Title

A single microRNA miR-195 rescues the arrested B cell development induced by EBF1 deficiency

Authors

Y. Miyatake[1], T. Ikawa[2], R. Yanagiya[1], R. Kotaki[1], K. Kameda[1], R. Koyama-Nasu[3,4], K. Okuyama[5], K. Hirano[6], H. Hosokawa[6], K. Hozumi[6], M. Ohtsuka[7], T. Kishikawa[8], C. Shibata[8], M. Otsuka[9], R. Maruyama[10], K. Ando[11], T. Kurosaki[12,13,14], H. Kawamoto[15], and A. Kotani[1*]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Innovative Medical Science, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Kanagawa, 259-1193, Japan

[2] Division of Immunology and Allergy, Research Institute for Biomedical Sciences, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, Chiba, 278-0022, Japan

[3] Department of Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba, Chiba, 260-0856, Japan

[4] Department of Experimental Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba, Chiba, 260-0856, Japan

[5] Laboratory for Transcriptional Regulation, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical Sciences, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 230-0045, Japan

[6] Department of Immunology, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Kanagawa, 259-1193, Japan

[7] Department of Molecular Life Science, Tokai University School of Medicine,

Isehara, Kanagawa, 259-1193, Japan

[8] Department of Gastroenterology, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of

Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan

[9] Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Okayama University Hospital,

Okayama, Okayama, 700-8558, Japan

[10] Project for Cancer Epigenomics, Cancer Institute, Japanese Foundation for Cancer

Research, Koto-ku, Tokyo, 135-8550, Japan

[11] Hematology and Oncology, Research Institute for Radiation Biology and Medicine,

Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Hiroshima, 734-8551, Japan

[12] Laboratory of Lymphocyte Differentiation, WPI Immunology Frontier Research

Center, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka, 565-0871, Japan

[13] Laboratory for Infectious Disease Education and Research, Osaka University,

Suita, Osaka, 565-0871, Japan

[14] Laboratory for Lymphocyte Differentiation, RIKEN Center for Integrative Medical

Sciences, Yokohama, Kanagawa, 230-0045, Japan

[15] Laboratory of Immunology, Institute for Frontier Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto

University, Kyoto, Kyoto, 606-8507, Japan

*Corresponding author. Email: aikotani@k-lab.jp

Abstract

Accumulated studies have reported that hematopoietic differentiation was primarily

regulated by transcription factors. Early B cell factor 1 (EBF1) is an essential

transcription factor for B lymphopoiesis. Contrary to the canonical notion , we found

that a single miRNA, miRNA-195 (miR-195) transduction let EBF1 deficient

hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) express CD19, carry out V(D)J recombination

and class switch recombination, which implied that B cell matured without EBF1. A

part of the mechanism was caused by FOXO1 accumulation via inhibition of FOXO1

phosphorylation pathways in which targets of miR-195 are enriched. These results

suggested that some miRNA transductions could function as alternatives to transcription

factors.

Introduction

Developmental hierarchy in hematopoiesis has been widely researched and it is well-

known that proper stimulation leads hematopoietic stem cell into B cell lineage. Lineage

specification is primarily regulated at the transcriptional level, thus lineage-specific

transcription factors are considered to indispensable for differentiation (*1*, *2*). B cell

development requires multiple transcription factors especially EBF1, paired box 5 (Pax5),

and E2A. Pax5 and E2A are critical transcription factors for early B cell development,

but they cannot rescue EBF1-deficient HPCs from failure of B cell lineage commitment

(*3*). Conversely, ectopic expression of EBF1 is able to rescue Pax5, E2A, and PU.1

deleted progenitor cells from B lymphopoiesis arrest and thus, EBF1 is considered more

potent than the other transcription factors (*2*, *4*, *5*). As the most potent transcription factor,

EBF1 is essential for pre-pro-B cell to become pro-B cell; namely, *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ cell express

B220 but is disable to express CD19 (*6*).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNA containing approximately 22 nucleotides that regulate several target protein expressions mediating deadenylation and translation by post-transcriptionally repressing or decaying target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) (*7–10*). Although similar to transcription factor, miRNAs regulate large numbers of target mRNAs and deeply contribute to various cell events, the regulation is mainly required for negative regulation of leaky gene expression and often called as fine-tuning (*11*, *12*). In hematopoiesis, miRNAs are expressed in lineage specific manner and their profiles greatly influence on cell differentiation (*13–15*). Focusing on B cell development, it is revealed that Dicer, a key enzyme of miRNA generation, is essential for pre- to pro- B cell transition (*16*). Individual miRNA is also studied and miR-150 and miR-126 are identified as relational factor to B cell lineage development. miR-150 regulate B cell differentiation by controlling c-Myb expression and miR-126 partially rescues EBF1 deficient B cell lineage commitment by modulating IRS-1 expression (*17*, *18*). Both miRNAs dramatically contributed to B cell development processes, but they were not able to recover B cell development from EBF1 deficiency. Conceived from these vigorous functions of miRNAs on B cell development, in this time, we analyzed ability of miR-195, recently revealed as an important factor for several cell differentiation, on B cell lineage commitment in EBF1 deficient HPCs (*19*, *20*).

Results

miR-195 induces B cell character in EBF1 deficient HPCs

To test function of miR-195 in B cell development, we analyzed the expression levels of the miRNA in mouse early hematopoietic lineages mainly focused on B cells. Although

the expression level was not high in mature B cell, upregulated in B cell developmental

steps especially during early stages (Fig. 1A). This expression profile suggested the

possibility of miR-195 as a potential regulator in B cell lineage commitment. To assess

the contribution of miR-195 on B cell development, miR-195 was transduced into mouse

fetal liver (FL)-derived Lin$^-$ c-kit$^+$ HPCs and the cells were differentiated to B220 and

CD19 expressing pro-B cells with IL7, Flt ligand and SCF on OP9 stroma cells. After 7

days of culture, certain numbers of the cells gradually expressed CD19, and the positive

cells was increased by miR-195 transduction (Fig. 1B). This result suggests that miR-195

has ability to shift the HPCs differentiation toward B cells. Next, we attempted to

differentiate *Ebf1$^{-/-}$* FL HPC to B cell with miR-195 transduction (Fig. 1C). As by a

previous study (*6*), control *Ebf1$^{-/-}$* FL HPCs expressed B220 but did not express CD19.

However, miR-195 transduced *Ebf1$^{-/-}$* FL HPCs highly expressed CD19 (Fig. 1D). In

normal B cell development, CD19 expression follows B220 expression and hence CD19

positive cells show B220 expression as well. Thus, miR-195 transduced *Ebf1$^{-/-}$* FL HPCs

which including B220 negative CD19 positive population may simply reflect up-

regulation of CD19 expression, but not B cell development. To exclude this possibility,

gene expressions of miR-195 transduced *Ebf1$^{-/-}$* FL HPCs by cDNA microarray assay

were investigated then indicated that miR-195 transduced cells more expressed B cell

lineage related genes, e.g., *Pax5, Aicda*, *Rag1*, *Rag2*, *CD79b* and *Runx2*, whereas less

expressed T cell and NK cell lineage related genes, including *Gata3, Id2*, *Lck*, *CD3e* and

Il2rb and also myeloid lineage related genes for example, *Cebpe, Ly6g, Fcgr1*, *Fcgr2b*

and *fcgr3* (Fig. 1E). These results suggested that not only CD19 expression but also up-

regulation of several B cell developmental factors and down-regulation of other lineage

related genes were involved in the promotion of B cell lineage commitment by miR-195.

EBF1 deficient HPCs were able to commit B cell lineage by transduction of miR-195 with bone marrow niche modification

The ectopic miR-195 expression led $Ebf1^{-/-}$ HPCs induced differentiation toward B cell.

However, a large part of the miR-195 transduced HPCs expressed CD19 but not B220

that implied they strayed from the canonical B cell differentiation steps (Fig. 1D). In

addition to the inner state, the microenvironment known as niche was also critically

involved in hematopoiesis (*21*). Especially in early B cell development, bone marrow

niches precisely controlled the maintenance and differentiation of lineage precursors by

cytokines and chemokines (*22*). Then to explore the development of miR-195 transduced

$Ebf1^{-/-}$ FL HPCs under bone marrow niche, we engrafted miR-195 transduced $Ebf1^{-/-}$ early

B cells into NOG and B6RG mice, in which absence of B cell makes the engrafted B

cell visible (Fig. 2A). After 7 days, the engrafted cells successfully adapted in the bone

marrow. While there was no remarkable change in control cell population, notably,

instead of B220 negative CD19 positive cells, the double positive cells were markedly

increased in miR-195 transduced $Ebf1^{-/-}$ FL early B cells which suggest the normal

stepwise B cell development occurred (Fig.2B). In B cell development, after CD19

expression, most prominent steps are VDJ recombination and subsequential IgM

expression on cell surface. In addition to CD19 expression, EBF1 is known to also

essential for VDJ recombination especially V_H to DJ_H recombination (*23*). To determine

whether miR-195 transduced $Ebf1^{-/-}$ cell rearranged the VDJ region, we attempt to detect

V_H-J_H assembled gene segments in the engrafted mouse bone marrow cell by digital PCR.

The data revealed that there were certain number of V_H-J_H segments in the bone marrow

of mouse engrafted miR-195 transduced $Ebf1^{-/-}$ cells (Fig.2C). Subsequently, to expect

the EBF1 independent reconstitution enabled B cell receptor to express as IgM, we

analyzed B cell populations in the engrafted mouse bone marrow. Not much but some

miR-195 transduced cells expressed IgM on cell surface likely as normal immature B cell

in bone marrow after 10 days from engrafted (Fig.2D). Moreover, those IgM positive

cells were also detected in splenocytes. These data suggested that engrafted cells had

differentiated to IgM positive immature or mature B cells and has been recruited to spleen.

The critical function of B cell is changing B cell receptor from IgM to IgG following class

switch DNA recombination accompanied by cell proliferation by the stimuli. To clarify

whether miR-195 transduced $Ebf1^{-/-}$ B cells have the function, whole splenocyte of the

engrafted mouse were stimulated with IL-4 and LPS, which causes class switch

recombination to IgG1 (*24*). While control transduced GFP positive cells did not expand

by the stimuli, miR-195 transduced GFP positive cells expanded enough to be surely

detected and importantly a part of them expressed IgG1 (Fig.2E). These data suggested

that miR-195 has potential to induce B cell differentiation from HPCs to mature B cells

to show class swich recombination even when critical regulator EBF1 is absent.

miR-195 physiologically maintains several B cell populations

As ectopic miR-195 expression revealed its potential in B cell development. Next, to

investigate contribution of endogenous miR-195 for B cell lineage populations, miR-195

deficient mouse in which the genome around miR-195-5p was eliminated by

CRISPR/CAS9 system was established. The analysis of HPC lineage populations in the

bone marrow revealed that several B cell related progenitor were relatively reduced in

miR-195$^{-/-}$ mouse. Sca-1$^-$ c-kit$^+$ common myeloid progenitor cell population was

increased but controversially, Sca-1$^+$ c-kit$^-$ (LSK$^-$) cells was decreased in miR-195$^{-/-}$

mouse (Fig. 3A). As LSK⁻ cells mainly includes early lymphoid precursor, these results

suggested that miR-195 is involved in hematopoiesis including differentiation of stem

cells toward lymphoid and early B cells (*25*). While analysis of each early B cell

populations did not show significant difference, whole B220⁺ IgM⁻ pre B cell populations

was slightly increased in the BM of miR-195⁻/⁻ mouse (Fig. 3B). In the splenic B cells,

marginal zone B (MZB) cells was reduced in miR-195⁻/⁻ mouse (Fig. 3C). MZB cells was

previously reported to be highly dependent on EBF1 activity and disappear in absence of

EBF1. B-1 cells was likewise crucially regulated EBF1 as well(*26*). In the peritoneal

cavity of miR-195⁻/⁻ mouse, B-1 cells was significantly decreased (Fig. 3D). These results

suggested that miR-195 contributed to maintain several EBF1 dependent mature B cell

populations at least in a part. Together, those results were in line with the results obtained

from extopic expression of miR-195.

FOXO1 phosphorylation pathway targetted by miR-195 was responsible for B cell

lineage commitment

To elucidate how miR-195 promote B cell development in EBF1 deficient HPC, we

analyzed regulatory networks of predicted miR-195 target genes by using starBase_v2.0

and David Bioinformatics Resources 6.8 in KEGG pathway database (*27–33*). Several

gene regulation networks were detected as candidates of responsible pathways on the

miR-195 function. Remarkably, MAPK signaling pathway and PI3K-Akt signaling

pathway included various targets of miR-195. Both MAPK and Akt were known to

phosphorylate and degrade FOXO1, which was a critical factor in several stages of B cell

development (*34*). Thereby, we focused on the predicted miR-195 targets: *Pik3r1*, *Pdpk1*,

Akt3, *Raf1*, *Sos2* and *Mapk3*, which were involved in and activate MAPK and PI3K-Akt

pathways. First, to confirm the predicted targets are actually regulated by miR-195, we

picked up 3'UTR of *Mapk3* and *Akt3* which were especially important in the pathways

and inserted in a luciferase reporter assay plasmid. As expected, although the luciferase

activity was down-regulated by miR-195 transduction, was not impaired by transduction

of miR-195 mutant of mature miRNA region (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, to determine

whether the predicted targets were actually regulated by miR-195, we measured the

expression levels in miR-195 transduced *Ebf1*^{-/-} HPCs and qPCR analysis showed that

miR-195 transduction certainly decreased the mRNA levels (Fig. 4B). Next, to evaluate

inhibition of FOXO1 phosphorylation and degradation by miR-195, we compared protein

levels of FOXO1 and phosphorylated FOXO1 (pFOXO1) in miR-195 transduced *Ebf1*^{-/-}

HPCs. The western blotting results revealed that miR-195 transduction decreased

pFOXO1 levels and increased relative FOXO1 protein levels (Fig. 4C). Finally, to

determine whether FOXO1 accumulation is enough to enable *Ebf1*^{-/-} HPCs to differentiate

into pro-B cells, *Ebf1*^{-/-} HPCs were transduced *Foxo1* and cultured with the B cell

differentiating condition. As a result, similar to miR-195 transduction, Foxo1

transduction arose B220 and CD19 double positive *Ebf1*^{-/-} cells accompanied with CD19

positive but B220 negative population (Fig. 4D). These data indicated that FOXO1

accumulation by inhibition of phosphorylating pathways was responsible for *Ebf1*^{-/-} HPCs

to differentiate into B cell lineage.

Less genes are epigenetically activated in pro-B cells by miR-195 than EBF1

In B cell development, epigenetic changing of transcription factors and differentiation

molecules is crucial for proper development, which is mainly regulated by EBF1 (*35, 36*).

We investigated transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-seq) data of

Ebf1$^{-/-}$ pro-B cells and wild type pro-B cells from GSE92434 and cells in early B cell

lineages from GSE100738. While wild type pro-B cells/ *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ pro-B cells differentially

accessible (DA) ATAC peaks were observed in 2809 sites, early B cells wild type CD19

positive/ CD19 negative cells DA ATAC peaks were in 904 sites . Then, 678 sites were

overlapped, which were considered to be regulated by EBF1 as impotant locus for early

B cell development. Moreover, among them, some peaks were overlapped with   miR-

195 induced B220 and CD19 double positive *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ cells(miR-195 CD19+) / B220

positive CD19 negative *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ cells(control CD19-) DA ATAC peaks (73 out of 226

peaks) which were considered to be regulated by miR-195 (Fig. 5B). The 73 genes

included *Pax5*, *Runx1*, *Erg*, *Ifr8*, and *Blnk* which were well-known important genes for

early B cell development and several B cell related genes for example, *Rarres1*, *Ciita*,

Atg7 (fig S1, S2). These results indicated that miR-195 opened less gene locus than EBF1

but several key locus for B cell differentiation were involved in them and they were

enough to differentiate the progenitor cells to mature B cells. Moreover, HOMER Motif

Analysis revealed that enriched motives opened by EBF1 and by miR-195 were 198 and

111, respectively (Fig. 5C). The common motives were 104 which included critical genes

for B cell development such as E2A, Foxo1 and PAX5 and high ranked motives were

very similar between EBF1 and miR-195 (Fig .5D). The results suggested that miR-195

transduction opened important chromatin regions for early B cells, which were normally

regulated by EBF1. Finally, we concluded that miR-195 transduction was able to

compensate EBF1 deficiency in B cell development by FOXO1 activation, and epigenetic

regulation of several B cell related genes.

Discussion

The canonical notion of hematopoietic fate determination implies that EBF1 is an indispensable factor for B lymphopoiesis. However, in this study, we showed that a single microRNA miR-195 rescued the arrest of pro-B cell differentiation induced by EBF1 deficiency. As miRNA plays roles in a bundle of their family, single miRNA deficient mouse often doesn't show significant phenotype (*37*). Nevertheless, miR-195 deficient mouse showed not much but sure decreased number of several hematopoietic cells including marginal zone B cells and peritoneal B-1 cells which were reported to almost dissappear in EBF1 ihCd2 mouse in which EBF1 was deficient in mature B cells (*38*). Therefore, miR-195 considered to have similar function with EBF1 to some extent. Considering that other miRNA deficient mice have subtle phenotype, and miR-195 is one of the large family, miR-15/16 and miR-195/497 (*39*), the remarkable potential of miR-195 is beyond a fine tuner as microRNA considered at least with regard to B cell lineage commitment.

A part of the mechanisms of the potent function of miR-195 was caused by inhibition of phosphorylation of FOXO1. FOXO1 is a transcriptional factor controlled by EBF1 and strongly promote differentiation of pre-B cell. FOXO1 activity is regulated by PI3K/AKT pathway and several miRNAs were reported to be involved in the regulation (*40*). We showed *Foxo1* transduction enable EBF1 deficient cell to express CD19. However, the CD19 positive cells were rapidly disappeared and couldn't be detected in transplanted mouse (data not shown). It is presumable that FOXO1 activity was necessary to express CD19, but other factors undertake maintenance and proliferation of the developing cells. ATAC-seq analysis revealed that miR-195 was directly or

indirectly, involved in chromatin accessibility. As the chromatin regions and motives

opened by miR-195 were critical for B cell differentiation and hematopoiesis, further

investigation is needed for the mechanism.

Materials and Methods

Plasmid construction

To construct MDH1-PGK-GFP-miR-195, genomic DNA was first extracted from

RS4;11 using the DNeasy Tissue Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Next, a segment around miR-

195 was amplified by means of PCR, using Pfx polymerase (Invitrogen) and the

oligonucleotides, 5′ -AGATCTCTCGAGAAGGAGAGGGTGGGGTAT-3′ and 5′ -

GGGGCGGAATTCGCTATTCCCGCATAAGCA-3′. The obtained PCR product was

then cloned into the XhoI-EcoRI site of MDH1-PGK-GFP 2.0 (Addgene #11375). To

construct pMYs-RFP-Foxo1, first, pEX-Foxo1 (in which mouse Foxo1 is optimized for

gene synthesis; Eurofins Genomics K.K.) was synthesized and inserted into the EcoRI-

XhoI site of pEX. Next, the Foxo1 region was extracted using the restriction enzymes

and inserted into pMYs-RFP retroviral vector (kindly provided by Prof. T. Kitamura,

Tokyo University). For in vitro transcription of small-guide RNA (sgRNA), pUC57-

195sg-upstream and -downstream were generated. Both plasmids originated from the

pUC57-sgRNA expression vector (Addgene #51132), and the annealed oligonucleotides

were inserted into a BsaI site (For the former, 5′ -

TAGGCCCACAAAGGCAGGGACCTA-3′ and 5′ -

AAACTAGGTCCCTGCCTTTGTGGG-3′ were annealed, while for the latter, 5′ -

TAGGGGAAGTGAGTCTGCCAATAT-3′ and 5′ -

AAACATATTGGCAGACTCACTTCC-3′ were annealed). For the Dual-Luciferase®

assay, psiCHECK-2 vector was purchased from Promega and the 3′ -UTRs of Akt3 and

Mapk3 were inserted between the XhoI and NotI sites. MDH1-PGK-GFP-miR-195-mut

was generated by mutating 6 bases, from the second to seventh bases of the mature

miR-195 and complimentary regions of the stem loop structure in MDH1-PGK-GFP-

miR-195. In detail, normal miR-195 stem loop sequence 5′ -

AGCUUCCCUGGCUCUAGCAGCACAGAAAUAUUGGCACAGGGAAGCGAGUC

UGCCAAUAUUGGCUGUGCUGCUCCAGGCAGGGUGGUG-3′ (mature miR-195-

5p sequence 5′ -UAGCAGCACAGAAAUAUUGGC-3′) was mutated to 5′ -

AGCUUCCCUGGCUCUgcgccgACAGAAAUAUUGGCACAGGGAAGCGAGUCU

GCCAAUAUUGGCUGUcggcgcCCAGGCAGGGUGGUG-3′ (mature sequence 5′ -

UgcgccgACAGAAAUAUUGGC-3′).

Animals

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from CLEA Japan Inc. NOD/Shi-scid,IL-2RγKO (NOG)

and B6RG mice were purchased from Central Institute for Experimental Animals

(CIEA) . The Ebf1$^{-/+}$ mouse was originally generated by R. Grosschedl (39). miR-195-

deficient mice were generated based on the CRISPR/Cas9 system established by C.

Gurumurthy (40), using pUC57-195sg-upstream and -downstream for sgRNA

expression and pBGK (Addgene #65796) for Cas9 mRNA expression. The obtained

mice were subsequently bred and housed at Tokai University. All the animal

experiments in this study complied with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Animals

for Scientific Purposes at Tokai University. To reduce the number of sacrificed animals,

the sample sizes for each animal experiment were empirically determined from previous

studies or the results of the first littermate mice.

Flow cytometry analysis

Cells were collected and washed in FACS buffer (phosphate-buffered saline

supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum) and subsequently stained with the following

antibodies purchased from BioLegend : anti-c-kit (2B8), -Sca-1 (D7), -IL7Rα (A7R34),

-B220 (RA3-6B2), -IgM (RMM-1), -CD3ε (145-2C11), -CD4 (GK1.5), -CD8 (53-6.7),

-CD11b (M1/70), -CD19 (1D3), -CD23 (B3B4), and -IgG1 (RMG1-1) and Thermo

Fisher : anti-Flt3 (A2F10), -CD43 (eBioR2/60), and -CD21/35 (eBio8D9). All samples

were analyzed on the BD FACSVerse™ system and the data obtained was analyzed

using FlowJo. FACSAria™ III was used for cell sorting.

Culture of lineage-negative (Lin⁻) cells from the fetal liver

Fetal livers were harvested from pregnant C57BL/6 or $Ebf1^{+/-}$ mice (mated with

$Ebf1^{+/-}$ male) at 13.5 days after vaginal plug formation and minced gently by means of

pipetting. The cell suspensions were filtered through a 67-μm pore nylon mesh and Lin⁻

cells were collected using the Lineage Cell Depletion Kit, mouse and AutoMACS® Pro

Separator (Miltenyi Biotec), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently,

the collected Lin⁻ cells were transduced with miR-195 or $Foxo1$ by means of retroviral

transfection. In brief, Platinum-E cells were transfected with MDH1-PGK-GFP (for

EMPTY sample) or MDH1-PGK-GFP-miR-195 or pMYs-RFP-Foxo1 using PEI

MAX® (Polysciences Inc.), and retroviral supernatants were harvested 48 hours later.

Lin⁻ cells were infected with the supernatants using 10 μg/mL Polybrene (Sigma-

Aldrich). The infected and transduced Lin⁻ cells were cultured and differentiated into B

cells on OP9 cells in IMDM (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine

serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 0.1 mM non-essential amino acid solution, 50 μM 2-

mercaptoethanol, 100 units/mL penicillin G, 100 μg/mL streptomycin (all from Wako),

and 10 ng/mL recombinant SCF, IL-7, and Flt3-ligand (R&D Systems). For $in\ vivo$

analysis of B cell development of EBF1$^{-/-}$ Lin$^-$ cells, 1×10^6 cells were injected into the

NOG or B6RG mice after >7 days of culture and expanded *in vitro*.

Microarray analysis

Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy MINI Kit (Qiagen), and its quality was

analyzed using the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Approximately 100 ng

RNA was labeled, and gene expression microarray analysis was performed using the

Agilent Whole Mouse Genome Microarray 4x44K v2 (Agilent Technologies),

according to the manufacturer's instructions. The processed data was analyzed using

GeneSpring GX version 14.9 (Agilent Technologies). Raw intensity values were

normalized using the 75th percentile and transformed to the Log$_2$ scale. All experiments

were carried out in duplicates.

Droplet digital PCR (ddPCR)

To carry out ddPCR for VJ recombination analysis, total DNA was isolated from whole

cells of the bone marrow in miR-195-transduced *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ FL HPCs-engrafted NOG mice,

using the Wizard$^{®}$ Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). ddPCR was conducted

using QX100 Droplet Digital PCR system (Bio-Rad). Briefly, 3.3 μL of template cDNA

with 20× primer and a TaqMan™ probe set was partitioned into approximately 20,000

droplets using the QX100 Droplet Generator, for amplification. The cycling conditions

were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min,

and a final 10-min incubation at 98°C. The droplets were subsequently read

automatically using the QX10 droplet reader. The data were analyzed with QuantaSoft

analysis software (ver. 1.3.2.0; Bio-Rad). The primers used were as follows: forward

primer – 5′-GAGGACTCTGCRGTCTATTWCTGTGC-3′; reverse primer – 5′-

CCCTGACCCAGACCCATGT-3′; and probe – 5′-6FAM-

TTCAACCCCTTTGTCCCAAAGTT-TAM-3′.

Class-switch stimulation

EBF1$^{-/-}$ Lin$^-$ cells were transduced with EMPTY and miR-195-expressing vector and

transplanted into B6RG mice. At 10 days post-transplantation, the spleens were

collected from the mice, minced with slide glasses, and filtered through a 67-µm pore

nylon mesh. IgM$^+$ cells were sorted and stimulated for 3 days with 12.5 µg/mL

lipopolysaccharide (Sigma-Aldrich) and 7.5 ng/mL IL-4 (Peprotech) in RPMI-1640

(Wako) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin G, and 100

µg/mL streptomycin.

Gene Ontology analysis

365 The miR-195 targetomes were gathered from the miR-195 target mRNAs identified

366 from three databases (Targetscan, miRDB, and microRNA.org) and by comparing the

367 microarray data of the targets in control- and miR-195-transduced Ebf1$^{-/-}$ FL HPCs. To

368 investigate the biological functions, these genes were applied to the Gene Ontology

369 classification using GeneSpringGX11.

Quantitative real-time PCR

For mRNA quantification, total RNA was isolated using Sepasol-RNA I Super G

(Nacalai Tesque) and cDNA was synthesized from it using the ReverTra Ace™ qPCR

RT Master Mix (TOYOBO). qPCR was performed using THUNDERBIRD™ SYBR$^®$

qPCR Mix (TOYOBO) on the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Thermo

Fisher). The following primers were used for qPCR: Pik3r1 – 5′-

AAACTCCGAGACACTGCTGA-3′ and 5′-GAGTGTAATCGCCGTGCATT-3′;

Pdpk1 – 5′-CTGGGCTCTGCTCTAGTGTT-3′ and 5′-

CCCAGGTTCAGGACAGGATT-3′; Akt3 – 5′-

GTGGACCACTGTTATAGAGAGAACAT-3′ and 5′-

TTGGATAGCTTCCGTCCACT-3′; Raf1 – 5′-TCTTCCATCGAGCTGCTTCA-3′ and

5′-GGATGTAGTCAGCGTGCAAG-3′; Sos2 – 5′-AACTTTGAAGAACGGGTGGC-3′

and 5′-TTTCCTGCAGTGCCTCAAAC-3′; and Mapk3 – 5′-

ACTACCTGGACCAGCTCAAC-3′ and 5′-TAGGAAAGAGCTTGGCCCAA-3′. For

miR-195 quantification, TaqMan™ MicroRNA Assay (ABI) was used. Briefly, total

RNA was isolated using Sepasol-RNA I Super G and cDNA was synthesized from it

using the microRNA TaqMan™ MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher)

and a specific primer, 5′-UAGCAGCACAGAAAUAUUGGC-3′. The expression levels

were measured using the TaqMan™ Fast Advanced Master Mix (Thermo Fisher) on the

StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System. All reagents and kits in this section were used

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Target RNA expression levels were

compared with those of GAPDH using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

Dual-Luciferase® assay

293T cells were co-transfected with 20 ng psiCHECK-2 of *Akt3* or *Mapk3* and 100 ng

MDH1-PGK-GFP-miR-195 or MDH1-PGK-GFP-miR-195-mut. At 48 hrs post-

transfection, the relative amounts of Renilla and firefly luciferase were analyzed using a

Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega). The Renilla/firefly luciferase ratio

was calculated and normalized against the control.

Western blot

Total proteins were collected from whole cells using radioimmunoprecipitation assay

buffer (Wako) with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and SDS sample buffer

(60 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, and 50 mM dithiothreitol). The

proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE and the western blot signal was detected and

analyzed using the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Millipore)

on Ez-Capture MG AE-9300 (ATTO). The following antibodies were used: anti-

FOXO1 (C29H4, Cell Signaling Technology), -phospho-FOXO1(Ser256) (9461, Cell

Signaling Technology), and -GAPDH (G9545, Sigma-Aldrich).

ATAC-seq analysis

For ATAC-seq analysis, B220$^+$ CD19$^+$ and B220$^+$ cells were sorted from the bone

marrow of NOG mice transplanted with miR-195-transduced EBF1$^{-/-}$ Lin$^-$ cells. B220$^+$

cells were also sorted from the empty transduced sample. The collected cells were

resolved using CELLBANKER$^®$ (Takara Bio) and temporarily preserved at –20°C.

ATAC-seq libraries were prepared from the cryopreserved cells according to the Omni-

ATAC protocol (*41*). Briefly, >5,000 cells were lysed and subjected to a transposition

reaction. The transposed fragments were pre-amplified, quantitated using RT-PCR, and

then amplified again. The prepared libraries were sequenced on the NextSeq 550

platform (Illumina) with paired-end reads (read 1, 75 bp; index 1, 8 bp; index 2, 8 bp;

read 2, 75 bp). Short-read data were trimmed using sickle 1.33

(https://github.com/najoshi/sickle) and mapped onto a mm10 reference genome using

bowtie2. Unmapped, multi, chrM mapping, and duplicate reads were eliminated using

samtools 1.16.1 and Picard Tools (Picard MarkDuplicates;

http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Peak summits in all populations were determined

using the MACS3 functions (-callpeak -p 1e-5 https://github.com/macs3-

project/MACS). Motif enrichment analysis was carried out using HOMER, with default

settings.

Statistical analysis

Student's *t*-test was used to analyze differences between groups, and *p*-values<0.05

were considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using Excel

(Microsoft). Statistical significance was determined using the Fisher's exact test,

followed by multiple test corrections using the Benjamini and Yekutieli false discovery

rate method.

Acknowledgments

We thank N. Kurosaki, K. Takahashi, E. Nagashima, and members of the Department of

Innovative Medical Science at Tokai University for their assistance, advice, and helpful

discussions. We also thank the Support Center for Medical Research and Education at

Tokai University for their technical assistance.

Funding:

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research JP20H03716 (to A.K.)

and JP20K17362 (to Y.M.) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; P-

PROMOTE 22ama221213 and 22ama221215 (to A.K.) from the Japan Agency for

Medical Research and Development; and JST-CREST JPMJCR19H5 (to A.K.) from the

Japan Science and Technology Agency.

Author contributions:

A.K. designed the research; Y.M., R.Y., R.K., K.K., R.-K.N., and K.O. performed the

research; T.I., K. Hirano, H.H., K. Hozumi, M. Ohtsuka, T. Kishikawa, C.S., M. Otsuka,

R.M., K.A., and T. Kurosaki contributed new reagents and analytic tools; H.K. analyzed

the data; Y.M. and A.K. wrote the paper.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data and materials availability:

The microarray data was deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus with the identifier

GSE246669, and the ATAC-seq data was also deposited with the identifier GSE246530. The

other data generated in this study are available in the manuscript or supplementary materials.

References

1. J. Zhu, S. G. Emerson, Hematopoietic cytokines, transcription factors and lineage commitment. *Oncogene* **21**, 3295–3313 (2002).

2. T. Ikawa, H. Kawamoto, L. Y. T. Wright, C. Murre, Long-Term Cultured E2A-Deficient Hematopoietic Progenitor Cells Are Pluripotent. *Immunity* **20**, 349–360 (2004).

3. Y. C. Lin, S. Jhunjhunwala, C. Benner, S. Heinz, E. Welinder, R. Mansson, M. Sigvardsson, J. Hagman, C. A. Espinoza, J. Dutkowski, T. Ideker, C. K. Glass, C. Murre, A global network of transcription factors, involving E2A, EBF1 and Foxo1, that orchestrates B cell fate. *Nature Immunology* **11**, 635–643 (2010).

4. J. M. R. Pongubala, D. L. Northrup, D. W. Lancki, K. L. Medina, T. Treiber, E. Bertolino, M. Thomas, R. Grosschedl, D. Allman, H. Singh, Transcription factor EBF restricts alternative lineage options and promotes B cell fate commitment independently of Pax5. *Nature Immunology* **9**, 203–215 (2008).

5. I. Györy, S. Boller, R. Nechanitzky, E. Mandel, S. Pott, E. Liu, R. Grosschedl, Transcription factor Ebf1 regulates differentiation stage-specific signaling, proliferation, and survival of B cells. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 668–682 (2012).

6. K. L. Medina, J. M. R. Pongubala, K. L. Reddy, D. W. Lancki, R. DeKoter, M. Kieslinger, R. Grosschedl, H. Singh, Assembling a Gene Regulatory Network for Specification of the B Cell Fate. *Developmental Cell* **7**, 607–617 (2004).

7. D. P. Bartel, MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. *Cell* **136**, 215–233 (2009).

8. R. W. Carthew, E. J. Sontheimer, Origins and Mechanisms of miRNAs and siRNAs. *Cell* **136**, 642–655 (2009).

9. D. Cifuentes, H. Xue, D. W. Taylor, H. Patnode, Y. Mishima, S. Cheloufi, E. Ma, S. Mane, G. J. Hannon, N. D. Lawson, S. A. Wolfe, A. J. Giraldez, A Novel miRNA Processing Pathway Independent of Dicer Requires Argonaute2 Catalytic Activity. *Science* **328**, 1694–1698 (2010).

10. N. Yamakawa, K. Okuyama, J. Ogata, A. Kanai, A. Helwak, M. Takamatsu, K.
Imadome, K. Takakura, B. Chanda, N. Kurosaki, H. Yamamoto, K. Ando, H.
Matsui, T. Inaba, A. Kotani, Novel functional small RNAs are selectively loaded
onto mammalian Ago1. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 5289–5301 (2014).

11. C. Sevignani, G. A. Calin, L. D. Siracusa, C. M. Croce, Mammalian microRNAs: a
small world for fine-tuning gene expression. *Mamm Genome* **17**, 189–202 (2006).

12. M. Listowski, E. Heger, D. Bogusławska, B. Machnicka, K. Kuliczkowski, J.
Leluk, A. Sikorski, microRNAs: fine tuning of erythropoiesis. *Cellular and*
Molecular Biology Letters **18**, 34–46 (2013).

13. S. Monticelli, K. M. Ansel, C. Xiao, N. D. Socci, A. M. Krichevsky, T.-H. Thai,
N. Rajewsky, D. S. Marks, C. Sander, K. Rajewsky, A. Rao, K. S. Kosik,
MicroRNA profiling of the murine hematopoietic system. *Genome Biology* **6**, R71
(2005).

14. J. R. Neilson, G. X. Y. Zheng, C. B. Burge, P. A. Sharp, Dynamic regulation of
miRNA expression in ordered stages of cellular development. *Genes Dev.* **21**, 578–
589 (2007).

15. C.-Z. Chen, L. Li, H. F. Lodish, D. P. Bartel, MicroRNAs Modulate
Hematopoietic Lineage Differentiation. *Science* **303**, 83–86 (2004).

16. S. B. Koralov, S. A. Muljo, G. R. Galler, A. Krek, T. Chakraborty, C.
Kanellopoulou, K. Jensen, B. S. Cobb, M. Merkenschlager, N. Rajewsky, K.
Rajewsky, Dicer Ablation Affects Antibody Diversity and Cell Survival in the B
Lymphocyte Lineage. *Cell* **132**, 860–874 (2008).

17. C. Xiao, D. P. Calado, G. Galler, T.-H. Thai, H. C. Patterson, J. Wang, N.
Rajewsky, T. P. Bender, K. Rajewsky, MiR-150 Controls B Cell Differentiation by
Targeting the Transcription Factor c-Myb. *Cell* **131**, 146–159 (2007).

18. K. Okuyama, T. Ikawa, B. Gentner, K. Hozumi, R. Harnprasopwat, J. Lu, R.
Yamashita, D. Ha, T. Toyoshima, B. Chanda, T. Kawamata, K. Yokoyama, S.
Wang, K. Ando, H. F. Lodish, A. Tojo, H. Kawamoto, A. Kotani, MicroRNA-
126–mediated control of cell fate in B-cell myeloid progenitors as a potential
alternative to transcriptional factors. *PNAS* **110**, 13410–13415 (2013).

19. H. Qiu, J. Zhong, L. Luo, Z. Tang, N. Liu, K. Kang, L. Li, D. Gou, Regulatory
Axis of miR-195/497 and HMGA1-Id3 Governs Muscle Cell Proliferation and
Differentiation. *International Journal of Biological Sciences* **13**, 157–166 (2017).

20. A. Dueñas, A. Expósito, M. del M. Muñoz, M. J. de Manuel, A. Cámara-Morales,
F. Serrano-Osorio, C. García-Padilla, F. Hernández-Torres, J. N. Domínguez, A.
Aránega, D. Franco, MiR-195 enhances cardiomyogenic differentiation of the
proepicardium/septum transversum by Smurf1 and Foxp1 modulation. *Sci Rep* **10**,
9334 (2020).

21. A. C. Gomes, T. Hara, V. Y. Lim, D. Herndler-Brandstetter, E. Nevius, T.
Sugiyama, S. Tani-ichi, S. Schlenner, E. Richie, H.-R. Rodewald, R. A. Flavell, T.
Nagasawa, K. Ikuta, J. P. Pereira, Hematopoietic Stem Cell Niches Produce
Lineage-Instructive Signals to Control Multipotent Progenitor Differentiation.
Immunity **45**, 1219–1231 (2016).

22. K. Tokoyoda, T. Egawa, T. Sugiyama, B.-I. Choi, T. Nagasawa, Cellular Niches
Controlling B Lymphocyte Behavior within Bone Marrow during Development.
Immunity **20**, 707–718 (2004).

23. J. M. R. Pongubala, D. L. Northrup, D. W. Lancki, K. L. Medina, T. Treiber, E.
Bertolino, M. Thomas, R. Grosschedl, D. Allman, H. Singh, Transcription factor
EBF restricts alternative lineage options and promotes B cell fate commitment
independently of Pax5. *Nat Immunol* **9**, 203–215 (2008).

24. M. Muramatsu, V. S. Sankaranand, S. Anant, M. Sugai, K. Kinoshita, N. O.
Davidson, T. Honjo, Specific Expression of Activation-induced Cytidine
Deaminase (AID), a Novel Member of the RNA-editing Deaminase Family in
Germinal Center B Cells*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **274**, 18470–18476
(1999).

25. R. Kumar, V. Fossati, M. Israel, H.-W. Snoeck, Lin−Sca1+Kit− Bone Marrow
Cells Contain Early Lymphoid-Committed Precursors That Are Distinct from
Common Lymphoid Progenitors. *The Journal of Immunology* **181**, 7507–7513
(2008).

26. B. Vilagos, M. Hoffmann, A. Souabni, Q. Sun, B. Werner, J. Medvedovic, I. Bilic,
M. Minnich, E. Axelsson, M. Jaritz, M. Busslinger, Essential role of EBF1 in the
generation and function of distinct mature B cell types. *Journal of Experimental
Medicine* **209**, 775–792 (2012).

27. J.-H. Yang, J.-H. Li, P. Shao, H. Zhou, Y.-Q. Chen, L.-H. Qu, starBase: a database
for exploring microRNA–mRNA interaction maps from Argonaute CLIP-Seq and
Degradome-Seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, D202–D209 (2011).

28. J.-H. Li, S. Liu, H. Zhou, L.-H. Qu, J.-H. Yang, starBase v2.0: decoding miRNA-
ceRNA, miRNA-ncRNA and protein–RNA interaction networks from large-scale
CLIP-Seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D92–D97 (2014).

29. D. W. Huang, B. T. Sherman, R. A. Lempicki, Bioinformatics enrichment tools:
paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. *Nucleic
Acids Res* **37**, 1–13 (2009).

30. D. W. Huang, B. T. Sherman, R. A. Lempicki, Systematic and integrative analysis
of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols* **4**, 44–
57 (2009).

31. M. Kanehisa, S. Goto, KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.
Nucleic Acids Res **28**, 27–30 (2000).

32. M. Kanehisa, Y. Sato, M. Kawashima, M. Furumichi, M. Tanabe, KEGG as a
reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, D457–
D462 (2016).

33. M. Kanehisa, M. Furumichi, M. Tanabe, Y. Sato, K. Morishima, KEGG: new
perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**,
D353–D361 (2017).

34. H. S. Dengler, G. V. Baracho, S. A. Omori, S. Bruckner, K. C. Arden, D. H.
Castrillon, R. A. DePinho, R. C. Rickert, Distinct functions for the transcription
factor Foxo1 at various stages of B cell differentiation. *Nature Immunology* **9**,
1388–1398 (2008).

35. T. Treiber, E. M. Mandel, S. Pott, I. Györy, S. Firner, E. T. Liu, R. Grosschedl,
Early B Cell Factor 1 Regulates B Cell Gene Networks by Activation, Repression,
and Transcription- Independent Poising of Chromatin. *Immunity* **32**, 714–725
(2010).

36. H. Maier, R. Ostraat, H. Gao, S. Fields, S. A. Shinton, K. L. Medina, T. Ikawa, C.
Murre, H. Singh, R. R. Hardy, J. Hagman, Early B cell factor cooperates with
Runx1 and mediates epigenetic changes associated with mb-1 transcription. *Nature*
Immunology **5**, 1069–1077 (2004).

37. R. Song, P. Walentek, N. Sponer, A. Klimke, J. S. Lee, G. Dixon, R. Harland, Y.
Wan, P. Lishko, M. Lize, M. Kessel, L. He, miR-34/449 miRNAs are required for
motile ciliogenesis by repressing cp110. *Nature* **510**, 115–120 (2014).

38. B. Vilagos, M. Hoffmann, A. Souabni, Q. Sun, B. Werner, J. Medvedovic, I. Bilic,
M. Minnich, E. Axelsson, M. Jaritz, M. Busslinger, Essential role of EBF1 in the
generation and function of distinct mature B cell types. *Journal of Experimental*
Medicine **209**, 775–792 (2012).

39. K. Hutter, T. Rülicke, M. Drach, L. Andersen, A. Villunger, S. Herzog,
Differential roles of miR-15a/16-1 and miR-497/195 clusters in immune cell
development and homeostasis. *The FEBS Journal* **288**, 1533–1545 (2021).

40. M. Coffre, D. Benhamou, D. Rieß, L. Blumenberg, V. Snetkova, M. J. Hines, T.
Chakraborty, S. Bajwa, K. Jensen, M. M. W. Chong, L. Getu, G. J. Silverman, R.
Blelloch, D. R. Littman, D. Calado, D. Melamed, J. A. Skok, K. Rajewsky, S. B.
Koralov, miRNAs Are Essential for the Regulation of the PI3K/AKT/FOXO
Pathway and Receptor Editing during B Cell Maturation. *Cell Reports* **17**, 2271–
2285 (2016).

Fig. 1. miR-195 promotes HPCs to differentiate into the pro-B cell stage without EBF1.

(A) Endogenous expression levels of miR-195 were measured by means of quantitative RT-PCR. RNAs were collected from the following hematopoietic lineage cells in 8-week-old C57BL/6 mice. KSL (c-kit$^+$ Sca1$^+$ Lin$^-$); lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitors (LMPP: C-kit$^+$ Sca1$^+$ Lin$^-$ Flt3$^+$ IL7Rα$^-$); common lymphoid progenitors (CLP: CD43$^-$ IL7Rα$^+$); pro-B (B220$^+$ CD43$^+$ IgM$^-$); pre-B (B220$^+$ CD43$^-$ IgM$^-$); mature B (B220^{high} CD43$^-$ IgM$^+$); double-negative T (DN: CD3$^+$ CD4$^-$ CD8$^-$); common myeloid progenitor (CMP: c-kit$^+$ Sca1$^-$ CD11b$^+$); myeloid cell (Mac1: c-kit$^-$ Sca1$^-$ CD11b$^+$). Data are shown as mean ± S.D. (*n*=3). (B) Flow cytometry analysis of control and miR-195-expressing Lin$^-$ cells. HPCs from fetal livers of wild-type mice were cultured for 7 days on OP9 with SCF, Flt3-ligand, and IL-7, after infection with control or miR-195 retrovirus. Representative result of control (upper panel) and miR-195 (lower panel) viral infections is shown (*n*=3). (C) Outline of the *in vitro* culture system of *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs. (D) Flow cytometry analysis of control and miR-195-expressing *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs. Shown data is representative of *n*=3. (E) Microarray analysis of miR-195-expressing *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs. Log$_2$ fold-changes in the expression levels of genes related to B (left panel), T (middle-upper panel), NK (middle-lower panel), and myeloid (right panel) cell lineages were classified and are shown as colored columns. The analysis was carried out in duplicates.

Fig. 2. miR-195 leads *Ebf1*-deficient HPCs to mature into B cells with bone marrow niche assistance.

(A) *In vivo* analysis of B cell development of *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs. (B) Flow cytometry analysis of control and miR-195-expressing *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs in the bone marrow collected at 7 days

after transplantation. (C) Using droplet digital PCR, VJ region fragments were amplified

from the genomic DNA of B220$^+$ cells in the bone marrow of mice transplanted with

control and miR-195-expressing *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs. (D) Flow cytometry analysis of control

and miR-195-expressing *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs in the bone marrow (BM) and spleen (SP), at 10

days after transplantation. (E) Flow cytometry analysis of class-switch recombination.

Splenocytes of mice transplanted with control and miR-195-expressing *Ebf1*$^{-/-}$ HPCs

were cultured for 72 hrs with IgG1 class-switch stimuli, LPS, and IL-4. Each flow

cytometric data is representative of *n*=3.

Fig. 3. Several B cell populations are disturbed in the miR-195-deficient mouse.

Flow cytometry data of B cell lineage populations in miR-195$^{-/-}$ and littermate WT mice.

Representative plots (left side) and mean ± S.D. of relative population rates in each

littermate WT mouse (right side) are shown. (A) Analysis of early B cell populations in

the bone marrow. Pre-pro-B (B220$^+$ IgM$^-$ CD43$^+$ CD19$^-$); pro-B (B220$^+$ IgM$^-$ CD43$^+$

CD19$^+$); pre-B (B220$^+$ IgM$^-$ CD43$^-$ CD19$^+$); *n*=5. (B) Analysis of hematopoietic

progenitor populations in the bone marrow; *n*=5. (C) Analysis of B cell populations in the

spleen. FO B (CD19$^+$ IgM$^+$ CD21/35$^{low-middle}$); MZ B (CD19$^+$ IgM$^+$ CD21/35^{high}); *n*=8.

(D) Analysis of B cell populations in the peritoneal cavity: B-1 (B220$^+$ CD11b$^+$); B-2

(B220$^+$ CD11b$^-$); *n*=7. Statistical significance was tested using two-tailed Student's *t* test.

*p<0.05; **p<0.01. WT, wild-type.

Fig. 4. FOXO1 phosphorylation pathways are key targets of miR-195 for promotion

of B cell development.

(A) Relative expression rate of miR-195 and predicted target genes were compared

between control (EMPTY) and miR-195-expressing $Ebf1^{-/-}$ HPCs. (B) Relative luciferase

inhibitory rates of miR-195 onto predicted target 3′-UTR were analyzed using Dual-

Luciferase® reporter assay. (C) Western blot of FOXO1 and phosphorylated FOXO1

(pFOXO1) in control and miR-195-expressing $Ebf1^{-/-}$ HPCs. Shown data is

representative of $n=3$. (D) Flow cytometry analysis of control and $Foxo1$-expressing

$Ebf1^{-/-}$ HPCs. Shown data is representative of $n=3$. Statical significance was tested using

two-tailed Student's t test. *$p<0.05$, $n=3$.

Fig. 5. ATAC-seq analysis of $Ebf1^{-/-}$ CD19-positive B cells differentiated by miR-195.

(A) Outline of analysis of open chromatin regions in miR-195-expressing $Ebf1^{-/-}$ cells.

(B) Venn diagram of numbers of genes in which DNA regions of open chromatin peaks

were detected by means of peak call analysis. The analyses were examined between

CD19-negative (FrA) and -positive (FrB, FrC, and FrD) stages of B cell development

(GSE100738; upper red circle); wild-type and $Ebf1^{-/-}$ pro-B cells (GSE92434; left-lower

blue circle); B220$^+$ CD19$^-$ cells of control and B220$^+$ CD19$^+$ positive miR-195-

expressing $Ebf1^{-/-}$ cells (right-lower green circle). (C and D) Venn diagram of numbers

of enriched known motifs detected using HOMER find motif analysis (C) and lists of

high p-value motifs, up to rank 10 (D).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

A

B

C

D

Fig. 5

A

Same protocol as Fig.3A for collecting bone marrow cells

Collected B220+ CD19+ cells and B220+ CD19- cells from bone marrow

ATAC-seq analysis

public ATAC-seq data of early B cell and EBF1 KO cells

peak call (p<1e-5) and Enrichment motif analysis

B numbers of genes having open chromatin peak(s)

WT CD19+ vs WT CD19-

WT CD19+ vs EBF1 KO CD19-

miR-195 CD19+ vs control CD19-

C Enriched known motives

WT CD19+ vs EBF1 KO CD19-

94 104 7

miR-195 CD19+ vs control CD19-

E2A
Foxo1
PAX5

D

WT CD19+ vs EBF1 KO CD19-

Rank	Motif	Name	P-value
1		EBF2(EBF)/BrownAdipose-EBF2-ChIP-Seq(GSE97114)/Homer	1e-111
2		EBF(EBF)/proBcell-EBF-ChIP-Seq(GSE21978)/Homer	1e-1047
3		Etv2(ETS)/ES-ER71-ChIP-Seq(GSE59402)/Homer	1e-810
4		ERG(ETS)/VCaP-ERG-ChIP-Seq(GSE14097)/Homer	1e-796
5		ETS1(ETS)/Jurkat-ETS1-ChIP-Seq(GSE17954)/Homer	1e-760
6		Fli1(ETS)/CD8-FLI-ChIP-Seq(GSE20898)/Homer	1e-706
7		ETV1(ETS)/GIST48-ETV1-ChIP-Seq(GSE22441)/Homer	1e-702
8		Ets1-distal(ETS)/CD4+-PolII-ChIP-Seq(Barski_et_al.)/Homer	1e-663
9		EWS:ERG-fusion(ETS)/CADO_ES1-EWS:ERG-ChIP-Seq(SRA014231)/Homer	1e-661
10		GABPA(ETS)/Jurkat-GABPa-ChIP-Seq(GSE17954)/Homer	1e-618

miR-195 CD19+ vs control CD19-

Rank	Motif	Name	P-value
1		Etv2(ETS)/ES-ER71-ChIP-Seq(GSE59402)/Homer	1e-55
2		ERG(ETS)/VCaP-ERG-ChIP-Seq(GSE14097)/Homer	1e-54
3		Fli1(ETS)/CD8-FLI-ChIP-Seq(GSE20898)/Homer	1e-54
4		ETV1(ETS)/GIST48-ETV1-ChIP-Seq(GSE22441)/Homer	1e-49
5		ETS1(ETS)/Jurkat-ETS1-ChIP-Seq(GSE17954)/Homer	1e-49
6		PAX5(Paired,Homeobox)/GM12878-PAX5-ChIP-Seq(GSE32465)/Homer	1e-45
7		Ets1-distal(ETS)/CD4+-PolII-ChIP-Seq(Barski_et_al.)/Homer	1e-40
8		EWS:ERG-fusion(ETS)/CADO_ES1-EWS:ERG-ChIP-Seq(SRA014231)/Homer	1e-40
9		ETV4(ETS)/HepG2-ETV4-ChIP-Seq(ENCODE)/Homer	1e-38
10		PU.1(ETS)/ThioMac-PU.1-ChIP-Seq(GSE21512)/Homer	1e-34