1 **The dynamic crosstalk between cytoskeletal filaments regulates the**

2 **cytoplasmic mechanics across the apicobasal axis**

3 Dipanjan Ray[1], Deepak Kumar Sinha[1, *]

4 [1]School of Biological Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science,

5 Kolkata-700032, India

6 *Correspondence:

7 Deepak Kumar Sinha (bcdks@iacs.res.in)

8 School of Biological Sciences

9 Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science

10 Kolkata, 700032, India

11

14

15 **Abstract**

16 The cytoplasm exhibits viscoelastic properties, displaying both solid and liquid-like

17 behavior, and can actively regulate its mechanical attributes. The cytoskeleton is a

18 major regulator among the numerous factors influencing cytoplasmic mechanics. We

19 explore the interdependence of various cytoskeletal filaments and the impact of their

20 density on cytoplasmic viscoelasticity. The heterogeneous distribution of these

21 filaments give rise to polarised mechanical properties of the cytoplasm along the

22 apicobasal axis. Actin filament (F-actin) disassembly softens the basal cytoplasm

while stiffening the mid-cytoplasm due to increased vimentin filament assembly.

Disruption of microtubules (MT) or depletion of vimentin softens both the basal and

mid-cytoplasm. Cyto D treatment results in localised increase of vimentin assembly in

the mid cytoplasm which is dependent on the cytolinker plectin. Nocodazole treatment

has a negligible effect on F-actin distribution but significantly alters vimentin's spatial

arrangement. We demonstrate that Cyto D treatment upregulates vimentin expression

via ROS-mediated activation of NF-κB. This manuscript investigates how different

cytoskeletal filaments influence the rheological characteristics of various cytoplasmic

regions.

Introduction

The cytoplasm is a gel-like substance enclosed within the cell membrane that plays a

critical role in maintaining cellular structure and facilitating various biochemical

processes (Fels et al., 2009; Pollard, 1976; Trevors, 2011). It exhibits viscoelastic

properties, possessing both viscous and elastic characteristics that enable it to

function effectively (Moeendarbary et al., 2013). This unique nature allows the

cytoplasm to behave like a fluid under slow deformations, permitting the smooth

movement and distribution of organelles and molecules (Hu et al., 2017). Conversely,

it acts like an elastic solid when subjected to rapid stress (Munder et al., 2016). This

viscoelasticity is crucial for cellular processes such as motility (Bray, 2000), cell

division (Xie et al., 2022), and intracellular transport (Guigas et al., 2007), providing

the necessary resilience and flexibility. The viscoelastic properties of the cytosol are

influenced by several factors, including the composition and organization of the

cytoskeleton (Bausch et al., 1998), the concentration of cytoplasmic proteins (Ellis,

2001; Zimmerman & Minton, 1993), and the presence of cellular organelles (Najafi et

al., 2023). The cytoskeleton, consisting of actin, microtubules, and intermediate

filaments, provides structural support that enhances the cytoplasm's elasticity and its

capacity to withstand mechanical stress (Pegoraro et al., 2017). The density and

cross-linking of these filaments directly affect the cytoplasm's mechanical properties

(Pegoraro et al., 2017). The density and distribution of cytoskeletal filaments are

heterogeneous across the cytoplasm. For example, actin filaments typically form a

dense meshwork at the cell periphery, offering structural support and aiding in cell

shape maintenance (Svitkina, 2020). In contrast, in the cell interior, actin filaments may

organize into stress fibers, facilitating cell contraction and motility (Tojkander et al.,

2012). Similarly, microtubule filaments exhibit a dynamic distribution pattern

throughout the cytoplasm, extending from the microtubule organizing centre (MTOC)

towards the cell periphery (Sanchez & Feldman, 2017; Schuh & Ellenberg, 2007).

Vimentin, a type III intermediate filament protein, is essential for maintaining cellular

integrity, providing mechanical strength, and supporting cellular structures (Liu et al.,

2015). Vimentin filaments form a network that resists mechanical stress (Mendez et

al., 2014). These filaments create a dynamic network within the cytoplasm, enveloping

the nucleus and extending throughout the cell to provide structural support and

organization (Zhang et al., 2021). Studies have shown that de novo assembly of

vimentin filaments throughout the cytoplasm significantly contributes to the

cytoskeletal architecture (Sarria et al., 1990). These cytoskeletal components can

interact either directly or via cytoskeletal cross-linkers such as plectin (Wiche, 1998).

The interactions between these filaments create a dynamic, meshwork-like pattern

within the cytoplasm, which can reorganise in response to various internal and external

stimuli (Hohmann & Dehghani, 2019). The distribution of these cytoskeletal filaments

varies across different regions of a cell, leading to significant heterogeneity in the

cytoplasmic mechanical properties. Several studies have been conducted on the

mechanical properties of the cytoplasm, but most provide information about the overall

mechanical behaviour without offering much detail about the localised viscoelastic

properties of different cytoplasmic regions. The rheology of cytoskeletal filaments has

been extensively characterised in various in vitro setups; however, their behaviour

inside the cytoplasm is not well understood and may differ significantly.   Additionally,

how the density and crosstalk between the cytoskeletal filaments affect cytoplasmic

mechanics across the apicobasal axis remains elusive. This study reports that the

density of actin, microtubule, and vimentin filaments is anisotropic along the

apicobasal axis of NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. Using particle tracking microrheology (PTM),

we demonstrate that the mechanical properties of the cytoplasm along the apicobasal

axis are directly influenced by the density of these cytoskeletal filaments. This

manuscript establishes the role of individual cytoskeletal filaments in determining the

local mechanical properties of the basal and mid-cytoplasm. Furthermore, our findings

indicate that crosstalk between cytoskeletal components is crucial for maintaining the

mechanical integrity of the cytoplasm. Additionally, we report that the actin-disrupting

agent Cyto D enhances the expression of vimentin via ROS-dependent activation of

NF-κB.

Results

Mechanical properties of the cytoplasm are polarised along the apicobasal axis

The cytoplasm contains various cytoskeletal components, such as actin microfilaments, microtubules (MT) and intermediate filaments (IF) (Hohmann & Dehghani, 2019). However, their distribution and abundance are not uniform and vary along the apicobasal axis. **Figure 1A** illustrates the distribution of these three major cytoskeletal filaments: actin, microtubules, and vimentin (a type III intermediate filament). This heterogeneous distribution results in locally variable mechanical properties of the cytosol (Fletcher & Mullins, 2010). To evaluate these local mechanical properties, we analysed the trajectories (r(t)) of fluorescently labelled microspheres, each with a diameter of 200 nm, scattered throughout the cytoplasm (**Figure 1B**). The trajectories were derived by monitoring the random, spontaneous movement of the microsphere centroids across 1000 frames over a 20-second duration, corresponding to one frame every 20 milliseconds. These microspheres serve as probes for the local viscoelastic properties of the cytoplasm. The mean squared displacement (MSD) values obtained from the trajectories of these microspheres provide insight into the local viscoelastic properties of the cytoplasm. The time-scale-dependent compliance values derived from MSDs describe the local deformability of the cytoplasm in response to thermal forces $\sim k_BT/a$. Here, k_B, T, and a represent Boltzmann's constant, the absolute temperature of the medium, and the radius of the microsphere, respectively. These forces arise from the thermal fluctuations of the probe microspheres (Wirtz, 2009). **Figure 1C** compares MSDs and compliance of the most and least compliant cytoplasmic regions at ten different locations within a given cell. Consistent with previous findings (Tseng et al., 2002), our results suggest that local deformability varies significantly throughout the cytoplasm of a cell. It is presumed that

the average density of cytoskeletal filaments varies along the apicobasal axis of the

cytoplasm (**Figure 1A**). Therefore, we hypothesised that these variations in

cytoskeletal filaments contributed to the polarisation of the locally heterogeneous

mechanical properties of the cytoplasm along the apicobasal axis. To resolve the

mechanical properties along the apicobasal axis, regions within $0 < z < 2$ microns and

$z > 2$ microns are designated as the basal and mid / apical regions of the cytoplasm,

respectively (**Figure 1A**). We designated the first sharply focused DIC image of the

edge of the cell as the basal plane during particle tracking microrheology (PTM), while

when calculating the fractal dimension (FD) values, the basal plane was determined

based on the presence of well-focused actin stress fibres (Maninová & Vomastek,

2016). To minimise the ambiguity that arises from height variations between different

cells, we selected cells that had comparable heights (~4±1μm). A histogram of the cell

heights used for analysis is presented in **Figure S1-A**.   By analysing the trajectories

of at least 60 microspheres embedded in the basal or mid-cytoplasmic regions of at

least 10 different cells (**Figure S1-B** and **S1-C**), we determined the mechanical

properties of the cytoplasm along the apicobasal axis. **Figure 1D** compares the

average MSDs and compliance values of microspheres distributed in the mid and

basal cytoplasmic regions of at least 10 different cells. The mean MSDs indicated that

the mid cytoplasm demonstrated higher compliance compared to the basal cytoplasm.

The frequency-dependent viscoelastic moduli are algebraically derived from these

MSD values (Mason, 2000). The dynamic viscosity, η, is calculated by dividing the

viscous modulus, G″, by the corresponding angular frequency, ω. Given the frequency

dependence of mechanical properties, we analysed the elastic modulus (G') and

dynamic viscosity (η) at 1 Hz and 10 Hz frequencies to ensure uniformity in

comparisons across varying conditions. The elastic modulus (G') of the mid

cytoplasmic region was found to be significantly lower than that of the basal plane at

1Hz and 10Hz, indicating a softer cytoplasm at least 4-5 times (**Figure 1F-i, ii**). The

dynamic viscosity (η) of the mid cytoplasmic region remained at least 3 times lower

than the basal cytoplasmic region at both frequencies (**Figure 1F-iii, iv**).   In

conclusion, the mechanical properties of the cytoplasm exhibited polarity along the

apicobasal axis, leading to a decrease in both stiffness and viscosity with increasing

cell height. When an elastic material undergoes deformation, it stores energy that can

be recovered upon stress release. However, in viscoelastic materials like cytoplasm,

some of this energy is also dissipated due to microstructural rearrangement, which

manifests as the viscous flow of the material. This dissipation of energy is indicated by

the dimensionless quantity known as the loss tangent, tan δ, which is the ratio between

viscous (G'') and elastic modulus (G'). A higher value of tan δ indicates that a greater

proportion of energy associated with deformation is dissipated as heat. The tan δ also

delineates viscoelastic solids from liquids. At the crossover frequency (ω_c), where the

elastic modulus ($G'(\omega)$) intersects with the viscous modulus ($G''(\omega)$), the mechanical

properties of the material undergo a transition from a viscoelastic solid (tan δ < 1) to a

viscoelastic liquid (tan δ > 1). The reciprocal of ω_c, designated as the relaxation time

(Schwarzl, 1971), represents the timescale over which the stored elastic stresses

dissipate due to spontaneous microstructural rearrangements. Given that the loss

tangent (tan δ) of the basal and mid cytoplasm remained above 1 across the frequency

spectrum of 1-10Hz (**Figure 1E**), it can be inferred that the cytoplasm exhibits

mechanical characteristics of a viscoelastic liquid. Furthermore, the decay of tan δ with

frequency and an apparent plateau near 10 Hz indicate that the relaxation time for

microstructural rearrangements of the cytoplasm is slower than 0.1 sec for both the

basal and mid regions of the cytoplasm. Next, we examined the relative density of

actin (**Figure 1G-i**), microtubule (**Figure 1G-ii**), and vimentin (**Figure 1G-iii**) filaments

across the basal ($0 < z < 2\mu m$), mid ($2\mu m < z < 4\mu m$) and apical ($z > 4\mu m$) cytoplasmic

regions. To quantify the density of cytoskeletal filaments, we computed their fractal

dimension (FD) values. The representative binary images of actin, vimentin, and

microtubule filaments across the apicobasal axis used for the FD analysis are

presented in **Figure S1-D**. **Figure 1H** compares the FD values of different cytoskeletal

filaments across the apicobasal axis. The relative abundance of these filaments varies

significantly in the basal cytoplasm; however, in the mid and apical regions, the

differences in their densities are negligible. Actin and vimentin filaments were

predominantly concentrated in the basal cytoplasm, with their densities gradually

decreasing towards the mid and apical regions. In contrast, microtubule (MT) filaments

showed relatively higher concentration around the mid cytoplasmic region compared

to the basal and apical regions. Based on **Figure 1H**, we deduced that disrupting actin

filaments would lead to distinct changes in the mechanical properties of the basal and

mid cytoplasm. Specifically, we anticipated that both regions would become softer due

to actin disassembly.

Disruption of actin filaments has contrasting effects on the mechanical

properties of the basal and mid cytoplasm.

Next, using cytochalasin D (Cyto D), we investigated the impact of actin filament

disruption on the mechanical properties of the basal and mid cytoplasmic regions. Cyto

D inhibits the polymerization of actin by binding to its barbed ends (Brown & Spudich,

1981; Casella et al., 1981; Cooper, 1987; Masiello et al., 1982). **Figure 2A** compares

the mechanical compliance, $\Gamma(t)$ and the MSD, between control and Cyto D treated

cells. Disruption of actin filaments by Cyto D reversed the mechanical polarity of the

cytoplasm along the apicobasal axis by making the mid cytoplasm less compliant than

the basal cytoplasm (**Figure 2A-i**). Comparison with control cells reveals that Cyto D

treatment increased the compliance of the basal cytoplasm significantly (**Figure 2A-**

ii), while the mid cytoplasm became slightly stiffer (**Figure 2A-iii**). Considering that the

basal cytoplasm contained a higher concentration of actin filaments compared to the

mid cytoplasm, we anticipated a significantly larger increase in the compliance of the

basal plane than the mid cytoplasm due to actin disassembly. However, contrary to

the anticipation we observed that actin disassembly caused a relatively smaller

decrease of compliance in the mid cytoplasmic region (**Figure 2A-iii**). As anticipated,

the disassembly of actin led to greater fluidization of the basal cytoplasm compared to

the mid cytoplasm (**Figure S2-A**). Next, we examined the effect of actin disassembly

on the elastic modulus (G') and dynamic viscosity (η) of the basal and mid cytoplasm.

A study by Rotsch & Radmacher (2000) reported that disassembly of actin filaments

led to a significant decrease in the cell's elastic modulus, highlighting the critical role

of the actin network in maintaining cellular mechanical stability. Similar to it, we found

that the median elastic modulus (G') of the basal cytoplasm decreased significantly,

by 8-10 fold at both 1 Hz and 10 Hz frequencies, due to the disassembly of actin

filaments by cytochalasin D (**Figure 2B-i,ii**). Similarly, the dynamic viscosity (η) of the

basal cytoplasm decreased 7-9 fold as a result of Cyto D treatment (**Figure 2B-iii,iv**).

However, the effect of actin disruption on the mechanical properties (G' and η) of the

mid cytoplasm is contrary to expectations (**Figure 2C**). Cyto D treatment caused a

significant increase in both the elastic modulus (G') (**Figure 2C-i,ii**) and the dynamic

viscosity (η) (**Figure 2C-iii,iv**) in the mid cytoplasm. To understand the reason behind

the stiffening of the mid cytoplasm, we compared the density of actin filaments

between control and Cyto D treated cells. Cyto D treatment (2 µM, 1 hour) resulted in

the fragmentation of longer actin filaments into smaller segments (**Figure 2E-i**). Cyto

D mediated disassembly of actin caused significant loss of actin filaments in the basal,

mid and apical cytoplasm (**Figure 2D-i**). Therefore, we hypothesised that the observed

elevation in stiffness, as depicted in **Figure 2C**, despite the loss of actin filaments, was

due to the increased assembly of other cytoskeletal filaments within the mid

cytoplasmic region. The frequency dependence of the mid cytoplasm's loss tangent

further indicates that there are additional changes in the microstructure of the

cytoplasm other than the loss of actin filaments (**Figure S2-A** and **S2-C**). Next, we

explored the impact of actin disassembly on the density of microtubule and vimentin

filaments. Unlike actin filaments, Cyto D treatment did not have a significant effect on

the density of MT filaments (**Figure 2E-ii**). Analysis of FD values indicate that there

was no significant change in the density of MT filaments across the apicobasal axis

due to Cyto D treatment (**Figure 2D-ii**). Hence, MT filaments cannot be attributed to

the stiffening of the mid cytoplasm following Cyto D-induced disruption of actin

filaments. **Figure 1H** shows that the density of vimentin filaments was highest at the

basal cytoplasm and decreased gradually at the mid and apical cytoplasmic regions.

Treatment with Cyto D altered the distribution of vimentin filaments across the

apicobasal axis **(Figure 2D-iii)**. While the density of vimentin filaments showed no

significant changes in the basal and apical cytoplasm, the mid cytoplasm exhibited a

significant increase in the density of vimentin filaments in response to Cyto D

treatment. Confocal images clearly show the increased assembly of vimentin filaments

in the mid cytoplasm (**Figure 2E-iii**) of Cyto D treated cells. These observations

aligned with the observed increase in the elastic modulus (G') values of the mid

cytoplasm of Cyto D treated cells (**Figure 2C-i, ii**). Hence, we hypothesised that the

elevated assembly of vimentin filaments was responsible for the increased stiffness of

the mid cytoplasm in Cyto D treated cells.

Nocodazole-induced depolymerization of microtubules results in significant

softening of the cytoplasm across the apicobasal axis.

Microtubules, like actin filaments, form intricate networks within the cytoplasm;

however, their spatial arrangement significantly differs from that of actin filaments

along the apicobasal axis (**Figure 1G-ii**, **Figure 1H**). Consequently, microtubule

disassembly is expected to affect the mechanical properties of the cytoplasm

differently from the disruption of actin filaments. We investigated the impact of

microtubule disassembly on the mechanical properties of the basal and mid

cytoplasmic regions. We used nocodazole to disrupt microtubules, which acts by

interfering with their polymerisation (Xu et al., 2002). The effect of microtubule

disassembly on the compliance of these cytoplasmic regions differed notably from that

of actin filament perturbation. Unlike Cyto D treatment, the nocodazole treated cells

retained the viscoelastic polarity along the apicobasal axis. The mid cytoplasm of

nocodazole treated cells exhibited higher compliance compared to the basal

cytoplasm (**Figure 3A-i**). A comparison between **Figure 3A-i** and **Figure 1D**

demonstrated that the difference in compliance along the apicobasal axis increased

by approximately 7-fold at 1 Hz and 9-fold at 10 Hz due to microtubule disassembly

(**Figure S3-A**). The disassembly of microtubules led to a significant increase in

compliance in both the basal (**Figure 3A-ii**) and mid cytoplasmic (**Figure 3A-iii**)

regions compared to their counterparts in control cells. According to our observations,

microtubule disruption had a pronounced effect on the compliance of the mid-

cytoplasm compared to the basal cytoplasm. A 4 to 7 fold greater increase in

compliance in the mid cytoplasm (**Figure S3-B**) further validated the higher density of

microtubules within the mid cytoplasmic region compared to the basal region, as

shown in **Figure 1H**. Interestingly the disassembly of microtubule makes the viscosity

and G' of the cytoplasm more polarised along the apicobasal axis (**Figure S3-E**). In

the mid cytoplasm, the disassembly of microtubules caused an intriguing change in its

mechanical properties. The mid-cytoplasm became more fluid-like at 10 Hz, while it

exhibited more gel-like characteristics at lower frequencies (**Figure S3-C**). The

frequency dependence of the mid-cytoplasm's loss tangent further indicated that there

were additional changes in the cytoplasm's microstructure other than the loss of

microtubule (**Figure S3-D**). Next, we probed the effect of Nocodazole treatment on

the other cytoskeletal filaments. Nocodazole treatment had an insignificant effect on

the FD of the actin filaments (**Figure 3D**, **Figure 3E-i**). As expected nocodazole

treatment (10uM, 1hr) severely altered the MT organization throughout the apicobasal

axis by reducing the density of the MT filaments (**Figure 3D-ii**, **Figure 3E-ii**). The

global loss of MT filaments upon nocodazole treatment justified the reduction in

cytoplasmic stiffness along the entire apicobasal axis. The MT disassembly had a

pronounced impact on the vimentin filament network (**Figure 3-Diii, Figure 3E-iii**). We

observed a significant reduction of vimentin filaments across the cytoplasm (**Figure**

3D-iii). Most of the vimentin filaments were aggregated as thick strands localised in

the perinuclear region (**Figure 3D-iii**). Nocodazole treatment altered the relative

spatial distribution of vimentin filaments within the cell cytoplasm. In control cells, we

observed a decreasing vimentin filament density along the apicobasal axis (**Figure**

1H). Conversely, in nocodazole-treated cells, the vimentin filament density increased

along the apicobasal axis (**Figure 3D-iii**). It is difficult to separate the contribution of

individual cytoskeletal filaments in governing the mechanical behaviour of the

cytoplasm. The basal cytoplasm of the nocodazole treated cells provides ideal system

to explore the mechanical characteristics of actin filaments in cells. The global loss of

vimentin filaments upon MT disassembly further contributed to the softening of the

basal and mid cytoplasmic regions.

Localised vimentin assembly stiffens mid cytoplasm in cytochalasin D treated

cells

The disruption of F-actin resulted in the rise of cytoplasmic stiffness in the mid

cytoplasmic region, with a concurrent increase in the density of vimentin filaments

(**Figure 2A-i** and **Figure 2D-ii**). Therefore, we hypothesised that the elevated levels

of vimentin filaments were responsible for the stiffening of the mid cytoplasm. To test

this hypothesis, we knocked out vimentin using CRISPR-Cas9 and investigated the

effect of Cyto D treatment on the mechanical properties of the mid cytoplasm in

vimentin knockout (Vim$^{-/-}$) cells. **Figure S4-A** validates the knockdown of vimentin.

The Vim$^{-/-}$ cells maintained the viscoelastic polarity of the cytoplasm along the

apicobasal axis (**Figure 4A-i**). A comparison of **Figure 4A-i** and **Figure 1D**

demonstrates that the polarity of compliance along the apicobasal axis slightly

decreased at 1 Hz and increased at 10 Hz due to the reduction of vimentin filaments

(**Figure S4-B**). The knockout of vimentin filaments made the basal and mid cytoplasm

more compliant than the control cells (**Figure 4A-ii, iii**). Further, we observed a larger

softening of the basal cytoplasm (75%) than the mid-cytoplasm (52%) at 1Hz due to

vimentin knockout (**Figure S4-C**). However, at 10Hz, mid-cytoplasm had a larger

softening than the basal cytoplasm (**Figure S4-C**). Cyto D treatment of Vim$^{-/-}$ cells

increased the compliance of the basal cytoplasm by an additional 5-fold at 1 Hz and

4-fold at 10 Hz (**Figure S4-D**). In contrast to the behaviour observed in wild-type cells,

Vim$^{-/-}$ cells did not exhibit reduced compliance or increased stiffness in the mid-

cytoplasm when subjected to Cyto D treatment. This established the role of vimentin

in the stiffening of the mid-cytoplasm upon Cyto D treatment as depicted in **Figure 2C**.

As anticipated, vimentin knockout caused a larger G' reduction in the basal (55%)

cytoplasm than in the mid (11%) cytoplasm (**Figure 4B**, **Figure 4C** and **Figure S4-E**).

The knockout of vimentin increased the polarity of G' and η along the apicobasal axis

(**Figure S4-F**). Additionally, vimentin depletion increased the fluidity of the mid-

cytoplasm at 1Hz (**Figure S4-G**). At 1 Hz, Cyto D treatment to Vim$^{-/-}$ cells reduced the

loss tangent (tan δ) of both the basal and mid cytoplasm. However, at 10 Hz, Cyto D

treatment increased the tan δ of the basal cytoplasm and had a negligible impact on

the tan δ of the mid cytoplasm. (**Figure S4-H**).   Next, we investigated whether the

knockout of vimentin affected the spatial arrangement of actin and microtubule

filaments. The depletion of vimentin had an insignificant effect on the fractal dimension

(FD) of the actin filament and microtubule networks (**Figure 4D**). **Figure 4E** compares

the immunofluorescence images of the F-actin and microtubules at the basal, mid, and

apical cytoplasm in wild-type (WT) cells with Vim$^{-/-}$ cells. We observed no noticeable

changes in the spatial organisation of actin and microtubule filaments due to vimentin

knockout. The FD analysis (**Figure 4D**) supported this observation, suggesting that

the knockout of vimentin had an insignificant effect on actin and microtubule filament

networks in both the basal and mid-cytoplasm. Therefore, the altered mechanical

properties of Vim$^{-/-}$ cells can be attributed to the lack of vimentin filaments.

Plectin directs the localised assembly of vimentin filaments in the mid-

cytoplasm of cytochalasin D treated cells

The localised increase of vimentin filaments in Cyto D treated cells (**Figure 2D-iii** and

2E-iii) suggested that the formation of new filaments is controlled spatially within the

cytoplasm. To gain mechanistic insight into the localised growth of vimentin filaments

in the mid-cytoplasm, we investigated whether the existing vimentin molecules within

the filaments spatially reorganize or if the overexpression of vimentin in Cyto D-treated

cells forms new filaments localised in the mid-cytoplasm. While the levels of actin

(**Figure 5A**) and tubulin (**Figure 5B**) proteins were unaffected by Cyto D treatment,

the expression levels of vimentin showed a significant increase (**Figure 5C**).

Additionally, we observed a significant increase in the levels of vimentin mRNA due to

Cyto D treatment (**Figure 5D**). This indicates that the disassembly of actin by Cyto D

triggered the synthesis of additional vimentin proteins. Further, the newly formed

vimentin molecules preferentially assembled into filaments in the mid cytoplasm. We

speculated that crosslinkers such as plectin, which enable mechanosensitive

crosslinking of vimentin with F-actin (Marks et al., 2022) and microtubules (Svitkina et

al., 1996) in cells may control the localised growth of new vimentin filaments. To

validate our assumption, we depleted plectin using plectin siRNA (**Figure 5E**) and

investigated the effect of Cyto D treatment on the assembly of vimentin filaments in

transiently plectin-depleted cells. Treatment of Cyto D increased the levels of vimentin

protein (**Figure 5F**) and the mRNA (**Figure 5G**) in plectin-depleted cells. Unlike control

cells, the treatment of Cyto D led to an increase of vimentin density in both the basal

and mid cytoplasmic regions of plectin deficient cells (**Figure 5I and S5-D**). This

established the role of plectin in facilitating the localised assembly of vimentin in the

mid-cytoplasm after Cyto D treatment. Interestingly, we observed an increase in the

density of actin filaments in the form of stress fibers in the basal cytoplasm of plectin-

deficient cells (**Figure 5H and S5-D**). However, there was no observable change in

the total protein level of actin in plectin-depleted cells (**Figure S5-A**). This suggests

that the increase in actin filaments in the basal cytoplasm of plectin-depleted cells was

orchestrated by the spatial reorganisation of filamentous actin rather than an overall

increase in the level of total actin. Hence, we conclude that plectin helps maintain the

relative abundance of actin filaments and is essential for the localised increase in the

density of vimentin filaments in the mid-cytoplasmic region of Cyto D-treated cells. The

reorganisation of actin and vimentin filaments in plectin-depleted cells resulted in a

more gel-like consistency of the basal and mid cytoplasm at higher frequencies

(**Figure S5-B** and **S5-C**).

Cyto D induced assembly of vimentin is dependent on ROS-mediated activation

of NF-κB pathway

We observed that the disassembly of actin filaments by Cyto D caused an

overexpression of vimentin via transcriptional upregulation (**Figure 5D**). The newly

synthesized vimentin then assembled into filaments in the mid-cytoplasm (**Figure 2D-**

iii). The treatment of Cyto D produces ROS (Kustermans et al., 2005), which is known

to activate the transcription factor NF-κB (Morgan & Liu, 2011). Vimentin is a well

reported target of NF-κB (Marsigliante et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2019). Therefore, we

speculated that Cyto D treatment activated NF-κB in NIH 3T3 cells through the

generation of ROS, subsequently triggering the transcription of vimentin. Additionally,

it remained unclear whether the elevation of ROS alone was sufficient or if the

disassembly of actin filaments was also required to trigger the transcription and

assembly of vimentin. Using the transient expression of p65-EGFP in NIH 3T3 cells,

we established that Cyto D treatment activated NF-κB within 15 minutes (**Figure 6A-i**

and **6B-i**). We used an analogue of resveratrol, widely used as an NF-κB inhibitor, to

establish that Cyto D activated NF-κB via the canonical pathway (**Figure 6A-ii**).

Comparing the nuclear fraction of p65 in control cells with Cyto D-treated cells (**Figure**

6C) established that the Cyto D treatment activated the nuclear translocation of

endogenous p65. Further, in the presence of the NF-κB inhibitor, Cyto D treatment

failed to activate the transcription of vimentin (**Figure 6D-i**). This established that Cyto

D activated the transcription of vimentin through NF-κB. The negligible change in the

density of vimentin along the apicobasal axis post Cyto D treatment in cells pre-treated

with the NF-κB inhibitor (**Figure 6E**, **Figure S6-B**) suggests that the increased

filaments in the mid-cytoplasm arose from newly translated vimentin. Next, we

explored whether Cyto D treatment led to an increase in the levels of intracellular ROS

and further, whether the ROS was necessary to activate NF-κB. As expected, Cyto D

treatment increased the intracellular ROS in NIH 3T3 cells (**Figure 6F**), which could

be prevented by pre-treatment with vitamin C. Treatment of vitamin C alone neither

altered the levels of intracellular ROS (**Figure 6F**) nor did it activate nuclear

translocation of NF-κB (**Figure 6G-i and G-ii**). Vitamin C had a negligible effect on the

density of vimentin filaments (Figure **S6-C**). Following that, we examined whether

treatment of Cyto D in vitamin C pre-treated cells affected the transcription of vimentin.

Despite the disassembly of actin filaments, the presence of vitamin C prevented Cyto

D-mediated elevated expression of vimentin (**Figure 6H**). As expected, the presence

of vitamin C prevented Cyto D-mediated increased assembly of vimentin filaments in

the mid-cytoplasm (**Figure 6I**). This suggests that generation of ROS following the

disruption of actin filaments is essential for the upregulation of vimentin in Cyto D

treated cells. Interestingly, the elevation of ROS with H_2O_2 treatment led to a

transcriptional (**Figure 6J**), as well as a translational (**Figure S6-G**) upregulation of

vimentin (**Figure 6J**) and elevated density of the vimentin filaments across the

apicobasal axis of cytoplasm (**Figure 6K and Figure S6-H**).

Discussion

The components of the eukaryotic cytoskeleton, including actin, microtubules, and intermediate filaments, are interconnected, forming a complex meshwork of biopolymers (Hohmann & Dehghani, 2019). This cytoskeletal meshwork is one of the major regulators of the cytoplasmic mechanics (Fletcher & Mullins, 2010). The extent to which the assembly and distribution of different cytoskeletal components are interdependent, and their impact on the mechanical properties of the cytoplasm, is incompletely understood and requires further investigation. Vimentin can control the organisation of cortical actin in mitotic cells (Serres et al., 2020). Another study also emphasizes the importance of the interaction between vimentin filaments and cortical actin for normal cell division (Duarte et al., 2019). In our study, we observed that the organisation of actin and microtubule filaments in interphase fibroblasts remained unaffected by the knockout of vimentin (**Figure 4D** and **4E**). While the disassembly of actin filaments by Cyto D did not affect the density of microtubules, it resulted in an increased density of vimentin filaments in the mid-cytoplasm (**Figure 2D**), mediated by ROS-dependent activation of NF-κB. Cyto D treatment of cells pre-treated with either an NF-κB inhibitor (**Figure 6E-ii**) or a ROS quencher (**Figure 6I-ii**) prevented the increase in vimentin filaments in the mid-cytoplasm. This suggests that the disassembly of actin filaments alone does not trigger the assembly of vimentin filaments and requires ROS as an essential component for the activation of NF-kB. Nocodazole-induced disruption of microtubules did not affect the density of F-actin (**Figure 3D** and **3E-i**). However, intriguingly, nocodazole treatment reduced the density of vimentin filaments along the apicobasal axis (**Figure 3D** and **3E-iii**). Several reports suggest the importance of microtubules in the proper assembly and dynamics of

vimentin filaments (Hookway et al., 2015; Prahlad et al., 1998). Therefore, we

conclude that nocodazole-induced microtubule disruption impairs the proper assembly

of vimentin filaments in NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. In U2OS cells, depletion of vimentin leads

to an increase in stress fibers in the basal cytoplasm, along with a mild increase in

actin monomers (Jiu et al., 2017). In contrast, depletion of vimentin in NIH 3T3

fibroblasts had a negligible effect on the stress fibres (**Figure 4D** and **4E-i**). This

suggests that the interdependence of the relative distribution of different cytoskeletal

components is cell type-dependent. Disassembly of actin by Cyto D caused a localised

increase in vimentin filaments in the mid-cytoplasm (**Figure 2D** and **2E-iii**). We show

that the localised assembly of vimentin in Cyto D-treated cells is dependent on the

cytoskeletal crosslinker protein plectin (**Figure 5I** and **S5-D**), suggesting that plectin

regulates the relative abundance of different cytoskeletal components in NIH 3T3

fibroblasts.

The rheological properties of the cytoplasm, specifically its stiffness (G') and fluidity

(η), are crucial for understanding cellular mechanics. In this manuscript, we investigate

the polarity of these rheological properties along the apicobasal axis. Cells situated

within a physically anisotropic extracellular microenvironment may exhibit distinct

behaviors in their basal and apical regions. By examining the polarity of cytoplasmic

stiffness and viscosity, we gain insights into how the mechanical properties of the

cytoplasm influence cellular sensing and respond to extracellular mechanical cues in

a heterogeneous 2D microenvironment. Furthermore, polarity in cytoplasmic stiffness

is expected to influence the organization and migration of cells within tissues. Previous

research has shown that fibroblast cells exhibit variable cytoplasmic stiffness at the

leading and trailing edges during migration (Ford & Rajagopalan, 2018). Cortical F-

actin is reported to enhance the stiffness of the cell cortex (Patteson et al., 2019). The

distribution of actin, vimentin, and microtubules in different regions of the cytoplasm is

inhomogeneous at macroscopic scales (**Figure 1H**). Thus, we speculate that the

rheological properties of different cytoplasmic regions are differentially affected by

various cytoskeletal filaments. Our study demonstrates that the anisotropic

organisation of these cytoskeletal filaments results in polarised cytoplasmic stiffness

and viscosity along the apicobasal axis in fibroblast cells cultured on glass substrate.

The significantly higher relative abundance of actin and vimentin filaments in the basal

cytoplasm (**Figure 1H**) suggests that these filaments likely govern the rheological

properties of the basal cytoplasm. Cyto D treatment reduced the stiffness (**Figure 2B**)

and increased the fluidity of the basal cytoplasm (**Figure S2A**) without altering the

abundance of microtubules and vimentin (**Figure 2D**). Interestingly, depletion of

vimentin (**Figure S4-A**) did not affect the abundance of actin or microtubule filaments

in the basal cytoplasm (**Figure 4D**). Additionally, treatment with nocodazole, which

disrupted both vimentin and microtubules in the basal cytoplasm (**Figure 3D**),

significantly decreased the elastic modulus, G' of the basal cytoplasm. This confirms

that actin and vimentin filaments jointly dictate the rheological properties of the basal

cytoplasm.

Longer actin filaments are absent in the mid-cytoplasm (**Figure 1G-i**). While we

anticipate a substantial decrease in the density of actin filaments in the mid-cytoplasm

compared to the basal cytoplasm in control cells, the FD of actin in the mid-cytoplasm

(1.58) shows only a slight reduction compared to that in the basal cytoplasm (1.72).

This smaller decrease in FD (**Figure 1H**) can be attributed to the presence of denser

actin filaments in the cell cortex. Consequently, the FD values do not accurately reflect

the actual density of F-actin in the perinuclear mid-cytoplasmic region. Therefore, we

expect the rheological properties of the mid-cytoplasm to be less dependent on actin

filaments and more influenced by vimentin and microtubules due to the absence of

longer actin filaments. However, in Vim$^{-/-}$ cells, Cyto D treatment altered the rheological

properties of the mid-cytoplasm without affecting microtubules (**Figure 4B** and **4C**).

We speculate that these changes in the rheological properties of the mid-cytoplasm in

Vim$^{-/-}$ cells following Cyto D treatment are due to alterations in the packing fraction of

organelles (Gurel et al., 2014).

In cytoplasm where molecular motion of the cytoskeletal network is constrained by

strong crosslinking and high filler content, a decrease in loss tangent with increasing

frequency is expected, indicating reduced energy dissipation at higher frequencies. In

contrast, an increase in loss tangent with frequency suggests a complex, time-

dependent relaxation process, where energy dissipation mechanisms become more

active at shorter time scales (higher frequencies). We infer that this behavior reflects

the entanglement and weak crosslinking of cytoskeletal filaments. Since nocodazole

treatment results in the loss of microtubules and a significant reduction in vimentin

filaments while maintaining the density of actin filaments, we assume that the

mechanical properties of the basal cytoplasm in nocodazole-treated cells are primarily

governed by the actin filament network. The loss tangent of the basal cytoplasm in

these cells resembles that of control cells, supporting our assumption. However, the

loss tangent exhibits significantly different characteristics in the mid-cytoplasm (**Figure**

S3-C). Therefore, we speculate that in nocodazole-treated cells, the mechanical

properties of the mid-cytoplasm are not predominantly determined by cytoskeletal

filaments but rather by the distribution of organelles. The comparable increase in

compliance of the basal cytoplasm in control cells, as well as in vim$^{-/-}$ cells following

Cyto D treatment (**Figure S4-D**), suggests that vimentin and actin filaments

independently govern the mechanical characteristics of the basal cytoplasm.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

NIH 3T3 fibroblast cells were obtained from NCCS, Pune, India. The cells were cultured in DMEM media (Himedia, #AL007G) supplemented with 10% FBS (Himedia, #RM10434) and 5% penicillin-streptomycin (Himedia, A001A) antibiotic cocktail solution. The cells were consistently maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_2 inside a standard cell culture incubator. Throughout the experiments, the cells were continuously screened for mycoplasma contamination. For imaging purposes, cells were plated on confocal dishes coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma, #P8920) for maximum adherence, ensuring that cell density never exceeded 60% confluency.

Drug treatment

Cytochalasin D (Sigma, #C8273) was used at a concentration of 2 µM for 1 hour. Nocodazole (Merck, #487928) was used at a concentration of 10 µM for 1 hour. NF-κB inhibitor (CAY10512) was used at a concentration of 250 µM, 1 hour prior to the addition of cytochalasin D. Vitamin C (Merck, A92902) was used at a concentration of 200 µM for 1 hour before treating with cytochalasin D. Cells were incubated with two different concentrations (100 and 200 µM) of hydrogen peroxide (Merck, 107209) solution for 15 minutes. Thereafter, the H_2O_2-containing media was replaced with DMEM and the cells were further incubated for 40-60 minutes before carrying out experiments.

Transfection

The EGFP-p65 plasmid (Addgene plasmid #111190) was a gift from Johannes A. Schmid (Schmid et al., 2000). Transfection was performed with FuGENE HD (Promega, #E2311) transfection reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Cells were kept in serum-free DMEM for 12 hours prior to transfection. On the day of

transfection, the confluency of the cells was around 60-80%.

Knockdown of plectin

Knockdown of plectin was performed using predesigned Dicer-substrate siRNA

(DsiRNA) from IDT (# mm.Ri.Plec.13.1). IDT negative control DsiRNA (# 51-01-14-03)

was used as a control siRNA. siRNAs were transfected into cells using FuGENE HD

(Promega, #E2311) transfection reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Cells were incubated in siRNA-added media for a minimum of 72 hours to ensure

efficient depletion of plectin. The silencing of plectin was validated by western blot

analysis.

Knockout of vimentin using CRISPR-Cas9

The vimentin knockout (Vim$^{-/-}$) NIH 3T3 cell line was generated using the CRISPR-

Cas9 technique. The guidelines for performing CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knockout

were adopted from the work published by Ran et al., 2013.(Ran et al., 2013). The

guide RNAs for vimentin were designed using the web-based CHOPCHOP tool

(Montague et al., 2014). The following oligos were used to construct the dual-stranded

guide RNA (gRNA) for vimentin:

Top strand (5'-3')	**CACC**GCGGAGCCACCGAACATCCTG
Bottom strand (5'-3')	**AAAC**CAGGATGTTCGGTGGCTCCGC

The bold letters denote the 5' overhangs required for ligation into the Cas9 vector

plasmid. The remaining 21 nucleotides of the top strand constitute the actual sequence

of the gRNA: 5'-GCGGAGCCACCGAACATCCTG-3'. The top and bottom strands

were annealed together to create the dual-stranded gRNA, which was then cloned into

the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector plasmid (Addgene ID #62988). The 5'

overhangs of these oligos were phosphorylated using T4 Polynucleotide Kinase

(Thermo Scientific, #EK0031) to facilitate efficient ligation. The annealing of these two

oligos was performed in a thermocycler, gradually reducing the temperature from 95°C

to 25°C at a rate of 5°C per minute. Next, the gRNA construct was cloned into the

pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector for co-expression with Cas9. Prior to ligation, the

pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector was digested with BpiI restriction endonuclease

enzyme (Thermo Scientific, #ER1011) at 37°C for 1 hour. There are two BpiI restriction

sites in the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector. After digestion, a fragment between

these two sites is excised, and the gRNA construct is inserted and ligated into the

vector between these two sites. The ligation reaction was performed by incubating the

gRNA insert and the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector at a ratio of 7:1 in the

presence of T7 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs, # M0318S) enzyme at 25°C for 1

hour. After successful cloning of the gRNA into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector,

the entire construct was introduced into DH5α strain of competent bacteria using the

standard bacterial transformation protocol. Following transformation, the bacteria were

plated on Luria agar plates containing ampicillin. Bacteria which were successfully

transformed with pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 become resistant to ampicillin and gave

rise to individual colonies. A few of these colonies were picked for colony PCR to

confirm the presence of the cloned pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 vector. Details of the

primers used in the colony PCR is given below,

Forward primer (5'-3') (U6 forward primer)	GAGGGCCTATTTCCCATGATT

Reverse primer (5'-3') (Bottom strand of the gRNA construct)	AAACCAGGATGTTCGGTGGCTCCGC

Following colony PCR, positive colonies were then plated onto another ampicillin-

containing agar plate. One or two colonies from this plate were then picked up and

grown in a liquid Luria broth culture medium at 37°C for overnight. The cloned gRNA

+ pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 constructs were then isolated from the bacterial culture

using a plasmid isolation kit (Macherey-Nagel, #740588.50). Following isolation, the

cloned constructs were transfected into NIH 3T3 cells using FuGENE HD (Promega,

#E2311) transfection reagent. pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro V2.0 plasmid contains

puromycin resistance gene. Next, the transfected cells were subjected to puromycin

(Sigma, #P8833) antibiotic treatment to isolate puromycin-resistant single-cell

colonies. These colonies were then screened for the presence of vimentin using

immunostaining and dot blot methods. Colonies with no vimentin expression were

isolated and subsequently used in the later experiments.

Western blotting

For Western blot experiments, protein lysate of each sample was prepared from

approximately 3.2×10^6 cells seeded on a 60 mm cell culture dish. Cells were washed

three times with ice-cold PBS, then scraped and lysed in RIPA lysis buffer solution

supplemented with 1X protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Merck, PPC1010).

Protein concentrations were quantified using Bradford reagent. Blocking was

performed using 5% BSA solution (1 hour at room temperature) and the washes were

done using TBST buffer (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20). The antibodies

were diluted in 5% BSA solution. The following primary antibodies were used: vimentin

rabbit monoclonal antibody (dilution 1:1000; CST, #5741), β-tubulin rabbit polyclonal

antibody (dilution 1:1000, Abclonal, #AC008), β-actin mouse monoclonal antibody

(dilution 1:1000, SCBT, #sc-47778), GAPDH mouse monoclonal antibody (dilution

1:2000, Abclonal, #AC002), NF-kB p65 (L8F6) mouse monoclonal antibody (dilution

1:1000; CST, #6956), plectin mouse monoclonal antibody (dilution 1:500; SCBT, #sc-

33469), histone H3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (dilution 1:1000; Invitrogen, # PA5-

16183). The following horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-tagged secondary antibodies

were used: anti-mouse secondary antibody (dilution 1:10000; Invitrogen, #31430) and

anti-rabbit secondary antibody (dilution 1:10000; Invitrogen, #31460).

Chemiluminescent signals from were developed by incubating the blots in a substrate

solution containing luminol, coumaric acid, and H_2O_2, prepared in 1.5M Tris-HCl buffer

(pH 8.8), for 30-40 seconds. The band intensities were quantified using Fiji. The

intensity ratios of the total protein to the loading control GAPDH were calculated.

Protein isolation from nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions

Cells were harvested using a scraper after three washes with ice-cold PBS. The cell

pellet was then resuspended in approximately 500 μl of cytoplasmic extraction buffer,

supplemented with 0.05% NP-40 detergent. The samples were vortexed frequently to

separate the nuclear fraction from the cytoplasmic fraction. Subsequently, the samples

were centrifuged at 10,000 × g and 4°C for 15 minutes, and the supernatant,

containing the cytoplasmic fraction, was collected. The remaining pellet was

resuspended in 400 μl of nuclear extraction buffer and vortexed every few minutes for

40 minutes to lyse the nuclear membrane. Finally, the samples were centrifuged at

15,000 × g and 4°C for 15 minutes, and the supernatant, containing the nuclear protein

fraction, was collected.

Cell fixation and immunostaining

For immunostaining, cells were seeded on glass-bottom confocal dishes coated with

either poly-L-lysine or fibronectin solution for optimal adhesion. The confluency of the

seeded cells was kept below 70%. Following various drug treatments, cells were fixed

in 4% PFA solution and permeabilized using 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Blocking was

performed using 2% BSA solution in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were

incubated with the following primary antibody solutions for 16 hours at 4°C: vimentin

anti-rabbit monoclonal antibody (dilution 1:100; Cell Signalling Technology, #5741) and

β-tubulin rabbit polyclonal antibody (dilution 1:200; Abclonal, #AC008). Alexa Fluor

488 and 594-tagged anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (CST) were used at a 1:500

dilution. F-actin was visualised using Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated phalloidin (dilution

1:200, Invitrogen, # A12379).

qPCR analysis

The total RNA from NIH 3T3 cells was extracted using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen,

#74104). cDNA was synthesised from the RNA samples using Verso cDNA synthesis

kit (Thermo Scientific, #AB1453A). qPCR was performed using Genious 2X SYBR

Green Fast qPCR Mix (Abclonal, #RK21204) in an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast

Real-Time PCR system. The sequences of the primers used for the qPCR are

provided in the table below,

Vimentin	Forward (5'-3')	ATGCGGCTGCGAGAGAAATTG
	Reverse (5'-3')	TCAAGACGTGCCAGAGAAGCA
GAPDH	Forward (5'-3')	CAGGAGAGTGTTTCCTCGTCC
	Reverse (5'-3')	ATGAAGGGGTCGTTGATGGC

GAPDH was used as the housekeeping gene to normalise the expression levels of

vimentin.

Measurement of ROS

Intracellular ROS levels under various conditions were quantified using DCFDA

(Merck) solution. Cells were seeded on a 96-well plate at a density of approximately

25000 cells per well and allowed to adhere properly for overnight. Thereafter, the cells

in each well were incubated with 100µL of 20 µM DCFDA solution prepared in serum-

free DMEM media for 1 hour. Next, the DCFDA solution was washed out, and the cells

were treated with the desired drug solutions (prepared in DMEM) for the appropriate

duration. Subsequently, the fluorescence intensity of DCFDA was measured using a

fluorescence plate reader (Ex/Em = 485/535 nm). The fluorescent intensity correlates

with ROS levels.

Microscopy and image analysis

Immunofluorescent images of actin, vimentin, and microtubule filaments were

captured using a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope with a 63X (NA 1.4) oil

immersion objective (Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Z-stack images were captured with an

interval of 0.45 µm between each slice. To minimize cell-to-cell variation, cells with

similar heights were selected, maintaining a consistent number of z-slices (8-10) for

each cell. Fluorescent images of cells expressing EGFP-p65 were captured using a

Zeiss AXIO Observer.Z1 wide-field epifluorescence microscope with a 63X oil

immersion objective. Live cell imaging was performed on a heated microscope stage

(+37°C) in presence of 5% CO_2. Images were acquired using either Zen 2.0 or

µManager software. Calculation of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic (N/C) intensity ratios of

EGFP-p65 was carried out by selecting regions of interest (ROIs) from cytoplasmic

and nuclear regions, and their mean intensity values were calculated.

Fractal dimension analysis

Fractal dimension (FD) values of the actin, vimentin, and microtubule filaments were

calculated using the FracLac (v2.5) plugin (Karperien, 1999) for ImageJ. 16-bit

confocal images were converted into 8-bit binary images. Thresholding was performed

using the Otsu method. During thresholding, efforts were made to ensure maximum

visibility of cytoskeletal filaments while minimizing background noise.

Particle tracking microrheology (PTM)

The entire procedure of PTM was conducted as described in the standard protocol

(Tseng et al., 2002; Wirtz, 2009). Fluorescently tagged carboxylate modified

microspheres (Invitrogen, #F8811) having diameter of 200nm were ballistically shot

inside the cell using a gene gun system (Bio-Rad, Helios® Gene gun system). After

the shooting, the cells were washed with 1X PBS solution to wash away unbound

beads and detached cells. The cells were then kept in a cell culture incubator under

standard conditions (37°C, 5% CO_2) for 16 hours in serum-free DMEM medium to

allow healing from the injuries caused by the shooting of the microspheres. The cells

containing fluorescent microspheres were subsequently positioned on the microscope

stage at 37°C. The movements of the microspheres were recorded in the form of

movies using a high-speed Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4.0 CMOS camera and µManager

software (A. Edelstein et al., 2010; A. D. Edelstein et al., 2014). Each movie comprised

1000 frames, spanning a total duration of 20 seconds, with an exposure time of 20

milliseconds. The trajectories of the microspheres were extracted by tracking their

movements using the MOSAICsuite plugin (Sbalzarini & Koumoutsakos, 2005) of Fiji.

At least 5-10 beads from each cell were tracked to obtain the rheological properties of

the cytoplasm. The Mean Squared Displacement (MSD) and compliance (Γ) values

were computed from these trajectories using a MATLAB script. MSDs having slopes

greater than 1 were filtered out. While the movement of the microspheres was

recorded for 1000 frames, only the first 250 frames were considered for calculating the

MSDs to avoid potential errors from later data points in the trajectories. Subsequently,

the complex shear modulus ($G^*(\omega)$), viscous modulus (G''), and elastic modulus (G')

were derived from the MSDs using another MATLAB script.

Funding

DKS was supported by grants received from Department of Science and Technology

(DST), Department of Biotechnology (DBT), and IACS. DR was supported by

fellowship received from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR).

703 ***Author contributions***

DKS: Conceptualisation, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing –

original draft, Writing – reviewing and editing. **DR**: Conceptualisation, performed

experiments, data analysis, data interpretation, wrote MATLAB codes, Writing -

reviewing and editing, preparation of figures.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

References

1. Bausch, A. R., Ziemann, F., Boulbitch, A. A., Jacobson, K., & Sackmann, E. (1998). Local
measurements of viscoelastic parameters of adherent cell surfaces by magnetic bead
microrheometry. *Biophysical Journal*, *75*(4), 2038–2049.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(98)77646-5

2. Bray, D. (2000). *Cell Movements: From Molecules to Motility* (2nd ed.). Garland
Science. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203833582

3. Brown, S. S., & Spudich, J. A. (1981). Mechanism of action of cytochalasin: Evidence
that it binds to actin filament ends. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *88*(3), 487–491.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.88.3.487

4. Casella, J. F., Flanagan, M. D., & Lin, S. (1981). Cytochalasin D inhibits actin
polymerization and induces depolymerization of actin filaments formed during
platelet shape change. *Nature*, *293*(5830), 302–305.
https://doi.org/10.1038/293302a0

5. Cooper, J. A. (1987). Effects of cytochalasin and phalloidin on actin. *The Journal of Cell*
Biology, *105*(4), 1473–1478. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.105.4.1473

6. Duarte, S., Viedma-Poyatos, Á., Navarro-Carrasco, E., Martínez, A. E., Pajares, M. A., &
Pérez-Sala, D. (2019). Vimentin filaments interact with the actin cortex in mitosis
allowing normal cell division. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 4200.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12029-4

7. Edelstein, A., Amodaj, N., Hoover, K., Vale, R., & Stuurman, N. (2010). Computer
Control of Microscopes Using µManager. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, *92*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb1420s92

8. Edelstein, A. D., Tsuchida, M. A., Amodaj, N., Pinkard, H., Vale, R. D., & Stuurman, N.
(2014). Advanced methods of microscope control using µManager software. *Journal*
of Biological Methods, *1*(2), e10. https://doi.org/10.14440/jbm.2014.36

9. Ellis, R. J. (2001). Macromolecular crowding: Obvious but underappreciated. *Trends in*
Biochemical Sciences, *26*(10), 597–604. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0968-
0004(01)01938-7

10. Fels, J., Orlov, S. N., & Grygorczyk, R. (2009). The hydrogel nature of mammalian
cytoplasm contributes to osmosensing and extracellular pH sensing. *Biophysical*
Journal, *96*(10), 4276–4285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2009.02.038

11. Fletcher, D. A., & Mullins, R. D. (2010). Cell mechanics and the cytoskeleton. *Nature*,
463(7280), 485–492. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08908

12. Ford, A. J., & Rajagopalan, P. (2018). Measuring Cytoplasmic Stiffness of Fibroblasts as
a Function of Location and Substrate Rigidity Using Atomic Force Microscopy. *ACS*
Biomaterials Science & Engineering, *4*(12), 3974–3982.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.8b01019

13. Guigas, G., Kalla, C., & Weiss, M. (2007). Probing the nanoscale viscoelasticity of
intracellular fluids in living cells. *Biophysical Journal*, *93*(1), 316–323.
https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.099267

14. Gurel, P. S., Hatch, A. L., & Higgs, H. N. (2014). Connecting the Cytoskeleton to the
Endoplasmic Reticulum and Golgi. *Current Biology*, *24*(14), R660–R672.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.05.033

15. Hohmann, T., & Dehghani, F. (2019). The Cytoskeleton-A Complex Interacting
Meshwork. *Cells*, *8*(4), 362. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8040362

16. Hookway, C., Ding, L., Davidson, M. W., Rappoport, J. Z., Danuser, G., & Gelfand, V. I.
(2015). Microtubule-dependent transport and dynamics of vimentin intermediate
filaments. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *26*(9), 1675–1686.
https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-09-1398

17. Hu, J., Jafari, S., Han, Y., Grodzinsky, A. J., Cai, S., & Guo, M. (2017). Size- and speed-
dependent mechanical behavior in living mammalian cytoplasm. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *114*(36), 9529–9534.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702488114

18. Jiu, Y., Peränen, J., Schaible, N., Cheng, F., Eriksson, J. E., Krishnan, R., & Lappalainen, P.

(2017). Vimentin intermediate filaments control actin stress fiber assembly through

GEF-H1 and RhoA. *Journal of Cell Science*, *130*(5), 892–902.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.196881

19. Karperien, A. (1999). *FracLac for ImageJ*.

https://imagej.net/ij/plugins/fraclac/FLHelp/Introduction.htm

20. Kustermans, G., El Benna, J., Piette, J., & Legrand-Poels, S. (2005). Perturbation of actin

dynamics induces NF-kappaB activation in myelomonocytic cells through an NADPH

oxidase-dependent pathway. *The Biochemical Journal*, *387*(Pt 2), 531–540.

https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20041318

21. Liu, C.-Y., Lin, H.-H., Tang, M.-J., & Wang, Y.-K. (2015). Vimentin contributes to epithelial-

mesenchymal transition cancer cell mechanics by mediating cytoskeletal organization

and focal adhesion maturation. *Oncotarget*, *6*(18), 15966–15983.

https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.3862

22. Maninová, M., & Vomastek, T. (2016). Dorsal stress fibers, transverse actin arcs, and

perinuclear actin fibers form an interconnected network that induces nuclear

movement in polarizing fibroblasts. *The FEBS Journal*, *283*(20), 3676–3693.

https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13836

23. Marks, P. C., Hewitt, B. R., Baird, M. A., Wiche, G., & Petrie, R. J. (2022). Plectin linkages

are mechanosensitive and required for the nuclear piston mechanism of three-

dimensional cell migration. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *33*(12), ar104.

https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E21-08-0414

24. Marsigliante, S., Vetrugno, C., & Muscella, A. (2016). Paracrine CCL20 loop induces

epithelial-mesenchymal transition in breast epithelial cells. *Molecular Carcinogenesis*,

55(7), 1175–1186. https://doi.org/10.1002/mc.22360

25. Masiello, N. C., Zucker, M. B., Casella, J. F., Flanagan, M. D., & Lin, S. (1982). Effect of
cytochalasin D on thrombin-induced actin filaments in platelets. *Nature*, *298*(5870),
202. https://doi.org/10.1038/298202b0

26. Mason, T. G. (2000). Estimating the viscoelastic moduli of complex fluids using the
generalized Stokes-Einstein equation. *Rheologica Acta*, *39*(4), 371–378.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s003970000094

27. Mendez, M. G., Restle, D., & Janmey, P. A. (2014). Vimentin enhances cell elastic
behavior and protects against compressive stress. *Biophysical Journal*, *107*(2), 314–
323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2014.04.050

28. Moeendarbary, E., Valon, L., Fritzsche, M., Harris, A. R., Moulding, D. A., Thrasher, A.
J., Stride, E., Mahadevan, L., & Charras, G. T. (2013). The cytoplasm of living cells
behaves as a poroelastic material. *Nature Materials*, *12*(3), 253–261.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3517

29. Montague, T. G., Cruz, J. M., Gagnon, J. A., Church, G. M., & Valen, E. (2014).
CHOPCHOP: A CRISPR/Cas9 and TALEN web tool for genome editing. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, *42*(Web Server issue), W401-407. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku410

30. Morgan, M. J., & Liu, Z. (2011). Crosstalk of reactive oxygen species and NF-κB
signaling. *Cell Research*, *21*(1), 103–115. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2010.178

31. Munder, M. C., Midtvedt, D., Franzmann, T., Nüske, E., Otto, O., Herbig, M., Ulbricht,
E., Müller, P., Taubenberger, A., Maharana, S., Malinovska, L., Richter, D., Guck, J.,
Zaburdaev, V., & Alberti, S. (2016). A pH-driven transition of the cytoplasm from a fluid-
to a solid-like state promotes entry into dormancy. *eLife*, *5*, e09347.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.09347

32. Najafi, J., Dmitrieff, S., & Minc, N. (2023). Size- and position-dependent cytoplasm
viscoelasticity through hydrodynamic interactions with the cell surface. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *120*(9),
e2216839120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2216839120

33. Patteson, A. E., Pogoda, K., Byfield, F. J., Mandal, K., Ostrowska-Podhorodecka, Z.,
Charrier, E. E., Galie, P. A., Deptuła, P., Bucki, R., McCulloch, C. A., & Janmey, P. A.
(2019). Loss of Vimentin Enhances Cell Motility through Small Confining Spaces. *Small*
(Weinheim an Der Bergstrasse, Germany), *15*(50), e1903180.
https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201903180

34. Pegoraro, A. F., Janmey, P., & Weitz, D. A. (2017). Mechanical Properties of the
Cytoskeleton and Cells. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, *9*(11), a022038.
https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022038

35. Pollard, T. D. (1976). The role of actin in the temperature-dependent gelation and
contraction of extracts of Acanthamoeba. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *68*(3), 579–601.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.68.3.579

36. Prahlad, V., Yoon, M., Moir, R. D., Vale, R. D., & Goldman, R. D. (1998). Rapid
movements of vimentin on microtubule tracks: Kinesin-dependent assembly of
intermediate filament networks. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *143*(1), 159–170.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.143.1.159

37. Ran, F. A., Hsu, P. D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D. A., & Zhang, F. (2013). Genome
engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nature Protocols*, *8*(11), 2281–2308.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.143

38. Rotsch, C., & Radmacher, M. (2000). Drug-Induced Changes of Cytoskeletal Structure
and Mechanics in Fibroblasts: An Atomic Force Microscopy Study. *Biophysical Journal*,
78(1), 520–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76614-8

39. Sanchez, A. D., & Feldman, J. L. (2017). Microtubule-organizing centers: From the
centrosome to non-centrosomal sites. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, *44*, 93–101.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2016.09.003

40. Sarria, A. J., Nordeen, S. K., & Evans, R. M. (1990). Regulated expression of vimentin
cDNA in cells in the presence and absence of a preexisting vimentin filament network.
The Journal of Cell Biology, *111*(2), 553–565. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.111.2.553

41. Sbalzarini, I. F., & Koumoutsakos, P. (2005). Feature point tracking and trajectory
analysis for video imaging in cell biology. *Journal of Structural Biology*, *151*(2), 182–
195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.06.002

42. Schmid, J. A., Birbach, A., Hofer-Warbinek, R., Pengg, M., Burner, U., Furtmüller, P. G.,
Binder, B. R., & de Martin, R. (2000). Dynamics of NF kappa B and Ikappa Balpha
studied with green fluorescent protein (GFP) fusion proteins. Investigation of GFP-p65
binding to DNa by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. *The Journal of Biological*
Chemistry, *275*(22), 17035–17042. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M000291200

43. Schuh, M., & Ellenberg, J. (2007). Self-Organization of MTOCs Replaces Centrosome
Function during Acentrosomal Spindle Assembly in Live Mouse Oocytes. *Cell*, *130*(3),
484–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.06.025

44. Schwarzl, F. R. (1971). Numerical calculation of storage and loss modulus from stress
relaxation data for linear viscoelastic materials. *Rheologica Acta*, *10*(2), 165–173.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02040437

45. Serres, M. P., Samwer, M., Truong Quang, B. A., Lavoie, G., Perera, U., Görlich, D.,
Charras, G., Petronczki, M., Roux, P. P., & Paluch, E. K. (2020). F-Actin Interactome
Reveals Vimentin as a Key Regulator of Actin Organization and Cell Mechanics in
Mitosis. *Developmental Cell*, *52*(2), 210-222.e7.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.12.011

46. Svitkina, T. M. (2020). Actin Cell Cortex: Structure and Molecular Organization. *Trends*
in Cell Biology, *30*(7), 556–565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2020.03.005

47. Svitkina, T. M., Verkhovsky, A. B., & Borisy, G. G. (1996). Plectin sidearms mediate
interaction of intermediate filaments with microtubules and other components of the
cytoskeleton. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *135*(4), 991–1007.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.135.4.991

48. Tojkander, S., Gateva, G., & Lappalainen, P. (2012). Actin stress fibers – assembly,
dynamics and biological roles. *Journal of Cell Science*, jcs.098087.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.098087

49. Trevors, J. T. (2011). The Composition and Organization of Cytoplasm in Prebiotic Cells.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences, *12*(3), 1650–1659.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12031650

50. Tseng, Y., Kole, T. P., & Wirtz, D. (2002). Micromechanical Mapping of Live Cells by
Multiple-Particle-Tracking Microrheology. *Biophysical Journal*, *83*(6), 3162–3176.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75319-8

51. Wiche, G. (1998). Role of plectin in cytoskeleton organization and dynamics. *Journal of
Cell Science*, *111*(17), 2477–2486. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.111.17.2477

52. Wirtz, D. (2009). Particle-tracking microrheology of living cells: Principles and
applications. *Annual Review of Biophysics*, *38*, 301–326.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.050708.133724

53. Xie, J., Najafi, J., Le Borgne, R., Verbavatz, J.-M., Durieu, C., Sallé, J., & Minc, N. (2022).
Contribution of cytoplasm viscoelastic properties to mitotic spindle positioning.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
119(8), e2115593119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115593119

54. Xu, K., Schwarz, P. M., & Ludueña, R. F. (2002). Interaction of nocodazole with tubulin
isotypes. *Drug Development Research*, *55*(2), 91–96.
https://doi.org/10.1002/ddr.10023

55. Zhang, Y., Zhao, S., Li, M., Li, Y., Feng, F., Cui, J., Xue, Y., Jin, X., & Jiu, Y. (2021). *Host
cytoskeletal vimentin serves as a structural organizer and an RNA-binding protein
regulator to facilitate Zika viral replication*.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.25.441301

56. Zhou, H., Xu, J., Zhang, C., & Wen, Y. (2019). Aberrant histone deacetylase 1 expression
upregulates vimentin expression via an NF-κB-dependent pathway in hepatocellular
carcinoma. *Oncology Letters*. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2019.10309

57. Zimmerman, S. B., & Minton, A. P. (1993). Macromolecular crowding: Biochemical,
biophysical, and physiological consequences. *Annual Review of Biophysics and*

Biomolecular   Structure,   22,   27–65.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.22.060193.000331

Figure 1

Figure 1: Mechanical properties of the cytoplasm are polarised along the

apicobasal axis. (**A**) Cartoon of a cell illustrating the distribution of actin, microtubule,

and vimentin filaments, along with segmentation of the cytoplasm into basal, mid, and

apical regions along the z-axis. (**B**) Fluorescently labelled microspheres with a

diameter of 200 nm dispersed throughout the cytoplasm of an NIH 3T3 fibroblast

(Scale bar, 5 µm). (Inset) Trajectory of one such microsphere tracked over a 20-second

period. (**C**) MSD (left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) of the most compliant (MC)

and least compliant (LC) regions within the cytoplasm of a specific cell. (**D**) Mean MSD

(left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) of the basal and mid cytoplasmic regions.

(N_{beads} > 80, N_{cells} > 15) (**E**) Loss tangent profiles of the basal and mid cytoplasmic

regions. (**F**) Comparison of elastic modulus (G') values between the basal and mid

cytoplasmic regions at 1 Hz (i) and 10 Hz (ii) frequencies. Comparison of dynamic

viscosity (η) values between the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz (iv)

frequencies. (N_{beads} > 80, N_{cells} > 15; ***$p<0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test) (**G**)

Representative confocal images of F-actin (i), microtubule, MT (ii) and vimentin

filaments (iii) across the basal, mid and apical cytoplasm. (Scale bar, 5 µm) (**H**) Fractal

dimension (FD) values of actin, microtubule (MT) and vimentin (Vim) filaments across

the apicobasal axis. (Mean ± SD, N_{cells} > 100; *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, NS, non-

significant, two sample T-test)

Figure 2

Figure 2: Disruption of actin filaments has contrasting effects on the mechanical properties of the basal and mid cytoplasm. (**A**) Mean MSD (left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) of the basal and mid cytoplasmic regions of Cyto D treated cells (i), comparison of Mean MSDs (left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) between

934 the control and Cyto D treated cells across the basal (ii) and mid (iii) cytoplasmic

935 regions. (N_{beads} > 80, N_{cells} > 15) (**B**) Comparison of elastic moduli (G') between the

936 control and Cyto D treated cells across the basal cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (i) and 10

937 Hz (ii) frequencies. Comparison of dynamic viscosity (η) values between the control

938 and Cyto D treated cells across the basal cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz

939 (iv) frequencies. (N_{beads} > 80, N_{cells} > 15; ***$p<0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test) (**C**)

940 Comparison of elastic moduli (G') between the control and Cyto D treated cells across

941 the mid cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (i) and 10 Hz (ii) frequencies. Comparison of

942 dynamic viscosity (η) values between the control and Cyto D treated cells across the

943 mid cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz (iv) frequencies. (N_{beads} > 80, N_{cells} > 15;

944 ***$p<0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test) (**D**) Fractal dimension (FD) values of actin,

945 microtubule (MT) and vimentin filaments in control and Cyto D treated cells across the

946 apicobasal axis. (Mean ± SD, N_{cells} > 100; **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, NS, non-significant,

947 two sample T-test) (**E**) Representative confocal images of F-actin, microtubules (MT)

948 and vimentin filaments in the control and Cyto D treated cells across the apicobasal

949 axis. (Scale bar, 5 µm)

Figure 3

Figure 3: Nocodazole-induced depolymerization of microtubules results in significant softening of the cytoplasm across the apicobasal axis. (A) Mean MSD (left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) of the basal and mid cytoplasmic regions of nocodazole (noco) treated cells (i), comparison of Mean MSDs (left axis) and

compliance, Γ (right axis) between the control and nocodazole treated cells across the

basal (ii) and mid (iii) cytoplasmic regions. (N_{beads} > 60, N_{cells} > 10) (B) Comparison of

elastic moduli (G') between the control and nocodazole treated cells across the basal

cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (i) and 10 Hz (ii) frequencies. Comparison of dynamic

viscosity (η) values between the control and nocodazole treated cells across the basal

cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz (iv) frequencies. (N_{beads} > 60, N_{cells} > 10;

***$p < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test) (C) Comparison of elastic moduli (G') between the

control and nocodazole treated cells across the mid cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (i) and

10 Hz (ii) frequencies. Comparison of dynamic viscosity (η) values between the control

and nocodazole treated cells across the mid cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz

(iv) frequencies. (N_{beads} > 60, N_{cells} > 10; ***$p < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test) (D) Fractal

dimension (FD) values of actin, microtubule (MT) and vimentin filaments in control and

nocodazole treated cells across the apicobasal axis. (Mean ± SD, N_{cells} > 100;

***$p < 0.001$, NS, non-significant, two sample T-test) (E) Representative confocal

images of F-actin, microtubules (MT) and vimentin filaments in the control and

nocodazole treated cells across the apicobasal axis. (Scale bar, 5 μm)

Figure 4

Figure 4: Localised vimentin assembly stiffens mid cytoplasm in cytochalasin D

treated cells. (A) Mean MSD (left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) of the basal and

mid cytoplasmic regions of Vim$^{-/-}$ cells (i), comparison of Mean MSDs (left axis) and

compliance, Γ (right axis) between the control, Vim$^{-/-}$ and Cyto D treated Vim$^{-/-}$ cells

across the basal (ii) and mid (iii) cytoplasmic regions. (N_{beads} > 50, N_{cells} > 10) (**B**)

Comparison of elastic moduli (G') between the control, $Vim^{-/-}$ and Cyto D treated Vim^{-}

$^{/-}$ cells across the basal cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (i) and 10 Hz (ii) frequencies.

Comparison of dynamic viscosity (η) values between the control, $Vim^{-/-}$ and Cyto D

treated $Vim^{-/-}$ cells across the basal cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz (iv)

frequencies. (N_{beads} > 50, N_{cells} > 10; ***p<0.001, Mann-Whitney U test) (**C**)

Comparison of elastic moduli (G') between the control, $Vim^{-/-}$ and Cyto D treated Vim^{-}

$^{/-}$ cells across the mid cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (i) and 10 Hz (ii) frequencies.

Comparison of dynamic viscosity (η) values between the control, $Vim^{-/-}$ and Cyto D

treated $Vim^{-/-}$ cells across the mid cytoplasmic region at 1 Hz (iii) and 10 Hz (iv)

frequencies. (N_{beads} > 50, N_{cells} > 10; ***p<0.001, Mann-Whitney U test) (**D**) Fractal

dimension (FD) values of actin and microtubule (MT) filaments in control, $Vim^{-/-}$ and

Cyto D treated $Vim^{-/-}$ cells across the apicobasal axis. (Mean ± SD, N_{cells} > 80;

***p<0.001, NS, non-significant, two sample T-test) (**E**) Representative confocal

images of F-actin and microtubules (MT) filaments in the control, $Vim^{-/-}$ and Cyto D

treated $Vim^{-/-}$ cells across the apicobasal axis. (Scale bar, 5 µm)

Figure 5

Figure 5: Plectin directs the localised assembly of vimentin filaments in the mid-cytoplasm of cytochalasin D treated cells (**A**) Western blot analysis for β-actin protein levels post Cyto D (2μM, 1hr) treatment. (n=3, mean ± SD, NS, non-significant, two sample T test). (**B**) Western blot analysis for β-tubulin protein levels post Cyto D (2μM, 1hr) treatment. (n=3, mean ± SD, NS, non-significant, two sample T test). (**C**) Western blot analysis for vimentin protein levels post Cyto D (2μM, 1hr) treatment.

(n=3, mean ± SD, *p<0.05, two sample T test). (**D**) Relative fold change of vimentin

mRNA levels (as quantified by qPCR) post Cyto D treatment (2µM, 1hr). (n=3, mean

± SD,) (**E**) Western blotting analysis of plectin for confirmation of knockdown. (n=3,

mean ± SD, ***p<0.001, two sample T test) (**F**) Western blot analysis of vimentin

expression in wild type and plectin knockdown cells with and without Cyto D (2µM,

1hr) treatment. (n=3, mean ± SD, *p<0.05, two sample T test) (**G**) Relative fold change

of vimentin mRNA levels (as quantified by qPCR) of wild type and plectin knockdown

cells post Cyto D treatment (2µM, 1hr). (n=3, mean ± SD) (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, *** p

<0.001; NS, non significant, two sample T-test). Fractal dimension (FD) values of actin

(**H**) and vimentin (**I**) filaments in control, plectin depleted and Cyto D treated plectin

depleted cells across the apicobasal axis. (Mean ± SD, N_{cells} > 80; *p<0.05,

***p<0.001, NS, non-significant, two sample T-test)

1017

1018 **Figure 6**

1019

Figure 6: Cyto D induced assembly of vimentin is dependent on ROS-mediated

activation of NF-κB pathway

(**A**) Representative confocal images of nuclear translocation of p65-GFP at different

time points post Cyto D (2µM) treatment. (Scale bar, 5µm) (**B**) Nuclear to cytoplasmic

(N/C) mean intensity ratio of p65 at different time points post Cyto D (2µM) treatment

with and without NF-kB inhibitor. (N_{Cells} = 80-110 per condition, experimental

replicates, n = 3, mean ± SD, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, two sample T test) (**C**) Western

blotting analysis of the nuclear fraction of p65 after Cyto D (2µM, 1hr) treatment in the

presence and absence of the NF-kB inhibitor. (**D-i**) Relative fold changes of vimentin

mRNA levels (as quantified by qPCR) post-Cyto D (2µM, 1hr) treatment with and

without NF-kB inhibitor pretreatment. (n = 3, mean ± SD, ***p<0.001, NS, non-

significant, two sample T test) (**D-ii**) Western blot analysis for vimentin protein levels

post-Cyto D (2µM, 1hr) treatment with and without NF-kB inhibitor pretreatment. (n =

3, mean ± SD, ***p<0.001, NS, non-significant, two sample T test) (**E**) Representative

confocal images of F-actin and vimentin at the basal, mid and apical regions after Cyto

D treatment (2µM, 1hr) in the presence of NF-kB inhibitor. (Scale bar, 5µm) (**F**) Mean

DCFDA fluorescence levels of cells after Cyto D treatment (2µM, 1hr) pre-incubated

with vitamin C (Vit C) (n = 3, mean ± SD, ***p<0.001, NS, non-significant, two sample

T test). (**G-i**) Representative images of nuclear translocation of p65-GFP at different

time spans of Vit C incubation (upper row) and p65-GFP nuclear translocation in cells

after Cyto D (2µM) treatment for various durations following a 1-hour pre-treatment

with Vit C (lower row). (Scale bar, 5µm). (**G-ii**) Nuclear to cytoplasmic (N/C) mean

intensity ratio of p65 at different Vit C incubation periods (top) and N/C mean intensity

ratio of p65 of cells after Cyto D (2µM) treatment for various durations following a 1hour

pre-treatment with Vit C (bottom). (N_{cells} = 80-120 per condition, n = 3, mean ± SD,

*p<0.05, NS, non-significant, two sample T test). (**H**) Relative fold change of vimentin

mRNA levels (as quantified by qPCR) post Cyto D treatment (2µM, 1hr) with and

without Vit C pre-incubation. (n=3, mean ± SD, ***p<0.001, NS, non-significant, two

sample T test). (**I**) Representative confocal images of F-actin and vimentin at the basal,

mid and apical regions after Cyto D treatment (2µM, 1hr) in the presence of Vit C.

(Scale bar, 5µm) (**J**) Relative fold change of vimentin mRNA levels (as quantified by

qPCR) post H_2O_2 incubation (100µM and 200µM). (n=3, mean ± SD, ***p<0.001, two

sample T test) (**K**) Representative confocal images of F-actin and vimentin at the

basal, mid and apical regions after H_2O_2 incubation (100µM). (Scale bar, 5µm)

1054

 Supplementary figure S1

Figure S1: (A) Histogram profile of the height of NIH 3T3 cells (N_{cells} > 100). MSDs

(left axis) and compliance, Γ (right axis) values of individual microspheres dispersed

in the basal (B) and mid (C) cytoplasm of control NIH 3T3 cells. (N_{beads} > 60, N_{cells} >

10). (D) Representative binary images of actin, vimentin and microtubule filaments

across the apicobasal axis of control NIH 3T3 cells and their corresponding fractal

dimension (FD) values.

Supplementary figure S2

1064

Figure S2: (**A**) Mean loss tangent values of the basal and mid cytoplasm of cyto D

treated cells. (**B**) Comparison of tan δ values between control and cyto D treated cells

at the basal cytoplasmic region at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**C**) Comparison of tan δ values

between control and cyto D treated cells at the mid cytoplasmic region at 1Hz and

10Hz frequencies. (**D**) Representative binary images of actin, vimentin and

microtubule filaments across the apicobasal axis of cyto D treated NIH 3T3 cells and

their corresponding fractal dimension (FD) values.

 Supplementary figure S3

1073

Figure S3: (**A**) Relative fold change of the compliance, Γ of the mid cytoplasm

compared to the basal cytoplasm of control and nocodazole treated cells at 1Hz and

10Hz. (**B**) Relative fold change of the compliance, Γ of the nocodazole treated cells

compared to the control cells in the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**C**)

Loss tangent (tan δ) values of the basal and mid cytoplasmic regions of nocodazole

treated cells. (**D**) Comparison of tan δ values between the control and nocodazole

treated cells across the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**E**) Basal to mid

cytoplasmic ratio of elastic modulus (G') and dynamic viscosity (η) in control and

nocodazole treated cells. (**F**) Representative binary images of actin, vimentin and

microtubule filaments across the apicobasal axis of nocodazole treated NIH 3T3 cells

and their corresponding fractal dimension (FD) values.

1085

1086

Supplementary figure S4

1088

Figure S4: (**A**) Representative confocal image validating the knockout of vimentin from

NIH 3T3. (Scale bar, 50μm) (**B**) Relative fold change of the compliance, Γ of the mid

cytoplasm compared to the basal cytoplasm of control and Vim^{-/-} cells at 1Hz and

10Hz. (**C**) Relative fold change of the compliance, Γ of the Vim^{-/-} cells compared to the

control cells in the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**D**) Fold change in the

compliance, Γ of the basal region of control and Vim$^{-/-}$ cells after cyto D treatment at

1Hz and 10Hz. (**E**) Percentage decrease in elastic modulus (G') values upon knockout

of vimentin across the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**F**) Basal to mid

cytoplasmic ratio of elastic modulus (G') and dynamic viscosity (η) in the control and

Vim$^{-/-}$ cells. (**G**) Comparison of tan δ values between the control and Vim$^{-/-}$ cells across

the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**H**) Comparison of tan δ values

between the Vim$^{-/-}$ and cyto D treated Vim$^{-/-}$ cells across the basal and mid cytoplasm

at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**I**) Representative binary images of actin and microtubule filaments

across the apicobasal axis of cyto D treated Vim$^{-/-}$ cells and their corresponding fractal

dimension (FD) values.

1104

Supplementary figure S5

1106

Figure S5: (**A**) Western blot analysis of beta-actin in control and plectin depleted NIH

3T3 cells. (**B**) Mean loss tangent values (tan δ) of the basal and mid cytoplasm of

plectin depleted NIH 3T3 cells. (**C**) Comparison of tan δ values between the control

and plectin depleted cells across the basal and mid cytoplasm at 1Hz and 10Hz. (**D**)

Representative binary images of vimentin and actin filaments across the apicobasal

1112   axis of plectin-depleted and cyto D-treated plectin-depleted NIH 3T3 cells, along with

1113   their corresponding fractal dimension (FD) values.

1114

Supplementary figure S6

Figure S6: (**A**) Tracking of p65-EGFP localization across different time points (i) (Scale

bar, 5μm) and the corresponding nuclear to cytoplasmic (N/C) mean intensity ratio

values (ii) (Mean ± SD, N_{cells} > 50, NS, non-significant, two sample T test). (**B**)

Quantification of FD values of vimentin in control, cyto D treated and cyto D with p65

inhibitor pretreated cells across the basal, mid and apical cytoplasm. (N_{cells} = 100 -140

per condition, n = 3, mean ± SD, ***$p<0.001$, NS, non-significant, two sample T test)

(**C**) Quantification of FD values of vimentin in control, cyto D treated and cyto D with

vitamin C pretreated cells across the basal, mid and apical cytoplasm. (N_{cells} > 80, n =

3, mean ± SD, ***$p<0.001$, NS, non-significant, two sample T test) (**D**) FD values of

control and vitamin C treated cells across the apicobasal axis. (N_{cells} > 100, n = 3,

mean ± SD, NS, non-significant, two sample T test). (**E**) Nuclear translocation of p65-

EGFP at different time points following H_2O_2 incubation at two different concentrations.

(Scale bar, 5µm) (**F**) Nuclear to cytoplasmic (N/C) mean intensity ratio of p65-EGFP

at different time points following H_2O_2 incubation. (N_{cells} > 60, ***$p<0.001$, two sample

T test) (**G**) Western blot analysis of vimentin following H_2O_2 incubation at two different

concentrations. (n = 3, mean ± SD, ***$p<0.001$, two sample T test) (**H**) FD analysis of

vimentin in control and H_2O_2 treated cells across the apicobasal axis. (N_{cells} > 80,

*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, two sample T test)

1135

1136