*, whose function is putatively

annotated as cinnamoyl CoA reductase. Sequence variants of each gene clustered the

12 ILs into two or three groups (Figure 5b and Figure S34-S38), which were close to

indica and *japonica* groups, implicating these genes in rice subspeciation. Notably,

sequence variants in *Os09g0262000*, particularly two presence variants in the fifth exon,

shifted Balilla into the *indica* group (Figure 5b). Using all six genes, the 12 ILs

contained two haplotypes (Figure 5c-d). Due to *Os09g0262000*, Balilla was grouped

with six *indica* ILs in haplotype-1. Unexpectedly, the average plant height heterosis of

F_1 hybrids with heterozygous haplotypes (haplotype-1_haplotype-2) were significantly

higher than their homozygous counterparts (haplotype-1 and haplotype-2; Figure 5e).

These hybrids also exhibited significantly higher heterosis for grain number and

primary/secondary branch number, lower heterosis for heading date, and no yield

heterosis (Figure 5f-g and Figure S39). In combination of results from transcriptomic

and metabolomic data, we concluded that phenylpropanoid biosynthesis contributed to

heterosis for seedling length/plant height in rice.

To investigate associations of parental genomic variants and different inheritance

patterns, we used the top 50 HAMs per trait based on the established predictive models.

Additive and partially dominant effects correlated more strongly with heterosis of the

17 traits than dominant effects (Figure S40). Importantly, additive and partially

dominant effects showed stronger associations with the number of parental unique

variants across all variant types (Figure 6a-d). Overall, parental genomic variants were

tightly associated with both heterosis and additive/partially dominant effects.

Finally, with consistent transcriptomic evidence for rice heterosis (Dan et al.,

2024b), we proposed a model integrating genomic, transcriptomic, and metabolomic

variation to illustrate how the main inheritance patterns (e.g., additive effect) contribute

to heterosis of component and complex traits in rice (Figure 6e). For simplicity, grain

yield was modeled as a function of grain number (SNP-associated) and grain weight

(SV-associated), assuming equal parental yield. Genomic variants drove differential

gene expression and metabolite levels in parents (Parent_1 and Parent_2). Due to additive effect, the F_1 hybrids yielded intermediate transcriptomic and metabolomic levels. Since trait values scaled linearly with molecular levels, grain number and grain weight in F_1 hybrids also displayed mid-parent values. The additive effects of two yield components, which differed reciprocally in parents, then generated positive yield heterosis in F_1 hybrids.

Discussion

The genetic mechanisms underlying heterosis have been debated for over a century without definitive consensus. The mysterious molecular bases of heterosis may stem from the multitude of molecules associated with heterosis, including genes, metabolites, and proteins (Birchler et al., 2006; Birchler et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2016; Schnable and Springer, 2013). Accurate identification of these molecules remains challenging, hinders mechanistic insights; consequently, few heterosis-associated genes with strong function have been reported by far (Krieger et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2023).

To highlight genetic factors in rice heterosis, we minimized environmental effects by employing uniform growth conditions for phenotyping seedling length. This allowed precise dissection of genotype- and developmental stage-dependent effects on gene expression and metabolite levels, facilitating the successful screening of heterosis-associated molecules from parental differential molecules. These molecules form tightly connected networks, and specific modules within these networks explain a significant proportion of heterosis variance. Thus, modulating entire pathways (e.g.,

phenylpropanoid biosynthesis) or key network modules (e.g., Module_1 and Module_2

of Network_HLN), rather than targeting single molecule, through genetic engineering

technology may enable the precision establishment of heterosis. Furthermore, external

application of specific metabolites to F_1 hybrids at appropriate developmental stages

may enhance the degrees of heterosis without genomic alteration, given the significant

correlations between the levels of heterosis-associated metabolite and heterosis

magnitude.

Additive and partially dominant effects represent the predominant inheritance

patterns for heterosis-associated molecules. Notably, the additive effect, corresponding

to mid-parent values, exhibits predictability comparable to hybrid profiles in both rice

and maize, highlighting its application potential for predicting heterosis of F_1 hybrids

in breeding programs. Indeed, additive effect has predicted trait values and heterosis of

diverse agronomic traits for F_1 hybrids across hybrid populations, developmental stages,

and growth environments in rice (Dan et al., 2024a; Dan et al., 2021; Dan et al., 2019;

Dan et al., 2020; Dan et al., 2016). However, building robust predictive models for

heterosis of complex traits like yield remains challenging. Several key issues should be

considered to ensure accuracy of predictive models. Firstly, the accessions used for

modeling must capture sufficient genetic diversity to avoid reduced predictability from

distant genetic relatedness. Then, for low heritability traits (e.g., tiller number, yield),

omics data derived from relevant tissues (e.g., young tillers, young panicles) will ensure

accurate predictions (Zhou et al., 2019b). Apart from transcriptomic and metabolomic

profiles used in this study, adding other quantitative omics data, including proteomics,

ionomics, and metagenomics (Kakoulidou et al., 2024; Price et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2021;

Zhang et al., 2023), can improve predictability. Accounting for the important roles of

environmental effects on heterosis, predictive models need to integrate phenotypic data

from multiple environments (Liu et al., 2021a). Additionally, rapid advances in data

processing ability from machine learning and artificial intelligence will further enable

robust model development using single or combined strategies.

Unlike classical heterosis models emphasizing modes of genomic loci (Bruce,

1910; Crow, 1948; Davenport, 1908; East, 1936; Jones, 1917; Li et al., 2015; Li et al.,

1997; Powers, 1944; Xiao et al., 2021; Yu et al., 1997), our approach focuses on

downstream omics data to provide quantitative insight into heterosis. Beyond

demonstrating contributions of additive/partially dominant effects at

transcriptomic/metabolomic levels, we bridge associations among parental genomic

variants, inheritance patterns of heterosis-associated molecules, and heterosis of

component/complex traits. Using heterosis for plant height as an example, we detect

sequence variants (particularly SVs) in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes that cluster

parents into distinct haplotypes. The F_1 hybrids with heterozygous haplotypes exhibit

stronger heterosis than those with homozygous haplotypes, suggesting an

overdominance model underlying rice heterosis.

The genetic mechanisms of heterosis for yield or other complex traits are more

intricate than to heterosis for seedling length/plant height. Given that the F_1 hybrids

with heterozygous haplotypes enhanced heterosis not only for plant height but also

grain number (while reducing heterosis for heading date), we speculate that

phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes may interact with known heterosis-regulating

genes like *Ghd7* (Wang et al., 2022), *Ghd8* (Li et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2023), and *Hd1*

(Zhou et al., 2021b) to influence yield heterosis. Moreover, large-size genomic variants,

especially presence variants, play pivotal roles in haplotype divergence and affect

heterosis of multiple traits. Thus, assembling parental genomes and accurately

identifying SVs will provide a more comprehensive genetic landscape of plant heterosis.

In summary, our study provides multi-omics evidence that additive and partially

dominant effects constitute the predominant inheritance patterns underlying heterosis,

irrespective of genotype, trait/phenotype, hybrid population, developmental stage,

growth environment, omics data type, or even species. Future efforts should leverage

accurately assembled pan-genomes and multi-omics integration to dissect the interplay

between large-effect genomic variants and the main inheritance patterns in heterosis of

complex traits, which will improve the breeding efficiencies of hybrid crops.

Methods

Plant materials and field growth conditions

According to the *indica–japonica* indices of 223 inbred lines (ILs) evaluated by 33 pairs

of InDel markers (Lu et al., 2009; Yao and Huang, 2013), 17 ILs, ranging from *indica*

to intermediate types to *japonica*, were selected as parents of a hybrid population using

a complete diallel cross design (Dan et al., 2016). Moreover, 211 recombinant inbred

lines (F_5 followings) derived from F_1 individuals of the 223 ILs were crossed with a

Honglian-type cytoplasmic male sterile line Yuetai A. Plants of the diallel and test cross

populations were planted with different density as previously described at the Hybrid

Rice Experimental Base of Wuhan University in Ezhou (Hubei Province, China) in

2012 and 2015 (Dan et al., 2019), respectively.

For the diallel cross population, ten experimental plants were planted with 16.5 cm

between plants and 26.4 cm between rows, and two plants of the sterile line, namely

Yuetai A, were planted on two sides of each row. To obtain F_1 hybrids, the young

panicles of the female parents that would flower in the next few days were immersed

in warm water at 44 °C (for *indica* or near-*indica* ILs) or 46 °C (for *japonica* or near-

japonica ILs) for 5 min to sterilize the pollens. For the hand-pollination procedure,

three to four panicles were combined in a paper bag, and one to two bags from each

female parent without pollination were used as controls. For female parents with a high

self-fertilization rate, such as R465 and Qianlijing, the pistils in each spikelet were

manually removed and pollinated by hand. Three biological replicates of each genotype

were randomly distributed in the field. The middle plants of each row, ranging from

three to ten plants, were selected for the collection of phenotypic data for 17 agronomic

traits. Plant height, measured from the base of the plant to the highest part of the plant

using meter rulers, was recorded from the seedling to mature stages. The four time

points included 28 days after transplanting (8 July, 2012), 42 days after transplanting

(22 July, 2012), the time of flowering, and the time of maturation, which were defined

as the seedling stage plant height, elongation stage plant height, flowering stage plant

height, and maturation stage plant height, respectively. The tiller number per plant was

also counted together with the plant height at four different stages, which were defined

as the seedling stage tiller number, elongation stage tiller number, flowering stage tiller

number, and maturation stage tiller number. The heading date was the number of days

between the heading time when a plant from a biological replicate started flowering

and the date of sowing (13 May, 2012). At maturity, all panicles from each plant were

harvested and dried in the sunshine for approximately one week and then dried in ovens

at 42 °C for two days before yield and yield-related traits were measured. Panicle length

was measured from the panicle neck to the top for all panicles from each plant. The

primary branch number and secondary branch number per panicle were counted

manually for all panicles. Panicles from each plant were counted and treated as the tiller

number per plant. After manually removing seeds (with or without grains) from

panicles for each individual plant, empty seeds and seeds with grains were

mechanically separated and counted using seed counters (PME-1, Shanke Equipment,

Shanghai). The grain number per panicle was calculated by dividing the total seed

number (sum of empty seeds and seeds with grains) of a plant by the tiller number per

plant. The seed setting rate was calculated as the ratio of the number of seeds with

grains to the total number of seeds. The weight of 1,000 grains from a plant was defined

as the thousand-grain weight, and the weight of the seeds with grains per plant was

defined as the grain yield per plant. Due to low germination rate of several hybrid seeds,

phenotypic data of 245 (expected 272) F_1 hybrids were used for analyses.

For the test cross population (211 F_1 hybrids), each biological replicate contained

ten plants (per row) spaced at 16.5 cm × 16.5 cm apart. Three biological replicates of

each genotype were placed in three consecutive rows and randomly planted in the field.

The phenotypic data of four agronomic traits, namely, heading date, thousand-grain

weight, maturation stage plant height, and yield per plant were collected. The heading

date was recorded for one biological replicate. The middle five plants per row were

selected for measurement of plant height at the maturation stage. Panicles from the five

plants were bagged and used to collect data on grain yield per plant and thousand-grain

weight. Moreover, eight agronomic traits, namely, heading date, thousand grain weight,

panicle length, seed setting rate, grain number per panicle, maturation stage plant height,

grain yield per plant, and tiller number per plant, of the 17 ILs and 36 F_1 hybrids from

the diallel cross population were recollected along with the test crosses. Parts of trait

values of the diallel and test cross populations have been reported in previous studies

(Dan et al., 2021; Dan et al., 2019; Dan et al., 2020; Dan et al., 2015; Dan et al., 2016;

Dan et al., 2014), and the complete datasets for all investigated traits are provided in

source data.

To evaluate the degree of heterosis for each trait, better-parent heterosis was

calculated using the equation: BPH_trait = $(F_1 - P_{high})/P_{high}$, where P_{high} represents the

high trait value of both parents. In the calculation of better-parent heterosis for heading

date (BPH_HD), parents with earlier heading dates were selected as P_{high}. The

calculated values were multiplied by -1 to represent the heterosis for heading date.

Planting of rice seedlings for metabolomics analysis

Two batches of rice seedlings were planted for untargeted metabolite profiling analysis.

The first batch in 2016 (Batch_2016) included 17 ILs, 107 RILs selected from the 211

RILs, and the cytoplasmic male sterile line Yuetai A. As previously described (Dan et

al., 2020), the germinated seeds were sown in soil with a spacing of 2×2 cm. The

seedlings were grown at 30 °C under a 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod in a light

incubator. Two biological replicates were established for each genotype. On the 15th

day, the aboveground parts, excluding approximately 0.5 cm of tissue close to the soil,

of approximately ten plants were harvested and washed with ddH_2O three times. Two

biological replicates of the 17 ILs were harvested as two experimental samples for each

genotype. Two biological replicates of the 107 RILs and Yuetai A were pooled as one

experimental sample for each genotype. The seedlings were first placed in 5 mL tubes

(Eppendorf #0030119460) and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen.

The second batch in 2018 (Batch_2018) consisted of ten pairs of hybrid

combinations. Seeds of 6 ILs and ten corresponding reciprocal F_1 hybrids were first

dehulled and sterilized with 75% ethanol (1 min) and 0.15% $HgCl_2$ (10 min). The seeds

were then transferred to sterilized half-strength Murashige and Skoog rooting medium

(pH = 5.8) supplemented with phytogel. Ten seeds were sown on the surface of 30 mL

of medium per glass tube (diameter: 3 cm and height: 20 cm). Three to six biological

replicates were set up for each genotype or the same genotype at different time points.

Seedlings were grown at 28 °C under 24-hour light in an artificial climate chamber

(Zhu Laboratory at the College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University). The seedling

length and weight of a pair of hybrid combination containing two ILs (Balilla and

Yuetai B) and the corresponding reciprocal F_1 hybrids were recorded on 5, 10, and 15

days after sowing. Five seedlings from each glass tube were removed from the medium

and photographed for subsequent measurement of seedling length using ImageJ

(version 1.5.3). After excluding the roots, the seedling bases were washed three times

with ddH$_2$O and the water on the surface was removed with absorbent paper before

weighing. The five seedlings were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and photographs and

weights were taken and used for subsequent experiments. The remaining nine pairs of

hybrid combinations were harvested for seedling length measurements after 15 days of

growth. The sealing films on the glass tubes were removed on day 11 and 5 mL of

ddH$_2$O was added to each tube for normal growth. All the experimental samples were

stored at -80 °C.

RNA sequencing

Seedlings from each biological replicate were ground into fine powder using mortar

and pestle with liquid nitrogen. After total RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen),

the RNA was first assessed by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels to check for

degradation and contamination states. The concentrations (Qubit2.0 Fluorometer) and

integrity (Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer) of each RNA sample were analyzed. DNA library

preparation (NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit, NEB) with index codes and

sequencing experiments were performed on the sequencing platform of Novogene Co.,

Ltd (Beijing, China). Briefly, mRNA was first purified from 3 μg of total RNA using

magnetic beads attached to poly(T) oligo. The mRNA with poly(A) tails was randomly

fragmented (NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer) and used to synthesize

the first strand of cDNA with the addition of hexamer primers and M-MuLV reverse

transcriptase. After the RNA was degraded with RNase H, the second strand of cDNA

was synthesized using DNA polymerase I with dNTPs. The strands were then converted

to blunt ends using the activities of T4 DNA polymerase and DNA polymerase I. The

products were subjected to adenylation, and NEBNext adaptors were added to the

products. Approximately 200 bp of cDNA was purified using AMPure XP beads

(Beckman Coulter) and treated with 3 μL of USER Enzyme (NEB) at 37 °C for 15

minutes and 95 °C for 5 minutes. PCR was then performed using Phusion High-Fidelity

DNA polymerase with universal and index primers. The reaction products were

repurified with AMPure XP beads, and the quality of the library was assessed using an

Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100. After clustering of the index-coded samples using the cBot

Cluster Generation System (TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS, Illumina), the libraries

were sequenced on the Illumina Hiseq PE150 platform, and 150 bp paired-end reads

were acquired with more than 6 G per sample.

The raw reads were first processed by removing adapters, poly N and low-quality

reads. The Q20, Q30, and GC contents were calculated for the clean reads. The clean

reads were then aligned to the Nipponbare reference genome

(Oryza_sativa_Ensembl_43) using Hisat2 (v2.0.5) (Kim et al., 2015) and the numbers

of gene reads were counted using featureCounts (v1.5.0-p3) (Liao et al., 2014).

Normalization and differential analysis of transcriptomics data

Normalization and differential analysis of the transcriptomics data (42,341 genes) for

the hybrid pair of Balilla and YB at 5, 10, and 15 DAS were performed using

NetworkAnalyst 3.0 (Zhou et al., 2019a) with the following parameters: specify

organism, not specified; data type, bulk RNA-seq data (counts); ID type, not specified;

gene-level summarization, sum; variance filter, 30; low abundance, 30; filter

unannotated genes, yes; normalization, Log2-counts per million; statistical method,

DESeq2; Log2 fold change, 2; and adjusted p-value, 0.0001. As the numbers of

differentially expressed genes between reciprocal F_1 hybrids of Balilla and YB at 5, 10,

and 15 DAS were only 1, 13, and 29, respectively, the differences between reciprocal

F_1 hybrids were not included in the analysis. To analyze the differentially expressed

genes of the six ILs, the data matrix containing 42,341 genes was separately normalized

(sum, none, and autoscaling) with MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (Chong et al., 2018) and analyzed

with ANOVA (adjusted p-value = 0.05, post-hoc analysis = Fisher's LSD). Principal

component analysis (PCA) of the transcriptomic and metabolomic data, which were

normalized data from NetworkAnalyst 3.0 (Zhou et al., 2019a), was performed at

ClustVis (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/clustvis) (Metsalu and Vilo, 2015).

Two-way ANOVA of the 5,844 DEGs was performed using the "Time Series

Analysis" (time series and one factor) module of MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (Chong et al., 2018)

with the following parameters: ANOVA type, type I; interaction, yes; adjusted p-value

cut-off, 0.05; and correction for multiple testing, false discovery rate.

Pathway enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes

The ClueGO (version 2.5.8) (Bindea et al., 2009) and CluePedia (version 1.5.8) (Bindea

et al., 2013) plugins of Cytoscape (version 3.9.0) (Saito et al., 2012) were used for

pathway enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes. Functional analysis

was selected as the analysis mode. The up- and downregulated genes were represented

by diamonds (shape 1) and triangles (shape 2), respectively. The GO (ellipse), KEGG

(hexagon), and WikiPathways (V) were selected as ontologies/pathways. The GO term

fusion was selected and only pathways with pV ≤ 0.01 were shown. The GO tree

interval was between 3 (minimum level) and 5 (maximum level). The minimum number

and percentage of genes were set to 3 and 4%, respectively, for both clusters. The genes

accounting for more than 60% of a cluster were defined as cluster-specific genes. The

kappa score of network connectivity was set to 0.4, and enrichment/depletion (two-

sided hypergeometric test) with Benjamini–Hochberg pV correction was set as the

statistical option. GO term grouping was selected in the group options, and the leading

term was based on the highest significance with fixed colors. The kappa score was

selected for term grouping. The initial group size, percentage of genes for group

merging and percentage of terms for group merging were set to 1, 50% and 50%,

respectively.

Untargeted metabolite profiling

For the seedling samples in Batch_2016, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

(LC–MS) analysis procedures have been previously described (Chen et al., 2024; Dan

et al., 2020). In brief, all tissues in each EP tube were first ground into homogenized

powder by mortar and pestle with the addition of liquid nitrogen. Eighty milligrams of

powder were then transferred to 2 mL EP tubes and the tubes were vortexed for 1 min

after the addition of 1 mL of precooled extraction solution (methanol/acetonitrile/water,

2/2/1). A quality control sample was prepared by mixing 10 μL of each experimental

sample. The experimental and quality control samples were then subjected to two

rounds of ultrasonic treatments (30 min each) and kept at -20 °C for 60 min. The

samples were then centrifuged at 14,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C and the supernatants were

transferred to clean tubes. After drying the supernatants in a vacuum concentrator, 100

μL of acetonitrile (acetonitrile/water, 1/1) was added to the tubes. The tubes were

vortexed and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 15 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatants were

transferred to sample tubes for LC–MS analysis on the platform of Shanghai Applied

Protein Technology Co., Ltd.

The experimental and quality control samples were separated on an Agilent 1290

Infinity LC system (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a reverse-phase T3 column

(ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3, 1.8 μm, 2.1 mm × 100 mm, Waters). The injection volume

was 2 μL per sample. The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min and the column temperature was

25 °C. Regents A and B in positive ion mode were 0.1% formic acid (in water) and 0.1%

formic acid (in acetonitrile), respectively. In addition, 0.5 mM ammonium fluoride

(Sigma–Aldrich) and acetonitrile (Merck) were used in negative ion mode. The gradient

elution steps were as follows: 0-1 min, 1% B; 1-8 min, linearly increased to 100% B;

8-10 min, held at 100% B; 10-10.1 min, reduced to 1% B; and 10.1-12 min, held at 1%

B. Experimental samples were analyzed in a random order, and the quality control

sample was injected every nine experimental samples. To obtain MS profiles in both

positive and negative electron spray ion modes, the LC system was coupled to an

Agilent 6550 iFunnel QTOF mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies). The MS data

were collected from 60 to 1,200 Da. The acquisition rate was four spectra per second,

and the cycle time was 250 microseconds. The temperatures of the drying gas and the

sheath gas were 250 °C and 400 °C, respectively. The flow rates of the drying gas and

the sheath gas were 16 L/min and 12 L/min, respectively. The nebulizer pressure was

set at 20 pounds per square inch (psi). The capillary, nozzle, and fragment voltages were

3,000, 0, and 175 V, respectively. Tandem mass spectrometry data were then acquired

on the quality control sample using a TripleTOF 6600 mass spectrometer (AB SCIEX).

The mass range was between 60 and 1,200 Da. To increase the data acquisition rate of

the quality control sample, the sample was injected twice and the mass range was

further divided into four sequential windows: 60-200, 190-400, 390-600, 590-1,200

(full range, 60-1,200) Da (for chemical standard library) and 200-600, 590-750, 740-

900, 890-1,200 (full range, 200-1,200) Da (for lipid MS/MS library). The mass

spectrometry accumulation time was 200 ms per spectrum, and the tandem mass spectra

were obtained in an information-dependent manner with high sensitivity. Ion source

gas 1, ion source gas 2, and the curtain gas were set at 40, 80, and 30 psi, respectively.

The source temperature was 650 °C. The ion spray voltage floating were +5,000 V and

-4,500 V, respectively. The declustering potential and collision energy were $\pm$ 60 V and

35 ± 15 eV, respectively. The number of candidate ions for monitoring per cycle was

ten and the isotope isolation width was 4 Da. The MS/MS accumulation time was set

to 50 ms.

The raw MS files (.d) of the experimental and quality control samples were

converted to mzXML format using ProteoWizard (version 3.0.6150) (Chambers et al.,

2012), and the transformed files were uploaded to XCMS (version 1.46.0) (Gowda et

al., 2014) for feature detection, retention time (RT) correction and feature alignment

with previously reported parameters (Jia et al., 2018). The 17 ILs formed one group,

and the 107 RILs plus Yuetai A formed another group. The features with more than 50%

nonzero values in at least one group were retained for further analysis. The error

thresholds for m/z and RT were set at 15 ppm and 20 s for the alignment of MS and

MS/MS data, respectively. The MS/MS data of the quality control sample were matched

against both the local chemical standard library (Shen et al., 2019) and the lipid MS/MS

library (Tu et al., 2017), and metabolites were annotated with a mass accuracy of less

than 25 ppm. For the seedling samples in Batch_2018, the metabolomics analyses were

the same as those in Batch_2016, except that the four sequential windows of the quality

control sample were changed to three sequential windows: 60-300, 290-600, and 590-

1,200 (full range, 60-1,200) Da. The Metlin library (Domingo-Almenara et al., 2018)

was also used for feature annotation.

Normalization and differential analyses of metabolomics data

The normalization of the metabolomics data for the hybrid combination of Balilla and

YB at 5, 10, and 15 DAS followed the same parameters as those used for the

transcriptomics data. ANOVA was used to analyze differential metabolites for the six

ILs with a data matrix of 15,083 analytes. For ease of interpretation, the word

"metabolite" was used in this manuscript to represent analytes with or without

annotations. The "statistical analysis (metadata table)" module of MetaboAnalyst 5.0

(Pang et al., 2022) was used to perform two-way ANOVA on the 2,331 differential

metabolites with genotype and stage as metadata. One of the three biological replicates

of each genotype at each stage was used as a subject. Multiple factors were chosen for

the study design. Further data filtering, sample normalization, data transformation, and

data scaling were not performed. Type I ANOVA was used for two-way independent

ANOVA, and two-way repeated ANOVA was used to calculate interactions. The false

discovery rate was used to correct for multiple testing, and the adjusted P value cut-off

was set at 0.05.

To normalize the metabolomics data of the 17 ILs, Yuetai A, and 107 RILs, the

intensities of the two biological replicates of the 17 ILs were first averaged and then

normalized using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022) (sum, none, and autoscaling

for sample normalization, data transformation, and data scaling). Metabolites with RSD

greater than 25% in the QC samples were removed and the interquantile range was

selected to filter the features (40%). For the differential metabolite analysis of the 17

ILs, the feature intensities of two biological replicates for each IL were used and

ANOVA was performed after filtering 40% of the features and data normalization (sum,

none, and autoscaling) using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022).

Determination of inheritance patterns for heterosis-associated molecules

To determine the inheritance patterns for heterosis-associated molecules,

transcriptomic and metabolic levels were compared for F_1 hybrids, the corresponding

parents, and the average levels of the corresponding parents via ANOVA using

MetaboAnalyst 4.0 (Chong et al., 2018). Three biological replicates of reciprocal F_1

hybrids from two ILs were combined into a hybrid genotype. An additive effect was

assigned when the molecular levels of the hybrid genotype were not significantly

different from the average levels of the corresponding parents but were significantly

different from those of both parents. A partially dominant effect was assigned when the

hybrid levels were significantly different from both the average parental levels and the

molecular levels of the parent on the same side. A dominant effect was when the hybrid

levels were not significantly different from those of the parent but were significantly

different from the average levels of the two parents. An overdominant effect was the

pattern when the hybrid levels were significantly higher or lower than those of the

parent on the same side. The patterns of hybrid levels other than the above four types

were defined as unclassified and marked as NA. To quantitatively describe the partially

dominant effect (PD), the degrees for the molecules with partially dominant effect of

the six hybrid combinations was calculated with the equation $PD = (F_1-P_2)/(P_1-P_2)$,

where F_1, P_1, and P_2 represent the molecular levels of the F_1 hybrids, parent_1, and

parent_2, respectively. Several molecules that had a partially dominant effect in

multiple F_1 hybrids were used multiple times. The degree of the partially dominant

effect was divided into two parts, with 0.5 as the threshold. The averages of the two

groups were calculated and defined as two types of partially dominant effects (PD_0.3

and PD_0.7). Moreover, the equations $PD\_0.3 = P_m+0.3\times(P_f-P_m)$ and $PD\_0.7 =$

$P_m+0.7\times(P_f-P_m)$ were used to represent partially dominant effects based on parental

molecular levels.

Pathway enrichment analysis of differential metabolites

The metabolomics data of the hybrid combination of Balilla and YB at 15 DAS were

first used for pathway enrichment analysis. The table with normalized feature

intensities and retention times (in seconds) was uploaded to the "functional analysis"

module of MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022). Both positive and negative modes

were selected for analysis. In positive mode, the mass tolerance was set to 5 ppm. The

interquantile range was selected for data filtering because the number of peaks was

greater than 5,000. No data were used for sample normalization, data transformation,

or data scaling. Both *mummichog* (Li et al., 2013) (*P* value cut-off: default top 10%

peaks, version 2.0) and GSEA were used for enrichment analysis. As no pathway was

significantly enriched for the differential metabolites between Balilla×Yuetai B and its

parent Balilla with the default *P* value cut-off (0.01) in positive mode, the *P* value cut-

off was increased to 0.05. The pathway library used was *Oryza sativa japonica*

(Japanese rice) [KEGG]. Metabolite currency and adducts were set with the default sets,

and pathways or metabolite sets containing at least 3 entries were set. The parameters

for the negative mode analysis were the same as those for the positive mode analysis,

except for that no data filtering was performed and the *P* value cut-off was set to 0.05.

Putatively annotated metabolites and enriched pathways were obtained in each analysis.

For the pathway analysis of the 645 DMs using the "Pathway Analysis" module of

MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022), feature annotation information was obtained

from the results of differential metabolites between Balilla and YB at 15 DAS. The

parameters were set as follows: scatter plot, visualization method; hypergeometric test,

enrichment method; relative-betweenness centrality, topology analysis; all compounds

in the selected pathway library, reference metabolome; and *Oryza sativa japonica*

(Japanese rice) (KEGG), pathway library. Three pathways were significantly enriched

based on the annotation of eight peaks in positive mode. Moreover, 20 metabolites (23

peaks from both ion modes), which were annotated with local chemical standards, lipids,

and Metlin libraries, from the three significantly enriched pathways were also used for

analysis. Correlations between the metabolite levels of 26 peaks and seedling length

were determined. One peak (M147T118_POS) was annotated as 4-hydroxycinnamic

acid in both the local standard library and the result of the pathway enrichment analysis,

and four peaks had no concentration in the normalized metabolomics data.

Integration of genes and metabolites into networks

The normalized transcriptomics and metabolomics data were used to construct

networks via OmicsAnalyst (Zhou et al., 2021a). No organism or ID type was specified

in the annotation procedure. Features with 50% missing values were excluded and the

remaining missing values were replaced by LoDs. The filter threshold for variance and

abundance was set to 0. In the comparison step, the genotype was used for grouping,

and no data transformation was performed. ANOVA was used for the differential

analysis. The fold change cut-off was set at 2.0, and the P value cut-off was set at 0.01.

To make the data suitable for inter-omics analysis, both the transcriptomics and

metabolomics data underwent normalization by sum, variance stabilizing normalization,

quantile normalization and range scaling. The statistically significant features were then

used to construct an interomics correlation network using the Spearman method. The

correlation threshold was set at 0.8, and both positive and negative correlations were

included. For Network_51015, 626 genes and 645 metabolites were used, and a total of

213 genes and 198 metabolites were statistically significant. The network contained

three subnetworks, and the largest subnetwork with 2,138 edges (subnetwork_1, 61.8%

and 38.2% positive and negative correlations, respectively) had 211 genes and 196

metabolites as nodes. The other two subnetworks had two interactions. For

Network_HLN, 967 genes and 990 metabolites were used for analysis, and 103 genes

and 158 metabolites were retained in the network. Network_HLN contained seven

subnetworks, and the largest subnetwork with 868 edges (subnetwork_1) contained 97

genes and 149 metabolites. The remaining six subnetworks had nine edges. The

walktrap algorithm was used for module detection. Subnetwork_1 of Network_51015

contained seven modules. The module size and P value of each module were 150 (P =

2.34×10^{-21}, Module_1), 105 (P = 1.01×10^{-14}, Module_2), 80 (P = 2.29×10^{-12},

Module_3), 21 (P = 0.00303, Module_4), 13 (P = 0.452, Module_5), 7 (P = 1,

Module_6), and 6 (P = 0.318, Module_7), respectively. Subnetwork_1 of

Network_HLN contained eight modules. The module size and P value of each module

were 112 (P = 6.6×10^{-35}, Module_1), 37 (P = 2.61×10^{-7}, Module_2), 35 (P = 1.39×10^{-6}, Module_3), 16 (P = 3.8×10^{-5}, Module_4), 11 (P = 0.111, Module_5), 7 (P = 0.0592,

Module_6), 6 (P = 0.0176, Module_7), and 6 (P = 0.0309, Module_8), respectively.

The subnetworks were imported into Cytoscape (Saito et al., 2012) for display using

the compound spring embedder layout. The node size was determined by the node

degree.

Joint omics analysis of differentially expressed genes and metabolites

To integrate the transcriptomics and metabolomics results, the MSU IDs of the 626

DEGs were first converted to gene symbols using the NCBI "Batch Entrez" tool

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/batchentrez). Genes with symbols (340 genes) in

the *Oryza sativa japonica* group were uploaded to the "Joint pathway" analysis module

of MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022). Moreover, the annotation information of the

645 peaks was extracted from the results of the pathway enrichment analysis between

Balilla and YB at 15 DAS. The KEGG IDs for 29 compounds in positive mode and 44

compounds in negative mode were uploaded as metabolomics data. "All pathways

(integrated)" was selected as the pathway database. The parameters for enrichment

analysis, topology measure, and integration method were set as hypergeometric test,

degree centrality, and combine queries, respectively.

Analyses of transcriptomic/metabolic/phenotypic data for inbred lines and F_1

hybrids in maize and *Arabidopsis*

The field fresh weight, grain dry matter yield, and grain dry matter content of maize

were obtained from published data in two previous studies (de Abreu et al., 2017;

Schrag et al., 2018). Metabolite profiles of 3.5-day-old roots and leaves at the third leaf

stage were also collected for 210 Dent and Flint lines and 363 F_1 hybrids in the two

studies (de Abreu et al., 2017; Schrag et al., 2018). The root and leaf metabolic datasets

contained levels of 165 and 81 metabolites, respectively. Since metabolic data were not

available for the parents of some of the F_1 hybrids, the field fresh weight of 326 F_1

hybrids, which were crossed between 24 Dent lines and 25 Flint lines, were used for

analysis. No further data normalization was performed on the metabolic data. As

reported in the study (de Abreu et al., 2017), the field fresh weight of the 326 F_1 hybrids

was recorded in two distinct field tests in 2010 (146 F_1 hybrids) and 2012 (180 F_1

hybrids). In the PLS analysis, the number was set to 100 to ensure a sufficient number

of latent factors, and the number was defined for each regression analysis when the R^2

value (adjusted) peaked. The R^2 values (adjusted) corresponding to different numbers

of latent factors and the greatest R^2 values for hybrid profiles and different inheritance

patterns were used for comparison analysis via ANOVA. Among the 326 F_1 hybrids,

291 F_1 hybrids had recorded data on grain dry matter yield and grain dry matter content

in approximately seven agro-ecologically diverse environments (Schrag et al., 2018).

The root metabolic data were used for PLS analysis, and the R^2 values (adjusted) were

used for comparison analysis.

The grain yield per plant (in three environments) of 12 maize inbred lines and 66

F_1 hybrids from the inbred lines with a half-diallel design reported in a previous study

(Wang et al., 2023) was used for analysis. The expression levels of 27,608 genes, which

were pan and core genes in Zheng58, from the 12 inbred lines were also obtained from

the study (Wang et al., 2023). As described in the study (Wang et al., 2023), each

genotype of the 12 maize ILs had two sets (not biological replicate) of RNA-seq data,

with one set containing equally mixed RNAs from eight tissues and the other from five

tissues at different developmental stages. The expression data were processed with

MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022), with 40% set as the percentage for filtering. No

data transformation, normalization, or scaling was performed. In total, 84 DEGs were

identified in the 12 inbred lines by ANOVA, with Fisher's LSD used in post hoc

analysis (P value cut-off = 0.05). The average values of the two sets of RNA sequencing

data were used to represent the levels of each inbred line. The 84 genes were classified

according to the previously reported results (Wang et al., 2023). The number of latent

factors was set to 50 in the PLS analysis of better-parent heterosis for grain yield per

plant, mid-parent heterosis for grain yield per plant, and grain yield per plant.

The phenotypes of cotyledon and the first true leaf and transcriptomics data in

Arabidopsis were obtained from a previously published study (Liu et al., 2021b). The

transcriptomics data were normalized with above mentioned parameters in

NetworkAnalyst 3.0. Differential expression genes between Col-0 and Per-1 at different

stages were analyzed with the limma method, with Log2 fold change at 2 and adjusted

p-value at 0.0001. The pooled differential expression genes across stages were treated

as differential expression genes for each tissue. Two-way ANOVA on the normalized

levels of DEGs with genotype and stage as metadata was implemented with

MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022). The overlapped DEGs of genotype, stage, and

their interaction were used for further analysis. Pearson correlation between expression

levels of the DEGs and phenotypes of cotyledon and the first true leaf was performed

to screen the genes and the significance level was set at 0.05.

Genome sequencing and analysis of genomic data

Seedlings from the 17 rice ILs were ground into fine powder using liquid nitrogen. The

genomic DNA was extracted, and the quality and concentration were assessed by 1%

agarose gel electrophoresis and Qubit 3.0 fluorometer. The DNA libraries (with index

codes) were prepared and sequenced on the Illumina sequencing platform of Novogene

Co., Ltd (Beijing, China). In brief, genomic DNA was randomly fragmented into ~350

bp size via sonication (Covaris). The strands were then added with adapters and used

for PCR amplification. Products were purified with AMPure XP beads (Beckman

Coulter) and the concentrations were measured with Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina PE150 platform, and the raw data were

recorded in FASTQ format (> 15 G per sample). After the clean reads were obtained,

the sequences were aligned to the reference genome using the Burrows–Wheeler

Aligner (mem -t 4 -k 32 -M, version 0.7.8-r455) (Li and Durbin, 2009). Dislodged

duplications in the BAM files were removed via SAMtools (rmdup, version 1.3.1) (Li

et al., 2009). SNPs and InDels in each sample were called with the parameters "-C 50

- mpileup -m 2 -F 0.002 -d 1000" and further filtered to reduce errors (depth of variant >

4 and mapping quality > 20). CNVs were detected by CNVnator (-call 100, version 0.3)

(Abyzov et al., 2011), and SVs were detected by BreakDancer (depth of variant > 2,

version 1.4.4) (Chen et al., 2009). Variant annotation was implemented with

ANNOVAR (Wang et al., 2010). Genomic variants on chromosomes were visualized

by Circos (0.69-9) (Krzywinski et al., 2009). To analyze the population structures of

the 17 ILs, GATK (version 4.2.0.0) was first used to filter SNPs (QD < 2, FS > 60, MQ

< 40, MQRankSum < -12.5, ReadPosRankSum < -8) and InDels (QD < 2, FS > 200,

ReadPosRankSum < -20). SNPs and InDels were further filtered with VCFtools

(maximum missing value < 10%, minus allele: 0.05, minimum read depth > 4, multiple

alleles removed, version 0.1.17) and analyzed with Plink (version 1.90) and Admixture

(version 1.3.0). When comparing the SNPs and InDels between each pair of samples of

the 17 ILs, the variants were defined as common variants if they shared the same values

for five parameters, including CHROM (chromosome), POS (position of the reference),

REF (reference base), ALT (alternative base) and GT (genotype). Differential variants

were those that were unique to each IL. For CNVs and SVs, variants were defined as

common variants if they shared the same values of CHROM, POS1, POS2, TYPE and

SIZE. The numbers of common and unique variants were counted, and the sums of

unique genomic variants between each pair of ILs were used to represent the genetic

distance. Phylogenetic trees (neighbour-joining method) based on one or multiple types

of genomic variants in the 17 ILs were generated with MEGA (version 10.2.6) (Stecher

et al., 2020).

Phylogenetic analysis of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes

Using genome assemblies from 12 rice inbred lines and available gene family

information (Dan et al., 2024b), we extracted sequences for eight of the ten heterosis-

associated genes of seedling length, except for *Os04g0688500* and *Os07g0677100*.

Among these eight genes, *Os01g0293900* and *Os02g0240300* were not detected in

some inbred lines and thus excluded. Consequently, sequences spanning the start-to-

stop codons of the six remaining genes were used for analyses. With sequences of YB

as the reference, sequence variants were identified for each accession. Exon-intron

structure of each gene was visualized using the Exon-Intron Graphic Maker

(http://wormweb.org/exonintron) with variants annotated. Phylogenetic trees for

individual and concatenated genes were built in Jalview (version 2.11.4.1) (Waterhouse

et al., 2009), using E-INS in mafft method. Haplotypes of the 12 inbred lines were

classified based on tree topology.

Partial least squares regression analysis

Partial least squares regression (PLS), which has inherent ability to handle noisy,

collinear, and incomplete variables in both predictors and responses (Eriksson et al.,

1995; Wold et al., 2001), was applied to build multivariate models for screening

heterosis-associated molecules and predicting heterosis of agronomic traits or hybrid

phenotypes. To extract enough latent factors, the maximum number was initially set to

100 in SPSS 20. The optimum model for each trait was determined by selecting the

highest adjusted R^2 value, which also served as the measure of predictability. The mean

variable importance in projection across all latent factors were used to estimate

contributions of predictors, applying a threshold of 1 for screening heterosis-associated

molecules of seedling length in rice. With class order taken into account, partial least

squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was performed on F_1 hybrids with different

degrees of seedling length heterosis using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2022). The

analysis searched a maximum of five components and employed tenfold cross-

validation. To assess model overfitting, the separation distance (B/W) method was used

for permutation analysis (2,000 times). The predictability of PLS-DA model was

evaluated using the Q^2.

Statistical analyses

Analysis of variance (least significant difference used in post hoc test), independent

samples *t* test, paired samples *t* test, Spearman rank correlation, Pearson correlation

(two-tailed, *P* value < 0.05) were performed using SPSS 20.0. Venn diagrams were

drawn with the tool from http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/. The

phylogenetic tree of 223 inbred lines was based on genotypes and the tree was

constructed using MEGA (Stecher et al., 2020) via the neighbour-joining method. The

indica genotype, heterozygous genotype, and *japonica* genotype based on InDel

markers were represented by 3, 2, and 1, respectively. A similarity matrix of the 223

inbred lines was then derived from MORPHEUS

(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/) using Euclidean distance. For each box

generated with BoxPlotR (Spitzer et al., 2014) (http://shiny.chemgrid.org/boxplotr/),

the central line represents the median, the upper and lower edges indicate the 75th and

25th percentiles, respectively, and the whiskers are 1.5× the interquartile range away

from the 75th and 25th percentiles. The statistical source data have been provided in

Dataset S5.

Abbreviations

ANOVA: analysis of variance; BPH: better-parent heterosis; CNV: copy number

variation; DAS: days after sowing; DEG: differentially expressed gene; DM:

differential metabolite; GO: gene ontology; HAG: heterosis-associated gene; HAM:

heterosis-associated metabolite; IL: inbred line; InDel: insertions and deletion; MPV:

mid-parent value; PC: principal component; PCA: principal component analysis; PLS:

partial least squares; PLS-DA: partial least squares-discriminant analysis; RIL:

recombinant inbred line; SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; SV: structural

variation; YA: Yuetai A; YB: Yuetai B; YPP: yield per plant; SBN: secondary branch

number; GNP: grain number per panicle; TPP: tiller number per plant; SSR: seed

setting rate; HD: heading date; TGW: thousand grain weight; SSTN: seedling stage

tiller number; ESTN: elongation stage tiller number; FSTN: flowering stage tiller

number; MSTN: maturation stage tiller number; SSPH: seedling stage plant height;

ESPH: elongation stage plant height; FSPH: flowering stage plant height; MSPH:

maturation stage plant height; PL: panicle length; and PBN: primary branch number.

Funding

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31771746 to W.H., 31801439 to Z.D., and 32101667 to Yunping Chen), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018M632910, 2019M660186, and 2022T150500 to Z.D., and 2023T160497 to Yunping Chen), the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0100400 to W. H.) and the Hubei Agriculture Science and Technology Innovation Center program to W. H..

Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the Zhu lab for assistance in the collection of field phenotypic data. The authors thank Professor Baorong Lu (Fudan University) for the design of the diallel cross population. The authors thank Mr. Caibin Zhu for the fund of rice research. The authors thank Fulin Luo for the planting of rice. The authors thank Dr. Xiaofei Zeng for suggestions.

Author contributions

Z. D. conceived the project and performed most part of the hybridizations. W. H. collected rice inbred lines. Z. D. and Yunping Chen collected phenotypic data of rice seedlings, prepared seedling samples for transcriptomics and metabolomics experiments, and analysed the omics data. Z. D., W. Z. and G. Y. constructed the diallel cross population and recorded phenotypic data of the hybrid population in 2012. Z. D. and W. H. screened the recombinant inbred lines and constructed the test cross population. Z. D., Y. X., J. H. and J. M. collected phenotypic data of the hybrid

population in 2015. G. Y. performed the analysis of 223 inbred lines with InDel markers.

Yi Chen participated in the construction of phylogenetic tree for the 223 inbred lines

and the preparation of several heatmaps. Z. D., Yunping Chen, and W. H. wrote the

manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

All data are available in the main text or the materials. The genomics and

transcriptomics data reported in this study have been deposited in the NCBI database

under BioProject accession PRJNA1122958. The metabolomics data have been

deposited in the MetaboLights database under MTBL742 (Dan et al., 2020) and

MTBL1344. Source data are available with this paper.

Supplemental information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information

section at the end of the article.

Figure S1-S40.

Table S1-S3.

Dataset S1-S5.

References

Abyzov, A., Urban, A.E., Snyder, M. and Gerstein, M. (2011) CNVnator: an approach to

discover, genotype, and characterize typical and atypical CNVs from family and

population genome sequencing. *Genome Res.* **21**, 974-984.

Bindea, G., Galon, J. and Mlecnik, B. (2013) CluePedia Cytoscape plugin: pathway insights

using integrated experimental and in silico data. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 661-663.

Bindea, G., Mlecnik, B., Hackl, H., Charoentong, P., Tosolini, M., Kirilovsky, A., Fridman, W.H.*,*

et al. (2009) ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology

and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1091-1093.

Birchler, J.A., Yao, H. and Chudalayandi, S. (2006) Unraveling the genetic basis of hybrid

vigor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 12957-12958.

Birchler, J.A., Yao, H., Chudalayandi, S., Vaiman, D. and Veitia, R.A. (2010) Heterosis. *Plant*

Cell **22**, 2105-2112.

Bruce, A.B. (1910) The Mendelian theory of heredity and the augmentation of vigor. *Science*

32, 627-628.

Chambers, M.C., MacLean, B., Burke, R., Amode, D., Ruderman, D.L., Neumann, S., Gatto,

L.*, et al.* (2012) A cross-platform toolkit for mass spectrometry and proteomics. *Nat.*

Biotechnol. **30**, 918-920

Chen, K., Wallis, J.W., McLellan, M.D., Larson, D.E., Kalicki, J.M., Pohl, C.S., McGrath, S.D.*,*

et al. (2009) BreakDancer: an algorithm for high-resolution mapping of genomic structural

variation. *Nat. Methods* **6**, 677-681.

Chen, Y., Dan, Z. and Li, S. (2024) *GROWTH REGULATING FACTOR 7*-mediated arbutin

metabolism enhances rice salt tolerance. *Plant Cell* **36**, 2834-2850.

Chong, J., Soufan, O., Li, C., Caraus, I., Li, S., Bourque, G., Wishart, D.S.*, et al.* (2018)

MetaboAnalyst 4.0: towards more transparent and integrative metabolomics analysis.

Nucleic Acids Res. **46**, W486-W494.

Crow, J.F. (1948) Alternative hypotheses of hybrid vigor. *Genetics* **33**, 477-487.

Dan, Z., Chen, Y. and Huang, W. (2024a) The metabolic pathways underlying dynamic

heterosis for plant height are robust biomarkers in rice. *bioRxiv*,

10.1101/2024.1111.1109.622823.

Dan, Z., Chen, Y. and Huang, W. (2024b) Structural variations contribute to genetic diversity

and heterosis in rice. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/2024.1111.1103.621459.

Dan, Z., Chen, Y., Li, H., Zeng, Y., Xu, W., Zhao, W., He, R.*, et al.* (2021) The metabolomic

landscape of rice heterosis highlights pathway biomarkers for predicting complex

phenotypes. *Plant Physiol.* **187**, 1011-1025.

Dan, Z., Chen, Y., Xu, Y., Huang, J.R., Huang, J.S., Hu, J., Yao, G.*, et al.* (2019) A

metabolome-based core hybridisation strategy for the prediction of rice grain weight

across environments. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **17**, 906-913.

Dan, Z., Chen, Y., Zhao, W., Wang, Q. and Huang, W. (2020) Metabolome-based prediction
of yield heterosis contributes to the breeding of elite rice. *Life Sci. Alliance* **3**,
e201900551.

Dan, Z., Hu, J., Zhou, W., Yao, G., Zhu, R., Huang, W. and Zhu, Y. (2015) Hierarchical
additive effects on heterosis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* **6**, 738.

Dan, Z., Hu, J., Zhou, W., Yao, G., Zhu, R., Zhu, Y. and Huang, W. (2016) Metabolic
prediction of important agronomic traits in hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Sci. Rep.* **6**,
21732.

Dan, Z., Liu, P., Huang, W., Zhou, W., Yao, G., Hu, J., Zhu, R.*, et al.* (2014) Balance between
a higher degree of heterosis and increased reproductive isolation: a strategic design for
breeding inter-subspecific hybrid rice. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e93122.

Davenport, C.B. (1908) Degeneration, albinism and inbreeding. *Science* **28**, 454-455.

de Abreu, E.L.F., Westhues, M., Cuadros-Inostroza, Á., Willmitzer, L., Melchinger, A.E. and
Nikoloski, Z. (2017) Metabolic robustness in young roots underpins a predictive model of
maize hybrid performance in the field. *Plant J.* **90**, 319-329.

Domingo-Almenara, X., Montenegro-Burke, J.R., Ivanisevic, J., Thomas, A., Sidibe, J., Teav,
T., Guijas, C.*, et al.* (2018) XCMS-MRM and METLIN-MRM: a cloud library and public
resource for targeted analysis of small molecules. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 681-684.

East, E.M. (1936) Heterosis. *Genetics* **21**, 375-397.

Eriksson, L., Hermens, J., L. M., Johansson, E., Verhaar, H., J. M. and Wold, S. (1995)
Multivariate analysis of aquatic toxicity data with PLS. *Aquat. Sci.* **57**, 217-241.

Gowda, H., Ivanisevic, J., Johnson, C.H., Kurczy, M.E., Benton, H.P., Rinehart, D., Nguyen,
T.*, et al.* (2014) Interactive XCMS Online: simplifying advanced metabolomic data
processing and subsequent statistical analyses. *Anal. Chem.* **86**, 6931-6939.

Hochholdinger, F. and Baldauf, J.A. (2018) Heterosis in plants. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, R1089-R1092.

Huang, X., Yang, S., Gong, J., Zhao, Q., Feng, Q., Zhan, Q., Zhao, Y.*, et al.* (2016) Genomic
architecture of heterosis for yield traits in rice. *Nature* **537**, 629-633.

Jia, H., Shen, X., Guan, Y., Xu, M., Tu, J., Mo, M., Xie, L.*, et al.* (2018) Predicting the
pathological response to neoadjuvant chemoradiation using untargeted metabolomics in
locally advanced rectal cancer. *Radiother Oncol* **128**, 548-556.

Jones, D.F. (1917) Dominance of linked factors as a means of accounting for heterosis. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **3**, 310-312.

Kakoulidou, I., Piecyk, R.S., Meyer, R.C., Kuhlmann, M., Gutjahr, C., Altmann, T. and

Johannes, F. (2024) Mapping parental DMRs predictive of local and distal methylome remodeling in epigenetic F1 hybrids. *Life Sci. Alliance* **7**, e202402599.

Kim, D., Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2015) HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 357-360.

Krieger, U., Lippman, Z.B. and Zamir, D. (2010) The flowering gene *SINGLE FLOWER TRUSS* drives heterosis for yield in tomato. *Nat. Genet.* **42**, 459-463.

Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J.*, et al.* (2009) Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1639-1645.

Li, D., Huang, Z., Song, S., Xin, Y., Mao, D., Lv, Q., Zhou, M.*, et al.* (2016) Integrated analysis of phenome, genome, and transcriptome of hybrid rice uncovered multiple heterosis-related loci for yield increase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, E6026-E6035.

Li, H. and Durbin, R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.*, et al.* (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-2079.

Li, S., Park, Y., Duraisingham, S., Strobel, F.H., Khan, N., Soltow, Q.A., Jones, D.P.*, et al.* (2013) Predicting network activity from high throughput metabolomics. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **9**, e1003123.

Li, X., Li, X., Fridman, E., Tesso, T.T. and Yu, J. (2015) Dissecting repulsion linkage in the dwarfing gene *Dw3* region for sorghum plant height provides insights into heterosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 11823-11828.

Li, Z., Pinson, S., Park, W., Paterson, A. and Stansel, J. (1997) Epistasis for three grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Genetics* **145**, 453-465.

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K. and Shi, W. (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930.

Lisec, J., Romisch-Margl, L., Nikoloski, Z., Piepho, H.P., Giavalisco, P., Selbig, J., Gierl, A.*, et al.* (2011) Corn hybrids display lower metabolite variability and complex metabolite inheritance patterns. *Plant J.* **68**, 326-336.

Liu, N., Du, Y., Warburton, M.L., Xiao, Y. and Yan, J. (2021a) Phenotypic plasticity contributes to maize adaptation and heterosis. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**, 1262-1275.

Liu, W., He, G. and Deng, X.W. (2021b) Biological pathway expression complementation contributes to biomass heterosis in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **118**,

e2023278118.

Lu, B., Cai, X. and Jin, X. (2009) Efficient *indica* and *japonica* rice identification based on the

InDel molecular method:its implication in rice breeding and evolutionary research.

Progress in Nature Science **19**, 1241-1252.

Metsalu, T. and Vilo, J. (2015) ClustVis: a web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate

data using Principal Component Analysis and heatmap. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, W566-

570.

Pang, Z., Zhou, G., Ewald, J., Chang, L., Hacariz, O., Basu, N. and Xia, J. (2022) Using

MetaboAnalyst 5.0 for LC-HRMS spectra processing, multi-omics integration and

covariate adjustment of global metabolomics data. *Nat. Protoc.*

Powers, L. (1944) An expansion of Jones's theory for the explanation of heterosis. *Am. Nat.*

78, 275-280.

Price, N.D., Magis, A.T., Earls, J.C., Glusman, G., Levy, R., Lausted, C., McDonald, D.T.*, et*

al. (2017) A wellness study of 108 individuals using personal, dense, dynamic data

clouds. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 747-756.

Saito, R., Smoot, M.E., Ono, K., Ruscheinski, J., Wang, P.L., Lotia, S., Pico, A.R.*, et al.*

(2012) A travel guide to Cytoscape plugins. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 1069-1076.

Schnable, P.S. and Springer, N.M. (2013) Progress toward understanding heterosis in crop

plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **64**, 71-88.

Schrag, T., Westhues, M., Schipprack, W., Seifert, F., Thiemann, A., Scholten, S. and

Melchinger, A.E. (2018) Beyond genomic prediction: combining different types of *omics*

data can improve prediction of hybrid performance in maize. *Genetics* **208**, 1373-1385.

Shen, X., Wang, R., Xiong, X., Yin, Y., Cai, Y., Ma, Z., Liu, N.*, et al.* (2019) Metabolic reaction

network-based recursive metabolite annotation for untargeted metabolomics. *Nat.*

Commun. **10**, 1516.

Shull, G.H. (1948) What is "heterosis"? *Genetics* **33**, 439-446.

Spitzer, M., Wildenhain, J., Rappsilber, J. and Tyers, M. (2014) BoxPlotR: a web tool for

generation of box plots. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 121-122.

Stecher, G., Tamura, K. and Kumar, S. (2020) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis

(MEGA) for macOS. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 1237-1239.

Sun, Z., Peng, J., Lv, Q., Ding, J., Chen, S., Duan, M., He, Q.*, et al.* (2023) Dissecting the

genetic basis of heterosis in elite super-hybrid rice. *Plant Physiol.* **192**, 307-325.

Tu, J., Yin, Y., Xu, M., Wang, R. and Zhu, Z.J. (2017) Absolute quantitative lipidomics reveals

lipidome-wide alterations in aging brain. *Metabolomics* **14**, 5.

Wang, B., Hou, M., Shi, J., Ku, L., Song, W., Li, C., Ning, Q.*, et al.* (2023) De novo genome

assembly and analyses of 12 founder inbred lines provide insights into maize heterosis.

Nat. Genet. **55**, 312-323.

Wang, K., Li, M. and Hakonarson, H. (2010) ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic

variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, e164.

Wang, X., Zhou, T., Li, G., Yao, W., Hu, W., Wei, X., Che, J.*, et al.* (2022) A Ghd7-centered

regulatory network provides a mechanistic approximation to optimal heterosis in an elite

rice hybrid. *Plant J.* **112**, 68-83.

Wang, Z., Xue, Z. and Wang, T. (2014) Differential analysis of proteomes and metabolomes

reveals additively balanced networking for metabolism in maize heterosis. *J. Proteome*

Res. **13**, 3987-4001.

Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M., Clamp, M. and Barton, G.J. (2009) Jalview

Version 2-a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics*

25, 1189-1191.

Williams, W. (1959) Heterosis and the genetics of complex characters. *Nature* **184**, 527-530.

Wold, S., Sjöström, M. and Eriksson, L. (2001) PLS-regression: a basic tool of chemometrics.

Chemometrics Intellig. Lab. Syst. **58**, 109-130.

Xiao, Y., Jiang, S., Cheng, Q., Wang, X., Yan, J., Zhang, R., Qiao, F.*, et al.* (2021) The genetic

mechanism of heterosis utilization in maize improvement. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 148.

Xu, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, X., Ma, Y., Li, P., Yang, Z., Zhang, X.*, et al.* (2021) Incorporation of

parental phenotypic data into multi-omic models improves prediction of yield-related traits

in hybrid rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **19**, 261-272.

Yao, G. and Huang, W. (2013) Identifying *indica-japonica* characteristic of rice breeding

materials with *indica-japonica* differentiation InDel markers. *Hybrid Rice* **28**, 53-57.

Yu, S.B., Li, J.X., Xu, C.G., Tan, Y.F., Gao, Y.J., Li, X.H., Zhang, Q.*, et al.* (1997) Importance

of epistasis as the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

USA **94**, 9226-9231.

Zhang, M., Wang, Y., Hu, Y., Wang, H., Liu, Y., Zhao, B., Zhang, J.*, et al.* (2023) Heterosis in

root microbiota inhibits growth of soil-borne fungal pathogens in hybrid rice. *J. Integr.*

Plant Biol. **65**, 1059-1076.

Zhou, G., Ewald, J. and Xia, J. (2021a) OmicsAnalyst: a comprehensive web-based platform

for visual analytics of multi-omics data. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

Zhou, G., Soufan, O., Ewald, J., Hancock, R.E.W., Basu, N. and Xia, J. (2019a)

NetworkAnalyst 3.0: a visual analytics platform for comprehensive gene expression

profiling and meta-analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, W234-W241.

Zhou, P., Hirsch, C.N., Briggs, S.P. and Springer, N.M. (2019b) Dynamic patterns of gene

expression additivity and regulatory variation throughout maize development. *Mol. Plant*

12, 410-425.

Zhou, X., Nong, C., Wu, B., Zhou, T., Zhang, B., Liu, X., Gao, G.*, et al.* (2021b) Combinations

of *Ghd7*, *Ghd8*, and *Hd1* determine strong heterosis of commercial rice hybrids in diverse

ecological regions. *J. Exp. Bot.* **72**, 6963-6976.

1204

1205

Figure and figure legends

Figure 1 Heterosis-associated genes and metabolites for seedling length in rice. (a) Seedlings and seedling length of Balilla, Yuetai B (YB), and their reciprocal F_1 hybrids at 5, 10, and 15 days after sowing (DAS). Analysis of variance was implemented to compare differences. The significance level was set at 0.05. Scale bar = 5 cm. (b) PCA score plot of Balilla, YB, and F_1 hybrids using normalized expression levels of 22,194 genes. (c) Venn diagram for differentially expressed genes of parental inbred lines and F_1 hybrids at 15 DAS. Red texts indicate the number and percentage of DEGs derived from parental differences. (d) PCA score plot of Balilla, YB, F_1 hybrids, and mid-parent values (MPVs) using levels of 626 heterosis-associated genes. (e) Twelve significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the 626 heterosis-associated genes. Colored terms denote those overlapping with parental differential terms. (f) PCA score plot of Balilla, YB, and F_1 hybrids based on normalized levels of 10,522 metabolites. (g) Venn diagram for differential metabolic pathways (positive ion mode) of parental inbred lines and F_1 hybrids at 15 DAS. (h) PCA score plot based on 645 heterosis-associated metabolites. (i) Enriched pathways of heterosis-associated metabolites (positive ion mode). Three significantly enriched pathways are labeled. (j) Integrated pathway enrichment analysis of heterosis-associated genes and metabolites. Five significantly enriched pathways are indicated.

1227

Figure 2 Inheritance patterns and predictabilities of heterosis-associated molecules for seedling length. (a) Seedlings and seedling length of four inbred lines and nine pairs of reciprocal F_1 hybrids. Seedling length was compared between F_1 hybrid and its better-parent. *P* values indicate least significant difference in post hoc test of analysis of variance. (b) Cross scheme of six inbred lines. (c) Subnetwork_1 of Network_HLN integrating heterosis-associated genes and metabolites. The subnetwork contains eight modules and 868 edges. Node size reflects node degree. (d-f) Comparison of Subnetwork_1 between Network_51015 and Network_HLN. Node number (d; weighted), predictability (e), and percentage of Component 1 (f) of top three modules are compared. *P* values are for independent samples *t* test. (g) Scores of variable importance in projections (VIP) of Component 1 for 68 heterosis-associated molecules across F_1 hybrids with high-, low-, or no better-parent heterosis (BPH). (h) PCA score plot of six inbred lines and their reciprocal F_1 hybrids based on 68 heterosis-associated molecules. (i) Inheritance patterns of the 68 molecules in six pairs of reciprocal F_1 hybrids. NA = unclassifiable inheritance patterns. (j) Percentages of four inheritance patterns. (k) Performance of additive effect. (l) Predictabilities (Q^2) of hybrid metabolite profiles (F_1), individual inheritance patterns, and their combinations for seedling length heterosis. Analysis of variance was performed with the least significant difference used in post hoc test.

Figure 3 Additive and partially dominant effects contribute to heterosis of 17 agronomic traits in rice. (a) Plants and panicles of 17 inbred lines (ILs) used for complete diallel-crosses. Scale bars represent 50 cm and 10 cm for plants and panicles, respectively. The six ILs used in Figure 2b are in bold. (b) Neighbour-joining tree of 223 ILs based on InDels. The 17 selected ILs are with red dots. (c) Better-parent heterosis (BPH) of 17 traits in 245 F_1 hybrids. (d) Correlation heatmap for BPH of 17 traits. Asterisks indicate significant Pearson correlations ($P < 0.05$). (e) Number of significant correlations between different inheritance patterns of five chemically annotated metabolites and heterosis of 17 traits. P value indicates independent samples t tests (weighted). (f) R^2 values (adjusted) with varying number of latent factors in partial least squares analysis on better-parent heterosis for grain yield per plant (BPH_YPP). The 1,306 differential metabolites analyzed from 17 ILs were used as predictor with different inheritance patterns. (g) Top R^2 values of models for predicting heterosis of 17 traits. (h) Top R^2 values for predicting heterosis of eight traits across environments. (i) R^2 values for predicting heterosis of four traits in test-cross F_1 hybrids. Analysis of variance was performed with the least significant difference used in post hoc test in C, F, G, and H. Abbreviations: secondary branch number = SBN, grain number per panicle = GNP, tiller number per plant = TPP, seed setting rate = SSR, heading date = HD, thousand grain weight = TGW, seedling stage tiller number = SSTN, elongation stage tiller number = ESTN, flowering stage tiller number = FSTN, maturation stage tiller number = MSTN, seedling stage plant height = SSPH, elongation stage plant height = ESPH, flowering stage plant height = FSPH, maturation stage plant height = MSPH, panicle length = PL, and primary branch number = PBN.

1271

Figure 4 Parental genomic variants correlate with heterosis of agronomic traits in rice. (a) Circos visualization of genomic variants in Yuetai B (YB). Chromosome position, SNP density, InDel density, CNV duplication position, CNV deletion position, SV insertion position, SV deletion position, and SV inversion position are listed from outer to inner circles. (b-c) Heatmaps for SNP (b) and InDel (c) density of Yuetai B. (d) Cross-validation errors with K values ranging from 1 to 10 using SNPs from 17 inbred lines (ILs). (e) Population structure of 17 ILs based on SNPs. (f) Neighbour-joining tree of 17 ILs based on SNPs. (g) Correlation heatmap between parental genomic variants (common/unique) and heterosis of 17 traits. Asterisks indicate significant Spearman correlations ($P < 0.05$). (h) Number of significant correlations between parental genomic variants and trait heterosis. P value indicates independent samples t test (weighted). (i) Number of significant correlations between parental unique variants and trait heterosis. Analysis of variance was performed with the least significant difference used in post hoc test (weighted). (j) Correlations between parental unique InDels and heterosis for grain number per plant/seed setting rate. P value indicates Spearman rank correlation. (k) Quadratic regression between parental unique InDels and heterosis for grain yield per plant. Abbreviations: better-parent heterosis = BPH, yield per plant = YPP, secondary branch number = SBN, grain number per panicle = GNP, tiller number per plant = TPP, seed setting rate = SSR, heading date = HD, thousand grain weight = TGW, seedling stage tiller number = SSTN, elongation stage tiller number = ESTN, flowering stage tiller number = FSTN, maturation stage tiller number = MSTN, seedling stage plant height = SSPH, elongation stage plant height = ESPH, flowering stage plant height = FSPH, maturation stage plant height = MSPH, panicle length = PL, and primary branch number = PBN.

Figure 5 Genomic variants in phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes contribute to rice heterosis. (a) Gene structure of *Os09g0262000*. With Yuetai B (YB) as the reference, genomic variants (SNPs, insertions, deletions, presence variants (PVs), and absence variants (AVs)) detected in 11 inbred lines are indicated. (b) Clustering of 12 inbred lines based on sequence variants of *Os09g0262000*. Red blocks represent the presence of variants. Detailed sequences of two PVs and two SNPs in the fifth exon are shown. (c) Six phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes. (d) Haplotypes of 12 inbred lines based on sequence variants of the six genes. (e-g) Comparisons of better-parent heterosis for maturation stage plant height (BPH_MSPH; e), grain number per panicle (BPH_GNP; f), and grain yield per plant (BPH_YPP; g) between F_1 hybrids with heterozygous (haplotype-1_haplotype-2) versus homozygous haplotypes (haplotype-1 and haplotype-2). *P* values indicate independent samples *t* test.

Figure 6 Associations of parental multi-omics variation, different inheritance patterns, and heterosis of component/complex traits in rice. (a-d) Number of significant correlations between parental unique variants and the top 50 heterosis-associated metabolites per trait (with different inheritance patterns). Analysis of variance (weighted) was performed with the least significant difference used in post hoc test. (e) A model of additive effect from genomic variants on yield heterosis in rice. To simplify interpretation, grain yield is determined by grain number and grain weight, with SNP and SV related with each trait, respectively. Due to additive effect from genomic variants in parents, the F_1 hybrids showed intermediate transcriptomic and metabolomic levels. Accordingly, in F_1 hybrids displayed mid-parent values for grain number and grain weight. With multiplicative interaction of the two yield components, positive yield heterosis occurred in F_1 hybrids, with equal yield in parents. Abbreviations: better-parent heterosis = BPH, yield per plant = YPP, secondary branch number = SBN, grain number per panicle = GNP, tiller number per plant = TPP, seed setting rate = SSR, heading date = HD, thousand grain weight = TGW, seedling stage tiller number = SSTN, elongation stage tiller number = ESTN, flowering stage tiller number = FSTN, maturation stage tiller number = MSTN, seedling stage plant height = SSPH, elongation stage plant height = ESPH, flowering stage plant height = FSPH, maturation stage plant height = MSPH, panicle length = PL, and primary branch number = PBN.