Marsupial immune protection is shaped by enhancer sharing and gene cluster duplication of cathelicidin antimicrobial peptides

Jongbeom Park[1], Wenfan Ke[1,2] Aellah Kaage[1,3], Charles Y. Feigin[1,4], Yuri Pritykin[2,5], Mohamed S. Donia[1,*], and Ricardo Mallarino[1,*]

Affiliations
1. Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
2. Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.
3. Present address: Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
4. Present address: Department of Environment and Genetics, La Trobe University, Bundoora, VIC, 3086, Australia
5. Department of Computer Science, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.

*Correspondence: donia@princeton.edu; rmallarino@princeton.edu

Abstract
Marsupial neonates are born with immature immune systems, making them vulnerable to pathogens. While neonates receive maternal protection, they can also independently combat pathogens, though the mechanisms remain unknown. Using the sugar glider (*Petaurus breviceps*) as a model, we investigated immunological defense strategies of marsupial neonates. Cathelicidins – a family of antimicrobial peptides expanded in the genomes of marsupials – are highly expressed in developing neutrophils. Sugar glider cathelicidins reside in two genomic clusters and their coordinated expression is achieved by enhancer sharing within clusters and long-range physical interactions between clusters. These cathelicidins modulate immune responses and have potent antimicrobial effects, sufficient to provide protection in a mouse model of sepsis. Lastly, cathelicidins have a complex evolutionary history, where marsupials and monotremes are the only tetrapods that retained two cathelicidin clusters. Thus, cathelicidins are critical mediators of marsupial immunity, and their evolution reflects the life history-specific immunological needs of these animals.

Introduction

Prenatally protected in the sterile maternal womb, mammalian offspring are suddenly exposed to a plethora of microbes at birth (*1–3*). While such exposure allows colonization of commensal microbes, it also poses a significant threat, as sepsis caused by infections can lead to rapid neonatal mortality (*4–6*). Notably, susceptibility to such infections may vary among different mammalian lineages as a function of their life history. For instance, precocial mammals, such as cattle and guinea pigs, are often equipped with most of the essential immune components at birth (*7, 8*). This relative immunological maturity at birth enables precocial mammals to swiftly respond to pathogens. In contrast, altricial mammals, such as mice and rats, are born with a less developed immune system due to their short gestation period (*9, 10*), requiring more extensive maternal care compared to their precocial relatives.

Among mammals, marsupials are an extreme case of altricial birth. Marsupials diverged from eutherians around 160 million years ago and constitute a unique lineage with characteristic reproductive and morphological traits (*11, 12*). Females have short pregnancies and give birth to highly immature young that reside inside a pouch, where they complete their physical development. A consequence of this short gestation period is that several key immune components are absent in marsupial neonates. Namely, while the hematopoietic niche has already migrated from the liver to the bone marrow during eutherian fetal development, the marsupial neonate liver is still an active site of hematopoiesis (*13, 14*). Moreover, unlike eutherian neonates, marsupial newborns lack lymphoid organs such as thymus and lymph nodes, making them incapable of mounting an adaptive immune response. This presents a significant challenge because the maternal pouch is in contact with the environment and is known to harbor a wide range of bacterial species, including many pathogenic ones (*15, 16*).

Despite their immunological immaturity, marsupial neonates can survive the non-sterile environment of the pouch in part through maternal protection. This includes the transfer of immunoglobulins through milk as well as the secretion of antimicrobial compounds from specialized pouch glands (*17–21*). Additionally, various immune-related tissues in developing marsupials, including bone marrow and spleen, contain granulocytes and lymphocytes, indicating that neonates themselves can actively fend off pathogen attacks (*13*). Our current understanding of marsupial neonatal immune protection, particularly neonate-mediated immunity, remains incomplete for several reasons. First, previous research on marsupial immunity has largely relied on work conducted in wild animals (*18, 22–24*), making it challenging to obtain accurately timed samples or perform controlled experiments. Additionally, the scarcity of suitable antibodies has hindered efforts to identify cell types and the cellular composition of marsupial neonatal hematopoietic tissue using histology and microscopy (*13, 25–30*). Finally, to our knowledge, there has been no unbiased experiment conducted to identify key protective genes expressed by neonatal immune cells. Here, using our captive colony of marsupial sugar gliders (*Petaurus breviceps*) (Fig. 1A) and combining transcriptomics, epigenomics, functional assays, and comparative genomics, we set out to dissect the mechanisms underlying neonate immune protection in marsupials.

Results
Neutrophils are the most abundant immune cells in the sugar glider neonate liver
While histological studies have identified few immune cells in developing marsupial neonates, the exact composition and identity of these immune cells during hematopoiesis, as well as the repertoire of key protective genes expressed by each cell type, are unknown. To fill this gap, we conducted single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) of the day of birth (postnatal day 0, P0) sugar glider liver, which is the main site of neonatal hematopoiesis at this stage (*13*). We detected 6028 cells

grouped into 16 clusters, which we then annotated using established gene expression markers (fig.
S1). Our analysis revealed that neutrophil lineage cells (i.e., neutrophils, immature neutrophils,
preneutrophils, and granulocyte monocyte progenitors) were the most abundant cell type,
comprising 48.4% of the entire cell population. Neutrophils are a type of white blood cell and are
an essential part of the innate immune system, the body's first line of defense against infections
(*31*). Red blood lineage cells were the second most abundant cell type, comprising 27.4% (fig.
S1). Interestingly, we identified a small lymphoid cell population (3.2% of cells), a finding that
contrasts with previous reports suggesting that T and B cells were not present in the liver of
marsupial neonates (fig. S1) (*13*, *14*, *32*, *33*). Thus, by characterizing the cell type composition of
the marsupial liver at single-cell resolution, our results suggest that marsupials rely heavily on
neutrophils for neonatal protection.

105
Cathelicidins are highly expressed in marsupial neutrophils

The finding that developing neutrophils are the most abundant cells in marsupial neonatal
hematopoietic tissue prompted us to focus on this lineage and investigate its function. We
therefore conducted differential expression analyses comparing all neutrophil lineage cells to
other cell types in the liver. Among the 596 genes that were differentially expressed (P-value <
0.0001, Log2Fold > 1), three of the top ten most highly upregulated genes in neutrophil lineage
cells (*LOC11021700, LOC110217149*, and *LOC110217150*), including the most highly
upregulated one (*LOC11021700*), were identified as cathelicidin antimicrobial peptides (AMPs)
(Fig. 1B and data table S1). Cathelicidins constitute a key component of the innate immune
system due to their ability to disrupt microbial membranes and modulate immune responses (*34–*
36).

Notably, compared to humans and mice, marsupial genomes have a much larger repertoire of
cathelicidin genes. The peptides translated from these genes are known to play key roles in
regulating immune responses of different marsupial species (*22*, *23*, *37–43*). Thus, to gain more
insights into the evolution and function of marsupial cathelicidins, we next characterized the
expression of these genes in sugar gliders. To do this, we first systemically annotated all members
of this gene family in the sugar glider genome, then performed expression-based validation (see
materials and methods) (table S1 and data table S2) (*44*). In total, we identified ten cathelicidin
antimicrobial peptide genes, labeled *Camp1-10*, as well as the sugar glider ortholog of *Ngp*
(neutrophilic granule protein), a cathelicidin-related gene. These genes resided in two clusters
located 1.15Mb apart and distinguished by the phylogenetic affinity of their constituent genes
(Fig. 1C, figs. S2 and S3, and table S2). Cluster 1 contains *Camp1-Camp5* and *Camp10,* whereas
Cluster 2 contains *Camp6-9* and *Ngp*. While *Camp2* and *Camp5* have stop codons in exon 2,
indicating that they are likely pseudogenes, the remaining genes encode proteins that range from
100-266 amino acids in length and 11.1-70.9% in identity (table S3).

Next, we reanalyzed our scRNA-seq data from neonatal liver to establish the specific cell types in
which the different cathelicidins and *Ngp* are expressed (Fig. 1D). Most of these genes (i.e.,
Camp1, Camp3, *Camp4*, *Camp6, Camp8*, *Camp9*, *Camp10*, and *Ngp*) are expressed exclusively
in neutrophil lineage cells (Fig. 2A and fig. S4). Among neutrophil lineage cells, we observed
differences in expression levels, with *Camp3*, *Camp4*, *Camp10*, *Camp6*, and *Ngp* showing high,
overlapping expression levels in a large proportion of cells, whereas *Camp1*, *Camp8*, and *Camp9*
are expressed at relatively lower levels and in a reduced number of cells (Fig. 2A and figs. S4 and
S5). Interestingly, *Camp7* is exclusively expressed by M2-like macrophage cells, suggesting that
its transcriptomic regulation, and likely its function, are distinct from the other cathelicidin gene
family members (Fig. 2A and fig. S5).

Finally, we characterized the dynamics of cathelicidin expression in hematopoietic tissues
throughout the lifetime of sugar gliders by performing longitudinal bulk RNA-sequencing on liver
tissue from P0, P10 and adult (> 2 years) sugar gliders. In addition, we sampled bone marrow
from adult sugar gliders, as this tissue is the main site of hematopoiesis in adult marsupials (*13*).
We found that five of the cathelicidins (*Camp3*, *Camp4*, *Camp10*, *Camp6*, and *Camp7*) as well as
Ngp are expressed in moderate to high levels in the liver of P0 and P10 joeys, while their
expression in this tissue is reduced in adults (Read per kilobase per million reads (RPKM)>50)
(fig. S6). Notably, these same genes, as well as *Camp9*, are highly expressed in adult bone
marrow (fig. S6).

Taken together, our transcriptomic analyses indicate that cathelicidins have divergent expression
patterns, with a subset of them - *Camp3*, *Camp4*, *Camp10*, *Camp6*, and *Ngp* – showing high
expression levels in overlapping neutrophil lineage cells, while the expression of another one –
Camp7 – is restricted to macrophage cells. Moreover, our longitudinal analysis indicates that
these same genes continue to be expressed at high levels throughout the lifetime of sugar gliders,
first in the liver and subsequently in the bone marrow.

156
Co-expression of cathelicidins is driven by enhancer sharing

Considering that a subset of cathelicidin genes (i.e., *Camp3*, *Camp4*, *Camp6*, *Camp10*, and *Ngp*)
were highly co-expressed in developing neutrophils, we next sought to determine whether these
genes share a common regulatory apparatus. To this end, we conducted an assay for transposase-
accessible chromatin (ATAC-seq) in P10 sugar glider liver, an approach that allows for the
identification of open chromatin regions and constitutes a useful strategy for identifying putative
cis-regulatory elements (CREs) (*45*, *46*). We identified a total of 10 and 14 peaks located in
Clusters 1 and 2, respectively (Fig. 2B). To further filter our data, we reanalyzed ATAC-seq data
from sugar glider skin tissue (*47*), which, with the exception of *Camp7*, does not express
cathelicidin genes (*44*). Thus, we could exclude shared open chromatin regions between these
tissues as plausible regulators of cathelicidin gene expression. Examination of candidate CREs
showed that *Camp3*, *Camp4*, *Camp6*, and *Ngp*, all of which were highly expressed in liver
neutrophils, had a total of seven liver-specific open peaks in nearby intergenic regions (Fig. 2B).

We next examined whether any of these putative CREs physically interact with the promoters of
Camp3, *Camp4*, *Camp6*, and *Ngp* to regulate the expression of these genes. To achieve this, we
sampled bone marrow tissue from adult sugar gliders and performed Region Capture Micro-C
(RCMC), a chromosome conformation capture technique that enables the detection of interactions
between genomic loci at high resolution (*48*). Among the putative CREs found in Cluster 1, two
of them displayed strong contact interactions with the promoters of *Camp3* and *Camp4* (Fig 2C).
Similarly, we identified one putative CRE in Cluster 2 showing a strong contact interaction with
the promoters of *Camp6* and *Ngp* (Fig 2D). Remarkably, in addition to within cluster interactions,
our RCMC data revealed a long-range (>1 Mb) contact interaction between clusters. Specifically,
we found evidence of contacts between genes found in Cluster 1 (i.e., *Camp3* and *Camp4*) and
genes found in Cluster 2 (i.e., *Camp6* and *Ngp*) (Fig 2E). This result suggests that genes across
both clusters can be co-regulated.

Motivated by the finding that enhancer sharing can account for the coordinated expression of
cathelicidin genes, we next sought to identify transcription factors driving such patterns. We
analyzed the regulatory regions of *Camp3* and *Camp4*, two neighboring genes sharing regulatory
elements and co-expressed at high levels in the same cells (Figs. 2A and C, and fig. S5). First, we
carried out motif enrichment analysis on putative CREs and then cross-referenced the results with

our gene expression data, as well as with publicly available ChIP data for human and mouse (figs.
S7 and S8, and data table S3). Through this filtering strategy, we identified 5 transcription factors
(*Spi1*, *Fli1*, *Runx1*, *C/ebpδ*, and *C/ebpε*) which were robustly expressed in P0 livers (fig. S7). To
test whether these transcription factors could bind to putative CREs, we performed a set of
luciferase assays using our immortalized line of sugar glider dermal fibroblasts (*47*). Specifically,
we co-transfected each of the five transcription factors with luciferase reporter vectors containing
the putative *Camp3* CRE, which was used as a representative sequence. Quantification of
fluorescence after 48 h revealed that, out of the different transcription factors tested, *C/ebpδ*, and
C/ebpε drove significantly higher levels of luciferase activity, compared with the GFP control
vector (fig. S7 and table S4). Indeed, all highly expressed cathelicidins -*Camp3*, *Camp4*, *Camp6*,
and *Ngp* contained a *C/ebp* transcription factor binding motif in their promoter or in a nearby
intergenic peak (Fig. 2B). Notably, however, the putative shared CRE found to interact with both
Camp6 and *Ngp* in the RCMC analysis described above (Fig 2D), did not contain a *C/ebp* motif
(Fig. 2B). Therefore, additional transcription factors may be involved in facilitating local
enhancer-promoter interactions regulating *Camp6* and *Ngp*.

Taken together, our open chromatin data coupled to our RCMC data indicate that cathelicidin co-
expression can take place via enhancer sharing. Moreover, interactions between regulatory
sequences and different cathelicidin genes can take place both within and between Clusters.
Lastly, our luciferase data suggest that, while *C/ebp* transcription factors are key regulators of
cathelicidin expression, the presence of additional transcription factors is likely required to
coordinate the regulatory control of members of the gene family.

208
209 The function of sugar glider cathelicidins

The large repertoire of cathelicidin genes observed in marsupials, compared to mice and humans,
raises the intriguing possibility that this gene family has been fine-tuned by natural selection such
that different genes have acquired distinct roles. To test this, we next performed a variety of *in*
vitro and *in vivo* assays aimed at characterizing the function of sugar glider cathelicidins. First, we
investigated whether cathelicidins, like their eutherian homologues, exhibit antimicrobial
properties, and the extent to which different genes vary in this ability. *In vivo*, cathelicidins are
cleaved into mature peptides by serine proteases (*49*, *50*). Therefore, we predicted the most
plausible cleavage sites and then chemically synthesized the mature peptides for 7 sugar glider
cathelicidins (CAMP1, CAMP3, CAMP4, CAMP7, CAMP8, CAMP9, and CAMP10) and for
NGP (Fig. 3A and table S2) (*34*, *51*, *52*). We did not synthesize mature peptides of CAMP6, due
to its considerable length (137 AA), nor of the putative pseudogenes *Camp2* and *Camp5*. Most of
the synthesized peptides were predicted to have a helical secondary structure, like other canonical
cathelicidin peptides (fig. S9).

We then performed broth micro-dilution antimicrobial assays against *Escherichia coli, Klebsiella*
pneumoniae, Micrococcus luteus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bordetella bronchiseptica, and
Staphylococcus aureus, common mammalian pathogens that were previously found in the pouch
of wild marsupials (*15*, *16*), as well as against *Kocuria sp*., which was isolated from the pouch
and skin swabs of female sugar gliders within our colony (Fig. 3B and table S5). For comparison,
we included synthesized peptides corresponding to the human (LL-37) and mouse cathelicidins
(CRAMP), as well as the antibiotics ampicillin, kanamycin, and vancomycin, all of which are
known to have strong antimicrobial activity (*53*, *54*). We found that CAMP3, CAMP4, CAMP9,
and CAMP10 exhibited strong antimicrobial activities against various bacteria, while CAMP1,
CAMP7, CAMP8 and NGPs had low or no antimicrobial activities (Fig. 3B and table S5). Among
the peptides with strong antimicrobial activity, CAMP4 was the strongest, showing marked

efficacy against *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *M. luteus*, *B. bronchiseptica* and *P. aeruginosa* with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) as low as 4 µg/ml, which are lower or comparable to the values of both human and mouse cathelicidins against these microbes (Fig. 3B and table S5). Additionally, the MIC of CAMP4 against particular bacterial species was comparable to that of common antibiotics (e.g., ampicillin against *E. coli*) (Fig. 3B and table S5). Importantly, the MIC ranges for the strongest cathelicidins (i.e., CAMP3, CAMP4, and CAMP10) were only minimally cytotoxic to mammalian cells, with cell viabilities higher than 90% for both immortalized sugar glider fibroblasts and J774.1 murine macrophages (fig. S10). Notably, none of the cathelicidins showed any antimicrobial activity against the sugar glider isolate *Kocuria sp* (Fig. 3B and table S5)*,* suggesting that these potentially symbiotic microbes are resistant to the host's AMPs. These results indicate that multiple sugar glider cathelicidins exhibit strong antibacterial properties against common mammalian pathogens, albeit to a varying extent depending on the cathelicidin and bacterial species being tested.

A common mechanism of action for mammalian AMPs is through disruption of bacterial membranes (*55*, *56*). To test whether this mechanism mediates antimicrobial activity of sugar glider cathelicidins, we performed a propidium iodide absorption assay (*57*). If bacterial membranes are disrupted by an antibacterial agent, propidium iodide will penetrate and intercalate with DNA, emitting a fluorescent signal that can be measured via flow cytometry (Fig. 3C). We applied this assay to *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, using cathelicidin peptides that showed antimicrobial activities against each of the bacterial species in the broth microdilution assay (*E. coli*: CAMP3, CAMP4, CAMP9, and CAMP10; *K. pneumoniae*: CAMP3 and CAMP4; *P. aeruginosa* PAO1: CAMP4), along with the non-antimicrobial cathelicidin, CAMP7, as a negative control (Fig. 3C). We found that all four antimicrobial cathelicidins were able to disrupt bacterial membranes, while CAMP7 was not (Fig. 3C).

In addition to direct microbicidal activity, human and mouse cathelicidins (i.e., LL-37, CRAMP) have immunomodulatory properties, including chemotaxis, anti- and pro-inflammatory effects, and the promotion of Th17 differentiation (*58–63*). To establish whether sugar glider cathelicidins were capable of immunomodulation, we first incubated murine macrophages (J774.1) with FITC-conjugated LPS in the presence of cathelicidins or a vehicle control, and measured the resulting fluorescence intensity using flow cytometry. Among the peptides tested, CAMP3 and CAMP4 significantly reduced the binding of LPS to macrophages (Fig 3D and fig. S11). This effect was caused by direct binding of CAMP3 and CAMP4 to LPS, as determined by circular dichroism analyses (Fig 3E) (*64*). Next, we used ELISA to measure the amount of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-alpha, a pro-inflammatory cytokine) released by murine macrophages upon LPS incubation, in the presence of LPS-binding cathelicidins or a vehicle control. Our results showed that CAMP4 significantly reduced TNF-alpha secretion compared to the vehicle control (Fig. 3F).

Finally, we sought to evaluate the *in vivo* efficacy of cathelicidins in a murine sepsis model (*65*, *66*). To this end, we tested whether CAMP4, the peptide that showed the strongest response in our antimicrobial assays as well as potent immunomodulatory activity, enhanced the survival of mice infected with *E. coli.* We intraperitoneally injected $5x10^7$ CFUs of *E. coli* into laboratory mice and treated them with one of two different concentrations of CAMP4 or a vehicle control (PBS). Strikingly, we found that while all mice treated with PBS died within 7 days, mice treated with both 10mg/kg and 20mg/kg of *Camp4* showed 60% survival rate (3 out of 5 mice survived) (Fig. 3G). This demonstrated that *Camp4* is effective in protecting mice from lethal sepsis, further corroborating our *in vitro* results.

Evolution of sugar glider cathelicidins

The immunological significance of cathelicidins and the large number of these genes in marsupial genomes (*22*, *37*, *40*) prompted us to investigate the evolutionary history of this gene family. First, we examined patterns of divergence among sugar glider cathelicidins. We conducted pairwise comparisons of amino acid sequences among all sugar glider cathelicidins encoding functional proteins and found that these genes have experienced marked sequence diversification in the 4th exon, which is the region encoding the mature effector peptide (Fig. 4A). Moreover, comparisons among *Camp 3*, *Camp4*, and *Camp10*, the three most similar genes, indicated that the nucleotide sequence identity of the 4th exon (37.8%) was significantly lower than those of 3 introns (85.5%, 91.7%, and 84.7%, respectively) (Fig. 4B).

Motivated by the extensive number of genes observed among marsupial cathelicidins, we expanded our evolutionary analysis to search for broader evolutionary patterns among tetrapods. To achieve this goal, we annotated cathelicidins and *Ngp* genes in publicly available genomes of 24 additional mammals (table S6). In addition, we included cathelicidin sequences of four non-mammalian tetrapods as outgroups (table S6). Then, we aligned sequences along with syntenic marker genes for cluster identification (Fig. 4C). Our analysis revealed that marsupials and monotremes are the only tetrapods with two clusters of cathelicidins, while eutherian mammals and non-mammalian tetrapods each have a single cluster (Fig. 4C). Notably, the cathelicidin cluster present in eutherian mammals is distinct from the cluster found in non-mammalian tetrapods as indicated by their syntenic relationships with surrounding genes and the phylogenetic relationships of their constituent cathelicidins. This observation suggests that a duplication event of the cathelicidin gene cluster took place in the mammalian ancestor, prior to the divergence between monotremes and therian mammals. Marsupials and monotremes have retained both the ancestral tetrapod and novel mammalian clusters, while eutherian mammals lost the 'ancestral' cluster (Cluster 2 in sugar gliders) shared with non-mammalian tetrapods, retaining only the 'mammalian' cluster (Cluster 1 in sugar gliders). Further pairwise sequence comparisons among sugar glider cathelicidins revealed that genes within the 'mammalian' cluster show higher identity than those within the 'ancestral' cluster, reinforcing that the mammalian cluster has likely arisen more recently (Fig. 4D).

Lastly, our comparative annotation and synteny map suggests that *Ngp* is a gene that exists only in mammals. We carried out a phylogenetic analysis of 151 tetrapod cathelicidin and 28 *Ngp* amino acid sequences and found that all *Ngp* sequences formed a monophyletic group (fig. S12 and data table S4). Furthermore, when we blasted amino acid sequences of representative mammalian *Ngp* genes against non-mammalian protein database, we found that the top hits were cathelicidins, indicating that *Ngp* evolved from a cathelicidin in early mammal or pre-mammalian synapsid diversification (table S7).

Overall, our phylogenetic characterization of cathelicidin and *Ngp* genes indicates that mammalian cathelicidins have experienced multiple evolutionary events, including both duplication and loss of gene clusters, as well as the derivation of a new gene, *Ngp*. The genomic expansion and the retention of two different cathelicidin clusters suggest that cathelicidins likely played a crucial role in shaping marsupial immune defenses throughout evolution.

Discussion

At birth, the transition to a microbe-rich extrauterine environment presents mammalian neonates with significant challenges, due to their naïve immune systems. This is particularly true of the marsupials, which complete the development of lymphoid organs postnatally (*13*). The exceptionally altricial state of marsupial neonates increases their reliance on innate immunity during the first days and weeks of life. Here, we show that cathelicidins represent a key component of the early postnatal immunological defense system in marsupials. Through single-cell transcriptomic analysis of the P0 sugar glider liver, we have characterized the cellular composition and the repertoire of genes expressed in the neonatal marsupial hematopoietic tissue. We present evidence that several cathelicidin genes are highly co-expressed in neutrophils, and that this process is partly regulated by enhancer sharing and long-range physical interactions between loci found in the two clusters. Moreover, we show that sugar glider cathelicidins possess immunomodulatory properties, can directly kill bacteria by disrupting their cell walls, and are able to protect mice from lethal sepsis. Lastly, our comparative genomic analysis revealed that marsupials and monotremes—both of which give birth to highly altricial neonates (*67*)—retain both ancestral and mammalian cathelicidin clusters, while eutherians and non-mammalian tetrapods possess a single cluster.

By studying sugar gliders, a species suitable for captive breeding, and by combining transcriptomics, epigenomics, functional experiments, and comparative genomics, our study considerably advances our understanding of the regulation, function, and evolution of marsupial neonate immune protection. Nonetheless, there are several questions that remain to be addressed. First, although our functional studies used bacterial species previously identified in other marsupials and in our captive colony, it would be crucial to also characterize the pathogens found in the sugar glider's native environment. This would allow us to test whether sugar glider cathelicidins are effective against the pathogens they naturally encounter. Second, while many sugar glider cathelicidins possess immunomodulatory and antimicrobial functions, others, such as *Camp6*, *Camp7*, and *Ngp*, do not, despite being highly expressed. As such, functionally characterizing the genes that make up Cluster 2 may yield fascinating new insights. For example, due to its unique expression pattern, *Camp7* is likely to have non-canonical functions different from those of other cathelicidin genes. Third, while our assays demonstrate that sugar glider cathelicidins are functionally important, the extent to which these genes protect sugar glider neonates remains unknown. Genome editing approaches in sugar gliders will eventually allow us to systematically study the function of each cathelicidin gene through loss-of-function experiments (*68*).

In addition to motivating these questions, our results pave the path towards studying foundational concepts in mammalian gene regulation, ecology, and evolution. For example, the high-resolution regulatory interactions between the two cathelicidin gene clusters provide a framework for identifying genomic elements, transcription factors, and long-range co-expression determinants between distant genomic loci. Furthermore, marsupial cathelicidin evolution exemplifies how specific gene duplication events reflect key life history aspects of a taxon. As different mammalian species exhibit a broad spectrum of developmental maturity at birth (*67*), comparative genomic analysis across a range of altricial and precocial taxa will further illuminate the relationship between life history and the evolution of immune-related gene families.

Materials and Methods

Single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-Seq)

A liver of a postnatal day 0 (P0) sugar glider neonate was digested with 0.02% collagenase II for 30 minutes at 37°C with gentle rotation. Primary cells were filtered with a 70um cell strainer and then centrifuged for 4 minutes at 800g at 4°C. The resulting cell pellet was suspended in 1 x PBS containing 0.04% FBS. Cell viability and number were assessed with a hemocytometer using trypan blue. A scRNA-seq library was prepared using the Chromium Single Cell 3-prime Library Prep Next GEM (v3.1) and was sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (28 X 90bp, paired-end), generating 508M reads. The resulting reads were mapped against the sugar glider genome using CellRanger-7.0.0. Cells containing more than 200 genes, but less than 10% of total mitochondrial genes, were kept for downstream analysis. UMI count data of surviving cells were normalized using Seurat v4.3.0 with SCTransform (*69*). We performed principal component analysis (PCA) and ran uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) dimensional reduction technique (n=30 dimensions) using Seurat's default parameters. Neighbors and clusters were identified with 30 dimensions and 1.0 resolution, respectively.

Clusters were annotated based on the following marker genes: Red blood cell lineages: *Hemgn*, *Klf1*, *Kit*, *Band3*, *Gpa*, and hemoglobin subunits (*70*, *71*); Hematopoietic stem cell clusters: *Cd34* and *Znf521* (*72*, *73*); Neutrophil lineage cells: *S100A8*, *Gfi1*, *Mpo*, *Prtn3*, *Elane*, *Ltf*, *Ngp*, *Csf3r*, *Prex1*, and *Pde4b* (*74–76*); Lymphoid cells: lymphoid marker genes *Cd3* subunits, *Blk*, and *Jchain* (*77*, *78*); Monocyte-macrophage lineages: *Maf*, *Mafb*, and *Cd80* (*79–83*); Megakaryocytes: *Tuba8* and *Gp1ba* (*84*, *85*); Mast cells: *LOC110197119* (mas-related G-protein coupled receptor member H-like) and *Ms4a2* (*86*, *87*); and Eosinophils: *Epx* and *Il5ra* (*88*, *89*).

Differential expression analysis was conducted using the FindMarkers() function of the Seurat package. Normalized gene expression values were visualized using FeaturePlot(). Co-expression plots were visualized using FeatureScatter().

Annotation of cathelicidins and *Ngp*

After compiling sequences of 79 mammalian cathelicidin and cathelicidin-like sequences from NCBI, we blasted protein sequences of all cathelicidins against the previously constructed *de novo* sugar glider transcriptome (*44*). For targeted gene annotation, we next blasted both 79 mammalian cathelicidin protein sequences and *de novo* sugar glider transcript hits with e-values lower than 10^{-5} against the sugar glider genome, and extracted sequences 1 mb upstream and downstream of the resulting blast hits.

Using *de novo* transcript hits, as well as mRNA and protein sequences of 79 mammalian cathelicidins as EST evidence, we ran Maker3 (*90*) on extracted genome sequences (six rounds) using the Augustus human gene prediction model with snap hmm building (*91*, *92*). To annotate cathelicidin genes and *Ngp* in 24 additional mammalian species (table S6, *Ornithorhynchus anatinus*, *Monodelphis domestica*, *Sarcophilus harrisii*, *Trichosurus vulpecula*, *Vombatus ursinus*, *Phascolarctos cinereus*, *Choloepus didactylus*, *Dasypus novemcinctus*, *Trichechus manatus latirostris*, *Loxodonta africana*, *Orycteropus afer afer*, *Elephantulus edwardii*, *Echinops telfairi*, *Erinaceus europaeus*, *Phyllostomus discolor*, *Bos taurus*, *Equus caballus*, *Manis javanica*, *Canis lupus familiaris*, *Tupaia chinensis*, *Galeopterus variegatus*, *Homo sapiens*, *Oryctolagus cuniculus cuniculus, Mus musculus*), we used mammalian cathelicidin and NGP sequences as EST evidence and performed the same targeted gene annotation using a single round of the Maker3 run. Resulting annotations were manually compared with NCBI reference

annotations to accurately count the number of genes. For 4 non-mammalian tetrapods (*Xenopus*
tropicalis, Podarcis muralis, Chelonia mydas, Gallus gallus), we primarily relied on the NCBI
and ensemble annotations, since our Maker3 annotation based on mammalian cathelicidins did
not provide accurate annotations. Specifically, focusing on the ancestral cluster locus, we looked
for genes annotated as cathelicidins or cathelicidin-like. Then, to find additional cathelicidins, we
blasted protein sequences of these genes against the protein database of the respective species.

For further validation of sugar glider cathelicidin and *Ngp* sequences, we generated cDNA from
RNA extracted from lung, liver, stomach, kidney, intestine, and brain of a ~P20 (1.35g) joey.
PCR amplifications followed by Sanger sequencing confirmed the sequences of six cathelicidins
and of *Ngp*.

To infer the genomic location of *Camp10*, we first acquired protein sequences of cathelicdiins
from the leadbeater's possum (*Gymnobelideus leadbeateri*, Genome assembly
LBP_v1:GCA_011680675.1), a sister species of the sugar glider, by lifting-over gene model from
sugar glider cathelicidin annotation (*47, 93*). We then conducted reciprocal protein blast of
cathelicidins from the leadbeater's possum and the sugar glider, as well as a nucleotide blast
search of a 7000bp region of scaffold 81, which contains cathelicidin 10, against the genome of
the leadbeater's possum (fig. S3).

<u>Phylogenetic tree construction</u>

Sugar glider cathelicidin and *Ngp* nucleotide sequences aligned with MAFFT v7.475 were fed
into RAxML for the construction of unrooted phylogenetic trees with 1000 bootstrap values and
GTRGAMMA model (*94, 95*). To build a comprehensive phylogeny of cathelicidins and *Ngp*, we
compiled 151 cathelicidins and 28 NGP sequences from NCBI (data table S4). We built a
phylogenetic tree with MEGA-X using cathelicidin and NGP sequences aligned with the built-in
muscle aligner (100 bootstraps and JTT model)(*96*). All trees were visualized with FigTree (*97*).

<u>Bulk RNA sequencing</u>

For bulk RNA sequencing, sugar glider liver samples were collected from P0 neonates (n = 3),
~P10 joeys (0.45g, 0.55g, 0.56; n = 3), and adults (n = 3). Additionally, we collected bone
marrow samples from adult tibia and femur (n = 3), as previously described (*98*). Briefly, hind
limb bones were cut (around the kneecap) and placed into 0.5 mL tubes. Using a sterile pin, a hole
was poked in the bottom of the tube and it was placed inside a 1.5 mL container with 100 μL of
PBS. The bone marrow sample was then collected by centrifugation (8000g, 30s at RT). The
RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN) was used for RNA extraction following the supplier's protocol. We
used 250ng of RNA to prepare libraries with NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep kit.
Libraries were sequenced to a median depth of 27M reads on the NovaSeq 6000 (2 X 65bp).
Demultiplexed reads were filtered and trimmed using Trimmomatic 0.39 with parameters:
MINLEN:25 AVGQUAL:20 (*99*). Resulting reads were mapped against the sugar glider genome
using STAR-2.7.8a (*100*). RPKM (Read per kilobase per million reads) values were calculated
based on read counts acquired from featureCounts (*101*). RPKM values were visualized using
GraphPad Prism.

<u>ATAC sequencing</u>

Single cell suspensions were generated from a liver of a P10 joey as described for scRNA-seq.
For each replicate (n = 3), library preparation was done using 100,000 cells, following the Omni-
ATAC protocol (*46*). Briefly, following lysis on ice for 3 minutes, cells were incubated with

TDE1 transposase (Illumina) for 1h at 37°C and then purified with the Zymo DNA Clean and
Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo). Illumina sequencing adaptors and barcodes were added to the DNA
fragments. The resulting libraries were sequenced on NovaSeq SP flowcell as 61 nt reads,
generating 59M, 66M, 64M reads for each of the 3 libraries. Raw ATAC reads were trimmed by
NGmerge and then mapped to the genome using Bowtie2 (102). Peaks were called using MACS2
with following parameters: --nomodel -q 0.05 --keep-dup all --shift -100 --extsize 200 -g
2456432000 –nolambda (103). IDR (Irreproducible Discovery Rate) was used to assess peak calls
concordance (104). Only peaks called in at least two out of three pairwise IDR analyses were
considered for downstream analyses. Peak data were visualized in IGV (105).

<u>RCMC library construction</u>

Bone marrow samples were collected from adult sugar gliders (n =2) as described above. Cells
were incubated with 0.2% NaCl for 30 seconds and red blood cells were removed by incubating
the sample with 1.6% NaCl for 30 seconds. Micro-C libraries were generated from 3.2M and
3.3M cells, using previously described methods (48, 106–110). Briefly, samples were crosslinked
with 4% formaldehyde (20 minutes and 500 rpm at room temperature), followed by the secondary
crosslinking in 1mL of freshly prepared 3mM DSG (ThermoFisher 20593) and ESG
(ThermoFisher 21565) in PBST (40 minutes, 500 rpm at room temperature). The reaction was
quenched by adding 250 µL 2M Tris-HCl pH7.5 for 5 minutes, washed twice with PBS
containing 3% BSA, snap-frozen, and stored at -80°C. For library construction, samples were
digested with micrococcal nuclease (concentration determined by titration) (20 minutes, 1000 rpm
at room temperature) in MB1 buffer (50mM NaCl, 10mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 5mM $MgCl_2$, 1mM
$CaCl_2$, 0.2% NP-40, and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma 11697498001), followed by heat
inactivation with 5mM EGTA at 65C°C for 15 minutes. Samples were washed 3 times with MB2
buffer (50mM NaCl, 10mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 10mM $MgCl_2$ and 1mM $CaCl_2$), and then treated
with 0.5U/µL T4 PNK (New England Biolabs M0201) (30 minutes, 1000 rpm at 37 °C) in End-
prep buffer (1X NEB buffer 2.1 (New England Biolabs B7202), 2.5mM ATP, and 5mM DTT).
After T4 PNK treatment, samples were incubated with Klenow Fragment (New England Biolabs
M0210) at a final concentration of 0.5U/µL (15 minutes, 1000 rpm at 37 °C). End-labeling
cocktails were added to the samples to make the final concentration 50µM Biotin-dATP (Jena
Bioscience NU-835-BIO14), 50µM Biotin-dCTP (Jena Bioscience #NU-809-BIOX), 50µM
dGTP, 50µM dTTP, 0.3X T4 DNA ligase buffer (New England Biolabs B0202), and 80µg/mL
BSA. Samples were incubated (45 minutes, 1000 rpm at room temperature) and heat inactivated
with 5mM EDTA (15 minutes at 65 °C). Samples were washed 3 times with MB3 buffer (40mM
Tris-HCl pH7.5 and 10mM $MgCl_2$) and then treated with 20U/µL T4 DNA ligase (New England
Biolabs M0202) (16 hrs, 400 rpm at 16 °C) in ligation buffer (1X T4 DNA ligase buffer (New
England Biolabs B0202) and 200µg/mL BSA. After ligation, samples were treated with 4U/µL
Exonuclease III (New England Biolabs M0206) in 1X NEB buffer 1 (New England Biolabs
B7001) (30 minutes, 1000 rpm at 37 °C), followed by addition of 0.5 mg/mL RNAseA (Thermal
Fisher EN0531) (30 minutes, 1000 rpm at 65 °C). Samples were then treated with 0.5mg/mL
ProteaseK in 1% SDS (overnight at 65°C). After protease K treatment, DNA libraries were
extracted and purified from samples using standard Phenol:Chloroform extraction and Ethanol
precipitation. Libraries were sheared to 200bp fragments using Covaris ME220 (Duration 130s,
Peak Power 70W, Duty Factor 20%, Cycles per Burst 1000). Libraries were then bound to
Dynabeads™ MyOne™ Streptavidin C1 beads (Thermal Fisher 65001) and washed following the
manufacturer's instructions. Libraries were end repaired and ligated to adaptors using NEBNext
Ultra II library prep kit (New England Biolabs E7645). Next, libraries were amplified with
NEBNext® Multiplex Oligos for Illumina® (Dual Index Primers Set 2) (New England Biolabs
E7780) and KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems KK2601), and then purified with
Ampure XP beads (Thermal Fisher #NC9959336). The Micro-C libraries were then subject to

quality control with Qubit and bioanalyzer. For RCMC, the custom probe sets were designed
using the sequence of the target capture region (HiC_scaffold_9: 107950000-109750000;
HiC_scaffold_81: 114775). Micro-C libraries were hybridized to the probe sets, pulled down, and
amplified using Twist Standard Hyb and Wash Kit v2 (Twist Biosciences 105560). The final
RCMC libraries were cleaned up with Ampure XP beads (Thermal Fisher #NC9959336) and
subjected to paired-end sequencing on an Illumina Novaseq S1 100 nt Flowcell, yielding a total of
446M reads.

RCMC data processing

Micro-C data were aligned to custom genomes with BWA-MEM using parameters -S -P -5 -M
(*111*). The resulting BAM files were parsed, sorted, de-duplicated, filtered, and split with
Pairtools (https://github.com/open2c/pairtools). We removed pairs where only one half of the pair
could be mapped, or where the MAPQ score was less than three. The resulting files were indexed
with Pairix (pairtools function). The files from replicates were merged with Pairtools
(https://github.com/open2c/pairtools) before generating 100bp contact matrices using Cooler
(*112*). Finally, balancing and mcool file generation was performed with Cooler's Zoomify tool,
and visualized on Higlass (*113*).

Transcription factor motif analysis

FIMO (Find Individual Motif Occurences) motif scan was first conducted against putative
enhancers of *Camp3* and *Camp4* as the expression levels, sequence identity of both genes and
enhancers are similar to each other, suggesting the existence of shared regulatory mechanism
(*114*). Featureplots and violinplots from scRNA-seq datasets as well as RPKM values from RNA-
seq datasets were cross-referenced for narrowing down candidates. *C/ebpδ*, *C/ebpε*, *Spi1*, *Fli1*,
Runx1, *Foxp2*, and *Nfatc3* stood out as initial candidates but *Foxp2* and *Nfatc3* were excluded as
they did not bind to human and mouse cathelicidin locus (fig. S8) (*115–117*). Further FIMO motif
scans were conducted on additional putative regulatory peaks to search for *C/ebp* family binding
sites.

Luciferase assays

Sequences of a putative enhancer region of *Camp3* was PCR amplified from sugar glider genomic
DNA (table S1) and cloned into the pGL4.23 Luciferase Enhancer Reporter Vector using In-
fusion cloning (Takara). Sequences for the different transcription factors and *Camp3* promoter
were obtained either commercially (*Camp3 promoter*, *Spi1*, *Fli1*, *Runx1*, *Ikzf1* and *C/ebpε*; Twist
Biosciences) or by extracting RNA from the bone marrow of an adult sugar glider and reverse
transcribing it using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quantabio) (*C/ebpδ*) (table S1). Transcription
factor fragments were then cloned into the pCMV-GFP vector. A total of 2,000 immortalized
sugar glider cells were seeded in a white 96 well plate (PerkinElmer) and transfected with the
experimental constructs (200ng) as well as a control pGL4.74 Renilla Reporter Vector (20ng)
using 0.3µl of Lipofectamine (Invitrogen). After 48 hours of incubation at 37°C, cells were
harvested and analyzed with the DualGlo Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Luminescence
was measured by Tecan Spark microplate reader. Experiments were performed in six replicates
and statistically analyzed using an unpaired t-test in GraphPad Prism.

Pouch swabbing

Sugar glider pouches were swabbed for 30 seconds with cotton swabs pre-moistened with ultra-
pure water. Swabs resuspended in ultra-pure water were plated in LB media and incubated

overnight at 37°C. White colonies that repeatedly grew from pouch swabs of multiple females
were isolated and subject to DNA extraction (Zymo D6005). Bacterial species were identified by
Sanger sequencing of the PCR amplified 16S rRNA gene (827F: 5'AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG
CTC 3', 1492R: 5' TAC GGY TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT 3').

In vitro broth micro-dilution antimicrobial assay

The first Alanine or Valine found in the 4th exon of CAMP1, CAMP3, CAMP4, NGP, CAMP7,
CAMP9, and CAMP10, were predicted to represent the cleavage sites for the mature peptides
(*34*). For CAMP8, due to the short length (15AA) and the lack of Alanine and Valine in the 4th
exon, we set the cleavage site to be the last Alanine in the 3rd exon. For CAMP7, due to the short
length of the predicted mature peptide after the Valine, we synthesized three versions of mature
peptides with predicted cleavage sites located respectively in the first Leucine, the Isoleucine, and
the Valine found in the 4th exon. We did not synthesize mature peptides of CAMP6 due to its
extensive length (137 AA), nor of the pseudogenes *Camp2* and *Camp5*. Secondary structures of
synthesized mature peptides were predicted using PEP-FOLD3 (*118*).

We used broth micro-dilution assays (*119*) to measure minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of
cathelicidins against *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25933)*, Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 43816)*,
Micrococcus luteus* (ATCC 4689)*, Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PAO1, PA14)*, Bordetella
bronchiseptica* (ATCC 10580)*, Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213, MRSA), and *Kocuria sp*.
Briefly, we added 10^5 CFU of bacteria from overnight cultures into each well of a 96-well plate
(costar, Corning) with different concentrations of each peptide or antibiotics. 200µl of cation-
adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CAMHB) was added to each well. Samples were incubated at
37°C and absorbance was measured at 0 h and 16 h using the Tecan Spark microplate reader (600
nm). For *M. luteus*, we measured absorbance at 43 hours as well. MIC was determined as the
lowest concentration that showed no visible change after 16 hours (43 hours for *M. luteus*)
incubation for all three replicates and showed > 90% mean percent inhibition based on
absorbance measurements.

Cell viability assay

Cell viability was measured using CellTiter-Glo 2.0 Assay (Promega) following the
manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, we incubated 10,000 cells in 100µl Dulbecco's Modified Eagle
Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1.5g/L sodium bicarbonate, 1mM sodium
pyruvate, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin mix overnight (37°C) with different concentrations of
cathelicidins and a SDS control. Subsequently, we added 100µl of CellTiter-Glo 2.0 reagent.
After 2 minutes mixing and a 10 minute incubation at room temperature, we measured
luminescence using Tecan Spark microplate reader. Experiments were done in triplicate.

Propidium Iodide absorption assay

Bacteria were grown overnight to log phase. 10^6 CFU of bacteria were incubated for 30 minutes
at 37°C with different concentrations of cathelicidin peptides in 200µl PBS. After a 2 minute
centrifugation at 8000 rpm, pellets were washed with 200 µl PBS and resuspended in 1ml PBS.
Propidium Iodide (PI) was added for a final concentration of 1 µg/ml. An LSRII flow cytometer
(BD Biosciences) was used to record 50,000 events of PI uptake. 0.25%-1% SDS was used as a
control.

In vivo mouse infection

6-8 week old female mice (C57BL/6J, The Jackson Laboratory) were intraperitoneally injected
with 5 x 10^7 CFU of *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) in 100 µl of PBS. 30 minutes after the bacterial
injection, mice were treated with either CAMP4 peptide (10mg/ml or 20mg/ml) or PBS vehicle.
Treated mice were checked daily for 7 days. All experiments performed were approved by the
IACUC committee at Princeton University.

LPS binding assay

Mouse macrophage cells (J774A.1; ATCC TIB-67) were grown in supplemented DMEM media
in 7.5% CO2 at 37°C. 2.5 x 10^5 cells were incubated with 10 µg/ml LPS-FITC and different
concentrations of antimicrobial peptides in 500 ml PBS for 30 minutes. After washing the cells
using PBS, median fluorescence intensity was determined using the LSRII flow cytometer (BD
sciences). The percentage difference from negative control was statistically analyzed with
unpaired-t tests in GraphPad Prism. Experiments were done in quadruplicate.

Circular dichroism

603 The secondary structure of CAMP3 and CAMP4, which showed LPS-binding activity in at least
604 one of the two tested concentrations, were determined using circular dichroism spectrometer
605 (Chirascan, Photophysics). Measurements were taken in a rectangular cuvette, using an emission
606 range of 180 to 280nm (in 1nm increments). 0.1 mg/ml of peptides and 0.2 mg/ml of LPS in
607 sterile water were used for measurements.

TNFa secretion assay

ELISA assays were performed according to manufacturer's instructions (R&D Systems DuoSet).
Briefly, a 96-well plate (Corning Costar) was coated with anti-TNFa and incubated overnight at
room temperature. The plate was then washed with Wash Buffer, blocked for 1 hour with Reagent
Diluent, washed again, and the liquid aspirated. J774A.1 macrophages were incubated with LPS,
cathelicidin, vehicle, or a combination of these for 24 hours and 100 µl of supernatant from the
incubating macrophages or cytokine standard, in sequentially diluted concentrations ranging from
2000-32 pg/mL, were applied to the wells and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature before
being washed and aspirated. Biotinylated anti-TNFa, streptavidin-HRP, and substrate solution
were applied in sequence. The plate was then incubated in the dark for 2 hours or 20 minutes and
subsequently washed and aspirated. The HRP-substrate reaction proceeded for 20 minutes before
the Stop Solution halted it. The plate was then read for optical density at 450 nm and 540 nm. The
percentage difference from the LPS control was statistically analyzed with unpaired-t tests in
GraphPad Prism. Experiments were done in quadruplicate.

Pairwise sequence identity analysis

Amino acid sequences of 8 cathelicidin genes (*Camp1, Camp3, Camp4, Camp6, Camp7, Camp8,*
Camp9, and *Camp10*) were aligned with MAFFT v7.475 in a pairwise manner. Resulting
alignments were fed into Sequence Manipulation Suite for identity analysis (data table 34) (*120*).
For statistical analysis, separate pairwise analysis was conducted for each exon. In this analysis,
we used the truncated, Maker3-annotated version of *Camp6* due to the extensive length of the
predicted protein compared to the rest of the cathelicidin genes. Nucleotide sequences of *Camp3,*
Camp4, and *Camp10* were further aligned and compared for detailed analysis. Statistical analysis
was conducted in GraphPad Prism using one-way ANOVA test. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons
were carried out using a Bonferroni correction.

References

1. M. E. Perez-Muñoz, M.-C. Arrieta, A. E. Ramer-Tait, J. Walter, A critical assessment of the "sterile womb" and "in utero colonization" hypotheses: implications for research on the pioneer infant microbiome. *Microbiome* **5**, 48 (2017).

2. M. C. de Goffau, S. Lager, U. Sovio, F. Gaccioli, E. Cook, S. J. Peacock, J. Parkhill, D. S. Charnock-Jones, G. C. S. Smith, Human placenta has no microbiome but can contain potential pathogens. *Nature* **572**, 329–334 (2019).

3. A. A. Kuperman, A. Zimmerman, S. Hamadia, O. Ziv, V. Gurevich, B. Fichtman, N. Gavert, R. Straussman, H. Rechnitzer, M. Barzilay, S. Shvalb, J. Bornstein, I. Ben-Shachar, S. Yagel, I. Haviv, O. Koren, Deep microbial analysis of multiple placentas shows no evidence for a placental microbiome. *BJOG* **127**, 159–169 (2020).

4. J. E. Lawn, S. Cousens, J. Zupan, Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team, 4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why? *Lancet* **365**, 891–900 (2005).

5. K. Sands, O. B. Spiller, K. Thomson, E. A. R. Portal, K. C. Iregbu, T. R. Walsh, Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Current Challenges and Future Opportunities. *Infect. Drug Resist.* **15**, 933–946 (2022).

6. A. Cassini, B. Allegranzi, C. Fleischmann-Struzek, T. Kortz, R. Markwart, H. Saito, M. Bonet, V. Brizuela, H. Mehrtash, Ö. Tuncalp Mingard, A.-B. Moller, O. Lincetto, S. Bellizzi, Y. Bin Nasr, B. Cao, R. Jakob, N. Tomaska, S. Eremin, P. Lambach, F. Moussy, "Global Report on the epidemiology and burden on sepsis: current evidence, identifying gaps and future directions" in *Global Report on the Epidemiology and Burden on Sepsis: Current Evidence, Identifying Gaps and Future Directions.* (World Health Organization, Geneva, 2020), p. 56.

7. C. C. L. Chase, D. J. Hurley, A. J. Reber, Neonatal immune development in the calf and its impact on vaccine response. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.* **24**, 87–104 (2008).

8. A. C. Jones, G. C. Burdge, J. A. Warner, A. D. Postle, J. O. Warner, Ontogeny of circulating leucocytes in the fetal guinea pig. *Biol. Neonate* **70**, 108–115 (1996).

9. M. P. Holsapple, L. J. West, K. S. Landreth, Species comparison of anatomical and functional immune system development. *Birth Defects Res. B Dev. Reprod. Toxicol.* **68**, 321–334 (2003).

10. S. D. Holladay, R. J. Smialowicz, Development of the murine and human immune system: differential effects of immunotoxicants depend on time of exposure. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **108 Suppl 3**, 463–473 (2000).

11. Z.-X. Luo, C.-X. Yuan, Q.-J. Meng, Q. Ji, A Jurassic eutherian mammal and divergence of marsupials and placentals. *Nature* **476**, 442–445 (2011).

12. M. dos Reis, J. Inoue, M. Hasegawa, R. J. Asher, P. C. J. Donoghue, Z. Yang, Phylogenomic datasets provide both precision and accuracy in estimating the timescale of placental mammal phylogeny. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **279**, 3491–3500 (2012).

13. C. R. Borthwick, L. J. Young, J. M. Old, The development of the immune tissues in marsupial pouch young. *J. Morphol.* **275**, 822–839 (2014).

14. J. M. Old, Haematopoiesis in Marsupials. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **58**, 40–46 (2016).

15. J. E. Deakin, D. W. Cooper, Characterisation of and immunity to the aerobic bacteria found in the pouch of the brushtail possum Trichosurus vulpecula. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **27**, 33–46 (2004).

16. R. Osawa, W. H. Blanshard, P. G. O'Callaghan, Microflora of the pouch of the koala (Phascolarctos cinereus). *J. Wildl. Dis.* **28**, 276–280 (1992).

17. Y. Cheng, K. Belov, Antimicrobial Protection of Marsupial Pouch Young. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 354 (2017).

18. K. M. Morris, D. O'Meally, T. Zaw, X. Song, A. Gillett, M. P. Molloy, A. Polkinghorne, K. Belov, Characterisation of the immune compounds in koala milk using a combined transcriptomic and proteomic approach. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 35011 (2016).

19. K. Ambatipudi, J. Joss, M. Raftery, E. Deane, A proteomic approach to analysis of antimicrobial activity in marsupial pouch secretions. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **32**, 108–120 (2008).

20. K. Ambatipudi, J. Joss, E. Deane, A comparative proteomic analysis of skin secretions of the tammar wallaby (Macropus eugenii) and the wombat (Vombatus ursinus). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part D Genomics Proteomics* **2**, 322–331 (2007).

21. G. Bobek, E. M. Deane, Possible antimicrobial compounds from the pouch of the koala, Phascolarctos cinereus. *Lett. Pept. Sci.* **8**, 133–137 (2001).

22. E. Peel, Y. Cheng, J. T. Djordjevic, S. Fox, T. C. Sorrell, K. Belov, Cathelicidins in the Tasmanian devil (Sarcophilus harrisii). *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 35019 (2016).

23. C. Petrohilos, A. Patchett, C. J. Hogg, K. Belov, E. Peel, Tasmanian devil cathelicidins exhibit anticancer activity against Devil Facial Tumour Disease (DFTD) cells. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 12698 (2023).

24. R. V. Hewavisenti, K. M. Morris, D. O'Meally, Y. Cheng, A. T. Papenfuss, K. Belov, The identification of immune genes in the milk transcriptome of the Tasmanian devil (Sarcophilus harrisii). *PeerJ* **4**, e1569 (2016).

25. C. R. Borthwick, L. J. Young, J. M. Old, An Examination of the Development and Localization of Key Immune Cells in Developing Pouch Young of the Red-Tailed Phascogale (Phascogale calura). *Anat. Rec.* **302**, 1985–2002 (2019).

26. J. M. Old, E. M. Deane, Immunohistochemistry of the lymphoid tissues of the tammar wallaby, Macropus eugenii. *J. Anat.* **201**, 257–266 (2002).

27. S. W. Hemsley, P. J. Canfield, A. J. Husband, Immunohistological staining of lymphoid tissue in four Australian marsupial species using species cross-reactive antibodies. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **73**, 321–325 (1995).

28. S. W. Hemsley, P. J. Canfield, A. J. Husband, Histological and immunohistological investigation of alimentary tract lymphoid tissue in the koala (Phascolarctos cinereus), brushtail possum (Trichosurus vulpecula) and ringtail possum (Pseudocheirus peregrinus). *J. Anat.* **188 (Pt 2)**, 279–288 (1996).

29. L. J. Howson, K. M. Morris, T. Kobayashi, C. Tovar, A. Kreiss, A. T. Papenfuss, L. Corcoran, K. Belov, G. M. Woods, Identification of dendritic cells, B cell and T cell subsets in Tasmanian devil lymphoid tissue; evidence for poor immune cell infiltration into devil facial tumors. *Anat. Rec.* **297**, 925–938 (2014).

30. L. G. Duncan, S. V. Nair, E. M. Deane, Immunohistochemical localization of T-lymphocyte subsets in the developing lymphoid tissues of the tammar wallaby (Macropus eugenii). *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **38**, 475–486 (2012).

31. G. L. Burn, A. Foti, G. Marsman, D. F. Patel, A. Zychlinsky, The Neutrophil. *Immunity* **54**, 1377–1391 (2021).

32. P. A. Cisternas, P. J. Armati, Development of the thymus, spleen, lymph nodes and liver in the marsupial, Isoodon macrourus (Northern brown bandicoot, Peramelidae). *Anat. Embryol.* **200**, 433–443 (1999).

33. J. M. Old, L. Selwood, E. M. Deane, A developmental investigation of the liver, bone marrow and spleen of the stripe-faced dunnart (Sminthopsis macroura). *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **28**, 347–355 (2004).

34. A. E. Shinnar, K. L. Butler, H. J. Park, Cathelicidin family of antimicrobial peptides: proteolytic processing and protease resistance. *Bioorg. Chem.* **31**, 425–436 (2003).

35. E. M. Kościuczuk, P. Lisowski, J. Jarczak, N. Strzałkowska, A. Jóźwik, J. Horbańczuk, J. Krzyżewski, L. Zwierzchowski, E. Bagnicka, Cathelicidins: family of antimicrobial peptides. A review. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **39**, 10957–10970 (2012).

36. M. A. Alford, B. Baquir, F. L. Santana, E. F. Haney, R. E. W. Hancock, Cathelicidin Host Defense Peptides and Inflammatory Signaling: Striking a Balance. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 1902 (2020).

37. K. A. Daly, M. R. Digby, C. Lefévre, K. R. Nicholas, E. M. Deane, P. Williamson, Identification, characterization and expression of cathelicidin in the pouch young of tammar wallaby (Macropus eugenii). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **149**, 524–533 (2008).

38. E. Peel, Y. Cheng, J. T. Djordjevic, D. O'Meally, M. Thomas, M. Kuhn, T. C. Sorrell, W. M. Huston, K. Belov, Koala cathelicidin PhciCath5 has antimicrobial activity, including against Chlamydia pecorum. *PLoS One* **16**, e0249658 (2021).

39. E. Peel, Y. Cheng, J. T. Djordjevic, M. Kuhn, T. Sorrell, K. Belov, Marsupial and monotreme cathelicidins display antimicrobial activity, including against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Microbiology* **163**, 1457–1465 (2017).

40. K. Belov, C. E. Sanderson, J. E. Deakin, E. S. W. Wong, D. Assange, K. A. McColl, A. Gout, B. de Bono, A. D. Barrow, T. P. Speed, J. Trowsdale, A. T. Papenfuss, Characterization of the opossum immune genome provides insights into the evolution of the mammalian immune system. *Genome Res.* **17**, 982–991 (2007).

41. J. Wang, E. S. W. Wong, J. C. Whitley, J. Li, J. M. Stringer, K. R. Short, M. B. Renfree, K. Belov, B. G. Cocks, Ancient antimicrobial peptides kill antibiotic-resistant pathogens: Australian mammals provide new options. *PLoS One* **6**, e24030 (2011).

42. H.-S. Cho, J. Yum, A. Larivière, N. Lévêque, Q. V. C. Le, B. Ahn, H. Jeon, K. Hong, N. Soundrarajan, J.-H. Kim, C. Bodet, C. Park, Opossum Cathelicidins Exhibit Antimicrobial Activity Against a Broad Spectrum of Pathogens Including West Nile Virus. *Front. Immunol.* **11**, 347 (2020).

43. R. L. Carman, J. M. Old, M. Baker, N. A. Jacques, E. M. Deane, Identification and expression of a novel marsupial cathelicidin from the tammar wallaby (Macropus eugenii). *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol.* **127**, 269–276 (2009).

44. C. Y. Feigin, J. A. Moreno, R. Ramos, S. A. Mereby, A. Alivisatos, W. Wang, R. van Amerongen, J. Camacho, J. J. Rasweiler 4th, R. R. Behringer, B. Ostrow, M. V. Plikus, R. Mallarino, Convergent deployment of ancestral functions during the evolution of mammalian flight membranes. *Sci Adv* **9**, eade7511 (2023).

45. J. D. Buenrostro, P. G. Giresi, L. C. Zaba, H. Y. Chang, W. J. Greenleaf, Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-binding proteins and nucleosome position. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 1213–1218 (2013).

46. M. R. Corces, A. E. Trevino, E. G. Hamilton, P. G. Greenside, N. A. Sinnott-Armstrong, S. Vesuna, A. T. Satpathy, A. J. Rubin, K. S. Montine, B. Wu, A. Kathiria, S. W. Cho, M. R. Mumbach, A. C. Carter, M. Kasowski, L. A. Orloff, V. I. Risca, A. Kundaje, P. A. Khavari, T. J. Montine, W. J. Greenleaf, H. Y. Chang, An improved ATAC-seq protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of frozen tissues. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 959–962 (2017).

47. J. A. Moreno, O. Dudchenko, C. Y. Feigin, S. A. Mereby, Z. Chen, R. Ramos, A. A. Almet, H. Sen, B. J. Brack, M. R. Johnson, S. Li, W. Wang, J. M. Gaska, A. Ploss, D. Weisz, A. D. Omer, W. Yao, Z. Colaric, P. Kaur, J. S. Leger, Q. Nie, A. Mena, J. P. Flanagan, G. Keller, T. Sanger, B. Ostrow, M. V. Plikus, E. Z. Kvon, E. L. Aiden, R. Mallarino, Emx2 underlies the development and evolution of marsupial gliding membranes. *Nature* **629**, 127–135 (2024).

48. V. Y. Goel, M. K. Huseyin, A. S. Hansen, Region Capture Micro-C reveals coalescence of enhancers and promoters into nested microcompartments. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 1048–1056 (2023).

49. O. E. Sørensen, P. Follin, A. H. Johnsen, J. Calafat, G. S. Tjabringa, P. S. Hiemstra, N. Borregaard, Human cathelicidin, hCAP-18, is processed to the antimicrobial peptide LL-37 by extracellular cleavage with proteinase 3. *Blood* **97**, 3951–3959 (2001).

50. A. M. Cole, J. Shi, A. Ceccarelli, Y. H. Kim, A. Park, T. Ganz, Inhibition of neutrophil elastase prevents cathelicidin activation and impairs clearance of bacteria from wounds. *Blood* **97**, 297–304 (2001).

51. M. Scocchi, B. Skerlavaj, D. Romeo, R. Gennaro, Proteolytic cleavage by neutrophil elastase converts inactive storage proforms to antibacterial bactenecins. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **209**, 589–595 (1992).

52. A. Panyutich, J. Shi, P. L. Boutz, C. Zhao, T. Ganz, Porcine polymorphonuclear leukocytes generate extracellular microbicidal activity by elastase-mediated activation of secreted proprotegrins. *Infect. Immun.* **65**, 978–985 (1997).

53. R. L. Gallo, K. J. Kim, M. Bernfield, C. A. Kozak, M. Zanetti, L. Merluzzi, R. Gennaro, Identification of CRAMP, a cathelin-related antimicrobial peptide expressed in the embryonic and adult mouse. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**, 13088–13093 (1997).

54. B. Agerberth, H. Gunne, J. Odeberg, P. Kogner, H. G. Boman, G. H. Gudmundsson, FALL-39, a putative human peptide antibiotic, is cysteine-free and expressed in bone marrow and testis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **92**, 195–199 (1995).

55. E. Sancho-Vaello, D. Gil-Carton, P. François, E.-J. Bonetti, M. Kreir, K. R. Pothula, U. Kleinekathöfer, K. Zeth, The structure of the antimicrobial human cathelicidin LL-37 shows oligomerization and channel formation in the presence of membrane mimics. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 17356 (2020).

56. M. Scocchi, I. Zelezetsky, M. Benincasa, R. Gennaro, A. Mazzoli, A. Tossi, Structural aspects and biological properties of the cathelicidin PMAP-36. *FEBS J.* **272**, 4398–4406 (2005).

57. L. Boulos, M. Prévost, B. Barbeau, J. Coallier, R. Desjardins, LIVE/DEAD® BacLight™: application of a new rapid staining method for direct enumeration of viable and total bacteria in drinking water. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **37**, 77–86 (1999).

58. J. Koziel, D. Bryzek, A. Sroka, K. Maresz, I. Glowczyk, E. Bielecka, T. Kantyka, K. Pyrć, P. Svoboda, J. Pohl, J. Potempa, Citrullination alters immunomodulatory function of LL-37 essential for prevention of endotoxin-induced sepsis. *J. Immunol.* **192**, 5363–5372 (2014).

59. F. Niyonsaba, K. Iwabuchi, A. Someya, M. Hirata, H. Matsuda, H. Ogawa, I. Nagaoka, A cathelicidin family of human antibacterial peptide LL-37 induces mast cell chemotaxis. *Immunology* **106**, 20–26 (2002).

60. M. G. Scott, D. J. Davidson, M. R. Gold, D. Bowdish, R. E. W. Hancock, The human antimicrobial peptide LL-37 is a multifunctional modulator of innate immune responses. *J. Immunol.* **169**, 3883–3891 (2002).

61. D. Yang, Q. Chen, A. P. Schmidt, G. M. Anderson, J. M. Wang, J. Wooters, J. J. Oppenheim, O. Chertov, Ll-37, the Neutrophil Granule–And Epithelial Cell–Derived Cathelicidin, Utilizes Formyl Peptide Receptor–Like 1 (Fprl1) as a Receptor to Chemoattract Human Peripheral Blood Neutrophils, Monocytes, and T Cells. *J. Exp. Med.* **192**, 1069–1074 (2000).

62. A. M. van der Does, H. Beekhuizen, B. Ravensbergen, T. Vos, T. H. M. Ottenhoff, J. T. van
Dissel, J. W. Drijfhout, P. S. Hiemstra, P. H. Nibbering, LL-37 directs macrophage
differentiation toward macrophages with a proinflammatory signature. *J. Immunol.* **185**,
1442–1449 (2010).

63. D. Minns, K. J. Smith, V. Alessandrini, G. Hardisty, L. Melrose, L. Jackson-Jones, A. S.
MacDonald, D. J. Davidson, E. Gwyer Findlay, The neutrophil antimicrobial peptide
cathelicidin promotes Th17 differentiation. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1285 (2021).

64. N. J. Greenfield, Using circular dichroism spectra to estimate protein secondary structure.
Nat. Protoc. **1**, 2876–2890 (2006).

65. A. J. Lewis, C. W. Seymour, M. R. Rosengart, Current Murine Models of Sepsis. *Surg.*
Infect. **17**, 385–393 (2016).

66. A. Shrestha, D. Duwadi, J. Jukosky, S. N. Fiering, Cecropin-like antimicrobial peptide
protects mice from lethal E.coli infection. *PLoS One* **14**, e0220344 (2019).

67. K. Ferner, J. A. Schultz, U. Zeller, Comparative anatomy of neonates of the three major
mammalian groups (monotremes, marsupials, placentals) and implications for the ancestral
mammalian neonate morphotype. *J. Anat.* **231**, 798–822 (2017).

68. H. Kiyonari, M. Kaneko, T. Abe, A. Shiraishi, R. Yoshimi, K.-I. Inoue, Y. Furuta, Targeted
gene disruption in a marsupial, Monodelphis domestica, by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing.
Curr. Biol. **31**, 3956-3963.e4 (2021).

69. Y. Hao, S. Hao, E. Andersen-Nissen, W. M. Mauck 3rd, S. Zheng, A. Butler, M. J. Lee, A. J.
Wilk, C. Darby, M. Zager, P. Hoffman, M. Stoeckius, E. Papalexi, E. P. Mimitou, J. Jain, A.
Srivastava, T. Stuart, L. M. Fleming, B. Yeung, A. J. Rogers, J. M. McElrath, C. A. Blish, R.
Gottardo, P. Smibert, R. Satija, Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**,
3573-3587.e29 (2021).

70. L. V. Yang, R. H. Nicholson, J. Kaplan, A. Galy, L. Li, Hemogen is a novel nuclear factor
specifically expressed in mouse hematopoietic development and its human homologue
EDAG maps to chromosome 9q22, a region containing breakpoints of hematological
neoplasms. *Mech. Dev.* **104**, 105–111 (2001).

71. S. Elliott, A. M. Sinclair, The effect of erythropoietin on normal and neoplastic cells.
Biologics **6**, 163–189 (2012).

72. B. S. Garrison, A. P. Rybak, I. Beerman, B. Heesters, F. E. Mercier, D. T. Scadden, D.
Bryder, R. Baron, D. J. Rossi, ZFP521 regulates murine hematopoietic stem cell function and
facilitates MLL-AF9 leukemogenesis in mouse and human cells. *Blood* **130**, 619–624 (2017).

73. D. B. AbuSamra, F. A. Aleisa, A. S. Al-Amoodi, H. M. Jalal Ahmed, C. J. Chin, A. F.
Abuelela, P. Bergam, R. Sougrat, J. S. Merzaban, Not just a marker: CD34 on human
hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells dominates vascular selectin binding along with CD44.
Blood Adv **1**, 2799–2816 (2017).

74. M. Evrard, I. W. H. Kwok, S. Z. Chong, K. W. W. Teng, E. Becht, J. Chen, J. L. Sieow, H.
L. Penny, G. C. Ching, S. Devi, J. M. Adrover, J. L. Y. Li, K. H. Liong, L. Tan, Z. Poon, S.
Foo, J. W. Chua, I.-H. Su, K. Balabanian, F. Bachelerie, S. K. Biswas, A. Larbi, W. Y. K.
Hwang, V. Madan, H. P. Koeffler, S. C. Wong, E. W. Newell, A. Hidalgo, F. Ginhoux, L. G.
Ng, Developmental Analysis of Bone Marrow Neutrophils Reveals Populations Specialized
in Expansion, Trafficking, and Effector Functions. *Immunity* **48**, 364-379.e8 (2018).

75. S. R. Horman, C. S. Velu, A. Chaubey, T. Bourdeau, J. Zhu, W. E. Paul, B. Gebelein, H. L.
Grimes, Gfi1 integrates progenitor versus granulocytic transcriptional programming. *Blood*
113, 5466–5475 (2009).

76. X. Xie, Q. Shi, P. Wu, X. Zhang, H. Kambara, J. Su, H. Yu, S.-Y. Park, R. Guo, Q. Ren, S.
Zhang, Y. Xu, L. E. Silberstein, T. Cheng, F. Ma, C. Li, H. R. Luo, Single-cell transcriptome
profiling reveals neutrophil heterogeneity in homeostasis and infection. *Nat. Immunol.* **21**,
1119–1133 (2020).

77. P. A. Szabo, H. M. Levitin, M. Miron, M. E. Snyder, T. Senda, J. Yuan, Y. L. Cheng, E. C.
Bush, P. Dogra, P. Thapa, D. L. Farber, P. A. Sims, Single-cell transcriptomics of human T
cells reveals tissue and activation signatures in health and disease. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 4706
(2019).

78. D. Morgan, V. Tergaonkar, Unraveling B cell trajectories at single cell resolution. *Trends*
Immunol. **43**, 210–229 (2022).

79. M. Hamada, Y. Tsunakawa, H. Jeon, M. K. Yadav, S. Takahashi, Role of MafB in
macrophages. *Exp. Anim.* **69**, 1–10 (2020).

80. L. M. Kelly, U. Englmeier, I. Lafon, M. H. Sieweke, T. Graf, MafB is an inducer of
monocytic differentiation. *EMBO J.* **19**, 1987–1997 (2000).

81. V. D. Cuevas, L. Anta, R. Samaniego, E. Orta-Zavalza, J. Vladimir de la Rosa, G. Baujat, Á.
Domínguez-Soto, P. Sánchez-Mateos, M. M. Escribese, A. Castrillo, V. Cormier-Daire, M.
A. Vega, Á. L. Corbí, MAFB Determines Human Macrophage Anti-Inflammatory
Polarization: Relevance for the Pathogenic Mechanisms Operating in Multicentric
Carpotarsal Osteolysis. *J. Immunol.* **198**, 2070–2081 (2017).

82. K. Kang, S. H. Park, J. Chen, Y. Qiao, E. Giannopoulou, K. Berg, A. Hanidu, J. Li, G.
Nabozny, K. Kang, K.-H. Park-Min, L. B. Ivashkiv, Interferon-γ Represses M2 Gene
Expression in Human Macrophages by Disassembling Enhancers Bound by the Transcription
Factor MAF. *Immunity* **47**, 235-250.e4 (2017).

83. J. Fleischer, E. Soeth, N. Reiling, E. Grage-Griebenow, H. D. Flad, M. Ernst, Differential
expression and function of CD80 (B7-1) and CD86 (B7-2) on human peripheral blood
monocytes. *Immunology* **89**, 592–598 (1996).

84. H. Fujita, Y. Hashimoto, S. Russell, B. Zieger, J. Ware, In Vivo Expression of Murine
Platelet Glycoprotein Ibα. *Blood* **92**, 488–495 (1998).

85. Q. Kimmerlin, C. Strassel, A. Eckly, F. Lanza, The tubulin code in platelet biogenesis.
Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. **137**, 63–73 (2023).

86. G. Varricchi, A. Pecoraro, S. Loffredo, R. Poto, F. Rivellese, A. Genovese, G. Marone, G. Spadaro, Heterogeneity of Human Mast Cells With Respect to MRGPRX2 Receptor Expression and Function. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **13**, 299 (2019).

87. G. Cruse, D. Kaur, M. Leyland, P. Bradding, A novel FcεRIβ-chain truncation regulates human mast cell proliferation and survival. *FASEB J.* **24**, 4047–4057 (2010).

88. K. R. Acharya, S. J. Ackerman, Eosinophil granule proteins: form and function. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 17406–17415 (2014).

89. J. Tavernier, R. Devos, S. Cornelis, T. Tuypens, J. Van der Heyden, W. Fiers, G. Plaetinck, A human high affinity interleukin-5 receptor (IL5R) is composed of an IL5-specific alpha chain and a beta chain shared with the receptor for GM-CSF. *Cell* **66**, 1175–1184 (1991).

90. B. L. Cantarel, I. Korf, S. M. C. Robb, G. Parra, E. Ross, B. Moore, C. Holt, A. Sánchez Alvarado, M. Yandell, MAKER: an easy-to-use annotation pipeline designed for emerging model organism genomes. *Genome Res.* **18**, 188–196 (2008).

91. M. Stanke, O. Keller, I. Gunduz, A. Hayes, S. Waack, B. Morgenstern, AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, W435-9 (2006).

92. I. Korf, Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 59 (2004).

93. A. Shumate, S. L. Salzberg, Liftoff: accurate mapping of gene annotations. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 1639–1643 (2021).

94. K. Katoh, K. Misawa, K.-I. Kuma, T. Miyata, MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 3059–3066 (2002).

95. A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).

96. S. Kumar, G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz, K. Tamura, MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 1547–1549 (2018).

97. Rambaut, A. Figtree v1.4.4. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh. (2018).

98. S. R. Amend, K. C. Valkenburg, K. J. Pienta, Murine Hind Limb Long Bone Dissection and Bone Marrow Isolation. *J. Vis. Exp.*, doi: 10.3791/53936 (2016).

99. A. M. Bolger, M. Lohse, B. Usadel, Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

100. A. Dobin, C. A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, S. Jha, P. Batut, M. Chaisson, T. R. Gingeras, STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

101. Y. Liao, G. K. Smyth, W. Shi, featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

102. B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).

103. Y. Zhang, T. Liu, C. A. Meyer, J. Eeckhoute, D. S. Johnson, B. E. Bernstein, C. Nusbaum, R. M. Myers, M. Brown, W. Li, X. S. Liu, Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.* **9**, R137 (2008).

104. Q. Li, J. B. Brown, H. Huang, P. J. Bickel, Measuring reproducibility of high-throughput experiments. *aoas* **5**, 1752–1779 (2011).

105. J. T. Robinson, H. Thorvaldsdóttir, W. Winckler, M. Guttman, E. S. Lander, G. Getz, J. P. Mesirov, Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 24–26 (2011).

106. E. Slobodyanyuk, C. Cattoglio, T.-H. S. Hsieh, Mapping Mammalian 3D Genomes by Micro-C. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **2532**, 51–71 (2022).

107. T.-H. S. Hsieh, C. Cattoglio, E. Slobodyanyuk, A. S. Hansen, O. J. Rando, R. Tjian, X. Darzacq, Resolving the 3D Landscape of Transcription-Linked Mammalian Chromatin Folding. *Mol. Cell* **78**, 539-553.e8 (2020).

108. P. J. Batut, X. Y. Bing, Z. Sisco, J. Raimundo, M. Levo, M. S. Levine, Genome organization controls transcriptional dynamics during development. *Science* **375**, 566–570 (2022).

109. X. Bing, W. Ke, M. Fujioka, A. Kurbidaeva, S. Levitt, M. Levine, P. Schedl, J. B. Jaynes, Chromosome Structure I: Loop extrusion or boundary:boundary pairing?, *bioRxiv* (2024)p. 2023.11.17.567501.

110. W. Ke, M. Fujioka, P. Schedl, J. B. Jaynes, Chromosome Structure II: Stem-loops and Circle-loops, *bioRxiv* (2024)p. 2024.01.02.573928.

111. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

112. N. Abdennur, L. A. Mirny, Cooler: scalable storage for Hi-C data and other genomically labeled arrays. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 311–316 (2020).

113. P. Kerpedjiev, N. Abdennur, F. Lekschas, C. McCallum, K. Dinkla, H. Strobelt, J. M. Luber, S. B. Ouellette, A. Azhir, N. Kumar, J. Hwang, S. Lee, B. H. Alver, H. Pfister, L. A. Mirny, P. J. Park, N. Gehlenborg, HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction maps. *Genome Biol.* **19**, 125 (2018).

114. C. E. Grant, T. L. Bailey, W. S. Noble, FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1017–1018 (2011).

115. Z. Zou, T. Ohta, F. Miura, S. Oki, ChIP-Atlas 2021 update: a data-mining suite for exploring epigenomic landscapes by fully integrating ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq and Bisulfite-seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, W175–W182 (2022).

116. Z. Zou, T. Ohta, S. Oki, ChIP-Atlas 3.0: a data-mining suite to explore chromosome architecture together with large-scale regulome data. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkae358 (2024).

117. S. Oki, T. Ohta, G. Shioi, H. Hatanaka, O. Ogasawara, Y. Okuda, H. Kawaji, R. Nakaki, J. Sese, C. Meno, ChIP-Atlas: a data-mining suite powered by full integration of public ChIP-seq data. *EMBO Rep.* **19**, e46255 (2018).

118. A. Lamiable, P. Thévenet, J. Rey, M. Vavrusa, P. Derreumaux, P. Tufféry, PEP-FOLD3: faster de novo structure prediction for linear peptides in solution and in complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W449-54 (2016).

119. I. Wiegand, K. Hilpert, R. E. W. Hancock, Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat. Protoc.* **3**, 163–175 (2008).

120. P. Stothard, The sequence manipulation suite: JavaScript programs for analyzing and formatting protein and DNA sequences. *Biotechniques* **28**, 1102, 1104 (2000).

121. B. D. Rosen, D. M. Bickhart, R. D. Schnabel, S. Koren, C. G. Elsik, E. Tseng, T. N. Rowan, W. Y. Low, A. Zimin, C. Couldrey, R. Hall, W. Li, A. Rhie, J. Ghurye, S. D. McKay, F. Thibaud-Nissen, J. Hoffman, B. M. Murdoch, W. M. Snelling, T. G. McDaneld, J. A. Hammond, J. C. Schwartz, W. Nandolo, D. E. Hagen, C. Dreischer, S. J. Schultheiss, S. G. Schroeder, A. M. Phillippy, J. B. Cole, C. P. Van Tassell, G. Liu, T. P. L. Smith, J. F. Medrano, De novo assembly of the cattle reference genome with single-molecule sequencing. *Gigascience* **9** (2020).

122. B. P. Bentley, T. Carrasco-Valenzuela, E. K. S. Ramos, H. Pawar, L. Souza Arantes, A. Alexander, S. M. Banerjee, P. Masterson, M. Kuhlwilm, M. Pippel, J. Mountcastle, B. Haase, M. Uliano-Silva, G. Formenti, K. Howe, W. Chow, A. Tracey, Y. Sims, S. Pelan, J. Wood, K. Yetsko, J. R. Perrault, K. Stewart, S. R. Benson, Y. Levy, E. V. Todd, H. B. Shaffer, P. Scott, B. T. Henen, R. W. Murphy, D. W. Mohr, A. F. Scott, D. J. Duffy, N. J. Gemmell, A. Suh, S. Winkler, F. Thibaud-Nissen, M. F. Nery, T. Marques-Bonet, A. Antunes, Y. Tikochinski, P. H. Dutton, O. Fedrigo, E. W. Myers, E. D. Jarvis, C. J. Mazzoni, L. M. Komoroske, Divergent sensory and immune gene evolution in sea turtles with contrasting demographic and life histories. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **120**, e2201076120 (2023).

123. T. S. Kalbfleisch, E. S. Rice, M. S. DePriest Jr, B. P. Walenz, M. S. Hestand, J. R. Vermeesch, B. L. O Connell, I. T. Fiddes, A. O. Vershinina, N. F. Saremi, J. L. Petersen, C. J. Finno, R. R. Bellone, M. E. McCue, S. A. Brooks, E. Bailey, L. Orlando, R. E. Green, D. C. Miller, D. F. Antczak, J. N. MacLeod, Improved reference genome for the domestic horse increases assembly contiguity and composition. *Commun Biol* **1**, 197 (2018).

124. V. C. Mason, G. Li, P. Minx, J. Schmitz, G. Churakov, L. Doronina, A. D. Melin, N. J. Dominy, N. T.-L. Lim, M. S. Springer, R. K. Wilson, W. C. Warren, K. M. Helgen, W. J. Murphy, Genomic analysis reveals hidden biodiversity within colugos, the sister group to primates. *Sci Adv* **2**, e1600633 (2016).

125. V. A. Schneider, T. Graves-Lindsay, K. Howe, N. Bouk, H.-C. Chen, P. A. Kitts, T. D. Murphy, K. D. Pruitt, F. Thibaud-Nissen, D. Albracht, R. S. Fulton, M. Kremitzki, V. Magrini, C. Markovic, S. McGrath, K. M. Steinberg, K. Auger, W. Chow, J. Collins, G. Harden, T. Hubbard, S. Pelan, J. T. Simpson, G. Threadgold, J. Torrance, J. M. Wood, L. Clarke, S. Koren, M. Boitano, P. Peluso, H. Li, C.-S. Chin, A. M. Phillippy, R. Durbin, R. K. Wilson, P. Flicek, E. E. Eichler, D. M. Church, Evaluation of GRCh38 and de novo haploid genome assemblies demonstrates the enduring quality of the reference assembly. *Genome Res.* **27**, 849–864 (2017).

126. J.-Y. Hu, Z.-Q. Hao, L. Frantz, S.-F. Wu, W. Chen, Y.-F. Jiang, H. Wu, W.-M. Kuang, H. Li, Y.-P. Zhang, L. Yu, Genomic consequences of population decline in critically endangered pangolins and their demographic histories. *Natl Sci Rev* **7**, 798–814 (2020).

127. T. S. Mikkelsen, M. J. Wakefield, B. Aken, C. T. Amemiya, J. L. Chang, S. Duke, M. Garber, A. J. Gentles, L. Goodstadt, A. Heger, J. Jurka, M. Kamal, E. Mauceli, S. M. J. Searle, T. Sharpe, M. L. Baker, M. A. Batzer, P. V. Benos, K. Belov, M. Clamp, A. Cook, J. Cuff, R. Das, L. Davidow, J. E. Deakin, M. J. Fazzari, J. L. Glass, M. Grabherr, J. M. Greally, W. Gu, T. A. Hore, G. A. Huttley, M. Kleber, R. L. Jirtle, E. Koina, J. T. Lee, S. Mahony, M. A. Marra, R. D. Miller, R. D. Nicholls, M. Oda, A. T. Papenfuss, Z. E. Parra, D. D. Pollock, D. A. Ray, J. E. Schein, T. P. Speed, K. Thompson, J. L. VandeBerg, C. M. Wade, J. A. Walker, P. D. Waters, C. Webber, J. R. Weidman, X. Xie, M. C. Zody, Broad Institute Genome Sequencing Platform, Broad Institute Whole Genome Assembly Team, J. A. M. Graves, C. P. Ponting, M. Breen, P. B. Samollow, E. S. Lander, K. Lindblad-Toh, Genome of the marsupial Monodelphis domestica reveals innovation in non-coding sequences. *Nature* **447**, 167–177 (2007).

128. Y. Zhou, L. Shearwin-Whyatt, J. Li, Z. Song, T. Hayakawa, D. Stevens, J. C. Fenelon, E. Peel, Y. Cheng, F. Pajpach, N. Bradley, H. Suzuki, M. Nikaido, J. Damas, T. Daish, T. Perry, Z. Zhu, Y. Geng, A. Rhie, Y. Sims, J. Wood, B. Haase, J. Mountcastle, O. Fedrigo, Q. Li, H. Yang, J. Wang, S. D. Johnston, A. M. Phillippy, K. Howe, E. D. Jarvis, O. A. Ryder, H. Kaessmann, P. Donnelly, J. Korlach, H. A. Lewin, J. Graves, K. Belov, M. B. Renfree, F. Grutzner, Q. Zhou, G. Zhang, Platypus and echidna genomes reveal mammalian biology and evolution. *Nature* **592**, 756–762 (2021).

129. R. N. Johnson, D. O'Meally, Z. Chen, G. J. Etherington, S. Y. W. Ho, W. J. Nash, C. E. Grueber, Y. Cheng, C. M. Whittington, S. Dennison, E. Peel, W. Haerty, R. J. O'Neill, D. Colgan, T. L. Russell, D. E. Alquezar-Planas, V. Attenbrow, J. G. Bragg, P. A. Brandies, A. Y.-Y. Chong, J. E. Deakin, F. Di Palma, Z. Duda, M. D. B. Eldridge, K. M. Ewart, C. J. Hogg, G. J. Frankham, A. Georges, A. K. Gillett, M. Govendir, A. D. Greenwood, T. Hayakawa, K. M. Helgen, M. Hobbs, C. E. Holleley, T. N. Heider, E. A. Jones, A. King, D. Madden, J. A. M. Graves, K. M. Morris, L. E. Neaves, H. R. Patel, A. Polkinghorne, M. B. Renfree, C. Robin, R. Salinas, K. Tsangaras, P. D. Waters, S. A. Waters, B. Wright, M. R. Wilkins, P. Timms, K. Belov, Adaptation and conservation insights from the koala genome. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1102–1111 (2018).

130. D. Jebb, Z. Huang, M. Pippel, G. M. Hughes, K. Lavrichenko, P. Devanna, S. Winkler, L. S. Jermiin, E. C. Skirmuntt, A. Katzourakis, L. Burkitt-Gray, D. A. Ray, K. A. M. Sullivan, J. G. Roscito, B. M. Kirilenko, L. M. Dávalos, A. P. Corthals, M. L. Power, G. Jones, R. D. Ransome, D. K. N. Dechmann, A. G. Locatelli, S. J. Puechmaille, O. Fedrigo, E. D. Jarvis,

M. Hiller, S. C. Vernes, E. W. Myers, E. C. Teeling, Six reference-quality genomes reveal
evolution of bat adaptations. *Nature* **583**, 578–584 (2020).

131. P. Andrade, C. Pinho, G. Pérez I de Lanuza, S. Afonso, J. Brejcha, C.-J. Rubin, O.
Wallerman, P. Pereira, S. J. Sabatino, A. Bellati, D. Pellitteri-Rosa, Z. Bosakova, I. Bunikis,
M. A. Carretero, N. Feiner, P. Marsik, F. Paupério, D. Salvi, L. Soler, G. M. While, T. Uller,
E. Font, L. Andersson, M. Carneiro, Regulatory changes in pterin and carotenoid genes
underlie balanced color polymorphisms in the wall lizard. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
116, 5633–5642 (2019).

132. M. R. Stammnitz, K. Gori, Y. M. Kwon, E. Harry, F. J. Martin, K. Billis, Y. Cheng, A.
Baez-Ortega, W. Chow, S. Comte, H. Eggertsson, S. Fox, R. Hamede, M. Jones, B. Lazenby,
S. Peck, R. Pye, M. A. Quail, K. Swift, J. Wang, J. Wood, K. Howe, M. R. Stratton, Z. Ning,
E. P. Murchison, The evolution of two transmissible cancers in Tasmanian devils. *Science*
380, 283–293 (2023).

133. A. D. Foote, Y. Liu, G. W. C. Thomas, T. Vinař, J. Alföldi, J. Deng, S. Dugan, C. E. van
Elk, M. E. Hunter, V. Joshi, Z. Khan, C. Kovar, S. L. Lee, K. Lindblad-Toh, A. Mancia, R.
Nielsen, X. Qin, J. Qu, B. J. Raney, N. Vijay, J. B. W. Wolf, M. W. Hahn, D. M. Muzny, K.
C. Worley, M. T. P. Gilbert, R. A. Gibbs, Convergent evolution of the genomes of marine
mammals. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 272–275 (2015).

134. Y. Fan, Z.-Y. Huang, C.-C. Cao, C.-S. Chen, Y.-X. Chen, D.-D. Fan, J. He, H.-L. Hou, L.
Hu, X.-T. Hu, X.-T. Jiang, R. Lai, Y.-S. Lang, B. Liang, S.-G. Liao, D. Mu, Y.-Y. Ma, Y.-Y.
Niu, X.-Q. Sun, J.-Q. Xia, J. Xiao, Z.-Q. Xiong, L. Xu, L. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Zhao, X.-D.
Zhao, Y.-T. Zheng, J.-M. Zhou, Y.-B. Zhu, G.-J. Zhang, J. Wang, Y.-G. Yao, Genome of the
Chinese tree shrew. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1426 (2013).

135. T. Mitros, J. B. Lyons, A. M. Session, J. Jenkins, S. Shu, T. Kwon, M. Lane, C. Ng, T. C.
Grammer, M. K. Khokha, J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz, R. M. Harland, D. S. Rokhsar, A
chromosome-scale genome assembly and dense genetic map for Xenopus tropicalis. *Dev.*
Biol. **452**, 8–20 (2019).

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Mallarino laboratory and the Donia laboratory for discussions and assistance with animal husbandry; Princeton LAR (K. Gerhart, G. Barnett and J. McGuire, D, D. L. Aler, R. Franco, P. K. Cunningham, B. Tereszczyn) for help with animal husbandry and care; J. M. Miller and J. Arley Volmar from the LSI Genomics Core (Princeton) for help with library preparation, O. Perotti for help in the lab, and F. Rogers for help with statistics.

Funding: This project was supported by an NIH grant to R.M. (R35GM133758) and a Princeton University Dean for Research Innovation Award to R.M. R.M. is partially supported by the Searle Scholars Program, the Sloan Foundation and the Vallee Scholars Program. M.S.D. is supported by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (Grants 7620 and 9199). C.Y.F. was supported by an NIH fellowship (F32 GM139240-01). Y.P. was supported by NIH/NIAID grant DP2AI171161 and by Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research. **Author Contributions**: J.P., M.S.D., and R.M. conceived the project and designed experiments. J.P. and C.Y.F. conducted computational gene annotation, phylogenetic analyses, and tissue harvesting. W.K. conducted RCMC experiments. W.K., J.P., and Y.P. analyzed RCMC data. A.K. performed ELISA experiments and analyzed the resulting data. J.P. conducted all the other experiments and data analyses. J.P., M.S.D., and R.M. wrote the manuscript with the input from all authors.

Competing interests: M.S.D is a Scientific Co-Founder and CSO at Pragma Bio.

Data and materials availability: The scRNA-seq, RNA-seq, ATAC-seq, and RCMC data are submitted under NCBI BioProject accession code PRJNA1141454.

Figures

Figure content:

A — An adult sugar glider (left panel) and a postnatal day 4 joey laying atop the everted maternal pouch (right panel). Scale bar: 0.5cm.

B — Graphical representation with axes avg_log2FC (y-axis, 1–5) and Rank (x-axis, 50–0). Labeled points: *LOC110217007*, *LOC110217150*, *LOC110217149*.

C

Cluster 1 | Cluster 2

Scaffold 9: *Camp1* *Camp2* *Camp3* *Camp4* *Camp5* ~1.15Mb *Camp6* *NGP* *Camp7* *Camp8* *Camp9*

Scaffold 81: *Camp10*

D — UMAP plot. Cell type:
- Neutrophils (16.7%)
- Immature Neutrophils (14.3%)
- Preneutrophils (6.7%)
- Granulocyte Monocyte Progenitors (10.6%)
- Monocyte-Macrophages (7.2%)
- M2-like Macrophages (1.8%)
- Megakaryocytes (0.5%)
- Mast Cells (0.9%)
- Eosinophils (0.6%)
- Hematopoietic Stem Cells (4.5%)
- CD34(-) Hematopoietic Stem Cells (5.8%)
- Lymphoids (3.1%)
- Early Erythrocytes (8.2%)
- Early-Mid Erythrocytes (5.4%)
- Mid Erythrocytes (7.7%)
- Rec Blood Cells (6.1%)

Axes: UMAP_1, UMAP_2

Figure 1. Cathelicidins are highly and specifically expressed in sugar glider neutrophils. (**A**) An adult sugar glider (left panel; photo by Patrick Kavanagh) and a postnatal day 4 joey laying atop the everted maternal pouch (right panel). Scale bar: 0.5cm. (**B**) Graphical representation of the top differentially expressed genes in neutrophil lineage cells compared to the rest of the liver cells. Genes were ranked by log2FC values. Cathelicidin genes are denoted in red. (**C**) A schematic of the genome structure of sugar glider cathelicidins. Reciprocal blast with leadbeater's possum suggests that *Camp10* belongs to Cluster 1. (D) UMAP plot showing cell type clustering and composition of the sugar glider neonatal (P0) liver.

1154
Figure 2. Expression and regulation of sugar glider cathelicidins. (**A**) UMAP plots displaying the expression of
selected cathelicidin genes. (**B**) ATAC-seq traces of sugar glider liver and skin tissue. Shown are genomic regions
corresponding to clusters 1 and 2. Black boxes denote putative cis-regulatory elements (CREs) and blue boxes denote
putative CREs containing *Cebp* family binding sites. Arrows indicate the direction of transcription. (**C-E**) Contact
maps of sugar glider adult bone marrow. Displayed are genomic regions containing *Camp3* and *Camp4* (**C**), *Camp6*
and *Ngp* (**D**), and both cathelicidin clusters (**E**). Heatmaps visually represent the frequency of physical interactions
between different regions of the genome, with darker colors representing higher frequencies than lighter colors. Blue
arrows denote an interaction between enhancers and their proximal promoters. Circles indicate interactions among
multiple enhancers and promoters.

1164
Figure 3. Function of sugar glider cathelicidins. (**A**) Schematic representation of cathelicidin peptide processing.
Cathelicidins, composed of a signal peptide, a cathelin region, and a mature peptide, get cleaved into mature peptides
by proteolytic proteins. (**B**) Heat map showing the minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of CAMPs against
different types of bacteria. Asterisks denote the existence of a higher peptide concentration where percent inhibition
was lower than 90%. (**C**) Flow cytometry analysis of propidium iodide incorporation. Upon bacterial membrane
disruption, PI intercalates with DNA, emitting a fluorescence signal. X-axis and Y-axis represent forward scatter and
fluorescence intensity of propidium iodide, respectively. (**D**) Bar graph representing the fluorescence intensity, as
measured via flow cytometry, of macrophages co-incubated with LPS-FITC and different peptides. Y-axis represents
the percent of the median fluorescence intensity relative to the PBS control. Statistical significance was assessed
using an unpaired t-test (n=4; *: p<0.05, **: p<0.01, ***:p<0.001, ****:p<0.0001). (**E**) Graphs showing the shift in
the circular dichroism spectra of CAMP3 and CAMP4 upon incubation with LPS. The existence of negative bands
around 220nm and the positive band at 193nm indicate the formation of an alpha-helix caused by the interaction with
LPS. (**F**) Bar graph showing the amount of TNF-alpha secreted from LPS-stimulated macrophages upon co-
incubation with peptides. Values are displayed relative to the PBS control. Statistical significance was assessed using
an unpaired t-test (n=4; *: p<0.05, **: p<0.01, ****:p<0.0001). (**G**) Result from the *in vivo* mouse survival assay.
Mice infected with *E. coli* were treated with CAMP4 or PBS control (n=5).
1181
1182
1183

1184

1185
Figure 4. Evolution of the cathelicidin gene family. (**A**) Percent sequence identity (amino acids) among the exons
of eight sugar glider cathelicidins. Statistical significance was assessed using an one-way ANOVA with Tukey's
multiple comparisons (****p<0.0001). (**B**) Percent sequence identity (nucleotide) among introns and exons of
Camp3, *Camp4*, and *Camp10.* Statistical significance was assessed using a one-way ANOVA and post-hoc pairwise
comparisons were carried out using a Bonferroni correction; *: p<0.05, ****p<0.0001). (**C**) Synteny map of
cathelicidin gene clusters across mammals and non-mammalian vertebrates. Asterisks denote species in which
cathelicidins were present outside the shown region. (**D**) Percent Sequence identity (amino acids) within and between
cathelicidin clusters. Statistical significance was assessed using a one-way ANOVA and post-hoc pairwise
comparisons were carried out using a Bonferroni correction (p***<0.001, p****<0.0001).

1195
1196
1197
1198

Supplementary Materials for

Marsupial immune protection is shaped by enhancer sharing and gene cluster duplication of cathelicidin antimicrobial peptides

Jongbeom Park *et al.*

Corresponding author: Mohamed S. Donia, donia@princeton.edu; Ricardo Mallarino, rmallarino@princeton.edu

This PDF file includes:

     Figs. S1 to S12
     Tables S1 to S7
     Captions for Data Tables S1 to S4

Other Supplementary Materials for this manuscript include the following:

     Data Tables S1 to S4

1228
1229 **Fig. S1. UMAP plot showing cell type clustering and composition of the sugar glider**
1230 **neonatal (P0) liver.** Data was analyzed before the systematic annotation of cathelicidins.

1240
1241 **Fig. S2. Evolutionary relationships among sugar glider cathelicidins.** Maximum likelihood
1242 phylogenetic trees of cathelicidin genes with (left) and without (right) *Camp2* and *Camp5*. Only
1243 bootstrap values higher than 50 are shown.

1244
1245
1246
1247

1249

Fig. S3. Schematics showing syntenic relationships between cathelicidins in sugar gliders and in the leadbeater's possum. Reciprocal blasts were conducted to infer the location of *Camp10*. Arrows depict top blast hits.

1262

Fig. S4. UMAP plots displaying the expression of selected cathelicidin genes.

1264
1265

1266
Fig. S5. Co-expression plots of cathelicidin genes. Each dot represents a cell. *Camp3*, *Camp4*,
Camp10, and *Camp6* were co-expressed in neutrophil lineage cells whereas Camp7 is not co-
expressed with any other cathelicidin gene.

1270
1271
1272
1273

1274
1275 **Fig. S6. Bar graphs showing the expression of cathelicidin genes.** Y-axis represents the Reads
1276 Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads (RPKM) values for liver and bone marrow tissue. Liver
1277 samples were profiled at various time points. Error bars represent standard error of the mean.
1278 Dotted lines denote RPKM of 50.

1286
Fig. S7. UMAP plots displaying the expression candidate transcription factors (left) and bar
graphs showing the luciferase activity of the *Camp3* enhancer (right). Y-axis of the bar graphs
represents the ratio of luminescence measured from the experimental reporter to the control
reporter. Statistical significance was assessed using an unpaired t-test (n=5-6; *: $p<0.05$, **:
$p<0.01$; Error bars correspond to standard error of the mean. Significance was recorded only
when both biological replicates show significant upregulation compared to the GFP control).

1293
Fig. S8. IGV snapshots showing ChIP-seq data of candidate transcription factors. Shown are
1294 data for mouse (top) and human (bottom). FOXP2 and NFATC3 were not known to bind to
1295 cathelicidin locus.

Fig. S9. Predicted mature peptide structures of sugar glider cathelicidins. Secondary structures of synthesized peptides were predicted with PEP-FOLD3. Most peptides show canonical alpha-helix.

1309
1310 **Fig. S10. Cell viability assays.** Shown is the percentage of viable sugar glider fibroblasts (left
1311 panel) and murine macrophages (right panel) after incubation with different concentrations of
1312 sugar glider cathelicidins.

1325
1326 **Fig. S11. Bar graphs showing the result of LPS binding assay.** Fluorescence intensities of
1327 J774A.1 cells treated with LPS-FITC were measured upon co-incubation with peptides or PBS
1328 control. The percentage of the median fluorescence intensity relative to the control is shown in the
1329 y-axis. Statistical significance was assessed using an unpaired *t*-test (n=4; **: p<0.01,
1330 ****:p<0.0001).

1331
1332

1333
Fig. S12. Maximum likelihood tree of 151 tetrapod cathelicidin genes and 28 mammalian
NGP genes. All NGP genes form a monophyletic clade, indicating that NGP is a separate gene
derived from cathelicidins (red). AC: ancestral cluster; MC: mammalian cluster.

Table S1. Primers used for annotation and cloning.

Gene	Primer_F (5' – 3')	Primer_R (5'-3')
Camp1	CGGATCACAGCATTGAGAAC	TTCCTTATTGTCACCTCATCCA
Camp3	CCAAGAGGATGAGGATGCAG	TCTCACATAGGAGTTTCACTTCC
Camp4	GATGAGGGGCTCAACTATGC	TCACAAAGGAGTCTAACCAGCA
Camp6	CCCAGCCAAGGTGAGAAAA	ATTGGGGTCAGGCTGAGG
Ngp	AAGGCCTGCCCTAGACCTAA	TGGTTCTTTTACTCAGAAGTTCCTC
Camp7	GCAGAGGAAGGAACATGGAG	TGTTTCATTAGGGCAGTGGA
Camp9	AAGGATGGAGCCCCTCAG	TACATTCACTTGCCCTTTCG
Camp10	CAGCATTGAGAGTCAAGACCA	AACCTCCCAATGAGACAGAAA
*Camp3*_enhancer	CTAACTGGCCGGTACAGGAAGAGGCACCTATAATCTTGTCTTC	TCTAGTGTCTAAGCTTGCTATCTCTCAATAACCCTCTGAGG
Cebpd TF	CCACCGGTCGCCACCATGAGCACCGCGCTCTATAGCCTC	AGGAGTGCGGCCGCTTTACCGACAGTCAATGCCCGAG

Table S2. Cathelicidin sequence information. Amino acid sequences, predicted mature peptide
sequences, and whether full length sequences are validated by Sanger sequencing are shown.

Gene	Amino Acid sequences	Predicted mature peptide	PCR
Camp1	MGRGWIMCLPPLLLLLFTLMTPFAAGQA LSYQNLVKRFITNYNKMSISGNLFRLLAL NLQPGANNDPAIPLPLNFTMMETVCPNTK QQHNPDECKFKENGLVKQCSGTISLDATR PSINIFCGGPEALSAGFLDRIIWSFANLIYL KYRILQEGYRKLRDIFSG	LSAGFLDRIIWSFANLIY LKYRILQEGYRKLRDIFS G	Yes
Camp2	MQVLLWVLGLVMMPLTHAQDQRYQDL VNRFIQEYNIKSGSENLFRLLILDLQTWEN NDPATP-LLSFTISFLILKIPIQMNMTSRKMLVKECN GAITLDSPNLSGNISCDEPEKIKRIGLFSFI WGLLRRLGEKVKNRIITTIKNLINNFLP	NA	No
Camp3	MRMQGSTIQAPLLVLGLLSQMTPLAYAQ DQRYQDLVNGFIKEYNMNSGSENLFRLSI LNLQSRENNDPATPRPLSFTIRETMCPNTE NRNPEECDFKENGLVKECIGAIALDSSGPS VDISCDGPEKIKRTGIFRKIGDVFRNLRDRI KNKFENALKNVINKLFP	FRNLRDRIKNKFENALK NVINKLFP	Yes
Camp4	MRGSTMQAPILVLGLLSLMTPFAYAQDQ RYQDLVNRFIEEYNAKSGSENLFRLSILNL QSGENNDPATPRPLSFTIRETVCPNTENRN PEECDFKENGLVKECNGAIALDSPKPSDDI SCDEPEKNKKRRFARGLRKKLKKLGKSIK KRLKNFQIGFSVPFAG	RGLRKKLKKLGKSIKKR LKNFQIGFSVPFAG	Yes
Camp5	DSDAATP-PLSFTIRETVCPSTENSNSDECDFKENGVL VKECIGAIALDSPNAFDDISCDGPEEVKKL QFQQSIWSLLKRLGRSPGKNSRTMHFLSH	NA	No

Camp6	MGTLGRNLLVLCVATTIATLSVQQNKRLS DQEALSLLLDTYNRESNDNFIYGLIRAKP ASDQGDRRILSFTIQETKCLKSENQNPDQC EFKEGGVVRDCSGEISAEQQPPLVLVSCD SVAARQVQAQEVSEETSAVRSRPGVGRR GGRRRPRRPRLPRPRLPRPPFPRRGRRDVE TETLARFPARRLPFEGPFRQFPRPRPRPRP RPRPQPDPNFDPNQPGPNFPGPNFPDPDQ PGPNFPGPNFPGPNFGPNQRFPNPPDSDY P	QAQEVSEETSAVRSRPG VGRRGGRRRPRRPRLPR PRLPRPPFPRRGRRDVET ETLARFPARRLPFEGPFR QFPRPRPRPRPRPQPD PNFDPNQPGPNFPGPNF PDPDQPGPNFPGPNFGP NFPGPNQRFPNPPDSDYP -	Partiall y
Camp6_ maker_v ersion	MGTLGRNLLVLCVATTIATLSVQQNKRLS DQEALSLLLDTYNRESNDNFIYGLIRAKP ASDQGDRRILSFTIQETKCLKSENQNPDQC EFKEGGVVRDCSGEISAEQQPPLVLVSCD SVAARQVQAQEVSEETSAVRSRPGVGRR GGRRRPRRPRLP	NA	NA
Ngp	MESTWRFLLLLGLATTAIATRRRTLTFKA ASVLAARRFNQNLNEGAKYRVLESSLSTP NSTLVLPLTFRIKETECSSTDRRDPETCAF KENGLEKECTAAFIRLSRFGLRSVECNDV GNNSDRVVRFKRSSSDEVIDPKSLPPNIRQ IYDQARYDIINGILRNF	VRFKRSSSDEVIDPKSLP PNIRQIYDQARYDIINGIL RNF	Yes
Camp7	MEHLRKVLLLASVASLIPAQASPAPSLSY QQALSTAIHFYNEVHRGENAFRLLQISSLS PNQGPHEQTLKRLNFTLKETVCPMTEELL LDQCDFKIDGLVKECQGSVSNEQGIAAIIL TCDPVAPQTFRSRRSPLPRRKKGSKKNSY KTGGYSLISLGKPNVHKAPYMESL	PRRKKGSKKNSYKTGG YSLISLGKPNVHKAPYM ESL	Yes
Camp8	MRYMGERENAFQVWQVHSPPSGQPPQEQ TQKLLRLALKETVCPVTEELPLDQCDFKT DALVKDCQGLVSNKQDVAAIILTCVPVA QRKTPEGLFSGEGLF	QRKTPEGLFSGEGLF	No
Camp9	MEPLRKLLLLASVTAFLPAPVSPQASLSYE KALSAGIYFYNHIHRKENAFRVWQDHSPP SGQSPQEQTQKLLSLTLKETVCPVTEELRL DQCDFKTDGLVKECQGSVSNEQGIAAIIL TCDPVASEPVRFKRKRCRRKKLCSYFILQ NINFRLRKGK	RFKRKRCRRKKLCSYFIL QNINFRLRKGK	Yes

Camp10	MRGPTMQVPLLVLGLLSLMTPLAYAQDQ RYQDLVNRFIEEYNAKSGSENLFRLSILNL QFEENNDPATPRPLSFTIRETVCPNTENRN PEECDFKENGLVKECIGAITLDSPRTSDISC DGLEKIKRPVIPFWRWLLQRLPG	IPFWRWLLQRLPG	Yes

Table S3. Pairwise sequence identity matrix of cathelicidin amino acid sequences.

Gene	CAMP3	CAMP4	CAMP10	CAMP6	CAMP7	CAMP8	CAMP9
CAMP1	46.15	48.26	47.56	18.02	22.93	20.79	29.65
CAMP3		69.19	69.7	19.27	25.52	20	26.78
CAMP4			70.91	19.85	28.8	18.45	29.71
CAMP10				17.65	27.22	20	28.41
CAMP6					20.15	11.11	19.78
CAMP7						34.3	56.14
CAMP8							43.31

Table S4. Statistical significance of the luciferase assays.

	TF	Rep.1	Rep.2
*Camp3*_enhancer	*Spi1*	NS	0.0332
	Fli1	NS	0.0025
	Runx1	0.0248	NS
	Cebpd	0.0304	<0.0001
	Cebpe	0.0045	0.0003

Table S5. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of synthesized peptides and control antibiotics.

	MICs in µg/ml (µM)								
	E. coli (ATCC 25922)	*K. pneumoniae (ATCC 43816)*	*M. luteus (ATCC 4698)*	*P. aeruginosa (PAO1)*	*P. aeruginosa (PA14)*	*B. bronchiseptica (ATCC 10580)*	*S. aureus (ATCC 29213)*	*MRSA*	*Kocuria sp.*
CAMP1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMP3	32 (10.36)	64 (20.72)	*8 (2.59)	-	-	64 (20.72)	-	-	-
CAMP4	8 (2.36)	8 (2.36)	*4 (1.12)	8 (2.23)	32 (8.93)	4 (1.12)	128 (35.72)	-	-
CAMP10	128 (76.1)	-	*8 (4.76)	-	-	-	*32 (19.03)	*32 (19.03)	-
NGP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMP7_V	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMP7_L	-	-	64 (15.91)	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMP7_I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMP8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CAMP9	64 (17.03)	-	*8 (2.13)	-	-	*32 (8.52)	-	-	-
LL-37 (Human)	64 (14.24)	-	*4 (0.89)	-	128 (28.48)	128 (28.48)	-	-	-
CRAMP (Mice)	128 (33)	-	8 (2.06)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ampicillin	8 (21.54)	64 (172.33)	0.5 (1.35)	-	-	32 (86.16)	2 (5.39)	128 (344.65)	0.5 (1.34)
Kanamycin	4 (6.87)	1 (1.72)	2 (3.43)	32 (54.93)	64 (109.86)	-	2 (3.43)	-	16 (27.46)
Vancomycin	-	-	0.5 (0.34)	-	-	4 (2.69)	1 (0.67)	1 (0.67)	1 (0.67)

Asterisks denote the existence of higher peptide concentration where percent inhibition was lower than 90.

Table S6. Genome information of 28 additional species used for syntenic analysis.

Species	Assembly	Genome	Reference
Bos taurus	GCF_002263795.1	Bos taurus genome assembly ARS-UCD1.2	*(121)*
Canis lupus familiaris	GCF_014441545.1	Canis lupus familiaris genome assembly ROS_Cfam_1.0	The Roslin Institute
Chelonia mydas	GCF_015237465.2	Chelonia mydas genome assembly rCheMyd1.pri.v2	*(122)*
Choloepus didactylus	GCF_015220235.1	Choloepus didactylus reference genome mChoDid1.pri	Vertebrate Genomes Project
Dasypus novemcinctus	GCF_000208655.1	Dasypus novemcinctus genome assembly Dasnov3.0	Baylor College of Medicine
Echinops telfairi	GCF_000313985.2	Echinops telfairi reference genome ASM31398v2	Broad Institute
Elephantulus edwardii	GCF_000299155.1	Elephantulus edwardii reference genome EleEdw1.0	Broad Institute
Equus caballus	GCF_002863925.1	Equus caballus reference genome EquCab3.0	*(123)*
Erinaceus europaeus	GCF_000296755.1	Erinaceus europaeus genome assembly EriEur2.0	Broad Institute
Galeopterus variegatus	GCF_000696425.1	Galeopterus variegatus reference genome G_variegatus-3.0.2	*(124)*
Gallus gallus	GCF_016699485.2	Gallus gallus reference genome bGalGal1.mat.broiler.GRCg7b	Vertebrate Genomes Project
Homo sapiens	GCF_000001405.39	Genome assembly GRCh38.p13	*(125)*
Loxodonta africana	GCF_000001905.1	Loxodonta africana reference genome Loxafr3.0	Broad Institute
Manis javanica	GCF_014570535.1	Manis javanica reference genome YNU_ManJav_2.0	*(126)*
Monodelphis domestica	GCF_000002295.2	Monodelphis domestica genome assembly MonDom5	*(127)*
Mus musculus	GCF_000001635.27	Mus musculus reference genome GRCm39	Genome Reference Consortium
Ornithorhynchus anatinus	GCF_004115215.2	Ornithorhynchus anatinus reference genome mOrnAna1.pri.v4	*(128)*
Orycteropus afer afer	GCF_000298275.1	Orycteropus afer afer reference genome OryAfe1.0	Broad Institute
Oryctolagus cuniculus cuniculus	GCA_013371645.1	Oryctolagus cuniculus cuniculus genome assembly OryCun3.0	Uppsala University
Phascolarctos cinereus	GCF_002099425.1	Phascolarctos cinereus reference genome phaCin_unsw_v4.1	*(129)*
Phyllostomus discolor	GCF_004126475.2	Phyllostomus discolor reference genome mPhyDis1.pri.v3	*(130)*
Podarcis muralis	GCF_004329235.1	Podarcis muralis reference genome PodMur_1.0	*(131)*

Sarcophilus harrisii	GCF_902635505.1	Sarcophilus harrisii reference genome mSarHar1.11	*(132)*
Trichechus manatus latirostris	GCF_000243295.1	Trichechus manatus latirostris reference genome TriManLat1.0	*(133)*
Trichosurus vulpecula	GCF_011100635.1	Trichosurus vulpecula reference genome mTriVul1.pri	Vertebrate Genomes Project
Tupaia chinensis	GCF_000334495.1	Tupaia chinensis reference genome TupChi_1.0	*(134)*
Vombatus ursinus	GCF_900497805.2	Vombatus ursinus reference genome bare-nosed wombat genome assembly	MRC Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh
Xenopus tropicalis	GCF_000004195.4	Xenopus tropicalis reference genome UCB_Xtro_10.0	*(135)*

Table S7. Blast results of amino acid sequences of 4 representative mammalian *Ngp* against non-mammalian tetrapods.

Query Species	Query gene	Subject species	Subject top hit
Mus Musculus	*Ngp*	Gallus gallus (taxid:9031)	cathelicidin-2 precursor
Mus Musculus	*Ngp*	Chelonia mydas (taxid:8469)	cathelicidin-related peptide Oh-Cath
Mus Musculus	*Ngp*	Podarcis muralis (taxid:64176)	cathelicidin-related peptide Oh-Cath-like
Mus Musculus	*Ngp*	Xenopus tropicalis (taxid:8364)	protegrin-3-like
Trichosurus vulpecula	*Ngp*	Gallus gallus (taxid:9031)	cathelicidin-2 precursor
Trichosurus vulpecula	*Ngp*	Chelonia mydas (taxid:8469)	uncharacterized protein LOC119565442
Trichosurus vulpecula	*Ngp*	Podarcis muralis (taxid:64176)	uncharacterized protein LOC114607696
Trichosurus vulpecula	*Ngp*	Xenopus tropicalis (taxid:8364)	protegrin-3-like
Ornithorhynchus anatinus	*Ngp*	Gallus gallus (taxid:9031)	cathelicidin-2 precursor
Ornithorhynchus anatinus	*Ngp*	Chelonia mydas (taxid:8469)	cathelicidin-related peptide Oh-Cath
Ornithorhynchus anatinus	*Ngp*	Podarcis muralis (taxid:64176)	cathelicidin-related peptide Oh-Cath-like
Ornithorhynchus anatinus	*Ngp*	Xenopus tropicalis (taxid:8364)	cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide

Data table S1. (separate file)
596 genes differentially expressed genes in the comparison between neutrophil lineage cells and the rest of the liver cells (p-value < 0.0001, Log2Fold > 1).

Data table S2. (separate file)
Amino acid sequences of 79 mammalian cathelicidin and 26 *Ngp* sequences used for Maker3 annotation.

Data table S3. (separate file)
Results of FIMO (Find Individual Motif Occurences) motif scans.

Data table S4. (separate file)
Amino acid sequences of 151 tetrapod cathelicidin and 28 mammalian *Ngp* genes used for the construction of a phylogenetic tree.