A transposable element insertion in *IAA16* interrupts normal splicing and generates a novel dicamba resistance allele in *Bassia scoparia*

Jacob S Montgomery[1], Neeta Soni[1], Sofia Marques Hill[1], Sarah Morran[1], Eric L Patterson[1], Seth A Edwards[2,3], Sandaruwan Ratnayake[2], Yu-Hung Hung[2], Pratheek H Pandesha[2,4], R. Keith Slotkin[2,3], Franck Dayan[1], Todd Gaines[1]

[1]Department of Agricultural Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.

[2]Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St Louis, Missouri, USA.

[3]Division of Biological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, USA

[4]Division of Biology & Biomedical Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis, St Louis, Missouri, USA

Author for correspondence: Todd Gaines, Associate Professor, Colorado State University, 1177 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA. (Email: todd.gaines@colostate.edu)

Keywords: Herbicide Resistance, Auxin, Evolution, Genetic Mapping, Transposable Elements, Weed Science

Summary

A dicamba-resistant population of kochia (*Bassia scoparia*) previously identified in Colorado, USA in 2012 was used to generate a synthetic mapping population that segregated simply for dicamba resistance. Linkage mapping to associate injury following dicamba application with genotype information from restriction-site-associated DNA sequencing identified a single locus in the kochia genome associated with resistance on chromosome 4. A mutant version of *Auxin/Indole-3-Acetic Acid 16* (*AUX/IAA16*; a gene previously implicated in dicamba resistance in kochia) is found near the middle of this locus in resistant plants. Long read sequencing of resistant plants identified a recently inserted Ty1/Copia retrotransposon near the beginning of exon two of *AUX/IAA16*, leading to interruption of normal splicing. Stable transgenic lines of *Arabidopsis thaliana* ectopically expressing the mutant and wildtype alleles of *AUX/IAA16* were developed. *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants expressing the mutant *AUX/IAA16* allele grew shorter roots on control media but had less reduction in root growth on media containing either dicamba (5 µM) or IAA (0.5 µM) compared to non-transgenic plants or those expressing the wildtype allele of *AUX/IAA16*. Protein modeling suggests the substitution of a specific glycine residue in the degron domain of AUX/IAA16 is especially important for resistance. A fitness cost associated with the mutant allele of *AUX/IAA16* has implications for resistance evolution and management of kochia populations with this resistance mechanism. We report a molecular assay for rapid detection of the mutant *AUX/IAA16* allele that can inform in-season management decisions of agricultural producers.

Significance

Synthetic auxin herbicides are amongst the most important herbicides in modern agriculture. Evolution of weeds that are resistant to these herbicides threatens sustainable crop production.

Understanding the basis of auxin herbicide resistance informs the development of improved

weed control technologies. Additionally, auxin-resistant mutations and their pleotropic effects

help us understand the role and function of natural and synthetic auxins. Here, we present the

first report of a transposable element insertion within an herbicide target site gene leading to

resistance and show that differential splicing leads to an adaptive allele. Our report advances the

fields of auxin biology, weed management, and genome evolution.

Introduction

Weed control in modern agricultural production systems largely rely on the application of

herbicides. The first synthetic herbicides to be commercialized (like 2,4-D; described by Quastel,

1950) were eventually determined to mimic the endogenous plant hormone auxin. The low cost

of these synthetic auxin herbicides matched with their ability to selectively control broadleaf

weeds in cereal production settings led to rapid adoption of spray-based weed management

strategies. As new herbicides were discovered and commercialized, mixing multiple herbicides

in a single spray mixture allowed for robust control of many of the most important weeds in

diverse agricultural settings. While evolution of herbicide resistant weed populations has reduced

the efficacy of many herbicides, evolution of resistance to synthetic auxin herbicides has been

relatively slower and less frequent than other modes of action (Busi et al., 2018; Heap, 2024).

Thus, some of the oldest herbicides (e.g., 2,4-D and dicamba) remain some of the most widely

used across many agricultural settings. In fact, synthetic auxin resistance traits for dicot crops

were developed and recently commercialized to provide producers more options to control weed

populations that had evolved glyphosate resistance (Behrens et al., 2007; Wright et al., 2010).

These two traits expanded the potential use cases and value of two of the most used synthetic

auxin herbicides, dicamba and 2,4-D. This expansion in use for these two herbicides has had the

unintended effect of increasing selection pressure for resistance alleles and has correlated with a

recent increase in the number of resistance reports to these herbicides. Beyond weed control,

these synthetic auxin compounds have been crucial for experiments to understand auxin

perception and signaling, perhaps the most complex of all plant signaling pathways (Ma et al.,

2017).

While the physiological mechanism of action for many herbicides have been well understood

for decades, the cascade of cellular responses following the application of a synthetic auxin

herbicide is convoluted and is the subject of many recent studies. The topic has been reviewed

several times, with new experimental results incrementally advancing our knowledge of the key

factors leading to plant death (Grossmann, 2010; Song, 2014; Christoffoleti et al., 2015;

McCauley et al., 2020). Auxin, whether synthetic or natural, first binds to one of the isoforms of

Transport Inhibitor Response 1/Auxin-Signaling F-Box (TIR1/AFB) (Dharmasiri et al., 2005;

Kepinski & Leyser, 2005). Once bound to TIR1/AFB, auxin acts as a "molecular glue",

mediating the interaction between TIR1/AFB and Auxin/Indole-3-Acetic Acid (Aux/IAA)

proteins. Identification of conserved domains and experimentation have produced a list of

residues in TIR1/AFB and Aux/IAA that are vital for this interaction (Tan et al., 2007; Uzunova

et al., 2016; Ramans-Harborough et al., 2023). This interaction leads to the ubiquitination and

eventual degradation of Aux/IAA proteins (Gray et al., 2001; Zenser et al., 2001). Because

Aux/IAA proteins act as transcriptional repressors of auxin response factor (ARF) proteins,

Aux/IAA degradation leads to the modulation of auxin-responsive gene expression (Grossmann,

2010). TIR1/AFB and Aux/IAA each have several orthologs, allowing for diversification for

different isoforms. For example, LeClere et al. (2018) show that Aux/IAA16 preferentially binds

with TIR1 and AFB6 (a homolog of AFB found in members of amaranthaceae, but not

brassicaceae) in the presence of some auxin analogs, but not others. Different synthetic auxin

herbicides likely promote the interaction of certain Aux/IAA proteins with certain TIR1/AFB

proteins more efficiently, but this network of interactions is still not well understood.

A disruption in any step of this auxin signaling system (e.g. TIR1 binding auxin, Aux/IAA

interacting with TIR1/AFB, or the ubiquitination and degradation pathway of Aux/IAA) could

cause reduced activity of synthetic auxin herbicides (Ruegger et al., 1998; LeClere et al., 2018;

Todd et al., 2020). Thus, evolution of synthetic auxin resistance should be relatively simple and

common across weed species in the face of incredibly pervasive and strong selection pressure.

However, mutants causing resistance to synthetic auxin herbicides often also cause a reduction in

fitness compared to the wildtype (Roux & Reboud, 2005; Wu et al., 2021). While many auxin

resistance alleles have likely evolved in many species, these alleles were likely rapidly purged

during temporal pauses in management-induced selection for those alleles (i.e., if synthetic auxin

herbicides were not used for several generations). Nevertheless, there are several reported cases

of synthetic auxin herbicide resistance evolving and becoming widespread in weed species.

Interestingly, all but one of these reports that specify a specific genetic basis (de Figueiredo et

al., 2022a) pertain to mutations in or near the degron domain of Aux/IAA genes. LeClere et al.

(2018) and Ghanizadeh et al. (2024) report, in closely related species, different mutations of a

specific glycine residue in AUX/IAA16 that is known to be vital to normal auxin signaling. In an

unrelated species, de Figueiredo et al. (2022b) report a 27-bp deletion that shortens the distance

between the degron and PB1 domains of IAA2.

Here, we seek to understand the genetic and molecular basis of dicamba resistance in a

population of kochia (*Bassia scoparia*) collected from Colorado, USA. We use linkage mapping

in a population segregating for resistance to identify a region of the genome that segregates with

resistance and contains a mutant version of *AUX/IAA16*. Long read sequencing allowed us to

identify a transposable element insertion in the coding region of *AUX/IAA16* that disrupts normal

splicing and changes several amino acids in the protein product relative to the wildtype. Ectopic

expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* proves this mutant *AUX/IAA16* is sufficient to cause

resistance to dicamba, and protein modeling points to the importance of a glycine-to-threonine

substitution in the degron domain. We finish with a discussion of a fitness cost associated with

dicamba resistance and implications towards agricultural management of dicamba-resistant weed

populations.

124 **Results and Discussion**

Characterization of herbicide resistance

Dose response experiments were conducted to confirm and quantify the level of dicamba and

2,4-D resistance in a population of kochia (named M32) collected from Akron, Colorado, USA

(Figure 1A and 1B). Parameter estimates for two-parameter log-logistic models for each

population used in this experiment are shown in Table S1 and Table S2. In the dicamba

experiment, populations M32 and 9425 (a known dicamba-resistant kochia population previously

characterized by LeClere et al. (2018) and Pettinga et al. (2018)) had ED50 estimates 7.6

(p<0.0001) and 10.5 (p<0.0001) times greater than an herbicide-sensitive kochia population

(7710). The ED50 parameter represents the dose of dicamba needed to cause 50% injury to that

specific population. These estimates were significantly different from the herbicide-sensitive

control and from each other (p<0.0001), indicating dicamba resistance in M32 is not as high as in

9425. Nevertheless, the ED50 estimate for both resistant populations were greater than the

recommended field rate of dicamba (560g ha^{-1}), suggesting both populations would not be

controlled by dicamba in a field setting. Similarly, in the 2,4-D experiment, the ED50 estimate

for M32 was 5.0 ($p<0.0001$) times greater than that of the herbicide-sensitive control and greater

than the recommended field rate for 2,4-D.

While herbicide-sensitive plants show epinasty starting approximately 5 days after treatment

with dicamba or 2,4-D, resistant plants in our study do not show symptoms at all when treated

with a field rate of either herbicide. This lack of initial injury suggests that resistant plants either

rapidly metabolize/sequester the herbicides before they cause injury (Behrens et al., 2007; Ge et

al., 2010) or possess mutant target site(s) that reduce their interaction with their molecular

target(s) (Walsh et al., 2006; LeClere et al., 2018; de Figueiredo et al., 2022b). However, in

documented cases of metabolic auxin herbicide resistance, resistant plants do show symptoms of

herbicide damage but eventually recover (de Figueiredo et al., 2022a; Todd et al., 2024). It is true

that highly efficient metabolism genes from bacteria protects plants from herbicide-induced

symptoms (Behrens et al., 2007; Wright et al., 2010), but that has not been the case for weeds

with evolved auxin herbicide resistance.

To understand if physiological mechanisms keep dicamba from being absorbed or

translocated within resistant plants, radiolabeled dicamba was used to track its movement in

dicamba-resistant and -sensitive plants. Significantly less dicamba was absorbed by herbicide-

sensitive plants over 192 h compared to the two resistant populations, but rate of absorption

(time required for 90% absorption) was not significantly different between populations (Figure

1C, Table S3). Therefore, reduced dicamba absorption is not responsible for resistance. Time

series data for the translocation of radiolabeled dicamba out of the treated leaf did not fit well to

any tested models, so t-tests were used to determine if means differed between resistant and

sensitive populations at each time point (Figure 1D). Dicamba-resistant plants translocated less

dicamba out of the treated leaf compared to the sensitive plants. These results suggest that the

dicamba resistance mechanism in the experimental population is physiologically similar to one

described by Pettinga et al. (2018) and LeClere et al. (2018) that is caused by a mutation in

BsAUX/IAA16.

A single locus on chromosome 4 is associated with dicamba resistance

167   A quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping approach was taken to identify regions of the

genome associated with dicamba resistance. Segregating F_3 populations were developed from

multiple independent biparental crosses using a dicamba-resistant plant as the male parent and a

dicamba-sensitive plant as the female parent. We expected to find one dominant locus controlling

dicamba-resistance because the ratio of alive:dead F_3 plants following dicamba treatment at a

rate of 560 g ha^{-1} (200:85) did not significantly differ from 3:1 (p = 0.06). We hypothesize that

the over-abundance of dead (herbicide-sensitive) plants may be caused by a fitness penalty

associated with the dicamba resistance mechanism that reduces germination frequency (see

section on fitness cost below). A novel double-digest restriction site associate DNA sequencing

(ddRADseq) protocol was used to determine genotypes of 103 segregating F_3 plants for 1,592

variant loci across the genome. A genome scan associating this genotype information to visual

injury rating identified one significant QTL that peaks at 88 Mbp on chromosome 4 (Figure 2A).

This interval contains *BsAUX/IAA16* at 87.4 Mbp, a gene that is important for the signaling of

auxin and has been implicated in auxin herbicide resistance in kochia and a closely related

species (LeClere et al., 2018; Ghanizadeh et al., 2024). The genotype of *BsAUX/IAA16* within

this QTL of plants from an independent segregating F_3 line explained 43% of variation in visual

injury following dicamba treatment at 560 g ha^{-1} (Figure 2B). While survival at this rate of

dicamba may be dominant, visual injury is incompletely dominant (Figure 2B) with a calculated

degree of dominance of -0.58 (Falconer, 1964). This dominance has important implications for

the spread of herbicide resistance alleles. For instance, a dominant trait is likely to spread more

quickly throughout a population, but is less likely to be driven to fixation under selection

(Holmes et al., 2022).

A transposable element insertion in BsIAA16 causes a change in exon splicing

191   Sequencing transcripts of *BsAUX/IAA16* from plants homozygous for either either

parental allele of the QTL discovered on chromosome 4 revealed near identical translated protein

sequences except for the region around the degron domain (Figure 3B; Table S4). The degron of

BsAUX/IAA16 is located near the splice junction of exons one and two (Figure 3A). To

understand the sequence of genomic DNA in and around *BsAUX/IAA16*, a plant homozygous for

the resistant parent allele of *BsAUX/IAA16* was used for PacBio HiFi sequencing. After *de novo*

assembly, we discovered a 3,466 bp insertion at the beginning of exon two of *BsAUX/IAA16*

(Figure 3A). The insertion disrupts splicing of *BsAUX/IAA16*, replacing 16 bases at the

beginning of exon two in the wildtype with the final 19 bases of the insertion in the mutant

(Figure 3A). The mutant allele will herein be reffered to as *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$*, while the wildtype

allele will be referred to as *BsAUX/IAA16$_{WT}$*. This results in the addition of one amino acid and

the replacement of four more in the final protein product (Figure 3B; Table S4). The new splice

sites contain canonical GT and AG motifs. This change includes a substitution of a glycine to

threonine at the N-terminal end of degron domain (Figure 3B; Table S4). LeClere et al. (2018)

showed that a substitution of this glycine to asparagine in BsAUX/IAA16 is sufficient for

dicamba resistance in kochia. Similarly, Ghanizadeh et al. (2024) associate a substitution of this

glycine to aspartic acid with dicamba resistance in *Chenopodium album*, a closely related

species. Finally, NMR experiments by Ramans-Harborough et al. (2023) show that this glycine is

involved in the interaction between IAA and TIR1. Given the demonstrated importance of this

glycine residue, we hypothesize that the glycine to threonine substitution is the major driver of

dicamba resistance. Protein modeling shows that the larger side group of threonine contacts the

surface of the TIR1 binding pocket and causes steric hindrance of the IAA/TIR1 interaction

(Figure 3C). The rest of the mutant residues are found outside of the binding pocket of TIR1 and

in a disordered domain; thus, they are unlikely to affect IAA/TIR1 interactions (Tan et al., 2007;

Ramans-Harborough et al., 2023).

The retrotransposon insertion in $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ has 5 bp target site duplications

flanking 429 bp long terminal repeats (LTRs), identifying it as a Class I LTR element. No protein

coding sequences were found within this insertion, suggesting this element is non-autonomous

and relies on another transposable element for transposition. In order to search for the

corresponding autonomous element, we aligned the insertion to the *de novo* assembly built from

a dicamba-resistant plant and the reference genome built from a herbicide-sensitive plant

identifies a near perfect match (99.3% identity) 1 Mbp away on chromosome 4 and another

match (97% identity) on chromosome 2 in both assemblies (Table S5). Because the site on

chromosome 4 is the most similar across the genome and retrotransposons use a "copy and

paste" mechanism of transposition, we hypothesize this to be the donor location for the

retrotransposon insertion into in $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree

supports this idea, as the chromosome 4 element sequences from both assemblies form a distinct

clade from those on chromosome 2 (Figure S2). When comparing the insertion in

BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$ with its donor site on chromosome 4, there is one single nucleotide

polymorphism (SNP) and two single base pair insertions (Table S5). These insertions are found

in homopolymer runs of at least 9 bp and are likely artifacts of the sequencing technology

(Espinosa et al., 2024). Given the approximated rate of mutation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* of

$7×10^{-9}$ base substitutions per site per generation (Ossowski et al., 2010), we estimate a new

single base substitution to be generated in either one of these 3,466 bp regions approximately

every ~20,000 generations. This estimation is is very crude, and the insertion is extremely young

in the context of evolutionary time. Future research using this insertion could be used to test the

canonical paradigm that weedy adaptation is the consequence of selection on standing genetic

variation versus the more contentious proposition that management-induced stress can generate

contemporary adaptive alleles in weeds (Dyer, 2018).

Since we had identified a likely donor retrotransposon and had not detected any protein

coding sequences within this element, there must have been an autonomous element which

retrotransposed the donor from chromosome 4 to *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$*. To identify the autonomous

version(s) of the retrotransposon within *Bs AUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$*, NCBI BLAST was first used to find

similar LTRs in the previously assembled reference genome (Hall et al., 2023). Putative LTRs

were first filtered by sequence similarity and distance between other putative LTRs. Matches

were only considered if the putative LTRs were in the same orientation. Once matches were

found, identification of required retrotransposon domains was performed for each match (see

methods). This process led to the identification of a single autonomous element that contained all

domains required for transposition (Table SX). This 13kb putative autonomous retrotransposon,

named gi_5_13kb, was found on chromosome 5 (Figure 4B). There are two known superfamilies

of retrotransposons, Ty1/Copia and Ty3/Gypsy. To determine which gi_5_13kb belongs, we first

built a curated list of all high-confidence LTR transposons in Arabidopsis which contained all

required domains. We accomplished this using a combination of RepetDBv2 and TESorter. Then,

we used the predicted amino acid sequence of these high-confidence LTR transposons to build a

phylogenetic tree with the predicted amino-acid sequence of gi_5_13kb. This element clustered

within the Ty1/Copia branch of the tree (Figure 4A). We have subsequently named this novel

Ty1/Copia element *Outlaw*. This one protein-coding version of the *Outlaw* seems to be driving

retrotransposition of the non-autonomous element that generated herbicide resistance in this

population of kochia.

BsIAA16$_{Mut}$ expression is sufficient for dicamba resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana

262   To demonstrate the role of *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$* in dicamba resistance, stable transgenic lines

of *Arabidopsis thaliana* expressing *BsAUX/IAA16$_{WT}$* or *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$* were generated through

the floral dip method. When seeds were grown on phytoagar plates without herbicide,

Arabidopsis expressing *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$* grew shorter roots compared to those expressing

BsAUX/IAA16$_{WT}$ or with no transgene (Figure 5A). This is further evidence of a likely fitness

cost associated with disrupting normal auxin signaling in roots as seen by de Figueiredo et al.

(2022b) and LeClere et al. (2018). When grown on media containing 5 μM dicamba, only

seedlings expressing *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$* were able to grow and had significantly longer roots when

normalized to the length of roots grown on solvent-only media (Figure 5B). A similar result was

seen when seedlings were grown on media containing 0.5 μM IAA (Figure 5C), suggesting this

variant of AUX/IAA16 also reduces the perception of natural auxin. No seeds grew roots on

media containing 5 μM or 0.5 μM 2,4-D.

To confirm the results of root growth assays that indicate $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ is sufficient

for dicamba resistance, plants of select T$_3$ lines either expressing $BsAUX/IAA16_{WT}$,

$BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$, or no transgene were grown in the greenhouse and treated with 140 g dicamba

ha^{-1}. Only one of the lines expressing $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ showed less visual injury than the other

lines tested and appeared stunted and darker green than the other lines even when no dicamba

treatment was administered (Figure S3). Quantitative PCR indicated similar expression levels of

$BsAUX/IAA16$ between lines expressing $BsAUX/IAA16_{WT}$ or $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ (Figure 5D).

However, plants expressing $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ had no detected induction of auxin response genes

$AtIAA19$ or $AtGH3.3$ six hours after dicamba treatment (Figure 5E and 5F). These genes are

known to have increased expression following exposure to natural or synthetic auxins (Tatematsu

et al., 2004; Gleason et al., 2011; de Figueiredo et al., 2022b). Differences between root and

whole plant assays may be caused by differences in expression of the transgene between root and

above ground tissue.

The BsIAA16$_{Mut}$ allele is associated with a reduction in fitness

Because we hypothesize this insertion interferes with natural auxin signaling as shown by

LeClere et al (2018) and de Figuieredo et al. (2022), we expect this change to also confer a

fitness penalty in the absence of synthetic auxin application. To formally test this, kochia plants

were grown from an F$_3$ family that is segregating for the $BsAUX/IAA16$ locus and fitness traits

were measured without the application of auxin. The ratio of genotypes significantly differed

from the expected 1:2:1 (p<0.0001), with fewer than expected plants with the mutant

$BsAUX/IAA16$ locus (Figure 6). All seedlings that emerged were used in the experiment, so we

hypothesize that $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ causes reduced germination. Further, $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ was

associated with shorter plants, both at transplanting and at maturity (Figure 6). Evidence of a

fitness cost associated with herbicide resistance has implications on kochia management. For

instance, if dicamba is not used for several years, the frequency of $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ is expected

to decrease. High gene flow in kochia facilitates rapid spreading of alleles across long distances

and maintenance of high genetic diversity across the genome following selection of rare

herbicide resistance alleles (Martin et al., 2020; Ravet et al., 2021). The negative pleotropic

effect of $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ may help limit the expected spread of this resistance allele and allow

producers to better manage resistant populations that have already established.

Taken together, our results further emphasize the importance of the glycine residue within

the degron domain of AUX/IAA proteins in auxin signaling. They also reinforce the importance

of $BsAUX/IAA16$, specifically, in dicamba action. We describe the first instance of the insertion

of a mobile genetic element creating an herbicide resistance allele through gain-of-function.

These results highlight the amazing adaptability of weedy genomes, and the unlikely ways

genomes evolve in the face of strong selection pressures (Montgomery et al., 2024).

Experimental Procedures

Plant growth and herbicide treatment conditions

Unless otherwise noted, all plants were grown in greenhouses at Colorado State University in

3.8-cm by 3.8-cm by 5.8-cm pots containing fine-grade potting mix (Fafard #2-SV; American

Clay Works, Denver, CO). Temperatures were held between 22C and 24C, and supplemental

light was provided by liquid halogen grow lights to ensure a photoperiod of 14h/10h. Herbicide

applications were made using a moving overhead single-nozzle sprayer (DeVries Manufacturing,

Hollandale, MN) calibrated to deliver 187 L ha^{-1}. Engenia (BASF, Research Triangle Park, NC)

was used for dicamba applications, and Clean Amine (Loveland Products, Inc., Loveland, CO)

was used for 2,4-D applications. For kochia, herbicide applications took place when plants were

10-15 cm tall, and for Arabidopsis, plants were sprayed when they had 10-12 leaves and before

bolting.

Plant material

The M32 population of kochia was collected near Akron, Colorado as part of an herbicide

resistance survey conducted between 2012 and 2014 (Westra et al., 2019). Seeds from this field

collection were grown and the resulting plants were treated with 560 g dicamba ha^{-1}. Survivors

were open-pollinated, and their seed was combined to form a composite dicamba-resistant seed

lot. Approximately 50 seeds from this combined lot were grown and treated with 560 dicamba

ha^{-1}. The individual with the least herbicide injury was used as a male parent in a biparental cross

with an individual from the population 7710, an inbred, herbicide-susceptible population

(Preston et al., 2009) that was used to generate the first reference genome assembly for kochia

(Patterson et al., 2019). To make the cross, immature flowers of the dicamba-sensitive plant were

emasculated using forceps under a microscope, and the emasculated flowers were labeled. The

two plants were then grown to maturity together in a pollen-exclusion tent. Seeds from the

dicamba-sensitive plant were collected and grown; the resulting plants were treated with 560 g

dicamba ha^{-1}. A Kompetative Allele Specific PCR (KASP) assay that detects *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$*

was used to confirm survivors as hybrids (primer sequence and thermocycler conditions found in

Table S6). Several confirmed hybrid plants were grown in pollen exclusion tents and self-

pollinated to create several F$_2$ families. Plants from two F$_2$ families were grown, but because of

low seed production in the F_1 generation, plants were allowed to self-pollinate with no selection

to produce F_3 families.

Dose response

Plants were grown from the 7710 (Preston et al., 2009) and 9425 (LeClere et al., 2018)

populations as well as from the M32 composite resistant seed lot. Plants were treated with one of

several rates of dicamba. These rates included 0, 8.75, 17.5, 35, 70, 140, 280, 560, and 1120 g

dicamba ha^{-1} for the herbicide-sensitive population and 0, 70, 140, 280, 560, 1120, 2240, and

4480 g dicamba ha^{-1} for the herbicide-resistant populations. Six uniform plants were used for

each population at each herbicide rate before being returned to the greenhouse. Visual injury and

survival were rated 21 days after treatment (DAT). The drc package (v3.0-1; (Ritz et al., 2015))

in R (v4.0.2; (R-Core-Team, 2021)) was used to fit the injury data to a 2-parameter log-logistic

dose response curve. Significant difference is in the ED50 parameter were determined using the

compParm function from the drc package (Ritz et al., 2015).

Herbicide absorption and translocation profiles

Kochia plants from M32, 7710, and 9425 were germinated in a growth chamber (60%

relative humidity, 21/18 C, and 16/8 h photoperiod) in potting soil and transplanted to fine sand

when they reached ~3 true leaves. The plants were irrigated with fertilizer until the plants

reached 10 cm in height. At this point, aluminum foil was used to cover the second youngest

fully expanded leaf while the plants were sprayed with 560 g dicamba ha^{-1}. After spraying, the

aluminum foil was removed, the leaf was then marked and treated with 10 μL of a ^{14}C-labeled

dicamba solution (total radioactivity of 3.33 KBq or 200,000 dpm per plant). Plants were

returned to the growth chamber until sampling at 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96, and 192 h after treatment.

The treated leaf, remaining above ground tissue, and below ground tissue of three biological

replicates were separated for each population at each time point. The treated leaf was washed in

10 mL 10% methanol + 1% NIS, and radioactivity in this wash solution was quantified in 10 mL

of scintillation mixture (Ecoscint XR, National Diagnostics, Atlanta, GA) using liquid

scintillation spectrometry (Packard Tricarb 2300TR, Packard Instrument Co., Meriden, CT).

Plant tissue was dried in an oven at 60 C for at least 14 d before oxidation in a biological

oxidizer (OX500; RJ Harvey Instrument Co., Tappan, NY) followed by radioactivity

measurement by liquid scintillation spectrometry. Absorption time series data was fitted to a

rectangular hyperbolic model, and the parameters "absorption max" (*Amax*) and "time to 90

percent absorption" (*t90*) were estimated and compared in R (Kniss et al., 2011; Ritz et al., 2015;

R-Core-Team, 2021). Because the time series data for translocation of dicamba outside of the

treated leaf did not fit any of the models proposed by Kniss et al. (2011), t-tests were used to

determine if the amount of dicamba retained in the treated leaf was different between dicamba-

resistant and dicamba-sensitive populations at each time point.

QTL mapping

Plants from several F_3 families derived from a biparental cross described above were grown

and treated with 560 g dicamba ha^{-1}. Two families that segregated for survival following dicamba

treatment (4-1-1 and 4-5-10, each from a different F_1 hybridization event) were used for QTL

mapping. Approximately 150 plants from the F_3 family 4-5-10 were grown, and a single young

leaf was sampled and frozen in liquid nitrogen before treatment with 560 g dicamba ha^{-1}. Visual

injury was rated for each plant 21 DAT, and DNA was extracted from each plant (including the

original parents of the cross) using the CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle, 1990). The concentration

of each DNA sample was quantified using the Qubit™ dsDNA BR kit (Thermo Scientific,

Waltham, MA) and diluted to 20 ng/μl. A double-digest restriction-site associated DNA

sequencing (ddRADseq) protocol was developed in conjunction with the University of

Minnesota Genomics Center (Minneapolis, MN) by estimating the number of RAD sites across

the reference genome (Patterson et al., 2019) for several enzyme combinations and then

empirically testing the BtgI-TaqI combination on several samples at multiple sequencing depths.

DNA from the two original parents and 103 plants from the 4-5-10 F_3 family were used for

ddRADseq with BtgI and TaqI as the restriction enzymes with a target of 4 million 150 bp single

end reads per sample. Library prep and sequencing was completed by the University of

Minnesota Genomics Center.

Reads from each sample were passed through a variant calling pipeline that can be found at

github.com/JMont12/dicamba_kochia. Briefly, raw reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic

(v0.36; (Bolger et al., 2014)) and aligned to the reference genome of kochia (Hall et al., 2023)

using the burrows-wheeler aligner (v0.7.17, (Li & Durbin, 2009)). The alignments were

processed with samtools (v1.15, (Li et al., 2009)) and passed to GATK (v4.2.0, (Poplin et al.,

2018; Van der Auwera & O'Connor, 2020)) to call variants and filter them based on depth,

quality, and strand bias. Variants were further filtered using custom scripts to only include

biallelic single nucleotide polymorphisms that were homozygous and different between the two

original parents. Filtered variants and phenotype data were used to conduct a genome scan within

the qtl2 package in R (v0.32, (Broman et al., 2019)). Because a permutation test for significance

was not possible, a significance threshold was established through a Bonferroni correction of

alpha=0.05 considering the number of tests to equal the number of filtered markers used in the

scan then converting the adjusted p-value to LOD as described by Nyholt (2000). The genotype

around the QTL discovered on chr4 was determined for 210 plants from another F_3 family (4-1-

1) using the KASP assay developed for the *BsAUX/IAA16* gene (primer sequence and

thermocycler conditions in Table S6). A simple linear model was fitted using visual injury 21

DAT as the dependent variable and *BsAUX/IAA16* genotype as the independent variable and

plotted using ggplot2 (v3.4.4, (Wickham, 2016)) in R (R-Core-Team, 2021).

BsIAA16$_{M32}$ sequencing

Total RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA Microprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine,

CA) from individuals of the 4-5-10 selected line that were homozygous for either parental allele

of *BsAUX/IAA16* based on the results of KASP testing. This RNA was used to generate cDNA

libraries for each sample using the ProtoScript® II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (New

England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Primers that bind to the beginning and end of the *BsAUX/IAA16*

gene (Table S7) were used with the EconoTaq PLUS Green kit (LGC, Teddington, Middlesex,

UK) to amplify the full-length coding sequence. The product of each PCR reaction was run on a

1% agarose gel to ensure a single product, then sent to Azenta (Burlington, MA) for Sanger

sequencing using the forward and reverse primers.

To determine the genomic sequence around the *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$*, 2 g of dark-treated leaf

tissue from a plant that was homozygous for *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$* was sampled and flash frozen in

liquid nitrogen. This tissue was sent to Corteva Agriscience Center for Genome Excellence

(Johnston, IA) for whole genome PacBio HiFi sequencing to a depth of ~30X. The resulting

reads were assembled with HiFiasm (Cheng et al., 2021), and *BsAUX/IAA16* was located by

BLAST (Camacho et al., 2009).

Characterization of transposable element insertion

To find similar elements to the one inserted in *BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$*, the sequence of the 429

bp LTRs of the insertion were aligned to the kochia 2.0 reference genome assembly (Hall et al.,

2023) and to the newly created assembly described above using BLAST (Camacho et al., 2009).

Sequential filtering was used to identify the autonomous version of the element. First, alignments

of >85% identity and >100bp alignment length were identified as putative LTRs. Next, only

putative LTRs that were between 25 kb and 4 kb apart and in the direct orientation were

considered potential *Outlaw* transposon family members. Finally, of the elements of the proper

length and LTR orientation, we then only considered putative elements that contained all

required retrotransposon domains as potential autonomous elements. Necessary retrotransposon

domains were identified manually and with TESorter (Zhang et al., 2022). Specifically, the

required domains that we used were as follows: gag, pol (RNAseH, Integrase, Protease, Reverse

Transcriptase), tRNA Primer Binding Site, and Polypurine Tract.

Autonomous transposable element characterization

To classify the retro element into one of two possible superfamilies (Ty1/Copia or Ty3/Gypsy),

we used the *Arabidopsis thaliana* transposable element annotation dataset from RepetDBv2

(Amselem et al., 2019). We procured all Ty1/Copia and Ty3/Gypsy nucleotide elements and

predicted the protein domain sequences from this dataset using TESorter (Zhang et al., 2022).

We only used TEs which had all required retrotransposon domains (both pol and gag). We then

manually concatenated all pol domain proteins (RT, RNaseH, Protease, Integrase) as a single

polypeptide for each transposable element and then used *Outlaw* predicted pol polypeptide

sequence to create a phylogenetic tree. MegaX was used to align all of the proteins using

MUSCLE to create a maximum likelihood tree with 500 bootstraps (Kumar et al., 2018).

Development of transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana lines

The coding sequence of $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ and $BsAUX/IAA16_{WT}$ were amplified from

cDNA libraries discussed above using the PrimeSTAR MAX DNA Polymerase kit (Takara Bio

USA, Inc., San Jose, CA) and primers with tails that complement restriction sites in the

expression vector *pFGC5941* (primer sequences and thermocycler conditions in Table S8).

Empty *pFGC5941* was digested with AscI and BamHI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA),

and the *BsAUX/IAA16* amplicons were ligated into the digested vector using the In-Fusion

Cloning kit (Takara Bio USA, Inc., San Jose, CA). Plasmid sequencing ensured correct assembly

of expression vectors (Plasmidsaurus, Eugene, OR). Vectors containing $BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}$ or

$BsAUX/IAA16_{WT}$ were transformed into the GV3101 strain of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, and

subsequently transfected into the Col-0 genotype of *Arabidopsis thaliana* using the floral dip

method (Clough & Bent, 1998). Transformants were selected by spraying T_1 seedlings with

BASTA herbicide (BASF, Research Triangle Park, NC) and confirmed with PCR for the

transgene (primers and thermocycler conditions found in Table S9). Confirmed transformants

were inbred for two generations, and T_3 populations fixed for the transgene were identified by

spraying seedlings with BASTA herbicide and PCR for the transgene.

Effect of dicamba on transgenic Arabidopsis lines

Seeds of Col-0 and of fixed T_3 lines of Arabidopsis, each from a different transformation

event, were gas sterilized and plated on media plates containing synthetic or natural auxin as

described by de Figueiredo et al. (2022b). Plates were incubated in a growth chamber with a

yellow light filter to prevent indole-3 acetic acid degradation. Ten seeds were used per

population for each treatment. Root growth was measured 7 d after moving plates to growing

conditions. T-tests were used to detect significant differences between lines expressing

BsAUX/IAA16$_{Mut}$ compared to the Col-0 control. Results were plotted with ggplot2 in R.

Plants from select T$_3$ lines were grown to the 10-leaf stage and either untreated or treated

with 140 g dicamba ha^{-1}. Four plants of each population were used for each treatment. Photos

were taken 21 DAT to illustrate visual injury. Young leaf tissue was collected from treated and

untreated plants 6 h after treatment. Total RNA was extracted from these leaf samples using the

Direct-zol RNA Microprep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), and cDNA libraries were generated

using the ProtoScript® II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich,

MA). Following the methods of de Figueiredo et al. (2022b), relative expression was quantified

for the *BsAUX/IAA16* transgene (primers and thermocycler conditions available in Table S10)

and the auxin response genes *AtIAA19* and *AtGH3.3* using *AtCyclophilin* as a reference gene.

In silico mutagenesis of IAA variants

The crystal structure of the degron of IAA7 bound to TIR1 in the presence of 2,4-D

(2p1n) was downloaded from the RCSB Protein Data Bank (Tan et al., 2007). Mutagenesis of the

G127 residue was conducted and visualized in PyMOL.

Data Statement

The RAD sequencing data from the segregating F3 line used in the mapping project and the

PacBio HiFi sequencing used to generate the de novo genome assembly are being submitted to

the NCBI SRA database and will be available upon publication.

Acknowledgments

506   This research was supported in part by BASF SE, by the Colorado Wheat Administrative

507   Committee, and by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch project

508   COL00783 to the Colorado State University Agricultural Experiment Station.

Figures

Figure 1. Dose response curves showing magnitude of resistance towards dicamba (A) and 2,4-D

(B) for the M32 population of *Bassia scoparia* (Experimental Resistant) compared to a known

dicamba-sensitive population and known dicamba-resistant population (LeClere et al., 2018;

Pettinga et al., 2018). C) Time course of ^{14}C dicamba absorption showing lack of herbicide

absorption is not associated with dicamba resistance in dicamba-resistant populations of *Bassia*

scoparia. D) Proportion of absorbed ^{14}C dicamba retained in the treated leaf showing less

dicamba exits the treated leaf in dicamba-resistant populations compared to a dicamba-sensitive

population. Error bars represent standard error. *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01. Populations are

represented by the same colors and symbols as in A-C.

Figure 2. A) Quantitative trait loci (QTL) genome scan from a segregating F$_3$ population derived

from a biparental cross of herbicide-resistant and -sensitive *Bassia scoparia* plants. Red line

represents a significance threshold determined by Bonferroni-adjustment of alpha=0.05 followed

by conversion to LOD as described by Nyholt (2000). B) Violin plot displaying the effect of

BsAUX/IAA16 genotype on visual injury in an independent segregating F$_3$ population of *Bassia*

Figure 3. A) Genomic and coding sequence for *BsAUX/IAA16* alleles detected in a mapping

population segregating for dicamba resistance. An insertion in the beginning of exon two of

BsAUX/IAA16 changes the coding sequence near the degron domain in the mutant allele. B)

Amino acid sequence of mutant (Mut) and wildtype (WT) alleles of *BsAUX/IAA16* around the

degron domain. C) Crystal structure of IAA7 (wheat) bound to TIR1 (slate) showing the glycine

127 residue (red) superimposed over the predicted structure if this residue is substituted for

threonine, colored by element (carbon=grey, oxygen=red, nitrogen=blue, chlorine=green).

Residues of TIR1 that are within 4Å of the threonine residue are colored by element, and 2,4-D

is in the background, colored by element. Clashes are shown as red discs.

Figure 4. Characterization of autonomous element driving transposition of the retrotransposon

that was inserted in *BsAUX/IAA16*. A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree showing

evolutionary relationships between autonomous LTR elements from Arabidopsis and the

autonomous *Outlaw* element discovered in *Bassia scoparia*. B) comparison of nonautonomous

(top) and autonomous (bottom) versions of *Outlaw*. Colored blocks represent regions necessary

for retrotransposition.

Figure 5. Root length of *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants expressing either *BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}*

(populations starting in Mut), *BsIAA16_{WT}* (populations starting in WT), or no transgene (Col0

and 02A) grown on phytoagar media. Proportional root length (compared to control) of the same

populations when grown on media containing 5 μM dicamba (B) or 0.5 μM IAA (C). Expression

of the *BsAUX/IAA16* transgene (D) and the auxin response genes *AtAUX/IAA19* (E) and *AtGH3.3*

(F) in plants expressing *BsAUX/IAA16_{Mut}* (M32), *BsAUX/IAA16_{WT}* (7710), or no transgene (Col0)

that were either treated (T) or untreated (U) with 140 g dicamba ha^{-1} six hours after treatment.

Each observation is represented by a black dot.

Figure 6. Effect of *BsAUX/IAA16* genotype on plant height either at transplanting (A) or maturity

(B) of kochia plants from an F$_3$ population segregating for dicamba resistance.

References

Amselem, J., Cornut, G., Choisne, N., Alaux, M., Alfama-Depauw, F., Jamilloux, V. et al. (2019)
    RepetDB: a unified resource for transposable element references. *Mob DNA*, **10**, 6.

Behrens, M.R., Mutlu, N., Chakraborty, S., Dumitru, R., Jiang, W.Z., Lavallee, B.J. et al. (2007)
    Dicamba resistance: enlarging and preserving biotechnology-based weed management
    strategies. *Science*, **316**, 1185-8.

Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
    sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2114-20.

Broman, K.W., Gatti, D.M., Simecek, P., Furlotte, N.A., Prins, P., Sen, Ś. et al. (2019) R/qtl2:
    software for mapping quantitative trait loci with high-dimensional data and multiparent
    populations. *Genetics*, **211**, 495-502.

Busi, R., Goggin, D.E., Heap, I.M., Horak, M.J., Jugulam, M., Masters, R.A. et al. (2018) Weed
    resistance to synthetic auxin herbicides. *Pest Management Science*, **74**, 2265-2276.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K. et al. (2009)
    BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **10**, 421.

Cheng, H., Concepcion, G.T., Feng, X., Zhang, H. & Li, H. (2021) Haplotype-resolved de novo
    assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nature Methods*, **18**, 170-175.

Christoffoleti, P., Figueiredo, M., Peres, L., Nissen, S. & Gaines, T. (2015) Auxinic herbicides,
    mechanisms of action, and weed resistance: A look into recent. *Scientia Agricola*, **72**, 356-
    362.

Clough, S.J. & Bent, A.F. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated
    transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal*, **16**, 735-43.

de Figueiredo, M.R.A., Barnes, H., Boot, C.M., de Figueiredo, A., Nissen, S.J., Dayan, F.E. et al.

(2022a) Identification of a novel 2,4-D metabolic detoxification pathway in 2,4-D-resistant

waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*). *J Agric Food Chem*, **70**, 15380-15389.

de Figueiredo, M.R.A., Küpper, A., Malone, J.M., Petrovic, T., de Figueiredo, A.B.T.B.,

Campagnola, G. et al. (2022b) An in-frame deletion mutation in the degron tail of auxin

coreceptor IAA2 confers resistance to the herbicide 2,4-D in *Sisymbrium orientale*.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **119**, e2105819119.

Dharmasiri, N., Dharmasiri, S. & Estelle, M. (2005) The F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor.

Nature, **435**, 441-5.

Doyle, J. & Doyle, J. (1990) A rapid total DNA preparation procedure for fresh plant tissue.

Focus, **12**, 13-15.

Dyer, W.E. (2018) Stress-induced evolution of herbicide resistance and related pleiotropic

effects. *Pest Management Science*, **74**, 1759-1768.

Espinosa, E., Bautista, R., Larrosa, R. & Plata, O. (2024) Advancements in long-read genome

sequencing technologies and algorithms. *Genomics*, **116**, 110842.

Ge, X., d'Avignon, D.A., Ackerman, J.J. & Sammons, R.D. (2010) Rapid vacuolar sequestration:

the horseweed glyphosate resistance mechanism. *Pest Manag Sci*, **66**, 345-8.

Ghanizadeh, H., He, L., Griffiths, A.G., Harrington, K.C., Carbone, V., Wu, H. et al. (2024) A

novel mutation in *IAA16* is associated with dicamba resistance in *Chenopodium album*. *Pest

Manag Sci*, 10.1002/ps.8071

Gleason, C., Foley, R.C. & Singh, K.B. (2011) Mutant analysis in Arabidopsis provides insight

into the molecular mode of action of the auxinic herbicide dicamba. *PLOS ONE*, **6**, e17245.

Gray, W.M., Kepinski, S., Rouse, D., Leyser, O. & Estelle, M. (2001) Auxin regulates

SCF(TIR1)-dependent degradation of AUX/IAA proteins. *Nature*, **414**, 271-6.

Grossmann, K. (2010) Auxin herbicides: current status of mechanism and mode of action. *Pest*

Manag Sci, **66**, 113-20.

Hall, N., Chen, J., Matzrafi, M., Saski, C.A., Westra, P., Gaines, T.A. et al. (2023) *FHY3/FAR1*

transposable elements generate adaptive genetic variation in the *Bassia scoparia* genome.

bioRxiv, **DOI**, 10.1101/2023.05.26.542497.

Heap, I., The International Herbicide-Resistant Weed Database. www.weedscience.org [accessed

20 June 2024].

Holmes, K.H., Lindquist, J.L., Rebarber, R., Werle, R., Yerka, M. & Tenhumberg, B. (2022)

Modeling the evolution of herbicide resistance in weed species with a complex life cycle.

Ecological Applications, **32**, e02473.

Kepinski, S. & Leyser, O. (2005) The Arabidopsis F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor.

Nature, **435**, 446-451.

Kniss, A.R., Vassios, J.D., Nissen, S.J. & Ritz, C. (2011) Nonlinear regression analysis of

herbicide absorption studies. *Weed Science*, **59**, 601-610.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. (2018) MEGA X: Molecular

Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol*, **35**, 1547-1549.

LeClere, S., Wu, C., Westra, P. & Sammons, R.D. (2018) Cross-resistance to dicamba, 2,4-D,

and fluroxypyr in *Kochia scoparia* is endowed by a mutation in an *AUX/IAA* gene.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, **115**, E2911-E2920.

Li, H. & Durbin, R. (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1754-1760.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N. et al. (2009) The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2078-2079.

Ma, Q., Grones, P. & Robert, S. (2017) Auxin signaling: a big question to be addressed by small

molecules. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **69**, 313-328.

Martin, S.L., Benedict, L., Wei, W., Sauder, C.A., Beckie, H.J. & Hall, L.M. (2020) High gene

flow maintains genetic diversity following selection for high *EPSPS* copy number in the

weed kochia (Amaranthaceae). *Scientific Reports*, **10**, 18864.

McCauley, C.L., McAdam, S.A.M., Bhide, K., Thimmapuram, J., Banks, J.A. & Young, B.G.

(2020) Transcriptomics in Erigeron canadensis reveals rapid photosynthetic and hormonal

responses to auxin herbicide application. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **71**, 3701-3709.

Montgomery, J., Morran, S., MacGregor, D.R., McElroy, J.S., Neve, P., Neto, C. et al. (2024)

Current status of community resources and priorities for weed genomics research. *Genome*

Biology, **25**, 139.

Nyholt, D.R. (2000) All LODs are not created equal. *Am J Hum Genet*, **67**, 282-8.

Ossowski, S., Schneeberger, K., Lucas-Lledó, J.I., Warthmann, N., Clark, R.M., Shaw, R.G. et al.

(2010) The rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Science, **327**, 92-4.

Patterson, E.L., Saski, C.A., Sloan, D.B., Tranel, P.J., Westra, P. & Gaines, T.A. (2019) The draft

genome of *Kochia scoparia* and the mechanism of glyphosate resistance via transposon-

mediated *EPSPS* tandem gene duplication. *Genome Biol Evol*, **11**, 2927-2940.

Pettinga, D.J., Ou, J., Patterson, E.L., Jugulam, M., Westra, P. & Gaines, T.A. (2018) Increased

chalcone synthase (*CHS*) expression is associated with dicamba resistance in *Kochia*

scoparia. *Pest Management Science*, **74**, 2306-2315.

Poplin, R., Ruano-Rubio, V., DePristo, M.A., Fennell, T.J., Carneiro, M.O., Van der Auwera, G.A. et al. (2018) Scaling accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples. *bioRxiv*, 10.1101/201178201178.

Preston, C., Belles, D.S., Westra, P.H., Nissen, S.J. & Ward, S.M. (2009) Inheritance of resistance to the auxinic herbicide dicamba in kochia (*Kochia scoparia*). *Weed Science*, **57**, 43-47.

Quastel, J.H. (1950) 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) as a selective herbicide. In *Agricultural Control Chemicals* eds). American Chemical Society, pp. 244-249.

R-Core-Team, R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/ [accessed

Ramans-Harborough, S., Kalverda, A.P., Manfield, I.W., Thompson, G.S., Kieffer, M., Uzunova, V. et al. (2023) Intrinsic disorder and conformational coexistence in auxin coreceptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **120**, e2221286120.

Ravet, K., Sparks, C.D., Dixon, A.L., Küpper, A., Westra, E.P., Pettinga, D.J. et al. (2021) Genomic-based epidemiology reveals independent origins and gene flow of glyphosate resistance in Bassia scoparia populations across North America. *Mol Ecol*, **30**, 5343-5359.

Ritz, C., Baty, F., Streibig, J.C. & Gerhard, D. (2015) Dose-response analysis using R. *PLOS ONE*, **10**, e0146021.

Roux, F. & Reboud, X. (2005) Is the cost of herbicide resistance expressed in the breakdown of the relationships between characters? A case study using synthetic-auxin-resistant Arabidopsis thaliana mutants. *Genet Res*, **85**, 101-10.

Ruegger, M., Dewey, E., Gray, W.M., Hobbie, L., Turner, J. & Estelle, M. (1998) The TIR1

protein of Arabidopsis functions in auxin response and is related to human SKP2 and yeast

grr1p. *Genes Dev*, **12**, 198-207.

Song, Y. (2014) Insight into the mode of action of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) as an

herbicide. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, **56**, 106-113.

Tan, X., Calderon-Villalobos, L.I., Sharon, M., Zheng, C., Robinson, C.V., Estelle, M. et al.

(2007) Mechanism of auxin perception by the TIR1 ubiquitin ligase. *Nature*, **446**, 640-5.

Tatematsu, K., Kumagai, S., Muto, H., Sato, A., Watahiki, M.K., Harper, R.M. et al. (2004)

MASSUGU2 encodes Aux/IAA19, an auxin-regulated protein that functions together with

the transcriptional activator NPH4/ARF7 to regulate differential growth responses of

hypocotyl and formation of lateral roots in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell*, **16**, 379-93.

Todd, O.E., Figueiredo, M.R.A., Morran, S., Soni, N., Preston, C., Kubeš, M.F. et al. (2020)

Synthetic auxin herbicides: finding the lock and key to weed resistance. *Plant Sci*, **300**,

110631.

Todd, O.E., Patterson, E.L., Westra, E.P., Nissen, S.J., Araujo, A.L.S., Kramer, W.B. et al. (2024)

Enhanced metabolic detoxification is associated with fluroxypyr resistance in Bassia

scoparia. *Plant Direct*, **8**, e560.

Uzunova, V.V., Quareshy, M., Del Genio, C.I. & Napier, R.M. (2016) Tomographic docking

suggests the mechanism of auxin receptor TIR1 selectivity. *Open Biol*, **6**, 160139.

Van der Auwera, G. & O'Connor, B. (2020) *Genomics in the Cloud: Using Docker, GATK, and*

WDL in Terra (1st Edition). O'Reilly Media

Walsh, T.A., Neal, R., Merlo, A.O., Honma, M., Hicks, G.R., Wolff, K. et al. (2006) Mutations in

an auxin receptor homolog *AFB5* and in *SGT1b* confer resistance to synthetic picolinate

auxins and not to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid or indole-3-acetic acid in Arabidopsis.

Plant Physiol, **142**, 542-52.

Westra, E.P., Nissen, S.J., Getts, T.J., Westra, P. & Gaines, T.A. (2019) Survey reveals frequency

of multiple resistance to glyphosate and dicamba in kochia (*Bassia scoparia*). *Weed*

Technology, **33**, 664-672.

Wickham, H. (2016) *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York

Wright, T.R., Shan, G., Walsh, T.A., Lira, J.M., Cui, C., Song, P. et al. (2010) Robust crop

resistance to broadleaf and grass herbicides provided by *aryloxyalkanoate dioxygenase*

transgenes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **107**, 20240-5.

Wu, C., LeClere, S., Liu, K., Paciorek, M., Perez-Jones, A., Westra, P. et al. (2021) A dicamba

resistance-endowing IAA16 mutation leads to significant vegetative growth defects and

impaired competitiveness in kochia (*Bassia scoparia*). *Pest Manag Sci*, **77**, 795-804.

Zenser, N., Ellsmore, A., Leasure, C. & Callis, J. (2001) Auxin Modulates the Degradation Rate

of Aux/IAA Proteins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*

of America, **98**, 11795-11800.

Zhang, R.-G., Li, G.-Y., Wang, X.-L., Dainat, J., Wang, Z.-X., Ou, S. et al. (2022) TEsorter: An

accurate and fast method to classify LTR-retrotransposons in plant genomes. *Horticulture*

Research, **9**, uhac017.

721