A new tuna specimen (Genus *Auxis*) from the Duho Formation (Miocene) of South Korea

Dayun Suh[1], Su-Hwan Kim[*2], Gi-Soo Nam[3]

[1]University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, 90095, USA <msdianesuh@gmail.com>

[2]School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, 08826, South Korea <shwan0@snu.ac.kr>

[3]Gongju National University of Education, Gongju, South Chungcheong Province, 32553, South Korea <nks33@hanmail.net>

[*]Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

A partially preserved caudal vertebrae imprint of a tuna was discovered from the Duho Formation (Miocene) of South Korea. This specimen was assigned to the genus *Auxis* and represents the second record of fossil *Auxis* found in South Korea and in the world. We compared the vertebral morphology of the studied specimen to that of currently known species of *Auxis*, including extinct taxa, but the specimen could not be assigned to any extant or new species of *Auxis* due to anatomical differences and a lack of comparability. The discovery of a new specimen of *Auxis* aligns with theories of high marine biodiversity in the East Sea (Sea of Japan) and the opening of the East Sea in the early to middle Miocene. A widely opened East Sea and upwelling activities might have increased the abundance and diversity of large oceanic fishes such as tunas during the deposition of the Duho Formation. The specimen supports paleoenvironmental interpretations of the Duho Formation as pelagic and subtropical. A taphonomic scenario of the specimen was inferred based on the lack of anal pterygiophores and the leaf imprint on the matrix. The specimen would have been exposed for at least a month

28 in a low-energy sedimentary environment at the deep-sea bottom and undergone

29 disintegration before being buried.

31   **Introduction**

The family Scombridae includes mostly epipelagic marine fishes, such as tunas, a large,

epipelagic predator (Collette and Nauen, 1983). The four genera of tuna, *Auxis*, *Euthynnus*,

Katsuwonus, and *Thunnus*, form the tribe Thunnini. Among the Thunnini, the genus *Auxis* is an

epipelagic, neritic, and oceanic genus found worldwide in tropical and subtropical oceans

(Collette and Nauen, 1983). *Auxis* consumes various fishes, crustaceans, cephalopods, and

other prey and is preyed upon by large tunas, billfishes, barracudas, sharks, and more (Collette

and Nauen, 1983). *Auxis* comprises two extant species: the frigate and bullet tunas (*Auxis*

thazard and *Auxis rochei*). They exhibit significant morphological similarities (Vieira et al., 2022)

and little osteological differences. The fossil history of *Auxis* is very sparse due to a lack of fossil

records and many invalidations of fossil specimens. The fossilization potential is extremely low

for taxa in deep-sea and pelagic environments with each environment having a 15% and 3%

possibility of fossilization due to factors like the fragile skeleton and high environmental stress

(Shaw et al., 2020). As a result, *Auxis* fossils are exceptionally rare, with very few reported

specimens. Meanwhile, several fossil specimens previously identified as *Auxis* have undergone

multiple taxonomic changes within the Scombridae (Nam et al., 2021). Several cases of *Auxis*

misidentification have been noted in the literature. Five scombrid species from the Miocene in

Europe were initially identified as *Auxis* by Kramberger-Gorjanović (1882) and Gorjanović-

Kramberger (1895); however, Nam et al. (2021) later disputed these identifications based on

differences in traits such as vertebral count, lack of the haemal arch, and gill cover and

dentition size. Additionally, Woodward (1901) reclassified a scombrid fossil originally described

by Agassiz (1833–1844) as *Auxis*, representing what was considered the earliest record of *Auxis*

from the Eocene. Bannikov and Sorbini (1984) later corrected this identification, noting

discrepancies in vertebral number and structure. Another specimen, a middle to upper Miocene

scombrid from Russia identified as *Auxis* by Bogatshov (1933), was reclassified by Bannikov

(1985) due to an inconsistent vertebral count. With these invalidations, the only currently

accepted fossil record of *Auxis* dates back to the Miocene and was reported from the same

formation as the specimen described in this paper (†*Auxis koreanus*, Nam et al., 2021).

Moreover, the detailed study of the vertebral anatomy of *Auxis* has been hindered by the

paucity of recovered specimens including both skulls and vertebrae.

An imprint of tuna vertebrae was collected from the Duho Formation, Pohang City,

South Korea, in 2020 (Fig. 1). The new specimen (GNUE322001, Gongju National University of

Education) represents the second discovery of *Auxis* from the Duho Formation of the Korean

Peninsula and the second valid *Auxis* specimen in the world. Although the specimen is

preserved poorly and lacks cranial elements, it possesses diagnostic characters of the vertebrae

of the genus *Auxis*: the bifurcated inferior antero-zygapophysis with a long pedicle and no trellis.

This paper describes the new specimen and discusses the palaeoecological implications of tunas

in the Miocene of South Korea.

Figure 1. Geologic map of the northern part of the Pohang area with Tertiary basins in South

Korea (inset), depicting the fossil locality where GNUE322001 was collected.

Geological setting

The Pohang Basin is the largest Tertiary basin in South Korea (Yoon, 1975; Fig. 1) and is a

pull-apart basin that started to form by post-volcanism subsidence at ~17 Ma (Sohn et al., 2001).

The Yeonil Group, in the Pohang Basin, includes more than 1 km thick non-marine to deep-

marine strata that are characterized predominantly of clastic sediments of marine origin (Sohn

et al., 2001; Kim, 2008). This group comprises conglomerates and sandstones along the basin

margin and hemipelagic mudstones and sandstones towards the basin center (Sohn et al., 2001;

Woo and Khim, 2006). The Yeonil Group consists of the Duho, Idong, Heunghae, Hagjeon, and

Chunbuk Formations (Yoon, 1975; Yun, 1986; Fig. 1). The Duho Formation, where the studied

specimen was collected, occurs in the uppermost part of the Yeonil Group and is about 250 m

thick (Yun, 1986). A pale grey to light brown homogeneous mudstone with intercalated

sandstone is the main deposit of the Duho Formation (Hwang et al., 1995; Kim and Paik, 2013).

The Duho Formation produces a variety of marine invertebrate and vertebrate fossils, including

mollusks (Kim and Lee, 2011; Kong and Lee, 2012), fishes (Ko, 2016; Ko and Nam, 2016; Kim et

al., 2018; Nam et al., 2019; Nam et al., 2021; Nam and Nazarkin, 2022; Nazarkin and Nam, 2022;

Malyshkina et al., 2023; tab. 1), and whales (Lim, 2005; Lee et al., 2012). Such a diverse fossil

record has produced equally diverse paleoenvironmental interpretations of the depositional

environment of the Duho Formation. The paleoenvironmental interpretation of the Duho

Formation ranges between shallow marine (Kim, 1965; Yun, 1985), offshore (Lee, 1992; Yoon,

1975; Yoon, 1976), low energy (Seong et al., 2009; Kim and Lee, 2011), hemipelagic (Chough et

al., 1990; Kim and Paik, 2013), and deep-sea environments (Chough et al., 1990; Kim and Paik,

2013). Various studies on the age of the Duho Formation additionally resulted in diverse

interpretations (Kim et al., 2018), ranging from the early Miocene based on Zircon dating (Lee

et al., 2014), middle Miocene based on paleomagnetic dating and volcanic rocks (Kim et al.,

1993; Chung and Koh, 2005), and late Miocene based on dinoflagellate and radiolarian fossils

(Byun and Yun, 1992; Bak et al., 1996).

Table 1. List of fish taxa from the Duho Formation.

Taxa		References
Actinopterygii	Pleuronectiformes	Ko (2016)
	Pleuronichthys sp.	Ko and Nam (2016)
	†*Vinciguerria orientalis*	Nam et al. (2019)
	†*Stenobrachius sangsunii*	Nam and Nazarkin (2022)
	Vinciguerria sp.	Nazarkin and Nam (2022)
	†*Auxis koreanus*	Nam *et al.* (2021)
Elasmobranchii	†*Carcharodon hastalis*	Kim *et al.* (2018) Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	Hexanchus griseus	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	†*Dalatias orientalis*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	Mitsukurina owstoni	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	†*Otodus megalodon*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	†*Parotodus benedenii*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	†*'Isurus' planus*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	Isurus sp.	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	†*Cetorhinus huddlestoni,*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	Carcharhinus aff. *C. plumbeus,*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	Carcharhinus aff. *C. amblyrhynchos,*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	Carcharhinus aff. *C. altimus*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)
	†*Galeocerdo aduncus*	Malyshkina *et al.* (2023)

Materials and methods

The specimen GNUE322001, a partially preserved caudal tuna vertebrae imprint, is

housed in the Gongju National University of Education (GNUE), Gongju City, South Korea. The

specimen was photographed using a digital camera (Sony A7R4A). Image processing and line

drawings of the specimen were done using Adobe Photoshop v 23.4.2. and Adobe Illustrator v

26.4.1. All measurements were taken using a digital caliper.

Anatomical nomenclature

We follow the terminology of Starks (1910), which was applied to *Auxis*, to describe peculiar

vertebral structures of the studied specimen and occasionally refer to the terminology of

Romeo and Mansueti (1962) for efficient comparison between *Auxis*, *Euthynnus*, and

Katsuwonus of the tribe Thunnini.

Repositories and institutional abbreviation

The specimen is deposited in the Gongju National University of Education (GNUE), Gongju City,

South Korea.

123   **Results**

125 ***Systematic Paleontology***

126   Order Perciformes Nelson, 2006

127   Suborder Scombroidei Nelson, 2006

128   Family Scombridae Rafinesque, 1815

129   Tribe Thunnini Starks, 1910

130   Genus *Auxis* Cuvier, 1829

131 ***Type species***

132 *Scomber rochei* Risso, 1810

Figure 2. Photographs and drawings of GNUE322001. (A) Photographs of GNUE322001. Each
counterpart mold shows a lateral side of the vertebrae without the original bones. (B) Drawings
of GNUE322001. Black arrows point towards the anterior direction of the vertebrae. Dashed
lines indicate a broken edge. Grey areas indicate an unidentified leaf imprint. Vertebral
terminology follows Starks (1910). Abbreviations: ds, dorsal spine; iaz, inferior antero-
zygapophysis; ipz, inferior postero-zygapophysis; saz, superior antero-zygapophysis; spz,
superior postero-zygapophysis.

Occurrence

Duho Formation, Hwanho-dong, Buk-gu, Pohang City, North Gyeongsang Province, South Korea
(N36°3'49.10", E129°23'47.07") (Fig. 1), preserved in a massive grey mudstone in the Duho
Formation (Fig. 2).

Description

Due to the dissolution of the original bones, only the molds of the eight articulated caudal

vertebrae are partially preserved (Fig. 2). In particular, due to the breakage of the matrix, only

small fragments of the first and last vertebrae are preserved. The centra have an amphicoelous

shape, consisting of two robust cones, and both cones are connected by a wide foramen. In all

Thunnini and certain fish vertebrae, the centrum is not pierced and lack a notochordal foramen

(Starks, 1910; Graham and Dickson, 2000). The distinct wide foramen in GNUE322001, thus,

appears to result from the split of the specimen along a parasagittal plane, rather than being an

anatomical trait. The anteroposterior length and dorsoventral height of the centrum are

subequal, and the dorsal and ventral margins of the centrum are slightly concave in lateral view.

The superior antero-zygapophysis is quite large and dorsoventrally deep, covering most

of the posterodorsal margin of the preceding centrum from the posterior margin of the

centrum to the posterior edge of the base of its dorsal spine (Fig. 2). In contrast, the superior

postero-zygapophysis is weakly developed and is barely discerned in lateral view due to the

overlapping superior antero-zygapophysis of the following vertebra.

The dorsal spine originates from the centrum at mid-length, and is slightly angled

posteriorly, forming an angle of ~80-85° with the posterodorsal margin of the centrum (Fig. 2).

It slightly curves posteriorly at a third of the total length of the preserved spine from its base.

On the fourth to seventh vertebrae, the preserved inferior antero- and postero-

zygapophyses project from the centrum ventroposteriorly at an angle of ~70-80° (Fig. 2). The

length of these ventral processes of the vertebrae progressively decreases in more posterior

vertebral positions. The length of these processes in the first to third vertebrae cannot be

assessed due to incomplete preservation.

All preserved inferior antero-zygapophyses are bifurcated into an anterior and posterior

branch, and the latter tends to be longer (Fig. 2). The inferior antero-zygapophysis of the fourth

vertebra is much longer than that of the other vertebrae. It extends nearly to the level of the

posterior tip of that of the following vertebra. The inferior postero-zygapophysis almost

extends to the ventral tip of the anterior branch of the inferior antero-zygapophysis of the

following vertebra. They firmly attach to each other along the entire posterior margin of the

inferior postero-zygapophysis.

Remarks

We classify GNUE322001 as anterior to the middle caudal vertebrae based on the progressively

decreasing length of the ventral processes, a pattern also observed in the caudal vertebrae of

extant *Auxis* (see Uchida, 1981: fig. 24; Jawad et al., 2013: fig. 1; Fig. 4).

The classification of extant *Auxis* is based primarily on the relative body depth, corset

width, the number of gill rankers and color pattern (Collette and Aadland, 1996). The extinct

Auxis, †*A. koreanus*, is distinguished from extant *Auxis* by the osteological differences in skull

elements (Nam et al., 2021). Because only the caudal vertebrae are preserved in GNUE322001,

the skull is not available for comparison between GNUE322001 and other species of *Auxis*.

However, GNUE322001 exhibits several morphological differences in the caudal vertebrae, so

we compared its caudal vertebral morphology with that of other extant *Auxis* species in the

following discussion.

Figure 3. Comparative diagram of the anterior caudal vertebrae of *Auxis*, *Euthynnus*,

Katsuwonus, and GNUE322001. (A) *Euthynnus*. (B) *Katsuwonus*. (C) *A. rochei*. (D) *A. thazard*. (E)

GNUE322001 (Godsil and Byers, 1944; Yoshida, 1979; Uchida, 1981). Vertebral terminology

follows Starks (1910). Abbreviations: iaz/a, anterior branch of inferior antero-zygapophysis;

iaz/p, posterior branch of inferior antero-zygapophysis; ipz/a, anterior branch of inferior

postero-zygapophysis; ipz/p, posterior branch of inferior postero-zygapophysis; if, inferior

foramen. Note that in *Euthynnus* (A) and *Katsuwonus* (B), the posterior branch of inferior

antero-zygapophysis and the anterior branch of inferior postero-zygapophysis are fused,

forming the inferior foramen and trellis. Size not to scale.

Discussion

Anatomical comparisons

Among the Thunnini, the genera *Auxis*, *Euthynnus*, and *Katsuwonus* share a morphological

similarity in the inferior antero-zygapophysis in that it is bifurcated into anterior and posterior

branches, a unique characteristic only observed in these three genera. However, *Auxis* exhibits

ventral bifurcation only in the caudal vertebrae, whereas this trait is found from the posterior

abdominal vertebrae in *Euthynnus* and *Katsuwonus* (see Godsil and Byers 1944: fig. 19; Godsil,

1954: fig. 83; Yoshida and Nakamura, 1965: fig. 3). Furthermore, the pedicle of *Auxis*, a median

rod formed by the fusion of both sides of the inferior antero-zygapophyses below the centrum

and above the haemal canal (Kishinouye, 1923), is far longer than in *Euthynnus* and *Katsuwonus*

(Godsil, 1954; Fig. 3).

Most significantly, *Euthynnus* and *Katsuwonus* are characterized by the inferior foramen

and trellis . The inferior foramen is a hole-like structure created by the complete fusion of the

posterior branch of the inferior antero-zygapophysis (prehaemapophysis of Romeo and

Mansueti, 1962) and the anterior branch of the inferior postero-zygapophysis

(posthaemapophysis of Romeo and Mansueti, 1962) under the centrum (see Romeo and

Mansueti, 1962: fig. 2D; Fig. 3A, B). The trellis pattern is formed by the continuous repetition of

the inferior foramen across the vertebrae (Fig. 3A, B). In *Auxis*, the inferior foramen and trellis

pattern are scarcely developed, and even when present, they do not appear until the posterior

caudal vertebrae, unlike in *Euthynnus* and *Katsuwonus* (Kishinouye, 1923; Godsill, 1954; Fig. 3).

Although the cranial elements are not preserved in GNUE322001, this specimen was identified as *Auxis* primarily based on having the bifurcated inferior antero-zygapophysis with a long pedicle and no trellis. Based on the vertebral column of extant *Auxis* (see Uchida, 1981: fig. 24; Jawad et al., 2013: fig. 1), it is suggested that GNUE322001 represents the anterior to the middle caudal vertebral series (Fig. 4) as indicated by the length of ventral processes, which progressively decreases throughout the vertebral series of this taxon.

There are three valid taxa within *Auxis,* including an extinct species (*A. thazard*, *A. rochei*, and †*A. koreanus*) (Collette and Aadland, 1996; Nam et al., 2021). GNUE322001 is morphologically similar to the vertebrae of *A. rochei* in that the anterior branch of the inferior antero-zygapophysis is short and does not reach the preceding inferior antero-zygapophysis (Yoshida and Nakamura, 1965; Uchida, 1981; Fig. 3C, E). In *A. thazard*, the anterior branches of the inferior antero-zygapophyses are long enough to contact the preceding inferior antero-zygapophyses (Fig. 3D). Meanwhile, the extinct taxon †*A. koreanus* is limited to comparison with GNUE322001 because only the abdominal vertebrae are preserved in the specimens of †*A. koreanus* (Nam et al., 2021). Although †*A. koreanus* was also discovered in the Duho Formation like GNUE322001, it is challenging to assign GNUE322001 to †*A. koreanus* based solely on their shared occurrence within the same formation. Furthermore, the vertebrae of †*A. koreanus* and GNUE322001 exhibit a significant size difference with lengths of approximately 0.5 and 1.5 cm

respectively (Nam et al., 2021; Fig. 2). However, there are no suitable diagnostic features to

assign GNUE322001 to a new species. Therefore, additional study and discovery of *Auxis*

specimens from the Duho Formation are necessary to determine the relationship between

GNUE322001 and †*A. koreanus,* as well as the other extant species of *Auxis.*

Paleoenvironmental perspectives

The major opening of the East Sea between 23 and 18 Ma widened the gap between the

Japanese Arc and the Korean Peninsula by 200-250 km (Sohn et al., 2001). The opening of the

East Sea would have facilitated the creation of a variety of marine environments. This increased

environmental complexity likely provided habitats that could support a wider range of marine

species. A diverse fossil record of large oceanic animals in the East Sea during this period such

as the tunas (Nam et al., 2021; GNUE322001 in this paper), sharks (Kim et al., 2018), and whales

(Lim, 2005; Lee et al., 2012) aligns with this theory.

Upwelling regions, although only constituting 0.1 % of the total ocean areas (Wang and

Lee, 2019), are where fishes are most abundant due to high production rates (Lalli and Parsons,

1997). One of such fishes is the tuna, which are attracted by the zones of foraging availability

created by upwelling zones (Grandperrin, 1978; Nicol et al., 2014). Additionally, based on the

record of the fossilized diatom resting spores, which indicate an upwelling activity in the Duho

Formation (Hargraves, 1979; Lee, 1993), Kim et al. (2018) hypothesized that the biodiversity of

the East Sea increased due to the influence of upwelling during the deposition of the Duho

Formation. Thus, it can be concluded that upwelling activity during the Miocene increased

pelagic fishes' and their preys' biodiversity in the East Sea.

Today, tunas inhabit tropical and subtropical epipelagic ocean ecosystems (Collette and

Nauen, 1983). During warm seasons, tunas move into coastal areas, whereas in colder seasons,

they exclusively occupy deeper offshore waters (Kishinouye, 1923). In deep epipelagic

environments, tunas specifically inhabit deep rocky banks and forage in the deep scattering

layer (Kishinouye, 1923; Graham and Dickson, 2004). Graham and Dickson (2004) argue that

most oceanic physical and biological features observed in ocean ecosystems today have been in

place since the Miocene. This suggests that the East Sea during the Miocene likely supported a

pelagic and subtropical environment, as tuna, which inhabit such ecosystems, were present.

This interpretation is supported by researchers who consider the Duho Formation to represent

a deep-sea and subtropical environment, among the various interpretations of its

environmental context (see the Geological Setting section). Recent discoveries of pelagic sharks

(Malyshkina et al., 2023), lightfishes (Nam et al., 2019; Nazarkin and Nam, 2022), and

lanternfish (Nam and Nazarkin, 2022) provide evidence that the Duho Formation represents a

deep-sea environment. Moreover, based on discoveries of certain plant fossils, some

researchers interpret the Duho Formation to have been a subtropical environment (Kim, 2008,

2010; Kim et al., 2009, 2017; Jung and Lee, 2009). The discovery of GNUE322001 supports both

of these interpretations.

Taphonomic interpretations

The absence of anal pterygiophores in GNUE322001, which in tunas are located directly

under the prehaemapophyses (Fig. 2), suggests that the specimen underwent significant

decomposition underwater. The first steps of decomposition of a fish involve the disarticulation

of the jaw and external scales as soft tissues (muscles, skins) decompose (Burrow and Turner,

2012). However, body parts are often disarticulated but still loosely connected (Burrow and

Turner, 2012). At this stage, invertebrate and vertebrate scavengers completely disconnect the

bones by feeding on the soft tissue or the bones themselves (Burrow and Turner, 2012). In

GNUE322001, the absent anal pterygiophores would have been disconnected and/or consumed

by marine scavengers, indicating that the vertebrae have been underwater for a long time.

However, the exact taphonomic time frame cannot be determined with the partially preserved

vertebrae.

An unidentified leaf imprint is preserved on the anterior portion of the vertebrae of

GNUE322001 (Fig. 2). Since the fine-grained matrix indicates that the specimen was buried in a

low-energy sedimentary environment at the deep-sea bottom, the leaf associated with

GNUE322001 would have traveled from shore to the depths of the sea. The leaf exhibits tears

on its edges, a characteristic of the fragmentation stage of decomposition where marine

detritivorous invertebrates feed on deposited leaves (Bridgham and Lamberti, 2009). The

decomposition rate during fragmentation varies depending on salinity; aquatic ecosystems with
lower salinity are correlated with faster decomposition (Quintino et al., 2009). Thus, decay rates
are highest in freshwater ecosystems, followed by transitional communities, and slowest in
marine ecosystems (Quintino et al., 2009). While the torn edges of the leaf imprint associated
with GNUE322001 resemble those resulting from a two-week decomposition in transitional
communities (Bridgham and Lamberti, 2009: fig. 15.2), leaves deposited in marine ecosystems
take more than twice the time to exhibit a similar amount of biomass remain (Quintino et al.,
2009: fig. 4). Thus the leaf associated with GNUE322001 would have decomposed after a month
of being exposed to water. Although the vertebrae and leaf have experienced different
decompositions in isolated conditions, based on the taphonomic time frame inferred from the
preservation of the leaf imprint, it can be estimated that the decomposition of GNUE322001
took at least a month. However, perfectly preserved leaves were also reported from the Duho
Formation (Jung and Lee, 2009); therefore, the taphonomic scenario inferred from
GNUE322001 does not represent a general depositional condition of the Duho Formation.
319

Acknowledgments

We thank Professor Yuong-Nam Lee for helping with the identification, discussion, and writing
of the paper.

Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability

High resolution version of Figure 2: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.26355148

Funding

This work was not supported by any funding source.

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in relation to the content of the article.

Author contributions

Dayun Suh contributed to conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, writing of the original draft, and writing of review and editing. Su-Hwan Kim contributed to conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, supervision, validation, visualization, and writing of review and editing. Gi-Soo Nam contributed to resources, and validation, and writing of review and editing.

References

Agassiz, L., 1833-1844, Recherches sur les poissons fossiles, 5 Volumes: Neuchâtel, Imprimerie de Petitpierre, 1420 p.

Bak, Y., Lee, J.D., and Yun, H., 1996, Middle Miocene radiolarians from the Duho Formation in the Pohang Basin, Korea: Journal of the Paleontological Society of Korea, v. 12, p. 225–261.

Bannikov, A.F., 1985, Iskopayemye skumbriyevye SSSR. Trudy Paleontologicheskogo instituta AN SSSR, v. 210, p, 1–111 [In Russian].

Bannikov, A.F. and Sorbini, L., 1984, On the occurrence of genus Scombrosarda in the Eocene of Bolca, v. 4, p. 307–317.

Bogatshov, V.V., 1933, Materialy po izucheniyu tretichnoi ikhtiofauny Kavkaza. Trudy Azerbaidzhanskogo Neftyanogo Issledovatelskogo Instituta, Geologicheskii Otdel, v. 15, p. 1–62 [In Russian].

Bridgham, S.D., and Lamberti, G.A., 2009, Ecological dynamics III: Decomposition in wetlands, *in*

Maltby, E., and Barker, T., eds., The Wetlands Handbook: Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, p.

326–345. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444315813.ch15

Burrow, C.J., and Turner, S., 2012, Fossil fish taphonomy and the contribution of microfossils in

documenting Devonian vertebrate history, *in* Talent, J.A., ed., Earth and Life: Springer,

New York, p. 184–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3428-1_8

Byun, H., and Yun, H., 1992, Miocene dinoflagellate cysts from the central part of the Pohang

Basin, Korea: Journal of the Paleontological Society of Korea, v. 8, p. 164–235.

Chough, S.K., Hwang, I.G., and Choe, M.Y., 1990, The Miocene Doumsan fan-delta, southeast

Korea: a composite fan-delta system in back-arc margin: Journal of Sedimentary

Research, v. 60, p. 445–455. https://doi.org/10.1306/212f91ba-2b24-11d7-

8648000102c1865d

Chung, C.-H., and Koh, Y.-K., 2005, Palynostratigraphic and palaeoclimatic investigations on the

Miocene deposits in the Pohang area, South Korea: Review of Palaeobotany and

Palynology, v. 135, p. 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2005.02.002

Collette, B.B., and Nauen, C.E., 1983, Scombrids of the world, an annotated and illustrated

catalogue of tunas, mackerels, bonitos, and related species known to date: Food and

Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, v. 2, 137 p.

Collette, B.B., and Aadland, C.R., 1996, Revision of the frigate tunas (Scombridae, *Auxis*), with

descriptions of two new subspecies from the eastern Pacific. Fishery Bulletin: v. 94, p.

423–441.

Cuvier, G., 1829, Le Règne Animal, distribué d'après son organisation, pour servie de base à

l'histoire naturelle des animaux et d'introduction à l'anatomie comparé: Paris, 532 p.

Godsil, H.C., and Byers, R.D., 1944, A systematic study of the Pacific tunas: California

Department of Fish and Game, Fish Bulletin, v. 60, p. 1–131.

Godsil, H.C., 1954, A descriptive study of certain tuna-like fishes: California Department of Fish

and Game, Fish Bulletin, v. 97, p. 1–185.

Gorjanović-Kramberger, D., 1895, Fosilne ribe Komena, Mrzleka, Hvara i M. Libanona i dodatak

o oligocenskim ribama Tüffera, Zagora i Trifalja. Djela Jugoslavenske Akademije Znanosti

i Umjetnosti, v. 16, p. 1–67.

Graham, J. B., and Dickson, K. A., 2000, The evolution of thunniform locomotion and heat

conservation in scombrid fishes: new insights based on the morphology of *Allothunnus*

fallai: Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, v. 129, p. 419–466.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2000.tb00612.x

Grandperrin R., 1978, Influence of currents on the production of tropical seas: consequences

for fisheries: SPC Fisheries Newsletter, v. 17, p. 14–20.

Hargraves, P.E., 1979, Studies on marine plankton diatoms, IV. Morphology of *Chatoceros*

resting spores: Beihefte zur Nova Hedwigia, v. 64, p. 99–120.

Hwang, I.G., Chough, S.K., Hong, S.W., and Choe, M.Y., 1995, Controls and evolution of fan delta

systems in the Miocene Pohang Basin, SE Korea: Sedimentary Geology, v. 98, p. 147–179.

Jawad, L.A., Al-Hassani, L., and Al-Kharusi, L.H., 2013, On the morphology of the vertebral

column of the frigate tuna, *Auxis thazard* (Lacepedea, 1800)(Family: Scombridae)

collected from the sea of Oman: Acta Musei Nationalis Pragae, v. 69, p. 101–105.

https://doi.org/10.14446/amnp.2013.101

Jung, S.H., and Lee, S.J., 2009, Fossil-Winged Fruits of *Fraxinus* (Oleaceae) and *Liriodendron*

(Magnoliaceae) from the Duho Formation, Pohang Basin, Korea. Acta Geologica Sinica, v.

83, p. 845–852. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-6724.2009.00113.x

Kim, B.K., 1965, The stratigraphic and paleontologic studies on the Tertiary (Miocene) of the

Pohang area, Korea: Seoul University Journal Science and Technology Series, v. 15, p.

32–121.

Kim, D.H., and Lee, S.-J., 2011, Fossil scallops from the Hagjeon Formation and the Duho

Formation, Pohang Basin: Journal of the Geological Society of Korea, v. 47, p. 235–244

[in Korean with English abstract].

Kim, J., and Paik, I.S., 2013, Chondrites from the Duho Formation (Miocene) in the Yeonil Group,

Pohang Basin, Korea: Occurrences and paleoenvironmental implications: Journal of the

Geological Society of Korea, v. 49, p. 407–416 [in Korean with English abstract].

416   https://doi.org/10.14770/jgsk.2013.49.3.407

417   Kim, J.H., 2008, A new species of *Acer* samaras from the Miocene Yeonil Group in the Pohang

418   Basin, Korea: Geosciences Journal, v. 12, p. 331–336. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12303-

419   008-0033-6

420   Kim, J.H., Lee, S., An, J., Lee, H., and Hong, H., 2009, Albizia Fruit Fossils from the Miocene Duho

421   Formation of Yeonil Group in the Pohang Basin, Korea. Journal of the Korean Earth

422   Science Society, v. 30, p. 10–18 [in Korean with English abstract].

423   https://doi.org/10.5467/jkess.2009.30.1.010

424   Kim, J.-H., Nam, K.-S., and Jeon, Y.-S., 2017, Diversity of Miocene fossil *Acer* from the Pohang

425   Basin, Korea: Journal of the Geological Society of Korea, v. 53, p. 387–405 [in Korean

426   with English abstract].

427   Kim, K.H., Doh, S.-J., Hwang, C.-S., and Lim, D.S., 1993, Paleomagnetic study of the Yeonil Group

428   in Pohang basin: Journal of Korean Society of Economic and Environmental Geology, v.

429   26, p. 507–518 [in Korean with English abstract].

430   Kim, S.-H., Park, J.-Y., and Lee, Y.-N., 2018, A tooth of *Cosmopolitodus hastalis* (Elasmobranchii:

431   Lamnidae) from the Duho Formation (middle Miocene) of Pohang-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do,

432   South Korea. Journal of the Geological Society of Korea: v. 54, p. 121–131 [in Korean

433   with English abstract]. https://doi.org/10.14770/jgsk.2018.54.2.121

434   Kishinouye, K., 1923, Contributions to the comparative study of the so-called scombrid fishes:

435   Journal of the College of Agriculture, Imperial University of Tokyo, v. 8, p. 293–475.

436   Ko, J.Y., 2016, The description of the flat fish (Pleuronectiformes) fossils from the Miocene

437   Duho Formation, Pohang Yeonam-dong in Korea and its implication: Journal of the

438   Korean Earth Science Society, v. 37, p. 1–10 [in Korean with English abstract].

439   https://doi.org/10.5467/jkess.2016.37.1.1

440   Ko, J.Y., and Nam, K.-S., 2016, *Pleuronichthys* sp. fossils (Pleuronectidae) from the Duho

441   Formation, Pohang Uhyeon-dong in Korea: Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society,

442   v. 37, p. 133–142 [in Korean with English abstract].

443   https://doi.org/10.5467/jkess.2016.37.3.133

Kong, D.Y., and Lee, S.J., 2012, Fossil scaphopods from the Hagjeon Formation and the Duho
Formation, the Cenozoic Pohang Basin, Korea: Korean Journal of Cultural Heritage
Studies, v. 45, p. 218–231 [in Korean].

Kramberger-Gorjanović, D., 1882, Die jungtertiäre Fischfauna Croatiens. Beitrag zur
Paläontologie und Geologie Österreich-Ungarns und des Orients, v. 2, p. 86–136.

Lalli, C. and Parsons, T., 1997, Biological oceanography: an introduction: Oxford, Elsevier
Butterworth-Heinemann, 314 p.

Lee, T.-H., Yi, K., Cheong, C.-S., Jeong, Y.-J., Kim, N., and Kim, M.-J., 2014, SHRIMP U-Pb zircon
geochronology and geochemistry of drill cores from the Pohang Basin: Journal of the
Korean Earth Science Society, v. 23, p. 167–185 [in Korean with English abstract].
https://doi.org/10.7854/jpsk.2014.23.3.167

Lee, Y.G., 1992, Paleontological study of the Tertiary molluscan fauna in Korea: Science Reports
of the Institution of Geosciences University of Tsukuba, Section B (geological science), v.
13, p. 15–125.

Lee, Y.G., 1993, The marine diatom genus *Chaetoceros* Ehrenberg flora and some resting spores
of the Neogene Yeonil Group in the Pohang Basin, Korea: Journal of the Paleontological
Society of Korea, v. 9, p. 24–52.

Lee, Y.-N., Ichishima, H., and Choi, D.K., 2012, First record of a platanistoid cetacean from the
middle Miocene of South Korea: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 32, p. 231–234.
https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2012.626005

Lim, J.-D., 2005, The first dolphin fossil from the Miocene of Korea: Current Science, v. 89, p.
939–940.

Malyshkina, T.P., Ward, D.J., Nazarkin, M.V., Nam, G.-S., Kwon, S.-H., Lee, J.-H., Kim, T.-W., Kim,
D.-K., and Baek, D.-S., 2023, Miocene Elasmobranchii from the Duho Formation, South
Korea: Historical Biology, v.35, p. 1726–1741.
https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2022.21 10870

Nam, G.-S., Ko, J.-Y., and Nazarkin, M.V., 2019, A new lightfish, †*Vinciguerria orientalis*, sp. Nov.
(Teleostei, Stomiiformes, Phosichthyidae), from the middle Miocene of South Korea:

Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 39, e1625911. https://doi.org/

0.1080/02724634.2019.1625911

Nam, G.-S., Nazarkin, M.V., and Bannikov, A.F., 2021, First discovery of the genus *Auxis*

(Actinopterygii: Scombridae) in the Neogene of South Korea: Bollettino della Societa

Paleontologica Italiana, v. 60, p. 61–67. https://doi.org/10.4435/BSPI.2021.05

Nam, G.-S., and Nazarkin, M.V., 2022, A new lanternfish (Myctophiformes, Myctophidae) from

the Middle Miocene Duho Formation, South Korea: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology,

v. 42, e2121924. https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2022.2121924

Nazarkin, M.V., and Nam, G.-S., 2022, Miocene lightfish Vinciguerria sp. (Stomiiformes:

Phosichthyidae) from the North-West Pacific with notes on the recent evolution of the

genus: Historical Biology, v. 34, p. 102–107.

https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2021.1900839

Nelson, J.S., 2006, Fishes of the World (fourth edition): Hoboken, John Wiley and Sons, 601 p.

Nicol, S., Menkes, C., Jurado-Molina, J., Lehodey, P., Usu, T., Kumasi, B., Muller, B., Bell, J.,

Tremblay-Boyer, L., and Briand, K., 2014, Oceanographic characterisation of the Pacific

Ocean and the potential impact of climate variability on tuna stocks and tuna fisheries:

SPC Fisheries Newsletter, v. 145, p. 37–48.

Quintino, V., Sangiorgio, F., Ricardo, F., Mamede, R., Pires, A., Freitas, R., Rodrigues, A.M., and

Basset, A., 2009, In situ experimental study of reed leaf decomposition along a full

salinity gradient: Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, v. 85, p. 497–506.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.09.016

Rafinesque, C.S., 1815, Analyse de la nature, ou tableau de l'univers et des corps organisés:

Palermo, 224 p. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.106607

Risso, A., 1810, Ichthyologie de Nice ou histoire naturelle des poissons du département des

Alpes Maritimes: Paris, F. Schoell, 388 p. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.7052

Romeo, J., and Mansueti, A.J., 1962, Little tuna, *Euthynnus alletteratus*, in northern Chesapeake

Bay, Maryland, with an illustration of its skeleton: Chesapeake Science, v. 3, p. 257–263.

https://doi.org/10.2307/1350633

500 Seong, M.N., Kong, D.-Y., Lee, B.J., and Lee, S.-J., 2009, Cenozoic brittle stars (Ophiuroidea) from

501   the Hagjeon Formation and the Duho Formation, Pohang Basin, Korea: Journal of

502   Korean Society of Economic and Environmental Geology, v. 42, p. 367–376 [in Korean

503   with English abstract].

504 Shaw, J.O., Briggs, D.E.G., and Hull, P.M., 2020, Fossilization potential of marine assemblages

505   and environments: Geology, v. 49, p. 258–262. https://doi.org/10.1130/g47907.1

506 Sohn, Y.K., Rhee, C.W., and Shon, H., 2001, Revised stratigraphy and reinterpretation of the

507   Miocene Pohang basinfill, SE Korea: sequence development in response to tectonism

508   and eustasy in a back-arc basin margin: Sedimentary Geology, v. 143, p. 265–285.

509   https://doi.org/10.1016/s0037-0738(01)00100-2

510 Starks, E.C., 1910, The osteology and mutual relationships of the fishes belonging to the family

511   Scombridae: Journal of Morphology, v. 21, p. 77–99.

512   https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1050210103

513 Uchida, R.N., 1981, Synopsis of biological data on frigate tuna, *Auxis thazard*, and bullet tuna, *A.*

514   *rochei*: Silver Spring, US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric

515   Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 63 p.

516   https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.63109

517 Vieira, J.M., Costa, P.A., Braga, A.C., São-Clemente, R.R., Ferreira, C.E., and Silva, J.P., 2022, Age,

518   growth and maturity of frigate tuna (*Auxis thazard* Lacepède, 1800) in the Southeastern

519   Brazilian coast: Aquatic Living Resources, v. 35, p. 11.

520   https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2022010

521 Wang, Y.-C., and Lee, M.-A., 2019, Composition and distribution of fish larvae surrounding the

522   upwelling zone in the waters of northeastern Taiwan in summer: Journal of Marine

523   Science and Technology, v. 27, p. 8.

524 Woo, K.S., and Khim, B.-K., 2006, Stable oxygen and carbon isotopes of carbonate concretions

525   of the Miocene Yeonil Group in the Pohang Basin, Korea: Types of concretions and

526   formation condition: Sedimentary Geology, v. 183, p. 15–30.

527   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2005.09.005

Woodward, A.S., 1901, Catalogue of the Fossil Fishes in the British Museum (Natural History)
Part IV: London, British Museum of Natural History, 636 p.
https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.162341
Yoon, S., 1975, Geology and paleontology of the Tertiary Pohang Basin, Pohang District, Korea
Part 1, Geology: Journal of the Geological Society of Korea, v. 11, p. 187–214.
Yoon, S., 1976, Geology and paleontology of the Tertiary Pohang Basin, Pohang District, Korea
Part 2, Paleontology (Mollusca): Journal of the Geological Society of Korea, v. 12, p. 1–22.
Yoshida, H.O., and Nakamura, E.L., 1965, Notes on schooling behavior, spawning, and
morphology of Hawaiian frigate mackerels, *Auxis thazard* and *Auxis rochei*: Copeia, v. 1,
p. 111–114. https://doi.org/10.2307/1441254
Yoshida, H.O., 1979, Synopsis of biological data on tunas of the genus *Euthynnus*: Silver Spring,
US Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
National Marine Fisheries Service, 9 p.
Yun, H., 1985, Some fossil Squillidae (Stomatopoda) from the Pohang Tetiary Basin, Korea:
Journal of the Paleontological Society of Korea, v. 1, p. 19–31.
Yun, H., 1986, Emended stratigraphy of the Miocene formations in the Pohang Basin Part I:
Journal of the Paleontological Society of Korea, v. 2, p. 54–69.