Identification of novel PfEMP1 variants containing domain cassettes 11, 15 and 8 that mediate the *Plasmodium falciparum* virulence-associated rosetting phenotype

Florence McLean[1*], Brian R. Omondi[1*], Nouhoum Diallo[1], Stanley Otoboh[1], Carol Kifude[1], Abdirahman Abdi[2], Rivka Lim[1], Thomas Otto[3], Ashfaq Ghumra[1], J. Alexandra Rowe[1]

[1]Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. [2]KEMRI-Wellcome Laboratories, Kilifi, Kenya. [3]Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom.

Short title: identification of novel PfEMP1 variants that mediate rosetting

*joint first authors

Abstract

Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) is a diverse family of variant surface antigens, encoded by *var* genes, that mediates binding of infected erythrocytes to human cells and plays a key role in parasite immune evasion and malaria pathology. The increased availability of parasite genome sequence data has revolutionised the ability to study PfEMP1 diversity across multiple *P. falciparum* isolates. However, making functional sense of genomic data relies on the ability to infer binding phenotype from *var* gene sequence. For *P. falciparum* rosetting, the binding of infected erythrocytes to uninfected erythrocytes, the analysis of *var* gene/PfEMP1 sequences encoding the phenotype is limited, with only eight rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants described to date. These known rosetting PfEMP1 variants fall into two types, characterised by N-terminal domains known as "domain cassette" 11 (DC11) and DC16. Here we test the hypothesis that DC11 and DC16 are the only PfEMP1 types in the *P. falciparum* genome that mediate rosetting, by examining a set of thirteen recent culture-adapted Kenyan parasite lines. We first analysed the *var* gene/PfEMP1 repertoires of the Kenyan lines and identified an average of three DC11 or DC16 PfEMP1 variants per genotype. *In vitro* rosette selection of the parasite lines yielded four with a high rosette frequency, and analyses of their *var* gene transcription, infected erythrocyte PfEMP1 surface expression, rosette disruption and erythrocyte binding function identified four novel rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants. Two of these were of the predicted DC11 type (one showing the dual rosetting/IgM-Fc-binding phenotype), whereas two contained DC15 (DBLα1.2-CIDRα1.5b) a PfEMP1 type not previously associated with rosetting. We also showed that a Thai parasite line expressing a DC8-like PfEMP1 binds to erythrocytes to form rosettes. Hence, these data expand current knowledge of rosetting mechanisms and emphasize that the PfEMP1 types mediating rosetting are more diverse than previously recognised.

Author summary

Malaria is an infectious tropical disease caused by the parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* that kills

more than half a million people every year, mostly young children in sub-Saharan Africa. Life-

threatening episodes of malaria are characterised by huge numbers of parasitised red blood cells in

the infected host, many of which bind to blood vessel walls and block blood flow, causing tissue

damage and organ failure. Sometimes parasitised red cells also bind to uninfected red cells to form

clusters of cells called rosettes, which make the blockage of blood flow in vital organs even worse.

Previous research has begun to decipher how parasitised red cells bind to uninfected red cells to

form rosettes, but little is yet known about the process. Here we identify some new versions of the

"sticky proteins" (adhesion molecules) that are made by malaria parasites and displayed on the

surface of infected red cells to bring about rosette formation. The rosette-mediating adhesion

molecules are members of a large family, and we identify here a few characteristic types within this

family that mediate rosetting. This work is an important step towards the goal of understanding

how malaria parasite rosettes form rosettes in order to develop preventions or treatments to

reverse rosetting and reduce the number of people dying from severe malaria.

Introduction

Rosetting is the binding of erythrocytes parasitised with *Plasmodium spp.* to uninfected erythrocytes during the asexual blood stage of malaria infection, and has been described for *P. falciparum, P. vivax, P. ovale* and *P. malariae*, as well as in murine and primate malaria parasite species (reviewed by (1)). Rosetting is a phenotypically variable property, with different parasite isolates having between zero and >90% of mature-infected erythrocytes in rosettes (2). The rosetting phenotype is of particular interest in *P. falciparum* malaria, because studies in Sub-Saharan Africa have consistently shown that parasite isolates from severe malaria cases have significantly higher levels of rosetting than those from uncomplicated malaria cases (2-5), raising the possibility that rosetting contributes to disease pathogenesis. Subsequent work has shown that rosettes are stable under physiological blood flow shear forces and enhance microvascular obstruction caused by the sequestration of infected erythrocytes (6-9). Furthermore, human erythrocyte polymorphisms that reduce the ability of *P. falciparum* to form rosettes, such as blood group O and the Complement Receptor One (CR1)-related Knops blood group, have been selected to a high frequency in malaria endemic regions and are associated with significant protection against severe disease (10-12), supporting the hypothesis that rosetting is a parasite virulence-associated phenotype.

Rosetting in *P. falciparum* infected erythrocytes begins at ~16-20 hours post invasion, a time-point corresponding to the appearance of parasite-derived variant antigens on the infected erythrocyte surface (13). Specific members of the variant surface antigen family *P. falciparum* Erythrocyte Membrane Protein 1 (PfEMP1) are the best characterised rosette-mediating parasite adhesion molecules in *P. falciparum* (14-17), although there is some limited evidence to suggest that other VSA families such as the RIFINs and STEVORs may contribute to rosetting in some parasite genotypes (18, 19). PfEMP1 is encoded by *var* genes that can be classified into three main groups A, B and C and two intermediate groups B/A and B/C by their conserved upstream promoter sequences (20). These groups have functional significance as parasite isolates causing severe malaria predominantly transcribe group A and B/A *var* genes (21-25). PfEMP1 variants have an N-terminal

'head structure' composed of an N-terminal sequence (NTS) and Duffy Binding Like (DBL) domain

followed by a Cysteine-rich InterDomain Region (CIDR)(26). Downstream from the head structure, a

variable number of further DBL and CIDR domains comprise the rest of the extracellular region.

PfEMP1 DBL and CIDR domains have been classified using phylogenetic methods into seven (α, β, γ,

δ, ϵ, ζ and pam) and five (α, β, γ, δ and pam) main classes respectively (26), which can be further

divided into numerical subclasses (27). Group A *var* genes encode DBLα1 domains, followed by a

CIDRα1 or CIDRβ/γ/δ domain in their head structures, whereas group B and C *var* genes encode

DBLα0 domains followed by CIDRα2-6 domains (26, 27). Domain subclasses frequently found

together in tandem are called domain cassettes (DCs) (27).

PfEMP1 groups, domain cassettes and domain subclasses have been linked to specific host

receptor binding phenotypes (reviewed in (28)), and the PfEMP1 domains that bind to host

endothelial cell receptors such as CD36 (29), ICAM-1 (30) and endothelial cell protein receptor

(EPCR) (31) are well-characterised. However, current understanding of the molecular interactions

that cause rosetting is based on just eight PfEMP1 variants from six culture-adapted *P. falciparum*

genotypes (14, 15) (17, 32). Seven of the eight characterised rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants are

encoded by group A *var* genes, and have a DBLα1 domain of subclass 1.5, 1.6 or 1.8 (Figure 1A).

These domains are followed by a CIDRβ, γ or δ domain, completing DC11 in two of the characterised

rosetting variants (IT4VAR60 and TM284VAR1), DC16 in three variants (3D7PF13_000, HB3VAR06

and MUZ12 VAR1) and DC16-like (similar to DC16, but with CIDRγ rather than CIDRδ) in two variants

(IT4VAR09 and PAVARO) (Figure 1B). These domain classes and cassettes therefore define a

rosetting-associated head structure (DBLα1.5/6/8-CIDRβ/γ/δ).

A single previously characterised candidate rosetting variant, TM180VAR1, is encoded by a

group B/A *var* gene that lacks the rosetting-associated head structure (17). This gene has a "DC8-

like" head structure, with a DBLα2 domain followed by a CIDRα1.8 domain (Figure 1A), whereas DC8

usually has a CIDRα1.1 domain (Figure 1B) (27). The unusual architecture of this variant, along with

the observation that antibodies against the DBLα domain did not disrupt rosettes in this parasite line

(17), means that the evidence supporting this as a rosette-mediating PfEMP1 type is weaker than for

the other reported variants, and it remains possible that the TM180 parasite line forms rosettes via a

PfEMP1-independent mechanism.

Due to the small number of characterised rosetting PfEMP1 variants to date, we currently

lack a model to predict with confidence from parasite genomic sequence data which PfEMP1

variants mediate rosetting and which do not. In this study, we hypothesized that the rosetting

phenotype in diverse *P. falciparum* isolates is encoded by either DC11 or DC16 PfEMP1 variants. We

tested this hypothesis by firstly identifying PfEMP1 variants with the predicted rosetting head

structure in the genomes of thirteen recently culture-adapted Kenyan *P. falciparum* lines, then

selected four of these lines for rosetting and characterised their *var* gene/PfEMP1 expression. We

also examined whether the DC8-like candidate rosetting variant TM180VAR1 has erythrocyte-

binding function. Our findings confirm the importance of DC11 and DC8-like variants in rosetting

and identify another previously unrecognised PfEMP1 domain cassette (DC15) that binds to

uninfected erythrocytes to mediate rosette formation.

Results

Selection of high rosetting (R+) Kenyan P. falciparum lines

Thirteen culture-adapted *P. falciparum* lines, originally isolated from children with *P. falciparum*

malaria in Kenya, were studied (33, 34). The full *var* gene/PfEMP1 repertoire sequences of these

parasites were published previously (35), and are shown in diagrammatic form in Figure S1. On

examining the repertoires, the number of PfEMP1 variants with the predicted rosetting-associated

head structure (DBLα1.5/6/8-CIDRβ/γ/δ) ranged from 0 to 7 (median 3) per parasite line (Table 1).

We cultured each of the Kenyan *P. falciparum* lines for at least two replication cycles *in vitro* and

screened the mature-infected erythrocytes for spontaneous rosette formation by microscopy.

Rosettes were detected in four of the parasite lines, at a high level in KE10 (>50% of infected

erythrocytes in rosettes) and a low level in PC0053, KE11 and KE08 (2-10% of infected erythrocytes

in rosettes). The absence of rosetting in the other parasite lines, despite their genomes containing

group A *var* genes encoding PfEMP1 variants with the rosetting head structure, is not surprising

because previous work has shown that parasites tend to switch off group A *var* genes when adapted

to culture (36). The four rosette-positive lines were grown in continuous culture and selected using

density gradient methods to generate isogenic pairs of high rosetting (R+, >60% of infected

erythrocytes in rosettes) and low rosetting (R-, <2% of infected erythrocytes in rosettes) parasite

lines for each genotype. Parasite line KE11R+ was resistant to selection for a high rosette frequency

using conventional methods, possibly due to a high frequency of *var* gene switching. Therefore,

further study of KE11R+ was carried at a rosette frequency of ~15-30% of infected erythrocytes in

rosettes.

Table 1. The Kenyan *P. falciparum* parasite lines screened for the formation of rosettes *in vitro*.

Parasite line[#]	Pf3K designation[#]	Clinical syndrome*	Group A *var* genes[$]	Predicted rosetting head structure[†]	Rosettes seen *in vitro*	Human IgM-Fc binding[&]
9106	PFKE01	IC	7	1	No	ND
9626	PFKE02	UM	15	3	No	ND
8383	PFKE03	UM	9	2	No	ND
10668	PFKE04	IC, RD	14	4	No	ND
11014	PFKE05	SMA	6	2	No	ND
10936	PFKE06	UM	11	6	No	ND
10975	PFKE07	SMA	23	7	No	ND
9605	**PFKE08**	**IC**	**8**	**4**	**Yes**	**No**
6816	PFKE09	IC	6	0	No	ND
11019	**PFKE10**	**IC**	**10**	**3**	**Yes**	**Yes**
9775	**PFKE11**	**UM**	**13**	**3**	**Yes**	**No**
9215	PFKE12	RD	12	3	No	ND
9197	**PC0053**	**IC**	**8**	**3**	**Yes**	**No**

#The name of each parasite line according to the KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Laboratory parasite naming
system (33, 34) and its designation in the Pf3k database (35) is given.
*The clinical syndrome of the patient from whom the parasite isolate was collected. IC, impaired
consciousness; UM, uncomplicated malaria: RD, respiratory distress; SMA, severe malarial anaemia.
$The total number of group A *var* genes in each parasite's genome.
†The number of *var* genes in each parasite's genome that encode the rosetting-associated head
structure DBLα1-CIDRβ/γ/δ.
&Determined by immunofluorescence assay/flow cytometry of live infected erythrocytes with an
anti-human IgM (μ chain) antibody. ND, not done.
155
156
IgM-binding and trypsin-sensitivity of rosetting in the Kenyan R+ parasite lines

Previous work has shown that some *P. falciparum* rosetting lines bind the Fc region of human IgM,

and this promotes rosette formation, possibly by clustering PfEMP1 molecules to increase binding

avidity (37-39). The dual rosetting and IgM-Fc binding phenotype is strongly associated with severe

malaria (40, 41) and the known PfEMP1 variants that mediate this dual binding are mostly of the

DC11 type (Figure 1) (17, 37, 38, 42). Due to the presence of human serum in the parasite culture

medium, IgM-binding can be detected by immunostaining of live infected erythrocytes taken directly

from culture. Hence, the four R+ lines were assessed for their IgM-binding ability by staining with

anti-human IgM antibodies. Infected erythrocytes of the KE10R+ parasite line were IgM positive,

whereas the other three R+ lines were IgM negative (Figure 2A, Table 1).

PfEMP1 is characteristically highly sensitive to trypsin digestion (17, 43), whereas RIFINs and

STEVORS, which have also been implicated in rosetting, are not (44, 45). Therefore, to determine

whether PfEMP1 was the likely rosette-mediating parasite adhesion molecule in the Kenyan

rosetting lines, infected erythrocytes were treated with a low dose of trypsin and rosette frequency

was assessed. Rosetting in all four lines was abolished by treatment with 1μg/ml trypsin for five

minutes, consistent with a PfEMP1-dependent rosetting mechanism (Figure 2B).

var gene profiling to identify predominant rosetting-associated PfEMP1 variants

To identify the predominant *var* genes specifically transcribed in the R+ parasite lines, we carried out

DBLα tag profiling of the R+/R- isogenic pairs (14, 46). The full *var* gene sequence for each

177 predominant tag was retrieved from the Pf3k database (35) or determined by extension PCR and

178 sequencing. For clarity, the predominant rosette-specific *var* gene identified in each parasite line is

179 referred to here as *'parasite line name var_r1'* with the full name of each gene from the Pf3K

180 database also given on first use. *var* gene profiling of KE10R+ and KE10R- parasite lines showed that

181 the *pfke10var_r1 (PX0203.g54)* gene encoding a DC11 PfEMP1 variant was the predominant

182 transcript expressed only in the rosetting line (Figure 3 and Figure 4). Parasite lines PC0053R+ and

183 KE11R+ both expressed two predominant transcripts. However, in each case, only one was

184 exclusively expressed by the R+ line (Figure 3). Therefore, *pc0053var_r1 (PC0053-C.g687)* and

185 *pfke11var_r1 (PFKE11.g448),* encoding PfEMP1 variants containing DC15 (DBLα1.2-CIDRα1.5b), were

186 identified as the candidate rosette-mediating adhesins (Figure 4). This head structure has not

187 previously been associated with rosetting. *var* gene profiling of the KE08R+ and KE08R- parasite lines

188 identified two predominant transcripts in the R+ population, *pfke08var_r1 (PFKE08.g502)* and

189 *pfke08var_r2 (PFKE08.g501)* (Figure 3). Expression of *pfke08var_r2* was not detected in the R-

190 population, whereas *pfke08var_r1* was detected at a low level (5% of transcripts). *pfke08var_r1*

191 encodes a DC11 rosetting-associated head structure, whereas *pfke08var_r2* is a group B/A *var* gene,

192 encoding DC8 (DBLα2-CIDRα1.1) (Figure 4). DC8 PfEMP1 variants are usually associated with binding

193 to human brain endothelial cells via EPCR (47), and not with rosetting, with the exception of the

194 unusual putative rosetting variant TM180VAR1*,* which has a "DC8-like" architecture (17). Therefore,

195 in this case, both *pfke08var_r1* and *pfke08var_r2* were considered to be potential rosette-mediating

196 PfEMP1 variants and were investigated further.

198 ***Staining of infected erythrocytes for surface expression of candidate rosetting-associated variants***

199 We next raised antibodies to the candidate rosetting PfEMP1 variants identified above, to determine

200 whether the specific PfEMP1 variants were present on the surface of live infected erythrocytes. The

201 NTS-DBLα region of each variant was expressed as a recombinant protein in *E. coli* (Figure S2) and

202 used to immunise rabbits to generate polyclonal antibodies against PfEMP1 as described previously

(17, 48). The antibodies were used in indirect immunofluorescence and flow cytometry with live

infected erythrocytes. Non-immunised rabbit IgG or IgG raised to an irrelevant PfEMP1 NTS-DBLα

protein (HB3VAR03, which binds to human brain endothelial cells (49)) were used as negative

controls, and the gating strategy to identify the mature pigmented-trophozoite and schizont stage

infected erythrocyte population which displays PfEMP1 as a DNA/RNA high population is shown in

Figure S3.

In each case, the IgG against the candidate rosetting PfEMP1 variant stained live rosetting

infected erythrocytes of the respective parasite line at a frequency comparable to the rosette

frequency, whereas the isogenic R- lines were negative (Figure 5). Unexpectedly, in the KE08R+ line

~90% of mature infected erythrocytes stained positively with both the KE08VAR_R1-specific rabbit

IgG and the KE08VAR_R2-specific IgG (Figure 5E and G). This suggests either antibody cross-reactivity

or the possibility that both PfEMP1 variants are expressed on the same infected erythrocytes.

Usually only one PfEMP1 type is expressed per infected erythrocyte, due to mutually exclusive

expression of *var* genes (50). However, one previous example of a parasite line simultaneously

expressing two different PfEMP1 variants on the same infected erythrocyte has been described (51).

To examine KE08R+ in more detail, we raised antibodies against the N-terminal CIDR domains of the

two predominantly expressed PfEMP1 types and repeated the immunofluorescence staining. Once

again, the polyclonal rabbit IgG raised against the KE08VAR_R1 CIDR domain and the KE08VAR_R2

CIDR domain both stained a similar major population of infected erythrocytes in the rosetting line,

consistent with expression of both variants on the surface of infected erythrocytes (Figure 5I and K).

Rosette disrupting activity of anti-PfEMP1 IgG

Next, we assessed the ability of the rabbit IgG raised against the candidate rosetting variants to

disrupt rosettes in their respective lines. IgG from antisera raised to the NTS-DBLα domain of an

irrelevant PfEMP1 variant (HB3VAR03) and IgG purified from the sera of a non-immunised rabbit

were used as negative controls. The KE11R+ line was not included in these assays, due to the

difficulty in maintaining a sufficiently high level of rosetting. For parasite lines KE10R+ and

PC0053R+, rosetting was completely abolished by 10μg/ml of rabbit IgG to the relevant PfEMP1 NTS-

DBLα domain (Figure 6A and B), supporting the identification of KE10VAR_R1 (DC11) and

PC0053VAR_R1 (DC15) as rosette-mediating PfEMP1 types. In the KE08R+ line, rosettes were

abolished by 10μg/ml of IgG to the NTS-DBLα domain of KE08VAR_R1 (DC11), but there was no

effect on rosetting of IgG to KE08VAR_R2 (DC8) (Figure 6C), despite these antibodies recognising the

surface of KE08R+ infected erythrocytes (Figure 5G). This suggests that it is the DC11 variant

KE08VAR_R1 and not the DC8 variant KE08VAR_R2 that is the rosette-mediating adhesion molecule

in the KE08R+ parasite line.

Functional assays to detect PfEMP1 binding to uninfected erythrocytes and IgM

Previous studies have identified the NTS-DBLα domain as the erythrocyte-binding region of rosette-

mediating PfEMP1 variants (14, 15, 17, 32). To examine the erythrocyte binding function of the

candidate rosetting variants, NTS-DBLα and CIDR recombinant proteins were incubated with

uninfected erythrocytes and bound protein detected by flow cytometry. The NTS-DBLα domain of

the well-characterised rosetting variant IT4var60 (15, 17) was included as a positive control,

alongside negative controls which were NTS-DBLα domains from human brain endothelial cell

binding PfEMP1 variants that are expressed by parasite lines that are known to be non-rosetting

(49).

The NTS-DBLα domains of the candidate rosetting variants KE10VAR_R1 (DC11),

PC0053VAR_R1 (DC15), KE11VAR_R1 (DC15) and KE08VAR_R1 (DC11) all bound to uninfected

erythrocytes, confirming their identification as rosette-mediating variants (Figure 7A-F). Neither the

NTS-DBLα or CIDR domain from KE08VAR_R2 bound to erythrocytes, consistent with the above

suggestion that KE08VAR_R2 is not a rosette-mediating variant (Figure 7A and G). The CIDR domains

of KE08VAR_R1 and KE11VAR_R1 also did not bind to erythrocytes, consistent with previous data

showing NTS-DBLα to be the major erythrocyte-binding domain of rosette-mediating PfEMP1

variants (Figure 7A) (14, 32, 52).

For the IgM Fc-binding rosetting line KE10R+, the domains downstream of the head

structure were expressed as recombinant proteins and tested for human IgM binding by ELISA.

Previous work has identified either the ultimate DBLε or penultimate DBLζ domain as the IgM-

binding region of PfEMP1 (Figure 1) (37-39, 53). Consistent with this, DBLζ3 was identified as the

IgM-binding domain of KE10VAR_R1 (Figure 8).

The "DC8-like" variant TM180VAR1 mediates rosetting

The rosetting line TM180R+ expresses the group B/A *var* gene *tm180var1*, which lacks the rosetting

associated head structure (Figure 1) (17). To test the hypothesis that TM180R+ forms rosettes via a

variant surface antigen other than PfEMP1, TM180R+ was treated with low-dose trypsin as

described above. TM180R+ rosetting was abolished by 5 μg/ml of trypsin, suggestive of a PfEMP1-

mediated rosetting mechanism rather than an alternative RIFIN- or STEVOR-mediated mechanism

(Figure 9A). The TM180VAR1 NTS-DBLα recombinant protein was tested in the erythrocyte binding

flow cytometry assay, and showed unequivocal binding to uninfected erythrocytes, confirming its

role in rosetting (Figure 9B).

KE08R+ binds to ICAM-1 and EPCR as well as forming rosettes

The simultaneous expression and surface display of KE08VAR_R1 and KE08VAR_R2 shown above

raise the possibility that the KE08R+ parasite line might have an unusual adhesion phenotype.

KE08VAR_R2 contains CIDRα1.1 and DBLβ12 domains, that characteristically bind EPCR and ICAM-1,

respectively (30, 47). Static binding experiments showed that KE08R+ infected erythrocytes bound to

EPCR and ICAM-1 (Figure 10). Notably, significantly higher binding was seen when rosettes were

disrupted with rabbit IgG to KE08VAR_R1 prior to the binding assay, suggesting that once rosettes

have formed, they impede binding to other receptors in adhesion assays, as shown previously (54).

This suggests that, by expressing two *var* genes simultaneously, KE08R+ infected erythrocytes exhibit

an unusual "triple" virulence-associated adhesion phenotype - rosetting, ICAM-1 binding and EPCR-

binding.

Presence of rosetting-associated motifs and binding sites in the novel rosetting PfEMP1 variants

Having identified four new rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants, we examined a multiple sequence

alignment of known rosetting and non-rosetting NTS-DBLα amino acid sequences to determine if

previous motifs (4) and homology blocks (HB) (55) associated with high rosetting are found in the

new variants and are conserved across all rosetting variants.   The motif "MFKPNKDKVEG" that

contains HB219 (55) and the adjacent high rosetting "H3" motif (4) was seen in all of the newly

described variants and this sequence is over-represented in rosetting compared to non-rosetting

variants (green box, Figure 11). The other Normak et al (4) high rosetting motifs (H1 and H2) were

not found. Two other motifs in the central region of NTS-DBLα "YKDGSG" (red box, Figure 11) and

"TCKAPQDAN" (blue box, Figure 11) were found in the newly identified variants and are strongly

over-represented in the rosetting variants overall.

Discussion

Here we have identified four novel rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants, determined by *var* gene

profiling, infected erythrocyte surface expression of PfEMP1, rosette disruption with antibodies to

PfEMP1 and functional studies showing NTS-DBLα binding to uninfected erythrocytes. Two of the

variants identified, KE10VAR_R1 and KE08VAR_R1 contain DC11, giving them comparable PfEMP1

architecture to the previously described rosetting variants TM284VAR1 and IT4VAR60 (Figure 1) (15,

17, 37). The other two new rosetting variants, PC0053VAR_R1 and KE11VAR_R1, have a DC15 head

structure, not previously implicated in rosetting. Instead, DC15 PfEMP1 variants were thought to

bind EPCR via their CIDRα1.5 domain (31). However, CIDRα1.5 domains fall into two clear subtypes,

CIDRα1.5a and CIDRα1.5b (31). The DC15 variants identified here have an NTS-DBLα1.2 domain that

binds to erythrocytes (Figure 7), followed by CIDRα1.5b, a domain type which when investigated

previously only bound weakly to EPCR (31). Thus, rosetting DC15 variants may represent a

functionally distinct group, possibly characterised by the presence of CIDRα1.5b (Figure S4),

although further work is needed confirm this. Our study also showed that the NTS-DBLα2 domain of

a "DC8-like" variant encoded by *tm180var1* binds to uninfected erythrocytes, confirming this as a

rosette-mediating variant (17).

These findings combined with previous work show that at least four different group A and

B/A PfEMP1 types mediate rosetting: DC11, DC16, DC15 (with CIDRα1.5b) and DC8-like, with only

the first two containing the recognised rosetting-associated head structure of DBLα1.5/6/8-

CIDRβ/γ/δ. It remains unknown whether these different rosette-mediating PfEMP1 types have

functional significance, for example, binding to different host receptors on uninfected erythrocytes

(56), and this should be explored in future. Recent work also suggests that a group C PfEMP1 variant

PF3D7_0412900 can mediate rosetting by binding to Glycophorin B on uninfected erythrocytes (57).

Taken together, these data show that a more diverse set of PfEMP1 types mediates rosetting than

originally recognised. Further investigation will be needed to determine whether all PfEMP1 variants

containing these particular rosetting-associated DCs mediate rosetting. Our analysis of the *var* gene

repertoires of the thirteen Kenyan parasite lines showed that on average, there are three *var* genes

per *P. falciparum* genome encoding a DC11 and/or DC16 variant (Table 1). Many of these parasite

lines also have one or more *var* gene encoding a DC15 (CIDRα1.5b) and/or a DC8-like variant

containing a CIDRα1.8 domain rather than the CIDRα1.1 found in classical non-rosetting EPCR-

binding DC8 variants (31). If the DC types identified here always mediate rosetting, this would imply

that about one third of the group A and B/A *var* genes per *P. falciparum* isolate encode rosetting

variants, consistent with an important biological role for this phenotype. Exactly how rosetting

benefits the parasite is unclear, but an *in vivo* model showed that rosetting parasites reach a high

parasite burden more rapidly than isogenic non-rosetting parasite (58), which could increase

parasite fitness by enhancing transmission (59). More rapid expansion *in vivo* could be due to

rosetting enhancing erythrocyte invasion or reducing immune-mediated clearance, with current

experimental evidence favouring the latter hypothesis (60-62).

Previous work has demonstrated a strong association between rosetting and severe malaria

(2-5), with human IgM-binding rosetting isolates being particularly common in parasites collected

from African children with severe malaria (40, 41). Whether all rosetting phenotypes mediated by

the different DC types shown here have associations with severe malaria is unknown. Analyses of

parasite *var* gene transcription and clinical malaria severity have repeatedly shown that expression

of group A *var* genes and those encoding DC8 are associated with severe disease (21-25). As shown

here and previously (17, 37), the IgM-binding rosetting phenotype is mediated by DC11 or DC16

PfEMP1 variants, and a recent study found expression of DC11 was associated with severe malaria in

Mozambiquan children (63), while both DC16 and DC15 were associated with severe malaria in

malaria-naïve adults (64). Many prior studies on *var* gene transcription and malaria severity do not

include primer sets for DC11 (22, 24, 25), raising the possibility that the contribution of this IgM-

binding rosette-mediating variant type to severe malaria could be greater than currently

appreciated. Understanding how *var* gene transcription relates to parasite adhesion phenotype is

important in facilitating the interpretation of *var* gene profiling/disease severity studies and can help

identify the key adhesion phenotypes that should be targeted therapeutically to treat or prevent

severe disease.

One of the most underexplored topics in rosetting research is understanding how rosettes

sequester *in vivo*. Rosettes do not circulate in the peripheral blood and "rosette-like" aggregates of

infected and uninfected cells can be seen in the microvasculature in autopsy studies of cerebral

malaria patients (65-67).   Sequestration of rosetting infected erythrocytes may require a dual

binding phenotype with simultaneous binding to receptor(s) on vascular endothelium as well as on

erythrocytes (68, 69). However, host endothelial cell receptors for rosetting PfEMP1 variants remain

poorly characterised. One in vitro study found that the rosetting line IT4VAR09/R29R+, which

expresses a DC16-like variant (Figure 1), adhered to a human brain endothelial cell line via the

DBLγ15 domain, which interacted with an unidentified host heparan sulfate proteoglycan (69). It is

notable that all of the experimentally-proven rosetting variants, including the four new ones

described here, contain DBLγ as the third or fourth extracellular domain from the N-terminus, and

we hypothesize that binding of DBLγ to endothelial cell heparan sulfate is a common mode of

sequestration for rosetting infected erythrocytes. It is plausible that the diverse CIDRβ/γ/δ/α1

domains of rosetting variants may alos bind to additional host receptors, and this remains to be

explored.

Another feature of some rosette-mediating variants is the ability to bind serum proteins

such the human IgM-Fc and α2M, a phenomenon that clusters PfEMP1 to increase binding avidity

(37, 38) (39, 42). The IgM-Fc binding rosetting variants identified to date all contain a C-terminal

triplet of DBLε and DBLζ domains (17), but only one of these domains in each variant binds IgM (37-

39, 53). We show here that KE10R+ infected erythrocytes bind IgM via the DBLζ3 domain of

KE10VAR_R1, consistent with previous data. Interestingly, the KE08R+ and PC0053R+ parasite lines

did not bind IgM, despite their PfEMP1 variants containing a C-terminal DBLε/ζ domain triplet

(Figure 4). Therefore, the presence of a C-terminal DBLε/ζ domain triplet is not always predictive of

IgM binding in rosetting variants, although in the case of KE08R+, the expression of two different

PfEMP1 variants at the surface of infected erythrocytes might interfere with binding function at the

membrane-proximal part of PfEMP1.

The majority of evidence to date suggests that expression of PfEMP1 is mono-allelic (50, 70).

However, here we clearly demonstrate the simultaneous expression of two different group A

PfEMP1 variants on the surface of infected erythrocytes of parasite line KE08R+, one mediating

rosetting and the other binding to EPCR and ICAM-1. A similar finding was reported previously, with

a P. falciparum 3D7 parasite line expressing two PfEMP1 variants PFD1235w and PF11_0008,

conferring a dual binding phenotype to PECAM-1 and ICAM-1, which increased binding efficiency to

endothelial cells (51). Dual binding phenotypes are more commonly described in the context of

single PfEMP1 variants, with different domains within the same molecule binding different receptors

(30, 69). It seems likely that the ability to adhere to multiple receptors is advantageous to the

parasite by strengthening adhesion and thereby promoting sequestration. The multiple adhesion

phenotypes seen in both KE08R+ and the 3D7 line described above could be artefactual, for example

with loss of mono-allelic *var* gene transcription due to mutations introduced by the culture

adaptation process. Or they could represent genuine aspects of parasite biology that remain to be

explored. Existing bulk RNA *var* gene profiling studies in clinical isolates would not detect polygenic

var gene transcription, and single cell studies of clinical isolates will be needed to determine

whether multiple *var* genes are transcribed per infected erythrocyte in natural infections.

392   The increasing availability of *var* gene sequence data has revolutionised the ability to study

PfEMP1 diversity across multiple *P. falciparum* isolates (35). However, making functional sense of

these data relies on the ability to infer binding phenotype from *var* gene sequence. Excellent

progress has been made with PfEMP1 variants that bind to CD36, ICAM-1 and EPCR (29-31), and the

work described here is a step towards a more comprehensive understanding of rosette-mediating

PfEMP1 variants. Important unanswered questions for future work include how many different

PfEMP1 types mediate rosetting, which host receptor(s) are they binding and are they suitable

targets for interventions such as strain-transcending antibodies to treat or prevent severe malaria

(17, 71)?

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

Human erythrocytes and serum were obtained after informed written consent from donors at the

Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service (SNBTS), Edinburgh, UK. Ethical approval was granted

from the University of Edinburgh, School of Biological Sciences Ethical Review Panel (arowe002) and

the Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service (SNBTS) Ethics Review Board (19~6 and 22~11).

Parasite culture and rosette selection

The Kenyan parasite lines (Table 1) were originally derived from children with severe or

uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria between 2009 and 2010 in Kilifi, Kenya and were adapted to

culture previously (33) at the Wellcome-Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI) laboratories. The

parasite lines are available from the European Malaria Reagent Repository

(http://www.malariaresearch.eu/). Parasites were thawed and cultured in complete RPMI with 5%

pooled human serum and 0.25% albumax and human blood group O erythrocytes as described (71).

Isogenic pairs of high and low rosetting cultures were selected using density gradient methods (72).

Analysis of the var gene repertoires of the Kenyan parasite lines

The PfEMP1 domain boundaries and architecture for the Kenyan parasite lines were obtained from a

previous study (35). The PfEMP1 repertoire for each parasite line was plotted using R v4.2.2 (73) and

arranged based on the 5' ups group of each protein (A, B then C). The domains were colour-coded as

previously described (27). The PFKE10VAR1 domain architecture was generated as described in the

pfke10var1 sequence extension section below.

Assessment of rosette frequency

Rosette frequency was assessed by staining an aliquot of culture suspension at ~2% haematocrit

with ethidium bromide (final concentration 25 µg/ml) and examination of a wet preparation using

simultaneous fluorescence/bright field microscopy on a Leica DM 2000 fluorescent microscope with

a x40 objective. A rosette was defined as an infected erythrocyte binding at least two uninfected

erythrocytes, and the rosette frequency expressed as the proportion of infected erythrocytes

forming rosettes out of 200 infected erythrocytes counted.

IgM-binding - immunofluorescence and flow cytometry

Staining for human IgM was performed by incubating aliquots of live parasite culture in PBS/1%BSA

with 20µg/ml rabbit IgG against PfEMP1 NTS-DBLα for 45 mins then washing three times with PBS.

The washed cells were incubated in PBS/1% BSA containing 1/2500 of Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ stain

with 1/1000 Alexa Fluor™ 647-conjugated cross-absorbed goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, A-21244)

and 1/1000 Alexa Fluor™ 488-conjugated cross-adsorbed goat anti-human IgM (heavy chain

specific) (Invitrogen, A-21215) before washing as above and fixing in PBS/0.5% paraformaldehyde.

The samples were used to make thin smears for microscopy or read on a BD LSRFortessa instrument

(BD Biosciences) with data from least 500 mature parasitised erythrocytes collected per sample.

Data were analysed in FlowJo v.10 and the gating strategy is shown in Figure 2A. As a negative

control, parasites were grown as described above in complete RPMI but with 5% IgM-deficient

human serum, prepared by five consecutive 30 minute rounds of incubation with anti-human IgM

beads (Sigma-Aldrich A9935).

Trypsinisation of parasitised erythrocytes

Mature pigmented trophozoites were purified from 100µl packed cell volume of parasite culture at

>4% parasitaemia using magnetic purification as described (71). The purified parasites were washed

twice before being resuspended in 100µl of trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich T4799) in PBS at concentrations 0,

0.5, 1 and 5µg/ml, and incubated at 37°C for 5 mins. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation and the

pellet resuspended in 1 mg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich T9003) and incubated at

37°C for 5 mins. The cell pellet was washed and resuspended in complete binding media (RPMI 1640

without bicarbonate with 25 mM HEPES, 16mM glucose, 25µg/ml gentamicin, and 10% human

serum). O+ blood was added to each sample to a final parasitaemia of 20%, mixed, and incubated at

37°C for 1 hour. The cells were then pelleted before being resuspended by gentle pipetting and

rosette frequency assessed. Samples were assayed in duplicate and the mean of the two rosette

frequency counts taken.

DBLα sequence tag RT-PCR

RNA was extracted from parasite cultures when they at the mid-late ring/very early trophozoite

stage (~10-20 hours post invasion) by resuspending pelleted cells in 10x volumes of Trizol (Ambion

15596026). RNA was extracted, cleaned and concentrated using bromochloropropane (Sigma-

Aldrich B9673) and a Zymo Research RNA Clean & Concentrator Kit (R1014) according to the

manufacturer's instructions for Trizol clean-up with minor modifications. Samples were treated with

the TURBO DNase-Free Kit (Invitrogen AM1907) before cDNA was made from 8µl RNA using the

SuperScript ® III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen 18080-051) with random

hexamers. DBLα-tag 50 µl PCR reactions contained 2µl of cDNA, 20.75 µl of dH$_2$O, 4 µl of 25mM

MgCl$_2$, 8 µl of 1.25mM dNTPs (Promega U120A, U121A, U122A, U123A), 5 µl of αAF2 primer

(GCACG(A/ C)AGTTT(C*/T)GC) (46), 5 µl of αBR primer (GCCCATTC(G/C)TCGAACCA) (46), and 5 µl of

Amplitaq Gold buffer with 0.25 µl Amplitaq Gold (1.25 units) (Applied Biosystems 4311816). The

reactions were cycled as follows, 95°C for 5 mins, 95°C for 20 s, 42°C for 20 s, 60°C for 1

minute, 60°C for 10 mins, 4°C to hold. The PCR products were cleaned using a Nucleospin

Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel 740609.250) then cloned into the pCRII vector using a

TA Cloning Kit Dual Promoter pCRII Vector (Invitrogen K207020) at a vector to insert ration of 1:3.

TOP10F' One Shot Competent Cells (Invitrogen 440300) were transformed using 2µl of each ligation

reaction. 24 colonies were picked per ligation and grown overnight before plasmids were isolated

from the cultures using a GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific K0502). Plasmid

inserts were Sanger sequenced at the MRC Protein Phosphorylation and Ubiquitiylation Unit,

Dundee (https://dnaseq.co.uk/) using the forward primer VF1 (AGCTCGGATCCACTAGTA) and the

resulting amino-acid sequences were used in a BLAST search (74) against the *var* gene/PfEMP1

repertoire of the relevant *P. falciparum* line to identify the full-length gene sequence.

pfke10var1 sequence extension

For completion of the *pfke10var1* Exon 1 sequence (which was incomplete in the database), a

forward primer (11019F2 5' GATTTTGACACATC CAAAGTGT) was designed targeting the *pfke10var1*

DBLZ3 domain at approximately 200bp from the end of the partial sequence. This was paired with a

reverse primer (Exon2_3.3 5' CCATTTCTTCATATTCACTTTC) targeting a conserved region in Exon 2

(75). 50pmols of each primer was added to a reaction mix containing 1.25mM dNTPs, 1.5mM MgCl$_2$,

1x Platinum *Pfx* buffer (Invitrogen 11708), 1 unit of Platinum *Pfx* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen

11708), 0.2µg cDNA and made up to a final reaction volume of 50µl. The PCR conditions were initial

denaturation at 95°C for 5 mins followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 secs, annealing at

52°C for 15 secs and extension at 60°C for 3 mins. A final extension was performed at 60°C for 10

minutes and the amplicon was resolved in a 1% agarose gel at 70V for 50 minutes to reveal a 2kb

band. This was then gel purified using a purification kit (Macherey-Nagel 740609) following the

manufacturer's instructions. The purified PCR product was Sanger sequenced using both the forward

and reverse sequences listed above. From the newly generated sequence from the forward primer,

another primer sequence was designed (11019P1 5' GAGTGTAAAGTTGAGTCCCTTG) targeting a

region approximately 400bp downstream which was then used to further sequence the original 2kb

PCR product. The Sanger sequencing results from the three primers (11019F2, 11019P1 and Exon 2)

and the partial Exon 1 sequence were then aligned to generate the complete Exon 1 sequence. To

define the domain boundaries of the complete KE10VAR_R1 Exon 1 protein sequence, the sequence

was submitted to VarDom (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/VarDom-1.0/)(27) for

annotation. The subclasses were resolved by using the individual domains in a BLAST search (74)

against the Pf3k database (35) and identifying the subclass of the top hit based on full length

alignment.

Recombinant protein production

Codon optimised sequences for *E. coli* expression of PfEMP1 domains with a BamHI site at the 5' end

and an NheI site at the 3' end were obtained from Twist Biosciences (San Francisco, California) and

ligated into the expression vector modified pET15b (pET15b conv) (76). The DBLα domain sequences

included the N-terminal sequence. All proteins were synthesised with a His-tag at the N-terminal

end of the sequence. Ligation reactions were sequenced to confirm the correct insert sequence.

Origami B cells containing pRIG were transformed using the recombinant plasmids (76). Protein

expression was induced by the addition of IPTG and the bacteria harvested. Cell pellets were frozen

before resuspension in Bugbuster mastermix (Novagen 71456) and EDTA-free protease inhibitor

tablets (Roche 11836170001). Nickle-NTA or cobalt-NTA chromatography was used to purify the

polyhistidine (His)-tagged proteins. DBLα proteins were further purified by size exclusion

chromatography as described (17). For proteins KE10VAR_R1 DBLα, TM180VAR1 DBLα and

PC0053VAR_R1DBLα the his-tag was cleaved with TEV protease before use in experiments as

513 described (17, 48). Fractions containing protein were pooled and buffer exchanged into PBS using

514 PD-10 desalting columns (Sigma-Aldrich GE17-0851-01). The proteins were concentrated using

515 Vivaspin 20ml 10 kDa or 30 KDa MWCO concentrators (Merck Z614602-12EA) and stored in aliquots

516 at -70 °C.

517 ***Rabbit immunisations and IgG purification from antisera***

518 Rabbit immunisations were done by BioGenes GmBH (Berlin, Germany). Preimmune sera were

519 screened to identify rabbits that did not have anti-human erythrocyte antibodies by

520 immunofluorescence assay as described (17, 48). Selected rabbits were immunised with 250μg of

521 antigen in BioGenes proprietary adjuvant (92.8% mineral oil, 3.48% Tween-20, 3.48% Span-80 and

522 0.23% lipopolysaccharides from *Phormidium spp*) on day 1 followed by boosting with 100μg of

523 antigen on days 7, 14, 28, 49 with the final bleed on day 56. Total IgG was purified from the resulting

524 antisera using a Pierce protein A IgG purification kit (ThermoFisher Scientific 44667) according to the

525 manufacturer's instructions.

526 ***Flow cytometry with PfEMP1 antibodies***

527 Staining of mature parasitised erythrocytes for PfEMP1 with rabbit IgG was done as described (71).

528 Briefly, whole culture was incubated for 45 minutes with rabbit IgG against the relevant PfEMP1

529 domain at a final concentration of 20μg/ml before being washed three times with PBS. The cells

530 were then incubated in PBS/1% BSA containing 1/2500 of VybrantTM DyeCycle™ stain with 20μg/ml

531 ethidium bromide and 1/1000 Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated cross-adsorbed goat anti-rabbit IgG

532 (Invitrogen A-21244) for 30 minutes. Cells were washed twice in PBS and once in PBS 1% BSA before

533 being fixed in PBS/0.5% paraformaldehyde. The samples were read on a BD LSRFortessa instrument

534 (BD Biosciences) in duplicate with at data from least 500 mature parasitised erythrocytes collected

535 per sample. Data were analysed with FlowJo v.10.

536 ***Rosette disruption assays***

537 Parasite culture at ~2% haematocrit was stained with ethidium bromide at a final concentration of

538 25μg/ml for 2 mins at 37°C. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation, the supernatant removed, and

the pellet resuspend at 2% haematocrit in complete binding medium as described above. 2.5µl of

the relevant anti-PfEMP1 rabbit IgG at 100, 10 or 1µg/ml was added to 22.5µl aliquots of stained

culture to give final concentrations of 10, 1 and 0.1µg/ml. An equivalent volume of PBS was added to

the no antibody control. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour in the dark with gentle

resuspension of cells every 10 mins before assessment of rosette frequency. Statistical analyses and

graphs were done using GraphPad Prism (Version 10.2.2, GraphPad software, Inc).

Erythrocyte binding assays

The assay investigating the ability of recombinant PfEMP1 domains to bind to uninfected

erythrocytes was based on those described previously (52, 77). 10 µl of washed O+ erythrocytes

were re-suspended in 1ml PBS/1% BSA with 100µg/ml of recombinant protein and incubated on ice

for 1 hour then washed twice with 200 µl ice-cold PBS and resuspended in 23.75 µl of PBS/1% BSA.

1.25 µl of the relevant rabbit IgG to the recombinant protein PfEMP1 domain at 1 mg/ml (final

concentration 50 µg/ml) was added and incubated on ice for 45 mins before washing twice with

500µl PBS. The washed cell pellets were resuspended in 50µl of PBS/1%BSA containing 1:1000

dilution of Alexa Fluor™ 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen A-11034) and incubated for a further 45

mins on ice in the dark before being washed twice. The cells were resuspended in 200µl of PBS

containing 0.1% BSA and 0.01% sodium azide and transferred to a 96 well plate. The 96 well plates

were read on a BD LSRFortessa instrument (BD Biosciences). Data were analysed in FlowJo v.10. For

statistical analysis, median fluorescence intensity (MFI) values were log transformed and analysed by

one way ANOVA in GraphPad Prism v10.

IgM Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

An ELISA was used to test the interaction between IgM and KE10VAR_R1 domains as previously

described (37), with modifications. ELISA plates (Greiner 655061) were coated with 200 µl of 10

µg/ml human IgM (Sigma 18260) diluted in 0.1 M carbonate-bicarbonate buffer and incubated at 4°C

overnight. Control wells contained buffer but no IgM. After incubation, the coating buffer was

flicked off and blocking buffer (PBS/5% skimmed milk/0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) was added for 2 hours at

RT. The plate was washed three times with PBS. KE10VAR_R1 DBL proteins were suspended in

dilution buffer (PBS/1% skimmed milk/0.1% (v/v) Tween 20) and 150 µl of each DBL protein at four

5-fold dilutions (50 µg/ml, 10 µg/ml, 2 µg/ml, 0.4 µg/ml) were added to duplicate wells and

incubated overnight at 4°C. After incubation, the plate was washed three times with PBS. 50 µl of

anti-His HRP (1:3000) (Biolegend 652404) in dilution buffer was added to each well and incubated at

37°C for 1 hour. The plate was washed three times with PBS and twice with deionised water. 50 µl of

3,3′,5,5′-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) (Sigma, T2885) substrate was added to each well and

incubated at RT for 7 mins. The reaction was stopped using 0.18 M sulphuric acid and absorbance

read at 450nm. The mean absorbance readings from duplicate wells were plotted against DBL

protein concentration using R v4.2.2 (73).

Host receptor spot binding assays

3 µl spots of recombinant ICAM-1 (50 µg/ml; R&D 720-IC), EPCR (50 µg/ml; Sino Biologicals

13320-H02H), CD36 (25 µg/ml; R&D 1955-CD) and PBS, all in duplicate were spotted onto 60 mm

diameter bacteriological petri dishes (BD Falcon 351005) and incubated in a humidified chamber

overnight at 4°C. Next day the spots were aspirated and 2 ml of PBS/2% BSA blocking buffer was

added per dish and incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. During the blocking step, 1.5 ml aliquots of

KE08R+ culture suspension at 5-10% parasitemia (predominantly mature pigmented trophozoite

stage) and ~2% Ht were prepared by pre-incubation with or without 10 µg/ml of rabbit polyclonal

IgG to KE08VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα for 30 mins at 37°C to disrupt rosettes. The blocking solution was

removed, dishes washed with incomplete binding medium (IBM, as described above but without

serum) and 1.5 ml of the prepared culture suspension was added to each dish. Dishes were

incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, with gentle resuspension every 12 minutes. Unbound cells were

removed by at least five gentle washes with 4 ml of IBM per wash; bound cells were fixed with

PBS/2% glutaraldehyde for at least 30 mins and stained with 5% Giemsa for 10 mins. The number of

infected erythrocytes bound to each spot was counted with an inverted microscope using a 40X

objective. Technical replicates based on counts of duplicate spots or dishes were averaged to

determine a binding value for each receptor in each experiment, and statistical analysis was done on

the average binding values from n ≥ 3 independent experiments using one-way ANOVA with

Dunnett's multiple comparisons test or two-tailed paired t tests with Holm-Sidak multiple

comparisons test. Statistical analyses and graphs were done using GraphPad Prism (Version 10.2.2,

GraphPad software, Inc).

Multiple sequence alignment and motif search

Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the NTS-DBLα protein sequences were performed using

Clustal Omega, accessible through the European Bioinformatics Institute website

(https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/) using default parameters. The MSA output was analysed using

Jalview (78) to assess the presence of known rosetting motifs across rosetting and non-rosetting

variants. The sequence analysis included NTS-DBLα sequences from experimentally validated

PfEMP1 variants, comprising 12 rosetting (Table 2) and 12 non-rosetting (Table 3) variants.

Table 2: *var* genes encode rosetting PfEMP1 variants*.

S/N	*var* gene/alternative name	Group**	DBLα class	DC	Ref.
1	*pc0053var_r1/9197var15*	A	1.2	15	This study
2	*pfke11var_r1/pfke11.g448*	A	1.2	15	This study
3	*pfke08var_r1/9605.g502*	A	1.8	11	This study
4	*pfke10var_r1/11019var1*	A	1.8	11	This study
5	*IT4var60*	A	1.8	11	(15)
6	*TM284var1*	A	1.8	11	(17)
7	*IT4var09*	A	1.6	16	(14)
8	*PaloAltovarO*	A	1.6	16	(32)
9	*3D7PF13_0003*	A	1.5	16	(16)
10	*HB3var06*	A	1.5	16	(17)
11	*Muz12var1*	A	1.5	16	(17)
12	*TM180var1*	B	2	8	(17)

604 *Parasite lines expressing these variants have been examined microscopically and shown to form rosettes and
605 evidence that the PfEMP1 NTS-DBLa binds erythrocytes has been provided.
606 ** Rask et al (27) or Otto et al (35).
607
608

609 **Table 3: *var* genes encode non-rosetting PfEMP1 variants*.**

S/N	*var* gene	Group**	DC	Associated phenotype	Ref.
1	IT4var19	B	8	HBEC-binding***	(49)
2	3D7PFD0020c	A	8	HBEC-binding	(49)
3	pfke08var_r1/PFKE08.g501	B	8	ICAM-1, EPCR	this study
5	HB3var03	A	13	HBEC-binding	(49)
6	IT4var07	A	13	HBEC-binding	(49)
7	pc0053-C.g96/9197var5	B	17	HBEC-binding	Rowe lab unpublished
8	IT4var44	B	12	Clumping	Rowe lab unpublished
9	IT4var67	B	12	Clumping	Rowe lab unpublished
10	HB3var05	A	16	Unknown	Rowe lab unpublished
11	IT4var14	B	14	CD36- and ICAM-1 binding	(79)
12	IT4var16	B	14	CD36- and ICAM-1 binding	(80)

610 *Parasite lines expressing these variants have been examined microscopically and no rosettes were seen.
611 ** Rask et al (27) or Otto et al (35).
612 *** HBEC, human brain endothelial cell
613

CIDRα1.5 analysis methods

The amino acid sequences of the all PFKE CIDRα1.5 domains were extracted based on previously
published domain boundaries (35). The sequences were aligned with default parameters using
MUSCLE (v3.8.1551) (81). IQTREE (v2.0.5) was then used to generate a maximum likelihood tree of
the aligned sequences (82). The IQTREE command "*iqtree2 --safe -s cidra.aa.aligned.fasta -m*
JTT+F+I+I+R10 -alrt 1000" was used and the results were visualised and annotated using ggtree in R
(73, 83).
621

623 **Acknowledgements**

624 This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust grant numbers 084226 (senior fellowship to JAR),
625 204052/Z/16/Z (PhD studentship to FM), 218492/Z/19/Z (PhD studentship to RL and BRO) and
626 203077/Z/16/Z (core Award to the KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme). The work is
627 published with the permission of the Director of KEMRI.

630
631
632

References

1.   Lee WC, Russell B, Renia L. Evolving perspectives on rosetting in malaria. Trends Parasitol.
2022;38(10):882-9.

2.   Rowe A, Obeiro J, Newbold CI, Marsh K. *Plasmodium falciparum* rosetting is associated with
malaria severity in Kenya. Infect Immun. 1995;63(6):2323-6.

3.   Carlson J, Helmby H, Hill AV, Brewster D, Greenwood BM, Wahlgren M. Human cerebral
malaria: association with erythrocyte rosetting and lack of anti-rosetting antibodies. Lancet.
1990;336(8729):1457-60.

4.   Normark J, Nilsson D, Ribacke U, Winter G, Moll K, Wheelock CE, et al. PfEMP1-DBL1alpha
amino acid motifs in severe disease states of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2007;104(40):15835-40.

5.   Doumbo OK, Thera MA, Kone AK, Raza A, Tempest LJ, Lyke KE, et al. High levels of
Plasmodium falciparum rosetting in all clinical forms of severe malaria in African children. American
Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2009;81(6):987-93.

6.   Nash GB, Cooke BM, Carlson J, Wahlgren M. Rheological properties of rosettes formed by
red blood cells parasitized by *Plasmodium falciparum*. Br J Haematol. 1992;82(4):757-63.

7.   Kaul DK, Roth EFJ, Nagel RL, Howard RJ, Handunnetti SM. Rosetting of *Plasmodium
falciparum*-infected red blood cells with uninfected red blood cells enhances microvascular
obstruction under flow conditions. Blood. 1991;78(3):812-9.

8.   Chotivanich KT, Dondorp AM, White NJ, Peters K, Vreeken J, Kager PA, et al. The resistance
to physiological shear stresses of the erythrocytic rosettes formed by cells infected with *Plasmodium
falciparum*. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2000;94(3):219-26.

9.   Jotten AM, Moll K, Wahlgren M, Wixforth A, Westerhausen C. Blood group and size
dependent stability of *P. falciparum* infected red blood cell aggregates in capillaries.
Biomicrofluidics. 2020;14(2):024104.

10.   Rowe JA, Handel IG, Thera MA, Deans AM, Lyke KE, Koné A, et al. Blood group O protects
against severe Plasmodium falciparum malaria through the mechanism of reduced rosetting. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104(44):17471-6.

11.   Cockburn IA, Mackinnon MJ, O'Donnell A, Allen SJ, Moulds JM, Baisor M, et al. A human
complement receptor 1 polymorphism that reduces *Plasmodium falciparum* rosetting confers
protection against severe malaria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(1):272-7.

12.   Opi DH, Swann O, Macharia A, Uyoga S, Band G, Ndila CM, et al. Two complement receptor
one alleles have opposing associations with cerebral malaria and interact with α-thalassaemia. Elife.
2018;7.

13.   Treutiger CJ, Carlson J, Scholander C, Wahlgren M. The time course of cytoadhesion,
immunoglobulin binding, rosette formation, and serum-induced agglutination of *Plasmodium*
falciparum-infected erythrocytes. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1998;59(2):202-7.

14.   Rowe JA, Moulds JM, Newbold CI, Miller LH. *P. falciparum* rosetting mediated by a parasite-
variant erythrocyte membrane protein and complement-receptor 1. Nature. 1997;388(6639):292-5.

15.   Albrecht L, Moll K, Blomqvist K, Normark J, Chen Q, Wahlgren M. var gene transcription and
PfEMP1 expression in the rosetting and cytoadhesive *Plasmodium falciparum* clone FCR3S1.2. Malar
J. 2011;10:17.

16.   Vigan-Womas I, Guillotte M, Juillerat A, Vallieres C, Lewit-Bentley A, Tall A, et al. Allelic
diversity of the *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 entails variant-specific red
cell surface epitopes. PLoS One. 2011;6(1):e16544.

17.   Ghumra A, Semblat JP, Ataide R, Kifude C, Adams Y, Claessens A, et al. Induction of strain-
transcending antibodies against Group A PfEMP1 surface antigens from virulent malaria parasites.
PLoS Pathog. 2012;8(4):e1002665.

18.   Goel S, Palmkvist M, Moll K, Joannin N, Lara P, Akhouri RR, et al. RIFINs are adhesins
implicated in severe *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. Nature Medicine. 2015;21(4):314-7.

19.   Niang M, Bei AK, Madnani KG, Pelly S, Dankwa S, Kanjee U, et al. STEVOR is a *Plasmodium*
falciparum erythrocyte binding protein that mediates merozoite invasion and rosetting. Cell Host
Microbe. 2014;16(1):81-93.

20.   Gardner MJ, Hall N, Fung E, White O, Berriman M, Hyman RW, et al. Genome sequence of
the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Nature. 2002;419(6906):498-511.

21.   Kyriacou HM, Stone GN, Challis RJ, Raza A, Lyke KE, Thera MA, et al. Differential var gene
transcription in Plasmodium falciparum isolates from patients with cerebral malaria compared to
hyperparasitaemia. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology. 2006;150(2):211-8.

22.   Lavstsen T, Turner L, Saguti F, Magistrado P, Rask TS, Jespersen JS, et al. Plasmodium
falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 domain cassettes 8 and 13 are associated with severe
malaria in children. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(26):E1791-800.

23.   Warimwe GM, Fegan G, Musyoki JN, Newton CR, Opiyo M, Githinji G, et al. Prognostic
indicators of life-threatening malaria are associated with distinct parasite variant antigen profiles. Sci
Transl Med. 2012;4(129):129ra45.

24.   Bernabeu M, Danziger SA, Avril M, Vaz M, Babar PH, Brazier AJ, et al. Severe adult malaria is
associated with specific PfEMP1 adhesion types and high parasite biomass. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2016;113(23):E3270-9.

25.   Jespersen JS, Wang CW, Mkumbaye SI, Minja DT, Petersen B, Turner L, et al. Plasmodium

falciparum var genes expressed in children with severe malaria encode CIDRalpha1 domains. EMBO

Mol Med. 2016;8(8):839-50.

26.   Smith JD, Subramanian G, Gamain B, Baruch DI, Miller LH. Classification of adhesive domains

in the *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 family. Molecular and Biochemical

Parasitology. 2000;110(2):293-310.

27.   Rask TS, Hansen DA, Theander TG, Gorm Pedersen A, Lavstsen T. *Plasmodium falciparum*

erythrocyte membrane protein 1 diversity in seven genomes--divide and conquer. PLoS Comput Biol.

2010;6(9):e1000933.

28.   Smith JD, Rowe JA, Higgins MK, Lavstsen T. Malaria's deadly grip: cytoadhesion of

Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes. Cell Microbiol. 2013;15(12):1976-83.

29.   Hsieh FL, Turner L, Bolla JR, Robinson CV, Lavstsen T, Higgins MK. The structural basis for

CD36 binding by the malaria parasite. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12837.

30.   Lennartz F, Adams Y, Bengtsson A, Olsen RW, Turner L, Ndam NT, et al. Structure-Guided

Identification of a Family of Dual Receptor-Binding PfEMP1 that Is Associated with Cerebral Malaria.

Cell Host Microbe. 2017;21(3):403-14.

31.   Lau CK, Turner L, Jespersen JS, Lowe ED, Petersen B, Wang CW, et al. Structural conservation

despite huge sequence diversity allows EPCR binding by the PfEMP1 family implicated in severe

childhood malaria. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;17(1):118-29.

32.   Vigan-Womas I, Guillotte M, Le Scanf C, Igonet S, Petres S, Juillerat A, et al. An in vivo and in

vitro model of *Plasmodium falciparum* rosetting and autoagglutination mediated by varO, a group A

var gene encoding a frequent serotype. Infect Immun. 2008;76(12):5565-80.

33.   Tan J, Pieper K, Piccoli L, Abdi A, Perez MF, Geiger R, et al. A LAIR1 insertion generates

broadly reactive antibodies against malaria variant antigens. Nature. 2016;529(7584):105-9.

34.   Kioko M, Pance A, Mwangi S, Goulding D, Kemp A, Rono M, et al. Extracellular vesicles could

be a putative posttranscriptional regulatory mechanism that shapes intracellular RNA levels in

Plasmodium falciparum. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):6447.

35.   Otto TD, Assefa SA, Bohme U, Sanders MJ, Kwiatkowski D, Pf3k c, et al. Evolutionary analysis

of the most polymorphic gene family in falciparum malaria. Wellcome Open Res. 2019;4:193.

36.   Peters JM, Fowler EV, Krause DR, Cheng Q, Gatton ML. Differential changes in *Plasmodium*

falciparum var transcription during adaptation to culture. J Infect Dis. 2007;195(5):748-55.

37.   Ghumra A, Semblat JP, McIntosh RS, Raza A, Rasmussen IB, Braathen R, et al. Identification

of residues in the Cmu4 domain of polymeric IgM essential for interaction with *Plasmodium*

falciparum erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1). Journal of Immunology. 2008;181(3):1988-
2000.

38.   Stevenson L, Huda P, Jeppesen A, Laursen E, Rowe JA, Craig A, et al. Investigating the
function of F -specific binding of IgM to *Plasmodium falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1
mediating erythrocyte rosetting. Cell Microbiol. 2014.

39.   Akhouri RR, Goel S, Furusho H, Skoglund U, Wahlgren M. Architecture of Human IgM in
Complex with *P. falciparum* Erythrocyte Membrane Protein 1. Cell Rep. 2016;14(4):723-36.

40.   Heddini A, Pettersson F, Kai O, Shafi J, Obiero J, Chen Q, et al. Fresh isolates from children
with severe Plasmodium falciparum malaria bind to multiple receptors. Infect Immun.
2001;69(9):5849-56.

41.   Rowe JA, Shafi J, Kai OK, Marsh K, Raza A. Nonimmune IgM, but not IgG binds to the surface
of *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected erythrocytes and correlates with rosetting and severe malaria.
American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2002;66(6):692-9.

42.   Stevenson L, Laursen E, Cowan GJ, Bandoh B, Barfod L, Cavanagh DR, et al. α2-Macroglobulin
Can Crosslink Multiple *Plasmodium falciparum* Erythrocyte Membrane Protein 1 (PfEMP1) Molecules
and May Facilitate Adhesion of Parasitized Erythrocytes. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11(7):e1005022.

43.   Leech JH, Barnwell JW, Miller LH, Howard RJ. Identification of a strain-specific malarial
antigen exposed on the surface of *Plasmodium falciparum* infected erythrocytes. J Exp Med.
1984;159:1567-75.

44.   Kyes SA, Rowe JA, Kriek N, Newbold CI. Rifins: a second family of clonally variant proteins
expressed on the surface of red cells infected with *Plasmodium falciparum*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
1999;96(16):9333-8.

45.   Fernandez V, Hommel M, Chen Q, Hagblom P, Wahlgren M. Small, clonally variant antigens
expressed on the surface of the *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected erythrocyte are encoded by the rif
gene family and are the target of human immune responses. J Exp Med. 1999;190(10):1393-404.

46.   Bull PC, Berriman M, Kyes S, Quail MA, Hall N, Kortok MM, et al. *Plasmodium falciparum*
Variant Surface Antigen Expression Patterns during Malaria. PLoS Pathog. 2005;1(3):e26.

47.   Turner L, Lavstsen T, Berger SS, Wang CW, Petersen JE, Avril M, et al. Severe malaria is
associated with parasite binding to endothelial protein C receptor. Nature. 2013;498(7455):502-5.

48.   Ghumra A, Khunrae P, Ataide R, Raza A, Rogerson SJ, Higgins MK, et al. Immunisation with
recombinant PfEMP1 domains elicits functional rosette-inhibiting and phagocytosis-inducing
antibodies to *Plasmodium falciparum*. PLoS One. 2011;6(1):e16414.

49.   Claessens A, Adams Y, Ghumra A, Lindergard G, Buchan CC, Andisi C, et al. A subset of group
A-like *var* genes encodes the malaria parasite ligands for binding to human brain endothelial cells.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:E1772-81.

50.   Voss TS, Healer J, Marty AJ, Duffy MF, Thompson JK, Beeson JG, et al. A var gene promoter
controls allelic exclusion of virulence genes in Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Nature.
2006;439(7079):1004-8.

51.   Joergensen L, Bengtsson DC, Bengtsson A, Ronander E, Berger SS, Turner L, et al. Surface co-
expression of two different PfEMP1 antigens on single plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes
facilitates binding to ICAM1 and PECAM1. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6(9):e1001083.

52.   Vigan-Womas I, Guillotte M, Juillerat A, Hessel A, Raynal B, England P, et al. Structural basis
for the ABO blood-group dependence of *Plasmodium falciparum* rosetting. PLoS Pathog.
2012;8(7):e1002781.

53.   Ji C, Shen H, Su C, Li Y, Chen S, Sharp TH, et al. Plasmodium falciparum has evolved multiple
mechanisms to hijack human immunoglobulin M. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):2650.

54.   Handunnetti SM, Hasler TH, Howard RJ. *Plasmodium falciparum*-infected erythrocytes do
not adhere well to C32 melanoma cells or CD36 unless rosettes with uninfected erythrocytes are
first disrupted. Infect Immun. 1992;60(3):928-32.

55.   Rorick MM, Baskerville EB, Rask TS, Day KP, Pascual M. Identifying functional groups among
the diverse, recombining antigenic var genes of the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum from a
local community in Ghana. PLoS Comput Biol. 2018;14(6):e1006174.

56.   McQuaid F, Rowe JA. Rosetting revisited: a critical look at the evidence for host erythrocyte
receptors in Plasmodium falciparum rosetting. Parasitology. 2020;147(1):1-11.

57.   Deb B, Das A, Vilvadrinath R, Jangra A, Shukla MS, Akhouri RR, et al. Glycophorin B-PfEMP1
interaction mediates robust rosetting in Plasmodium falciparum. Int J Biol Macromol. 2024;262(Pt
1):129868.

58.   Le Scanf C, Vigan-Womas I, Contamin H, Guillotte M, Bischoff E, Mercereau-Puijalon O.
Rosetting is associated with increased Plasmodium falciparum in vivo multiplication rate in the
Saimiri sciureus monkey. Microbes Infect. 2008;10(4):447-51.

59.   Mackinnon MJ, Read AF. Genetic relationships between parasite virulence and transmission
in the rodent malaria *Plasmodium chabaudi*. Evolution. 1999;54:689-703.

60.   Clough B, Atilola FA, Pasvol G. The role of rosetting in the multiplication of *Plasmodium*
falciparum: rosette formation neither enhances nor targets parasite invasion into uninfected red
cells. Brit J Haematol. 1998;100(1):99-104.

61.   Deans AM, Rowe JA. Plasmodium falciparum: Rosettes do not protect merozoites from
invasion-inhibitory antibodies. Experimental Parasitol. 2006;112(4):269-73.

62.   Moll K, Palmkvist M, Ch'ng J, Kiwuwa MS, Wahlgren M. Evasion of Immunity to Plasmodium
falciparum: Rosettes of Blood Group A Impair Recognition of PfEMP1. PLoS One.
2015;10(12):e0145120.

63.   Magallon-Tejada A, Machevo S, Cistero P, Lavstsen T, Aide P, Rubio M, et al. Cytoadhesion to
gC1qR through Plasmodium falciparum Erythrocyte Membrane Protein 1 in Severe Malaria. PLoS
Pathog. 2016;12(11):e1006011.

64.   Wichers JS, Tonkin-Hill G, Thye T, Krumkamp R, Kreuels B, Strauss J, et al. Common virulence
gene expression in adult first-time infected malaria patients and severe cases. Elife. 2021;10.

65.   Dondorp AM, Pongponratn E, White NJ. Reduced microcirculatory flow in severe falciparum
malaria: pathophysiology and electron-microscopic pathology. Acta Trop. 2004;89(3):309-17.

66.   Barrera V, MacCormick IJC, Czanner G, Hiscott PS, White VA, Craig AG, et al. Neurovascular
sequestration in paediatric P. falciparum malaria is visible clinically in the retina. Elife. 2018;7.

67.   Ben Abderrahim S, Gharsallaoui S, Ben Daly A, Mosbahi A, Chaieb S, Nfikha Z, et al. Imported
malaria in adults: about a case of cerebral malaria. Egypt J Forensic Sci. 2022;12(1):19.

68.   Vogt AM, Barragan A, Chen Q, Kironde F, Spillmann D, Wahlgren M. Heparan sulfate on
endothelial cells mediates the binding of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes via the
DBL1alpha domain of PfEMP1. Blood. 2003;101(6):2405-11.

69.   Adams Y, Kuhnrae P, Higgins MK, Ghumra A, Rowe JA. Rosetting *Plasmodium falciparum*-
infected erythrocytes bind to human brain microvascular endothelial cells in vitro, demonstrating a
dual adhesion phenotype mediated by distinct *P. falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1
domains. Infect Immun. 2014;82(3):949-59.

70.   Scherf A, Hernandez-Rivas R, Buffet P, Bottius E, Benatar C, Pouvelle B, et al. Antigenic
variation in malaria: in situ switching, relaxed and mutually exclusive transcription of var genes
during intra-erythrocytic development in Plasmodium falciparum. EMBO J. 1998;17(18):5418-26.

71.   McLean FE, Azasi Y, Sutherland C, Toboh E, Ansong D, Agbenyega T, et al. Detection of
naturally acquired, strain-transcending antibodies against rosetting Plasmodium falciparum strains in
humans. Infect Immun. 2024:e0001524.

72.   Handunnetti SM, Gilladoga AD, van Schravendijk MR, Nakamura K, Aikawa M, Howard RJ.
Purification and in vitro selection of rosette-positive (R+) and rosette-negative (R-) phenotypes of
knob-positive *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1992;46(4):371-81.

73.   R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing; 2022.

74. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol
Biol. 1990;215(3):403-10.

75. Kraemer SM, Gupta L, Smith JD. New tools to identify var sequence tags and clone full-length
genes using type-specific primers to Duffy binding-like domains. Mol Biochem Parasitol.
2003;129(1):91-102.

76. Higgins MK. Overproduction, purification and crystallization of a chondroitin sulfate A-
binding DBL domain from a Plasmodium falciparum var2csa-encoded PfEMP1 protein. Acta
Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun. 2008;64(Pt 3):221-3.

77. Angeletti D, Sandalova T, Wahlgren M, Achour A. Binding of subdomains 1/2 of PfEMP1-
DBL1alpha to heparan sulfate or heparin mediates Plasmodium falciparum rosetting. PLoS One.
2015;10(3):e0118898.

78. Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DM, Clamp M, Barton GJ. Jalview Version 2--a multiple
sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(9):1189-91.

79. Janes JH, Wang CP, Levin-Edens E, Vigan-Womas I, Guillotte M, Melcher M, et al.
Investigating the Host Binding Signature on the Plasmodium falciparum PfEMP1 Protein Family. PLoS
Pathog. 2011;7(5):e1002032.

80. Avril M, Tripathi AK, Brazier AJ, Andisi C, Janes JH, Soma VL, et al. A restricted subset of var
genes mediates adherence of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes to brain endothelial
cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(26):E1782-90.

81. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(5):1792-7.

82. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(1):268-74.

83. Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT-Y. ggtree: an r package for visualization and
annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. Methods Ecol
Evol. 2017;8(1):28-36.

A

864
865 **B**

866
867
868
869 **Figure 1. Known rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants and associated domain cassettes.** A) Diagram
870 of the PfEMP1 domain architecture of known rosette-mediating variants (14-17). The rosetting-
871 associated head structure, DBLα1.5/6/8-CIDRβ/γ/δ, is boxed. Domains that bind erythrocytes (RBC)
872 or serum proteins (IgM and α2M) are indicated. **B)** Diagram of domain cassettes (DCs) [as described
873 by (27)] which are relevant to the rosetting PfEMP1 variants characterised previously.

874
875
876

877 **A**

878
879 **B**

880
881
Figure 2. Properties of the new Kenyan rosetting lines. A) IgM binding by KE10R+ infected
erythrocytes detected by flow cytometry. Forward and side scatter were used to gate on
erythrocytes and exclude debris (left panel) and KE10VAR_R1-expressing infected erythrocytes were
detected by staining with 20µg/ml of polyclonal rabbit IgG against NTS-DBLα followed by 1/1000
dilution of Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody and 1/2500 dilution
of Vybrant DyeCycle Violet (middle panel Q2). IgM staining of the Q2 cell population was detected
with 1/1000 dilution of an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-human IgM heavy chain antibody
(red). The negative control (blue) was parasites grown in IgM-depleted medium and stained with the
same antibodies. Results are representative of two independent experiments. B) Effect of low-dose
trypsinisation on rosetting. Purified infected erythrocytes were treated with 0.5, 1 or 5 µg/ml of
trypsin for 5 mins and the rosette frequency relative to a control sample with no added enzyme was
calculated. The mean and standard deviation from three independent experiments per parasite line
is shown. The rosette frequency of the untreated controls was between 46%-81% (KE10R+), 45%-
89% (PC0053R+), 16%-30% (KE11R+) and 61%-91% (KE08R+).

Figure 3. DBLα expression tag profiling of the new Kenyan rosetting lines. DBLα tag expression profiles showing the proportion each gene tag contributed to the overall expressed tag profile for each parasite population. *var* gene tags encoding candidate rosetting variants (hatched; percentage of all tags given in white box) are identified as predominant genes expressed in R+ parasites but not/rarely in isogenic R- parasites. Other large pie slices are identified by gene (g) number for each parasite line, and small slices are given letters, with the full information for each gene given in Supplementary Table 1. *var* gene groups are identified by colour. Slices labelled as "unknown" are from sequence tags that did not map back to an assembled *var* gene in that parasite's repertoire (34). The rosette frequency (RF) in the cycle in which the RNA was collected is shown for each parasite line. Results are representative of two independent experiments.

910
911
912 **Figure 4. Domain architecture of the candidate rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variants**. Domain

913 cassettes are as described by Rask et al (27). The alternative names for these genes in the Pf3k

914 database (34) are as follows: *pfke10var_r1/PX0203.g54; pc0053var_r1/PC0053-C.g687;*

915 *pfke11var_r1/ PFKE11.g448 ; pfke08var_r1/ PFKE08.g502; pfke08var_r2/ PFKE08.g501.*

942
Figure 5. Staining of the surface of live infected erythrocytes with PfEMP1 antibodies. Fluorescence
intensity histograms of rosetting (R+) and non-rosetting (R-) parasite lines stained with rabbit IgG
against rosetting PfEMP1 variants (red) or negative control rabbit IgG against the NTS-DBLα domain
of an irrelevant PfEMP1 variant (HB3VAR03, blue). A) parasite line KE10R+ with antibodies to
KE10VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα. B) parasite line KE10R- with antibodies to KE10VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα. C)
parasite line PC0053R+ with antibodies to PC0053VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα. D) parasite line PC0053R- with
antibodies to PC0053VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα. E) parasite line KE08R+ with antibodies to KE08VAR_R1
NTS-DBLα. F) parasite line KE08R- with antibodies to KE08VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα. G) parasite line
KE08R+ with antibodies to KE08VAR_R2 NTS-DBLα. H) parasite line KE08R- with antibodies to
KE08VAR_R2 NTS-DBLα. I) parasite line KE08R+ with antibodies to KE08VAR_R1 CIDR. J) parasite line
KE08R- with antibodies to KE08VAR_R1 CIDR. K) parasite line KE08R+ with antibodies to
KE08VAR_R3 CIDR. L) parasite line KE08R- with antibodies to KE08VAR_R2 CIDR. PfEMP1 was
detected with 20µg/ml rabbit IgG against PfEMP1 and 1/1000 dilution of Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated
goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody. Gates shows the percentage of mature infected
erythrocytes positive for the variant. The rosette frequency at the time of staining was 70-90% for
the R+ lines and <2% for the R- lines, and the percentage of positive staining infected erythrocytes

(APC-A+, top right corner of each histogram) closely matched the rosette frequency in each parasite

line. Results are representative of at least two experiments for each parasite line.

Figure 6. Rosette disruption with rabbit IgG raised against candidate rosetting PfEMP1 variants. Antibodies to the candidate rosette-mediating PfEMP1 variant NTS-DBLα domains were tested in rosette-disruption assays over a range of concentrations from 0.1-100 µg/mL. The rosette frequency in the presence of antibody is shown as the proportion of the control value with no added antibody. IgG from a non-immunised (NI) rabbit and from a rabbit immunised with the NTS-DBLα domain of a non-rosetting PfEMP1 variant HB3VAR03 were used as negative controls in all experiments. Data represent three independent experiments with the mean and standard deviation of the three experiments shown. A) parasite line KE10R+; the rosette frequency of the no antibody control ranged from 71%-87%. B) Parasite line PC0053R+; the rosette frequency of the no antibody control ranged from 49%-60%. C) Parasite line KE08R+; the rosette frequency of the no antibody control ranged from 69%-92%. Data were analyzed by two-tailed paired t tests corrected for multiple comparisons with the Holm-Sidak method ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$, **** $P<0.0001$.

979 **A**

981
Figure 7. Binding of PfEMP1 recombinant proteins to erythrocytes. A) Recombinant proteins were

incubated with uninfected erythrocytes and bound protein detected with specific antibodies to each

domain. The positive control was the NTS-DBLα domain of the well-characterised rosetting variant

IT4var60 (15, 17) and negative controls were the NTS-DBLα domains from human brain endothelial

cell binding PfEMP1 variants HB3VAR03 (PFHB3_130080100), IT4VAR07 (PFIT_060036700),

3D7_PFD0020c (PF3D7_0400400), PC0053-C.g96 and PC0053-C.g410 that are known to be non-

rosetting (reference 48) and JA Rowe unpublished data). The mean and standard deviation of the

Alexa Fluor 488 median fluorescence intensity from at least three independent experiments per

protein is indicated. Data were log transformed and analysed by one way ANOVA with Dunnett's

multiple comparisons test compared to the "Nil" (no added protein) control. **** P<0.0001. B-G)

Example Alexa Fluor 488 fluorescence intensity histograms of recombinant PfEMP1 domains bound

to erythrocytes and detected by indirect immunofluorescence (red) compared to a non-rosetting

NTS-DBLα domain negative control (blue). The domain tested is indicated below each histogram.

Figure 8. **The DBLζ3 domain of PFKE10VAR_R1 binds human IgM.** IgM was coated onto the wells of an ELISA plate and individual PfEMP1 recombinant protein domains were added at four different 5-fold dilutions. Wells with no IgM were included as negative controls. Binding was detected using an HRP-conjugated anti-his tag antibody with TMB substrate and the absorbance read at 450nm. The domains are shown from N- to C-terminal and the panels are colour-coded as previously described (27). One representative experiment is shown out of at least two performed for each domain.

1013

1014
1015
Figure 9. The NTS-DBLα domain of TM180VAR1 mediates rosetting. A) Effect of low dose

trypsinisation on TM180R⁺. Infected erythrocytes were treated with 0.5, 1 or 5 μg/ml of trypsin for 5

mins in each experiment and the rosette frequency relative to a control sample with no added

enzyme was calculated. Data represent two independent experiments with the mean indicated by

bar height and the standard deviation shown. The rosette frequency in the untreated controls were

72% and 61% respectively. B) Corrected Median Fluorescence Intensities (MFI) of uninfected

erythrocytes in binding experiments with recombinant TM180VAR1 NTS-DBLα and the negative

control HB3VAR03 NTS-DBLα compared to "Nil" no added protein control. Data are from six

independent experiments and the mean and standard deviation are shown. Significant differences

by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test compared to the "Nil" negative

control are indicated. ****P<0.0001.

Figure 10. Spot binding assay of KE08R+ to endothelial cell receptor proteins. Adhesion of KE08R+

infected erythrocytes to recombinant host receptor proteins was determined with (black circles) and

without (white circles) prior rosette disruption with antibodies to KE08VAR_R1 NTS-DBLα. The

number of infected erythrocytes bound per field was determined using an inverted microscope with

a 40X objective and six fields were counted per spot in each experiment. The difference in mean

binding values compared with the same receptor in the presence and absence of rosette from n=3

independent experiments was analyzed by two-tailed paired t tests corrected for multiple

comparisons with the Holm-Sidak method. *P< 0.05.

1056

1057

1058 **Figure 11. Rosetting motifs.** The NTS-DBLα amino acid sequences from known rosetting (upper) and

1059 non-rosetting (lower) sequences were aligned in Jalview and amino acid motifs over-represented in

1060 the rosetting variants are shown in green, red and blue boxes.

1061
1062
1063