# GW: ultra-fast chromosome-scale visualisation of genomics data

Kez Cleal[1*], Alexander Kearsey[1], Duncan M. Baird[1]

[1] Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: clealk@cardiff.ac.uk; Correspondence may also be addressed to Duncan M Baird: bairddm@cardiff.ac.uk

Keywords: genome browser, sequencing data, genome visualisation.

## Abstract

Genome-Wide (GW) is an interactive genome browser that expedites analysis of aligned sequencing reads and data tracks, and introduces novel interfaces for exploring, annotating and quantifying data. GW's high-performance design enables rapid rendering of data at speeds approaching the file reading rate, in addition to removing the memory constraints of visualizing large regions. We report substantial gains in performance and demonstrate GW's utility in exploring massive genomic regions or chromosomes without requiring additional processing.

Genome browsers such as the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) and JBrowse2 (1, 2) are

invaluable for exploring aligned sequencing reads and verifying variant calls, but lack the speed

offered by high-performance libraries and frameworks. In particular, current tools struggle to

visualise large genomic regions which is a common need when investigating complex

chromosomal rearrangements that can encompass megabases (3, 4). Exploring collections of

variants and manually annotating data remains cumbersome due to slow loading times or

requiring static image generation.

Here we describe GW, an interactive browser for rapidly visualising aligned sequencing

reads, data tracks and genome-variation datasets, featuring a multithreaded architecture for

desktop or command-line use on multiple platforms, including cloud environments (e.g. AWS

servers or the Genomics England Research Environment) (Fig. 1a-c, Supplementary

Movie1.mp4, Supplementary Table1).

GW supports extensive data interactions using 35 built-in commands, enabling users to

load, save, navigate and search files, filter and count reads, change appearances and organise

data (Fig. 1e-g). Data is displayed using either the 'alignment-view' or 'image-view'. With the

alignment-view (Fig. 1b), sequencing reads are arranged as stacks with colours representing

information such as mismatches or discordant reads. Coverage profiles appear above and users

can arrange multiple files and regions in rows and columns. Data tracks are placed below, and

can be expanded, collapsed, and dynamically resized. Interactive elements display information

to the terminal (Fig. 1c). GW supports themes including 'slate' (shown) and 'dark' to reduce

eye strain, and 'igv' (Supplementary Fig. 1) (1).

The 'image-view' (Fig. 1d) organises images into a grid, simplifying exploration and curation

of large datasets. Images can be loaded from disk or generated in real-time from files, while

right-clicking an image will switch between alignment and image-views, permitting static-

image exploration and dynamic data interactions. Multiple input files are supported for

comparing variant sets and manual curation is facilitated by customizable labels or information

parsed from input VCF's. Labels are displayed over thumbnail images, and can be cycled,

tagged with comments, loaded from disk, or encoded within files (Fig. 1d).

GW can create static images from variant files, reference genome indexes, or genome

coordinates, and can save images of every chromosome in <1min30s (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Images can later be explored as filenames encode genome locations. Loading of chromosome-

images alongside bam files (Supplementary Fig. 3), permits right-clicking on a chromosome-

image which switches the user to the alignment-view, zooming into a 500kb genome region

for rapid data exploration.

GW also introduces new tools for data analysis not available in other browsers, including

composable filtering and counting commands, allowing for tasks such as counting the number

of reads that support a structural variant (SV), eliminating the need for manual counting or

extra tools (Fig. 1e, f). Eight additional analysis tasks are provided as examples (Supplementary

Fig. 4), including filtering/counting reads containing kmers (e.g. 'count seq contains TTAGGG'

or 'filter seq omit AAAAAA'), regional-overlaps ('filter pos >= 10000 and ref-end < 20000'), or

sam-tag properties ('count AS > 100', 'filter NM = 0'), which would be difficult or impossible

using other browsers. Additional display modalities in GW include drawing modified bases,

representing SV patterns using coloured arcs (Fig. 1g, h), and scaling read-position by template-

length (Supplementary Fig. 5).

GW links to online databases using the 'online' command, providing web-links to UCSC,

Gnomad, Ensemble, NCBI and DECIPHER browsers with the matching genome location as seen

in GW, making it straightforward to compare samples against data tracks and population or

disease databases.

We compared GW's speed to other browsers like IGV and JBrowse2 (jb2export plugin),

along with static image tools like samplot (1, 2, 5–7). GW generated images close to the file

reading speed (Supplementary Fig. 6), significantly outpacing other tools on all datasets

(Supplementary Fig. 7-12, Supplementary_Data.xlsx). For example, compared to IGV using a 2

Mb region size, GW showed >143-fold improvement in rendering speed (median 197-fold),

while also using up to 110-fold less memory (Illumina data). Using a smartphone, GW was >30×

quicker than IGV on a desktop. For large-scale analysis, GW visualized chr8 (146Mb, 26×

coverage) from a sample with a complex rearrangement pattern (4) in 7.2 seconds using

736Mb of memory, showcasing its efficiency in processing entire chromosomes without

additional steps (Fig. 1h).

Future work will focus on adapting GW for rendering alignments against pangenome graphs.

In summary, GW permits rapid exploring, analysing and annotating genomic data on a much

larger scale.

100
Fig. 1. Genome browsing and variant annotation using GW. (a) GW accepts common data
formats and uses libraries such as Skia and htslib for faster visualization. (b) The alignment-
view displays a reference genome with scale bar (1), coverage and SNP profiles (2), stacked
reads (3), and data tracks below (4). An SV is indicated with a colored arc (5). An ideogram is
overlayed with a box to indicate chromosome location that can be used as a slider to change
position (6). (c) Access commands and functions via the bubble (6) or the command box (7).
Data interactions (8) display information to the terminal (9). (d) The image-view displays a grid
of images, enabling manual annotation. GW supports versatile filtering (e) and counting (f)
commands and supports display of multiple base modifications simultaneously (g). (h) Dynamic
visualization of chr8 (146Mb), showing a complex rearrangement pattern and copy-number
profile, where the white arrow shows the location of (a).
112
113
114

115
116

## References

118
119

1. Robinson,J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir,H., Wenger,A.M., Zehir,A. and Mesirov,J.P. (2017) Variant
Review with the Integrative Genomics Viewer. *Cancer Research*, **77**, e31–e34.

2. Diesh,C., Stevens,G.J., Xie,P., Martinez,T.D.J., Hershberg,E.A., Leung,A., Guo,E., Dider,S.,
Zhang,J., Bridge,C., *et al.* (2022) JBrowse 2: A modular genome browser with views of
synteny and structural variation. 10.1101/2022.07.28.501447.

3. Rausch,T., Snajder,R., Leger,A., Simovic,M., Giurgiu,M., Villacorta,L., Henssen,A.G.,
Fröhling,S., Stegle,O., Birney,E., *et al.* (2023) Long-read sequencing of diagnosis and
post-therapy medulloblastoma reveals complex rearrangement patterns and
epigenetic signatures. *Cell Genomics*, **3**, 100281.

4. Cleal,K., Jones,R.E., Grimstead,J.W., Hendrickson,E.A. and Baird,D.M. (2019)
Chromothripsis during telomere crisis is independent of NHEJ, and consistent with a
replicative origin. *Genome Research*, **29**, 737–749.

5. Kwon,M., Lee,S., Berselli,M., Chu,C. and Park,P.J. (2021) BamSnap: a lightweight viewer for
sequencing reads in BAM files. *Bioinformatics*, **37**, 263–264.

6. Belyeu,J.R., Chowdhury,M., Brown,J., Pedersen,B.S., Cormier,M.J., Quinlan,A.R. and
Layer,R.M. (2021) Samplot: a platform for structural variant visual validation and
automated filtering. *Genome Biol*, **22**, 161.

7. Spies,N., Zook,J., Sidow,A. and Salit,M. (2018) genomeview - an extensible python-based
genomics visualization engine. 10.1101/355636.

8. Bonfield,J.K., Marshall,J., Danecek,P., Li,H., Ohan,V., Whitwham,A., Keane,T. and
Davies,R.M. (2021) HTSlib: C library for reading/writing high-throughput sequencing
data. *Gigascience*, **10**, giab007.

9. Skia (2024).

10. GLFW (2024).

11. Li,H. (2013) Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. *arXiv:1303.3997 [q-bio]*.

12. Peter,D. (2023) hyperfine.

13. Zook,J.M., Hansen,N.F., Olson,N.D., Chapman,L., Mullikin,J.C., Xiao,C., Sherry,S., Koren,S.,
Phillippy,A.M., Boutros,P.C., *et al.* (2020) A robust benchmark for detection of
germline large deletions and insertions. *Nature Biotechnology*, **38**, 1347–1355.

## Online Methods

Fast data rendering

GW relies on several high-performance libraries to achieve fast data rendering, including htslib (8) for alignment file reading, Skia for fast 2D vector graphics rendering (9), and GLFW for window management (10). GW is written in C++ and has an efficient design, where for smaller regions minimal data is buffered in memory, while for larger regions, alignment data can be streamed without buffering.

GW employs various Level Of Detail (LOD) thresholds according to the region size being displayed, above which certain image details are not drawn, or elided. These user-customisable threshold are designed to both increase the clarity of images and speed up rendering. For example, above a region size of 20 kb, the reference track is not drawn, whilst above 500 kb, mismatches are not drawn. Another method to speed up drawing is using gap elision, where deletion-gaps in alignments are not drawn if they are too small to be visualised on-screen, occurring when their size falls below a threshold (1 + region-size / image-width), where width is measured in pixels and region-size is in base-pairs.

Window management and graphics

GW utilizes the GLFW library for managing user inputs via the keyboard, mouse or other devices like a touchpad, and managing the interface between the operating system window and a graphics surface which can reside in GPU memory or main memory. For drawing, GW uses the Skia graphics library from Google, which translates drawing calls to a target graphics API, and manages drawing batches. For systems other than MacOS, Skia is built with the EGL

backend allowing the use of the OpenGL ES API, whilst for MacOS the native OpenGL API is

utilised.

When rendering a static image, GW creates a graphics context in main memory rather

than on the GPU which allows for efficient parallel drawing between multiple threads and

multiple contexts. When rendering to screen, surfaces are created on both the GPU and CPU

side. Most rendering is carried out on the CPU side using a raster surface. As most draw

operations consist of simple rectangles, these are efficiently handled by the CPU, and we

found transporting these commands to the GPU incurred a non-trivial time penalty which

was large enough to warrant performing rendering using a raster surface. Once the frame has

been rendered to the raster surface, the image is transferred to the GPU surface for display

using the GLFW interface.

Each frame rendered by GW is cached, allowing GW to redraw only sections of the screen

that have experienced on update since the last frame. For example, for multi-sample or

multi-region views, updates to one screen panel do not invoke re-processing or redrawing of

other panels which speeds up the frame rate.

Finding Y-position of reads

The on-screen y position, or row, of reads is determined before drawing and can take an

integer value in the range [-1, ylim], where ylim defaults to 60 but can also be set by the user.

Reads that are out-of-view will have ylim = -1. To determine the on-screen position of reads,

an end-array is used with length equal to ylim, with each value representing the reference

end position of each row. For each incoming read, the y-position is set as the index in the

end-array whose value is less than the alignment position. The value in the end-array is then

updated to reflect the reference-end position of the new read. This operation is used to

append reads to the right-hand-side of the read queue. To append reads to the left-hand-side

of the read queue a separate start-array is used (length = ylim) that performs the opposite

function, keeping track of the start position of each row of reads. Left-appending reads would

ideally require input reads to be sorted by reference-end position, although reading the

alignment file returns reads sorted by reference start. To avoid having to sort input reads,

reads are appended to the left-hand side in reverse order. Using these arrays allows for

appending new reads to either side of the read queue in linear time without needing to re-

analyse buffered data.

Fast scrolling

To speed up drawing GW buffers in-view data only, up until a threshold region size is

reached which is 1.5Mb by default. Above this threshold then the entire frame is rendered by

streaming data from disk which removes the memory constraints of visualising large regions.

However, below this threshold, to speed up scrolling, GW reuses buffered reads from the

previous frame and only loads reads from newly requested regions, whilst avoiding fetching

reads from out-of-view loci, which reduces file loading pressure. Newly fetched data is added

to the left or right-hand-side of the read queue, maintaining position sorted-order and any out-

of-view data is dropped. Zooming-out involves appending reads to both sides of the queue,

whilst zooming-in involves culling reads from both sides of the queue. To ensure stacks of

alignments remain in the same position on-screen during scrolling and zooming, the y-positions

of new read alignments are determined using the end-array and start-array.

Grid layout and image generation

GW can display images in a grid layout by loading files from the filesystem (png format), or

generating images on the fly from a VCF/BCF or BED files. Files loaded from disk are processed

in parallel. Images are stored in memory making scrolling to previously-seen images much

faster. If the window size changes or there is a change to image settings, or the refresh

command is used, buffered images are discarded.

Filtering and counting reads

GW supports 'filter' and 'count' commands that can accept a simple expression for filtering

and quantifying aligned sequencing reads. Reads can be filtered or counted using an expression

of the form '{property} {operation} {value}', where a 'property' relates to the alignment,

'operation' is a standard operator, and 'value' is the desired filtering value. Currently, over 40

different properties can be used, derived from attributes of the alignment (mapq, pos, tlen

etc), flag values ('paired', 'proper-pair' etc or numeric values, 1, 2, respectively), or standard

SAM-tag values (Alignment score AS, Edit distance NM etc). These properties can be combined

with up to 10 operators which include common comparison operators (greater than '>', equal

to '==' etc) as well as bitwise '&' operator, and sequence specific operators ('contains' and its

opposite 'omit'). The value side of the expression is chosen by the user and is typically a

numeric value, but can also be a string value. Expressions can also be chained to create more

complex subsets of the data and may be useful for many analytical tasks that would normally

require additional bioinformatics tools. To illustrate some brief examples, sub setting the data

by mapping quality, insert size or location-of-mate is possible, and more complex analysis such

as counting the intersection of reads with a genome feature, or filtering/selecting reads by the

occurrence of a kmer is also possible.

Benchmarking

We benchmarked visualisation tools using paired-end Illumina data from the HG002 human

sample  sequenced to 40X coverage (148bp reads), and PacBio HiFi data at around 8X. Reads

were aligned to the ucsc.hg19 reference using bwa mem (v0.7.17, paired-end reads), or

minimap2 (v2.21 long-reads) (11). The benchmark scripts (benchmark.py and plot.py) and

results     are     available     online     in     the     GW     repository     at

https://github.com/kcleal/gw/tree/master/test. GW (v0.10.1), IGV (v2.16.0), JBrowse2 (v2.4.0,

jb2export "img" plugin), samplot (v1.3.0), and genomeview (v1.1.4) were invoked from the

command line to generate a single image of a target region. Regions that overlapped gaps in

the reference genome were ignored, as were regions with zero coverage. Sampled regions

(n=20) were the same for each application. All tools were run in single-thread mode, while GW

and IGV were also tested using 4 threads. Mean elapsed wall time was measured using

hyperfine (12), and memory (resident set size) were measured using the GNU-time application.

The time taken to visualize a 2bp region was taken as the initialisation time of the application,

which included task such as file opening, saving of the image and application shutdown. For

larger regions, the additional time taken on top of this was taken as the render time of the

application. The maximum speed that the alignment file could be read was approximated as

the average time to run "samtools view -c -@{t}" to count the number of reads in the region,

employing the same number of threads as the comparison visualization tool, setting {t} to

either 1 or 4. The benchmark was run on an Intel i9-11900K (Iris graphics), NVMe WD 2TB, 64

GB memory. If a tool took more than 3 hours to complete the benchmark, it was not included

in the results. For displaying modified bases, GW was compared to IGV as other tools either

did not support modified bases, or we were unable to configure the tool to do so using their

command-line interface.

Benchmarking of GW was also performed on a Google Pixel 6 smartphone, using HG002 40X

Illumina sample. Here, the benchmark was limited to chromosome 1 due to space limitations

and execution time was measured using hyperfine (12). Movie1.mp4 was generated on a

MacBook Pro M2 16 Gb memory.

## 279     Data Availability
280
The HG002 human sample (13), sequenced using Illumina (40× coverage), was downloaded

from                                       GIAB                                     ftp://ftp-

trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/giab/ftp/data/AshkenazimTrio/HG002_NA24385_son/NIST_HiSeq_HG

002_Homogeneity-10953946/HG002Run01-11419412/HG002run1_S1.bam). PacBio HiFi data

for HG002 (8× coverage) was downloaded from SRA (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under

accession SRR10188368. ONT data from HG002, sequenced using the R9.4.1 HAC protocol, was

downloaded from SRA accession SRR11537600. Additionally, ONT data from HG002, sequenced

using the "Kit14" workflow with methylation base calls, was downloaded from AWS s3://ont-open-

data/giab_2023.05/analysis/hg002/sup/, sample PAO89685. A synthetic high-coverage sample

was created by duplicating the PAO89685 sample. The benchmark script and results can be found

online in the GW repository at https://github.com/kcleal/gw/tree/master/test. Sample DB53

has been reported previously in (4), and is available from NCBI BioProject PRJNA417592.

## 294     Availability of supporting source code and requirements

295
Project name: GW (Genome Wide)

Project homepage: https://github.com/kcleal/gw

Operating system(s): unix based, Windows.

Programming language: C++

Other requirements: glfw, htslib

License: MIT

# Abbreviations

304    IGV Integrative Genome Viewer, GIAB Genome In A Bottle consortium, GPU graphics

305    processing unit, NVMe non-volatile memory express, SV structural variant, ONT Oxford

306    Nanopore Technologies, AWS Amazon Web Services.

# Conflict of interest disclosure

310    The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

# Funding

314    Work in the Baird laboratory is funded by Cancer Research UK (C17199/A29202) and the
315    Wales Cancer Research Centre.

# Author contributions

319    KC designed and wrote the software, performed experiments, and wrote the manuscript.
320    AK contributed code, help create distributions and design ideas, and tested the software. DMB
321    provided feedback and performed manuscript editing. All authors read and approved the final
322    manuscript.

324