Heat Shock Factor Regulation of Antimicrobial Peptides Expression Suggests a Conserved Defense Mechanism Induced by Febrile Temperature in Arthropods

Bang Xiao [1, 2], Shihan Chen [1, 2], Yue Wang [1, 2], Xuzheng Liao [1, 2], Jianguo He [1, 2, 3, *], Chaozheng Li [1, 2, 3, **]

1. School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol /Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), Guangzhou, P. R. China

2. Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Resources and Coastal Engineering/ Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory for Aquatic Economic Animals, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, P. R. China

3. China-ASEAN Belt and Road Joint Laboratory on Mariculture Technology, Guangzhou, P. R. China

**, Corresponding Author:
   Chaozheng Li, Ph.D.
   School of Marine Sciences
   Sun Yat-sen University
   Guangzhou, 510275
   P. R. China
   Email: lichaozh@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

*, Corresponding Author:
   Jianguo He, Ph.D.
   School of Life Sciences
   Sun Yat-sen University
   Guangzhou, 510275
   P. R. China
   Email: lsshjg@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Abstract:

Temperature is a critical factor influencing the outbreak and progression of viral diseases in organisms. Febrile temperatures have been shown to enhance immune competence and reduce viral replication in various species. However, the underlying mechanisms remain largely unknown. In this study, we investigate the molecular mechanisms by which elevated temperatures confer resistance to viral infections, focusing on the role of heat shock factor 1 (HSF1) in regulating antimicrobial effectors rather than the traditional target genes molecular chaperones. Using shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* as a model, we demonstrate that febrile temperatures induce HSF1, which in turn upregulates antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) that target viral envelope proteins and inhibit viral replication. Importantly, this is the first to show that HSF1 directly binds to the heat shock elements (HSE) motifs of AMPs both in shrimp and *Drosophila* S2 cells, suggesting this may be a conserved regulatory mechanism in arthropods. Additionally, our findings highlight the role of HSF1 beyond the classical heat shock response, revealing its critical function in modulating innate immunity. These insights provide new avenues for managing viral infections in aquaculture and other settings by leveraging environmental temperature control.

Keywords: Temperature; Innate immunity; Virus; Heat shock factor (HSF); Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs)

1. Introduction

The proliferation of pathogens and the outbreak of diseases are influenced by various factors, including transmission vectors, host species, and environmental conditions (Marcogliese, 2008). Among these, temperature is one of the most critical environmental factors, significantly impacting disease occurrence and prevalence (Hou et al., 2023). Temperature can directly affect the immune response, metabolism, feeding rate, oxygen consumption, growth, and survival of animals (Deldicq et al., 2021). Aquatic animals such as fish, shrimp, crabs, and shellfish are ectothermic, and their susceptibility to diseases is directly related to temperature (Marcogliese, 2008). In fishes, Koi herpesvirus (KHV) can cause massive mortality in carp and koi carp at temperatures between 23-28 °C, while temperatures above 30 °C typically do not result in mortality (Yuasa et al., 2008). In crustaceans, high temperatures (32-33 °C) have been demonstrated to inhibit white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) replication and reduce mortality in infected shrimp (Du et al., 2006; Guan et al., 2003; Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2004). Notably, many ectothermic animals can respond to exogenous pathogenic infections by seeking warmer external environments (Covert and Reynolds, 1977; Louis et al., 1986). When infected, some ectothermic animals such as fish, insects, and crustaceans elevate their body temperature by moving to warmer areas (Bundey et al., 2003; Rakhshaninejad et al., 2023; Rakus et al., 2017). This behavioral fever, similar to fever responses in higher organisms, can help hosts suppress or overcome infections (Hasday et al., 2014), although the mechanisms underlying this heat-induced antimicrobial immunity remain unclear.

The heat shock response (HSR), also known as the heat stress response, serves as a bridge linking elevated temperatures with increased immunity (Tian et al., 2021). The HSR induced by temperature increase primarily involves the expression of heat shock proteins (HSPs) and other related factors regulated by heat shock transcription factors (HSFs) (Lang et al., 2021). Under non-stress conditions, HSF monomers are retained in the cytoplasm in a complex with HSP90 or the cytosolic chaperonin TCP1 ring complex (TRiC) (Neef et al., 2014). During the stress response, HSF dissociates from the complex, trimerizes, and converts into a DNA-binding conformation (Zheng et al.,

2016). Activated HSF induces gene transcription through regulatory upstream promoter

elements known as heat shock elements (HSEs) (Andrasi et al., 2021). In the face of

thermal or pathogenic stress, the heat shock response functions as a highly conserved

cellular mechanism across various organisms (Anckar and Sistonen, 2011). Research

suggests that the HSF-mediated heat shock response plays a crucial role in the

regulation of the innate immune network through a combination of direct gene

regulation, interaction with immune signaling pathways, and the broader cellular stress

response (Morimoto, 2011). In *Drosophila*, HSF-deficient flies, lacking a heat shock

response, exhibit high sensitivity to viral infection (Merkling et al., 2015), indicating

that the heat shock response is a crucial component of innate antiviral immunity.

Heat shock factors (HSFs) are primarily recognized as inducible transcriptional

regulators of genes encoding molecular chaperones and other stress proteins (Akerfelt

et al., 2010). Interestingly, members of the HSF family also participate in various

cellular processes, including apoptosis, the unfolded protein response (UPR), oxidative

stress, multidrug resistance, and pathogenic infection (Anckar and Sistonen, 2011).

Consequently, the repertoire of HSF targets has expanded well beyond traditional heat

shock genes (Barna et al., 2018). Recent research has illuminated the interplay between

the heat shock response (HSR) and the innate immune system, revealing that HSFs can

regulate innate immune responses (Akerfelt et al., 2007). For instance, HSF1, the

primary HSF, can modulate the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-

α, IL-1β, and IL-6, thereby influencing the inflammatory response (Barna et al., 2018).

Additionally, HSF1 has been shown to interact with and influence the activity of the

NF-κB and MAPK pathways, thus altering the cellular environment to modulate the

innate immune response (Chen et al., 2010). These unexpected observations indicate

complexity of HSF function. At present, however, the mechanisms by which the HSF

family affects innate immunity are still poorly understood.

To explore the underlying mechanisms of the febrile temperature-inducible HSF

in host antiviral immunity, the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* was challenged with

WSSV, and the thermal regulation of host immunity was characterized. The results

indicated that febrile temperature-inducible HSF1 confers virus resistance by regulating

the expression of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) in *L. vannamei*. Additionally, it was

revealed that high temperature (30 ℃) restricts the replication of *Drosophila* C virus

(DCV) in an invertebrate model system (*Drosophila* S2 cells) also mediated by the

HSF1-AMPs axis. Together, these results suggest that heat shock factor regulation of

AMPs expression is a conserved defense mechanism induced by febrile temperature in

arthropods.

2. Results

2.1 Induction of Heat Shock Factor 1 at High Temperature (32 °C)

Studies have demonstrated that high temperatures (above 30 °C) markedly reduce mortality in shrimp infected with WSSV, while temperatures ranging from 22 to 30 °C favor higher levels of WSSV replication and associated mortality (Lin et al., 2011; Rahman et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2024). To uncover the molecular mechanisms by which high temperature restricts WSSV infection, RNA-seq was performed to identify genes responsive to high temperature, particularly those encoding potential transcriptional regulators. Two shrimp groups, Group TW and Group W, were cultured at 25 °C. Group W comprised shrimp injected with WSSV and maintained at 25 °C continuously. In contrast, Group TW was subjected to a temperature increase to 32 °C at 24 hours post-injection (hpi). Gill samples were collected for analysis 12 hours post-temperature rise (hptr) and subjected to Illumina sequencing (Fig. 1A). As shown in Fig. 1B and 1C, 10 of the 1285 high temperature-induced genes were predicted to encode transcription factors.

GO functional enrichment analysis of DEGs between group TW and group W, indicating that most DEGs were involved in biological processes such as protein refolding, chaperone-mediated protein folding, and heat response (Xiao et al., 2024). Special attention has been paid to heat shock factor 1 (HSF1), the master regulator of the heat shock response, which upon activation induces not only classical heat shock genes but also a broad array of genes beyond the scope of molecular chaperones (Barna et al., 2018). To validate the high-throughput sequencing results, the expression profile of these transcription factors was confirmed by qPCR, showing a significant increase in *Litopenaeus vannamei* HSF1 (LvHSF1) expression (Supplementary Fig. 1). These results suggest that LvHSF1 may play a potential role in enhancing shrimp resistance to WSSV at elevated temperatures (32 °C).

2.2 Expression Profiles of LvHSF1 in Shrimp Under Varied Temperature Conditions and WSSV Challenge

To determine that LvHSF1 is related to high temperature and WSSV infection, its

expression level was measured under high temperature and after WSSV infection.

Tissue distribution analysis showed that LvHSF1 was expressed in all examined tissues

at room temperature (25 °C) and increased rapidly in all tested tissues at high

temperature (32 °C; Fig. 2A). Additionally, the protein expression of LvHSF1 was

significantly up-regulated in hemocytes, gills, and intestines when exposed to 32 °C

(Fig. 2B). Next, we detected the expression of LvHSF1 in the immune response to Poly

(I:C) and WSSV at 25 °C. Following exposure to Poly (I:C) at 25 °C, LvHSF1

expression was upregulated at 12 h (2.43-fold), 24 h (2.60-fold), and 36 h (4.33-fold)

in hemocytes (Supplementary Figure 2A); slightly upregulated at 4 h (1.97-fold) and

downregulated at 12 h (0.64-fold) in gills (Supplementary Figure 2B); and slightly

downregulated at 4 h (0.41-fold) and upregulated at 8 h (1.15-fold) in intestines

(Supplementary Figure 2C). In response to WSSV infection at 25 °C, LvHSF1

expression in hemocytes was dramatically induced, peaking at 8 hours (6.04-fold;

Supplementary Figure 2D). In the gills, LvHSF1 expression initially increased at 8

hours (2.10-fold) and significantly again at 72 hours (2.80-fold; Supplementary Figure

2E). In the intestines, a marked induction of LvHSF1 was observed at 8 hours (2.23-

fold; Supplementary Figure 2F).

Further investigation revealed LvHSF1's role in the immune response to Poly (I:C)

and WSSV at 32 °C. Following exposure to Poly (I:C) at 32 °C, LvHSF1 expression

was upregulated at 4 h (5.68-fold), 12 h (8.44-fold), and 24 h (7.52-fold) in hemocytes

(Fig. 2C); strongly induced at 24 h (7.00-fold) in gills (Fig. 2D); and slightly

upregulated at 8 h (3.47-fold) and 14 h (2.60-fold) in intestines (Fig. 2E). In response

to WSSV infection at 32 °C, LvHSF1 expression in hemocytes was dramatically

induced, peaking at 36 hours (15.93-fold; Fig. 2F). In the gills, LvHSF1 expression

initially increased at 8 hours (3.9.-fold) and significantly again at 48 hours (4.56-fold;

Fig. 2G). In the intestines, the expression level of HSF1 remained at a high level from

8 to 48 hours (3.20~4.17-fold; Fig. 2H). These results suggest that LvHSF1 is actively

involved in the response to low (25 °C) and high (32 °C) temperature, and viral (WSSV)

infection.

2.3 Role of LvHSF1 in Restricting WSSV at Low (25 °C) and High (32 °C) Temperatures

To investigate LvHSF1's role in shrimp during WSSV infection, RNA interference (RNAi) was employed *in vivo*. Two double-stranded LvHSF1 (dsLvHSF1) constructs were synthesized: dsLvHSF1-1 (targeting 443-1001 bp) and dsLvHSF1-2 (targeting 1365-1854 bp). Analysis revealed a significant reduction in both transcription and protein levels of LvHSF1 in hemocytes (Figs. 3A, 3a) and gills (Figs. 3B, 3b), with dsLvHSF1-1 showing greater silencing efficiency. Consequently, dsLvHSF1-1 was selected for subsequent knockdown experiments. After LvHSF1 knockdown, shrimp were challenged with WSSV, and viral titers were quantified using absolute quantitative PCR. Shrimp with silenced LvHSF1 exhibited increased viral loads in hemocytes (Fig. 3C) and gills (Fig. 3D) at low temperature (25 °C). Additionally, transcription levels of VP28, one of the major structural proteins of WSSV, were higher in the LvHSF1-silenced group than in the GFP-silenced control in hemocytes (Fig. 3E) and gills (Fig. 3F). Similarly, the levels of VP28 protein were significantly elevated in the HSF1-silenced group (Figs. 3G, 3g). However, no significant difference in shrimp survival rates was observed between LvHSF1-silenced shrimp and GFP-silenced shrimp at low temperature (25 °C) ($p = 0.8657$; Fig. 3H).

Next, LvHSF1's role in shrimp during WSSV infection at high temperature (32 °C) was further determined. Notably, the survival rate of LvHSF1-silenced shrimp infected with WSSV was significantly lower than the control group at high temperature (32 °C) ($p < 0.001$; Fig. 4A). Shrimp with silenced LvHSF1 exhibited increased viral loads in gills (Fig. 4B) and hemocytes (Fig. 4C) at high temperature (32 °C). Additionally, VP28 protein levels were significantly elevated in the LvHSF1-silenced group in gills at high temperature (32 °C; Figs. 4D, 4d). These data suggest that LvHSF1 plays a crucial role in antiviral immunity against WSSV infection especially at high (32 °C) temperatures.

2.4 SWD as a Potential Antiviral Effector Regulated by LvHSF1

To determine how temperature-induced LvHSF1 restricts WSSV infection, RNA-
seq was performed to identify target genes regulated by LvHSF1. Candidates whose
expression was inhibited by LvHSF1 knockdown after WSSV infection at high
temperature (32 °C) were screened. As shown in Fig. 5A and 5B, 8 of the 954 dsHSF1-
suppressed genes were predicted to encode effector factors. These differentially
expressed genes (DEGs) included immunity-effector molecules, such as heat shock
proteins, antimicrobial peptides and cytokines, and the classical heat shock proteins
were downregulated by the RNA-seq (Supplementary table 2). Among the 8 candidate
genes, the anti-WSSV role of C-type lectins (CTLs), Anti-lipopolysaccharide factor
(ALF) and Vago have been proved in shrimp (Gao et al., 2021; Methatham et al., 2017;
Zhao et al., 2009). Therefore, particular attention was paid to the **n**ewly identified **s**ingle
whey acidic protein **d**omain-containing protein, designated SWD. The SWD belongs to
type III crustins, which are crustacean cationic cysteine-rich antimicrobial peptides
containing one or two whey acidic protein (WAP) domains at the carboxyl terminus and
mainly exert antimicrobial activities (Supplementary Fig. 3). The detailed regulatory
mechanism of SWD against WSSV was unclear. To validate the high-throughput
sequencing results, the expression level of SWD and effector molecules were confirmed
by qPCR, showing a significant reduction in hemocytes and gills after LvHSF1-
knockdown (Fig. 5C; Supplementary Fig. 4). These results suggest that SWD may play
a pivotal role against WSSV infection regulated by LvHSF1.

To determine whether LvHSF1 regulates the expression of SWD *in vitro*, a dual-
luciferase reporter assay was performed in *Drosophila* S2 cells. The promoter region of
SWD was cloned by genome walking, containing two putative conserved HSE motifs
located at approximately −1371 to −1359 (HSE-1) and −1035 to −1023 (HSE-2;
Supplementary table 3). The dual-luciferase reporter assay showed that the promoter of
SWD could be regulated by ectopic expression of LvHSF1 in a dose-dependent manner
(Figs. 5D and 5d). Three reporter plasmids containing mutant promoter regions of SWD
with one or no HSE motifs were constructed, named pGL3-SWD-M1, pGL3-SWD-M2,
and pGL3-SWD-M1/2, respectively (Fig. 5E). The reporter assay results showed that
the ectopic expression of LvHSF1 could also improve the promoter activity of pGL3-

SWD-M1 and pGL3-SWD-M2, whereas the activity of pGL3-SWD-M1/2 was not upregulated (Figs. 5F and 5f), suggesting that putative HSE binding motifs play significant roles in LvHSF1-mediated SWD induction.

To further confirm this, EMSA experiments were performed to investigate the direct interaction between LvHSF1 and HSE motifs of SWD. Biotin-labeled probes or mutant probes of the HSE motifs were synthesized (Fig. 5G). LvHSF1 protein was purified to verify its ability to bind to the HSE motifs (Supplementary Figs. 5A and 5B). The results showed that band shifts of protein-DNA complexes were detected when LvHSF1 protein was incubated with biotin-labeled probe1 but not mutant biotin-labeled probe1 (Fig. 5H). Additionally, the band shifts could be competitively reduced when LvHSF1 protein was incubated with wide-type unbiotinylated probe1 at 20- and 100-fold molar excess (Fig. 5H). A similar result was observed in the interaction between LvHSF1 protein and the biotin-labeled probe2 (Fig. 5H). No band shift was observed in the control set (GST), suggesting that the interaction between LvHSF1 protein and each of the HSE binding motifs is specific. Moreover, the recombinant protein HSF1 could significantly induced the expression level of SWD in shrimp (Supplementary Fig. 5C). These results strongly demonstrate that LvHSF1 could regulate the expression of SWD *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

2.5 SWD Inhibits WSSV Replication and Interacts with WSSV Envelope Proteins

To confirm whether SWD is involved in WSSV infection, qPCR was performed to determine the expression profile of SWD. When shrimp were infected with WSSV, the expression of SWD was upregulated at 4 h (5.66-fold) and 12 h (5.60-fold), remained high at 36 h (5.18-fold) and 48 h (7.90-fold), and slightly downregulated at 72 h (4.74-fold; Fig. 6A). To reveal the role of SWD in WSSV infection at high temperature (32 °C), RNA interference (RNAi) was performed to knock down SWD expression. The mRNA level of SWD was effectively suppressed by specific dsSWD in gills, and the value was downregulated to 0.30-fold of the dsGFP (green fluorescent protein) injection group (as a control) (Fig. 6B). The viral load of the dsSWD group was considerably higher than the control group (Fig. 6C). Additionally, the survival rate of

shrimp in the SWD-silenced group was significantly lower than in the GFP-knockdown

group ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6D).

To further demonstrate the actual role of SWD *in vivo*, RNAi experiments coupled

with rSWD proteins injection were performed. Recombinant proteins of rSWD and

rTrx-His-tag were expressed, purified by Ni-NTA resin, and confirmed by Coomassie

staining (Fig. 6E), and the results were further confirmed by Western blot analysis (Fig.

6F). After knockdown of endogenous SWD, shrimp samples were treated with WSSV

mixed with rSWD or rTrx-His-tag by intramuscular injection at high temperature

(32 °C). Consequently, the viral load of those co-injected with rSWD was significantly

reduced compared to the control group ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6G). Additionally, the survival

rates of shrimp co-injected with rSWD were significantly higher than the control group

($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6H). Therefore, SWD plays a crucial role in the immune defense against

WSSV infection at high temperature (32 °C) *in vivo*.

Next, to verify whether the anti-WSSV function of SWD was regulated by LvHSF1

at high temperature (32 °C), *in vivo* RNAi-LvHSF1 experiments coupled with rSWD

injection were performed. After knockdown of LvHSF1, shrimp samples were treated

with WSSV mixed with rSWD or rTrx-His-tag by intramuscular injection at high

temperature (32 °C). The results showed that the viral load of those co-injected with

rSWD was significantly reduced compared to the control group ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6I).

Additionally, VP28 protein levels of those co-injected with rSWD were significantly

decreased (Fig. 6J, 6j). The survival rates of shrimp co-injected with rSWD were

significantly higher than the control group ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6K). Moreover, after

knockdown of SWD, shrimp were injection with rLvHSF1 mixed with WSSV. The

results showed that the viral load was significantly lower than the control group 48

hours post WSSV infection at high temperature (32 °C) (Supplementary Fig. 5D).

Taken together, these results suggest that the anti-WSSV function of SWD at high

temperature (32 °C) is mediated by LvHSF1.

To reveal the possible anti-WSSV mechanism of SWD, pull-down assays were

performed to detect whether rSWD protein could interact with WSSV envelope proteins.

The main envelope proteins of WSSV, including VP19, VP24, VP26, and VP28 with

GST-tags, were from a previous study. In the GST-tagged pull-down assays, rSWD could interact with VP24 and VP26 based on SDS-PAGE gels with Coomassie blue staining (Fig. 7A), and the result was further confirmed by Western blot analysis with the 6×His antibody (Fig. 7B). Based on the His-tagged pull-down assays, VP24 and VP26 could precipitate rSWD (Fig. 7C), and this result was further confirmed by Western blot analysis with GST antibody (Fig. 7D). Additionally, confocal analysis confirmed that SWD colocalized with VP24 (Fig. 7E and 7e) and VP26 (Fig. 7F and 7f) in *Drosophila* S2 cells. Collectively, these results suggest that SWD could inhibit WSSV replication and bind to VP24 and VP26 of WSSV.

2.6 Conservation of the Febrile Temperature-Inducible HSF1-AMPs Axis Conferring Virus Resistance in Arthropods

To demonstrate whether HSF1 regulation of antimicrobial peptides is a conserved defense mechanism induced by elevated temperature in arthropods, experiments were performed in an invertebrate model system (*Drosophila* S2 cells). Literature reports that the temperature range for optimal growth of *Drosophila* S2 cells is 25–28 °C, and S2 cells tolerated a normal growth temperature of 30 °C. Therefore, S2 cells were cultured at normal temperature (27 °C) or high temperature (30 °C) with or without DCV infection. The results showed that S2 cells were adherent to the wall and grew well at 27 °C or 30 °C without DCV infection, and the cytopathic signs of S2 cells were milder at 30 °C than the control group at 27 °C when infected with DCV (Supplementary Fig. 6A). Additionally, the mRNA and protein expression of DCV was significantly lower at 30 °C than the control group at 27 °C (Supplementary Fig. 6B and 6C). These results suggest that elevated temperature could restrict the replication of DCV in *Drosophila* S2 cells.

To determine whether *Drosophila melanogaster* HSF1 (DmHSF1) is related to high temperature (30 °C) and DCV infection in S2 cells, its expression level was also determined. The results showed that the mRNA expression of DmHSF1 was significantly upregulated 4.53-fold and 6.48-fold at high temperature (30 °C) or infected with DCV, respectively (Fig. 8A). Antimicrobial peptides, especially Attacin

A, Cecropins A, Defensin, Metchnikowin, and Drosomycin, have been proven to participate in the innate immunity of *Drosophila* in response to viral infection (Zhu et al., 2013). The expression of DmAMPs were explored in response to high temperature (30 °C) and DCV infection. The results showed that Atta, CecA, and Def were significantly upregulated 5.77-fold, 4.55-fold, and 5.40-fold at high temperature (30 °C), respectively (Fig. 8B). When stimulated by DCV, Atta, CecA, Def, Met, and Drs were remarkably increased 46.84-fold, 123.52-fold, 39.72-fold, 41.14-fold, and 241.40-fold, respectively (Fig. 8C). These results suggest that DmAMPs play a crucial role in anti-DCV defense at high temperature (30 °C).

Next, DmHSF1's role in *Drosophila* S2 cells during DCV infection at high temperature (30 °C) was investigated. Using the RNAi strategy in S2 cells, it was observed that the transcription levels of DmHSF1 can be downregulated by dsRNA-DmHSF1 with or without DCV infection (Fig. 8D). After DmHSF1 knockdown, the mRNA and protein expression of DCV was significantly higher at 30 °C than the control group (Fig. 8E and 8e). Additionally, Atta, CecA, and Def were significantly downregulated 0.65-fold, 0.43-fold, and 0.56-fold in DmHSF1 silenced, DCV infected S2 cells at high temperature (30 °C), respectively (Fig. 8F). Furthermore, S2 cells were transfected with pAc5.1a-DmHSF1-HA or pAc5.1a-HA plasmid (as control; Supplementary Fig. 7A). The results showed that the mRNA and protein expression of DCV was significantly lower at 30 °C than the control group (Fig. 8G and 8g). Accordingly, Atta, CecA, and Def were significantly upregulated 4.38-fold, 1.89-fold, and 3.13-fold at high temperature (30 °C), respectively (Fig. 8H). These results show that high temperature (30 °C) and DCV could induce the expression of DmHSF1 and DmAMPs, with DmHSF1 playing crucial roles in defending against DCV at elevated temperatures. To further verify whether DmHSF1 exerts its anti-DCV function by regulating DmAMPs at high temperature (30 °C), the regulatory roles of DmHSF1 on DmAMPs were investigated through ectopic expression of DmHSF1 and knockdown of DmAMPs during infection. Firstly, the promoter region of DmAtta, DmCecA, and DmDef was cloned, each containing three conserved HSE motifs (Fig. 8I). Dual-luciferase reporter assays showed that the promoter of DmAtta, DmCecA, and DmDef

could be upregulated 12.36-fold, 7.76-fold, and 2.71-fold by DmHSF1, respectively. The mutant HSE motifs promoter of DmAtta, DmCecA, and DmDef could not be regulated by DmHSF1 (Fig. 8J). Further, due to the expression of Attacin A was the highest DmAMPs induced by DmHSF1, to demonstrate that DmHSF1 exerts its anti-DCV function by regulating DmAMPs, DmAtta was chosen as a representative. After knockdown of DmAtta (Supplementary Fig. 7B), S2 cells were transfected with DmHSF1 and then infected with DCV. The results showed that the mRNA and protein expression of DCV was significantly lower at 30 °C than the control group (Fig. 8K and 8k). These results suggest that DmHSF1 exerts its anti-DCV function by regulating DmAMPs at high temperature (30 °C).

3. Discussion

Temperature is a key factor regulating various biological activities, and for aquatic ectotherms, it significantly affects growth rates and is also a crucial determinant of viral disease outbreaks (Marcogliese, 2008). Accumulating evidence has indicated that increased temperature enhances immune competence, resistance to infection, and levels of immune mediators under febrile conditions (Evans et al., 2015; Hasday and Singh, 2000). High temperatures (32-33 °C) have been shown to inhibit the replication of WSSV and reduce mortality in WSSV-infected shrimp (Sun et al., 2014). Studies have reported that WSSV-infected shrimp reared at high temperatures (above 31 °C) exhibit increased total hemocyte count (THC), phenoloxidase (PO) activity, and apoptotic index (Granja et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2015). However, the mechanism contributing to enhanced pathogen resistance in shrimp at high temperatures remains unclear. In this study, our findings revealed the molecular mechanism through which the HSF-AMPs axis mediates host resistance to viruses induced by febrile temperature. Specifically, AMPs are a newly documented type of effector protein targeted by HSF that mediate the host antiviral response beyond the classical heat shock response.

Regulating body temperature, particularly increasing it (fever), is one of the primary defense mechanisms in homeotherms against infections (Hasday et al., 2014). Ectotherms as diverse as reptiles, fish, insects, and crustaceans also raise their core temperature during infection through behavioral regulation, seeking warmer environments—a phenomenon known as behavioral fever (Hasday et al., 2014). This feature, preserved in endothermic mammals and birds, strongly suggests that febrile temperatures confer a survival advantage (Bicego et al., 2007). In 1975, Kluger and colleagues published the first direct experimental study demonstrating the benefits of elevated temperature for infected animals (Kluger et al., 1975). In this study, *dipsosaurus dorsalis*, being poikilothermic animals, were moved from an environment of 36 °C to 40 °C after bacterial infection, resulting in an increase in survival rates from 25% to 65% (Kluger et al., 1975). Similar results have since been observed in other poikilotherms and some homeotherms. For instance, carp infected with Cyprinid herpesvirus 3 (CyHV-3) move from lower temperatures of 24-28 °C to 32 °C, where

their survival rate reaches 100%, and viral load is significantly reduced (Rakus et al.,

2017). Behavioral fever responses to microbial infections have also been reported in

aquatic invertebrates such as freshwater crayfish (*Cambarus bartoni*), American lobster

(*Homarus americanus*), pink shrimp (*Penaeus duorarum*), horseshoe crab (*Limulus*

polyphemus), and *Penaeus vannamei* (Casterlin and Reynolds, 1978, 1979; M.E.

Casterlin, 1977; Rakhshaninejad et al., 2023). Despite these observations, the

antimicrobial immune mechanisms induced by febrile temperatures remain unclear.

The prevailing view that febrile temperatures benefit the host primarily suggests that

febrile response enhances innate and adaptive immune mechanisms in homeotherms

(Hasday et al., 2014). Our findings in the present study further support the enhancement

of innate immune mechanisms by febrile temperature in ectotherms such as shrimp and

Drosophila S2 cells. Additionally, elevated temperatures can cause some pathogenic

microorganisms to lose their optimal growth conditions. However, some

microorganisms have evolved strategies to exploit fever to enhance their invasion and

proliferation (Freitas Lione et al., 2010; Loh et al., 2013). Paradoxically, this further

underscores the benefits of fever for the host, as effective immune strategies exert

evolutionary pressure on pathogens, driving them to evolve counterstrategies to hijack

or block the febrile response.

HSFs are a type of evolutionarily conserved transcription factors initially known

for regulating the heat shock response (Anckar and Sistonen, 2011). However,

accumulating evidence indicates multiple additional functions beyond the activation of

HSPs, including roles in metabolism, immunity, disease, organismal aging and

longevity (Barna et al., 2018; Lee and Lee, 2022). Additionally, studies also reveal the

role of HSF1 in hormonal responses, which are evolved to maintain cellular

homeostasis and ensure the survival of organisms under changing environmental

conditions (Kumsta et al., 2017; Lee and Lee, 2022). HSF1 acts through a regulated

upstream promoter element called the heat shock element (HSE). HSEs are highly

conserved, including the inverted repeats of the pentameric sequence nGAAn (Amin et

al., 1988). The type of HSEs found in the proximal promoter regions of HSP genes

comprises at least three contiguous inverted repeats consisting of

nTTCnnGAAnnTTCn (Xiao and Lis, 1988). The discovery of novel HSF1 target genes

not involved in the heat shock response suggests that HSEs may be present in multiple

genes beyond HSPs (Barna et al., 2018). For instance, HSF1 can bind to the promoters

of CUP1, BTN2, SIS1, SGT2, and SSA3 genes, upregulating their transcriptional

activity to regulate oxidative stress (Morano et al., 2012). HSF1 also regulates the

hypothalamic-specific expression of the heat-inducible ion channel gene TRPV1,

suggesting a protective effect during fever (Fan-xin et al., 2012). In the present study,

we showed that a newly identified antimicrobial peptide, SWD, is specifically regulated

by LvHSF1 via two HSE motifs with the typical nGAAn sequence. Additionally, the

promoters of DmAMPs, such as Atta, CecA, and Def, also contain several HSE motifs

and are regulated by DmHSF1. Previous studies showed that the upstream sequence of

CrustinPm5 contains a putative promoter with an HSE motif, and the expression of

CrustinPm5 is upregulated upon heat treatment (Vatanavicharn et al., 2009). However,

there is currently no evidence that HSF1 directly binds to the HSE motif of AMPs in

invertebrates. Notably, our work is the first to show that HSF1 directly binds to the HSE

motifs of AMPs in shrimp and *Drosophila* S2 cells, suggesting this may be a conserved

regulatory mechanism in arthropods.

Although febrile temperature is generally believed to enhance host immunity, the

role of heat shock factors (HSFs) in viral infections is complex and varies across

different species and types of viral infections (Reyes et al., 2022). In vertebrates, HSF1

has been reported to participate in the transcription of HIV genes and their reactivation

from latency (Pan et al., 2016). Additionally, after orthopoxvirus infection, HSF1 is

phosphorylated, translocated into the nucleus, and increases the transcription of HSF1

target genes, benefiting the virus by extending the viral genome (Filone et al., 2014).

Conversely, stress-independent activation of HSF1 can reduce the quantity and

infectivity of HIV copies in a lymphoblastic cell line (Nekongo et al., 2020). Another

study reported that HSF1 could enable apoptosis-stimulating protein to reduce

autophagy in hepatocytes and inhibit HBV replication (Wang et al., 2021). In

invertebrates, DmHSF1-deficient adult flies are hypersensitive to DCV infection,

although the underlying mechanism has not yet been uncovered (Merkling et al., 2015).

HSF1 is also required for tolerance against several pathogenic agents in *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Singh and Aballay, 2006). Similarly, the present study showed that HSF1 plays a crucial role in antiviral immunity against WSSV infection, with knockdown of HSF1 *in vivo* leading to higher virus copies at low temperature (25 °C) and high temperature (32 °C), and increased susceptibility to WSSV infection at high temperature (32 °C). Notably, a recent study showed that ammonia stress-induced MjHSF1 upregulates the expression of negative regulators that inhibit the production and function of interferon analogs, enhancing WSSV infection in shrimp *Marsupenaeus japonicus* (Wang et al., 2024). This may be because ammonia stress suppresses host immunity and increases sensitivity to pathogenic infection in aquaculture (Weihrauch et al., 2018). In fact, previous studies have shown that the optimal water temperature for *M. japonicus* growth ranges from 25 to 32 °C, and a high temperature of 31 °C can reduce mortality of WSSV-infected *M. japonicus* without increasing host immune response activity (You et al., 2010). In contrast, the tolerance temperature for *L. vannamei* growth ranges from 7.5 to 42 °C, and our findings showed that elevated temperature can effectively enhance the host's response to WSSV infection (Millard et al., 2021). Evidence also supports the involvement of heat shock proteins in WSSV tolerance at elevated temperatures, with heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) playing a crucial role in inhibiting WSSV replication at high temperatures (32 °C) (Lin et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2014). These findings suggest that the mechanism of elevated temperature protection against WSSV infection differs between *M. japonicus* and *L. vannamei*. Therefore, our findings, together with those of other studies, suggest that the benefit of HSF1 can be attributed to either the host or the pathogen, depending on the nature and context of the host-virus-environment interaction.

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are pivotal components of innate immunity in invertebrates, serving as the first line of defense against invading pathogens, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses (Brogden, 2005). Typically, the transcription of AMPs is regulated by the classical NF-κB and JAK/STAT pathways in invertebrates (Carpenter and O'Neill, 2024). However, our results revealed that AMPs can also be regulated by an alternative pathway mediated by HSF1 in shrimp and *Drosophila* S2 cells. In

crustaceans, various AMP families, including penaeidins, anti-lipopolysaccharide

factors, crustins, and stylicins, have been identified (Matos and Rosa, 2022). Among

these, the newly identified SWD belongs to type III crustins, characterized by a single

WAP domain (SWD) and a short N-terminal region. The most probable anti-WSSV

mechanism of SWD is its direct interaction with WSSV envelope proteins VP24 and

VP26, potentially inhibiting viral entry into target cells. VP24 has been identified as a

WSSV receptor-binding protein, interacting with the cellular receptor pIgR to mediate

WSSV entry into host cells (Niu et al., 2019). VP26 has been reported to bind to

SNAP29, inhibiting SNARE complex assembly and autophagic degradation (Liu et al.,

2024). Additionally, WSSV VP24, VP26, and VP28 form a complex termed an

"infectosome", which is crucial for WSSV infectivity (Li et al., 2015). Therefore, the

binding of SWD to WSSV envelope proteins VP24 and VP26 could disrupt WSSV

integrity and attenuate viral entry into shrimp cells.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates a conserved antiviral febrile response,

where the elevated temperature-induced HSF1-AMP axis plays a crucial role in

enhancing host resistance to viruses in shrimp and *Drosophila* S2 cells (Fig. 9).

Specifically, febrile temperature-induced HSF1 induces the expression of AMPs, which

directly bind to WSSV envelope proteins and block viral entry. Additionally, high

temperature restricts DCV replication in the invertebrate model system *Drosophila* S2

cells, also mediated by the HSF1-AMPs cascade. These findings highlight previously

unforeseen roles of HSF1 beyond the traditional heat shock response, revealing its

involvement in thermal regulation of immunity and the innate immune system's

response to thermal stress. Moreover, this study provides new avenues for managing

viral infections in arthropods, such as implementing varied pond depths for temperature

stratification as a cost-effective disease prevention method.

4. Materials and methods

Animal and pathogens

Healthy specimens of *L. vannamei*, averaging 5 g each, were utilized for our experiments. These specific pathogen-free (SPF) shrimp were sourced from Hisenor Company's shrimp farm in Maoming, Guangdong Province, China. The shrimp were maintained in a recirculating water tank system filled with air-pumped seawater at a salinity of 25‰ and a constant temperature of 25 °C. They were fed thrice daily to satiation with a commercial shrimp diet provided by HAID Group, Guangzhou, China. The inoculum for the WSSV, Chinese strain (AF332093), was prepared following previously described methodologies (Li et al., 2018).

WSSV was extracted from the muscle tissue of WSSV-infected shrimp and subsequently stored at –80 °C. Prior to injection, the muscle tissue was homogenized to prepare the WSSV inoculum, containing approximately 1×10^5 copies in 50 μl of Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS; 140 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, 1.8 mM KH_2PO_4, pH 7.4). For the pathogenic challenge experiments, each shrimp was administered an intraperitoneal injection of 50 μl of the WSSV solution into the second abdominal segment. Injections were performed using a 1-ml syringe (Becton Dickinson, Shanghai, China).

Drosophila C Virus (DCV) were kindly provided by Professor Jiahuai Han's Lab. The DCV was amplified in the S2 cells, after 3 days of infection, culture medium was collected and centrifuged at 4 °C for 20 min (2,000 × *g*), then the supernatant was collected and aliquots were frozen at –80 °C.

Cells and cells assays

Drosophila S2 cells (ATCC CRL 1963) were cultured at 28 °C in Schneider's Insect Medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY).

To knockdown *DmHSF1 in vitro*, 8×10^6 S2 were transfected with 15 μg dsRNA in a 6-well plate by using FuGENE® HD Transfection Reagent (Promega Shanghai, China), and transfected cells were incubated at 27 °C for 3 days before the transfection

and DCV infection assays according to a previously published method (Yang et al.,

2018).

For temperature assays, S2 cells were seeded into 6-well plate and then incubated

at 27 ℃ or 30 ℃ for 24 h, the transcription of DCV and DmHSF1 were detected by

qPCR. Besides, the same numbers of dsRNA-treated cells were infected with DCV at

a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 in a 6-well plate at 27 ℃ or 30 ℃ for 24 h and

replaced with fresh medium, and the total RNA of S2 cells were extracted for qPCR

analysis.

Transcriptomic analysis

Two groups of *L. vannamei* shrimp, Group W and Group TW, each comprising 30

individuals, were initially cultured at 25 ℃. For Group W, the shrimp were injected

with WSSV and continuously maintained at 25 ℃. In contrast, Group TW shrimp were

also injected with WSSV, but the culture temperature was raised to 32 ℃ within 3 hours

at 24 hours post-injection (hpi). At 12 hours post-temperature raising (hptr), gill

samples from 8 shrimp in both Group W and Group TW were collected. These samples

were immediately preserved in liquid nitrogen for subsequent analysis. Transcriptomic

sequencing was performed by LianChuan Biotech (Hangzhou, China). The FPKM

value was used to represent gene expression levels in each sample. The differential

expression between the two groups, each with three biological replicates, was

determined using DESeq2 software, accepting criteria of log2 (Fold Change) ≥ 1 and

an adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05. Gene annotations were provided for the *L. vannamei* shrimp

genome (Zhang et al., 2019). OmicShare tools (www.omicshare.com/tools) was used

to predict potential transcription factors and generate a heatmap.

To identify the genes whose expression was regulated by *LvHSF1*, healthy shrimp

were administered an intramuscular injection of 10 μg dsRNA targeting the *LvHSF1*

gene or *GFP* as a control. After 48 hours, shrimp were injected with 1×10^5 copies of

WSSV. At 24 hours post WSSV challenge, gill samples from 8 shrimp in both *dsHSF1*

and *dsGFP* were collected. These samples were immediately preserved in liquid

nitrogen for subsequent analysis. The sequencing and heatmap were performed

similarly to the above procedure.

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and DNA and protein extraction

Total RNA was extracted from different tissues of shrimp using the Eastep Super

Total RNA Extraction Kit (Promega, Shanghai, China). The genomic DNA of shrimp

tissues was extracted using a genomic DNA extraction kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China).

First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using a cDNA synthesis kit (Accurate

Biology, Hunan, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Protein samples

from gills and hemocytes were homogenized separately in IP lysis buffer (25 mM Tris-

HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 5% glycerin; Thermo Scientific,

Shanghai, China) with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Merck,

Kenilworth, NJ, USA), and then centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4 ℃ to

collect the supernatant for further analysis.

Tissue expression and immune challenge analysis by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

QPCR assays were performed to assess the mRNA levels in tissues expression

distribution, immune stimulation or the *in vivo* RNAi experiments. Expression levels

of *HSF1* (GenBank accession No. AHI13794), *SWD* (GenBank accession No.

PP786678) and *VP28* (GenBank accession No. NP_477943.1) were detected using

LightCycler480 System (Roche, Basel, Germany) in a final reaction volume of 10 μl,

which was comprised of 1 μl of 1: 10 cDNA diluted with ddH$_2$O, 5 μl of SYBR Green

Pro Taq HS Mix (Accurate Biology, Hunan, China) and 250 nM of specific primers

(Supplementary table 1).

For tissue distribution, shrimp were cultured at low temperature (25 ℃) and high

temperature (32 ℃). Shrimp tissues of epithelium, hemocyte, stomach, nerves, eyestalk,

gill, antenna, hepatopancreases, muscle, heart, intestine and pleopod were sampled and

pooled from 15 shrimp. For immune stimulation, the treated group were injected with

5 μg polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (Poly (I: C)) or 50 μl WSSV ($\sim 1 \times 10^5$ copies), and

the control group was injected with PBS solution. Hemocyte, gill and intestine of

challenged shrimps were collected at 0, 4, 8, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 72 h after injection. The cycling program were performed as described previously (Xiao et al., 2021). The expression of each gene was calculated using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method after normalization to *EF-1α* (GenBank accession No. GU136229) and *β-Actin* (GenBank accession No. AF186250). Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary table 1.

The transcription of *DCV*, *DmHSF1* (GenBank accession No. NM_057227.5) and *DmAMPs* were also detect by quantitative real-time PCR. The expression of each gene was calculated using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method after normalization to *DmRpL32* (GenBank accession No. NP_733340.1). Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary table 1.

Detection of viral loads by absolute quantitative PCR

To measure viral titers in shrimp, absolute quantitative PCR (ab-qPCR) was employed. This process utilized a set of primers, wsv069 (WSSV32678-F/WSSV32753-R), targeting a single-copy gene of the WSSV, along with a Taq-Man fluorogenic probe (WSSV32706), as detailed in previous studies. Gill tissue samples were collected from WSSV-infected shrimp. DNA extraction from these samples was conducted using previously described methods (Fu et al., 2022). The concentration of WSSV genome copies was quantified by ab-qPCR, using the specific primers WSSV32678-F/WSSV32753-R and the TaqMan fluorogenic probe, as listed in Supplementary table 1. To ensure accuracy, each shrimp sample underwent three replicates of ab-qPCR. The number of WSSV genome copies was calculated and normalized against 0.1 μg of shrimp tissue DNA.

Recombinant protein expression and purification

The coding sequences of SWD (without the signal peptide, 1-26 amino acids) was amplified by PCR using corresponding primers (Supplementary table 1) and subcloned into pET-32a (+) plasmid (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). After confirmed by sequencing, the recombinant plasmid was transferred into *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) cells (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China). Then, positive clones harboring the desired

fragment were selected for inducing expression. After 4 hours of induction with 0.1

mM Isopropyl β-D-Thiogalactoside (IPTG) at 30 °C, cells were pelleted by

centrifugation and sonicated for 30 minutes on ice water. The supernatant from the

sonicated proteins was purified by using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen, Düsseldorf,

Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The rTrx-His-tag, GST and

HSF1-GST proteins were induced and purified in the same way. The purified proteins

were checked by Coomassie staining or Western blot analysis. The concentration of the

purified proteins was determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Beyotime

Biotechnology, Shanghai, China).

SDS-PAGE and western blotting

Western blotting was performed to evaluate the protein levels of LvHSF1, VP28,

DCV, the purified SWD, rTrx-His-tag and LvHSF1-GST. And then the proteins were

separated on 12.5% SDS-PAGE gels and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride

(PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). After blocking with 5%

nonfat milk diluted in Tris Buffered Saline with Tween 20 (TBST) buffer (150 mM

NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) for 1 hour, and the membrane was

incubated with 1: 1000 polyclonal rabbit anti-LvHSF1 (produced in Gene Create,

Wuhan, China), 1: 1000 polyclonal rabbit anti-VP28 (produced in Abmart, Shanghai,

China), 1: 1000 polyclonal rabbit anti-DCV capsid polyprotein (Abcam, Cambridge,

UK), 1:1000 mouse anti-6 × His (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) or 1:1000 mouse anti-

GST (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) for 2 hours at 25 °C. The PVDF membranes

were washed for three times with TBST and then incubated with 1:5000 goat anti-rabbit

IgG (H+ L) HRP or 1:5000 goat anti-mouse IgG (H+ L) HRP secondary antibody

(Promega, Shanghai, China) for 1 hour. Membranes were developed using an enhanced

chemiluminescent blotting substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA),

and the chemiluminescent signal was detected using the 5200 Chemiluminescence

Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

Knockdown of specific genes by RNA interference (RNAi)

The T7 RiboMAX Express RNAi System kit (Promega, Shanghai, China) was employed for the synthesis of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) targeting *HSF1*, *SWD* and *GFP*. The specific primers used for dsRNA synthesis are listed in Supplementary table 1. The integrity and quality of the synthesized dsRNA were verified using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified with a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Shanghai, China). For the experimental treatments, shrimp were injected with 2 μg/g of body weight of the respective dsRNAs, dissolved in 50 μl of PBS. Control groups received injections of GFP dsRNA and PBS. Hemocytes and gills were collected from the shrimp 48 hours post-dsRNA injection. Total RNA was extracted from the collected tissue samples. The efficiency of RNAi was evaluated by qPCR using the corresponding primers for each gene. This assessment aimed to confirm the knockdown of the targeted genes in the experimental groups compared to the controls as described previously (Li et al., 2024).

Survival assays

Healthy shrimp were divided into two groups (n = 30 each) and received an intramuscular injection of 10 μg dsRNA solution (HSF1 dsRNA or GFP dsRNA diluted in PBS). After 48 hours post dsRNA injection, the shrimp in each group were injected again with 1×10^5 copies of WSSV particles diluted in 50 μl PBS. The first group was maintained at low temperature (25 °C), the second at a high temperature (32 °C). The survival rates of each group were recorded at 4-hour intervals.

To knockdown the expression of SWD, healthy shrimp were administered an intramuscular injection of 10 μg dsRNA targeting the *SWD* gene or PBS as a control. After 48 hours, shrimp were injected with 1×10^5 copies of WSSV, with a parallel group receiving a mock challenge with PBS (n = 30). To evaluate the effect of recombinant rSWD on WSSV replication *in vivo*, rescue experiments were conducted. Shrimp (n = 30) were co-injected with 10 μg rSWD or rTRX along with the WSSV inoculum. The survival rates of each group were recorded at 4-hour intervals. Differences in survival between groups were analyzed using the Mantel-Cox (log-rank χ^2 test) method, employing GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

698

Dual-luciferase reporter assays

The partial promoter sequence with 2000 bp upstream of SWD was cloned using the specific primers (Supplementary table 1) and then linked into pGL3-Basic (Promega, Shanghai, China) to generate pGL3-SWD (reporter plasmid). The vector pGL3-SWD-M1, pGL3-SWD-M2 and pGL3-SWD-M1/2 with mutant of the HSF1 binding motif were also generated, respectively. *Drosophila* S2 cell was used instead in order to detect the effects of HSF1 on the promoters of SWD. For dual-luciferase reporter assays, S2 cells were plated into a 96-well plate, and 12 hours later, the cells of each well were transfected with 0.05 μg of firefly luciferase reporter gene plasmids, 0.001 μg pRL-TK renilla luciferase plasmid (Promega Shanghai, China), or 0.05 μg proteins expression plasmids or empty pAc5.1A plasmids (as controls) using the Fugene HD Transfection Reagent (Promega Shanghai, China) according to the user manual. Forty-eight hours post-transfection, the dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed in order to calculate the relative ratios of firefly and renilla luciferase activities using the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System kit (Promega Shanghai, China), according to the manufacturer's instructions. All experiments were repeated six times.

To explore the regulatory activity of DmHSF1 on the DmAMPs (Atta, CecA and Def), we constructed the vector pGL3-Atta, pGL3-CecA and pGL3-Def. And the vector pGL3-Atta, pGL3-CecA and pGL3- Def with mutant of the HSF1 binding motif were also generated, respectively. The dual-luciferase reporter assays were conducted in the same way.

EMSA assay

EMSA was performed using a Light Shift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to a previously published method (Wang et al., 2020). Briefly, the biotin-labeled or unbiotin-labeled probes were designed using the *HSF1* binding motif sequence (5'- CTATAGAACCATC-3' and 5'-GACTTTTCGAGGA -3'). The mutant probe was designed via mutating the HSF1 binding motif sequence. All of the probes were synthesized by Ruibo Technologies

(Guangzhou, China), and sequences are listed in Supplementary table 1. In brief, the

recombinant proteins (10 μg) of HSF1 were incubated with 20 fmol of the probes for

the binding reactions. The reactions were separated on a 5% native PAGE gel,

transferred to positively charged nylon membranes (Roche, Germany), and cross-linked

by UV light. Then the biotin-labeled DNA on the membrane was detected by

chemiluminescence and developed on x-ray film, followed by enhanced

chemiluminescence (ECL) visualization (Tanon, Shanghai, China).

Pull-down assays

Pull-down assays were performed to explore whether the recombinant rSWD

could interact with the main envelope proteins of WSSV (VP19, VP24, VP26 and

VP28). The recombinant GST-tagged VP19, VP24, VP26 and VP28 were obtained from

our previous studies (Xiao et al., 2020). For GST pull-down assays, 5 μg rSWD was

incubated with 5 μg of GST-tagged WSSV protein solution at 4 ℃ for 3 h by agitation.

Subsequently, 20 μl of the GST resin were added and the agitation continued for another

2 h. The resin was washed four times with PBS. Finally, the resins were re-suspended

in 50 μl of the SDS-PAGE sample buffer, boiled and analyzed by Western blot analysis

using 6 × His antibody. For His pull-down assays, 5 μg rSWD was incubated with 5 μg

of GST-tagged WSSV protein solution at 4 ℃ for 3 h by agitation. Subsequently, 20 μl

of the Ni-NTA binding resin were added and the agitation continued for another 2 h.

The resin was washed four times with PBS. Finally, the resins were re-suspended in 50

μl of the SDS-PAGE sample buffer, boiled and analyzed by Western blot analysis using

GST-tag antibody.

Immunocytochemical staining

Immunocytochemical staining was used to observe the co-localization of SWD

and WSSV VP proteins. *Drosophila* S2 cells were seeded onto poly-L-lysine-treated

glass cover slips in a 24-well plate with approximate 40% confluent. The S2 cells of

each well were transfected with 0.5 μg pAc5.1-SWD-Flag, pAc5.1-VP24-HA or

pAc5.1-VP26-HA using the MIK-3000 Transfection Reagent (MIKX, Shenzhen,

China). Thirty-six hours post plasmid transfection, cell culture medium was removed, and cells washed with PBS three times. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 25 °C for 15 min following with methanol permeabilization at -20 °C for 10 min. After washing sides for three times, cells were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (dissolved in PBS) for 60 min at 25 °C and then incubated with rabbit anti-HA antibody (CST, 1:300 diluted in 2% BSA) and mouse anti-Flag antibody (CST, 1:300 diluted in 2% BSA). The slides were then washed with PBS three times and then incubated with anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 488 (CST, 1:1000 diluted in 2% BSA) and anti-mouse IgG (H+L) Alexa Fluor 594 (CST, 1:1000 diluted in 2% BSA) for 60 min at 25 °C in the dark. The cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst (Beyotime, Shanghai, China; cat. no. C1002) for 5 min. Finally, fluorescence was visualized on a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS-SP8, Wetzlar, Germany).

Statistical analysis

All data were presented as means ± SD. Student's *t* test was used to calculate the comparisons between groups of numerical data. For survival rates, data were subjected to statistical analysis using GraphPad Prism software (Graphpad, San Diego, CA, USA) to generate the Kaplan–Meier plot (log-rank χ^2 test).

Declaration of interest statement: All authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author contributions: Chaozheng Li, Jianguo He and Bang Xiao conceived and designed the experiments. Bang Xiao, Shihan Chen, Yue Wang, Xuzheng Liao and Chaozheng Li performed the experiments and analyzed data. Bang Xiao, Shihan Chen, Yue Wang, Xuzheng Liao and Chaozheng Li wrote the draft manuscript. Chaozheng Li and Jianguo He acquired finding. Chaozheng Li was responsible for forming the hypothesis, project development, data coordination, and writing, finalizing, and submitting the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and approved the final version.

Acknowledgement: This research was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFF1000304), National Natural Science Foundation of China (32022085/31930113/32373158), Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai) (SML2023SP234), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2023M743987), and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2023A1515110528). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Data availability: All reagents and experimental data are available within transparent methods or from corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics statement: All animal experiments were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Sun Yat-Sen University (Approval No. SYSU-IACUC-2023-B0005).

 Figures and Figure legends

Figure 1.

Figure 1. Identification of HSF1 as a key factor induced by high temperature.

(A) Diagram illustration of the experimental setup for transcriptome analysis. Group W

was injected with WSSV and maintained continuously at 25 °C. In contrast, Group TW,

also injected with WSSV, had their culture temperature increased to 32°C at 24 hours

post-injection (hpi).

(B) Identification of high temperature-induced genes by RNA-Seq. RNA sequencing

was performed 12 hours post-temperature increase. Gill samples from 8 shrimp in each

group were collected for Illumina sequencing (NCBI SRA database accession number

PRJNA1050424).

(C) Heatmap showing the differential expression of upregulated genes encoding

potential transcription factors. The heatmap displays the fragments per kilobase per

transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) values from three biological replicates,

shown after logarithmic transformation.

Figure 2.

Figure 2. Upregulation of LvHSF1 in shrimp challenged by WSSV at both low

(25 °C) and high (32 °C) temperatures.

(A) Transcriptional expression of LvHSF1 in different tissues of healthy shrimp

subjected to low (25 °C) and high (32 °C) temperatures for 12 hours. The pleopod tissue

at 25 °C serves as a reference, with its expression level set to 1.0. Expression values

were normalized against EF-1α and β-Actin using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method. Data

represent the mean ± SD from triplicate assays.

(B) Protein levels of HSF1 at 25 °C and 32 °C detected by western blotting.

(C-E) Expression profiles of LvHSF1 after Poly (I:C) injection at high temperatures

(32 °C) in hemocytes (C), gills **(D)** and intestines (E). Expression levels were measured

by qPCR, normalized against EF-1α and β-Actin using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method, and

presented as mean ± SD from triplicate assays.

(F-H) Expression profiles of LvHSF1 after WSSV injection at high temperatures (32 °C)

in hemocytes (F), gills (G) and intestines (H). Expression levels were measured by

qPCR, normalized against EF-1α and β-Actin using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method, and

presented as mean ± SD from triplicate assays.

Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's *t*-test (**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05).

All experiments were representative of three biological replicates and yielded similar

results.

Figure 3.

Figure 3. Role of HSF1 in restricting WSSV replication in shrimp at low (25 °C) temperatures.

(A, B) Silencing Efficiency of dsRNA-LvHSF1. The efficacy of two dsRNA-LvHSF1 constructs (dsLvHSF1-1 and dsLvHSF1-2) was assessed by qPCR in hemocytes (A) and gills (B). Additionally, protein levels of LvHSF1 were evaluated by western blotting in both tissues (panels a and b). Expression values were normalized against EF-1α and β-Actin using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method. Data represent the mean ± SD from triplicate assays.

(C, D) Quantification of WSSV copies. The quantity of WSSV copies in hemocytes (C) and gills (D) at low temperature (25 °C) post-WSSV infection was determined using

absolute quantitative PCR.

(E, F) Transcriptional expression of the VP28 gene. The transcriptional expression of

the VP28 gene was analyzed by qPCR in hemocytes (E) and gills (F) at low temperature

(25 °C) following WSSV infection.

(G) Expression of WSSV VP28 protein. Expression of WSSV VP28 protein was

detected by western blotting in hemocytes and gills at low temperature (25 °C) after

WSSV infection. Quantification and statistical analysis of three independent repeats

were performed using ImageJ (panel g).

(H) Survival rates of WSSV-infected shrimp post-LvHSF1 knockdown. The survival

rates of WSSV-infected shrimp post-LvHSF1 knockdown were monitored at low

temperature (25 °C), with recordings made every 4 hours.

Statistical analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier plot (log-rank χ^2 test). All

experiments were conducted with three biological replicates, consistently yielding

similar results.

Figure 4.

Figure 4. Role of HSF1 in restricting WSSV replication in shrimp at high (32 °C)

temperatures.

(A) Survival rates of WSSV-infected shrimp post-LvHSF1 knockdown. The survival

rates of WSSV-infected shrimp post-LvHSF1 knockdown were monitored at high

temperature (32 °C), with recordings made every 4 hours. Statistical analysis was

performed using the Kaplan-Meier plot (log-rank χ^2 test).

(B, C) Quantification of WSSV copies. The quantity of WSSV copies in gills (B) and

hemocytes (C) at high temperature (32 °C) post-WSSV infection was determined using

absolute quantitative PCR.

(D) Expression of WSSV VP28 protein. Expression of WSSV VP28 protein was

detected by western blotting in gills at high temperature (32 °C) after WSSV infection.

Quantification and statistical analysis of three independent repeats were performed

using ImageJ (panel d). Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's *t*-test

(**$p < 0.01$). All experiments were conducted with three biological replicates,

consistently yielding similar results.

Figure 5.

 Figure 5. SWD is a potential antiviral effector regulated by HSF1.

 (A) Venn diagram showing the downregulated genes by RNA-Seq. RNA-seq was

 performed 24 hours after WSSV infection (NCBI SRA database accession number

 PRJNA1110613).

 (B) Heatmap showing the differential expression of downregulated genes encoding

 potential effector molecules. The color bar indicates the gradient of normalized

 expression levels.

(C) mRNA transcription levels of SWD in hemocytes and gills. mRNA transcription levels of SWD in the hemocytes and gills of LvHSF1-silenced shrimp under WSSV challenge.

(D) Dual-luciferase reporter assays. Dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed to analyze the effects of overexpression of LvHSF1 on the promoter activities of SWD in *Drosophila* S2 cells in a dose-dependent manner. Protein expression of LvHSF1 was detected with anti-HA Ab, with β-actin used as a protein loading control (panel d).

(E) Schematic diagram of the SWD promoter regions. Schematic diagram of the SWD promoter regions in the luciferase reporter gene constructs. The HSF1 binding motif sites are shown in red rectangles.

(F) Dual-luciferase reporter assays with mutated HSF1 binding motifs. Dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed to analyze the effects of overexpression of LvHSF1 on the promoter activities of SWD with mutated HSF1 binding motifs. Protein expression of LvHSF1 was detected with anti-HA Ab, with β-actin used as a protein loading control (panel f).

(G) Analysis of the SWD promoter. The HSF1 binding site was analyzed using the online JASPAR database.

(H) EMSA assay. LvHSF1 protein interaction with HSF1 binding sites from the SWD promoter was analyzed *in vitro* by EMSA assay. Competition assays were performed in the presence of excess unlabeled probes.

Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's *t*-test (**$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$). All experiments were conducted with three biological replicates, consistently yielding similar results.

Figure 6.

Figure 6. *SWD* possessed potent antiviral activities against WSSV.

(A) Expression of SWD in gills from WSSV-challenged shrimp.

(B) Silencing efficiency of SWD in gills. Silencing efficiency of SWD in gills 48 hours

after WSSV infection.

(C) Quantity of WSSV copies in gills at high temperature (32 °C). The quantity of

WSSV copies in gills at high temperature (32 °C) was detected by absolute quantitative

PCR at 24 and 48 hours after WSSV infection. DsGFP was used as a control.

(D) Survival rates of WSSV-infected shrimp post-SWD knockdown. Survival rates of

WSSV-infected shrimp post-SWD knockdown at high temperature (32 °C) were

monitored, with recordings made every 4 hours. Statistical analysis was performed

using the Kaplan-Meier plot (log-rank χ^2 test).

(E) SDS-PAGE analysis of recombinant SWD protein expressed in *E. coli*. Line 1:

Uninduced *E. coli* transformed with SWD; Line 2: Induced *E. coli* transformed with

SWD; Line 3: Supernatant of ultrasonic lysed *E. coli* expressing SWD; Line 4:

Precipitate of lysed *E. coli* expressing SWD. Line 5: Purified recombinant SWD protein

(black arrow).

(F) Western blotting of purified rSWD protein. Purified rSWD protein was checked by

Western blotting with anti-6×-His Ab.

(G) Quantity of WSSV copies in shrimp gills following SWD application post-SWD

knockdown. The quantity of WSSV copies in shrimp gills following 10 μg SWD

application post-SWD knockdown at high temperature (32 °C) was measured *in vivo* at

24 and 48 hours using absolute quantitative PCR.

(H) Survival rates post-rSWD application. Shrimp were injected with 10 μg rSWD or

control protein, mixed with WSSV inoculum post-SWD knockdown at high

temperature (32 °C). Survival rates were recorded every 4 hours.

(I) Quantity of WSSV copies in shrimp gills following SWD application post-LvHSF1

knockdown. The quantity of WSSV copies in shrimp gills following 10 μg SWD

application post-LvHSF1 knockdown at high temperature (32 °C) was measured *in vivo*

at 24 and 48 hours using absolute quantitative PCR.

(J) Expression of WSSV VP28 protein. Expression of WSSV VP28 protein was

detected by Western blotting in gills at high temperature (32 °C) after WSSV infection.

Quantification and statistical analysis of three independent repeats were performed

using ImageJ (panel j).

(K) Survival rates post-rSWD application. Shrimp were injected with 10 μg rSWD or

control protein, mixed with WSSV inoculum post-LvHSF1 knockdown at high

temperature (32 °C). Survival rates were recorded every 4 hours.

Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's *t*-test (**$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$).

All experiments were conducted with three biological replicates, consistently yielding

similar results.

Figure 7.

Figure 7. SWD interacts with envelope proteins of WSSV.

(A, B) GST pull-down assay for the detection of the interaction between rSWD with

VP19, VP24, VP26, and VP28. The results were shown via staining with Coomassie

blue (A) or Western blotting using 6×-His Ab (B). The GST-tag protein was used as a

control.

(C, D) His pull-down assay for the detection of the interaction of rSWD with VP19,

VP24, VP26, and VP28 via Coomassie blue staining (C) or Western blotting using the

GST-tag Ab (D). The GST tag protein was used as a control.

(E, F) The colocalization of SWD with VP24 and VP26 in S2 cells, 24 hours post-

plasmid transfection. VP24 and VP26 was detected with rabbit anti-HA antibodies and

anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488, while SWD was identified using anti-Flag antibodies and

anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 594. DAPI staining highlighted the nuclei. The scale bar

represents 10 μm. (e-f) Quantitative analysis of fluorescence colocalization.

Colocalization intensity was quantitatively analyzed, with complete colocalization

indicated by overlapping peaks and maxima shifted by less than 20 nm.

All experiments were representative of three biological replicates and yielded similar

results.

1002

1003 **Figure 8.**

1004

Figure 8. High temperature-induced DmHSF1-AMPs axis restricts DCV

replication in *Drosophila* S2 cells.

(A) Transcriptional expression of DmHSF1. Transcriptional expression of DmHSF1 in

S2 cells with or without DCV infection at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures.

(B) Transcriptional expression of DmAMPs. Transcriptional expression of DmAMPs

in S2 cells at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures.

(C) Transcriptional expression of DmAMPs with or without DCV infection.

Transcriptional expression of DmAMPs in S2 cells with or without DCV infection.

(D) Silencing efficiency of DmHSF1. Silencing efficiency of DmHSF1 in S2 cells 24

hours after dsRNA application with or without DCV infection.

(E) mRNA expression of DCV. mRNA expression of DCV at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures after DmHSF1 knockdown, with dsGFP used as a control. (e) Protein expression of DCV at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures after DmHSF1 knockdown.

(F) mRNA expression of DmAMPs. mRNA expression of DmAMPs at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures after DmHSF1 knockdown and DCV infection.

(G) mRNA expression of DCV after DmHSF1 overexpression. mRNA expression of DCV at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures after DmHSF1 overexpression. (g) Protein expression of DCV at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures after DmHSF1 overexpression.

(H) mRNA expression of DmAMPs after DmHSF1 overexpression. mRNA expression of DmAMPs at low (27 °C) and high (30 °C) temperatures after DmHSF1 overexpression and DCV infection.

(I) Schematic Diagram of DmAMPs Promoter Regions. Schematic diagram of the DmAMPs promoter regions in the luciferase reporter gene constructs. The HSF1 binding motif sites are shown in red rectangles.

(J) Dual-luciferase reporter assays. Dual-luciferase reporter assays were performed to analyze the effects of DmHSF1 overexpression on the promoter activities of DmAMPs with or without mutated HSF1 binding motifs.

(K) mRNA expression of DCV following DmHSF1 overexpression post-DmAtta Knockdown. mRNA expression of DCV at high (30 °C) temperatures following DmHSF1 overexpression post-DmAtta knockdown. (k) Protein expression of DCV at high (30°C) temperatures following DmHSF1 overexpression post-DmAtta knockdown.

Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's t-test (**$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$). All experiments were conducted with three biological replicates, consistently yielding similar results.

1043 **Figure 9.**

1044

Figure 9. Model for the HSF1-AMPs-mediated high temperature-induced
resistance to viruses in arthropods.

Elevated temperature induces a robust expression of LvHSF1, which in turn specifically
induces the expression of the antimicrobial peptide (SWD) in shrimp. The SWD
directly binds to WSSV envelope proteins and inhibits WSSV replication (**left panel**).
Additionally, elevated temperature induces the expression of DmHSF1, which
upregulates the expression of Atta, CecA, and Def in *Drosophila* S2 cells, subsequently
restricting the replication of DCV (**right panel**). These findings highlight the roles of
HSF1 beyond the classical heat shock response, mediating the thermal regulation of
immunity and facilitating the innate immune system's response against viruses.

1055

1056 **Supplementary Figure 1. The expression of transcription factors as determined by**

1057 **qPCR.**

1058

1059

Supplementary Figure 2. The expression of profiles of LvHSF1 after Poly (I:C)

injection at low temperature (25 ℃). (A-C) Expression profiles of LvHSF1 after Poly

(I:C) injection at low temperatures (25 °C) in hemocytes (A), gills (B) and intestines

(C). Expression levels were measured by qPCR, normalized against EF-1α and β-Actin

using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method, and presented as mean ± SD from triplicate assays.

(D-F) Expression profiles of LvHSF1 after WSSV injection at low temperatures (25 °C)

in hemocytes (D), gills (E) and intestines (F). Expression levels were measured by

qPCR, normalized against EF-1α and β-Actin using the Livak ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$) method, and

presented as mean ± SD from triplicate assays.

1069

1070

1071

Supplementary Figure 3. SWD conserved sequence alignment in different shrimp species.

1074

1075

Supplementary Figure 4. The expression of effector molecules as determined by qPCR.

1078

Supplementary Figure 5. SDS-PAGE and western blotting analyses of the recombinant LvHSF1 protein expressed in *E. coli*. (A) SDS-PAGE analysis of the recombinant LvHSF1 protein. Line 1, uninduced *E. coli* transformed with LvHSF1; line 2, induced *E. coli* transformed with LvHSF1; line 3, supernatant of ultrasonic lysed *E. coli* expressing LvHSF1; line 4, precipitate of lysed *E. coli* expressing LvHSF1; line 5, purified recombinant LvHSF1 protein (black arrow). (B) Western blotting analysis of the recombinant LvHSF1 protein. Line 1, rLvHSF1-GST; Line 2, GST (black arrow). Purified proteins were checked by Western blotting with anti-GST. (C) The expression of SWD after administration of GST or HSF1 protein in shrimp. (D) WSSV copy after knockdown SWD following injection with rLvHSF1 mixed with WSSV. Statistical significance was calculated using the Student's *t*-test (**p < 0.01, *p < 0.05). All experiments were conducted with three biological replicates, consistently yielding similar results.

1092

1093
1094

Supplementary Figure 6. High temperature restricts DCV replication in *Drosophila* **S2 cells.** (A) *Drosophila* S2 cells were seeded in 6-well plate and incubated at 27 °C or 30 °C for 24 h, then the cells were infected with DCV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10 at 27 °C or 30 °C for another 24 h. The cytopathic signs of S2 cells were observed in inverted fluorescence microscope. (B) The transcriptional expression of DCV in S2 cells with or without DCV infection (black arrow). (C) The protein expression of DCV in S2 cells with or without DCV infection.

1103

1104

1105 **Supplementary Figure 7. Transfection in S2 cells.** (A) The protein expression level

1106 of DmHSF1 was detected by western blotting at 48 h post-transfection in S2 cells. (B)

1107 The silencing efficiency of DmAtta in S2 cells 24 h after dsRNA application with or

1108 without DCV infection.

1109

1110

Reference

Akerfelt, M., Morimoto, R.I., Sistonen, L., 2010. Heat shock factors: integrators of cell stress, development and lifespan. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 11, 545-555.

Akerfelt, M., Trouillet, D., Mezger, V., Sistonen, L., 2007. Heat shock factors at a crossroad between stress and development. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1113, 15-27.

Amin, J., Ananthan, J., Voellmy, R., 1988. Key features of heat shock regulatory elements. *Mol Cell Biol* 8, 3761-3769.

Anckar, J., Sistonen, L., 2011. Regulation of HSF1 function in the heat stress response: implications in aging and disease. *Annu Rev Biochem* 80, 1089-1115.

Andrasi, N., Pettko-Szandtner, A., Szabados, L., 2021. Diversity of plant heat shock factors: regulation, interactions, and functions. *J Exp Bot* 72, 1558-1575.

Barna, J., Csermely, P., Vellai, T., 2018. Roles of heat shock factor 1 beyond the heat shock response. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 75, 2897-2916.

Bicego, K.C., Barros, R.C.H., Branco, L.G.S., 2007. Physiology of temperature regulation: comparative aspects. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* 147, 616-639.

Brogden, K.A., 2005. Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in bacteria? *Nat Rev Microbiol* 3, 238-250.

Bundey, S., Raymond, S., Dean, P., Roberts, S.K., Dillon, R.J., Charnley, A.K., 2003. Eicosanoid involvement in the regulation of behavioral fever in the desert locust, Schistocerca gregaria. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* 52, 183-192.

Carpenter, S., O'Neill, L.A.J., 2024. From periphery to center stage: 50 years of advancements in innate immunity. *Cell* 187, 2030-2051.

Casterlin, M.E., Reynolds, W.W., 1978. Prostaglandin E1 fever in the crayfish Cambarus bartoni. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 9, 593-595.

Casterlin, M.E., Reynolds, W.W., 1979. Fever induced in marine arthropods by prostaglandin E1. *Life Sci* 25, 1601-1603.

Chen, J., Xie, C., Tian, L., Hong, L., Wu, X., Han, J., 2010. Participation of the p38 pathway in *Drosophila* host defense against pathogenic bacteria and fungi. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 20774-20779.

Covert, J.B., Reynolds, W.W., 1977. Survival value of fever in fish. *Nature* 267, 43-45.

Deldicq, N., Langlet, D., Delaeter, C., Beaugrand, G., Seuront, L., Bouchet, V.M.P., 2021. Effects of temperature on the behaviour and metabolism of an intertidal foraminifera and consequences for benthic ecosystem functioning. *Sci Rep* 11, 4013.

Du, H.H., Li, W.F., Xu, Z.R., Kil, Z.S., 2006. Effect of hyperthermia on the replication of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) in *Procambarus clarkii*. *Dis Aquat Organ* 71, 175-178.

Evans, S.S., Repasky, E.A., Fisher, D.T., 2015. Fever and the thermal regulation of immunity: the immune system feels the heat. *Nat Rev Immunol* 15, 335-349.

Fan-xin, M., Li-mei, S., Bei, S., Xin, Q., Yu, Y., Yu, C., 2012. Heat shock factor 1 regulates the expression of the TRPV1 gene in the rat preoptic-anterior hypothalamus area during lipopolysaccharide-induced fever. *Exp Physiol* 97, 730-740.

Filone, C.M., Caballero, I.S., Dower, K., Mendillo, M.L., Cowley, G.S., Santagata, S., Rozelle, D.K., Yen, J., Rubins, K.H., Hacohen, N., Root, D.E., Hensley, L.E., Connor, J., 2014. The master regulator of the cellular stress response (HSF1) is critical for orthopoxvirus infection. *PLoS Pathog* 10, e1003904.

Freitas Lione, V.O., Bittencourt Dos Santos, M.H., Ulisses Carvalho, T.M., Hirata, R., Jr., Mattos-Guaraldi, A.L., Arruda Mortara, R., Nagao, P.E., 2010. Fever temperature enhances mechanisms of survival of

Streptococcus agalactiae within human endothelial cells. *Int J Mol Med* 26, 511-516.

Fu, Q., Li, H., Wang, S., Liao, X., Chen, S., Xiao, B., Chen, R., Jiang, X., He, J., Li, C., 2022. An AhR-Caspase
Axis Mediated Antiviral Apoptosis in an Arthropod. *J Immunol* 209, 2022-2032.

Gao, J., Zhao, B.R., Zhang, H., You, Y.L., Li, F., Wang, X.W., 2021. Interferon functional analog activates
antiviral Jak/Stat signaling through integrin in an arthropod. *Cell Rep* 36, 109761.

Granja, C.B., Aranguren, L.F., Vidal, O.M., Aragon, L., Salazar, M., 2003. Does hyperthermia increase
apoptosis in white spot syndrome virus (WSSV)-infected Litopenaeus vannamei? *Dis Aquat Organ* 54,
73-78.

Guan, Y., Yu, Z., Li, C., 2003. The effects of temperature on white spot syndrome infections in
Marsupenaeus japonicus. *J Invertebr Pathol* 83, 257-260.

Hasday, J.D., Singh, I.S., 2000. Fever and the heat shock response: distinct, partially overlapping
processes. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 5, 471-480.

Hasday, J.D., Thompson, C., Singh, I.S., 2014. Fever, immunity, and molecular adaptations. *Compr Physiol*
4, 109-148.

Hou, G., Lv, Z., Liu, W., Xiong, S., Zhang, Q., Li, C., Wang, X., Hu, L., Ding, C., Song, R., Wang, H., Zhang,
Y.A., Xiao, T., Li, J., 2023. An aquatic virus exploits the IL6-STAT3-HSP90 signaling axis to promote viral
entry. *PLoS Pathog* 19, e1011320.

Jiravanichpaisal, P., Soderhall, K., Soderhall, I., 2004. Effect of water temperature on the immune
response and infectivity pattern of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) in freshwater crayfish. *Fish
Shellfish Immunol* 17, 265-275.

Kluger, M.J., Ringler, D.H., Anver, M.R., 1975. Fever and survival. *Science* 188, 166-168.

Kumsta, C., Chang, J.T., Schmalz, J., Hansen, M., 2017. Hormetic heat stress and HSF-1 induce autophagy
to improve survival and proteostasis in C. elegans. *Nat Commun* 8, 14337.

Lang, B.J., Guerrero, M.E., Prince, T.L., Okusha, Y., Bonorino, C., Calderwood, S.K., 2021. The functions
and regulation of heat shock proteins; key orchestrators of proteostasis and the heat shock response.
Arch Toxicol 95, 1943-1970.

Lee, H., Lee, S.V., 2022. Recent Progress in Regulation of Aging by Insulin/IGF-1 Signaling in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Mol Cells* 45, 763-770.

Li, H., Di, X., Wang, S., Li, Q., Weng, S., He, J., Li, C., 2024. Nucleic Acid Sensing by STING Induces an IFN-
like Antiviral Response in a Marine Invertebrate. *J Immunol* 212, 1945-1957.

Li, H., Yin, B., Wang, S., Fu, Q., Xiao, B., Lu, K., He, J., Li, C., 2018. RNAi screening identifies a new Toll
from shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* that restricts WSSV infection through activating Dorsal to induce
antimicrobial peptides. *PLoS Pathog* 14, e1007109.

Li, Z., Chen, W., Xu, L., Li, F., Yang, F., 2015. Identification of the interaction domains of white spot
syndrome virus envelope proteins VP28 and VP24. *Virus Res* 200, 24-29.

Lin, Y.R., Hung, H.C., Leu, J.H., Wang, H.C., Kou, G.H., Lo, C.F., 2011. The role of aldehyde dehydrogenase
and hsp70 in suppression of white spot syndrome virus replication at high temperature. *J Virol* 85, 3517-
3525.

Liu, L.K., Jian, J.T., Jing, S.S., Gao, R.L., Chi, X.D., Tian, G., Liu, H.P., 2024. The crustacean DNA virus
tegument protein VP26 binds to SNAP29 to inhibit SNARE complex assembly and autophagic
degradation. *J Virol* 98, e0140823.

Loh, E., Kugelberg, E., Tracy, A., Zhang, Q., Gollan, B., Ewles, H., Chalmers, R., Pelicic, V., Tang, C.M., 2013.
Temperature triggers immune evasion by Neisseria meningitidis. *Nature* 502, 237-240.

Louis, C., Jourdan, M., Cabanac, M., 1986. Behavioral fever and therapy in a rickettsia-infected

Orthoptera. *Am J Physiol* 250, R991-995.

M.E. Casterlin, W.W.R., 1977. Bahavioral fever in crayfish. *Hydrobiologia* 56, 99-101.

Marcogliese, D.J., 2008. The impact of climate change on the parasites and infectious diseases of aquatic
animals. *Rev Sci Tech* 27, 467-484.

Matos, G.M., Rosa, R.D., 2022. On the silver jubilee of crustacean antimicrobial peptides. *Rev Aquacult*
14, 594-612.

Merkling, S.H., Overheul, G.J., van Mierlo, J.T., Arends, D., Gilissen, C., van Rij, R.P., 2015. The heat shock
response restricts virus infection in *Drosophila*. *Sci Rep* 5, 12758.

Methatham, T., Boonchuen, P., Jaree, P., Tassanakajon, A., Somboonwiwat, K., 2017. Antiviral action of
the antimicrobial peptide ALFPm3 from *Penaeus monodon* against white spot syndrome virus. *Dev
Comp Immunol* 69, 23-32.

Millard, R.S., Ellis, R.P., Bateman, K.S., Bickley, L.K., Tyler, C.R., van Aerle, R., Santos, E.M., 2021. How do
abiotic environmental conditions influence shrimp susceptibility to disease? A critical analysis focussed
on White Spot Disease. *J Invertebr Pathol* 186, 107369.

Morano, K.A., Grant, C.M., Moye-Rowley, W.S., 2012. The response to heat shock and oxidative stress
in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 190, 1157-1195.

Morimoto, R.I., 2011. The heat shock response: systems biology of proteotoxic stress in aging and
disease. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 76, 91-99.

Neef, D.W., Jaeger, A.M., Gomez-Pastor, R., Willmund, F., Frydman, J., Thiele, D.J., 2014. A direct
regulatory interaction between chaperonin TRiC and stress-responsive transcription factor HSF1. *Cell
Rep* 9, 955-966.

Nekongo, E.E., Ponomarenko, A.I., Dewal, M.B., Butty, V.L., Browne, E.P., Shoulders, M.D., 2020. HSF1
Activation Can Restrict HIV Replication. *ACS Infect Dis* 6, 1659-1666.

Niu, G.J., Wang, S., Xu, J.D., Yang, M.C., Sun, J.J., He, Z.H., Zhao, X.F., Wang, J.X., 2019. The polymeric
immunoglobulin receptor-like protein from *Marsupenaeus japonicus* is a receptor for white spot
syndrome virus infection. *PLoS Pathog* 15, e1007558.

Pan, X.Y., Zhao, W., Zeng, X.Y., Lin, J., Li, M.M., Shen, X.T., Liu, S.W., 2016. Heat Shock Factor 1 Mediates
Latent HIV Reactivation. *Sci Rep* 6, 26294.

Rahman, M.M., Corteel, M., Wille, M., Alday-Sanz, V., Pensaert, M.B., Sorgeloos, P., Nauwynck, H.J.,
2007. The effect of raising water temperature to 33 °C in *Penaeus vannamei* juveniles at different stages
of infection with white spot syndrome virus (WSSV). *Aquaculture* 272, 240-245.

Rakhshaninejad, M., Zheng, L., Nauwynck, H., 2023. Shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) survive white spot
syndrome virus infection by behavioral fever. *Sci Rep* 13, 18034.

Rakus, K., Ronsmans, M., Forlenza, M., Boutier, M., Piazzon, M.C., Jazowiecka-Rakus, J., Gatherer, D.,
Athanasiadis, A., Farnir, F., Davison, A.J., Boudinot, P., Michiels, T., Wiegertjes, G.F., Vanderplasschen, A.,
2017. Conserved Fever Pathways across Vertebrates: A Herpesvirus Expressed Decoy TNF-alpha
Receptor Delays Behavioral Fever in Fish. *Cell Host Microbe* 21, 244-253.

Reyes, A., Navarro, A.J., Diethelm-Varela, B., Kalergis, A.M., Gonzalez, P.A., 2022. Is there a role for HSF1
in viral infections? *FEBS Open Bio* 12, 1112-1124.

Singh, V., Aballay, A., 2006. Heat-shock transcription factor (HSF)-1 pathway required for Caenorhabditis
elegans immunity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103, 13092-13097.

Sun, Y., Li, F., Sun, Z., Zhang, X., Li, S., Zhang, C., Xiang, J., 2014. Transcriptome analysis of the initial stage
of acute WSSV infection caused by temperature change. *PLoS One* 9, e90732.

Tian, F., Hu, X.L., Yao, T., Yang, X., Chen, J.G., Lu, M.Z., Zhang, J., 2021. Recent Advances in the Roles of

HSFs and HSPs in Heat Stress Response in Woody Plants. *Front Plant Sci* 12, 704905.

Vatanavicharn, T., Supungul, P., Puanglarp, N., Yingvilasprasert, W., Tassanakajon, A., 2009. Genomic
structure, expression pattern and functional characterization of crustinPm5, a unique isoform of crustin
from *Penaeus monodon*. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 153, 244-252.

Wang, S., Li, H., Weng, S., Li, C., He, J., 2020. White Spot Syndrome Virus Establishes a Novel IE1/JNK/c-
Jun Positive Feedback Loop to Drive Replication. iScience 23, 100752.

Wang, S., Sun, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, A., Kou, B., Che, Y., Chen, D., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., 2021. ASPP2 inhibits
hepatitis B virus replication by preventing nucleus translocation of HSF1 and attenuating the
transactivation of ATG7. *J Cell Mol Med* 25, 6899-6908.

Wang, X.X., Zhang, H., Gao, J., Wang, X.W., 2024. Ammonia stress-induced heat shock factor 1 enhances
white spot syndrome virus infection by targeting the interferon-like system in shrimp. *mBio* 15,
e0313623.

Weihrauch, D., Joseph, G., Allen, G.J.P., 2018. Ammonia excretion in aquatic invertebrates: new insights
and questions. *J Exp Biol* 221.

Wu, X., Xiong, H., Wang, Y., Du, H., 2015. Immunomodulatory effects of hyperthermia on resisting WSSV
infection in Procambarus clarkii. *J Fish Dis* 38, 567-574.

Xiao, B., Fu, Q., Niu, S., Zhu, P., He, J., Li, C., 2020. Penaeidins restrict white spot syndrome virus infection
by antagonizing the envelope proteins to block viral entry. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 9, 390-412.

Xiao, B., Liao, X., Wang, H., He, J., Li, C., 2021. BigPEN, an antimicrobial peptide of penaeidin family from
shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei with membrane permeable and DNA binding activity. *Fish Shellfish*
Immunol Rep 2, 100034.

Xiao, B., Wang, Y., He, J., Li, C., 2024. Febrile Temperature Acts through HSP70-Toll4 Signaling to Improve
Shrimp Resistance to White Spot Syndrome Virus. *J Immunol* 213, 1187-1201.

Xiao, H., Lis, J.T., 1988. Germline transformation used to define key features of heat-shock response
elements. *Science* 239, 1139-1142.

Yang, S., Yu, J., Fan, Z., Gong, S.T., Tang, H., Pan, L., 2018. Bub1 Facilitates Virus Entry through Endocytosis
in a Model of *Drosophila* Pathogenesis. *J Virol* 92.

You, X.X., Su, Y.Q., Mao, Y., Liu, M., Wang, J., Zhang, M., Wu, C., 2010. Effect of high water temperature
on mortality, immune response and viral replication of WSSV-infected juveniles and adults. *Aquaculture*
305, 133-137.

Yuasa, K., Ito, T., Sano, M., 2008. Effect of Water Temperature on Mortality and Virus Shedding in Carp
Experimentally Infected with Koi Herpesvirus. *Fish Pathol* 43, 83-85.

Zhang, X., Yuan, J., Sun, Y., Li, S., Gao, Y., Yu, Y., Liu, C., Wang, Q., Lv, X., Zhang, X., Ma, K.Y., Wang, X., Lin,
W., Wang, L., Zhu, X., Zhang, C., Zhang, J., Jin, S., Yu, K., Kong, J., Xu, P., Chen, J., Zhang, H., Sorgeloos, P.,
Sagi, A., Alcivar-Warren, A., Liu, Z., Wang, L., Ruan, J., Chu, K.H., Liu, B., Li, F., Xiang, J., 2019. Penaeid
shrimp genome provides insights into benthic adaptation and frequent molting. *Nat Commun* 10, 356.

Zhao, Z.Y., Yin, Z.X., Xu, X.P., Weng, S.P., Rao, X.Y., Dai, Z.X., Luo, Y.W., Yang, G., Li, Z.S., Guan, H.J., Li, S.D.,
Chan, S.M., Yu, X.Q., He, J.G., 2009. A novel C-type lectin from the shrimp Litopenaeus vannamei
possesses anti-white spot syndrome virus activity. *Journal of Virology* 83, 347-356.

Zheng, X., Krakowiak, J., Patel, N., Beyzavi, A., Ezike, J., Khalil, A.S., Pincus, D., 2016. Dynamic control of
Hsf1 during heat shock by a chaperone switch and phosphorylation. *Elife* 5.

Zhu, F., Ding, H., Zhu, B., 2013. Transcriptional profiling of *Drosophila* S2 cells in early response to
Drosophila C virus. *Virol J* 10, 210.

1286