Plant volatile-based fumigation improves mating competitiveness of

males for population suppression of the global fruit pest *Cydia*

pomonella

Sheng-Wang Huang [1,2,3 †], Peng-Cheng Wang [1,2,3, †], Yan Wang[1,2,3], Jie-Qiong

Wang[1,2,3], Ping Gao[1,2,3, *], Xue-Qing Yang[1,2,3, *]

[1] College of Plant Protection, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang

110866, Liaoning, China

[2] Key Laboratory of Economical and Applied Entomology of Liaoning Province,

Shenyang 110866, Liaoning, China

[3] Key Laboratory of Major Agricultural Invasion Biological Monitoring and

Control, Shenyang 110866, Liaoning, China

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: sling233@hotmail.com (X.Q.Y)

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3919-8013

Tel: (+86) 024-88487148

*Correspondence: gaoping1202@163.com (P.G.)

Abstract

The implementation of sterile insect technique (SIT) has proven effective in the area-wide suppression of several significant agricultural and sanitary pests by employing traditional cobalt-60 (^{60}Co-γ) as a radiation source. Recently, X-ray has been validated as a feasible alternative to ^{60}Co-γ radiation sources. Nonetheless, higher doses of X-ray irradiation lead to insect sterility but diminish mating competitiveness, thereby impacting the effectiveness of SIT applications. Thus, it is crucial to ascertain the optimal irradiation dose and develop strategies to enhance the mating competitiveness of sterile insects to enhance SIT efficacy. In this study, we determined the effect of various X-ray irradiation doses (ranging from 0 to 366 Gy) on the fecundity, fertility, and mating competitiveness of *Cydia pomonella*, a globally invasive fruit pest. Results demonstrated that the sterility rate of sterile males increased proportionally with irradiation dose up to 200 Gy, beyond which it plateaued. Notably, exposure to 200 Gy of irradiation notably decreased the mating competitiveness of male, as evidenced by a mating competitiveness index of 0.17 in laboratory and 0.096 in the orchard. This decline in mating competitiveness is likely linked to the down-regulation of genes associated with the recognition of sex pheromones, specifically *CpomOR3a*, *CpomOR3b*, and *CpomOR5*, following X-ray irradiation. Fumigation of the plant volatile, linalool at varying concentrations (70, 83, and 96 μL/m³) resulted in differential enhancements in male mating

competitiveness, with the moderate concentration significantly improving the competitiveness of sterilized males, possibly by restoring their ability to recognize sex pheromones. Implementation of repeated releases of sterilized males on a pilot scale led to a notable reduction in the population of *C. pomonella* in the field. These findings indicate that fumigation with plant volatiles has the potential to mitigate male sterility induced by X-ray irradiation, offering a promising approach to enhance the efficacy of SIT applications for the control of *C. pomonella*.

Keywords: Sterile insect technique · Codling moth · X-ray · Iirradiation · Mating competitiveness · Plant volatile

1. Introduction

The codling moth, *Cydia pomonella* (Linnaeus), is a notorious pest that infests pome fruits and walnuts worldwide (Martina et al., 2020). Various methods, including agricultural, mechanical, chemical, and biological controls, have been employed to manage the population of *C. pomonella* (Witzgall et al., 2008). Despite efforts to utilize sustainable practices such as agricultural techniques, pheromone-based mating disruption, and attract-kill strategies, effectively controlling dense populations of this pest remains a challenge (Witzgall et al., 2008). Traditionally, the predominant approach to combat *C. pomonella* has been through the use of conventional insecticides (Hu et al., 2020). However, the efficacy of chemical insecticides in controlling this pest is

limited due to factors like overlapping generations and the larvae's habit of

tunneling into fruit (Huang et al., 2024; Ju et al., 2021). Furthermore, the

widespread application of insecticides has led to the development of

resistance in *C. pomonella* (Ju et al., 2021).

Insect sterility technique (SIT) is recognized as an eco-friendly, efficient,

and sustainable approach incorporated within the broader framework of

Integrated Pest Management (IPM) (Dyck et al., 2005). The utilization of

irradiated males in conjunction with non-irradiated females results in sterile

offspring (North, 1975). However, the slower progress in developing sterile

insect control methods for Lepidoptera in comparison to Diptera is linked to

the former's resistance to radiation (Proverbs and Newton, 1962). This

resistance in Lepidopteran insects is likely due to their necessity for higher

radiation doses for sterilization, which can compromise their mating

competitiveness and impede the success of sterile insect initiatives.

Nevertheless, the effective implementation of the Okanagan-Kootenay Sterile

Insect Release (OK SIR) program in British Columbia, Canada led to the

successful eradication of *C. pomonella* populations by strategically employing

conventional cobalt-60 (^{60}Co-γ) source in area-wide pest management

activities (Anisimov et al., 1989). Ongoing endeavors in insect SIT focus on

assessing the effects of radiation on insect fertility, longevity, flight capacity,

and mating competitiveness (Yamada et al., 2014), with the aim of

establishing standardized procedures for consistent and reproducible

induction of sterility (Yamada et al., 2019).

The performance of irradiated insects, particularly their mating

competitiveness and flight capacity, is of significant importance in the SIT

program, as it directly impacts the dispersal range and mating effectiveness of

sterile insects in natural environments (Caceres et al., 2007). Previous

research has indicated that male pupae irradiated with X-rays at a dose of

366 Gy resulted in complete sterility in *C. pomonella*, albeit with a low mating

competitiveness index of 0.01 (Zhang et al., 2023). The observed side effects

of X-ray irradiation, such as reduced mating competitiveness, are likely

attributed to its negative impact on flight ability (Huang et al., 2024).

Consequently, it is imperative to optimize irradiation doses and devise

strategies to improve both mating competitiveness and flight capacity of sterile

insects to ensure the effective implementation of the SIT program for pest

management.

Host plant volatiles have been documented as influential factors in

various behaviors exhibited by phytophagous insects, encompassing mating,

feeding, fertilization, directed flight, and pheromone production (Landolt and

Philips, 1997). Linalool, a volatile compound categorized as a light-flavored

terpene alcohol (Guedes et al., 2004), has exhibited a significant attraction

towards adult *C. pomonella*, leading to a considerable electrophysiological

reaction from their antennae (Casado et al., 2006). Studies conducted on

Ceratitis capitata have shown an improvement in mating competitiveness

following linalool fumigation, while not impacting the survival rate of sterile

males (Guedes et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the influence of linalool fumigation

on the mating competitiveness of male *C. pomonella* remains unexplored.

The objective of this research is to: 1) ascertain the most effective

irradiation dosage to achieve a harmonious equilibrium between sterility and

mating competitiveness; 2) develop strategies to enhance the mating

competitiveness of sterile insects; 3) elucidate the potential mechanism of the

decline in mating competitiveness caused by irradiation and improvement

following linalool fumigation; 4) investigate the effect of pilot-release of sterile

males on suppression of the *C. pomonella* field population.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Insects

The *C. pomonella* strain utilized in the investigation was initially obtained

from the Laboratory of Agricultural Invasive Biological Prevention and

Monitoring at the Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of

Agricultural Sciences. This strain was reared for more than 70 generations

within a growth chamber (MLR-352H-PC; Panasonic, Osaka, Japan) under

controlled conditions devoid of irradiation. The rearing was maintained a

temperature of 26±1 °C, relative humidity ranging from 60%±5%, and a

photoperiod set at 16 hours of light followed by 8 hours of darkness (Wang et

al., 2019).

2.2 Irradiation

An X-ray irradiator (SR 100, Changzhou Sairui Instrument Technology

Co., Ltd, Changzhou, China) that was furnished with a Radcal Accu-Dose+

digitizer featuring a 10 × 6–0.6 CT ion chamber for the purpose of measuring

the average dose rate, was utilized in this study. This instrument was

employed to expose 8-day-old male pupae (1 day prior to emergence) (Zhang

et al., 2023), which were positioned within a cylindrical transparent tube

(diameter: 2 cm; length: 6 cm) located in the irradiation chamber (dimensions:

length: 21 cm; width: 24 cm; height: 16–40 cm) to X-ray radiation at a dose

rate of 4.3 Gy/min.

2.3 Effects of different doses of X-ray irradiation on the mating and

reproduction of *C. pomonella*

The 8-day-old male pupae were divided into five groups comprising 90

individuals each, and subjected to X-ray irradiation at doses of 0, 50, 100, 150,

200, 250, and 300 Gy, respectively. Subsequently, the pupae within each

treatment were randomly divided into three groups consisting of 30 pupae

each, and placed in an incubator for emergency situations, with the

subsequent emergence count being documented. The matured males and

females from the same day were introduced into a mating box (25*12*15 cm)

at a ratio of 10 females to 10 males, and each treatment was repeated seven

times. Following the laying of eggs by the females and subsequent collection

of the egg papers, they were placed in individual disposable transparent

plastic bags (15*26 cm) alongside damp wipes on a daily basis, and then

transferred to the incubator for hatching. The eggs laid was recorded and the

hatching rate was calculated upon the demise of all females. The mortality of

the adult was monitored per day, with deceased individuals promptly removed.

The lifespan of males was documented, and expired females were gathered

in centrifuge tubes (1.5 mL) for dissection under a dissecting microscope to

observe mating sac morphology, define mating status, and calculate female

mating rates according to Zhang et al. (2023).

Different proportions of "irradiated male: non-irradiated male: non-

irradiated female" at ratios of 0:10:10, 10:0:10, and 10:10:10 were established,

respectively, to calculate the mating competition index. These male individuals,

both irradiated and non-irradiated, along with the female counterparts, were

matched in mating age(25*12*15 cm). The eggs laid (fecundity) and hatched

(fertility) were meticulously documented for each grouping, with every

treatment being replicated thrice for statistical robustness. The competitive

mating index (C) of sterile males was then determined utilizing the

methodology outlined by Fried (1971).

172
$$E = \frac{N(Ha) + S(Ha)}{N + S}$$

173
$$C = \frac{Ha - Ee}{Ee - Hs} \div \frac{S}{N}$$

N is the number of normal male moths and S is the number of irradiated

male moths. Ha is the hatching rate of normal male moths paired with normal

female moths. Hs is the hatching rate of sterile male moths paired with normal

female moths. Ee is the hatching rate of mixed male moths of a certain S/N

ratio paired with normal female moths. *E* is the expected hatching rate of a

mixed S/N ratio male moth paired with a normal female moth.

2.4 Effect of linalool fumigation on mating competitiveness of *C.*

pomonella

Three disposable transparent plastic boxes (21*14*7 cm) with a volume

of 1500 mL each were assembled for experimentation. A strip of filter paper (1

cm in wide and 5 cm long) was suspended in the center of the lid of each box.

Male moths that newly emerged were introduced into the boxes.

Subsequently, linalool was evenly distributed onto the filter paper strips within

the boxes to achieve concentrations of 70, 83, and 96 µL/m³, which were

clearly labeled on the lids of the respective boxes. After a 3-hour fumigation

period, the moths were removed and the males and females from the same

day were segregated into adult mating boxes at a 1:1 ratio. The assessment

procedures remained consistent with those described in section 2.3.

2.5 Effect of linalool fumigation on mating competitiveness of sterile *C.*

pomonella

To investigate the effect of linalool fumigation on mating competitiveness

of sterile *C. pomonella* in laboratory, the 8-day-old male pupae were irradiated

with X-rays at a dose of 200 Gy, subsequently maintained in a growth

chamber until emergence. The freshly emerged male adults underwent a 3-

hour fumigation with linalool. The procedures for fumigation, assessment of

average survival time, fecundity per female, egg hatchability, mating frequency,

and mating competitiveness followed the protocols described above-

mentioned.

To investigate the effect of linalool fumigation on mating competitiveness

of sterile *C. pomonella* in orchard, a field trial was conducted at Wumeifang

Village, Jiuzhai Town, Gaizhou City, Yingkou City, Liaoning Province (40°3′52

"N, 122°5′44 "E) from August 1 to September 2, 2023. Small insect rearing

nets (60 cm*60 cm*90 cm) with 40 mesh were affixed to tree trunks, and adult

mating age were placed inside these nets for fumigation purposes. The

experimental procedures for evaluating mean longevity, single female

fecundity, hatching rate, mating rate, and mating competitiveness were

consistent with those described above.

2.6 Effects of linalool fumigation on the flight ability of sterile *C.

pomonella* moths

The flight performance of moths was assessed using the FXMD-24-USB

insect flight information system (Henan Hebi Jiaduoke Industry and Trade Co.,

Ltd, Hebi, Henan, China), according to the method described in Huang et al.

(2024). Normal, sterile, and fumigated sterile male moths were segregated

into distinct adult rearing boxes and provided with a daily diet of 10% honey

water. Then, 25 healthy male moths with intact wings that were 3 days post-

emergence were selected for the study, and the flight capability of each group

was evaluated. The insects were initially immobilized on a chilled surface

before their abdomens were exposed. A copper wire was fashioned into a

loop, folded at a 90° angle, and affixed to the moth's abdomen using a small

amount of adhesive, ensuring proper fixation by inflation and rapid

solidification of the glue. The other end of the wire was secured to the arm of

the flight mill, positioning the moth parallel to the ground and aligning its flight

direction with the mill. Subsequently, the insect flight information system was

activated to input data on the test subjects. Flight ability assessments were

conducted from 22:00 to 6:00 in a darkened environment, maintaining a

constant ambient temperature of 25±1℃ and relative humidity of 70±5%

throughout the evaluation process.

2.7 RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was isolated from a collective of ten 3-day-old male adults of *C.*

*pomonell*a, who were subjected to either 200 Gy X-ray irradiation or not,

utilizing the EAStep Super Total RNA Extraction Kit (Promega, Shanghai,

China) in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. The concentration

and quality of the RNA samples were assessed employing a NanoDrop2000

spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Subsequently, 1 µg of RNA from each treatment was utilized in the synthesis

of complementary DNA (cDNA) using the GoScript™ Reverse Transcription

Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The resulting cDNA was preserved at -20 °

C until further analysis.

2.8 Reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction

analysis

The mRNA levels of pheromone recognition-related genes (Table S1,

Figure S1-S8) were measured using reverse transcription quantitative

polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) with Bio-Rad CFX96 (Bio-Rad,

Hercules, CA, USA) in a reaction of 20 μL, containing of 1 μL of cDNA

template, 10 μL of TB Green Premix Ex Taq 2 (TliRNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa,

Dalian, China), 1 μL of each primer (10 μmol/L), and 7 μL double-distilled

water (ddH2O). The RT-qPCR cycled at 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles

of 95 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 30 s. Afterward, a melting curve was conducted

starting at 55–95 °C to identify the specificity of PCR products. The internal

control genes used were *EF-1α* (MN037793) and *β-Actin* (KC832921) of *C.*

pomonella (Wei et al., 2020). For each three biological replicates and three

technical replicates were conducted. Relative expression levels for each gene

were calculated using the $2^{-\triangle\triangle CT}$ method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

2.9 Suppression of field population of *C. pomonella* by pilot release of

sterile males

Pilot release of sterile males were conducted between July 8 and

September 2, 2023, in Wumeifang Village, Jiuzhai Town, Gaizhou City,

Yingkou City, Liaoning Province, China (40°3′52 "N, 122°5′44 "E). Prior to

release, a central tree within the orchard was designated and marked, with

sex traps positioned at 5-meter intervals in the cardinal directions of east,

south, west, and north from the central tree. Sterile males were gently coated

with fluorescent powder, distinguishing fumigated and unfumigated specimens

by color before being released at 18:00. The control orchard, devoid of sterile

male releases, was equipped with 5 trap sets, with trapped moth counts

recorded at 10-day intervals post-release. Additionally, daily temperature and

precipitation data were collected throughout the release period.

The five-point sampling method was employed in both the release

orchard and control orchard to designate five points within the central and

perimeter areas of the orchard. Around each of these points, five trees were

meticulously selected and distinctly labeled. Prior to the release, a

comprehensive examination of the total fruit count and the specific number of

fruits was conducted. Subsequently, at intervals of 10 days, the quantity of

infested fruits was meticulously documented, allowing for the calculation of

the fruit infestation rate. This rate was determined by dividing the total number

of infested fruits by the overall number of fruits examined, expressed as a

percentage.

2.10 Data analysis

The statistical significance of various biological parameters of *C.*

pomonella, encompassing metrics such as average emergence rate, longevity,

fecundity, sterility rate, mating rate, as well as the flight characteristics of

males including flight time, distance, and speed, along with gene expression

in controlled laboratory settings and dispersal distance within the orchard,

were meticulously analyzed utilizing the one-way analysis of variance

(ANOVA) coupled with Duncan's test ($P \leq 0.05$) through SPSS 19 software

(IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Furthermore, significant difference in

total number of moths trapped per trap, amount of insects trapped and fruit

decay rate was analyzed through ANOVA with independent samples t-tests

(*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001) using SPSS 19. The results were

graphically represented using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San

Diego, CA, USA), with all values being expressed as mean ± standard error.

Additionally, the sterility rate of *C. pomonella* under varying X-ray dosage

levels was modeled using a logistic curve in Origin 2019 software (Origin Lab

Corporation, Northampton, MA 02115, USA).

3.RESULTS

3.1 Effect of different doses of X-ray irradiation on the biological

parameters of *C. pomonella*

The effects of different doses of X-ray irradiation on the physiological

traits of *C. pomonella* were explored in a series of experiments that examined

the emergence rate, lifespan, reproductive capacity, and sterility of 8-day-old

pupae exposed to varying levels of X-ray radiation. The results indicated that

there was no statistically significant variance in the emergence rate of male

moths *C. pomonella* within the range of 50-250 Gy compared to the control

group. Notably, a reduction in the emergence rate of male *C. pomonella*

moths was evident at 300 Gy (Figure 1a). However, the survival rate of moths

arising from male pupae irradiated with various doses of radiation did not

exhibit a significant difference compared to the control group (Figure 1b).

Furthermore, a decrease in lifespan was evident with X-ray exposure

surpassing 200 Gy when compared to the control group (Figure 1c).

Fecundity levels remained steady across different radiation levels compared

to the control group (Figure 1d). Additionally, an escalation in sterility rate was

observed at doses below 250 Gy (Figure 1e), while a reduction in mating rate

was noted at doses exceeding 200 Gy (Figure 1f).

3. 2 Effect of 200 Gy X-ray irradiation on mating competitiveness of male

C. pomonella

3.2.1 Laboratory test

The hatching rate of eggs for SM:NM:NF at the 1:0:1 ratio was found to

be 12.57±0.51%, a value significantly lower compared to the ratios of 0:1:1

(72.96±7.31%) and 1:1:1 (64.18±3.95%). When male adults were combined

with different ratios and matched with normal female adults, the expected

hatching rate (E) was 42.77%. The competitive mating index (C) was

determined to be 0.17, indicating that the mating competitiveness of male

moths was reduced by 200 Gy of irradiation (Table 1).

3.2.2 Orchard trial

The hatching rate of eggs for the SM:NM:NF group at the 1:0:1 ratio was

observed to be 10.82±4.46%, a significantly lower rate compared to the 0:1:1

ratio (64.16±6.11%) and the 1:1:1 ratio (59.49±2.47%). When male adults

were introduced in various ratios and paired with normal female adults, the

expected hatching value (E) was calculated to be 37.49%. Additionally, the

competitive mating index (C) was determined to be 0.096, suggesting that a

radiation dosage of 200 Gy diminished the mating competitiveness of male

moths (Table 1).

3.3 Effects of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on

biological parameters of _C. pomonella_

To elucidate the impact of varying concentrations of linalool fumigation

treatments on the biological parameters of _C. pomonella_, experimental

investigations were conducted to assess the survival duration, oviposition,

egg hatching efficacy, and mating activity of male moths. The results revealed

no notable disparities in the aforementioned parameters among male moths

subjected to distinct levels of linalool fumigation in comparison to the control

cohort, indicating that the application of linalool fumigation did not adversely

affect the male moths (Figure 2).

3.4 Effects of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on mating

competitiveness of _C. pomonella_

The hatching rate of eggs resulting from the pairing of fumigation-treated

normal males with normal females were determined to be 73.63±3.86 percent,

while the pairing of untreated normal males with normal females yielded a

hatching rate of 71.42 ± 1.74%. When comparing the hatching rate of

fumigation-treated normal males to the overall hatching rate, the value was

found to be 72.55±0.33%. There was no significant difference observed in the

hatchability of fecundity across three different mating combinations, with an

average rate of 72.52%. Furthermore, the mating competitiveness index of *C. pomonella* normal males that were exposed to linalool fumigation at a concentration of 70 µL/m³ was calculated to be 1.06, suggesting an enhancement in the mating competitiveness of the males (Table 2).

The percentage of eggs hatched when 83 µL/m³ of linalool was used to fumigate normal males paired with normal females was recorded at 78.47± 3.28%. For normal males paired with normal females, the hatching rate was slightly lower at 71.42±1.74%. When fumigated normal males were paired with normal females, the hatching rate was 75.34±0.43%. There was no significant difference in the hatching rate of eggs produced by the three different mating combinations, all averaging around the expected value of 74.94. The mating competitiveness index of males treated with linalool at 83 µL/m³ was 1.25, indicating an improvement in mating competitiveness compared to those treated at 70 µL/m³ (Table 2).

The egg hatching rate of 96 µL/m³ linalool-exposed normal male moths when paired with normal females was determined to be 76.52±1.36%, while that of normal males paired with normal females was 71.42±1.74%, and the hatching rate of fumigated normal males when paired with other males and females was 74.24±3.83%. These results indicate that there were no significant differences in hatching rates among the three mating combinations studied, all of which were close to the anticipated value of 73.97%. Furthermore, the mating competitiveness index of males exposed to linalool at

96 μ L/m ³ was calculated to be 1.24, suggesting a reduced mating

competitiveness compared to males exposed to linalool at 83 μL/m³ (Table 2).

3.5 Effect of linalool fumigation on mating competitiveness of sterilized

male *C. pomonella* moths

3.5.1 Laboratory test

The results revealed that male moths exposed to 200 Gy X-rays exhibited

notably reduced hatching and mating frequencies in comparison to the

untreated group. Notably, there were no substantial variations in any of the

outcomes between the fumigated and non-fumigated sterilized males,

indicating that the linalool fumigation did not impact the reproductive

characteristics of sterilized males (Figure 3).

Specifically, the hatching rate of linalool-fumigated irradiated sterilized

males that were paired with normal females was 13.44±2.33%, while that of

irradiated sterilized males paired with normal females stood at 10.97±2.41%.

Moreover, the hatching rate of fumigation-treated irradiated sterilized males

when paired with females was 12.27±1.98%, suggesting no significant

variance in the hatching rate of eggs produced by the three different pairings

(F = 0.305, df = 8, P = 0.748), with an expected value of 12.21%. The mating

competition index of 1.11 for the irradiated sterilized males of moths fumigated

with linalool indicated an enhancement in the mating competitiveness of the

irradiated sterilized males due to linalool fumigation (Table 3).

3.5.2 Orchard trial

The results of the field experiment revealed a significant decrease in the

longevity and fecundity of sterile males of *C. pomonella* compared to the

control group. Interestingly, there was no notable variance between the

biological parameters of linalool-fumigated sterile males and non-fumigated

ones, suggesting that the fumigation treatments did not impact these

parameters within the field environment (Figure 3).

When linalool-fumigated irradiated sterile males were paired with normal

females, the hatchability was observed to be 14.98±3.89%, while it was 10.82

±4.46% for *C. pomonella* irradiated sterile males mated with normal females.

Moreover, the hatchability of fumigation-treated irradiated sterile males when

paired with females stood at 12.84±1.98%. These findings indicate that there

was no significant difference in hatchability and fecundity among the three

different combinations (F = 0.249, df = 8, P = 0.756), with an average value of

12.90%. The mating competition index of 1.06 for the linalool-fumigated

irradiated sterile males of *C. pomonella* suggests that linalool fumigation

enhanced the mating competitiveness of the irradiated sterile males (Table 3).

3.6 Effects of linalool fumigation on the flight ability of sterile *C.*

***pomonella* moths**

The flight duration of 3-day-old male adults, sterile males, and fumigation

treated males was 53.82±7.17, 5.61±2.36, and 10.18±3.46 min, respectively.

While no significant disparity was observed in the flight duration between

sterile males and fumigation treated males, both groups exhibited significantly

shorter flight times compared to the control group (F = 30.820, df = 44, P <

0.001). Moreover, there were no significant differences in flight distance or

flight speed among the treatment groups when compared to the control group,

(Figure 5).

3.7 Effects of linalool fumigation on the expression levels of sex

pheromone receptor-related genes in sterile *C. pomonella* moths

RT-qPCR results showed that *CpomOR1, CpomOR2a, CpomOR3a,*

CpomOR3b, CpomOR5, CpomOR6a, CpomOR7, CpomGOBP1, and

CpomGOBP2 genes were significantly reduced in *C. pomonella* male moths

that emerged from pupae irradiated with 200 Gy X-ray (Figure 6).

The expression levels of *CpomOR3a, CpomOR3b,* and *CpomOR5* were

significantly rebounded after linalool fumigation (Figure 6).

3.8 Suppression of field population of *C. pomonella* by pilot release of

sterile males

A total of 5342 sterile males were released, with 2735 of them being

released from linalool fumigated moths. Among these, 60.44±4.48% of males

flew out normally, while 6.83±1.51% fell to the ground post take-off, leaving

39.56±4.48% unable to take off normally (Table S2). The percentage of males

falling to the ground was 59.84±6.86%. Additionally, 2607 sterile males of *C.*

pomonella without linalool fumigation were also released, where 62.17±4.25%

flew out normally, 17.07±2.86% fell to the ground after take-off, and 37.83±

4.25% couldn't take off normally. Notably, 78.19±6.36% of males picked with

tweezers failed to take off and fell to the ground (Table S3).

Throughout the experiment, the ambient temperature at the experimental

site exhibited minimal variations, with a singular precipitation event exceeding

100 mm (Figure 7a). Results revealed that, at a 5-meter distance, the

recapture of sterile male moths in traps averaged 12.25±3.07, whereas the

recapture of fumigation-treated sterile males was 8.75±3.16. Similarly, at

distances of 10 m, the recaptures were 10.00 ± 1.78 and 9.75 ± 1.18,

respectively. At 15 m, the retrievals were 8.50 ± 1.55 and 6.50 ± 1.19,

respectively. Finally, at a distance of 20 m, the retrievals were 0±0 and 1.50±

0.65, respectively. The results indicated that there were no statistically

significant variations in the recaptures of sterile males and fumigation-treated

sterile males of *C. pomonella* at the 10 and 20 m distances at a significance

level of 0.05 (P > 0.05). However, notable differences were noted in

recaptures at 5 and 15 meters, with statistically significant variances observed

at the 0.05 level (P < 0.05) (Figure 7b).

The deployment of *C. pomonella* sterile males over a period of two

months resulted in a notable decline in fruit infestation within the treated

orchards, registering at 24.87±2.17%. This rate was significantly lower (*P* <

0.05) in contrast to the control orchard where infestation levels reached 34.01

±2.87%. These findings provide evidence that the introduction of sterile *C.*

pomonella males effectively mitigated fruit infestation occurrences, thereby

diminishing both the incidence of infested fruits and the overall infestation rate

within the orchard (Figure 7C).

The captures of wild male moths did not exhibit a notable variance

between the release area and the control from July 7 to July 14, 2023.

Nonetheless, subsequent to July 31, 2023, a noteworthy decline in captures

within the release area was observed, presenting a significant contrast with

the control group ($P < 0.05$). Based on these findings, it is evident that the

introduction of sterile male *C. pomonella* moths in the natural environment

substantially reduces the population of wild male moths (Figure 7d).

4 DISCUSSION

The radiation dose is a critical factor in achieving the desired sterility level

of insects in the SIT program (Proverbs and Newton, 1962). In this study, we

demonstrated that the biological traits of male *C. pomonella* varied in their

decline with increasing levels of irradiation. This observation is consistent with

a previous study on *Glossina palpalis* tsetse flies, which showed that higher

radiation doses reduced the fecundity of females (Pagabeleguem et al., 2023).

Additionally, we observed a significant decrease in the mating rate of sterile

male *C. pomonella* with normal females when exposed to radiation above 200

Gy, mirroring the findings in *G. palpalis* (Pagabeleguem et al., 2023). The OK

SIR program, designed to control *C. pomonella* populations, initially utilized

250 Gy of ^{60}Co-γ irradiation, resulting in male sterility rates of 85% to 92%

(Holm, 1985). Since 2014, a consistent dose of 200 Gy has been employed to

balance sterility levels and mating competitiveness (Thistlewood and Judd,

2019). To optimize the trade-off between male sterility and minimize the negative effects of radiation on the insects, it is recommended to use 200 Gy as the optimal dose for inducing sterility in males *C. pomonella*.

The mating competitiveness of sterile insects is crucial for their ability to effectively compete with wild males for mating opportunities with females (Vreysen et al., 2007; Vreysen and Robinson, 2011). Previous research has shown that inadequate dosages may result in incomplete sterilization, while excessive irradiation can reduce the mating competitiveness of released insects compared to wild counterparts, thus affecting the success of SIT programs (Parker et al., 2021). Therefore, maintaining a delicate balance between mating competitiveness and sterility is essential during copulatory interactions with wild female insects (Bakri et al., 2005). Evaluating the optimal irradiation dose is critical to assessing the mating competitiveness of male insects bred for this purpose (Parker et al., 2021). However, reduced mating competitiveness is commonly observed in irradiated *C. pomonella* populations (North, 1975). Jiang et al. (2023) also reported a significant decrease in the mating competitiveness of male *Spodoptera frugiperda* following exposure to 250 Gy of X-ray irradiation. In our recent studies, male *C. pomonella* pupae irradiated with 366 Gy of X-rays exhibited mating competitiveness indices of 0.001 in laboratory (Zhang et al., 2023a) and 0.0088 in orchards (Zhang et al., 2023b). In this study, when subjected to 200 Gy of X-ray irradiation, the mating competitiveness index of sterilized male *C.*

pomonella decreased, indicating a decline in mating competitiveness post-

irradiation. Nevertheless, compared to the impact of 366 Gy irradiation, the

mating competitiveness index of *C. pomonella* males following 200 Gy

irradiation increased to 0.17 in laboratory conditions and 0.096 in orchards,

suggesting that an optimized irradiation dose can enhance the mating

competitiveness of sterile insects to a certain degree. However, the mating

competitiveness of *C. pomonella* males post-exposure to 200 Gy irradiation

persists at a sub-optimal level. Therefore, it is imperative to prioritize efforts

aimed at improving the mating competitiveness of sterile males in future

studies.

The diminished mating competitiveness evident in irradiated insects may

stem from the compromised olfactory system in male insects, leading to a

reduced ability to perceive female sex pheromones. To investigate this

hypothesis, linalool, a volatile compound found in host plants known to

enhance the attraction of *C. capitata* moths (Casado et al., 2006), was utilized

to fumigate male *C. pomonella* moths. The findings indicated that although

linalool fumigation did not affect longevity, fecundity, hatching rates, or overall

mating performance, it did enhance the mating competitiveness of male

moths. Another investigation on *C. capitata* demonstrated that the mating

competitiveness of normal male adults and mass-reared irradiated males

increased subsequent to fumigation with ginger root oil (GRO), compared to

non-fumigated adults. Notably, GRO fumigation did not have any notable

impacts on the body weight or longevity of irradiated mass-reared males

(Shelly and McInnis, 2001). These results suggest that linalool fumigation

might potentially mitigate male sterility induced by X-ray irradiation, possibly

due to enhanced male sex pheromone communication in the antennae of

male *C. pomonella* moths, as observed in *C. capitata* post ginger root oil

fumigation (Papadopoulos et al., 2006).

The olfactory system plays a vital role in various insect behaviors like

feeding, orientation, host-seeking, mating, and oviposition (Li et al., 2020;

Zhang et al., 2019). Chemoreception in insects is a complex process involving

various chemosensory genes, such as odorant receptors (ORs), gustatory

receptors (GRs), ionotropic receptors (IRs), odorant-binding proteins (OBPs),

chemosensory proteins (CSPs), and sensory neuron membrane proteins

(SNMPs) (Yang et al., 2024). In particular, the importance of chemosensory

genes in recognizing pheromones in *C. pomonella* has been extensively

researched. Tian *et al.* (2021) have identified male pheromone receptor genes

in *C. pomonella*, including *CpomOR1*, *CpomOR2a*, *CpomOR3*, *CpomOR5*,

CpomOR6a, and *CpomOR7*, through transcriptome analysis of the antenna.

Knock-out the *CpomOR1* gene using CRISPR/Cas9 technology has been

shown to impact the fertility and fecundity of *C. pomonella* (Garczynski et al.,

2017). Moreover, *CpomOR3a* and *CpomOR3b* genes in *C. pomonella* are

involved in recognizing plant volatiles and the sex pheromone codlemone,

thereby enhancing the moths' response to codlemone (Wan et al., 2019). In

this study, we revealed a decrease in gene expression in *C. pomonella* males'

antenna following 200 Gy of X-ray irradiation, suggesting a reduced sex

pheromones response in male moths. Conversely, an increase in the

expression of *CpomOR3a*, *CpomOR3b*, and *CpomOR5* genes was observed

after linalool fumigation, enhancing the recognition of sex pheromones.These

findings support the efficacy of linalool fumigation in mitigating male sterility

induced by X-ray irradiation, likely through the upregulation of essential

chemosensory genes and the activation of pheromone recognition

mechanisms in *C. pomonella*.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the release of *C. pomonella*

males into the pear orchard following exposure to 366 Gy X-ray did not affect

the wild populations or the fruit decay rate (Zhang et al., 2023). In this study,

we carried out a small-scale trial in different fields utilizing the SI) to manage

C. pomonella populations. Our study demonstrated that by releasing three

successive batches of sterile male *C. pomonella* moths irradiated at 200 Gy,

along with linalool fumigation, in the specified orchard area, there was a

substantial decrease in *C. pomonella* infestations in the apple crop. This

reduction was evident through significantly lower infestation rates compared

to the control orchard. Additionally, field observations indicated a notable

decrease in the recapture rate of wild *C. pomonella* moths in comparison to

the control group. These results suggest that the utilization of sterile males

effectively suppressed the wild *C. pomonella* population in the orchards,

consequently reducing fruit damage. The findings highlight an enhancement

in the field application of the SIT for *C. pomonella* control after optimizing the

irradiation dose.

In summary, we have identified the optimal sterilizing dose of X-ray

irradiation for *C. pomonella* and introduced a plant volatiles fumigation

approach to enhance the mating competitiveness of sterilized males.

Additionally, we investigated the effects of irradiation and fumigation on the

expression of genes related to pheromone recognition in the male antennal.

Notably, our study demonstrated the successful reduction of the *C. pomonella*

population in the orchards through repeated releases of sterilized males in

conjunction with linalool fumigation on a pilot scale. These findings offer

valuable insights for the implementation of the SIT as a strategy of controlling

C. pomonella.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China

(2021YFD1400200, 2023YFD1400704) and the IAEA TC program (CPR5027).

Author Contributions Statement

Sheng-Wang Huang: software, methodology, investigation, formal analysis,

writing original draft, Peng-Cheng Wang: software, methodology, investigation,

formal analysis Yan Wang: software, review and editing. Jie-Qiong: formal

analysis, conceptualization. Ping Gao: writing, review, and editing, resources. Xue-Qing Yang: supervision, resources, project administration, funding acquisition, conceptualization, writing, review, and editing.

References

1. Anisimov AI, Lazurkina NV, and Shvedov AN (1989) Influence of radiation-induced genetic damage on the suppressive effect of inherited sterility in the codling moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 82: 769-777. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/82.6.769

2. Bakri A., Mehta K., and Lance DR. (2005) Sterilizing insects with radiation. In: Sterile insect technique. Principles and practice in area-wide integrated pest management. Taylor & Francis, pp 1216.

3. Bourtzis K, Vreysen MJB (2021) Sterile insect technique (SIT) and its applications. Insects 12: 638. https://doi.org/10.3390/INSECTS12070638

4. Casado D, Gemeno C, Avilla J, et al. (2006) Day-night and phenological variation of apple tree volatiles and electroantennogram responses in *Cydia pomonella* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Environ. Entomol. 35(2): 258-267. https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-35.2.258

5. Fried M (1971) Determination of sterile-insect Competitiveness. Journal of Economic Entomology 64: 869-872. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/64.4.869

6. Garczynski SF, Martin JA, Griset M et al (2017) CRISPR/Cas9 editing of

the codling moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) CpomOR1 gene affects egg

production and viability. J. Econ. Entomol. 110: 1847-1855.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tox166

7. Holm WR (1985). An evaluation of the commercial cost of a sterile insect

release control program for codling moth in British Columbia. Agriculture

Canada, Regional Development Branch, New Westminster, BC, Canada.

8. Hu C, Wang W, Ju D, Chen GM, Tan XL, Mota-Sanchez D et al. (2020)

Functional characterization of λ-cyhalothrin metabolizing glutathione S-

transferase, CpGSTe3, from the codling moth *Cydia pomonella*. Pest

Manag. Sci. 76:1039–1047. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.5614

9. Huang SW, Zhang JH, Wei ZH, Yang XM, Wang XY, & Yang XQ (2024).

Side effects of X☐ray irradiation on flight ability of *Cydia pomonella* moth.

Pest Manag. Sci. 80: 1940-1948. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.7924

10. Jiang S, Sun XT, Ge SS, Yang XM, & Wu KM (2023) Mating

competitiveness of male *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith) irradiated by X-

rays. Insects, 14: 137. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14020137

11. Jiang S, He LM, He W, Zhao HY, Yang XM, Yang XQ and Wu KM (2022)

Effects of X-ray irradiation on the fitness of the established invasive pest

fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda.* Pest Manag. Sci. 78: 2806-2815.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6903

12. Ju D, Mota-Sanchez D, Fuentes-Contreras E, Zhang YL, Wang XQ and

Yang XQ (2021) Insecticide resistance in the *Cydia pomonella* (L.): global

status, mechanisms, and research direction. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.

178:104925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2021.104925

13. Landolt PJ, and Phillips TW (1997) Host plant influences on sex

pheromone behavior of phytophagous insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol.

42:371-391.

14. Li RT, Huang LQ, Dong JF & Wang CZ (2020) A moth odorant receptor

highly expressed in the ovipositor is involved in detecting host-plant

volatiles. Elife 9, e53706. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53706

15. Liu Z, Vidal DM, Syed Z, Ishida Y and Leal W (2010) Pheromone binding

to general odorant-binding proteins from the navel orangeworm. J. Chem.

Ecol. 36: 787–794. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-010-9811-5

16. Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression

data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT method. Methods

25:402–408.

17. M Guedes C, B Pinto A, FA Moreira R et al (2004) Study of the aroma

compounds of rose apple (Syzygium jambos Alston) fruit from Brazil. Eur.

Food Res. Technol. 219: 460-464. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-004-

0967-5

18. Martina KB, Renata B, Katarina MM, Darija L and Ivana PŽ (2020) Pest

management challenges and control practices in codling moth: a review.

Insects 11:38 . https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11010038

19. North DT (1975) Inherited sterility in Lepidoptera. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 20: 167–182. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.en.20.010175.001123

20. Okiwelu SN (1982) Diurnal and seasonal flight activity cycles of *Glossina palpalis* gambiensis Vanderplank and *Glossina morsitans* submorsitans Newstead in Mali. Cahiers de l'ORSTOM Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie 20:99–107.

21. Pagabeleguem S, Koughuindida O, Salou E W et al (2023) Gamma-radiation of Glossina palpalis gambiensis revisited: effect on fertility and mating competitiveness. Parasite 30:8. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2023009

22. Papadopoulos NT, Shelly TE, Niyazi N, and Jang E (2006) Olfactory and behavioral mechanisms underlying enhanced mating competitiveness following exposure to ginger root oil and orange oil in males of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Journal of Insect Behavior 19: 403-418. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-006-9031-6

23. Parker AG, Mamai W, Maiga H (2021) Mass-rearing for the sterile insect technique. In: Sterile Insect Tech, 2nd edn, CRC Press, pp 34.

24. Proverbs MD, Newton, JR (1962) Influence of gamma radiation on the development and fertility of the codling moth, *Carpocapsa pomonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Olethreutidae). Can. J. Zool. 40: 401–419. https://doi.org/10.1139/z62-038

25. Thistlewood H M A, Judd G J R. (2019) Twenty-five years of research

experience with the sterile insect technique and area-wide management

of codling moth, *Cydia pomonella* (L.), in Canada. Insects 10(9): 292.

https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10090292

26. Tian K, Liu W, Feng LK et al.(2021) Functional characterization of

pheromone receptor candidates in codling moth *Cydia pomonella*

(Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Insect Sci. 28(2): 445-456.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12775

27. Wan F, Yin C, Tang R et al (2019) A chromosome-level genome assembly

of *Cydia pomonella* provides insights into chemical ecology and

insecticide resistance. Nat. Commun. 10(1): 4237.

https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-019-12175-9

28. Witzgall P, Stelinski L, Gut L and Thomson D (2008) Codling moth

management and chemical ecology. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 53:503–522.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.53.103106.093323

29. Yamada H, Maiga H, Juarez J, De Oliveira Carvalho D, Mamai W, Ali A,

Bimbile-Somda NS, Parker AG, Zhang D, Bouyer J (2019) Identification of

critical factors that significantly affect the dose-response in mosquitoes

irradiated as pupae. Parasit. Vectors 12: 435.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3698-y

30. Yamada H, Vreysen MJB, Gilles JRL, Munhenga G, Damiens DD (2014)

The effects of genetic manipulation, dieldrin treatment and irradiation on

the mating competitiveness of male *Anopheles Arabiensis* in field cages. Malar J. 13: 318. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-318

31. Yamagishi, M., and H. Kakinohana (2000) Mass rearing of the melon fly in Okinawa, Japan — special reference to quality control, pp. 559–566. In K. H. Tan (ed.), Proceedings: Area-Wide Control of Fruit Flies and Other Insect Pests. International Conference on Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests, and the 5th International Symposium on Fruit Flies of Economic Importance, 28 May–5 June 1998, Penang, Malaysia.

32. Yang J, Mo BT, Li GC, Huang LQ, Guo H, Wang CZ (2024) Identification and functional characterization of chemosensory genes in olfactory and taste organs of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Insect Sci. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-318

33. Zhang, J, Bisch-Knaden S, Fandino R A, Yan S W, Obiero G F, Grosse-Wilde E, Hansson B S, Knaden M. (2019) The olfactory coreceptor IR8a governs larval feces-mediated competition avoidance in a hawkmoth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 116:21828-21833. https://doi.org/10.7679/j.issn.2095 □ 1353.2021.078

34. Zhang J H, Li N, Zhao H Y, et al.(2023) Sterility of *Cydia pomonella* by X ray irradiation as an alternative to gamma radiation for the sterile insect technique[J]. B. Entomo. Res. 113(1): 72-78. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485322000323

721 35. Vreysen MJB, Gerardo-Abaya J, Cayol JP (2007) Lessons from area-wide

722  integrated pest management (AW-IPM) programmes with an SIT

723  component: An FAO/IAEA perspective. In Area-Wide Control ofInsect

724  Pests: From Research to Field Implementation; Vreysen, M.J.B.,

725  Robinson, A.S., Hendrichs, J., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The

726  Netherlands, pp. 723–744.

727 36. Vreysen MJB, Robinson AS (2011) Ionising radiation and area-wide

728  management of insect pests to promote sustainable agriculture. A review.

729  Agron. Sustain. 31: 233–250. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2010009

730 37. Wei ZH., Liu M., Hu C., Yang XQ (2020) Overexpression of glutathione S-

731  transferase genes in field λ-cyhalothrin-resistant population of Cydia

732  pomonella: reference gene selection and expression analysis. J. Agric.

733  Food Chem. 68 (21): 5825 – 5834.

734  https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.989006

735 38. Proverbs MD, Newton JR (1962) Influence of gamma radiation on the

736  development and fertility of the codling moth, Carpocapsa pomonella (L.)

737  (Lepidoptera: Olethreutidae). Can. J. Zool, 40: 401 – 419.

738  https://doi.org/10.1139/z62-038

739 39. North DT, (1975) Inherited sterility in lepidoptera. Annu. Rev. Entomol.

740  20:167–182. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro/2010009

741 40. Zhang, J. et al. (2019) The olfactory coreceptor IR8a governs larval feces-

mediated competition avoidance in a hawkmoth. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

USA 116: 21828–21833. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913485116

41. Yang J, Mo BT, Li GC et al (2024) Identification and functional

characterization of chemosensory genes in olfactory and taste organs of

Spodoptera litura (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Insect Sci.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.13350.

42. Zhang J, Huang S, Zhao S, et al (2023) The effect of X-ray irradiation on

the fitness and field adaptability of the codling moth: an orchard study in

Northeast China. Insects 14(7): 615.

https://doi.org/10.3390/insects14070615

Table 1 200Gy X-ray irradiation on the mating competitiveness of *C. pomonella* adults

Experimental site	Matching ratio (S♂: N♂: N♀)	Fecundity (eggs laid per female)	Hatching rate/%		Competitive capacity
			Observed value	Expected value	
Indoor experiment	0 : 10 : 10	116.20±19.97 a	72.96±7.31 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	103.75±4.42 a	12.57±0.51 b		
	10 : 10 : 10	106.07±5.80 a	64.18±3.95 a	42.77	0.17
Field experiment	0 : 10 : 10	57.45±4.09 a	64.16±6.11 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	60.13±10.60 a	10.82±4.46 b		
	10 : 10 : 10	54.26±6.81 a	59.49±2.47 a	37.49	0.096

754　　Data are mean± SE. N: Number of unirradiated males; S: Number of irradiated males.

769 **Table 2.** Effect of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on the mating competitiveness of *C. pomonella* males

Fumigation concentration (μL/m³)	Matching ratio (F♂: N♂: N♀)	Fecundity (eggs laid per female)	Hatching rate/%		Competitive capacity
			Observed value	Expected value	
70	0 : 10 : 10	95.41±1.98 a	71.42±1.74 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	96.46±9.51 a	73.63±3.86 a		
	10 : 10 : 10	98.74±9.33 a	72.55±0.33 a	72.52	1.06
83	0 : 10 : 10	95.41±1.98 a	71.42±1.74 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	94.75±13.79 a	78.47±3.28 a		
	10 : 10 : 10	95.83±2.05 a	75.34±0.43 a	74.94	1.25
96	0 : 10 : 10	95.41±1.98 a	71.42±1.74 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	89.55±3.98 a	76.52±1.36 a		
	10 : 10 : 10	95.02±0.89 a	74.24±3.83 a	73.97	1.24

779 **Table 3.** Effect of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on the mating competitiveness of 200 Gy X-ray sterilized *C. pomonella* males

Experimental site	Matching ratio (F♂: N♂: N♀)	Fecundity(eggs laid per female)	Hatching rate/%		Competitive capacity
			Observed value	Expected value	
Indoor experiment	0 : 10 : 10	106.54 ±5.71 a	10.97±2.41 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	103.30± 12.00 a	13.44±2.33 a		
	10 : 10 : 10	94.35± 11.43 a	12.27±1.98 a	12.21	1.11
Field experiment	0 : 10 : 10	60.13±10.60 a	10.82±4.46 a		
	10 : 0 : 10	55.40±5.28 a	14.98±3.89a		
	10 : 10 : 10	61.66±4.09 a	12.84±1.98 a	12.90	1.06

Figure 1. Effect of different doses of X-ray irradiation on the biological parameters of male *C. pomonella*. (a) Emergence rate; (b) Survival rate; (c) Longevity; (d) Fecundity (eggs laid per female); (e) Sterility rate; (f) Mating rate. Letters on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan's test.

Figure 2. Effects of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on the biological parameters of male *C. pomonella*. (a) Survival rate; (b) Longevity; (c) Fecundity (eggs laid per female); (d) Hatching rate; (e) Mating rate.Letters on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan's test.

Figure 3. Effects of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on the biological parameters of 200 Gy X-ray sterilized male *C. pomonella* (laboratory experiment). (a) Survival rate; (b) Longevity; (c) Fecundity (eggs laid per female); (d) Hatching rate; (e) Mating rate.Letters on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan's test.

Figure 4. Effect of different concentrations of linalool fumigation on the biological parameters of 200 Gy X-ray sterilized male *C. pomonella* (field experiment). (a) Survival rate; (b) Longevity; (c) Fecundity (eggs laid per female); (d) Hatching rate; (e) Mating rate.Letters on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Duncan's test.

Figure 5. Effects of X-ray irradiation on the flight ability of male adults of *C. pomonella.* (a) Flight duration; (b) Flight distance; (c) Average flight speed. Asterisk (*) on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with independent samples t-tests (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001).

Figure 6. Effect of X-ray irradiation on the relative expression levels of the pheromone recognition-related genes in male adults of *C. pomonell*a. (a) *CpomOR1*; (b) *CpomOR2*a; (c) *CpomOR3*a; (d) *CpomOR3b*; (e) *CpomOR5*; (f) *CpomOR6a*; (g) *CpomOR7*; (h) *CpomGOBP*1; (i) *CpomGOBP2*; (j) *CpomGOBP3*. The 8-day-old male pupae (1 day before emergence) were exposed to 200 Gy of X-ray, and the expression levels of pheromone receptor-related genes in 3-day-old male adults were analyzed. Letters on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of

variance (ANOVA) with Duncan's test.

Figure 7. Suppression of field population of *C. pomonella* by pilot release of sterile male moths in an apple orchard. (a) Mean air temperature and daily precipitation in the field during the experimental period. (b) The trapping amount of wild male insects in the control and release areas.(c) Survey of fruit decay rate in control and release areas. The red triangles represent the date of release. (d) Number of sterile males recaptured by traps at different distances. (*) on the error bars indicate significant differences analyzed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with independent samples t-tests (*, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001).

Supporting information

Plant volatile-based fumigation improves mating competitiveness of males for population suppression of the global fruit pest *Cydia pomonella*

Sheng-Wang Huang [1,2,3 †], Peng-Cheng Wang [1,2,3, †], Yan Wang[1,2,3], Jie-Qiong Wang[1,2,3], Ping Gao[1,2,3, *], Xue-Qing Yang[1,2,3, *]

[1] College of Plant Protection, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang 110866, Liaoning, China

[2] Key Laboratory of Economical and Applied Entomology of Liaoning Province, Shenyang 110866, Liaoning, China

[3] Key Laboratory of Major Agricultural Invasion Biological Monitoring and Control, Shenyang 110866, Liaoning, China

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: sling233@hotmail.com (X.Q.Y)

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-3919-8013

Tel: +86 024 88487148

*Correspondence: gaoping1202@163.com (P.G.)

Table S1. The primers used in this study.

Primer name	Primer sequences	Length (bp)	Reference
EF-1α-F	GGTCCCCTCCAAGCCTCTGT	157	Wei et al., 2020
EF-1α-R	CTCGGCAGCTTTGGTGACCT		
RPL12-F	CGACTGGAAGGGCCTCAAGA	156	Wei et al., 2020
RPL12-R	TTGCCGCTGTGCTTGATGTT		
CpomOR1-F	AGGTGCTATTCTCAGGCCTG	104	Tian, 2020
CpomOR1-R	CATATGTCAGATGGCCCACG		
CpomOR2a-F	CAAAGGTACAGGCGCAAAC	194	Tian, 2020
CpomOR2a-R	AACGGCATCAGGCAGATAA		
CpomOR3a-F	TGCTCTACATTGGACACCGAAG	156	Huang, 2019
CpomOR3a-R	CCATACACTCCCAGGGCAAAT		
CpomOR3b-F	GTAAGTTTTATGGGCTGGTTTTT	142	Huang, 2019
CpomOR3b-R	GCAGGTTTAGGGAAATTGTATAT		
CpomOR5-F	ATACGGACCTCTGACGATCG	143	Tian, 2020
CpomOR5-R	TCCTGCGGTTACTCGTATCC		
CpomOR6a-F	TCAGACTTCTGTGGCGTTCG	164	Tian, 2020
CpomOR6a-R	CCATCCCACCTGCTTTTAGAC		
CpomOR7-F	GCATGGTTTTGGGTCCGAAT	100	Tian, 2020
CpomOR7-R	AGGGACCGTGCATTAGACTT		
CpomGOBP1-F	ATTCATCAAGTCTTTCCCTAACG	186	Garczynski et al., 2013
CpomGOBP1-R	TCCGCCATCATCATTTCC		
CpomGOBP2-F	TGCGAGAAGCAATACGACG	176	Garczynski et al., 2013
CpomGOBP2-R	CCAGTATCATTTTCAATTCAACG		
CpomGOBP3-F	GCCATCATCTGTATGTCCCA	160	Garczynski et al., 2013
CpomGOBP3-R	TGTCCTCGTATTGCTTCTCG		

1   **Table S2.** Flight status of released sterile males in the field.

	Total released	Normal flight out (%)	Abnormal flight out (%)
Sterile males exposed to linalool	2735	60.44±4.48	39.56±4.48
Sterile males	2607	62.17±4.25	37.83±4.25*
Total released	5342		

Table S3. Percentage of sterile males that can normal flight out but landed and abnormal flight out with landed after pick offs in the field.

	Normal flight out but landed(%)	Abnormal flight out with landed after pick offs (%)
Sterile males exposed to linalool	6.83±1.51	59.84±6.86
Sterile males	17.07±2.86*	78.19±6.36

75 **Figure S1.** The cDNA sequence of CpomGOBP1.The location of the primer sequence is

76 indicated by an arrow.

>CpomGOBP1:

CACAACCAAACATGTCGCACATAGCCCGGCTGGTGCTCTCGTTGGCGGCGGTTGCGCT

CGCGCACGCCACCGTGGAGGTGATGAAGGACGTCACCCTTGGCTTCGGAGAGGCGCT

CGAGCACTGCAGAGAGAGTAGCGGCCTAACAGAAGAGAAAATGGAGGAGTTCTTCC

ACTTCTGGCACGACGACTTCAAGTTCGAACACCGGGAGCTGGGCTGCGCAATCCAGT

GCATGTCCAGGCACTTCAACCTGCTGACTGATGGACAGAGGATGCACCATGAAAACA

CpomGOBP1 F
————————————————————————————→
CGGATAAATTCATCAAGTCTTTCCCTAACGGTGAGGTGTTATCCAAAACAATGGTAAC

CCTGATCCACTCCTGCGAGCAGAAGTTCGACGACATGGAAGACCACTGCTGGCGAAT

CCTGCGCATCGCCGAGTGCTTCAAGAGCGGCTGCCAGGAGCGCGGGTTAGCGCCGTC

CpomGOBP1 R
←————————————————————————————
TATGGAAATGATGATGGCGGAGTTTATTATGGAGTCCGAGGTTTAAAGCTTTGGAATG

TGAAATGACATTTCTCGACAAATAACAAATTTAATGTCACCGATTACCGCGATAGTTG

CTCATGAAATACAATTGTTTTAATGTGTTACATGTATCGCATATTATACATTTAAAATA

CGGCCCGTCATTTGGAACCCTTTGCAGCGCAGCGTTCGTGTGGAACGGGAGATTGGA

GAAAAATATATGCGAAATGTAAAAA

96 **Figure S2.** The cDNA sequence of CpomGOBP1.The location of the primer sequence is
97 indicated by an arrow.

>CpomGOBP2:

ATCACGATGGCTTTATACTGGCTGGTTGGTTTAGTGTTGGTGGGGGGCAAGATGGTGG

ACGGGACGGCGGAGGTCATGAGTCATGTGACTGCGCATTTTGGGAAGGCTTTAGAGC

AATGCCGTGAGGAGTCCCAGCTGTCCCCAGAAGTCCTGGACGAGTTCCACAACTTCT

GGCGCGAGGACTTTGAAGTGGTCCACCGCGAGCTCGGCTGCGCCATCATGTGCATGT

CCAACAAGTTCTCCCTACTGCAAGATGATGCCCGCATGCATCATGAGAACATGCATG

ACTACGTTAAGAGCTTCCCTCAAGGTGACGTCCTCTCGGCCAAGATGGTCGAACTGAT
                    CpomGOBP2 F
CCACAACTGCGAGAAGCAATACGACGACATCCCTGACGACTGCAGCCGCGTTGTCAA

GGTCGCAGCCTGCTTCAAGGTCGACGCTAAGAAAGCTGGTATCGCACCCGAGGTCGC
                                        CpomGOBP2 R
CATGATTGAAGCGGTGCTGGAGAAGTATTAAGACCCATTGGCAGAACGTTGAATTGA

AAATGATACTGGTGGATAGATGAAGTGGGTTTTCATTCACTAGTTGTTATAGTCGGAC

ATCAGTAAGTGTAAGATCTGAGTGGATGCTCGAAGCGGAGCGTTCGGCGGAGCATGC

AGCGTGGCGTCGGGCTCACAAGTGATGTGAGCAGCATGCACTGAGGCCGCTCCTATA

CGTTTGCATTCGCATGCCCCGCCCTGCTGCACGCCCCACTCAAGCGTCCACTCAGGCC

ATACACAAATTTTGTGTTTCCATAAAAAAAAATCCTGATATAAATGGAAAAATGAAA

TTAGGAGTAGTAGTAGTAATCCCTTTTTTGCGTACAATATTTTGACCATCGGAATTTG

AGCTATGTAAAAATTTGAAGCTATTTTATTTTTCCCAGAATATGTATAAGTAGGATAA

TATATACCCTAGTAGAAGCAGATTTTTTCGACTTCCCGACCCTGACTATTGTATTGCGT

CCATAAATATATGAAAATAAGGTTTAGAACCCAGTCAGAATTTACATAAAATCTTTAC

TTAAAGAGTTTGTAACTAATTATGTAATTCATAGTGAAAATATCATTGGTCATCAAAA

AGTGTATTTTTTTTTCCAGTATTGTTTTTAATTTTTATATACAATCAAAAATTTATACAT

CGAACAATGTTTAAAGAGTGATTTTTAGTAGATAGTTTTGATTAAGTTCATTTCATCG

ACTATTTGGTTTAGATTAGAATTGTTGTGATTTAATAAATAGTTGTTTGAGGGAAAAA

103   **Figure S3.**The cDNA sequence of CpomGOBP3.The location of the primer sequence is

104   indicated by an arrow.

>CpomGOBP3:

AGACGAATGGTCATTGTCTTTGAAATGGCGCTGTGCTGGATGGTTTTGACGCTGGTCC

TGGCTGCTGGAGAGATGGAGGCGGCATCGGAACATTCCATAACCATGAGTAATGTCA

ACGCGCATTATGGGAAGACGCTGGAGATGTGCCGGGAGGAGGCTCAAATATCTCCCG

AGATCATGCAAGAATGGCATCACATCTGGGATGATGACTTCGAGGTAGTACAGAGAG

CpomGOBP3 **F**

AGATCGGCTGCGCCATCATCTGTATGTCCCACAAGTTCTCCTTACTGCAGGATGATAA

TCGAATGCATCATGAAAGCATGTTTGATTATATCAGAAGTTTCGATAATGGTGACGTT

CpomGOBP3 **R**

CTCTCAGCAACAGTGGTAGAACTATTCCATAACTGCGAGAAGCAATACGAGGACATC

GAAGACGACTGCAGCCGTGTAACCAAGGTCATGGCCTGCTTCAAGGTTGAGGCCAAG

AAGGCTGGCATCGCGCCCGAGGTGGCCATGATTGAAGCTGTGCTGGAGAAATATTGA

AACCCGATGGCAGAATTTGGAAATCGCAACGATACAGGATTGACGTGTTTTAGCCAC

TTCCGATATTTTTGTCTGTAATACCTACATAAGTGAATATATGAACGAATAAATTATA

ACCTAGTTTTGTTGCATCGATAATAATTGATTTTGATTACTTGTGTTTAACTACTAACC

ATTAAAATTTGCCGTTGCAAAAA

123   **Figure S4.** The cDNA sequence of CpomOR1.The location of the primer sequence is

124   indicated by an arrow.

>CpomOR1:

ATGTCTTTGAAAAGCCGTGTTTGGGAAAAGTTGAAGAAGAAGTTTGATGACGGTGAG

GTAGACTCCCCTCTGAAATACACGTACGTCAAACAAGTGTCATGCCTAATGAGTTCCG

TCGGCGCCTGGCCTCACAGGCAGTTTGGTCGCCAGAAACTCCACACTCTGCTATCAAT

CTATAATCTCGGGCTACATGGCGTGTGCGCAGGCATGTTTATTCTTGGGCTGTTGTATT

GGCGGCAGCGCAGACATAATATGTCGTTTTTCGATTCGGGGCACATATTTTTGTGTAT

GCTTTTTGACCTTTTGGTTTTGCTGAGATTCATGGTGACTCAGACAACGAAATATCAA

GAAACAATTAAAGCTTTTTTGCTTGAATTTCACCTGTTTTACTTCAAGGATCGATCGCC

GTATGCTGCAAAGGTCCACACGCAAGTTCATACCATATCGGGCATGTTCAGTTTTTAC

<u>CpomOR1 F</u>
———————————————————▶
GTCATATGTCAGATGGCCCACGGCATGGCATTGTTCGTGCTAATGCCTTGCTACAGTA

                              <u>CpomOR1 R</u>
                         ◀———————————————————
ACTTACGCAAAGGCATGTTTGGCAAAAACAGGCCTGAGAATAGCACCTTCGAGAATT

CAGCTTACTACTACCTACCGGACGCCTGTTATACTACTTACGAGGATACTGGATATT

ACTCGCTTTCAATGCTTTCTCGTCTTACATTATAACTATTGGTCTCTTCGAGTTCGACC

TTATGATCAGTATGATGGTCTTCCAAATCTGGGGTCATCTAAAAATTTTGAAAAATAG

CTTGTTAACTATGCCTTTGCCGGTAAACAGCAAAGACGGCTTGTATTCACCAGAAGAG

AATATCAAAATCAAAGCATTGTTGAAGGAAATTATTGAACATCACACCCTGATTATA

AAATTCGTGGACGGATGTTCCAATACGTTTAGCGAGTACCTGTTCACGTTCTACCTGT

TCATGCAGTTCATCACCTGCATCTTACTGCTGGAAGTATCTTCGTTCACAGCAGACGC

TCTGGGTAAATACGGCCCCTTAACCATTGGGATGCATCAGCAGTTAATACAAGTGTCT

ATACTTTTTGAAGTCTTGAATACAAAGAGCAACGAACTGATCGACGCTATGACACAA

ATACCATGGGAACATATGAACACGAGCAACAGAAGAACAGTGTTGTTCTTGATATGC

AGGATTCAAATTCCTGTCTCTTTGAAGGCTGGTGGGATGGTGCCGGTCGGAGTCAATA

CAATGCAGGCGGTCTTGAAGGGTTCGGTGACGTACTATATGATGTTGAAGGCTTTCGC

TGCTGAGGGGTAA

125
126
127

128 **Figure S5.** The cDNA sequence of CpomOR2a.The location of the primer sequence is
129 indicated by an arrow.

>CpomOR2a:

ATGATTTTAAAACAAGTGTTTGATGTTTTGAAGAAGAGATTTGATGATGGTTACGTCAA

CACGCCTCTGGATTTCAAATATGTGGCTCAACTGCAATTCGTGCTGACGACCGTAGGCT

CCTGGCCATATAAACAATTTGGACGAAATCGATTAGCGGCCATTTTGTCTACGTACAAC

GCCTTTCTAATACTCGTTAGCACCAATTTGTGCGTTTTGGATTTAATCTATATACGCGTGA

ATAGAGTAAAACTATCGTTTTTTGATTTGGGACATAATATATTGCGTTTGATTTTTACTTT

CTTGTATCTGCAAAGACTATTGACGGCAAGGACAAGTAAATATCAAGAGGTAATAAAG

GATTATTTACTTGACTTTAACTTGTTTTACTTCAAGGGCCGGTCACCATACGCTGC̲A̲A̲A̲G̲

CpomOR2a F
GTACAGGCGCAAACACACATTATATCAGGAATGTTCACGATCTACGTGATGTGGCAGAT

GGTCATAGGAGTATCTCTGTTCATATTCATGCCGTGGTTCAACAATTATAACAGAGGCAT

CpomOR2a R
GTTCAGTGAAAACCGGCCCCAAAACAGCACGTTCGAGCACTCTGTATACTA̲T̲T̲A̲T̲C̲T̲G̲C̲

C̲T̲G̲A̲T̲G̲C̲C̲G̲T̲T̲TATACTACTGAAGAGGGATACTGGATATTATTCGTCTTCAATATTCCAAT

TTCCTACGTCACCACAATTGGTCTTTGCGTTTTTGATCTCTTACTGATCTTGATAGTGTT

CCAAATTTGGGGGCACCTGAGGATTCTGAAGCATAATTTGCAAAACATTCCACTGCCG

GAAAACAGTATTATGTACTCAGTTGAAGAGAATAATAACATACGAATGTTATTAAAGGA

GAATATATTACATCACAACATTATTATACAATTCGTGGACAGATGCTCGGACGCATTCAG

CGAATATTTGTTCGCGTTCTACCTGTTCATGCAGTTTATCACCTGCATCTTACTGCTGGA

AGTCACTACGTTCACCGCGGACTCGCTGGCGAAGTACGGCCCCCTAACCGTCGTCATG

CATCAGCAGTTAATACAAGTGTCCATATTGTTCGAGATGTTAAACACCAAGAGTGAGCA

GCTGATCGACACCGTATACGCCATCCCGTGGGAGCATATGGACACGAAGAACAGGAGA

ACTGTGTTGTTTTTCCTGCACAAGATTCAGACCCCAGTCAGTCTGAAGGCTGCCAAGG

TCGTACCTGTGGGAGTCAACACTATGTCTGCAATTCTGAAGACCACGTTCTCGTACTAC

130 ATGATGCTGAAGGCTTTAGCTGGGGAGCGATAA

133 **Figure S6.** The cDNA sequence of CpomOR5.The location of the primer sequence is

134   indicated by an arrow.

\>CpomOR5:

ATGGCTCCACCACGAGTGTTTCGTTCTTTGAAGAGATGGTTTGACGACAGCGACGCAA

AACACCCACTGGAGTTCAACTATGTCCGACATCTGATCTTCTTGCTAAGCTTCATAGGA

TCTTGGCCTCACAAACAATTCGGACGTGACCGATTACACTTCGTCCTGTCCATATTCAA

CCTGTTCCTGATCGTGGTGGGCATAACCATATCCATTGCGGCTGTGGGATACATATGGAG

TAAGAGGGAGACTATATCTTTCTATGATATGGGACATGTAATTTTGTGTATTTTGTTGGA

GACTTTATTTTTGCAAAGATTAATGACGGGCCGAACGGAAAAGTATGGTGAGATTGTAA

AGGATCTCCTGCTCAATTTTCATCTCTTTTATTTCCAGAGTCGATCGCAATACGCTTCTA

AGGTGTACAAGCAGGTTCAGTTCGCCTCAAAGATATTCACTATTTACGTCACCTGTCAC

CTTATAACTGGATTCACCCTGTTTTCTTTCATGCCTTGGTACAATAACTATAAGAACGGA

ATGTTCAGTCCTGACCGCCCACCGAATAGGACTTTCGAACACTCCCTCTATTTCTACTG

TTTCACTGACGAAGTCTATACAACATTAAAGGGCTATTGGATTCTCTTCTCATTCAACGT

CCCCACGTCCATCAACACCAGCAGCGGTATACTAACCTTCGATCTTCTGCTCAGCCTAA

TTGTGTTCCAAATTTTGGGACATCTTATGATTATGAAACACGATTTGTTGAGCATACCTC

CGACTACCGATAAATACTCGCCAGAGGAGAATATGCGAGTCAAAGAGACTTTGAAAGG

AATCATCGATCACCACAACATAATTATAAATTTCGTCGACAAGTGCTCCGACGCGTTTA

GTGAATACCTCTTCATGTTTTACTTGCTCATGCAACTCCTTACCATCGTGGTGACGGTGG

CpomOR5 F →

ATTTGTCCACCTTCACTGCGGACGCGTTGGCCAAATACGGACCTCTGACGATCGCTATA

TATCAACCGCTGATCCAGATATCGATTCTTTTCGAAATGATAAGCACACAAAGCGAGAA

← *CpomOR5 R*

ACTTGTTGATGCCATATACGAGATTCCGTGGGAGTGCATGGATACGAGTAACCGCAGGA

CGGTGATGTTCTTTCTGCTTCGTGCGCAAACGCCGGTAACTCTGAAGGCCGCCAAGAT

GGTGCCCGTTGGCGTAATGACTATGACCGCTGTACTGAAGACAACATTCTCCTATTACA

TGTTATTGAACGCCGTAGCTGAATCTGCTGAGCAGTAG

139   **Figure S7.** The cDNA sequence of CpomOR6a.The location of the primer sequence is

140   indicated by an arrow.

>CpomOR6a:

ATGCAGACAAAAAGGCAAACCAGTGAGCCGAAAGTATTCTCCCTAGATTATAT$\overline{\text{GAAAT}}$

CGCTCCGCTTCACGCTCGAAACGATAGGACAATGGCCCAACCGATCACTAGGGGACCT

TTCACGGCGTGCAGTAATGTTGGCCACGTATCACAAATTCTTAATATGCACATTTTGTTT

TACGGAAATCCTAGCGTTTTCGTACATGATAAAGCACAGAAAAACGATCCGTTTTATTG

ATATGGGGCAAATTTACACGAATTTGTTTTTAACCGGGCTATTCCTTCAAAGAGCATCG

CTACCTTTTCAGAAGAACTACAAAAAGTGTGTGAAAAAGTTTGTTTTAGAATTTCATTT

AATGCACCATGAGCATTTAAGTGAATTTGCAGCGAAGGAACTACGCAAAGTCAACAAA

ATCTGCAAGATCGCGACCAAAGTCATCTATCTCCAGCTCGCCTGCGGAATGCTGGCGTA

CAACCTCTCCCCCCTTTTCCGGAACTATTACGAAGGAATGTTCGCAGGCGAACTCCCC

GAAAACAAATCGTTTGTACACTCCGTCGACTACCTTCTACCTTTTGACGCTTATCGCAG

TTTCAAGGGTTACCTAGTTATATTCATATGGAATTGGTTCCCTACATACAATATACCTACT

GCTATGGGTATTTATGATCTGTTGGTGTTCGTAATGGTTTTCCATATGGTCGGGCATATGA

ATATACTTCTTAATAGTTTGAAAGAATTTCCAAGGCCGCAAGAGGATGGTTTGCCGTCG

ACACGGGAGTATAATGAAGAGATATTTGGATTGTTGAAAAATGTGATTCGACATTATCA

GATAATCAAGGATTTCATGGGAGATATGACTGCAGCATTTGATCTGACGTTGTGTTGCTA

TCTAGCATTTCACCAAGTCATGTGTTGTCTGATGTTGCTAGAATGTTCTACATTGGAGCC

GGAGGCCTTGGTAAAATATGGGATGCTTGCCGCGGTCATATTCCAGCAATTAATTCAGA
___________________________→
CpomOR6a F

CTTCTGTGGCGTTCGAGTTAATTAAATCAAAGAGTAAACGTCTCGGTGATGAAGTATAT

GCAGTACCATGGGAATATATGAACGTGAAAAACAGAAGAATTTTCGTACTATTCCTTAG
←___________________________
CpomOR6a R

GAACGTGCAGTACCCATTAGGTCTAAAAGCAGGTGGGATGGTGCCAGTGGGAGTGATG

AGTATGTCTACGATCATAAGGACATCAATTTCATATTACATCATGCTAGCCACATTCGCA

141   GACTAA

145   **Figure S8.** The cDNA sequence of CpomOR7.The location of the primer sequence is

146   indicated by an arrow.

>CpomOR7:

CpomOR7 F

ATGACAACACAAACCAGGGACCGTGCATTAGACTTAGACTACATGCGTGTGATCCGTC

CpomOR7 R

TATACCTCGACACGATCGCTCATTGGCCGAACGAAAAATTCGGACCCAAAACCATGCG

TACGCGCATCCTGTCCATATATCATATCTCAATATTGACAATGCTCGTAGCAGTAGTTGCT

GCTGAGATCTTGGCGTTGTTTTTCGTCCGAGGCAGAATGCCAACTCATGGTTTTATTGA

TTTGGGGCAGGATTACTTGTCTATTTTGATAGGCTGCGTAATGATTCCAAGACTGACACT

AATACTCCAAGAAAAATACTGTTCACATATAAAAACCTTCGTTTCAAAATTTCATTTACT

GGAACATAAACATGAATCTGGATTTGCCGCTAAGGAGTATCAGAAGGTCAACAAAATT

TGCAGAATTGCAACCATGATTATTTTGCTGGAGTGCCTCCTTGGGCAAATGATGTTCAA

CGTGGTCCCATTATATGTAAACATACAAGCAGGATTGTTCACGTCCCGTGACAATAGAC

CACAGAATGTAACCTTCGTTCACTCTTTAAACTATTATTTCATAATAGACCAATACAATG

ATGCGATTGGTTATGGCATAGCCTCTTTTATAAACGCTTACGTTTCATACATGTGTGGTG

TTGAGTTCTGTGGCATAGATTTATTAATTTATATAATGGTCTTCCACATATTGGGTCATTTT

AACATACTGGTGGATAAAATGAGAAACTTTCCGAGACCAGTAAACTTTCCTGATGAATC

TCAAAAATATTCTGAGCGACAGTATAATGAAGAAGCATTAAAAGTTTTGAAGAATTTAA

TACAACATGACCAGTTAATTAAAGAATTCATGAACAATACGTCTAAGACGTTTAGCATC

ACCCTTTGTATTTGCCTTTTGTTCCATCAGGTTTCTGGATGCATTTCGCTTCTAGAAATTT

CTCCGATGACTGCTGAGGCACTGACACGATATGGTCCCTTGATATTAGTGTTGTTCAATC

AGCTGATACAGATGTCGGTGATATTCGAACTCATAAGCTCCAAGAGTAACAAACTCTCG

GATGAAGTATATGCGCTGCCGTGGGAGCTGATGGACGCCAAGAACAGGAAGACCATGC

TGCTCTTCCTCGTGAATGTGCAGCGGCCCAGGGGTCTGAAGGCTGGTGGTTTGGTTTC

TGTGGGTGTGCTTACTATGGCTCAGATTATAAAAAACTCAGTTTCTTATTTCTTAATGTT

147   AAGGACGCTTGGAAACTTTTAA

149