1

The transcriptional landscape of the developing chick trigeminal ganglion

Carrie E. Leonard[1], Alec McIntosh[2], Johena Sanyal[1], Lisa A. Taneyhill[1,*]

[1]Department of Animal and Avian Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
USA

[2]Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, 20007
USA

*Corresponding author; Email: ltaney@umd.edu

Keywords: Trigeminal ganglion, neural crest cells, placode cells, RNA-sequencing

Funding information: NIH R01DE024217, R21DE033786

Summary

The trigeminal ganglion is a critical structure in the peripheral nervous system,
responsible for transmitting sensations of touch, pain, and temperature from craniofacial regions
to the brain. Trigeminal ganglion development depends upon intrinsic cellular programming as
well as extrinsic signals exchanged by diverse cell populations. With its complex anatomy and
dual cellular origin from cranial placodes and neural crest cells, the trigeminal ganglion offers a
rich context for examining diverse biological processes, including cell migration, fate
determination, adhesion, and axon guidance. Avian models have, so far, enabled key insights
into craniofacial and peripheral nervous system development. Yet, the molecular mechanisms
driving trigeminal ganglion formation and subsequent nerve growth remain elusive. In this study,
we performed RNA-sequencing at multiple stages of chick trigeminal ganglion development and
generated a novel transcriptomic dataset that has been curated to illustrate temporally dynamic
gene expression patterns. This publicly available resource identifies major pathways involved in
trigeminal gangliogenesis, particularly with respect to the condensation and maturation of
placode-derived neurons, thus inviting new lines of research into the essential processes
governing trigeminal ganglion development.

Introduction

The trigeminal ganglion is responsible for relaying sensations like touch, pain, and
temperature from the face and oral cavity to the central nervous system[1]. Trigeminal nerve
dysfunction causes disabling conditions including migraine headache, orofacial pain, and
chronic dry eye, whose etiologies remain poorly understood[2–4]. Hereditary disorders associated
with cranial sensory deficits are also explained, in part, by altered trigeminal nerve
development[5–7]. Despite clear relevance to human health, the genetic landscape and molecular
mechanisms underlying trigeminal ganglion neurodevelopment are still poorly characterized.

Peripheral nervous system development begins early during embryogenesis, at stages
that are difficult to access in mammals. Avian studies have historically offered critical insight into
the cellular lineage and molecular processes that govern cranial gangliogenesis[8–10]. Primary
sensory neurons reside in peripheral sensory ganglia which are largely derived from the neural
crest, a transient, multipotent progenitor population that gives rise to diverse tissues during

vertebrate embryogenesis[11]. Likewise, cranial ganglia contain neural crest-derived sensory

neurons and glia while also receiving neuronal contributions from specialized ectodermal

thickenings called placodes[12]. While most cranial ganglia contain either neural crest- or placode-

derived neurons that are spatially distinct, the trigeminal ganglion uniquely comprises a mixed

neuronal population arising from both lineages[10,13]. Development of a functional trigeminal

ganglion relies upon intrinsic transcriptional programming as well as reciprocal communication

between neural crest and placode cells[14–17].

Cranial neural crest cells, which originate in the dorsal neural folds and migrate to their

final destinations within the embryo, influence the differentiation, migration, and growth of

placode cells[18–20]. Chemotactic cues are sent by both neural crest and placode cells to direct

their migration to the trigeminal ganglion anlage[21,22]. In tandem, migratory neural crest cells

create corridors through which placodal neurons travel after delaminating from the overlying

ectoderm[23,24]. Neurogenesis is staggered between the two progenitor populations, such that

placode cells are the first to adopt a neuronal fate, followed by neural crest cells, which also

give rise to glia, including satellite glial cells within the ganglion and Schwann cells that line the

nerves[25,26]. The coalescence and condensation of placodal neurons with undifferentiated neural

crest cells to form the ganglion is mediated by cell adhesion molecules including Cadherins[27,28],

and signaling pathways, like Wnt and Slit/Robo[28–30]. Once the earliest trigeminal sensory axons

extend from placodal neurons, their growth toward craniofacial sites of innervation is further

guided by diffusible factors, such as BMPs[31], semaphorins[32,33], and neurotrophins[34,35]. Thus, the

developing trigeminal ganglion and its required interactions between neural crest cells and

placodal neurons offer a rich context in which to investigate core biological processes, from fate

determination to cell migration to axon guidance.

From decades of elegant work conducted in vertebrate embryos, there is a strong

foundational understanding of neural crest and placode cell interactions and their contributions

to cranial ganglia[36,37]. However, studies characterizing neurodevelopment from placodes are

underrepresented compared to our knowledge of neural crest-derived neurons[38]. The early

development and lack of genetic tools to target specific placodal subpopulations in mammalian

models hinders research progress in this area. In contrast, chick embryos offer relative ease of

access to facilitate the experimental manipulation of neural crest and distinct placodal

progenitors[39], with the additional benefit of continued development *in ovo*. With the evolutionary

conservation of developmental mechanisms between species[37,40,41], the chick embryo remains a

favored, economical model for examining embryonic development, including the formation of
neurogenic cranial placodes.

To elucidate normal gene expression dynamics during trigeminal ganglion development,
we performed RNA-sequencing at sequential timepoints and curated a novel transcriptomic
dataset to illustrate relative changes in gene expression over time. Raw and processed data are
available in Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI) under Accession #GSE282770 to encourage
further exploration by researchers interested in craniofacial and/or peripheral nervous system
development. Our findings identify key pathways involved in trigeminal gangliogenesis and
provide critical insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying neurodevelopment and cellular
interactions between placode-derived neurons and neural crest cells.

Methods

Collection of chicken embryos and trigeminal ganglia

Fertilized chicken eggs (*Gallus gallus*) were procured from Hy-Line North America
(Elizabethtown, PA) or Centurion Poultry (Lexington, GA) and incubated in a humid environment
at 37°C. Embryos were staged using the Hamburger-Hamilton (HH) method[42], then removed
from the egg and placed into sterile, ice-cold Ringer's solution (0.125M sodium chloride, 1.5mM
calcium chloride dihydrate, 5mM potassium chloride, 0.8mM sodium phosphate dibasic,
adjusted to pH 7.4). Trigeminal ganglia were dissected using electrolytically sharpened tungsten
needles, pooled by appropriate stages, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen until total RNA
extraction. With three pooled biological replicates for each stage, a total of 47 ganglia were used
from HH13/14 embryos, 47 ganglia from HH15/16 embryos, and 56 ganglia from HH17/18
embryos. For immunohistochemical studies, HH17/18 embryos were collected and fixed with
gentle agitation in 4% paraformaldehyde for 2 hours at room temperature, then washed 3 times
for 10 minutes each in 1× PBS/0.1% Triton X-100 (Tx-100).

RNA extraction, library preparation, RNA-sequencing and data processing

Total RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer's protocol for the RNAqueous
Total RNA Isolation kit (ThermoFisher, #AM1912). RNA concentration and integrity were
quantified with Nanodrop (ThermoFisher) and Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. The TruSeq sample
preparation kit (Illumina) was used to generate poly(A)-enriched cDNA libraries, followed by
assessment of quality and quantity using the bioanalyzer. 100bp paired-end reads were
obtained with the Illumina HiSeq 1500 platform. Illumina adapter sequences were removed

using Trimmomatic[43] and the quality of reads assessed with FastQC[44]. HISAT2[45] was used to

align reads with a *Gallus gallus* reference genome (bGalGal1.mat.broiler.GRCg7b) and the

number of reads per gene was quantified using HTSeq[46]. For principal component analysis,

sample variability was calculated and visualized after normalization in R. Differential expression

analyses were performed on normalized counts using DESeq2[47], with three biological replicates

from pooled trigeminal ganglia per indicated stage bin, and two technical replicates per

biological replicate included. Heatmaps were generated using the online tool Morpheus from the

Broad Institute (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/). Raw and processed data were

deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI) under Accession #GSE282770.

Polar plot and Gene Ontology enrichment analyses

Normalized differential expression data were first used to calculate "H" by transforming

sequential data (T^0-T^1-T^2) into angular values representing relative gene expression at HH13/14

(T^0), HH15/16 (T^1), and HH17/18 (T^2), respectively[48,49]. The determined angular values illustrate

expression dynamics (i.e., low-low-high) on a radial plot. "H" corresponds to the relative angular

position of individual gene expression across T^0-T^1-T^2 (i.e., yellow; H=0 degrees indicates no

change from T^0-T^1, and a significant increase in gene expression from T^1-T^2). MaxLog, as

determined with DESeq2 as the maximal Log_2 fold change between any two timepoints, is

illustrated in the polar plot as distance from the center, while the transparency of a particular

point reflects the average normalized gene expression of a given gene (i.e., values greater than

100 are fully opaque whereas lower averages are more transparent). Polar plots were

generated with *ggplot2*[50] and scaled expression profiles were created in R using previously

described equations[51] adapted from the Mandrup group[48,49]. Briefly, relative mean changes in

gene expression were transformed to an angle between -180 and +180 degrees, then further

transformed to a scale from 0 to 360 degrees, which was used to determine color based on

degree. Differentially expressed genes were plotted using polar coordinates with the radius

equal to MaxLog, and angular position around the radial plot determined by the change trend.

Color-coded, three-point profile annotations demonstrating relative expression changes

corresponding from left to right as HH13/14 (T^0), HH15/16 (T^1), and HH17/18 (T^2), respectively,

are displayed every 30 degrees along the outer edge of the polar plot. For Gene Ontology (GO)

enrichment, genes were parsed into six sectors by grouping profiles into 60-degree intervals

starting at 0 degrees, which denotes genes that were unchanged between T^0-T^1, but

significantly increased from T^1-T^2. Using *EnrichR*[52–54], genes within each 60-degree sector were

assessed against the GO Biological Process and GO Cellular Compartment databases[55]. Prism

(Graphpad) was used to graph the top fifteen pathways most enriched for each sector based on

p-value. Excel files summarizing all color-matched calculated values for the polar plot and

sector-parsed GO analyses are included as supplemental files.

Embedding, cryosectioning, immunohistochemistry, and in situ hybridization data

Fixed embryos were processed through a sucrose gradient by submerging in 1× PBS

plus 5% sucrose (w/v) for 10 minutes, followed by 1× PBS plus 15% sucrose (w/v) overnight at

4°C. Embryos were then incubated in 1× PBS plus 7.5% gelatin (w/v) and 15% sucrose at 37°C

for 3 hours, before transfer into a solution of 1× PBS plus 20% gelatin (w/v) and 15% sucrose

and incubation overnight at 37°C in a humidified incubator. Gelatin-equilibrated embryos were

then embedded in 1× PBS plus 20% gelatin and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen vapor. Embedded

embryos were sectioned at 12µm on a cryostat at -25°C, and tissue sections were collected on

Superfrost Plus charged slides (VWR, Cat. No. 48311-703). Slides were de-gelatinized in 1×

PBS at 42°C for 15 minutes, then rinsed in 1× PBS at room temperature before permeabilization

in 1× PBS/0.5% Tx-100 for 10 minutes. Tissue was blocked with 10% (v/v) heat-treated sheep

serum (HTSS, Sigma) in 1×PBS/0.1% Tx-100 for 1 hour at room temperature in a humidified

chamber. Primary antibodies were diluted in 1× PBS/0.1% Tx-100 plus 5% HTSS and applied

overnight at 4°C using the following concentrations: Sox10 (1:100, Cat. No. 66786-1-Ig,

Proteintech); Islet1 (1:100, Cat. No. 40.2D6, DSHB); STMN2 (1:100, Cat. No. 720178,

ThermoFisher); beta III Tubulin ("Tubb3", 1:500, Cat. No. AB78078, Abcam); N-Cadherin (1:100,

Cat. No. AB18203, Abcam); and HuC/D (1:100, Cat. No. A-21271, ThermoFisher). The following

day, tissue sections were washed 4 times for 30 minutes each at room temperature in 1×

PBS/0.1% Tx-100. Fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies (ThermoFisher: goat anti-mouse

IgG$_1$ Cat. No. A-21125 or A-21240, goat anti-mouse IgG$_{2a}$ Cat. No. A-21131, goat anti-mouse

IgG$_{2b}$ Cat. No. A-21145, goat anti-rabbit Cat No. A-11034 or A-21245; and Southern Biotech:

goat anti-mouse IgG$_{2a}$ Cat. No. 1080-31) were diluted at 1:500 in 1× PBS/0.1% Tx-100 plus 5%

HTSS and applied overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber. Slides were washed as described

above for the primary antibodies, and coverslips mounted using DAPI Fluoromount-G Mounting

Medium (Southern Biotech, Cat. No. 0100-20). Images were acquired and processed using a

Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope with Zen Blue software (Zeiss). *In situ* hybridization data

from the developing trigeminal ganglion in embryonic day (E)11.5 mouse was obtained from the

Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas[56].

Results and Discussion

To elucidate gene expression dynamics and identify key molecular drivers of trigeminal
ganglion development, we performed a bulk RNA-sequencing time course assay. Trigeminal
ganglia were collected from chicken embryos, pooled into three Hamburger-Hamilton (HH)
stage-dependent bins[42] (HH13/14, HH15/16, or HH17/18), and separated into three biological
replicates per bin before RNA extraction and sequencing. A total of 47 trigeminal ganglia were
used from HH13/14 embryos, corresponding to a time when placodal neurons and neural crest
cells begin to coalesce within the trigeminal ganglion anlage. HH15/16 trigeminal ganglia (n=47)
are in the process of condensing into a compact structure, comprising a mixture of neural crest
cells and placodal neurons that have initiated neurite extension. By HH17/18 (n=56), the
trigeminal ganglion is densely populated with placodal neurons that are actively undergoing
axon growth and guidance, comingled with neural crest cells that have not yet differentiated into
neurons or glia[12,57,58]. Principal component analysis of normalized gene counts revealed
samples generally clustered according to developmental stage, with HH13/14 trigeminal ganglia
least similar to HH17/18 trigeminal ganglia, and HH15/16 trigeminal ganglia falling in between
the distributions of HH13/14 and HH17/18 samples (Supplemental Figure 1A). Our goal was not
only to detect differentially expressed genes at each stage, but to visualize the dynamic patterns
spanning these three developmental timepoints. To this end, polar plots were created to
summarize the relative relationships of individual genes from HH13/14 to HH15/16 to HH17/18,
and cluster genes into groups based on similar temporal profiles (Figure 1)[48,49].

In total, 14,506 genes were detected across the three timepoints. 5,703 genes exhibited
significant differential expression (p<0.05 after Benjamini-Hochberg correction, see
Supplemental File 1) at one timepoint compared to at least one other and were included in the
polar plot (Figure 1A) and associated histogram (Figure 1B). The upregulation or
downregulation of individual differentially expressed genes from HH13/14 to HH15/16 to
HH17/18 was transformed into angular representations of relative expression over time, and
placed onto a circular spectrum, where position around the circle is analogous to angular
expression profiles, which are color-coded based on the degree within the circle, i.e., 0 degrees
(yellow) is analogous to no change between HH13/14 and HH15/16, then a significant increase
from HH15/16 to HH17/18 (Figure 1A). Of the differentially expressed genes, there were 765
with a maximal Log_2 fold change (MaxLog) greater than 1.0, 124 genes with MaxLog greater
than 2.0, and 14 genes with MaxLog exceeding 4.0 in any comparison, as indicated by
increasing distance from the center of the polar plot (Figure 1A). Generally, the greatest

changes in expression occurred in those genes exhibiting a temporal profile with upregulation

between HH13/14 and HH15/16 (green to blue on polar plot), and either upregulation (yellow to

green on polar plot) or sustained expression (green to blue on polar blot) from HH15/16 to

HH17/18 (Figure 1A). The greatest numbers of differentially expressed genes occurred between

0 and +60 degrees (yellow to green) and between -120 and -180 degrees (indigo to purple) on

the polar plot (Figure 1B), suggesting most changes in gene expression are unidirectional

and/or sustained over time, regardless of increased versus decreased expression.

To understand how shared temporal gene expression profiles relate to biological events

occurring in the developing trigeminal ganglion from HH13/14 to HH17/18, and to identify which

genes may play a role in these processes, the polar plot was divided into 60-degree sectors.

Differentially expressed genes within any given 60-degree sector displayed similar expression

trajectories, on average, and were assessed for Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment against the

GO: Biological Processes and GO: Cellular Component databases[55] (Figures 2-4, Supplemental

Figures 2-5, Supplemental File 2).

Within Sector 1, 1900 genes exhibited expression profiles that, on average, increased

consistently from HH13/14 to HH15/16 and from HH15/16 to HH17/18, with some variation at

the mid-point, but consistent upregulation by HH17/18 when compared to HH13/14 (Figure 2A

and 2B). Significant biological processes and cellular components associated with Sector 1

genes included "Axonogenesis", "Axon Guidance", "Positive Regulation of Neuron Projection

Development", "Neuron Projection", "Axon", and "Cytoskeleton" (Figure 2C and 2D). Heatmaps

illustrating relative temporal expression of differentially expressed genes associated with the

regulation of axonogenesis revealed that, as expected, temporal expression patterns largely

segregate according to sector. Further, the vast majority of genes associated with

axonogenesis-related GO terms fall under Sector 1 (Supplemental Figure 1B). These findings

align with the ongoing arrival and maturation of placodal neurons in the trigeminal ganglion

between HH13/14 and HH17/18.

Indeed, we confirmed the presence of Sox10-positive neural crest cells and Islet1-

positive placodal neurons in the HH18 trigeminal ganglion (Figure 3A). We also observed Sector

1 gene protein products, Stmn2 and Elavl4 (also known as HuD), in placodal neurons that

expressed beta III tubulin or N-Cadherin (Figure 3B-C). Moreover, Sector 1 comprises several

neuronal genes shown to be highly expressed in the developing mouse and chick trigeminal

ganglion that play critical roles in axonal growth and maintenance, including *Ntrk1*, *Robo2*, and

Cntn2 (Figure 3D)[28,29,56,59–61]. While other signaling pathways known to be involved in sensory

axon growth and guidance were among the upregulated genes in Sector 1, including Eph

receptors, Semaphorins, and Notch (Figure 2C, right panel), genes within these families have

not been well characterized in the trigeminal ganglion. Additionally, the GO enrichment results

indicated changes in cell migration, cell signaling, and interactions with the extracellular matrix

(Figure 2C and 2D). Such molecular changes may reflect migration dynamics of placodal

neurons and/or neural crest cells as they populate the trigeminal ganglion and mature over time.

They may also reflect genes important for sensing the environment as axons grow in search of

target tissues, or as undifferentiated neural crest cells process extracellular cues that may

influence their eventual fate.

In Sector 2, 796 genes, on average, were upregulated at HH15/16 compared to

HH13/14, with sustained, but not further increased, expression at HH17/18 (Figure 4A and 4B).

Differential expression of Sector 2 genes reflected activation of pathways involved in autophagy,

as evidenced by enrichment in categories including "Lysosomal Transport", "Positive Regulation

of Autophagy", "Vesicle-Mediated Transport", "Lysosome", "Recycling Endosome", and

"Autophagosome" (Figure 4C and 4D). While autophagy is a key player in processes including

migration and differentiation that could be occurring in multiple cell types, it is also essential for

proper neuronal development, plasticity, and survival[62,63]. Indeed, several neurodevelopmental

disorders associated with sensory deficits, including autism spectrum disorders, are linked to

dysfunctional autophagy[64]. Little has been described regarding the role of autophagy in

trigeminal ganglion neurodevelopment, yet our findings indicate a large proportion of

differentially expressed genes may participate in this process as placodal neurons mature.

While genes identified in Sectors 1 and 2 are largely associated with neurodevelopmental

mechanisms, it is also plausible that they are driven by transcriptional changes in neural crest

cells or even cranial mesenchyme, as multiple populations are present in our samples. Follow-

up studies are needed to determine the unique cellular localization of differentially expressed

transcripts, and how the identified pathways may function in placodal neurons versus neural

crest cells at the examined timepoints.

Overall, genes in Sector 3 were associated with temporary upregulation of processes

involved in sensory perception, glucose and ion transport, homeostasis, and cytoskeletal

reorganization at HH15/16 (Supplemental Figure 2). Such processes are important for cell-cell

communication, which is critical as undifferentiated neural crest cells and placodal neurons

further condense to generate the ganglion, including supporting morphological changes in both

cell populations[65–67]. Downregulated genes in Sectors 4 and 5 that remained lower at HH17/18

were generally associated with negative regulation of gene expression and protein biogenesis

(Supplemental Figures 3-4). These findings may mirror trigeminal ganglion cells as they

transition from proliferative and/or migratory progenitor states into cells with more defined fates,

such as the increasing number of post-mitotic placodal neurons in the trigeminal ganglion over

time, or neural crest cells that are preparing to differentiate into glia and/or neurons. Finally, the

expression profiles of genes in Sector 6 generally reflected a temporary decrease in

mitochondrial metabolic processes and cell motility (Supplemental Figure 5). This pattern may,

too, reflect a pause and restructuring of energy-dependent cellular processes needed to support

later steps in gangliogenesis, including axon extension and transport for neurodevelopment[68,69].

These non-exhaustive hypotheses require further investigation in order to fully understand the

implications of individual gene expression trends in specific cell types.

Interpretation of our data is limited by the inability to assign changes in individual gene

expression to a particular cell population. The RNA-sequencing data were generated from

whole trigeminal ganglion lysate and, therefore, encompasses both placodal neurons and neural

crest cells. Moreover, tissue samples likely included some contamination from the surrounding

mesenchyme, especially at stages that precede trigeminal ganglion condensation. Given the

migratory nature of several cell populations during the examined stages of craniofacial

development, it is also possible that some transient changes in gene expression (for example,

genes in Sectors 3 or 6) are explained by cells in transit to other tissues that do not ultimately

remain in the trigeminal ganglion.

Even with these limitations, advantages of our bulk sequencing approach in comparison

to single-cell or cell-sorted analyses include higher sensitivity and fewer gene "dropout events",

thus providing a more complete profile of average gene expression over time, which can be

compared against more filtered data sets[70,71]. For example, Patthey et al. (2016) previously

examined transcript expression in cranial sensory neurons by pre-selecting for mature neurons

that expressed Neurofilament protein[58]. Our data confirmed the presence of neuronal genes

deemed specific to the trigeminal ganglion, including *Prdm12*, *Gad2*, *Chrna3*, *Chrnb4*, and *Tox2*

(Supplemental File 1)[58]. However, we also detected several genes in trigeminal ganglion lysate

that Patthey et al. determined to be specific to neurons in other cranial ganglia, including *Esrrg*,

Irx2, *Pdzrn4*, *Sult4a1*, *Shox2*, and *Lhx4* (Supplemental File 1)[58]. It is possible that these genes

are instead expressed in non-neuronal cells within our trigeminal ganglion samples and,

therefore, would have been selected against in Patthey et al. However, *Shox2* and *Lhx4*, for

example, have established roles in sensory neuron development[72,73]. Moreover, our data

capture genes that are expressed in newly differentiated, immature placodal neurons that would
not yet express Neurofilament protein. Therefore, it is useful to consider filtered and bulk
datasets together in order to draw and evaluate conclusions. In either case, RNA-sequencing,
while informative, does not account for post-transcriptional or post-translational mechanisms
that control gene expression. Follow-up assessment of protein products compared to their
respective transcript profiles would provide additional insight into the functional consequences
of the described transcriptional dynamics.

Conclusions

Our report visually and quantitatively illustrates temporal dynamics in gene expression,
cellular events, and key molecular pathways that participate in the development of the trigeminal
ganglion in chick. These gene signatures correspond to key stages in trigeminal gangliogenesis,
from the time when neural crest and placode cells coalesce and form a cohesive structure,
through the neuronal maturation and early axon extension of placodal neurons. This novel,
publicly available dataset is processed and curated with the goal of inspiring new lines of
investigation into the molecular mechanisms underlying cranial sensory neuron development
and the cellular interactions required for proper craniofacial development during embryogenesis.

Acknowledgements

We thank Chyong-Yi Wu, Ph.D. for technical assistance in sample preparation. We thank
Sue Zhao, Ph.D, Hector Corrada Bravo, Ph.D., and the UMD Institute for Bioscience &
Biotechnology Research for their technical assistance and expertise in sequencing and
preliminary data analyses.

References

1.   Sanders, R. D. The Trigeminal (V) and Facial (VII) Cranial Nerves: Head and Face Sensation and
Movement. *Psychiatry (Edgmont)* **7**, 13–6 (2010).

2.   Olesen, J., Burstein, R., Ashina, M. & Tfelt-Hansen, P. Origin of pain in migraine: evidence for
peripheral sensitisation. *The Lancet Neurology* vol. 8 679–690 Preprint at
https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70090-0 (2009).

3.   Soares, F. *et al.* Effect of Genetic Polymorphisms on Pain Sensitivity in the Orofacial Region: A
Systematic Review. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache* **34**, 353–363 (2020).

4.   Patel, S., Hwang, J., Mehra, D. & Galor, A. Corneal Nerve Abnormalities in Ocular and Systemic
Diseases. *Exp Eye Res* **202**, 108284 (2021).

5.   Leonard, C. E., Quiros, J., Lefcort, F. & Taneyhill, L. A. Loss of Elp1 disrupts trigeminal ganglion
neurodevelopment in a model of familial dysautonomia. *Elife* **11**, (2022).

6.   Karpinski, B. A. *et al.* Selective disruption of trigeminal sensory neurogenesis and differentiation
in a mouse model of 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *DMM Disease Models and Mechanisms* **15**,
(2022).

7.   Schwartzlow, C. & Kazamel, M. Hereditary Sensory and Autonomic Neuropathies: Adding More to
the Classification. *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* **19**, (2019).

8.   Le Douarin, N. M. The avian embryo as a model to study the development of the neural crest: A
long and still ongoing story. *Mechanisms of Development* vol. 121 1089–1102 Preprint at
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2004.06.003 (2004).

9.   Méndez-Maldonado, K., Vega-López, G. A., Aybar, M. J. & Velasco, I. Neurogenesis From Neural
Crest Cells: Molecular Mechanisms in the Formation of Cranial Nerves and Ganglia. *Frontiers in
Cell and Developmental Biology* vol. 8 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00635
(2020).

10.   Hamburger, V. Experimental analysis of the dual origin of the trigeminal ganglion in the chick
embryo. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* **148**, 91–123 (1961).

11.   Bronner, M. E. & LeDouarin, N. M. Development and evolution of the neural crest: An overview.
Developmental Biology vol. 366 2–9 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.12.042
(2012).

12.   D'Amico-Martel, A. & Noden, D. M. Contributions of placodal and neural crest cells to avian
cranial peripheral ganglia. *Am J Anat* **166**, 445–468 (1983).

13.   Lwigale, P. Y. Embryonic origin of avian corneal sensory nerves. *Dev Biol* **239**, 323–337 (2001).

14.   Moody, S. A. & LaMantia, A.-S. Transcriptional Regulation of Cranial Sensory Placode
Development. in *Curr Top Dev Biol* vol. 111 301–350 (2015).

15.   Wu, C. Y. & Taneyhill, L. A. Cadherin-7 mediates proper neural crest cell–placodal neuron
interactions during trigeminal ganglion assembly. *Genesis* **57**, 1–14 (2019).

16.   Wu, C. Y., Hooper, R. M., Han, K. & Taneyhill, L. A. Migratory neural crest cell αN-catenin impacts
chick trigeminal ganglia formation. *Dev Biol* **392**, 295–307 (2014).

17.   Halmi, C. A., Wu, C. Y. & Taneyhill, L. A. Neural crest cell-placodal neuron interactions are
377   mediated by Cadherin-7 and N-cadherin during early chick trigeminal ganglion assembly.
378   *F1000Res* **11**, 741 (2022).

379   18.   Steventon, B., Mayor, R. & Streit, A. Neural crest and placode interaction during the development
380   of the cranial sensory system. *Dev Biol* **389**, 28–38 (2014).

381   19.   Theveneau, E. & Mayor, R. Neural crest delamination and migration: From epithelium-to-
382   mesenchyme transition to collective cell migration. *Developmental Biology* vol. 366 34–54
383   Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.12.041 (2012).

384   20.   Leonard, C. E. & Taneyhill, L. A. The road best traveled: Neural crest migration upon the
385   extracellular matrix. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* vol. 100 177–185 Preprint at
386   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2019.10.013 (2020).

387   21.   Gammill, L. S., Gonzalez, C., Gu, C. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Guidance of trunk neural crest migration
388   reguires neuropilin 2/semaphorin 3F signaling. *Development* **133**, 99–106 (2006).

389   22.   Guidato, S. & Itasaki, N. Wise retained in the endoplasmic reticulum inhibits Wnt signaling by
390   reducing cell surface LRP6. *Dev Biol* **310**, 250–263 (2007).

391   23.   Freter, S., Fleenor, S. J., Freter, R., Liu, K. J. & Begbie, J. Cranial neural crest cells form corridors
392   prefiguring sensory neuroblast migration. *Development (Cambridge)* **140**, 3595–3600 (2013).

393   24.   Barlow, L. A. *Cranial Nerve Development: Placodal Neurons Ride the Crest*. *Current Biology* vol. 12
394   (2002).

395   25.   Noden', D. M. *An Analysis of the Migratory Behavior of Avian Cephalic Neural Crest Cells'*.
396   *DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY* vol. 42 (1975).

397   26.   D'amico-Martel, A. & Noden, D. An autoradiographic analysis of the development of the chick
398   trigeminal ganglion. *J Embryol Exp Morphol* **55**, 167–182 (1980).

399   27.   Wu, C. Y. & Taneyhill, L. A. Cadherin-7 mediates proper neural crest cell–placodal neuron
400   interactions during trigeminal ganglion assembly. *Genesis* **57**, 1–14 (2019).

401   28.   Shiau, C. E. & Bronner-Fraser, M. N-cadherin acts in concert with Slit1-Robo2 signaling in
402   regulating aggregation of placode-derived cranial sensory neurons. *Development* **136**, 4155–4164
403   (2009).

404   29.   Shiau, C. E., Lwigale, P. Y., Das, R. M., Wilson, S. A. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Robo2-Slit1 dependent
405   cell-cell interactions mediate assembly of the trigeminal ganglion. *NATURE NEUROSCIENCE*
406   *VOLUME* **11**, (2008).

407   30.   Shigetani, Y. *et al.* Wise promotes coalescence of cells of neural crest and placode origins in the
408   trigeminal region during head development. *Dev Biol* **319**, 346–358 (2008).

409   31.   Hodge, L. K. *et al.* Retrograde BMP Signaling Regulates Trigeminal Sensory Neuron Identities and
410   the Formation of Precise Face Maps. *Neuron* **55**, 572–586 (2007).

32.   William Rochlin, M. & Farbman, A. I. *Trigeminal Ganglion Axons Are Repelled By Their*
Presumptive Targets. (1997).

33.   Chen, H. *et al.* Neuropilin-2 Regulates the Development of Select Cranial and Sensory Nerves and
Hippocampal Mossy Fiber Projections. *Neuron* **25**, 43–56 (2000).

34.   O'Connor, R. & Tessier-Lavigne, M. Identification of Maxillary Factor, a Maxillary Process–Derived
Chemoattractant for Developing Trigeminal Sensory Axons. *Neuron* **24**, 165–178 (1999).

35.   Enokido, Y., Wyatt, S. & Davies, A. M. Developmental changes in the response of trigeminal
neurons to neurotrophins: Influence of birthdate and the ganglion environment. *Development*
126, 4365–4373 (1999).

36.   Steventon, B., Mayor, R. & Streit, A. Neural crest and placode interaction during the development
of the cranial sensory system. *Dev Biol* **389**, 28–38 (2014).

37.   York, J. R., Yuan, T. & McCauley, D. W. Evolutionary and Developmental Associations of Neural
Crest and Placodes in the Vertebrate Head: Insights From Jawless Vertebrates. *Frontiers in*
Physiology vol. 11 986 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.00986 (2020).

38.   Méndez-Maldonado, K., Vega-López, G. A., Aybar, M. J. & Velasco, I. Neurogenesis From Neural
Crest Cells: Molecular Mechanisms in the Formation of Cranial Nerves and Ganglia. *Front Cell Dev*
Biol **8**, (2020).

39.   Xu, H., Dude, C. M. & Baker, C. V. H. Fine-grained fate maps for the ophthalmic and
maxillomandibular trigeminal placodes in the chick embryo. *Dev Biol* **317**, 174–186 (2008).

40.   Kee, Y., Byung, J. H., Sternberg, P. W. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Evolutionary conservation of cell
migration genes: From nematode neurons to vertebrate neural crest. *Genes Dev* **21**, 391–396
(2007).

41.   Yajima, H. *et al.* Six1 is a key regulator of the developmental and evolutionary architecture of
sensory neurons in craniates. *BMC Biol* **12**, 1–18 (2014).

42.   Hamburger, V. & Hamilton, H. L. A series of normal stages in the development of the chick
embryo. *J Morphol* **88**, 49–92 (1951).

43.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

44.   Andrews, S. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data [Online]. Available
online at http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. (2010).

45.   Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. *Nat Methods* **12**, 357–360 (2015).

46.   Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015).

47.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, (2014).

48.   Siersbfk, R. *et al.* Extensive chromatin remodelling and establishment of transcription factor
448   hotspots during early adipogenesis. *EMBO Journal* **30**, 1459–1472 (2011).

449   49.   Madsen, J. G. S. *et al.* Highly interconnected enhancer communities control lineage-determining
450   genes in human mesenchymal stem cells. *Nat Genet* **52**, 1227–1238 (2020).

451   50.   Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag New York. ISBN 978-3-
452   319-24277-4, https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org. (2016).

453   51.   Nasir, A. *et al.* The integration of Tgfβ and Egfr signaling programs confers the ability to lead
454   heterogeneous collective invasion. *bioRxiv* 2020.11.14.383232 (2023)
455   doi:10.1101/2020.11.14.383232.

456   52.   Xie, Z. *et al.* Gene Set Knowledge Discovery with Enrichr. *Curr Protoc* **1**, (2021).

457   53.   Chen, E. Y. *et al. Enrichr: Interactive and Collaborative HTML5 Gene List Enrichment Analysis Tool*.
458   http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr. (2013).

459   54.   Kuleshov, M. V. *et al.* Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016
460   update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W90–W97 (2016).

461   55.   Aleksander, S. A. *et al.* The Gene Ontology knowledgebase in 2023. *Genetics* **224**, (2023).

462   56.   Allen Institute for Brain Science. Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas. *Available from*
463   *developingmouse.brain-map.org* (2024).

464   57.   McCabe, K. L., Sechrist, J. W. & Bronner-Fraser, M. Birth of ophthalmic trigeminal neurons initiates
465   early in the placodal ectoderm. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **514**, 161–173 (2009).

466   58.   Patthey, C. *et al.* Identification of molecular signatures specific for distinct cranial sensory ganglia
467   in the developing chick. *Neural Dev* **11**, 1–20 (2016).

468   59.   Ratié, L., Ware, M., Jagline, H., David, V. & Dupé, V. Dynamic expression of Notch-dependent
469   neurogenic markers in the chick embryonic nervous system. *Front Neuroanat* **8**, (2014).

470   60.   Huang, E. J. *et al.* POU domain factor Brn-3a controls the differentiation and survival of trigeminal
471   neurons by regulating Trk receptor expression. *Development* **126**, 2869–2882 (1999).

472   61.   Huang, E. J. *et al.* POU domain factor Brn-3a controls the differentiation and survival of trigeminal
473   neurons by regulating Trk receptor expression. *Development* **126**, 2869–2882 (1999).

474   62.   Allen, E. A. & Baehrecke, E. H. Autophagy in animal development. *Cell Death and Differentiation*
475   vol. 27 903–918 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-020-0497-0 (2020).

476   63.   Valencia, M., Kim, S. R., Jang, Y. & Lee, S. H. Neuronal autophagy: Characteristic features and roles
477   in neuronal pathophysiology. *Biomolecules and Therapeutics* vol. 29 605–614 Preprint at
478   https://doi.org/10.4062/BIOMOLTHER.2021.012 (2021).

479   64.   Deng, Z., Zhou, X., Lu, J. H. & Yue, Z. Autophagy deficiency in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Cell*
480   *and Bioscience* vol. 11 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-021-00726-x (2021).

65. Putra, V. D. L., Kilian, K. A. & Knothe Tate, M. L. Biomechanical, biophysical and biochemical
modulators of cytoskeletal remodelling and emergent stem cell lineage commitment.
Communications Biology vol. 6 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-04320-w (2023).

66. Shellard, A. & Mayor, R. Integrating chemical and mechanical signals in neural crest cell migration.
Curr Opin Genet Dev **57**, 16–24 (2019).

67. Roycroft, A. *et al.* Redistribution of Adhesive Forces through Src/FAK Drives Contact Inhibition of
Locomotion in Neural Crest. *Dev Cell* **45**, 565-579.e3 (2018).

68. Smith, G. M. & Gallo, G. The role of mitochondria in axon development and regeneration.
Developmental Neurobiology vol. 78 221–237 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22546
(2018).

69. Vaarmann, A. *et al.* Mitochondrial biogenesis is required for axonal growth. *Development
(Cambridge)* **143**, 1981–1992 (2016).

70. Yamawaki, T. M. *et al.* Systematic comparison of high-throughput single-cell RNA-seq methods for
immune cell profiling. *BMC Genomics* **22**, (2021).

71. Chen, G., Ning, B. & Shi, T. Single-cell RNA-seq technologies and related computational data
analysis. *Frontiers in Genetics* vol. 10 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00317
(2019).

72. Guo, R. *et al.* LIM Homeobox 4 (lhx4) regulates retinal neural differentiation and visual function in
zebrafish. *Sci Rep* **11**, (2021).

73. Abdo, H. *et al.* Dependence on the transcription factor Shox2 for specification of sensory neurons
conveying discriminative touch. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **34**, 1529–1541 (2011).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Temporally dynamic relative transcript expression during chick trigeminal ganglion development. A) Polar plot depicting relative temporal relationships of differentially expressed genes detected in the HH13/14, HH15/16, and HH17/18 chick trigeminal ganglion. Individual genes are represented as single points based on changes in expression across the three timepoints. In the three-point angular profiles surrounding the circular plot, the left dot represents HH13/14, the middle dot represents HH15/16, and the right dot represents HH17/18, whereas the pattern of each profile demonstrates the relative relationship of a gene's expression at a given degree along the circular plot, i.e. the yellow profile represents a gene that does not change from HH13/14 to HH15/16, but is significantly upregulated from HH15/16 to HH17/18. Genes exhibiting a maximum Log_2 fold change deemed significant ($p<0.05$ after Benjamini-Hochberg correction) at one timepoint relative to at least one other (three total comparisons) are shown. Maximal Log_2 fold change for each gene is represented as distance from the center, while the concentric dotted lines represent Log_2 fold change thresholds of 0, 2, and 4, respectively, from the center of the plot moving outward. Gray bars around the outer circumference demonstrate the number of genes at any given degree along the circle, which are transformed to a straight axis in B. **B)** Color-coded histogram illustrating the number of genes at any given degree ("H") along the circumference of the polar plot, where 0 degrees equates to the yellow three-point profile in A.

Figure 2. Genes associated with neuron development and axon guidance are continuously upregulated between HH13/14 and HH17/18 in the chick trigeminal ganglion. A) The yellow wedge overlayed on the polar plot represents the 60-degree angle used to define Sector 1 for gene ontology enrichment. **B)** Color-coded line plots reveal the relative expression patterns of individual genes included in Sector 1. The dotted line is the average scaled gene expression of all genes in Sector 1. **C-D)** Bar graphs illustrate the fifteen most enriched biological processes (C) and cellular components (D) associated with Sector 1 genes (Fisher's exact test), in order of transformed p-value. The box outlined to the right lists all genes from Sector 1 associated with "Axonogenesis" (GO:0007409).

Figure 3. Validation of protein and transcript expression of neuronal genes in Sector 1. A-C)

Fluorescent immunohistochemistry on transverse sections taken through the forming chick HH18

trigeminal ganglion demonstrates interspersed Sox10-positive (green) and Islet1-positive (purple) nuclei,

confirming the presence of both neural crest cells and placodal neurons, respectively (A). Neurons

expressing either N-Cadherin (B, purple) or beta III tubulin ("Tubb3", C, purple) express protein products

of genes in Sector 1, including HuD (B, green) and Stmn2 (C, green). Arrows point to representative cells

that co-express N-Cadherin and HuD (B) or Tubb3 and Stmn2 (C). DAPI (blue) labels cell nuclei. **D)** *In*

situ hybridization data from the Allen Developing Brain Atlas showing expression of Sector 1 genes in the

E11.5 mouse trigeminal ganglion, including *Ntrk1* (https://developingmouse.brain-

map.org/experiment/show/100046577), *Cntn2* (https://developingmouse.brain-

map.org/experiment/show/100047095), and *Robo2* (https://developingmouse.brain-

map.org/experiment/show/100046870)[56]. Scale bar in A is 20µm for A-C and 250µm for D.

Figure 4. Autophagy-related genes are upregulated from HH13/14 to HH15/16, and maintained at

HH17/18, in the chick trigeminal ganglion. A) The green wedge overlayed on the polar plot represents

the 60-degree angle used to define Sector 2 for gene ontology enrichment. **B)** Color-coded line plots

reveal the relative expression patterns of individual genes included in Sector 2. The dotted line is the

average scaled gene expression of all genes in Sector 2. **C-D)** Bar graphs illustrate the fifteen most

enriched biological processes (C) and cellular components (D) associated with Sector 2 genes (Fisher's

exact test), organized by transformed p-value. The box outlined to the right lists all genes from Sector 2

associated with "Lysosomal Transport" (GO:0007041) and "Positive Regulation of Autophagy"

(GO:0010508).

Supplemental Figures Legends

Supplemental Figure 1. Analyses of sample similarity and temporal relationships of genes related

to axonogenesis. A) Results of principal component analysis comparing all biological and technical

replicates from HH13/14 (blue), HH15/16 (yellow), and HH17/18 (green) after normalization. Ellipses are

overlayed to demonstrate how samples within each staging bin cluster together on the plot. **B)** Heatmap

illustrating temporal expression patterns of genes associated with GO terms related to axonogenesis,

including "Axonogenesis", "Regulation of Axonogenesis", "Positive/Negative Regulation of Axonogenesis",

"CNS Neuron Axonogenesis", and "CNS Projection Neuron Axonogenesis". Heatmap depicts relative

expression at HH13/14 (left column), HH15/16 (middle column), and HH17/18 (right column), where blue

represents the minimum expression for a given row, red represents the maximum expression, and white

represents the mid-point between minimum and maximum expression. Brackets label the Polar Plot

Sector under which each group of genes falls.

Supplemental Figure 2. Sector 3 gene expression and ontologies. **A)** The light blue wedge overlayed on the polar plot from Figure 1 represents the 60-degree angle used to define Sector 3 for gene ontology enrichment. **B)** Color-coded line plots reveal the relative expression patterns of individual genes included in Sector 3. The dotted line is the average scaled gene expression of all genes in Sector 3. **C-D)** Bar graphs illustrate the fifteen most enriched biological processes (C) and cellular components (D) associated with Sector 3 genes (Fisher's exact test), organized by transformed p-value.

Supplemental Figure 3. Sector 4 gene expression and ontologies. **A)** The dark blue wedge overlayed on the polar plot from Figure 1 represents the 60-degree angle used to define Sector 4 for gene ontology enrichment. **B)** Color-coded line plots reveal the relative expression patterns of individual genes included in Sector 4. The dotted line is the average scaled gene expression of all genes in Sector 4. **C-D)** Bar graphs illustrate the fifteen most enriched biological processes (C) and cellular components (D) associated with Sector 4 genes (Fisher's exact test), organized by transformed p-value.

Supplemental Figure 4. Sector 5 gene expression and ontologies. **A)** The pink wedge overlayed on the polar plot from Figure 1 represents the 60-degree angle used to define Sector 5 for gene ontology enrichment. **B)** Color-coded line plots reveal the relative expression patterns of individual genes included in Sector 5. The dotted line is the average scaled gene expression of all genes in Sector 5. **C-D)** Bar graphs illustrate the fifteen most enriched biological processes (C) and cellular components (D) associated with Sector 5 genes (Fisher's exact test), organized by transformed p-value.

Supplemental Figure 5. Sector 6 gene expression and ontologies. **A)** The orange wedge overlayed on the polar plot from Figure 1 represents the 60-degree angle used to define Sector 6 for gene ontology enrichment. **B)** Color-coded line plots reveal the relative expression patterns of individual genes included in Sector 6. The dotted line is the average scaled gene expression of all genes in Sector 6. **C-D)** Bar graphs illustrate the fifteen most enriched biological processes (C) and cellular components (D) associated with Sector 6 genes (Fisher's exact test), organized by transformed p-value.

Supplemental Data Files

Supplemental File 1. Color-coded Excel spreadsheet containing calculated values for differential expression comparisons and polar plot.

609 **Supplemental File 2.** Excel spreadsheets compiling gene ontology enrichment results for Biological

610 Processes (BP) and Cellular Components (CC) associated with each 60-degree polar plot sector.

A

B

Frequency

Peak Expression Profile (H)

A DAPI Sox10 Islet1

B DAPI HuC/D N-Cad

C DAPI Stmn2 Tubb3

D E11.5 mouse

Ntrk1   *Cntn2*   *Robo2*

A

PCA 2 (18.5%)

PCA 1 (27.9%)

HH13-14
HH15-16
HH17-18

HH1314.B3.T2
HH1314.B1.T1
HH1314.B2.T2 HH1314.B2.T1
HH1314.B3.T1

HH1516.B3.T2 HH1516.B3.T1

HH1718.B2.T2 HH1718.B2.T1

HH1516.B2.T2
HH1516.B2.T1

HH1718.B3.T2

HH1718.B1.T2
HH1718.B1.T1 HH1718.B3.T1

HH1516.B1.T2 HH1516.B1.T1

B **Regulation of Axonogenesis:**

row min ———————— row max

Sector 1

ANOS1
ARHGAP35
ATL1
BDNF
BRSK1
CNTN1
CNTN2
CYFIP2
DOCK7
DPYSL5
EPHA3
EPHA4
EPHA5
EPHB6
FEZ1
FGFR2
GLI2
ISLR2
KIF5A
MAP1A
MAP6
MAPT
NOTCH1
NOTCH2
NTNG1
NTRK2
OPHN1
PALLD
POU4F2
PRKCQ
ROBO1
ROBO2
S100A6
SEMA3A
SEMA3C
SEMA3E
SEMA5A
SEMA5B
SEMA6D
SHTN1
SLIT1
SLIT2
SLITRK2
ULK2
WNT5A
ZDHHC17

Sector 2

RAB8A
KIAA0319
C9orf72
EPHB1
MCF2
RUFY3
ULK1
NGF
ROBO3
SLITRK6

Sector 3

TNR
TSKU
SEMA4G
PARD6B

Sector 4

CNTN6
PLXNA2
RELN
EMB
EFNA5
PLXNC1
NRXN1
ANK3
SEMA3G
METRN
DAG1
HSP90AB1
TIAM2
BAIAP2
EPHA7
PLXNA1
B4GALT5
GDI1
NRDC

Sector 5

TWF2
MAP1S
LYPLA2
PTPRS

Sector 6

SEMA3D
APBB1
EPHB3
TUBB3

A

B

C **GO: Biological Process**

D **GO: Cellular Component**

B Sector 4

Scaled Mean Gene Expression

Genes:1607

HH13−HH14   HH15−HH16   HH17−HH18

Developmental Stage

C **GO: Biological Process**

Ribosome Biogenesis (GO:0042254)
Ribonucleoprotein Complex Biogenesis (GO:0022613)
rRNA Processing (GO:0006364)
Ribosomal Small Subunit Biogenesis (GO:0042274)
ncRNA Processing (GO:0034470)
rRNA Metabolic Process (GO:0016072)
Regulation Of Translation (GO:0006417)
Maturation Of SSU-rRNA (GO:0030490)
Regulation Of rRNA Processing (GO:2000232)
Ribosomal Large Subunit Biogenesis (GO:0042273)
Translation (GO:0006412)
Regulation Of Apoptotic Process (GO:0042981)
Positive Regulation Of rRNA Processing (GO:2000234)
Gene Expression (GO:0010467)
Cytoplasmic Translation (GO:0002181)

$-\log_{10}(Pvalue)$

D **GO: Cellular Component**

Nucleolus (GO:0005730)
Nuclear Lumen (GO:0031981)
Small-Subunit Processome (GO:0032040)
Intracellular Non-Membrane-Bounded Organelle (GO:0043232)
Intracellular Membrane-Bounded Organelle (GO:0043231)
Nucleus (GO:0005634)
Mitochondrial Matrix (GO:0005759)
Intracellular Organelle Lumen (GO:0070013)
Ribosome (GO:0005840)
RNA Polymerase I Complex (GO:0005736)
RNA Polymerase III Complex (GO:0005666)
Chromosome (GO:0005694)
U2-type Catalytic Step 2 Spliceosome (GO:0071007)
Cytoplasmic Vesicle Lumen (GO:0060205)
Cytosolic Large Ribosomal Subunit (GO:0022625)

$-\log_{10}(Pvalue)$

A

B
Sector 5

Scaled Mean Gene Expression

Genes:925

HH13−HH14   HH15−HH16   HH17−HH18
Developmental Stage

C **GO: Biological Process**

Translation (GO:0006412)
Gene Expression (GO:0010467)
Macromolecule Biosynthetic Process (GO:0009059)
Peptide Biosynthetic Process (GO:0043043)
Cytoplasmic Translation (GO:0002181)
Ribosome Biogenesis (GO:0042254)
Ribonucleoprotein Complex Biogenesis (GO:0022613)
Ribosomal Large Subunit Biogenesis (GO:0042273)
protein-RNA Complex Assembly (GO:0022618)
Mitochondrial Gene Expression (GO:0140053)
Mitochondrial Translation (GO:0032543)
Ribosome Assembly (GO:0042255)
rRNA Metabolic Process (GO:0016072)
rRNA Processing (GO:0006364)
mRNA Splicing, Via Spliceosome (GO:0000398)

0   20   40
$-\log_{10}(\text{Pvalue})$

D **GO: Cellular Component**

Intracellular Non-Membrane-Bounded Organelle (GO:0043232)
Nuclear Lumen (GO:0031981)
Nucleolus (GO:0005730)
Cytosolic Large Ribosomal Subunit (GO:0022625)
Large Ribosomal Subunit (GO:0015934)
Nucleus (GO:0005634)
Intracellular Membrane-Bounded Organelle (GO:0043231)
Ribosome (GO:0005840)
Mitochondrial Membrane (GO:0031966)
Cytosolic Small Ribosomal Subunit (GO:0022627)
Small Ribosomal Subunit (GO:0015935)
Mitochondrial Inner Membrane (GO:0005743)
Polysomal Ribosome (GO:0042788)
Organelle Inner Membrane (GO:0019866)
Preribosome, Large Subunit Precursor (GO:0030687)

0   10   20   30
$-\log_{10}(\text{Pvalue})$

A

B

Sector 6

Scaled Mean Gene Expression

Genes:236

HH13−HH14　　HH15−HH16　　HH17−HH18

Developmental Stage

C **GO: Biological Process**

Aerobic Electron Transport Chain (GO:0019646)
Mitochondrial ATP Synthesis Coupled Electron Transport (GO:0042775)
Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycan Biosynthetic Process, Enzymatic Modification (GO:0015015)
Cellular Respiration (GO:0045333)
Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycan Metabolic Process (GO:0030201)
Regulation Of Cell Migration (GO:0030334)
Mitochondrial Electron Transport, Cytochrome C To Oxygen (GO:0006123)
Positive Regulation Of Protein Secretion (GO:0050714)
Positive Regulation Of Endothelial Cell Development (GO:1901552)
Positive Regulation Of Establishment Of Endothelial Barrier (GO:1903142)
Positive Regulation Of Cell Migration (GO:0030335)
Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycan Biosynthetic Process (GO:0015012)
SMAD Protein Complex Assembly (GO:0007183)
Positive Regulation Of Cell Motility (GO:2000147)
Plasma Membrane Bounded Cell Projection Assembly (GO:0120031)

$-\log_{10}(\text{Pvalue})$

D **GO: Cellular Component**

Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain Complex IV (GO:0005751)
Respiratory Chain Complex IV (GO:0045277)
Proton-Transporting V-type ATPase, V0 Domain (GO:0033179)
Vacuolar Proton-Transporting V-type ATPase, V0 Domain (GO:0000220)
Podosome (GO:0002102)
Microtubule Cytoskeleton (GO:0015630)
Brahma Complex (GO:0035060)
Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain Complex I (GO:0005747)
Respiratory Chain Complex I (GO:0045271)
nBAF Complex (GO:0071565)
Microtubule (GO:0005874)
Pericentric Heterochromatin (GO:0005721)
Proton-Transporting V-type ATPase Complex (GO:0033176)
Vacuolar Proton-Transporting V-type ATPase Complex (GO:0016471)
SWI/SNF Complex (GO:0016514)

$-\log_{10}(\text{Pvalue})$