Title: Genetic variance in the murine defensin locus modulates glucose homeostasis

Authors: Stewart W.C. Masson[1,2,*], Rebecca C. Simpson[2,3,4], Harry B. Cutler[1,2],

Patrick W. Carlos[5,6], Oana C. Marian[2,7], Meg Potter[1,2], Søren Madsen[1,2], Kristen C.

Cooke[1,2], Niamh R. Craw[1,2], Oliver K. Fuller[1,2], Dylan J. Harney[1,2], Mark Larance[2,7],

Gregory J. Cooney[2], Grant Morahan[8], Erin R. Shanahan[1,2], Christopher Hodgkins[1,2],

Richard J. Payne[5,6], Jacqueline Stöckli[1,2], and David E. James[1,2,7,*]

*, corresponding authors: Stewart W.C. Masson (stewart.masson@sydney.edu.au),

David E. James (david.james@sydney.edu.au)

Author affiliations:

1. School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney,

Australia

2. Charles Perkins Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

3. Melanoma Institute Australia, Sydney, Australia

4. Sydney Medical School, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of

Sydney, Sydney, Australia

5. School of Chemistry, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

6. Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Innovations in Peptide

and Protein Science

7. School of Medical Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

8. Centre for Diabetes Research, Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research,

Murdoch, Australia

Competing interests: the authors declare no competing interests

Abstract

Insulin resistance is heritable; however, the underlying genetic drivers remain elusive.

In seeking these, we performed genetic mapping of insulin sensitivity in 670 chow-fed

Diversity Outbred in Australia (DOz) mice and identified a genome-wide significant

quantitative trait loci (QTL) within the chromosome 8 defensin gene cluster. Defensins

are antimicrobial peptides secreted from Paneth cells into the intestinal lumen that can

alter the abundance of beneficial and detrimental microbes. Proteomic analysis of the

small intestine from Diversity Outbred founder strains revealed that alpha-defensin 26

positively correlated with whole-body insulin sensitivity, and founder strain genetic

contributions to the insulin sensitivity QTL. To validate these findings, we synthesised

the secreted form of alpha-defensin 26 and performed diet supplementation

experiments in two mouse strains with distinct endogenous alpha-defensin 26

expression levels. In validation of our DOz data, the strain with lower endogenous

expression (C57BL/6J) exhibited improved insulin sensitivity and reduced gut

permeability following defensin supplementation. In contrast, the higher expressing

strain (A/J) exhibited hypoinsulinemia, glucose intolerance and muscle wasting. Gut

microbiome profiling in these mice revealed both global and strain-specific changes

including some observed in DOz mice positive for the putative insulin sensitivity allele.

Inspired by previous work linking glucose homeostasis to gut microbiome mediated

changes in plasma bile acids, we investigated these as a potential mechanism. As

with metabolic changes, A/J but not C57BL/6J mice exhibited differential plasma bile

acid concentrations following defensin supplementation. These data highlight the

importance of considering individual differences when designing metabolic

therapeutics and paves the way for further studies investigating links between the host

genetics and the microbiome.

Introduction

Insulin resistance (IR) drives various pathologies including Type 2 Diabetes, cardiovascular disease, various cancers, and some forms of neurological decline (1). IR is characterised by a failure of peripheral tissues to respond to the hormone insulin and decades of physiological and biochemical studies in mice and humans have posited many underlying mechanisms. These include lipotoxicity (2, 3), reactive oxygen species (4, 5), and inflammation (6, 7) among many others. On balance, these potential mechanisms likely all drive IR to different extents across individuals, and no one defect in insulin action is responsible for all pathology. This heterogeneity implies a considerable genetic component and makes diagnosis and treatment at the population level challenging. With some notable exceptions, genome-wide association studies have struggled to identify loci for IR (8-10). One potential explanation is that the diversity of human environments confounds genetic signals via complex gene-by-environment interactions. Unfortunately, environmental factors are almost impossible to control in humans.

Mice are a valuable tool for studying human diseases due to their genetic and physiologic similarities. Moreover, they afford the precise environmental control required to detect genetic loci associated with insulin resistance. Studies in panels of inbred mouse strains fed different diets have begun to do this (11-15) and genetically diverse mouse populations such as Jackson Labs' Diversity Outbred (DO) mice offer promise thanks to their unparalleled genomic resolution (16-19). This can be harnessed to map complex traits to genomic loci, inferring causality and informing discovery of important mechanisms underlying insulin action. We have acquired a similar population of mice, which we term Diversity Outbred in Australia (DOz). We

have used this population to investigate skeletal muscle insulin resistance (20) albeit

on a single diet, and studied the metabolic consequences of weight-cycling (21).

Further investigations in these models promise to uncover the genetic drivers of insulin

resistance, provide insight into complex gene-by-environment interactions, and

improve translatability from mice into humans (22).

Critically 'environment' also includes an individual's gut microbiome which is emerging

as a driver of host metabolic health with some taxa being beneficial and others

detrimental (23). Microbes can interact with the host both directly (24, 25) and via

diverse signalling molecules including metabolites (26, 27), peptides (28, 29), and bile

acids (30-33). Current research on the gut microbiome and insulin resistance often

focuses solely on these mechanisms in a feed-forward, microbe to host approach.

However, human genome-wide association and twin studies have revealed high levels

of genomic control over the microbiome (34, 35). In mice, a recent study revealed that

one quarter of all detected microbes have significant quantitative trait loci (QTL)

implying host regulation of their abundance (36). It is unknown whether genetic drivers

of microbial composition could also regulate IR via upregulating or downregulating

metabolically important microbes. Here we explore this notion using an unbiased

systems biology approach and ultimately identify an antimicrobial peptide as a

potential insulin sensitivity therapeutic with implications for personalised medicine.

Results

Whole body insulin sensitivity is genetically linked to the defensin locus on chromosome 8

To quantify whole body insulin sensitivity, we conducted glucose tolerance tests and insulin ELISAs on 670 chow fed male DOz mice (Figure 1A) and used these data to calculate the Matsuda Index (Figure 1B), a surrogate measure of insulin sensitivity (37). Consistent with our previous work (20), we observed striking variation in Matsuda Index despite all animals being housed under identical conditions and fed the same chow diet. We next performed genetic mapping of the Matsuda Index (Figure 1C) and identified 2 genome-wide significant quantitative trait loci (QTL), one on chromosome 2 at 162.2 Mbp and a second on chromosome 8 at 21.7 MBp. The chromosome 8 locus centred over the defensin gene cluster, a syntenic region shared between mice and humans (38), which contains 53 defensin genes and 22 defensin pseudogenes (Figure 1D). Considering previous links between defensins and metabolic disease (39-42) we selected this QTL for further validation.

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis of the defensin locus identified one intergenic SNP rs231642830, and one structural variant SV_8_21749161_21749163, with genome wide significant LOD scores (Figure 1E). We categorised mice by the number of minor alleles they carried at the rs231642830 SNP (AA = no minor alleles, AB = one copy, BB = two copies). Animals carrying the AB allele had identical glucose tolerance to 'control' AA mice despite a 50% reduction in insulin at the 15-minute time point (Figure 1F,G). These data suggest genetic variance at the defensin locus is linked to improved whole body insulin sensitivity.

Figure 1

Figure 1 – Genetic mapping of insulin sensitivity in 670 chow-fed Diversity Outbred in Australia mice. A) Glucose and insulin concentrations during a glucose tolerance test in 670 DOz mice. **B)** The equation used to calculate the Matsuda Index from GTT data. **C)** Genetic mapping of the Matsuda Index in DOz mice. **D)** The defensin locus on chromosome 8. **E)** Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) mapping of the Matsuda Index on chromosome 8. **F)** Blood glucose concentrations during a glucose tolerance test of mice carrying the minor allele of rs231642830 and non-carrier controls. **G)** Blood insulin concentrations during a glucose tolerance test of mice carrying the minor allele of rs231642830 and

non-carrier controls. Data are mean with biological replicates are shown as individual data points or

noted in figure. **** P < 0.0001, *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05.

A single nucleotide polymorphism within the defensin locus associates with insulin

sensitivity and increased Akkermansia muciniphila abundance

Defensins are an ancient component of the immune system, found across the tree-of-

life from plants to humans. They are small peptides (29-40 amino acids) with potent

antibacterial and antiviral activities (43). In mice, defensins are secreted from Paneth

cells in the intestinal crypt into the gut lumen to modulate microbial composition (Figure

2A). Therefore, we performed 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing to profile the

microbiome composition of mice from three groups: 1) mice carrying the putative

protective rs231642830 allele (AB), 2) cage mates of these mice, and 3) a subset of

AA control mice (Figure 2B). Because mice are coprophagic, analysing cage mates

allows us to test for co-housing effect on insulin sensitivity, which could indicate a

microbiome mediated mechanism of action.

All AB mice had been separately housed, and AA control mice were chosen at random

from across 7 different cages. No significant difference in alpha diversity (Inverse

Simpson; a measure of ecological diversity within a group) was observed between

groups (Figure 2C). However beta-diversity analysis (Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and

PERMANOVA; a measure of ecological diversity between group) (Figure 2D) of our

three groups revealed a trend towards differences in overall microbiome composition

between AB mice and AA controls (p value = 0.05), and between AA controls and cage

mates of AB mice (p value = 0.055), but not between AB mice and their cage mates

(p value = 0.622). However, analysis of the pooled microbiomes (AB mice + cage

mates), against the AA control mice revealed distinct microbiomes (p value = 0.009).

This is consistent with mice carrying the minor allele possessing altered microbiomes,

and this being transmitted to their cage mates.

Differential abundance analysis of these groups by Analysis of Compositions of

Microbiomes with Bias Correction (ANCOM-BC) revealed that compared to AA

controls, *Akkermansia muciniphila* (Figure 2E, F), *Bifidobacterium pseudolongum*

(Figure 2E, G) and *Ligilactobacillus spp.* (Figure 2G, H), were higher in cage mate and

AB mice, while *Limosilactobacilius spp*. (Figure 2H, I) were lower. Among these

strains, *Akkermansia muciniphila* stands out as it has strong links to metabolic health

and has been shown to increase in response to α-defensin administration in C57BL6/J

mice (28, 29, 42, 44, 45). Critically we observed the same overall relationship for

insulin sensitivity Matsuda Index higher in AB mice, and trended higher in cage mates

relative to AA control mice. This suggests that insulin sensitivity differences between

AA and AB mice may be transferable by cohousing.

Figure 2

Figure 2 – Analysis of microbial composition and co-housing effects in mice carrying a putative

insulin sensivity allele within the defensin locus. A) Schematic of murine defensin secretion from

Paneth cells into the small intestine. **B)** Schematic of the study design including mice carrying

rs231642830 (AB), non-carrier controls (AA), and the cage mates of mice carrying rs231642830 (Cage

mate). **C)** Alpha diversity (Inverse Simpson) of AB, AA and Cage mates. **D)** Visualisation of beta-

diversity between AB, AA and Cage mate mice calculated by Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. **E)** Relative

abundances of differential represented microbes between AB, AA and Cage mate mice. **F)** Relative

abundance of *Akkermansia muciniphila* in AB, AA and Cage mate mice. **G)** Relative abundance of

Bifidobacterium psuedolongum in AB, AA and Cage mate mice. **H)** Relative abundance of

Ligilactobacillus spp. in AB, AA and Cage mate mice. **I)** Relative abundance of *Limosilactobacillus spp.*

in AB, AA and Cage mate mice. **J)** Matsuda Index in AB, AA and Cage mate mice. Data are mean with

biological replicates are shown as individual data points or noted in figure. **** $P < 0.0001$, *** $P <$

0.001, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$.

Alpha defensin 26 positively correlates with insulin sensitivity and founder strain

contributions towards the Matsuda QTL in the defensin locus.

We next sought to identify the specific defensin isoform that confers variation in insulin

sensitivity by performing proteomic analysis of small intestines from a subset of

Diversity Outbred founder strains (Figure 3A). Since the genomes of these strains

have been fully sequenced (16) we are able to validate experiments in DOz with data

generated in the founder strains via estimates the of founder contributions at the Chr

8 locus.

Consistent with our previous work (11) and that of others (14, 15) we observed

significant variation in glucose tolerance (Figure S1A-H), insulin sensitivity, and

adiposity between inbred founder strains (Figure 3BC). Proteomic analysis of small

intestinal tissue from these animals identified a total of 5,529 proteins with 4,822

proteins, including 9 defensin isoforms, found in at least 50% of samples. To rule out

strain level differences in Paneth cell abundance (46) influencing the apparent

defensin expression levels, we normalised defensin peptide expression to the average

of all defensins within each strain. Correlation analysis of mean normalised defensin

isoform expression revealed that a single isoform, alpha-defensin 26 (Defa26), was

positively correlated with insulin sensitivity ($R = 0.73$, $P < 0.05$; Figure 3D,E). We then

calculated the QTL founder effects for the chromosome 8 locus. Among the founder

strains, A/J, NOD/ShiLtJ, and to a lesser extent 129S1/SvlmJ, contributed positively

while CAST/EiJ, NZO/HILtJ, WSB/EiJ, C57BL/6J and PWK/PhJ contributed negatively

(Figure 3F). Correlating the founder effects at 21.7 MBp on chromosome 8 with mean

normalised defensin levels revealed that only alpha-defensin 26 levels varied in

accordance with the contributions of each founder strain to the QTL (R = 0.89, P <

0.01; Figure 3G).

Figure 3

Figure 3 – Proteomic analysis of small intestinal tissues from Diversity Outbred founder strains.

A) Schematic of study design to investigate insulin sensitivity and defensin protein expression in small

intestines of inbred mouse strains. **B)** Matsuda Index in Diversity Outbred founder strains. **C)** Adiposity

in Diversity Outbred founder strains. **D)** Correlations of all quantified defensin peptides (Defa) with

Matsuda Index across Diversity Outbred founder strains. **E)** Correlation of mean normalised alpha-

defensin 26 abundance with Matsuda Index in Diversity Outbred founder strains. **F)** founder strain

contribution estimates for the DOz Matsuda Index QTL on chromosome eight. **G)** Correlation of founder

strain contribution estimates for the DOz Matsuda Index QTL with mean normalised alpha-defensin 26

abundance. Dashed lines denote 95% confidence intervals. Data are mean with biological replicates

are shown as individual data points or noted in figure. **** $P < 0.0001$, *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P <$

0.05

Dietary supplementation with alpha-defensin 26 has strain specific effects on

metabolism and body composition

Genetic mapping in DOz mice and proteomics in the founder strains suggested that

alpha-defensin 26 is a positive regulator of insulin sensitivity. To experimental test this

we synthesised the luminal (to mimic post-processing secretion) form of alpha-

defensin 26 by 9-fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl-solid-phase peptide synthesis (Fmoc-

SPPS), followed by folding (47). We also synthesised the luminal form of human alpha-

defensin 5 as a positive control since this peptide has previously been shown to

improve glucoregulatory control in C57BL/6J mice (42).

To first validate Fmoc-SPPS as a method to produce metabolically beneficially

peptides we fed C57BL/6J mice with either a high-fat high-sugar western-style diet

(WD) or a WD supplemented with 10 ng/g of human alpha-defensin 5 for eight weeks.

Consistent with previous work (42), mice fed a WD supplemented with chemically

synthesised alpha defensin 5 had attenuated weight gain and reduced adiposity but

normal lean mass (Figure S2A-C) when compared to control mice fed a control WD.

Alpha-defensin 5 supplementation also improved insulin sensitivity, evidenced by

equivalent glucose tolerance but lower insulin levels relative to control mice (Figure

S2D, E). These results indicate that chemically synthesised alpha-defensin peptides

behave comparably to previous peptides generated by traditional expression systems

(39, 40, 42). Based on these results we proceeded with alpha-defensin 26

supplementation (Def26).

We hypothesised that in strains with low alpha-defensin 26 expression, diet

supplementation would be protective while in strains with relatively higher abundance,

supplementation would have no effect. To this end, we selected the metabolic disease

susceptible C57BL/6J (low abundance of alpha-defensin 26) and the metabolic

disease resistant A/J (high abundance of alpha-defensin 26) and repeated the above

study feeding both strains with either WD or WD + Def26. After 8 weeks of diet and

supplement intervention, we observed no differences in body weight in either strain

relative to WD fed control mice (Figure 4A, B), however, C57BL/6J mice gained less

adiposity (Figure 4C). Strikingly, A/J but not C57BL/6J mice fed WD + Def26 lost

approximately 1 gram of skeletal muscle mass (Figure 4D, Figure S3A-C). Def26

supplementation did not affect food intake in either strain (Figure 4E).

To assess potential effects on of alpha-defensin 26 supplementation on gut health we

measured gut permeability *in vivo* by assessing FITC-Dextran translocation into the

blood following oral administration, and by measuring the overall length of the small

intestine (24, 48). Def26 supplementation lowered gut permeability in both strains,

however, this was only significant in C57BL/6J mice (Figure S3D). Neither strain

exhibited differences in small intestinal length (Figure S3E).

Alpha-defensin 26 supplementation did not alter glucose tolerance of C57Bl6/J mice

and in contrast to our prediction, it led to impaired glucose tolerance in A/J mice (Figure

4F-H). Despite no apparent effect on glucose tolerance, C57Bl6/J mice fed a WD +

Def26 diet had lower blood insulin levels during the GTT suggesting an improvement

in insulin sensitivity (Figure 4I), consistent with observations in AB DOz mice. In A/J

mice, as well as triggering relative glucose intolerance, alpha-defensin 26

supplementation induced significant fasting hypoinsulinemia and completely abolished

the glucose induced increase in blood insulin levels, suggesting an impairment in

insulin secretion (Figure 4J).

Figure 4

Figure 4 – Effect of alpha-defensin 26 supplementation on insulin sensitivity and body

composition in western-diet fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. A) Body weight of C57BL/6J mice fed

either a WD or a WD + Def26. **B)** Body weight of A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **C)** Fold-

change in adiposity in mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **D)** Change in lean mass in mice fed

either a WD or a WD + Def26. **E)** Food intake in mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **F)** Blood

glucose concentrations during a glucose tolerance test in C57BL/6J mice fed either a WD or a WD +

Def26. **G)** Blood glucose concentrations during a glucose tolerance test in A/J mice fed either a WD or

WD + Def26. **H)** Area-of-the-curve (AOC) for glucose during a glucose tolerance test in mice fed either

a WD or a WD + Def26. **I)** Blood insulin concentrations during a glucose tolerance test in C57BL/6J

mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **J)** Blood insulin concentrations during a glucose tolerance test

in A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. Data are mean with biological replicates are shown as

individual data points or noted in figure. **** $P < 0.0001$, *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$

Alpha-defensin supplementation induces strain specific effects on the microbiome.

The defensin locus appears to be genetically linked to with insulin sensitivity via the

growth of certain metabolically beneficial microbes. To validate these findings, we

performed 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing and ANCOM-BC on caecal contents from

WD and WD + Def26 fed mice. Encouragingly, and consistent with results in AB DOz

mice (Figure 2F, I), *Akkermansia muciniphila* was enriched in both C57BL/6J and A/J

strains fed WD + Def26 (Figure 5A,B), while *Limosilactobacillus spp* was depleted in

WD + Def26 fed C57BL/6J mice relative to WD controls (Figure 5A). In addition,

Alloprevotella spp (Figure 5A,B) was enriched in both strains, and although not

differentially abundant in AA or AB DOz mice, has been previously linked to defensin

supplementation (44).

Overall, alpha-diversity was higher in A/J mice, and this was lowered by defensin 26

supplementation suggesting a potentially detrimental effect on microbial ecological

diversity in this strain (Figure S4A). Beta-diversity analysis revealed divergent

microbiomes between C57Bl6/J and A/J mice, as well as differences between those

mice fed a WD and WD + Def26 (Figure S4B) suggesting Def26 supplementation does

alter the gut microbiome. At the Order level, *Bacilli* and *Bacteroidia* were differentially

abundant between C57BL/6J and A/J mice with the former being higher in C57BL/6J

and the latter being higher in A/J mice (Figure S4C-G). Supplementation with alpha-

defensin 26 decreased *Clostridia* only in A/J mice (Figure S4A). At the species level,

ANCOM-BC revealed comparable numbers of differentially abundant taxa between

diets in C57BL/6J and A/J mice (Figure 5C). However, the nature of these differences

was relatively strain specific, and only three differential abundant taxa were shared

between strains (Figure 5A,B). These include the aforementioned *Akkermansia*

muciniphila (Figure 5D) and *Alloprevotella spp* (Figure 5E), as well as a third microbe

Erysipelatoclostridium spp (Figure 5F). Highlighting strain specific alterations in

microbial abundance, *Erysipelatoclostridium spp*. was enriched by defensin

supplementation in C57BL/6J mice but depleted in A/J mice.

Figure 5

Figure 5 – Validation of alpha-defensin 26 effects on gut microbial composition in C57BL/6J and A/J mice. A) Differentially abundant microbes in C57BL/6J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **B)** Differentially abundant microbes in A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **C)** Comparison of differentially abundant microbial taxa in mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26 assessed by ANCOM-BC. **D)** *Akkermansia muciniphila* relative abundance in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **E)** *Alloprevotella spp.* relative abundance in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **F)** *Erysipelatoclostridium spp.* relative abundance in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. Bold text denotes overlap between C57BL/6J and A/J. Data are mean with biological replicates are shown as individual data points or noted in figure. **** P < 0.0001, *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05

Changes in circulating bile acids may explain global and strain specific effects of

alpha-defensin 26 on metabolism

Improved insulin sensitivity and increased relative abundances of the same microbes

enriched in mice carrying the putative DOz insulin sensitivity allele following Def26

supplementation (Figure 2E, 5A, B), broadly validates a microbiome-mediated genetic

link between defensin peptides and insulin sensitivity. However, a number of questions

remain. Firstly, by what mechanism do defensin mediated microbial changes influence

host metabolism? Secondly, although *Akkermansia muciniphila* serves as a

convenient positive control, microbes rarely act in isolation to influence host

metabolism. Therefore, what other microbial changes mediate the effect of defensin

supplementation on host metabolism?

In an attempt to answer these questions and interrogate our data further we correlated

the relative abundances of all microbial genera with insulin sensitivity (Matsuda Index),

insulin levels during a GTT (0 and 15 minutes), and changes in body composition (lean

mass and adiposity). Of our 87 quantified microbial genera, 35% significantly

correlated with at least one metabolic phenotype (Figure 6A). In some instances, the

correlations between microbial taxa and metabolic phenotypes were stronger than

those between two well characterised metabolic characteristics. For example,

Lachnoclostridium spp. positively correlated with fasting insulin levels (Figure S4K; R

= 0.83, p-value < 0.0001) more strongly than fasting insulin correlated with changes in

adiposity (R = 0.6696, p-value = 0.002).

Microbes influence metabolism via a plethora of modalities, one of the most potent

being secondary bile acids. Specifically, gut microbiome derived bile acids have been

linked to insulin secretion and lean mass (31, 49, 50), two of the key phenotypic

changes observed in C57BL/6J and A/J mice supplemented with alpha-defensin 26.

Specifically microbial transplant from CAST/EiJ mice into C57BL/6J mice altered

plasma bile acids and completely abolished glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (31).

Inspired by this work, we set out to assess whether defensin mediated editing of the

microbiome could be driving phenotypic changes via circulating bile acids.

Using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) we measured the

abundance of 16 primary and secondary bile acids in plasma from a subset of

C57BL6/J and A/J mice fed either a WD or WD + Def26 (Figure S5A, B). Consistent

with greater observed effects on glucose homeostasis and body composition, principal

component analysis of these data revealed that A/J but not C57BL/6J mice clustered

in groups based on diet (Figure 6B). To ascertain a potential path of causality from

microbes to observed phenotypes we integrated plasma bile acid data with

phenotypically important microbes (those with significant associations with metabolic

phenotypes; Figure 6A) by correlation and hierarchical clustering (Figure S5C).

These relationships can be visualised in a hive plot (51), which links nodes (in this

case microbe relative abundances, bile acid concentrations and phenotypic traits) via

lines coloured by correlation strength and direction (Figure 6C). Although 31 microbes

were associated with metabolic phenotypes, only 4 were also strongly correlated with

plasma bile acid concentrations: Family: Muribaculaceae, *Lachnoclostridium spp,*

Faecalibaculum spp, and *Monoglobus spp.* Tracing their relationships to metabolic

phenotypes via bile acids revealed 4 potentially important candidates: the composite

measure of tauro-ursodeoxycholic acid and tauro-hyodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA +

THDCA), alpha-Muricholic acid (αMCA), Tauro-chenodeoxycholic acid (TCDCA), and

Tauro-lithocholic acid (TLCA). In turn these 4 bile acids correlate with a subset of

metabolic phenotypes, insulin levels (both fasting and during a GTT) adiposity fold-

change as well as the Matsuda Index.

Visualising these data in this way reveals a number of intriguing relationships. For

example, *Lachnoclostridium spp* positively correlates with fasting insulin levels and

adiposity fold-change (Figure 5D), also positive correlates with TUDCA + THDCA and

αMCA (Figure 6E). These bile acids in turn associate with different metabolic

phenotypes. TUDCA + THDCA positively correlates with fasting insulin levels but not

adiposity fold-change (Figure 6E). The opposite is true for αMCA, which correlates

with adiposity fold-change but not fasting insulin levels (Figure 6E). These data

suggest that *Lachnoclostrdium* may modulate host metabolism and body composition

via distinct bile acid mediated mechanisms.

 Figure 6 – Integrative analysis of plasma bile acid concentrations and gut microbial abundance

in C57BL6/J and A/J mice. A) Heatmap representation of significant correlations between metabolic phenotypes and relative abundances of microbial genera. **B)** Principal component analysis of plasma bile acid concentrations in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **C)** Hive plot representation of relationships between microbial genera which associate with metabolic phenotypes and plasma bile acid concentrations. Node colour denotes feature class (Phenotype, Microbe, Bile Acid) while width and colour of line denotes strength of correlation. **D)** Correlation of fasting insulin levels and adiposity fold-change with *Lachnoclostridium spp.* relative abundance in in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **E)** Correlations of TUDCA + THDCA and α-MCA with *Lachnoclostridium spp.*, fasting insulin and adiposity fold-change in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. Dashed lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. Data are mean with biological replicates are shown as individual data points or noted in figure. **** $P < 0.0001$, *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$

Discussion

Dysbiosis is a well-documented feature of the metabolic syndrome (52, 53), and restoring normal microbiome function has been touted as a potential therapeutic for insulin resistance (27, 54). Here we provide the first evidence that genetic variation in the defensin locus can have meaningful impacts on host metabolic health. This is consistent with previous studies in C57BL6/J mice showing that defensins can promote a metabolically beneficial microbiome (39, 42, 44), In humans, Defa26 is orthologous to DEFA3 and DEFA6 (55). Searching of the Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge (56) portal reveals a significant association between the intronic SNP rs1005910425 in DEFA6 with insulin sensitivity (BMI-adjusted fasting insulin). Whether this SNP associates with differential abundance of metabolically beneficial microbial taxa or defensin peptides is unclear but is an exciting avenue of future inquiry. Our data also highlights the importance of individual genomic and phenotypic variation when considering the development of treatment strategies as illustrated by improved insulin

sensitivity in C57BL6/J mice but muscle wasting and glucose intolerance in A/J mice

(Figure 4 and S3).

In our study, *Akkermansia muciniphila* served as an encouraging positive control for

the effect of defensin supplementation on the microbiome. However, it also has well

characterised effects on glucose metabolism and insulin resistance via GLP1

secretion and improved gut integrity (28, 29). Along with muscle wasting observed in

A/J mice, a well-documented effect of GLP1-based therapies (57, 58) in humans, we

considered upregulated GLP1 as a potential mechanism. However, this seems

unlikely as GLP1 is an incretin hormone, but both A/J and C57BL/6J mice exhibit lower

levels of circulating insulin. This ultimately prompted us to investigate alternative

mechanisms beyond *Akkermansia muciniphila*, arriving upon bile acid metabolism.

Bile acids are secreted into the intestinal lumen where they aid in lipid absorption (59),

while in the gut they can also be modified by various microbes before being

reabsorbed and returned to the liver via the enterohepatic circulation (59). A small

percentage of these microbially modified reabsorbed bile acids escape into the

peripheral circulation and act upon tissues through the G protein-coupled bile acid

receptor 1 (TGR5) and farnesoid X receptor (FXR) (49, 60, 61).

Consistent with a more striking metabolic phenotype, circulating bile acids were most

substantially altered by defensin supplementation in A/J mice. To interrogate this data

further we correlated circulating bile acid concentrations with gut microbial

abundances and metabolic phenotypes across both strains. This identified several

potential pathways from a community of microbes to 4 plasma bile acid species

(TUDCA + THDCA, TCDCA, TLCA, and α-MCA). Notably, TCDCA has been linked to

glucose homeostasis via well characterised effects on insulin secretion and

transcription (50, 62). Our network also suggested a role for αMCA in the regulation of

adiposity. This is a previously undocumented link, however mice with adipocyte

specific deletion of FXR exhibit adipocyte hypertrophy and downregulated lipolysis

(63), consistent with FXR-mediated expression of key lipolytic enzymes *Atgl* and *Hsl*

(64). Considering αMCA is a FXR antagonist (65), increased plasma concentrations,

perhaps related to gut microbial composition, may be inhibiting adipocyte lipolysis

leading to increased relative diet-induced weight gain even in the absence of lipolysis

inhibiting insulin.

Interestingly, the loss of lean mass in A/J mice fed alpha-defensin 26, arguably the

most striking metabolic phenotype generated by alpha-defensin feeding, appears to

be bile acid independent. In our network, no single bile acid that was quantified was

associated with lean mass, despite strong associations between bile acids and other

phenotypes linked to lean mass changes, such as insulin sensitivity. This suggests

that changes in lean mass are either modulated by bile acids indirectly via hormones

such as insulin or FGF15 (49, 66, 67), or by bile acid independent mechanisms. Both

are possible as insulin also regulates cellular growth both directly and via the

glucagon-insulin axis (68-70). Suppression of insulin levels will cause glucagon levels

to increase (71, 72), driving muscle wasting. Conversely, microbial derived short-chain

fatty acids such as acetate have previously been linked to muscle mass and function

independently of bile acids (73-75).

A key question raised by our data is why A/J mice respond differently to C57BL/6J

mice when supplemented with alpha-defensin 26. C57BL/6J mice exhibit greater

insulin sensitivity and reduced adiposity, consistent with our hypothesis and previous

studies using defensin-based metabolic therapeutics (39, 41, 42, 44). In contrast, A/J

mice exhibit hypoinsulinemia, muscle wasting and glucose intolerance. These

differences may reflect higher endogenous alpha-defensin 26 in A/J mice. In

C57BL/6J, 10 ng/g of alpha-defensin 26 may modestly alter the microbiome, improving

gut integrity and insulin sensitivity without disrupting bile acid metabolism. Conversely

in A/J mice the same dose may combine with higher baseline expression to create an

environment which is now toxic to certain microbial species, disrupting bile acid

metabolism, abolishing bile acid signalling in the pancreas leading to fasting

hypoinsulinemia, muscle wasting and glucose intolerance. An alternative mechanism

explaining strain specific responses to alpha-defensin supplementation centres

around baseline differences in microbiome composition. Indeed, other studies

including comparison of A/J and C57BL/6J microbiomes (76-78) have shown that the

baseline microbiome significantly alters the response to microbiome targeting

therapeutics. In our study, delineating this effect from genomic differences between

strains is challenging but requires further investigation, potentially via lower doses of

alpha-defensin 26 in A/J mice or homogenisation of microbiomes before

supplementation. Whatever the driver of these differences, it is clear that individual

variation must be considered when designing metabolic disease therapeutics.

While many of the genetic drivers of insulin sensitivity remain elusive, here we uncover

one potential link via defensin peptides and the gut microbiome. Curiously, if we

consider the microbiome as part of an individual's environment, our data suggest a

complex form of gene-by-environment interaction. Rather than be acted upon by the

environmental component i.e. diet, genetic variants within the defensin locus are

acting upon the environment to produce heterogenous metabolic outcomes.

Methods

Mouse breeding and phenotyping

Male 'Diversity Outbred from Oz' (DOz) mice were bred and housed at the Charles Perkins Centre, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia as previously described (20). The DOz mice used in this study were outbred for 27 to 36 generations and comprised a total of 670 male DOz mice across 9 separate cohorts. Genomic DNA was isolated from each mouse and subjected to SNP genotyping (79), followed by genotyping diagnostics and cleaning as described (80). Experiments were performed in accordance with NHMRC guidelines and under approval of The University of Sydney Animal Ethics Committee, approval numbers #1274 and #1988. To delineate genetic from cage-effects, mice were randomised into cages of 3-5 at weaning. All mice were maintained at 23°C on a 12-hour light/dark cycle (0600-1800) and given *ad libitum* access to a standard laboratory chow diet containing 16% calories from fat, 61% calories from carbohydrates, and 23 % calories from protein or a high-fat high-sugar diet (western diet; WD) containing 45% calories from fat, 36% calories from carbohydrate and 19% calories from protein (3.5%g cellulose, 4.5%g bran, 13%g cornstarch, 21%g sucrose, 16.5%g casein, 3.4%g gelatine, 2.6%g safflower oil, 18.6%g lard, 1.2%g AIN-93 vitamin mix (MP Biomedicals), 4.95%g AIN-93 mineral mix (MP Biomedicals), 0.36%g choline and 0.3%g L-cysteine). Fat and lean mass measures were acquired via EchoMRI-900 (EchoMRI Corporation Pte Ltd, Singapore) at 14 weeks of age. Glucose tolerance was determined by oral glucose tolerance test (GTT) at 14-weeks of age by fasting mice for 6-hours (0700-1300 hrs) before oral gavage of 20% glucose solution in water at 2 mg/kg lean mass. Blood glucose concentrations were measured directly by handheld glucometer (Accu-Chek, Roche Diabetes Care, NSW, Australia) from tail blood 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90 minutes after oral

gavage of glucose. Blood insulin levels at the 0- and 15-minute time points were

measured by mouse insulin ELISA Crystal Chem USA (Elk Grove Village, IL, USA)

according to manufacturer instructions. Blood glucose and insulin levels were

integrated into a surrogate measure of whole-body insulin sensitivity using the

Matsuda Index:

$$Matsuda\ Index = \frac{10,000}{\sqrt{(Glucose_0 \times Insulin_0) \times (Glucose_{GTT\ mean} \times Insulin_{GTT\ mean})}}$$

Genetic mapping analysis

Genetic mapping of Matsuda Index was performed in R using the QTL2 package (81)

following square root transformation of raw values. The GIGA-MUGA single nucleotide

polymorphism array was used as genomic inputs for mapping (79), and a covariate

and kinship matrix to account for genetic relatedness amongst the DOz animals.

Significance thresholds were established by performing 1000 permutations and set at

$P < 0.05$.

Caecal DNA isolation

Genomic DNA was extracted from caecal contents of mice using the FastDNA Spin

Kit for Feces (MP Biomedicals) as per the manufacturers protocol. DNA concentration

was measured using the Qubit dsDNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen). Mock preparations

covering all steps of the procedure were conducted as contamination process controls.

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing analysis

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was performed on all caecal DNA samples.

Barcoded amplicon libraries spanning the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA

gene were prepared (515F-806R primer set- 515F: GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA,

806R: GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT) and sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq v2 2

x 250 bp platform at the Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics (UNSW, Sydney, Australia).

Raw sequence reads were processed using the DADA2 R package which involves

using error profiles to define Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs)(82). ASVs were

assigned to taxonomy using a pre-trained naïve Bayes classifier trained on the curated

16S rRNA gene SILVA (v138.1) reference database. Any ASV that was present in

fewer than 5% of samples or have less than 0.01% of total reads were filtered from

the final dataset prior to downstream analysis. Sequencing depth analyses and

rarefaction were performed with the *phyloseq* R package (83).

Analysis and graphical presentation of the resultant ASV data was performed in R

using the packages *phyloseq*, *vegan*, *microbiome* and *ggplot2*. Alpha diversity metrics

were calculated using Inverse Simpson's index, species richness and Shannon's

index. Beta diversity was assessed on centred-log-ratio transformed ASV counts using

Bray Curtis dissimilarity and UniFrac distance and principal coordinate plots generated

from the resultant dissimilarity matrix. PERMANOVA (adonis) using the *vegan* R

package was used to assess variance in the distance matrices between groups.

Differential abundance analysis was performed using the *ANCOM-BC* R package(84).

Intestinal proteomic sample preparation

Division of the small intestine into thirds was achieved by folding the small intestine

into three equivalent lengths and taking a 1 cm section of tissue from the centre of

each third. These pieces tissue representing the foregut, midgut and hindgut of each

mouse were combined and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples were then

boiled in 400 uL of SDC buffer (4% sodium deoxycholate, 100mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) by

heating at 95 C for 10 minutes at 1000 rpm. Samples were then lysed by sonication

for 10 minutes (30 seconds on, 30 seconds off, 70% amplitude protocol). Samples

were then heated a second time at 95 °C for 10 minutes at 1,000 rpm before being

clarified by centrifugation at 18,000 for 10 minutes at room temperature. Supernatant

was taken as lysate and protein concentration was determined by BCA assay, 10 μg

of protein was then prepared as previously described (11). Reduction/alkylation

(10mM TCEP, 40mM CAA) buffer was added to each sample before incubation for 20

minutes at 60 °C. Once cooled to room temperature, 0.4 ug trypsin and 0.4 ug LysC

was added to each sample and incubated overnight (18h) at 37 °C with gentle

agitation. 30 μL water and 50 μL 1% TFA in ethyl acetate was added to stop digestion

and dissolve any precipitated SDC. Samples were prepared for mass spectrometry

analysis by StageTip clean up using SDB-RPS solid phase extraction material

(85).(85) Briefly, 2 layers of SDB-RPS material was packed into 200 μL tips and

washed by centrifugation at 1,000 x g for 2 minutes with 50 μL acetonitrile followed by

0.2% TFA in 30% methanol and then 0.2% TFA in water. 50 μL of samples were

loaded to StageTips by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 3 minutes. Stage tips were washed

with subsequent spins at 1,000 g for 3 minutes with 50 μL 1% TFA in ethyl acetate,

then 1% TFA in isopropanol, and 0.2% TFA in 5% ACN. Samples were eluted by

addition of 60μL 60% ACN with 5% NH_4OH_4. Samples were dried by vacuum

centrifugation and reconstituted in 30 μL 0.1% TFA in 2% ACN.

Mass spectrometry analysis

Peptides prepared as above (2 mg total), were directly injected using a Shimazu LC-

40 UHPLC onto a 5 cm x 2.1 mm C18 column analytical column (Agilent InfinityLab

Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 1.9 um particles) fitted with a 0.5 cm x 2.1 mm C18 guard

column (Agilent InfinityLab Poroshell 120 EC-C18, 1.9 um particles). Peptides were

resolved over a gradient from 3% - 36% acetonitrile over 10 min with a flow rate of 0.8

mL min^{-1}. Peptide ionization by electrospray occurred at 5.5 kV and a 7600 Zeno TOF

mass spectrometer (Sciex) with CID fragmentation used for MS/MS acquisition.

Spectra were obtained in a data-independent acquisition using Zeno SWATH with 50

isolation width windows spanning 400 to 900 Th. Gas-phase fractionation of a pooled

mixture of intestine peptides was performed using 100 Th windows per run, to enable

spectral library generation covering the 400-900 Th range. Data files were analyzed

using the quantitative DIA proteomics search engine, DIA-NN (version 1.8.1) For

spectral library generation, the Uniprot mouse Swissprot database downloaded on the

1st of July 2022 was used. Trypsin was set as the protease allowing for 1 missed

cleavage and 1 variable modification. Oxidation of methionine were set as a variable

modification. Carbamidomethylation of cystine was set as a fixed modification.

Remove likely interferences and match between runs were enabled. Neural network

classifier was set to double-pass mode. Protein inference was based on genes.

Quantification strategy was set to Robust LC (high accuracy). Cross-run normalization

was set to RT-dependent. Library profiling was set to full profiling.

Peptide synthesis

N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and dichloromethane (CH_2Cl_2) for peptide synthesis

were purchased from RCI Labscan and Merck. Gradient grade acetonitrile (CH_3CN)

for high-performance liquid chromatography was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and

ultrapure water (Type 1) was obtained from a Merck Milli-Q EQ 7000 water purification

system. Standard Fmoc-protected amino acids (Fmoc-Xaa-OH), coupling reagents

and resins were purchased from Mimotopes or Novabiochem. Fmoc-SPPS was

performed manually with these reagents and solvents in polypropylene Teflon-fritted

syringes purchased from Torviq and through automated synthesis on a SYRO I

peptide synthesizer (Biotage). Buffer salts for folding reactions were purchased from

Ajax, Sigma Aldrich, and Thermofisher and used as received. Glutathione reduced

and oxidised were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and Thermofisher, respectively. All

other reagents were purchased from AK Scientific or Merck and used as received.

Electrospray mass spectra (ESI-MS) were obtained using a Shimadzu 2020 UPLC-

MS with a Nexera X2 LC-30AD pump, Nexera X2 SPD-M30A UV/Vis diode array

detector, and a Shimadzu 2020 mass spectrometer using electrospray ionisation (ESI)

operating in positive mode. Separations were conducted using a Waters Acquity UPLC

BEH300 (1.7 µm, 2.1 x 50 mm C18 column) with a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. Spectra

are recorded from 300-2000 Da.

Reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) was carried out

on a Waters 2535 Quaternary Gradient system, fitted with a Waters 2489 UV/Vis

Detector (monitoring at 214 and 280 nm) and a Waters Fraction Collector III. Linear

peptides were purified by preparative RP-HPLC using an Xbridge C18 column (5 µm,

19 × 150 mm) at a flow rate of 12 mL/min. A mobile phase of Milli-Q water (Solvent A)

and HPLC-grade CH_3CN (Solvent B) was employed over a linear gradient with 0.1

vol% TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) as an additive.

Folded peptides were purified by semi-preparative RP-HPLC using a Xbridge C18

column (5 µm, 10 × 250 mm) at a flow rate of 4 mL/min. A mobile phase of Milli-Q

water (Solvent A) and HPLC-grade CH_3CN (Solvent B) was employed over a linear

gradient with 0.1 vol% TFA as an additive.

Analytical RP-HPLC was performed on a Waters Alliance e2695 HPLC system

equipped with a 2998 PDA detector (λ = 210–400 nm). Separations were performed

on a Waters Sunfire® C18 (5 µm, 2.1 × 150 mm) column at 40 ºC with a flow rate of

0.5 mL/min. All separations were performed using a mobile phase of 0.1% TFA in

water (Solvent A) and 0.1% TFA in CH_3CN (Solvent B) using linear gradients.

Peptides were synthesized on a 50 µmol scale. 2-Chlorotrityl chloride (2-CTC) resin

was treated with Fmoc-Xaa-OH (1.2 eq) and *i*-Pr$_2$NEt (4.8 eq) in DCM (4 mL). The C-

terminal amino acid of each sequence was used for this loading step, ie. Fmoc-Leu-

OH was used for the loading of Def26 and Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH was used for Defa5.

Fmoc loading was determined by measuring the piperidine fulvene adduct from Fmoc

deprotection. The loading of the resins were: 0.51 mmol/g for Leu on CTC (Def26) and

0.50 mmol/g for Arg on CTC (DEFA5).

<u>General procedure A: Automated Fmoc-Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis (SPPS) –</u>

<u>SYRO I automatic peptide synthesizer (Biotage).</u>

50 µmol of the amino acid loaded resin was treated with a solution of piperidine (40

vol%, 0.8 mL) in DMF for 3 min, drained, before repeat treatment with piperidine (20

vol%, 0.8 mL) in DMF for 10 min. The resin was then drained and washed with DMF

(4 x 1.2 mL) before addition of a solution of Fmoc-Xaa-OH (200 µmol, 4 eq.) and

Oxyma (4.4 eq.) in DMF (400 µL), followed by a solution of *N,N'-*

diisopropylcarbodiimide (4 eq.) in DMF (400 µL). The resin was then agitated at 75 °C

for 15 min or 50 °C for 30 min as specified [coupling of Fmoc-His(Trt)-OH and Fmoc-

Cys(Trt)-OH were reacted at 50 °C for 30 min in all instances]. The resin was then

drained via vacuum and one repeat treatment of the coupling conditions was

conducted. The resin was then washed with DMF (4 x 1.2 mL) before being treated

with a solution of 5 vol% Ac_2O and 10 vol% i-Pr_2NEt in DMF (1.6 mL) and agitated for

5 min to cap unreacted N-terminal amines on the growing peptide. The resin was then

drained and washed with DMF (4 x 1.6 mL). Iterative cycles of this process were

repeated until complete peptide elongation was achieved after which the resin was

washed with DMF (4 x 5 mL) and CH_2Cl_2 (5 x 5 mL). HCl counterion exchanges were

performed by dissolving the folded peptide in 0.1 M HCl and lyophilising on a freeze

drier. This HCl treatment and lyophilisation was repeated 6 times.

<u>Synthesis of Def26</u>

Scheme 1. Linear sequence of Def26.

The above linear sequence was synthesised according to General Procedure A on 2-

CTC resin which was loaded with Fmoc-Leu-OH. The peptide was then cleaved from

resin by treatment with 85:5:5:5 v/v/v/v TFA/triisopropylsilane/H_2O/ethanedithiol for 2

h at rt. The cleaved solution was collected, dried to ~1 mL under N_2 flow and the

peptide product was precipitated using diethyl ether (2 x 40 mL) and collected via

centrifugation. The crude linear peptide was then purified by preparative RP-HPLC (0

vol% CH$_3$CN + 0.1 vol% TFA for 10 min, then 0-50 vol% CH$_3$CN + 0.1 vol% TFA over

50 min) and lyophilised affording the linear Def26 as a white solid (10.14 mg, 4%).

Linear Def26 (5.5 mg, 1 eq) was first dissolved in 220 μL of rapid dilution buffer

containing TRIS (50 mM), NaCl (150 mM), guanidine.HCl (6 M), and tris(2-

carboxyethyl)phosphine (2 mM). This solution was then added gradually to a buffer

containing NaHCO$_3$ (200 mM), urea (2 M), GSH (1 mM), and GSSG (0.2 mM) in MilliQ

water to make up a 1 mg/mL peptide solution. The folding reaction was left for 16 h

without stirring. The folding progress was monitored through LC-MS, and a loss of 6

Da and a simultaneous retention time shift indicated completion of folding. The folded

peptide was then purified by semi-preparative RP-HPLC (0 vol% CH$_3$CN + 0.1% TFA

for 20 min, then 0-50 vol% CH$_3$CN + 0.1 vol% TFA over 50 min), affording the folded

Def26 as a white solid after lyophilization (1.07 mg, 19% isolated yield). Prior to

biological assays, the peptide was converted to the HCl salt through a HCl counterion

exchange.

Figure S2. Crude semi-preparative scale trace of folded Def26. The first 20 minutes of

the 0 vol% B + 0.1 % TFA wash is omitted for clarity. Arrow indicates the collected

folded peptide.

<u>Synthesis of DEFA5</u>

Scheme 3. Linear sequence of DEFA5.

The above linear sequence was synthesised according to General Procedure A on 2-

CTC resin which was loaded with Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH. The peptide was then cleaved

from resin by treatment with 85:5:5:5 v/v/v/v TFA/triisopropylsilane/H_2O/ethanedithiol

for 2 h at rt. The cleaved solution was collected, dried to ~1 mL under N_2 flow and the

peptide product was precipitated using diethyl ether (2 x 40 mL) and collected *via*

centrifugation. The crude linear peptide was then purified by preparative RP-HPLC (0

vol% CH_3CN + 0.1 vol% TFA for 10 min, then 0-50 vol% CH_3CN + 0.1 vol% TFA over

50 min), affording the linear DEFA5 as a white solid after lyophilisation (3.19 mg, 3%).

Linear DEFA5 (2.51 mg, 1 eq) was first dissolved in 100 µL of rapid dilution buffer

containing TRIS (50 mM), NaCl (150 mM), guanidine.HCl (6 M), and tris(2-

carboxyethyl)phosphine (2 mM). This solution was then added gradually to a buffer

containing NH_4OAc (330 mM), guanidine.HCl (500 mM), GSH (1 mM), and GSSG (0.2

mM) in MilliQ water to make up a 1 mg/mL peptide solution. The folding reaction was

then left for 40 h without stirring. The folding progress was determined through LC-

MS, and a loss of 6 Da and a simultaneous retention time shift indicated folding. The

folded peptide was then purified by semi-preparative RP-HPLC (0 vol% CH_3CN + 0.1%

TFA for 20 min, then 0-50 vol% CH_3CN + 0.1 vol% TFA over 50 min), affording the

folded DEFA5 as a white solid after lyophilisation (0.62 mg, 24% isolated yield). Prior

to biological assays, the peptide was converted to the HCl salt through a HCl

counterion exchange.

Scheme 4. Folded sequence of DEFA5.

Defensin feeding experiments

Prior to allocation into experimental groups, C57BL/6J and A/J mice underwent

baseline metabolic phenotyping was described above. Mice of each strain were then

fed a WD or a WD containing the luminal forms of either murine alpha-defensin 26

(Def26) or human alpha-defensin 5 (DEFA5) for 8 weeks. Synthetic peptides were

mixed into mouse diet by hand. Even distribution of peptides in food was monitored

by the addition of blue food dye which was used as a proxy for the distribution of

peptides throughout each batch. After eight weeks mice underwent a second bout of

metabolic phenotyping and assessment of gut permeability via FITC-dextran oral

gavage as previously described (48). Briefly mice were fasted for 4 hours (0900-1300)

before a baseline blood sample (50 uL) was taken from a tail incision. Mice were then

gavaged with 150 µl of 80 mg/ml FITC dextran (4kDa). After 4 hours, a second blood

sample was taken. Both samples (baseline and post-gavage were then centrifuged at

5,000 rpm for 10 minutes. Resulting plasma was then diluted 1:10 in PBS and

fluorescence was measured at 530 nm with excitation at 485 nm. Data was then

expressed as relative fluorescence units.

 Bile acid extraction

 50 µL of plasma thawed on ice was added to 150 µL of ice-cold acetonitrile containing

 5 pmoles of d4-cholic acid internal standard. Samples were vortexed for 30 s at

 maximum speed then centrifuged at 15,000 x *g* for 10 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble

 debris. 170 µL of supernatant was transferred to fused-insert HPLC vials, then vacuum

 centrifuged to dryness in an Eppendorf Concentrator Plus. Samples were

 reconstituted in 50 µL of 80:20 water:acetonitrile. All solvents were MS grade.

 Bile acid quantification

 Separation of bile acids was performed using a Nexera LC-40 UHPLC (Shimadzu,

 Rydalmere NSW) using a 2.1x 50 mm, 2.7 µm CORTECS C18 column (Waters,

 Rydalmere NSW) with a 7-minute binary gradient of 0.1% formic acid in water (A) and

 acetonitrile (B) at a flow rate of 0.9 mL/min. Initial gradient conditions of 83:17 A/B rose

 to 30% B at 1.2 min using curve setting 9. From 1.2 to 3.0 minutes, the proportion of

 B increased to 38% using curve -5, then rose to 100% at 4.3 min using curve setting

 5. The column was flushed at 100% B for 1.9 min before returning to initial conditions

 over 0.1 min and being held for 0.7 min. Column temperature was 50°C and injection

 volume was 0.5µL.

 MS data were acquired on a ZenoTOF 7600 (Sciex, Mulgrave VIC) quadrupole-time-

 of-flight tandem MS operating with electrospray ionisation in negative polarity. Intact

 bile acid precursor ions were detected using a TOF MS experiment with mass range

 200-600 Da and accumulation time 0.3 s. Source parameters were: Spray voltage: -

 4500 V, Temperature: 650°C, Ion source gas 1: 70psi, Ion source gas 2: 80psi, Curtain

 gas: 40psi. Declustering potential was set to -80 V, collision energy was -10 V and

CAD gas was set to 10 (arbitrary units). MS calibration was maintained by Calibrant

Delivery System auto-calibration at intervals of approximately 1 hour.

Raw data were acquired in a single batch with acquisition order randomised. Six

replicates of a sample pool were distributed through the batch to assess intra-batch

imprecision. Six replicate injections from a single vial were acquired to determine

instrument repeatability. Data analysis was performed with the Analytics module of

SCIEX OS (version 3.1.6). Chromatographic peaks were extracted with a width of 0.02

Da and integrated using the AutoPeak algorithm. Identification of bile acids was based

on both accurate precursor m/z and retention time matched to commercial standards

for all quantified bile acid species. Relative molar amounts for bile acids were

calculated by comparing raw peak areas relative to the internal standard. Average

mass accuracy was >1 ppm, with a range of +/- 2ppm across the run. %CVs were

calculated as peak area ratios relative to the internal standard.

Bile Acid	Precursor (Q1) Mass (Da) [M-H]-	Retention Time (min)	Peak Width (min)	%CV Instrument repeatability	%CV Intra-Batch Imprecision
d4 CA	411.3116	2.36	0.15		
CA	407.2803	2.36	0.11	1.17	2.15
DCA	391.2854	3.93	0.19	0.99	6.09
CDCA	391.2854	3.74	0.16	3.63	2.21
UDCA	391.2854	2.45	0.13	5.47	4.9
αMCA	407.2803	1.95	0.08	5.52	12.23
ßMCA	407.2803	2.01	0.09	1.81	2.45
ωMCA	407.2803	1.91 and 2.01	0.08	1.93	2.53
GCA	464.3018	1.94	0.08	1.66	3.25

LCA	375.2905	4.44	0.05	13.49	25.22
TCA	514.2844	1.71	0.10	2.17	5.32
TDCA	498.2895	2.1	0.15	1.99	6.75
TCDCA	498.2895	2.01	0.13	2	5.05
THDCA	498.2895	1.69	0.17	2.29	4.56
TUDCA	498.2895	1.69	0.17	2.29	4.56
TLCA	482.2946	2.88	0.29	1.93	10.3
TßMCA	514.2844	1.53	0.09	2.59	5.47
TωMCA	514.2844	1.5	0.07	3.65	5.89

Data analysis

All data analysis and visualisation were performed in either the R programming

environment (86) or GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California

USA). For protein correlation analysis the Matsuda Index was calculated using glucose

tolerance data before being log2 transformed. To correct for multiple testing, p-values

were adjusted using the q-value method in the R package *qvalue* (87).(87) Chi-square

tests for distribution differences within the data and two/one-way ANOVA tests for

group differences were performed in GraphPad Prism.

Acknowledgements

We'd like to thank the Sydney Mass Spectrometry facility and Large Animal Services
in the Charles Perkins Centre at the University of Sydney for mass spectrometry and
mouse housing support.

Funding

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (Laureate Fellowship) to
David James and by Diabetes Australia (General Grant) to Stewart Masson.

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 1 – Glucose tolerance and blood insulin concentrations

in Diversity Outbred founder strains. Blood glucose concentrations during a

glucose tolerance test in **A)** 129S1/SvlmJ, **B)** A/J, **C)** C57BL6/J, **D)** CAST/EiJ, **E)**

NOD/ShiLtJ, **F)** NZO/HILtJ, **G)** WSB/EiJ. **H)** Insulin concentration in Diversity Outbred

founder strains during a glucose tolerance test. Data are mean with biological

replicates are shown as individual data points or noted in figure. **** P < 0.0001, *** P

< 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05

Supplementary Figure 2 – Effect of alpha-defensin 5 supplementation on insulin sensitivity and

body composition in C57BL/6J mice. A) Body weight of mice fed either WD or WD+DEFA5. **B)** Fold-

change in adiposity in mice fed either WD or WD+DEFA5. **C)** Change in lean mass in mice fed either

WD or WD+DEFA5. **D)** Blood glucose concentrations in mice fed either WD or WD+DEFA5 during a

glucose tolerance test. **E)** Insulin concentrations in mice fed either WD or WD+DEFA5 during a glucose

tolerance test. Data are mean with biological replicates are shown as individual data points or in figure.

**** P < 0.0001, *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05

Supplementary Figure 3 – Effect of alpha-defensin 26 supplementation on tissue weights and gut health in C57BL6/J and A/J mice. A) Tissue weights in C57BL/6J mice fed either WD or WD + Def26. **B)** Tissue weights in A/J mice fed either WD or WD + Def26. **C)** Summed muscle (Gastrocnemius, Tibialis anterior, Quadriceps) weights in A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **D)** Relative gut permeability measured by FITC-dextran in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **E)** Small intestine length in C57BL/6J and A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. Data are mean with biological replicates are shown as individual data points. **** P < 0.0001, *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05

Supplementary Figure 4

Supplementary Figure 4 – Effect of alpha-defensin 26 (Def26) on microbial composition in western diet (WD)-fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. A) Alpha-diversity (Inverse Simpson) in WD and WD + Def26 fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. **B)** Visualisation of beta-diversity (ANCOM-BC) in WD and WD + Def26 fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. **C)** Relative abundances of microbial taxonomic orders in WD and WD + Def26 fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. **D)** Relative abundances of Clostrida, **E)** Bacilli, **F)** Bacteriodia, **G)** Desulfovibrionia, **H)** Verrucomicrobiae, **I)** Deferribacteres, **J)** Other taxonomic orders in WD and WD + Def26 fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. **K)** Correlation of *Lachnoclostridium spp.* with fasting insulin

813   concentrations in WD + Def26 fed C57BL/6J and A/J mice. Data are mean with biological replicates are

814   shown as individual data points. **** P < 0.0001, *** P < 0.001, ** P < 0.01, * P < 0.05

Supplementary Figure 5 – Correlation of plasma bile acid concentrations with metabolically microbial genera. A) Plasma bile acid concentrations in C57BL/6J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **B)** Plasma bile acid concentrations in A/J mice fed either a WD or a WD + Def26. **C)** Heatmap representation of correlation strength and direction between microbial genera which correlate with metabolic phenotypes and plasma bile acid concentrations. Filled cells represent p < 0.05 while colour denotes direction and strength of correlation.

1. James DE, Stockli J, Birnbaum MJ. The aetiology and molecular landscape of insulin resistance. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2021;22(11):751-71.

2. Diaz-Vegas A, Madsen S, Cooke KC, Carroll L, Khor JXY, Turner N, et al. Mitochondrial electron transport chain, ceramide, and coenzyme Q are linked in a pathway that drives insulin resistance in skeletal muscle. eLife. 2023;12:RP87340.

3. Summers SAJPilr. Ceramides in insulin resistance and lipotoxicity. 2006;45(1):42-72.

4. Hoehn KL, Salmon AB, Hohnen-Behrens C, Turner N, Hoy AJ, Maghzal GJ, et al. Insulin resistance is a cellular antioxidant defense mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(42):17787-92.

5. Fazakerley DJ, Minard AY, Krycer JR, Thomas KC, Stöckli J, Harney DJ, et al. Mitochondrial oxidative stress causes insulin resistance without disrupting oxidative phosphorylation. J Biol Chem. 2018;293(19):7315-28.

6. D'Souza RF, Masson SWC, Woodhead JST, James SL, MacRae C, Hedges CP, et al. α1-Antitrypsin A treatment attenuates neutrophil elastase accumulation and enhances insulin sensitivity in adipose tissue of mice fed a high-fat diet. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2021;321(4):E560-e70.

7. Merry TL, Brooks AES, Masson SW, Adams SE, Jaiswal JK, Jamieson SMF, et al. The CSF1 receptor inhibitor pexidartinib (PLX3397) reduces tissue macrophage levels without affecting glucose homeostasis in mice. Int J Obes (Lond). 2020;44(1):245-53.

8. Scott RA, Lagou V, Welch RP, Wheeler E, Montasser ME, Luan Ja, et al. Large-scale association analyses identify new loci influencing glycemic traits and provide insight into the underlying biological pathways. Nature Genetics. 2012;44(9):991-1005.

9. Parks BW, Sallam T, Mehrabian M, Psychogios N, Hui ST, Norheim F, et al. Genetic architecture of insulin resistance in the mouse. Cell Metab. 2015;21(2):334-47.

10. Williamson A, Norris DM, Yin X, Broadaway KA, Moxley AH, Vadlamudi S, et al. Genome-wide association study and functional characterization identifies candidate genes for insulin-stimulated glucose uptake. Nature Genetics. 2023;55(6):973-83.

11. Nelson ME, Madsen S, Cooke KC, Fritzen AM, Thorius IH, Masson SW, et al. Systems-level analysis of insulin action in mouse strains provides insight into tissue-and pathway-specific interactions that drive insulin resistance. Cell Metabolism. 2022.

12. van Gerwen J, Masson SWC, Cutler HB, Vegas AD, Potter M, Stöckli J, et al. The genetic and dietary landscape of the muscle insulin signalling network. Elife. 2024;12.

13. Karimkhanloo H, Keenan SN, Bayliss J, De Nardo W, Miotto PM, Devereux CJ, et al. Mouse strain-dependent variation in metabolic associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD): a comprehensive resource tool for pre-clinical studies. Scientific Reports. 2023;13(1):4711.

14. Benegiamo G, von Alvensleben GVG, Rodríguez-López S, Goeminne LJE, Bachmann AM, Morel JD, et al. The genetic background shapes the susceptibility to mitochondrial dysfunction and NASH progression. J Exp Med. 2023;220(4).

15.   Bachmann AM, Morel JD, El Alam G, Rodríguez-López S, Imamura de Lima T, Goeminne LJE, et
al. Genetic background and sex control the outcome of high-fat diet feeding in mice. iScience.
2022;25(6):104468.
16.   Churchill GA, Gatti DM, Munger SC, Svenson KL. The Diversity Outbred mouse population.
Mamm Genome. 2012;23(9-10):713-8.
17.   Chesler EJ, Gatti DM, Morgan AP, Strobel M, Trepanier L, Oberbeck D, et al. Diversity Outbred
Mice at 21: Maintaining Allelic Variation in the Face of Selection. G3 (Bethesda). 2016;6(12):3893-902.
18.   Gatti DM, Svenson KL, Shabalin A, Wu L-Y, Valdar W, Simecek P, et al. Quantitative Trait Locus
Mapping Methods for Diversity Outbred Mice. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2014;4(9):1623-33.
19.   Svenson KL, Gatti DM, Valdar W, Welsh CE, Cheng R, Chesler EJ, et al. High-resolution genetic
mapping using the Mouse Diversity outbred population. Genetics. 2012;190(2):437-47.
20.   Masson SWC, Madsen S, Cooke KC, Potter M, Vegas AD, Carroll L, et al. Leveraging genetic
diversity to identify small molecules that reverse mouse skeletal muscle insulin resistance. Elife.
2023;12.
21.   Thillainadesan S, Lambert A, Cooke KC, Stöckli J, Yau B, Masson SWC, et al. The metabolic
consequences of 'yo-yo' dieting are markedly influenced by genetic diversity. Int J Obes (Lond). 2024.
22.   Nadeau JH, Auwerx J. The virtuous cycle of human genetics and mouse models in drug
discovery. Nature Reviews Drug Discovery. 2019;18(4):255-72.
23.   Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, Goodman AL, Gordon JI. Human nutrition, the gut microbiome
and the immune system. Nature. 2011;474(7351):327-36.
24.   Hunt JE, Hartmann B, Schoonjans K, Holst JJ, Kissow H. Dietary Fiber Is Essential to Maintain
Intestinal Size, L-Cell Secretion, and Intestinal Integrity in Mice. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne).
2021;12:640602.
25.   Berg RD. Bacterial translocation from the gastrointestinal tract. Adv Exp Med Biol.
1999;473:11-30.
26.   De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Zitoun C, Duchampt A, Bäckhed F, Mithieux G.
Microbiota-Produced Succinate Improves Glucose Homeostasis via Intestinal Gluconeogenesis. Cell
Metab. 2016;24(1):151-7.
27.   Canfora EE, Meex RCR, Venema K, Blaak EE. Gut microbial metabolites in obesity, NAFLD and
T2DM. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2019;15(5):261-73.
28.   Plovier H, Everard A, Druart C, Depommier C, Van Hul M, Geurts L, et al. A purified membrane
protein from Akkermansia muciniphila or the pasteurized bacterium improves metabolism in obese
and diabetic mice. Nat Med. 2017;23(1):107-13.
29.   Yoon HS, Cho CH, Yun MS, Jang SJ, You HJ, Kim J-h, et al. Akkermansia muciniphila secretes a
glucagon-like peptide-1-inducing protein that improves glucose homeostasis and ameliorates
metabolic disease in mice. Nature Microbiology. 2021;6(5):563-73.
30.   Wahlström A, Sayin SI, Marschall HU, Bäckhed F. Intestinal Crosstalk between Bile Acids and
Microbiota and Its Impact on Host Metabolism. Cell Metab. 2016;24(1):41-50.
31.   Kreznar JH, Keller MP, Traeger LL, Rabaglia ME, Schueler KL, Stapleton DS, et al. Host Genotype
and Gut Microbiome Modulate Insulin Secretion and Diet-Induced Metabolic Phenotypes. Cell
Reports. 2017;18(7):1739-50.
32.   Qiu Y, Yu J, Li Y, Yang F, Yu H, Xue M, et al. Depletion of gut microbiota induces skeletal muscle
atrophy by FXR-FGF15/19 signalling. Ann Med. 2021;53(1):508-22.
33.   Zhao L, Yang W, Chen Y, Huang F, Lu L, Lin C, et al. A Clostridia-rich microbiota enhances bile
acid excretion in diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. The Journal of Clinical Investigation.
2024;130(1):438-50.
34.   Lopera-Maya EA, Kurilshikov A, van der Graaf A, Hu S, Andreu-Sánchez S, Chen L, et al. Effect
of host genetics on the gut microbiome in 7,738 participants of the Dutch Microbiome Project. Nature
Genetics. 2022;54(2):143-51.
35.   Goodrich JK, Davenport ER, Beaumont M, Jackson MA, Knight R, Ober C, et al. Genetic
Determinants of the Gut Microbiome in UK Twins. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19(5):731-43.

36.   Zhang Q, Linke V, Overmyer KA, Traeger LL, Kasahara K, Miller IJ, et al. Genetic mapping of
microbial and host traits reveals production of immunomodulatory lipids by Akkermansia muciniphila
in the murine gut. Nat Microbiol. 2023;8(3):424-40.

37.   Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance
testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. Diabetes Care. 1999;22(9):1462-70.

38.   Patil AA, Cai Y, Sang Y, Blecha F, Zhang G. Cross-species analysis of the mammalian beta-
defensin gene family: presence of syntenic gene clusters and preferential expression in the male
reproductive tract. Physiol Genomics. 2005;23(1):5-17.

39.   Nakamura S, Nakamura K, Yokoi Y, Shimizu Y, Ohira S, Hagiwara M, et al. Decreased Paneth
cell α-defensins promote fibrosis in a choline-deficient L-amino acid-defined high-fat diet-induced
mouse model of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis via disrupting intestinal microbiota. Sci Rep.
2023;13(1):3953.

40.   Li J, Li X, Song J, Yan B, Rock SA, Jia J, et al. Absence of neurotensin attenuates intestinal
dysbiosis and inflammation by maintaining Mmp7/α-defensin axis in diet-induced obese mice. Faseb
j. 2020;34(6):8596-610.

41.   Oh YT, Tran D, Buchanan TA, Selsted ME, Youn JH. θ-Defensin RTD-1 improves insulin action
and normalizes plasma glucose and FFA levels in diet-induced obese rats. Am J Physiol Endocrinol
Metab. 2015;309(2):E154-60.

42.   Larsen IS, Fritzen AM, Carl CS, Agerholm M, Damgaard MTF, Holm JB, et al. Human Paneth cell
α-defensin-5 treatment reverses dyslipidemia and improves glucoregulatory capacity in diet-induced
obese mice. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2019;317(1):E42-e52.

43.   Ganz T. Defensins: antimicrobial peptides of innate immunity. Nat Rev Immunol.
2003;3(9):710-20.

44.   Li Z, Zhang B, Wang N, Zuo Z, Wei H, Zhao F. A novel peptide protects against diet-induced
obesity by suppressing appetite and modulating the gut microbiota. Gut. 2023;72(4):686.

45.   Depommier C, Everard A, Druart C, Plovier H, Van Hul M, Vieira-Silva S, et al. Supplementation
with Akkermansia muciniphila in overweight and obese human volunteers: a proof-of-concept
exploratory study. Nat Med. 2019;25(7):1096-103.

46.   Gulati AS, Shanahan MT, Arthur JC, Grossniklaus E, von Furstenberg RJ, Kreuk L, et al. Mouse
background strain profoundly influences Paneth cell function and intestinal microbial composition.
PLoS One. 2012;7(2):e32403.

47.   Franck C, Foster SR, Johansen-Leete J, Chowdhury S, Cielesh M, Bhusal RP, et al. Semisynthesis
of an evasin from tick saliva reveals a critical role of tyrosine sulfation for chemokine binding and
inhibition. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2020;117(23):12657-64.

48.   Tagesson C, Sjödahl R, Thorén B. Passage of molecules through the wall of the gastrointestinal
tract. I. A simple experimental model. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1978;13(5):519-24.

49.   Tamai Y, Eguchi A, Shigefuku R, Kitamura H, Tempaku M, Sugimoto R, et al. Association of
lithocholic acid with skeletal muscle hypertrophy through TGR5-IGF-1 and skeletal muscle mass in
cultured mouse myotubes, chronic liver disease rats and humans. eLife. 2022;11:e80638.

50.   Düfer M, Hörth K, Wagner R, Schittenhelm B, Prowald S, Wagner TFJ, et al. Bile Acids Acutely
Stimulate Insulin Secretion of Mouse β-Cells via Farnesoid X Receptor Activation and KATP Channel
Inhibition. Diabetes. 2012;61(6):1479-89.

51.   Krzywinski M, Birol I, Jones SJ, Marra MA. Hive plots--rational approach to visualizing
networks. Brief Bioinform. 2012;13(5):627-44.

52.   Takeuchi T, Kubota T, Nakanishi Y, Tsugawa H, Suda W, Kwon AT, et al. Gut microbial
carbohydrate metabolism contributes to insulin resistance. Nature. 2023;621(7978):389-95.

53.   Dabke K, Hendrick G, Devkota S. The gut microbiome and metabolic syndrome. J Clin Invest.
2019;129(10):4050-7.

54.   Liu L, Zhang J, Cheng Y, Zhu M, Xiao Z, Ruan G, et al. Gut microbiota: A new target for T2DM
prevention and treatment. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:958218.

55.   Hu Y, Flockhart I, Vinayagam A, Bergwitz C, Berger B, Perrimon N, et al. An integrative approach to ortholog prediction for disease-focused and other functional studies. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12:357.

56.   Costanzo MC, von Grotthuss M, Massung J, Jang D, Caulkins L, Koesterer R, et al. The Type 2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal: An open access genetic resource dedicated to type 2 diabetes and related traits. Cell Metab. 2023;35(4):695-710.e6.

57.   Nunn E, Jaiswal N, Gavin M, Uehara K, Stefkovich M, Drareni K, et al. Antibody blockade of activin type II receptors preserves skeletal muscle mass and enhances fat loss during GLP-1 receptor agonism. Mol Metab. 2024;80:101880.

58.   Argyrakopoulou G, Gitsi E, Konstantinidou SK, Kokkinos A. The effect of obesity pharmacotherapy on body composition, including muscle mass. Int J Obes (Lond). 2024.

59.   Kriaa A, Bourgin M, Potiron A, Mkaouar H, Jablaoui A, Gérard P, et al. Microbial impact on cholesterol and bile acid metabolism: current status and future prospects. J Lipid Res. 2019;60(2):323-32.

60.   Pathak P, Xie C, Nichols RG, Ferrell JM, Boehme S, Krausz KW, et al. Intestine farnesoid X receptor agonist and the gut microbiota activate G-protein bile acid receptor-1 signaling to improve metabolism. Hepatology. 2018;68(4):1574-88.

61.   Cariou B, van Harmelen K, Duran-Sandoval D, van Dijk TH, Grefhorst A, Abdelkarim M, et al. The farnesoid X receptor modulates adiposity and peripheral insulin sensitivity in mice. J Biol Chem. 2006;281(16):11039-49.

62.   Renga B, Mencarelli A, Vavassori P, Brancaleone V, Fiorucci S. The bile acid sensor FXR regulates insulin transcription and secretion. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1802(3):363-72.

63.   Zhou W, Akinrotimi O, Dadlani N, Anakk S. FXR Regulates Adipose Tissue Remodeling during Obesity. The FASEB Journal. 2021;35(S1).

64.   Correia JC, Massart J, de Boer JF, Porsmyr-Palmertz M, Martínez-Redondo V, Agudelo LZ, et al. Bioenergetic cues shift FXR splicing towards FXRα2 to modulate hepatic lipolysis and fatty acid metabolism. Molecular Metabolism. 2015;4(12):891-902.

65.   Sayin SI, Wahlström A, Felin J, Jäntti S, Marschall HU, Bamberg K, et al. Gut microbiota regulates bile acid metabolism by reducing the levels of tauro-beta-muricholic acid, a naturally occurring FXR antagonist. Cell Metab. 2013;17(2):225-35.

66.   Orozco-Aguilar J, Tacchi F, Aguirre F, Valero-Breton M, Castro-Sepulveda M, Simon F, et al. Ursodeoxycholic acid induces sarcopenia associated with decreased protein synthesis and autophagic flux. Biological Research. 2023;56(1):28.

67.   Mancin L, Wu GD, Paoli A. Gut microbiota-bile acid-skeletal muscle axis. Trends Microbiol. 2023;31(3):254-69.

68.   Ueno S, Seino Y, Hidaka S, Nakatani M, Hitachi K, Murao N, et al. Blockade of glucagon increases muscle mass and alters fiber type composition in mice deficient in proglucagon-derived peptides. J Diabetes Investig. 2023;14(9):1045-55.

69.   Adeva-Andany MM, Fernández-Fernández C, López-Pereiro Y, Castro-Calvo I, Carneiro-Freire N. The effects of glucagon and the target of rapamycin (TOR) on skeletal muscle protein synthesis and age-dependent sarcopenia in humans. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN. 2021;44:15-25.

70.   Fujita S, Rasmussen BB, Cadenas JG, Grady JJ, Volpi E. Effect of insulin on human skeletal muscle protein synthesis is modulated by insulin-induced changes in muscle blood flow and amino acid availability. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2006;291(4):E745-54.

71.   Samols E, Marri G, Marks V. Interrelationship of glucagon, insulin and glucose. The insulinogenic effect of glucagon. Diabetes. 1966;15(12):855-66.

72.   Ishihara H, Maechler P, Gjinovci A, Herrera PL, Wollheim CB. Islet beta-cell secretion determines glucagon release from neighbouring alpha-cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2003;5(4):330-5.

73.   Lahiri S, Kim H, Garcia-Perez I, Reza MM, Martin KA, Kundu P, et al. The gut microbiota influences skeletal muscle mass and function in mice. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11(502).

74.   Nay K, Jollet M, Goustard B, Baati N, Vernus B, Pontones M, et al. Gut bacteria are critical for
optimal muscle function: a potential link with glucose homeostasis. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.
2019;317(1):E158-e71.
75.   Okamoto T, Morino K, Ugi S, Nakagawa F, Lemecha M, Ida S, et al. Microbiome potentiates
endurance exercise through intestinal acetate production. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.
2019;316(5):E956-e66.
76.   Safari Z, Bruneau A, Monnoye M, Mariadassou M, Philippe C, Zatloukal K, et al. Murine Genetic
Background Overcomes Gut Microbiota Changes to Explain Metabolic Response to High-Fat Diet.
Nutrients. 2020;12(2).
77.   Kootte RS, Levin E, Salojärvi J, Smits LP, Hartstra AV, Udayappan SD, et al. Improvement of
Insulin Sensitivity after Lean Donor Feces in Metabolic Syndrome Is Driven by Baseline Intestinal
Microbiota Composition. Cell Metab. 2017;26(4):611-9.e6.
78.   Zhou J, Ho V. Role of Baseline Gut Microbiota on Response to Fiber Intervention in Individuals
with Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Nutrients. 2023;15(22).
79.   Morgan AP, Fu CP, Kao CY, Welsh CE, Didion JP, Yadgary L, et al. The Mouse Universal
Genotyping Array: From Substrains to Subspecies. G3 (Bethesda). 2015;6(2):263-79.
80.   Broman KW, Gatti DM, Svenson KL, Sen Ś, Churchill GA. Cleaning Genotype Data from Diversity
Outbred Mice. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2019;9(5):1571-9.
81.   Broman KW, Gatti DM, Simecek P, Furlotte NA, Prins P, Sen Ś, et al. R/qtl2: Software for
Mapping Quantitative Trait Loci with High-Dimensional Data and Multiparent Populations. Genetics.
2019;211(2):495-502.
82.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJ, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-resolution
sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13(7):581-3.
83.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and
graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e61217.
84.   Lin H, Peddada SD. Analysis of compositions of microbiomes with bias correction. Nat
Commun. 2020;11(1):3514.
85.   Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. Nat Protoc. 2007;2(8):1896-906.
86.   R Core Team R. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013.
87.   Dabney A, Storey JD, Warnes GJRpv. qvalue: Q-value estimation for false discovery rate
control. 2010;1(0).

1043