Endogenous Retrovirus Loci and Induced Changes in Gene Expression in Japanese

Indigenous Chickens

Shinya Ishihara[1*], Jun-ichi Shiraishi[1], Saki Shimamoto[2], Daichi Ijiri[2]

[1] Department of Animal Science, Nippon Veterinary and Life Science University, 1-7-1

Kyonancho, Musashino, Tokyo, Japan, 180-8602

[2] Department of Animal Science and Welfare, Joint Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,

Kagoshima University, 1-21-24 Korimoto, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan

Short title; ERV Loci and Gene Expression in Japanese Chickens

Corresponding author:

Shinya Ishihara

Address: Department of Animal Science, Nippon Veterinary and Life Science University,

1-7-1 Kyonancho, Musashino, Tokyo, Japan, 180-8602

E-mail: shinyais@nvlu.ac.jp

Phone: +81-422-31-4151

Summary

When retroviruses infect germ cells and are transmitted to offspring, they become

endogenous retroviruses (ERVs), whose insertions influence the expression of nearby

genes. This study aimed to identify the genomic loci of ERVs in commercial broiler

(Ross308), Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens as well as to elucidate their impact on

neighboring gene expression. Whole-genome data were obtained using next-generation

sequencing, and candidate ERV loci were identified using the RetroSeq software. The

Integrative Genomics Viewer tool was used to confirm target site duplications (TSDs) as

evidence of ERV insertions. All reads within 200 bp of these TSDs were extracted to create

contigs, confirming the presence of ERV sequences in the contigs using BLASTN. Gene

expression levels were estimated by focusing on genes located near the 172 identified ERV

loci. Among these, 119 loci were detected in broiler chickens, 80 in Tosa-Jidori chickens,

and 86 in Yakido chickens, with 28 loci shared among them. Moreover, of these 172 loci,

75 were located within or near genes. Significant differences in gene expression were

observed for N-acetylated alpha-linked acidic dipeptidase 2, glypican 6, and phospholipid

scramblase family member 5 depending on the presence of ERV insertions. These results

suggest that ERV insertions may influence the expression of certain genes, providing

insights into the genetic diversity and evolutionary background of commercial and

indigenous chickens. Understanding the effects of ERV insertions on gene expression can

inform future genetic research and poultry breeding programs aimed at improving health

and productivity.

Author Summary

Recently, endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) have gained significant attention as valuable

markers for understanding genetic relationships and evolutionary processes among species.

In this study, we investigated the loci and characteristics of ERVs in commercial and

traditional Japanese chickens. ERVs are genetic remnants of ancient viral infections that

can provide insights into avian evolution. We identified a total of 172 ERV loci in broiler,

Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens. Each chicken breed exhibited unique ERV insertion

patterns. Notably, we found that ERV insertions near certain genes may influence gene

expression. Our research enhances the understanding of how chickens have acquired traits,

particularly through genetic mechanisms influenced by ERVs. These insights significantly

contribute to our knowledge of biological evolution and the overall biodiversity of birds.

Introduction

Endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) are ancient genetic elements comprising virus-derived

sequences that have been integrated into the genomes of various organisms. These are

formed when viruses insert themselves into a host genome and are subsequently transmitted

to the its progeny. Structurally, ERVs possess long terminal repeats (LTRs) at both ends

and encode structural proteins (*gag*), reverse transcriptases (*pol*), and envelope proteins

(*env*). These sequences accumulate mutations and become fragmented over generations,

often leading to a loss of function in many ERVs. Once integrated into the genome, ERVs

can influence the transcription and expression of nearby host genes, primarily through

regulatory elements present in their LTR sequences, such as promoters and enhancers [1, 2,

3]. Recently, ERVs have gained significant attention as valuable markers for understanding

genetic relationships and evolutionary processes among species. Because they are inherited

vertically across generations, the presence or absence of ERVs in different species may

elucidate phylogenetic relationships. While shared ERVs among different species indicate a

common ancestral infection, species-specific ERVs suggest independent integration events

[4]. Furthermore, as ERVs are genetically stable over long evolutionary timescales, they

make reliable markers. Unlike other transposable elements, most ERVs cannot

retrotranspose nor spread within the genome, resulting in relatively stable genomic

distributions. Consequently, the evolutionary history of a species can be traced by

analyzing its ERV integration patterns [5, 6]. In avian species, researchers have focused on

the endogenous avian retrovirus (EAV) family and avian leukosis virus subgroup E

(ALVE) [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], reporting that the blue eggshells of Araucana chickens are

influenced by ERVs. Understanding the role of ERVs in avian species provides significant

insight into their evolutionary biology and genetic diversity [13].

Japanese indigenous chickens comprise approximately 50 breeds, most of which are

classified as fancy or practical breeds based on traits such as unique crowing, ornamental

feathers, and fighting characteristics, as well as egg and meat production [14, 15, 16, 17,

18]. Examples of these breeds include Tosa-Jidori, the oldest type of Japanese native

chicken with a history of over 2000 years, and Yakido, a Malay-type breed with great

height and black feathers [19, 20, 21]. A study using 20 microsatellite DNA markers to

construct phylogenetic trees showed that these native Japanese breeds possess distinct

genetic backgrounds compared to those of foreign breeds such as White Leghorn and

Rhode Island Red [22]. These diverse Japanese indigenous chicken breeds, which

underwent unique evolutionary processes under different environmental and artificial

selection pressures, serve as intriguing models for studying the insertion sites and effects of

ERVs. For instance, breeds that are adapted to harsh climates or specific local conditions

may exhibit unique ERV profiles.

This study aimed to elucidate how ERVs contribute to the genetic diversity and

adaptation of Japanese indigenous chickens, which may provide insights for breeding

programs and conservation strategies. To achieve this objective, we identified the loci of

ERVs in the genomes of commercial broiler (Ross308), Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens

and elucidated how these affect gene expression. We employed whole-genome sequencing

technologies and bioinformatic analyses to identify ERV insertion sites and assess their

regulatory impacts. Our findings suggest that ERV insertions may affect the expression of

neighboring genes and demonstrate their contribution to the genetic diversity of these

chickens.

Results

Sequencing data quality and identification of the non-reference ERV breakpoint

In this study, 150-bp paired-end reads were aligned using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner and

Mem (BWA-mem) algorithm [23], achieving an overall mean sequence depth of 44.6x

(ranging 38.6–57.5) across all chicken samples (Table S1); the detailed mapping results are

presented in Table S1. Over 99% of the paired-end reads for each chicken were successfully

aligned with the reference Gallus genome, while 1.72–2.77% were classified as improperly

mapped reads. Additionally, 0.13–0.16% of the reads were singletons, aligning to a single

side. The RetroSeq software [24] identified 167–240 candidate ERV insertion loci per

individual (Table S1). In total, 184 ERV loci were identified across the three types of chicken.

Of the 184 detected ERV loci, 10 matched the surrounding sequences of the reference

genome, and 2 were shorter than 200 bp; consequently, these were excluded from further

analysis. The contigs derived from the remaining 172 loci were used in the analysis (Table

S2). The distribution of the 172 loci within the genome was as follows: 57 on Chr1, 33 on

Chr2, 23 on Chr3, 19 on Chr4, and 12 on ChrZ, representing 81.4% of the total. A strong

correlation was observed between chromosome length and the number of detected loci

(Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.96). Broiler, Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens had 117,

78, and 82 ERV loci, respectively. The number of loci shared among the breeds is shown in

Figure 1, with 28 loci shared among all types of chicken. The ERV loci specific to broiler,

Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens were 54, 18, and 23, respectively. Of the 172 loci, 95 were

shared with junglefowls, 94 of which were shared with red junglefowls, the ancestor of

domestic chickens [25, 26], while the remaining locus was shared with gray junglefowls

(Figure S1). The summary statistics for heterozygosity in each breed are presented in Table

1. Broilers had higher estimates of observed heterozygosity (Ho=0.28) and expected

heterozygosity (He=0.23) than those of Tosa-Jidori (Ho=0.17, He=0.14) and Yakido

(Ho=0.19, He=0.15) chickens. In the constructed phylogenetic tree (Figure 1), ERVs were

frequently observed at the same loci in the same chicken, although these were not consistently

shared at identical loci (Table S2). For example, the number of homozygous ERV loci was 0

in broilers, 10 in Tosa-Jidori, and 14 in Yakido. Nonetheless, clusters in the phylogenetic tree

were clearly delineated for chickens and junglefowls (Figure 1).

Table 1

Chicken	Observed heterozygosity	Expected heterozygosity
Broiler	0.28	0.23
Tosa-Jidori	0.17	0.14
Yakido	0.19	0.15

Analysis of Detected ERV Types and Sequences

The contigs detected from the 172 loci were mapped to ERV sequences in the database (Table

S3). The Chr1_159876144 locus was mapped to two locations in EAV-HP (previously

described as ev/J, AF125529), while the Chr2_133314053 locus was mapped to two locations

in the Murine leukemia virus (MLV) related retroviruse (DQ280312). The numbers of

contigs mapped to EAV-HP, EAV-51, EAV-0, and EAV-0/E51 were 79, 9, 33, and 1,

respectively (Table 2). Moreover, 8 and 1 contigs were mapped to ALVE and MLV,

respectively. The lengths of the obtained contigs varied regardless of ERV type; however, the average length of contigs corresponding to ALVE (573.5 bp, 405–734 bp), was greater than that of other ERVs. Most of these contigs contained LTR sequences, with some including gag, pol, env, or gag/env fusion protein sequences (Figure S2).

Table 2

ERV	Accession No.	Mapped contigs	Contig length						Total
			201-300	301-400	401-500	501-600	601-700	701-800	
	AF125529	24	2	14	4	2	2	0	
EAV-HP	AJ623291	47	36	6	2	2	1	0	79
	NC_005947.1	18	0	9	2	2	5	0	
EAV-51	AM418553	9	6	2	1	0	0	0	9
EAV-0	AM418554	33	1	5	21	5	1	0	33
EAV-0/E51	AM418555	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
ALVE	MT263508	8	0	0	1	4	2	1	8
MLV	DQ280312	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	M31063	9	6	3	0	0	0	0	
LTR	M31065	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	31
	M31066	19	13	1	5	0	0	0	
Total		172	66	41	37	15	12	1	172

Impact of ERV Insertions on mRNA Expression

The RNA expression levels, classified based on the presence or absence of ERV insertions

at each locus, are shown in Figure S3. Of the loci with detected ERVs, 75 were located near

or within genes (Table S2). To examine the relationship between the presence of these gene

insertions and RNA expression, transcripts per million (TPM) values were calculated

(Table S4). Among the 75 genes, 24 were excluded from the analysis for one of three

reasons: all individuals had ERV insertions, only one individual had an insertion, or over

90% of the individuals showed no gene expression. Figure S3 shows how the presence or

absence of ERV insertions for the remaining 51 genes influenced gene expression.

Significant differences were observed in the expression of N-acetylated alpha-linked acidic

dipeptidase 2 (*NAALAD2*), glypican 6 (*GPC6*), and phospholipid scramblase family

member 5 (*PLSCR5*) (adjusted p-values = 0.0022, 0.0119, and 0.0119, respectively). In

NAALAD2 and *GPC6*, ERV insertions were associated with decreased expression, whereas

in *PLSCR5*, they were associated with increased expression (Figure 2).

164   Discussion

In this study, we employed next-generation sequencing to identify ERVs that were absent

in a reference genome, rigorously trimming the obtained sequence data using stringent

criteria. As more than 99% of all read pairs mapped to the Gallus reference genome, the

assembled sequence data were considered to be high quality. We subsequently attempted to

detect ERVs in broilers and Japanese indigenous chickens using improper pairs and

singleton sequence reads that were incorrectly mapped to the reference genome. We

identified 172 ERV loci across the three chicken types. Using a similar method, analysis of

the genus Gallus—specifically, the red junglefowl—revealed the presence of 116 ERV loci

(range: 61–123), which is largely consistent with previous findings [10]. In our study, the

numbers of ERV loci detected in broilers, Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens were 119, 80,

and 86, respectively, which are comparable to previous findings. Additionally, we visually

confirmed the breakpoints detected by RetroSeq using Integrated Genome Viewer (IGV) to

identify target site duplications (TSDs) and included the ERV sequences in the contigs

assembled from the surrounding sequences. Our results demonstrate the validity of the

methods used for detecting ERVs in different chicken breeds and species, confirming their

high reliability.

The ERV structures at each locus were inferred from the contigs. However, because

of the tendency of ERVs to accumulate in repetitive regions [27, 28, 29], short-read

sequencing alone may have limitations in accurately detecting complete ERV structures,

including the *gag*, *pol*, and *env* regions, or solo-LTRs. To overcome these limitations,

single-molecule real-time sequencing or nanopore sequencing may be used to determine

complete ERV insertion sequences. This would allow for high-resolution mapping, precise

localization of gene insertions, and the identification of mutations. Combining short- and

long-read sequencing is expected to improve the differentiation of heterozygosity and

homozygosity, leading to more accurate localization of gene insertions and mutations as

well as a more refined functional analysis of genetic variation.

In this study, we analyzed the ERV insertion sites in the chicken genome to elucidate

the genetic diversity and evolutionary background of different chickens. The strong

correlation between chromosome length and the number of insertion sites suggests that

ERV insertions occur randomly throughout the genome. However, as we did not account

for existing ERVs in the reference genome, determining the concentration of ERV

insertions in specific genomic regions conclusively was not possible. We found that

broilers exhibited higher heterozygosity than that of other chickens, indicating greater ERV

diversity. Our phylogenetic analysis based on the presence or absence of ERVs revealed

distinct clusters for each chicken, with ERVs frequently observed at the same insertion sites

within the same chicken type. This suggests that the observed ERV loci are fixed within

specific lineages through selection pressure or genetic drift during breed formation.

However, instances of ERV locus loss owing to genetic drift were also observed. For

example, while the murine leukemia virus-related ERV (DQ280312) was confirmed in all

junglefowls, it was not detected in two broilers. The fixation or loss of ERVs is influenced

by selection pressure and genetic drift within the host population [30]; studies on

commercial chickens have also reported that certain ERV insertion sites are fixed owing to

selection pressure. The findings obtained here suggest that rare indigenous chickens possess

unique ERV loci. The high heterozygosity of ERVs in broilers indicates a possible

crossbreeding with populations possessing diverse ERV loci during breed formation. Of the

172 detected ERV loci, 94 matched those reported in red junglefowl, while the

phylogenetic analysis placed commercial and indigenous chicken subspecies in clusters

close to that of the red junglefowl. In addition, eight ALVE loci were identified. ALVE has

not been found in any junglefowl species other than the red junglefowl, which supports the

theory that commercial and indigenous chickens were domesticated from red junglefowl

[31]. Although our phylogenetic tree showed separate clusters for gray junglefowl and

chickens, these shared 12 ERV loci, one of which was not observed in red junglefowl.

Genome analyses of junglefowl suggest that not only red junglefowl but also gray

junglefowl contribute to the genetic diversity of poultry; hence, some ERV loci may have

been inherited from junglefowl species other than red junglefowl. Although this analysis is

based on a limited number of individuals and may not reflect all ERV loci within the

population, analyzing more individuals in the future will allow us to accurately understand

the ERV gene pool. The results of this study indicate that ERVs may act as powerful

markers, similar to microsatellites and single nucleotide polymorphisms, for elucidating

evolutionary relationships between breeds or species.

In the ERV-type analysis, multiple ERVs, including EAV-HP, EAV-51, and EAV-0,

were identified with a particularly high frequency of EAV-HP insertions. On average, the

contigs corresponding to ALVE were longer than those of the other ERVs, indicating

diverse genomic structures. Many of the obtained contigs contained LTR regions, with

some also including gag, pol, and env regions, which demonstrates the structural diversity

of ERVs. Analysis of the 51 genes based on ERV insertion and gene expression revealed

significant differences in the expression of *NAALAD2*, *GPC6*, and *PLSCR5*. For *NAALAD2*

and *PLSCR5*, ERV insertion was associated with decreased expression, whereas *GPC6*

showed increased expression. These results suggest that ERV insertions influence the

functions of *NAALAD2* in neurotransmitter inactivation, *GPC6* in cancer cell migration and

invasion, and *PLSCR5* in phospholipid scrambling of the cell membrane. The impact of

ERV insertions may vary depending on the cell type, as specific LTR families are known to

promote tissue-specific expression of host genes [32]. LTRs may function as alternative

promoters when inserted near genes, with varying effects depending on the insertion site

and sequence composition [33, 34]. Known cases of ERV effects in chickens include the

blue eggshell color of Araucana, expression of immune- and inflammation-related genes in

the liver, and feminization of plumage in Sebright breed males. Although ERVs are not

confirmed to function as promoters in these instances, the significant changes in gene

expression observed in this study suggest this possibility. Finally, while this study used

RNA derived from skeletal muscle, further research is required to determine whether

similar effects are observed using other cell types and to elucidate the specific mechanisms

by which ERVs influence gene expression.

Conclusion

We successfully identified the genomic loci of ERVs in broiler, Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido

chickens. Some of these ERVs were inserted near genes and were correlated with the

expression of *NAALAD2*, *GPC6*, and *PLSCR5* genes. Further research is required to

determine whether these differences in expression are directly attributable to ERV

insertion. Understanding the impact of ERVs on gene expression could reveal new insights

into the genetic regulation and evolution of poultry. Future studies should aim to analyze a

larger number of individuals and additional chickens to validate these findings and explore

the broader implications of ERV insertions in genetic diversity and trait development.

Materials and Methods

Animal samples and genomic DNA and RNA purification

All experimental protocols and procedures were reviewed and approved by the

Experimental Animal Committee of the Nippon Veterinary and Life Science University

(Tokyo, Japan; Approval number, 2021-S34). The chickens selected for this study include

Broilers, representing typical commercial chickens; Yakido, representing Malay-type native

Japanese chickens; and Tosa-Jidori, representing traditional native Japanese chickens.

Broilers were obtained from a farm in Kagoshima Prefecture. Tosa-Jidori chickens and

Yakido chickens were sourced from the Livestock Research Center in Kochi Prefecture and

Mie Prefecture, respectively. All chickens were euthanized via decapitation after isoflurane

anesthesia at 35 days of age for broilers and 14 days for Tosa-Jidori and Yakido chickens.

Blood and breast muscle samples were collected from chickens. Breast muscle was snap

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until total RNA extraction. Genomic DNA

was extracted from the blood samples using the QIAamp DNA Blood and Tissue Kit

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), while DNA quality was evaluated via gel electrophoresis.

Total RNA was extracted using an RNeasy Fibrous Tissue Mini Kit (Qiagen).

Whole genome sequence (WGS) data

Whole genome sequence (WGS) data

Genomic DNA from 16 individuals, including 8 broilers, 4 Tosa-Jidori, and 4 Yakido

chickens was used to construct each 350-bp sequencing library with a TruSeq DNA PCR-

Free Sample Prep Kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). WGS was performed on 150-

bp paired-end reads using an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 System (Illumina Inc.). Nucleotides

with low-quality scores were trimmed from these reads, and adapters were removed with

Trimmomatic v.0.36 [35] using the ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE:2:30:10, LEADING:3,

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20, and MINLEN:30 settings. The reads were mapped to the *Gallus*

gallus reference genome (GRCg6a, GenBank assembly accession: GCF_000002315.6)

using the BWA-mem algorithm [23]. Data were generated with a Binary Alignment Map

(BAM) format.

Detection of non-reference ERVs

ERVs were detected as previously described [10, 36, 37]. The types of read pairs mapped to

the reference genome were defined, and useful sequence reads were extracted. Most paired-

end reads were obtained from a reference genome WGS map; however, mismatched read

pairs also occurred with unexpected span sizes and orientations. Non-proper pairs were

defined as those in which either the 5′ or 3′ end mapped to a contig sequence in the

reference genome while the other mapped entirely or partially to an unexpected locus. Ends

of a read pair that did not map to the reference genome were denoted as singletons, whereas

unmapped read pair was used when both ends of a read pair did not map to the reference

genome (Figure S4). Mismatched read pairs can provide insight into LTR-related loci as

anchors; these were used with the RetroSeq software to detect non-reference transposon

elements [24]. The process flow is illustrated in Figure S4. The ERV sequences used for

RetroSeq were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI,

Bethesda, MD, USA) and are listed in Table S5. The reference genome used was GRCg6a,

containing only autosomes and sex chromosomes. In the RetroSeq "call" step, transposable

element insertion positions (breakpoints) were estimated using reads detected in the

"discover" phase, as previously reported [10, 36, 37]. The call step was set to ≥ 10 to reduce

false positives, while the maximum read depth option per call was set to 10,000 to increase

BAM coverage. All other RetroSeq options were used at their default values. A minimum

of seven filter-level breakpoints was used, with breakpoints detected within 500 bp

considered identical and excluded. The IGV [38] was used to detect loci containing TSDs;

using the batch script functionality of IGV, a screenshot was obtained at each genomic

locus detected using the RetroSeq analysis pipeline and carefully examined. The loci were

presumed to be TSDs if they mapped to reads detected during the "discover" phase either

from the 5′ or 3′ side, with an overlap ranging 1–10 bp. The 5′ and 3′ reads mapped within

150 bp of the TSDs were extracted using SAMtools [39]. The extracted read set was used

to generate contig sequences using CAP3 software [40], which were subsequently used for

a blastn search [41]. The lowest e-value was used to determine the ERV class. Each 200-bp

sequence upstream and downstream of the breakpoint was extracted from the reference

genome and subjected to blastn to eliminate the possibility of detecting ERV sequences in

the reference genome. Subsequently, the loci that matched the ERVs were excluded from

the analysis. Sequences that did not exist in the reference genome were deduced from the

contiguous sequence within the region on either side of the TSD sequence or the 6-bp

sequence adjacent to the 5′ and 3′ sides of the TSD when its length was insufficient.

Analysis of Detected ERV Loci and Sequences

The detected loci in broiler, Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido chickens were compared with

previously reported ERV loci in junglefowl [10]. The presence or absence of ERVs at each

locus was represented as 1 or 0, respectively, to perform clustering among the species and

subspecies. A resulting phylogenetic tree was constructed using the R software *ape* and *ade4*

package [42, 43, 44] and "dist.binary" and "hclust" functions. Contigs containing ERV

sequences at each locus were mapped to previously reported ERV sequences using the BWA-

mem algorithm. Based on the mapping results, each contig was classified according to ERV

species and further categorized by length. Homozygosity or heterozygosity was determined

using IGV. Contigs were considered homozygous when reads mapped near the TSD were

truncated. Conversely, when reads mapped across both the 5' and 3' ends of the TSD, the

contig was considered heterozygous. The observed (Ho) and expected heterozygosities (He)

for each chicken were estimated using the "popgen" function in the *PopGenKit* package [45]

in R [42].

Gene expression analysis using RNA-seq and relation

For RNA-seq analysis, the TruSeq cDNA library was constructed using the NEBNext®

Ultra™ Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, USA) after verifying the quantity and

quality of the extracted RNA. Raw RNA-seq data were acquired using the Illumina

NovaSeq 6000 platform, trimming was performed using the Trimmomatic software [35],

and reads were mapped to the chicken reference genome (GRCg6a) using the STAR

program [46]. Expression analysis was conducted using the RSEM program [47] to

estimate TPM values. The ERV loci were identified and examined for insertions within the

genes using IGV. For loci with ERV insertions within genes, TPM values were compared

with those of individuals without ERV insertions at the same loci. A t-test was performed

for each locus, with p-values adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method, setting a

threshold of statistical significance at α=0.05.

Data availability

The data used in this study are available from DDBJ (BioProject accession: PRJDB17938).

References

[1] Chuong EB, Elde NC, City SL. Regulatory activities of transposable elements: from conflicts to benefits. Nat Rev Genet. 2017;18, 71–86. doi: 10.1038/nrg.2016.139

[2] Rebollo R, Romanish MT, Mager DL. Transposable elements: An abundant and natural source of regulatory sequences for host genes. Annu Rev Genet. 2012; 46, 21–42. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-110711-155621

[3] Kunarso G, Chia NY, Jeyakani J, Hwang C, Lu X, Chan YS, et al. Transposable elements have rewired the core regulatory network of human embryonic stem cells. Nat Genet. 2010; 42, 631–634. doi: 10.1038/ng.600

[4] Jern P, Sperber GO, Blomberg J. Use of Endogenous Retroviral Sequences (ERVs) and structural markers for retroviral phylogenetic inference and taxonomy. Retrovirology. 2005; 2, 1–12. doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-2-50

[5] Johnson WE, Coffin JM. (1999). Constructing primate phylogenies from ancient retrovirus sequences. PNAS. 1999; 96, 10254–10260. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.18.10254

[6] Diehl WE, Patel N, Halm K, Johnson WE. Tracking interspecies transmission and long-

term evolution of an ancient retrovirus using the genomes of modern mammals. ELife.

2016; 5, 1–25. doi: 10.7554/eLife.12704

[7] Li J, Davis BW, Jern P, Dorshorst BJ, Siegel PB, Andersson L. Characterization of the

endogenous retrovirus insertion in CYP19A1 associated with henny feathering in chicken.

Mob. DNA. 2019; 10, 1–8. doi: 10.1186/s13100-019-0181-4

[8] Wang Z, Qu L, Yao J, Yang X, Li G, Zhang Y, et al. An EAV-HP insertion in 5′ flanking

region of SLCO1B3 causes blue eggshell in the chicken. PLoS Genet. 2013; 9, e1003183.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003183

[9] Wragg D, Mwacharo JM, Alcalde JA, Wang C, Han JL., Gongora J, et al. Endogenous

retrovirus EAV-HP linked to blue egg phenotype in Mapuche fowl. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8,

e71393. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0071393

[10] Ishihara S. Detection of long terminal repeat loci derived from endogenous retrovirus

in junglefowl using whole-genome sequencing. Sci Rep. 2023; 13, 1–8. doi:

10.1038/s41598-023-34520-1

[11] Mason AS, Miedzinska K, Kebede A, Bamidele O, Al-Jumaili AS, Dessie T, et al.

Diversity of endogenous avian leukosis virus subgroup e (ALVE) insertions in indigenous

chickens. Genet Sel Evol. 2020; 52, 1–7. doi: 10.1186/s12711-020-00548-4

[12] Mason AS, Mason AS, Lund AR, Hocking PM, Fulton JE, Burt DW, et al.

Identification and characterisation of endogenous Avian Leukosis Virus subgroup E

(ALVE) insertions in chicken whole genome sequencing data. Mob DNA. 2020: 11, 1–13.

doi; 10.1186/s13100-020-00216-w

[13] Bolisetty M, Blomberg J, Benachenhou F, Sperber G, Beemon K. Unexpected

diversity and expression of avian endogenous retroviruses. MBio. 2012; 3. doi:

10.1128/mBio.00344-12

[14] Tixier-Boichard M, Bordas A, Rognon X. Characterisation and monitoring of poultry

genetic resources. Worlds Poult Sci J. 2009; 65, 272–285. doi:

10.1017/S0043933909000233

[15] Tadano R, Nishibori M, Tsudzuki M, Yasue H. Complete nucleotide sequences of

mitochondrial DNA in Japanese long-tailed chicken breeds. Poult Sci. 2008: 87, 299–307.

[16] Kawahara-Miki R, Sano S, Nunome M, Kumagai M, Miura K., Sugiyama T, et al.

Whole-genome resequencing shows numerous genes with nonsynonymous SNPs in the

Japanese native chicken Hinai-jidori. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/1471-

2164-12-103

[17] Yamamoto Y, Samejima T, Nishida T, Sasaki Y, Tsudzuki M. Genetic diversity of

Japanese long-tailed chicken breeds based on microsatellite DNA polymorphisms. J Poult

Sci. 2004: 41, 299–310.

[18] Tadano R, Sekino M, Nishibori M, Tsudzuki M. (2007). Microsatellite marker analysis

for the genetic relationships among Japanese long-tailed chicken breeds. Poult Sci. 2007;

86, 460–469. doi: 10.1093/ps/86.3.460

[19] Tadano R, Nishibori M, Imamura Y, Matsuzaki M, Kinoshita K, Mizutani M, et al.

High genetic divergence in miniature breeds of Japanese native chickens compared to Red

Junglefowl, as revealed by microsatellite analysis. Anim Genet. 2008; 39, 71–78. doi:

/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2007.01690.x

[20] Nakasai E, Tanizawa H, Takawaki M, Yanagita K., Kawakami SI, Oka T, et al.

(2013). Age-dependent change of tonic immobility response in chicks of a native Japanese

chicken breed, Tosa-Jidori. J Poultry Sci. 2013; 50, 321–325. doi: 10.2141/jpsa.0130018

[21] Yoshidome K, Fukano N, Ouchi Y, Tomonaga S, Cockrem JF, Bungo T. The use of

behavioral tests of fearfulness in chicks to distinguish between the Japanese native chicken

breeds, Tosa-Kukin and Yakido. Anim Sci J. 2021; 92, 1–8. doi: 10.1111/asj.13507

[22] Osman SAM, Sekino M, Kuwayama T, Kinoshita K, Nishibori M, Yamamoto Y, et al.

Genetic variability and relationships of native Japanese chickens based on microsatellite

DNA polymorphisms-focusing on the natural monuments of Japan. J Poult Sci. 2006; 43,

12–22. doi: 10.2141/jpsa.43.12

[23] Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-

MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2. 2013; doi: 10.48550/arXiv.1303.3997

[24] Keane TM, Wong K, Adams DJ. RetroSeq: Transposable element discovery from

next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29, 389–390. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/bts697

[25] Fumihito A, Miyake T, Sumi S, Takada M, Ohno S, Kondo N. One subspecies of the

red junglefowl (Gallus gallus gallus) suffices as the matriarchic ancestor of all domestic

breeds. PNAS. 1994; 91, 12505–12509. doi: 10.1073/pnas.91.26.12505

[26] Lawal RA, Martin SH, Vanmechelen K, Vereijken A, Silva P, Al-Atiyat RM, et al.

The wild species genome ancestry of domestic chickens. BMC Biol. 2020; 18. doi:

10.1186/s12915-020-0738-1

[27] Gemmell P, Hein J, Katzourakis A. Orthologous endogenous retroviruses exhibit

directional selection since the chimp-human split. Retrovirology. 2015; 12. doi:

10.1186/s12977-015-0172-6

[28] Tokuyama M, Kong Y, Song E, Jayewickreme T, Kang I, Iwasaki A. ERVmap

analysis reveals genome-wide transcription of human endogenous retroviruses. PNAS.

2018; 115, 12565–12572. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1814589115

[29] Xiang Y, Liang H. The regulation and functions of endogenous retrovirus in embryo

development and stem cell differentiation. Stem Cells Int. 2021; doi:

10.1155/2021/6660936

[30] Johnson WE. Endogenous Retroviruses in the Genomics Era. Annu Rev Virol. 2015;

2, 135–159. doi: 10.1146/annurev-virology-100114-054945

[31] Sacco MA, Nair VK. Prototype endogenous avian retroviruses of the genus Gallus. J

General Virol. 2014; 95, 2060–2070. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.066852-0

[32] Cullen H, Schorn AJ. Endogenous retroviruses walk a fine line between priming and

silencing. Viruses. 2020; 12. doi: 10.3390/v12080792

[33] Medstrand P, Landry JR, Mager DL. Long terminal repeats are used as alternative

promoters for the endothelin B receptor and apolipoprotein C-I genes in humans. J Biol

Chem. 2001; 276, 1896–1903. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M006557200

[34] Dunn CA, Medstrand P, Mager DL. An endogenous retroviral long terminal repeat is

the dominant promoter for human β1,3-galactosyltransferase 5 in the colon. PNAS. 2003;

100, 12841–12846. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2134464100

[35] Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014; 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

[36] Ishihara S, Kumagai M, Arakawa A, Taniguchi M, Kim Cuc NT., Pham LD, et al.

(2022). Detection of non-reference porcine endogenous retrovirus loci in the Vietnamese

native pig genome. Sci Rep. 2022; 12. doi 10.1038/s41598-022-14654-4

[37] Wildschutte JH, Williams ZH, Montesion M, Subramanian RP, Kidd JM, Coffin

JM. Discovery of unfixed endogenous retrovirus insertions in diverse human

populations. PNAS. 2016; 113, E2326–E2334. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602336113

[38] Thorvaldsdóttir H, Robinson JT, Mesirov JP. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV):

High-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Brief Bioinform. 2013; 14,

178–192. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbs017

[39] Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The sequence

alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25, 2078–2079. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

[40] Huang X, Madan A. CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program. Genome Res. 199;

9, 868–877. doi: 10.1101/gr.9.9.868

[41] Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search

tool. J Mol Biol. 1990; 215, 403–410. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2

[42] R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, Vienna. 2020. https://cran.r-project.org

[43] Paradis E, Schliep K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and

evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics. 2019; 35, 526–528. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633

[44] Dray S, Dufour AB. The ade4 package: Implementing the duality diagram for

ecologists. J Stat Softw. 2007; 22, 1–20. doi: 10.18637/jss.v022.i04

[45] Paquette SR. PopGenKit: Useful functions for (batch) file conversion and data

resampling in microsatellite datasets. R package, version 1.0. 2011. http://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/PopGenKit/index.html

[46] Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha, S, et al. STAR:

Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013; 29, 15–21. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

[47] Parrish N, Hormozdiari F, Eskin E. (2014). Assembly of non-unique insertion content

using next-generation sequencing. BMC Bioinformatics, 12. doi: 10.1201/b16589

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grant-in-Aid

for Early-Career Scientists, grant number 22K14907. Computations were partially

performed on the NIG supercomputer at the ROIS National Institute of Genetics.

Figure legend

Figure 1

Number of endogenous retrovirus (ERV) loci detected among broiler, Tosa-Jidori, Yakido and gallus genus as well as phylogenetic trees. (A) Number of ERV loci across broiler, Tosa-Jidori, and Yakido and the overlap between each ERV locus. (B) Number of ERV loci across broiler, Tosa-Jidori, Yakido, and red junglefowl and the overlap between each ERV locus. (C) Phylogenetic tree constructed based on the presence or absence of ERV loci. The scale bar indicates the distance calculated from the presence/absence matrix.

Figure 2

Normalized transcripts per million TPM) values for PLSCR5, NAALAD2, and GPC6. Box plots represent the distribution of gene expression levels across three ERV insertion genotypes: no insertion, heterozygosity, and homozygosity. Each box plot shows the median (line within the box), interquartile range (the box), and range of the data (whiskers). Statistical significance was determined using the Tukey honestly significant difference test, indicated by asterisks (adjusted p-value; *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001).

Supporting Information

Supplemental Table

Table S1. Sample information, mapping quality and RetroSeq results.

Table S2. The loci of endogenous retrovirus detected, and the information about the

loci.

Supplemental Figure legend

Figure S1. The Venn diagrams present the intersections of ERV insertion sites among

different chicken breeds (Broiler, Tosa-Jidori, Yakido) and wild species (*Gallus Gallus*,

Gallus sonneratii, *Gallus lafayettii*, and *Gallus varius*). Each circle represents one breed or

species, with the numbers within the overlapping regions indicating the count of shared ERV

insertions. Non-overlapping regions denote the number of unique insertions specific to each

breed or species.

Figure S2. Mapping of contig sequences derived from extracted reads to known

endogenous retrovirus (ERV) sequences in chicken genomes using IGV (Integrative

Genomics Viewer). The contigs were mapped against the following Accession IDs:

Chicken endogenous proviral avian retroviral_LTR (M31063, M31064, M31065, M31066),

EAV-0 (AM418554), EAV-51 (AM418553), EAV-0/E51 (AM418555), EAV-HP

(NC_005947.1, AJ623291, AF125529), ALV-E (MT263508), MLV-related endogenous

retrovirus (DQ280312). M31064 was omitted because there was no mapped contig.

Figure S3. Gene expression levels in different chicken breeds with and without

endogenous retrovirus (ERV) insertion. This box plot illustrates the gene expression levels

of various genes across the three chickens (broiler, Tosa-Jidori, Yakido) with and without

endogenous retrovirus (ERV) insertion. The x-axis labels denote the specific genes and

their ERV insertion status (TRUE/FALSE), while the y-axis represents the expression

levels. The boxes represent the interquartile range (IQR), with the black line inside each

box indicating the median expression level. The whiskers extend to the smallest and largest

values within 1.5 times the IQR from the first and third quartiles, respectively. Individual

data points outside this range are considered outliers and are plotted individually. The

colors of the data points represent the different chicken breeds: red for broiler, green for

Tosa-Jidori, and blue for Yakido.

Figure S4. Pipeline for the detection of non-reference chicken endogenous retroviruses in

whole-genome sequencing (WGS) read data. This figure is based on content taken from

Ishihara 2023 with modifications. In the right-upper panel, the black line denotes the chicken

reference genome sequence. Blue and red boxes connected with lines denote the 5′ and 3′

ends of a paired-end sequencing read. Most paired-end reads were identified as proper

mapping, whereas a small percentage of them were improper mapping. Proper pair: both ends

of the paired-end sequence mapped accurately (**a**). Discordant read and split read: one end of

the paired-end sequence mapped accurately, whereas the other end was only partially

identified at the expected locus on the reference genome. The unidentified sequence could

be mapped anywhere else on the reference genome (**b**, **c**). Singleton: one end of the paired-

end sequence mapped accurately, whereas the other end did not map on the reference genome

(**d**). Unmapped read pairs: neither read mapped to the reference genome (**e**). Discordant reads,

split reads, and singletons were used for RetroSeq analysis. In the right-middle panel, a

representative view of the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) is used to confirm the

presence of target site duplications (TSDs) at each locus, detected using RetroSeq, extract

support reads from the TSD loci, perform local assembly, and analyze the contigs for the

presence of endogenous retroviruses (ERV) - genome junctions from both sides. "A" denotes

the individuals that have the TSD, and "B" denotes the individuals that did not have the TSD.

The right-lower panel shows a conceptual diagram of local de novo assembly using CAP3.

Sequences in red indicate sequences not present on the reference genome, and sequences in

purple indicate TSDs. The panels labeled "Homozygosity" and "Heterozygosity" illustrate

the differences in read mapping patterns when an ERV insertion is homozygous versus

heterozygous. Homozygosity: All reads break at the TSD. Heterozygosity: Some reads break

at the TSD, but there are also reads that span across the TSD.

Figure1

Figure2