1 **Stabilized dengue virus 2 envelope subunit vaccine redirects the neutralizing antibody**
2 **response to all E-domains**
3

4 Devina J. Thiono[a], Demetrios Samaras[a], Thanh T.N. Phan[b], Deanna R. Zhu[c], Ruby P. Shah[a],
5 Izabella Castillo[a], Lawrence J. Forsberg[d], Lakshmanane Premkumar[a], Ralph S. Baric[a,c], Shaomin
6 Tian[a], Brian Kuhlman[b], Aravinda M. de Silva[a#]
7
[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North
Carolina, USA
[b]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North
Carolina, USA
[c]Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
[d]Department of Pharmacology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA
14
15
16 [#]Address correspondence to Aravinda M. de Silva, aravinda_desilva@med.unc.edu

17 Abstract word count: 248

18 Text word count (excluding references): ~4350 words

Abstract

The length limit for abstracts is 250 words (75 words for Commentaries). If you want your article to be indexed under specific terms by PubMed, those terms must appear in the title or abstract.

The four dengue virus (DENV) serotypes cause several hundred million infections annually. Several live-attenuated tetravalent dengue vaccines (LAVs) are at different stages of clinical testing and regulatory approval. A major hurdle faced by the two leading LAVs is uneven replication of vaccine serotypes stimulating a dominant response to one serotype at the expense of the other three which could lead to an increased likelihood of severe dengue. Protein subunit vaccines are a promising alternative since antigen dosing can be precisely controlled. However, DENV envelope (E) protein subunit vaccines have not performed well to date, possibly due to differences between the monomeric structure of soluble E and the E homodimer of the viral surface. Previously, we have combined structure-guided computational and experimental approaches to design and produce DENV2 E antigens that are stable homodimers at 37℃ and stimulate higher levels of neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) than the WT E antigen in mice. The goal of the current study was to evaluate if DENV2 E homodimers stimulate NAbs that target different epitopes on E protein compared to the WT E monomer. Using DENV4/2 chimeric viruses and Ab depletion methods, we mapped the WT E-elicited NAbs to simple epitopes on domain III of E. In contrast, the stable E homodimer stimulated a more complex response towards all three surface-exposed domains of the E protein. Our findings highlight the impact of DENV2 E oligomeric state on the quality and specificity of DENV NAbs, and the promise of DENV E homodimers as subunit vaccines.

Importance

You must also include a non-technical explanation of the significance of the study to the field in a separate paragraph of no more than 150 words. Note that the Importance section must also be included in your manuscript text file, directly after the Abstract.

The ideal dengue virus vaccine should elicit a balanced and highly protective immune response against all 4 DENV serotypes. Current tetravalent live dengue virus vaccines have faced challenges due to uneven replication of vaccine virus strains, stimulating a strong immune response to one serotype and weak responses to the other three. Protein subunit vaccines provide novel opportunities to stimulate a balanced response because dosing can be precisely controlled and independent of virus replication used in the vaccine. Here, we compare immune responses elicited by a new dengue serotype 2 protein vaccine designed to match the structure of proteins on the viral surface versus the previously tested suboptimal protein vaccine. We find that proteins designed to match the viral surface stimulate better immune responses targeting multiple sites on the viral surface compared to previous protein vaccines. Our results justify further testing and development of these second-generation dengue protein subunit vaccines.

Introduction

The four dengue virus (DENV) serotypes are mosquito-borne flaviviruses that are estimated to infect several hundred million people per year living in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world(1). From January to June 2024, there has been around 10 million suspected dengue cases in the Americas alone(2). Clinically, DENV infections can be asymptomatic or present as dengue fever or dengue hemorrhagic fever and shock syndrome. Vaccination is one of the most effective methods for preventing flavivirus infections and effective vaccines have been developed against other deadly flaviviruses, such as yellow fever virus and Japanese encephalitis virus. DENV vaccines must be tetravalent because immunity to a single serotype has been linked to antibody-enhanced replication of heterologous serotypes, associated with more severe clinical disease(3). Protein subunit vaccination is a well-established technology for developing safe and effective monovalent and multivalent vaccines. However, DENV subunit vaccines based on the viral envelope (E) protein have performed poorly in pre-clinical and early clinical studies. One plausible explanation is the structure of soluble E protein disrupts key quaternary epitopes that are presented on the infectious virions. Consequently, soluble wild-type (WT) E protein fails to properly display key E epitopes that likely elicit protective human neutralizing antibodies (NAb)(4, 5). Using structure-guided computational methods and experimentation, we have produced stable DENV E homodimers that display quaternary structure epitopes recognized by human NAbs(6, 7). Here, we sought to map the specificity of NAbs elicited by DENV2 WT and dimer-stabilized DENV2 recombinant E protein (rE) in mice.

The most advanced DENV vaccines are based on tetravalent live attenuated virus formulations. While the two leading candidates (Dengvaxia and Qdenga) have been approved

for use by some countries in restricted populations, both candidates suffer from uneven

replication of attenuated vaccine viruses that frequently elicit an effective immunity against one

or two serotypes only(8–11). In clinical trials, children with no pre-existing immunity to DENVs

who received Dengvaxia were more likely to be hospitalized than unvaccinated children, and

this vaccine is currently only approved for use in DENV-immune children(12). Qdenga clinical

trials have been underpowered to fully assess safety against serotypes not covered by the

vaccine in children with no previous dengue immunity(13). Given the challenges faced by live

vaccine developers, we are focusing on subunit vaccines, which are safer and easier to

formulate as a balanced tetravalent vaccine since immunogenicity is independent of viral

replication.

The E protein is a membrane-anchored structural protein covering the surface of

flaviviruses and the main target of neutralizing and protective Ab. On the viral surface, 90 E

homodimers are tightly packed to create a smooth protein coat with pseudo-icosahedral

symmetry(14, 15). Many human Abs that neutralize DENVs and other flaviviruses recognize

quaternary structure epitopes that span E homodimers or higher-order assemblies on the

virion(16–19). However, soluble DENV rE at physiological temperature (37℃) is mostly

monomeric and does not display the native homodimer form(4). The abundance of monomers

is potentially a major contributor to the poor performance of DENV subunit vaccines to date.

We previously identified specific amino acid substitutions that greatly stabilized the rE

homodimer at 37℃, while preserving the overall structure of the native homodimer, including

quaternary epitopes recognized by human NAb(6). We also showed that mice immunized with

stabilized DENV2 rE dimer (SD*FL) had higher levels of DENV2 NAb than animals immunized

with WT rE. In this study, we determined the specificity and epitope targets of the NAbs elicited

by the DENV2 SD*FL rE in comparison to the traditional WT rE when they are used as vaccine

antigens in mice.

Results

Immunogenicity of stabilized DENV2 recombinant E homodimers: 2 dose study

We performed experiments to characterize the binding and functional properties of Abs

stimulated by WT and stable homodimer E protein subunit vaccines. Groups of Balb/C mice

were primed and boosted (week 3) with WT rE and a stabilized E homodimer (SD*FL) in the

presence or absence of an adjuvant (Alum) (**Fig. 1B**). The SD*FL antigen has 2 mutations in the

E-domain(ED) II at the dimer interface (A259W, T262R), 2 mutations in the hinge between EDI

and EDIII (F279W, T280P), and a point mutation in the fusion loop (FL, G106D) that

independently contribute to dimer stabilization(6) (**Fig. 1A**). At 12 weeks after vaccination, mice

immunized with SD*FL had more DENV2 binding Abs compared to animals immunized with WT

rE (**Fig. 1C**). We compared the binding of vaccine-induced antibodies to rE monomer (M2) and

stable homodimer (SD) (**Fig. S1A-B**). SD*FL immunized animals had higher levels of Abs to both

E monomer and dimer antigens compared to WT E immunized animals (**Fig. 1D, E**). When Alum

was included in the formulation, SD*FL immunized animals also had a response that was

skewed in favor of the dimer over monomer compared to WT E immunized animals (**Fig. 1F**).

The SD*FL rE antigen also stimulated higher levels of NAbs compared to WT rE (**Fig. 1G**).

Collectively, these results indicate that the stable dimer antigen stimulates higher levels of

binding Abs (skewed in favor of the E homodimer over the monomer in the presence of Alum)

and NAbs compared to the WT E antigen.

Immunogenicity of stabilized DENV2 recombinant E homodimers: 3 dose study

Next, we performed a 3-dose immunogenicity study to characterize Ab levels at different times after vaccination and to map the binding sites of vaccine-induced NAbs (**Fig. 2A**). To focus on the impact of antigen structure alone on immunogenicity, we did not include the Alum adjuvant, which could alter protein structure(20). DENV2 binding Ab levels were boosted after the second (week 3) and third (week 8) vaccine doses (**Fig. 2B**). In general, the SD*FL rE elicited higher levels of DENV2 binding Ab compared to WT rE. At week 12, animals immunized with SD*FL had higher levels of binding Ab to the E dimer than WT E-immunized animals (**Fig. 2C, Fig. S1C**). The DENV2 NAb levels steadily increased over time and were similar in both groups (**Fig. 2D**). Mice immunized with WT and SD*FL rE did not cross-neutralize the other three DENV serotypes demonstrating the dominance of DENV2 type-specific NAbs in both vaccine constructs (**Fig. 2E**).

Mapping DENV2 E domains targeted by NAb using DENV4/2 chimeric viruses

To map the E domains targets of NAb elicited by WT and SD*FL, we used DENV4/2 chimera viruses which have a DENV4 backbone with transplanted domain (EDI/EDII/EDIII) from DENV2(21) (**Fig. 3A**). Mice immunized with WT and SD*FL rE antigens had DENV2 NAb (**Fig. 3B**), and little to no cross-neutralization of DENV4 (**Fig. 3C**), enabling the use of the DV4/2 chimeras for mapping domain specific responses. Both antigens induced Abs that neutralized the DENV4/2 EDIII chimera demonstrating the presence of NAb targeting DENV2 EDIII (**Fig. 3D**). SD*FL rE elicited Abs that neutralized the DENV4/2 EDI and EDII chimeric viruses, whereas WT rE stimulated lower levels of NAbs that tracked with EDI (**Fig. 3F**) and no NAbs to EDII (**Fig. 3E**).

These results indicate that WT rE mainly induced EDIII targeting NAbs, while SD*FL rE

stimulated a broader response directed to all three domains.

Characterizing EDIII-Ab elicited by WT and stable dimer immunized mice

Antibodies that neutralize DENV2 have been mapped to simple epitopes contained

within a domain or more complex epitopes that span two different domains on the same or

different E molecules. For example, DENV2 type-specific and neutralizing mouse monoclonal Ab

(mAb) 3H5 binds to a simple epitope on the lateral ridge of EDIII(22). In contrast, DENV2 type-

specific and neutralizing human mAb 2D22 recognizes epitope centered on EDIII that expands

into conserved amino acids on EDII of the second E protomer forming the homodimer. This

cross-dimer interaction is critical for 2D22 binding to DENV2(16, 18). Consequently, unlike 3H5,

2D22 cannot bind to and depleted by soluble EDIII rE (**Fig. S2**). We performed Ab depletion

studies with recombinant DENV2 EDIII to measure the contribution of simple EDIII binding Abs

to neutralization following vaccination with WT and SD*FL rE antigens (**Fig. 4A**). Sera were

incubated with DENV2 EDIII coated beads and effective removal of EDIII binding antibodies was

confirmed by ELISA (**Fig. 4B**). Removal of EDIII binding Ab resulted in a large reduction of SD

binding Ab in mice immunized with WT E and a modest reduction of Ab in SD*FL rE immunized

mice (**Fig. 4C**).

Next, we assessed how the removal of EDIII-binding Ab impacted DENV2 neutralization

(**Fig. 4D**). In WT E immune sera, the removal of EDIII-binding Abs completely ablated DENV2

neutralization. While the removal of EDIII-binding Abs also lowered NAb levels in SD*FL rE

immunized animals, a sub-population of NAbs (~30-40% of total response) was resistant to EDIII

depletion (**Fig. 4E**). This suggests that SD*FL drive response that also includes non-simple EDIII

epitopes, which may include 2D22-like Ab, EDI- and EDII-targeting Ab.

Discussion

DENV protein subunit vaccines based on the ectodomain of E protein have not

performed well in the past(4, 5, 23–25). While investigators had assumed that recombinant E

protein produced as a soluble, secreted antigen formed homodimers similar to the protein on

the viral surface, recent studies have demonstrated that the antigen is mostly present as a

monomer at 37℃, which is a plausible explanation for the overall poor performance of DENV

subunit vaccines(4, 5). The main goal of our study was to compare the properties of DENV2

NAbs induced by soluble E protein antigens that are monomers (WT) or homodimers (SD*FL) at

37℃. Our results demonstrate that stable homodimers stimulated DENV2 NAbs targeting

multiple regions of the viral envelope, whereas the WT E antigen stimulated NAbs response that

mainly target simple EDIII epitopes. Together, our results demonstrate that by altering the

oligomeric state of DENV2 E protein to better match the antigen on the surface of the infectious

virus, it is possible to elicit Abs against all three domains of the E protein.

Currently, the leading DENV vaccine candidates are based on tetravalent live attenuated

flavivirus platforms. These vaccines have been challenging to develop because of inherent

replication differences between vaccine viruses resulting in unbalanced immunity skewed to a

single serotype and/or a single genotype within a serotype(11, 26, 27). In clinical trials,

immunodominance of a single vaccine serotype over the other three serotypes has been linked

to poor outcomes, including vaccine-enhanced WT DENV infections(12). Given these challenges

with LAVs, protein subunit vaccine that mimic the architecture of the viral envelope is a

promising alternative strategy that is not dependent on balanced replication of each vaccine

component.

Investigators have relied on DENV neutralization assays both to characterize vaccine

responses and as a correlate of protection. However, in clinical trials, the presence of NAbs to

each serotype alone was not a reliable predictor of protection. Recent studies have established

that DENVs produced for laboratory studies are inefficiently processed and mostly consist of

partially mature virions. These partially mature virions are hypersensitive to neutralization by

some low-affinity antibodies, and unlikely to be protective against mature DENVs circulating in

humans(28). To control for virus maturation state and selectively measure vaccine responses

that are effective against fully mature DENV2 virions, we used fully mature DENV2 stocks

produced on a cell line overexpressing a protease necessary for DENV processing and

maturation(29). Under these conditions, we observed higher levels of maturation-insensitive

NAb stimulated by the stable homodimer as compared to WT E protein.

Neutralizing Abs that target different regions of the E-protein would be able to block

viral entry at different stages (attachment, internalization, membrane fusion) and minimize

vaccine escape. The different domains of the DENV E-protein play different roles in various

stages of the virus lifecycle. EDIII is involved in the initial virus attachment with host cell

receptors(30). EDII plays a role in the dimerization of the E protein, and contains the fusion loop

region that is used by DENV to fuse to the host's endosome(30, 31). Linking the EDIII and EDII is

EDI that helps with low pH triggered E protein conformational changes required for viral fusion

with endosome membranes(30). The WT E antigen stimulated a much limited NAb response

that was mainly directed to EDIII. Previous studies have demonstrated that the lateral ridge

region of EDIII contains epitopes targeted by type-specific NAbs(32, 33). However, this region of

E protein is highly variable between DENV genotypes belonging to the same serotype and

responsible for the variable neutralization potency including complete escape from EDIII

antibodies(32, 34, 35). Furthermore, previous mapping study done with the DENV4/2 chimeric

viruses showed that in individuals who had DENV infection, their NAb target EDII or EDII/EDIII

regions. In contrast, sera from attenuated DENV2 human challenge target mostly EDIII and

EDI/EDIII Ab to a certain extent(21). Our studies showed that the SD*FL-elicited sera response

tracks better with natural DENV infection. All these suggested that a broader Ab response to

multiple domains is more beneficial and potentially lead to a better vaccine.

In conclusion, our studies support further development and evaluation of E dimer-based

subunit vaccines, demonstrating that DENV2 stable homodimers stimulate high levels of NAbs

targeting multiple domains on E protein. We have found that the mutations used for stabilizing

DENV2 homodimers also work for the other three serotypes and Zika virus with minor virus-

specific modifications(7). Subunit vaccine studies are in progress with DENV1, DENV3 and

DENV4 because DENV vaccines must be tetravalent to minimize the risk of ADE. Moreover, we

are evaluating different vaccine delivery platforms (nanoparticles, liposomes, nucleic acid) and

adjuvants to increase the immunogenicity and durability of vaccine responses.

Methods

Cell lines and viruses

EXPI293F (Thermo Fisher Scientific) cells were maintained in EXPI293 expression medium at

37°C with 8% CO_2 at 250 rpm.

Vero-81 (ATCC CCL-81) cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in DMEM-F12 (Gibco)

supplemented with 5% of heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HI FBS, Gibco), 1% of Penicillin-

Streptomycin (Gibco), 1% L-Glutamine (Gibco), and 1% MEM non-essential amino acids (MEM

NEAA, Gibco).

Vero-furin (VF) cells were given by the Baric lab at UNC Chapel Hill(29). The cells were

maintained at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in DMEM-F12 + 5% HI FBS + 1% Anti-anti (Gibco) + 1% MEM

NEAA + 1% L-Glutamine.

Viruses. All DENV2 S16681 used in the experiments were grown in VF cells, except for DENV2

S16681 used in the 2-dose study ELISA which was grown in Vero cells. For 3-dose study ELISA,

DENV2 S16681 VF-grown virus was concentrated using Centricon® Plus-70 Centrifugal Filter

(Millipore) per manufacturer instruction to obtain enough titer required for ELISA.

Cross-neutralization assessment was done using DENV1 WestPac-74, DENV3 CH53489, DENV4

Sri Lanka 92. Chimera viruses and EDIII depletion experiments used the following viruses:

DENV2 16803, DENV4 Sri Lanka 92, DENV4/2 EDIII, DENV4/2 EDII and DENV4/2 EDI that were

grown using a previously described method(21). All viruses were grown in VF cells.

DENV2 sE plasmid generation

Cloning method for various DENV2 S16681 variants sE into PαH mammalian expression vector

was described previously(6). For the HisAvi-variants, after the C-terminal His8-tag, glycine

linkers   (GGCAGCTCTGGCGGCAGC)   and   AviTag

(GGCCTGAACGATATTTTCGAGGCCCAGAAAATCGAGTGGCACGAGTAG) were added. The DENV2 sE

cloned plasmids were heat-shock transformed into Escherichia coli DH5α cells for amplification,

miniprepped or midiprepped using DNA endotoxin-free kits, and stored in endotoxin-free H_2O

at −20°C (Macherey-Nagel).

DENV2 sE protein large-scale expression

<u>For DENV2 WT-His:</u> Stable line was grown up in Expi293 supplemented with 250 µg/ml

geneticin, with geneticin removed during the final amplification step. The cultures were

supplemented with 5 ml of 100X GlutaMAX (Thermo 35050061) and 50 ml of 6% HyClone Cell

Boost Boost I (Cytiva SH30584.02) in OptiMEM (Thermo 31985070) per liter of culture when the

cells reached a density of approximately 1.5×10^6 cells/ml (day 4 after reaching the final culture

scale). The cultures were harvested when the cells dropped below 90% viability on day 9 after

reaching the final scale, with the centrifugation, filtering, and purifications done the same as for

the proteins made in Expi293 transfection cultures.

<u>For other DENV2 variants-His:</u> Expi293 cells were transfected per manufacturer instructions

(Thermo Scientific), using 1mg of DNA/1L of culture volume for each flask. Enhancers 1 and 2

were added on day 1 post-transfection, then the cultures were harvested on day 3 at 12,000 x g

for 1 hour at 4°C. The supernatants were sterile-filtered (0.22 µm), then stored at 4°C until

purification.

<u>For biotinylated SD-HisAvi:</u> Expi293 cells were co-transfected per manufacturer instructions

(Thermo Scientific) in 1L volume with 900 µg of SD-HisAvi (I2-U6-HisAvi) and 100 µg of

mammalian BirA ligase expression plasmids. Culture media was supplemented with 100 µM

biotin before transfection to aid I2-U6-His-Avi biotinylation by BirA ligase. Downstream

processes (enhancers and harvest timeline) are the same as DENV2 variants-His.

DENV2 sE protein purification and analysis

Filtered supernatants were buffer-exchanged via tangential-flow filtration (10 kDa MWCO) into

cobalt-binding buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 0.02%

sodium azide, 10% glycerol), with 4 total buffer exchanges. The supernatant was then loaded at

1.5 ml/min recirculating overnight with a peristaltic pump and flow adapter onto a hand-packed

TALON column. The next day, the column was switched to flow-through, and once completely

loaded, the column was washed with 10 CV binding buffer. After a 10 CV second wash with

binding buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, bound proteins were eluted in two steps

(one of 5 CV, and one of 2.5 CV) with binding buffer supplemented with 150 mM imidazole.

Column fractions were assessed by SDS-PAGE and fractions containing target protein were

pooled, filtered, and concentrated before loading onto a Superdex 200 16/600 column

equilibrated in 1x PBS + 10% glycerol. The sizing column fractions were assessed by SDS-PAGE,

and DENV2 protein-containing fractions were pooled, filtered, and concentrated before

aliquoting and flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen. The endotoxin level in the final stock was

measured using Endosafe-PTS cartridges (#PTS20F; Charles River) on a nexgen-PTS reader

between 1:20 - 1:40 dilution in endotoxin-free water.

<u>For biotinylated SD-HisAvi:</u> To assess the success of the biotinylation reaction, column fractions

were assessed by SDS-PAGE, with elution fractions assessed for biotinylated protein by mixing

with a 2x molar excess of avidin. Downstream processes are the same as above.

DENV2 EDIII- & HaloTag-His production

A Halo-tag fused DENV-2 EDIII (ADA00411·1, E protein aa 297-394) was expressed in Expi293 with an N-terminal human serum albumin secretion signal peptide and a C-terminal His6-tag as previously described(36). A Halo-tag construct, lacking DENV-2 EDIII, was also produced similarly with a C-terminal His6-tag. The DENV2 EDIII and Halo-tag proteins were purified using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) from the cell culture medium. Purified DENV2 EDIII was biotinylated by incubating 100 μg of DENV2 EDIII with 5 nmol of the HaloTag PEG biotin ligand (Promega) at room temperature (RT) for 2 hours. Excess biotin ligand was removed by desalting column. Biotinylated DENV2 EDIII was assessed by comparing the mobility of the biotinylated EDIII in the presence and absence of streptavidin in SDS-PAGE.

Mouse immunizations

Female Balb/c mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory and used in immunization studies at 6 weeks of age. Mice were injected subcutaneously in the flank with 5 μg DENV2 rE subunit vaccine antigen in 100 μL PBS. Vaccine groups (n = 5) included placebo (PBS), WT rE, WT rE + Alum, SD*FL rE, SD*FL rE + Alum. Adjuvant Alum (Alhydrogel, InvivoGen) was included at 125 μg per dose. Mice were immunized twice with the same antigen formulation and dose level at days 0 and 21. In another experiment, a third dose was given at day 56. Serum samples were collected at various time points as indicated for antibody analysis.

Ethics statement

All experiments involving mice were performed according to the animal use protocol approved by the University of North Carolina Animal Care and Use Committee. The animal care and use

related to this work complied with federal regulations: the Public Health Service Policy on

Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Animal Welfare Act, and followed the Guide for

the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

<u>Whole virus ELISA.</u> DENV2 IgG binding titer was measured by DENV2 antigen capture ELISA. A

high-binding 96-well plate (Greiner bio-one) was coated using 0.2 µg/ml of 1M7 (DENV fusion

loop mAb) in 0.1M bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6) overnight at 4^oC, and washed with wash buffer

(1X Tris-buffered saline (TBS) + 0.2% Tween-20). The plate was blocked with blocking buffer (3%

non-fat milk in 1X TBS + 0.05% Tween20), before DENV2 was loaded to each well. Next, serially

diluted mouse sera were added onto the plate. Plates were washed in between each step, and

each incubation was done for 1 hour at 37^oC. Bound antibodies were detected by alkaline

phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1000, Sigma-Aldrich) for 45 min at 37^oC, and

washed. Plates were developed using AP substrate (SIGMAFAST p-Nitrophenyl phosphate tablet,

Sigma-Aldrich) and measured at 405 nm. IgG titer was determined by area under the curve

(AUC) in GraphPad Prism using nonlinear fit – sigmoidal dose-response (variable slope).

<u>Soluble E-coated ELISA.</u> Nickel-coated ELISA plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Pierce 15142) were

used to capture 0.2 µg/ml of rE protein in 1X TBS for 1 hour at 37°C. The plate was washed with

wash buffer, and serially diluted sera in blocking buffer were added for 1 hour at 37°C and

subsequently washed with wash buffer. Downstream processes are the same as above for whole

virus ELISA.

<u>Biotinylated-protein ELISA.</u> High-binding 96-well plate was coated using 0.2 µg/ml of

Streptavidin (Invitrogen) in 1X TBS overnight at 4°C or 1 hour at 37°C. Supernatant was

removed, and 0.2 µg/ml of biotinylated antigens (DENV2 EDIII or SD) was added in 1X TBS, and

incubated for 1 hour at 37°C. The plate was washed using wash buffer and then blocked with

blocking buffer for 1 hour at 37°C. The plate was washed, and serially diluted sera in 1X TBS

were added for 1 hour at 37°C and subsequently washed with wash buffer. Bound antibodies

were detected by AP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1000 in 1X TBS) for 45 min at 37°C, and

washed. Plates were developed using AP substrate and measured at 405 nm. IgG titer was

determined by area under the curve (AUC) in GraphPad Prism using nonlinear fit – Sigmoidal,

4PL.

Focus reduction neutralization (FRNT) assay

Cell seeding. Vero cells were seeded in 96-well plate at $2x10^5$cells/ml in 100ul of cell culture

media per well. Plates were incubated overnight at 37°C.

Cell infection. Sera were serially diluted in infection media (similar to cell culture media, only

with 2% HI FBS) and incubated with a fixed amount of virus at 1:1 v/v ratio for 1 hour at 37°C.

The amount of virus had been previously determined to give a minimum of 30 foci/well. Culture

media on cells were removed, and serum/virus complex was added onto cells for 1 hour at

37°C. Serum/virus complex was removed from cells, and overlay media (OptiMEM (Gibco) + 2%

HI FBS + 1% Anti-anti + 1% (w/v) carboxymethylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich)) was added to cells.

Cells were left to incubate for 42-48 hour (depending on the viruses used).

Cell harvest and staining. Cells were washed with 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and fixed

with 4% paraformaldehyde. Next, cells were permeabilized with 1X permeabilization (Perm)

buffer (10X Perm buffer was diluted in MilliQ water. 10X Perm: 1% of bovine serum albumin

(BSA, Sigma), 1% of Saponin (Sigma), 0.1% of sodium azide (Sigma) in 10X PBS), and blocked

using blocking buffer (5% non-fat dry milk in 1X Perm) at RT for 10 min. Blocking buffer was

removed, and cells were stained using 1M7 at 1.2×10^{-3} mg/ml for 1 hour at 37°C. Cells were

washed with 1X PBS, and stained with mouse anti-human IgG Fc HRP (Southern biotech) at

1:4000 for 1 hour at 37°C. Cells were washed and stained with KPL TrueBlue Peroxidase

Substrate (SeraCare). Cells were then rinsed with MilliQ water and plates were left to dry prior

to imaging with ImmunoSpot S6 analyzer (Cellular Technology Limited Analyzer). Foci were

counted using Viridot on RStudio, and checked for accuracy(37). Neutralization curves were

generated by plotting sera dilution factor (log) vs % neutralization. Curves were fitted using

nonlinear fit – sigmoidal dose-response (variable slope) with constraints (top = 100, bottom =

0). Curves were acceptable when $R^2 \geq 0.75$ and Hill Slope >0.5. $Neut_{50}$ value is the dilution factor

at which sera neutralize 50% of the virus. Analysis was done using Graphpad Prism

<u>DENVs chimera neutralization.</u> All steps are identical to FRNT assay explained above, with the

exception of serum/virus complex wasn't removed prior to the addition of overlay media.

EDIII Depletion

Protein-beads conjugation. First, Magne HaloTag beads (Promega) were transferred into Lo-

bind tubes (Eppendorf), and beads were washed with 1X TBS for a total of 3 times. To separate

beads from supernatant, magnetic stand (DynaMag2, Invitrogen) was used. After the third

wash, pre-determined amount of biotinylated protein was added to the beads. Ratio of initial

beads volume (μl) to antigen amount (μg) is 1:2. Beads and biotinylated protein were left to

incubate for 2 hour at RT, mixing at 1300rpm in ThermoMixer C. Once the conjugation was

done, beads were washed 3 times using blocking buffer (5% BSA in 1X TBS). Beads were further

blocked using blocking buffer for 1 hour at RT, mixing at 1300rpm. After blocking step, beads

were washed 3 times with 1X TBS and re-suspend in 1X TBS. The final resuspension volume is

equal to the total volume of all diluted sera that is going to be depleted; the final protein

concentration in this resuspension is 48 μg/ml. Beads were stored at 4°C in lo-bind tubes if not

being used immediately.

Sera depletion. Depletion was done using non-binding 96-well plate (Corning). Volume of

conjugated beads/well is equal to volume of depleted sera/well. Conjugated beads were added

to each well, and the supernatant was discarded by placing the plate on 96-well plate magnetic

separator (Millipore Sigma). Next, diluted sera (1:60, in 1X TBS) was added per well and plate

was covered with adhesive film (Thermo). The plate was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour at

800rpm. Depletion was done for 2-3 rounds. Sera depletion was considered complete when

EDIII-depleted sera (1:1200) against EDIII antigen on an ELISA reached background level

compared to undepleted sera. Depleted sera were stored in untreated polypropylene 96-well

plates (Corning) at 4°C.

BioRender was used to make schemas for mouse vaccination study and EDIII depletion.

Acknowledgment: We thank Edwing D. C. Cuadra for discussions and suggestions with the EDIII

depletion experiments.

Funding sources: RSB AI 106695 (Ralph)

References

393   References

394   1.   Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM, Brownstein

395   JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint GRW, Simmons CP, Scott

396   TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI. 2013. The global distribution and burden of dengue. Nature

397   496:504–507.

398   2.   Situation Report No 24 - Dengue Epidemiological Situation in the Region of the Americas

399   - Epidemiological Week 24, 2024 - PAHO/WHO | Pan American Health Organization.

400   https://www.paho.org/en/documents/situation-report-no-24-dengue-epidemiological-

401   situation-region-americas-epidemiological. Retrieved 9 July 2024.

402   3.   Halstead S. 2019. Recent advances in understanding dengue. F1000Research. F1000

403   Research Ltd https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.19197.1.

404   4.   Kudlacek ST, Premkumar L, Metz SW, Tripathy A, Bobkov AA, Payne AM, Graham S,

405   Brackbill JA, Miley MJ, de Silva AM, Kuhlman B. 2018. Physiological temperatures reduce

406   dimerization of dengue and Zika virus recombinant envelope proteins. J Biol Chem

407   293:8922–8933.

408   5.   Kudlacek ST, Metz SW. 2019. Focused dengue vaccine development: Outwitting nature's

409   design. Pathog Dis https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftz003.

410   6.   Kudlacek ST, Metz S, Thiono D, Payne AM, Phan TTN, Tian S, Forsberg LJ, Maguire J, Seim

411   I, Zhang S, Tripathy A, Harrison J, Nicely NI, Soman S, McCracken MK, Gromowski GD,

412   Jarman RG, Premkumar L, De Silva AM, Kuhlman B. 2021. Designed, highly expressing,

413   thermostable dengue virus 2 envelope protein dimers elicit quaternary epitope

antibodies. Sci Adv 7:4084–4099.

7.   Phan TTN, Hvasta MG, Kudlacek ST, Thiono DJ, Tripathy A, Nicely NI, de Silva AM,

Kuhlman B. 2022. A conserved set of mutations for stabilizing soluble envelope protein

dimers from dengue and Zika viruses to advance the development of subunit vaccines. J

Biol Chem 298:102079.

8.   Henein S, Swanstrom J, Byers AM, Moser JM, Shaik SF, Bonaparte M, Jackson N, Guy B,

Baric R, De Silva AM. 2017. Dissecting antibodies induced by a chimeric yellow fever-

dengue, live-attenuated, tetravalent dengue vaccine (CYD-TDV) in naive and dengue-

exposed individuals. J Infect Dis 215:351–358.

9.   White LJ, Young EF, Stoops MJ, Henein SR, Adams EC, Baric RS, de Silva AM. 2021.

Defining levels of dengue virus serotype-specific neutralizing antibodies induced by a live

attenuated tetravalent dengue vaccine (Tak-003). PLoS Negl Trop Dis 15:1–15.

10.   Swanstrom JA, Henein S, Plante JA, Yount BL, Widman DG, Gallichotte EN, Dean HJ,

Osorio JE, Partidos CD, De Silva AM, Baric RS. 2018. Analyzing the Human Serum

Antibody Responses to a Live Attenuated Tetravalent Dengue Vaccine Candidate. J Infect

Dis 217:1932–1941.

11.   Dayan GH, Thakur M, Boaz M, Johnson C. 2013. Safety and immunogenicity of three

tetravalent dengue vaccine formulations in healthy adults in the USA. Vaccine 31:5047–

5054.

12.   Sridhar S, Luedtke A, Langevin E, Zhu M, Bonaparte M, Machabert T, Savarino S,

Zambrano B, Moureau A, Khromava A, Moodie Z, Westling T, Mascareñas C, Frago C,

Cortés M, Chansinghakul D, Noriega F, Bouckenooghe A, Chen J, Ng S-P, Gilbert PB,

Gurunathan S, DiazGranados CA. 2018. Effect of Dengue Serostatus on Dengue Vaccine

Safety and Efficacy. N Engl J Med 327–340.

13.   Rivera L, Biswal S, Sáez-Llorens X, Reynales H, López-Medina E, Borja-Tabora C, Bravo L,

Sirivichayakul C, Kosalaraksa P, Vargas LM, Yu D, Watanaveeradej V, Espinoza F, Dietze R,

Fernando LK, Wickramasinghe P, Moreira ED, Fernando AD, Gunasekera D, Luz K, Da

Cunha RV, Rauscher M, Zent O, Liu M, Hoffman E, LeFevre I, Tricou V, Wallace D, Alera

MT, Borkowski A. 2022. Three-year Efficacy and Safety of Takeda's Dengue Vaccine

Candidate (TAK-003). Clin Infect Dis 75:107–117.

14.   Zhang X, Ge P, Yu X, Brannan JM, Bi G, Zhang Q, Schein S, Hong Zhou Z. 2012. Cryo-EM

structure of the mature dengue virus at 3.5-Å resolution. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2012 201

20:105–110.

15.   Kuhn RJ, Zhang W, Rossmann MG, Pletnev S V., Corver J, Lenches E, Jones CT,

Mukhopadhyay S, Chipman PR, Strauss EG, Baker TS, Strauss JH. 2002. Structure of

dengue virus: Implications for flavivirus organization, maturation, and fusion. Cell

108:717–725.

16.   Fibriansah G, Ibarra KD, Ng TS, Smith SA, Tan JL, Lim XN, Ooi JSG, Kostyuchenko VA,

Wang J, De Silva AM, Harris E, Crowe JE, Lok SM. 2015. Cryo-EM structure of an antibody

that neutralizes dengue virus type 2 by locking E protein dimers. Science (80-) 349:88–

91.

17.   Rouvinski A, Guardado-Calvo P, Barba-Spaeth G, Duquerroy S, Vaney MC, Kikuti CM,

Navarro Sanchez ME, Dejnirattisai W, Wongwiwat W, Haouz A, Girard-Blanc C, Petres S,

Shepard WE, Desprès P, Arenzana-Seisdedos F, Dussart P, Mongkolsapaya J, Screaton GR,

Rey FA. 2015. Recognition determinants of broadly neutralizing human antibodies

against dengue viruses. Nature 520:109–113.

18.   Gallichotte EN, Baric TJ, Yount BL, Widman DG, Durbin A, Whitehead S, Baric RS, de Silva

AM. 2018. Human dengue virus serotype 2 neutralizing antibodies target two distinct

quaternary epitopes. PLoS Pathog 14:e1006934.

19.   Smith SA, de Alwis AR, Kose N, Harris E, Ibarra KD, Kahle KM, Pfaf JM, Xiang X, Doranz BJ,

de Silva AM, Austin SK, Sukupolvi-Petty S, Diamond MS, Crowe JE. 2013. The potent and

broadly neutralizing human dengue virus-specific monoclonal antibody 1C19 reveals a

unique cross-reactive epitope on the bc loop of domain II of the envelope protein. MBio

4.

20.   Cerofolini L, Giuntini S, Ravera E, Luchinat C, Berti F, Fragai M. 2019. Structural

characterization of a protein adsorbed on aluminum hydroxide adjuvant in vaccine

formulation. npj Vaccines 4.

21.   Zhu DR, Rajesh AJ, Meganck RM, Young EF, Munt JE, Tse VL, Yount B, Conrad H, White L,

Henein S, DeSilva AM, Baric RS. 2023. Dengue virus 4/2 envelope domain chimeric virus

panel maps type-specific responses against dengue serotype 2. MBio 14.

22.   Renner M, Flanagan A, Dejnirattisai W, Puttikhunt C, Kasinrerk W, Supasa P, Wongwiwat

W, Chawansuntati K, Duangchinda T, Cowper A, Midgley CM, Malasit P, Huiskonen JT,

Mongkolsapaya J, Screaton GR, Grimes JM. 2018. Characterization of a potent and highly

unusual minimally-enhancing antibody directed against dengue virus. Nat Immunol

19:1248.

23.   Deng SQ, Yang X, Wei Y, Chen JT, Wang XJ, Peng HJ. 2020. A Review on Dengue Vaccine

Development. Vaccines 8.

24.   Manoff SB, George SL, Bett AJ, Yelmene ML, Dhanasekaran G, Eggemeyer L, Sausser ML,

Dubey SA, Casimiro DR, Clements DE, Martyak T, Pai V, Parks DE, Coller BAG. 2015.

Preclinical and clinical development of a dengue recombinant subunit vaccine. Vaccine

33:7126–7134.

25.   Manoff SB, Sausser M, Falk Russell A, Martin J, Radley D, Hyatt D, Roberts CC, Lickliter J,

Krishnarajah J, Bett A, Dubey S, Finn T, Coller BA. 2019. Immunogenicity and safety of an

investigational tetravalent recombinant subunit vaccine for dengue: results of a Phase I

randomized clinical trial in flavivirus-naïve adults. Hum Vaccin Immunother 15:2195.

26.   Martinez DR, Yount B, Nivarthi U, Munt JE, Delacruz MJ, Whitehead SS, Durbin AP, de

Silva AM, Baric RS. 2020. Antigenic Variation of the Dengue Virus 2 Genotypes Impacts

the Neutralization Activity of Human Antibodies in Vaccinees. Cell Rep 33:108226.

27.   Gallichotte EN, Baric TJ, Nivarthi U, Delacruz MJ, Graham R, Widman DG, Yount BL,

Durbin AP, Whitehead SS, de Silva AM, Baric RS. 2018. Genetic Variation between

Dengue Virus Type 4 Strains Impacts Human Antibody Binding and Neutralization. Cell

Rep 25:1214–1224.

28.   Raut R, Corbett KS, Tennekoon RN, Premawansa S, Wijewickrama A, Premawansa G,

Mieczkowski P, Rückert C, Ebel GD, De Silva AD, De Silva AM. 2019. Dengue type 1 viruses

circulating in humans are highly infectious and poorly neutralized by human antibodies.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:227–232.

29.   Tse L V., Meganck RM, Dong S, Adams LE, White LJ, Mallory ML, Jadi R, de Silva AM, Baric

RS. 2022. Generation of Mature DENVs via Genetic Modification and Directed Evolution.

MBio 13.

30.   Pierson TC, Diamond MS. 2015. A game of numbers: The stoichiometry of antibody-

mediated neutralization of flavivirus infection, p. 141–166. *In* Progress in Molecular

Biology and Translational Science.

31.   Nanaware N, Banerjee A, Bagchi SM, Bagchi P, Mukherjee A. 2021. Dengue Virus

Infection: A Tale of Viral Exploitations and Host Responses. Viruses 13.

32.   Wahala WMPB, Kraus AA, Haymore LB, Accavitti-Loper MA, de Silva AM. 2009. Dengue

virus neutralization by human immune sera: Role of envelope protein domain III-reactive

antibody. Virology 392:103–113.

33.   Wahala WMPB, de Silva AM. 2011. The human antibody response to dengue virus

infection. Viruses. Molecular Diversity Preservation International

https://doi.org/10.3390/v3122374.

34.   Wahala WMPB, Donaldson EF, De Alwis R, Accavitti-Loper MA, Baric RS, De Silva AM.

2010. Natural Strain Variation and Antibody Neutralization of Dengue Serotype 3 Viruses.

PLOS Pathog 6:e1000821.

35.   Sukupolvi-Petty S, Austin SK, Engle M, Brien JD, Dowd KA, Williams KL, Johnson S, Rico-

Hesse R, Harris E, Pierson TC, Fremont DH, Diamond MS. 2010. Structure and Function

Analysis of Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies against Dengue Virus Type 2. J Virol

84:9227–9239.

36.   Dahora L, Castillo IN, Medina FA, Vila F, Munoz-Jordan J, Segovia B, DeSilva AM,

Premkumar L. Flavivirus Serologic Surveillance: Multiplex Sample-Sparing Assay for

Detecting Type-Specific Antibodies to Zika and Dengue Viruses

https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.4411430.

37.   Katzelnick LC, Coello Escoto A, McElvany BD, Chávez C, Salje H, Luo W, Rodriguez-

Barraquer I, Jarman R, Durbin AP, Diehl SA, Smith DJ, Whitehead SS, Cummings DAT.

2018. Viridot: An automated virus plaque (immunofocus) counter for the measurement

of serological neutralizing responses with application to dengue virus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis

12:e0006862.

Figure 1

Figure 1. Immunogenicity of recombinant DENV2 E protein vaccines: 2 dose study. (**A**) DENV2 soluble E structure (PDB 1OAN) of the vaccine antigens: wild-type (WT) and SD*FL with stabilizing amino acid mutations shown. (**B**) 6-week old female Balb/c mice were immunized with 5μg of WT or SD*FL rE ±Alum (125ug) at week 0 (W0), and boosted with the same antigen ±Alum at week 3 (W3). (**C**) DENV2 IgG binding titer over time is reported as the area under the curve (AUC). IgG binding against DENV2 (**D**) Monomer (M2), and (**E**) stabilized dimer (SD) rE were measured on week 12 by serially diluting the mouse sera, and the resulting area under the curve (AUC) was determined. (**F**) To determine the ratio of dimer:monomer binding Ab, AUC value from dimer- and monomer- binding was used. (**G**) DENV2 neutralizing Ab titer at week 12 was measured by FRNT, whereby mouse serum was serially diluted and incubated with a fixed amount of DENV2 prior to cell infection. The dilution factor (DF) at which the serum can neutralize 50% of the DENV2 is reported as $Neut_{50}$. Each data point is the average of each sample's duplicates. The line represents the mean of all mice within the group. Statistical differences were determined by unpaired t-test (two-tailed, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 2

Figure 2. Immunogenicity of recombinant DENV2 E protein vaccines: 3 dose study. (**A**) 6-week old female Balb/c mice were immunized with 5µg of WT or SD*FL rE at W0, and boosted twice with the same antigen at W3 and W8. Serum samples from each animal were collected pre- (W3, W8) and post-boost (W5, W10, W12). (**B**) DENV2 IgG binding titer over time is reported as AUC. (**C**) IgG binding against DENV2 rE monomer (M2P4) and dimer with mutated fusion loop (SD*FL) were measured on W12 sera by serially diluting the mouse sera and the resulting AUC was determined. We took the AUC value of the monomer and dimer binding to quantify the dimer:monomer Ab ratio. (**D**) DENV2 $Neut_{50}$ value of mouse sera over time. (**E**) Cross-neutralization to DENV1, DENV3 and DENV4. Each data point is the average of each sample's duplicates. The line represents the mean of all mice within the group. Statistical differences were determined by unpaired t-test (two-tailed, $p<0.05$).

Figure 3

Figure 3. Mapping DENV2 E-domains targeted by neutralizing Ab using DENV4/2 chimeric viruses. (A) Schematic of DENV4/2 chimera (PBD 4CBF) with DENV2 domain substitution highlighted in yellow. The neutralizing IgG titer elicited by the different rE is reported as the $Neut_{50}$ value against the parental viruses **(B)** DENV2, **(C)** DENV4, and against the various domains of DENV2 on a DENV4 backbone **(D)** DENV4/2 EDIII, **(E)** DENV4/2 EDII, **(F)** DENV4/2 EDI. Each data point is the average of each sample's duplicates. The line represents the mean of all mice within the group. Statistical differences were determined by unpaired t-test (two-tailed, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 4

Figure 4. Characterization of EDIII binding antibody. (**A**) A schema for DENV2 EDIII depletion. First, we conjugated Magne HaloTag beads with either EDIII or HaloTag. Next, we treated the mouse sera with either EDIII- or HaloTag (control)-coated beads. Magnetic pulldown will separate any antibodies that bind to the coated beads, leaving behind unbound antibodies (non-simple EDIII or non-HaloTag antibodies) in the sera. Downstream depleted samples analysis include DENV2 (**B**) EDIII IgG binding, (**C**) SD (full-length dimer stabilized rE) IgG binding, and (**D**) DENV2 neutralization. (**E**) Percentage of NAb that target other areas outside of simple EDIII region. Each data point is the average of each sample's duplicates. The line represents the mean of all mice within the group. Statistical differences between Halo- vs EDIII-depleted samples (**B-D**) were determined by paired t-test (one-tailed, $p<0.05$). Unpaired t-test (one-tailed, $p<0.05$) was used to determine the statistical differences for (**E**).

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 1. DENV2 Soluble E structure (PDB: 1OAN) used in various ELISA with their amino acid mutations (**A**) M2 (**B**) SD (previously known as SC.14[6]), (**C**) M2P4 (SC.25)

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 2. Properties of Ab that can bind and depleted by soluble EDIII. (**A**) Epitope location of simple EDIII-targeting Ab 3H5 and quaternary-targeting Ab 2D22. (**B**) EDIII binding of 3H5 vs 2D22 (**C**) E-dimer binding (SD) of 3H5 vs 2D22.