1

Resource Quality Differentially Impacts *Daphnia* Interactions with Two Parasites

Michelle L. Fearon[1], Kristel F. Sánchez[1,2], Syuan-Jyun Sun[1,3], Siobhan K. Calhoun[1,4],

Kira J. Monell[1], Varun Ravichandran[1], Meghan A. Duffy[1],*

[1] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

48109 USA

[2] Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries (IGB), Berlin, Germany

[3] International Degree Program in Climate Change and Sustainable Development, National

Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

[4] Animal Behavior Graduate Program, University of California, Davis, CA 95616 USA

* Corresponding author: Meghan Duffy, duffymeg@umich.edu

Abstract

Resource quality can have conflicting effects on host–parasite interactions; for example, higher resource quality might increase host investment in immune function, or conversely, might permit greater parasite reproduction. Thus, anticipating the impact of changing resource quality on host–parasite interactions is challenging, especially because we often lack a mechanistic understanding of how resource quality influences host physiology and fitness to alter infection outcomes. We investigated whether there are generalizations in how resource quality affects multiple host clones' interactions with different parasites. We used the *Daphnia* freshwater zooplankton model system to experimentally investigate how a resource quality gradient from high-quality green algae to poor-quality cyanobacteria diets influences host fitness, physiology, and infection by two parasites: a bacterium, *Pasteuria ramosa*, and a fungus, *Metschnikowia bicuspidata*. We ran a separate experiment for each parasite using a factorial design with four diets, two *Daphnia dentifera* host clones, and parasite-inoculated and uninoculated treatments (16 treatments per experiment). Diet strongly influenced infection by the fungus but not the bacterium. These relationships between diet and infection cannot be explained by changes in feeding rate (and, therefore, parasite exposure). Instead, the impact of diet on fungal infection was associated with impacts of diet on the earliest stage of infection: hosts that fed on poor quality diets had very few attacking spores in their guts. Diet did not significantly influence host immune responses. Diet influenced spore production differently for the two parasites, with reduced resource quality limiting the number of fungal spores and the size (but not number) of bacterial spores. Diet, host clone, and infection all affected host fitness. Interestingly, diet influenced the impact of the bacterium, a parasitic castrator that induces gigantism; for one clone, infected hosts fed high quality diets still produced a substantial number of offspring,

47   whereas resource limitation hindered gigantism. Finally, there were often costs of resisting

48   infection, though these generally were not affected by diet. Overall, we show that resource

49   quality differentially impacts the exposure, infection, and proliferation processes for different

50   parasites and host clones, which highlights the need to use multi-genotype and multi-parasite

51   studies to better understand these complex interactions.

Introduction

Anthropogenic effects on the environment, such as habitat loss and degradation, often alter the abundance and quality of food resources (Robb et al. 2008, Oro et al. 2013, Civitello et al. 2018). These changes in resources can impact disease dynamics in complicated ways. Reduced resource quality can limit host densities, reducing the pool of susceptible hosts for parasites to infect, and can alter host investment in immune function, reproduction, and survival (Beldomenico et al. 2008, Becker and Hall 2014). Resource quality can also influence the host's exposure rate to environmentally transmitted parasites and a parasite's ability to produce sufficient infectious propagules (Penczykowski et al. 2014, Budischak and Cressler 2018). Therefore, the consequences of anthropogenic alterations in resource quality on host–parasite interactions will depend on the sensitivity of host and parasite traits to changing resource quality in the environment (Mugabo et al. 2019). However, because we lack a mechanistic understanding of how resource quality alters host physiology, we are currently unable to predict how changing resource landscapes will alter how hosts interact with their parasites.

Resource quality can have conflicting effects on host–parasite interactions at each step of the infection process, from parasite exposure to initiation of infection to parasite reproduction. Many hosts shift their foraging behavior over time and space in response to resource quality (e.g., Mella et al. 2018, Weterings et al. 2018, Iannino et al. 2023), which could change the host's exposure rate to environmental parasites. For example, hosts may increase foraging to take advantage of a high-quality resource (e.g., Schatz and McCauley 2007) or, alternatively, the host may increase foraging in response to a poor-quality resource to meet nutritional needs (e.g., Heuermann et al. 2011); in the (common) case where hosts encounter the parasite while foraging, these changes should increase the rate of parasite encounter. Upon initiation of the infection

process, resource quality may influence the host's ability to up-regulate energetically costly

physiological or immunological defenses to adequately respond to the infection (Sandland and

Minchella 2003, Cressler et al. 2014a, Cotter and Al Shareefi 2022). Additionally, in some cases,

a secondary metabolite that renders a food low quality also provides protection against infection

(e.g., Huffman and Caton 2001, Sánchez et al. 2024). Finally, once an infection is fully

established, resource quality influences both the parasite and host. For instance, higher quality

resources may allow the parasite to increase its growth and replication within the host (Hall et al.

2009). Alternatively, high-quality resources may allow the host to increase investment in

immune function, limiting parasite production (e.g., Cornet et al. 2013).

Intraspecific variation in host responses further increases the challenge of predicting how

changing resources will influence the outcomes of host–parasite interactions. There is often

substantial variation within species in susceptibility to infection; host genotype often strongly

influences susceptibility due to a variety of factors, including variation in cellular immunity and

barrier defenses (e.g., Leitão et al. 2019, Cáceres and Stewart Merrill 2023). Similarly,

susceptible host genotypes can vary in their quality for the parasite, with parasites able to

produce more infectious propagules in certain host genotypes (e.g., Auld et al. 2017, Kutzer et al.

2023). Additionally, host genotypes can vary in their sensitivity to changing resource quality,

with variation in tolerance of low resource quality, and, conversely, in ability to exploit rich

resource environments (e.g., Watt 1986, Hall et al. 2012). These differences in how host

genotypes allocate available resources to life history traits, such as reproduction, growth, and

survival, along resource gradients and in the presence or absence of parasites should influence

outcomes for both the host and the parasite. Moreover, host species are infected by a multitude of

parasites, which may differ in how they are influenced by changing resource conditions.

Here, we experimentally manipulated a planktonic host-parasite system with a goal of

understanding whether it is possible to predict the impacts of altered food quality on host-

parasite interactions based on changes in host physiology and life history traits. To explore the

generality of these patterns, we used two genotypes of the host (the freshwater zooplankton

grazer, *Daphnia dentifera*) and two parasites (the fungus *Metschnikowia bicuspidata* and the

bacterium *Pasteuria ramosa*), both of which are incidentally ingested while foraging on

phytoplankton. We particularly focused on shifts in food quality related to the amount of the

cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* in the diet. Blooms of cyanobacteria, including

Microcystis, are increasingly common as a result of nutrient pollution and climate change

(Carpenter 2008, Huisman et al. 2018, Smucker et al. 2021). *Microcystis* is a low quality food for

Daphnia (Agrawal et al. 2005, Schwarzenberger et al. 2012) but also can protect *Daphnia* from

fungal infections (Sánchez et al. 2019, Manzi et al. 2020). Therefore, it is hard to predict the net

impact of increased *Microcystis* on host-parasite interactions, and those changes may not be

consistent across parasites. We conducted a factorial experiment that included four resource

quality diets along a gradient, two host genotypes (hereafter, clones), and two parasite

inoculation treatments (inoculated vs. uninoculated), yielding a total of 16 treatments for each of

the two parasites. In general, we expected that reducing resource quality would reduce host

growth rate, immune response, metabolic rate, reproductive rate, and survival, as well as reduce

parasite exposure (through lower feeding rates), infection, and infectious propagule yield. We

also expected that the two host clones would exhibit overall similar patterns but with slightly

different sensitivities to changing diet and exposure to parasites; we expected that these

differences would reflect variation in host resource acquisition and allocation of resources to

different aspects of host life history. Similarly, since the parasites share many key traits, such as

infection via foraging and exploitation of within host resources for reproduction, we predicted

that reducing resource quality would reduce both parasites' infectivity and yield. Overall, our

goal was to untangle how reducing resource quality changes outcomes of parasitism via altered

resource allocation, with an aim of improving our understanding of how environmental change

will influence host–parasite interactions. By studying two host clones and two parasite species,

we sought to assess the generality of responses of hosts and parasites to changing resource

quality.

Methods

Host–Parasite System

Daphnia dentifera is a zooplankton species commonly found in small, stratified freshwater lakes

in the Midwestern USA (Tessier and Woodruff 2002). Two common parasites of *D. dentifera* are

Metschnikowia bicuspidata and *Pasteuria ramosa* (hereafter, the 'fungus' and 'bacterium',

respectively), which hosts encounter while filter feeding on phytoplankton in the water column

(Ebert 2005). Both parasites' transmission spores penetrate through the host gut wall and

proliferate using the host's resources within the hemolymph, ultimately killing the host and

releasing spores back into the water column (Ebert et al. 2015, Stewart Merrill and Cáceres

2018). While the fungus and bacterium share many key traits, they differ in some important

ways. The bacterium has strong host–parasite genotype interactions, where infection success is

dependent on compatible host and parasite genotypes (Carius et al. 2001, Luijckx et al. 2011,

Bento et al. 2017). In contrast, infection by the fungus does not show host-parasite genotype

specificity (Duffy and Sivars-Becker 2007, Auld et al. 2012b, Searle et al. 2015). Additionally,

the two parasites differ in their primary fitness effects; the fungus increases mortality at a

younger age, while the bacterium castrates the host, strongly reducing fecundity (Auld et al.

2012b, Auld et al. 2017).

Experimental Design

We conducted two sequential experiments that factorially manipulated resource quality, host

genotype, and parasite exposure (4 diet treatments × 2 host genotypes × 2 parasite exposures

(i.e., inoculated or uninoculated) = 16 treatment combinations per experiment). The first

experiment used the bacterium in the parasite-inoculated treatment, while the second used the

fungus for inoculation. We ran separate experiments for each parasite due to the number of

treatments and response variables measured. Each treatment had 10 replicate animals in

individual 50 mL beakers (N = 160 animals per experiment as the baseline, but with 80

additional animals in the fungus experiment – described below – for a total of 240 animals in the

fungus experiment). We conducted the bacterium experiment from 9 Nov–16 Dec 2021, and the

fungus experiment from 4–27 Jan 2022. The resource quality gradient varied from 100% high-

quality green algae, *Scenedesmus obliquus* (UTEX 3155) to 100% low-quality blue-green

cyanobacteria, *Microcystis aeruginosa* (NIVA CYA43), with a 50:50 mixture of the two to

achieve an intermediate resource quality. Both phytoplankton strains are single-celled and edible

for *Daphnia* but vary in their nutritional quality (as confirmed in this study). The *Scenedesmus*

and *Microcystis* cultures were grown in chemostats with COMBO and Z8 media, respectively,

under 24 h light at room temperature. The phytoplankton food for each diet was prepared weekly

for both experiments by centrifuging the phytoplankton, pouring off the supernatant, and

resuspending the food in Artificial *Daphnia* Medium (ADaM; Klüttgen et al. 1994); see below

for more information.

Microcystis strain CYA43 does not produce microcystin toxin (Burberg et al. 2020 and

confirmed in this experiment (see below)), but because microcystin toxin is commonly produced

in harmful *Microcystis* blooms in lakes (Chaffin et al. 2023), we also included a toxin-spiked

treatment with 15 µg/L microcystin-LR (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI). This

represents a high but ecologically relevant level of microcystin-LR that is within the range

observed during natural *Microcystis* blooms (Ibelings et al. 2005, Ha et al. 2009, Hayes et al.

2020, Chaffin et al. 2023) and is less than the 24 µg/L guideline value for recreational waters

(Chorus and Welker 2021). Therefore, our four resource quality treatments (that is, diets) were

100% *Scenedesmus* (S), 50:50 *Scenedesmus*:*Microcystis* (SM), 100% *Microcystis* (M), and

100% *Microcystis* + microcystin toxin (M+). The M and M+ treatments represent the same low

resource quality, so the comparison between these two treatments allowed us to evaluate the

effects of the toxin on host physiology and infection outcomes.

We collected *D. dentifera* neonates (<24 hours old) from the 'Mid37' clone (originally

from Midland Lake, Greene County, Indiana, USA) and 'Standard' clone (originally isolated

from Barry County, MI, USA). To do this, we maintained stock cultures of both the Mid37 clone

and Standard clone for at least six generations to standardize maternal effects. The stock cultures

were fed 2 mg dry weight/L *Scenedesmus* three times per week. The stock cultures and

experimental animals were maintained at 20°C and 16:8 light:dark cycle throughout the entire

experiment. Both experiments followed the same procedure. On Day 1 of the experiment, we

moved host individuals to new beakers filled with filtered lake water. We collected over 100

neonates (<24 h old) on Day 2 and placed 10 animals per beaker in 200 mL filtered lake water;

each beaker was fed 2 mg dry weight/L *Scenedesmus* food and maintained for two days. On Day

4, we moved animals to individual 50 mL beakers with 30 mL of fresh filtered lake water, and

haphazardly assigned individuals to diet treatments. Animals were maintained on their new diet

treatment for 2 days. Throughout both experiments, animals were fed the same concentration of

food in their beakers during each feeding, unless otherwise noted: the S diet treatment was given

2 mg dry weight/L *Scenedesmus*, the SM diet treatment received 1 mg dry weight/L

Scenedesmus and 1 mg dry weight/L *Microcystis*, and the M and M+ diet treatments were given

2 mg dry weight /L *Microcystis*. For the M+ treatment, we also added 15 µg/L microcystin-LR

each time the animals were fed. We confirmed microcystin concentrations in our beakers prior to

and during parasite exposure with ELISAs (Gold Standard Diagnostics Horsham, Inc.,

Warminster, PA) following the manufacturer's protocol; M+ treatments had 16.45 ± 2.15 µg/L

(mean $\pm$ SE) and 17.93 ± 1.36 µg/L microcystin concentrations on average in the fungus and

bacterium experiments, respectively, while all other diets had 0.17 ± 0.017 µg/L and $0.20 \pm$

0.019 µg/L in the two experiments from the filtered lake water (Figure S1). In the bacterium

experiment on Day 4, the M+ treatment accidentally received 150 µg/L microcystin for one day

due to a calculation error, before we corrected the mistake by moving those animals to new

beakers with filtered lake water and fresh M+ food and 15 µg/L microcystin-LR. We confirmed

the toxin "super spike" with ELISAs (Figure S2). Only a single replicate died during this super

spike (M+, Mid37, *Pasteuria*-inoculated, Rep 4) but it did not appear to otherwise affect the

experiment.

On Day 6, we added either 2,000 bacterial spores/mL (isolate G/18, originally isolated

from Midland Lake, Greene County, Indiana, USA; experiment 1) or 500 fungal spores/mL

("Standard" isolate, originally from Baker Lake, Barry County, MI, USA; experiment 2) to the

parasite-inoculated treatments. Prior work has shown that a lower dose of the fungus achieves

similar levels of infection as the higher dose of the bacterium (Auld et al. 2012b, 2014). An

equivalent volume of ground *Daphnia* carcasses was added to the uninoculated treatments as a

sham-exposure. All treatments received a half quantity (1 mg dry weight/L total) of their

assigned diet during exposure and M+ treatments also received 15 µg/L microcystin. On Day 8,

after 48 hours, we moved all animals to new beakers with spore-free filtered lake water and

added the full 2 mg dry weight/L of each diet. For the remainder of both experiments, all animals

received two water changes per week, were checked for offspring and mortality at least four

times per week, and were given five additions of 2 mg/L food per week according to their diet

treatment and maintained in incubators at 20°C and 16:8 light:dark cycle. We measured feeding

rate and respiration rate for each animal during parasite exposure (described in more detail in the

Parasite and Host Measurements section below and Appendix S1: Section S1). The bacterium

experiment was concluded on Day 38 (30 days post-inoculation), and the fungus experiment was

concluded on Day 24 (16 days post-inoculation). The fungal infections develop more rapidly,

causing host mortality sooner than bacterial infections (Auld et al. 2014). At the conclusion of

the experiments, we assessed the remaining animals for infection under a dissecting microscope

at 40-60x magnification and measured their body length (detailed methods described in

Appendix S1: Section S1), before storing them at -20°C in individual 1.5 mL microcentrifuge

tubes with 0.1 mL deionized water for spore counts.

In the fungus experiment, we also included an additional set of 10 replicate animals for

each diet × clone treatment that was inoculated with the fungus (yielding the 80 additional

animals mentioned above). These animals allowed us to quantify parasite exposure in their guts

and hemocyte immune response one day post-inoculation (Day 9) following established methods

(Stewart Merrill et al. 2019, Sun et al. 2023). These replicates were in addition to the baseline

experimental animals in case the combined effects of parasite inoculation and quantification of

spores in host guts was stressful and decreased survival. The Standard SM, M, and M+ diet

treatments only had 9 additional replicates instead of 10 because there were insufficient fungal

spores to infect these replicates; the extra 10th rep for the SM, M, and M+ diets were marked as

'uninoculated' and maintained for the duration of the experiment. We counted and summed the

total number of attacking fungal spores that were embedded in or penetrated the gut wall or were

entirely in the haemocoel as a measure of fungal parasite exposure (Stewart Merrill et al. 2019).

To evaluate the cellular immune response, we counted the total number of hemocytes that were

attached to fungal spores that had entered the hemocoel (i.e., penetrated or hemocoel spores). We

also calculated the average number of hemocytes per spore by dividing the total number of

hemocytes by the number of penetrated and hemocoel spores. Animals that survived the

procedure were then maintained through the end of the experiment under the same conditions as

all other treatments (described above), and we collected offspring production, infection, and

parasite yield data (described in detail below). Animals that died due to the procedure to quantify

parasite exposure in their guts ranged from $1 - 7$ per diet $\times$ host clone combination (mean = 4.1),

with greater mortality in the S and SM treatments ($5 - 7$ per diet x clone combination, mean = 6)

compared to the M and M+ treatments ($1 - 4$ per diet x clone combination, mean = 2.25).

We were unable to evaluate within host bacterial parasite exposure and immune

responses in the same way. Attachment of the bacterium endospore to the esophagus is

determined by genotypic specificity, and we already knew that this bacterial isolate could infect

these host genotypes. In contrast to the fungus, it is unknown what happens in the early stages of

infection by the bacterium (Ebert et al. 2015). Moreover, prior work suggests that immune

responses are symptomatic of bacterial infection but do not mediate recovery (Auld et al. 2012a).

Parasite and Host Measurements

We quantified offspring production, survival, infection status, attacking spores (fungus only),

mature spore yield, spore size (bacterium only), feeding rate, metabolic rate, and host body size

and growth rate using established methods. More detail is provided in Appendix S1. Methods for

attacking spores (Stewart Merrill et al. 2019), feeding rate (Hite et al. 2020), and metabolic rate

(Nørgaard et al. 2021) were based on the literature.

Statistical Analyses

All analyses were run in R version 4.2.1 (R Core Team 2022). We analyzed the data from the

fungus and bacterium experiments separately since the two experiments were run independently.

For all generalized linear models, we tested for overdispersion and zero-inflation and selected the

best model distributions using the model with the lowest AIC and the best fit of the diagnostic

tests (DHARMa package; Hartig 2020). For all linear regressions, we checked for normality of

the residuals and model assumptions using visual diagnostic residual plots. We then evaluated

overall effects of each main factor with a Type II Analysis of Deviance test (car package; Fox

and Weisberg 2019) and a Tukey-adjusted post-hoc test to determine the differences between

diet treatments for each host clone (emmeans package; Lenth 2022).

Parasite infectivity and host susceptibility

For both parasites, we evaluated how infection prevalence varied based on host clone and diet,

and the interaction between those factors. We defined "infection" as animals that contained

mature transmission spores at death or the end of the experiment; this definition is analogous to

the "terminal infection" designation of Stewart Merrill et al. (2019). Only one animal in the

fungus experiment and one in the bacterium experiment had immature spores but no mature

spores at death. Using animals that were inoculated with parasites, we modeled infection

prevalence with a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with a binomial distribution

and logit link function (lme4 package; Bates et al. 2015) and block as a random effect (each

experiment had two blocks, which determined the order of feeding and respiration rate

measurements, see Appendix S1: Section S1). Animals that died prior to experiment day 14,

which was 7 days after inoculation, were excluded from the analysis of infection prevalence

because symptoms of infection were not apparent before this point (excluded: $n_{fungus} = 64$,

$n_{bacterium} = 25$); the relatively high number of animals that died early in the fungus experiment

was a result of checking for attacking spores in the additional animals (as described above). In

the fungus infection prevalence model, because the M and M+ treatments in the Mid37 clone

failed to produce infections, we removed those treatments and the non-significant interaction

term from the analysis because the lack of variation in those treatments led to separation in the

data and very large standard errors in the model. However, we still included the Mid37 clone M

and M+ treatments in Figure 1a to demonstrate the lack of infection. Infection prevalence and

confidence intervals were calculated using the epiR package (Stevenson et al. 2020).

<u>Parasite exposure and feeding rate</u>

We evaluated the feeding rate during the parasite exposure period to assess the differences in

energetic intake and parasite exposure based on diet, host clone, and infection status at the end of

the experiment: uninoculated and uninfected (referred to as 'uninfected' below, though

sometimes referred to with the longer 'uninoculated and uninfected' to reduce potential

confusion), parasite inoculated but uninfected (hereafter 'exposed-but-uninfected'), and parasite

inoculated and infected (hereafter 'infected'). We separated the parasite-inoculated animals that

resisted infection from those that became infected to be able to test for differences in feeding

behavior during parasite exposure that may have contributed to different infection outcomes.

Additionally, this approach allows us to test how host feeding behavior differed in the presence

and absence of parasites by comparing the exposed-but-uninfected hosts to the uninfected hosts.

We modeled feeding rate with a linear mixed effects model (LMM) that included diet, host

clone, infection status, all two-way interactions, and block as a random effect (lme4 package;

Bates et al. 2015). The bacterium experiment had sufficient data within each diet × clone ×

infection treatment combination to include the three-way interaction, but we were unable to

include the three-way interaction in the model for the fungus experiment.

To further assess the differences between treatment groups, we conducted a series of

Tukey-adjusted post-hoc tests (emmeans package; Lenth 2022). First, we evaluated the

differences between diets conditional on host clone and infection status to assess how each host

clone responded to decreasing resource quality. Second, we tested the contrasts between each

infection status conditional on host clone and averaging across all the diets to be able to assess

how each clone may have shifted their feeding behavior in response to the presence of parasite

spores in the water.

<u>Parasite attacking host gut and host hemocyte immune response (fungus only)</u>

We tested the total number of fungal spores attacking the host gut based on diet, host clone, and

host body length, and their interactions using a GLMM with a Poisson distribution and a log link

function (glmmTMB package; Brooks et al. 2017). Host body length and its interaction terms

were not significant in the model and were removed to improve the AIC of the remaining model

with only diet, host clone, and diet × clone terms. These models only include the additional

fungus-inoculated treatments that were assessed for spores in their gut and does not include the

hosts that underwent the feeding and respiration assays.

For hosts with fungal spores that had partially or entirely punctured the host gut wall, we

calculated the number of hemocyte immune cells per attacking fungus spore. We omitted

embedded spores because these had not crossed the gut wall and therefore could not have

hemocytes attached. We modeled hemocytes per spore using a GLMM with the number of

hemocytes as the response variable, with the number of punctured spores as an offset and a

Poisson distribution with a log link function. The model included diet and host clone as main

factors. There were not enough replicates in all diet × clone treatments to include the interaction.

We added an observation level random effect to the model to control for overdispersion in the

initial model.

<u>Mature parasite spore yield and size</u>

For the animals that were infected, we modeled the production of bacterial and fungal mature

spores per host (spore yield) with host clone, diet, and their interaction as main factors and block

as a random effect in a GLMM with a quasipoisson distribution for the bacterium and a negative

binomial distribution for the fungus, and a log link function for both models (glmmTMB

package; Brooks et al. 2017). The fungal mature spore yield data had too few infected animals in

all diet × clone treatments to include the interaction term in that model, but the bacterial mature

spore yield model did include the interaction term. In additional models, we tested the effects of

average microcystin concentration (both parasites) and number of hemocytes per spore (fungus

only) on mature spore yield (methods and results in Appendix S1).

We used a linear regression to test for differences in bacterial spore size based on diet.

We were unable to adequately test for differences between the host clones because Standards had

lower infection prevalence and produced fewer spores than Mid37s. We did not collect data on

fungal spore size due to logistic constraints. However, prior work from our lab suggests that it is

less likely that there would be a difference in fungal spore size based on resources: hosts

coinfected with both the fungus and bacterium had smaller bacterial spores compared to singly

infected hosts (presumably due to resource competition), but there was no difference in fungal

spore size between coinfected and singly infected hosts (McLean 2022).

Host fecundity and survival

We evaluated how host total offspring production (total fecundity) varied based on diet,

host clone, infection status, and all their interactions. We modeled total fecundity for each

individual using a GLMM with a negative binomial distribution for the bacterium experiment

and a quasipoisson distribution for the fungus experiment, and a log link function for both. The

total fecundity data only included animals that survived beyond experimental day 11 (excluded:

$n_{fungus} = 57$ (23.8%), $n_{bacterium} = 23$ (14.4%)), since that was the first day that we observed

offspring in both experiments. The analysis for the bacterium experiment included all

interactions including the three-way interaction between diet $\times$ clone $\times$ infection status, but the

fungus model had insufficient power to assess the three-way interaction. We then conducted the

same two sets of Tukey-adjusted post-hoc tests as previously described for the feeding rate

analysis.

Finally, we assessed differences in host survival based on all treatment combinations

using a Cox Proportional Hazards Ratio model to test for the risk of death (survival and

survminer packages; Therneau and Grambsch 2000, Kassambara et al. 2011, Therneau 2022).

We ran three versions of the hazard ratio models and produced a forest plot for each parasite

experiment, including the full data set (all diet, clone, and infection status combinations), and

one for each host clone (diet and parasite treatment data subset by clone). Since the hazard ratio

models did not have sufficient power to allow for interaction terms, this approach allowed us to

investigate the overall treatment effects and compare how diet and infection status affected risk

of death for each host clone specifically. Animals that died early due to non-experimental causes

(e.g., dropped beaker, animal handling, etc.) and the animals that lived to the end of the

experiment were censored in the Cox Proportional Hazards Ratio model (Fungus: $n_{non\text{-}experimental}$

$_{cause} = 1$, $n_{end\ of\ experiment} = 139$; Bacterium: $n_{non\text{-}experimental\ cause} = 3$, $n_{end\ of\ experiment} = 54$). As with the

fecundity analysis, we excluded animals that died on or prior to day 11 of the experiment

(excluded: $n_{fungus} = 57$ (23.8%), $n_{bacterium} = 23$ (14.4%). We used the likelihood ratio test to

evaluate the overall importance of the variables included in the models, and a Wald test to

evaluate the significance of each variable coefficient in the model.

For both the fecundity and survival analyses, 6 fungal-inoculated and 1 bacterium-

inoculated animals that died on days 12-13, as well as 2 fungal-inoculated hosts that died on day

14, were coded as exposed-but-uninfected after spore counts did not find any spores.

Additional analyses (results in Supplement)

We conducted additional tests of the body size corrected feeding rate (i.e., relative feeding rate),

host metabolic rate, host growth rate, and host body size during parasite exposure based on each

treatment combination to explore the physiological drivers of differences in feeding rates (see

Appendix S1: Section S1, Table S2).

Results

Parasite infectivity and host susceptibility

Consistent with prior work (Sánchez et al. 2019), the effect of diet on infections differed for the two parasites, with strong effects of diet on infection by the fungus but not the bacterium (Figure 1a,b; Table 1). Decreasing resource quality reduced fungus infection prevalence such that hosts that consumed any of the poorer quality diets that included *Microcystis* (SM, M, and M+) were protected from fungus infection compared to the high-quality S diet (Figure 1a; χ^2_{diet} = 18.0, df = 3, p < 0.001). Because the M and M+ diets for Mid37 failed to produce infections, we were unable to assess whether there was an interaction between diet and clone in this model. Conversely, bacterial infection prevalence was not significantly affected by changes in diet or host clone (Figure 1b, χ^2_{diet} = 1.6, df = 3, p = 0.66; χ^2_{clone} = 1.2, df = 3, p = 0.27), and there was no significant interaction between diet and clone.

Parasite exposure and feeding rate

Host feeding rate during the inoculation period – and, consequently, the inadvertent parasite exposure rate – differed based on diet, host clone, and the host's ultimate infection status (i.e., uninfected, exposed-but-uninfected, or infected) for both parasites (Figure 1c,d; Table 1). In the fungus experiment, the impact of decreasing resource quality on feeding rate differed based on the host's ultimate infection status (Figure 1c; $\chi^2_{diet \times infection}$ = 25.5, df = 6, p < 0.001). For uninoculated and uninfected hosts, reducing resource quality reduced feeding rate for both host clones. However, hosts that later became fungus-infected or that were fungus-exposed-but-uninfected did not differ in their feeding rates based on diet, indicating that animals in the

parasite inoculation treatment consumed fungal spores at similar rates regardless of their diet

(Table S3). For both clones, the feeding rates of fungus-exposed-but-uninfected and fungus-

infected hosts were consistent (Table S4), indicating that a difference in feeding/exposure did not

drive differences in whether hosts became infected.

In the bacterium experiment, host feeding rate also tended to decrease with reduced food

quality, but the host clones differed somewhat in their responses to decreased resource quality

(Figure 1d; $\chi^2_{diet\times clone}$ = 12.9, df = 3, p = 0.005). Specifically, for Standards, feeding rate was

highest for the high-quality S diet for all infection statuses. For Mid37s, hosts fed the SM diet

had feeding rates similar to those fed the S diet, and higher than those fed the M diet (Table S5).

In general, hosts that were bacterium-exposed-but-uninfected had higher feeding rates than

uninoculated and uninfected hosts ($\chi^2_{infection}$ = 16.0, df = 2, p < 0.001), contrary to expectations.

Overall, exposed-but-uninfected Standards had feeding rates over three times higher than

uninfected (and unexposed) Standards during the exposure period, while Mid37s with different

ultimate infection (and inoculation) statuses did not significantly differ in their feeding behavior

(Table S4).

Combining the results for infection prevalence and feeding rate during parasite

inoculation (Figure 1a-d): in the fungus experiment, there was a strong effect of changing diet on

infection prevalence but not on feeding rate of inoculated hosts. In the bacterium experiment,

there was an effect of diet on feeding rate during inoculation but not on infection prevalence.

Moreover, in both experiments, the feeding rate during inoculation of hosts that ultimately

became infected was not higher than the feeding rate of hosts that were exposed but did not

become infected. Together, this suggests that, for both experiments, the patterns seen for

infection prevalence were not driven by the rate of ingestion of spores.

Parasite attacking host gut and host hemocyte immune response (fungus only)

Reducing resource quality strongly reduced the total number of embedded and penetrated

fungal spores in the host gut wall (Figure 2a, χ^2_{diet} = 183.5, df = 3, p < 0.001; Table 1). Hosts fed

the high-quality S diet had many more spores attacking their gut compared to the poorer quality

diets with any amount of *Microcystis*. In general, the Standard clone had more attacking spores

in their gut compared to Mid37 (χ^2_{clone} = 19.6, df = 1, p < 0.001). There was no significant

interaction between diet and host clone. These results suggest that the variation seen in fungus

infection prevalence across different diets (Figure 1a) is at least partially driven by impacts of

diet on the earliest stages of infection.

Diet did not impact host immune responses, but host clones differed in their investment

in immune response (Figure 2c; Table 1). There was no difference in the number of hemocytes

per attacking spore based on diet (χ^2_{diet} = 2.6, df = 3, p = 0.45). Mid37s produced more

hemocytes per attacking spore compared to Standards (χ^2_{clone} = 4.1, df = 1, p = 0.043).

Mature parasite spore yield and size

We expected that reduced resource quality might limit the ability of parasites to replicate

within hosts. Consistent with this prediction, fungal mature spore yield decreased along with

decreasing resource quality (Figure 1e, Table 1, χ^2_{diet} = 19.8, df = 3, p < 0.001); fungal mature

spore yield was unaffected by host clone (χ^2_{clone} = 1.5, df = 1, p = 0.22). In contrast, the bacterial

mature spore yield was higher in the Mid37 clone compared to the Standard clone but was

unaffected by diet and the diet × clone interaction was not significant (Figure 1f, Table 1, χ^2_{diet} =

5.6, df = 3, p = 0.14; χ^2_{clone} = 10.91, df = 1, p < 0.001). Consistent with our hypothesis that

resource quality may impact the size of each bacterial spore produced, bacterial spore size

decreased with reducing resource quality (Figure 2b, $F_{3,14} = 3.6$, $p = 0.039$; Table 1). Log

bacterial mature spore yield and average spore size were not significantly correlated ($rho = 0.20$,

$p = 0.42$).

Host fecundity and survival: impacts of diet and infection

As expected, diet and host clone strongly influenced host total fecundity (Figure 3a-b,

Table 1). In the fungus experiment, both host clone's fecundity differed based on diet and

infection ($\chi^2_{diet \times clone} = 30.1$, df = 3, $p < 0.0001$, $\chi^2_{infection \times clone} = 6.4$, df = 2, $p = 0.041$). Standards

had high fecundity that declined sharply with reducing resource quality and infection. Mid37s

had similarly low fecundity among the three poorer quality diets (SM, M, and M+) compared to

the high-quality S diet; the low overall fecundity and lack of infections in the M and M+ diets

make it hard to examine how food quality and infection interacted in this clone (Table S4). In the

bacterium experiment, fecundity of the two host clones responded differently to reducing

resource quality ($\chi^2_{diet \times clone} = 9.8$, df = 3, $p = 0.021$, Table S6), especially for individuals infected

by the bacterium. All bacterium-infected Mid37s produced few offspring regardless of diet.

However, infected Standards fed higher quality S and SM diets had similar fecundity to

uninfected Standards but those fed the poor-quality M and M+ diets had fewer than two

offspring on average. Overall, bacterium-infected hosts of both clones produced very few

offspring, as would be expected of a castrating parasite, but higher quality diets allowed

Standards to still produce offspring.

Host mortality rates differed based on diet and infection status for both parasite

experiments and differed between host clones in the fungus experiment (Figure 3c,d, Table S8).

In the fungus experiment, infected hosts fed the highest quality S diet died much faster than

uninfected and unexposed hosts. In contrast, hosts that were infected with the bacterium had

similar mortality rates as uninfected hosts.

Host fecundity and survival: costs of resisting infection

There was a fecundity cost of resisting fungal infection for Standards but not Mid37s (Table S4;

Figure 3a). Fungus-exposed-but-uninfected Standards produced on average 6.6 fewer offspring

than uninfected Standards, indicating a fecundity cost of resisting infection; this cost of resisting

infection was consistent across diet treatments. In contrast, Mid37s did not show signs of a

fecundity cost of resisting fungal infections: uninfected and fungus-exposed-but-uninfected

Mid37s had similar fecundity. The two host clones differed in how their fecundity responded to

resisting bacterial infection given exposure ($\chi^2_{infection \times clone}$ = 12.8, df = 2, p = 0.002). Bacterium-

exposed-but-uninfected Mid37s produced on average 4.3 fewer offspring than uninfected

Mid37s, but there was no difference between exposed-but-uninfected and uninfected Standards

(Table S4). For both parasite experiments, the fecundity costs of resisting infection (or lack

thereof) were consistent across diets.

Hosts that resisted infection generally paid a high survival cost. Hosts that were fungus-

exposed-but-uninfected had a greater than fifteenfold higher risk of death relative to uninfected

hosts—but unsurprisingly, infection by the fungus had an even larger (greater than thirty-

eightfold increase) in the risk of death (Figure 3c, Table S8). Standards that were fungus-

exposed-but-uninfected had a twenty-eightfold increase in risk of mortality compared to

uninfected Standards (hazard ratio (HR) = 28.41, p = 0.003), but Mid37s did not have a

significantly different risk of death (HR = 6.09, p = 0.11; Table S9). Similarly, bacterium-

exposed-but-uninfected hosts had a 67% higher risk of death than uninfected hosts, suggesting

that bacterium-exposed hosts also suffer a mortality cost of resisting infection (Figure 3d, Table

S8). The Standard's risk of mortality was not significantly higher than Mid37s, but the two

clones did differ based on bacterium exposure. Mid37s that were bacterium-exposed-but-

uninfected had 3.25-times (that is, 225% increased) risk of mortality compared to uninfected

Mid37s (HR = 3.25, p = 0.0019), while the Standards did not significantly differ in host

mortality between uninfected and exposed-but-uninfected hosts (HR = 0.94, p = 0.86; Table S9).

For both parasite experiments, the mortality costs of resisting infection were generally consistent

across diets. Altogether, the costs of resisting infection varied based on host clone and fungal vs.

bacterial inoculation, as summarized in Table 2.

Body size

As expected, diet strongly influenced host body size in both experiments, with hosts fed the

high-quality S diet having the largest body sizes in both experiments (Figure 4). By the end of

the experiment, there were signs of parasite-induced gigantism in bacterium-infected Standards,

but only in the highest food quality treatment (Figure 4b). This suggests that resource limitation

might interfere with the ability of castrating parasites to induce gigantism in their hosts. Analyses

of host body size during exposure and host growth rate over the experiment are presented in

Appendix S1: Section S1.7.

Results synthesis

Diet strongly influenced infection prevalence for the fungal parasite but not for the bacterium.

These changes in infection prevalence do not seem to be caused by impacts of diet on feeding

(and exposure) rate. Instead, for the fungus, the effect of resources on infection prevalence were

driven at least in part by impacts of diet on the number of spores that embedded in and

penetrated through the host gut wall. Diet did not impact host immune responses (specifically:

hemocytes per attacking fungal spore), but immune responses did differ between host clones.

Diet influenced spore production, but differently for the two parasites: for the fungus, reduced

resource quality limited the number of parasite transmission spores produced, whereas for the

bacterium it reduced spore size but not number.

Diet, host clone, and infection all influenced host fitness. Broadly speaking, reducing

resource quality reduced fecundity of hosts, as did infection. However, the two clones differed in

their responses to resource quality and to infection. One interesting finding was that total

fecundity was consistently low for all diets for bacterial infected hosts for one clone (Mid37),

but, for Standards, hosts fed on the two higher quality diets were still able to produce a

substantial number of offspring. For mortality, there were once again effects of diet, host clone,

and infection. Most notably, fungal-infected hosts that were fed the highest quality diet died

much faster than uninfected hosts. There were also notable costs of resisting infection in some

cases (Table 2), though these were not modified by diet. Finally, resource quality strongly

influenced host body size. For hosts that were infected by the bacterium, which is known to

induce gigantism, only hosts in the highest food quality treatment showed evidence of gigantism,

supporting that resource limitation might impact the parasite's ability to induce gigantism in

hosts (Cressler et al. 2014b).

Discussion

Resource quality can vary strongly over space and time, both naturally and as a result of human

impacts. Here, we sought to mechanistically understand the impact of changing resources on

host-parasite interactions, and to see whether those impacts were consistent across host clones

and for different parasites. We found that diet very strongly impacted fitness of a fungal parasite

via effects on the earliest stages of infection and the ability of the parasite to replicate within the

host. In contrast, the effects on the fitness of the bacterial parasite were more modest, with

impacts primarily on spore size rather than on the likelihood of infection or the number of spores

produced. As expected, in the absence of the parasite, ingesting diets with the high quality

Scenedesmus led to highest fecundity (Figure 5, 'uninoculated' orange bars). However, in nature,

hosts often face variable resource environments *and* parasites. Because diets with *Microcystis*

protect against fungal infections, it was not possible to intuit which diet would maximize fitness

in an environment that contains parasites. Looking at all inoculated hosts (regardless of whether

they became infected or resisted infection; Figure 5 'inoculated' blue bars), we can see that the

variation in fecundity with diet treatment is greatly reduced in an environment that contains the

fungus, but not in one that contains the bacterium. In other words, the protection against the

effects of the virulent fungal parasite that diets with *Microcystis* provide were offset by the

reduction in fecundity due to the low quality of this diet; because *Microcystis* does not protect

against bacterial infections, host fitness remained highest in diets with higher quality

Scenedesmus.

Reducing resource quality provided hosts protection from the fungal parasite (Figure 1a),

but not the bacterial parasite (Figure 1b) despite both parasites sharing several key traits,

including environmental transmission through foraging, infection of the hemolymph via

exposure through the gut wall, and being obligate killers of the host. This is consistent with an

earlier study that also found strong effects of diet on infection by the fungus but not the

bacterium (Sánchez et al. 2019). In our experiment, hosts had similar filter feeding rates—and

therefore, parasite exposure rates—and immune responses across all resource qualities when

exposed to the fungus (Figure 1c, Figure 2c), but hosts were smaller and had much lower

numbers of attacking fungal spores in their guts when fed diets that included *Microcystis* (SM,

M, M+; Figure 2a). *Microcystis* can induce changes in host digestive proteases (von Elert et al.

2012). A recent study found that the digestive protease chymotrypsin promotes dehiscence of

fungal spores, which is a key step required for infection (Sánchez et al. 2024). The *Microcystis*

CYA43 diet, the same strain used in the present study, strongly suppressed chymotrypsin in a

variety of clones of the host, *Daphnia magna* (Sánchez et al. 2024). The Sánchez et al. study

proposed that the protective effect of *Microcystis* CYA43 on infection was driven by its

suppression of chymotrypsin and the subsequent prevention of spore dehiscence. Our results in

the present study provide further support for this mechanism; it was not possible to quantify

attacking spores in the *D. magna* used in the Sánchez et al. study, but here we show that

Microcystis CYA43 dramatically reduced attacking spores in *D. dentifera*, which is consistent

with the diet preventing spore dehiscence. In addition, our data suggest that even diets that are

only partially made up of the protective food are sufficient to protect the host from infection. In a

study on *D. magna* and a different parasite, white fat cell disease (which is caused by a virus;

Toenshoff et al. 2018), there was an approximately linear decrease in infection levels with

increasing percentage of *Microcystis* in the diet (Coopman et al. 2014). In our study, the drop off

was more dramatic, with a very large reduction in infection levels between 0% and 50%

Microcystis; there were only modest differences in infection prevalence between 50% and 100%

Microcystis, though some of this may be because infection levels were nearing the lower bound

(of 0% prevalence).

Meanwhile, unlike the fungal parasite, infection by the bacterial parasite was not strongly

affected by differences in resource quality. Interestingly, we observed that reducing resource

quality tended to reduce parasite exposure via lower feeding rate (Figure 1d), but these

differences in exposure rates to the bacterium did not translate into differences in infections. This

may be because the bacterial parasite has strong host–parasite genotype specific infectivity,

unlike the fungal parasite, where the host and parasite genotypes must match in order for

successful infection (Carius et al. 2001, Auld et al. 2012b, Bento et al. 2017, Cornetti et al.

2024). A prior study found evidence that phosphorus-deficient diets reduced bacterial infections

in *D. magna* hosts (Frost et al. 2008). The trend for higher susceptibility of the Mid37 clone in

the two higher resource quality diets is consistent with this, but the overall impact of resource

quality on the likelihood of infection was much more modest for the bacterium as compared to

the fungus.

We expected that reducing resource quality would reduce the parasite's capacity to

produce new infectious propagules (i.e., spores). We found that the yield of mature fungal spores

per individual declined with reducing resource quality (Figure 1e). Prior work has found similar

relationships between reduced resource quality and fungal spore yield, where hosts fed poor

quality resources were smaller and produced fewer mature spores (Hall et al. 2009,

Penczykowski et al. 2014, Manzi et al. 2020) but found conflicting effects on transmission

potential. Penczykowski et al. (2014) found that hosts fed poor quality diets were small, had

lower exposure rates and produced few mature spores, which all ultimately contributed to lower

transmission potential. However, Hall et al. (2009) found the opposite effect, where poor quality

diets produce low spore yield, but spores in poor resource quality conditions had a higher

probability of infecting new hosts (i.e., transmission). We suggest that these apparently opposing

relationships between resource quality and transmission could be due to differences in the

identity of the poor-quality phytoplankton, since one study used field seston for the poor-quality

treatment while the other used lab reared *Microcystis* (CYA43). Indeed, even just different

strains of *Microcystis aeruginosa* can have very different impacts on infection (Tellenbach et al.

2016, Sánchez et al. 2024).

In contrast to our findings for the fungus, the mature spore yield of the bacterial parasite

was more strongly affected by host clone than resource quality (Figure 1f). However, there was

extreme variation in mature spore yield among individual hosts from greater than 100,000 to less

than 1,000, which likely impacted our ability to detect resource quality dependency. Our finding

in this study differs from those in a prior study, which found higher bacterial spore yield in hosts

fed low quality cyanobacterial diets (Sánchez et al. 2019). In the present study, bacterial spore

size did decline with reducing resource quality (Figure 3b), potentially indicating that under poor

quality conditions the parasite has limited capacity to energetically invest per spore to maintain

adequate spore yields. A study that manipulated resource quality by changing carbon:phosphorus

(C:P) ratios found that P-deficient diets reduced bacterial infection and spore yield (Frost et al.

2008). Further, a study that manipulated feeding intervals did not find an effect on bacterial

spore yield (Cressler et al. 2014b). These contrasting results highlight that different aspects of

resource quality and quantity may produce different outcomes for host–parasite interactions.

We found relatively little difference between the two 100% *Microcystis* diets (one of

which was spiked with the microcystin-LR toxin) on host physiology or fitness or infection

outcomes in either experiment. At present, the effect of microcystins on susceptibility to

Metschnikowia is unclear. In one study, diets of *Microcystis* added microcystin-LR had lower

infection levels than those with just a non-toxin producing *Microcystis* (Sánchez et al. 2019).

However, in a more recent study that used a different strain of *Microcystis* that produces

microcystin-LR and that compared it with a mutant that did not, microcystin-LR did not protect

against infection (Sánchez et al. 2024). Moreover, two recent studies found that microcystin-LR

does not reduce the infectivity of free-living stages of the fungus (Manzi et al. 2022, Sánchez et

al. 2023). While the effect of microcystin-LR on infections is not yet resolved, the overall

evidence to date also suggests that the primary effect of *Microcystis* on infections is most likely

due to its production of protease inhibitors rather than the effect of microcystin-LR (Sánchez et

al. 2024). A meta-analysis of laboratory experiments found that the presence of toxins

(predominately microcystins) did not negatively affect zooplankton population growth but did

reduce zooplankton survival for some toxic *Microcystis* strains (Wilson et al. 2006). In our study,

we found that the M and M+ diets had similar fecundity and survival within each host clone and

parasite treatment combination. The authors of the meta-analysis study suggest that cyanotoxins

like microcystin-LR are not a key driver of poor food quality (Wilson et al. 2006). We propose

that the same is likely true of the effect of *Microcystis* on parasitism in *Daphnia*.

Although it would be simpler to assume that all hosts and all parasites will respond to

differences in resource quality in the same ways, our study clearly demonstrates that this is not

the case. Natural systems are composed of host populations with many host genotypes present,

multiple parasites, and fluctuating resource quality and quantity over time and space. Many

studies only use a single parasite or single host genotype, but the variation across clones and

parasites in our study suggest caution is warranted about making general conclusions. We

suggest that the outcomes of host–parasite interactions will likely depend on the specific

sensitivities of the host genotypes and parasite species present to resource quality gradients and

each host genotype's degree of susceptibility to different parasites. Therefore, it is essential to

conduct studies with multiple genotypes and multiple parasites under realistic environmental

conditions to better understand the diversity of host responses that may occur to changing

environments and their potential impacts on population dynamics in natural systems.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the US National Science Foundation (DEB-1305836 and

DEB-1655856) and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF9202;

https://doi.org/10.37807/GBMF9202). We thank the many members of the Duffy Lab who

assisted with conducting the experiments in this study, including Teresa Sauer, Elizabeth

Davenport, Kris McIntire, and Marcin Dziuba.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization & Study Design: MLF, KFS, S-JS, MAD

Data collection: MLF, KFS, S-JS, SKC, KJM, VR

Analysis & Visualization: MLF

Writing-Original Draft: MLF, MAD

Writing-Review & Editing: all authors

Resources & Funding: MAD

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

References

Agrawal, M. K., A. Zitt, D. Bagchi, J. Weckesser, S. N. Bagchi, and E. von Elert. 2005.
Characterization of proteases in guts of Daphnia magna and their inhibition by
Microcystis aeruginosa PCC 7806. Environmental Toxicology **20**:314-322.

Auld, S. K., S. R. Hall, J. H. Ochs, M. Sebastian, and M. A. Duffy. 2014. Predators and patterns
of within-host growth can mediate both among-host competition and evolution of
transmission potential of parasites. American Naturalist **18**:S77-S90.

Auld, S. K. J. R., A. L. Graham, P. J. Wilson, and T. J. Little. 2012a. Elevated haemocyte
number is associated with infection and low fitness potential in wild Daphnia magna.
Functional Ecology **26**:434-440.

Auld, S. K. J. R., S. R. Hall, and M. A. Duffy. 2012b. Epidemiology of a *Daphnia*-multiparasite
system and its implications for the Red Queen. PLOS ONE **7**:e39564.

Auld, S. K. J. R., C. L. Searle, and M. A. Duffy. 2017. Parasite transmission in a natural
multihost–multiparasite community. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:
Biological Sciences **372**.

Bates, D., M. Maechler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models
using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software **67**:1-48.

Becker, D. J., and R. J. Hall. 2014. Too much of a good thing: resource provisioning alters
infectious disease dynamics in wildlife. Biology Letters **10**:20140309.

Beldomenico, P. M., S. Telfer, S. Gebert, L. Lukomski, M. Bennett, and M. Begon. 2008. Poor
condition and infection: a vicious circle in natural populations. Proceedings of the Royal
Society B: Biological Sciences **275**:1753-1759.

Bento, G., J. Routtu, P. D. Fields, Y. Bourgeois, L. Du Pasquier, and D. Ebert. 2017. The genetic

basis of resistance and matching-allele interactions of a host-parasite system: The

Daphnia magna-Pasteuria ramosa model. PLOS Genetics **13**:e1006596.

Brooks, M. E., K. Kristensen, K. J. V. Benthem, A. Magnusson, C. W. Berg, A. Nielsen, H. J.

Skaug, M. Mächler, and B. M. Bolker. 2017. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility

Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. The R Journal

9:378-400.

Budischak, S. A., and C. E. Cressler. 2018. Fueling Defense: Effects of Resources on the

Ecology and Evolution of Tolerance to Parasite Infection. Frontiers in Immunology **9**.

Burberg, C., T. Petzoldt, and E. von Elert. 2020. Phosphate Limitation Increases Content of

Protease Inhibitors in the Cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa. Toxins **12**:33.

Cáceres, C. E., and T. E. Stewart Merrill. 2023. The role of varying resources on *Daphnia*

dentifera immune responses. Fundamental and Applied Limnology **196**:217-228.

Carius, H. J., T. J. Little, and D. Ebert. 2001. Genetic variation in a host-parasite association:

Potential for coevolution and frequency-dependent selection. Evolution **55**:1136-1145.

Carpenter, S. R. 2008. Phosphorus control is critical to mitigating eutrophication. Proceedings of

the National Academy of Sciences **105**:11039-11040.

Chaffin, J. D., J. A. Westrick, L. A. Reitz, and T. B. Bridgeman. 2023. Microcystin congeners in

Lake Erie follow the seasonal pattern of nitrogen availability. Harmful Algae

127:102466.

Chorus, I., and M. Welker, editors. 2021. Toxic Cyanobacteria in Water: A guide to their public

health consequences, monitoring and management. CRC Press, London.

Civitello, D. J., B. E. Allman, C. Morozumi, and J. R. Rohr. 2018. Assessing the direct and

indirect effects of food provisioning and nutrient enrichment on wildlife infectious

disease dynamics. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological

Sciences **373**:20170101.

Coopman, M., K. Muylaert, B. Lange, L. Reyserhove, and E. Decaestecker. 2014. Context

dependency of infectious disease: the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa decreases

white bacterial disease in Daphnia magna. Freshwater Biology **59**:714-723.

Cornet, S., C. Bichet, S. Larcombe, B. Faivre, and G. Sorci. 2013. Impact of host nutritional

status on infection dynamics and parasite virulence in a bird-malaria system. Journal of

Animal Ecology:n/a-n/a.

Cornetti, L., P. D. Fields, L. Du Pasquier, and D. Ebert. 2024. Long-term balancing selection for

pathogen resistance maintains trans-species polymorphisms in a planktonic crustacean.

Nature Communications **15**:5333.

Cotter, S. C., and E. Al Shareefi. 2022. Nutritional ecology, infection and immune defence —

exploring the mechanisms. Current Opinion in Insect Science **50**:100862.

Cressler, C. E., W. A. Nelson, T. Day, and E. McCauley. 2014a. Disentangling the interaction

among host resources, the immune system and pathogens. Ecology Letters **17**:284-293.

Cressler, C. E., W. A. Nelson, T. Day, and E. McCauley. 2014b. Starvation reveals the cause of

infection-induced castration and gigantism. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences **281**.

Duffy, M. A., and L. Sivars-Becker. 2007. Rapid evolution and ecological host-parasite

dynamics. Ecology Letters **10**:44-53.

Ebert, D. 2005. Ecology, epidemiology and evolution of parasitism in *Daphnia*. National Library

of Medicine (US), National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD.

Ebert, D., D. Duneau, M. D. Hall, P. Luijckx, J. P. Andras, L. Du Pasquier, and F. Ben-Ami.

2015. A population biology perspective on the stepwise infection process of the bacterial

pathogen *Pasteuria ramosa* in *Daphnia*. Advances in Parasitology **in press**.

Fox, J., and S. Weisberg. 2019. An {R} Companion to Applied Regression, Third Edition. Sage,

Thousand Oaks, CA.

Frost, P. C., D. Ebert, and V. H. Smith. 2008. Responses of a bacterial pathogen to phosphorus

limitation of its aquatic invertebrate host. Ecology **89**:313-318.

Ha, J. H., T. Hidaka, and H. Tsuno. 2009. Quantification of Toxic Microcystis and Evaluation of

Its Dominance Ratio in Blooms Using Real-Time PCR. Environmental Science &

Technology **43**:812-818.

Hall, S. R., C. R. Becker, M. A. Duffy, and C. E. Cáceres. 2012. A power-efficiency tradeoff in

resource use alters epidemiological relationships. Ecology **93**:645-656.

Hall, S. R., C. Knight, C. R. Becker, M. A. Duffy, A. J. Tessier, and C. E. Cáceres. 2009. Quality

matters: resource quality for hosts and the timing of epidemics. Ecology Letters **12**:118-

128.

Hartig, F. 2020. DHARMa: Residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/mixed) regression

models. https://cran.r-project.org/package=DHARMa.

Hayes, N. M., H. A. Haig, G. L. Simpson, and P. R. Leavitt. 2020. Effects of lake warming on

the seasonal risk of toxic cyanobacteria exposure. Limnology and Oceanography Letters

5:393-402.

Heuermann, N., F. van Langevelde, S. E. van Wieren, and H. H. T. Prins. 2011. Increased

searching and handling effort in tall swards lead to a Type IV functional response in

small grazing herbivores. Oecologia **166**:659-669.

Hite, J. L., A. C. Pfenning-Butterworth, R. E. Vetter, and C. E. Cressler. 2020. A high-

throughput method to quantify feeding rates in aquatic organisms: A case study with

Daphnia. Ecol Evol **10**:6239-6245.

Huffman, M. A., and J. M. Caton. 2001. Self-induced Increase of Gut Motility and the Control of

Parasitic Infections in Wild Chimpanzees. International Journal of Primatology **22**:329-

346.

Huisman, J., G. A. Codd, H. W. Paerl, B. W. Ibelings, J. M. H. Verspagen, and P. M. Visser.

2018. Cyanobacterial blooms. Nature Reviews Microbiology **16**:471-483.

Iannino, A., P. Fink, A. T. L. Vosshage, and M. Weitere. 2023. Resource-dependent foraging

behaviour of grazers enhances effects of nutrient enrichment on algal biomass. Oecologia

201:479-488.

Ibelings, B. W., K. Bruning, J. de Jonge, K. Wolfstein, L. M. Pires, J. Postma, and T. Burger.

2005. Distribution of microcystins in a lake foodweb: no evidence for biomagnification.

Microb Ecol **49**:487-500.

Kassambara, A., M. Kosinski, and P. Biecek. 2011. survminer: Drawing Survival Curves using

'ggplot2'. **R package version 0.4.9**.

Klüttgen, B., U. Dulmer, M. Engels, and H. T. Ratte. 1994. ADaM, an artificial freshwater for

the culture of zooplankton. Water Research **28**:743-746.

Kutzer, M. A. M., V. Gupta, K. Neophytou, V. Doublet, K. M. Monteith, and P. F. Vale. 2023.

Intraspecific genetic variation in host vigour, viral load and disease tolerance during

Drosophila C virus infection. Open Biology **13**:230025.

Leitão, A. B., X. Bian, J. P. Day, S. Pitton, E. Demir, and F. M. Jiggins. 2019. Independent

effects on cellular and humoral immune responses underlie genotype-by-genotype

interactions between Drosophila and parasitoids. PLOS Pathogens **15**:e1008084.

Lenth, R. V. 2022. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package

version 1.7.3.

Luijckx, P., F. Ben-Ami, L. Mouton, L. Du Pasquier, and D. Ebert. 2011. Cloning of the

unculturable parasite Pasteuria ramosa and its Daphnia host reveals extreme genotype–

genotype interactions. Ecology Letters **14**:125-131.

Manzi, F., R. Agha, Y. Lu, F. Ben-Ami, and J. Wolinska. 2020. Temperature and host diet

jointly influence the outcome of infection in a Daphnia-fungal parasite system.

Freshwater Biology **65**:757-767.

Manzi, F., R. Agha, M. Mühlenhaupt, and J. Wolinska. 2022. Prior exposure of a fungal parasite

to cyanobacterial extracts does not impair infection of its Daphnia host. Hydrobiologia.

McLean, K. D. 2022. Ecological and evolutionary drivers of host defenses and pathogen

infectivity shape host-parasite interactions at multiple levels of biological organization.

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

Mella, V. S. A., M. Possell, S. M. Troxell-Smith, and C. McArthur. 2018. Visit, consume and

quit: Patch quality affects the three stages of foraging. Journal of Animal Ecology

87:1615-1626.

Mugabo, M., D. Gilljam, L. Petteway, C. Yuan, M. S. Fowler, and S. M. Sait. 2019.

Environmental degradation amplifies species' responses to temperature variation in a

trophic interaction. Journal of Animal Ecology **88**:1657-1669.

Nørgaard, L. S., G. Ghedini, B. L. Phillips, and M. D. Hall. 2021. Energetic scaling across

different host densities and its consequences for pathogen proliferation. Functional

Ecology **35**:475-484.

Oro, D., M. Genovart, G. Tavecchia, M. S. Fowler, and A. Martínez-Abraín. 2013. Ecological

and evolutionary implications of food subsidies from humans. Ecology Letters **16**:1501-

1514.

Penczykowski, R. M., B. C. P. Lemanski, R. D. Sieg, S. R. Hall, J. Housley Ochs, J. Kubanek,

and M. A. Duffy. 2014. Poor resource quality lowers transmission potential by changing

foraging behaviour. Functional Ecology **28**:1245-1255.

R Core Team. 2022. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Robb, G. N., R. A. McDonald, D. E. Chamberlain, and S. Bearhop. 2008. Food for thought:

supplementary feeding as a driver of ecological change in avian populations. Frontiers in

Ecology and the Environment **6**:476-484.

Sánchez, K. F., N. Huntley, M. A. Duffy, and M. D. Hunter. 2019. Toxins or medicines?

Phytoplankton diets mediate host and parasite fitness in a freshwater system. Proceedings

of the Royal Society B **286**:20182231.

Sánchez, K. F., E. von Elert, K. Monell, S. K. Calhoun, A. Maisha, P. McCreadie, and M. A.

Duffy. 2024. Inhibition of gut digestive proteases by cyanobacterial diets decreases

infection in a Daphnia host-parasite system. Authorea.

Sánchez, K. F., B. Zhong, J. A. Agudelo, and M. A. Duffy. 2023. Infectivity of the parasite

851   Metschnikowia bicuspidata is decreased by time spent as a transmission spore, but

852   exposure to phycotoxins in the water column has no effect. Freshwater Biology **68**:1020-

853   1030.

854   Sandland, G. J., and D. J. Minchella. 2003. Costs of immune defense: an enigma wrapped in an

855   environmental cloak? Trends in Parasitology **19**:571-574.

856   Schatz, G. S., and E. McCauley. 2007. Foraging behavior by Daphnia in stoichiometric gradients

857   of food quality. Oecologia **153**:1021-1030.

858   Schwarzenberger, A., C. J. Kuster, and E. Von Elert. 2012. Molecular mechanisms of tolerance

859   to cyanobacterial protease inhibitors revealed by clonal differences in Daphnia magna.

860   Molecular Ecology:n/a-n/a.

861   Searle, C. L., J. H. Ochs, C. E. Cáceres, S. L. Chiang, N. M. Gerardo, S. R. Hall, and M. A.

862   Duffy. 2015. Plasticity, not genetic variation, drives infection success of a fungal

863   parasite. Parasitology **142**:839-848.

864   Smucker, N. J., J. J. Beaulieu, C. T. Nietch, and J. L. Young. 2021. Increasingly severe

865   cyanobacterial blooms and deep water hypoxia coincide with warming water

866   temperatures in reservoirs. Global Change Biology **27**:2507-2519.

867   Stevenson, M., T. Nunes, C. Heuer, J. Marshall, J. Sanchez, R. Thornton, J. Reiczigel, J.

868   Robison-Cox, P. Sebastiani, P. Solymos, K. Yoshida, G. Jones, S. Pirikahu, S. Firestone,

869   R. Kyle, J. Popp, M. Jay, and C. Reynard. 2020. epiR:Tools for the analysis of

870   epidemiological data.

871   Stewart Merrill, T. E., and C. E. Cáceres. 2018. Within-host complexity of a plankton-parasite

872   interaction. Ecology **99**:2864-2867.

Stewart Merrill, T. E., S. Hall, L. Merrill, and C. E. Cáceres. 2019. Variation in immune defense

shapes disease outcomes in laboratory and wild Daphnia. Integrative and Comparative

Biology.

Sun, S.-J., M. K. Dziuba, R. N. Jaye, and M. A. Duffy. 2023. Temperature modifies trait-

mediated infection outcomes in a *Daphnia*-fungal parasite system. Philosophical

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **378**:20220009.

Tellenbach, C., N. Tardent, F. Pomati, B. Keller, N. G. Hairston, J. Wolinska, and P. Spaak.

2016. Cyanobacteria facilitate parasite epidemics in Daphnia. Ecology **97**:3422-3432.

Tessier, A. J., and P. Woodruff. 2002. Cryptic trophic cascade along a gradient of lake size.

Ecology **83**:1263-1270.

Therneau, T. 2022. A Package for Survival Analysis in R_. R package version 3.3-1.

Therneau, T., and P. M. Grambsch. 2000. Modeling survival data: Extending the Cox model.

Springer, New York.

Toenshoff, E. R., P. D. Fields, Y. X. Bourgeois, and D. Ebert. 2018. The End of a 60-Year

Riddle: Identification and Genomic Characterization of an Iridovirus, the Causative

Agent of White Fat Cell Disease in Zooplankton. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics.

Watt, W. B. 1986. Power and Efficiency as Indexes of Fitness in Metabolic Organization. The

American Naturalist **127**:629-653.

Weterings, M. J. A., S. Moonen, H. H. T. Prins, S. E. van Wieren, and F. van Langevelde. 2018.

Food quality and quantity are more important in explaining foraging of an intermediate-

sized mammalian herbivore than predation risk or competition. Ecology and Evolution

8:8419-8432.

Table 1. Type II analysis of deviance tables for each response variable for the fungus and
bacterium experiments, respectively, presented in the main text: parasite terminal infection
prevalence, host feeding rate (i.e., parasite exposure rate), fungal spores attacking the gut,
hemocytes per attacking fungus spore, mature spore yield, and bacterial spore surface area.
Significant p-values are bolded.

Response Variable	Predictor Variables	Fungus Experiment			Bacterium Experiment		
		Chi-square	DF	P-value	Chi-square	DF	P-value
Prevalence	Diet	18.02	3	**0.0004**	1.61	3	0.66
	Clone	2.58	1	0.11	1.23	1	0.27
	Diet × Clone	--	--	--	3.33	3	0.34
Feeding Rate/ Parasite Exposure Rate	Diet	24.02	3	**<0.0001**	78.19	3	**<0.0001**
	Infection	5.32	2	0.070	16.04	2	**0.0003**
	Clone	6.73	1	**0.0095**	8.24	1	**0.004**
	Diet × Infection	25.48	6	**0.0003**	4.83	6	0.57
	Diet × Clone	1.80	3	0.61	12.91	3	**0.005**
	Infection × Clone	5.67	2	0.059	1.33	2	0.51
	Diet × Infection × Clone	--	--	--	4.77	6	0.57
Fungal Spores Attacking Gut	Diet	183.51	3	**<0.0001**	--	--	--
	Clone	19.62	1	**<0.0001**	--	--	--
	Diet × Clone	1.53	3	0.68	--	--	--
Hemocytes per Fungus Spore	Diet	2.63	3	0.45	--	--	--
	Clone	4.11	1	**0.043**	--	--	--
	Diet × Clone	--	--	--	--	--	--
Mature Spore Yield	Diet	19.85	3	**0.0002**	5.55	3	0.14
	Clone	1.51	1	0.22	10.91	1	**0.001**
	Diet × Clone	--	--	--	2.55	3	0.47
Spore Surface Area	Diet	--	--	--	3.64*	3	**0.039**
Total Fecundity	Diet	63.06	3	**<0.0001**	85.25	3	**<0.0001**
	Infection	49.59	2	**<0.0001**	26.44	2	**<0.0001**
	Clone	160.03	1	**<0.0001**	50.89	1	**<0.0001**
	Diet × Infection	4.27	6	0.64	2.48	6	0.87
	Diet × Clone	30.07	3	**<0.0001**	9.76	3	**0.021**
	Infection × Clone	6.37	2	**0.041**	12.80	2	**0.002**
	Diet × Infection × Clone	--	--	--	10.42	6	0.11

901 * Estimate is an F value from an ANOVA.

903 **Table 2. Synthesis of findings related to costs of resisting infection.** While there was
904 substantial variation in whether there were costs seen, this variation did not appear to be
905 mediated by diet.
906

	Fungus		Bacterium	
	Mid37	**Standard**	**Mid37**	**Standard**
fecundity	no	yes	yes	no
mortality	no	yes	yes	no

     Figures

Figure 1. Reducing resource quality protected hosts from fungal infection (a), reduced host

feeding rate in uninfected hosts but not fungus exposed or infected hosts (c), and reduced fungus

mature spore yield (e). Resource quality did not impact bacterial infection prevalence (b) nor the

mature spore yield (f), but did alter host feeding rates (d). a,b) Fungal and bacterial terminal

infection prevalence with 95% confidence intervals for each resource quality diet and host clone

treatment combination. c,d) Individual host filter feeding rate (mL per hour) – a proxy for

parasite exposure rate for parasite inoculated treatments – for each resource quality diet, host

clone, and infection status combination. Infection status: Uninf = Uninfected (and uninoculated),

Exp = Exposed-but-uninfected, Inf = Infected. e,f) Individual fungal and bacterial mature spore

yield from infected hosts for each resource quality diet and host clone treatment combination.

Fungal spore yield decreased with decreasing resource quality. Bacterial spore yield differed

based on host clone but not diet; Standards had lower mature bacterial spore yield compared to

Mid37 clones.

Figure 2. Reducing resource quality reduced the number of attacking spores in the host gut (a), but did not impact host hemocyte immune response (c). Reducing resource quality reduced the size of mature bacterial spores produced (b). a) For both host clones, hosts fed poorer-quality diets that included *Microcystis* (SM, M, and M+) had fewer fungal spores attacking (embedded or penetrating) their gut wall, but Standards had more attacking spores than Mid37s. b) Poorer-quality diets produced smaller mature bacterial spores than high quality S diets. We did not

assess spore size differences based on host clone due to Standards relatively lower infection

prevalence and spore yields (Figure 1b,f). Bacterial spore size was calculated as the mean area of

an ellipse based on two perpendicular diameter measurements of five bacterial spores. c) The

number of recruited hemocyte immune cells per fugus spore that punctured the gut wall did not

differ based on resource quality diet, but Mid37s recruited more hemocytes per spore than

Standards. We were unable to collect data on attacking spores for the bacterium because we do

not yet know about the analogous early stages of infection by the bacterium (Ebert et al. 2015).

We did not collect data on fungal spore size due to logistic constraints.

Figure 3. Total fecundity and survival differed based on resource quality diet, host clone, and

infection status. a,b) Host lifetime fecundity was strongly impacted by decreasing resource

quality diet in the Fungus experiment (a) and Bacterium experiment (b). Total fecundity was

calculated as the cumulative sum of all offspring produced during the lifetime of an individual.

Note that the y-axis scales are different because the longer bacterium experiment allowed more

time for offspring production. c,d) Survival curves for each resource quality diet, host clone, and

infection status in the fungus (c) and bacterium (d) experiments. Parasite exposed hosts had

lower survival rates compared to uninfected hosts in both experiments. Vertical hashes indicate

censored individuals. See the cox proportional hazard ratios in Table S8. Infection status: Uninf

= Uninfected (and uninoculated), Exp = Exposed-but-uninfected, Inf = Infected.

Figure 4. Bacterium-infected Standard individuals showed signs of gigantism, but only in the S

treatment, indicating poor quality resources might limit the parasite's ability to induce gigantism.

The y-axis shows the average body size (in micrometers) of individual *Daphnia* over the course

of the experiment. Error bars (which are often too small to be visible) represent +/- 1 standard

error. Infection status: Uninfected = Uninfected and uninoculated, Exposed = Exposed but

uninfected, Infected = Infected. The gray shaded boxes indicate the inoculation period in the first

week of the experiment. Some standard error bars are too small to be visible or could not be

calculated because there was only a single animal for a given time point and treatment

combination.

Figure 5. Host reproduction was fairly consistent across diets in the presence of the fungal

parasite but not the bacterial parasite. The orange bars show the data for uninoculated and

uninfected hosts, and are the same as the 'Uninf' bars in Figure 3a&b. The blue bars show the

data for all hosts that were inoculated with the fungus (panel a) or bacterium (panel b); thus,

these bars combine the data for the 'Exp' and 'Inf' bars in Figure 3.