TITLE

Hypersensitivity controlled by mir-9a modulates female receptivity of *Drosophila*

***melanogaster*.**

SHORT TITLE

mir-9a modulate sexual sensitivity.

AUTHORS

Xiaoli Zhang[1], Joshua Bagley[2, 3], Yongwen Huang[1] and Woo Jae Kim[1, *]

[1]The HIT Center for Life Sciences, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China.

[2]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Departments of Physiology, Biochemistry, and Biophysics,

University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, USA

[3]a:head bio AG, Vienna, Austria

*Correspondence to: wkim@hit.edu.cn

XZ: 20210124L@stu.hit.edu.cn

JB: joshuaabagley@gmail.com

YH: 2021110372@stu.hit.edu.cn

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XZ and YH designed and performed revision experiments and revised manuscript. JB designed

and performed experiments. WJK designed and performed experiments, wrote, and revised

manuscript, analyzed data, and performed image analysis.

ABSTRACT

Female *Drosophila melanogaster* undergo a complex behavioral transformation following

mating, characterized by increased sensory sensitivity and altered reproductive behaviors. In this

study, we investigated the role of miR-9a, a conserved microRNA, in regulating these post-

mating changes. We found that miR-9a mutant females exhibited a hypersensitivity phenotype,

with increased rejection of courting males, delayed onset of sexual receptivity, and abnormal

mating termination behavior. This phenotype was associated with aberrant overgrowth of adult

body wall sensory neurons, suggesting a link between neuronal hypersensitivity and reproductive

behavior. To further elucidate the underlying mechanisms, we performed genetic interaction

studies with *sens* and *bru2*, genes known to interact with miR-9a. We found that removing one

copy of *sens* or *bru2* in miR-9a mutant backgrounds rescued the female rejection phenotype and

normalized neuronal morphology. This suggests that miR-9a regulates sensory neuron

development and female receptivity by modulating the expression of target genes like *sens* and

bru2. Our findings reveal a novel role for miR-9a in regulating sensory hypersensitivity and

reproductive behaviors in *Drosophila*. This research provides valuable insights into the

molecular mechanisms underlying post-mating behavioral adaptations and neural development.

Additionally, our findings highlight the potential of *Drosophila* as a model organism for

investigating the role of miR-9 family members in neuronal specification and function, with

implications for understanding sensory processing and neural plasticity in other organisms.

Keywords: miR-9a, *Drosophila*, sensory hypersensitivity, reproductive behavior, post-mating

response, *sens*, *bru2*

INTRODUCTION

Hypersensitivity in sensory neurons refers to an increased sensitivity to stimuli, which can result in exaggerated or inappropriate responses to normal sensory input (Isaacs and Riordan 2020). This can manifest as pain, itching, or discomfort in response to stimuli that would not normally be perceived as painful or unpleasant (Latremoliere and Woolf 2009; Gangadharan and Kuner 2013). Hypersensitivity can occur in various forms and is often associated with certain neurological disorders, injuries, or inflammatory conditions (Ren and Dubner 2008; Pinho-Ribeiro et al. 2017). Research into the causes and mechanisms of hypersensitivity in sensory neurons is important for developing new treatments to alleviate chronic pain and improve the quality of life for those affected by these conditions.

Hypersensitivity can be a result of changes in the nervous system known as neuroplasticity (Petersen-Felix and Curatolo 2002; Latremoliere and Woolf 2009; Gangadharan and Kuner 2013). This can involve altered gene expression in sensory neurons, changes in the morphology and function of these neurons, and modifications in the way they interact with other cells in the nervous system (Woolf and Salter 2000). In some cases, hypersensitivity can become chronic due to central sensitization, a process where the central nervous system becomes hyper-excitable and develops an increased sensitivity to incoming sensory signals. This can result in long-lasting pain even after the initial injury or inflammation has resolved (Salter 2010).

After mating, female *Drosophila melanogaster* undergo a marked transformation in behavioral response, exhibiting an enhanced sensitivity to a broad array of sensory inputs. This altered sensory perception is a component of a complex suite of post-mating changes that optimize the

female's reproductive strategy (Yang et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2014; Hussain et al. 2016; Bath et al.

2017). Enhanced sensory reactivity represents a pivotal component of the post-mating behavioral

syndrome in female *Drosophila melanogaster*. This adaptive sensitization is hypothesized to

confer selective advantages by facilitating the evasion of predators and/or by aiding in the

detection of optimal oviposition sites, thereby enhancing the female's reproductive success

(Hollis et al. 2019).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of endogenous small non-coding RNAs that predominantly

function in the post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. These molecules exert their

regulatory effects by annealing to the complementary sequences within the 3' untranslated region

(3' UTR) of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs), thereby facilitating either the degradation of the

mRNA transcript or the suppression of protein synthesis, depending on the degree of

complementarity and other context-dependent factors (Bartel 2009; Brodersen and Voinnet

2009). miRNAs are implicated in diverse brain functions including development, cognition, and

synaptic plasticity (Smalheiser and Lugli 2009; Cohen et al. 2011; Aksoy-Aksel et al. 2014; Ye

et al. 2016; Mohammadi et al. 2022).

Although the role of miRNAs in neural development and plasticity is well-documented, their

specific contributions to the modulation of sensory hypersensitivity following mating in female

insects have not been extensively explored. Studies using genetic tools to manipulate miRNA

expression in specific neurons or at specific times after mating could help to elucidate the precise

mechanisms by which miRNAs contribute to these complex behavioral and physiological

changes. Such studies are poised to elucidate the molecular underpinnings of post-mating

sensory adaptations and their implications for reproductive success.

miR-9a and its mammalian ortholog, miR-9, are pivotal regulators of post-transcriptional gene

expression, with diverse roles in development, cellular differentiation, and disease progression

(Li et al. 2006; Biryukova et al. 2009; Cassidy et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Yatsenko and

Shcherbata 2014; Cassidy et al. 2015; Suh et al. 2015; Daniel et al. 2017; Katti et al. 2017;

Gallicchio et al. 2020; Subramanian et al. 2021). Although miR-9a is multifunctional, miR-9a

was initially recognized for its essential function in neural development, particularly in the

precise specification of sensory organ precursors (SOPs) (Li et al. 2006; Parrish et al. 2006).

Notably, miR-9a exhibits a strong genetic interaction with the senseless (sens) gene in

controlling SOPs formation (Li et al. 2006). The miR-9a predominantly influences the

development of multidendritic sensory neurons and is crucial for the correct morphogenesis of

their dendritic arbors (Parrish et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2016).

In this study, we demonstrate that miR-9a regulates female receptivity in *Drosophila*

melanogaster through a sens-dependent pathway. Non-mated females with mutated miR-9a

exhibit a hypersensitivity phenotype, characterized by increased rejections of males, akin to the

behavior of mated females. This behavioral shift is correlated with the overgrowth of adult body

wall sensory neurons. Our findings delineate a novel role for miR-9a in modulating neuronal

hypersensitivity and provide insights into the molecular mechanisms governing post-mating

behavioral changes.

RESULTS

Female *Drosophila melanogaster* with mutations in *miR-9a* exhibit rejection of courting

males.

It is established that internal sensory neurons expressing the *pickpocket* (*ppk*) gene mediate the

post-mating behavioral switch through the binding of sex peptide to its receptor (Häsemeyer et al.

2009; Yang et al. 2009). Given that miR-9a is implicated in the development of sensory organ

precursors (SOPs) (Li et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2016), including ppk-positive neurons, we propose

that miR-9a may regulate female receptivity by modulating the development of ppk-expressing

neurons in females.

Both *miR-9a* mutant strains, *mir-9a^{J22}* and *mir-9a^{E39}*, displayed a significant decrement in

receptivity among virgin females across both 20-minute and 120-minute observation periods (Fig.

1A-B and Fig. S1A-B). Temporal analysis of receptivity scores definitively revealed that miR-9a

mutant females exhibit a substantial delay in the onset of sexual receptivity (Fig. 1C and Fig.

S1C). Relative to wild-type control females, miR-9a mutant females exhibited a significantly

higher rejection rate within a 5-minute observation window (Fig. 1D). Moreover, miR-9a mutant

females that initially accepted a male often subsequently terminated the mating attempt (Fig. 1E).

This behavior, wherein a female accepts a male and then rapidly rejects accepted male within

seconds, is a phenotype that is infrequently observed in wild-type females (Movies 1-4).

Post-mating rejection of male courtship is a conserved element of female post-mating responses

(PMR) in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Chapman et al. 2003; Kubli 2003; Yapici et al. 2008).

While miR-9a mutant virgin females displayed a significantly elevated rejection rate compared

to controls, the rejection rate of mated miR-9a mutants was indistinguishable from that of control

mated females (Fig. 1F and Fig. S1D), indicating that the PMR in miR-9a mutants is intact.

Notably, mated miR-9a mutants deposited a greater number of eggs than controls (Fig. 1G and

Fig. S1E), suggesting that miR-9a specifically modulates egg-laying behavior rather than

rejection behavior within the PMR. Furthermore, miR-9a mutants exhibited a marked reduction

in climbing (Fig. 1H) and flight (Fig. 1I; Movies 5-6) abilities, indicative of impaired muscle

contraction, consistent with previous reports (Katti et al. 2017). Collectively, these findings

indicate that miR-9a mutations lead to a specific increase in rejection behavior among virgin

females, while the rejection responses of mated females remain unaffected.

Male fruit flies with mutations in miR-9a display abberent courtship behaviors, and the

larvae of these mutants exhibit locomotor defects.

To investigate the role of miR-9a in male sexual behavior, we assessed the courtship index and

observed a significant reduction in courtship activity among miR-9a mutant males compared to

controls (Fig. 2A). Notably, a substantial proportion of miR-9a mutant males displayed a unique

courtship behavior characterized by bilateral wing vibration (Fig. 2B), in contrast to the typical

unilateral wing vibration observed in controls (Fig. 2C). Approximately two-thirds of miR-9a

mutant males exhibited this double wing vibration phenotype (Fig. 2D and Movies 7-8),

indicating that miR-9a mutation leads to specific courtship defects in male flies. Similar to the

locomotor deficits observed in mutant females, miR-9a mutant males also exhibited reduced

climbing and flight abilities (Fig. S2A-B), suggesting a generalized impact of miR-9a mutation

on locomotor behavior.

In line with these adult locomotion data, third instar larvae of miR-9a mutants showed impaired

locomotion (Fig. S2C-E) and exhibited an increased frequency of turning behaviors compared to

controls (Fig. S2F and Movies 9-10), indicating that the miR-9a mutation elicits a unique

behavioral profile, distinct from a generalized impairment of health. These findings collectively

suggest that miR-9a mutation induces a range of sensory-motor-related phenotypes, from larvae

to adults, across both sexes.

In miR-9a mutant flies, the adult body wall neurons undergo aberrant overgrowth.

The conservation of miR-9 across evolutionary scales, from flies to humans, at the nucleotide

level underscores its functional significance, despite variations in expression patterns. Studies

across various model organisms have revealed that miR-9 can influence neurogenesis through its

regulatory role in both neural and non-neural cell lineages (Yuva-Aydemir et al. 2011). In

Drosophila, miR-9a is essential for the accurate specification of neural progenitor cells in non-

neural lineages. For instance, the loss of miR-9a activity leads to the formation of ectopic

sensory neurons in embryos, larvae, and adults (Li et al. 2006).

To assess whether the female mating rejection phenotype is associated with aberrant sensory

neuron development, we analyzed the female abdominal body wall neurons, which derive from

the larval sensory neurons that are regulated by miR-9a (Li et al. 2006). Consistent with previous

findings, *ppk*-positive larval body wall sensory neurons in miR-9a mutants exhibit ectopic

sensory neurons with exceptionally branched dendrites (Fig. S3A-B). Remarkably, adult body

wall neurons also display ectopic sensory neurons with overgrown dendrites in both the ventral

and dorsal abdomen (Fig. 3A-B and Fig. S3C-D). Quantification of neurite morphology revealed

a significant increase in the number of branches and junctions in miR-9a mutant body wall

neurons (Fig. 3C-D and Fig. S3E-F), although the average length of the branches is comparable

between the mutant and control groups (Fig. 3E and Fig. S3G). The collective data indicate that

miR-9a is instrumental in the regulation of adult body wall neuronal growth.

Sensless (_sens_) and _bruno 2_ (_bru2_) mutations rescue the phenotypic defects of miR-9a

mutant flies.

It has been reported miR-9a mutants exhibit sensory bristle defects on the notum, and miR-9a

interacts genetically with the _sens_ gene (Li et al. 2006). To determine if adult body wall neurons

are also affected by miR-9a mutation, we conducted genetic interaction experiments. In a _miR-_

_9a^{J22}_ homozygous mutant background, the removal of one copy of _sens_ (_sens^{E58}/+_) resulted in a

significant rescue of the receptivity defects, matching those of control females (Fig. 4A and Fig.

S4A). This genetic rescue of the receptivity phenotype is correlated with the normalization of the

adult body wall neuronal phenotype, which displays normal sensory neurons with typical neurite

growth (Fig. 4B-E).

Through bioinformatic analysis, we identified several miR-9a target genes that contain miR-9a

target sequences in their untranslated regions (UTRs) of mRNA. We conducted similar genetic

interaction tests as with _sens_ mutant and found that the removal of one copy of _bru2_ (_bru2/+_)

completely rescued the miR-9a mutant receptivity phenotype (Fig. 5A). Overexpression of bru2

in sensory neurons did not alter the receptivity phenotype (Fig. 5B), indicating that _bru-2_ is not a

direct target of miR-9a in sensory neurons. The _Drosophila_ gene _bru2_ is predicted to facilitate

mRNA 3'-UTR binding activity and is involved in the negative regulation of translation (Öztürk-

Çolak et al. 2024). These findings collectively suggest that miR-9a guides sensory neuron

specification in the adult body wall and controls virgin female receptivity by regulating the

expression of various miR-9a target genes, including *sens* and *bru2*.

DISCUSSION

Our study reveals the critical role of miR-9a in regulating reproductive behaviors and neural

development in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Female miR-9a mutants display a pronounced

phenotype characterized by increased rejection of courting males, delayed onset of sexual

receptivity, and abnormal mating termination behavior. While the post-mating rejection behavior

of mated females remains intact, they lay more eggs, suggesting specific effects on egg-laying

(Fig. 1). Similar locomotor deficits are observed in both sexes, highlighting the generalized

impact of miR-9a mutation on motor function. In male flies, miR-9a mutation leads to reduced

courtship activity and the emergence of an abnormal bilateral wing vibration phenotype (Fig. 2).

Further investigation of sensory neuron development reveals ectopic overgrowth of sensory

neurons in both larval and adult stages, indicating a disruption in neuronal specification and

growth (Fig. 3). Genetic interaction experiments with *sens* and *bru2*, genes involved in sensory

bristle development and mRNA translation regulation, respectively, demonstrate that removing

one copy of these genes in miR-9a mutant backgrounds rescues the receptivity defects and

normalizes neuronal morphology (Fig. 4 and 5). These findings collectively suggest that miR-9a

plays a crucial role in regulating the development of sensory neurons and female receptivity by

modulating the expression of target genes such as *sens* and *bru2*. This study provides valuable

insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying reproductive behaviors and neural

development in *Drosophila*, and potentially in other organisms as well.

In our investigation, we have elucidated a heretofore unreported target gene of miR-9a,

designated *bru2*, which, upon deletion of a single allele, can rescue the female rejection

phenotype induced by miR-9a mutation. The *Drosophila melanogaster bru2* gene is inferred to

possess mRNA 3'-UTR binding activity and is proposed to participate in mRNA splice site

recognition, the negative regulation of translation, and the regulation of alternative mRNA

splicing, mediated through the spliceosome. Despite these predictions, the precise molecular

function of bru2 remains to be fully elucidated. The human orthologs of bru2, CELF1 (CUGBP

Elav-like family member 1) and CELF2 (CUGBP Elav-like family member 2), have been

implicated in developmental and epileptic encephalopathy 97 (Itai et al. 2021). Notably, a

constellation of repressed expression of let-7g, miR-9, and miR-135a, alongside elevated

expression of the RNA splicing factor CELF1, has been correlated with a murine heart model of

myotonic dystrophy (MD) (Misra et al. 2020). These findings suggest that the newly

characterized genetic interplay between miR-9a and bru2 may serve as a prototypical model for

investigating the role of human miR-9 in neuronal specification.

Our research revealed that mutation of miR-9a results in the overgrowth of sensory neurons in

adult *D. melanogaster* females, which in turn elicits rejection of courting males by virgins. The

miR-9a mutant females exhibited a hypersensitivity phenotype attributable to this neuronal

overgrowth. The study of hypersensitivity in *D. melanogaster* is a field of inquiry that holds

profound implications for comprehending the foundational mechanisms underpinning sensory

perception and neural plasticity. In *D. melanogaster*, post-mating modifications in female

behavior encompass enhanced sensory reactivity, a phenomenon considered adaptive as it

facilitates the evasion of predators and the selection of appropriate oviposition sites (Chapman et

al. 2003; Ram and Wolfner 2007; Yapici et al. 2008; Gligorov et al. 2013; Corbel et al. 2022).

This augmented sensitivity is correlated with the overgrowth of adult body wall sensory neurons,

and alterations in miR-9a expression via genetic manipulations can modulate these responses.

The analysis of hypersensitivity in this fly model provides critical insights into the molecular and

neural substrates that regulate sensory processing and the evolution of complex behavioral

outputs.

Notably, female *ppk*-positive body wall neurons have been identified as key neurons underlying

the heat hypersensitivity phenotype (Gu et al. 2022), indicating that adult body wall neurons are

evolutionarily conserved in mediating adaptive hypersensitivity. Our discovery establishes a

novel *Drosophila* genetic model for the investigation of miR-9 family-mediated pathogenesis

across various neuronal contexts.

METHODS

Quantification of Neurite Branching

To quantify neurite branching, we utilized the 'skeleton' function in ImageJ, following a detailed

step-by-step process to ensure accurate measurement of branch numbers and lengths. All

specimens were imaged under identical conditions. First, images of neurons were imported into

ImageJ by launching the software and dragging the image into the workspace. The images were

then converted to an 8-bit format by selecting the image, navigating to the "Image" menu,

choosing "Type," and selecting "8-bit." Next, the images underwent threshold adjustment to

separate the neuron branches from the background. This involved selecting "Image" from the

menu bar, choosing "Adjust," and then "Threshold." The "Dark Background" option was

checked, the color was changed to red, and the threshold slider was adjusted until all branches

were highlighted in red. After threshold adjustment and branch connection, the images were

converted to a binary format. This was done by selecting "Process" from the menu bar, choosing

"Binary," and then "Make Binary," ensuring that "Threshold pixel to foreground color" and

"Remaining pixel to background color" options were checked with a "Black foreground, white

background" setting. The binary images were then skeletonized to produce a simplified

representation of the neurite branches. This involved selecting "Process" from the menu bar,

choosing "Binary," and then "Skeletonize." The resulting skeleton images were analyzed using

the Analyze Skeleton plugin. This process included selecting "Analyze" from the menu bar,

choosing "Skeleton," and then "Analyze Skeleton." The settings for the analysis included

keeping the "Prune cycle method" set to "None," unchecking the "Prune ends" and "Exclude ROI

from pruning" options, and checking the options to show the longest shortest path, branch labels,

junctions, and endpoints. All specimens were imaged under identical conditions.

Predicting of miR-9a Targets in *Drosophila* Genome

We used miRBase provided targetscan analysis to identify miR-9a potential targets (Kozomara et

al. 2019). The result can be checked with this stable link. https://www.targetscan.org/cgi-

bin/targetscan/fly_12/targetscan.cgi?mirg=dme-miR-9a

Adult Flight Assay

Flies were subjected to a 'flight assay' to evaluate their escape response from water. 50 flies were

gently introduced into a water-filled jar. The jar was then tapped to stimulate the flies, and the

number of flies that escaped from the water was counted. The escape ratio was calculated to

determine the effectiveness of the flies' flight response. For visual reference, please check the

video files labeled 5-6, which represent the phenotypes of control and mutant flies during the

assay. Our experiment was conducted at 25°C under normal light conditions.

Receptivity Assay and Egg Laying Assay

For receptivity assays, females and males were housed in small groups of 3–4 flies. In order to

assess female receptivity, one female was put into a small (1 cm × 1 cm) chamber together with

two naive *Canton S* males. The female was scored as receptive if it mated within 20 min. In the

remating assays, a female was mated in a receptivity assay and then again examined in another

receptivity assay 24 hours later. Assays were usually performed within the first three hours of the

subjective day. All experimental procedures were conducted using 36-well plates, and each assay

was replicated the specified number of times to ensure statistical robustness. The calculated

percentages of receptivity and rejection were then subjected to statistical analysis via Student's t-

test for significance.

For egg laying assays, 10 females of the appropriate genotype were aged in vials for 4–5 days.

Then three or five (as indicated in the figure legends) females were transferred to a vial with

grape media and allowed to lay eggs for 24 hours at 25°C. The number of eggs was divided by

the number of flies in the vial to give a measure of egg laying. For assays of egg laying by mated

females, females of the respective genotype were mated with *Canton S* males for 2–3 hours on

the day before the experiment, with 10 females and 20 males per vial. All data are given as

average ± SEM, significance levels were calculated with the Student's t test.

Courtship Assay

The courtship assay was conducted according to established protocols as previously reported

(Lee et al. 2023), under standard light conditions in circular courtship arenas with a diameter of

11 mm, between noon and 4 p.m. Courtship latency was defined as the interval from the

introduction of the female to the initiation of the first overt male courtship behavior, such as

orientation and wing extensions. Following the onset of courtship, the courtship index was

determined as the proportion of time the male engaged in courtship-related activities over a 10-

minute period or until copulation occurred.

Video Recording of Larval Locomotor Behaviors.

Video recordings of gross path morphology were made with a digital video (DV) camera (Canon

GL1) in an environment room maintained at 25°C and 70% humidity. DV movies were captured

with IMOVIE 2.0 on a 500-MHz Apple iMac and digitized with QUICKTIME 4.0 at 29.97

frames per second (fps). Low-magnification videos were recorded for 2 min or until the larva left

the 50-cm^2 field of the camera. High-magnification videos (15-cm^2 field) were recorded with an

Olympus OLY-200 camera mounted on an Olympus SZX9 microscope connected to a VCR

(Samsung VR5599). Peristalsis was recorded until 10 peristaltic waves during linear locomotion

were completed. Mutants frequently had discontinuous bouts of peristalsis, and repositioning of

the plate was necessary, but this did not affect the motion analysis. VCR recordings were

captured with adobe premier 5.0 on a Macintosh G4 at 15 fps.

Climbing Assay

For climbing assay, we modified the conventional RING assay (Gargano et al. 2005) and

reported in our previous reports (Miao et al. 2024; Zhang et al. 2024). In brief, 40-50 aged flies

were placed in an empty vial and were tapped to the bottom of the tube. We used 5 days old

adults. After tapping of flies, we recorded 10 seconds of video clip. This experiment was done

five times with 5-minute intervals. With recorded video files, we captured the position of flies 10

seconds after tapping the vial. This captured image file was then loaded in ImageJ to perform

particle analysis. For quantifying the location of flies inside a vial, we used the "analyze particles"

function of ImageJ (Grishagin 2015). The position of pixels was normalized by height of vial

then only the particles above the midline (4 cm) of vial were counted.

Adult Body Wall Neuron Live Imaging

Adult body wall was dissected in PBS at room temperature. We imaged GFP fluorescence in

living animals by mounting them in silicon oil (Shin-Etsu). Maximum projections of z-stacks

were used in all cases. Images were adjusted for brightness and contrast with Adobe Photoshop

(Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA) (Yasunaga et al. 2015). For visualization of dendrites, we

labeled neurons with ppk-GAL4; UAS-mCD8GFP, ppk-CD4-tdGFP, or ppk-CD4-tdTom and

imaged GFP and RFP fluorescence in living animals by mounting them in silicon oil (Shin-Etsu).

Maximum projections of Z-stacks were used in all cases. The dendrite length was measured by

using the ImageJ. For the quantitative analyses, we focused on the dendrites in segments A4, A5

and A6, since these neurons exhibit similar and consistent dendrite branch lengths and branch

368   points. For quantification of the total branch length and the branch points, we used skeleton

369   analysis as described above.

Fly Stocks and Husbandry

Drosophila melanogaster were raised on cornmeal-yeast medium at similar densities to yield

adults with similar body sizes. Flies were kept in 12 h light: 12 h dark cycles (LD) at 25°C (ZT 0

is the beginning of the light phase, ZT12 beginning of the dark phase) except for some

experimental manipulation. Wild-type flies were *Canton-S* (*CS*) and *Cantonized w1118*.

Following lines used in this study, *Canton-S* (#64349), *ppk-CD4-tdGFP* (# 35842), *sens^{E58}*

(#5312), *bru2^{f00171}* (#18300), *bru2^{EY18918}* (#22296), *bru2^{G5819}* (#27190), *Liprin-γ^{f01268}* (#18421),

CadN^{M12} (#229), *Liprin-α^{EY21217}* (#22459), *Osi21$^{MB0145\,0}$*(#23186), *ppk-GAL4* (#32078) were

obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center at Indiana University. *Osi21^{MB01450}*

(#M2L-3116) was obtained from National Institute of Genetics Fly Stocks. We thank Dr. Fen-

Biao Gao (Gladstone Institute of Neurological Disease and Department of Neurology, University

of California at San Francisco) for sharing *mir-9a^{J22}* and *mir-9a^{E39}* lines. We thank Dr. Paul M.

Macdonal (Department of Molecular Biosciences, Institute for Cellular and Molecular Biology,

The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, United States of America) for sharing *UAS-*

bru2 line.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of receptivity assays is similar with mating duration assay was described

previously (Lee et al. 2023). More than 10 females for 1 group were used for receptivity assay.

Statistical comparisons were made between groups that were control group and experimental

group within each experiment. As receptivity assays of females showed normal distribution

(Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, $p > 0.05$), we used two-sided Student's t tests. The mean ± standard

error (s.e.m) (**** = $p < 0.0001$, *** = $p < 0.001$, ** = $p < 0.01$, * = $p < 0.05$). All analysis was

done in GraphPad (Prism). Individual tests and significance are detailed in figure legends.

Besides traditional t-test for statistical analysis, we added estimation statistics for all receptivity

assays and two group comparing graphs. In short, 'estimation statistics' is a simple framework

that—while avoiding the pitfalls of significance testing—uses familiar statistical concepts:

means, mean differences, and error bars. More importantly, it focuses on the effect size of one's

experiment/intervention, as opposed to significance testing (Claridge-Chang and Assam 2016).

In comparison to typical NHST plots, estimation graphics have the following five significant

advantages such as (1) avoid false dichotomy, (2) display all observed values (3) visualize

estimate precision (4) show mean difference distribution. And most importantly (5) by focusing

attention on an effect size, the difference diagram encourages quantitative reasoning about the

system under study (Ho et al. 2019). Thus, we conducted a reanalysis of all of our two group

data sets using both standard t tests and estimate statistics. In 2019, the Society for Neuroscience

journal eNeuro instituted a policy recommending the use of estimation graphics as the preferred

method for data presentation (Bernard 2021).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very appreciative to the colleagues who supplied us with several fly strains. We thank Dr.

Paul M. Macdonal (University of Texas at Austin) for sharing *UAS-bru2* line. We extend our

gratitude to Drs. Yuh Nung and Lily Jan (UCSF) for their invaluable support and engagement in

discussions pertaining to this project. This research was supported by a Startup funds from HIT

Center for Life Science to WJK.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS:

The authors declare no competing interests.

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE

WRITING PROCESS

During the creation of this work, the author(s) utilized QuillBot to rephrase English sentences,

verify English grammar, and detect plagiarism, as none of the authors of this paper are native

English speakers. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as

needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

REFERENCES

Aksoy-Aksel A, Zampa F, Schratt G. 2014. MicroRNAs and synaptic plasticity—a mutual
relationship. Philos Trans R Soc B: Biol Sci. 369(1652):20130515. doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0515.

Bartel DP. 2009. MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions. Cell. 136(2):215–
233. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002.

Bath E, Bowden S, Peters C, Reddy A, Tobias JA, Easton-Calabria E, Seddon N, Goodwin SF,
Wigby S. 2017. Sperm and sex peptide stimulate aggression in female Drosophila. Nat Ecol Evol.
1(6):0154. doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0154.

Bernard C. 2021. Estimation Statistics, One Year Later. eNeuro. 8(2):ENEURO.0091-21.2021.
doi:10.1523/eneuro.0091-21.2021.

Biryukova I, Asmar J, Abdesselem H, Heitzler P. 2009. Drosophila mir-9a regulates wing
development via fine-tuning expression of the LIM only factor, dLMO. Dev Biol. 327(2):487–
496. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.12.036.

Brodersen P, Voinnet O. 2009. Revisiting the principles of microRNA target recognition and
mode of action. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 10(2):141–148. doi:10.1038/nrm2619.

Cassidy JJ, Jha AR, Posadas DM, Giri R, Venken KJT, Ji J, Jiang H, Bellen HJ, White KP,
Carthew RW. 2013. miR-9a Minimizes the Phenotypic Impact of Genomic Diversity by
Buffering a Transcription Factor. Cell. 155(7):1556–1567. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.057.

Cassidy JJ, Straughan AJ, Carthew RW. 2015. Differential Masking of Natural Genetic Variation
by miR-9a in Drosophila. Genetics. 202(2):675–687. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.183822.

Chapman T, Bangham J, Vinti G, Seifried B, Lung O, Wolfner MF, Smith HK, Partridge L. 2003.
The sex peptide of Drosophila melanogaster: Female post-mating responses analyzed by using
RNA interference. Proc National Acad Sci. 100(17):9923–9928. doi:10.1073/pnas.1631635100.

Claridge-Chang A, Assam PN. 2016. Estimation statistics should replace significance testing.
Nat Methods. 13(2):108–109. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3729.

Cohen JE, Lee PR, Chen S, Li W, Fields RD. 2011. MicroRNA regulation of homeostatic
synaptic plasticity. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 108(28):11650–11655. doi:10.1073/pnas.1017576108.

Corbel Q, Londoño☐Nieto C, Carazo P. 2022. Does perception of female cues modulate male
short☐term fitness components in Drosophila melanogaster? Ecol Evol. 12(9):e9287.
doi:10.1002/ece3.9287.

Daniel SG, Russ AD, Guthridge KM, Raina AI, Estes PS, Parsons LM, Richardson HE,
Schroeder JA, Zarnescu DC. 2017. miR-9a mediates the role of Lethal giant larvae as an
epithelial growth inhibitor in Drosophila. Biol Open. 7(1):bio027391. doi:10.1242/bio.027391.

Gallicchio L, Griffiths-Jones S, Ronshaugen M. 2020. Single-cell visualization of mir-9a and
Senseless co-expression during Drosophila melanogaster embryonic and larval peripheral
nervous system development. G3. 11(1):jkaa010. doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkaa010.

Gangadharan V, Kuner R. 2013. Pain hypersensitivity mechanisms at a glance. Dis Model Mech.
6(4):889–895. doi:10.1242/dmm.011502.

Gargano JW, Martin I, Bhandari P, Grotewiel MS. 2005. Rapid iterative negative geotaxis
(RING): a new method for assessing age-related locomotor decline in Drosophila. Exp Gerontol.
40(5):386–95. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2005.02.005.

Gligorov D, Sitnik JL, Maeda RK, Wolfner MF, Karch F. 2013. A Novel Function for the Hox
Gene Abd-B in the Male Accessory Gland Regulates the Long-Term Female Post-Mating
Response in Drosophila. Plos Genet. 9(3):e1003395. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003395.

Grishagin IV. 2015. Automatic cell counting with ImageJ. Anal Biochem. 473:63–65.
doi:10.1016/j.ab.2014.12.007.

Gu P, Wang F, Shang Y, Liu J, Gong J, Xie W, Han J, Xiang Y. 2022. Nociception and
hypersensitivity involve distinct neurons and molecular transducers in Drosophila. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 119(12):e2113645119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2113645119.

Häsemeyer M, Yapici N, Heberlein U, Dickson BJ. 2009. Sensory Neurons in the Drosophila
Genital Tract Regulate Female Reproductive Behavior. Neuron. 61(4):511–518.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.01.009.

Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A. 2019. Moving beyond P values: data
analysis with estimation graphics. Nat Methods. 16(7):565–566. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0470-3.

Hollis B, Koppik M, Wensing KU, Ruhmann H, Genzoni E, Erkosar B, Kawecki TJ, Fricke C,
Keller L. 2019. Sexual conflict drives male manipulation of female postmating responses in
Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci United States Am. 116(17):8437–8444.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1821386116.

Hussain A, Üçpunar HK, Zhang M, Loschek LF, Kadow ICG. 2016. Neuropeptides Modulate
Female Chemosensory Processing upon Mating in Drosophila. PLoS Biol. 14(5):e1002455.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002455.

Isaacs D, Riordan H. 2020. Sensory hypersensitivity in Tourette syndrome: A review. Brain Dev.
42(9):627–638. doi:10.1016/j.braindev.2020.06.003.

Itai T, Hamanaka K, Sasaki K, Wagner M, Kotzaeridou U, Brösse I, Ries M, Kobayashi Y,
Tohyama J, Kato M, et al. 2021. De novo variants in CELF2 that disrupt the nuclear localization
signal cause developmental and epileptic encephalopathy. Hum Mutat. 42(1):66–76.
doi:10.1002/humu.24130.

Katti P, Thimmaya D, Madan A, Nongthomba U. 2017. Overexpression of miRNA-9 Generates
Muscle Hypercontraction Through Translational Repression of Troponin-T in Drosophila
melanogaster Indirect Flight Muscles. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genet. 7(10):3521–3531.
doi:10.1534/g3.117.300232.

Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, Griffiths-Jones S. 2019. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to
function. Nucleic Acids Res. 47(D1):D155–D162. doi:10.1093/nar/gky1141.

Kubli E. 2003. Sex-peptides: seminal peptides of the Drosophila male. Cell Mol Life Sci Cmls.
60(8):1689–1704. doi:10.1007/s00018-003-3052.

Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ. 2009. Central Sensitization: A Generator of Pain Hypersensitivity by
Central Neural Plasticity. J Pain. 10(9):895–926. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2009.06.012.

Lee SG, Sun D, Miao H, Wu Z, Kang C, Saad B, Nguyen K-NH, Guerra-Phalen A, Bui D,
Abbas A-H, et al. 2023. Taste and pheromonal inputs govern the regulation of time investment
for mating by sexual experience in male Drosophila melanogaster. PLOS Genet. 19(5):e1010753.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010753.

Li Y, Wang F, Lee J-A, Gao F-B. 2006. MicroRNA-9a ensures the precise specification of
sensory organ precursors in Drosophila. Gene Dev. 20(20):2793–2805. doi:10.1101/gad.1466306.

Li Z, Lu Y, Xu X-L, Gao F-B. 2013. The FTD/ALS-associated RNA-binding protein TDP-43
regulates the robustness of neuronal specification through microRNA-9a in Drosophila. Hum
Mol Genet. 22(2):218–225. doi:10.1093/hmg/dds420.

Miao H, Wei Y, Lee SG, Wu Z, Kaur J, Kim WJ. 2024. Glia☐specific expression of
neuropeptide receptor Lgr4 regulates development and adult physiology in Drosophila. J
Neurosci Res. 102(1). doi:10.1002/jnr.25271.

Misra C, Bangru S, Lin F, Lam K, Koenig SN, Lubbers ER, Hedhli J, Murphy NP, Parker DJ,
Dobrucki LW, et al. 2020. Aberrant Expression of a Non-muscle RBFOX2 Isoform Triggers
Cardiac Conduction Defects in Myotonic Dystrophy. Dev Cell. 52(6):748-763.e6.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.01.037.

Mohammadi AH, Seyedmoalemi S, Moghanlou M, Akhlagh SA, Zavareh SAT, Hamblin MR,
Jafari A, Mirzaei H. 2022. MicroRNAs and Synaptic Plasticity: From Their Molecular Roles to
Response to Therapy. Mol Neurobiol. 59(8):5084–5102. doi:10.1007/s12035-022-02907-2.

Öztürk-Çolak A, Marygold SJ, Antonazzo G, Attrill H, Goutte-Gattat D, Jenkins VK, Matthews
BB, Millburn G, Santos G dos, Tabone CJ, et al. 2024. FlyBase: updates to the Drosophila genes
and genomes database. GENETICS. 227(1):iyad211. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyad211.

Parrish JZ, Kim MD, Jan LY, Jan YN. 2006. Genome-wide analyses identify transcription
factors required for proper morphogenesis of Drosophila sensory neuron dendrites. Genes Dev.
20(7):820–835. doi:10.1101/gad.1391006.

Petersen-Felix S, Curatolo M. 2002. Neuroplasticity - an important factor in acute and chronic
pain. Swiss Méd Wkly. 132(2122):273–278. doi:10.4414/smw.2002.09913.

Pinho-Ribeiro FA, Verri WA, Chiu IM. 2017. Nociceptor Sensory Neuron–Immune Interactions
in Pain and Inflammation. Trends Immunol. 38(1):5–19. doi:10.1016/j.it.2016.10.001.

Ram KR, Wolfner MF. 2007. Sustained Post-Mating Response in Drosophila melanogaster
Requires Multiple Seminal Fluid Proteins. Plos Genet. 3(12):e238.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0030238.

Ren K, Dubner R. 2008. Neuron–glia crosstalk gets serious: role in pain hypersensitivity. Curr
Opin Anaesthesiol. 21(5):570–579. doi:10.1097/aco.0b013e32830edbdf.

Salter MW. 2010. The Neurobiology of Central Sensitization. J Musculoskelet Pain. 10(1–2):23–
33. doi:10.1300/j094v10n01_03.

Smalheiser NR, Lugli G. 2009. microRNA Regulation of Synaptic Plasticity. NeuroMolecular
Med. 11(3):133–140. doi:10.1007/s12017-009-8065-2.

Subramanian M, Hyeon SJ, Das T, Suh YS, Kim YK, Lee J-S, Song EJ, Ryu H, Yu K. 2021.
UBE4B, a microRNA-9 target gene, promotes autophagy-mediated Tau degradation. Nat
Commun. 12(1):3291. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23597-9.

Suh YS, Bhat S, Hong S-H, Shin M, Bahk S, Cho KS, Kim S-W, Lee K-S, Kim Y-J, Jones WD,
et al. 2015. Genome-wide microRNA screening reveals that the evolutionary conserved miR-9a
regulates body growth by targeting sNPFR1/NPYR. Nat Commun. 6(1):7693.
doi:10.1038/ncomms8693.

Wang Y, Wang H, Li X, Li Y. 2016. Epithelial microRNA□9a regulates dendrite growth
through Fmi□Gq signaling in Drosophila sensory neurons. Dev Neurobiol. 76(2):225–237.
doi:10.1002/dneu.22309.

Woolf CJ, Salter MW. 2000. Neuronal Plasticity: Increasing the Gain in Pain. Science.
288(5472):1765–1768. doi:10.1126/science.288.5472.1765.

Yang C, Rumpf S, Xiang Y, Gordon MD, Song W, Jan LY, Jan Y-N. 2009. Control of the
Postmating Behavioral Switch in Drosophila Females by Internal Sensory Neurons. Neuron.
61(4):519–526. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2008.12.021.

Yapici N, Kim Y-J, Ribeiro C, Dickson BJ. 2008. A receptor that mediates the post-mating
switch in Drosophila reproductive behaviour. Nature. 451(7174):33–37.
doi:10.1038/nature06483.

Yasunaga K, Tezuka A, Ishikawa N, Dairyo Y, Togashi K, Koizumi H, Emoto K. 2015. Adult
Drosophila sensory neurons specify dendritic territories independently of dendritic contacts
through the Wnt5–Drl signaling pathway. Genes Dev. 29(16):1763–1775.
doi:10.1101/gad.262592.115.

Yatsenko AS, Shcherbata HR. 2014. Drosophila miR-9a Targets the ECM Receptor
Dystroglycan to Canalize Myotendinous Junction Formation. Dev Cell. 28(3):335–348.
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.004.

Ye Y, Xu H, Su X, He X. 2016. Role of MicroRNA in Governing Synaptic Plasticity. Neural
Plast. 2016:4959523. doi:10.1155/2016/4959523.

Yuva-Aydemir Y, Simkin A, Gascon E, Gao F-B. 2011. MicroRNA-9. RNA Biol. 8(4):557–564.
doi:10.4161/rna.8.4.16019.

Zhang X, Sun D, Wong K, Salkini A, Najafi H, Kim WJ. 2024. The astrocyte-enriched gene
deathstar plays a crucial role in the development, locomotion, and lifespan of D. melanogaster.
Fly. 18(1):2368336. doi:10.1080/19336934.2024.2368336.

Zhu EY, Guntur AR, He R, Stern U, Yang C-H. 2014. Egg-Laying Demand Induces Aversion of
UV Light in Drosophila Females. Curr Biol. 24(23):2797–2804. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.09.076.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *miR-9a* mutations lead to a specific increase in rejection behavior among virgin

females.

(A-B) Receptivity of virgin females, with the score for receptivity behavior as well as genotype

of experimental animals given above the graph. In each assay, one female of the indicated

genotype was confronted with two naive males in a small chamber. Females were scored as

receptive when they mated within 20 minutes (A) and 120 minutes (B). Numbers in parentheses

are the numbers of females tested. DBMs represent difference between means. The mean value

and standard error are labeled within the dot plot (black lines). Asterisks represent significant

differences, as revealed by the Student's t test (* $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$). The same

notations for statistical significance are used in other figures. (C) The temporal pattern of virgin

female receptivity to males courtship across the duration of the observation period. (D) The

Receptivity assays of *wCS* females, *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}* homozygous mutant and *mir-9a^{E39}/mir-*

9a^{E39} homozygous mutant in 5 minutes. (E) Females harboring a *mir-9a^{J22}* mutation initially

accepted male courtship but subsequently terminated the mating attempt. (F) Rejection of mated

females, with the score for rejection behavior as well as genotype of experimental animals given

above the graph. PMR means post-mating reaction. (G) Egg laying. For egg laying, 10 females

of the appropriate genotype were aged in vials for 4–5 days. Then three or five females were

transferred to a vial with grape media and allowed to lay eggs for 24 hr at 25°C. The number of

eggs was divided by the number of flies in the vial to give a measure of egg laying. (H) Climbing

assays of females. 40–50 flies were placed in an empty vial and were tapped to the bottom of the

tube. After tapping of flies, we recorded 10□s of video clip. This experiment was done five

times at 5-min intervals. With recorded video files, we captured the position of flies 10□s after

tapping the vial. (I) Flight assays of females. For each assay, fifty flies were gently introduced

into a water-filled jar. The jar was then tapped to stimulate the flies, and the number of flies that

escaped from the water was counted. The escape ratio was calculated to determine the

effectiveness of the flies' flight response.

Figure 2. Males with *mir-9a* mutation display abberent courtship behaviors.

(A) Courtship assays of males. Once courtship began, courtship index was calculated as the

fraction of time a male spent in any courtship-related activity during a 10 min period or until

mating occurred. Mating initiation is the time after male flies successfully mounted on female.

(B) *miR-9a^{J22}* males displayed a unique courtship behavior characterized by bilateral wing

vibration. (C) *CS* males displayed a typical courtship behavior characterized by unilateral wing

vibration. (D) Wing vibration types of males. White box represents the percentage of unilateral

wing vibration and red box represents the percentage of bilateral wing vibration.

Figure 3. The adult ventral body wall neurons undergo aberrant overgrowth in *mir-9a*

mutant flies.

(A) Location of *Drosophila* adult ventral neurons. (B) Ventral A6 neurons expressing *ppk-GAL4*

together with *UAS-mCD4GFP* in *mir-9a^{J22}/ +* and *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}* in adult female. The

bottom pictures are skeletonized from the top pictures. (C-E) Quantification of neurite

morphology for *miR-9a* mutant body wall neurons in branches (C), junctions (D) and branch

length (E).

Figure 4. *sens* and *bru2* mutations rescue the phenotypic defects of *miR-9a* mutant flies.

(A) Receptivity of virgin females, with the score for receptivity behavior as well as genotype of

experimental animals given above the graph. (B) Ventral neurons expressing *ppk-GAL4* together

with *UAS-mCD4GFP* in *mir-9a^{J22}/ +, sens^{E58}* and *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}, E58* female. The bottom

pictures are skeletonized from the top pictures. (C-E) Quantification of neurite morphology for

venral body wall neurons in branches (C), junctions (D) and branch length (E). Genotype of

experimental animals given above the graph.

Figure 5. *miR-9a* guides sensory neuron development in the adult body wall and modulates

virgin female receptivity through target gene regulation.

(A-B) Receptivity of virgin females in 20 minutes, with the score for receptivity behavior as well

as genotype of experimental animals given above the graph. (C) Schematic diagram of mir-9a

involved in sexual behavior in females and males.

Figure S1. *miR-9a* mutations lead to a specific increase in rejection behavior among virgin

females.

(A-B) Receptivity of virgin females in 20 minutes, with the score for receptivity behavior as well

as genotype of experimental animals given above the graph. (C) The temporal pattern of virgin

female receptivity to males courtship across the duration of the observation period. (D) Rejection

of mated females, with the score for rejection behavior as well as genotype of experimental

animals given above the graph. (E) Egg laying. For each assay, five females of the indicated

group were allowed to lay eggs in a vial with grape media at 25□. Eggs were counted after 24

hours and the number of eggs in each vial was divided by five. n=15 assays for all genotypes,

error bars indicate SEM, $*p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.0005$, Student's t test.

Figure S2. *mir-9a* mutants exhibit locomotor defects among larvae and adult.

(A) Climbing assays. (B) Flight assays of females. For each assay, fifty flies were gently

introduced into a water-filled jar. The jar was then tapped to stimulate the flies, and the number

of flies that escaped from the water was counted. The escape ratio was calculated to determine

the effectiveness of the flies' flight response. (C) 3rd instar larvae of *mir-9a^{J22}/+* fly. (D) 3rd instar

larvae of *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}* fly. (E) Speed of 3rd instar larvae crawling. (F) Turn number of 3rd

instar larvae crawling.

Figure S3. *miR-9a* guides sensory neuron development in the adult and larvae dorsal body

wall.

(A) Location of *Drosophila* larvae ventral body wall neurons. (B) Ventral neurons expressing

ppk-GAL4 together with *UAS-mCD4GFP* in *mir-9a^{J22}/ +* and *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}* in larvae. (C)

Location of *Drosophila* adult dorsal neurons. (D) Dorsal A5 neurons expressing *ppk-GAL4*

together with *UAS-mCD4GFP* in *mir-9a^{J22}/ +* and *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}* in adult female. The

bottom pictures are skeletonized from the top pictures. (E-G) Quantification of neurite

morphology for dorsal body wall neurons in branches (C), junctions (D) and branch length (E).

Genotype of experimental animals given above the graph.

Figure S4. *sens^{E58}*/+ **rescued the receptivity defects in a** *miR-9a^{J22}* **homozygous mutant**

background.

(A) Receptivity of virgin females in 20 minutes, with the score for receptivity behavior as well as

genotype of experimental animals given above the graph.

A □ +/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22

B □ +/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22

C □ +/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22

D □ # of 5 min receptive
■ # of 5 min rejection

E mir-9a^J22 rejection

F □ +/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22

G □ w^CS control
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^E39/mir-9a^E39

H □ w^CS control
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^E39/mir-9a^E39

I □ w^CS control
■ mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22
■ mir-9a^E39/mir-9a^E39

mir-9a, Fig.1

A □ *+/mir-9a^J22*
■ *mir-9a^J22/mir-9a^J22*

Courtship index / DBMs — Courtship in 20 min

B *mir-9a^J22* courtship — Two wing vibration

C *control* courtship — One wing vibration

D □ *% of one wing^vibration*
■ *% of two wing^vibration*

% of behavior (%) — Wing vibration type

mir-9a, Fig.2

A

B

*+/mir-9a^J22^*　　*mir-9a^J22^/mir-9a^J22^*

ppk-CD4tdGFP

ventral A6　　　　ventral A6

Skeltonized　　　　Skeltonized

C
□ *+/mir-9a^J22^*
■ *mir-9a^J22^/mir-9a^J22^*

# of branches

****

12　12

ventral body wall neurons

D
□ *+/mir-9a^J22^*
■ *mir-9a^J22^/mir-9a^J22^*

# of junctions

**

12　12

ventral body wall neurons

E
□ *+/mir-9a^J22^*
■ *mir-9a^J22^/mir-9a^J22^*

Branch length (px)

ns

12　12

ventral body wall neurons

DBMs

mir-9a, Fig.3

A
□ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/+*
■ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/mir-9a^{J22}*

Receptivity (%)

ns

10 10

DBMs

Receptive in 20 min

B
ppk-CD4tdGFP

mir-9a^{J22/+},sens^{E58} | *mir-9a^{J22/J22},sens^{E58}*

Skeltonized | Skeltonized

C
□ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/+*
■ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/mir-9a^{J22}*

# of branches

ns

DBMs

ventral body wall neurons

D
□ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/+*
■ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/mir-9a^{J22}*

# of junctions

ns

DBMs

ventral body wall neurons

E
□ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/+*
■ *mir-9a^{J22},sens^{E58}/mir-9a^{J22}*

Branch length (px)

ns

4 4

DBMs

ventral body wall neurons

mir-9a, Fig.4

A

- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22}/+*
- ■ *mir-9a^{J22}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},bru2^{f00171}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},bru2^{EY18918}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},bru2^{G5819}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},Liprin-γ^{f01268}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},CadN^{M12}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},Liprin-α^{EY21217}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},Osi21^{MB01450}/mir-9a^{J22}*
- ☐ *mir-9a^{J22},CG4133^{SK3}/mir-9a^{J22}*

91.7% 38.5% 76.9% 75.0% 100.0% 50.0% 60.0% 41.7% 73.1% 45.0%

Receptivity (%)

Receptive in 20 min

B

- ☐ *ppk-GAL4/+*
- ■ *UAS-bru2/+*
- ☐ *ppk-GAL4/UAS-bru2*

95.8% 95.8% 96.4%

Receptivity (%)

Receptive in 20 min

C *miR-9a*

wing imgaginal disc ♂
↓
courtship wing vibration

epithelial cell *sens/bru2* ♀
↓
body wall sensory neurons
↓
receptivity egg laying

mir-9a, Fig.5